



↔14528-052↔

Daniel J Riley
14528-052
Federal Correctional Institute
33 1/2 Pembroke Road
Danbury, CT 06811
United States



↔14528-052↔

Rudy Davis
PO BOX 2088
Forney, TX 75126
United States

Danbury, Connecticut December 28, 2017

Erin and Rudy,

Here is my latest typed letter of the batch that was found. I believe you can call it: The sovereign people must fight to stay sovereign. I have two more of the found letters. I wrote them to the New York Pistol and Rifle Association and the National Rifle Association. They contain a lot of legal argument, not so much political speech, therefore I will not bother typing them and sending them to you.

Unfortunately my nom de plume is all that was printed on page five. Maybe you'll be able to get it all within four pages. My next letter will be fresh. It may take me some time, like a month to write. I feel the flurry of letters I been sending you has kept the Jubilee website and facebook page bathed with new content. Hopefully this keeps your viewers coming back. Any comments left after viewing or listening to my letters I would enjoy seeing. If you find the time, a print out of such comments would be nice.

The battle currently raging in the courts over the Second Amendment will be a frequent topic in my next letters. Another key topic is the crux of all our insecurity, I speak of that strumpet - the federal reserve system.

Terre Haute, Indiana January 27, A.D. 2014

All power and authority is based or founded on the People, that is, it comes from the People. The People together make up a society. All societies start out in a state of nature. In order to protect property, the society comes together to form a set of rules to live by and to punish scofflaws. A form of government is created to enforce the rules. In doing so, the society, for the benefit of the whole, loans or delegates some of its sovereign power to the government. This is the basic fundamentals of how a society works.

In the United States of America our "set of rules" is called the United States Constitution. There are other constitutions for each sub-society known as States, but they are not the subject of this correspondence. I hear people claim the constitution is a contract between the People and the government. Such assertions shows their misunderstanding of the basics. The constitution could be considered a social contract, but it would be between the People themselves, not between the People and the government (the government doesn't even exist yet). That is why the constitution starts out "We the People," while the previous social contract, the Articles of Confederation, was a contract between the states. I like to think of the constitution as an ordinance or authoritative decree made by the sovereign People, not so much a contract.

Over the ages, the pages of history have proven that all governments eventually become despotic and enslave the society that created it. The Founding Fathers clearly understood this historical fact, that is why Thomas Jefferson wrote: Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness, replacing "pursuit of happiness" for the term "property" in John John Locke's Two Treatises on Government. The word "liberty" was specifically used with the knowledge of history in mind. That is why the People issued their decree based on limited government and individualism.

During the debates to ratify the constitution, many wise patriots expressed their concern the government was not limited enough and it did not give society the means to overthrow a tyrannical government. The ratifying conventions of States like New York, Virginia and Massachusetts ratified on the understanding that amendments would be added later to cure these perceived defects. Specifically, the Second Amendment was added to leave the power of force with the People, the source of all power and authority, so they had the means necessary to overthrow a tyrannical government. Without a doubt, the Second Amendment is the basis of the Peoples' sovereignty. A sovereign without the means to make right through might, is no sovereign at all. Simply put another way, the Second Amendment secures liberty.

The constitution established bounds within which the government could operate. The People were suppose to be the only source of altering the constitution, but unfortunately the constitution has been exposed over time to the power of construction and implication by the courts, who are not responsible to the People. When I say not responsible to the People, I mean these judges do not face elections or other means of being held accountable for their decisions. The courts have augmented the power of government beyond the bounds the People had limited it. On close inspection we find the constitution does not grant the power to create fiat currency and declare it legal tender, or compel the People to buy a certain product or service. But yet, the courts, through construction have found a way to imply these inexpressed powers are within the bounds of the constitution. Such implied powers detract from liberty; and citizens will end up losing control of their lives; and lead to misery not happiness. I point out these observations in general, for I believe the practical thinking citizens in their own minds are persuaded which way things are going.

Before continuing I beg my readers pardon to point out a few more general observations. Enslaving society and establishing an empire is the natural and inevitable tendency of even the best constituted governments. If Sparta and

Rome perished what government can hope to endure forever? Governments fail because they no longer abide by the general will of the People in the maintenance of their liberty. But instead, fall under the influence of private interests. Those who have the capability to discern the state of affairs of the national government, can without question, see that private interests drive our government. Private interests have boldly and defiantly laid hold of the sacred claim of "public good," and the general will of the People has become mute. I will just state without going into details that the international bankers have usurped the general will of the People by way of their control of our currency by way of their evil federal reserve system. Until the People wrestle back control of our currency from these evil malevolent reprobate bankers, mainly the Rothschild banking dynasty, are freedom will simply keep diminishing.

