Hi World,

I went through the Pacific Standard Magazine article by Eva Holland with a red marker and highlighted everything that is wrong or spin or that begs a further explanation. There is a lot of red. I could write a short book just on this one article and what's behind it and others like it. If I typed in the sentence I was responding to, then responded to it, there would not be enough room in this email. So I will do my best to answer. If you have questions, or if any of what I say gives you pause, please ask me and we can focus on that area and dig up some sources etc. It's very important that we all fully understand these "journalists" and how they operate so we don't get fooled by them.

DENNY'S ~ Much was made of this sham trial I put on at Denny's Restaurant. But you have to understand what that was intended to accomplish. The whole point was to get people together and go through the formal motions of a jury and a proceeding (at Denney's, LOL) so that they would see that it was a sham, and then realize that what the good old boys club (ie BAR attorneys) do just a few blocks over is not fundamentally different. It's also a sham. It's a shake down for money and power.

All the pomp and all the Latin incantations and the "stand up," "sit down," "here comes the bigshot" ceremonial theatrics are all just part of a show to mask the fact that we are all just people and neighbors. The modern legal process is designed to create a subconscious feeling in all participants that there is a categorical difference in people, and that somehow our ordinary, moral, common sense should not apply to the extra-ordinary-priests-of-state -- called attorneys -- because they are operating at some higher level that us normal folks shouldn't try to understand. And by the way, judges are just attorneys taking a turn in the big boy chair. These attorneys want us to figure we better just watch their show and go along with it, even if our gut tells us something aint right.

But this kind of thinking (or really I should say non-thinking) is very dangerous. It has been a key ingredient in nearly every genocide and other social monstrosity in human history. I saw all the ingredients for some bad national events present in America and it gave me great concern for my country. So my goal was to teach people's hearts to see through the show biz that is used by evil people to buffalo good people into accepting horrible horrible things as long as the horrible things are done in an official looking way.

The best way to teach about this stuff isn't to directly explain it. It's better to just do hands-on teaching and show people how to put on a sham show trial. Because then, having put on a sham show trial of your own, you will easily spot the similarities of a sham show trial put on by someone else, even

attorneys. That was the point of Denny's. It was to demystify the system's sham, by making our own sham.

In a free country you can only get hauled into court for a crime of INJURY against you NEIGHBOR. In a NOT-free country you can get hauled into court for a crime of DISOBEDIENCE to your GOVERNMENT. Think about that one for a minute!

I'm all for going into court on small things and asking the system's actors good hard questions they can't/won't answer. It's a way to expose the injustice of the whole circus and create pressure for them to improve they behavior so that they don't lose their social sanction. It's a form of court room activism. It's not for the faint of heart either! Because you WILL get railroaded, they WILL NOT answer your good questions, and they WILL lose their cool and turn into crazed bullies. But in so doing, they will show their true colors to all watching. And the good of that is worth spending a week in jail over a victimless misdemeanor.

But when facing major charges that carry a lot of time, you better not play these kinds of games. Go conventional at that point and do the best you can wile working within the system you know is a sham. But on small things, people should feel free to clown the system.

For instance, Art 3 Sec 2 of the constitution says that the Supreme Court has original jurisdiction in all cases to which a State is a party. Original Jurisdiction means that that court is the court where the case must start out. So the question to the judge is simply "are the parties in this case Schaeffer Cox and the State of Alaska?" The judge will say "Yes. The State of Alaska is the plaintiff. And Mr. Cox is the defendant." Then you say "Okay, well since a State is a party, here is a one page notice of removal of this case to the Supreme Court of The United States. And it's not a motion, because you don't have jurisdiction to even hear this motion, only the supreme court does. So this is just a notice."

Then the judge will blow a gasket and start yelling. It's amazing to watch. But you just come back with a calm reply that "The constitution says the SCOTUS has original jurisdiction if a State is a party, right? If this case is going to go forward in your court not the SCOTUS, the state of Alaska can't be the plaintiff, because that makes them a party. So we would need to get someone else to be the plaintiff. And the only person who would have legal standing to be a plaintiff is someone I've caused an injury to. I don't know of anyone I've caused an injury to. And even if there was someone, they aren't going to have to drag me into court to get me to make it right. I'll be going out of my way to rectify any wrongs I've done to anyone. And I won't have to be told to do it. I'll do it because I would feel like a jerk if I didn't. But I don't think that's what's going on here. I think all you attorney con-men took over the whole Judicial Branch of government and re-organized it so it renders the maximum benefit to attorneys, at the expense of We The People, and to the determent of simple justice. And you could not have gone large scale with your racket if you had to have an actual injured victim press charges in each case. That's why

