UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

UNITED	STATES	OF	AMERICA,)	
)	Case No.
			Plaintiff,)	CR-04-127-S-RCT
)	
vs.)	
)	
DAVID ROLAND HINKSON,)	
)	
Defendant.)		
)	

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD C. TALLMAN
JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT
SITTING BY DESIGNATION
(Sitting with a Jury)

Boise, Idaho January 27, 2005 (Thr)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

PROCEEDINGS RE: TRIAL TO A JURY (VOLUME 13, PAGES 2,860 THROUGH 2,892)

PREPARED FOR:
WESLEY W. HOYT
Attorney at Law

(COPY)

Reported by:

Lori A. Pulsifer, CSR, RMR, CRR

QNA Court Reporting

E-mail: realtimeqna@msn.com

Telephone: (208) 484-6309

23 24 25

APPEARANCES

FOR THE PLAINTEFT:
Mr. Michael Patrick Sullivan Assistant United States Attorney U.S. Department of Justice 10th and Constitution, N.W.

Room 2644 Washington, D.C. 20530

Mr. Michael D. Tapay Assistant United States Attorney U.S. Department of Justice 601 D Street, N.W. Suite 6500 Washington, D.C. 20530

POR THE DEFENDANT: Mr. Wesley W. Hoyt Attorney at Law HC 66, Box 311A Kooskia, Idaho 83539

AND

Mr. Thomas Nolan Attorney at Law NOLAN, ARMSTRONG & BARTON, LLP 600 University Avenue Palo Alto, California 94301

ONA CCERT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

2863

acceptable to you?

MR. HOYT: That is acceptable, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Then we will go ahead, as soon as the jury is here, at 9:00, and we will send Ms. Pulsifer in.

And then I circulated, last night, a couple of proposed draft answers to the two questions. Have you both had a change to look at those?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt?

MR. HOYT: I have, indeed, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Just for the record, with respect to the question of Mr. Harding's testimony, the answer from the court will be:

"You may not have a transcript of Mr. Harding's testimony. However, the court reporter will be permitted to read the admissible portions of the testimony to the jury.

"The court reporter is not permitted to answer any questions, and you should not engage in any discussion with one another while she is present.

"Your foreperson may instruct her to stop at any time and ank her to leave the room. She will not be permitted to return without express permission from the court."

hern.

9 10 11

2

3

5

6

12 13 14

> 15 16 17

18 19 20

21 22

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

and forth and came up with a version that we both agree 23 24 an. 25

priovisions?

him. I will do that.

THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Hoyt, that's

CNR COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

(Noither Mr. Nolan nor the defendant was

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were

THE COURT: Good morning, counsel.

Marshals have yet to get your client to the building

care of the preliminary matters, if there are any, with

regard to the transcript, unless you have an objection.

deliberations. But if you would prefer that we wait for

don't think this is a critical stage of the

was given the proposed mark-up. Are you both in agreement, now, that there is no dispute over those

MR. HOYT: Good marning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt, I understand the

It seems to me that we can, at least, take

I will leave it entirely up to you, but I

MR. HOYT: Your Honor, I think we can proceed.

THE COURT: I do, too. Let's see, I guess I

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor. We went back

present for the following proceedings.)

held outside the presence of the jury:)

2862

2864

Is that acceptable to both sides?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt?

MR. HOYT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you had a chance to talk with Mr. Hinkson about the court's proposed answer to the question?

MR. HDYT: No. Your Honor.

THE COURT: Then I think we'd better wait until he arrives so that you, at least, have an apportunity to show him what we propose to do before we send it in.

You can, I think, just indicate to Ms. Longstreet if he has no objection --

MR. HOYT: All right.

THE CHEET: -- rather than reconvene the court.

And then, secondly, I propose to answer their question: "What are the consequences if we agree on certain counts and are unable to agree on others? Would the fact that we are unable to agree on certain counts negate our verdict on other counts?"

The answer from the court will be: "The fact that you are unable to come to agreement on certain counts will not negate any verdict on other counts on

19 20

21 22

23 24 25

CNR COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

OND COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

R

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HOYT: "To wit"?

