

14528-052

Daniel J Riley
14528-052
Federal Correctional Institute
33 1/2 Panbroke Road
Danbury, CT 06811
United States



Rudy Davis
10673 Live Oak Drive
Forney, Texas
75126



U.S. Department of Justice

Executive Office for United States Attorneys

Freedom of Information and Privacy Staff

Suite 5.400, 3CON Building
175 N Street, NE
Washington, DC 20530

(202) 252-6020
FAX (202) 252-6048

March 11, 2019

Daniel Riley
#14528-052
Danbury FCI
Federal Correctional Institution
Route 37
Danbury, CT 06811

Request Number: EOUSA-2018-002135
Date of Receipt: February 1, 2018
Subject of Request: Specific Request (District of New Hampshire)

Dear Mr. Riley:

Your request for records under the Freedom of Information Act/Privacy Act has been processed. This letter constitutes a reply from the Executive Office for United States Attorneys, the official record-keeper for all records located in this office and the various United States Attorneys' Office.

To provide you with the greatest degree of access authorized by the Freedom of Information Act and the Privacy Act, we have considered your request in light of the provisions of both statutes.

The records you seek are located in a Privacy Act system of records that, in accordance with regulations promulgated by the Attorney General, is exempt from the access provisions of the Privacy Act. 28 CFR § 16.81. We have also processed your request under the Freedom of Information Act and are making all records required to be released, or considered appropriate for release as a matter of discretion, available to you. This letter is [X] partial [] full denial.

Enclosed please find:

21 page(s) are being released in full (RIF);
0 page(s) are being released in part (RIP);
0 page(s) are withheld in full (WIF). **The redacted/withheld documents were reviewed to determine if any information could be segregated for release.**

The exemption(s) cited for withholding records or portions of records are marked below. An enclosure to this letter explains the exemptions in more detail.

(b)(6)

This is the final action on this above-numbered request. If you are not satisfied with my response to this request, you may raise your objections to the presiding judge in the pending civil matter, *Riley v. United States, et. al*, Civil Action No. 2018-cv-1223 (APM), before the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. See 28 C.F.R. Section 16.8(b)(2).

Sincerely,



Kevin Krebs
Assistant Director

Enclosure(s)

EXPLANATION OF EXEMPTIONS

FOIA: TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552

- (b)(1) (A) specifically authorized under criteria established by and Executive order to be kept secret in the in the interest of national defense or foreign policy and (B) are in fact properly classified pursuant to such Executive order;
- (b)(2) related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency;
- (b)(3) specifically exempted from disclosure by statute (other than section 552b of this title), provided that such statute (A) requires that the matters be withheld from the public in such a manner as to leave no discretion on the issue, or (B) establishes particular criteria for withholding or refers to particular types of matters to be withheld;
- (b)(4) trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential;
- (b)(5) inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency;
- (b)(6) personnel and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;
- (b)(7) records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, but only the extent that the production of such law enforcement records or information (A) could reasonably be expected to interfere with enforcement proceedings, (B) would deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication, (C) could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, (D) could reasonably be expected to disclose the identity of a confidential source, (E) would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law, or (F) could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual.
- (b)(8) contained in or related to examination, operating, or condition reports prepared by, on behalf of, or for the use of an agency responsible for the regulation or supervision of financial institutions; or
- (b)(9) geological and geophysical information and data, including maps, concerning wells.

PRIVACY ACT: TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552a

- (d)(5) information compiled in reasonable anticipation of a civil action proceeding;
- (j)(2) material reporting investigative efforts pertaining to the enforcement of criminal law including efforts to prevent, control, or reduce crime or apprehend criminals;
- (k)(1) information which is currently and properly classified pursuant to Executive Order 12356 in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy, for example, information involving intelligence sources or methods;
- (k)(2) investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes, other than criminal, which did not result in loss of a right, benefit or privilege under Federal programs, or which would identify a source who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;
- (k)(3) material maintained in connection with providing protective services to the President of the United States or any other individual pursuant to the authority of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3056;
- (k)(4) required by statute to be maintained and used solely as statistical records;
- (k)(5) investigatory material compiled solely for the purpose of determining suitability eligibility, or qualification for Federal civilian employment or for access to classified information, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his identity would be held in confidence;
- (k)(6) testing or examination material used to determine individual qualifications for appointment or promotion in Federal Government service the release of which would compromise the testing or examination process;
- (k)(7) material used to determine potential for promotion in the armed services, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished the material pursuant to a promise that his identity would be held in confidence.

FISCAL YEAR 2008 AWARDS		
Name	Amount	Justification
██████████	\$2,100.00	This office has been handling a complex medical malpractice lawsuit, ██████████, against a Federally-Supported Community Health Center involving catastrophic damages to a baby that allegedly occurred during the birth process. One of the issues that arose was whether either the state statutory quality assurance privilege, which would protect the codefendant hospital's quality assurance review from discovery, applied, a parallel quality assurance privilege existed under state law, or the quality assurance review would have to be disclosed in discovery. After the plaintiffs moved to compel discovery of the review, and testimony by the federal physician regarding that review, AUSA ██████████ willingly undertook much of the briefing responsibility even though he was not assigned to the case. Precedent was tipped alarmingly against the existence of a privilege, with a host of FTCA cases holding that it did not. AUSA ██████████ painstakingly researched and analyzed the issue, developing an approach that, to our knowledge, had never been previously taken or briefed. His written arguments were extraordinarily clear and supported. He carefully and persuasively deconstructed the analysis in the decisions that had gone against the United States. In the end, through AUSA ██████████ voluntary effort, this district became one of the few jurisdictions in the country to recognize a federal quality assurance privilege when the U.S. Magistrate so held in denying the plaintiffs' motion to compel.
██████████	\$1,000.00	In April 2008, Assistant United States Attorney ██████████ and Paralegal ██████████ participated in a two week jury trial of national significance. The case involved charges against three individuals - Daniel Riley, Jason Gerahrd and Cirino Gonzalez - who, among other things, provided firearms, explosive devices and other assistance to two people, Edward and Elaine Brown, who, for months, defied federal warrants for their arrest by secluding themselves in their home and threatened to kill anyone who tried to arrest them. For several weeks prior to the trial, ██████████ organized thousands documents that were recovered during the investigation of the case. She also maintained impeccable records of the discovery that she independently provided to the very aggressive lawyers who represented the defendants in this case. Working closely with the District's Victim Witness Coordinator, the United States Marshals Service and the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, ██████████ identified and coordinated the appearance of approximately 50 potential government witnesses, and they identified and organized approximately 150 exhibits the government introduced at trial. ██████████ also

