1		
. 2	•	
3		
4		ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION
: . 5		FOR CONSUMER TRANSACTIONS
6		IN THE
.;j. 7		BORDERLESS ONLINE MARKETPLACE
8		
9		
10		
11		MODERATORS:
12		
#13		HUGH STEVENSON, FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
! 14 9	•	BARBARA WELLBERY, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
15		
16		
17		
14 1 8	ŗ,	TUESDAY, JUNE 6, 2000
19		VOLUME 1
20		
21		Reported By:
22		
23	Trans.	Susanne Bergling, RMR
24		•
25		

1	CONTENTS
2	Welcome Remarks
3	Andy Pincus
4	Robert Pitofsky 12
5	
6	Presentations
7	Carol Izumi
8	Henry Perritt
9	Charles Underhill48
10	Steve Abernethy
11	James Burchetta85
12	Clyde Long106
13	
14	Panel Discussion One141
15	
16	Presentation
17	John Bell173
18	
19	Panel Discussion Two183
20	
21	Panel Discussion Three235
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	requires more decisive action from law enforcement.
2	So, with that thanks, I would like to turn i
3	over to Charlie to talk more generally about the
4	programs that the Better Business Bureau has.
5	MR. UNDERHILL: One should be taller than the
6	bottle of water that sits in front of one's chair.
7	If you will pretend that I told you the
8	obligatory humorous story and that you laughed
9 .	appreciably, we'll save about three minutes and I can
10	get on with this.
11	I want to start by kind of piggybacking on
12	something that Hank said. The bureaus in our comments
13	have made a point about the importance of kind of a
14	three-legged stool in this whole global consumer
15	protection arena, and as Hank said, one of them is a
16	set of standards by which companies and consumers and
17	dispute resolvers can measure the facts and
18	circumstances in an individual case.
19	One of them obviously is an effective
20	third-party dispute resolution mechanism, and one of
21	them is some way for consumers to identify which
22	companies have committed to these high standards of
23	dispute resolution and high standards of business
24	performance. So, we kind of see this as a three-legged
25	stool and that it's very important that all three legs

- from today and given us some good meat to chew on and
- 2 think about.
- Now Hugh will introduce our next speaker.
- 4 MR. STEVENSON: The professor has exhorted us
- 5 in government to goad and prod and flexible push, and
- 6 we'll be doing that hopefully in our later panel
- 7 discussions. We have a few more presentations, though,
- 8 to put this in context, and our next speaker that I
- 9 have the pleasure to introduce is Charlie Underhill,
- 10 who is the senior vice president for dispute resolution
- at the Better Business Bureau, and this means he's in
- 12 charge of a very large program.
- 13 The Better Business Bureaus in the United
- 14 States and in Canada have a wealth of experience in
- dealing with consumer disputes. The professor
- identified a couple of things that I think make
- 17 consumer disputes different, obviously we're dealing
- with a lot of low-dollar transactions, small-dollar
- 19 transactions, and where the views of the dynamics of
- 20 party autonomy may work differently than in other
- 21 contexts, and the Better Business Bureaus have had some
- 22 experience in this area. Indeed, they have handled
- consumer complaints and disputes, hundreds of thousands
- of them, in the consumer context millions of them, and
- initiated a number of new programs which people have

1	mentioned as we're talking today about dealing with
2	consumer complaints.
3	And I would like to take a moment also to
4	acknowledge one contribution that they have made
5	recently that mention of the consumer complaint
6	handling makes this relevant that we last month
7	announced a strategic alliance with the Better Business
8	Bureaus and the Postal Inspection Service, the state
9	attorneys general, along with a number of partners we
10	already had, you know, Susan Grant's organization, I
11	see her in the front here, and 90 Better Business
12	Bureaus representing over 110 different Better Business
13	Bureaus offices and cities had agreed to contribute
14	consumer complaint data to what's called Consumer
15	Sentinel, which is a joint database and law enforcement
16	tool that is available online to hundreds of law
17	enforcers and, in fact, 240 law enforcement agencies
18	and offices in the United States and Canada that can
19	use this information to take action against internet
20	fraud and deception, and this is an initiative we
21	appreciate very much.
22	One of the things that's interesting about
23	consumer complaints is that the line is sometimes

