

American People,

Recently the enemy has delivered another severe blow to our liberty. Albert Diaz, Henry F. Floyd, Roger L. Gregory, Michael Everett Harris, Robert Bruce King, Barbara Milano Keenan, Diana Gribbon Motz, Stephanie D. Thacker, J. Harvie Wilkinson III, and James A. Wynn Jr. have declared their hatred of liberty and therefore are our known enemies. These Fourth Circuit Federal Judges upheld Maryland's ban on militia rifles by claiming semi-auto rifles and 10-round magazines are "most useful in military service" and therefore the Second Amendment does NOT give the right to the American People to own or possess them. What a sham!

Like the Ninth, Seventh and Second Circuits, the Fourth's holding allows the government to take semi-auto rifles away from law-abiding citizens. All these judges that keep upholding these bans on militia rifles are not only deluded in their reasoning, they have declared that they are the foes of the People. To read the specious decision put forward by these traitorous judges, the case is: KOLBE v HOGAN, No. 14-1945 (Feb. 21, 2017).

These misdirected judges and legislators have relinquished our liberty out of fear. Fear that someone might perpetrate a shooting with multiple victims. Though they may have good intentions, don't they realize the road to tyranny is paved with good intentions? The sea of liberty is always rough with waves crashing on shore, while the sea of tyranny is calm with control and suppression of all. Let us remind ourselves, that you have no right at all when another has the right to take it away. If it were humanly possible to raise the names of these reprobate judges heavenward, the angels would thrust the foul things back, and demons would claim them as their own.

It doesn't take much reasoning to perceive the absolute absurdity of the enemy's court's decision. Imagine telling a patriot of 1776 that his Kentucky long rifle is "most useful in military service" because of its accuracy over several 100 yards; and therefore you cannot own or possess it? Your musket is just as lethal as the Royal Army's flintlocks and therefore you cannot have it. HOW RIDICULOUS! Timothy McMurphy would not have been able to pick off General Frazier at 400 yards at the Battle of Saratoga, if such ludicrous reasoning was enforced. From the sheer absurdness of this corrupt court's decision it refutes itself. The very word militia itself connotes military soldiering. Just look up the Latin and French etymology of the word militia. It means military service. For these unbalanced judges to claim that because a certain rifle or magazine is useful for military purposes and therefore the citizen militia has no right to them, when the militia itself is a military entity, borders on the insane.

These inept judges try to base their unsound reasoning on the 2008 HELLER decision. Any sane reasoning person can read for themselves that nowhere in HELLER does the Supreme Court hold that because a weapon is most useful in a military sense it therefore is NOT a weapon covered by the Second Amendment. The enemy is simply trying to intentionally misconstrue HELLER's logic. HELLER noted that "the militia of a country are the able bodied men organized into companies, regiments and brigades...and required by law to attend military exercises on certain days only, but at other times left to pursue their usual occupations." HELLER at 595. Sounds like militia means military to me. The Supreme Court also warned us that history has shown that tyrants eliminate the militia not by banning it but by taking away the Peoples' firearms, HELLER at 598; and that is exactly what these corrupt federal circuit courts are allowing to happen.

I notice that all these challenges to laws infringing on the right to keep and bear arms focus on the self-defense aspect of the Second Amendment. While self-defense may be one of the core attributes of the Second Amendment it is not the superior attribute. As the Supreme Court stated in HELLER at 598: "the militia is 'necessary to the security of a free state' because when

able-bodied men of a nation are trained in arms and organized, they are better able to resist tyranny." This is the ultimate principle of the reasoning behind the Second Amendment that nobody is putting forth in defense against these disarming laws.

As these repugnant judges in the KOLBE case use as their reasoning: why do you need a semi-auto rifle with a 30 round magazine for self-defense? The retort should always be: but sir, by asking that question proves to me you do not comprehend the true meaning of the Second Amendment. History and experience lets us know that someday the government may become oppressive and tyrannical and therefore as a free People we need all the fire-power we can get to fend off or overthrow such a government to keep liberty alive for ourselves and our posterity. And those who believe that the state governments or the federal government cannot become oppressive or tyrannical are fooling themselves or have no conception of history or human nature.

These hairbrained judges also used the classic argument of a tyrant in their KOLBE decision. They exclaim, they are only solicitous to accomplish as much as possible the prevention of the spilling of blood. Since time began, every tyrant has one way or another used this excuse to erode the rights of the People. Don't their pea-brains realize that in fact, such a train of erosion of liberty inevitably leads to revolution; where a real effusion of blood happens. These enemy judges' rational might appeal to the improper thinking of one's passion, but it surely cannot align itself with any sensible person's reasoning.

Americans know that all power is derived from the People. But, the power of the People ceases to exist when the People fail to retain the means necessary to overthrow the government. When this means is destroyed, freedom is destroyed along with it. By upholding these laws disarming the militia the federal courts are sowing the seeds which shall either spring up to the enslavement of America or revolution.

I have repeatedly said that the cold war raging between the American People and the government cannot go hot until all peaceful avenues of redress have been exhausted. So far, the U.S. Supreme Court has refused to even hear one of these cases challenging the banning of militia arms. The Supreme Court is the only one that can peacefully annul these unlawful bans on militia rifles. If the Supreme Court were to uphold such bans, then the government has dissolved itself through its own action-oppression. There is no final judge of these things on the earth, the ultimate appeal can only be to God.

