Exhibit 498

Willful Misconduct: Unprecedented Challenge to the PREP Act's Liability Shield

Sasha Latypova
Dr. Meryl Nass

Transcription of Video

https://live.childrenshealthdefense.org/chd-tv/shows/good-morning-chd/willful-misconduct-unprecedented-challenge-to-the-prep-acts-liability-shield-with-sasha-latypova/

Good morning, CHD. Welcome to everyone around the world. It's the sixteenth of June. It's Friday. It's 2023. We have a great show for you this morning with Dr. Nass and Sasha Latipova.

So we are so honored to have those two. You can't really get much better than those two brains together. So that is coming in a minute. But now let's see what our news is that was in the Defender yesterday. Alright, so Apple's augmented reality headset are crime and a tragedy for kids critics say. Tech giant Apple is calling its mixed reality headset scheduled to hit the market in early 2024. The most advanced personal electronics device ever but critics quite rightly warned the headset will pose multiple threats physical and emotional to children. My understanding with this I haven't looked through this properly people but I understand this is like a $4,000 piece of equipment that's going to take your children to another dimension out of reality into some crazy world I think super dangerous. Scott McCullough here is children's health offense leading litigator for its electromagnetic radiation cases says the device raises both health and privacy concerns. He said the headset has a ton of sensors and reactive components including LED and LiDAR. Unfortunately we don't know the specs. For example we don't know if the LiDAR is 905 nanometers and can therefore harm eyes and disrupt camera images or if it is the safer 1550 nanometers LED lights make some people sick. I can tell you people that if Dr. McCullough if McCullough is saying sorry not Dr. McCullough Scott McCullough is saying those things we know for sure that is a problem ahead I think it's incredibly dangerous so more to come on that for you all. Right let's look at our next headline. Well here we go lots of miscarriages going on in the world today pesticide exposure may cause reoccurring miscarriage to the study fine. A study published in scientific reports found a link between pesticide RPL exposure and recurrent pregnancy loss to oxidative stress and cell death in the placenta. RPL is the loss of three or more successful sorry successive pregnancies before 24 weeks of gestation and signifies an underlying reproductive health issue. Pregnant women experience frequent exposure to environmental pollutants that post serious health risks to both mother and newborn and people I hear you I hear the midwives writing to us that there is a big problem with miscarriages at the moment the reporting professional reporting they have never seen ever in that 30 years class of being doulas and midwives in the medical profession such a high level of miscarriages so I do we will have more on that on CHD TV as we find these experts to talk about them.

All right well now let's I want to oh yes now listen people the do remember we reported quite a lot on George Watts and this is a 24 year old I'm gonna remind you now of this story and we're gonna roll to a video thanks Riley. A potentially groundbreaking lawsuit filed in Washington DC seeking damages from the Department of Defense for quote willful misconduct that caused the death of 24 year old George Watts in October of 2021. It accuses the DoD of crimes against humanity by deceiving millions of Americans into taking a risky

experimental vaccine while saying it was safe and effective. I told him that I was gonna take him to the emergency room the next day after I got out of work. We've never made that trip to the emergency room. The parents of college student George Watts Jr. from Lockwood say he was a healthy 24 year old who loved video games and had no prior medical history. In August of 2021 he received his first dose of the Pfizer vaccine so he could take classes in person at Corning community college. He wanted to get that one because that one was that approved. After getting his second Pfizer shot in September George started coughing and feeling sick. He was taken to an emergency room where he tested negative from COVID. He was diagnosed with a sinus infection given antibiotics and released. A week later he wasn't feeling any better so his family took him back to the ER. Doctors told him his sinus infection could take longer to clear up and send him home again. Another week goes by and he's getting worse. He's getting worse. He's coughing up blood. George died on October 27th after collapsing in his room. The Bradford County deputy coroner said the cause of death was quote COVID vaccine related myocarditis which is an inflammation of the heart. All lawsuit filed by the Watts family says George is one of more than 270 million Americans that were used by the Department of Defense as quote human subjects in its medical experiment the largest in modern history. We reached out to the DoD a spokesperson told us quote the Department of Defense declines to comment due to ongoing litigation.