between legitimate parties and what is something that

clear, sometimes not between what is just a problem

24

25

- 1 be there, because they support one another.
- 2 That said, the conference organizers have asked
- 3 me to discuss the BBB Online's offline experience with
- 4 ADR. I was asked to provide some real world examples
- of how at least one consumer dispute resolution
- 6 mechanism is currently operating and the nature of the
- 7 caseload that we're handling. And finally, I hope to
- 8 be able to set a stage for our discussions today and
- 9 tomorrow on consumer complaint handling in a borderless
- 10 online marketplace.
- 11 Let's start by making some general observations
- 12 about consumer complaints in the offline environment,
- and I'm going to reference here some studies that many
- of you are probably familiar with, sort of seminal
- studies that were done by a TARP, that's the Technical
- 16 Assistance Research Program, back in 1979 and again in
- 17 1986 for the U.S. Department of -- or the U.S. Office
- 18 of Consumer Affairs.
- 19 The TARP study had some interesting
- observations. I'm going to quote them here from an
- 21 article by John Goodman, who's the president of TARP,
- that was published in Competitive Advantage in June of
- 23 last year.
- 24 "On the average, 50 percent of consumers will
- 25 complain about a problem to a front-line person,"

- 1 however you define a front-line person, "but only 1 to
- 5 percent of consumers would escalate a complaint to a
- 3 local manager or corporate office, " although for higher
- 4 ticket items, the percentage of people who do that is a
- 5 little bit higher. "Problems which result in out-of-
- 6 pocket monetary loss have high complaint rates, " which
- 7 is understandable, "while mistreatment, quality and
- 8 incompetence problems invoke only about a 5 to 30
- 9 percent complaint rate."
- On the average, TARP found twice as many people
- 11 talk about a bad experience in a commercial transaction
- than they do about a good experience, and sort of
- 13 following that, TARP noted that it was five times as
- 14 expensive for a company to win a new customer as to
- 15 keep a current customer.
- And finally, TARP found -- and this is
- interesting to this discussion -- that customers who
- 18 complained and are subsequently satisfied are 8 percent
- more loyal than if they'd never had a problem with the
- 20 company to begin with.
- When North Americans do have complaints and are
- looking for third-party assistance to help get those
- 23 problems resolved, they most frequently turn to the
- 24 Better Business Bureau. Let me give you some idea of
- 25 the BBB system's current complaint-handling activity.

1	BBB Autoline is the bureau dispute resolution
2	mechanism operating under federal warranty legislation
3	FTC rules, state lemon laws and manufacturer
4	guidelines. During 1999, BBB Autoline handled 33,000
5	cases. Of those initial contacts, 11,400, that's 34
6	percent, of these cases were ineligible for some
7	reason. They were ineligible or a significant portion
8	of these people withdrew their claim after they'd
9	presented it but before they did all the filings
10	because the complaint somehow got settled, and that's
11	confirmed in independent survey research that's done
12	annually for the program for the Federal Trade
13	Commission.
14	Of the remaining 21,600 cases, 5700, that's 26
15	percent, were resolved through an arbitrator's formal
16	decision, and roughly 74 percent, that's 15,900, were
17	settled through a process of mediation. Over the
18	lifetime of the BBB Autoline program, the BBB system
19	has handled an astonishing 1.6 million individual
20	consumer warranty disputes, and as I've indicated, the
21	majority of these cases were resolved to a customer's
22	satisfaction through a process of mediation, but
23	233,600 were resolved through formal hearings before
24	BBB trained volunteer arbitrators serving in local
25	communities in the United States. That's the BBB

- 1 Autoline program.
- 2 Local BBB offices are the principal point of
- 3 contact for most consumer complaints. During 1999, the
- 4 BBB system handled slightly in excess of 3 million
- 5 consumer requests for complaint advice or assistance,
- 6 representing a 10 percent increase over the same
- 7 activity in 1998. These complaint contacts resulted in
- 8 420,000 formal complaint cases opened by local BBBs.
- 9 That's also a 10 percent increase. Of those,
- 10 approximately 66 percent were resolved, 8 percent were
- answered but not resolved, 18 percent were not answered
- by companies after repeated requests from the BBB, and
- 8 percent were not pursuable by the BBB because they're
- 14 not the kinds of cases that BBBs would handle.
- To give you a better feel for a typical offline
- 16 case, we'll follow the BBB Autoline case from its
- inception all the way through the BBB process. I have
- chosen this because I think that within this process
- there are a number of things that can be applied in the
- 20 cross-border online discussions we will be having today
- 21 and tomorrow.
- First, a little background on the program
- 23 itself. In 1975 in the U.S., consumer dissatisfaction
- 24 with product warranties, and most specifically with
- automobile warranties, had reached a boiling point.

