

June 7, 2017

Ambassador Michael Wayne Parsons, ACJ (USCT)  
The Sovereign Tsilhqot'in Nation, Country of Chilcotin  
9160 Highway 64, Suite 12  
Lakeland, Tennessee [38002]  
America Republic

Judge Joe Walker III  
Tipton County Circuit Court  
1801 South College  
Covington, Tennessee [38019]

FILED  
JUL 31 2017  
MIKE FORBESS, CLERK

Cc: Tipton County Sheriff Chumley  
Tipton County DA Mike Dunavant  
Tipton County Court Clerk Mike Forbess

### 5th NOTICE

Please take NOTICE again for the 5th time in writing and more times in the court record that:

1. I am Michael Wayne Parsons the living flesh and blood man. I am not the all capital letter MICHAEL PARSONS or MICHAEL WAYNE PARSONS whom you have sent an offer to engage in commerce via your courier, Mr. David Stockton, on May 22, 2017. That same offer was rejected by me on May 10, 2017, when you offered it to me at your undisclosed administrative tribunal, whereby I wrote on it 'I do not consent to your offer'.
2. I am not the fiduciary, surety or trustee for the all capital letter MICHAEL PARSONS or MICHAEL WAYNE PARSONS Corporation, Trust or otherwise fictitious entity MICHAEL PARSONS.
3. I am not "The defendant" and as such, I have never appeared in your administrative tribunal, business office or court as the defendant but only by special appearance to

determine if you were calling me Michael Wayne Parsons, the live man, and under what jurisdiction you were calling me.

4. I am the Ambassador of the sovereign Tsilhqot'in Nation, Country of the Chilcotin. You do not have jurisdiction over me. You are holding me against my will, under duress, threat and coercion. You have ordered me kidnapped and transported unlawfully to TIPTON COUNTY.
5. Be advised that your undisclosed administrative tribunal lacks jurisdiction over Ambassadors of foreign countries. The Constitution for the United States of America, Article 3, Section 2 states "In all cases affecting Ambassadors...the supreme Court shall have original jurisdiction." As a signatory to the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, April 18, 1961, the United States of America is bound to the agreement that:

#### Article 29

*The person of a diplomatic agent shall be inviolable. He shall not be liable to any form of arrest or detention. The receiving State shall treat him with due respect and shall take all appropriate steps to prevent any attack on his person, freedom or dignity.*

#### Article 30

1. *The private residence of a diplomatic agent shall enjoy the same inviolability and protection as the premises of the mission.*
2. *His papers, correspondence and, except as provided in paragraph 3 of Article 31, his property shall likewise enjoy inviolability.*

#### Article 31

1. *A diplomatic agent shall enjoy immunity from the criminal jurisdiction of the receiving State. He shall also enjoy immunity from its civil and administrative jurisdiction.*
2. *A diplomatic agent is not obliged to give evidence as a witness.*
3. *No measures of execution may be taken in respect of a diplomatic agent except in that cases coming under subparagraphs (a), (b) and (c) of paragraph 1 of this article, and provided that the measures concerned can be taken without infringing the inviolability of his person or of his residence.*

## Article 39

1. *Every person entitled to privileges and immunities shall enjoy them from the moment he enters the territory of the receiving State on proceeding to take up his post or, if already in its territory, from the moment when his appointment is notified to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs or such other ministry as may be agreed.*
  
6. United States code 28 USCA Section 1330 states “Ambassadors are immune from prosecution in any U.S. court.”
  
7. On May 10, 2017, when I was taken without advance notice to your undisclosed administrative tribunal, where you, Sheriff Chumley, Assistant District Attorney Freeland and Gail Albrook, who is an assistant to the court clerk, witnessed my statements to this effect: that I am the Ambassador of the Tsilhqot’in Nation, Country of the Chilcotin; they were served visual and verbal notice. I served upon the court a copy of my status card showing that I am the Ambassador of the Tsilhqot’in Nation, along with a letter from the Hereditary Grand Chief Stanley Stump Sr. stating that I am an Ambassador of the Tsilhqot’in Nation, that I am not a U.S. citizen and that you are illegally detaining me and he demanded that I be released immediately.
  
