POLICE PHOTO:

frank in the

THIS PHOTO, THE JURY NEVER GOT TO KNOW ABOUT, SHOWS THE UNOBSTRUCTED VIEW OF MORE THAN 3 STANDARD 12-INCH FLOOR TILE SQUARES BETWEEN THE DOOR WHERE IT'S HINGED ON ITS DOORFRAME ONTO THE WALL, IN A PERPENDICULAR LINE FROM THERE.


THIS UNCONTROVERTABLE PROOF BY THE POLICES' OWN PHOTO, OF AT LEAST A 39-INCH UNOBSTRUCTED OPENING IN WHICH THE 30-INCH DOOR COULD FREELY OPEN, SHOWS THAT AT LEAST 3 POLICE OFFICERS JOINED TOGETHER TO COMMIT PERJURY ON THIS ISSUE, IMPORTANT TO THE VERDICT



EXHIBIT

KK

8 PAGES



THE POLICE PHOTO INTENDED FOR THIS EXHIBIT, PRESERVED FOR MANY YEARS, HAS DISAPPEARED (ALONG
WITH OTHERS) FROM MR. LAZOR'S LEGAL FILES IN
PRISON, WHILE NEAR COMPLETION OF THIS YEARS-LONG
DOCUMENT PREPARATION. THE PHOTO ABOVE IS USED AS
AN ALTERNATIVE. ITS VIEW IS SOMEWHAT OBSTRUCTED,
BUT SUFFICIENTLY SHOWS THE DOOR OPENING PATH WAS
AT LEAST 36- TO 39-INCHES. THE MISSING PHOTO
SHOWED THAT THE ITEMS IN THE FOREGROUND (VACUUM
& TABLE LEGS IN BASKET) DID NOT OBSTRUCT THE
DOOR, AS ALSO VERIFIED BY THE POLICE STATEMENTS
CLAIMING ONLY THE LARGE CHAIR OBSTRUCTED IT.
(SEE RT 494, THIS EXHIBIT)



1

2 3

4

6

7 8

9

10

Q

YOU,

THE

OVER

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

27

26

28

AND DOES IT SHOW ITS APPROXIMATE LOCATION OR HAD THE CHAIR BEEN MOVED?

NO, THE CHAIR HAD NOT BEEN MOVED.

CORNER OF THE PANTRY, DOES THAT SHOW A THER VIEW OF THE SAME

CHAIR? . IT DOES.

> ADDED COMMENTARY OFFICER CAMPOS TRUTHFULLY VERIFIES THE

CHAIR IN THE POLICE PHOTO (PREVIOUS PAGE, HERE) "HAD NOT BEEN MOVED"

G THE

EFORE

WITH

THE COURT: MR. HAMES, I NOTE THE HOUR IS 10:30. IS THIS A CONVENIENT TIME FOR THE RECESS IN YOUR EXAMINATION?

MR. HAMES: IT IS, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE WILL TAKE OUR MORNING RECESS OF ABOUT 15 MINUTES. DURING THE RECESS, PLEASE HEED ALL ADMONITIONS PREVIOUSLY GIVEN.

(WHEREUPON, A SHORT RECESS WAS TAKEN, AFTER WHICH THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD:)

THE COURT: THE TRIAL RECORD WILL SHOW THAT ALL JURGRS ARE PRESENT, COUNSEL ARE PRESENT, THE DEFENDANT IS PRESENT, THE WITNESS HAS RESUMED THE STAND.

PLEASE PROCEED.

MR. HAMES: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

(BY MR. HAMES) OFFICER CAMPOS, I WOULD LIKE TO REFER YOU NOW TO A DIAGRAM NO. 11 WHICH HAS BEEN MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION. YOU PREVIOUSLY INDICATED THAT YOU PERSONALLY

