

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SOLANO

THE HONORABLE DANIEL HEALY, JUDGE

---oOo--



THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. VCR233208

DOMINIC MILANO,

Defendant.

/

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MARCH 22, 2022 - AFTERNOON SESSION

---oOo---

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the People:

BRUCE FLYNN,
Deputy District Attorney
County of Solano
Vallejo, CA 94590

For the Defendant:

NICK FILLOY and
TRACY KRAUSE,
Deputy Public Defenders
Vallejo, CA 94590

For the City of Vallejo:

KATELYN KNIGHT,
Assistant City Attorney
CA 94590

Reported by:

CHRISTINE L. WESNER
Certified Shorthand Reporter
No. 10767

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SESSIONS

MARCH 22, 2022

PAGE

Afternoon Session

4

1 MASTER INDEX - AFTERNOON SESSION

2 CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER OF WITNESSES

3

4

5 FOR THE DEFENDANT: PAGE

6 KENT TRIBBLE

7 Continued Direct Examination by Mr. Filloy 4
8 Cross-examination by Mr. Flynn 20
9 Redirect Examination by Mr. Filloy 25

9 JOSHUA COLEMAN

10 Direct Examination by Mr. Filloy 32

11

12

13 EXHIBITS

14 FOR THE PEOPLE:

15 NO. DESCRIPTION ID EVID

16 NO EXHIBITS

17

18 FOR THE DEFENDANT:

19 NO. DESCRIPTION ID EVID

20 NO EXHIBITS

21

22

23 ---oOo---

24

25

26

27

28

1 MARCH 22, 2022 AFTERNOON SESSION

2 ---oOo---

3 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA versus DOMINIC
4 MILANO.

5 The above-entitled cause came regularly this day for
6 hearing before the Honorable DANIEL HEALY, Judge.

7 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA were represented by
8 BRUCE FLYNN, Deputy District Attorney for Solano County.

9 The Defendant, was present and represented by NICK FILLOY
10 and TRACY KRAUSE, Deputy Public Defenders for Solano County.

11 The City of Vallejo was represented by KATELYN KNIGHT,
12 Assistannd City Attorney.

13 CHRISTINE L. WESNER, RPR, CSR No. 10767, was present and
14 acting as an Official Shorthand Reporter for the County of
15 Solano.

16 The following proceedings were then and there had, to
17 wit:

18 P R O C E E D I N G S

19 THE COURT: Back on the record in Mr. Milano's case, all
20 parties, counsel are still present. Kent Tribble is still
21 under oath.

22 Mr. Filloy.

23 MR. FILLOY: Thank you, judge.

24 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

25 BY MR. FILLOY: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Tribble.

26 A. Good afternoon.

27 Q. So, going back to what we were discussing this morning, I
28 want to be -- I want to make sure I'm understanding the

1 progression of this thing.

2 As far as you know, were you the person who brought the
3 tradition of badge bending to Vallejo, or started it in
4 Vallejo?

5 A. Yes, sir, it was I.

6 Q. Okay.

7 A. If it's okay, I would like to correct an earlier
8 statement. I had more time to review over lunch, I think I
9 could clarify.

10 THE COURT: Sure, go ahead.

11 BY MR. FILLOY: Q. What's that?

12 A. The meeting that Dan Golinveaux and I had in Concord at
13 the Peppermill, it was Dan that bent my badge and he was
14 letting me know that he appreciated my efforts, despite how
15 bad I felt about my performance.

16 Q. That brings me back to the issue, I think you were saying
17 in the initial shooting where Mr. Golinveaux bent your badge
18 in Concord, that you had been issue where you fired at
19 someone with a rifle in close range, right?

20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. So the issue you felt bad about was the individual hit?

22 A. Yes, he was hit by several different rounds and later I
23 found out one was mine.

24 Q. Did he die?

25 A. No, he did not.

26 Q. Okay. So, that was, I think what I was understanding
27 that you had information from the hospital records that
28 suggested that your rounds had not hit him?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. But, because they were AR rounds, or something like that?

3 A. If I'm following where you're going, the AR platform was
4 relatively new back then to law enforcement and the type of
5 projectile that was being fired by us was not same as the
6 military. These rounds typically fragment and most of the
7 time don't penetrate through a human torso.

8 Q. They fumble?

9 A. No, they actually break apart.

10 Q. They actually break up?

11 A. I believe it was that -- this is conjecture on my part.
12 I believe it was because of that that no projectile was found
13 in that person, which was why I was told that I missed
14 completely, at seven yards.

15 Q. Okay. So, you were kind of beating yourself up about
16 that when you thought that that was what happened, that you
17 missed and not performed?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. Okay. So, there was something in your recognizing in
20 Komoda and McLaughlin that they were beating themselves up in
21 a similar way; is that it?

22 A. Yeah.

23 Q. Okay. Now, when you indicated that you bent Josh Coleman
24 and Mark Galios's badge after the Starbucks shooting in south
25 Vallejo --

26 A. Yes, sir.

27 Q. -- were they -- was that a similar situation where you
28 felt they were beating themselves up about their tactical

1 performance?

2 A. Not so much that, is that they were pretty shaken and I
3 don't recall what the time period was between when this
4 occurred and when I bent their badges. They were really
5 shaken by the fact that had that suspect's AR not jammed,
6 they would probably one or both be dead. I don't know if
7 you've seen that video. They were pretty shaken up about
8 that.

9 Then, they -- I mean, these are all hard things to go
10 through, so they second guess themselves all the time about
11 how they did. They go over it. You have to go through a
12 lengthy interview. Again, frequently sometimes when the full
13 story's not known, press isn't super supportive and these
14 guys, they performed, when I watched that video, as well as
15 any police officer I've ever seen in any high-stress incident
16 has ever performed. Despite the fact that they were both
17 sitting ducks. And they didn't even -- their suspect didn't
18 get killed in the event, but they managed to take a guy that
19 had, I believe shot somebody else in another town, I believe
20 it was a baby, and then tried to shoot them, and the only
21 reason that he didn't get them was because his gun jammed and
22 they managed to take him into custody. He's still alive.

23 So, to me, those were the up sides of what they were
24 dealing with and they were getting a lot of negative stuff
25 and I think their suspect was even acquitted.

26 Q. But, so to your recollection, it wasn't -- your bending
27 the badge wasn't that they were being self-critical about
28 their performance?

1 A. Every officer is, you know, I don't know specifically. I
2 think they did strike the suspect a few times, I think one of
3 the rounds hit the suspect in the head and the round -- I
4 mean, he survived. And whether -- if you can understand it
5 or not, sometimes people question the equipment we have, if
6 it's working or not, if it didn't penetrate the car or if
7 when it strikes it doesn't do what it's supposed to do. So
8 you get a lot of things that you start questioning, right,
9 your equipment, your use of it, your distance, all that kind
10 of thing.

11 Q. So, you know, maybe back to my question. Like, you don't
12 necessarily recollect if those guys were specifically being
13 self-critical the way Komoda and McLaughlin were?

14 A. I think they had a lot of apprehensions just about the
15 whole incident, right. They were shaken by the fact they
16 could have been killed. They chased a suspect, hit him
17 several times, the round didn't necessarily perform. I just
18 try to bring the positives up to them.

19 Q. That's what I'm trying to get to then I'm going to move
20 on to another subject. But I just want to be clear to make
21 sure we understand. I think you have been clear the bending
22 the badge, when you bent badges for these officers, there was
23 not a thing that was directly connected to killing, to the
24 incident being fatal?

25 A. Not at all.

26 Q. It wasn't a broader recognition of just survival, like
27 they had survived some hairy incident that wasn't a shooting,
28 something else?

1 A. No.

2 Q. So, it was, if I'm understanding you right, it was a
3 recognition specific to discharging your firearm as a police
4 officer that you did your job in an appropriate manner?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. Okay. And so, would you -- would it be fair to say or
7 would you say, that you would only have given that
8 recognition, bent somebody's badge, if you thought that the
9 shooting that they had engaged in was okay. That it was
10 justified that they had done a good job, right?

11 A. Arguably, yeah. It's not always up to me as to how the
12 thing comes out after lengthy DA's investigation and all
13 that. But if I believe they did the job to the best of their
14 ability, that bend also is to let them know that despite all
15 that goes on after one of those things, there's somebody else
16 that kind of understands what they're going through and
17 that's what that was about.

18 Q. If you thought that somebody had done an officer-involved
19 shooting that was questionable, you thought maybe wasn't a
20 good shooting, would have bent their badge for that?

21 A. I don't recall doing that ever.

22 Q. Do you think you would have?

23 A. I don't think so.

24 Q. Okay. We talked about you were involved in being on the
25 Critical Incident Review Board at times when you were in the
26 Vallejo Police Department?

27 A. Yeah, a few time I was.

28 Q. Okay. And did you also assist in the actual

1 investigation the police investigation of officer-involved
2 shootings when they happened at the time they happened?

3 A. Very rarely. I think I was involved in one of those, or
4 two.

5 Q. Okay. You indicated you didn't recall whether or not you
6 were on the Critical Incident Review Board and reviewed
7 Komoda and McLaughlin's shooting that you bent their badge
8 for?

9 A. I don't.

10 Q. Would it refresh your recollection to look at a copy of
11 that critical incident review?

12 A. Sure.

13 MR. FILLOY: Judge, can I approach the witness?

14 THE COURT: You may.

15 BY MR. FILLOY: Q. I'll just hand you this document. You
16 can look over it, review it and let us know when you have
17 finished reviewing it.

18 A. Yes, this -- I don't remember this, per se, but this
19 meeting is written up, it appears, by Ted Postolaki, the way
20 these use reports, review boards work is the meeting comes
21 together with the representatives of each facette of the
22 department, there's a discussion, round table, so to speak,
23 then one person is assigned to write the report. It appears
24 to me that this one was written by Postolaki regarding our
25 review of the incident.

26 Q. So were you, in fact, on that review board?

27 A. Yes, sir.

28 Q. What was your role?

1 A. Wait. Wait. Pardon me. Yeah, I was there as use of
2 force.

3 Q. And do you recall being involved in that review now that
4 you've looked at that?

5 A. That still, offhand, I don't remember that review.

6 Q. When was the meeting or review that you engaged in on of
7 the shooting; when did that occur?

8 A. According to this it occurred on May 10th of 2018.

9 Q. Of 2018?

10 A. Yeah.

11 Q. Is that -- if I can direct you to --

12 A. Okay. Yes. I think right here.

13 Q. That's the date of the incident, but down at the bottom,
14 I'm pointing him to the second paragraph of the first page of
15 the narrative.

16 A. November 2016.

17 Q. Right. So, would November 2016 where it says the CR is
18 convened, is that the date that you all meet and get together
19 and review the incident?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. Then what's your role in the review after that?

22 A. Well, in this one, nothing. It was Ted Postolaki's job
23 to write it up.

24 Q. Was your contribution to that review, as far as you
25 remember it that the ammunition was under performing it?

26 A. As I stated earlier, we had a concern because the -- I
27 don't know if any of the projectiles penetrated the skin of
28 the vehicle, other than through the outer surface of the

1 sheet metal.

2 Q. But that document, the review that you were involved in
3 the investigation of this shooting by a review board, that
4 occurred after you bent Komoda and McLaughlin's badges,
5 right?

6 A. I don't know.

7 Q. Okay. Do you recall if there were other incidents where
8 you bent officer's badges where you were also involved in the
9 investigation of the shooting?

10 A. Well, no, I don't. And there's -- let me explain that.
11 That's not -- as far as I'm interpreting your word of
12 "investigation" there, I'm thinking of the actual DA's
13 investigation of the shooting that they do, all the evidence
14 collection, all of that.

15 When you talk about the Critical Incident Review Board,
16 to me that's a separate thing. That's internal review of the
17 incident to see where we need to fix things or if everything
18 is going right. Which one of those are you talking about?

19 Q. So, that's a good question. So let me break that out and
20 clarify.

21 The critical incident review as you put it, that's
22 internal, not internal affairs investigation, it's an
23 internal department review of the incident, right?

24 A. Yes, it's for the department to look at, analyze, be
25 critical of themselves and decide what needs to be done.

26 Q. Internal affairs investigation of an incident might only
27 be initiated by the chief, or somebody if, like, something
28 the critical incident review found was wrong?

1 A. Well, not necessarily because generally with any fatal
2 incident protocol case the internal affairs guys will come in
3 and start right then.

4 So, with a shooting most of the time, most of the time
5 the professional standards division of internal affairs will
6 have somebody there from the very get, when it comes to
7 officer-involved shootings.

8 Q. If an incident is not fatal, though, are you saying
9 there's an internal investigation ongoing that is separate
10 from the CRI?

11 A. Yeah. So, the way the department works, it's evolved
12 since '03 to when I left. But every use of force is sent --
13 there's like a what they call a use of force reporting sheet,
14 for lack of a better term, now it's all digital. But, IA
15 gets a copy of every report where force is used. Then they
16 have to kind of like go through a clearing house whether
17 they're going invest or not, when it starts get into serious
18 less lethal and lethal uses of force, they all get reviewed.
19 So the Critical Incident Review Board doesn't necessarily
20 kick off the IA's. I mean, there may be a circumstance where
21 that happens, but I don't know of one.

22 Q. The review bored does have a section in the paperwork to
23 review and final approval by the chief based on their
24 recommendation, right?

25 A. Yes.

26 Q. And if the board or the chief found that it was a
27 shooting that was not approved, right, if the recommendation
28 was we don't approve or the review finds something wrong with

1 it, that could that result in an internal affairs action or
2 would that be --

3 A. I personally believe internal affairs action would have
4 occurred before that, with a shooting for sure. Because they
5 come out for most shootings.

6 Q. Is it some of the same guys that are doing the internal
7 affairs investigation as are doing the critical incident
8 reviews?

9 A. I think, if you look at that, there's a representative
10 from professional standards.

11 Q. So professional standards would be essentially like
12 internal affairs?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. What most people think of as that?

15 A. Yeah.

16 Q. There's a representative from that on the Critical
17 Incident Review Board?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. So they're interconnected in that way?

20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. All right. Did you ever sit on one of these where a
22 shooting use of force was not approved, that you recall?

23 A. I sat on a few where the training modifications were
24 recommended. In fact, I think a couple where we needed to
25 address training. But none where it was to be referred over
26 to IA for investigation.

27 Q. Did you ever bend Sanjay Ramrakha's badge?

28 A. I believe I did, I'm not a hundred percent sure, but I

1 think I did.

2 Q. Would that have been quite a long time ago, early 2000's?

3 A. Oh, yeah.

4 Q. So, I'm going to go to the first incident when you were
5 confronted by Horton in 2016 about badge bending, or around
6 2016, not holding you necessarily to you had a year but
7 sometime around that time frame.

8 How, after being confronted by him that first time, did
9 you take any action to tell people to fix their badges or to
10 get rid of, correct the tradition of badge bending at VPD
11 after that first incident?

12 A. Not necessarily, no. I was taken aback a little bit,
13 that's all.

14 Q. So, after the second time in 2018 when Horton approached
15 you and you said it was a much -- he was more upset, maybe
16 more formal conversation, you took -- did you take some
17 corrective action with regards to badge bending after that
18 conversation in 2018?

19 A. I did. I contacted a few people. I believe it was
20 Komoda, McLaughlin, David McLaughlin, and I think Sergeant
21 Jeremy Huff.

22 Q. So, did you contact Officers Komoda and McLaughlin to
23 indicate to them that they needed to fix their badges that
24 you had bent, to bend the badges back?