		<p>responded to numerous pre-trial motions. He skillfully negotiated agreements and conducted litigation that resulted in the admission of critical evidence which could have been excluded. Due to their hard work, the government's presentation of evidence during the trial was easy to understand and compelling. Riley was convicted of each of the four charges brought against him, included a provision of 18 U.S.C. 924(c) (carrying and using a explosive device in connection with a crime of violence) which carries a mandatory 30 year sentence, consecutive to all other sentences imposed. Gerhard was found guilty of three of the four charges brought against him, included a violation of 18 U.S.C. 924(c) (carrying and possessing a firearm in connection with a crime of violence) that will result in a five year prison sentence, consecutive to other sentences that are imposed in his case. Gerhard's acquittal on a 924(c) explosive device charge was disappointing, but somewhat expected given the relative weakness of the government's evidence on that charge. The jury convicted Gonzalez on two offenses, but they were unable to agree on two other charges that were brought against him. Accordingly, I am pleased to recommend a \$1,000 Special Act Award for [REDACTED] and a \$1,500 Special Act Award for [REDACTED] their persistent and professional work on this case.</p>
[REDACTED]	\$800.00	Based on Sustained Superior Performance during the rating period on 01/01/2007 through 12/31/2007
[REDACTED]	\$1,100.00	<p>Over the years, Paralegal [REDACTED] has become essential to the continual effectiveness of our support of criminal prosecution, largely due to her exceptional abilities in managing the often-difficult personalities of the individuals who come into contact with our office. [REDACTED] excels in her dealings with law enforcement, working with them efficiently and effectively to garner the information needed to support criminal prosecution. The following are examples of some of the cases she supported: U.S. v. Riley, a 2 plus week trial - Organized documents during the investigation and provided such to defense attorneys. Worked with agents and witnesses in the case. Prepared and operated the electronic portion of the case in trial not only for our office but also was asked by defense counsel to run their electronics. [REDACTED] - this case has been prepared for trial numerous times and continued, currently scheduled for October. Again, as in the previous case there are boxes of documents, many witnesses, and agents from IRS and DEA. [REDACTED] - This case also has been prepped for trial and has been continued once, currently scheduled for October. In Re: [REDACTED] - a very large case involving financial fraud against the government. This is currently in the investigative stage, involves countless pages of financial documents, contracts and</p>

		<p>responded to numerous pre-trial motions. He skillfully negotiated agreements and conducted litigation that resulted in the admission of critical evidence which could have been excluded. Due to their hard work, the government's presentation of evidence during the trial was easy to understand and compelling. Riley was convicted of each of the four charges brought against him, included a provision of 18 U.S.C. 924(c) (carrying and using a explosive device in connection with a crime of violence) which carries a mandatory 30 year sentence, consecutive to all other sentences imposed. Gerhard was found guilty of three of the four charges brought against him, included a violation of 18 U.S.C. 924(c) (carrying and possessing a firearm in connection with a crime of violence) that will result in a five year prison sentence, consecutive to other sentences that are imposed in his case. Gerhard's acquittal on a 924(c) explosive device charge was disappointing, but somewhat expected given the relative weakness of the government's evidence on that charge. The jury convicted Gonzalez on two offenses, but they were unable to agree on two other charges that were brought against him. Accordingly, I am pleased to recommend a \$1,000 Special Act Award for [REDACTED] and a \$1,500 Special Act Award for [REDACTED] their persistent and professional work on this case.</p>
[REDACTED]	\$800.00	Based on Sustained Superior Performance during the rating period on 01/01/2007 through 12/31/2007
[REDACTED]	\$1,100.00	<p>Over the years, Paralegal [REDACTED] has become essential to the continual effectiveness of our support of criminal prosecution, largely due to her exceptional abilities in managing the often-difficult personalities of the individuals who come into contact with our office. [REDACTED] excels in her dealings with law enforcement, working with them efficiently and effectively to garner the information needed to support criminal prosecution. The following are examples of some of the cases she supported: U.S. v. Riley, a 2 plus week trial - Organized documents during the investigation and provided such to defense attorneys. Worked with agents and witnesses in the case. Prepared and operated the electronic portion of the case in trial not only for our office but also was asked by defense counsel to run their electronics. [REDACTED] - this case has been prepared for trial numerous times and continued, currently scheduled for October. Again, as in the previous case there are boxes of documents, many witnesses, and agents from IRS and DEA. [REDACTED] - This case also has been prepped for trial and has been continued once, currently scheduled for October. In Re: [REDACTED] - a very large case involving financial fraud against the government. This is currently in the investigative stage, involves countless pages of financial documents, contracts and</p>

		<p>bids for service. ██████████, et. al. - recently indicted, this is an international prescription drug case involving large sums of money and drugs. Although currently scheduled for trial, the defendants in the case will probably agree to a plea offer. Pretrial Diversion - numerous cases have gone to PTD. Involves contact with defense attorney's, probation, defendant's, AUSA's, drafting and finalizing PTD agreements, and coordinating a meeting with all involved. She also ██████████ ██████████ with this year's ID-Theft conference. She serves as backup for ██████████ when she is out of the office. Her commitment and professionalism is recognized thru this award.</p>
██████████	\$1,100.00	<p>Ms. ██████████ is recommended for a special act award .. Recently, ██████████ accepted responsibility for our district's NCIC system. She volunteered to be trained as the trainer and has been assigned as the POC and manager of this district's ██████████. In her activities as a Paralegal Specialist, she conscientiously works on not only her assigned cases, but she pitches in whenever and wherever she is needed without question, requiring her to set her own work aside to help out in a crisis. ██████████ performs her duties without complaint and always exhibits a positive attitude. Her positive attitude and willingness to accept additional work warrants recognition through this special act award.</p>
██████████	\$2,100.00	<p>Since taking over as the Lead Task Force Attorney in January, 2008, ██████████ has overseen the completion of many of former ██████████'s multi-defendant OCDETF cases. One of special interest is Operation BRAD which thus far resulted in the convictions of 11 defendants involved in the large scale conspiracy to cultivate hydroponic marijuana within numerous residences throughout New Hampshire. This case has the potential for indictment of 8 additional defendants on mortgage fraud, money laundering and structuring charges by October, 2008. Several other cases including, "Operation Speedy Delivery" took off the largest supplier of methamphetamine in the northern portion of the state. The case continues and will result in further indictments against this supplier as well as his California based supplier. Operation Crystal Baker is expected to result in the indictments of up to ten individuals in the Nashua, NH area for a wide scale "Red-P" meth manufacturing ring. This is an extremely fast and dangerous method of manufacturing and the conspiracy is moving extremely large amounts of meth through the Nashua area. ██████████ dedication commitment is recognized thru this special act award.</p>

