IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)		
Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No.	1:23-cv-00853
v.)		
GREG ABBOTT, in his capacity as GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF TEXAS, and THE STATE O			
TEXAS,)		
Defendants.) _) _)		

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, the United States of America, through its undersigned counsel, by the authority of the Attorney General of the United States, and at the request of the Secretary of the Army acting through the United States Army Corps of Engineers ("Corps"), files this Complaint and alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. The United States brings this civil enforcement action under sections 12 and 17 of the Rivers and Harbors Appropriation Act of 1899 ("RHA" or "Rivers and Harbors Act"), 33 U.S.C. §§ 406 and 413, against Defendants Greg Abbott, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of Texas, and the State of Texas.
- 2. As alleged below, Defendants have built structures in the Rio Grande, a navigable water of the United States, without the Corps' authorization, in violation of RHA section 10, 33 U.S.C. § 403. These structures include a floating barrier and related infrastructure.

- As alleged below, Defendants' structures also constitute an unauthorized obstruction to the navigable capacity of waters of the United States in violation of RHA section 10, 33 U.S.C. § 403.
- 4. In this action, the United States seeks: (1) to enjoin the building of structures in navigable waters and the obstruction to the navigable capacity of the waters of the United States in violation of the RHA, 33 U.S.C. § 403; and (2) to require Defendants, at their own expense and cost, to remove all structures and obstructions, including a floating barrier and all infrastructure related to the floating barrier, in the Rio Grande.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction pursuant to 33 U.S.C. § 406 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1345 and 1355.
- 6. Venue is proper in the Western District of Texas, Del Rio Division, pursuant to 33 U.S.C. § 406 and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), because the unauthorized structures and obstruction exist in the Rio Grande in the vicinity of Eagle Pass, Texas, which lies within this District, and the events giving rise to the cause of action alleged below occurred in the Rio Grande in the vicinity of Eagle Pass, Texas, which lies within this District.
- 7. Venue also is proper in the Western District of Texas, Austin Division, because Austin is the state capital and Defendant Greg Abbott is located in Austin, the unauthorized structures and obstruction exist in the Rio Grande in the vicinity of Eagle Pass, Texas, which lies within this District, and the events giving rise to the cause of action alleged below occurred in the Rio Grande in the vicinity of Eagle Pass, Texas, which lies within this District. The United States is filing suit in the Western District of Texas, Austin Division, because it is the capital and where a Defendant resides, and a related case is pending there. That case, *Epi's Canoe & Kayak Team*, *LLC v. State of Texas*, No. 1:23-cv-00836-DII, was removed to federal court by Governor Greg

Abbott, the State of Texas, and state agencies and officials on July 21, 2023. The plaintiffs in *Epi's Canoe* claim that the floating barrier in the Rio Grande in the vicinity of Eagle Pass, Texas, is unlawful and pray for, among other things, an injunction to restrain Governor Abbott, the State of Texas, and the other state defendants from installing the floating barrier.

THE PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff is the United States of America. Authority to bring this action is vested in the United States Department of Justice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 516 and 519 and 33 U.S.C. § 413.
- 9. Defendants are Greg Abbott, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of Texas, and the State of Texas.
- 10. At all times relevant to the Complaint, Defendants caused and/or controlled the placement and/or construction activities that occurred or are occurring in the Rio Grande and are the subject of this complaint.

RIVERS AND HARBORS ACT STATUTORY AND REGULATORY BACKGROUND

- 11. RHA section 10, 33 U.S.C. § 403, prohibits the "creation of any obstruction not affirmatively authorized by Congress, to the navigable capacity of any of the waters of the United States." 33 U.S.C. § 403.
- 12. RHA section 10, 33 U.S.C. § 403, independently prohibits "build[ing] or commenc[ing] the building of any wharf, pier, dolphin, boom, weir, breakwater, bulkhead, jetty, or other structures in any port, roadstead, haven, harbor, canal, navigable river, or other water of the United States, outside established harbor lines, or where no harbor lines have been established, except on plans recommended by the Chief of Engineers and authorized by the Secretary of the Army."