25 A. Yes. And I think I went as far as to tell them, "anybody
26 else that's got these, you have to make sure it's done with.
27 It's over with". And I let them know the captain told me so.

28 Q. Do you recall were they together when you told them this

1 or were they two separate incidents?

2 A. I don't recall.

3 Q. But definitely those two guys?

4 A. I know I got to those two guys and Sergeant Huff.

5 Q. Then, did you actually go and double check with Komoda
6 and McLaughlin that they had corrected that issue and fixed
7 the badges?

8 A. I did not.

9 Q. Did you give an interview to Mr. Giordano, a lengthy
10 interview in the badge bending investigating?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And were you honest and forthcoming in that?

13 A. Yes, I was, to the best of my ability.

14 Q. Do you recall telling Mr. Giordano that you had in fact
15 gone back and double checked with Komoda and McLaughlin that
16 they had corrected their issues?

17 A. No, I actually -- if I could see that, I would like to
18 see it. But I remember telling Mr. Giordano I had no doubt
19 whatsoever they would have followed an order.

20 MR. FILLOY: Judge, I'm going to ask to let him review
21 the transcript, if Mr. Flynn will submit on it, otherwise we
22 have to get the tape recording out.

23 MR. FLYNN: Which page?

24 THE COURT: You can refresh your recollection with
25 anything.

26 BY MR. FILLOY: Q. I'm going to show you, Mr. Tribble, a
27 portion of the transcript of your interview with Mr.
28 Giordano, and this, for the record, is Page 51 of the

1 transcript I was provided by the City of Vallejo. I just
2 double checked with Mr. Flynn, he's on the same page.

3 A. Yeah, I guess I did. I know there's a section in here
4 where I said I didn't have any doubt they would follow an
5 order and I'm trying to figure out here if this is after the
6 first or second time I spoke to Horton. Yeah, without the
7 review, I did not remember that.

8 Q. Does reviewing that refresh your recollection?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. You did double check, after you had told Komoda and
11 McLaughlin to fix their badges, you checked that they had in
12 fact fixed them, they said they had?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. That would have been in the 2018 time frame because
15 that's when you took the corrective action after the second
16 incident report, right?

17 A. With Horton, right?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. You indicated, you got the word to Jeremy Huff, about
20 trying to fix the badges?

21 A. Yep.

22 Q. Was that designed to have Sergeant Huff tell other
23 people?

24 A. Yes, sir.

25 Q. Did you do that because Sergeant Huff has any particular
26 sort of characteristic that you thought would be good for
27 that?

28 A. Sergeant Huff has been there for quite awhile and is

1 pretty connected with everybody at the sergeant level and
2 below. Once you become a lieutenant you're a little more
3 detached. I thought he would be pretty good at throwing that
4 out there.

5 Q. So when you say Sergeant Huff was a connected on the
6 sergeant level, you mean he was sociable with folks he talked
7 to everybody, was outgoing, new all the young guys?

8 A. Yeah.

9 Q. Okay. And so I'm assuming if you told him to get the
10 word out about it, he was already aware of the tradition of
11 badge bending?

12 A. I don't remember if he was aware or not at that point.

13 Q. Do you recall if you had bent his badge previously?

14 A. I don't. I know that he had been involved in an
15 officer-involved shooting.

16 Q. So, sometime in 2018 you go, you contact Komoda and
17 McLaughlin and you say "Fix the badges. Put them back". At
18 some point you double check with them that they have done so,
19 you tell Huff to kind of put it out there, right?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. As this was going on, at some point were you aware that
22 there was going to be an inspection of these badges?

23 A. I think that was around 2019.

24 Q. Were you aware of that inspection prior to it occurring?

25 A. Yeah, I had heard there was going to be a badge
26 inspection at the next staff meeting, or something like that.

27 Q. Did someone inspect your badge?

28 A. Jeremy Huff.

1 Q. Jeremy Huff inspected your badge?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. He was a subordinate of yours at that time, correct?

4 A. Correct.

5 Q. Okay. So, was it just sergeants that were tasked to
6 inspect everybody's badge?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. When you found out that there was an inspection coming,
9 did you talk to anybody about that after hearing it?

10 A. Yeah, at some point I talked to Lieutenant Steve Cheatham
11 and asked him what the inspections were about.

12 Q. And what did he say?

13 A. Pardon my language, it was off the cuff, but it was
14 apparently, "guys are f-ing with their badges".

15 Q. Was -- did you, at one point, have a conversation with
16 Mark Thompson about the issue of badge bending?

17 A. I did.

18 Q. Was that prior to these inspection occurring?

19 A. I don't recall. I think it was.

20 Q. Was it subsequent to the Taco Bell shooting in 2019?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Okay.

23 MR. FILLOY: Judge, I don't think I have anything further
24 for Mr. Tribble at this time. I would keep him subject to
25 recall.

26 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Flynn.

27 MR. FLYNN: Just a few questions, your Honor.

28 ///

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. FLYNN: Q. Mr. Tribble, with respect to your role as
3 use of force expert in the 2016 shooting incident involving
4 officers Matt Komoda and David McLaughlin, what was your
5 particular role in that review?

6 A. As a use of force expert, it's to determine if the level
7 of force used by the officers was within department policy
8 and also both in the use of force and firearms division to
9 evaluate what our training and equipment are doing, if there
10 needs to be any improvements.

11 Q. Were you asked to render an opinion as to the use of
12 force in that particular case?

13 A. I felt -- I don't recall getting a specific opinion as to
14 the legality of it, but it was within department policy the
15 way I saw it. Because you have a outcome that has to be
16 agreed upon, I think there's four categories. One is
17 training, another one is procedural, another one is referral
18 to IA, and I can't recall what the fourth one is, but in this
19 case -- oh, one of them is approved, right. I think this one
20 was approved but I had some questions about the ammunition
21 that we were using.

22 Q. In order for you to participate in this review, were
23 there materials that you had to review?

24 A. What are they?

25 Q. Yes.

26 A. Evidence of the case. You get the videotape, you get the
27 reports, all the stuff that the investigators do.

28 Q. As the use of force expert in this particular critical

1 incident report, did you watch the video more than once?

2 A. I believe I did. I think I watched it prior to when we
3 had access to the -- I think -- I believe it was called Coban
4 dash cam system, you could review stuff as a supervisor
5 before a formal critical incident review.

6 Q. Did this incident occur before or after the Vallejo
7 Police Department started using body cams?

8 A. You know, I don't recall. I can't remember whether I saw
9 it on dash cam or body cam. I know it was pretty clear on
10 what I saw.

11 Q. And as you sit here today, can you remember whether or
12 not any time that you reviewed that video of that incident
13 involving officers Matt Komoda and David McLaughlin, if
14 either one of those officers sat with you during the viewing
15 of that videotape?

16 A. I don't recall. I don't think I did it in private.

17 Q. Now, with Officer Komoda, after you bent his badge, after
18 the August 31st, 2016 shooting incident that he was involved
19 in, after you returned the badge to him, did you ever see
20 that badge again?

21 A. I think so, yeah.

22 Q. When was that?

23 A. There was a K-9 demonstration, I think it was prior to
24 the second time I got pulled into the office, that he was
25 wearing it on his vest and it was bent.

26 Q. Did you ever see anyone else on duty wearing a bent
27 badge?

28 A. I don't recall that, no.

1 Q. Was the bending of badge ever meant to be a public
2 acknowledgment that you were involved in a critical shooting
3 or shooting event as a police officer?

4 A. Public to people that didn't already know about this
5 thing, is that what you're asking?

6 Q. Yes.

7 A. No, not at all.

8 Q. Now, with respect to Officer Matt Komoda, after the
9 August 31st, 2016 shooting event where you bent his badge,
10 did you ever bend his badge for any other shooting he was
11 involved in?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Would there be any reason to bend his badge for a
14 subsequent shooting?

15 A. No, the way -- no. The way this worked it didn't have to
16 do with anything other than the fact that you were willing to
17 do your job that one time, no matter how it came out and if
18 there were subsequent involvements, there were no additional
19 points bent.

20 Q. Okay.

21 MR. FLYNN: I don't think I have any further questions,
22 judge.

23 THE COURT: Let me ask you, sir. You used the word
24 "mature" at one point and said you didn't bend your
25 lieutenant's badge, then something along the lines of because
26 it didn't look mature, or you didn't think it was mature,
27 something to that effect.

28 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Well, I guess you could say in

1 most people's opinion I probably matured a little late, but
2 as I was going through this career, there was one way I was
3 looking at things, I was looking at it like I was helping
4 people out because these things are really hard on people, on
5 all sides of them. And a lot of times, you know, as a guy
6 that's been through it, you want to help another guy or gal
7 get through it. I let them know there's at least someone
8 there, but especially the further I get away from my career,
9 I can look back and see that I was very myopic in some of the
10 things that I was doing because here I'm thinking I'm helping
11 people, I'm not paying attention to the bigger picture of the
12 kind of exposure I'm giving, not only myself but them and the
13 department with what I'm thinking is doing a good things.

14 So, I think as I got higher in rank and a little more
15 experience under my belt, I started seeing that probably
16 wasn't a really good idea. If that answers your question.

17 THE COURT: I guess what, in hindsight, what do you see
18 as the problem with this badge bending?

19 THE WITNESS: Number one, I created a liability for, not
20 only myself, my co-workers and the department for the
21 perception of it.

22 And Number 2, it could damage the public. I mean there's
23 already a tenuous public trust of the police and my behavior
24 did not do anything to help that. And for that, I'm
25 sincerely sorry.

26 THE COURT: Did you ever have any discussions with
27 Officer Poyser about badge bending?

28 THE WITNESS: I did.

1 THE COURT: What's the genesis of those discussions?

2 THE WITNESS: The genesis of those discussions was post,
3 I think April last year is when I was told the report was
4 done or whatever. I wasn't supposed to have any
5 conversations with anybody until the report was done. I
6 discussed what was going on with me because Terry and I have
7 hunted together and he's about really one of the only people
8 I talk to post-work.

9 THE COURT: I mean, did you know that he had been
10 identified as someone who might have bent badges earlier?

11 THE WITNESS: No, I did not. I actually -- you know, he
12 was checking up on me because for a long time I wasn't doing
13 very well. And it wasn't until I told him what was going on
14 with me that he had -- that I even had known that his badge
15 had been bent.

16 THE COURT: So to the extent that he might have bent
17 badges, you don't have any knowledge about what caused him to
18 engage that practice?

19 THE WITNESS: No, I don't.

20 THE COURT: Have you had any discussions with Officer
21 McLaughlin about badge bending?

22 THE WITNESS: No, sir.

23 THE COURT: So if he was engaging in this sort of thing
24 enthusiastically, you have no idea what might have caused him
25 to learn this practice?

26 THE WITNESS: Well, -- it goes back to the thing about
27 maturity. In hindsight my -- hey, we don't discuss this
28 because we don't want to try to earn this thing, that was a

1 big concern. Believing that that can actually occur is
2 pretty naive and foolish and in my personal opinion reckless
3 on my part. And for other guys to go off and start doing
4 this, only exacerbates the problem I already started.

5 THE COURT: You had no direct -- that seems exactly
6 right, it seems he went off the rails in a couple different
7 ways. You have no knowledge, you didn't bend his badge?

8 THE WITNESS: I did not.

9 THE COURT: Didn't discuss it with him?

10 THE WITNESS: I did not.

11 THE COURT: The meaning of such a thing?

12 THE WITNESS: I did not.

13 THE COURT: You recognize how this thing can evolve to
14 some --

15 THE WITNESS: Sir --

16 THE COURT: -- collateral places.

17 THE WITNESS: I believe I have caused a lot of undue
18 stress, maybe further problems for people by the recklessness
19 of my behavior. And that is something I have to deal with.
20 I try to use it as a learning point for myself and everybody
21 else.

22 THE COURT: Mr. Filloy.

23 MR. FILLOY: Briefly, based on the question by the Court
24 and Mr. Flynn.

25 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

26 BY MR. FILLOY: Q. So, in the discussion the judge was just
27 referencing with Detective, former Detective Terry Poyser,
28 did you become aware at some point that he had also been

1 bending people's badges?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Okay. So you didn't have any -- you don't have any
4 knowledge of that?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Okay. And when you're talking about maturing, so on and
7 so forth, did you in fact, at some point, bend your
8 lieutenant badge?

9 A. Yes, I did.

10 Q. What happened with that?

11 A. So, I was -- I was having a rough time in that part of my
12 career. I had an incident occur in Bend, Oregon that got
13 highly publicized. I had some personal issues going on and
14 work seemed to be very adversarial between my command staff
15 and myself. And having had the Lieutenant -- captain, pardon
16 me, Horton come in and accuse me of having a bent badge when
17 I didn't, it bothered me. It added to some of the things I
18 was already feeling. And this is conjecture on my part,
19 probably well deserved based on my incident in Bent, Oregon,
20 but I felt like there was a lot of additional pressure on me
21 and some kind of suspicion. And one night I was at home, not
22 dealing with things well, and I started drinking and I said,
23 well, if they're going to accuse me of having a bent badge,
24 I'll bend it. And that's what I did.

25 Q. So the second time that Horton confronted you in 2018,
26 was your badge actually bent, or had you bent it back?

27 A. I had bent it back, but I believe there was probably a
28 crack in it.

1 Q. Because you're familiar with this, sometime when the tip
2 of the badge is bent, the enamel or paint cracks or become
3 disfigured in a way that's noticeable, even if you bent it
4 back?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. You think maybe that Horton could see that?

7 A. Probably, yeah.

8 Q. Yeah. I think based on those questions the judge was
9 asking you about mature and what occurred with you in some of
10 your insights as to looking back on it, looking back now do
11 you recognize now, with everything that's happened, that the
12 practice did in fact create an incentive for our young
13 officers to shoot?

14 A. I don't believe that because I haven't seen a situation
15 where it looked like -- I've been gone for two years, but I
16 haven't seen a situation where it looked like any of our
17 shootings were unjustified.

18 MR. FILLOY: Okay. I don't think I have anything else
19 right now, judge.

20 THE COURT: Mr. Flynn, anything further?

21 MR. FLYNN: No, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. I know that was tough.
23 Thank you.

24 THE WITNESS: No, that's okay.

25 THE COURT: I appreciate that.

26 THE WITNESS: For what it's worth, I apologize.

27 THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

28 MR. FILLOY: Call Officer Coleman, unless you want to

1 take a break.

2 THE COURT: Let's talk about that for a minute. So I
3 suppose this issue of who else is at the Relay on that day,
4 that is open. So, I suppose for that. What else would be --
5 you remember Adam Clayton Powell in all of this, right?

6 MR. FILLOY: Yeah, I'm not --

7 THE COURT: I remember all that, that he was making
8 reference to. I remember all that.

9 MR. FILLOY: I'm not trying to get into that. There's a
10 couple things with Officer Coleman, which is the nature of
11 the communication and what this was about and about Komoda
12 and McLaughlin beating themselves up about their performance,
13 the nature of what this was is quite different from
14 Mr. Tribble, as it was described by Officer Komoda, who
15 denies that he was ever beating himself up for feeling like
16 he didn't perform because the bullets didn't hit or didn't
17 have any conversations with that. I think officer -- also,
18 Officer McLaughlin, who I plan on calling tomorrow, he says
19 Coleman was there. I think Coleman can clarify what this
20 interaction actually was. He also may be able to clarify if
21 he ever saw, after that, that Komoda's badge was still bent.
22 I just heard Mr. Tribble say something I had never heard
23 before, which goes further in my argument about the fact that
24 Officer Komoda is lying about having bent it back within
25 days. He said he saw, at some later point, Officer Komoda in
26 the office with that duty badge on his chest in 2017 and it
27 was bent. So, I think Officer Coleman can clarify as to
28 that.

