_	
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: BERGEN COUNTY
2	DOCKET NO. BER-L-007990-21
3	
4	DAVID SIVELLA, :
5	Plaintiff, :
6	vs. :
7	TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, et :
8	al., :
9	Defendants. :
10	
11	VIDEO DEPOSITION OF: DAVID SIVELLA
12	THURSDAY, JANUARY 25, 2024 10:37 a.m.
13	10.5/ a.m.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	HUSEBY, INC.
22	1230 West Morehead Street, Suite 408
23	Charlotte, North Carolina 28208
24	(704) 372-4593
25	

	Page 2	<u> </u>			Page 4
1	rage 2	1	APPEARA	N C E S: (cont'd)	Page 4
2	Transcript of Video Deposition taken in	2			
3	the above-entitled matter by and before Carrie L.	3	ALSO PR	ESENT:	
4	Cuhadaryan, a Certified Court Reporter within and	4	THOMAS DEL VEC	CHIO, VIDEOGRAPHER	
5	for the State of New Jersey, taken at METROPOLITAN	5	PAUL HAGGERTY		
6	CENTER, 1 Meadowlands Plaza, Suite 200, East	6	MICHAEL CARRIN	0	
7	Rutherford, New Jersey, 07073, on THURSDAY, JANUARY	7	RICHARD PIZZUT	I	
8	25, 2024, commencing at 10:35 a.m., pursuant to	8			
9	notice.	9			
10		10			
11		11			
12		12			
13		13			
14		14			
15		15			
16		16			
17		17			
18		18			
19		19			
20		20			
21		21			
22		22			
23		23			
24		24			
25		25			
25		25			
	Page 3	1			Page 5
1	APPEARANCES:	1	HITTHINGS	INDEX	DAGE
2		2	WITNESS	EXAMINATION	PAGE
3	LAW OFFICES OF JILLIAN T. WEISS, P.C.	3	DAVID SIVELLA	BY MR. DORIS	9
4	BY: JILLIAN T. WEISS, ESQ.	4			
5	242 Main Street, No. 304	5		EXHIBITS	
6	Beacon, New York	6	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
7	(845) 709–3237	7	Sivella-1	photo sheet	155
8	jweiss@jtweisslaw.com	8	Sivella-2	2/13/21 letter	155
9	Attorneys for the Plaintiff	9	Sivella-3	text messages	155
10		10	Sivella-4	7/5/18 letter	155
11	KEENAN & DORIS, ESQS.	11	Sivella-5	campaign literature	155
12	BY: IAN DORIS, ESQ.	12	Sivella-6	police report	155
13	71 Union Avenue, Suite 105	13	Sivella-7	e-mail and text messages	
14	Rutherford, New Jersey 07070	14	Sivella-8	e-mail and text messages	156
15	(201) 355-8110	15	Sivella-9	9/3/19 letter	156
16	Attorneys for the Defendants	16	Sivella-10	9/24/19 letter	156
17		17	Sivella-11	9/23/19 letter	156
18	PIERSON FERDINAND LLP	18	Sivella-12	9/24/19 letter	157
19	100 Overlook Center, 2nd Floor	19	Sivella-13	e-mail chain	157
20	Princeton, New Jersey 08540	20	Sivella-14	4/18/23 e-mail	157
I .	mukti.patel@perferd.com	21	Sivella-15	Alampi Complaint	157
21		22	Sivella-16	records re DiMaggio	157
21	(848)200-2335	22			
	(848)200-2335 Attorneys for the Defendant,	23	Sivella-17	Bonnie Mizdol document	157
22				Bonnie Mizdol document list of counsel	157 157

	Daviu	Sivena	UII (<i>J1/25/202</i> 4
1	ЕХНІВІТЅ	Page 6	1	Page 8 deposition was called by Mr. Pizzuti's attorney
2	NUMBER DESCRIPTION P	AGE	2	but Mr. Pizzuti's attorney has advised that
3	Sivella-19 Judicial Selection 1	57	3	they were not asking questions but Mr. Doris
4	Sivella-20 Alampi billing records 1	58	4	representing the other defendant is.
5	Sivella-21 Tinton Falls transcript 1	58	5	In addition, two defendants were brought
6	-		6	to the deposition without advising plaintiff's
7	(Exhibits attached to transcript	.)	7	attorney and as a result we have had to move
8	•	,	8	our chairs around in a rather small space. And
9			9	we will also be joined later by Mr. Pizzuti.
10			10	We were just advised of this this morning. So
11			11	these things are noted.
12			12	MR. DORIS: I will just respond
13			13	briefly to those several things.
14			14	I don't believe when Mr. Sivella attended
15			15	that he advised he was coming. But whether he
16			16	did or not
17				
18			17 18	MS. WEISS: You mean Mr. Pizzuti?
19			19	MR. DORIS: No, I'm talking about
20				Mr. Sivella. When he had when he went to
21			20	the deposition.
22			22	MS. WEISS: Oh, oh, I see. MR. DORIS: But whether he did or
23			23	
24				not, parties have a right to be present at
25			24	depositions.
23			25	I also offered my office which had more
1	THE HITDEOGRAPHED Good manifest	Page 7	,	Page 9
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.		1	than enough space to accommodate everybody.
2	Here begins media number one in the depositi		2	And Ms. Patel, as far as I know, will be
3	of Mr. David Sivella. In the matter of Davi	α	3 4	asking questions although she may not be asking questions first. That's really it.
5	Sivella, plaintiff, versus Township of Lyndhurst, et al, defendants. Case number		5	-
6	• •			(Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.)
	BER-L-007990-21.		6	
7	Today's date is Thursday, January 25th, 2024. And the time on the monitor is		8	MS. WEISS: Let's go off the record. Let's go off the record while we figure out
		т	9	
9	10:38 a.m. My name is Thomas Del Vecchio. am the legal videographer. The court report		10	where to put Mr. Pizzuti. (Whereupon, a discussion was held off
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11 12	is Carrie Cuhadaryan. We are here with Huse	υy	11 12	the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of
13	Global Litigation. Counsel will please introduce yourselve	æ	13	media number one. The time is 10:41 a.m.
	and after which the court reporter will swea		14	We're off the record. Thank you.
14	in the witness.	т	15	(Whereupon, a brief recess was
16	MS. WEISS: Ready? Jillian Weiss	for	16	(whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
17	plaintiff.	101	17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media
18	MS. PATEL: Mukti Patel for defend	ant	18	number two. The time is 10:44 a.m. We are
19	Richard Pizzuti.	uit	19	back on the record.
20		of	20	
1 Z U	MR. DORIS: Ian Doris for Township	OI		DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DORIS:
	Tundhurat defendants Hassentin Comming and			
21	Lyndhurst, defendants Haggerty, Carrino and		21	
21 22	Auteri.		22	Q Good morning, Mr. Sivella.
21 22 23	Auteri. MS. WEISS: Just like to make a		22 23	Q Good morning, Mr. Sivella. A Good morning, Mr. Doris.
21 22	Auteri.		22	Q Good morning, Mr. Sivella.

2 just so we're clear. 2 answer, so if you don't know 3 Obviously you're under oath so your 3 recall, those are perfectly	acceptable answers.
3 Obviously you're under oath so your 3 recall, those are perfectly 4 testimony today is just as important if you gave it 4 You may be ask	acceptable answers.
4 testimony today is just as important if you gave it 4 You may be ask	
5 in front of a judge and jury. 5 as time or distance, things	ked for information such
	of that nature where if
6 Do you understand that? 6 you don't know the exact ans	swer, you can estimate
7 A Yes, I do. 7 but it has to be a reasonable	le estimation and just
8 Q And you swore to tell the truth and 8 please advise us if that's y	your best answer, if you
9 I'm sure you'll do that; is that correct? 9 give that answer. Okay?	
10 A Yes, I will. 10 A Um-hmm. Yes,	sir.
11 Q Often times in a deposition people 11 Q What is it abo	out the instruction that
12 will sometimes talk over each other. It just 12 you found difficult?	
13 happens in common conversation, but for purposes of 13 A The structure	of the wording.
14 today, we should try to be mindful of that. The 14 MS. WEISS: 1	Are you asking him?
15 reason for that is, as you know, the court reporter 15 MR. DORIS: Y	Yes.
16 is taking everything down that is spoken today. So 16 A The structure	of the wording was
17 even though you may know the question I'm about to 17 convoluted. Can you repeat	it.
	Yeah, can we read back
19 I will try to do the same and not ask the next 19 that instruction?	•
	the requested portion was
21 A Yes, sir. 21 read back by the n	
22 Q Also, if you don't understand a 22 BY MR. DORIS:	,
	o rephrase it.
	understand a question I
25 if you answer a question today, it will be assumed 25 ask you today, it's important	-
Page 11 1 throughout this case and particularly at trial that 1 get clarification on that qu	Page 13
2 you understood the question. The reason I'm telling 2 time of trial or a subsequent	
3 you that instruction now is because if you give an 3 deposition, you're not going	
4 answer today that's different at the time of trial, 4 well, I didn't know that's	· · · · · ·
5 you can be confronted with your testimony. 5 asking me. I didn't underst	
6 Do you understand that? 6 A I understand t	
	I reiterate my objection.
	rair enough.
	tall us your data of
11 know what's what you meant or I didn't understand 11 birth, Mr. Sivella?	tell us your date of
<u>-</u>	××
, , ,	our social security
14 MS. WEISS: I object to that 14 number?	our social seculity
	_
15 instruction. 15 A I'm not saying	•
	Objection. So that is a
17 MS. WEISS: I object to that 17 confidential matter and	
instruction but you may proceed. 18 designated as confident	
19 A Could you repeat that instruction? 19 provide it outside of	
20 Q No. 20 MR. DORIS: 1	I think he can state it
	11 make sure that it's
21 A Okay, well, then I don't understand 21 for the record and we'l	
22 it. 22 marked confidential. (
22 it. 22 marked confidential. (23 Q Okay. Fair enough. 23 have to take it down, 13	I'm sure.
22 it. 22 marked confidential. (23 Q Okay. Fair enough. 23 have to take it down, 13	I'm sure. May I speak?

	Pa	ge 14	Page 16
1	A You put things into the record	1	MS. WEISS: The previous judge.
2	confidentially, interviews with these gentlemen,		THE WITNESS: Well, that's an issue
3	IA, which I've honored. I've never looked at the	em 3	at hand.
4	because they were personal in nature, about their	c 4	MS. WEISS: All right. But in any
5	personnel records. And you're asking me for my	5	event, we will address that issue another time,
6	social security number which impacts credit and	6	but the point is any party can designate
7	everything else. And these gentlemen, we have an	n 7	something that's confidential and then there's
8	adversarial relationship and they're sitting in t	the 8	a procedure and therefore if somebody objects
9	room and they've abused already or alleged to have	<i>r</i> e 9	to that confidentiality how to review it. So
10	abused systems of government for tracking	10	unless it's designated, you know, by you or
11	information. So I am not giving you that number	. 11	other parties as confidential, it's not
12	Q Well, unfortunately	12	automatically confidential. And please correct
13	A You can get it from my attorney	13	me if I'm wrong.
14	privately.	14	MR. DORIS: I think that's right but
15	MS. WEISS: We will provide it.	15	it also included deposition transcripts and
16	Q Okay. One other important point to	1 6	obviously video and things of that nature.
17	make. We have a confidentiality agreement in the	is 17	MS. WEISS: If designated.
18	case which you should be aware of.	18	MR. DORIS: Correct.
19	A I'm aware it had to do with IA	19	MS. WEISS: Right. Are you so
20	investigations, sir.	20	designating?
21	Q It has to do with a number of thing	gs. 21	MR. DORIS: If I choose to I'll do it
22	But we're proceeding with this deposition under	22	after the deposition.
23	that.	23	MS. WEISS: All right.
24	A Not according to my agreement	24	BY MR. DORIS:
25	personally. For the record.	25	Q Okay. Can you tell me where you
	Pa	ge 15	Page 17
1	Q I don't know what you mean by that	. 1	currently reside.
2	A In no way, shape or form did I agre	ee 2	A New York City.
3	to make this private.	3	Q What's the address?
4	Q Make what private?	4	A
5	A This deposition, any deposition in	5	New York, New York. Would you like the zip?
6	this case.	6	Q If you have it.
7	Q Well	7	A ************************************
8	A I respected that the attorney, form	mer 8	Q And who do you reside there with?
9	attorney said that, according to him, and he has	9	A My husband.
10	been discharged for a variety of reasons. Accord	ding 10	Q And what's his name?
11	to him at that time, those IA interviews needed t	to 11	A Darwin Belen. B-E-L-E-N.
12	be kept confidential because, according to you, I	I 12	Q And at the time of this incident,
13	would post them on social media which I did not.	13	which was December 6th, 2019 is that correct?
14	Q Okay.	14	A The incident at hand, yes.
15	A Even attempt to do. And those vide	eos 15	Q Okay. At that time were you married
16	have been in my possession while I was without	16	to Mr. Belen?
17	counsel and I did not look at them out of respect	17	A Yes.
18	for your wishes. So	18	Q Have you and Mr. Belen remained
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Well, one way of	or 19	married from that time until the present?
20	the other we have to have this confidential:	ity 20	A Yes.
21	thing sorted out.	21	Q Are you currently employed?
22	MS. WEISS: All right. So let me	say 22	A I am retired.
23	this. Here's how it's sorted out. The	23	Q What are you retired from?
24	agreement is currently ordered by the judge.	. 24	A Life.
25	THE WITNESS: Which judge?	25	Q Retired from life.

		Duvid Siver		
1	A	Page 1 I just do litigation with the Township		Page 20 me, I could harass Anthony DiMaggio because he was
2	of Lyndhurst		2	filing false police reports which is a little
3	Q	That's your job?	3	convoluted in my mind since he was the one filing
4	А	My full-time occupation. At this	4	the false police reports and I was responding to
5	point, yes,	sir, given the way you've handled this	5	that. But that's the answer to my question your
6	case.		6	question.
7	Q	And prior to retirement where were you	7	Q Well, I'm afraid it doesn't really
8	employed?		8	answer my question.
9	А	Bergen County Housing Authority.	9	A Okay.
10	Q	What year	10	Q So let me let me see if I can
11	А	And the Township of Lyndhurst.	11	rephrase it.
12	Q	What year were you employed at the	12	Is the answer to my question, then,
13	Bergen Count	y Housing Authority?	13	you have not been employed since you left the Bergen
14	А	Through 2011.	14	Housing Authority in 2011?
15	Q	From what years, though?	15	A No, since 2013 when I left Lyndhurst.
16	А	Pardon me?	16	Q Okay. Now, you referred to some other
17	Q	What from what years?	17	lawsuit that you had filed before that Anthony
18	А	2008.	18	DiMaggio was involved in.
19	Q	To 2011; is that right?	19	A Several.
20	А	Correct.	20	Q Okay. For the record, though, that
21	Q	And since 2011, when you left the	21	lawsuit was dismissed, was it not?
22	Bergen Count	y Housing Authority did you have any	22	A Would you like me to answer that
23	other employ	ment?	23	question?
24	А	No.	24	Q Well, I asked it.
25	Q	You consider your litigation against	25	A How it occurred?
		Page 1)	Page 21
1	Lyndhurst as	a full-time job?	1	Q No, I didn't ask how it occurred. I
2	A	Sir, I'm going to answer this question	2	asked you was it dismissed.
3	this way. W	e did this the last deposition, you and	3	A It was withdrawn.
4	I had where	you interviewed me, you asked me about	4	Q Okay.
5	my professio	nal background, so just repeat the same	5	A There are two lawsuits, to be clear.
6	answers.		6	Which one are you referring to?
7		And by the way, you included this in	7	Q Both.
8	something wh	ere you regarding Anthony DiMaggio	8	A Which one? Both which which
9	where you cl	aimed I was bragging that I had	9	two?
10	unlimited fu	nds, that I was wealthy. You claimed I	10	Q Well, there's only two?
11	was bragging	about it, when in fact I was answering	11	A For the record
12	your questio	n, much the same way you're asking them	12	Q Are there only two?
13	right now.		13	A What are these lawsuits that you're
14		So the answer to your question is	14	referring.
15	this: I am	blessed in my life to be wealthy enough	15	Q How would I know? You're the one that
16	to be able t	o support myself and to support my	16	brought it up, so my question
17	family and t	o pursue things that I find	17	A But you asked me if it was dismissed
18	philanthropi	cally in the best interest of the public	18	so you must have some sense
19	as I am doin	g in this case.	19	(Whereupon, a discussion was held off
20	Q	And sorry, I didn't	20	the record.)
21	A	Thank you.	21	A There were two suits. There was one
	Q	Please continue.	22	suit brought in federal court in 2018.
22		Co you ghated in one degument that T	23	May I refer to documents, sir, that I
22 23	A	So you stated in one document that I	1 -	may I leter to documents, sir, that I
		that I had so much money that I could	24	reviewed to prepare for this?

	·-		J1/25/202 4
1	Page 22 didn't ask you to but you can.	1	Page 24 A I am being responsive. You asked me
2	A Okay, I will. So in September of 2019	2	about the dismissal and I'm explaining the
3	there was a federal lawsuit brought before Judge	3	evolution. Thank you, sir. Thank you. Appreciate
4	Cathy Waldor in federal court.	4	that.
5	Q Okay.	5	Now, you were with Mr. Silberman and
6	A Regarding Anthony DiMaggio placing a	6	his attorney and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and
7	911 phonecall to the Lyndhurst Police Department and	7	yourself during a period of time, I would say went
8	reporting hate crimes in the Township park that were	8	from forty-five minutes to an hour. When Mr.
9	occurring by three individuals, three young men.	9	Silberman returned, he told me that he was in a
10	One named Brandon Sena; one my son, Aldwin King	10	professional predicament. And the reason he was in
11	Belen; and another Myko various. And we can get	11	a professional predicament, as my attorney, was
12	the spelling on that. Wouldn't even try at this	12	because he accompanied one of these young men into
13	time.	13	the Lyndhurst Police Department to file a police
14	Anyway, Mr. Joel Silberman represented	14	report about Mr. DiMaggio's 911 false 911 call.
15	me in that lawsuit. You represented the Township of	15	False call claiming hate crimes that all records
16	Lyndhurst. And appearing for the DiMaggios was a	16	show never occurred.
17	gentleman named Michael Baldassare and Jennifer Mara	17	Now, when Mr. Silberman did this, you,
18	who are the last defense attorneys for Joseph	18	according to Mr. Silberman but we'll have to call
19	Ferriero. A federal felon who was the Bergen County	19	him to clarify this Mr. Silberman claimed you,
20	democratic chairman.	20	Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare said that there was
21	Also at this proceeding was an	21	security footage from the Lyndhurst Police
22	attorney representing $\operatorname{Mr.}\nolimits$ Silberman who appeared but	22	Department lobby room, waiting room where you enter
23	Mr. Silberman did not tell me that the person was	23	the police department. There is a window, there's a
24	appearing or why the person was appearing. Now,	24	holding room and that's where you process a
25	there were a couple of dates during this proceeding	25	civilian, or whatever it is your business is with
	Page 23		Page 25
1	$\begin{array}{c} & Page \; 23 \\ \text{before this dismissal.} & \text{One occurred in early} \end{array}$	1	$\begin{array}{c} & Page \ 25 \\ \text{the Lyndhurst Police Department.} & There \ was \ a \end{array}$
2	8	1 2	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and
2 3	before this dismissal. One occurred in early December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman	2 3	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a
2 3 4	before this dismissal. One occurred in early December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared	2 3 4	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report.
2 3 4 5	before this dismissal. One occurred in early December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense	2 3 4 5	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was
2 3 4 5 6	before this dismissal. One occurred in early December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio.	2 3 4 5 6	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But
2 3 4 5 6 7	before this dismissal. One occurred in early December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township	2 3 4 5 6 7	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	before this dismissal. One occurred in early December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney. During this quote hearing, I was left	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so, threatened Mr. Silberman with his professional
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney. During this quote hearing, I was left alone in the courtroom, federal courtroom	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so, threatened Mr. Silberman with his professional license if this case was not withdrawn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney. During this quote hearing, I was left alone in the courtroom, federal courtroom referring to federal courtroom. And the five of you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so, threatened Mr. Silberman with his professional license if this case was not withdrawn. Mr. Silberman asked me how I felt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney. During this quote hearing, I was left alone in the courtroom, federal courtroom referring to federal courtroom. And the five of you either went into chambers with the judge or went	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so, threatened Mr. Silberman with his professional license if this case was not withdrawn. Mr. Silberman asked me how I felt about this. I was not the plaintiff, but I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	before this dismissal. One occurred in early December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney. During this quote hearing, I was left alone in the courtroom, federal courtroom referring to federal courtroom. And the five of you either went into chambers with the judge or went somewhere else. And I'll make an aside: During	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so, threatened Mr. Silberman with his professional license if this case was not withdrawn. Mr. Silberman asked me how I felt about this. I was not the plaintiff, but I was paying for the case. And one of the plaintiffs was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney. During this quote hearing, I was left alone in the courtroom, federal courtroom referring to federal courtroom. And the five of you either went into chambers with the judge or went somewhere else. And I'll make an aside: During this period of time I went into a men's room and you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so, threatened Mr. Silberman with his professional license if this case was not withdrawn. Mr. Silberman asked me how I felt about this. I was not the plaintiff, but I was paying for the case. And one of the plaintiffs was my son. So he asked me, basically, for empathy in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney. During this quote hearing, I was left alone in the courtroom, federal courtroom referring to federal courtroom. And the five of you either went into chambers with the judge or went somewhere else. And I'll make an aside: During this period of time I went into a men's room and you followed me into that men's room during that hearing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so, threatened Mr. Silberman with his professional license if this case was not withdrawn. Mr. Silberman asked me how I felt about this. I was not the plaintiff, but I was paying for the case. And one of the plaintiffs was my son. So he asked me, basically, for empathy in the predicament that he was in and also because I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney. During this quote hearing, I was left alone in the courtroom, federal courtroom referring to federal courtroom. And the five of you either went into chambers with the judge or went somewhere else. And I'll make an aside: During this period of time I went into a men's room and you followed me into that men's room during that hearing which was inappropriate, to say the least, having	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so, threatened Mr. Silberman with his professional license if this case was not withdrawn. Mr. Silberman asked me how I felt about this. I was not the plaintiff, but I was paying for the case. And one of the plaintiffs was my son. So he asked me, basically, for empathy in the predicament that he was in and also because I know the tactical nature of the people involved,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	before this dismissal. One occurred in early December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney. During this quote hearing, I was left alone in the courtroom, federal courtroom referring to federal courtroom. And the five of you either went into chambers with the judge or went somewhere else. And I'll make an aside: During this period of time I went into a men's room and you followed me into that men's room during that hearing which was inappropriate, to say the least, having contact with another person's client. Now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so, threatened Mr. Silberman with his professional license if this case was not withdrawn. Mr. Silberman asked me how I felt about this. I was not the plaintiff, but I was paying for the case. And one of the plaintiffs was my son. So he asked me, basically, for empathy in the predicament that he was in and also because I know the tactical nature of the people involved, specifically Mr. Alampi who I have a twenty, thirty
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	before this dismissal. One occurred in early December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney. During this quote hearing, I was left alone in the courtroom, federal courtroom referring to federal courtroom. And the five of you either went into chambers with the judge or went somewhere else. And I'll make an aside: During this period of time I went into a men's room and you followed me into that men's room during that hearing which was inappropriate, to say the least, having contact with another person's client. Now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so, threatened Mr. Silberman with his professional license if this case was not withdrawn. Mr. Silberman asked me how I felt about this. I was not the plaintiff, but I was paying for the case. And one of the plaintiffs was my son. So he asked me, basically, for empathy in the predicament that he was in and also because I know the tactical nature of the people involved,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney. During this quote hearing, I was left alone in the courtroom, federal courtroom referring to federal courtroom. And the five of you either went into chambers with the judge or went somewhere else. And I'll make an aside: During this period of time I went into a men's room and you followed me into that men's room during that hearing which was inappropriate, to say the least, having contact with another person's client. Now Q Going to the bathroom is appropriate? No, I'm sorry. Thank you. By the way, just so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so, threatened Mr. Silberman with his professional license if this case was not withdrawn. Mr. Silberman asked me how I felt about this. I was not the plaintiff, but I was paying for the case. And one of the plaintiffs was my son. So he asked me, basically, for empathy in the predicament that he was in and also because I know the tactical nature of the people involved, specifically Mr. Alampi who I have a twenty, thirty year history with.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney. During this quote hearing, I was left alone in the courtroom, federal courtroom referring to federal courtroom. And the five of you either went into chambers with the judge or went somewhere else. And I'll make an aside: During this period of time I went into a men's room and you followed me into that men's room during that hearing which was inappropriate, to say the least, having contact with another person's client. Now Q Going to the bathroom is appropriate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so, threatened Mr. Silberman with his professional license if this case was not withdrawn. Mr. Silberman asked me how I felt about this. I was not the plaintiff, but I was paying for the case. And one of the plaintiffs was my son. So he asked me, basically, for empathy in the predicament that he was in and also because I know the tactical nature of the people involved, specifically Mr. Alampi who I have a twenty, thirty year history with. I said, Joel, no one ever representing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney. During this quote hearing, I was left alone in the courtroom, federal courtroom referring to federal courtroom. And the five of you either went into chambers with the judge or went somewhere else. And I'll make an aside: During this period of time I went into a men's room and you followed me into that men's room during that hearing which was inappropriate, to say the least, having contact with another person's client. Now Q Going to the bathroom is appropriate? No, I'm sorry. Thank you. By the way, just so you're clear. I'm going to ask the questions and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so, threatened Mr. Silberman with his professional license if this case was not withdrawn. Mr. Silberman asked me how I felt about this. I was not the plaintiff, but I was paying for the case. And one of the plaintiffs was my son. So he asked me, basically, for empathy in the predicament that he was in and also because I know the tactical nature of the people involved, specifically Mr. Alampi who I have a twenty, thirty year history with. I said, Joel, no one ever representing me is going to have their careers destroyed in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney. During this quote hearing, I was left alone in the courtroom, federal courtroom referring to federal courtroom. And the five of you either went into chambers with the judge or went somewhere else. And I'll make an aside: During this period of time I went into a men's room and you followed me into that men's room during that hearing which was inappropriate, to say the least, having contact with another person's client. Now Q Going to the bathroom is appropriate? No, I'm sorry. Thank you. By the way, just so you're clear. I'm going to ask the questions and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so, threatened Mr. Silberman with his professional license if this case was not withdrawn. Mr. Silberman asked me how I felt about this. I was not the plaintiff, but I was paying for the case. And one of the plaintiffs was my son. So he asked me, basically, for empathy in the predicament that he was in and also because I know the tactical nature of the people involved, specifically Mr. Alampi who I have a twenty, thirty year history with. I said, Joel, no one ever representing me is going to have their careers destroyed in the process of representing me regardless of whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	before this dismissal. One occurred in early December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney. During this quote hearing, I was left alone in the courtroom, federal courtroom referring to federal courtroom. And the five of you either went into chambers with the judge or went somewhere else. And I'll make an aside: During this period of time I went into a men's room and you followed me into that men's room during that hearing which was inappropriate, to say the least, having contact with another person's client. Now Q Going to the bathroom is appropriate? No, I'm sorry. Thank you. By the way, just so you're clear. I'm going to ask the questions and you're going to answer the questions. So if this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so, threatened Mr. Silberman with his professional license if this case was not withdrawn. Mr. Silberman asked me how I felt about this. I was not the plaintiff, but I was paying for the case. And one of the plaintiffs was my son. So he asked me, basically, for empathy in the predicament that he was in and also because I know the tactical nature of the people involved, specifically Mr. Alampi who I have a twenty, thirty year history with. I said, Joel, no one ever representing me is going to have their careers destroyed in the process of representing me regardless of whether they made a mistake or not. And the case was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	before this dismissal. One occurred in early December September strike December early September of 2019 where I appeared and Mr. Silberman appeared and his attorney appeared and you appeared and Mr. Baldassare, Mr. Ferriero's former defense attorney, appeared for Anthony and Joyce DiMaggio. Also appearing was Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney. During this quote hearing, I was left alone in the courtroom, federal courtroom referring to federal courtroom. And the five of you either went into chambers with the judge or went somewhere else. And I'll make an aside: During this period of time I went into a men's room and you followed me into that men's room during that hearing which was inappropriate, to say the least, having contact with another person's client. Now Q Going to the bathroom is appropriate? No, I'm sorry. Thank you. By the way, just so you're clear. I'm going to ask the questions and you're going to answer the questions. So if this is A This is my answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Lyndhurst Police Department. There was a recording in that room showing him doing this and assisting one of the civil plaintiffs in filing a criminal police report. I am not a practicing attorney. I was there as well. I am not a practicing attorney. But you and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare and Mr. Baldassare, apparently very aggressively so, threatened Mr. Silberman with his professional license if this case was not withdrawn. Mr. Silberman asked me how I felt about this. I was not the plaintiff, but I was paying for the case. And one of the plaintiffs was my son. So he asked me, basically, for empathy in the predicament that he was in and also because I know the tactical nature of the people involved, specifically Mr. Alampi who I have a twenty, thirty year history with. I said, Joel, no one ever representing me is going to have their careers destroyed in the process of representing me regardless of whether they made a mistake or not. And the case was dropped.

