Police Officer Oates examined by Schroeder: 268 1 OKAY. AND SO HIS HEAD WOULD HAVE BEEN FACED IN A 2 SOUT ADDED COMMENTARY 3 OFFICER OATES' TESTIMONY TO JURY OF WHERE 4 0 PHONE WAS IN RELATION TO ALLRED, UPON HIS AIR ARRIVAL AT SCENE, CONTRADICTS POLICE DIA-5 TO : IN GRAMS AND PHOTOS BECAUSE HIS TESTIMONY OF 6 THIS ATTACKER BEING ON THE FLOOR WAS FALSE... 7 0 8 THAT'S CORRECT. 9 AND THE TELEPHONE IS UP IN THIS AREA HERE (INDICATING): 10 11 SO IN EFFECT, THE TELEPHONE WAS ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF 12 MR. ALLRED; WAS IT NOT? 13 WELL, I WOULD SAY MORE BEHIND HIM. 14 BEHIND HIM AND TO HIS RIGHT? Q 15 YES, I WOULD SAY PROBABLY TO HIS RIGHT. 16 NOW, YOU RECALL TESTIFYING AT THE PRELIMINARY HEARING; DO 17 YOU NOT? A YES. 18 THAT WAS IN LOS GATOS FOR THE RECORD ON APRIL 5TH, 1983? 19 YES. 20 REFERRING FOR COUNSEL'S INFORMATION TO PAGE 81 OF THAT 21 TRANSCRIPT, DO YOU RECALL INDICATING THAT THE PHONE WAS TO THE 22 LEFT AND REAR OF MR. ALLRED? 23 NO, I DON'T RECALL SAYING THAT. IF I SAID IT, IT'S IN 24 THERE, I MAY HAVE SAID THAT. 25 26

THE PRELIMINARY HEARING, PAGE 81. I HAVE A COPY OF THE PAGE
HERE IF YOU WANT TO TAKE A LOOK AT IT JUST TO REFRESH YOUR
MEMORY, SEE IF IT -- STARTING WITH LINE 10 DOWN THROUG

27

28

21 PAGES

ADDED COMMENTARY

...HERE (4 MONTHS EARLIER) OATES STATED
THE PHONE WAS OPPOSITE THE ATTACKER -BECAUSE THE PREMISE WAS FALSIFIED. ALLRED
WAS STANDING AGAINST THE WALL OVER THE
TRASH BASKET NOWHERE NEAR THE PHONE WHEN
OATES AND LAZOR ARRIVED

OU.

KEYS WERE TO

ON THE GROUND ON

FIVE FEET.

AND WAS THIS A SINGLE KEY OR SEVERAL KEYS ON A RING? Q. 8 9 AND I TAKE IT THE PHONE WAS TO THE VICTIM'S RIGHT 10 WHEN YOU FIRST OBSERVED HIM, OR TOWARDS THE NORTH PORTION? 11 THE PHONE, IN RELATIONSHIP TO THE VICTIM, WOULD 12 13 HAVE BEEN IN AN AREA TO HIS LEFT AND REAR. 14 SAW WITHIN THE KITCHEN AREA, DID YOU DISCOVER WHAT TYPE OF 15 WEAPON THAT WAS UPON CLOSER OBSERVATION? 17 YES, I DID. 18 AND WHAT TYPE OF WEAPON WAS IT? 19 Α. IT APPEARED TO BE A BB OR PELLET TYPE OF HANDGUN IF YOU WILL. 20 21 Q. AND DID IT HAVE THE APPEARANCE OF BEING AN AUTOMATIC? 22 Α. YES, AND --23 Q. DID YOU OBSERVE ANY BLOOD ON THE WEAPON ITSELF? AS I RECALL, THERE WAS BLOOD ON EVERYTHING, ON ALL THE ITEMS ON THE FLOOR. 25

0085

283

IT'S FAIR TO SAY, THOUGH, THAT THAT FOOTPRINT WAS APPROXIMATELY HALFWAY UP THE DOOR, WASN'T IT, RIGHT AROUND THE

3 KNOE

1

2

5

6

7

ક

9

ADDED COMMENTARY

POLICE ADMISSION THAT OTHER POLICEMAN HANDLED THE BLOOD-COVERED BB GUN IN HIS HANDS (GLOVED OR NOT), SUBJECTING THE BLOOD Q TO HANDLING SMEARS WHICH LAZOR WAS LATER BLAMED FOR IN TRIAL WITHOUT OBJECTION, AS RIG SUPPOSED PROOF HE "WIPED" THE GUN OF HIS Α FINGERPRINTS, PROVING HE PLANTED IT ON ALLRED, PROVING MURDER

REA

THE

10

FOO

AVE

JUST A MOMENT? I NEED TO LOOK UP SOMETHING.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

11

- (BY MR. SCHROEDER) YOU DID SEE OFFICER CAMPOS PICK UP THE BB GUN, WHAT TURNED OUT LATER TO BE A BB GUN?
- I BELIEVE I DID.
- DO YOU RECALL IF HE USED HIS HANDS TO PICK THAT UP OR IF HE HAD SOME MECHANICAL DEVICE FOR PICKING IT UP?
- I RECALL OFFICER CAMPOS WEARING WHAT I WOULD CALL THE SURGICAL GLOVES THAT WE HAVE IN OUR SUPPLY KITS, AND I KNOW HE HAD THEM ON THAT DAY, BUT WHETHER OR NOT HE HAD THEM ON AT
- 21 THAT TIME, I CAN'T SAY.
- 22 SO YOU ARE SAYING THAT YOU DON'T REMEMBER WHETHER OR NOT
- 23 HE ACTUALLY HAD THE WEAPON IN HIS HANDS, YOU JUST REMEMBER
- 24 THAT HE PICKED IT UP SOMEHOW?
 - WELL, I REMEMBER HE HAD IT IN HIS HANDS, HE HAD PICKED IT UP.