THE COURT: I changed it to "on which," just to be more grammatically correct.

MR. SULLIVAN: "On which." I agree.

THE COURT: With that change, is that acceptable to both sides?

MR. HOYT: It is, Your Honor subject to my client's review of the matter.

THE COURT: All right. Did the Marshals give us any indication?

THE COURTROOM CLERK: About five more minutes.

THE COURT: Well, I think we can just wait.

He should be here at 9:00. We are right before 9:00.

Is there anything else we need to take up?

MR. SULLIVAN: I don't believe so, Judge.

THE COURT: Cleav.

MR. HDYT: How are we marking the transcript, Your Honor, that relates to Mr. Harding, the one that will be read by Ms. Pulsifer?

THE COURT: As I understood it, you both had marked in thick, black, felt pen the portions that you did not want read to the jury.

Let me check with Ms. Longstreet, but I believe that should scan electronically without any

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

2867

I agree with Mr. Nolan's observation that we are not at a point yet where the jury is dead-locked. I am hoping that our proposed responses to these two questions will aid them in their ability to continue their fact-finding and complete their deliberations.

Let me also ask, as well, Mr. Hoyt, do you want us to set up a telephone link for Mr. Nolan if we have any further questions today?

MR. HDYT: I am listening, and I'm thinking. Yes. If we do have further questions, I think that it would be appropriate, Your Honor, to do that.

THE COURT: Ms. Longstreet, do we have a speaker phone in here?

THE COURTROOM CLERK: No.

THE COURT: We don't? Can you make arrangements with Clay to install one?

THE COURTROOM CLERK: We would have to do it in chambers or a different courtroom.

THE COURT: That will work. We can do it in my chambers or move to another one of the countrooms that has a speaker phone in it.

MR. HOYT: Verry good.

THE COURT: So if we call you for any further questions, we will tell you where to report, as soon as we figure out which courtrooms are available.

MR. HOYT: Very good.

Court's Exhibit No. 3.

No. 3 for the record.

problems.

answers?

to them.

2

6

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

5

6

THE COURT: So I think we have made as clear a

THE COURT: Yes.

both in the transcript and in the court file.

record as I know how to make as to what we are doing. 14 THE COURTROOM CLERK: I generally seal those. 15

THE COURTROOM CLERK: We can mark it as

that will be in the record, as well. You will have it

THE COURT: We will mark it as Court's Exhibit

Then I am signing and filing these answers so

MR. HOYT: You mean, the jury questions and

THE COURT: You are going to seal the 16 questions and the answers. 17

THE COURTROOM CLERK: They won't have access 18

THE COURT: We are giving copies to counsel. They will know what they look like.

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Cleav.

THE COURT: All right. Counsel, I do appreciate your help on the questions; and I did take a look at some of the Ninth Circuit cases.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

MR. HOYT: Very good.

(Whereupon, the defendant entered the . courtment.)

MR. HOYT: If I might have a moment, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Of course, Mr. Hoyt. Take your time.

(Wheretpon, an off-the-record discussion was held between Mr. Hoyt and the defendant.)

MR. HDYT: May it please the court?

I have consulted with my client, and we are in agreement. The two answers as proposed by the court are acceptable.

THE COURT: Very well. With that stipulation, I will hand the two answers to Ms. Longstreet, ask that she take those into the jury room as our response, and bring Mo. Pulsifer with her to leave Ms. Pulsifer in the jury room to begin reading the transcript of Mr. Harding's testimony as they have requested.

We will be in recess until further call.

(Whereupon, the court reporter read a portion of the trial transcript containing the testimony of James C. Harding to the jury.)

(Subsequently, the following proceedings were

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

2868

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

22

23

24

25

held outside the presence of the jury:)

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Counsel, before we bring the jury in, given the questions that we have previously had from the jury, I propose to ask two questions before I allow the jury to tender the verdict.

The first question would be to the Forensn: Has the jury reached a verdict?

"Yes" or "no"?

And then, without telling me how the jury stands numerically, is it unanimous as to each count?

"Yes" or "no"?

If he answers, "No," I propose to ank:

Is the jury dead-locked as to some of the counts?

"Yes" or "po"?