1	0	Page 26 No. I'd just like to confirm, then,	1	Page 28 a proforma event. But Mr. Ferriero had been
2	~	that we were referring to was actually	2	indicted one week prior to that. And I consented to
3	withdrawn.		3	his attendance because I felt he was in emotional
4	А	Yes, it was.	4	need in a time of trouble. And even though I'm not
5	Q	Okay.	5	personally friendly with him and my entire
6	A	Because of those reasons.	6	relationship with him has been political and
7	Q	Okay. Fair enough.	7	professional I will loosely call it professional
8		You had indicated earlier that you	8	political I agreed for him to come.
9	reviewed some	things before your deposition today.	9	THE WITNESS: And who here would like
10	Can you pleas	se tell me what documents you referred	10	copies of things? So I made copies for people.
11	to	-	11	A You, Mr. Doris?
12	А	Sure.	12	Q No thank you.
13	Q	in order to prepare for today's	13	MS. PATEL: When only when we
14	deposition.		14	enter it into an exhibit?
15	- A	Absolutely. I beg some tolerance	15	A No one wants copies?
16	here, if you	don't mind. I'm going to go through	16	Q No. Not right now.
17		nological order, if you don't mind.	17	A So these are photographs of that
18	Q	I don't.	18	proceeding. And up here is me with my family. At
19	A	Thank you, sir. I appreciate that.	19	the table in the courtroom. Behind us is Joseph
20		So would you just give me one second	20	Ferriero. Here is a picture of me, my husband, my
21	to return thi	ings to their files?	21	two kids and the judge in that case. Judge Lisa
22	Q	Please do.	22	Perez Friscia. And here is a picture of
23	A	I'm getting a little older now, have	23	Mr. Ferriero in the same position Judge Friscia is
24	to be a littl	Le more methodical.	24	in at the same time and place.
25	Q	Yeah, take all the time you need.	25	The proceeding went as they normally
				D 00
1	А	Page 27 Thank you, sir.	1	Page 29 do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was
1 2	А	Thank you, sir.	1 2	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was
2		Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this,		do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met
		Thank you, sir.	2	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was
2 3	would you like reviewed?	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, see me to go through everything I	2 3	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much
2 3 4	would you lib	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this,	2 3 4	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said looked at her
2 3 4 5	would you like reviewed?	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, see me to go through everything I Yes, please.	2 3 4 5	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today.
2 3 4 5 6	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I beg	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, we me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and	2 3 4 5 6	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe
2 3 4 5 6 7	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I begseem inconsis	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, we me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and geveryone's tolerance and the dates	2 3 4 5 6 7	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I begseem inconsis	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, we me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and geveryone's tolerance and the dates stent with the current events but they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other. And I was incredulous to say the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I beging seem inconsist lead up to be	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, see me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and g everyone's tolerance and the dates stent with the current events but they sing relevant in the current events.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other. And I was incredulous to say the least. Mr. Ferriero and she disappeared from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I beg seem inconsist lead up to be also have two	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, we me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and go everyone's tolerance and the dates stent with the current events but they being relevant in the current events. You asked me earlier was I married. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said — looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other. And I was incredulous to say the least. Mr. Ferriero and she disappeared from the room at the same time. I left.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I beg seem inconsist lead up to be also have two adoption in 2	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, we me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and go everyone's tolerance and the dates stent with the current events but they sing relevant in the current events. You asked me earlier was I married. I so adopted children. And at their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said — looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other. And I was incredulous to say the least. Mr. Ferriero and she disappeared from the room at the same time. I left. And I'm making note of this now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I beging seem inconsist lead up to be also have two adoption in 2 proceeding was	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, see me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and geveryone's tolerance and the dates stent with the current events but they sing relevant in the current events. You asked me earlier was I married. I adopted children. And at their 2009, January 16th of 2009, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said — looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other. And I was incredulous to say the least. Mr. Ferriero and she disappeared from the room at the same time. I left. And I'm making note of this now because it becomes relevant as we go down the road.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I beg seem inconsist lead up to be also have two adoption in 2 proceeding we had at that the second seco	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, we me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and geveryone's tolerance and the dates stent with the current events but they sing relevant in the current events. You asked me earlier was I married. I adopted children. And at their 2009, January 16th of 2009, the as held in Bergen County Superior Court.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said — looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other. And I was incredulous to say the least. Mr. Ferriero and she disappeared from the room at the same time. I left. And I'm making note of this now because it becomes relevant as we go down the road. THE WITNESS: Anybody who wants these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I beg seem inconsist lead up to be also have two adoption in 2 proceeding was And at that the executive dimensional and a second to the second to	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, we me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and go everyone's tolerance and the dates stent with the current events but they exing relevant in the current events. You asked me earlier was I married. I so adopted children. And at their 2009, January 16th of 2009, the as held in Bergen County Superior Court. Sime I was the housing director, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said — looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other. And I was incredulous to say the least. Mr. Ferriero and she disappeared from the room at the same time. I left. And I'm making note of this now because it becomes relevant as we go down the road. THE WITNESS: Anybody who wants these things, I'm going to put them back in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I become inconsisted up to be also have two adoption in 2 proceeding was And at that the executive dimensional authority.	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, the me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and goveryone's tolerance and the dates stent with the current events but they being relevant in the current events. You asked me earlier was I married. I adopted children. And at their 2009, January 16th of 2009, the as held in Bergen County Superior Court. The interior of the Bergen County Housing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said — looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other. And I was incredulous to say the least. Mr. Ferriero and she disappeared from the room at the same time. I left. And I'm making note of this now because it becomes relevant as we go down the road. THE WITNESS: Anybody who wants these things, I'm going to put them back in the files. You can have copies. I made copies for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I become inconsisted up to be also have two adoption in 2 proceeding we had at that the executive direction Authority. To so the sould be also have two adoption in 2 proceeding we had at that the executive direction and the sould be also have two adoption in 2 proceeding we had at that the executive direction and the sould be also become a sould be a sould be also become a sould be also become a sould be a sould	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, we me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and geveryone's tolerance and the dates stent with the current events but they being relevant in the current events. You asked me earlier was I married. I adopted children. And at their 2009, January 16th of 2009, the as held in Bergen County Superior Court. The ime I was the housing director, the rector of the Bergen County Housing that position was attained because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said — looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other. And I was incredulous to say the least. Mr. Ferriero and she disappeared from the room at the same time. I left. And I'm making note of this now because it becomes relevant as we go down the road. THE WITNESS: Anybody who wants these things, I'm going to put them back in the files. You can have copies. I made copies for everybody.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I beg seem inconsist lead up to be also have two adoption in 2 proceeding was And at that the executive dim Authority. To Joseph A. Fee chairman at the reviewed in the consistency of the chairman at the reviewed?	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, see me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and geveryone's tolerance and the dates stent with the current events but they eing relevant in the current events. You asked me earlier was I married. I adopted children. And at their 2009, January 16th of 2009, the as held in Bergen County Superior Court. The rector of the Bergen County Housing that position was attained because criero, the Bergen County democratic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said — looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other. And I was incredulous to say the least. Mr. Ferriero and she disappeared from the room at the same time. I left. And I'm making note of this now because it becomes relevant as we go down the road. THE WITNESS: Anybody who wants these things, I'm going to put them back in the files. You can have copies. I made copies for everybody. A So Judge Perez Friscia enters the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I beg seem inconsist lead up to be also have two adoption in 2 proceeding wat And at that the executive direction Authority. To Joseph A. Fer chairman at the prior to that	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, we me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and geveryone's tolerance and the dates stent with the current events but they eing relevant in the current events. You asked me earlier was I married. I coadopted children. And at their 2009, January 16th of 2009, the as held in Bergen County Superior Court. Time I was the housing director, the rector of the Bergen County Housing that position was attained because criero, the Bergen County democratic the time actually, for quite sometime	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said — looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other. And I was incredulous to say the least. Mr. Ferriero and she disappeared from the room at the same time. I left. And I'm making note of this now because it becomes relevant as we go down the road. THE WITNESS: Anybody who wants these things, I'm going to put them back in the files. You can have copies. I made copies for everybody. A So Judge Perez Friscia enters the picture. I reviewed these documents to confirm. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I become inconsisted up to be also have two adoption in 2 proceeding was And at that the executive dim Authority. To Joseph A. Fer chairman at the prior to that into 2009, so	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, we me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and geveryone's tolerance and the dates stent with the current events but they sing relevant in the current events. You asked me earlier was I married. I adopted children. And at their 2009, January 16th of 2009, the as held in Bergen County Superior Court. The interior of the Bergen County Housing that position was attained because criero, the Bergen County democratic the time actually, for quite sometime to since 1988, this is now 2008 going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said — looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other. And I was incredulous to say the least. Mr. Ferriero and she disappeared from the room at the same time. I left. And I'm making note of this now because it becomes relevant as we go down the road. THE WITNESS: Anybody who wants these things, I'm going to put them back in the files. You can have copies. I made copies for everybody. A So Judge Perez Friscia enters the picture. I reviewed these documents to confirm. I have, not with me, but I can make available
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I become inconsisted up to be also have two adoption in 2 proceeding we adoption in 2 proceeding we and at that the executive direction Authority. To seph A. Fer chairman at the prior to that into 2009, so point call	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, we me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and geveryone's tolerance and the dates stent with the current events but they being relevant in the current events. You asked me earlier was I married. I adopted children. And at their 2009, January 16th of 2009, the as held in Bergen County Superior Court. The ime I was the housing director, the rector of the Bergen County Housing that position was attained because criero, the Bergen County democratic the time actually, for quite sometime to since 1988, this is now 2008 going to the chairman for ten years at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said — looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other. And I was incredulous to say the least. Mr. Ferriero and she disappeared from the room at the same time. I left. And I'm making note of this now because it becomes relevant as we go down the road. THE WITNESS: Anybody who wants these things, I'm going to put them back in the files. You can have copies. I made copies for everybody. A So Judge Perez Friscia enters the picture. I reviewed these documents to confirm. I have, not with me, but I can make available documents with her signature on them, authorizing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I become inconsisted up to be also have two adoption in 2 proceeding we adoption in 2 proceeding we and at that the executive direction Authority. To seph A. Fer chairman at the prior to that into 2009, so point call	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, see me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and geveryone's tolerance and the dates stent with the current events but they eing relevant in the current events. You asked me earlier was I married. I compare a data of adopted children. And at their endoy, January 16th of 2009, the as held in Bergen County Superior Court. The rector of the Bergen County Housing that position was attained because criero, the Bergen County democratic the time actually, for quite sometime the county 1988, this is now 2008 going to the chairman for ten years at that the ded me and asked me to attend my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said — looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other. And I was incredulous to say the least. Mr. Ferriero and she disappeared from the room at the same time. I left. And I'm making note of this now because it becomes relevant as we go down the road. THE WITNESS: Anybody who wants these things, I'm going to put them back in the files. You can have copies. I made copies for everybody. A So Judge Perez Friscia enters the picture. I reviewed these documents to confirm. I have, not with me, but I can make available documents with her signature on them, authorizing that adoption.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I beg seem inconsist lead up to be also have two adoption in 2 proceeding was And at that the executive direction Authority. To Joseph A. Fer chairman at the prior to that into 2009, so point call children's according to the constant of the cons	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, we me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and geveryone's tolerance and the dates stent with the current events but they sing relevant in the current events. You asked me earlier was I married. I compare a county superior Court. The sime I was the housing director, the sector of the Bergen County Housing that position was attained because criero, the Bergen County democratic the time actually, for quite sometime the county sing that position was attained because criero, the Bergen County democratic the time actually, for quite sometime the county sing that position was attained because criero, the Bergen County democratic the time actually, for quite sometime that the county singular standard section is now 2008 going to the chairman for ten years at that the ded me and asked me to attend my doption proceeding.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said — looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other. And I was incredulous to say the least. Mr. Ferriero and she disappeared from the room at the same time. I left. And I'm making note of this now because it becomes relevant as we go down the road. THE WITNESS: Anybody who wants these things, I'm going to put them back in the files. You can have copies. I made copies for everybody. A So Judge Perez Friscia enters the picture. I reviewed these documents to confirm. I have, not with me, but I can make available documents with her signature on them, authorizing that adoption. Now, in 2016, April of 2016, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	would you like reviewed? Q A please, I become inconsisted up to be also have two adoption in 2 proceeding was And at that the executive dimension Authority. The chairman at the prior to that into 2009, so point — call children's act technicality.	Thank you, sir. All right. So in preparing for this, see me to go through everything I Yes, please. Okay. I'm going to start with and geveryone's tolerance and the dates stent with the current events but they sing relevant in the current events. You asked me earlier was I married. I coadopted children. And at their 2009, January 16th of 2009, the as held in Bergen County Superior Court. Time I was the housing director, the rector of the Bergen County Housing that position was attained because criero, the Bergen County democratic the time actually, for quite sometime to since 1988, this is now 2008 going to the chairman for ten years at that the ded me and asked me to attend my doption proceeding. We had no invited guests, it was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	do, nothing eventful. But after the proceeding was over, Judge Perez Friscia, who I had never met before, pulled me aside and said thank you very much for letting Joe come today. And I said — looked at her quizzically why. And she said, well, you know, Joe and I are, you know, we've been seeing each other. And I was incredulous to say the least. Mr. Ferriero and she disappeared from the room at the same time. I left. And I'm making note of this now because it becomes relevant as we go down the road. THE WITNESS: Anybody who wants these things, I'm going to put them back in the files. You can have copies. I made copies for everybody. A So Judge Perez Friscia enters the picture. I reviewed these documents to confirm. I have, not with me, but I can make available documents with her signature on them, authorizing that adoption. Now, in 2016, April of 2016, the Township of Lyndhurst passed a joint consent