<p>██████████</p>	<p>\$2,100.00</p>	<p>In February, 2008, this District lost its FLU Director, who was the only FLU AUSA, to cancer. ██████████ was asked to assume the duties of FLU Director in addition to his significant caseload as the district's ACE and civil HCF AUSA. ██████████ willingly undertook these added responsibilities and has performed them in an outstanding manner, especially given his previous total lack of familiarity with FLU litigation. Not only has ██████████ worked extremely well with the FLU Paralegal by ensuring that the day-to-day responsibilities for FLU were competently handled, but he also dug deeper into collection activities where he could. For example, when he learned that a top-end car, apparently once owned by a long-standing and difficult criminal restitution debtor, was about to be auctioned overseas, he spent significant time attempting to determine whether the district might be able to seize the vehicle. With ██████████ enthusiastic and able assistance, the district has managed to continue strong collection activities while attempting to recover from its tragic loss. He deserves commendation and gratitude for his efforts.</p>
<p>██████████</p>	<p>\$2,100.00</p>	<p>Senior Litigation Counsel, ██████████ provides meaningful legal guidance to AUSAs that has substantially improved the quality of work that is performed by our office. The assistance he has provided to the District's designated PSN and PSC prosecutors deserves special recognition. For years, he has also been one of our most consistently productive AUSAs. He also serves as mentor to many of our legal and administrative employees. He truly deserves a Special Act Award for his sustained, superior effort in all of those areas.</p>
<p>██████████</p>	<p>\$1,600.00</p>	<p>Based on Sustained Superior Performance during the rating period on 01/01/2007 through 12/31/2007.</p>
<p>██████████</p>	<p>\$1,600.00</p>	<p>██████████ is recommended for a special act award. She is the districts ██████████. In this role, She coordinate investigations and prosecutions of child exploitation with federal, state and local law enforcement throughout New Hampshire. She has been very successful in numerous prosecutions with the following highlighted: ██████████ - defendant convicted after jury trial for transporting a child across state lines for illegal sexual purposes. Faces minimum sentence of 10 years. ██████████ - recidivist sex offender sentenced to 10 years for possession of child pornography. ██████████ - defendant received sentence of 78 months for possessing thousands of images of child pornography. These successes are examples of the outstanding work which ██████████ accomplishes which warrant recognition.</p>
<p>██████████</p>	<p>\$600.00</p>	<p>██████████ is recommended for a special act award. Over the last year due to attrition her workload has increased substantially. Her work continues to be exemplary and she</p>

		maintains a positive attitude. While performing her work she has been called on numerous occasions to support another attorney. She has always graciously volunteered without complaint. This prevents mission failure. He positive attitude and dedication to the district warrants a recommendation for a special act award.
██████████	\$1,600.00	This special act award is being presented to ██████████ for his commitment and dedication. Since October of last year thru June, ██████████ was deployed with OPDAT to the UAE. His deployment not only exemplified the professionalism of our Attorneys but fostered a good relationship with our host nation. During this period ██████████ inconvenienced himself and family as he worked in a deployed location. His continued dedication is recognized with this special act award.
██████████	\$2,600.00	In addition to his responsibilities as ██████████ has assumed responsibilities in the USAO-NH as ██████████ ██████████. Among his priority activities has been the development of a comprehensive DEPLAN, incorporating COOP, CIRP, and other elements. ██████████ has handled this work with extraordinary competence, bringing the DEPLAN up to date and handling all reporting responsibilities at a time when other administrative work is unusually heavy owing to multiple audits and an upcoming office EARS evaluation. ██████████ work in developing the DEPLAN and (6) adding the Crisis Management Coordination group is deserving of recognition in the form of a specific act award.
██████████	\$1,100.00	In October, 2007, the Department of Justice installed and began using a new collections database, CDCS. The new program has been difficult to use and has been fraught with errors and problems. ██████████, as this district's FLU Paralegal, has borne the brunt of the inefficient new system which is slower and causes her to require far more time to accomplish her daily tasks than the old TALON system did. In the midst of this, however, ██████████ willingly participated in a Working Group, which was convened to identify the problems with the new CDCS system. This Working Group required a significant amount of dedication and time, ultimately culminating in a week-long session in Washington, DC, such that ██████████ had to put aside her regular work to attend. Given that she was also attempting to prepare for the upcoming EARS evaluation, adding the Working Group to her responsibilities was quite burdensome. Nonetheless, the Working Group was a significant success and has contributed to the incremental improvement in CDCS, partly due to ██████████ participation. (6)

<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>\$2,100.00</p>	<p>[REDACTED] is recommended for a special act award. [REDACTED] is a line AUSA with typical [REDACTED] duties. He was selected one of seven AUSAs from across the country who worked this past year to create and organize, in plain English, options for an initial forensic analysis of seized computers and other electronic devices, resulting in the creation of the "Prosecutor's Initial Reference List (for the Initial Forensic Examination of Computers)" commonly known as the "PIRL List." It is intended to be an education and communication tool to help the average AUSA and case agent/investigator work together to prioritize investigative steps pertaining to electronic evidence at the early stages of an investigation. It has been disseminated to all USAOs, is being incorporated in all cybercrime courses at the NAC and has been posted on the CCIPS Web Page. He has presented the PIRL List to the AUSAs in our Office and has been asked by the NH Attorney General's Office to make a presentation to all state prosecutors this fall. As a result of his involvement with the PIRL List he has been asked to become a member of the Deputy Attorney General's Computer Forensics Working Group to assist in developing long term strategies for eliminating the current backlog the FBI is experiencing with computer forensic examinations. [REDACTED] worked closely with [REDACTED] this past year to successfully prosecute four supporters of Edward and Elaine Brown, a case which involved serious threats of violence against numerous members of the government, including the Court, the US Marshals Service and the US Attorney's Office. This type of dedication and commitment is recognized thru this special act award.</p>
<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>\$1,500.00</p>	<p>In April 2008, [REDACTED] participated in a two week jury trial of national significance. The case involved charges against three individuals - Daniel Riley, Jason Gerahrd and Cirino Gonzalez - who, among other things, provided firearms, explosive devices and other assistance to two people, Edward and Elaine Brown, who, for months, defied federal warrants for their arrest by secluding themselves in their home and threatened to kill anyone who tried to arrest them. For several weeks prior to the trial, [REDACTED] organized thousands documents that were recovered during the investigation of the case. She also maintained impeccable records of the discovery that she independently provided to the very aggressive lawyers who represented the defendants in this case. Working closely with the District's Victim Witness Coordinator, the United States Marshals Service and the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearm1s and Explosives, [REDACTED] identified and coordinated the appearance of approximately 50 potential</p>