you have some how weaseled your way around Art 3 Sec 2 and have the State standing in as an imaginary victim. But I'm on to you! I see what you and your friends are doing to America one sucker at a time. You are just hauling us in here to shake us down for money! You just want to control your neighbors. You can't live and let live. If you can't produce a victim I actually hurt, maybe I AM THE VICTIM. And you guys are the perpetrators. How about that? You attorneys are no better than the windshield repair guy who is going around town with a BB gun and a stack of business cards! I've got your number BRO! What you gona do?" Then they throw you in jail overnight, it's all over the news, they looked like shifty con-men, and thousands of people say to themselves "hum. Maybe that kid in the Tweed hat is onto something. What he said made sense. The system probably would be a lot better if you could only be prosecuted when there was a flesh and blood injured party who wanted to press charges. The poor old attorney's would be out of business. But the rest of us would have much better lives."

Justice that is too complicated for the average working man to understand, is injustice! That's a fact Jack!

The way the system has responded to this type of social awakening is to create the label "Sovereign Citizen" and apply it to anyone who has a mind to logically question the system. I had never even heard the term "sovereign Citizen" until the Feds announced that I called myself one. Ha ha ha. So you know that that came from them, not me.

This is the tactic of "label and discard." First the system will launch a nation wide advertising campaign through their MSM outlets that pushes a trite message such as: "Sovereign Citizens think laws don't apply to them." This of course sounds so silly to anyone who hears it that they draw a quick conclusion and look no further. And that is the main objective of the advertising campaign -- to get people to think they already looked into it and know all there is to know, so there is nothing left to think about or look into.

This campaign is then launched with nobody in the whole country ever having even herd of or used the term "Sovereign Citizen." That "brand name" was dreamt up from scratch as a brand new idea by the system, just for this purpose. And they had to use a fresh brand name that had never been heard before so that nobody else would have a jump on them as far as messaging and associations go.

Once the branding is complete, and the target audience has been fully saturated with the "Sovereign Citizens think laws don't apply to them" message, then the system is ready for the "label and discard" process.

What they cleverly did was run a campaign against an idea, as just an abstract idea only. But since it was an idea they made up for themselves, it had no people to defend it, or to believe in it, or argue that it's something else. It was a sock puppet of the system's own creation that wouldn't talk back while they stood up there and condemned it in the public eye.

Now that the public "knows all about Sovereign Citizens," all they have to do is round up a few people they don't like, "Label" them Sovereign Citizens, then "discard" them any way they want.

See, they have the trial first -- then they go out and find some defendants to punish. Pretty smart.

It works like a charm! Anyone who questions you even the slightest bit is just two words away from being disappeared by the FBI's Counter Sovereign Citizen SWAT team. And it was all done by smart marketing executives who have put their talent to work for the Globalists and the Deep State. We have to give it to them; they are brilliant and have a lot of skill. But that's the sad part too. Because all that talent is ugly when it's in people who have an evil heart.

It's the heart that determines the course of a man's life. Much more so than his talent. And so even with all that talent, these social engineers that have betrayed humanity are locked in to their eventual ruin. It's just how God wrote His Justice into the universe.

And in like manner, those of us with a pure heart are locked in to a life of blessing without end. Do you realize that eternity started eternity ago? We are in eternity right now. Our consciousness will remain unbroken from now until forever in all directions. The only thing that time is running out on is The Curse this world is temporarily under. How's that for a happy thought?

Let's end on that happy note. : ) In my next email I will explain "FBI Notional Groups." These are groups of professional informants and provocateurs who are directed by their FBI handlers to form completely fake organizations that espouse absurd sounding ideas designed to reinforce the branding that was done in the lead up to the "label and discard" operations. These Notional Groups are all over the place. Their goal is to get real patriot to run in circles, look silly, and never accomplish anything good. The system is very quick to snuff out any real organic political or social movements and have the Notionals --as they are called for short-- come in to replace them with fake synthetic political and social movements that the system controls.

Case and point, the system got rid of me --the organic real deal-- and replaced me with Aaron Bennett -- the synthetic informant pretender. That's how they kept Fairbanks in their pocket. They kill the leaders, promote the pretenders, and manipulate the followers. But once we see it, we are immune.

Love,

--Schaeffer Cox