- 13. A Corps permit is required under RHA section 10, 33 U.S.C. § 403, for structures or work in or affecting navigable waters of the United States. 33 C.F.R. § 322.3(a).
- 14. "Navigable waters of the United States are those waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. A determination of navigability, once made, applies laterally over the entire surface of the waterbody, and is not extinguished by later actions or events which impede or destroy navigable capacity." 33 C.F.R. § 329.4.
- 15. A "structure" includes, but is not limited to, "any pier, boat dock, boat ramp, wharf, dolphin, weir, boom, breakwater, bulkhead, revetment, riprap, jetty, artificial island, artificial reef, permanent mooring structure, power transmission line, permanently moored floating vessel, piling, aid to navigation, or any other obstacle or obstruction." 33 C.F.R. § 322.2(b).
- 16. RHA section 12, 33 U.S.C. § 406, provides that "the removal of any structures or parts of structures erected in violation of the provisions of [33 U.S.C. § 403 and other specified statutory sections] may be enforced by the injunction of any district court exercising jurisdiction in any district in which such structures may exist, and proper proceedings to this end may be instituted under the direction of the Attorney General of the United States."

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

17. The Rio Grande in the vicinity of Eagle Pass, Texas, is a navigable water of the United States. *See* U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Fort Worth District, Navigable Waters of the United States in the Fort Worth, Albuquerque, and Tulsa Districts Within the State of Texas at p. 1 (Dec. 20, 2011), Attachment 1¹; U.S. Coast Guard, Memo from 8th District Commander re:

¹ This document also is publicly available at: www.swf.usace.army.mil/Portals/47/docs/regulatory/NavList2011.pdf. As such, the Court may take judicial notice of it. See, e.g., Hawk Aircargo, Inc. v. Chao, 418 F.3d 453, 457 (5th Cir. 2005).

Navigability Determination (Oct. 19, 1984), Attachment 2; Decl. of Capt. Brandy Parker Regarding Navigability Determination of the Rio Grande (July 24, 2023), Attachment 3.

- 18. Beginning on or before July 10, 2023, and continuing through at least July 17, 2023, the Defendants, and/or persons acting on their behalf or at their direction, placed a floating barrier in the Rio Grande approximately two miles south of the Camino Real International Bridge, Eagle Pass, Texas (hereinafter the "Floating Barrier"). Declaration of Abraham Garcia ¶¶ 2-3 & Ex. 1-2 (July 24, 2023), Attachment 4. The Floating Barrier appears to include associated infrastructure designed to anchor or fix it in place in the Rio Grande. *Id.* Ex. 1.
- 19. The Floating Barrier consists of a string of buoys each of which is between 4 and 6 feet in diameter. According to a June 8, 2023, press statement by Governor Abbott, this string of buoys may stretch for at least 1,000 feet.²
- 20. Defendants do not have authorization from the Corps pursuant to 33 U.S.C. § 403 or 33 C.F.R. § 322.3 for the Floating Barrier or for any associated infrastructure.
- 21. Defendants did not seek authorization from the Corps prior to installing the Floating Barrier.
- 22. Governor Abbott stated publicly on June 8, 2023, that Texas may seek to build similar floating barriers within the Rio Grande along the United States-Mexico border in Texas in addition to the one then contemplated, and now constructed, in the vicinity of Eagle Pass, Texas.³
- 23. Because Texas installed the Floating Barrier without seeking the Corps' authorization, the Corps and other relevant federal agencies were deprived of the opportunity to evaluate risks the barrier poses to public safety and the environment, mitigate those risks as

² See https://gov.texas.gov/news/post/governor-abbott-signs-sweeping-package-of-border-security-legislation.

³ See https://gov.texas.gov/news/post/governor-abbott-signs-sweeping-package-of-border-security-legislation.

necessary through the permitting process, and otherwise evaluate whether the project is in the public interest.