Welcome Sasha Latipova and of course Dr. Meryl Ness thank you for being here both of you. Dr. Ness you want to introduce Sasha? Yes Sasha Latipova is a really fabulous person that everybody in the world owes a debt of gratitude to. So she is a former she's a retired pharmaceutical executive and a painter lovely painter who began researching many aspects of COVID as I did at the beginning and then teamed up with Katherine Watt and together they discovered that the Defense Department had found a legal loophole in which to bypass essentially the entire regulatory apparatus of the United States and roll out emergency use vaccines and products to the population without any proper testing quality control or any other system that would have protected us from being injected with poison and so Sasha continues to investigate this and has so many interesting things to say and we're just delighted to have her here today. She's going to talk about the George Watt case and then move on to some of the other things she's worked on and I will be going back and forth with her. Wonderful thank you Sasha. Yeah thank you Dr. Ness this is very nice introduction thank you.

Polly: I think it would be actually really good is to ask if you could just answer this question what is the Prep act and why should we care?

Sasha: Yeah so prep act is a law that was put in place I believe in 2002 initially and then it was amended I think most recently in 2005 and this is a piece of legislation that allows the Health and Human Services Secretary to issue

declarations that extend this liability shield for using unapproved medical interventions, devices pharmaceuticals all sorts of things diagnostics therapeutics anything essentially to bypass the normal regulatory frameworks and consumer safety safeguards requirements which are quite extensive today for a very good reason because we want to make sure that the medications that have been used are safe.

So this is this is a shield essentially that the government uses and now specifically the Department of Defense is using it extensively to shield themselves and anyone that they hire for the task from any liability from using these poorly tested untested experimental and completely you know kind of black box devices and technologies.

Dr, Nass: And let me just say that the Defense Department is accustomed to being able to do whatever it wants and has a history of using untested products on soldiers in the Gulf War they got a memorandum of understanding with the FDA which allowed them to use products that were that were unapproved un-unevaluated by FDA and at other times in the past also the DoD is kind of a law within its to unto itself and so for them this was nothing new it was just happening on a larger scale it wasn't just to 2 million soldiers but it was to three hundred and thirty four million Americans and then this method was shipped out to the rest of the world so eight billion people were potentially going to be exposed to these emergency use authorized and untested products.

Polly: Why have we called this program Willful Misconduct and what is the purpose behind that?

Sasha: Well I think it's the because of George Watts case versus DoD so the estate is suing the Department of Defense for his death and the basis the only basis that we have to breach this liability shield very extensive one is based on Willful Misconduct. So the case complaint states that the Willful Misconduct was essentially a bait-and-switch scheme that the Department of Defense and Health and Human Services ran on American public so now we have a death of a civilian from this you know disregard of safety and using this prep act liability shield and his attorneys as stating that you know well because this was the Willful Miss Conduct because knowingly the Department of Defense administered distributed the experimental product while telling everyone it was approved FDA full FDA approved and that was the lie that they perpetrated on this young man who died as a result of it.

Dr. Nass: So let me say a couple of things the way the prep act is written there are almost no requirements for safety or efficacy what it requires is that the FDA simply and the FDA issues it simply needs to believe with or without evidence that the benefits are going to be greater than the risks but it does say that the FDA needs to disclose the known significant

risks and the FDA did not do that so FDA was hiding many of the known risks

But the other thing that happened was a bait-and-switch. So in August of 2021 the federal government announced all these mandates but only at the time that FDA approved a license for the Pfizer vaccine. So on August 23rd FDA issued a license for the Pfizer vaccine for adults but none of that product was made available in the United States so everybody continued to get the emergency use product with a huge liability shield and the only potential way to litigate against them was to prove willful misconduct which meant they knew that they were doing something wrong but they hid it. So what we're saying is yes they knew that this was not that the product being administered to George Watt and to everybody else in the country was not licensed but the FDA the DOD the rest of the federal government pretended that it was.

Polly: and this is a children's health defense case correct yes and the reason you're on here is because our lawyer can't talk about it okay do you do you have any more to say on this George Watts case that you can talk about

Ssasha: well so I think it's a it's a great case because finally in my opinion the correct defendant is named which is the Department of Defense who wore the head of the operation while of course the pharmaceutical companies are complicit and knowingly administering poison because they're experts and they understand exactly what they're doing and what consumer safety safeguards have been subverted but they are operating under the Department of Defense who is heading the was heading operation Warp Speed now it's it's been renamed into I think it's like acceleration of countermeasures something another name but the same essentially same.