		OH (
1	Page 30 called a middle school. It was during its	1	Page 32 So in this case, which Mr. Carbone did
2	construction. But at the time I'm referring to it	2	represent me, this case, which from my understanding
3	was always referred to as a junior high school.	3	now should have basically been an Order to Show
4	Just in case people see documents and get confused.	4	Cause or some sort of a rapid examination of the
5	I hired an attorney named Carl	5	vote itself and an order for a revote, if the vote
6	strike that my neighbor, a plumber, john Carbone,	6	was not taken properly.
7	a Bergen County attorney to challenge the legitimacy	7	This case, through a series of
8	of the joint consent agreement of the Board of	8	permissions given by the Superior Court of Bergen
9	Education of Lyndhurst. And the Town Commissioners	9	County, dragged on from April of 2016 until June of
10	of Lyndhurst in making a joint agreement to finance	10	2017. And during that time, while this is going on,
11	through the Township the construction of a school	11	I think the case started out with a Greg Paladino
12	building. I won't go into the technical background	12	and then suddenly Judge Lisa Perez Friscia is
13	issues as to why that's improper but there's plenty	13	assigned to the case regarding Lyndhurst. That is
14	of record on that.	14	the same judge, to be clear, who did my adoption
15	But I filed that suit in April of 2016	15	proceeding.
16	with Mr. Carbone. Mr. Carbone now, that case was	16	Also, to be clear, I never met the
17	based on the fact that the Township and the school	17	judge during this proceeding. Mr. Carbone dealt
18	board did not properly pass the resolutions and	18	with the court exclusively along with Douglas Bern,
19	ordinances to borrow this money. And what that	19	former Freeholder democrat under Joseph Ferriero. I
20	meant was it was a technical mistake. They held the	20	was a candidate for Freeholder in 1999. I
21	public hearing for the passage of this resolution,	21	campaigned with Mr. Bern extensively. He had been
22	I'll call it, after the vote. Not before the vote.	22	elected the year before. I can attest based on my
23	And in consulting with Mr. Carbone, that case	23	experience with Mr. Ferriero that year he was the
24	that vote could be challenged and he explained to me	24	county chairman that Mr. Bern is a loyalist to
25	quite clearly that it was a technical foul, if you	25	Mr. Ferriero. He handled matters for some third
1	Page 31 will. They could cast a revote. And I would only	1	Page 33 party bought entity. I don't believe you were
1 2	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only	1 2	Page 33 party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case.
	8		party bought entity. I don't believe you were
2	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily.	2	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case.
2 3	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think	2	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another
2 3 4	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think it buys me time to figure out if there's a better	2 3 4	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein.
2 3 4 5	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public	2 3 4 5	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is
2 3 4 5 6	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a	2 3 4 5 6	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred.
2 3 4 5 6 7	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept.	2 3 4 5 6 7	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept. That case went to Bergen County	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on and on, which at that time I did not mind because I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept. That case went to Bergen County Superior Court. And in that court, it filed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on and on, which at that time I did not mind because I was awaiting a decision on my own behalf as to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept. That case went to Bergen County Superior Court. And in that court, it filed April 21st, 2016, just so you know. I'll provide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on and on, which at that time I did not mind because I was awaiting a decision on my own behalf as to whether or not I would run for commissioner in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept. That case went to Bergen County Superior Court. And in that court, it filed April 21st, 2016, just so you know. I'll provide these, made copies. I'm referring to a document	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on and on, which at that time I did not mind because I was awaiting a decision on my own behalf as to whether or not I would run for commissioner in twenty May of 2017 which would have been a filing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept. That case went to Bergen County Superior Court. And in that court, it filed April 21st, 2016, just so you know. I'll provide these, made copies. I'm referring to a document from Pashman Stein, and an attorney named CJ	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on and on, which at that time I did not mind because I was awaiting a decision on my own behalf as to whether or not I would run for commissioner in twenty May of 2017 which would have been a filing deadline of March. The more time this went on, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept. That case went to Bergen County Superior Court. And in that court, it filed April 21st, 2016, just so you know. I'll provide these, made copies. I'm referring to a document from Pashman Stein, and an attorney named CJ Griffin. It's a letter dated February 13, 2021, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on and on, which at that time I did not mind because I was awaiting a decision on my own behalf as to whether or not I would run for commissioner in twenty May of 2017 which would have been a filing deadline of March. The more time this went on, I didn't ask any questions, quite honestly, because I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept. That case went to Bergen County Superior Court. And in that court, it filed April 21st, 2016, just so you know. I'll provide these, made copies. I'm referring to a document from Pashman Stein, and an attorney named CJ Griffin. It's a letter dated February 13, 2021, and it outlines the history of this case because she is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on and on, which at that time I did not mind because I was awaiting a decision on my own behalf as to whether or not I would run for commissioner in twenty May of 2017 which would have been a filing deadline of March. The more time this went on, I didn't ask any questions, quite honestly, because I thought, good, I can just redo this myself if I get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept. That case went to Bergen County Superior Court. And in that court, it filed April 21st, 2016, just so you know. I'll provide these, made copies. I'm referring to a document from Pashman Stein, and an attorney named CJ Griffin. It's a letter dated February 13, 2021, and it outlines the history of this case because she is seeking on my behalf the files for this case that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on and on, which at that time I did not mind because I was awaiting a decision on my own behalf as to whether or not I would run for commissioner in twenty May of 2017 which would have been a filing deadline of March. The more time this went on, I didn't ask any questions, quite honestly, because I thought, good, I can just redo this myself if I get elected, if I run.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept. That case went to Bergen County Superior Court. And in that court, it filed April 21st, 2016, just so you know. I'll provide these, made copies. I'm referring to a document from Pashman Stein, and an attorney named CJ Griffin. It's a letter dated February 13, 2021, and it outlines the history of this case because she is seeking on my behalf the files for this case that Mr. Carbone refused to turn over for quite sometime,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on and on, which at that time I did not mind because I was awaiting a decision on my own behalf as to whether or not I would run for commissioner in twenty May of 2017 which would have been a filing deadline of March. The more time this went on, I didn't ask any questions, quite honestly, because I thought, good, I can just redo this myself if I get elected, if I run. I never ran for a variety of reasons
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept. That case went to Bergen County Superior Court. And in that court, it filed April 21st, 2016, just so you know. I'll provide these, made copies. I'm referring to a document from Pashman Stein, and an attorney named CJ Griffin. It's a letter dated February 13, 2021, and it outlines the history of this case because she is seeking on my behalf the files for this case that Mr. Carbone refused to turn over for quite sometime, meaning a year.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on and on, which at that time I did not mind because I was awaiting a decision on my own behalf as to whether or not I would run for commissioner in twenty May of 2017 which would have been a filing deadline of March. The more time this went on, I didn't ask any questions, quite honestly, because I thought, good, I can just redo this myself if I get elected, if I run. I never ran for a variety of reasons and I think I've established quite well that I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept. That case went to Bergen County Superior Court. And in that court, it filed April 21st, 2016, just so you know. I'll provide these, made copies. I'm referring to a document from Pashman Stein, and an attorney named CJ Griffin. It's a letter dated February 13, 2021, and it outlines the history of this case because she is seeking on my behalf the files for this case that Mr. Carbone refused to turn over for quite sometime, meaning a year. Q Excuse me, just have a clarification	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on and on, which at that time I did not mind because I was awaiting a decision on my own behalf as to whether or not I would run for commissioner in twenty May of 2017 which would have been a filing deadline of March. The more time this went on, I didn't ask any questions, quite honestly, because I thought, good, I can just redo this myself if I get elected, if I run. I never ran for a variety of reasons and I think I've established quite well that I'm unelectable in Lyndhurst. But the the issue that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept. That case went to Bergen County Superior Court. And in that court, it filed April 21st, 2016, just so you know. I'll provide these, made copies. I'm referring to a document from Pashman Stein, and an attorney named CJ Griffin. It's a letter dated February 13, 2021, and it outlines the history of this case because she is seeking on my behalf the files for this case that Mr. Carbone refused to turn over for quite sometime, meaning a year. Q Excuse me, just have a clarification question. Mr. Carbone was your attorney?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on and on, which at that time I did not mind because I was awaiting a decision on my own behalf as to whether or not I would run for commissioner in twenty May of 2017 which would have been a filing deadline of March. The more time this went on, I didn't ask any questions, quite honestly, because I thought, good, I can just redo this myself if I get elected, if I run. I never ran for a variety of reasons and I think I've established quite well that I'm unelectable in Lyndhurst. But the the issue that is highly questionable, why I reviewed this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept. That case went to Bergen County Superior Court. And in that court, it filed April 21st, 2016, just so you know. I'll provide these, made copies. I'm referring to a document from Pashman Stein, and an attorney named CJ Griffin. It's a letter dated February 13, 2021, and it outlines the history of this case because she is seeking on my behalf the files for this case that Mr. Carbone refused to turn over for quite sometime, meaning a year. Q Excuse me, just have a clarification question. Mr. Carbone was your attorney? A That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on and on, which at that time I did not mind because I was awaiting a decision on my own behalf as to whether or not I would run for commissioner in twenty May of 2017 which would have been a filing deadline of March. The more time this went on, I didn't ask any questions, quite honestly, because I thought, good, I can just redo this myself if I get elected, if I run. I never ran for a variety of reasons and I think I've established quite well that I'm unelectable in Lyndhurst. But the the issue that is highly questionable, why I reviewed this document, is that depositions became part of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept. That case went to Bergen County Superior Court. And in that court, it filed April 21st, 2016, just so you know. I'll provide these, made copies. I'm referring to a document from Pashman Stein, and an attorney named CJ Griffin. It's a letter dated February 13, 2021, and it outlines the history of this case because she is seeking on my behalf the files for this case that Mr. Carbone refused to turn over for quite sometime, meaning a year. Q Excuse me, just have a clarification question. Mr. Carbone was your attorney? A That is correct. Q And he was refusing to —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on and on, which at that time I did not mind because I was awaiting a decision on my own behalf as to whether or not I would run for commissioner in twenty May of 2017 which would have been a filing deadline of March. The more time this went on, I didn't ask any questions, quite honestly, because I thought, good, I can just redo this myself if I get elected, if I run. I never ran for a variety of reasons and I think I've established quite well that I'm unelectable in Lyndhurst. But the the issue that is highly questionable, why I reviewed this document, is that depositions became part of this process. Deposing Richard Dilascio, over what I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept. That case went to Bergen County Superior Court. And in that court, it filed April 21st, 2016, just so you know. I'll provide these, made copies. I'm referring to a document from Pashman Stein, and an attorney named CJ Griffin. It's a letter dated February 13, 2021, and it outlines the history of this case because she is seeking on my behalf the files for this case that Mr. Carbone refused to turn over for quite sometime, meaning a year. Q Excuse me, just have a clarification question. Mr. Carbone was your attorney? A That is correct. Q And he was refusing to — A That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on and on, which at that time I did not mind because I was awaiting a decision on my own behalf as to whether or not I would run for commissioner in twenty May of 2017 which would have been a filing deadline of March. The more time this went on, I didn't ask any questions, quite honestly, because I thought, good, I can just redo this myself if I get elected, if I run. I never ran for a variety of reasons and I think I've established quite well that I'm unelectable in Lyndhurst. But the the issue that is highly questionable, why I reviewed this document, is that depositions became part of this process. Deposing Richard Dilascio, over what I don't know. It was a vote having to do with whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	will. They could cast a revote. And I would only be stopping it temporarily. And I said, that's okay. I think — it buys me time to figure out if there's a better way to challenge this and it gets more public attention to the impropriety of it in general as a concept. That case went to Bergen County Superior Court. And in that court, it filed April 21st, 2016, just so you know. I'll provide these, made copies. I'm referring to a document from Pashman Stein, and an attorney named CJ Griffin. It's a letter dated February 13, 2021, and it outlines the history of this case because she is seeking on my behalf the files for this case that Mr. Carbone refused to turn over for quite sometime, meaning a year. Q Excuse me, just have a clarification question. Mr. Carbone was your attorney? A That is correct. Q And he was refusing to — A That is correct. Q turn over documents to you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	party bought entity. I don't believe you were involved in that case. Also involved in that case was another loyalist of Ferriero I believe and Erik Bernstein. But anyway, the list of attorneys at the table is not as important as what occurred. So this matter that dragged on and on and on, which at that time I did not mind because I was awaiting a decision on my own behalf as to whether or not I would run for commissioner in twenty May of 2017 which would have been a filing deadline of March. The more time this went on, I didn't ask any questions, quite honestly, because I thought, good, I can just redo this myself if I get elected, if I run. I never ran for a variety of reasons and I think I've established quite well that I'm unelectable in Lyndhurst. But the the issue that is highly questionable, why I reviewed this document, is that depositions became part of this process. Deposing Richard Dilascio, over what I don't know. It was a vote having to do with whether or not they took public questions before or after

1	Page 34 of how they did it. But they did depositions and	1	Page 30 reelected, excuse me, Robert Giangeruso was
2	they dragged this on and on and on.	2	reelected and Mr. Montillo came in fifth and was
3	In May of 2017, that election was	3	reelected.
4	held. And in that election, I did not run. You had	4	Shortly after that election and the
5	two incumbent tickets running. And what I mean by	5	change of power in Lyndhurst, Judge Friscia signed
6	that, to be clear, one ticket consisted of three	6	an order sending it back to Lyndhurst to finally
7	incumbents, John Montillo, Theodore Dudek, Matty	7	cast a revote in this case I had brought over a year
8	Russo and Chris Musto and a gentleman named Cardino,	8	earlier. And I remind everyone, if you lost track
9	first name escapes me. That was the incumbent	9	of the players here, Judge Friscia is the same
10	ticket run by Richard Dilascio, the Township	10	person who told me in 2009, December 16, that she
11	attorney.	11	was romantically involved with Joseph A. Ferriero.
12	The opposition ticket was the	12	Bear with me. We'll rapidly make
13	incumbent mayor, Robert Giangeruso, who at that time	13	sense.
14	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14	So what occurred in June of 2017 is
	was the former police commissioner, removed because		
15	of insurance company recommendations because of the	15	Carmine Alampi became Township attorney.
16	number of lawsuits including Mr. Giangeruso	16	Q Mr. Sivella, can I just interrupt you.
17	attempting to appoint police officers in fact he	17	A No, you can not.
18	did but they were removed appoint police officers	18	Q Well, I am going to. Just just
19	who had failed their psych tests. So Mr. Giangeruso	19	briefly.
20	is considered a high risk to the insurance company	20	You realize the question that was
21	of Lyndhurst.	21	asked was just what documents you reviewed to
22	So you have these two tickets, Thomas	22	prepare for today's deposition. You know that,
23	DiMaggio was the other candidate and incumbent on	23	right?
24	the January ticket, along with Officer Haggerty's	24	A I'm aware of your question, I'm
25	mother, I believe, at that time. Yeah. And and	25	answering in my
	Page 35		Page 3'
	Chief Jarvis' father, also named Richard Jarvis,		O In your way. Okay. Thank you. I
1		1	
2	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber	2	just wanted to make sure.
2 3	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate.	2 3	<pre>just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way</pre>
2 3 4	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo	2 3 4	<pre>just wanted to make sure.</pre>
2 3 4 5	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won.	2 3 4 5	<pre>just wanted to make sure.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney — Richard was a former mayor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney Richard was a former mayor. And his majority was Mr. Montillo who became police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a new junior high school through the Township of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney — Richard was a former mayor. And his majority was Mr. Montillo who became police commissioner after Mr. Giangeruso as an agreement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a new junior high school through the Township of Lyndhurst.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney — Richard was a former mayor. And his majority was Mr. Montillo who became police commissioner after Mr. Giangeruso as an agreement with the insurance company and that was the basis of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a new junior high school through the Township of Lyndhurst. In addition, as the summer of 2017
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney — Richard was a former mayor. And his majority was Mr. Montillo who became police commissioner after Mr. Giangeruso as an agreement with the insurance company and that was the basis of this split, by the way. And Mr. Russo and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a new junior high school through the Township of Lyndhurst. In addition, as the summer of 2017 went on, other votes were cast. The architects were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney — Richard was a former mayor. And his majority was Mr. Montillo who became police commissioner after Mr. Giangeruso as an agreement with the insurance company and that was the basis of this split, by the way. And Mr. Russo and Mr. Dudek, all incumbent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a new junior high school through the Township of Lyndhurst. In addition, as the summer of 2017 went on, other votes were cast. The architects were replaced. The construction manager was replaced.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney — Richard was a former mayor. And his majority was Mr. Montillo who became police commissioner after Mr. Giangeruso as an agreement with the insurance company and that was the basis of this split, by the way. And Mr. Russo and Mr. Dudek, all incumbent. Now, all of the contractors for this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a new junior high school through the Township of Lyndhurst. In addition, as the summer of 2017 went on, other votes were cast. The architects were replaced. The construction manager was replaced.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney — Richard was a former mayor. And his majority was Mr. Montillo who became police commissioner after Mr. Giangeruso as an agreement with the insurance company and that was the basis of this split, by the way. And Mr. Russo and Mr. Dudek, all incumbent. Now, all of the contractors for this project I opposed, this school project, had all been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a new junior high school through the Township of Lyndhurst. In addition, as the summer of 2017 went on, other votes were cast. The architects were replaced. The construction manager was replaced. The construction manager is a particular interest to me while I review these documents because the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney — Richard was a former mayor. And his majority was Mr. Montillo who became police commissioner after Mr. Giangeruso as an agreement with the insurance company and that was the basis of this split, by the way. And Mr. Russo and Mr. Dudek, all incumbent. Now, all of the contractors for this project I opposed, this school project, had all been selected. The architects. The construction manager	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a new junior high school through the Township of Lyndhurst. In addition, as the summer of 2017 went on, other votes were cast. The architects were replaced. The construction manager was replaced. The construction manager is a particular interest to me while I review these documents because the construction manager was Epic Management, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney — Richard was a former mayor. And his majority was Mr. Montillo who became police commissioner after Mr. Giangeruso as an agreement with the insurance company and that was the basis of this split, by the way. And Mr. Russo and Mr. Dudek, all incumbent. Now, all of the contractors for this project I opposed, this school project, had all been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a new junior high school through the Township of Lyndhurst. In addition, as the summer of 2017 went on, other votes were cast. The architects were replaced. The construction manager was replaced. The construction manager is a particular interest to me while I review these documents because the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney — Richard was a former mayor. And his majority was Mr. Montillo who became police commissioner after Mr. Giangeruso as an agreement with the insurance company and that was the basis of this split, by the way. And Mr. Russo and Mr. Dudek, all incumbent. Now, all of the contractors for this project I opposed, this school project, had all been selected. The architects. The construction manager	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a new junior high school through the Township of Lyndhurst. In addition, as the summer of 2017 went on, other votes were cast. The architects were replaced. The construction manager was replaced. The construction manager is a particular interest to me while I review these documents because the construction manager was Epic Management, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney — Richard was a former mayor. And his majority was Mr. Montillo who became police commissioner after Mr. Giangeruso as an agreement with the insurance company and that was the basis of this split, by the way. And Mr. Russo and Mr. Dudek, all incumbent. Now, all of the contractors for this project I opposed, this school project, had all been selected. The architects. The construction manager was supposed to be Richard Dilascio until the May	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a new junior high school through the Township of Lyndhurst. In addition, as the summer of 2017 went on, other votes were cast. The architects were replaced. The construction manager was replaced. The construction manager is a particular interest to me while I review these documents because the construction manager was Epic Management, a contributor totaling \$90,000 to Joseph Ferriero's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney — Richard was a former mayor. And his majority was Mr. Montillo who became police commissioner after Mr. Giangeruso as an agreement with the insurance company and that was the basis of this split, by the way. And Mr. Russo and Mr. Dudek, all incumbent. Now, all of the contractors for this project I opposed, this school project, had all been selected. The architects. The construction manager was supposed to be Richard Dilascio until the May election.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a new junior high school through the Township of Lyndhurst. In addition, as the summer of 2017 went on, other votes were cast. The architects were replaced. The construction manager was replaced. The construction manager is a particular interest to me while I review these documents because the construction manager was Epic Management, a contributor totaling \$90,000 to Joseph Ferriero's Democratic Party. Clearly someone with a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney — Richard was a former mayor. And his majority was Mr. Montillo who became police commissioner after Mr. Giangeruso as an agreement with the insurance company and that was the basis of this split, by the way. And Mr. Russo and Mr. Dudek, all incumbent. Now, all of the contractors for this project I opposed, this school project, had all been selected. The architects. The construction manager was supposed to be Richard Dilascio until the May election. At the same time that Judge Friscia	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a new junior high school through the Township of Lyndhurst. In addition, as the summer of 2017 went on, other votes were cast. The architects were replaced. The construction manager was replaced. The construction manager was replaced. The construction manager is a particular interest to me while I review these documents because the construction manager was Epic Management, a contributor totaling \$90,000 to Joseph Ferriero's Democratic Party. Clearly someone with a relationship with Joseph Ferriero.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney — Richard was a former mayor. And his majority was Mr. Montillo who became police commissioner after Mr. Giangeruso as an agreement with the insurance company and that was the basis of this split, by the way. And Mr. Russo and Mr. Dudek, all incumbent. Now, all of the contractors for this project I opposed, this school project, had all been selected. The architects. The construction manager was supposed to be Richard Dilascio until the May election. At the same time that Judge Friscia was pacing out this case that should have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a new junior high school through the Township of Lyndhurst. In addition, as the summer of 2017 went on, other votes were cast. The architects were replaced. The construction manager was replaced. The construction manager is a particular interest to me while I review these documents because the construction manager was Epic Management, a contributor totaling \$90,000 to Joseph Ferriero's Democratic Party. Clearly someone with a relationship with Joseph Ferriero. The architects, Di Caro and Rubino,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney — Richard was a former mayor. And his majority was Mr. Montillo who became police commissioner after Mr. Giangeruso as an agreement with the insurance company and that was the basis of this split, by the way. And Mr. Russo and Mr. Dudek, all incumbent. Now, all of the contractors for this project I opposed, this school project, had all been selected. The architects. The construction manager was supposed to be Richard Dilascio until the May election. At the same time that Judge Friscia was pacing out this case that should have been decided months earlier, there was an election that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a new junior high school through the Township of Lyndhurst. In addition, as the summer of 2017 went on, other votes were cast. The architects were replaced. The construction manager was replaced. The construction manager is a particular interest to me while I review these documents because the construction manager was Epic Management, a contributor totaling \$90,000 to Joseph Ferriero's Democratic Party. Clearly someone with a relationship with Joseph Ferriero. The architects, Di Caro and Rubino, are literally promoted on Wood Ridge Township
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Chief Richard Jarvis, chief, his father, a plumber named Richard Jarvis was another candidate. The fifth candidate lost, Mr. Montillo won. So in that election, the majority control of the Lyndhurst commission changed control. It went from a board controlled by Richard Dilascio, as Township attorney — Richard was a former mayor. And his majority was Mr. Montillo who became police commissioner after Mr. Giangeruso as an agreement with the insurance company and that was the basis of this split, by the way. And Mr. Russo and Mr. Dudek, all incumbent. Now, all of the contractors for this project I opposed, this school project, had all been selected. The architects. The construction manager was supposed to be Richard Dilascio until the May election. At the same time that Judge Friscia was pacing out this case that should have been decided months earlier, there was an election that was held in Lyndhurst, in early May of 2017.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	just wanted to make sure. A Thank you. I'm answering in my way but I appreciate your interest. Q Thank you. A The the June of 2017 reorganization meeting of the Township of Lyndhurst resulted in Carmine Alampi becoming the Township attorney. And a re-passage of the vote to approve the bonding of a new junior high school through the Township of Lyndhurst. In addition, as the summer of 2017 went on, other votes were cast. The architects were replaced. The construction manager was replaced. The construction manager is a particular interest to me while I review these documents because the construction manager was Epic Management, a contributor totaling \$90,000 to Joseph Ferriero's Democratic Party. Clearly someone with a relationship with Joseph Ferriero. The architects, Di Caro and Rubino, are literally promoted on Wood Ridge Township stationery by Senator Paul Sarlo, who is also the

	David Sivena	on (U1/25/2U2 4
1	Page 38 but is also the administrator of Wood Ridge.	1	Page 40 And then you went on to offer,
2	All of the contractors were replaced	2	voluntarily, as I was not asking you any questions
3	by people friendly to Mr. Sarlo or Mr. Ferriero. So	3	about this, is my recollection, you went on to offer
4	I reviewed that document to refresh my memory about	4	that you frequently visited him in prison, federal
5	those things.	5	prison.
6	And again, I will provide everybody	6	Q Say I'm sorry
7	with copies of these things and I also freely admit	7	A You went on to offer to me
8	that I am sharing attorney-client work product and I	8	Q Right.
9	know that opens up to discovery any of that	9	A that you were so close to
10	particular attorney's communications with me and I	10	Mr. Ferriero you frequently visited him in federal
11	have no issues with that.	11	prison.
12	So this places us in summer of 2017.	12	Q Oh, okay.
13	During this period of time there was an active	13	A You can confirm or deny that as your
14	lawsuit in federal court regarding the conduct of	14	pleasure. I'm sure there's record of that.
15	one of the people in this room, Captain Carrino; and	15	Q I don't need to but you can go ahead.
16	his superior, Carmine Laterio, who at that time was,	16	A Sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you.
17	I believe, a captain who went on to become a deputy	17	Q No, no, no. Carry on, please. It's
18	chief; and an officer named Fernandez whose first	18	very interesting.
19	name I think is Robert but I'm not certain about	19	A Yes, it is to me.
20	that. And the fact set of that case is very	20	Q I bet.
21	well-documented. It went to the Supreme Court of	21	A So during this you said you went on
22	the United States.	22	to say well, I assume I asked you, why would you
23	We don't need to go into that here.	23	visit him, or looked at you oddly, because you went
24	But in the process of that case being adjudicated,	24	on to offer that you owed your job to Joseph
25	there was a deposition held in the town hall of	25	Ferriero, that you had been a republican assistant
1	Page 39 Lyndhurst in a room and you gentlemen who work in	1	Page 41 county counsel under Pat Schuber and the Republicans
2	the police department here can probably tell the	2	in Bergen County and that when the democrats took
3	name. I don't know the name. But there's an	3	control, that you went to Mr. Ferriero because you
4	anteroom in between what was the library and the old	4	had — recently divorced, I believe, and you had a
5	town hall when they bridged the buildings together.	5	young daughter to support.
6	And I forget what the name is, emergency meeting	6	Q You sure about all these facts?
7	room, whatever they call it.	7	A I am sure about what you said to me,
8	But anyway, there was a deposition	8	yeah. I'm saying it under oath, sir.
9	held in the Township of Lyndhurst. The attorney	9	Q I know that. That's why I'm asking.
10	representing me at the time whose documents are not	10	Be cautious. But if you're sure of them go ahead,
11	in here was a Nicholas Doria. And you were in	11	please.
12	attendance, Mr. Doris as the for the same reason	12	A Well, I will try not to take you using
13	you're here today, as the JIF attorney for the	13	the phrase be cautious as not a threat but I am
14	Township of Lyndhurst. And the subject of the	14	speaking the truth.
15	deposition was then Chief James O'Connor.	15	Q It's meant to be a friendly reminder.
16	Before that deposition started and	16	A Of what?
17	I do not have documents on this, I have notes. I	17	Q That you are under oath and you need
18	checked the notes, but that's public record. That	18	to tell the truth.
19	deposition was held on February 7, 2018.	19	A I'm well aware of that.
20	At that deposition, we were sitting	20	Q Okay. Carry on.
	-	21	A So at that time you described to me
21	across the table from one another and you leaned		
21 22	across the table from one another and you leaned over to me and said, Do you know Joseph Ferriero?	22	that he saved your job in the county counsel's
	_		that he saved your job in the county counsel's office and allowed you to stay. And you would have
22	over to me and said, Do you know Joseph Ferriero?	22	
22 23	over to me and said, Do you know Joseph Ferriero? And I said yes.	22 23	office and allowed you to stay. And you would have