If he says, "Yes," we can do either one of two things. I can send the jury back in, and we can talk about it at that point; or I would propose to give an Allen charge.

Your response?

MR. SULLIVAN: I would like to see the Allen charge, of course, Your Honor. I'm sure Mr. Hoyt would, too. Other than that, I think that would be fine.

THE COURT: I won't send them back in if you are in agreement that those are the answers to give to

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

with one another and to deliberate in an effort to reach
a unanimous verdict if each of you can do so without
violating your individual judgment and conscience.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself but only after you consider the evidence impartially with your fellow jurors.

During your deliberations, you should not hesitate to re-examine your own views and change your opinion if you become persuaded that it is wrong.

However, you should not change an honest belief as to the weight or effect of the evidence solely because of the opinions of your fellow jurous or for the mere purpose of returning a verdict.

All of you are equally honest and conscientious jurous who have heard the same evidence.

All of you share an equal desire to arrive at a verdict.

Each of you should ask yourself whether you should question the correctness of your present position.

I remind you that, in your deliberations, you are to consider the instructions I have given you as a whole.

You should not single out part of any instruction, including this one, and ignore others. They are all equally important.

THE COURT: Mr. Steele, as the foreperson of
the jury, "yes" or "no," has the jury reached a verdict?
THE FOREPERSON: On some counts.
THE COURT: Without telling me how the jury
stands numerically, is it unanimous as to each count?

"Yes" or "no"?
THE FOREPERSON: To some of the counts. To
some of the counts.
THE COURT: Is the jury dead-locked as to some
of the counts? "Yes" or "no"?
THE FOREPERSON: Yes.

the question, which I will give the Allen instruction.

THE COURT: Fine. Bring in the jury.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were

which is 7.7 of the Ninth Circuit Model Rules.

MR. HDYT: That's acceptable.

Is that acceptable?

held in the presence of the jurys)

you a supplemental instruction.

2870

2872

Members of the jury: You have advised that you have been unable to agree upon a verdict as to each of the eleven counts in this case. I have decided to suggest a few thoughts to you.

As jurcas, you have a duty to discuss the case

THE COURT: Very well. I am now going to give

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

You may now retire and continue your deliberations. 2 3 Please rice. (Whoreupon, the jury was excused from the 4 countroom; and the following proceedings were hold 5 outside their presence:) 6 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, please be 7 seated. We are going to take the jury back down to the jury room that they have been conducting their 10 deliberations in. The court will be in recess subject to any 11 further communications from the jury. 12 (Rocoss.) 13 (Subsequently, the following proceedings were 14 held outside the presence of the jury:) 15 THE COURT: All right. Do we have Mr. Nolan 16 on the phone, or did that not work? 17 MR. HOYT: Your Honor, I just reached 18 Mr. Nolam; and he said that he would be on standby if 19 there were any further questions or issues, such as 20 another Allen issue. 21

CNR COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

have got another note from the jury that says:

"Judge Tallman:

THE COURT: Well, here is what I've got. I

"The jury is dead-locked on three counts and

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

24

. 25

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

has reached unanimous decisions on the other eight counts. We do not believe that we will be able to resolve this dead-lock.

"Thanks, Jim Steele," who is the foreperson. What we can do --

2873

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2875

MR. HOYT: May I? Since we have a question, may I go ahead and get Mr. Nolan? It will only take a moment. I have him on speed dial.

THE COURT: Absolutely.

MR. HOYT: Let me get him.

THE COURT: Cleay.

MR. HOVT: We figured out, by experimentation, that it worked through this microphone.

(Whereupon, Mr. Hoyt placed a telephone call to Mr. Nolan and, subsequently, the following proceedings were held:)

MR. HOWT: Mr. Nolam, you are now on speaker phone. The judge has advised us -- we are in session, and the judge has advised us that there is another note from the jury.

The jury has indicated that it is dead-locked on three and has unanimous verdicts on the other eight. And we are ready to proceed.

Your Honor, where do you think we are at this point?

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

jury room for further deliberation?

"If the foreperson's response is 'no,' then ask the following question of the entire panel:

"Do you feel there is a reasonable probability that the jury can reach a unanimous verdict if sent back to the jury room for further deliberations?"