1 Much more importantly, as to Officer Jacobsen's badge
2 being bent by Josh Coleman in front of Kent Tribble,
3 Mr. Tribble was not sure which shooting that was for. He
4 said he thought it was for the one in Vallejo, which would
5 have been earlier. He also said it would have been quite a
6 while after he bent Coleman and Galios' badges. Coleman and
7 Galios was October of 2016. He didn't know how long
8 afterwards he bent the badges. The Angel Ramos shooting was
9 January, a couple months later, was January of 2017. And he
10 seemed to be fairly sketchy on time. I'm trying to discern
11 if Jacobsen's badge was bent twice for the same shooting, but
12 I actually think that the Jacobsen, that that bending story
13 Mr. Tribble is telling involving Josh Coleman, is
14 representative of the Barboa shooting. I think that other
15 people in the Barboa shooting had their badges bent.

16 THE COURT: Let's focus a little. I mean, it seems to me
17 the fact that we're struggling, you identifying specific
18 things due to the volume of these events is a thing of
19 concern, but I don't see further litigating specific
20 incidents in that volume having any particular relevance.

21 Now, the issues -- I get the issues involving Officer
22 Komoda are there. You've identified two areas of inquiry
23 with Officer Coleman, now. I guess that's all right because
24 it all relates directly to his testimony and his alleged
25 involvement in this thing. The stuff with Jacobsen and these
26 other folks and -- there were incidents asked about Poyser,
27 all of that. It just seems to me that that is all -- there
28 may be a place in time for that broader discussion, but I'm

1 not feeling that this place is it.

2 MR. FILLOY: I mean, everything about the story that -- I
3 mean, maybe Josh Coleman is going to come in here and say
4 that never happened. I never bent Matt Jacobsen's badge or
5 maybe he is going say that was about the Angel Ramos
6 shooting, but if it was about the Barboa shooting, that's
7 pretty significant since all the Barboa shooters say nothing
8 happened after the Barboa shooting. It's right in the middle
9 of a bunch of shootings where badge bending did happen. It
10 involves a bunch of guys that it's their second shooting.
11 Nobody wants there to be multiple points bent for multiple
12 shootings. Everybody knows that looks real bad.

13 THE COURT: As opposed to?

14 MR. FILLOY: I'm not saying it looks good otherwise, but
15 when you look through these interviews I have heard that is
16 the thing in Giordano's feeding into people over and over
17 again, it wasn't about killing, there weren't multiple bends
18 for multiple shootings, right? He's hitting that over and
19 over and over again. This whole thing with Jacobsen goes
20 directly against it and I think it's representative of the
21 Barboa shooting and I think Josh Coleman may know if other
22 people had their badges bent for that.

23 THE COURT: We can bring in Coleman, do you need a --
24 I'll take a 10 minute break. We can bring in Coleman. Let
25 me take a 10 minute break. We'll do that. You can bring him
26 out. You can ask him about the issues directly related to
27 Komoda. As to the rest of it, we will see.

28 Two things here for purposes of today's analysis, the

1 volume of all of this obviously is a troubling thing. I
2 don't think dialing down on the specificity of that volume
3 advances this discussion here today. So, I think just going
4 into these other officers, these specific events and when
5 they exactly occurred in two bends versus one bend, unless
6 something new and different comes up. It seems to me that's
7 not necessary.

8 MR. FILLOY: I think it's extremely significant if he
9 lied about it.

10 THE COURT: It it's relevant to Komoda, maybe it's
11 relevant. Other than that, I don't know. But the other
12 thing is I don't -- the fact that in hindsight folks,
13 including both your witnesses today, describe in more sober
14 terms something they perhaps described in -- engaged in with
15 more enthusiasm and bravado, I'm not sure how much that at
16 that point matters. I mean, I think it's pretty clear. I've
17 read the transcripts that both of these guys give. I think
18 it's pretty clear that, certainly right there with Kent
19 Tribble there was a degree of reflection and remorse, which
20 by definition is going to change the nature of his
21 description. While it's not necessarily relevant to Officer
22 Komoda, I don't think it's a bad thing that he reflected that
23 degree of remorse and reflection today. So I don't know.

24 Let's play it by ear. We'll do Coleman and then we'll
25 keep going. The universe here is being pretty defined. If I
26 were you, I would start zeroing in on things that may have
27 something specifically to do with Komoda or this event.
28 Because the rest of it is going to be left to an entirely

1 different jury and population to assess what it all means,
2 but I don't think Mr. Milano's jury is going need to go down
3 this road.

4 Let me take the break. We'll come back in 10 minutes and
5 we'll keep going.

6 (Break taken.)

7 THE COURT: Mr. Milano appears, counsel appears, Officer
8 Coleman is back. Good afternoon. Let's swear him in.

9
10 JOSHUA COLEMAN,
11 having been duly sworn, was
12 examined and testified as follows:

13
14 THE WITNESS: I do.

15 THE CLERK: Please state your full name, spelling your
16 last for the record.

17 THE WITNESS: Joshua Coleman, C-O-L-E-M-A-N.

18 THE COURT: Mr. Filloy.

19 DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. FILLOY: Q. Deputy Coleman?

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 Q. Good afternoon.

23 A. Thank you. Good afternoon to you, too.

24 Q. Deputy, what do you for a living?

25 A. I'm employed as a deputy sheriff for the County of Napa
26 Sheriff's Office.

27 Q. Before that, where were you employed?

28 A. I was employed for the City of Vallejo as a Vallejo

1 police officer.

2 Q. How long were a Vallejo police officer?

3 A. I was a Vallejo police officer from 2012, early 2012 to
4 May, 2018.

5 Q. Were you a Vallejo police officer prior to 2012 at any
6 point?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. When was that?

9 A. I began my employment with the City of Vallejo in 2003
10 when I was 20 years old, as a police cadet. I continued as a
11 police trainee, then I was sworn in 2007, I believe, and was
12 employed as a police officer until 2009.

13 Q. Then did you go elsewhere to be employed as a police
14 officer, then return to the City of Vallejo?

15 A. That's correct.

16 Q. During your time as a police officer in the City of
17 Vallejo, were you involved in some officer-involved
18 shootings?

19 A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. How many?

21 A. Four.

22 Q. And at some point during your employment with the City of
23 Vallejo as a police officer, did you become aware of the
24 tradition of badge bending?

25 A. I became aware yes, sir. Yes.

26 Q. And when did you first become aware of the tradition of
27 badge bending?

28 A. 2003, I don't know the date. I was involved in a

1 critical incident with a barricaded subject. I'm sorry, did
2 I say 2003, '13. And I was asked to come across the street
3 from the Police Department to the Relay bar by then Sergeant
4 Tribble.

5 Q. Okay. Sergeant Kent Tribble?

6 A. He's a lieutenant now, retired.

7 Q. He was the sergeant in 2013 when he asked you to come
8 across to the Relay bar?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. That was after the shooting incident involving a William
11 Hines?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. Was that your first shooting incident?

14 A. Yes, sir.

15 Q. And what occurred at the Relay bar, if you went?

16 A. So, I had just finished my interview, my interview for
17 the officer-involved shooting, to give my statement. I
18 received a text message from Kent Tribble. He said, "When
19 you're done, come across the street and bring your badge".
20 So, I walked across the street. I walked into the Relay
21 club, I found Kent Tribble sitting at a table, along with
22 officer -- I'm sorry, he was a corporal at the time, Dustin
23 Joseph. Kent Tribble sat me down, poured me a beer then
24 began to tell me about how it would be nice if there was a
25 way that you can recognize people you work with for being
26 people that you can trust in moments of chaos and you can
27 trust with your life. At which point he asked me for my
28 badge and he bent one of the tips on my badge.

1 Q. What badge was it? Was it like a silver duty badge?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. And did he bend Officer Joseph's as well?

4 A. No, Corporal Joseph was just sitting there.

5 Q. Corporal Joseph, he was involved in that shooting of
6 Mr. Hines with you?

7 A. Yes, he was.

8 Q. As well as Ritzie Tolentino?

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. Officer Tolentino was not present?

11 A. He was not.

12 Q. It was just Joseph and Tribble?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. Did he explain anything further about the significance of
15 this, other than what you just said?

16 A. He then -- yes. He continued stating that this was a
17 tradition that and his brother Todd Tribble started in
18 Concord. He told me that it's less to do with me being in an
19 actual shooting and more to do with the manner in which I
20 conduct myself around the department as a professional. It
21 had more to do with how I handled myself afterwards
22 emotionally, et cetera, and how other people in the
23 department see me, or perceive me. And he stated that I was
24 not supposed to talk about it. He said, "Don't say anything
25 about this to anybody else. Me and my brother are the only
26 ones who can bend someone's badge".

27 Q. So, it was a positive recognition but in your
28 understanding it was not necessarily because of the shooting

1 or it related to the shooting?

2 A. It was a very, I think I'm risking myself saying dubious,
3 I believe would be the term, feeling that I had about this
4 circumstance because of my history with Kent Tribble.

5 The first time I met Kent Tribble, on a ride along
6 working in the Vallejo Police Department, he put a gun to my
7 head because I didn't put my seat belt on, as a cadet. So
8 moving forward in my lifetime with the Vallejo Police
9 Department, I viewed Kent Tribble as a person that was -- I
10 was very afraid of, to be frank. I was 20 years old when
11 that happened. I was making \$11, \$10, I believe, an hour
12 working for the cadet program. I'm from Vallejo, or lived in
13 Vallejo. I was struggling making it through college and
14 needed the job. So I said nothing about these circumstances
15 and eventually made myself, or gained the position of being a
16 sworn police officer for the City of Vallejo.

17 The entire time working in the City of Vallejo I viewed
18 Kent Tribble as someone who was a reckless person, but he was
19 also somebody that people who were higher in the department
20 respected. So, eventually he became my sergeant, and after
21 working in law enforcement for a period of time, I recognized
22 that he was on the SWAT team, he was basically the decision
23 maker about who could be on the SWAT team, who could get into
24 specialized units, et cetera. So when he chose to be a
25 person that recognized me as meeting this specific criteria
26 of courage or courageousness, a piece of me felt happy,
27 although that is a very sick, twisted way to perceive this.
28 It just has to do with growing up as a young person in this

1 profession and having to endure some of the things that I did
2 in my career.

3 Q. So, I think you're saying it was a somewhat conflicted
4 feeling?

5 A. It was conflicted.

6 Q. Okay. And did Officer Dustin Joseph, did he say anything
7 or do anything in this event, other than just sit there?

8 A. No. Corporal Joseph is somebody that I have a very high
9 esteem for in my entire time at the Vallejo Police
10 Department. I've never seen him do anything that I believe
11 to be outside the bounds of anything. So, again, to have him
12 there and both of these people that I respect essentially
13 telling me that they trust me, it was a, again, like I told
14 you, a strange mixed feeling. I recognized it in that moment
15 as more of an informal medal process, because the Vallejo
16 Police Department does have medals.

17 I do look back on it now, as an almost 40 year old male,
18 looking back on it now, I think this was almost eight years
19 ago or more, that that was not something they should have
20 been happening, especially by a sergeant in the Vallejo
21 Police Department.

22 Q. So, your experience was some sort of a recognition award
23 you had a conflicted feelings about it?

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. After that occurred, when was the next time that you were
26 aware of, or experiencing something around badge bending?

27 A. When the article came out about badge bending through one
28 of the local news stories.

1 Q. So, I'll make it quick. Subsequent to in 2013 were you
2 involved in another shooting at the Blue Rock Bar?

3 A. I was.

4 Q. Involving a guy named Ridgeway?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Was your badge bent after that shooting?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Was your badge bent after the shooting of Raphael Martin
9 in 2014?

10 A. No. During that my only interaction with Kent Tribble on
11 that shooting was after that shooting occurred, which was an
12 incredibly stressful moment, I was still in shock and Kent
13 came up to me, grabbed me by my shoulder, started shaking me
14 in the street telling me that I "stole his dinner", in
15 quotes.

16 Q. Do you mean that he was stating that you stole his
17 dinner, that should have been his shoot?

18 A. Correct.

19 Q. That he was angry that you had shot Mr. Martin instead of
20 him?

21 A. He was visibly shaking me, almost spitting on my face
22 telling me I stole his dinner.

23 Q. Am I getting the meaning of that right?

24 A. Yes, you are.

25 Q. Okay. And you and Mark Galios were involved in a
26 shooting in 2016 at Starbucks in south Vallejo?

27 A. Correct.

28 Q. Did Kent Tribble bent you and Mark Galios' badges after

1 that shooting?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Did anybody approach you about badge bending after that
4 shooting?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Did Mr. Galios ever indicate that Kent Tribble bent his
7 badge after that shooting?

8 A. No.

9 Q. So, if I say to you, you and Mark Galios got your badges
10 bent after the Starbucks shooting by Kent Tribble, hundred
11 percent false?

12 A. Hundred percent false.

13 Q. Okay. Did you bend Zack Jacobsen's badge in a bar in
14 front of Kent Tribble?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Did you bend Zack Jacobsen's badge at all?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Were you ever present when his badge was bent?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Were you ever present in a bar with him and Kent Tribble?

21 A. I believe I was present in a bar with him and Kent
22 Tribble, yes. By themselves, no.

23 Q. Were you present when anybody else's badge was bent?

24 A. No. If I can --

25 Q. Go ahead.

26 A. I'm really fighting to control my emotions about this
27 whole thing, because I didn't ask -- I did not ask for this
28 to happen to me the first time. And I didn't ask -- I didn't

1 create the circumstance to be here in court talking about it
2 today. So, this is very upsetting for me to sit here and
3 talk about something, especially if there are falsehoods
4 being attributed to me and my involvement in this.

5 Q. You're answering truthfully?

6 A. I'm absolutely answering truthfully, yes.

7 Q. If I say in August, September 2016 you, Josh Coleman,
8 were present in a bar, the Relay, with Matt Komoda, David
9 McLaughlin and Kent Tribble and you were there when Kent
10 Tribble bent their badges after they had been in a shooting

11 --

12 A. That's not true.

13 Q. Hundred percent false?

14 A. Hundred percent false.

15 Q. Did not happen?

16 A. Did not happen.

17 Kent Tribble told me on the day that I -- Kent Tribble is
18 I very scary person. When he was my sergeant, his rule
19 number one when he would have his briefings is, do not fuck
20 your buddies. And the day he told me, "I'm bending your
21 badge. Never talk about it". I never talked about it again.
22 I didn't mention it to anybody. I never bent anybody's
23 badge. I haven't been around anybody or when a badge bending
24 was occurring. I have no idea who else's badges have been
25 bent. I just know that mine was bent by Kent Tribble in
26 2013.

27 Q. Were you ever advised by anyone in the department to fix
28 that badge?

1 A. Okay. So, yes. I was. So, my earlier statement about
2 not knowing anything about it until the article came out
3 would be inaccurate.

4 So, yes. I believe it was 2017 or so, maybe '16, Kent
5 saw me in the hallway and muttered something to me about,
6 "They know about the badges. Fix your badge", or something
7 like that.