26

25

27 28

PART?

Police Officer Campos examined by prosecutor: 405 ADDED COMMENTARY 1 Q CHEN THIS 6 FOOT MEASUREMENT, PROVES THE POLICE 2 WA: AND PROSECUTOR REPEATEDLY LIED ABOUT THE 6-FOOT WIDTH OF THE ROOM AND POSITIONS OF 3 Q TWO LAZOR AND ALLRED 4 CA UNAVOIDABLE EXPOSURE OF FALSIFIED (RE-POSITIONED) LOCATION OF BB GUN BY POLICE... 5 А 6 7 3 GOING TO NO. 8 ON THE DIAGRAM, THE METAL CABINET DOOR 9 WITH THE APPARENT BULLET HOLE WITHIN, DID YOU MEASURE THE 10 ENTRANCE OF WHAT APPEARS TO BE A BULLET HOLE TO THE EAST WALL 11 OR THE EAST PANTRY WALL? IN OTHER WORDS, FROM NO. 8 ON THE 12 DIAGRAM TO THE EAST PANTRY WALL? 13 YES, I DID. 14 AND THAT DISTANCE, PLEASE? 15 IT WOULD BE 6 FEET. 16 6 FEET EXACT? YES. 17 18 - THE TELEPHONE, DID YOU TAKE SOME MEASUREMENTS ON THE TELEPHONE AS FAR AS ITS DISTANCE FROM THE 19 20 NORTH SINK WALL? YES, I DID. 21 AND WHAT DISTANCES DID YOU RECORD ON THE DIAGRAM? 22 THAT WOULD BE 4 INCHES. 23 AND DID YOU ALSO RECORD IN YOUR NOTES A DISTANCE FROM THE 24 PANTRY OR EAST WALL? YES. 25 AND THAT DISTANCE? 26 IT WOULD BE 5 FEET 11 INCHES. 27 NOW, AS IT RELATES TO THE TELEPHONE, NO. 10, AND THE BB 28 GUN, NG. 4, YOU HAVE THE BB GUN IN FRONT OF THE TELEPHONE ON

Police Officer Campos examined by prosecutor:

| 1 | THE DIAGRAM, ISN'T THAT CORRECT, OR FURTHER FROM THE NORTH |
|-----|--|
| 2 | KITCHEN WALL; IS THAT CORRECT? A YES. |
| 3 | Q NOW, THE PHOTOGRAPH OF THE ITEMS SHOW DIFFERENTLY; ISN'T |
| 4 | THAT CORRECT? A YES, IT IS. |
| 5 | O BECAUSE THERE HAS BEEN SOME SORT OF MISTAKE AS TO YOUR |
| 6 | MEASUREMENTS AS IT RELATES TO ITEM 10, TELEPHONE, AND TO |
| 7 | ITEM 4, THE BB GUN? A YES. |
| 8 | Q AND WOULD YOU EXPLAIN WHAT MISTAKE WAS MADE? |
| 9 | A ON THE ORIGINAL CRIME SCENE SKETCH, I INADVERTENTLY |
| 10 | SWITCHED OR HAD PUT DOWN THE WRONG DISTANCES WHICH ARE FROM |
| 11 | THE SOUTH KITCHEN WALL ALL THE WAY UP TO NO. 10 AND ALL THE |
| 12 | WAY UP TO NO. 4. I HAD SWITCHED THE MEASUREMENTS ON THAT. |
| 13 | Q SO WHAT SHOULD THE CORRECT MEASUREMENTS BE; FIRST OF ALL, |
| 14 | BEGINNING WITH THE ITEM NO. 4, THE BB GUN? |
| 15 | A ITEM NO. 4, THE BB GUN MEASUREMENTS SHOULD BE JUST |
| 16 | OPPOSITE OF THAT OF NO. 10, WHICH WOULD BE 14 FEET & INCHES |
| 17 | FOR ITEM NO. 4. |
| 18 | Q OKAY. SO THAT WOULD MEAN 4 INCHES, THEN, FROM THE NORTH |
| 19 | SINK WALL? A YES, FOR NO. 4. |
| 20 | Q WOULD YOU CORRECT THAT, PLEASE, ON THE DIAGRAM? |
| 21 | A (WITNESS INDICATING.) |
| 22 | Q AND HOW FAR WOULD THAT SAME BE GUN BE FROM THE PANTRY OR |
| 2 3 | EAST |
| 24 | A ADDED COMMENTARY I THEIS COVERED UP BY THE PROSECUTOR'S ART- |
| 25 | MEA: FUL SCHEME THAT IT WAS A "TRANSPOSITIONAL |
| 26 | STIL MISTAKE" BY OFFICER CAMPOS, ACCIDENTLY SWITCHING THE GUN AND PHONE POSITIONS ON |
| 27 | Q PAPER JSE |
| 28 | OF T ONE |