)II U	1/25/2024
1 doesn't know who Esther S	Page 42	1	Page 44 couple months. So I'm going to place this just for
2 Suarez is the Hudson Coun	· I	2	the sake of the record, that I would say somewhere
	's choice for U.S. attorney	3	between our conversation in February 7 of 2018 and
	siden administration because	4	my phonecall from Mr. Ferriero, Mr. Ferriero was
			·
_	g the person Bob Menendez	5	released from prison, to the best of my
	o quash the investigation	6	understanding. And he asked to see me. And I
7 of Fred Davies. And it d	-	7	for the same reason I agreed to him coming to my
·	o my point. So at this	8	children's adoption proceedings, I agreed to meet
9 deposition, you say these	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9	him for lunch in Hackensack, New Jersey. This would
_	1 1	10	be about May of 2018.
11 bewildered by this. This		11	And at that meeting, it was in an
12 interpretation of this.		12	outdoor restaurant on Prospect Avenue, he brought
_	1 1	13	his what he told me was his service dog and we
		14	sat outside and Mr. Ferriero explained to me that he
15 were doing that day other		15	had gotten out of prison early because he was in an
	2 -	16	alcoholic rehabilitation program. That's how he got
17 Mr. Ferriero because I co	-	17	out early.
18 authorities investigating		18	As he sat there, and drank Chivas on
19 prison the first time. T	-	19	the rocks not on the rocks straight, for, I'd
	-	20	say, several drinks. I was not drinking. And as he
21 is uneventful. However,		21	was doing this, he got down to business as to why he
22 was proceeding, excuse me		22	had called me to this meeting. He asked to see me.
23 Mr. Carrino was proceedin	.g.	23	He originally said he had wanted to do a website.
1			
24 Q I'm sorry.		24	We had done a website and that was the guise of
1		24 25	We had done a website and that was the guise of meeting, he wanted to do a political website, got
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure.	Page 43	25	meeting, he wanted to do a political website, got ${\bf Page~45}$
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino?	25	meeting, he wanted to do a political website, got $\frac{Page\ 45}{\text{back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort}}$
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino?	25 1 2	meeting, he wanted to do a political website, got $\frac{Page\ 45}{back\ into\ the\ game,\ handicapping\ politics,\ that\ sort}$ of thing. And instead, what it turned into was
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? named as one of the people e Township of Lyndhurst,	25 1 2 3	meeting, he wanted to do a political website, got Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? named as one of the people e Township of Lyndhurst, involved in that case.	1 2 3 4	meeting, he wanted to do a political website, got Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I'	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? named as one of the people e Township of Lyndhurst, involved in that case. m asking	1 2 3 4 5	meeting, he wanted to do a political website, got Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like,
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? named as one of the people e Township of Lyndhurst, involved in that case. m asking e to explain?	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no.	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? named as one of the people e Township of Lyndhurst, involved in that case. m asking to explain? I just want to clarify.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? named as one of the people e Township of Lyndhurst, involved in that case. m asking to explain? I just want to clarify.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before?
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de 9 lawsuit?	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? named as one of the people the Township of Lyndhurst, the involved in that case. m asking to explain? I just want to clarify. Ifendant in that other	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before? Actually, I take that back, he did in
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de 9 lawsuit? 10 A One I be	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? named as one of the people e Township of Lyndhurst, involved in that case. m asking to explain? I just want to clarify. ifendant in that other clieve one of the named	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before? Actually, I take that back, he did in 2008 when I'm sorry. In 2008, Richard Dilascio,
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de 9 lawsuit? 10 A One I be 11 defendants.	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? named as one of the people e Township of Lyndhurst, involved in that case. m asking to explain? I just want to clarify. fendant in that other	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before? Actually, I take that back, he did in 2008 when I'm sorry. In 2008, Richard Dilascio, Tom DiMaggio, Bobby Giangeruso and the incumbent
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de 9 lawsuit? 10 A One I be 11 defendants. 12 Q Okay.	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? named as one of the people e Township of Lyndhurst, involved in that case. m asking to explain? I just want to clarify. fendant in that other lieve one of the named	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before? Actually, I take that back, he did in 2008 when I'm sorry. In 2008, Richard Dilascio, Tom DiMaggio, Bobby Giangeruso and the incumbent board of commissioners all changed from Republican
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de 9 lawsuit? 10 A One I be 11 defendants. 12 Q Okay. 13 A I believe O	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? In named as one of the people Be Township of Lyndhurst, Involved in that case. In asking Be to explain? I just want to clarify. If infendant in that other In the control of the named In the named In the control of the named	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before? Actually, I take that back, he did in 2008 when I'm sorry. In 2008, Richard Dilascio, Tom DiMaggio, Bobby Giangeruso and the incumbent board of commissioners all changed from Republican Party to the Democratic Party and that was arranged
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de 9 lawsuit? 10 A One I be 11 defendants. 12 Q Okay. 13 A I believe C 14 as mayor, and the Townshi	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? In named as one of the people Be Township of Lyndhurst, Be involved in that case. In asking Be to explain? I just want to clarify. If	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before? Actually, I take that back, he did in 2008 when I'm sorry. In 2008, Richard Dilascio, Tom DiMaggio, Bobby Giangeruso and the incumbent board of commissioners all changed from Republican Party to the Democratic Party and that was arranged by me. So Mr. Ferriero was in town for that. But
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de 9 lawsuit? 10 A One I be 11 defendants. 12 Q Okay. 13 A I believe C 14 as mayor, and the Townshi 15 I may not have the entire	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? named as one of the people e Township of Lyndhurst, involved in that case. m asking e to explain? I just want to clarify. fendant in that other clieve one of the named darrino, Laterio, Giangeruso p of Lyndhurst as a whole. e list correct. But	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before? Actually, I take that back, he did in 2008 when I'm sorry. In 2008, Richard Dilascio, Tom DiMaggio, Bobby Giangeruso and the incumbent board of commissioners all changed from Republican Party to the Democratic Party and that was arranged by me. So Mr. Ferriero was in town for that. But that was a decade before.
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de 9 lawsuit? 10 A One I be 11 defendants. 12 Q Okay. 13 A I believe C 14 as mayor, and the Townshi 15 I may not have the entire 16 Q But the peo	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? named as one of the people the Township of Lyndhurst, involved in that case. m asking to explain? I just want to clarify. If endant in that other Carrino, Laterio, Giangeruso p of Lyndhurst as a whole. It ist correct. But pple you named were	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before? Actually, I take that back, he did in 2008 when I'm sorry. In 2008, Richard Dilascio, Tom DiMaggio, Bobby Giangeruso and the incumbent board of commissioners all changed from Republican Party to the Democratic Party and that was arranged by me. So Mr. Ferriero was in town for that. But that was a decade before. So at this meeting, he's telling me
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de 9 lawsuit? 10 A One I be 11 defendants. 12 Q Okay. 13 A I believe C 14 as mayor, and the Townshi 15 I may not have the entire 16 Q But the pec 17 definitely parties, you'r	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? In named as one of the people Be Township of Lyndhurst, Involved in that case. In asking — It to explain? I just want to clarify. If endant in that other It is a whole. It i	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before? Actually, I take that back, he did in 2008 when I'm sorry. In 2008, Richard Dilascio, Tom DiMaggio, Bobby Giangeruso and the incumbent board of commissioners all changed from Republican Party to the Democratic Party and that was arranged by me. So Mr. Ferriero was in town for that. But that was a decade before. So at this meeting, he's telling me not to file another lawsuit on this case. He
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de 9 lawsuit? 10 A One I be 11 defendants. 12 Q Okay. 13 A I believe C 14 as mayor, and the Townshi 15 I may not have the entire 16 Q But the peo 17 definitely parties, you'r 18 A The ones I	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? In named as one of the people Be Township of Lyndhurst, Involved in that case. In asking — It to explain? I just want to clarify. If indended in that other I just want to clarify. I just want to clarify. I just want to service one of the named I just want to service one of the named I just want to clarify.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before? Actually, I take that back, he did in 2008 when I'm sorry. In 2008, Richard Dilascio, Tom DiMaggio, Bobby Giangeruso and the incumbent board of commissioners all changed from Republican Party to the Democratic Party and that was arranged by me. So Mr. Ferriero was in town for that. But that was a decade before. So at this meeting, he's telling me not to file another lawsuit on this case. He proceeds to tell me that Carmine Alampi, the
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de 9 lawsuit? 10 A One I be 11 defendants. 12 Q Okay. 13 A I believe Out 14 as mayor, and the Townshi 15 I may not have the entire 16 Q But the peous 17 definitely parties, you're 18 A The ones I 19 Q Yes.	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? named as one of the people e Township of Lyndhurst, involved in that case. m asking to explain? I just want to clarify. Ifendant in that other Carrino, Laterio, Giangeruso p of Lyndhurst as a whole. I list correct. But ple you named were e saying? just named?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before? Actually, I take that back, he did in 2008 when I'm sorry. In 2008, Richard Dilascio, Tom DiMaggio, Bobby Giangeruso and the incumbent board of commissioners all changed from Republican Party to the Democratic Party and that was arranged by me. So Mr. Ferriero was in town for that. But that was a decade before. So at this meeting, he's telling me not to file another lawsuit on this case. He proceeds to tell me that Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney, had arranged for him to get quote
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de 9 lawsuit? 10 A One I be 11 defendants. 12 Q Okay. 13 A I believe C 14 as mayor, and the Townshi 15 I may not have the entire 16 Q But the peo 17 definitely parties, you'r 18 A The ones I 19 Q Yes. 20 A To the best	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? In named as one of the people The Township of Lyndhurst, In involved in that case. In asking The to explain? I just want to clarify. I just want to ther The control of the named The con	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before? Actually, I take that back, he did in 2008 when I'm sorry. In 2008, Richard Dilascio, Tom DiMaggio, Bobby Giangeruso and the incumbent board of commissioners all changed from Republican Party to the Democratic Party and that was arranged by me. So Mr. Ferriero was in town for that. But that was a decade before. So at this meeting, he's telling me not to file another lawsuit on this case. He proceeds to tell me that Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney, had arranged for him to get quote unquote the title work for the construction of this
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de 9 lawsuit? 10 A One I be 11 defendants. 12 Q Okay. 13 A I believe C 14 as mayor, and the Townshi 15 I may not have the entire 16 Q But the peo 17 definitely parties, you'r 18 A The ones I 19 Q Yes. 20 A To the best 21 Q Okay.	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? In named as one of the people Township of Lyndhurst, Involved in that case. In asking — To explain? I just want to clarify. If endant in that other The constant of the named The c	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before? Actually, I take that back, he did in 2008 when I'm sorry. In 2008, Richard Dilascio, Tom DiMaggio, Bobby Giangeruso and the incumbent board of commissioners all changed from Republican Party to the Democratic Party and that was arranged by me. So Mr. Ferriero was in town for that. But that was a decade before. So at this meeting, he's telling me not to file another lawsuit on this case. He proceeds to tell me that Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney, had arranged for him to get quote unquote the title work for the construction of this new school project and that I was to step out. And
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de 9 lawsuit? 10 A One I be 11 defendants. 12 Q Okay. 13 A I believe C 14 as mayor, and the Townshi 15 I may not have the entire 16 Q But the peo 17 definitely parties, you'r 18 A The ones I 19 Q Yes. 20 A To the best 21 Q Okay. 22 A All right.	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? In named as one of the people Be Township of Lyndhurst, Involved in that case. In asking — It to explain? I just want to clarify. If endant in that other In the one of the named In the correct of the same o	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before? Actually, I take that back, he did in 2008 when I'm sorry. In 2008, Richard Dilascio, Tom DiMaggio, Bobby Giangeruso and the incumbent board of commissioners all changed from Republican Party to the Democratic Party and that was arranged by me. So Mr. Ferriero was in town for that. But that was a decade before. So at this meeting, he's telling me not to file another lawsuit on this case. He proceeds to tell me that Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney, had arranged for him to get quote unquote the title work for the construction of this new school project and that I was to step out. And I just listened and looked. Didn't say a word.
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de 9 lawsuit? 10 A One I be 11 defendants. 12 Q Okay. 13 A I believe C 14 as mayor, and the Townshi 15 I may not have the entire 16 Q But the peo 17 definitely parties, you'r 18 A The ones I 19 Q Yes. 20 A To the best 21 Q Okay. 22 A All right. 23 by and I receive a phoneo	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? In named as one of the people Be Township of Lyndhurst, Inivolved in that case. In asking — It to explain? I just want to clarify. If endant in that other I just want to clarify. I just want to serve the named Carrino, Laterio, Giangeruso p of Lyndhurst as a whole. I list correct. But — ple you named were re saying? just named? Of my recollection. So at that time, months go call from Joseph Ferriero,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before? Actually, I take that back, he did in 2008 when I'm sorry. In 2008, Richard Dilascio, Tom DiMaggio, Bobby Giangeruso and the incumbent board of commissioners all changed from Republican Party to the Democratic Party and that was arranged by me. So Mr. Ferriero was in town for that. But that was a decade before. So at this meeting, he's telling me not to file another lawsuit on this case. He proceeds to tell me that Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney, had arranged for him to get quote unquote the title work for the construction of this new school project and that I was to step out. And I just listened and looked. Didn't say a word. He went on to say, I have a file on
24 Q I'm sorry. 25 A Sure. 1 Q A lawsuit a 2 A Yes, he was 3 in the lawsuit against th 4 you're well aware, you're 5 Q I'm not, I' 6 A You want me 7 Q No, no, no. 8 You're saying he was a de 9 lawsuit? 10 A One I be 11 defendants. 12 Q Okay. 13 A I believe C 14 as mayor, and the Townshi 15 I may not have the entire 16 Q But the peo 17 definitely parties, you'r 18 A The ones I 19 Q Yes. 20 A To the best 21 Q Okay. 22 A All right. 23 by and I receive a phoneo	Page 43 gainst Mr. Carrino? In named as one of the people The Township of Lyndhurst, Inivolved in that case. In asking — The to explain? I just want to clarify. I just want to ther The control of the named The control of the people The control	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 45 back into the game, handicapping politics, that sort of thing. And instead, what it turned into was Mr. Ferriero telling me, David, I don't want you to file another lawsuit against the construction of the school in Lyndhurst. And I looked at him, like, what business be this of you? My town, my town, my family's town, you don't live there. I don't even know if you ever entering the space before? Actually, I take that back, he did in 2008 when I'm sorry. In 2008, Richard Dilascio, Tom DiMaggio, Bobby Giangeruso and the incumbent board of commissioners all changed from Republican Party to the Democratic Party and that was arranged by me. So Mr. Ferriero was in town for that. But that was a decade before. So at this meeting, he's telling me not to file another lawsuit on this case. He proceeds to tell me that Carmine Alampi, the Township attorney, had arranged for him to get quote unquote the title work for the construction of this new school project and that I was to step out. And I just listened and looked. Didn't say a word.