Now, I believe that that post-Allen charge imquiry contemplated the situation where they are unable to reach agreement on any count.

Here, they have indicated that they have reached a unanimous decision on eight of the eleven counts.

Let me first ank Mr. Sullivan: What is the Government's position on the Post-Allen charge inquiry?

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I first want to note we have had trial for approximately three weeks and only two days of deliberations.

This is very early in the deliberations for them to be claiming to be dead-locked, to the extent that they wouldn't be able to resolve it if they underwent further deliberations.

I would suggest the court forego questioning them at this point. They have only deliberated two days. I would suggest we release them for the day, let them go home, and come back tomorrow and begin their THE COURT: Mr. Nolan, I will read it. For your benefit, I will read the note again.

It says: "The jury is dead-locked on three counts and has reached unanimous decisions on the other eight counts. We do not believe that we will be able to resolve this dead-lock."

Did you hear that, Mr. Nolan?

MR. HDYT: Did you hear that, Mr. Nolan?

MR. NOLAN: Yes, I did. Yes. And my suggestion is that you agree to allow it, if the Judge is willing to take the verdicts, and to declare the remaining a hung jury.

THE COURT: Let me suggest this: The Ninth Circuit Model Instructions -- the Committee recommends a Post-Allen charge inquiry under Ninth Circuit 7.8 and the comment -- I will read it into the record -- says:

"If the jury indicates that it is dead-locked after an Allen charge is given, the Committee recommends asking the foreperson of the jury the following:

*In your opinion, is the jury hopelessly dead-locked?

"If the foreperson's response is 'yes,' then ask the foreperson:

"Is there a reasonable probability that the jury can reach a unanimous verdict if sent back to the

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

17

deliberations again.

And if we get another note, then we go through the process suggested by the Committee.

THE COURT: All right. Let me hear then from the defense.

MR. HOYT: All right. Mr. Nolan, did you hear that?

MR. NOLAN: I heard most of it. My understanding is that the court has indicated a possible scenario of questions, and that the Government said they didn't want that, that they wanted to send the jury back for further deliberations and see what they say towards. An I course?

MR. HDYT: I think that's a good summation.

MR. NCIAN: All right. My request, on behalf of Mr. Hinkson, would be that the court go through the colloquy that the court is proposing on the basis, number one, that they have demonstrated that they are able to agree which is, I think, a factor to consider in whether or not to force them to continue to deliberate.

If you had a situation where they had not agreed, you might be talking about some kind of hostility or jumping to opinion.

But the fact that they were able to agree, I think, indicates that they are and have considered this

12 13 14

15 16

17 18 19

> 20 21

22 23 24

25

7 8

13

16

19 20 21

22 23 24

They have shown a split for quite some time. from the notes from the jury. They appear to be working and have worked very hard, and I think it should be resolved now by way of accepting it.

Going through the collegey that the court recommends allows a jury who disagrees to be able to say, "No, I want to spend more time." If they all agree, I think it would be inappropriate to send them back to further deliberate.

THE COURT: I will note for the record that the Committee note cites to a Ninth Circuit 1978 decision, Armold vs. McCarthy, 566 F.2d 1377, 1387.

It indicates seven factors that the court should consider before declaring the jury hopelessly dead-locked; and they inclined:

- 1. A timely objection by the defendant;
- 2. The jury's collective opinion that it cannot agree;
- 3. The length of the deliberations of the jury;
 - 4. The length of the trial;
- 5. The complexity of the issues presented to the jury:
 - 6. Any proper communications which the Judge

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

whether or not, in the collective opinion of the jury, further deliberations might prove fruitful if we send them home for the evening and lets them come back fresh in the morning.

Depending upon how that question is answered, we can poll each individual jurer and ask that and, at that point, see whether or not it's fruitless to have them deliberate further.

> How does that sound, Mr. Sullivan? MR. SULLIVAN: Agreeable, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt or Mr. Nolan? MR. HOYT: Mr. Nolan, first of all, did you

understand what it was the Judge said? MR. NCLAN: What I heard -- what I heard was

that he thinks he should ask the jury now about whether or not they think, by coming back, it would make a difference, or words to that effect.

