28

Electronically FILED by KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles 6/16/2023 3:10 PM Pamela Prescott, Esq. (328243) pamela@kazlg.com David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, Gil Melili, Esq. (337116) By E. Thomas, Deputy Clerk gil@kazlg.com 245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Telephone: (800) 400-6808 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523 [Additional Counsel On Signature Page] Attorneys for Plaintiffs, 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-UNLIMITED CIVIL 11 Case No.: 22STCV20771 12 TALIA JACKSON, an individual; NATHAN ALFANO, 13 an individual; KRISTEN JOINT STATUS CONFERENCE MOORE, an individual; on behalf 14 **STATEMENT** of themselves and all others 15 Assigned for all purposes to: similarly situated, Hon. Stuart M. Rice 16 Plaintiffs, 17 **Further Status Conference:** June 23, 2023 Date: 18 v. Time: 9:00 a.m. 19 Department: 1 MELISSA BACELAR, an individual; WAGMOR PETS, a 20 Action Filed: June 24, 2022 California non-profit corporation; 21 WYLDER'S HOLISTIC PET Trial Date: None 22 CENTER, INC. dba THE WAGMOR, a Delaware 23 corporation; and Does 1 through 24 10, inclusive, 25 Defendants. 26

JOINT STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT Case No.: 22STCV20771

Plaintiffs Talia Jackson, Nathan Alfano, and Kristen Moore (together, the "Plaintiffs") and defendants Melissa Bacelar, Wagmor Pets, Wylder's Holistic Pet Center, Inc. d/b/a The Wagmor (together, the "Defendants") (collectively, with the Plaintiffs, the "Parties") jointly submit this Status Conference Statement in accordance with this Court's Order at the May 1, 2023 hearing on Defendants' demurrer.¹

1. STATUS OF PLEADINGS:

Plaintiffs' Position: On November 2, 2022, the Parties attended an Initial Status Conference before the Honorable Stuart M. Rice. During that hearing, the Court lifted the stay of proceedings to allow Plaintiffs to file a First Amended Complaint ("FAC"). The stay remained in effect as to all other aspects of the case, including the filing of responsive pleadings and formal discovery. The Court also ordered Plaintiffs to meet and confer with Defendants regarding the proposed amendments the FAC, which was to be filed prior to the next Status Conference. During December of 2022 the Parties met and conferred regarding Plaintiffs' FAC, which was filed on December 29, 2022.

On January 6, 2023, the Parties attended a further Status Conference where the Court lifted the stay for the purposes of allowing Defendants to file a demurrer to the FAC. On March 23, 2023, Defendants filed a demurrer to the FAC, which Plaintiffs timely opposed on April 14, 2023. After consideration of the Parties' briefing and oral argument of counsel, the Court overruled Defendants' demurrer in its entirety, and ordered Defendants to file their respective Answers within 30 days of the Order, which fell on May 31, 2023. The Court also set a Continued Case Management Conference for June 23, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. On June 2, 2023, Defendants filed an Answer to the FAC.

24

28

23

15

16

20

21

- 2 -

¹ On October 21, 2022, the parties met and conferred telephonically regarding their Initial Status Conference Statement, which was filed on October 26, 2022. Updated Joint Statements were also filed on December 29, 2022 and April 21, 2023.

² The Court's April 26, 2023, Tentative Order was adopted as the Final Order.

16

19

20

Defendants' Position:

Agreed.

2. DISCOVERY:

Plaintiffs' Position: As previously noted in the Parties' October 26, 2022 and December 29, 2022 Status Conference Statements, Plaintiffs do not believe that discovery should be bifurcated between merits discovery and class discovery or conducted in stages in this putative class action. However, Plaintiffs are willing to focus initial efforts on discovery relevant to moving for class certification. Towards that end, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the stay of discovery be lifted following the Parties' further Status Conference on June 23, 2023.

Plaintiffs are agreeable to stipulating to a mutual protective order (using this Court's model protective order) which will be provided to the Court, if required. Plaintiffs respectfully request that any confidentiality protective order be filed no later than July 14, 2023, to not delay the exchange of discovery.

The Court already overruled Defendants' standing challenges as to the three named Plaintiffs. *See* April 26, 2023, Tentative Order, which was adopted as final on May 1, 2023. As a result, Plaintiffs disagree that Defendants' continued challenge to standing is a valid reason to limit class discovery, as Defendants suggest below.

Lastly, as noted in the Parties' prior Joint Case Management Conference Statements, Plaintiffs believe the "opt-out" notice process set forth in *Belaire-West Landscape, Inc. v. Superior Court* (2007) 149 Cal.App.4th 554, 561 is appropriate here to obtain information from putative class members concerning their experiences with Defendants' pet services, especially since Defendants are in possession of contact information for class members.

26

27

28

Case No.: 22STCV2077

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendants' Position:

Discovery should be limited to the claims of the actual parties.

The fact that a pleading survives demurrer does not suggest that any of the facts stated in the FAC are true. That is what discovery and motions for summary judgment are for.

Many of the allegations in the FAC can easily be disproved by depositing the Plaintiffs. One of the three did not even adopt a dog, and another never set foot in Defendants' premises before she adopted (and thus the "adoption from a pet shop" claims are simply bogus). None of them adopted a dog from pet store. They do not have standing to assert claims regarding the Pet Store Animal Care Act because they never adopted a pet and/or never visiting a pet store.

The first order of business should be to find out whether the Plaintiffs have standing. In the meantime, Plaintiffs who lack standing should not be allowed to go searching through Defendants' records for someone who does.

3. TIMELINE FOR CASE MANAGEMENT:

<u>Plaintiffs' Position</u>:

Plaintiffs propose the following timeline:

- a. Deadline to file Stipulated Protective Order: July 14, 2023
- **b.** Next status conference: October 10, 2023
- c. Alternative dispute resolution completion: April 4, 2024
- d. Filing Deadline for Motion for Class Certification: February 16, 2024
- e. Filing Deadline and Descriptions for Non-Discovery Motions: April 15, 2024

Case No.: 22STCV20771

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendants' Position:

Defendants agree with all but the last deadline. If a class certification motion is filed (not ruled on, but file) then there should be a lot more than two months before the deadline to fall other motions, e.g., summary judgment.

Dated: June 16, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC

By: /s/ Pamela Prescott, Esq. Pamela Prescott, Esq. (328243) pamela@kazlg.com Gil Melili, Esq. (337116) gil@kazlg.com 245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Telephone: (800) 400-6808 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523

RYTHER LAW GROUP

Jill L. Ryther, Esq. (266016) jill@rytherlawgroup.com 5777 W. Century Blvd., #1110-2076 Los Angeles, CA 90045 Telephone: (310) 751-4404 Facsimile: 310-773-9192

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

LEONARD, DICKER & SCHREIBER, LLP

By: /s/ Steven A. Schuman, Esq. Steven A. Schuman, Esq. (142834) 10940 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, California 90024 Telephone: (310) 551-1987 Facsimile: (310) 277-8050

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS

- 5 -

JOINT STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT

Case No.: 22STCV20771