8 Q. Okay. This was like in -- you left in what month of
9 2018?

10 A. I left in May of 2018.

11 Q. So prior to that?

12 A. I think so. It was about two years prior to that.

13 Q. Like in 2016?

14 A. I'm estimating somewhere around there.

15 Q. It wasn't in 2018?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Okay. I understand you don't know exactly when it
18 happened, maybe some significant period of time before you
19 left?

20 A. Correct.

21 Q. He said something to the effect, they know about the bent
22 badges?

23 A. He approached me. So, Kent Tribble is an alcoholic, he
24 used to show up to work every single day with his hands
25 shaking and everything. His nickname on our team was John
26 Wayne, because he had this John Wayne persona about how he
27 would carry on business. People did not have a very high
28 level of esteem and at this point in my career I was older, I

1 didn't have a high level of esteem for him. But still being
2 a lieutenant, or being yeah, I was -- he had already gotten
3 promoted to being a lieutenant. I still had high level of
4 anxiety dealing with him.

5 So when he approached me and was like, "they know about
6 the badges", I was like, okay. In fact, from my prospective
7 at that time, I did not do anything wrong. I didn't break a
8 law. At the time -- reading the policy manual now I could
9 see there may be a policy violation but at the time I didn't
10 think it was a serious a egregious offense. I wasn't the
11 supervisor that did this. He was the supervisor. So I
12 ignored it and moved on with my life.

13 Q. So when he said that to you, maybe 2016 in the hallway,
14 "They know about the badges. Fix it", you then fix the
15 badge?

16 A. I believe that I had already fixed my badge before then.
17 When he bent it, he broke one of the letters in my name or,
18 not my name, in the, I think it says "police". One of the
19 letters cracked so it could never really, truly be fixed, but
20 I --

21 Q. You're talking about the enamel on the top there cracked?

22 A. The black lettering had like popped out, so I just bent
23 it back. But it's never really -- I can still see it to this
24 day.

25 Q. You still have that badge?

26 A. Absolutely. Yes.

27 Q. By that time you had you become a corporal?

28 A. Yeah, I was already promoted.

1 Q. So you didn't wear that badge on a regular occasion?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Okay. Other than Kent Tribble telling to you fix the
4 badge, you ever remember, during your employment at the
5 Vallejo Police Department, anybody else giving you any
6 directive or saying anything to you about the bent badges?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Have you had any contact with members of the Vallejo
9 Police Department about the badge bending issue since the
10 story broke?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Who was that?

13 A. Everybody I know. Everybody's talked about it. I've
14 talked to -- yeah, I mean, if you're asking specifically
15 about the Vallejo Police Department, I've talked to pretty
16 much everybody about the bent badges thing.

17 Q. Were you contacted to give an interview by Mr. Giordano?

18 A. I was.

19 Q. Did you not give one?

20 A. Yes, I did not. At the time my agency head, Sheriff
21 Robertson, informed me that I was noticed as a subject in an
22 internal investigation, internal affairs investigation. He
23 also informed me that he was unaware of the legal aspects of
24 compelling a person who is not employed by an agency that is
25 conducting an internal affairs investigation as to whether or
26 not he should compel me or not. He noticed me and advised me
27 to contact my attorney. I contacted attorney Mike Rains and
28 asked him if I needed to give an interview. Attorney Mike

1 Rains basically told me I was under no legal authority or
2 obligation to and so I looked at it as I experienced a lot of
3 trauma working for the Vallejo Police Department and I left
4 that in order to go to a different organization and I didn't
5 want to rehash any of those things and I didn't intend on
6 talking about it until I was asked to speak the truth today.
7 So, here I am.

8 MR. FILLOY: I don't think I have anything further for
9 Officer Coleman.

10 As someone who started here in 2006, despite the
11 performance today, I would stipulate that Kent Tribble was is
12 a very scary person.

13 THE COURT: All right.

14 Mr. Flynn. Any questions?

15 MR. FLYNN: I don't think so your Honor. No.

16 THE COURT: This event you described involving the gun
17 when you were young, when you were a cadet, did you report
18 that to anybody?

19 THE WITNESS: I didn't, sir. Honestly, it's something
20 that has troubled me my whole career.

21 THE COURT: So this -- so how many police chiefs through
22 your career in Vallejo did we have, would you say?

23 THE WITNESS: Lieutenant Nichelini, Lieutenant -- I'm
24 sorry, not lieutenant, Chief Nichelini, Chief Rains, Chief
25 Bidou. I did not work for Chief Williams.

26 THE COURT: Were you ever -- throughout the course of
27 your career, when you're hearing all about these badge
28 bending things, did you ever attend a morning staffing or any

1 meeting of the troops where anyone mentioned anything about
2 this badge bending.

3 THE WITNESS: I didn't hear about badge bending at all
4 until -- until, I'm sorry, your question was about -- you
5 said?

6 THE COURT: Any staffing meeting did anyone ever bring it
7 up in a meeting, "by the way, you shouldn't be doing this" or
8 anything like that?

9 THE WITNESS: No.

10 THE COURT: Okay. Anything further?

11 THE WITNESS: But to be fair, I don't go to staff
12 meetings. I was an officer and I was a corporal, so staff
13 meeting would be lieutenant and above.

14 THE COURT: All right.

15 Mr. Filloy, anything further?

16 MR. FILLOY: I don't think I have anything further at
17 this time for this witness.

18 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for coming in.

19 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: I did not see that coming.

21 MR. FILLOY: He was very forthcoming.

22 THE COURT: So let's -- there was some value to that. I
23 actually think there's been value to all of this. But let's
24 zero in on that which we are looking to do here.

25 MR. FILLOY: So, I have a bunch of witnesses subbed for
26 tomorrow. You're probably going to want to talk about what
27 is what.

28 THE COURT: A lot of this, it seems to me is not relevant

1 to this case. So let's walk through and I guess an offer of
2 proof. You've talked about both McLaughlins.

3 MR. FILLOY: No, just David McLaughlin. Ryan is not
4 involved in this in any way, that I know of.

5 THE COURT: David McLaughlin.

6 MR. FILLOY: I mean, David McLaughlin says Coleman was
7 there when this happened, not that impeaching Coleman is
8 important to me. But McLaughlin also kind of dates this time
9 line of 2018, they're getting told bend badges back, clean
10 this up. Then Komoda says, you know, he knows nothing about
11 -- he can speak to the things that Tribble said. I also
12 wanted to hear if he impeaches or supports Komoda's
13 assertions that he never questioned his performance, or was
14 upset about this and the question of whether or not --

15 THE COURT: Who never questioned whose performance?

16 MR. FILLOY: I mean, Kent Tribble says, you know, in the
17 interview he said today, McLaughlin and Komoda were beating
18 themselves all up because, you know, the bullet didn't
19 perform, they didn't perform well tactically. Komoda's like,
20 "Nope, I never said that. That never happened". That's
21 really 1103 thing, right. I mean, kind of goes to your
22 propensity for violence if what you're upset about after a
23 shooting is that you didn't hit anybody. So there's that.

24 There's also this, you know, the strange issue that
25 Komoda and McLaughlin both, within days, independently,
26 without discussing it, decided to bend their badges back.
27 And, you know, McLaughlin is a, you know, he's a percipient
28 witness to this event and some of what he says doesn't mesh

1 with Komoda.

2 THE COURT: The badge bending event happened at the
3 relay?

4 MR. FILLOY: Yeah.

5 THE COURT: Wheat else? Who else? You said Stephanie
6 McDonough.

7 MR. FILLOY: Stephanie McDonough, you know, she basically
8 backs up everything that McLaughlin says. Giordan's
9 interview with her is kind of is minimal. There's a lot of
10 stuff he doesn't go into. I want to see if she can also
11 corroborate this time line. I also want to ask her if there
12 was any badge bending after Barboa, because I think there was
13 and I don't know that these folks are necessarily going to
14 necessarily say the same thing under oath like they said in
15 the interviews.

16 THE COURT: So let's see. We established lots of that
17 here. What's the relevance of the specific timing of any of
18 it at this point?

19 MR. FILLOY: If they were -- if they bent badges after
20 Barboa, that was multiple bends for multiple shootings, we're
21 talking about racking up points. It's direct impeachment of
22 Komoda's statement that he bent his back. It's really pure
23 1103 Ingstrum as to propensity for violence.

24 THE COURT: Be more specific. Komoda doing other bends,
25 maybe. Everybody else, what's the relevance of whether or
26 not anybody else -- we already got -- I was a little torn. I
27 was waiting for someone to ask, I thought about asking
28 Tribble if he could give us an itemized list of everyone he

1 recalls bending, but mercifully none of us asked that
2 question.

3 MR. FILLOY: I thought I would have got objected to.

4 THE COURT: The implication is he bent a bunch of them.
5 So the volume thing, it's established already. The timing of
6 it, unless it's something relevant to Komoda, I'm not sure.

7 But McDonough, who else?

8 MR. FILLOY: Jake Estrada has never given a statement,
9 that I know of.

10 THE COURT: What might he give a statement of?

11 MR. FILLOY: He was one of the Barboa shooters. He might
12 tell us if he was in the POA hall with Komoda as Komoda said
13 afterwards since the POA hall we know because of the McCoy
14 shooting is sometimes the scene of drinking and badge
15 bending, you know. So he might tell us if that went down and
16 who was there, if Komoda was involved in some badge bending
17 at the POA hall after the Barboa shooting. But apparently
18 Komoda says they were there.

19 THE COURT: Who else?

20 MR. FILLOY: Well, so one of the direct impeachment
21 witnesses that I need to call is Robert Giordano. It's
22 because Robert Giordano interviews Matt Komoda. Matt Komoda
23 was very clear with me today. "I brought two badges, both of
24 my badges, to the interview with Giordano".

25 I said, "I believe you brought two, right, the corporal
26 badge and the officer duty badge". I asked him again, he
27 said, "No, I'm pretty sure I brought two", right. You read
28 -- I mean, it's not -- here's the thing, these are audio

1 interviews, right. But it sure, sounds like from the snippet
2 of the badge bending report you gave me early on, and from
3 the audio interview, that he just shows him one badge,
4 because -- and I want to know which badge it was. He says,
5 you know, he says, "Okay. I can see your badge. Oh, yeah
6 it's not bent". Then in his report, in the summary, he says,
7 "he showed me a metal badge". One, not two. I don't think
8 he showed Giordano two badges. I think that is not true. I
9 think he showed him his corporal badge that he got after all
10 of this was all over and it never would have been bent. He
11 didn't it get until March of 2019.

12 The other officers, when Giordano is interviewing them,
13 like McLaughlin brings both badges. Giordano says, "he's got
14 two metal badges here". He's pretty specific about that
15 stuff, actually.

16 So, I think I need to call him to ask him that question.

17 THE COURT: Him the question of?

18 MR. FILLOY: Does Komoda show you two metal badges or one
19 and what badge did? It say corporal or officer. Because the
20 corporal badge would have never been bent.

21 THE COURT: Why is that?

22 MR. FILLOY: Because he didn't get it until like -- it
23 wasn't even ordered until March 2019. I don't think he got
24 it until June of 2019, or something like that. That was
25 after the inspection and McCoy and all, you know, everything.
26 Nobody was bending any badges after, you know, the McCoy
27 shake down happened. Which I think happened in March or
28 April of 2019.

1 THE COURT: All right. Who else?

2 MR. FILLOY: Well, so, I want to call Sanjay Ramrakha to
3 talk about what happened in the Barboa shooting. That more
4 goes to the issue of 1103 because they made criticisms of the
5 use of force. So I plan on calling him as 1103 witness at
6 the trial, because I have to deal with the fact with the jury
7 that they're going to know that Matt Komoda is, after
8 shooting Mr. Milano, is still a police officer. They're
9 going to assume he was cleared, which he was, of the shooting
10 and I intend to show that jury that he was cleared by an
11 institution that has, for a couple of decades now,
12 incentivized and glorified violence, and in fact has a status
13 incentive baked into their institutional traditions about it.

14 So, that kind of segway into I have some other witnesses
15 subpoenaed for tomorrow where, judge, if you look at that
16 third point you were talking about with me this morning, that
17 I have asked the Court to make a finding that Pitchess
18 deficient, constitutionally deficient remedy as applied to
19 the Vallejo Police Department, I can make a pretty lengthy
20 record with witnesses about the inadequacy of that system in
21 terms of creating and maintaining internal affairs documents,
22 reviewing and training and of disciplining and proper use of
23 force.

24 I mean, you know, I think a lot of people have figured
25 out now, I mean, I have been referencing when they got
26 badges. I have all of the invoices and emails, all of the
27 ones that they had to give me by the time I came to subpoena
28 them. I have all the invoices and emails from the Ed Jones

1 badge company relating to orders for Vallejo Police
2 Department badges from the middle of 2021 dating all the way
3 back to 2010. And if you want to go down this road with me
4 on my third point there, which I am asking the Court to find
5 that this is investigation by Mr. Giordano was done in bad
6 faith, that the entire internal affairs system of the Vallejo
7 Police Department operated in bad faith for a long time, I
8 have some witnesses to call and we can talk about what those
9 badge records show, which is multiple rounds of concerted
10 efforts to conceal this practice, in my opinion.

11 THE COURT: Including Komoda?

12 MR. FILLOY: Well, I think you heard the evidence as to
13 Komoda today. There's this in the middle of 2018 when all of
14 the people involved in this say that they're getting word,
15 fix their badges, don't have a bent badge, right. I had to
16 remind him of it. "Oh, that's right I have the one with the
17 bolt". Well, he orders a new officer duty badge, it's
18 identical to his officer duty badge that he was issued back
19 in 2014 that Kent Tribble apparently bent, except it has a
20 different back. It has a bolt on back instead of a safety
21 catch, which is what they normally have on the back.

22 THE COURT: Let me do this. Let me share something with
23 Ms. Knight. So that, what he just said is one of those
24 things that I became aware of sometime ago and in fact it's
25 the reason why I amended my discovery order. So now that
26 that that's out on the table, and what -- I indicated that I
27 felt kind of unwise in hindsight, after reading the Giordano
28 report the first time, and a lot of it is consistent,

1 certainly the Kent Tribble narrative, a lot is consistent
2 with that, but nowhere in that report, and it didn't dawn on
3 me to think about this until Mr. Filloy presented this
4 information. Nowhere in that report is any effort on the
5 part of Mr. Giordano to even reach out to the badge vendor.

6 And there's only one badge vendor. It just -- it dawned
7 on me what an incredible omission that is. That if I'm doing
8 an inquiry into practices in terms of replacing and repairing
9 badges and it turns out there's only one vendor, why would I
10 not have reached out as part of my investigation to that
11 vendor. And there's some references, and I'm not feeling
12 like going there in this hearing, but there's interesting
13 issues about multiple persons buying multiple badges and
14 Stephanie McDonough buying badges for, paying for
15 McLaughlin's badges because she has to use her credit card.
16 There's all this interesting stuff. I don't know how
17 interesting it is, but I guess -- what is that? Is this the
18 first you're hearing of any of this? Why would Giordano not
19 have reached out to the badge vendor if what I'm looking to
20 do is to investigate practices of officers and their badges?

21 MS. KNIGHT: Well, your Honor, I thought he had reached
22 out to the badge vendor, if nothing else because he got a
23 sample badge from them to examine for what it looks like, how
24 it bends. My recollection is that there was a point where he
25 was looking at records of orders, but it's been a long time
26 since I looked at the report. I don't remember how much of
27 that was discussed or made it in there.

28 THE COURT: Yeah, I'm not sure it's mentioned at all, is

1 my recollection.