I agree that the court, at this point, should question the jurors and then make a decision based upon the response from the jurous.

THE COURT: Very well. With that understanding, go ahead, Mr. Hoyt.

MR. HOYT: And, Your Honor, for the record, the defendant is placing an appropriate -- any further Allen charge objection on the record.

QNA COURT REPORTENTS (208) 484-6309

has had with the jury; and

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2879

7. The effects of possible exhaustion and the impact which coercion of further deliberations might have on the verdict.

2878

2880

I'm trying to factor those in. I would say that we did have eleven days of testimony, a full day of argument. Jury deliberations commenced last Wednesday morning -- I guess it was yesterday -- the 26th, at approximately 9:00 a.m.

The jury deliberated until approximately 12:30 yesterday, took an hour luncheon recess, and then deliberated again until approximately 5:00 o'clock last nicht.

Then they requested a read-back of Mr. Harding's testimony. That commenced at about ten after 9:00 this morning, the 27th of January. It took until approximately 10:40 a.m.

They resumed deliberations, took a luncheon recess at approximately 12:40 p.m., until about 1:30 or 1:40 p.m., and then sent out the note at about 2:20 p.m. that we addressed the last time we met.

The court gave an Allen charge at approximately 3:10 p.m. today, the 27th; and it is now almost 5:00 p.m. Mountain Standard Time.

I think we cught to, at least, inquire as to

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE COURT: I am not proposing to give a 1 further Allen charge at this point. The only indication 2 in the comments is simply a Post-Allen inquiry, which is 3 what I am proposing to do, and then whether or not they think that further deliberations will be fruitful on the 5 three counts on which they cannot reach agreement. I

MR. HDYT: All right. Excellent. Thank you, Your Honor.

don't propose to further instruct them.

MR. NOLAN: Thank you, Your Honor. I will hang up unless I'm needed again.

THE COURT: Very well, Mr. Nolan. We will call you and let you know as soon as we get some answers

> MR. HOYT: Talk to you later. Bye. (Whereupon, Mr. Nolan hung up the tolephone.) THE COURT: Let's bring in the jury. (Whereupon, the following proceedings were

THE COURT: Mr. Steele, as the foreperson of the jury, I understand, from your note, that you have reached a unanimous agreement as to some counts but are dead-locked as to others; is that correct?

THE POREPERSON: Correct.

held in the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: In your opinion, is the jury

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

9 10 11

12

14 15

> 17 18

2881 hopelessly dead-locked on those counts on which they carmot reach agreement? THE FOREPERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Is there a reasonable probability the jury can reach a unanimous verdict if sent back to the jury room for further deliberations as to those COUNTS? THE FOREPERSON: No. sir. THE COURT: All right. Counsel, unless --9 well, let me ask one other question. 10 Do you think that if I sent you all home for 11 the evening that you could have a good night's rest and 12 come back here tomorrow morning to continue 13 14 deliberations and that you might be able to reach a unanimous verdict as to all counts? 15 16 Let me ask each one of you that question. Having that question in mind, Mr. Casey? 17 TRIAL JUROR CASEY: No. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Taylor? 19 TRIAL JUROR TAYLOR: No. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Bennett? 21 TRIAL JUROR BENNETT: No. 22 23 THE COURT: Mr. Steele? 24 THE FOREPERSON: No, sir. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Sawin?

QNA COURT REPORTENZ (208) 484-6309

2883 1 THE COURT: Mr. Steele, would you hand the 2 signed verdict form to the bailiff, please? 3 Mr. Hinkson, would you please rise and face the jury? All right. Madam Clerk, would you please read 5 the verdict? 7 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Should I just say "no verdict"? THE COURT: Yea. 10 THE COURTROOM CLERK: We, the jury, find as 11 follows: 12 As to Count 1, no verdict: 13 As to Comt 2, no verdict: 14 As to Count 3, no verdict; 15 As to Count 4, not guilty; 16 As to Count 5, not guilty; 17 As to Count 6, not guilty; 18 As to Count 7, guilty; 19 As to Count 8, guilty; 20 As to Count 9, guilty; 21 As to Count 10, not guilty. 22 As to Count 11, not guilty. 23 So say we all. 24 Signed, Jim Steele, Foreperson. 25 Dated January 27, 2005.