| _ | 497 |
|-----|---|
| 1 | Q (BY MR. SCHROEDER) REFERRING YOU TO PEOPLE'S 9-5, THERE |
| 2 | IS IN FACT A CHALKED CIRCLE AROUND THAT ITEM, IS THERE NOT, |
| 3 | THAT .45? A YES. |
| 4 - | Q DID YOU MEASURE UP TO THE CHALKED CIRCLE OR DID YOU |
| 5 | MEASURE UP TO THE GUN, 1TSELF? |
| Ċ | A T BELIEVE WE PROBABLY ESTIMATED IT UP UNTIL ABOUT THE |
| 7 | MIDDLE SECTION. I COULDN'T REALLY SAY. |
| ક | Q YOU ARE NOT SURE WHERE YOU MEASURED? |
| 9 | A NO. |
| 10 | Q IN THIS INSTRUCTION, THIS 80 HOURS OF INSTRUCTION THAT |
| 11 | YOU HAD IN EVIDENCE COLLECTION, DID THEY EVER TELL YOU WHERE |
| 12 | TO MEASURE TO AM ITEM, SPECIFICALLY A GUM? |
| 13 | A APPROXIMATELY THE TRIGGER AREA. |
| 14 | Q AND THAT'S SOMETHING YOU HAVE BEEN SPECIFICALLY |
| 15 | INSTRUCTED ON, OR IS THAT |
| 16 | A WELL, BECAUSE OF THE ODD SHAPE OF THE GUN, GENERALLY IN |
| 17 | THE GENERAL AREA WOULD BE THE TRIGGER AREA, WHICH IS USUALLY |
| 18 | THE CENTER. |
| 19 | Q SO WHEN YOU MEASURED THAT I FOOT DISTANCE, THEN YOU HAD |
| 20 | ALREADY PICKED UP THE GUN SO YOU ESTIMATED ABOUT WHERE THE |
| 21 | TRIGGER HOUSING WAS WHEN YOU MEASURED I FOOT; IS THAT WHAT YOU |
| 22 | ARE SAYING? A YES. |
| 23 | Q IS THERE ANY PARTICULAR REASON THAT YOU PICKED UP THE GUN |
| 24 | BEFORE YOU MEASURED IT? |
| 25 | A WELL, SINCE IT HAD ALREADY BEEN CHALKED OFF, WE PRETTY |
| 26 | MUCH HAD A GENERAL IDEA WHERE THE LOCATION OF THE GUN WOULD |
| 27 | BE. |
| | l de la companya de |

BUT THE CHALK IS A SEMI-CIRCLE THAT'S CONSIDERABLY LARGER

498 THAN THE GUN, ITSELF, ISN'T IT? YES. 2 15 THAT A STANDARD PRACTICE, THEN, TO PICK UP THE ITEM ڌ BEFORE YOU MEASURE IT? NO. BUT YOU DO REMEMBER IN THIS PARTICULAR INSTANCE THAT THE 5 .45 WAS PICKED UP BEFORE THE MEASUREMENT WAS MADE? YES. 7 IS THERE ANY PARTICULAR REASON THAT THAT WAS DONE? 8 YES. 9 WHAT WAS THAT? FOR SAFETY PURPOSES? 10 YES. 11 12 IN THE PANTRY-OFFICE AREA. 13 HE ADDED COMMENTARY 14 PHO THESE TWO PAGES (497-498) SPEAK FOR THEM-15 JE-SELVES IN CATCHING POLICE TAMPERING WITH 15 TYP THE LOCATION OF THE .45 GUN, AND MAKING VAGUE APPROXIMATION-MEASUREMENTS THEY 17 Δ COULD LATER READJUST IN TRIAL TO FIT WITH THEIR FRAMING OF THE MURDER CASE 18 Q 19 ONLY AFTER BEING CAUGHT DID POLICE ADMIT CONDUCTING NON-STANDARD PROCEDURES INDICAT-20 ING TAMPERING WITH THE GUN. BUT THAT WAS _AR NEVER MADE EVIDENT TO THE JURY... 21 MAR ...AS AGAIN, SCHROEDER HELPED ANOTHER POL-22 ICE WITNESS COVER UP CRITICAL EVIDENCE-23 TAMPERING, OFFERING HIM A PLAUSIBLE EXCUSE TO KEEP THE JURY FROM UNDERSTANDING THEIR 24 REPOSITIONING, ALTERING, MANUFACTURING OF CRIME SCENE EVIDENCE 25 Q 26 27 OKAY. SO IT WASN'T UNTIL YOU SAW THE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT 28 YOU SAW IT WAS THERE? YES.

504 1 50 ARE YOU SAYING, THEN, THAT THE 9-INCH DIFFERENCE 2 BETWEEN 5 FEET 11 INCHES AND 5 FEET 2 INCHES IS ACCOUNTED FOR 3 BY THE RELATIVE POINTS TO WHICH YOU MEASURED THESE ITEMS? 4 CONSIDERING THE BARREL AND DISTANCE FROM INNER PART OF 5 THE PHONE, YES, THAT WOULD BE AN ACCURATE --6 50 YOU ARE SAYING, THEN, IT WAS 3 INCHES FROM THE TRIGGER 7 HOUSING THAT YOU POINTED TO TO THE CENTER OF THE TELEPHONE? ક YES. I DIDN'T REALLY MEASURE THOSE TWO POINTS, BUT I 9 SUBMIT THAT WOULD BE CORRECT. 10 OKAY. NOW, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, YOU ARE SAYING THAT THERE 11 WAS ABSOLUTELY NO MOVEMENT OF ANY OF THE ITEMS OF EVIDENCE; IS 12 THAT RIGHT? WELL, --13 AS FAR AS YOU KNOW? 14 THAT, I REALLY DON'T KNOW. 15 NOW, DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE FOLLOWING ITEM. 16 en de la composición de 17 THE CLERK: DEFENSE A MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION. 13 (WHEREUPON, THE ABOVE-MENTIONED ITEM, A PHOTOGRAPH, 19 WAS RECEIVED AND MARKED AS DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT A FOR 20 IDEN. ADDED COMMENTARY 21 TEM NINE INCH DISCREPANCY IN MEASUREMENT OF CRITICAL BB GUN POSITION, INDICATING RE-AND . _E'S POSITIONING/PLANTING; "STAGING" OF THE 23 9-2. 'HS. CRIME SCENE. THE LUDICROUSNESS OF THE OFFICER'S JUSTIFICATION SPEAKS FOR ITSELF 24 I NO S A 25 PIEC THE 26 TELEPHONE? YES. 27 DID YOU PUT THAT PIECE OF PAPER THERE? 28 Α NO.