2 MR. FILLOY: Actually, judge, Chief Williams reached out
3 at one point to the Ed Jones company to asks them for some
4 records, I think. One of the witnesses I have subpoenaed to
5 testify tomorrow is Elizabeth Ruska, the former owner of the
6 Ed Jones badge company.

7 THE COURT: There's a particular email?

8 MR. FILLOY: Yes.

9 THE COURT: I recall, but I don't remember which of your
10 witnesses is in on it.

11 MR. FILLOY: Well, a lot of emails is between Shaleen
12 Darst and Elizabeth Ruska. I think the one that you're
13 referring to from Ms. Ruska to the Police Department actually
14 maybe went to Angela Knight and basically saying, "I want to
15 have a phone conversation with Chief Williams". They said,
16 "About what" and she said, "About a letter we just received"
17 and then she gets a reply back. Says, "Well he's referring
18 me to have you speak on the phone with Ann Cardwell", who was
19 at that time the assistant city manager.

20 Now, according to Ms. Ruska --

21 THE COURT: Was there not a communication implying that
22 Ed Jones should not respond?

23 MR. FILLOY: Well, yeah. Ms. Ruska says they refused to
24 provide them any records and when Mr. Mauer and I asked her
25 about why that was, that she would refuse to provide records,
26 it was a very vague answer. It was sort of like trade
27 secrets, competition, prices, you know. It seemed odd. She
28 said well, why don't -- why don't-- they have the records,

1 right. She said, "The Vallejo Police Department should have
2 these records, invoices, or whatever emails". I'm not that
3 surprised that they don't have them anymore. Especially
4 based on what I received. But, I don't know why this lady,
5 whose family have had this very lucrative business
6 relationship with the City of Vallejo for 50 years, if they
7 wanted invoices would refuse them. I don't know why the City
8 of Vallejo would keep on doing business with somebody who
9 refused.

10 THE COURT: Or why they would give them to you without a
11 subpoena.

12 MR. FILLOY: Well, I did subpoena these records. I
13 subpoenaed one set in another case, then the client, when the
14 case was over had released that portion of the legal file to
15 me. And I subpoenaed them multiple occasions during this
16 case, but the new guy who owns the Ed Jones company now,
17 Mr. Headley was extremely cooperative. He just he actually
18 let my investigator -- one of the sets of records, go down
19 there and just go through the files. Then he actually
20 released more to me without a subpoena. I send him that
21 subpoena and he said can I send them to you. I said, "Sure
22 I'll withdraw my subpoena if you want to send them to me
23 instead".

24 THE COURT: I do not know what that is. Again, in
25 hindsight I thought why did you not think of this when I was
26 reading this thing. Obviously if you're wondering about
27 people's practices with badges, the badge vendor would seem
28 kind of relevant. So, anyway. I don't know what to make of

1 that.

2 Let me ask Mr. Flynn -- here's what I'm thinking. I'm
3 thinking there's a number of issues here that are of general
4 interesting and concern to the public and community, but
5 they're not necessarily relevant to Mr. Milano's case.

6 MR. FLYNN: That's my opinion as well.

7 THE COURT: All right. Let me tell you tentatively what
8 I think. I think you ought to be allowed to call Officer
9 McLaughlin to testify directly as to the event involving
10 Officer Komoda.

11 The Stephanie McDonough thing, I'm not seeing that that
12 seems tangential, not going to be relevant unless something
13 new happens.

14 As to Estrada, I think I should allow you to ask him
15 questions about did he ever witness badge bending at the POA.
16 Because that would be a particular potentially relevant
17 thing, given everything we've heard today. Kind of
18 generalized discussion, I think is irrelevant.

19 The Ramrakha 1103 stuff I'm just not seeing any of that
20 at this point. I don't need to do a 402 to have a discussion
21 about 1103. We're still going to have to have this
22 discussion at some point before we go to trial, but it would
23 be relevant to Mr. Milano. It would be what did Mr. Milano
24 know, what might Mr. Milano had seen to make some of this
25 stuff relevant in a more direct way. And I'm going to have
26 to go back and reread through the prelim about the idea of
27 propensity evidence involving Officer Komoda, because this
28 was a whole group of officers.

1 Frankly, I remember a lot more about his driving.

2 MR. FILLOY: Are you talking about the Milano case
3 particularly?

4 THE COURT: I remember more about Komoda's driving than
5 the positioning when shots were fired.

6 MR. FILLOY: The shoot out just happens between Milano
7 and Komoda, with Komoda being the only person to actually --
8 he says that Mr. Milano fired first.

9 Duncan and Simpson, they pull up, the shootings is
10 already going on. They both say they're not there when the
11 scene starts.

12 THE COURT: I have to read all of that. I do find it a
13 little ironic that Adam Clayton Powell came up today because
14 I don't think Officer Coleman understands why that case
15 happened the way it did and the problems associated with
16 specific intent crimes. Which I think was the full
17 explanation of that verdict. But that's neither here nor
18 there.

19 I think as to Giordano, you're probably entitled to ask
20 how many badges did Komoda show him. If you want to ask him
21 about the Ed Jones thing, I'm curious. I don't know that
22 that's relevant.

23 MR. FILLOY: I think, judge, as I've said, we have all of
24 these motions discovery motions pending. One is that the
25 badge -- these badge bending records are public records under
26 832.7, that's a legal call, right. I've also demanded them
27 under the Sixth Amendment and I said I believe the whole
28 entire Pitchess process, you know, is simply constitutionally

1 deficient as applied to the Vallejo Police Department because
2 they operated in bad faith. I want to make that record by
3 talking to witnesses about it. I want to make that record by
4 talking to Mr. Giordano, who let me tell you, I'm pretty sure
5 he didn't get these badge records and I have a lot of
6 questions about why he investigated this thing the way he
7 did.

8 THE COURT: Well, I guess some of that. I've got little
9 mixed feelings about. Maybe I'll ask Mr. Flynn to answer
10 this question. Seems like -- you've read the report. So I
11 have read this report. It seems to me, I know I said this a
12 couple weeks ago, it seems to me that the totality of what is
13 relevant has already been disclosed to you in that report.
14 That there's a universe of several things. One, there's
15 probably many dozens of pages of people denying any knowledge
16 of this thing, just saying it's stupid. There's probably 20
17 officers who, that's the totality of their statement. Then
18 there is a lot of discussion, page and pages of discussion of
19 management. This thing with Horton is interesting. Whitney
20 never came up today. But there's a fascinating --

21 MR. FILLOY: I have him subpoenaed for tomorrow, too,
22 judge.

23 THE COURT: There's pages and pages of fascinating stuff
24 about Whitney, Horton and Iacono. I'm not sure that's
25 relevant to anything. It's like management, HR stuff. Then
26 there's just some efforts and opinions. Last 15 pages, 20
27 pages are opinions and findings and I don't find those
28 admissible or relevant.

1 So, I guess Mr. Flynn bearing the burden of Brady as part
2 of this, obviously you could disclose whatever you think, if
3 I'm missing something. But that's my sense of where we are.

4 MR. FLYNN: That's correct. What I told you this morning
5 was that we're going to be meeting as an office to discuss
6 whether there's any Brady that comes out of this
7 investigation and if so we would turn that over to the
8 defense.

9 THE COURT: There's clearly Kent Tribble Brady. There's
10 clearly Ryan McMann Brady. I don't have to worry about
11 either of those things here. There's clearly Brady with
12 those two officers. Anyway, so --

13 MR. FILLOY: Tomorrow McLaughlin, Estrada and Giordano.

14 THE COURT: McLaughlin, Estrada and Giordano. Ann
15 Cardwell, Shaleen Darst, is that all about Ed Jones stuff?

16 MR. FILLOY: So, yeah. Ann Cardwell, Shaleen Darst,
17 Elizabeth Ruska, that's a whole different discussion I don't
18 know if you want me to launch in to now. But I don't know if
19 they might have any specific information about Komoda, like
20 there's there email about Komoda's new duty badge in June of
21 2018 with the bolt on it, where the invoice for it comes in
22 and there's an email from Shaleen Darst that says, "I can't
23 have that on a City invoice. That needs to be separated out
24 as a non-City item on a separate invoice". So, that relates
25 directly to Komoda. That's about his badge order. I don't
26 know if he was trying to have somebody pay for that, have the
27 City pay for that for him or, you know, he was all vague
28 about that today when I asked him was he contacted about

1 needing to pay for this himself.

2 THE COURT: That's the same thing as the Stephanie
3 McDonough stuff.

4 MR. FILLOY: If the McLaughlin, Stephanie McDonough thing
5 that was a flat badge, I think that was a present from
6 McDonough to McLaughlin.

7 THE COURT: Wasn't it all at some point in time the City
8 says they have to start to tighten up on how we're billing
9 these things. That's what I interpreted that they did.

10 MR. FILLOY: That did happen. That particular one, I
11 think -- there were always officers buying badges with their
12 own money that were like Christmas presents, things like
13 that, presents for other people. I've got to say I can't
14 make a good faith assertion on that one -- when I first
15 looked at it, it looks suspicious. I don't know that that --
16 I mean, it could be, but I don't know that -- I have pretty
17 good reason to believe that went a present from McDonough to
18 McLaughlin.

19 There's a good question about whether these flat badges
20 were ever bent, because there's really questionable orders
21 for flat badges. Sometime back from 2015, but apparently
22 there were other people, other than Mr. Tribble, doing the
23 bending. So, I don't know.

24 THE COURT: Let me say -- let me indicate -- let's do
25 what I just indicated. I do not see any reason, at this
26 point, to hear from Ann Cardwell or Shaleen Darst or that
27 other stuff. That's not to say there aren't issues of
28 concern there. It just seems there is no issue relevant to

1 Mr. Milano's case.

2 So, McLaughlin, Estrada, Giordano. I guess we'll see
3 what comes of that. You can ask him about the badges that
4 were presented. I guess we'll see what comes of the rest of
5 this.

6 MS. KNIGHT: Your Honor, for the Ed Jones thing, I'm
7 inclined to agree with you. I'm very curious about it, but I
8 don't know that it's relevant to Mr. Milano's case. If the
9 only questions you want out of Mr. Giordano are really how
10 many badges did Matt Komoda bring to his interview, was it
11 his police or corporal badge, I can get a declaration from
12 him and not have to drag him all the way down here.

13 MR. FILLOY: No. Let's have him come in.

14 THE COURT: Is he under subpoena?

15 MR. FILLOY: Yes.

16 THE COURT: He can come in quick. I've got mixed
17 feelings about it. I'm not sure it's relevant to Komoda,
18 either, just so we're clear, that was stuff that caused me to
19 reconsider my earlier motion, was that thing about what are
20 we thinking here. How would we not have contacted the vendor
21 to get every officer's totals. How did we not get that.

22 MR. FILLOY: I will tell you something really
23 interesting, judge, because Ms. Knight just brought it up a
24 little while ago. He said Giordano got a sample badge,
25 right, to show -- to use or bend to show these guys,
26 there's this one email like late in 2021 where somebody at
27 the VPD emails over there and says, "Chief Williams wants to
28 buy a blank badge with no number on it and he's going to pay

1 for it personally". Then they clarify the material and it
2 turns out he wants the chrome badge. The chrome version.
3 The cheap chrome version.

4 The chrome badges, in my opinion, when you look way back
5 earlier in these records, are some of the things that the
6 officers ordered so that they could have a badge that looked
7 right to wear in public. So, I don't know if maybe
8 Mr. Giordano knows more about that whole chrome badge
9 cover-up thing than is in the report.

10 THE COURT: Let's say he can be here to answer those
11 questions and maybe we can have more discussion about this
12 other stuff.

13 I have got to admit, I wonder what he was thinking by not
14 going down that road. So I don't know. We'll play that by
15 ear. Again, none of this is to say -- there may be important
16 places, our media friends, maybe the grand jury, maybe, there
17 may be a lot of interesting places where some of this stuff
18 could get drawn out. I think we've hit that fork in the road
19 where there's what is relevant to Mr. Filloy and there's
20 everything else. I'm glad we did this hearing today. I
21 thought there was a lot of value in the Coleman thing. I did
22 not see that coming. I am glad we did those three witnesses.
23 I think that helped to bring some daylight to all of this.
24 But I've got to start whittling this in a little bit and it's
25 always subject to revisit.

26 Let's say 8:30 tomorrow. This should not take long,
27 assuming it is not going to take long to ask those questions.

28 MS. KNIGHT: All three of those witnesses should be here

1 tomorrow?

2 THE COURT: McLaughlin, Estrada and Giordano. I'm not
3 granting a motion to quash yet.

4 MR. FILLOY: Are you saying they don't have to be here?

5 THE COURT: I'm struggling finding why we need to go down
6 that road in this case.

7 MS. KNIGHT: I just want to know how long I have to keep
8 them on the hook, your Honor. They're very stressed out.

9 THE COURT: I get that. If you had released this report
10 publicly six months ago, we would have none of these things.

11 (Proceedings were concluded.)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

<hr/> \$ <hr/>	2017 28:26 29:9 41:4	acquitted 7:25	answering 40:5,6
\$10 36:11	2018 11:8,9 15:14,18 17:14 18:16 26:25 33:4 41:9,10,15 46:9 51:13 58:21	acting 4:14	answers 23:16
\$11 36:11	2019 18:23 19:20 49:11, 23,24,28	action 14:1,3 15:9,17 17:15	anxiety 42:4
<hr/> - <hr/>	2021 51:2 60:26	actual 9:28 12:12 35:19	anybody's 40:22
---ooo--- 3:23 4:2	2022 2:2 4:1	Adam 28:5 56:13	anymore 54:3
<hr/> 0 <hr/>	22 2:2 4:1	added 26:17	apologize 27:26
03 13:12	25 3:8	additional 22:18 26:20	apparently 19:14 48:17 51:19 59:21
<hr/> 1 <hr/>	<hr/> 3 <hr/>	address 14:25	appears 10:19,23 32:7
10 30:24,25 32:4	31st 21:18 22:9	admissible 57:28	applied 50:18 57:1
10767 4:13	32 3:10	admit 61:13	appreciated 5:14
10th 11:8	<hr/> 4 <hr/>	advances 31:3	apprehensions 8:14
1103 46:21 47:23 50:4,5 55:19,21	4 2:3 3:7	adversarial 26:14	approach 10:13 39:3
13 34:2	40 37:17	advised 40:27 43:26	approached 15:14 41:23 42:5
15 57:26	402 55:20	affairs 12:22,26 13:2,5 14:1,3,7,12 43:22,25 50:21 51:6	approval 13:23
16 41:4	<hr/> 5 <hr/>	afraid 36:10	approve 13:28
<hr/> 2 <hr/>	50 54:6	afternoon 2:3 3:1 4:1, 25,26 32:8,22,23	approved 13:27 14:22 20:19,20
2 23:22	51 16:28	agency 43:20,24	April 24:3 49:28
20 3:7 33:10 36:10 57:16,26	<hr/> 8 <hr/>	agree 60:7	AR 6:2,3 7:5
2000's 15:2	832.7 56:26	agreed 20:16	areas 29:22
2003 33:9,28 34:2	8:30 61:26	ahead 5:10 39:25	Arguably 9:11
2006 44:10	<hr/> A <hr/>	alcoholic 41:23	argument 28:23
2007 33:11	aback 15:12	alive 7:22	article 37:27 41:2
2009 33:12	ability 9:14 16:13	alleged 29:24	asks 53:3
2010 51:3	above-entitled 4:5	allowed 55:8	aspects 43:23
2012 33:3,5	absolutely 40:6 42:26	amended 51:25	assertion 59:14
2013 34:7 38:1 40:26	access 21:3	Amendment 56:27	assertions 46:13
2014 38:9 51:19	accuse 26:16,23	ammunition 11:25 20:20	assess 32:1
2015 59:21	acknowledgment 22:2	analysis 30:28	assigned 10:23
2016 11:16,17 15:5,6 20:3 21:18 22:9 29:7 38:26 40:7 41:13 42:13		analyze 12:24	assist 9:28
		Angel 29:8 30:5	Assistand 4:12
		Angela 53:14	assistant 53:19
		angry 38:19	assume 50:9
		Ann 53:18 58:14,16 59:26	assuming 18:9 61:27
			attend 44:28
			attention 23:11

