



## Logic

By Joe Ingino b.a.  
Editor/Publisher

***"I live a dream in a nightmare world"***

### INSURANCE FOR THE INSECURE

I say let's put a stop to insurance companies rip off scheme. Why should a consumer pay four thousand a year on insurance when their vehicle is only worth five hundred dollars.

Why should insurance companies get away with short changing victims of accidents when it comes to paying out for damages.

Why should insurance companies be allowed to extort and dictate who pays what.

Why should insurance companies make millions a year with little or no overhead...

We live in a shameful world. It is dog eat dog. Fairness and doing what is right is a myth.

We are led to think we are on righteous path when in reality we are modern day slaves working to the drumming beats of corporations and social confusion.

I have a plan that the government should entertain. Instead of sending billions to foreign lands to be used to purchase more weapons to continue the civil unrest so that these nations can turn around and bleed us of more money. I say put a stop to it.

I suggest the government dissolve all these vultures we know as insurance companies. Replace them with this system of dealing with accidents. No one pays insurance premiums. In the event of an accident. The government will loan that individual the amounts required to compensate for damages caused. The individual will be responsible for re-paying back the government in installments, (much like a loan) or their license to drive may be suspended.

With this. There be no more lawyers, courts and the usual short changing consumers as it happens now. Did you know that if you are in a car accident. Some lawyers may take up to 60% off your settlement.

Under the new proposed system. The money would go to the victim directly to cover any damages. Adjusters for the government would be sent to assess the damages and issue the appropriate awards to compensate.

Did you know that if you are stabbed or shot on any Ontario street. That your life is only worth 100k to any insurance company. Did you know that if you have a million dollar policy. In some cases in order to collect on that policy you have to prove you were worth one million dollars.

Read the fine print.

You may have figured it out by now. I have an issue with insurance companies... the so called professionals... and we look down at the adult entertainers.... at least you pay and you know what your buying. With the insurance companies you get fucked month after month only to be denied claims and or given dimes on the actual retribitional amounts awarded.

We live in a sea of the ignorant. The less we know how something works the more corporations make off our ignorance. Look at the legal system. You can't fight a simple claims court without representation of some sort. Most people have no clue how to fill out the forms and or present.

Why is the system made so confusing to the average person. Why is it that at schools they don't teach courses that educate young minds on how to deal with the real issues of our world.

In the case of insurance companies. How to buy direct instead of a broker. How to save money when negotiating rates. How not to be taken by empty fears that they try to push at time of signing. This planet is a funny place. Nothing is really what it seems and the more you understand it the more you see it for what it truly is.

Mark twain said it best.

"The more I learn about people, the more I like my dog." and this is true for all of humanity.

## Letter to the editor Only in Ontario

Emails destroyed, justice denied?

What part of 'Don't delete government emails' did these Ontario cops not understand?

By Karen Selick

Contributor

Troy Media

Deleted government emails have been hot news in Ontario since former premier Dalton McGuinty's aides David Livingston and Laura Miller were charged with deliberately destroying records relating to cancelled gas-fired power plants.

Now an unrelated Ontario trial has revealed another alleged incident of deliberately deleted government emails.

In late 2015, government employees and police raided Glencolton Farms near Durham, Ont. It's the home of dairy farmer Michael Schmidt, who has for decades advocated the legalization of raw milk sales in Canada. The farm is now an incorporated co-op owned by about 150 shareholders. When bureaucrats and police officers began seizing milk products and computers, about 70 people (co-op owners and their family members) rapidly converged on the farm to defend their property. Someone - not Schmidt - drove a tractor across the driveway, making it impossible for the government's van to leave. Dozens of people milled about, questioning the legality of the intended seizure (or, as they saw it, theft) of the milk.

The standoff ended after about five hours with the government unloading its van and the co-op members then permitting the empty vehicle to depart.

No one was arrested that day. But eventually, five people were charged with obstructing police officers. One was Schmidt, who the prosecutor cast as the blockade's mastermind, despite markedly conflicting evidence from witnesses.