8. You were also served with notice that the Tsilhqot’in National Government, otherwise known as TNG, is nothing more than a club, a society of elected chiefs, that have no authority whatsoever over the Tsilhqot’in Nation’s affairs, that the power rests with the Hereditary Chiefs, by which Stanley Stump is the Grand Chief, and he alone has the authority to govern through the Chilcotin National Congress for the sovereign Tsilhqot’in Nation, Country of Chilcotin.

9. I was appointed Ambassador of the Tsilhqot'in Nation, Country of the Chilcotin on January 1, 2016 by Hereditary Grand Chief Stanley Stump Sr. (See attached letter dated April 24, 2017.)
10. As a signatory to the Montevideo Convention on Rights and Duties of States, December 26, 1933; the United States of America is bound to the agreement that:

#### Article 1

*The state as a person of international law should possess the following qualifications:*

*a) A permanent population*

The Tsilhqot'in people have inhabited the area that they currently occupy since the last ice age.

*b) A defined territory*

Their territory has been well defined since the 1700's and has been documented in many different references throughout the territory including Supreme Court references, articles and publications over the past 300 years.

*c) A government*

The Tsilhqot'in are a self-governing, sovereign people within their own right, recognizing their hereditary chiefs as the power within the Tsilhqot'in Nation and the Chilcotin National Congress as the authority by which the Country of the Chilcotin and thereby, the Tsilhqot'in Nation govern.

#### Article 3

*The political existence of the state is independent of recognition by other states. Even before recognition, the state has the right to defend its integrity and independence, to provide for its*

*conservation and prosperity, and consequently to organize itself as it sees fit, to legislate upon its interests, administer its services, and to define the jurisdiction and competence of its courts. The existence of these rights has no other limitation than the exercise of the rights of other states according to international law.*

#### Article 4

*States are juridically equal, enjoy the same rights, and have equal capacity in their exercise. The rights of each one do not depend upon the power which it possesses to assure its exercise, but upon the simple fact of its existence as a person under international law.*

#### Article 5

*The fundamental rights of states are not susceptible of being affected in any manner whatsoever.*

#### Article 6

*The recognition of a state merely signifies that the state which recognizes it accepts the personality of the other with all the rights and duties determined by international law. Recognition is unconditional and irrevocable.*

#### Article 7

*The recognition of a state may be express or tacit, the latter results from any act which implies the intention of recognizing the new state.*

#### Article 8

*No state has the right to intervene in the internal or external affairs of another.*

#### Article 11

*The contracting states definitely establish as the rule of their conduct the precise obligation not to recognize territorial acquisitions or special advantages which have been obtained by force whether this consists in the employment of arms, in threatening diplomatic representations, or in any other effective coercive measure. The territory of a state is inviolable and may not be the object of military occupation nor of the other measures of force imposed by another state directly or indirectly or for any motive whatsoever even temporarily.*

The delegation of the United States of America, in signing this agreement further expressed "The United States government is as much opposed as any other government to interference to freedom, the sovereignty, or other internal affairs or processes of the governments of other

nations". Clearly, these egalitarian principles, that all people are equal and should have the same rights and opportunities enshrined in these articles, this delegation's expression as evident in the Constitution for the United States of America are what one would expect to be demonstrated by the agents of the United States of America.

11. By definition, the Tsilhqot'in Nation qualifies as a recognized state wherefore companies and the government of China have been involved in discussions for trade relations with the Tsilhqot'in Nation through the Chilcotin National Congress and the Ambassador and Chief Justice of the Universal Supreme Court of the Tsilhqot'in. Currently, CANADA does not, in itself, exist as a recognized country according to these rules, whereby, it does not have the capacity to enter into relations with other states on its own without the expressed consent of Great Britain, another country. Therefore, it would be recognized as a colony of Great Britain, not its own independent state, and subsequently, the fact that CANADA flew the Union Jack flag up until the 1950's would indicate that it was never really a country and today is not a country. Likewise, the UNITED STATES fails to meet this test because it does not have a proper government; its government is a corporation posing as government. Under the United States supreme Court Clearfield Doctrine and Bond v. U.S. (2000) case, the supreme Court acknowledged that the UNITED STATES government is nothing more than a corporation posing as government; therefore, it does not have any sovereignty. It recognizes a group of people that own the corporation and it is only posing as a government representing the people. Therefore, the states, otherwise known as the UNITED STATES and CANADA, do not have an official governing body de jure, they have a de facto corporation posing as government and therefore, do not qualify under these Montevideo Convention standards as a state under international law.

