

Exhibit 161

Pfizer used this table to get approval for the COVID injection. Total trial
of 10 COVID cases

Pfizer (CEO Bourla) used this table to FDA to get approval for the fraud COVID injection this last weeks, this table; a totla across the trial of 10 COVID cases, split 7 placebo and 3 in vaccine arm

Pfizer used the fraud data in these 3 rows to get FDA approval & that FDA would take this garbage data, such small events, small numbers, is scientific fraud! approx 80, 82, & 75% VE; look at 95% CIs



Dr. Paul Alexander

Jun 24

I warn again, many thousands of children, healthy children, will die due to these COVID injections.

Look at the 95% confidence intervals 2nd and 3rd rows, they cross '0', that is the line of no effect...it is junk, does not work. When the 95% CIs cross zero, there is no significant effect. And look at the negative efficacy, as low as -370.1

(3rd row)...extensive negative efficacy and this is a junk piece of research. Should have been thrown out due to the small events. This data IMO from a purist point of view cannot be interpreted, it is meaningless. Key is from 4,500 kids they start with, they used just a couple hundred for this analysis and even boiled it down more to a very small set of children post dose 3.

They threw out major data to find and tease out a result. All of the data that was bad, they threw out for the 1st and 2nd shot. They decided to look ONLY at the 3rd shot and disregarded the devastating effects after shots 1 and 2. As if it does not exist. Do you understand that insanity? It's as crazy as the 9/11 people who wanted to learn to fly the planes in a straight line and not learn to land or take off. Remember that? That should have been flagged that these are bombers yet slipped through the cracks and you saw the disaster. Here, same thing. Pfizer threw out failed catastrophic data from doses 1 and 2 and looked at a select 10 or so kids post 3rd shot in this table. And told us and FDA para 'don't focus

on those first 2 shots data with hospitalizations, infections, and maybe even deaths, just ignore it'.

Yet, even this table is a catastrophic failure. What in it looks favorable? This table. Is the FDA and CDC insane? They have zero credibility. None! We know Pfizer is a corrupted company, a criminal company, IMO. What a bunch of corrupted, inept, and incompetent people in FDA and CDC. Craven lunatics! The small event numbers look good? The small sample size? Is it that? The 95% CI that go all the way to China and back? What? Is that good? And they followed up the kids for 6 weeks. Not the at least one year or 2 years needed follow-up to detect safety signals etc. and even that is too short. We need years of surveillance. This is all madness! And these beasts at FDA and Pfizer will seek to apply a 4th and 5th dose etc. Be warned. This is the hill you defend, under no condition allow this. Not one shot in your healthy child.

6 mo. to <5 a Period When Omicron Was Predominant

Vaccine Efficacy – First COVID-19 Occurrence From 7 Days After Dose 3

	BNT162b2 (3 µg)		Placebo		VE (%)	(95% CI)
	n / N	Surveillance Time (n)	n / N	Surveillance Time (n)		
6 months to <5 years	3 / 992	0.086 (758)	7 / 464	0.039 (348)	80.3	(13.9, 96.7)
2 to <5 years	2 / 606	0.056 (481)	5 / 280	0.025 (209)	82.3	(-8.0, 98.3)
6 months to <2 years	1 / 386	0.030 (277)	2 / 184	0.015 (139)	75.5	(-370.1, 99.6)

All the cases post-dose 3 were after February 7, 2022 when >98%^a of all samples globally were omicron

1:52:43 / 2:23:19

Weekly epidemiological update on COVID-19 - 15 February 2022; Edition 79 15 February 2022; Emergency Situational Updates

CC-35

Tables 19 & 20 in FDA's Vaccine and related biological products advisory meeting June 15th 2022; let us examine Tables 19 & 20 AGAIN so you clue into key points to show this catastrophic report

Table 19, 6-23 mths, overall vaccine efficacy (VE) ONLY 14% (-21.2%) (right column), 1st row, but every VE is below 0 (negative efficacy, lower edges of the 95% CI), Table 20, 2<5 yrs, overall, 32.6%



Dr. Paul Alexander

Jun 25

These IMO as I wrote prior, are 2 key tables and shows you how devastating this report was and that this is what the FDA went ahead and approved with. There is clear indication, unless the FDA board sitting there were all blind, that there is negative efficacy, they do NOT work and cause infection, small sample sizes, small events e.g. 3, short duration of follow-up, I believe in weeks, and massive numbers of children omitted from the analysis with a start of 4,500 kids to then select a couple hundred and still pare that down to select only a few where they could try to tease out something. But looking at these 2 tables, these vaccines are pure failures and junk, garbage.

