In Support of Schaeffer Cox #20 August 30, 2017

According to DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE attorney Steven E. Skrocki (speaking to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals)

"Mr. Cox controlled the Militia."

Based on what?

Mr. Skrocki (did you know he wants to become a federal judge?) knew he had to bring the prosecution of Cox away from Schaeffer's home in Fairbanks. Folks in Fairbanks and throughout most of Alaska understand the Second Amendment. So lets go to Anchorage Alaska for the trial. Much like moving the trial of Senator Ted Stevens away from Alaska to Washington D.C. Easier to obtain a corrupt conviction. As it was with Senator Ted Stevens so it was with Schaeffer Cox.

Anchorage is just like most big cities. Citizens believing only government can keep you safe and secure. No need for self protection. There is a joke in Alaska that goes like this. "The best thing about Anchorage, it's only an hour from Alaska."

So Skrocki moved the trial from Fairbanks to Anchorage. A place where Mr. Skrocki distorted the truth about Schaeffer's established Peacemakers Militia.

Steven E. Skrocki speaks as if the militia is just a gang of thugs, murders and thieves. The media parrots his false narrative.

Lets look at how gangs operate as compared to a Constitutional Militia. The strongest directs those who are the weaker in a gang. Not true in a militia. Does anybody believe Schaeffer is the strongest individual in his militia? Skrocki thinks so (does he really?) and the media reports the same. What is the evidence?

Schaeffer is small in stature. He has no military experience or training. I am unaware of any who would believe Schaeffer was strong and powerful. His threat to government was his intellectual prowess, not his physical strength or ability to force others to do anything. As he has stated, he sees himself more like a Gandhi rather than a Rambo.

And what is the history of the militia. A gang of cutthroat individuals as portrayed by Skrocki and the media? It was never a military command control structure. While community members train and are self restrained individuals the militia members can never say they were following orders.

For the militia is not "command Control" as is the military, rather "command information."

Individuals in the military follow orders while those in the militia follow their conscious based on information received.

It was the historical truth dating back to the Revolutionary War. George Washington had no control of the militia. Often times those individuals in the militia would refuse to fight for they individually decided if the fight was appropriate or not.

I understood the same in 1994 when we established a Militia in Michigan. Even Michael Moore (Documentary Film Director) joined our militia when he understood that members did not have to follow orders if their conscious would not allow them to do so. Much different compared to our government agents who must follow orders regardless.

And what's the evidence withheld for the jury?

Schaeffer understood the historical truth concerning the militia. We talked about it in our distant past. Recently I remember some recorded information about just that. His understanding that those in authority in the militia do not have command control of anyone. Rather command information. While one individual may want to defend his home none of us can stand alone. The militia command structure is responsible for accurate information members receive. It is up to the individual militia member to decide what to do with the information.

Believing Mr. Cox controlled information is accurate. But he did not control the Militia as Steven E. Skrocki told the jury and the 3 judge panel of appellate judges.

Most people and Schaeffer's public defender do not understand the history of the militia and the Second Amendment. Nor do they understand the level of corruption in our government agencies including the most powerful in the nation.

The DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.

For there is no JUST-ICE in America. It is JUST-US.

Ray Southwell Nikiski, Alaska

John 3:16