attorney 4:8,12 43:27, 28	30:22 38:28 39:9 40:10, 24 41:6,22 42:6,14	26:16,19,23,26,27 27:2, 3 28:21,24,27 29:2,6,8, 11,15 30:4,11,22 34:28	buddies 40:20
attributed 40:4	43:6,16 46:9,26 47:19	38:6,8,28 39:6,10,18,23	bullet 46:18
audio 48:28 49:3	26 50:26 51:2,15 52:9, 13,14,15,20 54:27	40:10,22,25 41:21	bullets 28:16
August 21:18 22:9 40:7	56:20 59:11,19,21 60:3, 10 61:4	42:17,22 43:6,16 47:19, 22 48:4 49:6,10,20	bunch 30:9,10 45:25 48:4
authority 44:1		51:15,19 59:20	burden 58:1
award 37:22	baked 50:13	Bidou 44:25	business 41:27 54:5,8
aware 18:10,12,21,24 25:28 33:23,25,26 37:26 51:24	bar 34:3,8,15 38:2 39:13,20,21 40:8	big 25:1	buy 60:28
awhile 17:28	Barboa 29:14,15 30:6, 7,8,21 47:12,20 48:11, 17 50:3	bigger 23:11	buying 52:13,14 59:11
	barricaded 34:1	billing 59:8	
	based 13:23 25:23 26:19 27:8 54:4	bit 15:12 61:24	C
B	basically 36:22 44:1 47:7 53:14	black 42:22	C-O-L-E-M-A-N 32:17
baby 7:20	bearing 58:1	blank 60:28	cadet 33:10 36:7,12 44:17
back 4:19,27 5:16 6:4 8:11 15:24 16:15 18:17 23:9 24:26 26:26,27 27:4,10 28:24 32:4,8 37:17,18 42:23 46:9,26 47:22 51:3,18,20,21 53:17 55:26 59:21 61:4	beating 6:15,20,28 28:12,15 46:17	Blue 38:2	CALIFORNIA 4:3,7
backs 47:8	beer 34:23	board 9:25 10:6,26 12:3,15 13:19,26 14:17	call 13:13 27:28 48:21 49:16 50:2 51:8 55:8 56:26
bad 5:15,21 30:12 31:22 51:5,7 57:2	began 33:9 34:24	boards 10:20	called 21:3
badge 5:3,13,17 6:24 7:27 8:22 9:8,20 10:7 14:27 15:5,10,17 16:10 18:11,13,25,27 19:1,6, 16 21:17,19,20,27 22:1, 9,10,13,25 23:18,27 24:14,21 25:7 26:8,16, 23,26 27:2 28:21,26 29:1,11 30:4,9 33:24,27 34:19,28 35:1,26 37:26, 27 38:6,8 39:3,7,13,16, 18,23 40:21,23,28 41:6 42:15,16,25 43:1,4,9 44:27 45:2,3 47:2,12 48:14,16,26 49:2,3,4,5, 7,9,19,20 51:1,9,15,17, 18 52:5,6,19,22,23 53:6 54:27 55:15 56:25 57:5 58:20,25 59:5 60:11,24, 28 61:2,6,8	behavior 23:23 25:19	body 21:7,9	calling 28:18 50:5
badges 7:4 8:22 12:4,8 15:9,23,24 16:7 17:11, 20 18:17,22 19:14 24:10,17 26:1 29:6,8,15	Believing 25:1	bolt 51:17,20 58:21	cam 21:4,9
	Bell 19:20	bored 13:22	cams 21:7
	belt 23:15 36:7	bothered 26:17	captain 15:27 26:15
	bend 9:14 14:27 15:24 22:10,13,24 25:7 26:7, 12,24 31:5 35:3,26 39:13,16 46:9,26 60:25	bottom 11:13	car 8:6
	bending 5:3 7:26 8:21 15:5,10,17 16:10 18:11 19:16 22:1 23:18,27 24:21 26:1 29:12 30:9 33:24,27 37:26,27 39:3 40:20,23 43:9 44:28 45:2,3 47:2,12 48:1,15, 16 49:2,26 55:15 56:25 59:23	bounds 37:11	card 52:15
	bends 30:17 31:5 47:20,24 52:24	Brady 58:1,6,9,10,11	Cardwell 53:18 58:15, 16 59:26
	bent 5:13,17 6:23 7:4 8:22 9:8,20 10:7 12:4,8 15:24 18:13 21:17,25, 26 22:9,19 24:10,15,16	bravado 31:15	career 23:2,8 26:12 37:2 41:28 44:20,22,27
		break 6:9,10 12:19 28:1 30:24,25 32:4,6 42:7	carry 41:27
		briefings 40:19	case 4:19 13:2 20:12, 19,26 46:1 54:13,14,16 55:5 56:2,14 60:1,8 62:6
		Briefly 25:23	catch 51:21
		bring 8:18 30:23,24,25 34:19 45:6 60:10 61:23	categories 20:16
		brings 5:16 49:13	caused 24:17,24 25:17 60:18
		broader 8:26 29:28	cetera 35:22 36:24
		broke 42:17 43:10	change 31:20
		brother 35:17,25	
		brought 5:2 48:23,25, 27 60:23	
		BRUCE 4:8	

chaos 34:26	Coleman 3:9 6:23 27:28 28:10,19,27 29:2, 6,13,23 30:3,21,23,24 31:24 32:8,10,17,20 40:7 44:9 46:6,7 56:14 61:21	contribution 11:24	cracked 42:19,21
characteristic 17:26		control 39:26	cracks 27:2
chased 8:16		convened 11:18	create 27:12 40:1
cheap 61:3		conversation 15:16,18 19:15 53:15	created 23:19
Cheatham 19:10	collateral 25:16	conversations 24:5 28:17	creating 50:21
check 16:5 17:10 18:18	collection 12:14	cooperative 54:17	credit 52:15
checked 16:15 17:2,11	college 36:13	copy 10:10 13:15	CRI 13:10
checking 24:12	command 26:14	corporal 34:22 35:4,5 37:8 42:27 45:12 48:25 49:9,19,20 60:11	crimes 56:16
chest 28:26	communication 28:11 53:21	correct 5:7 6:1,18 9:5 15:10 18:20 19:3,4 33:15 34:9 35:9,13 37:24 38:18,27 41:20 58:4	criteria 36:25
chief 12:27 13:23,26 44:24,25 53:2,15 60:27	community 55:4		critical 9:25 10:6,11 12:15,21,25,28 13:19 14:7,16 20:28 21:5 22:2 34:1
chiefs 44:21	company 51:1 53:3,6 54:16		criticisms 50:4
chose 36:24	compel 43:26	corrected 16:6,16	Cross-examination 3:7 20:1
CHRISTINE 4:13	compelling 43:24	corrective 15:17 17:15	CSR 4:13
Christmas 59:12	competition 53:27	corroborate 47:11	cuff 19:13
chrome 61:2,3,4,8	completely 6:14	counsel 4:20 32:7	curious 56:21 60:7
CHRONOLOGICAL 3:2	conceal 51:10	County 4:8,10,14 32:25	custody 7:22
circumstance 13:20 36:4 40:1	concern 11:26 25:1 29:19 55:4 59:28	couple 14:24 25:6 28:10 29:9 50:11 57:12	<hr/> D <hr/>
circumstances 36:14	concerted 51:9	courage 36:26	DA's 9:12 12:12
city 4:11,12 17:1 32:28 33:9,14,16,22 36:16,17 53:19 54:6,7 58:23,27 59:7	concluded 62:11	courageousness 36:26	damage 23:22
clarify 5:9 12:20 28:19, 20,27 61:1	Concord 5:12,18 35:18	court 4:19 5:10 10:14 16:24 19:26 22:23 23:17,26 24:1,9,16,20, 23 25:5,9,11,13,16,22, 23 27:20,22,25,27 28:2, 7 29:16 30:13,23 31:10 32:7,18 40:1 44:13,16, 21,26 45:6,10,14,18,20, 22,28 46:5,15 47:2,5, 16,24 48:4,10,19 49:17, 21 50:1,17 51:4,11,22 52:28 53:7,9,21 54:10, 24 55:7 56:4,12 57:8,23 58:9,14 59:2,7,24 60:14,16 61:10 62:2,5,9	Dan 5:12,13
clarity 5:9 12:20 28:19, 20,27 61:1	conduct 35:20		DANIEL 4:6
Clayton 28:5 56:13	conducting 43:25		Darst 53:12 58:15,16, 22 59:26
clean 46:9	conflicted 37:3,5,23		dash 21:4,9
clear 8:20,21 21:9 31:16,18 48:23 60:18	confronted 15:5,8 26:25		date 11:13,18 33:28
cleared 50:9,10	conjecture 6:11 26:18		dates 46:8
clearing 13:16	connected 8:23 18:1,5		dating 51:2
CLERK 32:15	consistent 51:28 52:1		David 15:20 20:4 21:13 40:8 46:3,5,6
client 54:13	constitutionally 50:18 56:28		dawn 52:2
close 5:19	contact 15:22 18:16 43:8,27	cover-up 61:9	dawned 52:6
club 34:21	contacted 15:19 43:17, 27 58:28 60:20	CR 11:17	day 4:5 28:3 40:17,20 41:24 42:24
co-workers 23:20	continued 3:7 4:24 33:10 35:16	crack 26:28	daylight 61:23
Coban 21:3			

days 28:25 46:25	die 5:24	driving 56:1,4	engage 24:18
dead 7:6	digital 13:14	dubious 36:2	engaged 9:9 11:6 31:14
deal 25:19 50:6	dinner 38:14,17,22	ducks 7:17	engaging 24:23
dealing 7:24 26:22 42:4	direct 3:7,10 4:24 11:11 25:5 32:19 47:21 48:20 55:25	due 29:18	enthusiasm 31:15
decades 50:11	directive 43:6	duly 32:11	enthusiastically 24:24
decide 12:25	directly 8:23 29:24 30:20,26 55:9 58:25	Duncan 56:9	entire 36:17 37:9 51:6 56:28
decided 46:26	discern 29:10	Dustin 34:22 37:6	entitled 56:19
decision 36:22	discharging 9:3	duty 21:26 28:26 35:1 48:26 51:17,18 58:20	equipment 8:5,9 20:9
declaration 60:11	disciplining 50:22	<hr/> E <hr/>	essentially 14:11 37:12
Defendant 3:5,18 4:9	disclose 58:2	ear 31:24 61:15	established 47:16 48:5
Defenders 4:10	disclosed 57:13	earlier 5:7 11:26 24:10 29:5 41:1 60:19 61:5	esteem 37:9 41:28 42:1
defense 58:8	discovery 51:25 56:24	early 15:2 33:3 49:2	estimating 41:14
deficient 50:18 57:1	discuss 24:27 25:9 58:5	earn 24:28	Estrada 48:8 55:14 58:13,14 60:2 62:2
defined 31:25	discussed 24:6 52:27	Ed 50:28 53:3,6,22 54:16 56:21 58:15 60:6	evaluate 20:9
definition 31:20	discussing 4:27 46:26	effect 22:27 41:21	event 7:18 22:3,9 31:27 37:7 44:16 46:28 47:2 55:9
degree 31:19,23	discussion 10:22 25:26 29:28 31:3 55:18, 20,22 57:18 58:17 61:11	effort 52:4	events 29:18 31:4
demed 56:26	discussions 23:26 24:1,2,20	efforts 5:14 51:10 57:26	eventually 36:15,20
demonstration 21:23	disfigured 27:3	egregious 42:10	everybody's 19:6 43:13
denies 28:15	distance 8:9	Elizabeth 53:5,12 58:17	EVID 3:15,19
denying 57:15	District 4:8	else's 39:23 40:24	evidence 12:13 20:26 51:12 55:27
department 9:26 10:22 12:23,24 13:11 20:7,14 21:7 23:13,20 34:3 35:20,23 36:6,9,19 37:10,16,21 40:27 43:5, 9,15 44:3 50:19 51:2,7 53:13 54:1 57:1	division 13:5 20:8	email 53:7 58:20,22 60:26	evolve 25:13
deputy 4:8,10 32:20, 24,25	document 10:15 12:2	emails 50:26,28 53:11 54:2 60:27	evolved 13:11
describe 31:13	documents 50:21	emotionally 35:22	exacerbates 25:4
description 3:15 31:21	DOMINIC 4:3	emotions 39:26	Examination 3:7,8,10 4:24 25:25 32:19
DESCRIPTIONID 3:19	don't-- 53:28	employed 32:25,27,28 33:12,13 43:24	examine 52:23
deserved 26:19	double 16:5,15 17:2,10 18:18	employment 33:9,22 43:4	examined 32:12
designed 17:22	doubt 16:18 17:4	enamel 27:2 42:21	EXHIBITS 3:13,16,20
detached 18:3	dozens 57:15	endure 37:1	experience 23:15 37:22
Detective 25:27	drag 60:12	enforcement 6:4 36:21	experienced 44:2
determine 20:6	drawn 61:18		
dialing 31:2	drinking 26:22 48:14		