- 24. Governor Abbott has stated publicly that the Floating Barrier is part of a broader effort called "Operation Lone Star." According to Governor Abbott, Operation Lone Star also includes the placement of concertina wire near the U.S.-Mexico border. With respect to the placement of concertina wire, Governor Abbott has stated: "We aren't asking for permission."
- 25. On July 20, 2023, United States Assistant Attorney General Todd Kim and United States Attorney Jaime Esparza wrote Governor Abbott and Texas Provisional Attorney General Angela Colmenero, giving the Texas officials notice that the Floating Barrier violates federal law and inviting the State in response to commit to expeditiously remove the Barrier and related structures. On July 24, 2023, the Governor responded with a letter addressed to President Biden. Attachment 5. The Governor's letter acknowledges "the floating marine barriers we have deployed in the Rio Grande River in Eagle Pass" and states that "Texas will see you in court, Mr. President." *Id.* at 1.

COUNT 1: VIOLATION OF THE RIVERS AND HARBORS ACT

26. The United States repeats the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 25 of this Complaint.

⁴ See https://gov.texas.gov/news/post/operation-lone-star-boosts-border-response-with-new-marine-barriers.

⁵ See id.

⁶ See <a href="https://twitter.com/GregAbbott_TX/status/1638306917939380224?t="https://twitter.com/GregAbbott_TX/status/files/fi

- 27. The Floating Barrier, as constructed, installed, and placed by Defendants (and/or persons acting on their behalf or at their direction) wholly or partially in navigable waters of the United States, constitutes a "structure" within the meaning of RHA section 10, 33 U.S.C. § 403.
- 28. The Floating Barrier, as constructed, installed, and placed by Defendants (and/or persons acting on their behalf or at their direction) wholly or partially in navigable waters of the United States, constitutes a "structure," as that term is defined in 33 C.F.R. § 322.2(b).
- 29. The Floating Barrier is an obstruction to the navigable capacity of the Rio Grande, a navigable water of the United States.
- 30. The Floating Barrier required authorization under RHA section 10, 33 U.S.C. § 403, and the Corps' implementing regulations at 33 C.F.R. Part 322, including 33 C.F.R. § 322.3(a), for its construction, installation, and placement in navigable waters of the United States.
- 31. Defendants did not obtain a Corps permit or otherwise obtain the Corps' permission for the construction, installation, or placement of the Floating Barrier in the Rio Grande as required by RHA section 10, 33 U.S.C. § 403.
- 32. The unauthorized construction, installation, and placement of the Floating Barrier structure in navigable waters of the United States violates RHA section 10, 33 U.S.C. § 403.
- 33. The Floating Barrier's unauthorized obstruction to the navigable capacity of navigable waters of the United States violates RHA section 10, 33 U.S.C. § 403.
- 34. Defendants have violated and continue to violate RHA section 10, 33 U.S.C. § 403, by: (a) the construction, installation, and placement of the Floating Barrier in the Rio Grande without a Corps permit; and (b) the creation of an unauthorized obstruction to the navigable capacity of navigable waters of the United States.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

35. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, the United States of America, respectfully requests that this Court order the following relief:

a. Enjoin Defendants from further constructing, installing, placing, or maintaining structures in waters of the United States, except in compliance with the RHA and all other applicable law;

b. Enjoin Defendants from creating or maintaining obstructions in or affecting the navigable waters of the United States, except in compliance with the RHA and all other applicable law;

c. Compel Defendants to promptly remove the Floating Barrier and any related unauthorized structures from waters of the United States pursuant to the RHA, 33 U.S.C. § 401 *et seq.*, and in accordance with all other requirements of law and consultation with the relevant authorities, including the Corps;

d. Compel Defendants to promptly remove the unauthorized obstruction to the navigable capacity of navigable waters of the United States pursuant to the RHA 33 U.S.C. § 401 *et seq.*, and in accordance with all other requirements of law and consultation with the relevant authorities, including the Corps;

e. Award the United States its costs and disbursements; and

f. Grant such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

TODD KIM ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL Environment & Natural Resources Division

Dated: July 24, 2023

Brian H. Lynk BRIAN H. LYNK, D.C. Bar. No. 459525

By:

Senior Trial Counsel
ANDREW KNUDSEN
Trial Attorney
Environmental Defense Section
United States Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, DC 20044
(202) 514-6187 (tel.)
(202) 514-8865 (fax)
brian.lynk@usdoj.gov
JAIME ESPARZA
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

James Dingwan
JAMES E. DINGIVAN
Assistant United States Attorney
Texas Bar No. 24094139
United States Attorney's Office
Western District of Texas
601 N.W. Loop 410, Ste 600
San Antonio, TX 78216
(210) 384-7372 (tel.)
(210) 384-7312 (fax)
james.dingivan@usdoj.gov

Attachment 1

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Navigable Waters List



Navigable Waters of the United States in the Fort Worth, Albuquerque, and Tulsa Districts Within the State of Texas



December 20, 2011

For purposes of Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, navigable waters of the United States are those waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently being used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce (33 CFR 329.4). Navigable waters include lakes and other on-channel impoundments of navigable rivers. Under Section 10, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) regulates any work in or affecting navigable waters of the United States. The following waters are considered to be navigable waters of the United States and thus fall within the jurisdiction of the USACE in the Fort Worth, Albuquerque, and Tulsa districts. Navigable waters in the Galveston District are determined on a case-by-case basis and, therefore, are not included in this list. The USACE district(s) within which these navigable waters lie are indicated as: SWF (Fort Worth District), SWT (Tulsa District), and SPA (Albuquerque District).

Angelina River: From Sam Rayburn Dam in Jasper County upstream to U.S. Highway 59 in

Nacogdoches and Angelina counties and all USACE lands associated with B.A.

Steinhagen Lake in Jasper and Tyler counties, Texas. [SWF]

Big Cypress Bayou: From the Texas-Louisiana state line in Marion County, Texas, upstream to Ellison

Creek Reservoir in Morris County, Texas. [SWF]

Brazos River: From the point of intersection of Grimes, Waller, and Washington counties upstream to

Whitney Dam in Hill and Bosque counties, Texas. [SWF]

Colorado River: From the Bastrop-Fayette county line upstream to Longhorn Dam in Travis County,

Texas. [SWF]

Neches River: USACE lands associated with B.A. Steinhagen Lake in Jasper and Tyler counties,

Texas. [SWF]

Red River: From the U.S. Highway 71 bridge at the Texas-Arkansas state line upstream to the

Oklahoma-Arkansas state line and from Denison Dam on Lake Texoma upstream to Warrens Bend, approximately 7.25 miles north-northeast of Marysville, in Cooke

County, Texas. [SWT]

Rio Grande: From the Zapata-Webb county line upstream to the point of intersection of the Texas-

New Mexico state line and Mexico. [SWF, SPA]

Sabine River: From the point of intersection of the Sabine-Vernon parish line in Louisiana with

Newton County, Texas upstream to the Sabine River-Big Sandy Creek confluence in

Upshur County, Texas. [SWF]

Sulphur River: From the Texas-Arkansas state line upstream to Wright Patman Dam in Cass and Bowie

counties, Texas. [SWF]

Trinity River: From the point of intersection of Houston, Madison, and Walker counties upstream to

Riverside Drive in Fort Worth, Tarrant County, Texas. [SWF]

Attachment 2

U.S. Coast Guard Navigability Determination



COMMANDER EIGHTH COAST GUARD DISTRICT HALE BOGGS FEDERAL BLDG. 800 CAMP ST. NEW ORLEANS, LA. 70130 STAFF SYMBOL: PHONE:

> (dl) (504)589-6188

11.1

16731 OCT 19 1984

From: Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District

To: Commanding Officer, Marine Safety Office Corpus Christi, TX

Subj: Navigability Determination, Rio Grande River, TX

Ref: (a) Commanding Officer, MSO Corpus Christi 1tr 16730 of 15 December 83

(b) COMDTINST 16210.1B

- 1. From 1947 to 1975 the Rio Grande River was listed among the navigable waters of the United States pursuant to treaties with Mexico and for Coast Guard regulatory purposes. This determination covers the river "for the entire distance where it forms the international boundary, to a point near El Paso, Texas" or from its mouth at the Gulf of Mexico (mile 0.0 to mile 1247). This finding was published at 33 C.F.R. § 2.66-5 until 1976 when the practice of publishing lists of waters determined to be navigable for Coast Guard jurisdictional purposes was discontinued.
- 2. Under the rule that, despite artificial or natural obstructions, once a stream has been found to be of navigable use it remains so, the designated stretch of the Rio Grande River remains a navigable waterway of the United States. See Economy Light Co. v. U.S., 256 U.S. 113 (1921). The vessel manning and inspection laws of the United States apply to vessels operating on it. Obviously, whether a vessel is subject to those laws is a function of its tonnage, cargo, route, etc.
- 3. This represents the opinion of the Coast Guard only as to the extent of its own jurisdiction, and does not address the jurisdiction of other agencies.

T. W. SNOOK By direction

Copy to: CCGD8 (obr)

COMDT (G-M-TH-4/13)

COMDT G-LMI

From: Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District
To: Commanding Officer, Marine Safety Office Corpus Christi, TX

Subj: Navigability Determination, Rio Grande River

Ref: (a) Commanding Officer, MSO Corpus Christi ltr 16730 of 15 December 83

(b) COMDTINST 16210.1B

- 1. On 29 August 1947, Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District, determined that the Rio Grande River was part of the navigable waters of the U.S. for Coast Guard regulatory purposes "for the entire distance where it forms the international boundary, to a point near El Paso, Texas." This finding was published at 33 C.F.R. { 2.66-5 until 1976 when the practice of publishing lists of waters determined to be navigable for Coast Guard jurisdictional purposes was discontinued.
- 2. Under the rule that, despite artificial or natural obstructions, once a stream has been found to be of navigable use it remains so, the Rio Grande River from the Gulf of Mexico to El Paso remains a navigable waterway of the United States. See Economy Light Co. v. U.S., 256 U.S. 113 (1921). The vessel manning and inspection laws of the United States apply to vessels operating on it. Obviously, whether a vessel is subject to those laws is a function of its tonnage, cargo, route, etc.
- 3. This represents only the opinion of the Coast Guard as to the extent of its own jurisdiction, and does not address jurisdiction of other agencies.

Thomas W. Snook By direction

From: Commander 8th Coast Guard District (dl)

To: Commandant (G-MTH-4/13)

Attachment 3

U.S. Coast Guard Authentication Declaration

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	Civil Action No.
v .	
GREG ABBOTT, in his capacity as GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF TEXAS, and THE STATE OF TEXAS,	
Defendants.	

DECLARATION OF CAPT BRANDY PARKER REGARDING NAVIGABILITY DETERMINATION OF THE RIO GRANDE RIVER

- 1. My name is Brandy Parker. I am either personally acquainted with the facts herein stated or have otherwise been made aware of them through the course of my duties, and declare as follows:
- 2. I am employed by the United States Coast Guard within the Department of Homeland Security and am located in New Orleans, Louisiana. I have served in the Coast Guard for 26 years.
- 3. I am currently assigned as the Staff Judge Advocate in Coast Guard District 8 ("CGD8"), which is the Coast Guard area of responsibility that encompasses the Rio Grande River. In this position, I am responsible for providing legal advice to the Commander, CGD8, managing a staff of attorneys in the performance of their duties, and maintaining records of navigability determinations.
- 4. As part of my duties, I routinely review Coast Guard navigability determinations for waterways within the CGD8 area of responsibility.
- 5. Navigable waters of the United States are defined in 33 C.F.R. § 2.36. Navigability determinations of specific waterways are routinely made and reviewed by the Coast Guard in order to determine its jurisdiction. *See* 33 C.F.R. § 2.40. "Copies of these determinations are maintained

Case 1:23-cv-00853 Document 1-3 Filed 07/24/23 Page 3 of 6

by the [Coast Guard] District Commander in whose district the waterway is located." Id.