		<p>government witnesses, and they identified and organized approximately 150 exhibits the government introduced at trial. [REDACTED] also responded to numerous pre-trial motions. He skillfully negotiated agreements and conducted litigation that resulted in the admission of critical evidence which could have been excluded. Due to their hard work, the government's presentation of evidence during the trial was easy to understand and compelling. Riley was convicted of each of the four charges brought against him, included a provision of 18 U.S.C. 924(c) (carrying and using a explosive device in connection with a crime of violence) which carries a mandatory 30 year sentence, consecutive to all other sentences imposed. Gerhard was found guilty of three of the four charges brought against him, included a violation of 18 U.S.C. 924(c) (carrying and possessing a firearm in connection with a crime of violence) that will result in a five year prison sentence, consecutive to other sentences that are imposed in his case. Gerhard's acquittal on a 924(c) explosive device charge was disappointing, but somewhat expected given the relative weakness of the government's evidence on that charged. The jury convicted Gonzalez was two offenses, but they were unable to agree on two other charges that were brought against him. Accordingly, I am pleased to recommend a \$1,000 Special Act Award for [REDACTED] and a \$1,500 Special Act Award for [REDACTED] their persistent and professional work on this case.</p>
<p>→ [REDACTED]</p>	<p>\$2,600.00</p>	<p>During this rating period [REDACTED] handled several extremely complex and time consuming cases, all the while maintaining a high level of dedication to his responsibilities as [REDACTED]. The most noteworthy of the cases was [REDACTED], a case involving a supporter of convicted tax protester [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] handled the trial and sentencing which resulted in a 30 year sentence. The case involved many special factors that added to its difficulties. During the same period [REDACTED] also prepared two additional complex financial cases for trial in addition to his other caseload responsibilities. His ability to handle the [REDACTED] case in an exceptionally competent manner, in addition to his already significant responsibilities, warrants commendation and recognition with a Special Act Award.</p>

<p>→</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>\$1,500.00</p>	<p>In April 2008, ██████████ participated in a two week jury trial of national significance. The case involved charges against three individuals - Daniel Riley, Jason Gerhard and Cirino Gonzalez - who, among other things, provided firearms, explosive devices and other assistance to two people, Edward and Elaine Brown, who, for months, defied federal warrants for their arrest by secluding themselves in their home and threatened to kill anyone who tried to arrest them. Working closely with the District's Victim Witness Coordinator, the United States Marshals Service and the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, ██████████ helped to identify and coordinated the appearance of approximately 50 potential government witnesses. and helped to identify and organize approximately 150 exhibits the government introduced at trial. ██████████ also responded to numerous pre-trial motions. He skillfully negotiated agreements and conducted litigation that resulted in the admission of critical evidence which could have been excluded. Due to his hard work. the government's presentation of evidence during the trial was easy to understand and compelling. Riley was convicted of each of the four charges brought against him, included a provision of 18 U.S.C. 924(c) (carrying and using a explosive device in connection with a crime of violence) which carries a mandatory 30 year sentence, consecutive to all other sentences imposed. Gerhard was found guilty of three of the four charges brought against him. included a violation of 18 U.S.C. 924(c) (carrying and possessing a firearm in connection with a crime of violence) that will result in a five year prison sentence. consecutive to other sentences that are imposed in his case. Gerhard's acquittal on a 924(c) explosive device charge was disappointing, but somewhat expected given he relative weakness of the government's evidence on that charged. The jury convicted Gonzalez was two offenses, but they were unable to agree on two other charges that were brought against him. Accordingly, I am pleased to recommend a \$1,500 Special Act Award for ██████████ for his persistent and professional work on this case.</p>
<p>██████████</p>	<p>\$2,500.00</p>	<p>Based on Sustained Superior Performance during the rating period on 01/01/2007 through 12/31/2007.</p>
<p>██████████</p>	<p>\$1,100.00</p>	<p>Over the years, one area of the Civil Division's work has always operated smoothly and to high acclaim in Washington: the handling of ██████████ ██████████ has been the individual who acknowledges the requests, coordinates the searches, and deals with ██████████ as that Unit responds to the requests. This district rarely has overdue requests and obtains high cooperation within the district in conducting searches, largely due to Ms. Prindiville's</p>

<p>→</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>\$1,500.00</p>	<p>In April 2008, ██████████ participated in a two week jury trial of national significance. The case involved charges against three individuals - Daniel Riley, Jason Gerhard and Cirino Gonzalez - who, among other things, provided firearms, explosive devices and other assistance to two people, Edward and Elaine Brown, who, for months, defied federal warrants for their arrest by secluding themselves in their home and threatened to kill anyone who tried to arrest them. Working closely with the District's Victim Witness Coordinator, the United States Marshals Service and the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, ██████████ helped to identify and coordinated the appearance of approximately 50 potential government witnesses. and helped to identify and organize approximately 150 exhibits the government introduced at trial. ██████████ also responded to numerous pre-trial motions. He skillfully negotiated agreements and conducted litigation that resulted in the admission of critical evidence which could have been excluded. Due to his hard work. the government's presentation of evidence during the trial was easy to understand and compelling. Riley was convicted of each of the four charges brought against him, included a provision of 18 U.S.C. 924(c) (carrying and using a explosive device in connection with a crime of violence) which carries a mandatory 30 year sentence, consecutive to all other sentences imposed. Gerhard was found guilty of three of the four charges brought against him. included a violation of 18 U.S.C. 924(c) (carrying and possessing a firearm in connection with a crime of violence) that will result in a five year prison sentence. consecutive to other sentences that are imposed in his case. Gerhard's acquittal on a 924(c) explosive device charge was disappointing, but somewhat expected given he relative weakness of the government's evidence on that charged. The jury convicted Gonzalez was two offenses, but they were unable to agree on two other charges that were brought against him. Accordingly, I am pleased to recommend a \$1,500 Special Act Award for ██████████ for his persistent and professional work on this case.</p>
<p>██████████</p>	<p>\$2,500.00</p>	<p>Based on Sustained Superior Performance during the rating period on 01/01/2007 through 12/31/2007.</p>
<p>██████████</p>	<p>\$1,100.00</p>	<p>Over the years, one area of the Civil Division's work has always operated smoothly and to high acclaim in Washington: the handling of ██████████ ██████████ has been the individual who acknowledges the requests, coordinates the searches, and deals with ██████████ as that Unit responds to the requests. This district rarely has overdue requests and obtains high cooperation within the district in conducting searches, largely due to Ms. Prindiville's</p>

