1606

Κ

H

THAN THE NUMBER OF SHOTS IS WHERE THE SHOTS WERE PLACED. THE EXCEPTION OF WHAT WE HAVE TERMED THE FIFTH SHOT, ONE BETWEEN THE THUMB AND THE FOREFINGER, THE WEBBING, KIND OF THE ANOMALLY SHOT, IF YOU WILL, THREE OF THOSE SHOTS ARE IN THE REAR OF THE BODY, ONE IN THE BACK OF THE HEAD AND TWO IN THE BACK. THE OTHER REMAINING SHOT IS THE ONE THAT ENTERS

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

MR

AB.

SH

0F

MR

IT

9

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

ADDED COMMENTARY

DR. OZOA DID NOT TESTIFY THIS AT ALL. BUT RE-PEATING THIS LIE SO MANY TIMES TO THE JURY, WHILE SCHROEDER REFUSED TO OBJECT, OBSCURED THE ACTUAL TESTIMONY INTO OBLIVION, AND THIS BECAME THE SUBSTITUTED "FACTS" IN EVERYONE'S MIND

PARTICULAR SHOT OR THE WOUND TRACK, AS WE CALLED IT, WAS HORIZONTAL TO THE GROUND. IT DIDN'T GO UP, IT DIDN'T GO DOWN.

DR. OZOA TESTIFIED WITHOUT CONTRADICTION THERE IS ONLY ONE WAY A SHOT LIKE THAT CAN TAKE PLACE. MR. ALLRED HAD TO HAVE HAD HIS BACK TO THE DEFENDANT IN ORDER FOR THAT SHOT TO TAKE PLACE. WE ALSO KNOW THAT IF MR. ALLRED WAS STANDING, HE WOULD HAVE TO BE STANDING WITH HIS BACK IN SUCH A MANNER THAT THE BULLET WOULD BE ABLE TO PASS THROUGH SO IT WOULD STAY HORIZONTAL WITH THE GROUND SINCE THERE WAS NO ANGLE UP OR DOWN. WE ALSO KNOW THAT IF MR. ALLRED WERE LYING DOWN ON THE GROUND, SINCE THERE IS NO ANGLE, HE WOULD HAVE TO BE LYING DOWN ON HIS FACE AND ON HIS STOMACH AND THE GUN WOULD HAVE TO BE POSITIONED OVER THE BACK IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THAT ANGLE

GOING STRAIGHT THROUGH THE BODY, NO ANGLE, STAYING HORIZ TO THE GROUND.

EXHIBIT

3 PAGES

THE COURT: WELL, YOU CAN DO THAT WITHOUT REFERENCE TO THE CRIME SCENE BECAUSE IF YOU ALLOW HIM TO RECONSTRUCT IT FROM THE CRIME SCENE, YOU ARE INFERENTIALLY IMPLANTING IN THE MINDS OF THE JURY CERTAIN SPECULATIVE PROCESSES WHICH AREN'T THERE. WE DON'T KNOW THE POSITION OF THE VICTIM, WE DON'T KNOW THE POSITION OF THE SHOOTER. IF YOU WANT TO ASK HIM WHAT POSITION A HEAD WOULD HAVE TO BE IN VIS-A-VIS A GUN, YOU CAN DO IT WITHOUT REFERENCE TO THE CRIME SCENE.

MR. HAMES: GKAY.

THE COURT: AND I AM NOT PERMITTING A RECONSTRUCTION
OF THE CRIME SCENE.

MR. HAMES: THAT WAS NOT MY INTENTION.

THE COURT: THAT ISN'T GOING TO HAPPEN.

MR. HAMES: THAT WAS NOT MY INTENTION.

MR. SCHROEDER: THAT IS WHAT I WANTED TO MAKE SURE ABOUT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SO IF YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE HIM

1LLUSTRATE THAT FOR A WOUND TO TRAVEL IN THIS DIRECTION, THE

HEAD HAS TO BE HERE AND THE GUN HAS TO BE HERE, --

MR. HAMES: UH-HUH.

THE COURT: -- THAT IS APPROPRIATE, BUT WE DON'T

NEED TO ASSUME THAT THE SHOOTER IS IN A CERTAIN POSITION AND

THE VICTIM IS IN ANOTHER POSITION TO DO THAT VIS-A-VIS THE

CRIME SCENE.

ADDED COMMENTARY

MR.

THE

MR.

JUDGE ORDERS PROSECUTOR NOT TO INVENT EVIDENCE BY RECONSTRUCTING THEORITICAL "EVIDENCE" FROM FIXED POINTS AT THE CRIME SCENE, BUT...

1607 ADDED COMMENTARY 1 LRED ...THE PROSECUTOR IMMEDIATELY AND CONTINUOUSLY 2 VIOLATED THAT ORDER, WITH NO OBJECTION THROUGH-ULAR OUT TRIAL, MANUFACTURING FALSE EVIDENCE OF A 3 GRUESOME MURDER BY REFERENCES TO "FIXED POINTS" THE 4 OF FLOOR, WALLS, ETC. 5T A 5 AND 6 7 BASED UPON THE REST OF THE EVIDENCE, IS THAT MR. ALLRED 8 WAS NOT STANDING WITH HIS BACK TO THE DEFENDANT. AND YOU SAY 9 WHY? 10 ALLY PIERCED THROUGH MR. ALLRED'S BODY: THE LOWER 11 BACK SHO THAT I HAVE BE 12 ADDED COMMENTARY THROUGH! E WEB OF THE EXHIBIT O SHOWS THIS "MANUFACTURED" RECOVERED OTH: ONE IN 13 SCENARIO IS 100% PROVEN PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE; NONETHELESS SCHROEDER SHOULD BE ID SECOND IN 14 AGREED IT WAS TRUE, TO THE JURY 15 TESTIFIED (SEE EXHIBIT P) THAT. 16 WHATI ARTICULARL 17 BULLET OR BELETS ABOVE THE FLOOR. IN THE CASE OF THE CUBBY, 18 THE BULLET 8 INCHES ABOVE THE DOOR. REMEMBER OFFICER 19 CAMPOS TESTA 20 THAT BULLET WAS 12 INCHES OR 1 FOOT ABOVE THE 21 FLOOR. WHAT DOES THAT INDICATE AS IT RELATES TO THAT THIRD 22 SHOT IN THE BACK? IN ORDER TO HAVE A BULLET, LADIES AND 23 GENTLEMEN, EITHER 8 INCHES OR 12 INCHES OFF THE FLOOR, 24 MR. ALLRED HAD TO BE AT LEAST ON HIS KNEES WITH HIS BACK TO 25 THE DEFENDANT. 26 27 THAT WAS SLIGHTLY A ADDED COMMENTARY 28 ONE, CAME OVER THE ! THE JURY NEVER HEARD THE TRUE DEPICTION: (SEE EXHIBIT S)