| Police Offic | er Campos | examined | Ьy | Schroeder: |
|--------------|-----------|----------|----|------------|
|--------------|-----------|----------|----|------------|

| | ADDED COMMENTARY & |
|------------|---|
| 1 | 7 ADMISSIONS OF EVIDENCE TAMPERING [ARROWS, 505] |
| 2 | RIGHT MARGIN] BY POLICE OFFICER ON NEXT 3 PAGES (AND PAGE 513), INDICATING A *RE- |
| 3 <u>w</u> | STAGING" OF ENTIRE CRIME SCENE TO FRAME A MURDER CASE AGAINST LAZOR |
| 4 | Q NOW, REFERRING YOU TO DEFENSE A, IN LOOKING AT THAT |
| 5 | PHOTOGRAPH, DO YOU NOTICE THAT THERE IS A DIFFERENCE IN THE |
| 6 | POSITION, RELATIVE POSITION BETWEEN THE BS GUN AND THE |
| 7 | TELEPHONE 2 |
| 8 | Q DID YOU SEE THE BB GUN OR THE TELEPHONE MOVED IN ANY WAY? |
| 9 | A NO, I DIDN'T. |
| 10 | Q NOW, YOU ARE ABLE TO TELL THAT THERE IS A MOVEMENT, |
| 11 | NUMBER ONE, BECAUSE OF THE ANGLE OF THE BB GUN IN RELATION TO |
| 12 | THE TELEPHONE; ISN'T THAT CORRECT? A YES. |
| 13 | Q ADDITIONALLY, WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT THE TELEPHONE |
| 14 | CORD IS IN A DIFFERENT POSITION IN RELATIONSHIP TO THE BLOOD |
| 15 | SPLOTCH THAT'S IN THOSE TWO PHOTOGRAPHS? LET HE BE MORE |
| 16 | SPECIFIC: THE LOWER BLOCD SPLOTCH. A YES. #2 |
| 17 | Q IN FACT, IN DEFENSE STRIKE THAT IN PEOPLE'S 9-2, |
| 18 | THE TELEPHONE CORD IS LAYING OVER THE BLOOD SPLOTCH BUT IN |
| 19 | DEFENSE A, THE TELEPHONE CORD IS NOT LAYING ON THAT BLOOD |
| 20 | SPLOTCH; IS THAT CORRECT? A YES. #3 |
| 21 | Q ALSO, DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE LET ME JUST SHOW |
| 22 | THE JURY THIS. I NEGLECTED TO DO THAT AND I APOLOGIZE. |
| 23 | THE COURT: YOU BETTER OFFER IT IN EVIDENCE, |
| 24 | COUNSEL. |
| 25 | MR. SCHROEDER: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. I WOULD ASK |
| 26 27 | THIS BE MARKED. OVERSIGHT ON MY PART. |
| 28 | THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION? |
| | MR. HAMES: NO, YOUR HONOR. |
| | CONTINUED LL-9 |
| | |

| | 506 |
|-----|--|
| 1 | THE COURT: DEFENDANT'S A WILL BE ADMITTED IN |
| 2 | EVIDENCE AND CERTAINLY, THEY CAN SEE IT NOW. |
| 3 | MR. SCHROEDER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 1 APOLOGIZE. |
| 4 | (WHEREUPON, THE ABOVE-MENTIONED ITEM, PREVIOUSLY |
| 5 | MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION, WAS RECEIVED AND MARKED AS |
| 6 | DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT A IN EVIDENCE.) |
| 7 | (WHEREUPON, THE ABOVE-MENTIONED EXHIBIT WAS SHOWN TO |
| 8 | THE JURY.) |
| 9 | MR. SCHROEDER: YOUR HONDR, PROBABLY FOR |
| 10 | CLARIFICATION PURPOSES, I WOULD ASK THE JURY BE ALLOWED TO |
| 11 | REVIEW PEOPLE'S 9-2 SINCE THERE IS A MATTER OF COMPARISON |
| 12 | BETWEEN THE TWO PHOTOGRAPHS. |
| 13 | THE COURT: CERTAINLY. |
| 14 | (WHEREUPON, THE ABOVE-MENTIONED EXHIBIT WAS SHOWN TO |
| 15 | THE JURY.) |
| 16 | Q (BY MR. SCHROEDER) NOW, DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO |
| 17 | THESE TWO PHOTOGRAPHS, IT'S CORRECT, IS IT NOT, THAT THE CORD |
| 18 | IS ON THE SMUDGE ON THE PEOPLE'S 9-2 AND ON DEFENSE A, IT IS |
| 19 | NOT? A YES. |
| 20 | Q SECONDLY, THE GUN BUTT IN PEOPLE'S 9-2 IS BY THE BY |
| 21 | THE "BUTT", I SHOULD SAY THE GRIP, THE BACK OF THE GRIP, THE |
| 2 2 | ACTUAL HANDLE IS CLOSER TO NO. 4, THE CHALK NO. 4 IN DEFENSE A |
| 23 | THAN IT IS IN PEOPLE'S 9-2; IS THAT CORRECT? |
| 24 | A YES. |
| 2.5 | Q AND, LASTLY, THE END OF THE BARREL OF THE BB GUN ON THIS |
| 26 | PIECE OF REMNANT CARPETING, ALL-WEATHER CARPETING ON THE |
| 27 | FLOOR, THE TIP OF THE BARREL DOES NOT TOUCH THE BLUE TRIANGLE |
| 28 | ON PEOPLE'S 9-2 BUT IT DOES TOUCH THE BLUE TRIANGLE IN |

Q DID YOU IN ANY WAY MEASURE THAT RAG'S RELATIVE POSITION

IN THE MANNER THAT YOU DID ALL THE OTHER ITEMS THAT WERE

THERE?