1 believe, and I'm not accusing this young man of 2 to say, I got Los Stellato. For the record, Lou 3 Stellato is the former mayor of Lyndhurst and at the 4 time of this meeting with Ferriero, he was the 5 democratic chairman of Begrene County, Kr. Ferriero's 6 former position before he went to prison. 7 Mr. Stellato also owed him because he got 9 Mr. Stellato his persion because Mr. Stellato was the county — I want to say occoper, although I know 11 Mr. Stellato, i worked for Mr. Stellato oping back 12 to 1989. He is a mortician. I din't understand quite a 13 good one but he's a mortician. I din't understand 14 what role he had as a county coroner, but hey. All 15 right. 16 So he also went on to say that while 17 he was in prison, it was arranged for him to get 18 this work, you know, during the period of time you 19 were visiting him in perison. 20 Q I'm sorry, I was visiting him? 21 A If shal t said is true, according to 22 you. But that can be verified, I'm sure. 23 Q I'm sure it can. 24 A So at that — at that point, I had 25 heard more than enough and I left more than enough 26 of days. I was appalled, to say the least. 27 on the table to pay the bill and I walked away. And 28 I thought about what I had just heard for a couple 30 of days. I was appalled, to say the least. 4 A So at that — at that point, I had 5 heard more than enough and I left more than enough 5 of all of the phonocalls between May Jist and 6 July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecal after 7 phonecall. And this does not include all of the 8 calls that were hung up and the text messages which 16 I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, 10 there is a mitrersex wis durnk. Be said things 11 I had two choices. One, call him back 12 fact he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said or realized after the 14 fact he should not have said or realized after the 15 fact he should not have said or realized after the 16 fact he should not have said or realized after the 17 fact he should not have said or reali				
to say, I got Lou Stellato. For the record, Lou Stellato is the former mayor of Lyndhurst and at the time of this meeting with Perriero, he was the democratic chairman of Bergen County, Mr. Ferriero's former position before he went to prison. And he goes on to tell me that Kr. Stellato his pension because Mr. Stellato was the county — I want to say coroner, although I know the county — I want to say coroner, although I know the county — I want to say coroner, but hey. All right. So he also went on to say that while he was in prison, it was arranged for him to get this work, you know, during the period of time you were visiting him in prison. You. But that can be verified, I'm sure. A So at that — at that point, I had this work, you know, during the period of time you you. But that can be verified, I'm sure. You. But that can be verified, I'm sure. A So at that — at that point, I had the beard more than enough and I left more than enough Thompsell. And this does not include all of the calls that were hung up and the text messages which I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because I realize Mr. Perriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that to be	1		1	Page 48 believe and I'm not accusing this young man of
3 Stellato is the former mayor of Lyndhurst and at the time of this meeting with Perriero, he was the dedenoratic chairman of Bargen County, Wr. Ferriero's 6 former position before he went to prison. 7 And he goes on to tell me that 8 Mr. Stellato also weed him because he got 9 Mr. Stellato also weed him because he got 18 Mr. Stellato his pension because Mr. Stellato was 19 pension. 10 Mr. Stellato, I worked for Mr. Stellato going back 12 to 1989. Be is a mortician. I din't understand quite a 19 good one but he's a mortician. I din't understand 14 what role he had as a county coroner, but hey. All 15 right. 15 right. 16 So he also went on to say that while 17 he was in prison, it was arranged for him to get 18 this work, you know, during the period of time you 19 were visiting him in prison. 10 Q I'm sorry, I was visiting him? 11 A If what I sad is a true, according to you. But that can be verified, I'm sure. 12 Q I'm sure it can. 13 And Mr. Ferriero — these are copies of all of the phonocalls between Key 31st and 2 July 26th of 2018. Phonocall after phonecall. And this does not include all of the 3 calls that were hung up and the text messages which 16 I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, 10 there is an increasing fremzy to speak to me because 1 I realize Mr. Ferriero sundown. All seed after the 13 fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, 14 the should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, 14 the should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he s		-		
time of this meeting with Ferriero, he was the democratic chairman of Bergem County, Mr. Ferriero's former position before he went to prison. A mand he goes on to tell me that Mr. Stellato also owed him because Mr. Stellato was the county — I want to say corner, although I know 11 Mr. Stellato, I worked for Mr. Stellato was 12 to 1989. Be is a mortician. I understand guite a 13 good one but he's a mortician. I didn't understand d what role he had as a county corner, but hey. All 15 right. So he also went on to say that while 15 he was in prison. So he also went on to say that while 16 he was in prison. You are it can. A If what I said is true, according to you. But that can be verified, I'm sure. You are than enough and I left more than enough 2 or I'm sure it can. A So at that — at that point, I had he heard more can couple of feath would have to look through. And in these contacts, 10 there is an increasing fremzy to speak to me because I realize for he phonecall after phonecall after phonecall and this does not include all of the calls that were hung up and the text messages which 17 dhave to look through. And in these contacts, 10 there is an increasing fremzy to speak to me because I realize fir. Perfersor was drum. He said things 12 that he should not have said or realized after the 13 fack he should not have said or realized after the 14 fack he and participate in what I considered at that point to to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I to he a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I to he in criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 20 punch and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 201				
s democratic chairman of Bergen County, Mr. Ferriero's former position before he went to prison. And he goes on to tell me that the Mr. Stellato also owed him because he got the Nr. Stellato also owed him because he got the County — I want to say cornorer, although I know Mr. Stellato also owed him because he got the Stellato pair person because he got the Stellato pair person he was got to tell me that the stellato, I worked for Mr. Stellato going back to 1989. Be is a mortician. I din't understand quite a good one but he's a mortician. I din't understand it what role he had as a county cornorer, but hey. All it right. So he also went on to say that while the was in prison, it was arranged for him to get this work, you know, during the period of time you were visiting him in prison. O I'm sorry, I was visiting him? I A If what I said is true, according to you. But that can be verified, I'm sure. Joyou. But that can be verified, I'm sure. Joyou and the tops the bill and I walked away. And J thought about what I had just heard for a couple of peasons, I think. Because I knew I was support for the school board, and served two years and the septiment of the school board, that this type of back room deals, we not acceptable. So — and I stought best we to look through. And in these contacts, there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. Be said things. I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. Be said things. I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. Be said things. I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. Be said things. I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. Be said things. I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. Be said things. I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. Be said things. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy sinilar to the one that sent				
6 former position before he went to prison. 7 Mr. Stellato also owed him because Mr. Stellato was 10 the county — I want to say coroner, although I know 11 the county — I want to say coroner, although I know 12 to 1989. He is a mortician. I understand quite a 13 good one but he's a mortician. I didn't understand 14 what role he had as a county coroner, but hey. All 15 right. 16 So he also went on to say that while 17 he was in prison, it was arranged for him to get 18 this work, you know, during the period of time you 19 were visiting him in prison. 20 Q I'm sorry, I was visiting him? 21 A If what I said is true, according to 22 you. But that can be verified, I'm sure. 23 Q I'm sure it can. 24 A So at that — at that point, I had 25 heard more than enough and I left more than enough 26 of days. I was appalled, to say the least. 4 And Mr. Perriero — these are copies 27 of all of the phonocalla between May Slats and 28 July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonocall after 29 phonecall. And this does not include all of the 20 calls that were hung up and the text messages which 29 I'relate the school hord was avid to him, 29 I'relate the school hord was add. And as a consequence, 30 he was extremely upset. 31 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 32 I thing the row sa drunk. He said things 33 that were hung up and the text messages which 34 the school board on thave said to realized after the 35 fact he should not have said or realized after the 36 fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, 37 he was extremely upset. 38 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 39 that he should not have said or realized after the 30 fact he should not have said or realized after the 31 fact he should not have said or realized after the 32 fact he should not have said or realized after the 33 fact he should not have said or realized after the 34 fact he should not have said or realized after the 35 fact he should not have said or realized after the 36 fact he should not have said or realized after the 37 fact		,		
And he goes on to tell me that 8 Mr. Stellato also owed him because he got 9 Mr. Stellato his pension because Mr. Stellato was 10 the county — I want to say coroner, although I know 11 Mr. Stellato, I worked for Mr. Stellato going back 12 to 1999. Me is a mortician. I understand quite a good one but he's a mortician. I ididn't understand 13 good one but he's a mortician. I didn't understand 14 what role he had as a county coroner, but hey. All 15 right.				
8 Mr. Stellato also owed him because he got 9 Mr. Stellato his pension because Mr. Stellato was 10 the county — I want to say coroner, although I know 11 Mr. Stellato, I worked for Mr. Stellato going back 12 to 1989. He is a mortician. I understand quite a 13 good one but he's a mortician. I didn't understand 14 what role he had as a county coroner, but hey. All 15 right. 16 So he also went on to say that while 17 he was in prison, it was arranged for him to get 18 this work, you know, during the period of time you 19 were visiting him in prison. 20 Q I'm sorry, I was visiting him? 21 A If what I said is true, according to 22 you. But that can be verified, I'm sure. 23 Q I'm sure it can. 24 A So at that — at that point, I had 25 heard more than enough and I left more than enough 26 the and more than enough and I left more than enough 27 on the table to pay the bill and I walked away. And 28 I thought about what I had just heard for a couple 39 of alays. I was appalled, to say the least. 4 And Mr. Perriero — these are copies 5 of all of the phonecalls between May 31st and 6 July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecall. She there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because 11 I realize Mr. Perriero was drunk. He said things 12 that he should not have said. And as a consequence, 13 that were hung up and the text messages which 15 I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, 16 the is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because 17 realize Mr. Perrieros mso drunk. He said things 18 this day. And I took a young man with me to the 19 F.B.I. — he drove me and stayed outside, did of or a 10 couple of reasons, I think. Because I krew I was 11 trying to educate this person because he was person. 18 this work, you in mit prison. 19 P.B.L. — he drove me and stayed outside, did of the spond on the say to the school board, that this pour the level of courself was very important given the level of courself was specifically in this partial land. The save of the mouth of the school board, that this type of beak room deals				
9 Mr. Stellato his pension because Mr. Stellato was 10 the county — I want to say coroner, although I know 11 Mr. Stellato, I worked for Mr. Stellato going back 12 to 1989. He is a mortician. I understand quite a 13 good one but he's a mortician. I didn't understand 14 what role he had as a county coroner, but hey. All 15 right. So he also went on to say that while 16 So he also went on to say that while 17 he was in prison, it was arranged for hin to get 18 this work, you know, during the period of time you 19 were visiting him in prison. 20 Q I'm sorry, I was visiting him? 21 A If what I said is true, according to 22 you. But that can be verified, I'm sure. 23 Q I'm sure it can. 24 A So at that — at that point, I had 25 heard more than enough and I left more than enough 26 for days. I was appalled, to say the least. 37 And Mr. Perriero— these are copies 38 of all of the phonecalls between May 31st and 39 Juy 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecall. And this does not include all of the 30 calls that were hung up and the text messages which 31 I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, the was extremely upset. 31 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 32 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 33 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 34 Lath the should not have said or realized after the 35 fact he should not have said or realized after the 36 fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, 36 the sea in increasing frenzy to speak to me because 37 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 38 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 39 I realize Mr. Ferriero should of that I had the should not have said. And as a consequence, 30 the same acquainted with Richard. 31 Fizuti. And the did and the same acquainted, or the school board and served two years. 32		-		
the county — I want to say coroner, although I know II Mr. Stellator, I worked for Mr. Stellato going back to 1989. He is a mortician. I understand quite data good one but he's a mortician. I didn't understand that role he had as a county coroner, but hey. All right. So he also went on to say that while he was in prison, it was arranged for him to get this work, you know, during the period of time you were visiting him in prison. Q I'm sorry, I was visiting him? A If what I said is true, according to you. But that can be verified, I'm sure. Q I'm sure it can. A So at that — at that point, I had heard more than enough and I left more than enough of days. I was appalled, to say the least. And Mr. Perriero — these are copies of all of the phonecalls hot what I had just heard for a couple of days. I was appalled, to say the least. And Mr. Perriero — these are copies of all of the phonecalls hatter phonecall after phonecall. And this does not include all of the a calls that were hung up and the text messages which I'realize Mr. Perriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the I realize Mr. Perriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said or realized after the I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think may proper person should do in this situation, I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these emessages. I will put them back. They're available en media number two. The time is 10:37 a.m. V are trying to educate this person by a trying to educate this person because I right. And this work, you know, during the period of time you the clock through the period of time you the control of the school board and served two vears. Page 47 to the table to pay the bill and I walked away. And I thought about what I had just h		-		
11 Mr. Stellato, I worked for Mr. Stellato going back 12 to 1989. He is a mortician. I didn't understand 13 good one but he's a mortician. I didn't understand 14 what role he had as a county coroner, but hey. All 15 right. 16 So he also went on to say that while 16 he was in prison, it was arranged for him to get 17 his work, you know, during the period of time you 18 were visiting him in prison. 19 were visiting him in prison. 20 Q I'm sorry, I was visiting him? 21 A If what I said is true, according to 22 you. But that can be verified, I'm sure. 23 Q I'm sure it can. 24 A So at that — at that point, I had 25 heard more than enough and I left more than enough 26 days. I was appalled, to say the least. 27 A Moncealls between May 31st and 28 July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecall after phonecalls. And this does not include all of the 29 calls that were hung up and the text messages which 20 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 21 that he should not have said. And as a consequence, 22 that he should not have said. And as a consequence, 23 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 24 that he should not have said. And as a consequence, 25 I had two choices. One, call him back 26 and participate in what I considered at that point 27 to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 28 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think any proper person should do in this situation, 29 I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. 20 Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these 21 messages. I will put then back. They're available 22 upon anyone's request.		-		-
to 1989. He is a mortician. I understand quite a good one but he's a mortician. I didn't understand what role he had as a county coroner, but hey. All tripht. So he also went on to say that while the was in prison, it was arranged for him to get this work, you know, during the period of time you were visiting him in prison. A I fe what I said is true, according to you. But that can be verified, I'm sure. A So at that — at that point, I had I walked away. And I thought about what I had just heard for a couple of days. I was appalled, to say the least. And Mr. Ferriero — these are copies of all of the phonecalls between May 31st and July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecall after phonecall. And this does not include all of the calls that were hung up and the text messages which I T realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I this, way proper person should do in this situation, 20 I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these messages. I will put them back. They're available upon anyone's request.				
good one but he's a mortician. I didn't understand what role he had as a county coroner, but hey. All iright. So he also went on to say that while he was in prison, it was arranged for him to get this work, you know, during the period of time you were visiting him in prison. Q I'm sorry, I was visiting him in prison. I A If what I said is true, according to you. But that can be verified, I'm sure. Q I'm sure it can. A So at that — at that point, I had he are one of behavior, meaning Mr. Perriero's involvement in a project like this, these type of back room deals, were not acceptable. So — and I thought best we to teach is through example. So I bring him, have him drive me to teach is through example. And Mr. Perriero — these are copies of all of the phonecall after phonecall after phonecall after phonecall and this does not include all of the calls that were hung up and the text messages which I realize Mr. Perriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these messages. I will put them back. They're available upon anyone's request.				•
what role he had as a county coroner, but hey. All is right. So he also went on to say that while the was in prison, it was arranged for him to get this work, you know, during the period of time you were visiting him in prison. O		-		
So he also went on to say that while the was in prison, it was arranged for him to get this work, you know, during the period of time you were visiting him in prison. 20				
So he also went on to say that while he was in prison, it was arranged for him to get this work, you know, during the period of time you yere visiting him in prison. 20				
this work, you know, during the period of time you were visiting him in prison. Q I'm sorry, I was visiting him? A If what I said is true, according to you. But that can be verified, I'm sure. Q I'm sure it can. A So at that — at that point, I had heard more than enough and I left more than enough and I left more than enough and I left more than enough and I that I said is true, according to the school board, or more than enough and I left more than enough and I was appalled, to say the least. A And Mr. Ferriero — these are copies of all of the phonecalls between May 31st and July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecall after phonecalls that were hung up and the text messages which I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because I I realize Mr. Ferriero as drunk. Be said things that he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think any proper person should do in this situation, I could usumit to an interview with the F.B.I. Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these messages. I will put them back. They're available upon anyone's request.		-		<u> </u>
18 this work, you know, during the period of time you 19 were visiting him in prison. 20				
20				-
20 Q I'm sorry, I was visiting him? 21 A If what I said is true, according to 22 you. But that can be verified, I'm sure. 23 Q I'm sure it can. 24 A So at that — at that point, I had 25 heard more than enough and I left more than enough 26 Prage 47 27 1 on the table to pay the bill and I walked away. And 28 I thought about what I had just heard for a couple 39 of days. I was appalled, to say the least. 40 And Mr. Ferriero — these are copies 50 of all of the phonecalls between May 31st and 61 July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecall after phonecall. And this does not include all of the 62 calls that were hung up and the text messages which 63 I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, 64 there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because 65 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 65 I that he should not have said. And as a consequence, 66 Anthony, if you ever see anything happening on the school board, that this type of bekavior, meaning Mr. Ferriero's involvement in a project like this, these type of back room deals, were not acceptable. So — and I thought best we to teach is through example. 25 So I bring him, have him drive me to the example and the text messages which 26 or an interview for about an hour. And when I of out — and he was aware of the — not aware of at these details but he was aware there was a general problem with that school — and I said to him, 26 Anthony, if you ever see anything happening on the school board, don't come to me, you go right to to group authorities and you report it. That's how you handle these things. 27 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things and you report it. That's how you handle these things. 28 I had two choices. One, call him back to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think any proper person should do in this situation, 20 I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. 29 Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these media number two. The time is 10:37 a.m. We				
21		-		
22 you. But that can be verified, I'm sure. 23 Q I'm sure it can. 24 A So at that — at that point, I had 25 heard more than enough and I left more than enough 26 Thought about what I had just heard for a couple 3 of days. I was appalled, to say the least. 4 And Mr. Ferriero — these are copies 5 of all of the phonecalls between May 31st and 6 July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecall after r phonecall. And this does not include all of the 8 calls that were hung up and the text messages which 9 I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, 10 there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because 11 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 12 that he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, 14 he was extremely upset. 15 I had two choices. One, call him back 16 and participate in what I considered at that point 17 to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 19 think any proper person should do in this situation, 20 I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. 21 Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these 22 messages. I will put them back. They're available 23 were not acceptable. So — and I thought best we to teach is through example. 24 to teach is through example. 25 So I bring him, have him drive me to the teach is through example. 26 to teach is through example. 27 to teach is through example. 28 were not acceptable. So — and I thought best we to teach is through example. 29 to teach is through example. 29 to teach is through example. 20 to teach is through example. 21 the F.B.I., he can attest to this. And I went in the F.B.I. 21 the F.B.I., he can attest to this. And I have I to out — and I said to him, Anthony, if you ever see anything, if you get relected and you ever see anything happening on the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the proper authorities and you report it. That's how you handle these things				
23 were not acceptable. So — and I thought best we to teach is through example. 24 A So at that — at that point, I had 25 heard more than enough and I left more than enough 24 to teach is through example. 25 So I bring him, have him drive me to 25 So I bring him, have him drive me to 26 So I bring him, have him drive me to 27 So I bring him, have him drive me to 28 So I bring him, have him drive me to 28 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 So I bring him, have him drive me to 29 so I bring him, have him drive me to 29 so I bring him, have him drive me to 29 out a so I bring him, have him drive me to 29 so I bring him, have and I beecade and sout and I said to him, Anthony, if you ever see anything, if you ge				
A So at that at that point, I had beard more than enough and I left more than enough Page 47 on the table to pay the bill and I walked away. And I thought about what I had just heard for a couple of days. I was appalled, to say the least. And Mr. Ferriero these are copies of all of the phonecalls between May 31st and July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecall after phonecall. And this does not include all of the calls that were hung up and the text messages which I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the find the should not have said or realized after the find the should not have said. And as a consequence, the was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I the f.B.I., he can attest to this. And I went in could was aware of the not aware of at the se details but he was aware there was a genera problem with that school and I said to him, Anthony, if you ever see anything, if you get elected and you ever see anything happening on the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the proper authorities and you report it. That's how you handle these things. After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard Fizzuti. And these are part of the documents I are reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that the sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I the f.B.I., he can attest to this. And I went in the F.B.I., he can attest to this. And I went in the F.B.I., he can attest to this. And I went in the F.B.I., he can attest to this. And I went in the F.B.I., he can attest to this. And I went in the F.B.I. out and I went in the		- ·		
Page 47 1 on the table to pay the bill and I walked away. And 2 I thought about what I had just heard for a couple 3 of days. I was appalled, to say the least. 4 And Mr. Ferriero — these are copies 5 of all of the phonecalls between May 31st and 6 July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecall after 7 phonecall. And this does not include all of the 8 calls that were hung up and the text messages which 9 I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, 10 there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because 11 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 12 that he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, 14 he was extremely upset. 15 I had two choices. One, call him back 16 and participate in what I considered at that point 17 to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I 19 think any proper person should do in this situation, 10 I could submit to an interview for about an hour. And when I or out — and he was aware of the — not aware of al these details but he was aware there was a general problem with that school — and I said to him, 4 Anthony, if you ever see anything happening on the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the proper authorities and you report it. That's how you handle these things. 10 during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I are reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. 15 I had two choices. One, call him back 16 and participate in what I considered at that point 17 to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I 19 think any proper person should do in this situation, 20 I could submit to an interview for about an hour. And when I of our — and Ir said to him, Anthony, if you ever see anything, happening on the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the output proper authorities and you report it. That's how you handle these things. 10 Mereupon, a discu				
Page 47 1 on the table to pay the bill and I walked away. And 2 I thought about what I had just heard for a couple 3 of days. I was appalled, to say the least. 4 And Mr. Ferriero — these are copies 5 of all of the phonecalls between May 31st and 6 July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecall after 7 phonecall. And this does not include all of the 8 calls that were hung up and the text messages which 9 I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, 10 there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because 11 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 12 that he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, 14 he was extremely upset. 15 I had two choices. One, call him back 16 and participate in what I considered at that point 17 to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I 19 think any proper person should do in this situation, 20 I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. 21 Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these 22 messages. I will put them back. They're available 23 upon anyone's request. Part the F.B.I., he can attest to this. And I went if 2 for an interview for about an hour. And when I of out — and he was aware of the — not aware of all these Edails but he was aware there was a general problem with that school — and I said to him, Anthony, if you ever see anything, if you get elected and you ever see anything happening on the school board, don't come to me, you go right to to you handle these things. 11 realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 12 that he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, 14 he was extremely upset. 15 I had two choices. One, call him back 16 and participate in what I considered at that point 17 to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I 19 think any proper person should do in this situation, 20 I could submit to an interview wi		•		
1 thought about what I had just heard for a couple 3 of days. I was appalled, to say the least. 4 And Mr. Ferriero — these are copies 5 of all of the phonecalls between May 31st and 6 July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecall. And this does not include all of the 8 calls that were hung up and the text messages which 9 I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, 10 there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because 11 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 12 that he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, 14 he was extremely upset. 15 I had two choices. One, call him back 16 and participate in what I considered at that point 17 to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I 19 think any proper person should do in this situation, 20 I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. 21 Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these 22 messages. I will put them back. They're available 23 upon anyone's request. And Mr. Ferriero — these are copies 4 these details but he was aware there was a general problem with that school — and I said to him, 4 these details but he was aware there was a general problem with that school — and I said to him, 4 these details but he was aware there was a general problem with that school — and I said to him, 4 these details but he was aware there was a general problem with that school — and I said to him, 4 these details but he was aware there was a general problem with that school — and I said to him, 5 hathony, if you get 6 elected and you ever see anything happening on the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the proper authorities and you report it. That's how you handle these things. 11 Letter B.I. And these are part of the documents I are reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. 15 MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short of documents of documents of documents of documents of documents of documents of	23	heard more than enough and I fert more than enough	2.5	50 I bring him, have him drive me to
2 I thought about what I had just heard for a couple 3 of days. I was appalled, to say the least. 4 And Mr. Ferriero — these are copies 5 of all of the phonecalls between May 31st and 6 July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecall after 7 phonecall. And this does not include all of the 8 calls that were hung up and the text messages which 9 I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, 10 there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because 11 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 12 that he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, 14 he was extremely upset. 15 I had two choices. One, call him back 16 and participate in what I considered at that point 17 to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I 19 think any proper person should do in this situation, 20 I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. 21 Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these 22 messages. I will put them back. They're available 23 upon anyone's request. 2 for an interview for about an hour. And when I out — and he was aware there was a general these details but he was aware there was a general these details but he was aware there was a general thread the search problem with that school — and I said to him, 4 these details but he was aware there was a general thread to him, 5 Anthony, if you ever see anything happening on the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the proper authorities and you report it. That's how you handle these things. 1 After that, I became acquainted, out a during that time I became acquainted with Richard reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. 15 I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that the second of the documents can we take a short to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that the second of the proper properson should do in this situation, and the record. 16 the s				Page 49
3 of days. I was appalled, to say the least. 4 And Mr. Ferriero — these are copies 5 of all of the phonecalls between May 31st and 6 July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecall after 7 phonecall. And this does not include all of the 8 calls that were hung up and the text messages which 9 I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, 10 there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because 11 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 12 that he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, 14 he was extremely upset. 15 I had two choices. One, call him back 16 and participate in what I considered at that point 17 to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I 19 think any proper person should do in this situation, 20 I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. 21 Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these 22 messages. I will put them back. They're available 23 upon anyone's request. 3 out — and he was aware of the — not aware of al these actails but he was aware there was a general thread the seat of the in the said to him, 4 Anthony, if you ever see anything happening on the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the proper authorities and you report it. That's how you handle these things. 10 during that time I became acquainted with Richard the Interviewed, so I'll bring them out now. 11 reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. 12 (Whereupon, a discussion was held the record.) 13 (Whereupon, a discussion was held are off the record.) 14 these details but he was aware of the — not aware of all these problem with that school — and I said to him, Anthony, if you ever see anything happening on the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the proper authorities and you report it. That's how you handle these things. 10 during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I are viewed, so I'll bring them out now. 12 (Whereupon, a				•
And Mr. Ferriero — these are copies of all of the phonecalls between May 31st and July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecall after phonecall. And this does not include all of the calls that were hung up and the text messages which I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think any proper person should do in this situation, I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these messages. I will put them back. They're available upon anyone's request. Hesse details but he was aware there was a general problem with that school — and I said to him, Anthony, if you ever see anything happening on the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the proper authorities and you report it. That's how you handle these things. After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I are reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short break? (Whereupon, a discussion was held the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end and number two. The time is 10:37 a.m. We are off the record. Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these upon anyone's request. After that, I became acquainted with Richard reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short break? Whereupon, a discussion was held the record. Whereupon, a discussion was held (Whereupon, a discussion was held)				
5 of all of the phonecalls between May 31st and 6 July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecall after 7 phonecall. And this does not include all of the 8 calls that were hung up and the text messages which 9 I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, 10 there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because 11 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 12 that he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, 14 he was extremely upset. 15 I had two choices. One, call him back 16 and participate in what I considered at that point 17 to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I 19 think any proper person should do in this situation, 20 I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. 21 Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these 22 messages. I will put them back. They're available 23 upon anyone's request. 5 problem with that school and I said to him, Anthony, if you ever see anything, if you get elected and you ever see anything, if you get elected and you ever see anything happening on the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the proper authorities and you report it. That's how you handle these things. 10 proper authorities and you report it. That's how you handle these things. 11 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 11				-
6 July 26th of 2018. Phonecall after phonecall after 7 phonecall. And this does not include all of the 8 calls that were hung up and the text messages which 9 I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, 10 there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because 11 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 12 that he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, 14 he was extremely upset. 15 I had two choices. One, call him back 16 and participate in what I considered at that point 17 to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I 19 think any proper person should do in this situation, 20 I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. 21 Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these 22 messages. I will put them back. They're available 23 upon anyone's request. 6 Anthony, if you ever see anything, if you get 7 elected and you ever see anything happening on the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the school board, don't come to me, you go right to the school board, don't come to me, you park to the elected and you ever see anything happening on the school bard, don't come to me, you park to the elected and you ever see anything happening on the school bard, don't come to me, you park to the elected and you ever see anything happening on the school bard, don't come to me, you park to the elected and you ever see anything happening on the school bard, and you ever see anyth		•		
phonecall. And this does not include all of the calls that were hung up and the text messages which I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, the he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that think any proper person should do in this situation, think any proper person should do in this situation, the said thing that the said things the proper authorities and you report it. That's how you handle these things. After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard the said thing the same acquainted with Richard the said thing the same acquainted with Richard the same acq				
s calls that were hung up and the text messages which I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because I I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, the was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that think any proper person should do in this situation, I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these messages. I will put them back. They're available upon anyone's request. school board, don't come to me, you go right to the proper authorities and you report it. That's how you handle these things. After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I are reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the preak? (Whereupon, a discussion was held the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end are off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end are off the record. Whereupon, a discussion was held are off the record. Whereupon, a discussion was held are off the record. Whereupon, a discussion was held (Whereupon, a discussion was held)				
9 I'd have to look through. And in these contacts, 10 there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because 11 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 12 that he should not have said. And as a consequence, 13 fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, 14 he was extremely upset. 15 I had two choices. One, call him back 16 and participate in what I considered at that point 17 to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I 19 think any proper person should do in this situation, 20 I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. 21 Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these 22 messages. I will put them back. They're available 23 upon anyone's request. 9 proper authorities and you report it. That's how you handle these things. 10 you handle these things. 11 After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard treviewed, so I'll bring them out now. 12 MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short break? 13 Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I are reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. 14 Prizzuti. And these are part of the documents I are reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. 15 MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short break? 16 (Whereupon, a discussion was held the record.) 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end are off the record. 28 are off the record. 29 (Whereupon, a discussion was held (Whereupon, a discussion was held the record.)	1	-		
there is an increasing frenzy to speak to me because I I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things I that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, I he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back I had participate in what I considered at that point I to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I Sent him time I became acquainted, on I during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I are reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. Sent him to prison in the record. Sent him to prison in 2015, o				
11 I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things 12 that he should not have said or realized after the 13 fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, 14 he was extremely upset. 15 I had two choices. One, call him back 16 and participate in what I considered at that point 17 to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I 19 think any proper person should do in this situation, 20 I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. 21 Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these 22 messages. I will put them back. They're available 23 upon anyone's request. 11 After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard acquainted with Richard acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard acquainted with		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		proper authorities and you report it. That's how
that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think any proper person should do in this situation, I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these messages. I will put them back. They're available upon anyone's request. I during that time I became acquainted with Richard reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short break? (Whereupon, a discussion was held media number two. The time is 10:37 a.m. We are off the record. (Whereupon, a discussion was held whereupon, a discussion was held (Whereupon, a discussion was held	10	there is an increasing trenzy to speak to me because		1 17 11 11 1
fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think any proper person should do in this situation, I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these messages. I will put them back. They're available upon anyone's request. 13 Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I are reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short break? (Whereupon, a discussion was held the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end are off the record. (Whereupon, a discussion was held are off the record.				-
14 he was extremely upset. 15 I had two choices. One, call him back 16 and participate in what I considered at that point 17 to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that 18 sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I 19 think any proper person should do in this situation, 20 I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. 21 Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these 22 messages. I will put them back. They're available 23 upon anyone's request. 14 reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. 15 mext set of documents can we take a short break? 18 (Whereupon, a discussion was held the record.) 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end are off the record. 21 which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these 22 are off the record. 23 (Whereupon, a discussion was held the record.)		I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things	11	After that, I became acquainted, or
I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think any proper person should do in this situation, I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these messages. I will put them back. They're available upon anyone's request. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short break? (Whereupon, a discussion was held the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the ence of the record. are off the record. (Whereupon, a discussion was held (Whereupon, a discussion was held	12	I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the	11 12	After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard
and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think any proper person should do in this situation, I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these messages. I will put them back. They're available upon anyone's request. I mext set of documents can we take a short break? Whereupon, a discussion was held the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end media number two. The time is 10:37 a.m. We are off the record. Whereupon, a discussion was held Whereupon, a discussion was held	12 13	I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence,	11 12 13	After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I also
to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think any proper person should do in this situation, I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these messages. I will put them back. They're available upon anyone's request. break? (Whereupon, a discussion was held the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end media number two. The time is 10:37 a.m. We are off the record. (Whereupon, a discussion was held	12 13 14	I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset.	11 12 13 14	After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I also reviewed, so I'll bring them out now.
sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think any proper person should do in this situation, I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these messages. I will put them back. They're available upon anyone's request. I (Whereupon, a discussion was held the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end media number two. The time is 10:37 a.m. W are off the record. Whereupon, a discussion was held (Whereupon, a discussion was held	12 13 14 15	I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back	11 12 13 14 15	After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I also reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the
think any proper person should do in this situation, I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these messages. I will put them back. They're available upon anyone's request. I the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end media number two. The time is 10:37 a.m. W are off the record. Whereupon, a discussion was held	12 13 14 15 16	I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point	11 12 13 14 15 16	After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I also reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short
20 I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. 21 Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these 22 messages. I will put them back. They're available 23 upon anyone's request. 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end media number two. The time is 10:37 a.m. We are off the record. 23 (Whereupon, a discussion was held	12 13 14 15 16 17	I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I also reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short break?
21 Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these 22 messages. I will put them back. They're available 23 upon anyone's request. 21 media number two. The time is 10:37 a.m. V 22 are off the record. 23 (Whereupon, a discussion was held	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I also reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short break? (Whereupon, a discussion was held off
22 messages. I will put them back. They're available 22 are off the record. 23 upon anyone's request. 23 (Whereupon, a discussion was held	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think any proper person should do in this situation,	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I also reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short break? (Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.)
23 upon anyone's request. 23 (Whereupon, a discussion was held	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think any proper person should do in this situation, I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I also reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short break? (Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of
	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think any proper person should do in this situation, I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I also reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short break? (Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number two. The time is 10:37 a.m. We
the record \	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think any proper person should do in this situation, I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these messages. I will put them back. They're available	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I also reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short break? (Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number two. The time is 10:37 a.m. We are off the record.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think any proper person should do in this situation, I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these messages. I will put them back. They're available upon anyone's request.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I also reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short break? (Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number two. The time is 10:37 a.m. We are off the record. (Whereupon, a discussion was held off
25 interesting. I don't know I have reason to 25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 11:37 a.m	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I realize Mr. Ferriero was drunk. He said things that he should not have said or realized after the fact he should not have said. And as a consequence, he was extremely upset. I had two choices. One, call him back and participate in what I considered at that point to be a criminal conspiracy similar to the one that sent him to prison in 2015, or I could do what I think any proper person should do in this situation, I could submit to an interview with the F.B.I. Which I did in June of 2018. And I have all these messages. I will put them back. They're available upon anyone's request. After that, things started to get very	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	After that, I became acquainted, or during that time I became acquainted with Richard Pizzuti. And these are part of the documents I also reviewed, so I'll bring them out now. MS. PATEL: Before you start on the next set of documents can we take a short break? (Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number two. The time is 10:37 a.m. We are off the record. (Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.)