er several key studies of the problem, Congress
ssed the Magnuson-Moss Product Warranty Act. The Act
eated standards for full and limited warranties
ording to coverages that each of these afforded and
uired companies to describe each warranty as either
1 or limited.
It provided that any warranties had to be
ilable in a variety of different ways for consumer
pection prior to sale. And finally, and most
ortant for our discussions here, the Act recognizes
t a good deal of the consumer dissatisfaction over
ranties was really consumer dissatisfaction over
erpretations of warranty terms and the inability of
court system to provide consumers with fast,
xpensive third-party assistance. So, Congress
luded in the Act a provision designed to encourage
canters to resolve warranty disputes through
ormal dispute resolution.
The Act specified that if a company established
spute resolution mechanism and if it met the
irements of the FTC, then that mechanism could be
rporated into a product warranty, and if it was

not bring a case into court until they had first used

-- into court under the warranty act until they had

24

25

1 first used the informal mechanism.

2 Coupled with the passage of state lemon laws,

3 most of which made reference to the FTC's informal

4 dispute resolution procedures, I don't believe there's

5 any single piece of consumer legislation that has had

6 such a profound effect on consumer-business landscape

7 and warranties as had the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act.

8 We call a provision of Magnuson-Moss prior resort,

9 meaning that as a consumer you have to resort to the

10 mechanism before you can use the provisions of law.

11 You'll hear it discussed today also as exhaustion of

12 remedies, you exhaust other available options before

13 resorting to the courts.

14 BBB Autoline has over 34 manufacturers that

participate in some or all states, manufacturer brands,

and that includes General Motors and Saturn and most of

17 the major imports. Ford, Chrysler and Toyota offer

18 their own separate programs that are not connected with

19 the BBB.

20 Manufacturers are precommitted to resolve

21 disputes through the BBB, and if a case has to be

arbitrated, the arbitrator's decision is not binding on

the consumer unless the consumer accepts the decision,

24 and if they do, then both the manufacturer and the

25 consumer are bound by its terms.

1	So, let's go to a typical case. You're the
2	consumer and you probably fit this model. You've
3	experienced a problem with your car, it's manifested
4	itself in one of two ways, either as a single problem
5	that keeps recurring or as a number of separate,
6	apparently unconnected problems that keep you coming
7	back to the dealer over and over again. Your level of
8	frustration builds in direct proportion to the number
9	of times you've taken your car back. Your car is
10	relatively new, it's still under warranty, and every
11	month your frustration increases because you're making
12	lease or loan payments on that vehicle.
13	You've probably discussed your problem with
14	either the service manager or manufacturer's
15	representatives, and they've offered to assist you by
16	having another repair attempt. Finally, you reach the
17	breaking point, and as the studies show, the breaking
18	points are different for different consumers, and you
19	get hold of the Better Business Bureau.
20	How you find the BBB holds some lessons for us.
21	As a result of the warranty legislation, manufacturers
22	have incorporated information on informal dispute
23	resolution into the warranty material that accompanies
24	the vehicle, so you may have found the BBB Autoline
25	number there. And this goes to a point that Hank has

1	made about how important it is to be able to link the
2	customer with the dispute mechanism at the point of th
3	dispute.
4	The legislation also requires manufacturers to
5	make information on their mechanisms available when a
6	dispute arises. Repeated independent audits of our
7	mechanism and of the Ford, Chrysler and Toyota
8	mechanisms have noted that have encouraged the
9	manufacturers to put this information at the service
10	desks in dealerships, and I have to say that this is
11	almost never done, and that's the real point of contact
12	where the consumer is most likely to have a dispute.
13	So, most consumers find their way to Autoline
14	either through the warranty material or because they
15	call the Better Business Bureau because they think
16	that's the place they need to go with a complaint, and
17	the bureau will refer them to one of these programs.
18	We cover most U.S. consumers, so depending on
19	the individual manufacturer, we may handle a case
20	originating anywhere from the U.S. Virgin Islands and