6. Exhibit A is a copy of the public record of the 1984 Rio Grande River navigability

determination. It is maintained in the district in which that river is located by the District

Commander of CGD8. This public record was made by the Coast Guard office—CGD8—with the

legal authority to make such a determination. These types of determinations are a regularly

conducted activity of this office. Upon review, the 1984 navigability determination is still in effect.

Accordingly, the Rio Grande River remains navigable waters of the United States.

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the foregoing is true

and correct to the best of my knowledge and information.

Dated this 24 day of July 2023.

Brandy Parker, Captain

United States Coast Guard

EXHIBIT A

U.S. Department of Transportation
United States
Coast Guard

COMMANDER EIGHTH COAST GUARD DISTRICT HALE BOGGS FEDERAL BLDG. 800 CAMP ST. NEW ORLEANS, LA. 70130 STAFF SYMBOL: PHONE:

> (dl) (504)589-6188

11.1

16731 OCT 19 1984

From: Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District

To: Commanding Officer, Marine Safety Office Corpus Christi, TX

Subj: Navigability Determination, Rio Grande River, TX

Ref: (a) Commanding Officer, MSO Corpus Christi 1tr 16730 of 15 December 83

(b) COMDTINST 16210.1B

- 1. From 1947 to 1975 the Rio Grande River was listed among the navigable waters of the United States pursuant to treaties with Mexico and for Coast Guard regulatory purposes. This determination covers the river "for the entire distance where it forms the international boundary, to a point near El Paso, Texas" or from its mouth at the Gulf of Mexico (mile 0.0 to mile 1247). This finding was published at 33 C.F.R. § 2.66-5 until 1976 when the practice of publishing lists of waters determined to be navigable for Coast Guard jurisdictional purposes was discontinued.
- 2. Under the rule that, despite artificial or natural obstructions, once a stream has been found to be of navigable use it remains so, the designated stretch of the Rio Grande River remains a navigable waterway of the United States. See Economy Light Co. v. U.S., 256 U.S. 113 (1921). The vessel manning and inspection laws of the United States apply to vessels operating on it. Obviously, whether a vessel is subject to those laws is a function of its tonnage, cargo, route, etc.
- 3. This represents the opinion of the Coast Guard only as to the extent of its own jurisdiction, and does not address the jurisdiction of other agencies.

T. W. SNOOK By direction

Copy to: CCGD8 (obr)

COMDT (G-M-TH-4/13)

COMDT G-LMI

From: Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District
To: Commanding Officer, Marine Safety Office Corpus Christi, TX

Subj: Navigability Determination, Rio Grande River

Ref: (a) Commanding Officer, MSO Corpus Christi ltr 16730 of 15 December 83

(b) COMDTINST 16210.1B

- 1. On 29 August 1947, Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District, determined that the Rio Grande River was part of the navigable waters of the U.S. for Coast Guard regulatory purposes "for the entire distance where it forms the international boundary, to a point near El Paso, Texas." This finding was published at 33 C.F.R. { 2.66-5 until 1976 when the practice of publishing lists of waters determined to be navigable for Coast Guard jurisdictional purposes was discontinued.
- 2. Under the rule that, despite artificial or natural obstructions, once a stream has been found to be of navigable use it remains so, the Rio Grande River from the Gulf of Mexico to El Paso remains a navigable waterway of the United States. See Economy Light Co. v. U.S., 256 U.S. 113 (1921). The vessel manning and inspection laws of the United States apply to vessels operating on it. Obviously, whether a vessel is subject to those laws is a function of its tonnage, cargo, route, etc.
- 3. This represents only the opinion of the Coast Guard as to the extent of its own jurisdiction, and does not address jurisdiction of other agencies.

Thomas W. Snook By direction

From: Commander 8th Coast Guard District (dl)

To: Commandant (G-MTH-4/13)

Attachment 4

U.S. Customs & Border Patrol Photo-Authentication Declaration

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,))
Plaintiff,) Civil Action No.
v.))
GREG ABBOTT, in his capacity as GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF TEXAS, and THE STATE OF TEXAS,))))
Defendants.)))