But this was at the time that these shots were rolled out and relevant to George Watts case this was headed by the Department of Defense Chief Operating Officer was General Perna reporting directly to President Trump subsequently obviously the same reporting structure reporting to Biden and you know the Department of Defense leadership represents about two-thirds of the operation Warp Speed most of them without any health care experience and so this was all kind of orchestrated from there using several legal you know I would say the framework of several laws this it's very important so prep act is one of them but there are others such as you know public health emergency law in the first place and then the emergency use authorization law, prep act and other transaction authorities so these for a very relevant to how this the scheme was run so that they could make these untested poisonous essentially products because if you if you cannot assure manufacturing according to good manufacturing practices distribution according to good distribution practices and all these consumer safeguards that we're supposed to see for pharmaceuticals then by definition you're making poison and so they're making poison knowingly because people who are manufacturing it actually experts at it and distributing it to both military and civilians and in fact

all over the world utilizing this framework and then protecting themselves thoroughly through this prep act shield.

Dr. Nass: yes so go on Sasha tell us about the other transactional authority.

Sasha: all right so the what needs to be understood here so actually I'll start with public health this integration because that's public health emergency declaration so that's very important one. That one was put in place in the 80s and the Reagan and it those of us who are old enough we know that before the 80s there was no such thing is public health emergency. it didn't exist in fact you know the Constitution does not anticipate anything like this. We have obviously a constitution the three branches of the government we have human you know bill of rights we have all these you know serve we think that we have all that and then in the 80s this piece of law is put in place saying that well actually under such a saying that we deem ourselves HHS meaning if we decide that there is such a saying as public health emergency with basically no criteria attached for that decision, then we can suspend the constitution so in you know in my opinion legally it it's the same as declaration of war because it's a stance the Constitution in a similar way it says that we are now in such a dire straits that you know everything needs to go out the window and now the Department of Defense merges with HHS and the executive branch usurps the power and starts running the whole thing and this is exactly what happened. And by the way this happened in the cascade remember it started with WHO unelected you know the unaccountable organization which represents nobody other than themselves and their and their rich backers decided that we have a pandemic in the world based on 40 cases from China in 8 billion people so that's ridiculous.

And then in quick succession all the countries in the world you know in the States obviously in March of 2020 decided that oh yeah that's a good idea let's announce public health emergency everywhere so that's how they did it and and then from there it triggers all these other provisions and laws fall in place and Katherine Watt calls it legal cage that's exactly what it is the legal cage gets slammed on us it constitutes in addition to this public health emergency declaration it has the prep act declaration so in addition to being a shield I personally view prep act declarations actually we have numerous as announcement of deployment of certain types of weapons so we have in place **actually both COVID and Marburg prep act declarations so we actually have a Marburg epidemic since December 2020 in case in case you guys were not aware of that** but we do and so in my opinion those two declarations of a specific type of use of weapons systems the systems contain technology but they also contain all the let's say administrator like how do you distribute it administer it you need all this this huge number of civilians involved in the administration of these weapons which is all the health care system and you know everybody who is involved in distribution and manufacture and they all are now under this umbrella of prep act and essentially it is giving them

the same protection as military has in combat areas for killing enemy combatants.

You know obviously the when the military is at war and they're you know killing enemy they're supposed to provide them informed consent so that's essentially how this is being treated these these prep act declarations they announced that we're going to be using COVID weapon system and we're going to be using Marburg weapon system on civilians by civilians they will be using it on themselves also without their knowledge and that's part of the weaponry the deception is also part of the weaponry deception of even those who are using it.

So that's another one and then finally OTA the other transaction authority is the mechanism for Department of Defense to order these weapons systems from private manufacturers in the United States and that has been used since the 60s by the way it's a very longstanding saying initially it was introduced just for NASA for very narrow it always happens right so they make this like little narrow thing always just for NASA just for some secret space programs and then eventually by now 11 federal agencies use it very extensively it's a gigantic industry in itself the OTA contracting and specifically Department of Defense is a very large user of it.

This is way to contract with private manufacturers who are otherwise regulated without following any regulations and keeping most of it secret and putting through huge amounts of money and that's how all of these COVID countermeasures have been purchased from the Department of Defense through this OTA contracting mechanism by and every send the vaccines majority of the money went to vaccines but then there's also therapeutics diagnostics services propaganda services you know all kinds of related items.

Dr. Nass: So let me emphasize all of this and repeat it for the audience because it's a very new very critical to understand. So what the Defense Department and the FDA and HHS together have been able to do since 2005 is to declare an emergency or a potential foreign emergency and then as a result of that declaration roll out products that have no liability attached unless you can prove willfulness conduct and unless the Secretary of HHS allows you to sue, otherwise you have you have nowhere to go with this even if you're killed. And although many people think this is unconstitutional it hasn't been litigated it hasn't gone to the Supreme Court and it's still US law so since 2005 such a declaration was rolled out for anthrax vaccine so anthrax vaccine has not had liability.