12. However, the Tsilhqot'in Nation has never signed a treaty with any country, is not a corporation, has permanent, fixed boundaries that it has had for over a millennia. A self-governing people through their hereditary chiefs and through the Chilcotin National Congress, do exercise their capacity to have relations with other states.
13. The Uniform Enforcement of Foreign Judgments Act of 1947 is a uniform State law giving the holder of a foreign judgment the right to levy and execute as if it were a domestic judgment. On December 2, 2015, I was exonerated of all 2009 convictions from this court and or undisclosed administrative tribunal by the Universal Supreme Court of the Tsilhqot'in. The Order and Reasons for Judgment thereto were served upon this court and/or undisclosed administrative tribunal on January 9, 2017. Herein is another attached copy of that Reasons for Judgment. Therefore, the UNITED STATES, and as such STATE OF TENNESSEE, a sub-corporation of the UNITED STATES Corporation, and therefore, COUNTY OF TIPTON or TIPTON, COUNTY OF, which are sub-corporations of STATE OF TENNESSEE, are bound by that ruling and that law. Therefore, be advised this judgment of the Universal Supreme Court of the Tsilhqot'in stands and the original convictions have been overturned, and as such, the current charges are void.
14. As I have explained to you on many occasions, I am not a U.S. citizen, or State citizen and I have no contract or obligation to them. In compliance with Executive Order 13132, enacted on August 10, 1999, Section 2 (d) "...the people of the States are free, subject only to restrictions and The Constitution itself...to define moral, political and legal character of their lives". I served undisputed notice upon this court and/or undisclosed

administrative tribunal on January 9, 2017 of my Condition Precedence, giving notice to the world that I am not a citizen of the UNITED STATES, or any corporation posing as government and thereby, rebutting any and all presumptions that STATE OF TENNESSEE, UNITED STATES, and TIPTON COUNTY or your undisclosed administrative tribunal have any authority over me, my family, or my property.

15. The Declaration of Independence recognizes our God given rights being unalienable, and as such, these rights cannot be transferred or surrendered. Among these God given rights recognized at the foundation of the Republic is the right to bear arms; as such, the right is not subject to any government, or in this case, any corporation posing as government. The Constitution for the United States of America, Amendment 2, recognizing the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed clearly prohibits any infringement by the government and as such anything contrary to the Constitution's recognition of this right is void.

The rule book of rule books, The Bible, clearly reveals God's will that we should provide for ourselves, including self-defense. In the Book of Luke 22:35, Christ said "if necessary, sell your clothes and buy a sword". The Roman sword would be equal to a gun today.

16. The U.S. Printing Style Manual, used for all U.S. and State courts and tribunals, identifies names in all capital letters as corporations, or non-living entities. In both of these matters, the indictments are against the all capital letter entity, MICHAEL PARSONS, or MICHAEL WAYNE PARSONS, not against me, the living flesh and blood man, Michael Wayne Parsons. In every appearance, I announced that I was there by special

appearance only to determine who the court was calling, the living flesh and blood man, Michael Parsons, or the corporate entity or trust, MICHAEL PARSONS. Both William Peeler and Joseph Walker refused to disclose whom they were calling and whom the indictment was against. Therefore, this is a case of mistaken identity at best, or fraud and collusion by Walker and Peeler to trick me, the living flesh and blood man, Michael Wayne Parsons, to identify with the corporate fiction or trust the indictment is against.

17. In every appearance I have asked what form of court Mr. Peeler and Mr. Walker were conducting, and at every appearance, they have refused to disclose the form of court. Failure of the court to disclose the form of court is criminal and insults the integrity of fundamental rights, a significant component of liberty, due process, fair play and substantial justice. The fairness requirement that a court must meet is in its assertion of jurisdiction over a non-resident defendant to comport with due process is not supported in this matter. I am not a resident, nor a citizen of UNITED STATES, STATE OF TENNESSEE, nor COUNTY OF TIPTON or TIPTON COUNTYCOUNTY. Therefore, this court has no jurisdiction whatsoever. This is a matter that if anything, would be brought before the supreme Court for the United States of America, which has original jurisdiction according to Article 3, Section 2 of the Constitution for the United States of America.