If the Supreme Court denies us our remedy, it can only be done by manifestly perverting justice and brazenly twisting the meaning of the Second Amendment, to protect the corrupt governments passing these injurious militia rifle bans. In doing so, its hard to imagine anything but a state of war existing between the People and the government. Such injustice is equivalent to violence and injury, though its been done by the hands appointed to administer justice, no matter what name it is called, or under whatever pretense. Without having any appeal left on earth to right these usurpations of our natural rights; and war having been declared against a free People, we are left to our only remedy-an appeal to heaven. In such a case the People may provide for themselves a remedy to deliver themselves from tyranny and oppression.

I suppose I need not further explain to any person of clear judgment that is guided by the very principles of nature in him, that the People retain the right to employ force to reclaim power that is rightfully their own. Indeed, if we consider the proper use of war, what can be more becoming in soldiers or militia men and women, who are organized and embodied for the express purpose of being defenders and guardians of justice and the champions of liberty? The enemy has sowed and reaped war. The proper ends of our labors is to cultivate peace and safety for the American People through the restoration of liberty. We must not partake in a revolutionary war we do not intend to win at all costs. We, united, cannot allow ourselves to loose. If so, history will label us an unsuccessful insurrection whose actors were rebels or

terrorists. If the revolution is crowned with success we'll become immortal patriots.

A government that makes laws to disarm the People and has courts to declare such laws lawful, no longer is legitimate; and the People are no longer bound to give loyalty and allegiance to it. The trust installed in such a government can no longer exist. If and when the U.S. Supreme Court upholds these militia destroying laws, the People can LAWFULLY resist by force, both defensively and offensively, any government action they deem necessary to restore liberty. We the People will not allow the Second Amendment to become a sheath without a sword!

This predicament we find ourselves in goes back to what I been highlighting: the fact the U.S. Constitution has too many profound ambiguities that allows implications and dangerous interpretations. More specific and explicit meanings or expressions are needed in the social contract to delineate perspicuously the powers loaned to the government by the People; and what the government can't do; and what our rights are. The framers of the Bill of Rights obviously wanted the People armed to be able to meet an oppressive government or invading army on an equal footing. If the framers wrote the Second Amendment something to the effect: A well trained and disciplined militia, consisting of the People, the right of the People to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed; and no laws shall be made with respect to the People arming themselves, except in the case of the mentally ill. If the constitution was written throughout with such explicit language, I bet we would not find ourselves in today's state of eroding liberty. That is why I recommend, if a revolt does occur and we win, to rewrite or tweak the constitution to leave no room for a government to become tyrannical or oppressive.

It is blatantly obvious that these federal judges are out of their minds. In their madness they are fomenting the demise of the constitutional order; and will bring us soon, the way they are marching, to those calamities which attend the destruction of the Second Amendment. The federal courts are out of control. They go chasing after legal formulas, not to preserve liberty, but to artfully and maliciously interpret the constitution to destroy liberty. Not only that, they officiate as if right and wrong depend upon their nod instead of the moral laws of nature; and that just and unjust must be defined agreeable to their dictates instead of the dictates of the People and nature. In my opinion, these courts have become drunk with the intoxicating idea that they know what is best for everyone. They are a sewer of iniquity. These wise fools who sit in the high places of justice fail to see that in these revolutionary times vital issues are settled not by statutes, decrees or authorities, but in spite of them.

I would like to very much impress upon my reader the critical situation America finds itself in. Some hope the situation will rectify itself. Hope is not a method. Freedom is on trial. This is not the time for barroom patriots. America is a battleground not a playground. It would be safe to wager the Supreme Court is not going to save the Second Amendment. And our esteemed forefathers who drove out slavery in their glorious warfare, have preserved for us a method of force by a party not in power, called revolution. We must be ready for the day when the highest court of the government declares these militia rifle bans lawful. With an unshaken steadiness of mind; and teamwork to divide the effort and multiple the effect; and though we might be small in number we are big in commitment; and will be prepared to act with promptness, to crush the monster in its cradle before it matures to manhood.

It appears that the government is going to force us to show what manner of men we really are; and if we are capable of keeping our liberty. We are going to be forced to dismantle this threat to our liberty. We are only acting out of necessity, in self-defense of freedom, forced upon us by our enemy. Let us remember the Boston Tea Party was an illegal defiant and revolutionary act in the eyes of the British Government, but to the American Revolutionist it was an act of courage and justice.

In these trying times there is no excuse for cowardly countrymen and woman, who tend to flinch at the time their exertions are needed most and hold their purse strings rather than part with a dollar to support the cause of liberty. When I consider our country, holding up her arms and demanding assistance from all who can assist her in her painful distress, I think how any true American can ignore her call to arms. Life is uncertain; death is certain. To me it is a great comfort to feel assured that I am permitted, if necessary, to die for the cause of liberty-not merely to pay the debt of nature, for we all must die.

If these militia rifle bans (which are our liberty tools) are upheld, why should anybody conform to laws formulated for their very destruction? It takes a slave's mentality to allow such laws to be enforced. As Americans, we will not stand by idly as our liberty is melting away. Think back, on that early morning on April 19, 1775, knowing for the first time in their lives an overpowering desire to run away, men, boys, and old men, the simple folks of New England farming communities, kept their appointment with destiny-will you keep yours?

I am afraid this letter has grown too long to take up your precious time. In closing, the childish silly reasoning used by these touched in the head judges to try and justify the KOLBE decision will not stand up. On your KOLBE decision I spit. Its not fit to feed to the pigs, But if it does hold up, be prepared to act. I ask my fellow Americans to spread this sham-smashing letter far and wide as possible. With that I will simply end by saying

Take Good Care.

Post on-line

cc: to the world