These same declarations have been rolled out for pandemic influenza so in 2009 we had influenza drugs and vaccines that had no liability smallpox vaccine has gotten the same declaration again if you died from smallpox vaccine you couldn't sue there was nowhere to go you could apply there's a little program called CICP and you can

apply within that program and HHS decides whether you get any money but you're not your your barred from going to the court any court there is no court if you get one of these emergency use authorized products you can never at the current time with our current law enter a courtroom to sue anybody for damages for whatever suffering you you've that's happened to you as a result okay so that's one thing.

Then the next thing this other transactional authority basically said we federal agencies can do transactions without going through any normal processes so we can just forget about the whole rest of us law and if we want to buy strychnine you know and put it in the water of the enemy we can do it using an other transactional authority and so that was done to purchase all sorts of products for the pandemic and what Sasha and Katherine Watts who by the way is no relation to George Watts what they believe and what I **what there's a lot of evidence to support is that the government used this mechanism while pretending that normal regulatory processes were occurring that so the FDA pretended to go through its regulatory processes** just like yesterday for picking up a new variant of a COVID vaccine the FDA convened its advisory committee pretended it was going through its processes but it was all very very superficial it didn't give anybody any options to say no we don't need new COVID vaccines. It didn't look at safety at all right but it appeared that the FDA was doing its job and Sasha believes that is what happened for the COVID vaccines and for a lot of other products and I think there's there's good evidence to support it.

For example the FDA issued at least 325 emergency use authorizations just for PCR tests and antibody tests for COVID now FDA doesn't have the personnel to evaluate 325 COVID tests so they just said bring them all in we're just gonna issue an EUA and then we're not liable the manufacturers not liable the distributors not liable the doctors not liable just use them and we'll call it good so Sasha maybe we'll elaborate

Sasha: yeah that that's exactly right that's what's happening they have been pretending to regulate these COVID vaccines and pretending now to regulate and the rest of the COVID countermeasures tests and now they're continuing with these new strains. This theater but it is a theater because by law these products get deployed so emergency use authorized countermeasures under public health emergency which we still currently have because they even though you know Biden said that the public health emergency is over it's not over they went into the stage of **potential public health emergency so we're like in the permanent state of war now** and potential public health emergency so anyway so emergency use authorized countermeasures get deployed or sent to the markets and to the people based only on one criteria - it's HHS secretary's decision his own decision based on available data if available **so doesn't have to be available that this product may be effective so the only criteria that applies is may be effective no safety no efficacy no federal standards for manufacturing nothing applies strictly speaking and then and so that's the biggest lie sold to the American public.**

And in fact I actually recently read Peter Marx's declaration for and this is from I think from late till 2021 in in the case so there's a there's a declaration by Peter Marx I'm going to publish about it on substack shortly where it's very clear how exactly they're lying so he he's actually explaining himself and so the lie is based on the following. We issued EUA the criteria for EUA is maybe effective we also then issued BLA and and but it's not available on the EUA is available but it's manufactured maybe in the same manufacturing sites that the L.A. potentially could be manufactured and based on that we can distribute the EUA product and then we are saying that that whole thing where you have to tell people that it's an EUA product and you don't have to be vaccinated doesn't apply so that's Peter Marx's rationale which he submitted to a court and I have that document. It's basically saying well you know in theory it could be manufactured in the but in the license site and therefore if the vaccinated does not have to tell anybody that this is an EUA product so that's how they pull the lie on George Watts that's exactly what they did

Dr. Nass: So let me so Peter Marx is an MD PhD who is the head of vaccines at FDA he's not the FDA Commissioner but he's in charge of CDER or sorry CDER Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research and Sasha I actually also dissected what Peter Marx said when the bivalent boosters were rolled out on September 1st so that's in a sub-stack of mine and in a Defender article because he again used very peculiar language to try to stay on the law make it look like it was safe and effective while he knew he couldn't use that language legally because that language according to the FDA rules is reserved for licensed products and none of the bivalent booster is licensed so what happened is they the federal government pulled Peter Marx it was a professor of cancer at Yale and brought him to the FDA shortly before the pandemic because they knew he would do whatever they tell him and has and anyone who tried to push back got fired so Peter doesn't mind waffling even though he's anMD he certainly doesn't know how to follow the oaths he took as an MD