18. Again, on January 12, 2016, I appeared only at this administrative tribunal by special appearance only to determine whom Mr. Peeler was calling. When he called MICHAEL PARSONS, I asked "Are you calling the live man Michael Parsons or the corporate entity or Trust MICHAEL PARSONS?" Peeler refused to disclose whom he was calling and had me arrested. Mr. Peeler then announced he was issuing a bench warrant for the arrest

of MICHAEL PARSONS for failure to appear. Given the fact that I was arrested, I asked who was the other MICHAEL PARSONS the warrant was issued for failure to appear? A bailiff said, apparently, that was for the corporate MICHAEL PARSONS.

19. On February 11, 2016, I was taken from their private-for-profit jail by force, to an undisclosed administrative tribunal where again Mr. Peeler refused to disclose whom he was calling, nor would he disclose the form of court. Four times he refused to answer to jurisdictional challenges. I was denied the right to testify, present witnesses, evidence or cross-examine State's witness. Therefore, as was addressed in my Notice and Motion to Dismiss, March 2016, I was denied the right to be heard, which is a fundamental principal of fair play and a requirement of the rules of court, which apparently, that administrative tribunal does not apply or follow.
20. On March 30, 2016, I was taken by force into another undisclosed administrative tribunal, where Mr. Walker said the TIPTON COUNTY grand jury indicted MICHAEL PARSONS for two counts of violation of 39-17-1307 and said that MICHAEL PARSONS had been given a copy of the indictment. However, I had not been shown or provided any charge or indictment until after Mr. Walker's presentation was over and I was being taken away. It was only at that point that I saw the indictment was against the all capital letter, corporate entity or Trust, MICHAEL PARSONS, which I have no responsibility for. I announced this fact to Mr. Walker and I wrote 'I do not accept your offer. I do not consent to these proceedings.' on that document/offer and returned it to the court clerk, Mike Forbess.
21. Then in April, 2016, I filed a Notice and Motion to Dismiss siting:

1. The court had never identified who the indictment was against, the live man, Michael Parsons, or the corporation or Trust MICHAEL PARSONS.
2. The form of court it was operating.
3. Jurisdiction
4. That the indictment was for a charge that no preliminary hearing had been provided for.
5. That I was denied the right to cross-examine the State's only witness, Danny Johnson, denied the right to present evidence, witnesses or to testify.

The court ignored my objections to evidence acquired by unlawful means and the fact that the State's witness testimony did not state any chargeable offense or a claim by which relief can be granted. The State's witness only reference was from inadmissible hearsay from the arresting officer, Michael Green, who was subsequently fired for getting caught planting drugs on someone else. Otherwise, he testified that he made Mrs. Parsons unlock her home for officer Green to access, and that I was not inside the home at any time. "While Mr. Parsons, I think, was talking with other officers, myself and investigator Green approached Mrs. Parsons and I told her we needed...I needed to check the house because I had not been in the house in some time." (T19, 2-6) "She unlocked the door for us to go into the residence. Mr. Parsons was not in the residence at the time we went in and searched." All of that proves the case is based upon a lie because there was no testimony or evidence that Mr. Parsons possessed anything. The raid conducted by the Tipton County Sheriff's Department without a warrant, facilitated by the parole officer is in violation of the law whereby, the parole officer cannot be used as a basis to facilitate an otherwise unlawful raid.

22. The said judges, Walker and Peeler, are prejudice in this matter, as well as STATE OF TENNESSEE prosecutor D. Michael Dunavant and Tipton County Executive Jeff Huffman, whose appointee controls the jury pool, rendering this matter completely rigged, as well as the 2006 election I exposed as rigged, including the following:

(A) local news reports eluding to of an attempted arrest of Tipton County Judge Joseph Walker III by a fugitive recovery agent for an outstanding warrant for an indictment charging Walker with several international crimes, including ordering the kidnapping of the Tsilhqot'in Nation's Ambassador Michael Parsons, interfering with the internal affairs of the Tsilhqot'in Nation, Country of the Chilcotin; (B) reports of the attempted murder of Ambassador Michael Parsons on May 29, 2016, in the Tipton County Correctional Facility (Complex), where Ambassador Parsons was violently attacked without provocation in their private-for-profit jail by inmate Jaron Toliver, who, at the order of Tipton County Judge William A. Peeler via Peeler's assistant Bill McCoy attempted to kill Ambassador Parsons so Toliver could get Peeler to send Toliver on to prison, where he would get more food, outside fresh air and good days so he could get out of prison sooner. Witness reports that Ambassador Parsons was punched, kicked and beaten with a broom handle in the head, back and side and sustained cuts, bruises and three broken ribs. Additional facts include, I was taken to Tipton Baptist, but was denied natural healthcare, keeping with my religion and returned to the jail was I was forced to lie on a concrete slab with a 1 inch pad with no access to food, water or help to use the restroom until a doctor arrived three days later. Though this was an attempted murder, as Toliver confessed to me several days later, he was only charged with simple assault and 30 days, time served, even though he was sent to prison approximately two weeks after the attempt on my life. In a January 2017 letter from Dr. Frezza, he reported that "I saw him on July 5, 2016, just after his release from jail on parole. Mr.

Parsons had lost approximately 30lbs in 5 ½ months, since the last time I had seen him, and appeared to be very pale and weak. He had a bruise on the right side of his forehead, three broken ribs, appeared to be suffering from malnutrition... It is my personal opinion that the law enforcement officials in Tipton County, Tennessee, have a personal vendetta against Mr. Parsons and that his very life could be at risk if he were to be re-incarcerated at one of their facilities.” (See letter from Dr. Frezza, January 30, 2017.); (C) The last time I appeared by special appearance and advised you as such, you replied, “no you’re not.” As has been my experience, since the 1<sup>st</sup> time I was in what I was lead to believe was a real constitutional court but was in fact a corporation only posing as a constitutional court, you have committed fraud against me and my family by making false statements into the record, twisting the statements of witnesses as well as facts and evidence that was contrary to your desired outcome. Clearly you are prejudiced regarding me apparently due to the fact your family and friends have interest in my families land and you have a desire to continue the cover-up of the rigged 2006 election where I sued to expose how the County Executive I ran against used his appointee over the election office to rig the outcome even after early returns showed I was winning with over 4 times the vote. In that case, you illegally posed as a Chancery Judge even though you were not a Chancery Judge and the fact is you were on the ballot of that election and had a financial interest to not allow the voiding of the entire election as I was seeking.

You will take judicial notice of the following fact:

- In this matter, you have a financial interest whereby you stand to profit from a conviction.

- Undisputed facts in the record and herein listed in the attached affidavits and transcript, clearly showing I committed no crime.
- You stated the likelihood of conviction is great, as is a prison sentence of 10 years. .
- You are a STATE OF TENNESSEE employee, as is the DISTRICT ATTORNEY.
- You and the District Attorney are paid by the STATE OF TENNESSEE.
- There is no doubt of the outcome since the suit against the MICHAEL PARSONS you seek is by your employer, STATE OF TENNESSEE.
- STATE OF TENNESSEE is a corporation listed on Dunn and Bradstreet.
- Your administrative tribunal is a function of that corporation designed for conducting the business for STATE OF TENNESSEE Corporation.
- The same County Executive, Jeff Huffman also controls the jury since his appointee controls the list the court clerk uses for a jury pool.
- It is no doubt he has the ability to stack the jury with his friends, puppets and those who owe him a favor and who are willing to lie under vor-dire questioning as was the case the last time you railroaded me into prison for false charges even though my family and I were the victim of an unprovoked attack by a friend of this same County Executive, Jeff Huffman, who I was suing for the 2006 election.
- The last time I appeared in your administrative tribunal I announced I was there by “special appearance only”. You replied, “No you’re not.”
- On every occasion you have requested MICHAEL PARSONS appearance, I have appeared by special appearance only in order to determine if you are calling me, the live man, Michael Wayne Parsons.