U.S.-Mexican border all the way up to Alaska. There a separate program, by the way, called the Canadian Motor Vehicle Arbitration Program that does kind of similar functions through the BBB and other dispute

For The Record, Inc. Waldorf, Maryland (301) 870-8025

Puerto Rico all the way over to Hawaii and from the

21

22

23

24

25

1 reso	lution	providers	in	Canada.
--------	--------	-----------	----	---------

- 2 We'll mail you a whole package of materials 3 when you contact us, including the Autoline rules, a copy of the standards and remedies for your individual 4 5 state's lemon laws, copy of program summaries, a whole bunch of material to assist you in filing your claim 6 and will take your claim over the phone. When we mail 7 you this package, we also notify the manufacturer that 9 a case has been filed and the name and the basic information on the complaint. Many manufacturers are 10 11 excellent at rapidly responding to these case 12 summaries, and it's not unusual for a manufacturer to 13 contact the customer and perhaps even offer a 14 settlement before the paperwork has actually arrived 15 from the BBB through the snail mail. 16 We use a very sophisticated document imaging 17 system to create electronic copies of all claim forms, 18 program documents and other supporting materials. 19 Within the first ten days of your complaint filing, 20 your BBB case specialist, whose name you got and 21 telephone number when you initially called us, is going
- Because, as I had indicated earlier, FTC rules

the nature of the dispute.

22

23

24

For The Record, Inc. Waldorf, Maryland (301) 870-8025

to contact you and the manufacturer to try to work out

some kind of an informal settlement or to better define

- 1 require that a case be handled from beginning to end in
- 2 40 calendar days, not business days, we need to
- 3 schedule your case for an arbitration hearing starting
- 4 about day 17. We offer you the opportunity as a
- 5 consumer to present your case in a number of ways. Our
- 6 process assumes you want to present your case in person
- 7 to an arbitrator. A manufacturer may elect to
- 8 participate by telephone or in writing, but you can
- 9 participate if you want to in person.
- 10 If you elect to present your case by telephone,
- 11 the manufacturer can't appear in person. They have to
- 12 appear either by telephone or in writing. And if you
- 13 choose just to submit your dispute in writing, the
- 14 manufacturer can only respond by submitting in writing.
- You've elected to have your case heard by an
- in-person hearing, so we'll schedule a hearing for you
- 17 at a local BBB office nearest your home, and there
- 18 150-some offices and branches in the U.S., so we're
- 19 pretty close to most consumers.
- In some cases, we'll arrange to have your
- vehicle inspected prior to the hearing, and that will
- 22 be done at no cost to you, and the results of the
- 23 inspection by an independent technical expert will be
- 24 provided to you and the company and the arbitrator
- 25 prior to the hearing. The arbitrator can also request

1	an inspection after the hearing if he or she believes
2	that there's some problem that would help be clarified
3	by a technical inspection.
4	When the appointed hour arrives, your hearing
5	is going to take place at the local office. The
6	manufacturer may participate by telephone. Most
7	hearings take 60 to 90 minutes. The arbitrator, a
8	volunteer trained by the BBB, will have been provided
9	as much information as we have been able to gather from
10	the parties prior to the hearing; copies of sale and
11	lease agreements, record of the customer's ownership of
12	the vehicle, repair orders, et cetera, and you can
13	present any evidence that you want, and so can the
14	manufacturer.
15	If you are requesting a repurchase of your

15 If you are requesting a repurchase of your
16 vehicle, the arbitrator will in most cases inspect the
17 condition of the vehicle at the time of the hearing,
18 and that inspection may include a test drive of the
19 vehicle if there's some particular problem that you're
20 alleging is recurring and the arbitrator wants to get
21 firsthand view of that.