So what the FDA did one of the tricks they pulled was as you said was to try to so a BLA is a licensed product once you apply for a biologics license and if you get it your product is called a BLA product and if you and if you're using an emergency use product it's an EUA product so to try to turn EUA products into BLA products or make the difference between them impossible to discern the FDA said well these EUA products were produced under BLA conditions therefore we're gonna we're gonna allow the Department of Defense to roll them out and give them to people now that there's nothing in law that's that Congress never approved that but because the FDA has been sort of making up the way it operates as it goes during the COVID pandemic these are some of the things we've identified that we think are illegal that they've done and we can go after them you know in this case or others

Sasha: yes exactly. I remember specifically when they issued that BLA on top of EUA and kept the EUA in place I think Meryl you were the first person later in that day who figured out what they were we were all like what is going on because **never before you were allowed to have EUA and BLA for the same product at the same time because you know one they're self-contradicting you know the criteria for issuing EUA is that there is no approved product and so if you now saying there is a approved product then how is the EUA can stay in place now**

Dr. Nass: Let me let me explain that a little better yeah so if you have an EUA emergency use authorized product no liability right but if you have a BLA product there is liability so what the government wants to do is somehow make people think they're getting a fully approved product

Polly: Meryl I have to stop here second could stop touching all the papers because we can't hear you and we're not and it's very important what you're saying so it's okay we're live and these things happen but I just don't want to miss what you're saying so do so again what I was saying is if you have an EUA emergency use product authorized product there is no liability for anyone unless you can prove Wolfland misconduct and get the Secretary of HHS to agree that you that a lawsuit can go forward otherwise you they'll never get into a courtroom and no one ever has and it's 18 years since this EUA business really got going so a BLA is a fully licensed drug and it is does have liability if you can show that the it wasn't regulated correctly or if it wasn't manufactured correctly someone died because of and wasn't warned and the manufacturer knew you can sue and make millions of dollars

So the FDA has been trying to convert BLA products to EUA products and it has all these different ways of doing it so one way was to say well the EUA product was made under BLA conditions so it's sort of so it's so we want so we tell the public it's really a BLA fully licensed vaccine but in fact it's still an EUA vaccine and it has no liability.

Another way the government tried to do this was with monkeypox vaccines they made the mistake of fully licensing the Ginnios monkey monkeypox vaccine in 2019 so what happens when they want to give everybody monkeypox vaccine oh my god it's licensed we're the whole of liability of and and we want to give it to all these people but we don't want to be sued we know it's dangerous that vaccine also causes myocarditis so what the government did was say oh **we don't have enough we have to water it down we're gonna have to dilute it to one fifth and instead of giving it as an intramuscular injection we're gonna give it as a subdermal injection and therefore we have to issue an emergency use authorization for it because we're giving it in a different way and this was a lie because they had 30 million doses sitting in Denmark where it was manufactured waiting for it to come over here**

So anyway that on August 23rd of 2021 the FDA issued the full license for the Pfizer vaccine and the whole and FDA collaborated to make people think by allowing the CDC to use the words safe and effective allowing the VA and other state and federal agencies to use terminology that can only legally be used for licensed products for the Pfizer vaccine starting on August 23rd when none was available none was being allowed into the United States.

Okay and then we thought the prep act said once a license is given to a product the EUA has to go away and so the government has been trying to figure out oh my god how do we get around that one and one of the ways is by saying well the declaration that the FDA makes that there's an EUA product is different than the declaration the secretary of HHS makes that there's a public health emergency **so even when Biden and Xavier Becerra remove the declaration of a public health emergency for COVID we the FDA are going to maintain our prep act declaration that we still have EUAs**

And so so now suddenly you can have EUAs and fully licensed products at the same
time when we don't think there's any law that really allows that.

Sasha: yeah absolutely and they do other types of deception like this so yeah so first of all myquestion is who authorized the FDA to make laws are they you know all of a sudden they became Congress so they you know they are an agency that is supposed to regulate essential interstate commerce of medical products and food and assure that you know the laws that are there for consumer protection are being implemented by the manufacturers and they have you know pretty vast enforcement powers you know such as I'm sure you guys know that you know if somebody is selling raw milk somewhere they'll send FBI with tanks and helicopters to destroy those cows but actually they'll send their own FDA police with guns drawn exactly yeah so they have those powers but in this case for some reason they are just completely helpless and totally at the you know beck and call of the pharmaceutical manufacturers and they constantly come up with these lies such as oh **you know so one of them is if you you know we should be a but there's not enough available so there's not enough available so we have to keep these EUA ones in place and then you know but that goes on for several years** and so where is the where is the approved product and that that's one of them the other **one they allow now multiple versions of products so you know I keep reminding people in case of Pfizer specifically when they issued they have this acceleration mechanism fast track designation this was issued in July 2020 to Pfizer under the same IND one IND so in investigational new drug application the law says one IND one formula okay it's very strict because that's how you control pharmaceutical compliance through this mechanism throughout from the preclinical to clinical to on market it has to be one formula in one dossier and that was always in the law**

Now FDA when they issued fast track designation to Pfizer they allowed four different types of product including one that does not have any mRNA in it which is just spike routine in lipid nanoparticles so there's like three versions of mRNA and one spike routine it's all included in one IND and because of these EUA the EUA status of manufacturing they don't have any enforcement of load release these products therefore there's no way to tell which version is being manufactured.