- On every occasion, I have requested that you tell me what jurisdiction you are operating under. However, each time you refused to answer the questions for the record in court and therefore I cannot proceed because I do not know what jurisdiction you are operating under and what rules, codes, regulations and statutes apply to that jurisdiction.
- On every occasion I have advised you I do not wish to engage you in commerce.
- I am not MICHAEL PARSONS. I am not the fiduciary, surety or trustee for the MICHAEL PARSONS Corporation, trust or otherwise fictitious entity MICHAEL PARSONS.
- I have never agreed to contract with you and you continue to refused to inform me what jurisdiction you are operating under, and what rules, codes, regulations and statutes apply to that jurisdiction.
- I do not understand what jurisdiction you are under because you have refused to inform me of such.
- You entered a plea for me without my authorization, knowledge or consent to contract which is fraudulent and is practicing law from the bench.
- The Constitutions for the United States Of America Republic and Tennessee Republic both recognize and protect my Right to keep and bear arms rendering the charge void.
- I do not now, nor have I ever consented to your offer to engage in commerce and have made no contract giving up my God given constitutionally protected rights and as such, there is no controversy.
- The demand by the TIPTON COUNTY CORPORATION to sign their arrest form was done proceeded with “Under Duress.”

- While held against my will by the TIPTON COUNTY CORPORATION, SHERIFF and your private for profit jail, I was starved, feed adulterated food, tortured and was violently attacked where I was punched, kicked and beaten with a broom handle by inmate Toliver and according to inmate Toliver this attack was ordered by Tipton County General Sessions judge William Peeler via his assistant Mr. McCoy. I sustained 3 broken ribs, and multiple cuts and bruises from this unprovoked attack.
- The Bond and ankle monitor agreements were signed (...) under threat, duress and coercion and as such those agreements are void from their inception.
- I was exonerated of all 2009 convictions from your administrative tribunal by the Universal Supreme Court of the Tsilhqot'in nation. The only real court in North America that is not a corporation and as a diplomat of their Nation, I am immune from all prosecution by STATE OF TENNESSEE.
- In compliance with Executive Order 13132 ("Federalism") signed by President Bill Clinton on August 10, 1999 Section 2: "(d) The people of the States are free, subject only to restrictions in the Constitution itself or in constitutionally authorized Acts of Congress, to define the moral, political, and legal character of their lives."

I hereby define my political and legal characters as follows:

Be it known by all, that I Michael Wayne Parsons, as a living flesh and blood man that on this day of 23 in the month of June and the year 2015 do hereby give notice to all in the world that I am not a citizen of the United States, nor any corporation posing as government such as STATE OF TENNESSEE CORPORATION. Any who wish to challenge this admission must do so by responding to this notice within 30 days of

publication. Notice is also given to anyone in the world who may have a contract (or unsigned presumed contract) under the above name, that this notice serves as an addendum to all contracts or presumptions ab initio, in which the signatory name may (or may not) appear. Notice that the following be included as part and above the perceived signatory name which is in fact an autograph with or without the notice “without prejudice, UCC1-207, UCC1-308 or ..., which indicates done under threat, duress and coercion rendering it a void contract and all of my God given rights are reserved.”

23. Regardless, the fact still remains that as the Ambassador of the sovereign Tsilhqot'in Nation, Country of the Chilcotin, as referenced in the United States code and the Vienna Convention to which this administrative tribunal cannot ignore, it has no jurisdiction. I have no contract with STATE OF TENNESSE or TIPTON COUNTY or any other corporation posing as government that binds me to any performance whatsoever. As such, it stands as an undisputed fact that TIPTON COUNTY, STATE OF TENNESSEE, and UNITED STATES government have no jurisdiction over me. As Ambassador of the sovereign Tsilhqot'in Nation, I am entitled to be respected as such and demand that I be released immediately, along with all the property illegally seized from Mrs. Parsons and/or me.

24. I demand and wish this matter be dismissed and all of Mrs. Parsons property stolen from her by Tipton County be returned.

See Attachments:

1. Notice to the Court dated March 12, 2016 by Hereditary Chief Stanley Stump, Sr.
2. April 24, 2017 Letter from Stanley Stump, Sr.
3. Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations
4. Montevideo Convention on Rights and Duties of States
5. Revised Uniform Enforcement of Foreign Judgments Act

6. Executive Order 13132
7. USCT Reasons for Judgment
8. Preliminary Hearing Transcript
9. January 2017 letter from Dr. Frezza

Therefore, as this matter is over, nullified and void from its inception;

Michael Wayne Parsons, a live man under duress without prejudice, UCCI-207, 308  
Ambassador, Associate Chief Justice (USCT) Tsihqot'in Nation  
Country of the Chilcotin, Chilcotin National Congress member