22 When the arbitrator has determined that they
23 have gotten all the evidence, they will close the
24 hearing, and they have a very short time frame in which
25 to issue a written decision and the reasoning for their

When that comes to us, we send it to the 1 decision. 2 parties, and you have ten days from the date you get our mailing to accept or reject the decision. 3 assume that you've won your case and you've decided to 4 5 accept the decision, there's been an order that the vehicle be repurchased. Well, in that case, the 6 manufacturer will normally have 30 days from the date 7 of the decision to actually do all the work necessary 8 9 to repurchase the vehicle. That's normally done at the dealership, and that's probably going to conclude your 10 11 case. 12 One of my major functions here is to provide you with a seque from offline stuff to online stuff in 13 14 the ADR world. Let me begin by noting that the internet has already profoundly changed the way we do 15 16 business, for the BBB system offline and online emerging at a pretty breakneck pace, and while Hank is 17 correct that it's -- we've noted this and we're taking 18 steps to correct it -- it's difficult to find the BBB 19 20 complaint form on the BBB Online site, on the BBB.org 21 site, which is the principal site that consumers come to, the complaint form is prominent on the splash page. 22 It's basically file a BBB complaint other than a new 23

For The Record, Inc. Waldorf, Maryland (301) 870-8025

a charity, and those are right on the splash page.

car, file a BBB complaint on a car, file a complaint on

24

25

1 People are finding that.

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 As I mentioned earlier, the BBB system handled

3 420,000 complaints last year. During the first five

4 months of 2000, we took 67,000 complaints from

5 consumers using the online complaint form. If that

6 five-month number is extrapolated over 12 months, we

7 will have taken 160,000 online case filings for the

8 year 2000, and that's assuming that it stays at an

9 average of about 13,000 per month. It's not. It's

increasing almost exponentially every month as more and

11 more consumers come online and find the form. So, 40

12 percent of the bureau system's 1999 caseload, by the

year 2001, will probably be coming online.

A growing number of the Autoline cases are

filed online as an increasing number of consumers gain

access to the internet. More and more consumers are

communicating with our BBB Autoline staff by e-mail

rather than by telephone, and that's eliminating one of

our real problems, which is playing phone tag trying to

Most consumers have access to fax machines today, and when a consumer faxes documents to us, they're really not faxing documents. They're engaged in one part of a document imaging system, because their stuff is coming right into our computers and into our

catch busy, working consumers during the business day.

1	document	imaging	system.
---	----------	---------	---------

25

2 I expect that very shortly the consumers and 3 manufacturers will be able to have direct access to all 4 of the material that they filed on an individual case, 5 as will the arbitrators. Through our website, 6 consumers can obtain copies of their own state's lemon 7 laws, standards and remedies, and review participating 8 manufacturers' program summaries and can obtain all kinds of information about the BBB program. 10 finally, the BBB Online dispute resolution process for 11 the privacy program, which is now online, was built 12 from its inception to be an entirely online process. 13 Let me come back to the TARP statistics I 14 mentioned at the beginning and ask, will they still 15 have validity in this new online marketplace? It's my 16 educated guess, given the one-click ease with which 17 consumers can bring a dissatisfaction to someone's 18 attention, that more consumers will do so. 19 I'm also convinced that many more consumers 20 will find ways to escalate unresolved disputes, either 21 to companies or third parties. And finally, I'm 22 absolutely convinced the TARP statistics regarding the 23 impact of a negative customer experience are probably 24 already outdated as consumers post their

> For The Record, Inc. Waldorf, Maryland (301) 870-8025

dissatisfactions in chat rooms for the world to see and

- 1 create the best awful problem with manufacturer A
- website, which will come up every time you do a search.
- TARP action, which is now known as e-satisfy
- 4 and which sort of reflects the change in their
- 5 environment, they moved from offline to an online
- 6 world, just completed a benchmark study in 1999 in Dune
- 7 for the International Consumer Service Association, and
- 8 they have some observations about how things are
- 9 different in the online environment.
- 10 Online customers have a higher expectation than
- 11 offline customers for the time it takes a company to
- respond to them to and resolve their complaints.
- 13 Companies are not only meeting online -- companies are
- 14 not currently meeting online customer expectations.
- Only 36 percent of online customers are completely
- 16 satisfied with their electronic contact experience.
- 17 Only 40 percent of online contacts are resolved with
- one contact, and almost half require a telephone call
- 19 from the consumer to resolve the problem.
- 20 Poor handling of online contacts creates at
- 21 least 30 percent lower loyalty among the two-thirds of
- online contacts who aren't satisfied. And finally,
- poor handling of online contacts results in a high
- level of negative word of mouth.
- This survey, this e-satisfy survey is available