DECLARATION OF ABRAHAM GARCIA

- 1. My name is Abraham Garcia. I am currently a Supervisory Border Patrol Agent (SBPA) at the Eagle Pass North Station (EGT) in Eagle Pass, Texas. I have held this position since July 18, 2021. As a SBPA, my duties involve supervising Border Patrol Agents (BPAs), as well as regular patrol of the area along the Rio Grande in and around Eagle Pass, Texas.
- 2. On July 10, 2023, on or about 2:45 p.m. Central Time, I launched a United States Border Patrol (USBP) owned Small Unmanned Aircraft System (SUAS) from my position on the ground and proceeded to take three photographs of the buoy deployment at or near the Heavenly Farms in the vicinity of Texas Loop 480 in Eagle Pass, Texas. A true and accurate copy of those three photographs are attached as Exhibit 1.
- 3. On July 17, 2023, at about 3:00 p.m. Central Time, I launched a USBP owned Small Unmanned Aircraft System (SUAS) from my position on the ground and proceeded to take three photographs of the buoy deployment to provide an update to my station leadership. These

Case 1:23-cv-00853 Document 1-4 Filed 07/24/23 Page 3 of 11

photographs were taken at or near the Heavenly Farms in the vicinity of Texas Loop 480 in Eagle Pass, Texas. A true and accurate copy of those three photographs are attached as Exhibit 2.

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and information.

EXECUTED ON this 24th day of July 2023.

Abraham Garcia

Supervisory Border Patrol Agent

EXHIBIT 1







EXHIBIT 2



JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	,			DEFENDANT	ΓS				
United States of America (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff			Greg Abbott, in his official capacity as Governor of Texas, and the State of Texas County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Travis						
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	er)		Attorneys (If Know	n)				
U.S. Dept. of Jus	stice, Environmenta	I Defense Section		The Honorabl	le An	gela Colmener	o, Interim Atto	orney G	eneral
,	Washington, DC 20	· .				eet, Austin, TX			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		FIZENSHIP OF 1 (For Diversity Cases Onl		CIPAL PART	IES (Place an "X" i and One Box for		or Plaintiff
× 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citize	n of This State	PTF 1		d or Principal Place	PTF 4	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	_ 2		d and Principal Place ess In Another State	5	5
IV. NATUDE OF CHIT	7			n or Subject of a eign Country	3	3 Foreign Nat		6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY		ck here for: Nature BANKRUPTCY		escription R STATUT	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodation 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights	74 75 79 466	LABOR Description of Property 21 USC 88 Description of Pair Labor Standard Act Description of Pair Labor Standard Act Relation Description of Pair Labor Act Description of Pair Labor Litigation Description of Pair Labor L	1 -	422 Appeal 28 USC 1 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviar New Drug Applie 840 Trademark 880 Defend Trade Sec Act of 2016 SOCIAL SECURIT 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (40 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUT 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaim or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	58	Claims Act (a) (a) (a) Reapportion rust s and Bankin merce ortation eteer Influer upt Organiza umer Credit USC 1681 or ohone Consu ection Act e/Sat TV rities/Comm lange r Statutory A cultural Acts commendated the commendat	mment mg meed and tions 1692) mer odities/ actions latters mation rocedure opeal of
V. ADJON	448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in $x = 1$ Original $x = 2$ Ren	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Remanded from	4 Reins	tated or 5 Trans	sferred	from □ 6 Mult	tidistrict	8 Multidis	trict
Proceeding Stat		Appellate Court	Reop		ther Dis		gation -	Litigatio Direct F	n -
		ntute under which you are rs Appropriation Act of 189	_		statutes	unless diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	0, 00 0.0	5.0. 33 400 and 410					
		ctive and other relief for vic			s and F				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DI	EMAND \$		JURY DEM	only if demanded AND: \square Yes	^	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE <u>Docket II</u>				DOCKET NUMBE	ER <u>1:23-cv-00836</u>		
DATE July 24, 2023		SIGNATURE OF ATTO JAMES DINGIVAN		Digita		oy JAMES DINGIVAN 14:20:10 -05'00'			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				-					
RECEIPT # AM	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MA	G. JUDGE		