The Killing/Murder of Ashli Babbitt and the Resulting COVER-UP



Ashli Babbitt

January 6, 2021: When you have tens of millions of Americans convinced that the 2020 Presidential Election was ILLEGITIMATE, you get what happened in Washington, DC on January 6, 2021. This was the day the U.S. Congress was scheduled to certify the election. The day started off with a Trump Rally south of the White House, continued with thousands of

protesters marching the roughly one mile to the U.S. Capitol, and culminated with a large number proceeding into the Capitol building itself. While it appears some of these protesters broke Capitol windows and tried to "break in" to the building, subsequently released video shows **U.S. Capitol Police Officers standing aside** as hundreds of these protesters walked through **WIDE-OPEN** Capitol doors. Although some protesters engaged in vandalism, and one protester hit an officer on the side of his helmet with a fire extinguisher (*Btw, I have no problem prosecuting this individual to the full extent of the law*), there was only one deadly act of violence. U.S. Capitol Police Officer Michael Byrd shot **unarmed** protester **Ashli Babbitt** to **death**. **The act was caught on tape**. It's a mystery what the justification for this shooting was,

as Mrs. Babbitt was clearly **NOT** an imminent threat to the life of Officer Byrd or anyone else. An investigation of sorts apparently took place, as evidenced by the **Department of Justice** letter dated April 21, 2021, which marked the investigation's completion. The key sentence as to why the DOJ determined Officer Byrd **SHOULD NOT** be held culpable for killing Ashli Babbitt follows:

"Specifically, the investigation revealed no evidence to establish that, at the time the officer fired a single shot at Ms. Babbitt, the officer did not reasonably believe that it was necessary to do so in self-defense or in defense of the Members of Congress and others evacuating the House Chamber."

This is arguably the most ass-backwards/ asinine sentence ever cooked up by any lawyer in the history of jurisprudence. Essentially, the DOJ appears to be arguing that because it cannot be proven **EXACTLY** what was going on in Officer Byrd's brain at the moment of the shooting (btw an **IMPOSSIBLE** standard), Byrd cannot be held accountable for **SHOOTING ASHLI BABBITT TO DEATH**. Usually (aka 99.9% of the time), in the **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** of self-defense in a murder charge, a defendant would have to demonstrate that he or she **REASONABLY** feared for his or her life or the lives of others. That is **NOT** what the DOJ is claiming here. Apparently, Officer Byrd lives by different rules than our nation's other police officers and the public as a whole.

And, of course, according to the Democrats' **INCENDIARY** and **DEFAMATORY** rhetoric, as a January 6 protester, Mrs. Babbitt

was a "dangerous insurrectionist" who was undoubtedly a "white supremacist" to boot. "GOOD RIDDANCE" many Democrats said in response to her death. And since we have many of these "GOOD RIDDANCE" partisan types working at OUR Department of Justice, the killing/ murder of Ashli Babbitt was quietly swept under the rug.

For more, check out **The Common-Sense Guide to American History**.