If the manufacturer wants to utilize any of them well they can they have the same they have IND that has been issued the BLA now and there's no mechanism to check and verify that that's so that's not and they and they keep do and **they've tested about a dozen different versions of their product throughout the preclinical and clinical program because they kept changing it all the time**.

Dr. Nass: So let me say one of the ways that FDA is supposed to guarantee quality of products is a process that is called lot release and is required so before a manufacturer can send out any lot of a product it has to send samples to the FDA and it has to send a lot of information about exactly how that product was tested and the tests that are required are specified in the license originally or whatever or if it's an EUA should be specified in the authorization that FDA has given to that product so what Sasha has said is normally so when a manufacturer is applying for a license it fills in you know a massive amount of information a truckload of information as part of this IND a new drug application and FDA has to go through it and approve it and then even once it's approved or it's authorized each lot has to then be individually approved by FDA before it can be sold or distributed. But that process too was degraded to be basically nothing and the **IND process instead of being applicable to only one single drug entity one molecule or one vaccine was issued like a blanket IND for multiple different products this has never been done before but this is because the whole thing was thrown together with a smoke screen of regulation instead of normal regulation** so back to Sasha

Sasha: yeah and one late breaking thing actually through CHD I was assisting one of the fellows CHD filing FOIA documents and this was late last year and she finally got the response from BARDA what was interesting I found a video from a public seminar that BARDA ran last year state you know one of the employees saying that they Q8 all the vaccine doses so they claiming that they did that float release process BARDA did and I was like oh really what first of all why is it not FDA second of all that you did it I'm very interested in that and we filed the FOIA asking BARDA you know what's your SOP centripering procedure for doing this and what did you do and they gave response you know a few days ago and that response says oh yeah so BARDA actually is the entity that receives these lots you know we didn't know that you know it's supposed to be a DA's job **FDA is claiming that they are regulating**

these products yet it's BARDA actually receiving these products and the standard operating procedure basically says well the sealed tracks come in we checked that they're sealed we checked the temperature we look at the paperwork that manufacturers send with it and we just you know count them and store them in the strategic national stockpile

Dr. Nass: now let me interrupt again so BARDA is an agency of the Defense Department not of HHS not of FDA BARDA is the an agency that buys products for biodefense and preparedness for biological warfare it really has no business getting involved with pandemics although the money for pandemics and the money for biological warfare preparation somehow got linked and so BARDA did get involved with that as well as the civilian side but what it meant is all the pandemic everything that has to do with pandemics now is military yeah exactly and so that also that whole process of the the lots and doses going to BARDA into the strategic national stockpile and then presumably from there to the vaccination centers.

Dr. Nass: This is completely outside of the regulated pharmacy I'm sorry I was I'm wrong BARDA is actually it's it is in HHS but it is under the assistant secretary for preparedness and response who tends to be you know it was Robert Cadillac had that position and so he was actually at a military officer and probably had strong CIA connections he was a colonel in the Air Force and his whole career had been biological warfare but then they made but because he had an MD after his name you know they made him the assistant secretary of preparedness and response so it's sort of the link between HHS and Defense Department but they are also buying a lot of defense products

Sasha: yeah so so they're a link and they're technically just a sort of science research facilitator agency within the federal government they do not have the mandate that FDA has from Congress to regulate interstate commerce so they so okay they can facilitate science maybe there's a you know their militaries slash doctors PhDs working on by defense things that's all fine but why are they taking what is presumably a civilian fully approved pharmaceutical product for presumably health issue and taking it out of regulated pharmacy distribution network which is the most important part here because again the regulated pharmacy distribution licensed by the state and regulated by federal interstate commerce exists there for purposes of traceability if we have any adverse event issues we can identify those lots and doses and remove them importantly recall them and trace what happens where the breakdown occurs it's is it within the pharmaceutical handling of it or is it in the manufacturing so all that exists for the purpose of making sure that the products that are being distributed to the public are safe and so now this entity that's not FDA doesn't have that mandate is some sort of a **facilitating science agency with the between HHS and the and DOD takes it out of the of that safeguarded system into a black box distribution system and we're supposed to believe that again it's all regulated it's not**