	David Sivella		
1	Page 50	1	Page 52
1	We are off the record.	1	process, I went through my records and I have a
2	(Whereupon, a brief recess was	2	Pashman Stein letter here. This is from CJ Griffin,
3	taken.)	3	and because I had to seek CJ's assistance as an OPRA
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media	4	expert because when I requested the bills for no bid
5	number three. Time is 11:51 a.m. We are back	5	contract work done in the public schools by
6	on the record.	6	Commissioner John Montillo, M-O-N-T-I-L-L-O, and
7	A Shall I pick up where I left off?	7	Commissioner Richard Jarvis, J-A-R-V-I-S, the father
8	Q Sure.	8	of current police chief Richard Jarvis, then
9	A All right. So after Mr. Ferriero	9	Lieutenant Jarvis. When I requested their bills, I
10	approached me in 2018, around, before, just after	10	got a bill for \$2,454 for what my attorney said were
11	that period of time, through a woman named Patricia	11	2 inches of documents. \$2,500 approximately for
12	Scott she is deceased I met a Richard Pizzuti	12	2 inches of copies of what they did not want out
13	for the first time. I did not know him before.	13	obviously which were \$300,000 in bills no bid work
14	Richard was interested in running for the school	14	charged by John Montillo, commissioner, and some
15	board. And I have been known to on occasion run	15	sixty or \$70,000 worth of work charged no bid by
16	some pretty good campaigns, unfortunately not for	16	Commissioner Jarvis over a period of years. For
17	myself. And the this is just a submission, this	17	Mr. Montillo, it was during his entire tenure as a
18	is a piece of literature I did for Richard Pizzuti.	18	commissioner with a very few exceptions. 300,000
19	Good looking guy, right? This was done in 2018.	19	worth of work with the exception of maybe \$10,000
20	And I helped raise money for	20	worth of work that happened prior to his election.
21	Mr. Pizzuti. I wrote this and had it produced for	21	So he had a massive increase in income as a result
22	him and it was mailed. And Mr. Pizzuti went on to	22	strike that. After his election as commissioner.
23	win that election quite handily, he was the top	23	Commissioner Jarvis had a longer
24	vote-getter. And I'm not saying that gratuitously.	24	period of time as a non-elected official doing no
25	It's relevant to I think the predicament everyone is	25	bid work. But continued to do so after he was
١.	Page 51		Page 53
1	in or at least some people are in today.	1	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got
2	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in	2	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same
2 3	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate	2 3	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an
2 3 4	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in	2 3 4	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income
2 3 4 5	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the	2 3 4 5	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with
2 3 4 5 6	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti	2 3 4 5 6	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid
2 3 4 5 6 7	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before	2 3 4 5 6 7	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that. So that period of time Richard Pizzuti	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my opinion, of Mr. Pizzuti's issues with the Township
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that. So that period of time Richard Pizzuti would have filed to run for the school board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my opinion, of Mr. Pizzuti's issues with the Township of Lyndhurst.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that. So that period of time Richard Pizzuti would have filed to run for the school board somewhere during the month of July of 2018. His	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my opinion, of Mr. Pizzuti's issues with the Township of Lyndhurst. And I mean specifically the police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that. So that period of time Richard Pizzuti would have filed to run for the school board somewhere during the month of July of 2018. His intentions were becoming known, if they weren't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my opinion, of Mr. Pizzuti's issues with the Township of Lyndhurst. And I mean specifically the police department because the photograph that you saw —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that. So that period of time Richard Pizzuti would have filed to run for the school board somewhere during the month of July of 2018. His intentions were becoming known, if they weren't known already. And at that time I began I had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my opinion, of Mr. Pizzuti's issues with the Township of Lyndhurst. And I mean specifically the police department because the photograph that you saw — I'll take it out again. Could never look at Ricky
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that. So that period of time Richard Pizzuti would have filed to run for the school board somewhere during the month of July of 2018. His intentions were becoming known, if they weren't known already. And at that time I began — I had opened — I had started a website in 2017 called	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my opinion, of Mr. Pizzuti's issues with the Township of Lyndhurst. And I mean specifically the police department because the photograph that you saw — I'll take it out again. Could never look at Ricky too much. This photograph was taken by Officer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that. So that period of time Richard Pizzuti would have filed to run for the school board somewhere during the month of July of 2018. His intentions were becoming known, if they weren't known already. And at that time I began — I had opened — I had started a website in 2017 called Lyndhurst 07071.com. And the purpose of that was to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my opinion, of Mr. Pizzuti's issues with the Township of Lyndhurst. And I mean specifically the police department because the photograph that you saw — I'll take it out again. Could never look at Ricky too much. This photograph was taken by Officer Fernandes' wife and I was present when that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that. So that period of time Richard Pizzuti would have filed to run for the school board somewhere during the month of July of 2018. His intentions were becoming known, if they weren't known already. And at that time I began I had opened I had started a website in 2017 called Lyndhurst 07071.com. And the purpose of that was to distribute public information in the public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my opinion, of Mr. Pizzuti's issues with the Township of Lyndhurst. And I mean specifically the police department because the photograph that you saw — I'll take it out again. Could never look at Ricky too much. This photograph was taken by Officer Fernandes' wife and I was present when that was done. It was done in a park in Rutherford. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that. So that period of time Richard Pizzuti would have filed to run for the school board somewhere during the month of July of 2018. His intentions were becoming known, if they weren't known already. And at that time I began I had opened I had started a website in 2017 called Lyndhurst 07071.com. And the purpose of that was to distribute public information in the public interests about government and its politicians in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my opinion, of Mr. Pizzuti's issues with the Township of Lyndhurst. And I mean specifically the police department because the photograph that you saw — I'll take it out again. Could never look at Ricky too much. This photograph was taken by Officer Fernandes' wife and I was present when that was done. It was done in a park in Rutherford. And when Officer Fernandez's wife —— and Officer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that. So that period of time Richard Pizzuti would have filed to run for the school board somewhere during the month of July of 2018. His intentions were becoming known, if they weren't known already. And at that time I began — I had opened — I had started a website in 2017 called Lyndhurst 07071.com. And the purpose of that was to distribute public information in the public interests about government and its politicians in Lyndhurst. And, also, to be able to have a forum in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my opinion, of Mr. Pizzuti's issues with the Township of Lyndhurst. And I mean specifically the police department because the photograph that you saw —— I'll take it out again. Could never look at Ricky too much. This photograph was taken by Officer Fernandes' wife and I was present when that was done. It was done in a park in Rutherford. And when Officer Fernandez's wife —— and Officer Fernandez is the officer who went to the house —— my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that. So that period of time Richard Pizzuti would have filed to run for the school board somewhere during the month of July of 2018. His intentions were becoming known, if they weren't known already. And at that time I began — I had opened — I had started a website in 2017 called Lyndhurst 07071.com. And the purpose of that was to distribute public information in the public interests about government and its politicians in Lyndhurst. And, also, to be able to have a forum in which to publish public documents. And the school	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my opinion, of Mr. Pizzuti's issues with the Township of Lyndhurst. And I mean specifically the police department because the photograph that you saw — I'll take it out again. Could never look at Ricky too much. This photograph was taken by Officer Fernandes' wife and I was present when that was done. It was done in a park in Rutherford. And when Officer Fernandez's wife — and Officer Fernandez is the officer who went to the house — my house, was the subject of the lawsuit with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	so Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that. So that period of time Richard Pizzuti would have filed to run for the school board somewhere during the month of July of 2018. His intentions were becoming known, if they weren't known already. And at that time I began I had opened I had started a website in 2017 called Lyndhurst 07071.com. And the purpose of that was to distribute public information in the public interests about government and its politicians in Lyndhurst. And, also, to be able to have a forum in which to publish public documents. And the school board was of interest to me, I was helping	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my opinion, of Mr. Pizzuti's issues with the Township of Lyndhurst. And I mean specifically the police department because the photograph that you saw ———————————————————————————————————
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that. So that period of time Richard Pizzuti would have filed to run for the school board somewhere during the month of July of 2018. His intentions were becoming known, if they weren't known already. And at that time I began I had opened I had started a website in 2017 called Lyndhurst 07071.com. And the purpose of that was to distribute public information in the public interests about government and its politicians in Lyndhurst. And, also, to be able to have a forum in which to publish public documents. And the school board was of interest to me, I was helping Mr. Pizzuti, and that was my first forray into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my opinion, of Mr. Pizzuti's issues with the Township of Lyndhurst. And I mean specifically the police department because the photograph that you saw — I'll take it out again. Could never look at Ricky too much. This photograph was taken by Officer Fernandes' wife and I was present when that was done. It was done in a park in Rutherford. And when Officer Fernandez's wife — and Officer Fernandez is the officer who went to the house — my house, was the subject of the lawsuit with Mr. Carrino there. When Officer Fernandez recognized I was with Mr. Pizzuti, his wife, when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	so Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that. So that period of time Richard Pizzuti would have filed to run for the school board somewhere during the month of July of 2018. His intentions were becoming known, if they weren't known already. And at that time I began I had opened I had started a website in 2017 called Lyndhurst 07071.com. And the purpose of that was to distribute public information in the public interests about government and its politicians in Lyndhurst. And, also, to be able to have a forum in which to publish public documents. And the school board was of interest to me, I was helping	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my opinion, of Mr. Pizzuti's issues with the Township of Lyndhurst. And I mean specifically the police department because the photograph that you saw ———————————————————————————————————
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that. So that period of time Richard Pizzuti would have filed to run for the school board somewhere during the month of July of 2018. His intentions were becoming known, if they weren't known already. And at that time I began I had opened I had started a website in 2017 called Lyndhurst 07071.com. And the purpose of that was to distribute public information in the public interests about government and its politicians in Lyndhurst. And, also, to be able to have a forum in which to publish public documents. And the school board was of interest to me, I was helping Mr. Pizzuti, and that was my first forray into school elections after having opposed that middle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my opinion, of Mr. Pizzuti's issues with the Township of Lyndhurst. And I mean specifically the police department because the photograph that you saw —— I'll take it out again. Could never look at Ricky too much. This photograph was taken by Officer Fernandes' wife and I was present when that was done. It was done in a park in Rutherford. And when Officer Fernandez's wife —— and Officer Fernandez is the officer who went to the house —— my house, was the subject of the lawsuit with Mr. Carrino there. When Officer Fernandez recognized I was with Mr. Pizzuti, his wife, when they put that together, Mr. Pizzuti reported to me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in or at least some people are in today. So Mr. Pizzuti established himself in this election as two things: One, as an associate of mine. Two, as a very potent vote-getter in Lyndhurst, on his own right. And that, just for the record as well, was unknown because Richard Pizzuti to my knowledge had never run for office before that. So that period of time Richard Pizzuti would have filed to run for the school board somewhere during the month of July of 2018. His intentions were becoming known, if they weren't known already. And at that time I began — I had opened — I had started a website in 2017 called Lyndhurst 07071.com. And the purpose of that was to distribute public information in the public interests about government and its politicians in Lyndhurst. And, also, to be able to have a forum in which to publish public documents. And the school board was of interest to me, I was helping Mr. Pizzuti, and that was my first forray into school elections after having opposed that middle school described earlier — strike that — the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	elected. So when I requested these documents, I got a bill for \$2400.54. Coincidentally, at the same time, Richard Pizzuti is preparing to run for an office that affects those people getting that income because he could have, as school board trustee with the majority, removed those people as no bid contractors, if he chose to and had a majority who worked with him. And that is the beginning, in my opinion, of Mr. Pizzuti's issues with the Township of Lyndhurst. And I mean specifically the police department because the photograph that you saw —— I'll take it out again. Could never look at Ricky too much. This photograph was taken by Officer Fernandes' wife and I was present when that was done. It was done in a park in Rutherford. And when Officer Fernandez's wife —— and Officer Fernandez is the officer who went to the house —— my house, was the subject of the lawsuit with Mr. Carrino there. When Officer Fernandez recognized I was with Mr. Pizzuti, his wife, when they put that together, Mr. Pizzuti reported to me he had to keep his distance publically from me

			J1/25/202 4
1	Page 54 police department. And that's understandable. I	1	Page 56 you my name, I didn't do anything. And they
2	wasn't personally offended by that. I actually felt	2	eventually agreed that he didn't have to, referring
3	very bothered that that was happening to him. And I	3	to Mr. Carrino and a colleague, I believe
4	continued to support him. This wasn't even produced	4	Mr. Phillip Reyna. They eventually leave him alone
5	yet when he told me that but I continued to produce	5	and later in that month, this video of Mr. Carrino
6	it because what the photographer thinks of me was of	6	and Mr. Sena goes up on my website, Lyndhurst
7	- · · · ·	7	07071.com.
8	no consequence to me. So that marks the beginning,	8	Shortly after that, my records with
9	July 2018, August 2018, thereabouts, that began a	9	attorney CJ Griffin, I'm reporting this to CJ
	period of time where Mr. Pizzuti, to my		
10	• • •	10	Griffin, we get Brandon Sena calls me in distress
11	understanding, started having issues with the	11	because he started receiving text messages from Lieutenant Carrino's son. Also, I believe Michael
12	Lyndhurst Police Department. But Mr. Pizzuti has	12	
13	always been highly professional, at least with me.	13	Carrino who, currently and after this event, after
14	I can't speak to anything else in his career but my	14	this event, and I'll say it one more time for
15	experience with him, Mr. Pizzuti never referred to	15	emphasis, after this event, shortly after, within
16	internal issues specifically, he just made reference	16	two years, less, is a cop himself, is now a
17	to them that in one case, specifically,	17	Lyndhurst police officer himself, appointed by
18	Fernandez, that he was having a problem with. And I	18	Robert Giangeruso. And I remind people there is no
19	didn't inquire because I didn't want to put him in	19	civil service test in Lyndhurst. It's at the will
20	any further jeopardy.	20	of the police commissioner.
21	All right. So this is July of 2018.	21	So Mr. Carrino's son reached out to
22	So tensions are building. And then we get into	22	Brandon. Yo, this is Brandon, right? This is
23	August of 2018. And I reviewed those documents.	23	Michael Carrino. Listen, I'm usually a pretty laid
24	And in August of 2018, Mr. Sena, whose name you	24	back chill kid, but when you bring my family in to
25	have, Brandon Sena, actually, the event occurred	25	it that would be you.
	Page 55		Page 57
1	that resulted in some of the activity later in	1	Q Who are you referring to?
2	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring.	2	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now
2 3	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've	2 3	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael
2 3 4	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police	2 3 4	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino.
2 3 4 5	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting	2 3 4 5	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it,
2 3 4 5 6	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant	2 3 4 5 6	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and creating a disturbance of some sort. And among the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue. A I am usually a pretty laid back chill
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and creating a disturbance of some sort. And among the officers to appear are Lieutenant Carrino, also a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue. A I am usually a pretty laid back chill kid but when you bring my family into it that's when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and creating a disturbance of some sort. And among the officers to appear are Lieutenant Carrino, also a defendant in this case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue. A I am usually a pretty laid back chill kid but when you bring my family into it that's when it gets I get real. I get real. So I'm gonna
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and creating a disturbance of some sort. And among the officers to appear are Lieutenant Carrino, also a defendant in this case. There's you can read the report	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue. A I am usually a pretty laid back chill kid but when you bring my family into it that's when it gets I get real. I get real. So I'm gonna tell you now, if you record my dad referring to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and creating a disturbance of some sort. And among the officers to appear are Lieutenant Carrino, also a defendant in this case. There's you can read the report yourself. In short, he was doing nothing that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue. A I am usually a pretty laid back chill kid but when you bring my family into it that's when it gets I get real. I get real. So I'm gonna tell you now, if you record my dad referring to Lieutenant Michael Carrino again and post it on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and creating a disturbance of some sort. And among the officers to appear are Lieutenant Carrino, also a defendant in this case. There's you can read the report yourself. In short, he was doing nothing that was illegal. There was no purpose in calling the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue. A I am usually a pretty laid back chill kid but when you bring my family into it that's when it gets I get real. I get real. So I'm gonna tell you now, if you record my dad referring to Lieutenant Michael Carrino again and post it on your little website referring to Lyndhurst
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and creating a disturbance of some sort. And among the officers to appear are Lieutenant Carrino, also a defendant in this case. There's you can read the report yourself. In short, he was doing nothing that was illegal. There was no purpose in calling the police. They did it anyway. And there was nothing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue. A I am usually a pretty laid back chill kid but when you bring my family into it that's when it gets I get real. I get real. So I'm gonna tell you now, if you record my dad referring to Lieutenant Michael Carrino again and post it on your little website referring to Lyndhurst 07071.com I am gonna have to do something about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and creating a disturbance of some sort. And among the officers to appear are Lieutenant Carrino, also a defendant in this case. There's you can read the report yourself. In short, he was doing nothing that was illegal. There was no purpose in calling the police. They did it anyway. And there was nothing they could do. However, Mr. Sena recorded with his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue. A I am usually a pretty laid back chill kid but when you bring my family into it that's when it gets I get real. I get real. So I'm gonna tell you now, if you record my dad referring to Lieutenant Michael Carrino again and post it on your little website referring to Lyndhurst 07071.com I am gonna have to do something about it. I hope you get that loud and clear.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and creating a disturbance of some sort. And among the officers to appear are Lieutenant Carrino, also a defendant in this case. There's you can read the report yourself. In short, he was doing nothing that was illegal. There was no purpose in calling the police. They did it anyway. And there was nothing they could do. However, Mr. Sena recorded with his phone I think, I was not present recorded with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue. A I am usually a pretty laid back chill kid but when you bring my family into it that's when it gets I get real. I get real. So I'm gonna tell you now, if you record my dad referring to Lieutenant Michael Carrino again and post it on your little website referring to Lyndhurst 07071.com I am gonna have to do something about it. I hope you get that loud and clear. There's unintelligible stuff like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and creating a disturbance of some sort. And among the officers to appear are Lieutenant Carrino, also a defendant in this case. There's you can read the report yourself. In short, he was doing nothing that was illegal. There was no purpose in calling the police. They did it anyway. And there was nothing they could do. However, Mr. Sena recorded with his phone I think, I was not present recorded with his phone some footage of his interactions with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue. A I am usually a pretty laid back chill kid but when you bring my family into it that's when it gets I get real. I get real. So I'm gonna tell you now, if you record my dad referring to Lieutenant Michael Carrino again and post it on your little website referring to Lyndhurst 07071.com I am gonna have to do something about it. I hope you get that loud and clear. There's unintelligible stuff like (unintelligible) I can't even say it. Kids. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case — I've got copies for everyone here — there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and creating a disturbance of some sort. And among the officers to appear are Lieutenant Carrino, also a defendant in this case. There's — you can read the report yourself. In short, he was doing nothing that was illegal. There was no purpose in calling the police. They did it anyway. And there was nothing they could do. However, Mr. Sena recorded with his phone — I think, I was not present — recorded with his phone some footage of his interactions with the Lyndhurst police during this. Not Mrs. Haggerty,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue. A I am usually a pretty laid back chill kid but when you bring my family into it that's when it gets I get real. I get real. So I'm gonna tell you now, if you record my dad referring to Lieutenant Michael Carrino again and post it on your little website referring to Lyndhurst 07071.com I am gonna have to do something about it. I hope you get that loud and clear. There's unintelligible stuff like (unintelligible) I can't even say it. Kids. And then he says, you can come talk to my face come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case — I've got copies for everyone here — there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and creating a disturbance of some sort. And among the officers to appear are Lieutenant Carrino, also a defendant in this case. There's — you can read the report yourself. In short, he was doing nothing that was illegal. There was no purpose in calling the police. They did it anyway. And there was nothing they could do. However, Mr. Sena recorded with his phone — I think, I was not present — recorded with his phone some footage of his interactions with the Lyndhurst police during this. Not Mrs. Haggerty, not Commissioner Haggerty. She was not in any of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue. A I am usually a pretty laid back chill kid but when you bring my family into it that's when it gets I get real. I get real. So I'm gonna tell you now, if you record my dad referring to Lieutenant Michael Carrino again and post it on your little website referring to Lyndhurst 07071.com I am gonna have to do something about it. I hope you get that loud and clear. There's unintelligible stuff like (unintelligible) I can't even say it. Kids. And then he says, you can come talk to my face come talk to me face-to-face this is Mr. Carrino,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and creating a disturbance of some sort. And among the officers to appear are Lieutenant Carrino, also a defendant in this case. There's you can read the report yourself. In short, he was doing nothing that was illegal. There was no purpose in calling the police. They did it anyway. And there was nothing they could do. However, Mr. Sena recorded with his phone I think, I was not present recorded with his phone some footage of his interactions with the Lyndhurst police during this. Not Mrs. Haggerty, not Commissioner Haggerty. She was not in any of this, but then Lieutenant Carrino was. And on that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue. A I am usually a pretty laid back chill kid but when you bring my family into it that's when it gets I get real. I get real. So I'm gonna tell you now, if you record my dad referring to Lieutenant Michael Carrino again and post it on your little website referring to Lyndhurst 07071.com I am gonna have to do something about it. I hope you get that loud and clear. There's unintelligible stuff like (unintelligible) I can't even say it. Kids. And then he says, you can come talk to my face come talk to me face-to-face this is Mr. Carrino, young Mr. Carrino. I hope you get it loud and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and creating a disturbance of some sort. And among the officers to appear are Lieutenant Carrino, also a defendant in this case. There's you can read the report yourself. In short, he was doing nothing that was illegal. There was no purpose in calling the police. They did it anyway. And there was nothing they could do. However, Mr. Sena recorded with his phone I think, I was not present recorded with his phone some footage of his interactions with the Lyndhurst police during this. Not Mrs. Haggerty, not Commissioner Haggerty. She was not in any of this, but then Lieutenant Carrino was. And on that website I mentioned, Lyndhurst 07071.com, I took	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue. A I am usually a pretty laid back chill kid but when you bring my family into it that's when it gets I get real. I get real. So I'm gonna tell you now, if you record my dad referring to Lieutenant Michael Carrino again and post it on your little website referring to Lyndhurst 07071.com I am gonna have to do something about it. I hope you get that loud and clear. There's unintelligible stuff like (unintelligible) I can't even say it. Kids. And then he says, you can come talk to my face come talk to me face-to-face this is Mr. Carrino, young Mr. Carrino. I hope you get it loud and clear. Says it again for the second time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and creating a disturbance of some sort. And among the officers to appear are Lieutenant Carrino, also a defendant in this case. There's you can read the report yourself. In short, he was doing nothing that was illegal. There was no purpose in calling the police. They did it anyway. And there was nothing they could do. However, Mr. Sena recorded with his phone I think, I was not present recorded with his phone some footage of his interactions with the Lyndhurst police during this. Not Mrs. Haggerty, not Commissioner Haggerty. She was not in any of this, but then Lieutenant Carrino was. And on that website I mentioned, Lyndhurst 07071.com, I took Brandon Sena's video of his interactions with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue. A I am usually a pretty laid back chill kid but when you bring my family into it that's when it gets I get real. I get real. So I'm gonna tell you now, if you record my dad referring to Lieutenant Michael Carrino again and post it on your little website referring to Lyndhurst 07071.com I am gonna have to do something about it. I hope you get that loud and clear. There's unintelligible stuff like (unintelligible) I can't even say it. Kids. And then he says, you can come talk to my face come talk to me face-to-face this is Mr. Carrino, young Mr. Carrino. I hope you get it loud and clear. Says it again for the second time. Brandon Sena responds: Are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that resulted in some of the activity later in court. Some of the events started occurring. And in this particular case I've got copies for everyone here there's a police report. And this is a police report reflecting Commissioner Karen Haggerty, the mother of defendant Paul Haggerty, contacting the Lyndhurst police because there was a male in the park soliciting and creating a disturbance of some sort. And among the officers to appear are Lieutenant Carrino, also a defendant in this case. There's you can read the report yourself. In short, he was doing nothing that was illegal. There was no purpose in calling the police. They did it anyway. And there was nothing they could do. However, Mr. Sena recorded with his phone I think, I was not present recorded with his phone some footage of his interactions with the Lyndhurst police during this. Not Mrs. Haggerty, not Commissioner Haggerty. She was not in any of this, but then Lieutenant Carrino was. And on that website I mentioned, Lyndhurst 07071.com, I took	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Who are you referring to? A I'm referring to Michael now Captain Michael Carrino, then Lieutenant Michael Carrino. But when you bring my family into it, that's when I'm sorry. Do you want me to stop for a minute? Q No, no. Please continue. A I am usually a pretty laid back chill kid but when you bring my family into it that's when it gets I get real. I get real. So I'm gonna tell you now, if you record my dad referring to Lieutenant Michael Carrino again and post it on your little website referring to Lyndhurst 07071.com I am gonna have to do something about it. I hope you get that loud and clear. There's unintelligible stuff like (unintelligible) I can't even say it. Kids. And then he says, you can come talk to my face come talk to me face-to-face this is Mr. Carrino, young Mr. Carrino. I hope you get it loud and clear. Says it again for the second time.