I ask myself, as I suppose others do too, why are the American People acquiescing in the destruction of their liberty? No right thinking individual would vote for more government and less freedom. The answer appears obvious to me, they are not thinking properly. Their opinions have been placed in their minds like stuffing a Thanksgiving turkey. They only know how to live by the opinions of others, deceiving themselves that their consciousness is of their own making. It takes effort to reason properly. Besides common sense, one must put aside his passions, prejudices and superstitions, and use experience as an instructor and reality as proof to form the basis of solid reasoning. But by nature, man is inherently indolent, it is easier to let someone else give you your opinion. That is what the mass media is designed to do.

The term "mainstream" media is an improper or incorrect use of the word. The opinions and issues being disseminated in the mass media are not the prevailing mindset of the People, though the mass media would like to portray it that way. The mass media's ploy is to define the subject or issues of the debate and thus the message, whereby it naturally follows you control the general will of the unsuspecting public; and thus legislation. At least that is the concept of the ploy. When in reality, the prevailing mindset or "mainstream" is more concerned about issues the mass media ignores or when, discussed, puts the issue in a context meant to deceive the public. All reasonable people know that a false notion which is clear and precise will always meet the greater acceptance than a true principle which is obscure and complex. The point being, you should not call the mass media the "mainstream" media because your calling it something it is not. It does not reflect the general will of the People, it tries to manipulate the general will of the People. If it truly reflected the general will of the People then it could be properly called the "mainstream" media.

Let us close the circle by meeting the point from which we set out, that being, the Second Amendment. State Governments are restricting the natural right to keep and bear arms to such a degree it should cause every reasoning American alarm. Even more alarming is the national courts are upholding a majority of these liberty destroying restrictions (I almost used the word "laws" but I cannot refer to such unlawful restrictions as laws). Please bear in mind that the right to keep and bear arms is married to liberty. With that thought in mind, it does not take a rocket scientist to conclude each new gun restriction is a direct assault on our freedom.

Being a native New Yorker, the recent ban on 90% of all semi-automatic militia rifles; bans on magazines that hold greater than ten bullets; and the ban on buying ammunition by way of delivery, strikes a cord in me that vibrates my very soul, as well as it should any freedom loving American no matter what State you reside in. All Americans who cherish liberty should be incensed with the fury of a chained grizzly bear biting at the bars of his cage.

These freedom destroying unlawful restrictions on the very tools of liberty are not being made with truth and solid reasoning as their foundation. No, they are nothing more than another rivet being put in the chains of our

slavery, by way of deception. Saint Tyranny, Andrew Cuomo, declared an emergency, so he could circumvent the legislative process in order to pass the SAFE ACT in the middle of the night. Such a destructive "Act" the People would have never adopted in cold blood. What was the emergency! What was the imminent peril facing the People of New York that Saint Tyranny had to declare a legislative emergency?! Interpret the evidence as we may, the fact must be steadily borne in mind that this is straight up tyranny, with a capital "T".

Then to further leave no doubt in our minds tyranny is the mode of the day, United States District Judge, in the Western District of New York, William M. Skretny declares Tyrant Cuomo's SAFE ACT is within the bounds of the government's powers as restricted by the constitution. Tyrant Skretny's decision is an affront to the intelligence of the People, not to mention an insult to the venerable generation of 1775. In my previous correspondence I explained how Tyrant Skretny knowingly misapplied the standard of review, in contravention of the U.S. "Supreme" Court, therefore I will not discuss it here. The Second Circuit has dishonestly misapplied the standard of review too, therefore the Appeals Court is going to uphold Skretny's decision without a doubt [which it did]. The majority of the courts have become loose from their moorings to the constitution.

On June 09, 1788 Patrick Henry warned us what was in store if the constitution was ratified. (Paraphrasing) The government will operate like an ambush...it will swallow the liberties of the People without giving them prior notice. O, how prophetic! Our very liberty depends heavily on our distrust of government. Does my reader not understand that? Any power given to the government is necessarily subtracted from the freedom of the governed. Is not our suspicion that the government is trying to enslave us well founded? Just look around at what is happening. Are we to purchase health care at the cost of liberty? Metaphorically speaking, right now the dam has a hole in it that has turned into a crack. We can decide to take action now to defeat our impending demise while we still have a chance of preventing the dam from bursting. Or we can decide to act once the dam breaks and have little or no hope of survival.