Polly**:** yes right listen thank you we don't have much time left and with Sasha we could speak to you for a very long time and but there's a couple of questions coming in from our viewers as well first of all are you it's amazing speaking out and we're kind of we're furious because if it wasn't for you speaking out and Dr. Nash breaking it down people wouldn't know and so the majority of people in this country are listening to crazy news telling us everything's safe and effective and safety Charles and it's just a wonderful situation and yet people are dying so does that make you angry does it make you angry that people aren't getting number one are you afraid when you speak out like that have you been attacked in any way whatsoever and question other question what can we do about this how can we collectively fight this and another question what I don't know if you can remember all these questions but I might go get them all in while I can in the last ten minutes what do you foresee happening in the next sort of six months and what's your warning to the people do you remember all those questions okay yeah

Sasha: so so question whether you know when I'm in yes I am pissed off but I mean the productive way to be pissed off is to do this is to speak up and to and to try to wake as many people up as possible because our defense is us and being on compliance number one with oldest nonsense and this is our best defense is we can connect with each other we can communicate now online through zooms or these alternative media and we can educate each other and stand up to it collectively so that's that's what we can do I'm involved in you know helping several cases and grassroots initiatives in the states and counties issuing **just recently we had a success in Idaho Washington County where Republican Party issued resolution that these are indeed bioweapons should be stopped and recalled and while this is a small win county level it does add up so we have to go at the local level where we still have some honest people to try to put this together so that then the it leads to the Republican Party legislation in the states t**hey have now a formal requirement to do something about it and so so these initiatives are extremely important on the ground and you know so that's that's how we can counteract all this

Polly: and and are you why are you not afraid you're speaking out about it you do you are you being bullied in any way

Sasha: well I get banned on Twitter so I can't bend on Twitter again probably for the final time that's you know recently under the Elon Musk like I'm not gonna do that anymore

Sasha: oh yeah yesterday yeah for a tweet that happened two months ago and they finally they found it somehow and it was like no warning you know you banned and I submitted like appeal and they're like no no you're still banned

Polly: yeah I trust the Elon Musk as far as I can throw him but yeah exactly it's you

know so anyway we can talk about Twitter someone but no I'm not afraid I don't think we should be afraid because that's where they want us they want us to be afraid and the all of these ridiculous and fraudulent procedures put in place because people are scared and you know like but what are we scared about we have to speak up about our future our children our families and also somebody mentioned that this is you know mostly in this movement it's women you know speaking up I think it's important while there are a lot of very good men of course also but yes majority of women I think it's because it's you know they're using health they weaponized health and women make 90% of health decisions and families so they weaponized health against our families and children and this is something that we are extremely involved and always and responsible for I think this is what we have to do we have to stand up and speak about it

Polly: And what is your warning to the people for the I know you none of us know what's gonna happen we can all have a pretty good guess but what is your warning to the people your action plan for the people to protect themselves and their family because we know more nonsense is coming you've just talked about Marburg and we've got all kinds of crazy vaccines coming out and being approved for crazy things what's your warning

Sasha: yeah so so they will there there are several lines of attack that that these perpetrators are using it's fear of wondering about different types of viruses you know new you know Marburg and Ebola and you know the monkey pox that they tried before and you know so all these new things but those are less effective now because we have kind of debunked this whole covid situation but they will try some more of those or some new variant or something do not fall for this say in the fall when they start going after the children to get you know vaccinations absolutely say no to this but the next line of attack is food and agriculture and they're going to try to vaccinate cattle to make them sick and dying and so we have to stand up to to those things so if they're going after the food supply agriculture safety on that side it's extremely important and of course you know Dr. Nass was very much involved in this whole WHO situation the international health regulations and so forth that how they want to capture the whole global governance through that mechanism and that's another very important side of attack and in all in the name of climate change.