experiencing 37:26	16:20,26 19:23 25:22, 23,26 27:18,28 28:6,9 30:2,14 31:8 32:18,20 44:8 45:15,16,21,25 46:3,6,16 47:4,7,19 48:3,8,11,20 49:18,22 50:2 51:12 52:3 53:2,8, 11,23 54:12 56:2,6,23 57:21 58:13,16 59:4,10 60:13,15,22 61:19 62:4	found 5:23 6:12 12:28 13:26 19:8 34:21	Golinveaux 5:12,17
expert 20:3,6,28		fourth 20:18	good 4:25,26 9:10,20 12:19 17:26 18:3 23:13, 16 30:14 32:8,22,23 59:14,17,19
explain 12:10 35:14		fragment 6:6	grabbed 38:13
explantation 56:17		frame 15:7 17:14	grand 61:16
exposure 23:12		frank 36:10	granting 62:3
extent 24:16		Frankly 56:1	group 55:28
extremely 31:8 54:17		frequently 7:12	growing 36:28
<hr/>			
F			
<hr/>			
f-ing 19:14	final 13:23	friends 61:16	guess 7:10 17:3 22:28 23:17 29:23 46:1 52:17 57:8 58:1 60:2,4
face 38:21	find 51:4 56:12 57:27	front 29:2 39:14	gun 7:21 36:6 44:16
facette 10:21	finding 50:17 62:5	fuck 40:19	guy 7:18 23:5,6 38:4 54:16
fact 7:5,16 8:15 10:26 14:24 16:14 17:12 22:16 26:7 27:12 28:23 29:17 31:12 42:6 50:6, 12 51:24	findings 57:27	full 7:12 32:15 56:16	guys 7:14 8:12 13:2 14:6 16:3,4 18:7 19:14 25:3 30:10 31:17 60:25
fair 9:6 45:11	finds 13:28	fumble 6:8	<hr/>
fairly 29:10	finished 10:17 34:16	G	
faith 51:6,7 57:2 59:14	firearm 9:3	gained 36:15	<hr/>
false 39:11,12 40:13,14	firearms 20:8	gal 23:6	H
falsehoods 40:3	fired 5:18 6:5 56:5,8	Galios 29:7 38:25 39:6, 9	hair 8:27
familiar 27:1	fix 12:17 15:9,23 17:11, 20 18:17 40:27 41:6 42:14 43:3 51:15	Galios' 29:6 38:28	hall 48:12,13,17
family 54:5	fixed 16:6 17:12 42:16, 19	Galios's 6:24	hallway 41:5 42:13
fascinating 57:20,23	flat 59:5,19,21	gave 49:2	hand 10:15
fatal 8:24 13:1,8	Flynn 3:7 4:8 16:21,23 17:2 19:26,27 20:2 22:21 25:24 27:20,21 44:14,15 55:2,6 57:9 58:1,4	general 55:3	handled 35:21
feeding 30:16	focus 29:16	generalized 55:18	hands 41:24
feeling 26:18 28:15 30:1 36:3 37:4,14 52:11	folks 18:6 29:26 31:12 47:13	generally 13:1	happen 30:9 39:28 40:15,16 59:10
feelings 37:23 57:9 60:17	follow 17:4	genesis 24:1,2	happened 6:16 10:2 26:10 27:11 30:4,8 36:11 41:18 46:7,20 47:2 49:27 50:3 56:15
felt 5:15,21 6:28 20:13 26:20 36:26 51:27	foolish 25:2	Giordan's 47:8	happening 37:20
fighting 39:26	force 11:2 13:12,13,15, 18 14:22 20:3,6,7,8,12, 28 50:5,23	Giordano 16:9,14,18, 28 43:17 48:21,22,24 49:8,12,13 51:5,27 52:5,18 56:19 57:4 58:13,14 60:2,9,24 61:8 62:2	happy 36:26
figure 17:5	fork 61:18	Giordano's 30:16	hard 7:9 23:4
figured 50:24	formal 15:16 21:5	give 16:9 31:17 34:17 43:17,19,28 47:28 48:10 50:27 54:10	head 8:3 36:7 43:20
file 54:14	forthcoming 16:12 45:21	giving 23:12 43:5	Headley 54:17
files 54:19	forward 36:8	glad 61:20,22	HEALY 4:6
Fillooy 3:7,8,10 4:9,22, 23,25 5:11 10:13,15		glorified 50:12	hear 45:3 46:12 59:26
			heard 18:25 28:22

30:15 51:12 55:17			
hearing 4:6 19:9 44:27 52:12,18 61:20		informal 37:15	investigation 9:12 10:1 12:3,9,12,13,22,26 13:9 14:7,26 43:22,25 51:5 52:10 58:7
helped 61:23	I	information 5:27 52:4 58:19	investigator 54:18
helping 23:3,10	IA 13:14 14:26 20:18	informed 43:21,23	investigators 20:27
hey 24:27	IA's 13:20	Ingstrum 47:23	invoice 58:21,23,24
high 37:8 41:27 42:1,3	lacono 57:24	initial 5:17	invoices 50:26,28 54:2,7
high-stress 7:15	ID 3:15	initiated 12:27	involved 9:24 10:3 11:3 12:2,8 18:14 21:18 22:2,11 33:17,28 35:5 38:2,25 46:4 48:16 51:14
higher 23:14 36:19	idea 23:16 24:24 40:24 55:26	inquiry 29:22 52:8	involvement 29:25 40:4
highly 26:13	identical 51:18	insights 27:10	involvements 22:18
hindsight 23:17 24:27 31:12 51:27 54:25	identified 24:10 29:22	inspect 18:27 19:6	involves 30:10
Hines 34:11 35:6	identifying 29:17	inspected 19:1	involving 20:3 21:13 29:13,21 34:10 38:4 44:16 55:9,27
history 36:4	impeaches 46:12	inspection 18:22,24, 26 19:8,18 49:25	ironic 56:13
hit 5:21,22,28 8:3,16 28:16 46:23 61:18	impeaching 46:7	inspections 19:11	irrelevant 55:18
hitting 30:18	impeachment 47:21 48:20	institutions 50:11	issue 5:16,18,21 16:6 19:16 28:3 43:9 46:24 50:4 59:28
holding 15:6	implication 48:4	institutional 50:13	issued 51:18
home 26:21	implying 53:21	intend 44:5 50:10	issues 16:16 26:13 29:21 30:26 52:13 55:3 59:27
honest 16:12	important 46:8 61:15	intent 56:16	item 58:24
Honestly 44:19	importantly 29:1	interaction 28:20 38:10	itemized 47:28
Honor 19:27 27:21 44:15 45:19 52:21 60:6 62:8	improvements 20:10	interconnected 14:19	
Honorable 4:6	inaccurate 41:3	interesting 52:12,16, 17 55:4 57:19 60:23 61:17	J
hook 62:8	inadequacy 50:20	internal 12:16,22,23,26 13:2,5,9 14:1,3,6,12 43:22,25 50:21 51:6	Jacobsen 29:12,25 30:19
Horton 15:5,14 17:6,17 26:16,25 27:6 57:19,24	incentive 27:12 50:13	interpreted 59:9	Jacobsen's 29:1,11 30:4 39:13,16
hospital 5:27	incentivized 50:12	interpreting 12:11	Jake 48:8
hour 36:11	incident 7:15 8:15,24, 27 9:25 10:6,11,25 11:13,19 12:15,17,21, 23,26,28 13:2,8,19 14:7,17 15:4,11 17:16 20:3 21:1,5,6,12,18 26:12,19 34:1,10,13	interview 7:12 16:9,10, 27 34:16 43:17,28 46:17 47:9 48:24 49:3 60:10	jammed 7:5,21
house 13:16	incidents 12:7 16:1 29:20,26	interviewing 49:12	January 29:9
HR 57:25	inclined 60:7	interviews 30:15 47:15 48:22 49:1	Jeremy 15:21 17:19 18:28 19:1
Huff 15:21 16:4 17:19, 22,25,28 18:5,19,28 19:1	including 31:13 51:11	invest 13:17	
human 6:7	incredible 52:7	investigate 52:20	
hundred 14:28 39:10, 12 40:13,14	incredibly 38:12	investigated 57:6	
hunted 24:7	independently 46:25	investigating 16:10	
	INDEX 3:1		
	individual 5:21		

job 9:4,10,13 11:22 22:17 36:14	Komoda 6:20 8:13 10:7 12:4 15:20,22 16:5,15 17:10 18:16 20:4 21:13,17 22:8 28:11,14,24,25 29:22 30:27 31:10,22,27 40:8 46:10,17,25 47:1,24 48:6,12,16,18,22 49:18 50:7 51:11,13 55:10,27 56:7,20 58:19,25 60:10, 17	44:23,24 45:13	27
John 41:25,26	Komoda's 28:21 46:12,19 47:22 56:4 58:20	lieutenant's 22:25	Mark 6:24 19:16 38:25, 28 39:9
Jones 50:28 53:3,6,22 54:16 56:21 58:15 60:6	KRAUSE 4:10	life 34:27 42:12	Martin 38:8,19
Joseph 34:23 35:4,5, 12 37:6,8	<hr/> L <hr/>	lifetime 36:8	MASTER 3:1
Joseph's 35:3		lines 22:25	material 61:1
Josh 6:23 29:2,13 30:3, 21 40:7		list 47:28	materials 20:23
Joshua 3:9 32:10,17		litigating 29:19	Matt 20:4 21:13 22:8 30:4 40:8 48:22 50:7 60:10
judge 4:6,23 10:13 16:20 19:23 22:22 25:26 27:8,19 50:15 53:2 56:23 57:22 60:23		lived 36:12	matter 22:17
June 49:24 58:20		living 32:24	matters 31:16
jury 32:1,2 50:6,10 61:16		local 37:28	mature 22:24,26 27:9
justified 9:10		long 15:2 24:12 29:7 33:2 51:7 52:25 61:26, 27 62:7	matured 23:1
<hr/> K <hr/>		looked 11:4 27:15,16 44:2 52:26 59:15 61:6	maturing 26:6
K-9 21:23	lack 13:14	lot 7:24 8:8,14 23:5 25:17 26:20 44:2 45:28 47:9 50:24 51:28 52:1 53:11 56:1 57:5,18 61:17,21	maturity 24:27
KATELYN 4:11	lady 54:4	lots 47:16	Mauer 53:24
Kent 3:6 4:20 29:2 31:18 34:5,18,21,23 36:4,5,9,18 38:10,12,28 39:6,10,14,20,21 40:9, 17,25 41:4,23 43:3 44:11 46:16 51:19 52:1 58:9	language 19:13	lucrative 54:5	Mcdonough 47:6,7 48:7 52:14 55:11 59:3, 4,6,17
kick 13:20	late 23:1 60:26	lunch 5:8	Mclaughlin 6:20 8:13 15:20,22 16:6,15 17:11 18:17 20:4 21:13 24:21 28:12,18 40:9 46:3,5,6, 8,17,25,27 47:8 49:13 55:9 58:13,14 59:4,6,18 60:2 62:2
killed 7:18 8:16	launch 58:18	lying 28:24	Mclaughlin's 10:7 12:4 52:15
killing 8:23 30:17	law 6:4 36:21 42:8	<hr/> M <hr/>	Mclaughlins 46:2
kind 6:15 8:9 9:16 13:16 18:19 23:12 26:21 46:8,21 47:9 50:14 51:27 54:28 55:17	learn 24:25	made 36:15 50:4 52:27	Mcmann 58:10
Knight 4:11 51:23 52:21 53:14 60:6,23 61:28 62:7	learning 25:20	maintaining 50:21	meaning 25:11 38:23
knowing 41:2	left 13:12 31:28 41:8, 10,19 44:3	make 4:28 8:20 15:26 38:1 50:17,19 54:28 55:24 57:2,3 59:14	means 32:1
knowledge 24:17 25:7 26:4 57:15	legal 43:23 44:1 54:14 56:26	maker 36:23	meant 22:1
	legality 20:14	making 28:7 36:11,13	medal 37:15
	lengthy 7:12 9:12 16:9 50:19	male 37:17	medals 37:16
	lethal 13:18	managed 7:18,22	media 61:16
	letter 53:16	management 57:19,25	meet 11:18
	lettering 42:22	manager 53:19	meeting 5:12 10:19,20 11:6 18:26 36:25 45:1, 6,7,13 58:5
	letters 42:17,19	manner 9:4 35:19	
	letting 5:14	manual 42:8	
	level 18:1,6 20:6 41:28 42:1,3	March 2:2 4:1 49:11,23,	
	liability 23:19		
	lied 31:9		
	lieutenant 18:2 19:10 26:8,15 34:6 42:2,3		

meetings 45:12	muttered 41:5	37:25 38:11	Oregon 26:12,19
members 43:8	myopic 23:9	occurring 18:24 19:18 40:24	organization 44:4
mention 40:22			outcome 20:15
mentioned 45:1 52:28	<hr/> N <hr/>	October 29:7	outer 11:28
mercifully 48:1	naive 25:2	odd 53:27	outgoing 18:7
mesh 46:28	named 38:4	offense 42:10	owner 53:5
message 34:18	Napa 32:25	offer 46:1	owns 54:16
met 36:5	narrative 11:15 52:1	offhand 11:5	<hr/> P <hr/>
metal 12:1 49:7,14,18	nature 28:10,13 31:20	office 21:24 28:26 32:26 58:5	pages 57:15,18,23,26, 27
middle 30:8 51:2,13	necessarily 8:12,17 13:1,19 15:6,12 31:21 35:28 47:13,14 55:5	officer 7:15 8:1 9:4 21:17 22:3,8 23:27 24:20 27:28 28:10,14, 17,18,24,25,27 29:1,21, 23 31:21 32:7 33:1,2,3, 5,12,14,16,23 34:22 35:3,10 36:16 37:6 44:9 45:12 48:26 49:19 50:8 51:17,18 55:8,10,27 56:14	paint 27:2
Mike 43:27,28	needed 14:24 15:23 36:14 43:28	officer's 12:8 60:21	paperwork 13:22
Milano 4:4 32:7 50:8 55:23,24 56:2,6,8	needing 59:1	officer-involved 9:18 10:1 13:7 18:15 33:17 34:17	paragraph 11:14
Milano's 4:19 32:2 55:5 60:1,8	negative 7:24	officers 8:22 15:22 20:4,7 21:13,14 27:13 31:4 49:12 52:20 55:28 57:17 58:12 59:11 61:6	paragon 11:1 19:13 26:15
military 6:6	news 37:28	Official 4:14	part 6:11 25:3 26:11,18 52:5,10 58:1
mine 5:23 40:25	nice 34:24	older 41:28	participate 20:22
minimal 47:9	Nichelini 44:23,24	omission 52:7	parties 4:20
minute 28:2 30:24,25	NICK 4:9	ongoing 13:9	pay 58:26,27 59:1 60:28
minutes 32:4	nickname 41:25	open 28:4	paying 23:11 52:14
missed 6:13,17	night 26:21	operated 51:7 57:2	pending 56:24
missing 58:3	non-city 58:24	opinion 20:11,13 23:1 25:2 51:10 55:6 61:4	penetrate 6:7 8:6
mixed 37:14 57:9 60:16	noticeable 27:3	opinions 57:26,27	penetrated 11:27
modifications 14:23	noticed 43:21,26	opposed 30:13	people 3:14 4:3,7 8:5 14:14 15:9,19 17:23 22:4 23:4,11 24:7 25:18 29:15 30:16,22 34:25, 26 35:22 36:19 37:12 41:27 50:24 51:14 57:15 59:13,22
moment 37:14 38:12	November 11:16,17	order 3:2 16:19 17:5 20:22 44:4 51:25 58:25	people's 23:1 26:1 54:27
moments 34:26	number 23:19,22 40:19 55:3 60:28	ordered 49:23 61:6	Peppermill 5:13
money 59:12	<hr/> O <hr/>	orders 51:1,17 52:25 59:20	perceive 35:23 36:27
month 41:8	oath 4:21 47:14		percent 14:28 39:11,12 40:13,14
months 29:9 62:10	objected 48:3		perception 23:21
morning 4:27 44:28 50:16 58:4	obligation 44:2		percipient 46:27
motion 60:19 62:3	occasion 43:1		
motions 56:24	occasions 54:15		
move 8:19	occur 11:7 21:6 25:1 26:12		
moved 42:12	occurred 7:4 11:8 12:4 14:4 27:9 31:5 34:15		
moving 36:8			
multiple 30:11,17,18 47:20 51:9 52:13 54:15			