While awaiting trial, the accused filed a freedom of information request. They especially wanted to find out why only five of them, out of 70 present that day, were charged. What criteria had the government used in deciding who to prosecute? Was this prosecution actually designed to put Schmidt in jail and silence his repeated, annoying advocacy on raw milk?

They eventually received a copy of the notebook of lead investigator Const. Ken MacPherson of the West Grey Police Service. His notes made references to emails exchanged between himself and other investigators. The accused asked for those emails. To their consternation, they were told that MacPherson had "resigned from [the police] service in June of 2016 and his email account including all sent and received emails was deleted at that time."

What? The chief investigating officer in a criminal case leaves his job while a trial is still pending and all of his electronic correspondence is destroyed? Is this what normally happens at the West Grey Police Service? How many other cases were on MacPherson's plate when he left? How many other accused people have been denied full disclosure because officers resign or retire and their email accounts are destroyed? And considering that the charges relating to the destroyed gas plant documents had been laid only six months earlier, how could it have escaped the police service's attention that destroying all of an officer's emails might be problematic?

The Police Services Act of Ontario gives clear guidance on this subject: it's considered misconduct for an officer to wilfully or carelessly cause the loss or damage of records belonging to the police force. It's also a Criminal Code offence to wilfully destroy computer data. Someone might be in a wee bit of trouble.

In any event, the Crown dropped the charges against two of the accused and a third was acquitted before Schmidt went to trial. Schmidt was convicted in October 2017 and sentenced in November to 60 days in jail. A few days later, the Crown quietly dropped the charges against the fifth accused.

One obvious inference is that the goal of the exercise was indeed to put Schmidt in jail and that charging the others was mere window dressing. Once a conviction was secured against Schmidt, the others were superfluous. The missing emails might corroborate this.

Both the current chief of police in West Grey and the Crown counsel prosecuting the case declined to be interviewed for this article.

Schmidt is appealing his conviction, his sentence and a judicial ruling that prevented him from accessing the destroyed emails on the computers of the people who MacPherson corresponded with.

Meanwhile, a formal complaint about the destroyed emails under the Police Services Act, and possibly under the Criminal Code, seems warranted.



## Regional Talk

By John Mutton

Welcome to a cold 2018. I cannot remember a winter this cold in the Greater Toronto Area, maybe in the 70's perhaps.

It is too cold for road salt to actually work at this point. The new anti icing spray that our highways are treated with is a godsend.

The bitter cold this January is also followed by many a Municipal budgets that are usually a little better to swallow in election year, however Durham Taxpayers are paying way too much. As I have mentioned many times, we can bring taxes down in Durham Region, I for one know how and I plan on doing so upon my return to public office.

In Durham we are funding many services that we have no mandate or responsibility to fund. However, the inability to say no to special interest groups and social related issues is putting a financial strain on our residents in the middle, working class, let alone affordability for those making less income.

Upon return to elected office, I will immediately look at what the economic impact has been from the dozens of international trade junkets that have occurred both in the public eye and by stealth. I refuse to pay for a vacation when we don't derive investment or job growth.


Bottom line is that money is being spent where it shouldn't be and when we need real funding for real pending issues like Lake Ontario Shoreline flooding where only beads and trinkets are available in comparison.

Time for change has come, is here and Durham can do better!

John Mutton

President and CEO

Municipal Solutions - Energy and Infrastructure



### M103, ISLAMAPHOBIA AND THE DEATH OF CRITICAL THINKING

By Diane Bujold

It truly insults my intelligence when I hear the word "racist" attributed to a person who questions our government's open-door policy on people from Islamic backgrounds. Immigration as such is not the issue. Islam is not a "race". If you're going to result to insults, at least find a new terminology. The term "racist" should only be attributed to those who are prejudiced against a "race" i.e. a person's skin color. And from what I've experienced anywhere in Canada, there are very few racists.

I also resent the word "Islamophobia". Being afraid of, or very concerned about, the large influx of immigrants from the Islamic world does not make a person "Islamaphobe". A phobia is an irrational fear of something. Being well aware of what is happening around the world and being concerned that the same will reach our shores in a short time is not "irrational". It is a legitimate concern.