		<p>exceptional responsiveness and ability to work extremely well in gaining the confidence and cooperation with the office's Criminal Division, which is the target of most of our requests. The [REDACTED] Unit speaks extremely highly of her knowledge, courtesy and responsiveness in dealing with what is often a much-ignored task. Within the office, the Criminal AUSAs speak well of her handling of requests for their files or documents, which is no mean feat given the reluctance attorneys usually have to allow someone to handle or search their files. The relationships [REDACTED] has developed with EOUSA and within the office have led to a highly-functioning [REDACTED] effort here and warrants significant commendation.</p>
[REDACTED]	\$2,100.00	<p>[REDACTED] is the [REDACTED] in this district and has much of the responsibility for ensuring a steady stream of asset forfeiture matters into the Unit. He has continually attempted to reach out to law enforcement, local, state, and federal, to assist in obtained that steady flow of matters. In so doing, [REDACTED] scours the state and local newspapers for news reports of searches and seizures, using the information in such reports to contact the involved law enforcement officials to offer assistance if asset forfeiture potential exists. These contacts do bear fruit, but more importantly they establish the relationships with those police forces that causes officers and detectives to contact this office when seizing property or after conducting an drug violation arrest in a house or apartment. This enables the Asset Forfeiture Unit to investigate forfeitures early on and to encourage further work with this office. He also arranges training in forfeiture at the local level, offering to travel to the police department to conduct short training. This furthers the connection between this office and those local officials, which bears fruit when they seize forfeitable property. He continually looks for ways to heighten the forfeiture program's visibility and connection to the sources of cases. [REDACTED] initiative, creativity, and persistence has continually assisted this district's forfeiture program to operate at an effective level.</p>
[REDACTED]	\$2,100.00	<p>During this rating period, [REDACTED] has produced special results for the US Attorney's Office by successfully managing and directing the [REDACTED] " [REDACTED] " which meets in the US Attorney's Office each month. The Working Group is made up of more than 30 federal, state and local government agencies and departments who meet to discuss ways to combat [REDACTED] in New Hampshire, network with counterparts from other agencies and make specific case referrals to the law enforcement officers who attend the meetings. The group members then contribute their individual resources to investigate the cases and facilitate</p>

		prosecution in federal court. The Working Group has been recognized as a model for other districts, and [REDACTED] work in developing and leading the group is deserving of recognition in the form of a specific act award.
[REDACTED]	\$500.00	[REDACTED] management of the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] in New Hampshire has been outstanding. His personal enthusiasm and commitment to the project has generated a number of important criminal prosecutions and, as importantly, serious and sustained interest and commitment from a number of local, state and federal law enforcement agencies.
[REDACTED]	\$1,000.00	Based on Sustained Superior Performance during the rating period on 01/01/2007 through 12/31/2007.
[REDACTED]	\$1,100.00	[REDACTED] is recommended for a special act award. [REDACTED] works for 3 attorneys who are among the busiest in the office. She is very efficient in discovery production and trial preparation. In addition, she does the travel arrangements for herself, her attorneys and 2 investigators. She is back up [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and was just recently appointed the [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. She is called on regularly to help out other attorneys when their legal assistant is unavailable and never complains. In the past, when her workload allowed, she assisted in cleaning up old records to ship to archives. She handles last minute deadlines with a smile and a positive attitude. For these reasons, [REDACTED] deserves a special act award.
[REDACTED]	\$500.00	Since [REDACTED] began working as a Legal Assistant at the United States Attorney's Office on January 7, 2007, she has demonstrated exceptional initiative, talent and commitment to her responsibilities. The three Assistant United States Attorney's that [REDACTED] works with prosecute different types of cases, and they have substantially different work habits and personalities. [REDACTED] has adapted to each of them seamlessly. One of the AUSAs is assigned is the office's lead OCDEF Attorney. Another is one of our leading white collar prosecutors. The third prosecutes more cases than any other AUSA in the office. In addition, [REDACTED] is always prompt, courteous and extremely pleasant to work with. Without prompting from her supervisors, she regularly provides assistance to other Legal Assistants and to AUSAs who are not assigned to her. She performs all program and administrative responsibilities independently, promptly and accurately. She is always prompt, extremely well organized, reliable and always very pleasant. She never - ever complains and constantly strives to achieve the overall mission of the United States Attorney's Office and the Department of Justice. For all of

		those reasons, Jam pleased to nominate [REDACTED] for a \$500.00 Special Act Award.
[REDACTED]	\$600.00	Investigator [REDACTED] initiative and creativity were displayed during the reporting period in a [REDACTED], that was being reviewed for civil fraud potential. SSA-OIG agents had identified an individual who had been receiving SSA disability benefits despite the fact that he had returned to work full time. The individual initially agreed to make restitution to SSA and submitted a financial statement to the SSA seeking to make periodic installment payments to repay the SSA. Despite this administrative agreement, the SSA-OIG asked our office to review the matter for potential FCA merit. In so doing, investigator [REDACTED] identified substantial material false representations on the financial statement. Most notably, the statement represented that the employee paid \$1200 in mortgage/rent per month. [REDACTED] learned that the employee and his wife were living rent-free in a house owned by the wife's former spouse. Although this did not yield a FCA case, [REDACTED] work led to a criminal prosecution of the wife for making false statements, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001.
[REDACTED]	\$2,100.00	During the past year [REDACTED] has performed exceptionally as a member of the Criminal Division. He has handled several sensitive and high profile matters successfully and with a high degree of professionalism. These have included an investigation of a major defense and federal law enforcement contractor, complex negotiations in a long term and significant tax evasion and financial structuring case, and an investigation of alleged police misconduct in a federal drug prosecution and the issuance of a detailed report in that matter. [REDACTED] also assumed interim responsibilities as the [REDACTED] for the USAO and, in that capacity, drafted revised crisis management policies and procedures and designed and oversaw two crisis management exercises. He has also presented an in-house CLE presentation on Grand Jury practice and has lectured at the NAC on Grand Jury Practice.
[REDACTED]	\$1,600.00	Based on Sustained Superior Performance during the rating period on 01/01/2007 through 12/31/2007.