perform 8:17 28:16 46:19	popped 42:22	proceedings 4:16 62:11	questioning 8:8
performance 5:15 7:1, 28 28:12 44:11 46:13, 15	population 32:1	process 37:15 56:28	questions 19:27 20:20 22:21 27:8 44:14 55:15 57:6 60:9 61:11,27
performed 6:17 7:14, 16	portion 16:27 54:14	profession 37:1	quick 38:1 60:16
performing 11:25	position 36:15	professional 13:5 14:10,11 35:20	quotes 38:15
period 7:3 36:21 41:18	positioning 56:5	program 36:12	<hr/> R <hr/>
person 5:2 6:13 10:23 36:9,18,25,28 40:18 43:24 44:12 56:7	positive 35:27	progression 5:1	racking 47:21
persona 41:26	positives 8:18	projectile 6:5,12	rails 25:6
personal 25:2 26:13	post 24:2	projectiles 11:27	Rains 43:27 44:1,24
personally 14:3 61:1	post-work 24:8	promoted 42:3,28	Ramos 29:8 30:5
persons 52:13	Postolaki 10:19,24	proof 46:2	Ramrakha 50:2 55:19
phone 53:15,18	Postolaki's 11:22	propensity 46:22 47:23 55:27	Ramrakha's 14:27
picture 23:11	potentially 55:16	proper 50:22	range 5:19
piece 36:26	poured 34:23	prospective 42:6	rank 23:14
Pitchess 50:17 56:28	Powell 28:5 56:13	protocol 13:2	Raphael 38:8
place 29:28 30:1	Poyser 23:27 25:27 29:26	provide 53:24,25	rarely 10:3
places 25:16 61:16,17	practice 24:18,25 27:12 51:10	provided 17:1	reach 52:5
plan 28:18 50:5	practices 52:8,20 54:27	public 4:10 22:1,4 23:22,23 55:4 56:25 61:7	reached 52:10,19,21 53:2
platform 6:3	prelim 55:26	publicized 26:13	read 31:17 48:27 56:12 57:10,11
play 31:24 61:14	present 4:9,13,20 35:10 39:18,20,21,23 40:8 59:5,17	publicly 62:10	reading 42:8 51:27 54:26
POA 48:12,13,17 55:15	presented 52:3 60:4	pull 56:9	real 30:12
point 18:12,18,21 19:10,15 22:24 25:20, 28 26:7 28:25 31:16 33:6,22 34:27 41:28 47:18 50:16 51:4 52:24 53:3 55:20,22 59:7,26	presents 59:12,13	pulled 21:24	reason 7:21 22:13 51:25 59:17,25
pointing 11:14	press 7:13	pure 47:22	recall 7:3 9:21 10:5 11:3 12:7 14:22 15:28 16:2,14 18:13 19:19,25 20:13,18 21:8,16,28 53:9
points 22:19 30:11 47:21	pressure 26:20	purposes 30:28	recalls 48:1
police 7:15 9:3,26 10:1 21:7 22:3 23:23 33:1,2, 3,5,10,11,12,13,16,23 34:3 36:6,8,16 37:9,16, 21 42:18 43:5,9,15 44:3,21 50:8,19 51:1,7 53:13 54:1 57:1 60:11	pretty 7:2,7 18:1,3 21:9 25:2 30:7 31:16,18,25 43:15 48:27 49:14 50:19 57:4 59:16	put 12:21 18:17,19 36:6,7	received 34:18 53:16 54:4
policy 20:7,14 42:8,9	previously 18:13	<hr/> Q <hr/>	reckless 25:2 36:18
	prices 53:27	quash 62:3	recklessness 25:18
	prior 18:24 19:18 21:2, 23 33:5 41:11,12	question 8:5,11 12:19 23:16 25:23 45:4 46:14 48:2 49:16,17 57:10 59:19	recognition 8:26 9:3,8 35:27 37:22
	private 21:16	questionable 9:19 59:20	recognize 25:13 27:11
	problem 23:18 25:4	questioned 46:13,15	
	problems 25:18 56:15		
	procedural 20:17		

34:25	relay 28:3 34:3,8,15,20 40:8 47:3	returned 21:19	scary 40:18 44:12
recognized 36:21,25 37:14	released 54:14,20 62:9	review 5:8 9:25 10:6, 11,16,20,25,26 11:3,5, 6,19,21,24 12:2,3,15, 16,21,23,28 13:19,22, 23,28 14:17 16:20 17:7 20:5,22,23 21:4,5	scene 48:14 56:11
recognizing 6:19	relevance 29:20 47:17, 25	reviewed 10:6 13:18 21:12	seat 36:7
recollect 8:12	relevant 31:10,11,21 45:28 48:6 54:28 55:5, 12,16,23,25 56:22 57:13,25,28 59:28 60:8, 17 61:19	reviewing 10:17 17:8 50:22	secrets 53:27
recollection 7:26 10:10 16:24 17:8 52:24 53:1	remedy 50:18	reviews 14:8	section 13:22 17:3
recommendation 13:24,27	remember 10:18 11:5, 25 16:18 17:7 18:12 21:8,11 28:5,7,8 43:4 52:26 53:9 56:1,4	revisit 61:25	seguway 50:14
recommended 14:24	remind 51:16	rid 15:10	self-critical 7:27 8:13
reconsider 60:19	remorse 31:19,23	ride 36:5	send 54:20,21,22
record 4:19 16:28 32:16 50:20 57:2,3	render 20:11	Ridgeway 38:4	sense 58:3
recording 16:22	repairing 52:8	rifle 5:19	separate 12:16 13:9 16:1 58:24
records 5:27 51:9 52:25 53:4,24,25,28 54:2,12,18 56:25 57:5 61:5	replacing 52:8	risking 36:2	separated 58:23
Redirect 3:8 25:25	reply 53:17	Ritzie 35:8	September 40:7
reference 28:8	report 10:23 13:15 17:16 21:1 24:3,5 44:17 49:2,6 51:28 52:2,4,26 57:10,11,13 61:9 62:9	road 32:3 51:3 61:14,18 62:6	sergeant 15:20 16:4 17:22,25,28 18:1,5,6 34:3,5,7 36:20 37:20 40:18
references 52:11	Reporter 4:14	Robert 48:21,22	sergeants 19:5
referencing 25:27 50:25	reporting 13:13	Robertson 43:21	Session 2:3 3:1 4:1
referral 20:17	reports 10:20 20:27	Rock 38:2	SESSIONS 2:1
referred 14:25	representative 14:9, 16 29:14 30:20	role 10:28 11:21 20:2,5	set 54:13
referring 53:13,17	representatives 10:21	rough 26:11	sets 54:18
reflected 31:22	represented 4:7,9,11	round 8:3,17 10:22	shake 49:27
reflection 31:19,23	reread 55:26	rounds 5:22,28 6:2,6 8:3 51:9	shaken 7:2,5,7 8:15
refresh 10:10 16:24 17:8	respect 20:2 22:8 37:12	RPR 4:13	shaking 38:13,21 41:25
refuse 53:25 54:7	respected 36:20	rule 40:18	Shaleen 53:11 58:15, 16,22 59:26
refused 53:23 54:9	respond 53:22	Ruska 53:5,12,13,20, 23 58:17	share 51:22
regular 43:1	rest 30:27 31:28 60:4	Ryan 46:3 58:10	sheet 12:1 13:13
regularly 4:5	result 14:1	<hr/> S <hr/>	sheriff 32:25 43:20
rehash 44:5	retired 34:6	safety 51:20	Sheriff's 32:26
related 30:26 36:1	return 33:14	sample 52:23 60:24	shock 38:12
relates 29:24 58:24		Sanjay 14:27 50:2	shoot 7:20 27:13 38:17 56:6
relating 51:1		sat 14:23 21:14 34:23	shooters 30:7 48:11
relationship 54:6			shooting 5:17 6:24 8:27 9:9,19,20 10:7 11:7 12:3,9,13 13:4,27 14:4,22 18:15 19:20 20:3 21:18 22:2,3,9,10,

14 29:3,8,11,14,15 30:6,8,10,21 34:10,13, 17 35:5,19,28 36:1 38:2,6,8,11,26 39:1,4,7, 10 40:10 46:23 48:14, 17 50:3,8,9	sober 31:13	status 50:12	supports 46:12
shootings 10:2 13:7 14:5 27:17 30:9,12,18 33:18 47:20 56:9	sociable 18:6	Stephanie 47:5,7 52:14 55:11 59:2,4	suppose 28:3,4
Shorthand 4:14	Solano 4:8,10,15	Steve 19:10	supposed 8:7 24:4 35:24
shot 7:19 38:19	somebody's 9:8	stipulate 44:11	surface 11:28
shots 56:5	someone's 35:26	stole 38:14,16,22	surprised 54:3
shoulder 38:13	sort 17:26 24:23 37:22 53:26	stories 37:28	survival 8:26
show 16:26 41:24 49:18 50:10 51:9 56:20 60:25	sounds 49:1	story 29:12 30:2 43:10	survived 8:4,27
showed 49:7,8,9	south 6:24 38:26	story's 7:13	suspect 7:17,25 8:2,3, 16
shows 49:3	speak 10:22 44:6 46:11 53:18	strange 37:14 46:24	suspect's 7:5
sick 36:27	specialized 36:24	street 34:2,19,20 38:14	suspicion 26:21
sides 7:23 23:5	specific 9:3 20:13 29:17,19 31:4 36:25 47:17,24 49:14 56:16 58:19	stress 25:18	suspicious 59:15
significance 35:14	specifically 8:1,12 31:27 43:14	stressed 62:8	SWAT 36:22,23
significant 30:7 31:8 41:18	specificity 31:2	stressful 38:12	swear 32:8
silver 35:1	spelling 32:15	strike 8:2	sworn 32:11 33:11 36:16
similar 6:21,27	spitting 38:21	strikes 8:7	system 21:4 50:20 51:6
simply 56:28	spoke 17:6	struggling 29:17 36:13 62:5	<hr/> T <hr/>
Simpson 56:9	staff 18:26 26:14 45:11, 12	stuff 7:24 20:27 21:4 29:25 47:10 49:15 52:16 55:19,25 57:23, 25 58:15 59:3,27 60:18 61:12,17	table 10:22 34:21 51:26
sincerely 23:25	staffing 44:28 45:6	stupid 57:16	Taco 19:20
single 41:24	standards 13:5 14:10, 11	subbed 45:25	tactical 6:28
sir 5:5,20 6:26 10:27 14:20 17:24 22:23,28 24:22 25:15 27:22,27 32:21 33:7,19,25 34:12, 14 35:2 44:19	Starbucks 6:24 38:26 39:10	subject 8:20 19:24 34:1 43:21 61:25	tactically 46:19
sit 14:21 21:11 37:7 40:2	start 8:8 13:3 25:3 31:26 59:8 61:24	submit 16:21	talk 12:15 19:9 24:8 28:2 35:24 40:3,21 45:26 50:3 51:8
sitting 7:17 34:21 35:4	started 5:3 21:7 23:15 25:4 26:22 35:17 38:13 44:10	subordinate 19:3	talked 9:24 18:6 19:10 40:21 43:13,14,15 46:2
situation 6:27 27:14,16	starts 13:17 56:11	subpoena 50:27 54:11, 12,20,21,22 60:14	talking 12:18 26:6 40:1 42:21 44:6 47:21 50:16 56:2 57:3,4
Sixth 56:27	state 4:3,7 32:15	subpoenaed 50:15 53:4 54:13,15 57:21	tangential 55:12
sketchy 29:10	stated 11:26 35:23	subsequent 19:20 22:14,18 38:1	tape 16:22
skin 11:27	statement 5:8 34:17 41:1 47:22 48:8,10 57:17	suggested 5:28	tasked 19:5
snippet 49:1	stating 35:16 38:16	summary 49:6	team 36:22,23 41:25
		super 7:13	Ted 10:19 11:22
		supervisor 21:4 42:11	telling 16:14,18 29:13 37:13 38:14,22 43:3
		supportive 7:13	tentatively 55:7

tenuous 23:23	today 21:11 31:3,13,23 40:2 44:6,11 46:17 48:23 51:13 55:17 56:13 57:20 58:28 61:20	44:11 46:11,16 47:28 51:19 52:1 58:9 59:22	51:1,6 54:1,6,8 57:1
term 13:14 36:3			vehicle 11:28
terms 31:14 50:21 52:8		troops 45:1	vendor 52:5,6,9,11,19, 22 54:27 60:20
Terry 24:6 25:27		troubled 44:20	verdict 56:17
testified 32:12	today's 30:28	troubling 31:1	version 61:2,3
testify 53:5 55:9	Todd 35:17	true 40:12 49:8	versus 4:3 31:5
testimony 29:24	told 6:13 15:27,28 17:10 18:9 24:3,13 35:18 37:13 40:17,20 44:1 46:9 58:4	trust 23:23 34:26,27 37:13	vest 21:25
text 34:18		truth 44:6	video 7:7,14 21:1,12
thing 5:1 8:10,23 9:12 12:16 22:5 24:23,26,28 25:11,13 29:18,25 30:16,19 31:1,12,22 39:27 43:16 46:21 47:14 48:5,28 54:26 55:11,17 56:21 57:6,16, 19 59:2,4 60:6,19 61:9, 21	Tolentino 35:8,10	truthfully 40:5,6	videotape 20:26 21:15
	tomorrow 28:18 45:26 50:15 53:5 57:21 58:13 61:26 62:1	turn 58:7	viewed 36:9,17
	top 42:21	turns 52:9 61:2	viewing 21:14
	tore 60:25	twisted 36:27	violation 42:9
	torn 47:26	type 6:4	violence 46:22 47:23 50:12
	torso 6:7	typically 6:6	visibly 38:21
	totality 57:12,17	<hr/> U <hr/>	volume 29:18,20 31:1, 2 48:5
	totals 60:21	unaware 43:23	VPD 15:10 60:27
	tough 27:22	understand 8:4,21 41:17	<hr/> W <hr/>
	town 7:19	understanding 4:28 5:26 9:2 35:28	Wait 11:1
	TRACY 4:10	understands 9:16 56:14	waiting 47:27
	trade 53:26	undue 25:17	walk 46:1
	tradition 5:3 15:10 18:10 33:24,26 35:17	units 36:24	walked 34:20
	traditions 50:13	universe 31:25 57:14	wanted 46:12 54:7
	trainee 33:11	unjustified 27:17	watch 21:1
	training 14:23,25 20:9, 17 50:22	unwise 51:27	watched 7:14 21:2
	transcript 16:21,27 17:1	upset 15:15 46:14,22	Wayne 41:26
	transcripts 31:17	upsetting 40:2	ways 25:7
	trauma 44:3	<hr/> V <hr/>	wear 43:1 61:7
	trial 50:6 55:22	vague 53:26 58:27	wearing 21:25,26
	Tribble 3:6 4:20,25 16:26 19:24 20:2 28:14, 22 29:2,3,13 31:19 34:4,5,18,21,23 35:12, 17 36:4,5,9,18 38:10,28 39:6,10,14,20,22 40:9, 10,17,25 41:23 43:3	Vallejo 4:11 5:3,4 6:25 9:26 17:1 21:6 29:4 32:28 33:2,3,5,9,14,17, 23 36:6,8,12,13,16,17 37:9,15,20 38:26 43:5, 8,15 44:3,22 50:19	weeks 57:12
			WESNER 4:13
			whatsoever 16:19
			Wheat 47:5
			Whitney 57:19,24
			whittling 61:24

William 34:10
Williams 44:25 53:2,15
60:27
wit 4:17
withdraw 54:22
witnesses 3:2 31:13
45:25 48:21 50:14,20
51:8 53:4,10 57:3
61:22,28
wondering 54:26
word 12:11 17:19 18:10
22:23 51:14
work 10:20 26:14 34:25
41:24 44:25
worked 22:15
working 8:6 36:6,12,
17,21 44:3
works 13:11
worry 58:10
worth 27:26
write 10:23 11:23
written 10:19,24
wrong 12:28 13:28
42:7

Y

yards 6:14
year 15:6 24:3 37:17
years 27:15 33:10
36:10 37:18 41:12 54:6
young 18:7 27:12
36:28 44:17

Z

Zack 39:13,16
zeroing 31:26