Listen carefully again, these COVID vaccines WILL kill many healthy children, DO NOT use these, under no condition. Your child being unvaccinated thus far are in the best situation with their potent innate immunity in tack. Do not destroy their innate immunity with these dangerous vaccines.

Table 19:

VRBPAC Briefing Document: Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine EUA Amendment for Use in Children 6 Months Through 4 Years of Age

Table 19. First COVID-19 Occurrence Any Time After Dose 1, Blinded Follow-Up Period, Participants 6-23 Months of Age, All-Available Efficacy Population, Study C4591007

Efficacy Endpoint	BNT162b2 3 µg (N ^a =1178) Cases, n1 ^b Surveillance Time ^c , (n2 ^d)	Placebo (N ^a =598) Cases, n1 ^b Surveillance Time ^c , (n2 ^d)	Vaccine Efficacy % (95% CI) ^e
First COVID-19 occurrence after Dose 1	98 0.456, (1027)	58 0.232, (524)	14.0 (-21.2, 38.4)
Dose 1 to before Dose 2	13 0.063, (1027)	5 0.032, (524)	-29.7 (-364.7, 56.6)
Dose 2 to <7 days after Dose 2	3 0.019, (1002)	3 0.010, (517)	48.4 (-285.0, 93.1)
≥7 Days after Dose 2 to before Dose 3	80 0.338, (998)	48 0.173, (512)	14.5 (-24.9, 41.0)
Dose 3 to <7 days after Dose 3	1 0.006, (336)	0 0.003, (147)	UND (NA, NA)
≥7 Days after Dose 3	1 0.030, (277)	2 0.015, (139)	75.5 (-370.1, 99.6)

Source: EUA 27034.554 Efficacy 508 tables, Table E.D.1.
Abbreviations: NA=not applicable; VE=Vaccine Efficacy; UND=Undefined.
a. N=number of participants in the specified group.

Table 20:

endpoint. Time period for COVID-19 case accrual is from Dose 1 to the end of the surveillance period for the overall row and from start to the end of range stated for each interval.
 d. n2=Number of participants at risk for the endpoint.
 e. Confidence interval (CI) for VE is derived based on the Clopper and Pearson method adjusted to the surveillance time.

Table 20. First COVID-19 Occurrence Any Time After Dose 1, Participants 2 to <5 Years of Age, All-Available Efficacy Population, Study C4591007

Efficacy Endpoint	BNT162b2 3 µg (N ^a =1835) Cases, n1 ^b Surveillance Time ^c , (n2 ^d)	Placebo (N ^a =915) Cases, n1 ^b Surveillance Time ^c , (n2 ^d)	Vaccine Efficacy % (95% CI ^e)
First COVID-19 occurrence after Dose 1	127 0.661, (1673)	92 0.323, (834)	32.6 (10.8, 48.8)
Dose 1 to before Dose 2	21 0.100, (1673)	8 0.050, (834)	-32.1 (-244.8, 43.8)
Dose 2 to <7 days after Dose 2	4 0.031, (1639)	5 0.016, (819)	60.1 (-85.6, 92.1)
≥7 Days after Dose 2 to before Dose 3	100 0.464, (1630)	74 0.228, (814)	33.6 (9.1, 51.3)
Dose 3 to <7 days after Dose 3	0 0.010, (553)	0 0.004, (222)	NE
≥7 Days after Dose 3	2 0.056, (481)	5 0.025, (209)	82.3 (-8.0, 98.3)

Source: EUA 27034.554 Efficacy 508 tables. Table E.D.2.
 Abbreviations: NE=not estimable; VE=Vaccine Efficacy.

SOURCE:

Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory
Committee Meeting June 15, 2022 FDA Briefing Document
EUA amendment request for Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19
Vaccine for use in children 6 months through 4 years of age