Awards Fiscal Year 2009

Name	Amount	Justification
██████████	\$1,000.00	██████████ has spent the past months diligently preparing for trial in a high-profile case involving tax protesters Ed and Elaine Brown. The case required significant sacrifice and was handled in a superb manner. In addition to lengthy nights and weekends devoted to trial preparation, the trial team needed to deal with the personal toll arising from the threatening behavior of the defendants' supporters, some of whom have been convicted of serious explosives and weapons-related charges connected to the Brown case. ██████████ role as paralegal and her management of the extensive evidence ensured a smooth trial presentation and contributed significantly to the successful prosecution. Her handling of the Brown case sets a high performance standard which will be remembered and emulated in future cases.
██████████	\$1,550.00	Cash award for sustained superior performance for rating cycle 01/01/2008 through 12/31/2008.
██████████	\$2,900.00	For many years, ██████████ has been generally recognized as one of the finest prosecutors to have ever served - at any level of government - in the State of New Hampshire. After serving as the ██████████ for many years, ██████████ became the ██████████ (R) 2008. In that role, ██████████ consistently provides sound legal and strategic advice to other AUSAs. He regularly volunteers to co-prosecute complex and seriously contested cases with other AUSAs. For his sustained performance in those areas, I am pleased to nominate ██████████ for a \$2,900 Special Act Award.
██████████	\$250.00	I am nominating ██████████ for a \$250.00 SPOT Award for the excellent assistance she provided during the recent trial in ██████████. Under difficult and unusual circumstances, ██████████ worked long hours helping to prepare the case for the trial. She scheduled and rescheduled witnesses court appearances: She made travel arrangements for witnesses and prepared documents for court. She also performed a number of other last minute tasks without error, complaint or seeking recognition for her efforts.
██████████	\$1,550.00	Cash award for sustained superior performance for rating cycle 01/01/2008 through 12/31/2008.
██████████	\$1,000.00	Outstanding rating on 2009 annual appraisal.
██████████	\$750.00	

Awards Fiscal Year 2010

Name	Amount	Justification
██████████	\$1,000.00	Outstanding rating on 2009 annual appraisal.
██████████	\$1,000.00	Outstanding rating on 2009 annual appraisal.
██████████	\$250.00	<p>In December 2009, ██████████ asked ██████████ to obtain and review the trial exhibits that were used by the government during the lengthy and seriously contested trial in ██████████. ██████████ also asked ██████████ to separate all materials that were seized from ██████████ so that they could be returned to him, in accordance with a promise that ██████████ made to the court. For five straight days, ██████████ worked tirelessly including numerous hours at her home - to complete the task accurately and on time; while fulfilling her other case-related responsibilities. Through it all, ██████████ displayed her usual good nature and never complained. For those reasons, I am nominating ██████████ for a \$250 SPOT Award.</p>
██████████	\$1,000.00	Outstanding rating on 2009 annual appraisal.
██████████	\$1,000.00	Outstanding rating on 2009 annual appraisal.

Awards Fiscal Year 2014		
Name	Amount	Justification
██████████	2,500.00	
██████████	2,000.00	
██████████	1,000.00	
██████████	1,000.00	
██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a special act award for her significant contributions in managing the docket of habeas actions filed as a result of the opening of FCI-Berlin.
██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award in recognition of her significant contribution to the management of discovery in the United States v. Jon Hagstrom case involving multiple districts and thousands of pages of discovery.
██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 as a performance award for his significant contribution in the ██████████ case.
██████████	5,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$5,000 as a performance award in recognition of his performing the duties of ██████████ for the district, and lead counsel on a recusal case litigated in the District of Maine.
██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 as a special act award for her significant contributions in the ██████████.
██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award for her management of the petty violations docket and her outstanding contribution to the organized presentation of petty violation matters.
██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a special act award in recognition of her significant contribution in support of the district during a period when it had neither an Administrative Officer nor a Budget Analyst.
██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award for her significant contribution to the tracking and organization of the district's bankruptcy court matters and social security matters.
██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 as a performance award for her significant contribution to the district's Financial Litigation Unit and the successful collection of outstanding obligations to the United States.
██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a special act award for her efforts in victim witness support in the ██████████ cases.
██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a special act award for his significant contributions in the ██████████ case.
██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award for his efforts in organizing law enforcement suicide prevention and intervention training for the district.

MAFFEE, PAMELA	1,500.00	Ms. Maffee is awarded \$1,500 as a performance award for her efforts in taking on the district's budget responsibilities the absence of the budget analyst.
MAGDZIASZ, JESSICA	2,000.00	Ms. Magdziej is awarded \$2,000 as a performance award for her efforts in support of the district's IT improvement and effectiveness in support of the litigating divisions.
MCCORMACK, MICHAEL	1,000.00	Mr. McCormack is awarded 1,000 as a special act award for his significant contributions to the district's financial litigation unit and the recovery of obligations owed to the United States.
NORDIN, MARIA	500.00	Ms. Nordin is awarded \$500 as a performance award in recognition of her significant contribution to the management of discovery in the United States v. McMenimem and United States v. Prieto cases involving thousands of pages of discovery.
PHILBRICK, DENISE	1,000.00	Ms. Philbrick is awarded \$1,000 as a special act award in recognition of her contribution to the creation of the Litigation Support Center and her sustained excellence in maintaining the accuracy of the district's LIONS docketing
PLOURDE, T	5,000.00	Mr. Plourde is awarded \$5,000 as a performance award for his significant contribution to the district in the management of the civil division while maintaining the position of lead counsel in a significant tort defense case.
PRINDIVILLE, JUDITH	1,000.00	Ms. Prindiville is awarded \$1,000 as a performance award in recognition of her contributions to the district's FOIA processing and her contributions to the district's tort defense cases.
SHEDD, CARYN	500.00	Ms. Shedd is awarded \$500 as a performance award in recognition of her significant contribution to the management of discovery in the Imperial Finance matter involving hundreds of thousands of pages of discovery and terabytes of electronic discovery.
TAUB, SHARON	1,000.00	Ms. Taub is awarded \$1,000 as a performance award in recognition of her contributions managing the district's contract responsibilities during the government shutdown and during a period of significant staff shortages.
TRUE, CHRISTINE	500.00	Ms. True is awarded \$500 as a special act award in recognition of her significant contribution to the management of discovery in the Imperial Finance matter.
VEIGA, ROBERT	5,000.00	Mr. Veiga is awarded \$5,000 as a performance award for his significant contribution to the district in the management of the criminal division and the primary supervisor monitoring three Title III investigations.
WALSH, DEBRA	1,000.00	Ms. Walsh is awarded \$1,000 as a special act award for her significant contribution to the successful resolution of the United States v. Hagstrom litigation.
ZUCKERMAN, MARK	1,000.00	Mr. Zuckerman is awarded \$1,000 as a performance award for his significant contribution to the successful resolution of the United States v. Bender and United States v. Prieto cases.