A NO I DID NOT

THERE?

A NO, I DID NOT.

25

26

27

28

Q ANY PARTICULAR REASON YOU DID NOT MEASURE THE RAG, I MEAN

CONTINUED -

508 MEASURE ITS POSITION? 2 AT THE TIME, IT WAS MY SELIEF THAT IT WAS USED IN PART TO 3 AID MR. ALLRED. 4 YOU DIDN'T KNOW, THAT WAS AN ASSUMPTION ON YOUR PART. 5 WASN'T IT? YES. 5 WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY, THEN, IT WAS JUST AN OVERSIGHT 7 ON YOUR PART THAT YOU DIDN'T CONSIDER THAT POSSIBILITY AND, 8 THEREFORE, YOU DIDN'T MEASURE IT? 9 I DIDN'T CONSIDER IT AN OVERSIGHT. WE KNEW IT WAS THERE 10 BUT AT THE TIME, I DIDN'T THINK IT WAS THAT IMPORTANT. 11 12 SCENE 15 13 THING ADDED COMMENTARY ١T TACIT ADMISSION THAT POLICE KNEW TOWEL WAS 14 HE? BROUGHT TO SCENE BY MEDICS AFTER LAZOR WAS 15 Q TAKEN TO JAIL 1E 16 IN? FURTHER INDICATION POLICE KNEW LAZOR NEVER HAD THE TOWEL -- SO THEY ALL KNEW THE PROSE-17 Α CUTOR'S CLAIM THAT LAZOR WIPED HIS FINGER-18 Q PRINTS AND PLANTED THE GUN, WAS MURDER FRAM-TO ING INVENTED BY THE PROSECUTOR 19 ASSUL A.Y 20 SOMET 21 WELL, IT WAS THERE, BUT I DON'T RECALL WHAT HE HAD SAID HE DIDN'T IN ANY WAY -- I WOULD ASSUME HE DIDN'T TELL YOU 24 WE SHOULD MEASURE THE RAG. OTHERWISE, YOU WOULD HAVE DONE IT, 25 FAIR? 26 (WITNESS NODS HEAD UP AND DOWN.) 27 YOU DID, HOWEVER, COLLECT THE RAG, DIDN'T YOU, AS A PIECE 28 OF EVIDENCE? I DON'T BELIEVE SO, NO.

CONTINUED A

27

AND IN FACT, THERE WAS A DOOR, LIKE A CABINET DOOR WHERE

23 THE CORD ACTUALLY COULD BE FOLLOWED; IS THAT CORRECT?

YES.

25 AND THAT DOOR WAS OPEN; DO YOU RECALL THAT?

26 THAT, I DON'T RECALL.

MR. SCHROEDER: MAY I HAVE JUST A MOMENT, YOUR

28 HONOR?

```
511
 1
      CORD HAD BEEN YANKED OR PULLED IN ANY WAY FROM ITS TERMINAL
      BASE IN THE WALL?
 3
           AND WHAT DID YOU DETERMINE?
 4
           THAT IT WAS STILL INTACT.
 5
           DID YOU LOOK AT THE -- DID YOU LOOK AT IT JUST TO DETER-
 6
      MINE WHETHER OR NOT IT WAS INTACT OR DID YOU ACTUALLY GPEN IT
 7
      TO SEE WHETHER THE WIRES MIGHT HAVE BEEN DAMAGED IN SOME WAY?
 8
           I AM SORRY. YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO REPEAT THAT.
 Ģ
           OKAY. DID YOU LOOK AT IT JUST TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR
10
      NOT THE CORD WAS INTACT OR DID YOU IN SOME WAY REMOVE THE
11
      LITTLE PLASTIC COVER TO SEE IF THE WIRES HAD SOMEHOW BEEN
12
      BROKEN OR SEVERED IN SOME WAY?
13
14
      Q
                              ADDED COMMENTARY
               ADMISSION BY POLICE THAT THE MEATCLEAVER
15
      THAT
               ATTACK WEAPON THAT GAVE RISE TO THE SHOOTING,
16
               JUST 2 FEET OR LESS FROM THE ATTACKER'S
               HANDS, WAS LEFT AT THE CRIME SCENE BY POLICE
17
      0
18
      WIRE
19
      SOME WAY ALTHOUGH THE CORD MAY STILL H
                                               BEEN THERE?
20
           I KNOW IT WASN'T DAMAGED.
21
          HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT?
22
            BECAUSE WHEN WE WANTED TO T
                                              E THE WIRES OUT TO
23
      DISCONNECT THE TELEPHONE TO TAKE IT
                                            O EVIDENCE, I HAD A
24
     HELL OF A TIME TAKING IT OUT OF THERE.
25
26
          YOU SAID THAT YOU OBSERVED A MEAT CLEAVER IN THAT CHEST.
27
     DO YOU RECALL THAT?
                                                  YES.
23
          DID YOU IN ANY WAY ATTEMPT TO TAKE FINGERPRINTS OFF THAT
```