2882 TRIAL JUROR SAWIN: No. 1 THE CHIET: Mr. Blatt? 2 TRIAL JURCE BLATT: No. 3 THE COURT: Ms. Howell? TRIAL JUROR HOWELL: No. THE COURT: Ms. Haynes? TRIAL JUROR HAYNES: No. THE COURT: All right. Ms. Crawford? TRIAL JUROR CRAWFORD: No. 9 THE COURT: Ms. Palmedo? 10 TRIAL JURGE PALMEDO: No. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Lee? 12 13 TRIAL JURCE LEE: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. West? 14 TRIAL JUROR WEST: No. 15 16 THE COURT: Coursel, based upon the jury's 17 response, I propose at this time to ask the foreperson 18 to tender the verdict. I will review it and proceed to receive the 19 verdict as to those counts on which the jury has reached 20 21 a unanimous agreement. 22 Is that acceptable to the Government? MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt? 24 25 MR. HOYT: Yes, Your Honor.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

2884 THE COURT: Would the parties like the jury polled? 2 Mr. Sullivan? 3 MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt? 6 MR. HOYT: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Madam Clerk, would you please poll 8 each member of the fury? THE COURTROOM CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen, as 9 10 I read your name, just answer "yes" or "no." Answer 11 "yes" if this is your verdict; "no" if it is not, as I 12 read it. 13 Mr. Casey? Is this your verdict? 14 TRIAL JUROR CASEY: Yes. 15 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Mr. Taylor, is this your verdict? 16 17 TRIAL JUROR TAYLOR: Yes. 18 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Mr. Bennett? 19 TRIAL JUROR BENNETT: Yes. 20 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Mr. Steele? 21 THE POREFERSON: Yes. 22 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Mr. Sawin? 23 TRIAL JURGR SAWIN: Yes. 24 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Mr. Blatt?

TRIAL JURCE BLATT: Yes.

25

TRIAL JUROR HOWELL: Yes. 2

2885

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Ms. Haynes?

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Ms. Howell?

TRIAL JUROR HAYNES: Yes.

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Ms. Crawford?

TRIAL TIPOR CRAMPORD. Ves

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Ms. Palmedo?

TRIAL JUROR PALMEDO: Yes.

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Mr. Lee?

TRIAL JUROR LER: Yes.

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Ms. West?

TRIAL JUROR WEST: Yes.

THE COURTROOM CLERK: All in the affirmative.

Your Honor.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Very well. The court will accept the verdict as to Counts 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 and order it filed.

I will declare a mistrial as to Counts 1, 2, and 3.

ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I would like to thank you for your efforts to reach a unanimous agreement on all of the counts.

I will accept your verdict on those counts on which you have reached a unanimous verdict; but I am chligated by law to declare a mistrial as to the

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 494-6309

released."

In light of the jury's verdict, the defendant now bears the burden of showing that he is not a flight risk or a danger to the community.

I am relying upon United States vs. Wheeler at 795 F.2d 839, 840, Nirth Circuit, 1986.

There is no presumption that release is appropriate, and the Government does not have the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is a flight risk or a danger to others.

The defendant has not introduced evidence sufficient to meet his burden of showing by clear and convincing evidence that he is not a flight risk or a danger to others.

Prior to trial, the court held that pretrial detention was required because the defendant was awaiting sentencing in the tax case and, also, because, under applicable pretrial detention standards of proof, the court was patisfied that he was a flight risk and a danger to others or to the community, as outlined in my order, docket number 129, denying the defendant's motion for a de novo detention hearing.

Following the jury's verdict of guilty in the tax case, this court ordered the defendant detained under Section 3143(a)(1).

remaining counts on which you were unable to reach agreement.

At this time, I will discharge you from further jury service with the thanks of the court.

You are relieved of all of the restrictions that I previously imposed upon you. You may choose to talk about the case with anyone you wish, or you may choose not to speak with anyone. It is entirely up to

The court will meet with you privately in the jury room to say good bye and to thank you, each of you personally, for your jury service.