AWARDS FISCAL YEAR 2015

Name	Amount	Justification
██████████ ██████████	1,516.00	For his significant contributions in the prosecution of the district's Project Safe Childhood cases.
██████████ ██████████	5,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$5,000 as a performance award for his significant contributions in the ██████████ matter, his handling of the retroactive crack cocaine reduction cases, and his advocacy before the New Hampshire Supreme Court in a matter involving the legal possession of firearms by previously convicted persons.
██████████ ██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 as a performance award for her significant contribution in discovery production and management in numerous project safe childhood cases.
██████████ ██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 as a performance award for her contribution in providing administrative IT services in support of the Administrative Division during a period of staff shortages.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award for her significant contributions in managing the docket of habeas actions filed as a result of the opening of FCI-Berlin.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 a performance award for expanding her expertise and assistance into the field of Social Security Disability cases.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award in recognition of her significant contribution to the management of discovery in the United States v. ██████████ case involving Title III intercepts.
██████████ ██████████	3,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$3,000 in recognition of her substantial efforts in prosecuting two large drug trafficking organizations and the monitoring of Title III investigations.
██████████ ██████████	3,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$3,000 as a performance award in recognition of his performing the duties of ██████████ for the district, the ██████████ ██████████ for the district during(6) period when those positions were vacant.
██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 as a performance award for his significant contribution in the United States v. ██████████ case.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award for her management of the petty violations docket and her outstanding contribution to the smooth transitioning of the petty violation docket to the ECF system used by the court.
██████████ ██████████	852.00	██████████ is awarded \$852 as a special act award in recognition of her significant contribution in support of the district during a period when it had neither an ██████████.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award for assuming administrative responsibilities as ██████████ to the Chief of the Civil Division and assuming all legal assistant duties for the Civil Division pending hiring of a second legal assistant.
██████████ ██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 as a performance award for her significant contribution to the district's Financial Litigation Unit and the successful collection of outstanding obligations to the United States.

██████████ ██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 for his significant contribution to the district's financial program, travel program, and his overall support of the administrative division daily operations.
██████████ ██████████	2,500.00	██████████ is awarded \$2,500 for her performance in handling project safe childhood cases, federal firearm cases, and a significant drug trafficking matter.
██████████ ██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 as a performance award for her efforts in support of the district's IT improvement and effectiveness in support of the litigating divisions.
██████████ ██████████	1,516.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,516 as a performance award for his significant contributions to the district's financial litigation unit and the recovery of obligations owed to the United States.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award in recognition of her significant contribution to the management of discovery in cases involving thousands of pages of discovery.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a special act award in recognition of her contribution to the creation of the Litigation Support Center and her sustained excellence in maintaining the accuracy of the district's LIONS docketing
██████████	3,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$3,000 as a performance award for his significant contribution to the district in the management of the civil division while maintaining the position of lead counsel in a significant tort defense case.
██████████ ██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 as a performance award in recognition of her contributions to the district's FOIA processing and her excellent paralegal assistance in the trial of ██████████ v. United States, a complex medical malpractice action.
██████████ ██████████	2,500.00	██████████ is awarded \$2,500 for his significant contributions to the ██████████ investigation and his support of the district's white collar crime cases.
██████████ ██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 for his substantial contribution to the district's prosecution of reentry after deportation cases.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award in recognition of her significant contribution to the management of discovery in the ██████████ matter involving hundreds of thousands of pages of discovery and terabytes of electronic discovery.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a special act award in recognition of her significant contribution to the management of discovery in the ██████████ matter.
██████████ ██████████	3,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$3,000 as a performance award for his significant contribution to the district in the management of the criminal division and the primary supervisor monitoring three Title III investigations.
██████████ ██████████	1,516.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,516 as a performance award for her significant contribution to the district's prosecution of federal firearms matters.

AWARDS FISCAL YEAR 2016		
██████████ ██████████	250.00	██████████ did an outstanding job formulating our district human trafficking plan.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ displayed extraordinary skill in defending the governments interest before the First Circuit Court of Appeals in the matter of ██████████, securing a victory the same day that he argued the case.
██████████ ██████████	200.00	██████████ has worked to significantly improve the USAO District of NH's social media outreach program by greatly expanding the office twitter connectivity.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award for her contribution in providing assistance and training on encryption and use of USAfx.
██████████ ██████████	200.00	██████████ deserves recognition for performing the additional duty of covering reception due to a staff vacancy for several months, while also performing her regular duties.
██████████ ██████████	200.00	██████████ deserves recognition for performing the additional duty of covering reception due to a staff vacancy for several months, while also performing her regular duties.
██████████ ██████████	250.00	██████████ diligent assistance the Asset Forfeiture matters has been essential to our success.
██████████ ██████████	750.00	██████████ is awarded \$750 a performance award for expanding her expertise and assistance into the field of Social Security Disability cases.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award in recognition of her significant contribution to the management of discovery in the ██████████
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ performed with distinction in working with the DEA in the largest methamphetamine take down in the New Hampshire district.
██████████ ██████████	2,000.00	██████████ is being recognized for her efforts in the investigation and prosecution of a large heroin distribution organization operating in the western part of New Hampshire resulting multiple defendants receiving significant sentences.
██████████ ██████████	1,500.00	██████████ did an outstanding job preparing his staff and the office for both the EARS financial audit and the EARS Survey audit visit, and successfully led the IT staff's computer conversion and printer refresh.
██████████ ██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 as a performance award in recognition of his performing the duties of ██████████ for the district, the ██████████ for the district and the ██████████ for the district during a period when those positions were vacant.
██████████	500.00	██████████ has done an outstanding job in working a wire with the DEA, his work has earned national recognition.
██████████	2,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$2,000 as a performance award for his significant contribution in OCDETF matters.
██████████ ██████████	1,500.00	██████████ performed at a high level and served with distinction as the ██████████ for the district of New Hampshire in 2015.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a special act award for her significant contributions in a human trafficking case involving a minor.
██████████ ██████████	200.00	██████████ deserves recognition for performing the additional duty of covering reception due to a staff vacancy for several months, while also performing her regular duties.