28

Police Officer Campos examined by Schroeder:

| 4 | 512 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MEAT CLEAVER OR SOME WAY RETRIEVE IT AS EVIDENCE? |
| 2 | . CM A |
| 3 | Q DID YOU EXAMINE IT IN ANY WAY? |
| 4 | A NC, I DIDN'T. |
| 5 | Q NOW, DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION NOW TO THE FITSTA |
| 6 | HE 14TH OF |
| 7 | JANUARY, LET ME GET THIS OUT OF THE WAY HERE. |
| 8 | THE COURT: MR. SCHROEDER, IF IT'S NOT INCOMVE TENT, |
| 9 | BEFORE WE GO TO THE FIESTA ROAD QUESTION, PERHAPS TE JURY |
| 10 | SHOUL |
| 11 | ADDED COMMENTARY |
| 12 | GIVEN SCHROEDER DELIBERATELY DROPPED AND CONCEALED |
| 13 | THE ISSUE OF THE MEATCLEAVER THAT LAZOR HAD FORCED HIM TO PROBE INTO, SO THAT THE |
| 14 | THE F JURY HAD NO CLUE IT WAS ALLRED'S WEAPON |
| 15 | WITH NO RELEVANCE TO THE CASE |
| 16 | PRESÉ |
| 17 | STAND. |
| 18 | PLEASE PROCEED. |
| 19 | MR. SCHROEDER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. |
| 20 | Q (BY MR. SCHROEDER) BEFORE WE GET TO FIESTA ROAD, I HAVE |
| 21 | A FEW MORE QUESTIONS FOR YOU, OFFICER CAMPOS, ON THE SCENE AT |
| 22 | and the state of t |
| 23 | I AM GOING TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO TWO PHOTOGRAPHS AT |
| 24 | THIS POINT, PEOPLE'S 9-1 AND PEOPLE'S 9-3, AND I WILL HOLD |
| 25 | THESE UP AND WALK SLOWLY IN FRONT OF THE JURY, YOUR HONOR, IN |
| 26 | THE INTEREST OF TIME HERE. |

DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION, THEN, TO THESE TWO PHOTOGRAPHS AND SPECIFICALLY DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE RAG THAT IS

28

Police Officer Campos examined by Schroeder:

513 IN THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS. DO YOU NOTICE THAT THERE IS A DIFFERENCE 2 IN THE CONFIGURATION OF THE RAG AS IT LAYS THERE ON THE FLOOR? 3 EXCEPT FOR THE DIFFERENT ANGLES OF THE PHOTOGRAPH, I CAN'T REALLY TELL. 5 SPECIFICALLY DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO THIS PHOTOGRAPH 6 9-3, PEOPLE'S 9-3, SPECIFICALLY DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO 7 TWO SPLOTCHES OF BLOOD ON THE LOWER EDGE OF THE -- OR TWO RED g SPLOTCHES APPEARING TO BE BLOOD ON THE LOWER EDGE OF THAT RAG, 9 DO YOU NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT IN FACT THE SAME TWO SPLOTCHES 1 G IN THE UPPER PHOTOGRAPH, WHICH IS PEOPLE'S 9-1? 11 AGAIN, BECAUSE OF THE ANGLE --12 THE QUESTION I HAVE IS DO YOU NOTICE THAT THERE IS A 13 DIFFERENT -- THAT THE TWO SPLOTCHES DON'T EXIST IN 9-1 -- THEY 14 ARE NOT IN THAT POSITION, I SHOULD SAY, IN 9-1 BUT THEY ARE IN 15 9-3? YES. 16 I WANT TO CLARIFY. DID YOU, YOURSELF, EVER PICK UP THAT 17 RAG OR MOVE IT AROUND DURING THE TIME THAT THE PHOTOGRAPHS 18 WERE BEING TAKEN? NO. 19 DID YOU EVER SEE OFFICER DATES DO THAT? 20 I DON'T RECALL. 21 22 YOU DON'T REALLY REMEMBER WHETHER OR NOT THAT DOOR, THE 23 CABINET DOOR IN THE PANTRY AREA -- IT WOULD BE THIS AREA HERE. 24 (INDI ADDED COMMENTARY 25 SITUA "I DON'T RECALL" SPEAKS FOR ITSELF IN 25 OPEN LIGHT OF ALL OTHER PROOF OF EVIDENCE-

TAMPERING SURROUNDING THIS ITEM AND ALL ELSE

State "Criminalistics expert witness" Gadd, examined by Schroeder:

1070

1 IN HERE (INDICATING). WAS THERE ANY INDICATION THAT THERE WAS 2 SMEARING OR STREAKING THERE THAT YOU COULD TELL?

NO, THERE WASN'T ANY OBVIOUS INDICATION OF STREAKING OR SMEARING.

3

4

5

7

8

11

ADDED COMMENTARY

6 TO ENI

MORE -

Α (

9 YOU C/

10 SOME I

PROSECUTION AGENTS CULTIVATED MOLD TO GROW ON ATTACKER'S SHIRT IN EFFORT TO DESTROY CLOTHING FIBERS WHICH PROVED THE GUNSHOT WAS FRONT-TO-BACK; NOT "SHOT IN THE BACK." BUT SCHROEDER NEVER OBJECTED AND HELPED THE PROSECUTION COVER-UP BY ASKING ONLY IRRELE-VANT QUESTIONS THAT PRETENDED TO DEFEND

ON C

12 USUALLY A WEAPON OF THIS SORT, IF YOU WERE TO TRY TO APPLY

13 THAT SORT OF REAGENT, IT WOULD PROBABLY MAKE EVERYTHING RUN.

I SEE. OKAY. SO THERE ISN'T ANY REALLY EFFECTIVE WAY

15 THAT YOU CAN DO THAT?

16

18

22

24

25

26

27

14

17 ALL RIGHT. NOW, WITH RESPECT TO THE ANALYSIS OF

MR. ALLRED'S SHIRT, THIS T-SHIRT, YOU SAID THAT YOU CHECKED

19 FOR STIPPLING OR POWDER MARKINGS ON THE SHIRT, DIDN'T FIND

20 ANY, AND YOU SEARCHED THE RADIUS OF 5 INCHES?

21 THAT'S CORRECT.

ANY PARTICULAR REASON YOU USED THAT DISTANCE?

