

Solid Waste Management in NH and Bridgewater

This link is to the 2019 biennial report from the NH legislative study committee in its entirety at:

<http://gencourt.state.nh.us/statstudcomm/committees/1476/reports/2019%20Final%20Report.pdf>

Summarize below on how this has influenced the decision making relative to solid waste in Bridgewater.

We all generate waste!

RSA 149-M: 17 requires that “each town shall either provide a facility or assure access to another approved solid waste facility for its residents” and may make bylaws “governing the separation and collection of refuse within the municipality.” The state, through the Department of Environmental Services (DES), remains responsible for adopting regulations for the operation of such facilities. This includes not only landfills but also transfer stations, recycling centers, scrap yards, composting facilities, and incinerators. DES manages and enforces this through a permitting system. Solid waste management is a highly regulated and increasingly expensive undertaking, which is monitored and regulated as to how facilities function, but remains silent on decision making. One major shortcoming in the existing system is how it focuses at the “end of the tunnel” disposal rather than diversion of waste, ignoring the sources of waste generated (i.e. manufacturing). For example, if a company double shrink wraps its product, the customer bears the cost of disposing the plastic wraps. The retailer uses plastic bags rather than paper, then the customer bears the cost of disposal. Paper is recyclable – polyethylene bags, not so much. U.S. recycling processors and the companies making cheap plastic and paper packaging, are placing the cost of managing recycling on cities and towns – taxpayers like you and me.

Currently in NH, there are 260 solid waste facilities (mostly municipalities) and 120 auto salvage yards. At one time, 270 unlined landfills existed – one for each town. Currently, only 3 commercial solid waste landfills exist in the State of New Hampshire. There are 600+ closed solid waste sites, mostly of inactive unlined landfills and asbestos disposal sites. We have 3: A pre-1958 landfill/open burn site, a closed ash landfill, a construction and a demolition landfill.

Solid Waste Management in NH and Bridgewater

Factoid:

1960-2018 Data on Plastics in US Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) by Weight (in thousands of U.S. tons)

Year	1960 (Tons)	2018 (Tons)
Plastics Generated	390,000	35,680,000
Plastics Recycled	0	3,090,000
Plastics Incinerated*	0	5,060,000
Landfilled	390,000	26,970,000

Only about 9% of plastics are recycled worldwide and 12% in the US..

Only about 9% of plastics are recycled worldwide and 12% in the US.

Disposal vs Diversion. The basic policies that form the framework of solid waste management in NH and were established by the Legislature nearly 30 years ago. They are:

- a. Solid waste is to be managed using the preferred hierarchy of methods: source reduction, recycling and reuse, composting, waste-to-energy technologies (including incineration), incineration without resource recovery, and landfilling.
- b. The methods listed higher in the hierarchy, source reduction, recycling, reuse, and composting, should be used to divert, by weight and on a per capita basis. At least 40% of materials disposed of at landfills or incinerators.
- c. It is important to reserve landfill and incineration capacity for solid wastes which cannot be otherwise reduced, reused, recycled or composted.

Sadly, over the last 30 years, the state diversion rates appear well below the 40% goal as set by the legislature. It is worth mentioning, that waste management infrastructure in NH has NOT significantly shifted from disposal (mostly landfilling) toward more preferred management methods (source reduction). For example, Bridgewater compacts a minimum 400 lb. bale every week (higher from June to October) of

Solid Waste Management in NH and Bridgewater

polyethylene plastic bags. It is eventually shipped to a landfill since there is no market for the item. Tossing plastics into a landfill to be buried, which won't degrade, is also tossing a small amount of oil with the item.

Why the big deal? Commercial landfills in NH entomb 2.38 million tons annually, 50% of that amount (1.16 Million tons) comes from out-of-state. That inflow cannot be stopped or taxed because of the interstate commerce clause of the US Constitution.

Massachusetts has recently closed a number of landfills and other sites. These closings in conjunction with the increased economic activity and other demographics in NH, compound the landfill capacity issue, exacerbating the problem. Commercial expansion of the existing landfills is met with stiff public opposition. Proposing a new landfill meets even greater antagonism.

Recycling Issues: In late 2017, China greatly reduced the import of certain recycling commodities due to unacceptable contaminated recyclables. That greatly impacted the recycling market. Single stream recycling and MRF's (Materials Recovery Facility) have been impacted as they are prone to a higher rate of contamination. Municipalities that did not adopt those programs have fared better. Bridgewater never adopted a single stream.

Net result: Increasing disposal and shipping costs into the future. Our "report card" relative to the aforementioned: Declining supply with increasing demand increases price.

See our recycling/diversions rates below.

Solid Waste Management in NH and Bridgewater

particulate and we have to meet a strict guideline. We exceeded the limit by 0.0112 grains/dscm during our annual test. We are in the process of upgrading our mist eliminator and will bring the system back on line later this year. (It is time consuming and not expensive) Much of our equipment in the building is quite old and we expect some downtime due to its age.

How does this process differ from waste to energy plant? Mainly we do not burn plastics. Waste to energy plants want the energy release from the plastics in the waste stream to drive a turbine. That's what produces dioxins and other pollutants. The pollution control for those plants is very expensive in order to meet EPA regulations.

We initiated these waste upgrades since 2000. We developed a new solid waste plan and permit; closed 3 landfills; created a ground water management plan; obtained and managed an air resource permit. Most of that permitting process was done in house.

New Equipment: Mid-January, we installed a pre-crusher compactor. Its purpose is to lower our costs associated with shipping of non-recyclable items. Pre-Crushers are designed to efficiently reduce the trash volume before it is compacted into a trash container. The goal is to reduce shipping costs. Last year we spent \$51,000 to ship and dispose of non-recyclables to landfills. That consisted of 41 trips at about \$1,241 per trip; 40% of that cost was shipping, fuel surcharges and environmental fees. Increasing the shipping container size while increasing the weight shipped by compaction, lowering costs by having fewer trip cycles.

Speaking of equipment: The approach that has evolved since the mid-seventies is an integrated solid waste management program. It is dependent on, not only labor, but its capital intensive. We have a number of bailers, shredders, material handling equipment, truck and an incinerator. We are responsible to process the waste and maintain the equipment. The bailers compact and produce bundles to be stored for shipping. The shredder processes the construction and demolition debris into a 2" chip which is certified as a refuse derived product and is used as landfill cover in one of the commercial landfills. That reduces the disposal cost by about \$ 70/ton.

This is not your grandfather's dump anymore! That concept left the building long ago!!