	David Sivena		
1	Page 58 don't be smart. All right? Not gonna get you	1	Page 60 would get information through Pat Scott. I talk to
2	anywhere with me.	2	her quite frequently. And in one particular case
3	Brandon Sena then cites freedom of the	3	something troubled me so much that I shared this
4	press in the United States is legally protected by	4	with attorney CJ Griffin in efforts to get an OPRA
5	the First Amendment to the United States	5	request to find out if this was true because this
6	Constitution.	6	was highly disturbing issue. And mind you, there is
7	And Mr. Carrino ends by saying, he,	7	some history with the DiMaggio family to begin with,
8	I'll bet, do it again, do it again, and the	8	but this was not a direct issue with me or them,
9	conversation ends.	9	this had to do with school issues and the like.
10	A police report was made about this	10	Gina DiMaggio is a public school
11	interaction. Shortly thereafter I went with	11	teacher in Lyndhurst, New Jersey. She is the
12	Mr. Sena to make this police report. I do not know	12	daughter of then Commissioner Thomas DiMaggio. In
13	if this was reviewed in the process of hiring young	13	September of 2019, Thomas DiMaggio was a
14	Mr. Michael Carrino as a police officer who has a	14	commissioner. His daughter was a teacher in the
15	gun and a badge and a taser and mace. I do not	15	Lyndhurst public schools. His son, just for the
16	know. But I do know that there is a history of	16	record, was a sheriff's officer in the Bergen County
17	Mr Mayor Giangeruso who is also Police	17	Sheriff's Department, a job I helped facilitate him
18	Commissioner Giangeruso, appointing officers with	18	getting.
19	mental issues. And this was highly disturbing to	19	I get a text message, copy of a text
20	say the least. And also the fact that this animus	20	message given to me by Pat Scott, between
21	existed is part of the basis of why I reviewed this.	21	Mr. Pizzuti and I believe Pat Scott, but I don't
22	Excuse me just one second. I'm	22	know for certain. But she gave it to me. And the
23	putting this back in order so I can make reference	23	dialogue is this is a rabbit hole later blah, blah,
24	to it. Thank you for your patience.	24	blah. They're referring to a matter involving Gina
25	Now, that brings us back to	25	DiMaggio. And this is not Ricky Pizzuti speaking,
	Page 59		Page 61
1	Page 59 Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I	1	$\begin{array}{c} {\bf Page~61}\\ {\bf this~is~someone~telling~me,~telling~this~person~in~a} \end{array}$
2	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And	2	8
2 3	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time	2 3	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio
2 3 4	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was	2 3 4	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a
2 3 4 5	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had	2 3 4 5	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland,
2 3 4 5 6	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the	2 3 4 5 6	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police	2 3 4 5 6 7	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police department because of his association with me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close friends. Ricky, meaning Mr. Pizzuti this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police department because of his association with me. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close friends. Ricky, meaning Mr. Pizzuti this is according to this document Ricky said this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police department because of his association with me. But Q Just object to that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close friends. Ricky, meaning Mr. Pizzuti this is according to this document Ricky said this is very suspect because Guirland who is close to Tony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police department because of his association with me. But Q Just object to that. A I'm telling you what my opinion is and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close friends. Ricky, meaning Mr. Pizzuti this is according to this document Ricky said this is very suspect because Guirland who is close to Tony DiMaggio investigated Gina DiMaggio.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police department because of his association with me. But Q Just object to that. A I'm telling you what my opinion is and what my experience was and what I saw him going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close friends. Ricky, meaning Mr. Pizzuti this is according to this document Ricky said this is very suspect because Guirland who is close to Tony DiMaggio investigated Gina DiMaggio. And the dialogue goes down to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police department because of his association with me. But Q Just object to that. A I'm telling you what my opinion is and what my experience was and what I saw him going through with my own two eyes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close friends. Ricky, meaning Mr. Pizzuti this is according to this document Ricky said this is very suspect because Guirland who is close to Tony DiMaggio investigated Gina DiMaggio. And the dialogue goes down to something strange happening because of some e-mails
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police department because of his association with me. But Q Just object to that. A I'm telling you what my opinion is and what my experience was and what I saw him going through with my own two eyes. Q You realize you're answering the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close friends. Ricky, meaning Mr. Pizzuti this is according to this document Ricky said this is very suspect because Guirland who is close to Tony DiMaggio investigated Gina DiMaggio. And the dialogue goes down to something strange happening because of some e-mails with the schools. And I'll cut to the chase. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police department because of his association with me. But Q Just object to that. A I'm telling you what my opinion is and what my experience was and what I saw him going through with my own two eyes. Q You realize you're answering the questions about what documents you reviewed still,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close friends. Ricky, meaning Mr. Pizzuti this is according to this document Ricky said this is very suspect because Guirland who is close to Tony DiMaggio investigated Gina DiMaggio. And the dialogue goes down to something strange happening because of some e-mails with the schools. And I'll cut to the chase. I ended up OPRA requesting e-mails from the Lyndhurst
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police department because of his association with me. But Q Just object to that. A I'm telling you what my opinion is and what my experience was and what I saw him going through with my own two eyes. Q You realize you're answering the questions about what documents you reviewed still, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close friends. Ricky, meaning Mr. Pizzuti this is according to this document Ricky said this is very suspect because Guirland who is close to Tony DiMaggio investigated Gina DiMaggio. And the dialogue goes down to something strange happening because of some e-mails with the schools. And I'll cut to the chase. I ended up OPRA requesting e-mails from the Lyndhurst High School server system, Lyndhurst public school
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police department because of his association with me. But Q Just object to that. A I'm telling you what my opinion is and what my experience was and what I saw him going through with my own two eyes. Q You realize you're answering the questions about what documents you reviewed still, correct? A Thank you for your clarification. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close friends. Ricky, meaning Mr. Pizzuti this is according to this document Ricky said this is very suspect because Guirland who is close to Tony DiMaggio investigated Gina DiMaggio. And the dialogue goes down to something strange happening because of some e-mails with the schools. And I'll cut to the chase. I ended up OPRA requesting e-mails from the Lyndhurst High School server system, Lyndhurst public school system, server system. And I don't recall off the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police department because of his association with me. But Q Just object to that. A I'm telling you what my opinion is and what my experience was and what I saw him going through with my own two eyes. Q You realize you're answering the questions about what documents you reviewed still, correct? A Thank you for your clarification. I appreciate that. Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close friends. Ricky, meaning Mr. Pizzuti this is according to this document Ricky said this is very suspect because Guirland who is close to Tony DiMaggio investigated Gina DiMaggio. And the dialogue goes down to something strange happening because of some e-mails with the schools. And I'll cut to the chase. I ended up OPRA requesting e-mails from the Lyndhurst High School server system, Lyndhurst public school system, server system. And I don't recall off the top of my head if I got them or not. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police department because of his association with me. But Q Just object to that. A I'm telling you what my opinion is and what my experience was and what I saw him going through with my own two eyes. Q You realize you're answering the questions about what documents you reviewed still, correct? A Thank you for your clarification. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close friends. Ricky, meaning Mr. Pizzuti this is according to this document Ricky said this is very suspect because Guirland who is close to Tony DiMaggio investigated Gina DiMaggio. And the dialogue goes down to something strange happening because of some e-mails with the schools. And I'll cut to the chase. I ended up OPRA requesting e-mails from the Lyndhurst High School server system, Lyndhurst public school system, server system. And I don't recall off the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police department because of his association with me. But Q Just object to that. A I'm telling you what my opinion is and what my experience was and what I saw him going through with my own two eyes. Q You realize you're answering the questions about what documents you reviewed still, correct? A Thank you for your clarification. I appreciate that. Yes, I do. Q Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close friends. Ricky, meaning Mr. Pizzuti this is according to this document Ricky said this is very suspect because Guirland who is close to Tony DiMaggio investigated Gina DiMaggio. And the dialogue goes down to something strange happening because of some e-mails with the schools. And I'll cut to the chase. I ended up OPRA requesting e-mails from the Lyndhurst High School server system, Lyndhurst public school system, server system. And I don't recall off the top of my head if I got them or not. I don't believe that I did. They were redacted. There's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police department because of his association with me. But Q Just object to that. A I'm telling you what my opinion is and what my experience was and what I saw him going through with my own two eyes. Q You realize you're answering the questions about what documents you reviewed still, correct? A Thank you for your clarification. I appreciate that. Yes, I do. Q Okay. A I'm a very thorough guy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close friends. Ricky, meaning Mr. Pizzuti this is according to this document Ricky said this is very suspect because Guirland who is close to Tony DiMaggio investigated Gina DiMaggio. And the dialogue goes down to something strange happening because of some e-mails with the schools. And I'll cut to the chase. I ended up OPRA requesting e-mails from the Lyndhurst High School server system. Lyndhurst public school system, server system. And I don't recall off the top of my head if I got them or not. I don't believe that I did. They were redacted. There's nothing that stands out in my mind right now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police department because of his association with me. But Q Just object to that. A I'm telling you what my opinion is and what my experience was and what I saw him going through with my own two eyes. Q You realize you're answering the questions about what documents you reviewed still, correct? A Thank you for your clarification. I appreciate that. Yes, I do. Q Okay. A I'm a very thorough guy. Q If you say so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-I-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close friends. Ricky, meaning Mr. Pizzuti this is according to this document Ricky said this is very suspect because Guirland who is close to Tony DiMaggio investigated Gina DiMaggio. And the dialogue goes down to something strange happening because of some e-mails with the schools. And I'll cut to the chase. I ended up OPRA requesting e-mails from the Lyndhurst High School server system, Lyndhurst public school system, server system. And I don't recall off the top of my head if I got them or not. I don't believe that I did. They were redacted. There's nothing that stands out in my mind right now. But the issue at hand was Gina
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Pizzuti. And this is now a year after where I left off. We're now in September 5th of 2019. And just so everybody understands where we are in time and space, in terms of events, Mr. Pizzuti was elected. He was sworn in in January of 2019. I had very little contact with him directly because of the aforementioned issues he was having with the police department and harassment internally in the police department because of his association with me. But Q Just object to that. A I'm telling you what my opinion is and what my experience was and what I saw him going through with my own two eyes. Q You realize you're answering the questions about what documents you reviewed still, correct? A Thank you for your clarification. I appreciate that. Yes, I do. Q Okay. A I'm a very thorough guy. Q If you say so. A I do. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this is someone telling me, telling this person in a text what Ricky Pizzuti said. The cop who handled the Gina DiMaggio investigation of her interactions with a student, a former student, was a police officer named Guirland, G-U-I-L according to this G-U-I-L-R-L-A-N-D, don't know if that's correct spelling claiming that he, Guirland, and Tony DiMaggio are very close friends. Ricky, meaning Mr. Pizzuti this is according to this document Ricky said this is very suspect because Guirland who is close to Tony DiMaggio investigated Gina DiMaggio. And the dialogue goes down to something strange happening because of some e-mails with the schools. And I'll cut to the chase. I ended up OPRA requesting e-mails from the Lyndhurst High School server system, Lyndhurst public school system, server system. And I don't recall off the top of my head if I got them or not. I don't believe that I did. They were redacted. There's nothing that stands out in my mind right now. But the issue at hand was Gina DiMaggio received a very sexual message from a very

	David Sivena		
1	Page 62 say the least. But in error, I guess I'm	1	Page 64 Q Just note for the record that you were
2	assuming that he sent this to her government	2	making some type of mimic?
3	school public e-mail. And Mr. Pizzuti, I think, is	3	A I was mimicking him, yes, because he
4	a pretty upstanding person and dedicated person to	4	has a very distinctive voice. That was the point of
5	the public interest, he was clearly distressed by	5	it. I was mimicking the distinctiveness of his
6	this.	6	voice.
7	And again, I remind you, I am	7	So he has a recognizable voice and
8	perceived I think at that point in time	8	he no officer, he wasn't on duty that day. I'll
9	Mr. Pizzuti and I were to be on the same page	9	give him that. He was a civilian not a civilian,
10	politically, so if I did something it might be	10	but he was not acting in his official capacity that
11	perceived as Richard Pizzuti doing it. I e-mailed,	11	day. But he was the son of a commissioner who was
12	I strike that.	12	present, his sister is a public school teacher and
13	I OPRA requested, OPRA, Open Public	13	he is a sheriff's officer and works in the courts of
14	Records Act. I OPRA requested through CJ Griffin	14	Bergen County. He should know better, in short.
15	copies of Miss DiMaggio's e-mails. And to the best	15	So I took that to court. And during
16	of my recollection, this issue was very politely	16	that time I also wanted to make one other minor note
17	swept under the rug.	17	just so everybody understands my relationship with
18	I did one other thing myself. And I	18	Mr. Doris is not always well, he makes reference
19	remember it vividly. I can find out the exact date	19	to me imitating people in a way of what he claims
20	because I had tickets to the U.S. Open that night	20	is to be disrespectful.
21	and my husband and I were on our way to the U.S.	21	I have a memo from Mr. Silberman, my
22	Open and I had the driver stop at the young man's	22	attorney at the time, referring to when he was in
23	house, this Mr. Garcia, who's an adult at that time.	23	that closed meeting with Mr. Doris and the judge,
24	And I went and knocked on his door to check on him	24	according to him. And Mr. Doris referred to me,
25	because I was concerned that if he in fact had been	25	David Sivella, as a petulant child, quote unquote.
1	Page 63	1	Page 65
1 2	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically	1	I am a petulant child according to
2	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long	2	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file
	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police		I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls
2 3 4	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of,	2 3	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the
2 3	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police	2 3 4	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it.
2 3 4 5	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation.	2 3 4 5	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the
2 3 4 5 6	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself	2 3 4 5 6	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now
2 3 4 5 6 7	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and basically freaked out. And said no, no, no. And	2 3 4 5 6 7	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now when you call up call false police reports, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and basically freaked out. And said no, no, no. And that was the end of that. Never approached him again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now when you call up call false police reports, and send cops to people's houses, kids do it when they play video games. Swatting? Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and basically freaked out. And said no, no, no. And that was the end of that. Never approached him again. So that builds this animosity going on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now when you call up call false police reports, and send cops to people's houses, kids do it when they play video games. Swatting? Thank you, Mr. Haggerty. Swatting. It's a very similar type
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and basically freaked out. And said no, no, no. And that was the end of that. Never approached him again. So that builds this animosity going on in Lyndhurst during this time involving the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now when you call up call false police reports, and send cops to people's houses, kids do it when they play video games. Swatting? Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and basically freaked out. And said no, no, no. And that was the end of that. Never approached him again. So that builds this animosity going on in Lyndhurst during this time involving the DiMaggios, Haggertys, Carrinos and Mr. Pizzuti.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now when you call up call false police reports, and send cops to people's houses, kids do it when they play video games. Swatting? Thank you, Mr. Haggerty. Swatting. It's a very similar type of tactic only using the Lyndhurst Police Department as a tool for whatever you want to call that. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and basically freaked out. And said no, no, no. And that was the end of that. Never approached him again. So that builds this animosity going on in Lyndhurst during this time involving the DiMaggios, Haggertys, Carrinos and Mr. Pizzuti. I'm only making reference to this in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now when you call up call false police reports, and send cops to people's houses, kids do it when they play video games. Swatting? Thank you, Mr. Haggerty. Swatting. It's a very similar type of tactic only using the Lyndhurst Police Department as a tool for whatever you want to call that. You want to call it political, I would call it perhaps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and basically freaked out. And said no, no, no. And that was the end of that. Never approached him again. So that builds this animosity going on in Lyndhurst during this time involving the DiMaggios, Haggertys, Carrinos and Mr. Pizzuti. I'm only making reference to this in a timeline because we've already discussed this. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now when you call up call false police reports, and send cops to people's houses, kids do it when they play video games. Swatting? Thank you, Mr. Haggerty. Swatting. It's a very similar type of tactic only using the Lyndhurst Police Department as a tool for whatever you want to call that. You want to call it political, I would call it perhaps lacking in some serious judgment, perhaps worthy of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and basically freaked out. And said no, no, no. And that was the end of that. Never approached him again. So that builds this animosity going on in Lyndhurst during this time involving the DiMaggios, Haggertys, Carrinos and Mr. Pizzuti. I'm only making reference to this in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now when you call up call false police reports, and send cops to people's houses, kids do it when they play video games. Swatting? Thank you, Mr. Haggerty. Swatting. It's a very similar type of tactic only using the Lyndhurst Police Department as a tool for whatever you want to call that. You want to call it political, I would call it perhaps lacking in some serious judgment, perhaps worthy of some mental health evaluations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and basically freaked out. And said no, no, no. And that was the end of that. Never approached him again. So that builds this animosity going on in Lyndhurst during this time involving the DiMaggios, Haggertys, Carrinos and Mr. Pizzuti. I'm only making reference to this in a timeline because we've already discussed this. It is at this same time in September of 2019 that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now when you call up call false police reports, and send cops to people's houses, kids do it when they play video games. Swatting? Thank you, Mr. Haggerty. Swatting. It's a very similar type of tactic only using the Lyndhurst Police Department as a tool for whatever you want to call that. You want to call it political, I would call it perhaps lacking in some serious judgment, perhaps worthy of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and basically freaked out. And said no, no, no. And that was the end of that. Never approached him again. So that builds this animosity going on in Lyndhurst during this time involving the DiMaggios, Haggertys, Carrinos and Mr. Pizzuti. I'm only making reference to this in a timeline because we've already discussed this. It is at this same time in September of 2019 that Mr. Doris and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare you want that spelling?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now when you call up call false police reports, and send cops to people's houses, kids do it when they play video games. Swatting? Thank you, Mr. Haggerty. Swatting. It's a very similar type of tactic only using the Lyndhurst Police Department as a tool for whatever you want to call that. You want to call it political, I would call it perhaps lacking in some serious judgment, perhaps worthy of some mental health evaluations. So this is all going on in September of 2019 through that month. And there's more. In
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and basically freaked out. And said no, no, no. And that was the end of that. Never approached him again. So that builds this animosity going on in Lyndhurst during this time involving the DiMaggios, Haggertys, Carrinos and Mr. Pizzuti. I'm only making reference to this in a timeline because we've already discussed this. It is at this same time in September of 2019 that Mr. Doris and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now when you call up call false police reports, and send cops to people's houses, kids do it when they play video games. Swatting? Thank you, Mr. Haggerty. Swatting. It's a very similar type of tactic only using the Lyndhurst Police Department as a tool for whatever you want to call that. You want to call it political, I would call it perhaps lacking in some serious judgment, perhaps worthy of some mental health evaluations. So this is all going on in September
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and basically freaked out. And said no, no, no. And that was the end of that. Never approached him again. So that builds this animosity going on in Lyndhurst during this time involving the DiMaggios, Haggertys, Carrinos and Mr. Pizzuti. I'm only making reference to this in a timeline because we've already discussed this. It is at this same time in September of 2019 that Mr. Doris and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare you want that spelling? Michael Baldassare appeared in federal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now when you call up call false police reports, and send cops to people's houses, kids do it when they play video games. Swatting? Thank you, Mr. Haggerty. Swatting. It's a very similar type of tactic only using the Lyndhurst Police Department as a tool for whatever you want to call that. You want to call it political, I would call it perhaps lacking in some serious judgment, perhaps worthy of some mental health evaluations. So this is all going on in September of 2019 through that month. And there's more. In short, though, what you see here is a building of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and basically freaked out. And said no, no, no. And that was the end of that. Never approached him again. So that builds this animosity going on in Lyndhurst during this time involving the DiMaggios, Haggertys, Carrinos and Mr. Pizzuti. I'm only making reference to this in a timeline because we've already discussed this. It is at this same time in September of 2019 that Mr. Doris and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare you want that spelling? Michael Baldassare appeared in federal court in a case involving Tony DiMaggio making a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now when you call up call false police reports, and send cops to people's houses, kids do it when they play video games. Swatting? Thank you, Mr. Haggerty. Swatting. It's a very similar type of tactic only using the Lyndhurst Police Department as a tool for whatever you want to call that. You want to call it political, I would call it perhaps lacking in some serious judgment, perhaps worthy of some mental health evaluations. So this is all going on in September of 2019 through that month. And there's more. In short, though, what you see here is a building of tensions and a building of not only tensions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and basically freaked out. And said no, no, no. And that was the end of that. Never approached him again. So that builds this animosity going on in Lyndhurst during this time involving the DiMaggios, Haggertys, Carrinos and Mr. Pizzuti. I'm only making reference to this in a timeline because we've already discussed this. It is at this same time in September of 2019 that Mr. Doris and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare you want that spelling? Michael Baldassare appeared in federal court in a case involving Tony DiMaggio making a false police report, a false 911 call. There is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now when you call up call false police reports, and send cops to people's houses, kids do it when they play video games. Swatting? Thank you, Mr. Haggerty. Swatting. It's a very similar type of tactic only using the Lyndhurst Police Department as a tool for whatever you want to call that. You want to call it political, I would call it perhaps lacking in some serious judgment, perhaps worthy of some mental health evaluations. So this is all going on in September of 2019 through that month. And there's more. In short, though, what you see here is a building of tensions and a building of not only tensions regarding myself, but also regarding the people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and basically freaked out. And said no, no, no. And that was the end of that. Never approached him again. So that builds this animosity going on in Lyndhurst during this time involving the DiMaggios, Haggertys, Carrinos and Mr. Pizzuti. I'm only making reference to this in a timeline because we've already discussed this. It is at this same time in September of 2019 that Mr. Doris and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare you want that spelling? Michael Baldassare appeared in federal court in a case involving Tony DiMaggio making a false police report, a false 911 call. There is a recording of Mr. DiMaggio his recognizable voice,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now when you call up call false police reports, and send cops to people's houses, kids do it when they play video games. Swatting? Thank you, Mr. Haggerty. Swatting. It's a very similar type of tactic only using the Lyndhurst Police Department as a tool for whatever you want to call that. You want to call it political, I would call it perhaps lacking in some serious judgment, perhaps worthy of some mental health evaluations. So this is all going on in September of 2019 through that month. And there's more. In short, though, what you see here is a building of tensions and a building of not only tensions regarding myself, but also regarding the people around me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	taken care of taken advantage of by a politically powerful family member, in Lyndhurst, with a long history of abuse from the Lyndhurst Police Department resources in the record that I knew of, that he might very well be unable to defend himself in this situation. The young man answered the door and basically freaked out. And said no, no, no. And that was the end of that. Never approached him again. So that builds this animosity going on in Lyndhurst during this time involving the DiMaggios, Haggertys, Carrinos and Mr. Pizzuti. I'm only making reference to this in a timeline because we've already discussed this. It is at this same time in September of 2019 that Mr. Doris and Mr. Alampi and Mr. Baldassare you want that spelling? Michael Baldassare appeared in federal court in a case involving Tony DiMaggio making a false police report, a false 911 call. There is a recording of Mr. DiMaggio his recognizable voice, no one disputes this making this call saying,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I am a petulant child according to Mr. Doris because I object to people legally file suits to stop people from placing false 911 calls that could result in harm to individuals who are the subject of it. I believe today I'm kind of an old man now but I believe today what is the word now when you call up call false police reports, and send cops to people's houses, kids do it when they play video games. Swatting? Thank you, Mr. Haggerty. Swatting. It's a very similar type of tactic only using the Lyndhurst Police Department as a tool for whatever you want to call that. You want to call it political, I would call it perhaps lacking in some serious judgment, perhaps worthy of some mental health evaluations. So this is all going on in September of 2019 through that month. And there's more. In short, though, what you see here is a building of tensions and a building of not only tensions regarding myself, but also regarding the people around me. At this time I do not have documents