23 OKAY. IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT THE AREA OF INTEREST

SHOWED A LOT OF MOLD GROWING AND THE SHIRT WAS ALSO VERY

BLOODY, MUCH OF THE AREA WAS OBSCURED BY THE GROWTH OF MOLD

AND EVERYTHING, SO I FIGURED A RADIUS OF 5 INCHES WOULD BE

ADEQUATE TO DETERMINE IF YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT A VERY CLOSE

28 RANGE OF FIRING,

```
1
  2
           OKAY. NOW, WHEN YOU SAY THAT ON THE TELEPHONE, THAT YOU
 3
      FOUND FIVE PARTIAL FINGERPRINTS BUT THEY WERE NOT SUITABLE FOR
 4
      IDENTIFICATION, CAN YOU BE A LITTLE MORE SPECIFIC ABOUT WHAT
 5
      YOU DID IN FACT -- HOW YOU DID KNOW THEY WERE FINGERPRINTS?
 6
      WHAT KINDS OF THINGS TOLD YOU THAT?
 7
           WELL, YOU COULD SEE A RIDGE DETAIL.
 8
           ALL RIGHT.
 9
           AND VERY LIMITED RIDGE DETAIL IN THOSE PARTIAL PRINTS.
10
           NOW, I TAKE IT THAT WHEN YOU WERE DOING THIS EVALUATION,
11
      YOU HAD WHAT ARE CALLED ROLLED IMPRESSIONS, INKED IMPRESSIONS
12
      OF MR. LAZOR'S FINGERPRINTS?
13
           NO, BECAUSE OUR LABORATORY USUALLY DOES NOT DO THAT
14
      COMPARISON.
15
           I SEE. OKAY. SO YOU WERE JUST LOOKING TO SEE IF YOU
     COULD FIND SOME AND THEN PERHAPS TELL SOMEBODY ELSE TO CHECK
16
17
      IT OUT IF YOU FOUND ANY?
                                                 THAT'S CORRECT.
18
19
     BY
20
                              ADDED COMMENTARY
     0F
             WITH THE NAKED EYE: LUCID DETAILS WERE
21
     CO
            PLAINLY VISIBLE
22
     CO
             AGAIN, SCHROEDER IS SEEN HERE OFFERING PLAUS-
23
     MR
             IBLE EXCUSE-IDEAS FOR THE PROSECUTION WITNESS
             TO JUSTIFY HIS CONCEALMENT AND ALTERING OF
24
            CRITICAL EVIDENCE -- THEN DROPS THE ISSUE FOR-
            EVER. SCHROEDER REFUSED TO HAVE ALLRED'S FINGER-
25
            PRINTS (OR ANYTHING) EXAMINED BY THE DEFENSE
26
     EVI
27
28
          BUT NOT THE PHYSICAL DOOR?
                                                      NO.
```

NO EMOTION. HE WAS CALM WITH OFFICER MILLER AND DETECTIVE MC CARTY IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING HIS ARREST. WHY? BECAUSE

THERI

ADDED COMMENTARY

1615) OF HOW PROSECUTOR WAS ENABLED TO FAB-RICATE THE WHOLE MURDER CASE TO THE JURY,

BASED ON THE EVIDENCE-TAMPERING BY POLICE,

CRIMINALIST, AND PROSECUTOR HIMSELF: [RE-POSITIONING OF THE BB GUN; AUTOCLAVING-

DESTRUCTION OF ALLRED'S FINGERPRINTS; THE

TOWEL BROUGHT BY MEDICS LEFT FOR POLICE TO

PHOTOGRAPH AS "CRIME SCENE EVIDENCE" 1

THREE INTERLOCKING EXAMPLES ON 2 PAGES (1614-

HIS

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

AN A

DEFE!

IT W

THEN

10 ADVI

THEN

ADVICE, THEN THE DEFENDANT CAL'
THE CONVERSATION THATS RECORDED

THE AMBULANCE AND POLICE,
TRANSCRIBED THAT WAS HELD

FOR SOME OTHER REASONS, WE KNOW THAT MR. ALLRED DID NOT HAVE THAT BB GUN. LOOK AT THE PHOTOGRAPH 9-2, ONE OF THE ENLARGED PHOTOGRAPHS. ITTS A PHOTOGRAPH OF THE BD GUN.