You may now retire to the jury room.

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Please rise. 14

(Whereupon, the jury was excused from the courtroom; and the following proceedings were held outside their presence:)

THE COURT: As to the custodial status of Mr. Hinkson, under 18 USC Section 3143(a) (1), the count, quote, "shall order that a person who has been found guilty of an offense and who is awaiting imposition or execution of sentence be detained unless the judicial officer finds by clear and convincing evidence that the person is not likely to flee or pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

In addition to the substantial evidence before

the court when it rendered that order, the court now has to consider the fact that the defendant has been found guilty by a jury of soliciting the murders of federal officers in Counts 7, 8, and 9 of the Superseding Indictment.

That conduct for which the defendant has now been found guilty by proof beyond a reasonable doubt constitutes a direct attack on the safety of federal judicial, prosecutorial, and law enforcement officers and the due administration of justice.

I note, also, that the defendant committed the crimes for which the jury has now convicted him of while he was on pretrial release following his November 21, 2000, arrest on the tax case Indictment, which is Case No. 02-CR-142-S-RCT.

In addition, the court credited the testimony introduced at trial that, while the defendant has been incarcerated pending sentencing in the tax case and trial on these charges here, the defendant has continued to engage in efforts to solicit murders as recently as November 2004.

The court finds that this past misconduct demonstrates, beyond question, that to release the defendant would place the lives and safety of others and

2888

2886

ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

the community at large in jecpardy.

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Furthermore, the defendant remains incarcerated subject to sentencing following the jury's guilty verdict in the tax case.

2889

For these reasons, the court holds that release pending sentencing is prohibited by 18 USC Section 3143(a) (1) and finds by proof beyond a reasonable doubt that no conditions will adequately protect the safety and security of the community.

Alternatively, the court reiterates its prior findings that, if released, the defendant would flee the jurisdiction to avoid punishment for his crimes.

The defendant is remarked to the custody of the United States Marshal.

Sentencing shall be scheduled for March 28, 2005, commencing at 9:00 a.m. Mountain Time in Boise. Will the clerk read the attendant dates to

that order?

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. SULLIVAN: Excuse me, Your Honor. Could I bring up one matter? Mr. Taxay and I have another trial that starts March the 15th that may go two weeks.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SULLIVAN: Could we make the sentencing

date a little later?

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

MR. HOYT: Your Honor, counsel is wondering if
it is jurisdictional to file a Motion for New Trial
within seven days or can we get some time?
THE COURT: I will give you thirty days.

MR. HOYT: That's all we have. Thank you.

THE COURT: Very well. The court will be in

adjournment.

Thank you, all, for your efforts.
(Wheroupon, the court stood adjourned.)

* * *

THE COURT: Do you want a longer date than March 28th? 2 MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, please. 3 THE COURT: Let's see. Alternatively, I can schedule it for April 25, 2005, at 9:00 a.m. here in 5 6 Boige. Very well. The clerk will read the dates that 7 are attendant to that date. THE COURTROOM CLERK: Date of sentence, April 25, 2005, at 9:00 a.m. before Judge Tallman; 10 Original report due to counsel March 21, 2005; 11 12 Notification of objections by commel due 13 April 4, 2005; Final report due to court and coursel April 14 15 18, 2005. THE COURT: Anything further that the court 16 17 needs to take up at this time? MR. SULLIVAN: Nothing further from the 18 19 Government. THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt? 20 21 MR. HOYT: Your Honor, if I might have a moment to consult with co-counsel? 22 THE COURT: Of course. 23

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

(Whorsupon, Mr. Hoyt places a telephone call

24

25

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to Mr. Nolan.)

2892

CERTIFICATE

I, LCRI A. RULSIFER, certify that I made a shorthand record of the matter contained herein, and that the foregoing typewritten pages contain a full, true, and accurate transcript of said shorthand record, done to the best of my skill and ability.

DATED this 27th day of April 2005.

ICRI A. FULSIFER, CSR, RMR, CRR Certified Shorthand Reporter Idaho Certificate 354

·