- 1 through the International Consumer Service Association,
- 2 and you can order it online.
- 3 This is not particularly good news for
- 4 companies operating in the exploding e-commerce
- 5 marketplace; however, it does speak to the real
- 6 importance of our collective work. It seems that what
- 7 we're going to do is going to be needed.
- 8 There are many challenges we face in building a
- 9 global mechanism. Some of them are so obvious --
- 10 language barriers, cultural differences, levels of
- 11 technological sophistication -- that they are hardly
- 12 worth mentioning. We will discuss them at great
- length, but they are just a fact of life. Others are
- 14 less obvious but may prove more difficult.
- The first and foremost one, I believe, and
- 16 borrowing from the "Field of Dreams" analogy, "If you
- 17 build it, they can come, and in droves, " and that in my
- 18 judgment may not be the first challenge of global ADR
- mechanisms, but it will ultimately be the most
- 20 significant. There have been a number of experiments
- 21 in online dispute resolution. Hank referred to some of
- them; you will hear three in the next presentation.
- 23 All of these have a solid intellectually designed
- 24 framework.
- Overall, online mechanisms, however, are only

1 beginning to be tested for the consumer arena in any 2 significant volume of casework, and I can tell you from 3 firsthand offline experience that when you combine an 4 organization with a 99 percent public name recognition, like the BBB, with a group of major consumer product 5 6 manufacturers in a dispute resolution mechanism 7 overseen by a major federal regulatory agency like the 8 FTC, you can indeed get a quarter of a million case filings in 12 months, as we did during the mid-1980s 9 10 just in the Autoline program, well before anybody had 11 heard of the Worldwide Web. 12 While technology can assist in making caseloads 13 manageable, it also has a real and positive potential to make ADR more accessible, and at some point, however 14 15 effective the mechanisms are, dispute resolution is 16 going to involve the active intervention of a third 17 party by real people at some point, and the costs of 18 doing that have the potential of being significant. 19 Second, thoughtful regulatory involvement can 20 provide a fertile medium for ADR growth without huge budgetary commitments. As I've said, the best possible 21 22 example of this judgment from a cost-benefits 23 standpoint had to be the ADR provisions of Mag-Moss.

> For The Record, Inc. Waldorf, Maryland (301) 870-8025

We need to look for similar exhaustion of remedies

24

25

approaches online.

1	Third, responsible businesses will do the right
2	thing with the right incentives, and that's another
3	point Hank's made. A number of businesses will do the
4	right thing because it's good for business and it's
5	good for its customers, and they will do it without any
6	need for regulation. A good example of that is the
7	companies that have participated in a variety of these
8	different programs, including the BBB Online program,
9 -	and the Children's Advertising Review Program in our
10	National Advertising Division.
11	Others will do the right thing when the rules
12	that require it are clear and focus on desired outcomes
13	and not trying to define line by line what the process
14	ought to be, allowing latitude for business, for form
15	to fit the forces, as Professor Frank Sander would say,
16	forces particularly in the cross-border consumer
17	process, which relies on contractual provisions, and
18	legal enforcement mechanisms to compel participation in
19	a legally binding mechanism by itself is likely
20	impractical and unworkable. It has certainly caused
21	considerable consumer controversy in the U.S. You will
22	probably hear more about this issue over the next
23	couple of days.
24	Ultimately, given the relatively small value of
25	disputes which are likely to make up the bulk of the

- 1 consumer caseload, I don't believe either businesses or
- 2 consumers will want to expend the financial resources
- 3 which might be necessary to compel one reluctant party
- 4 to arbitrate or to bring a country's enforcement
- 5 mechanisms to bear to collect on an unsatisfied
- 6 judgment.
- 7 In closing, let me say that the BBB system in
- 8 the U.S. and Canada can provide a model of how consumer
- 9 dispute resolution programs operate offline and could
- 10 also be an excellent laboratory in which new concepts
- and technologies can be tested with a significant body
- of real consumer disputes. We're actively exploring
- partnerships with other groups around the globe, and
- we're anxious to lend our over 85 years of experience
- and self-regulation are the goals of this meeting.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 (Applause.)
- 18 MS. WELLBERY: Thank you, Charlie, for the
- 19 excellent presentation.
- We are now going to take a 15-minute break.
- 21 I'd like -- if I could ask you to come back at 10 to
- 22 11:00. We have a very busy schedule, so -- I know
- everybody needs to get up and move. I also want to
- 24 point out that Pronet link will be webcasting the two
- 25 days of the workshop. The exact time of the webcasting