██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award for her management of the petty violations docket and her outstanding contribution to the smooth transitioning of the petty violation docket to the ECF system used by the court.
██████████ ██████████	200.00	██████████ deserves recognition for performing the additional duty of covering reception due to a staff vacancy for several months, while also performing her regular duties.
██████████ ██████████	750.00	██████████ is awarded \$750 as a special act award in recognition of her significant contribution in support of the district during a period from January to May 2015, in the absence of the contracting officer she worked closely with district of Vermont and Boston to get contracts written and approved. In 2015 the division took over travel for the entire office which includes; all travel authorizations and vouchers, airfare, hotel and car rental. ██████████ has help setup the system we use office wide and assisted the budget officer with accomplishing these tasks.
██████████ ██████████	200.00	██████████ deserves recognition both for performing the additional duty of covering reception due to a staff vacancy for several months, while also performing his regular duties and for the outstanding work he did in the computer conversion and printer refresh projects.
██████████ ██████████	250.00	██████████ deserves recognition both for performing the additional duty of covering reception due to a staff vacancy for several months, while also performing his regular duties and for the outstanding work he did in the computer conversion and printer refresh projects.
██████████ ██████████	200.00	██████████ deserves recognition for performing the additional duty of covering reception due to a staff vacancy for several months, while also performing her regular duties.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award for assuming administrative responsibilities as ██████████ to the ██████████ and assuming all legal assistant duties for the Civil Division pending hiring of a second legal assistant.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ has done an outstanding job of running the FLU.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award for her significant contribution to the FLU unit's success in collections.
██████████ ██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1000 as a performance award for his significant contribution to the successful resolution of an investigation into insurance fraud.
██████████ ██████████	250.00	██████████ is awarded \$250 as a performance award for her efforts in the ██████████ et al litigation.
██████████ ██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 for his significant contribution to the district's financial program, travel program, and his overall support of the administrative division daily operations. He carefully and objectively assessed the problems with our EWAP credit card accounts and set up an obligations log and overall reconciliation process for the Fact Witness and EWAP credit card accounts. The Admin division took over travel for the entire office which includes; all travel authorizations, vouchers, airfare, hotel and car rental. ██████████ also assumed the responsibility of arranging travel for the entire office in May 2015.
██████████ ██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1000 for his assistance in analyzing and resolving legal issues presented in cases handled by other AUSAs and for his resolution of a difficult public corruption matter.

██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ has done an outstanding job in working a wire with the DEA for which she earned national recognition.
██████████ ██████████	2,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$2,000 for her performance in handling a significant drug trafficking matter involving the distribution of fentanyl.
██████████ ██████████	200.00	██████████ deserves recognition for performing the additional duty of covering reception due to a staff vacancy for several months, while also performing her regular duties.
██████████ ██████████	250.00	██████████ deserves recognition for her handling of the Grand Jury sessions in behalf of this office.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	Ms. Maffee is awarded \$500 for her contribution to the district's grand jury monitoring program.
MAGDZIASZ, JESSICA	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 as a performance award for her efforts in support of the district's IT improvement and effectiveness in support of the litigating divisions. During 2015 she completed a complex wall to wall inventory using the iPad, which was monitor by EOUSA. She completed a refresh for all EVoiP equipment, and upgrade firmware on Hirsch HSPD 12 controllers. She also held multiple EVoiP training classes and installed headsets to users who requested them.
██████████ ██████████	1,000.00	██████████ deserves tremendous credit and clear recognition for leading our computer conversion and printer refresh, which occurred just prior to the EARS evaluation.
██████████ ██████████	200.00	██████████ deserves tremendous credit and clear recognition for leading our computer conversion and printer refresh, which occurred just prior to the EARS evaluation.
██████████ ██████████	250.00	██████████ made significant contributions to the FLU program.
██████████ ██████████	200.00	██████████ deserves recognition for performing the additional duty of covering reception due to a staff vacancy for several months, while also performing her regular duties.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ performed with distinction in prosecuting a complex case involving the unlawful international transfer of weapons to the Indonesia Security Service.
██████████ ██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1000 award for his work in an investigation concerning international firearms trafficking.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award in recognition of her significant contribution to the management of discovery in cases involving thousands of pages of discovery.
██████████ ██████████	750.00	██████████ has performed with distinction as the acting ██████████, in addition to performing all of her other duties as a AUSA.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 for her performance in handling significant drug trafficking matter.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a special act award in recognition of her contribution to space management, she coordinated with the systems manager to move furniture and IT equipment throughout the office efficiently with little disruption to our employees. As a new contracting officer she has done an excellent job of purchasing supply and equipment during FY 2015. She also did an excellent job of negotiating the purchase of the US Attorney's new desk, keeping the price within the \$5000 limit set forth by the USAPP.

██████████ ██████████	200.00	██████████ deserves recognition for performing the additional duty of covering reception due to a staff vacancy for several months, while also performing her regular duties.
██████████	500.00	██████████ has done a tremendous job leading his civil division in a challenging period of limited resources, and also deserves recognition for the outstanding work he did defending difficult and challenging FTCA.
██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 as a performance award for his significant contribution to the district in the management of the civil division while maintaining the position of lead counsel in a significant tort defense case.
██████████ ██████████	1,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 as a performance award in recognition of her contributions to the district's FOIA processing and her excellent paralegal assistance in the trial of ██████████, a complex medical malpractice action.
██████████ ██████████	1,000.00	██████████ has done an outstanding job administering the Asset Forfeiture program through challenging times.
██████████ ██████████	750.00	██████████ is awarded \$750 as a performance award for his significant contribution to the asset forfeiture efforts of the district resulting in the district's improved ranking among small districts.
██████████ ██████████	2,000.00	██████████ is awarded \$2,000 for his successful prosecution of a marijuana grow operator and for his efforts in a major firearms case.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award in recognition of her significant contribution to the management of discovery in the ██████████ matter involving hundreds of thousands of pages of discovery and terabytes of electronic discovery.
██████████ ██████████	750.00	██████████ is awarded \$750 as a special act award in recognition of her significant contribution to the management of discovery in the ██████████ matter.
██████████ ██████████	300.00	██████████ deserves recognition for performing the additional duty of covering reception due to a staff vacancy for several months, while also performing her regular duties and serving as the ██████████.
██████████ ██████████	1,000.00	██████████ deserves recognition for responsibilities of the Criminal Division in dealing with federal, state and local law enforcement partners.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$1,000 as a performance award for his significant contribution to the district in the management of the criminal division and the primary supervisor monitoring three Title III investigations.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award in recognition of his efforts in a complex defense contractor whistleblower case.
██████████ ██████████	500.00	██████████ is awarded \$500 as a performance award for his efforts in the district's domestic terrorism matters.