In Support of Schaeffer Cox #19 August 24, 2017.

Schaeffer established the Peacemakers Militia in Fairbanks Alaska. It was at the same time I help create the Alaska Citizens Militia throughout Alaska.

We both understood the threat to our respective communities on the ever expanding government overreach on our Rights codified in the first Ten Amendments to our Constitution. That along with the economic meltdown that many believed would end our government's hegemonic control of the world economy.

Schaeffer was homeschooled. He understood the history of our Bill of Rights at an early age. I was in my 40's before I had full understanding.

The general (public educated) population does not have an understanding of our Bill of Rights.

My clarity came as I read a book titled "The Life and Character of Patrick Henry." Attached are pages 197 and 198 from my 1841 edition of the original 1815 publication. Words spoken by Patrick Henry after the Revolutionary War and during the debates concerning creating a new (current) Constitutional form of republican government.

Also attached are Patrick Henry's spoken words-

"My greatest objection to this government [Constitutional Republic] is, that is does not leave us a means of defending our rights, or of waging war against tyrants."

Many of the founders feared a strong central government. They understood that every government in history devolves into complete despotism. They codified the individual and collective right of gun ownership. The media today print story after story how any individual who fears our government must be delusional. No need for the Second Amendment. Perhaps there's no need for any of our God given Rights codified in our Bill of Rights.

Were our Founders Delusional? Or in the words of Defense attorney Filipovic (in regards to Cox) individuals with "paranoid fantasy." Why would anyone fear such a benevolent Constitutional Government? Yet our founders had such a fear based on history.

Filipovic along with DOJ prosecutor Skrocki do not understand the intent of our Second Amendment or Schaeffer Cox's behavior.

The Second Amendment has nothing to do with hunting or protecting your home from a thief. It was codified to protect us from those who would or have ravaged our individual rights.

The Heller case (District of Columbia v. Heller, 554 U.S. 570) case gave great exhilaration to gun right advocates. Believing the individual ownership was the focus of the Second Amendment.

It was not the only issue. The second part was the collective right of creating a militia. Community members armed to protect the safety of individuals and community against tyranny. Armed with the "imposition of proper discipline and training," according to Jurist Antonin Scalia.

And so our God given Right for self defense was codified in the Second Amendment. A right preexisting in this newly created republican government (Constitution in 1789 and Bill of Rights 1791)

Groups of people have exercised their self defense against tyranny. The Red Neck Wars, The Matewan Massacre, the labor movement, Bonus Army of 1932, The battle of Athens and The Civil Rights movement to mention a few.

With our federal government's behavior once again the militia movement has been resurrected. Exercising our collective right to form and participate in a militia.

Can a militia be under the control of any individual as Skrocki stated in oral arguments?

Not hardly. More on that next time.

Ray Southwell

Nikiski Alaska

In reply to this, Mr. Henry said :- "The honourable gentleman who presides told us, that, to prevent abuses in our government, we will assemble in convention, recall our delegated powers, and punish our servants for abusing the trust reposed in them. Oh, sir, we should have fine times, indeed, if to punish tyrants, it were only necessary to assemble the peo-Your arms wherewith you could defend yourselves, are

gone! and you have no longer an aristocratical, no longer a democratical spirit. Did you ever read of any revolution in any nation, brought about by the punishment of those in power, inflicted by those who had no power at all? You read of a riot act in a country which is called one of the freest in the world, where a few neighbours cannot assemble, without the risk of being shot by a hired soldiery, the engines of despo-

"We may see such an act in America. A standing army we shall have also, to execute the execrable commands of tyranny; and how are you to punish them? Will you order them to be punished? Who shall obey these orders? Will your mace-bearer be a match for a disciplined regiment? In what situation are we to be? The clause before you gives a power of direct taxation, unbounded and unlimited; exclusive power of legislation, in all cases whatsoever, for ten miles square; and over all places purchased for the erection of forts, magazines, arsenals, dock-yards, &c. What resistance could be made? The attempt would be madness. You will find all the strength of this country in the hands of your enemies; those garrisons will naturally be the strongest places in the country. Your militia is given up to congress, also, in another part of this plan; they will therefore act as they think proper; all power will be in their own possession; you cannot force them to receive their punishment." them to receive their punishment."

gens of man, hay, in these refined, enlightened to the dear. The state of man, may, in these refined, enlightened to the dear. The state of man, when every pulse of my heart beat of 18 y, the ytrue American; but suspicion scounterpart in the breast of the suspicion scounterpart in the suspicion scounterpart beautiful scounterpart in the suspicion scounterpart beautiful scounterpart in the suspicion scounterpart beautiful scounterpart scounterpar

things are too true.

am happy to find, that the gentleman of they are groundless: but, sir, suspicion tays within proper bounds: should it fairly switching rectitude is a powerful coare many who think my professions for Let your suspicion look to both sides other side, who possibly may have been ity of these measures, which I conceive ard with jealous ettention. am con:
I trust
good to re many

ard with jealous attention the public light of the who approaches that jewel. Unfortune who approaches that jewel. Unfortune who approaches that jewel. Unfortune with the work of the well of the wel peet hing that

it can be possibly done: something must be done to your liberty and mine. confederation, this same despised government, merits, minon, the highest encomium: it carried us through a dangerous war: it rendered us victorious in that bloody with a powerful nation: it has secured us a territory an any European monarch possesses: and shall a government has been thus strong and vigorous, be accused lity, and abandoned for want of energy? Consider are about to do, before you part with this government, we time in reckoning things; revolutions like this have in almost every country of Europe: similar examples found in ancient Greece and ancient Rome: instances

PATRICK HENRY.

of the people losing their liberty by their own carelessness and the ambition of a few.

"We are cautioned, by the honourable gentleman who presides, against faction and turbulence: I acknowledge that licentiousness is dangerous, and that it ought to be provided against: I acknowledge, also, the new form of government may effectually do—it will oppress and ruin the people. There are sufficient guards placed against faction and licentiousness: for when power is given to this government to suppress these, or for any other purpose, the language it assumes is clear, express, and unequivocal: but when this constitution speaks of privileges, there is an ambiguity, sir, a fatal ambiguity, an ambiguity which is very astonishing!"

The adoption of the instrument had been maintained upon the ground that it would increase our military strength, and enable us to resist the lawless ambition of foreign princes: it had been urged, too, that if the convention should rise without adopting the instrument, disunion and anarchy would be the certain consequences. In answer to these topics he said:

"Happy will you be, if you miss the fate of those nations, who, omitting to resist their oppressors, or negligently suffering their liberty to be wrested from them, have groaned under intolerable despotism! Most of the human race are now in this deplorable condition. And those nations who have gone in search of grandeur, power, and splendour, have also fallen a sacrifice, and been the victims of their own folly. While they acquired those visionary blessings, they lost their freedom.

"My great objection to this government is, that it does not leave us the means of defending our rights, or of waging war against tyrants. It is urged by some gentlemen, that this new plan will bring us an acquisition of strength, an army, and the militia of the states. This is an idea extremely ridiculous: gentlemen cannot be in earnest. This acquisition will trample on your fallen liberty! Let my beloved Americans guard against that fatal lethargy that has