Police Officer Campos examined by prosecutor: 361 1 Q WHAT IS THE WIDTH OF THAT ENTRANCE OR THAT DOORWAY WIDTH? 2 IT WOULD BE 2 FEET 4 INCHES. 3 AND YOU HAVE ALSO INDICATED A DOORWAY ON THE NORTHERN 4 PART OR TH ADDED COMMENTARY 5 YES. OFFICER CAMPOS TRUTHFULLY VERIFIES THE б Q AND D DOOR THE ATTACKER BASHED OPEN IS ONLY 7 30 INCHES WIDE $(2\frac{1}{2} \text{ FEET})$ Α THE W 8 C CNA Q 9 YES, I DID. 10 AND THE HEIGHT OF THAT PARTICULAR DUTER DOOR? 11 12 NGW, REFERRING TO THE INNER PANTRY DOOR, THE DOOR THAT 13 GGES FROM THE PANTRY TO THE KITCHEN OR, IF YOU WILL, FROM THE 14 KITCHEN TO THE PANTRY, DID YOU MEASURE THE WIDTH OF THAT 15 ENTRANCE? A YES, I DID. 16 AND THE WIDTH OF THAT ENTRANCE, PLEASE? 17 2 FEET 6 INCHES. 18 19 DOGRWAY, THE DOGRWAY GOING FROM THE KITCHEN INTO THE PANTRY? 20 YES, I DID. 21 AND THE HEIGHT OF THE THAT DOOR, PLEASE? 22 IT WOULD BE 6 FEET 6 INCHES. 23 AND HAVE ALL OF THESE MEASUREMENTS THAT YOU HAVE JUST 24 INDICATED BEEN SO PLACED ON THE DIAGRAM MARKED PEOPLE'S 11? 25 YES, THEY ARE. 26 NOW, REFERRING TO THE KITCHEN AREA, YOU HAVE TAKEN 27 ADDITIONAL MEASUREMENTS; IS THAT CORRECT? 28 YES, 1 010.

Police Officer Campos examined by Schroeder:

493 1 ASSUME THAT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT THEY INSTRUCTED YOU ON WAS 2 TO TAKE THOROUGH MEASUREMENTS OF THE WHOLE CRIME SCENE? 3 YES. 4 IS THERE ANY PARTICULAR REASON THAT YOU CAN THINK OF, 5 LOOKING BACK ON THIS, THAT YOU DIDN'T TAKE MEASUREMENTS OF 6 THAT PARTICULAR SPOT IN WHICH MR. ALLRED WAS FOUND RIGHT IN 7 FRONT OF? 8 WELL, ON NO. 1 ON ITEM NO. 13, WE HAD THE DISTANCE 9 MEASURED FROM THE NORTH WALL TO THE SOUTH WALL AND THE EAST 10 WALL, SO THERE WAS NO REAL NEED AT THE TIME TO EVEN HAVE JUST 11 THAT SECTION MEASURED. 12 BUT YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT THE DIMENSIONS OF THAT SECTION 15 ARE EVEN TODAY, DO YOU? NG, I DON'T. 14 Q THE ADDED COMMENTARY 15 PANTE ВΥ OFFICER CAMPOS UNDER OATH SWEARS TO THE 16 OFFIC JURY THAT THE BASHED DOWN DOOR ("PANTRY DOOR") COULD NOT FULLY OPEN DUE TO FURNI-17)TH. TURE OBSTRUCTING IT. (COMPARE POLICE PHOTO, FIRST PAGE OF THIS EXHIBIT) 18 THERE 19 0 EER 20 PLACED IN EVIDENCE? 21 SOME POLAROID SHOTS, SOME OF THE CLOSE-UP SHOTS OF THE 22 23 NOW, WHEN YOU CAME INTO THE PANTRY-OFFICE AREA, YOU HAD 24 INDICATED WHEN YOU WERE BEING QUESTIONED THAT THE DOOR --25 EXCUSE ME -- THAT THE DOOR OF THAT PANTRY-OFFICE AREA WOULD 26 NOT OPEN ALL THE WAY, CORRECT? 27 THAT'S CORRECT. 28

Police Officer Campos examined by Schroeder:

	ADDED COMMENTARY
1	SAID WHY DOOR OPENING FACT IS SO IMPORTANT SER, DID
2	YOU (SURE AND
3	WHAT YOU
4	DID YOU CONSIDER THAT THE PERSON WHO FIRED THE GUN
5	MAY HAVE BEEN STANDING AT OR NEAR THAT DOORWAY? BY THE
5	"DOORWAY", I AM REFERRING TO THE ONE TO THE PANTRY-OFFICE AREA
7	FROM THE KITCHEN. A YES.
8	Q AND DID YOU ACTUALLY MEASURE THE DISTANCE THAT THE DOOR
9	WOULD OPEN; IN OTHER WORDS, HOW FAR IT WOULD ACTUALLY OPEN
10	BEFORE IT WAS STOPPED BY THAT CHAIR?
11	A NO.
12	Q IS THERE ANY PARTICULAR REASON YOU DIDN'T MEASURE THAT?
13	A NO.
14	Q WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU JUST AT THE TIME DIDN'T
15	THINK IT WAS IMPORTANT, THAT'S WHY YOU DIDN'T MEASURE IT?
1 ō	A I JUST DIDN'T THINK OF IT AT THE TIME.
17	Q NOW, YOU ARE ESTIMATING THAT IT WAS 1 1/2 TO 2 FEET THAT
18	THE DOOR COULD BE OPENED? A ESTIMATE, YES.
19	Q BUT THAT'S DEFINITELY AN ESTIMATE ON YOUR PART. YOU
20	REALLY AREN'T SURE AS TO THE WIDTH OF IT THAT IT ACTUALLY
21	OPENED; IS THAT FAIR TO SAY? A YES.
22	Q NOW, YOU SAID THAT YOU REMEMBERED THAT YOU HAD TO TURN
23	SIDEWAYS TO GET THROUGH THE DOOR?
24	A YES.
25	
26	OR WAS ADDED COMMENTARY 55 ING
27	THROUGH OFFICER CAMPOS REITERATES HIS PERJURY
28	ABOUT DOOR OPENING, TO FRAME LAZOR FOR
	MURDER SOOR.

Prosecutor arguing to jury against Lazor:

1687 NOT SCARED TO DEATH, TO USE HIS TERMS, WHEN MR. ALLRED ARRIVED 1 ITE 2 AT ADDED COMMENTARY 3 SIM ISE, IMPORTANCE OF THE 3 POLICE OFFICERS' SWORN OATHS THAT THE DOOR OPENED ONLY 18-24 HIS HE INCHES -- AS PROOF OF MURDER, AS ARGUED THE PRE HERE. YET THE PHOTO THE JURORS NEVER SAW PROVES ALL 3 POLICE OFFICERS COMMITTED 6 DRI ULD PERJURY ON THIS FABRICATED CLAIM 7 BECI THE HE 8 HOU! LEAVE THE HOUSE BASED UPON TOST CERTAINLY THE MEETING OF 9

WHAT WAS THE DEFENDANT'S REACTION WHEN MR. ALLRED CAME I THINK HIS REACTION IS QUITE SIMPLE IN THIS INTO THE HOUSE? THE DEFENDANT PLACED HIMSELF TO THE LEFT OR THE SOUTH CASE. SIDE OF THAT PANTRY DOOR; WHEN YOU LOOK FROM THE PANTRY OUT INTO THE KITCHEN, TO THE LEFT OF THAT PARTICULAR DOOR. YOU SAY WHY WOULD HE PLACE HIMSELF TO THE LEFT OF THAT THE REASON IS SIMPLY, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, PARTICULAR DOOR? THAT PARTICULAR DOOR WOULD ONLY OPEN 18 TO 24 INCHES. WE KNOW THE DOOR WOULD ONLY OPEN A VERY SHORT DISTANCE, SO SHORT OF A DISTANCE THAT ONE WOULD HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE DOOR WE HAVE THE UNDISPUTED TESTIMONY OF OFFICER DATES, SIDEWAYS? OFFICER CAMPOS AND DETECTIVE MC CARTY, THREE TRAINED OBSERVERS WHO SPECIFICALLY RECALLED THAT LOCATION AND POSITION OF THE DOOR.

24 25

26

27

28

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CONFRONTATION? HE HAD PREPARED HIMSELF IN SUCH A WAY THAT ONCE THE CONFRONTATION ENDED, HE WAS PREPARED AND DID IN FACT CALL RIGHT OUT OF THE GATE BEFORE ANYBODY ELSE HIS ATTORNEY