There is such a thing as the Islamization of the Western world. Anyone with half a brain and the inclination to honestly do a little research will soon become aware of what is happening around the world through large influx of Islamic migrants. Germany, Switzerland, England and France in particular have suffered enormous casualties through Islamic terror. Being concerned that what has been - and continues to happen - all over Europe may happen in Canada does not make me "phobic". Rather it is based in a sad reality.

Canada needs immigrants. But inviting everybody and anybody without concern for the open statements of world domination that many in the Islamic world themselves proudly profess as their intent, to me, is a recipe for disaster.

Being concerned about our changing demographics in favor of people who want to destroy our democratic rights & freedoms and at its core, want to want to establish a Caliphate governed by Sharia law, is not a "phobia". It is a realistic concern given a social system attached to the practice of a Political, Judicial, Educational and Religious Ideology that has not changed in 1400 years, and a culture that does not want to integrate but rather to 'dominate'.

This said, I know very well that many Muslims come here to escape the tyranny of an oppressive regime and only want to live in peace and raise their families. I've met several of those people and I can tell you that they have no desire to return to an existence of tyranny such as the one they left behind. We hear from them from time to time in social media, but not nearly as often as we'd like. Those are the legitimately peace-loving immigrants I want to see establish themselves and prosper in our democratic multicultural system.

But let's not stupidly keep our heads in the sand. There are those too who adhere to the principal of world domination as dictated by their understanding of their holy book and the teachings of their radical Imams. They are not hard to find as they openly profess their beliefs. Why, just recently in Montreal, didn't an Imam insist on the construction company next door to their mosque remove the two female workers from the site as it offended the Muslims inside? As our demographic changes to include more and more people of the Islamic faith, I think it would be more prudent for our government to ensure that new immigrants share our values rather than want to practice such things repressiveness as the suppression of women. Haven't we come too far to backtrack into the dark ages? By the way, the construction company apparently complied with the Imam's demands as they relocated the two female workers to another site. I say shame on them for complying.

There are many examples of how this ideology is taking root in our country and many Canadians are bending over backward to shamefully accommodate it. Much of what extremists say though, is often not published in mainstream media because for some reason that escapes me, the powers that be do not want the rest of us to know what how prevalent it is. That kind of convoluted logic doesn't serve any good purpose that I can think of. In a society that prides itself on multiculturalism, everybody should have a voice and those who seek to destroy our freedoms and way of life should be heard loudly and clearly so that we (Muslims and non-Muslims alike) can all make informed decisions as to who we will vote for; the leader who supports such things as Sharia Law or the one who supports Canadian rights and freedom of speech. We are not babies. We should have the right to choose.

I once dated a Muslim man whose country of origin is Iran. I asked him what made him decide to come to Canada. He told me that in the 70s, it was great living in Iran. People had freedom and dressed in Western clothes and listened to Western music. He said that women wore bikinis on the beach and the young people wore tie died t-shirts (even showed me a picture of himself in tight jeans and a colorful hippy type shirt, ... very cute). He said that he decided to leave after things had "changed" and people "lost many freedoms" at the end of that era. Today, I understand it to mean the establishment of Sharia Law where severe punishment - even death - follows any criticism of the regime. Now if a man like that made the decision to immigrate to Canada because of lost freedoms in his homeland, what makes anyone think that he would welcome the establishment of Sharia Law in his adopted country? I'm quite sure many Muslims would feel the same way.

Our Prime Minister seems to have taken a shine to anything and everything Islamic. But is he being a bit too biased in favor of Islam and forgoing any sound measure of critical thinking while at the same time completely disapproving of others? M103 would suggest so. After all, why are some people allowed to criticize while others are being shamed into silence with such labeling as "Islamaphobes" and "racists"? It makes no sense.

In a society where freedom of speech still exists, the questioning of the decisions on the part of our law makers should be welcomed by everyone regardless of whether we agree or not with the question posed.

In other words, I may not agree with what you say, but I will defend your right to say it. And in a democracy, that freedom should never be squashed by the likes of M103 and those who seek to destroy freedom of speech.