Polly: What's your stance on climate change if you don't mind me asking there is no clue I mean there's weather but yeah that that's totally that whole like you know single co2 human caused climate change that's all just to grab power and mine in especially money and tax everyone tax tax air this is you know this is everyone every dictator's dream since the beginning of time was to tax air and they have achieved that through this these co2 credits

Polly: but but isn't it all it's all in the name of cow farts and cows have been farting for years and I don't think we've got more or less cows than we have had for I don't understand it doesn't make any sense so standby people yeah protecting our cows and today anyway

Polly: look this has been fantastic conversation and Sasha please can we have you back on with so many more questions for you but we are running out of time so you've been banned for Twitter where can people follow you to keep up with everything that you're putting out there

Sasha: yeah so sub stack is the main is the main platform I'm on and I write articles and I you know I write articles I put my videos there so and I have put documents there which I'm referring to so this is a really good it's a really good platform in my opinion and I like it a lot

Polly: And Meryl as well you just put something out on your sub stack on the verbach yesterday for people you said it was incredibly boring but we do need to go and see it correct where is that Meryl now yes that's it and that's kind of funny so you might get a laugh out of it but we will be seeing some new COVID vaccines rolling out this fall they're gonna try and give it to you when you go get a flu shot I think at this point who wants a flu shot who needs a COVID vaccine nobody it's a mild disease nobody talked about that kids absolutely never needed it and certainly don't need it now they never talked about that F.DA. is gonna roll it out for children and adults everybody six months old untested you know they're in a big hurry so maybe they'll test it on eight mice and what you need to know **is only 17% of the whole US population and this is CDC's numbers which we know may not maybe not accurate maybe a lot higher than the real numbers only 17% one in six Americans ever took a bivalent booster and only 34% of Americans have ever taken any booster at all after they were forced into taking the initial series** so Americans are figuring out this is a load of hokum and you're gonna see a lot more hokum as they try to scare the lights out of you for these false shots

Polly: I really feel like we see it's a TV needs to be preparing our people's for better immune systems because it's coming from the air it's coming in our food I do not like these genetically engineered mosquitoes Bill Gates is releasing but don't worry we have a vaccine for illness it's kind it's in charge I mean it is it is actually out of control right now

Dr. Nass: So it's totally out of control the chicken gun yeah vaccine is coming the dengue vaccine has already been rolled out in Puerto Rico they were there are **many new vaccines that FDA is is authorizing or licensing as I said they licensed a dengue vaccine after it killed a bunch of kids in Philippines that was licensed in 2019 and Ebola vaccine was licensed in 2019 monkeypox vaccine was licensed in 2019 FDA is out of control you know be very careful be used discernment** discernment is my new favorite word

Polly: and we need to grow our food I mean sounds boring we're saying the same thing over and over again strong immune systems and we'll have more on this people we're not allowed to give medical advice out but we'll get people's advice and you can pick up your own things yes and we taught you had a garden during the attack on food and agriculture that is still on CHD TV it was it came out March 4th seven hours of how they're attacking food and agriculture and how we fight back

Polly: and it's not easy gardening it took me a whole year to grow a crop of sweet potatoes and they were about this big it's hard but it is what it is right listen Sasha thank you so much our viewers literally love you and work on behalf of children's health events thank you for all of the work you do to protect the unborn and the future so thank you so much and Dr. Nash you're just amazing as always and in fact your show is tomorrow you have a show tomorrow morning on good morning CHD Mary and I are on Sunday so viewers be ready for that so thank you to you both.

I have a couple of things to to talk to you all about and that is today is the last day of electromagnetic sensitivity awareness week but you know it may be the last day for CHD or whatever the week is nationally but we need to be on this the whole time people this is serious and we're learning more day by day again our EMF team at Children's Health Defense go to our patreon children's health events dot org there are questions and answers there there's advice for you now it's saying today children and particularly the unborn are more vulnerable to radio frequency radiation exposure compared to adults their brain tissue is more conductive meaning they absorb more radiation than adults they have thinner skulls so the radiation penetrates more deeply and they will have a longer exposure over their lifetime in this day and age it's impossible to avoid or disconnect from all of this technology but parents should try their best to limit their children's exposure as much as possible to reduce the risks I do say you pregnant women please stop being on your laptops resting on your baby bump please stop FaceTiming your mother or granny or whoever to show your beautiful newborn baby that radiation going straight into your baby so please find another way of doing this I know you all want to show off your newborn babies but that is so it is so dangerous a more on that to come and then in case you missed it go back and watch yesterday's verb act live blog with dr. NAS to be updated on the latest on the FDA and she did say it was incredibly boring but I think she's got a funny sub-stack it's all on the new booster strains and also stay tuned tomorrow because Meryl is back with James Corbett and James Rogoski that's a great lineup for Saturday's Good Morning City and I'll be back on Sunday with Mary so thank you again to our amazing guest Meryl and Sasha and I do think we need to have those two back on and pick their brains even more that's a very good combination to the viewers pray big never settle stand firm remember how much you loved and we'll see you then bye