Each passing year the People grow weaker, both in the sword and the purse, while the government grows stronger. I do not know about my reader, but I do not care to be a passive victim of the circumstances. Unfortunately, I believe, we are going to have to plead our case with the sword, as our admirable forefathers did. As citizens, we are the sovereign power behind the authoritative decree known as the constitution. We have the natural right to use our uncorrupted reasoning to conclude if our decree has been violated. No matter what the government contends, once the government no longer obeys the decree, the People are no longer bound to obey the government. The People regain all their original natural rights and resume their natural liberty, putting them back into a state of nature to once more put a new government together to secure the blessings of liberty and happiness. If the courts do not annul these unlawful restrictions on our natural right to keep and bear arms, the People are obliged the right to forcefully resist this rogue government, even to the point of overthrowing it if necessary, for the self-preservation of society.

Each passing year we see oppression gaining ground without it being possible for the People to know where it is going to stop, or by what means we have left to check its progress. Our grievances, appeals and protests are treated as seditious murmurings. It appears as if honesty and duty have lost their weight in society and blind obedience is the only virtue the People can still practice. Has it come to pass, that the People neither care nor dare to vindicate their rights? We are Americans! We have inherited the spirit of resistance to government, which is so valuable to liberty that it must never be allowed to die. When liberty is threatened, as it is now, as Americans, we all share the responsibility of defending it.

The gravely oppressed citizens of New York, Connecticut, New Jersey,

Maryland, Colorado, California and all Americans for that matter, may need to be reminded of what course of action the People take when their government does not obey the Public's will and their ordinance, by simply looking with pleasure to the history of the American Revolution. How come the colonists could bravely unite and overthrow their tyrannical government? Were they more intellectual than Americans today? Were they more courageous than Americans today? Did they love liberty more than Americans today? Their Revolution, which defeated the most powerful army in the world at the time, should encourage American Patriots and remind our enemies what their fate holds in store for them if they do not repeal these unlawful restrictions on our liberty tools. If these militia weapon bans and restrictions, which are acts injurious to freedom; and could reasonably be considered as acts of war against society, are borne by the People, not only will it be repeated in the presence of such submission, but it will most assuredly be the death warrant of liberty.

Who can question the lawfulness of raising a defensive war against tyranny? By what precept, moral or divine, are we forbidden to resist tyranny? Isn't it lawful to resist, and need be, kill a robber before he has taken your money? Or do you have to wait till he is done robbing you? The government, especially the aforementioned State Governments, have called the coming violence down upon themselves. Corrupt governments are a machine for making revolutions. Let them call us rebels, let them call us terrorists, we welcome it. We feel no concern from such labels. As American Patriots, we rather venture our lives bravely in defense of our rights and liberty, than degradingly submit to a despotic fraternity of lawmakers, or rather, I mean lawbreakers. As Americans, we will not give our allegiance to any government whose pattern of actions prove it is bent on enslaving us. The time is fast approaching when we will either have to yield all our rights and speak no more of freedom, or to oppose the enemy and no longer rest upon our arms; and assume our rightful place as the true heirs to the spirit of '76.

As able bodied men and women, the Second Amendment places us, the militia, as sentinels over liberty to protect it from the encroachments of government. We need to follow the example given to us by our illustrious forefathers. In the field, when the militia is defending their rights, or when usurpation and oppression threaten their liberty, no men or women, rally with more devoted patriotism, loyalty and resolute courage than the militias of the States. My mind revolts to think at a time of crisis, that one would be pressed to find true patriots. We are freemen and freewomen, we are of reason and spirit; awaken your spirit, and assert your freedom. What manner of men and women are we, if we are obliged to fight for our rights and remain passive and submissive? Cowards! That is what our posterity will remember our generation as-COWARDS! The generation that allowed the flame of liberty to be extinguished.

I have faith in our generation. That it will resist the unconstitutional invasion of our rights; and our names will be praised until the sands of time are absorbed into eternity. We do have the fortitude enough to face danger, in a just cause, indeed we will not let ourselves be cowards, otherwise in consequence of it, we must be slaves. The enemy has already made our choice for us.

This correspondence is not just my opinion alone, or any new opinion; the same has been expressed throughout history. On example: like the speech the worthy Emperor Trajan (98-117 A.D.) made to his Praetorian Forces. The Emperor drew his sword; and while holding it up before the troops exclaimed, use this sword for me, if I reign well, if not, then use it against me. Today, no matter what way you look at the constitution, its foundation is the indispensable necessity of a well regulated (trained and disciplined) militia. The militia is the American Peoples' sword.

This correspondence, which I could make much longer, grows already too long. I shall simply conclude by saying

4 TAKE GOOD CARE