ENLARGED PHOTOGRAPHS. IT'S A PHOTOGRAPH OF THE BB GUN, AND I WANT YOU TO PAY PARTICULAR ATTENTION TO IT'S LOCATION ON THE

FLOOR IN THE AREA OF THE KITCHEN RIGHT NEXT TO THE NORTH

KITCHEN WALL. IF YOU RECALL THE DIMENSIONS, THE GUN WAS 5

FEET 2 INCHES FROM THE PANTRY OR EAST WALL OF THE KITCHEN,

THAT COMMON WALL OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, 1 FOOT 4 INCHES FROM

THE WEST KITCHEN WALL, BUT IT WAS 4 INCHES FROM THE NORTH

KITCHEN WALL. IT WAS VERY CLOSE TO THAT KITCHEN WALL, THE

NORTH WALL, VERY CLOSE TO THE EAST WALL AND VERY FAR AWAY FROM

THE COMMON PANTRY WALL -- EXCUSE ME -- THE WEST WALL. BUT

MORE IMPORTANTLY THAN THE DIMENSIONS WHICH ARE CRITICAL --

THOSE ARE SHOWN IN THE PHOTOGRAPH -- IS THE PLACEMENT OF THAT

3

4

5-

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1615

PARTICULAR GUN. LOOK HOW THE PARTICULAR GUN IS PLACED. IF YOU RECALL, THE BUTT OF THE GUN IS FACING THE KITCHEN CABINET, THE NORTH SINK WALL CABINETS. THE MUZZLE OF THE GUN IS FACING THE WEST WALL, BUT TAKE A LOOK AT THE PICTURE. IT SHOWS ITS LOCATION. WHEN YOU LOOK AT THAT PARTICULAR PHOTOGRAPH, YOU WILL NOTICE ONE THING AND ONE THING IN PARTICULAR. THAT GUN IS PLACED -- IF YOU PICTURE THE NORTH WALL DIRECTLY AHEAD OF ME, THE PANTRY WALL TO MY RIGHT AND THE OTHER WALL, THE WEST WALL TO MY LEFT, THAT GUN WAS PLACED DOWN, THE BUTT TOWARDS THE PANTRY WALL, THE MUZZLE TOWARDS THE SINK WALL BY A RIGHT HAND. TAKE A LOOK AT THAT, PLACED BY A RIGHT-HANDER. WHO IS RIGHT-HANDED? THE DEFENDANT. WHO IS INEXTRICABLY LEFT-HANDED? MR. ALLRED. CONSIDER THAT.

WHAT ELSE DO WE KNOW ABOUT THIS BB GUN THAT THE DEFENDANT CLAIMS MR. ALLRED HAD? ACCORDING TO THE DEFENDANT, HE HANDLED ALMOST EVERY PART OF THAT BB GUN, HE HAD IT TRAPPED IN HIS HANDS, AT ONE POINT HE HAD IT GRIPPED. BOB GADD EXAMINED THAT BB GUN. HE DID THE BEST PROCESS KNOWN TO SCIENCE, THE CYANOACRYLATE PROCESS, THE CRAZY GLUE PROCESS TO SEE WHETHER OR NOT THERE WERE ANY PRINTS, FINGERPRINTS ON THAT PARTICULAR BB GUN. HIS RESULT? ZERO. NONE. I ASKED MR. GADD WERE THERE EVEN PARTIAL PRINTS? NONE. ZERO. I ASKED MR. GADD DID YOU PRINT WITH THIS NEW MODERN CYANOACRYLATE PROCESS THE ENTIRE GUN? YES. NO PRINTS.

WHAT WAS RIGHT NEXT TO THAT BB GUN? AGAIN, YOU WILL SEE IT IN PHOTOGRAPH 9-2. RIGHT NEXT TO THAT PARTICULAR BB GUN WAS A BLOODY RAG, A BLOODY RAG RIGHT NEXT TO THAT PARTICULAR

28

BB GUN.

OF BOTH ALLAN WALLIS AND BRET ELLIS. THAT IS DIRECT EVIDENCE, EYE WITNESS TESTIMONY, ALLAN WALLIS, WHO PARTICULARLY OBSERVED THE DEFENDANT PICK UP THE BB GUN AND TAKE IT INTO THE KITCHEN.

THE DEFENDANT SAYS THAT MR. WALLIS AND MR. ELLIS HAVE POOR RETROSPECTIVE MEMORY; NOT THE DEFENDANT, NOT HIS WITNESSES, JUST MR. WALLIS AND MR. ELLIS, AND YET WE KNOW FROM

7

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

8 AWARI

ACUT

TEST

THE

10 PROPI

11 MR.

INTE 12

13

14 WITH

15 16 WHO CONTINUALLY SAID, COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT, I AM TELLING 17 YOU EXACTLY WHAT I SAW. I CAN

18 NO LESS. THIS IS WHAT I SAW. DO

ADDED COMMENTARY

AGAIN AND AGAIN THE PROSECUTOR ARGUED PROOF OF MURDER TO THE JURY BY CAPITALIZING ON HIS OWN TAMPERING AND MANUFACTURING OF EVIDENCE, WITH AGREEMENT INSTEAD OF OBJECTION FROM MR. SCHROEDER -- SO THE JURY NEVER HAD ANY BASIS TO THINK THERE MIGHT HAVE BEEN ANY EVIDENCE MISHANDLING IN THE CASE

MR. SCHROEDER, AND, PARTICULARLY AS IT RELATES TO MR. WALLIS DU NO MORE, I CAN TELL YOU THAT SOUND LIKE A MAN WITH

IT'S ALSO INTERESTING, TOO, THAT COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT, MR. SCHROEDER, JUST BASICALLY DROPPED THE ISSUE OF THE PLACEMENT OF THE GUN IN POSITION NO. 6 -- EXCUSE ME -- 4, THE RIGHT-HANDED PLACEMENT, JUST DROPPED THE ISSUE. HE DROP THE ISSUE? HE HAD TO. IT WAS PLACED THERE BY A RIGHT-HANDED PERSON, AND THERE IS ONLY ONE PERSON WHO IS RIGHT-HANDED IN THIS SCENARIO. THAT'S THE DEFENDANT.

THE PRINTS ON THE GUN, THE LACK OF PRINTS ON THE BB GUN, NO PRINTS WHATSOEVER, NO PARTIAL PRINTS, NO FINGERPRINTS AT

27 28

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26