	David Sivella	on ()1/25/202 4
1	Page 66 of 2019, there is a party at the home of the Boves.	1	Page 68 Now, this ethics complaint from the
2	The B-O-V-E, I believe, the Boves are related to	2	Office of New Jersey Attorney Ethics is dated
3	this because Anthony Dell'Aquilla, the young man who	3	October 11th, 2019. So we come out of a federal
4	at that point is running for the school board, an	4	case where Mr. Alampi and Mr. Doris and
5	active candidate, sixty days out or so from the	5	Mr. Baldassare are threatening Mr. Silberman and
6	election. His girlfriend is named Bove, I don't	6	making you know, better drop the case or else.
7	know her first name, never met her. But she her	7	And Mr. Alampi is then brought up on
8	parents have a party at her house. And I don't hear	8	attorney ethics charges and he's brought up on
9	about this right away. I hear about it after the	9	and I'm being very general, goes on for five, six
10	fact because Mr. Dell'Aquilla, when he finally did	10	pages. But the two major issues are, one, he's
11	tell me, told me that he didn't tell me	11	tampering with his trust account. Now, that is an
12	immediately because he thought I would be extremely	12	almost automatic disbarment under the rules of New
13	angry and that was a good assessment. I was	13	Jersey ethics from my understanding. You tamper
14	extremely angry although not out of control about	14	with trust fund accounts, it was \$150,000 I believe
15	it, but furious that an adult would do this to a	15	the number is. His defense was he borrowed it.
16	young man.	16	You're not allowed to borrow money from your trust
17	At this party, I assume in front of	17	accounts. But anyway, that will be resolved by the
18	numerous witnesses, because it was at a party,	18	fine process in New Jersey to review such matters.
19	captain then I think Lieutenant Carrino tells	19	The other thing that Mr. Alampi did
20	Mr. Bove tells Miss Bove's parents that their	20	that is germane to this case as well or at least
21	daughter should not be allowed to date	21	this history as well, is Mr. Alampi employed a
22	Mr. Dell'Aquilla because Mr. Dell'Aquilla is	22	gentleman named Dennis Oury, O-U-R-Y. Dennis Oury
23	associated with me.	23	is Mr. Ferriero's co-defendant in the matters that
24	Now, this is all happening around the	24	sent him to prison in 2015. He was his co-defendant
25	same time Mr. Pizzuti is getting increasing	25	from the time of my child's adoption, in front of
	Page 67	1	Page 69
1	pressures himself politically that I'm observing.	1	Judge Friscia, through Mr. Ferriero's imprisonment.
3	And from my observation, I think Ricky is not a coward but I think he was genuinely upset about what	2	I believe Mr. Oury pled to something and maybe cooperated. I don't know what. Maybe he got
4	was going on around him and somewhat bewildered	4	parole. I don't remember. But he was disbarred and
5	about what to do about it and I think he did not	5	he was a felon and you're not allowed to hire
6	understand, although we did have some reference	6	disbarred attorneys to work in a law office. In
7	conversations to some of these things, I don't think	7	fact, my reading of New Jersey ethics is that you're
8	he understood the depth of the political interests	8	not even allowed to rent office space to a disbarred
9	here and the level of the power players involved	9	attorney to avoid the appearance of impropriety
10	here involved in the school, specifically that he	10	where you have a disbarred attorney anywhere near
11	now had access to information about as a school	11	the practice of law.
12	board elected member.	12	So this happens to Mr. Alampi. I
13	Now, another event occurs in 2019,	13	publish this. I have copies for everybody. I
14	fall of 2019. My attorney, CJ Griffin, notifies me	14	publish this on my website. I had to send someone
15	that Township attorney Carmine Alampi has been	15	to Trenton to get this. It's public information.
16	brought up on ethics charges before the New Jersey	16	Nothing illegal about showing it to anybody or
17	Supreme Court. This is the same person who is, to	17	anything like that. You just can't get this over
18	be clear, the same person Mr. Ferriero referred to	18	the internet. You have to go there and copy it
19	as the person who got him the title work for the	19	yourself.
20	Lyndhurst middle school. This is the same person,	20	Now, this is an aside, I know this has
21	the Township attorney.	21	nothing to do with your question per se but it
22	Mr. Alampi is also, historically, I've	22	speaks to my mindset. Now, at this point I am
23	known him for decades, he's also the personal	23	extraordinarily cornered about what looks like a
24	attorney and political attorney of Louis Stellato,	24	Rico level operation going on in the town my family
25	the then county chairman.	25	called home for a hundred years. And Alampi, no

	David Sivella	on (J1/25/202 4
1	Page 70 doubt, Mr. Alampi, no doubt had to be concerned	1	Page 72 rogue, unstable police officer on his hands. And I
2	about this because these charges are quaranteed	2	had a history and a reason for having that opinion
3	disbarment. We sit here five years later, going on	3	of Mr. DiMaggio being unstable.
4	five years later, can't speak to what's going on	4	In 2008, when Mr. DiMaggio sought to
5	with that. But anyway, that's another building of	5	become a sheriff's officer, I was, believe it or
6	tensions.	6	not, Ian, fairly influential person once upon a
7	Again, because of my opposition,	7	time. The Mr Mr. DiMaggio, the father,
8	because of my publication of this information	8	Thomas, in order to change his party affiliation in
9	publically, because of Mr. Pizzuti's association	9	Lyndhurst we attempted to get everybody to change
10	with me publically, more pressure builds on all of	10	at once and we did succeed in that. They went from
11	us and and on that level, Mr. Pizzuti, I	11	all republican board of commissioners to all
12	apologize to you personally, I haven't seen you. I	12	democratic. I was the person who negotiated that
13	apologize for you bearing a brunt of that.	13	between Mr. Ferriero who at that point was not even
14	Also at this time, November of 2019.	14	under suspicion of an investigation, I will mention.
15	Back to the DiMaggios again. This time Tony	15	And Richard Dilascio, the mayor who I was close to.
16	DiMaggio, the officer who made a false 911 call	16	And Richard Dilascio dealt with his commissioners
17	reporting a hate crime, takes me to court and files	17	who were Giangeruso, DiMaggio, Haggerty, your uncle.
18	a complaint against me for harassment in the	18	I'm pointing at Officer Haggerty. That's his uncle
19	Lyndhurst Police Department. I believe the officer	19	who was a commissioner, Brian Haggerty, and one
20	who took that report was officer Passamano. A crime	20	other, I don't remember. Not important. But all of
21	of harassment. Of course I fight this because I	21	them changed. And all of them did it of their own
22	didn't harass him at all.	22	free will, meaning nobody had any nobody had
23	What happened was a there was a	23	anything held over their head to do it but only one
24	a misdialed phonecall that was quickly terminated	24	of them demanded something and that was Thomas
25	that he turned into harassment. Never repeated,	25	DiMaggio. And what Thomas DiMaggio demanded was
1		1	Page 73 that his son, who was a corrections officer, be made
2	occurred is someone sent me a text message that it	2	a sheriff's officer. And since I'm surrounded by
3	was a copy of a message from Tony DiMaggio's wife.	3	officers in this room we all understand how the
4	And when I called that person back, it dialed all	4	rules of police appointments in the sheriff's office
5	three people. That person was Michelle Scott.	5	works. It's civil service but there's a 15 percent,
6	So Mr. DiMaggio takes me to Lyndhurst	6	and that is they call it the 15 percent. And
7	court. What you call me petulant child over.	7	distinguish that means you can make somebody a cop,
8	Q You're referring to me?	8	I believe Officer Haggerty's brother is one of the
9	A Yeah, I'm referring to you	9	15 percent currently, where the sheriff can appoint
10	specifically, sir.	10	you an officer, you don't have to go through the
11	He takes me. And who's representing	11	normal processes. It's sort of a courtesy given to
12	him? Ian Doris. That representing it's not as	12	the sheriffs, if you will. So everybody else is
13	the Township because this is not a Township matter.	13	taking a test to apply for the job legitimately and
14	He's doing it out of a private agreement or out of	14	there's 15 percent are held back to be politically
15	the goodness of his heart, whatever the case may be.	15	appointed.
16	But he is representing Mr. DiMaggio.	16	In Lyndhurst, everyone is politically
17	Now, I I did not drop this. And I	17	appointed. There is no test. They may use the
18	did eventually and I will tell you why. But I did	18	chief's test now but everyone is politically
19	not drop this through the entire election between	19	appointed. There is no standard by which you can
20	this occurring in November of 2019 and my running	20	say this is not fair, I am more qualified. Up to
21	for commissioner in May of 2021, this case was not	21	the commissioner of police.
22	dropped. And the reason it was not dropped is	22	So this is 2008. I'm at the Housing
23	because in absolutely no uncertain terms was I going	23	Authority and during that year
24	to not make sure that the sheriff of Bergen County	24	Q Sorry. We're back to 2008?
25	did not understand he had what appeared to be a	25	A Yeah, this is reference.

	David Sivella				
1	Page 74 Q Trying to follow.	1	Page 76 even being insubordinate to the sheriff and the		
2	A I'm happy to clarify anything you'd	2	undersheriff. And they want him gone.		
3	like. You good?	3	And Joseph Ferriero said to them, you		
4	So 2008, I'm giving you, by the way,	4	can't do that. I don't I will not allow you to		
5	just to clarify, I'm giving you the reason I know	5	do that. I made a promise to Thomas DiMaggio. And		
6	that Tony DiMaggio, Officer Tony DiMaggio has	6	that was the last I saw of that dialogue. But		
7	displayed instability on the job.	7	clearly the sheriff at that time had a huge problem		
8	Q Which has nothing to do with this	8	with this man who years later was calling 911		
9	case.	9	reporting false hate crimes, who was filing false		
10	A Has to do with me explaining why I	10	harassment charges. And this is a bit of a smart		
11	brought all these documents.	11	comment but I'm sorry, and whose attorney was		
12	Q Right. Correct.	12	blaming the victim as a petulant child.		
13	A So I had a breakfast meeting with	13	So you have all of this tension going		
14	Joseph Ferriero in 2008 at the Hasbrouck Heights	14	on in November, December of 2019 and I had a		
15	Sheraton where he had all these morning meetings	15	seizure. Another one. My second of the year. The		
16	with your former boss as well, Esther Suarez. She	16	first occurred while I was driving in April of 2019.		
17	wasn't there at that moment but these were regular	17	Stress contributes to seizures. This was a massive		
18	meetings, county and the executive, me, Esther	18	amount of stress.		
19	Suarez, whoever needed to be there to do whatever	19	And on December 6th, the events in		
20	Mr. Ferriero's bidding was that particular day,	20	this case occur. And Mr. Pizzuti, I believe, I'm		
21	would meet him at the Hasbrouck Heights Sheraton	21	not familiar with the exact details of his case, but		
22	before his indictment.	22	I believe within weeks of him giving me some		
23	At one of those meetings, sheriff	23	information about what's going on here, which I will		
24	then sheriff Leo McGuire calls. I'm sitting alone	24	discuss when you ask me questions which you		
25	at a table with Mr. Ferriero this time. And this is	25	obviously will. Within weeks of that, Mr. Pizzuti		
			•		
1	Page 75 back in the day where you have flip phones, at least	1	Page 77 himself is now the target. And I'm just going to		
2	he had a flip phone. So he had two phones. McGuire	2	point out one other thing here. And this is		
3	calls. And on the phone with Sheriff McGuire, his	3	incidental but I did review these and I want to put		
4	undersheriff, I believe his name is Ralph Rivera.	4	this on the record.		
5	But Rivera I'm sure. Ralph I'm pretty sure of.	5	Dennis Oury, the person I referred to		
6	He's now public safety director of Bergen County, I	6	in Carmine Alampi's ethics charges, the person		
7	believe.	7	employed by Dennis Oury by Carmine Alampi, Dennis		
8	They both call Joseph Ferriero up	8	Oury, his law partner was a woman named Bonnie		
9	because Joseph Ferriero was the one who gave the	9	Mizdol, M-I-Z-D-O-L. That was his law partner when		
10	order to hire Tony DiMaggio as a political	10	he was an active lawyer in his hey day where he		
11	appointee. And they did. And he was there for six	11	started making a lot of money working with Joe		
12	weeks, I remember that very vividly, six weeks on	12	Ferriero, where maybe even some of the things he		
13	the job. And I can hear Leo McGuire screaming on	13	ended up being criminally charged with occurred.		
14	the phone. Screaming. Having a fit. And so was	14	But Bonnie Mizdol, I'm not implying she was involved		
15	Ralph Rivera which as a former state trooper was a	15	directly with anything, she was his law partner, had		
16	little out of character for him.	16	a very good relationship with Dennis Oury. She was		
17	Leo McGuire, former police officer	17	made a judge. First, she's made a family court		
18	from Oakland, not as surprising that he would be	18	judge and she is the presiding court judge over the		
19	more emotional but the other guy was pretty tight.	19	family court. Coincidentally at the same time,		
20	And they're both bouncing off walls because Tony	20	Judge Perez Friscia is assigned to my children's		
1 21	DiMaggio is lording all over guys who got their jobs	21	adoption case so Mr. Ferriero can see his, quote,		
21		1			
22	straight up, meaning they took the test, they got	22	according to the judge, girlfriend. And later,		
		22 23	according to the judge, girlfriend. And later, Judge Mizdol, Bonnie Mizdol is made assignment judge		
22	straight up, meaning they took the test, they got				
22 23	straight up, meaning they took the test, they got the job legitimately and he's telling these people	23	Judge Mizdol, Bonnie Mizdol is made assignment judge		

	David Sivella	on (on 01/25/2024			
1	Page 78 the person that appointed the judge in the school	1	Page 80 and I believe Mr. Pizzuti.			
1		2				
2	case in Lyndhurst, Judge Perez Friscia, assignment		The school project that I was referring to in these records is under investigation			
3	Judge Mizdol, Mizdol, whatever, would have assigned	3				
4	that to her technically. And she is was still	4	by the SEC or was. Subpoenaed on its financing. I			
5	assignment judge when this case began. And to my	5	have my own personal opinion as to why that is,			
6	horror, and why my former attorney is no longer	6	because the woman who signed the documents, a Joan			
7	here, I discovered Judge Lisa Perez Friscia was once	7	Barone signed the documents allowing for that			
8	again overseeing a case involving me. This one.	8	federal financing, public financing of that project			
9	I had a conversation about other legal	9	is not a certified financial officer. But she's			
10	matters with State Senator Holly Shepisi. She's a	10	signed as one. And that's only the beginning of the			
11	Republican. Approximately December of 2022. I	11	problems I suspect of that. But that I am sure will			
12	mentioned to her this concern about this judge. I	12	be handled by the SEC however they choose to handle			
13	mention to her my cooperation with the F.B.I. on	13	it. It's being investigated, I believe, by the			
14	Mr. Ferriero and a few other things having to do	14	former Attorney General of New Jersey who is now			
15	with estate issues and issues with the surrogate's	15	with the SEC.			
16	office, and there are a few judicial issues going	16	So you've got a lot of, in my opinion			
17	on. I'm not going to go into specifics about them	17	and why I review these things, is there's a pattern			
18	and they don't have anything to do with this case,	18	of things that pose threats to individuals in this			
19	but I'm just mentioning it so no one thinks I'm	19	room. Perhaps you, Mr. Doris. Certainly			
20	omitting it intentionally.	20	Mr. Alampi. Certainly Mr. Ferriero and his			
21	There's going to be things that have	21	influence over anybody he might have influence over			
22	to be they are being addressed. Judge Nasta in	22	be it you or others.			
23	this case was made aware of everything I'm saying in	23	And that I will leave it with that			
24	this room, in camera, in August of 2023 including	24	and leave you to your questions. That is what we're			
25	what I said about you, Mr. Doris.	25	dealing with here. And and I understand			
	Page 79		Page 81			
1	Now, how he's handling that, I trust	1	the process, Mr. Doris. I really do. I really			
2	he'll do as all good judges do, but I think the	2	respect the process. I respect you have a right to			
3	judicial system itself should do its own	3	ask me questions and get answers that are truthful.			
4	housekeeping. I think the integrity of the judicial	4	I respect that.			
5	system is important as I think the integrity of the	5	I also understand I've been in			
6	police is as well.	6	politics since I've been a teenager. I'm sixty			
7	And both of these this particular	7	almost sixty-three years old. I used to started			
8	case has overlapping concerns on my part in both of	8	off working for a county chairman named Vincent			
9	these things. Now, I'm just mentioning this. This	9	Rigolosi. That is in the 1980's. Jimmy Checky			
10	is just a document showing that Ian Doris worked for	10	who's from Lyndhurst was his close friend. I was			
11	Esther Suarez. This is as county counsel,	11	seventeen, sixteen, seventeen, eighteen. I've been			
12	democrat.	12	in rooms and watched those men decide who judges			
13	This is the judicial selection review	13	should be in Bergen County. I know how judges are			
14	committee of Bergen County. Who sits on it?	14	appointed.			
15	Disbarred, soon to be. Strike that. Soon to be	15	I know the role state senators play in			
16	brought up on charges, Carmine Alampi is selecting	16	that and Paul Sarlo, S-A-R-L-O, specifically, a			
17	judges in Bergen County, or at least advising them	17	person specifically involved in this Lyndhurst			
18	on behalf of the bar association. Along with	18	school project decides who judges are in Bergen			
19	Michael Dressler who has some interesting issues of	19	County. And and and just for the record, as			
20	his own right now in terms of employing disbarred	20	well, Mr. Schiller, I insisted he file this in			
21	attorneys in the surrogate's office. These are the	21	federal court. He insisted it be filed in Bergen			
22	people picking judges.	22	County court and because of the deadlines involved			
23	Also as an aside, these are	23	and because at the end of the day I always refer			

24 Mr. Alampi's bills, recent. Showing a couple of

25 things that increase the tensions directed at myself 25 one, it was filed here. And here we are with a

24 defer to the person with the license, I don't have

				01/25/2024
1	judge who jus	Page 82 st happens to be the judge that Joe	1	Page 84 time the court ordered you to do it or was it an
2		ted to see one last time before he went	2	additional order?
3	to the big ho	ouse because she was his girlfriend,	3	A I did it I did it when my attorney
4	according to	her, coming to my adoption. Judge	4	told me it had to be done.
5	-	is Oury's former law partner assigned	5	Q Yeah, that's not my question. My
6		Then later when I file a lawsuit on	6	question
7	the Lyndhurst	schools to block the construction of	7	A I understand that it's not your
8	that school,	once again she ends up ruling in that	8	question. I'm telling you what I know.
9	•	g it out, just long enough so that	9	Q A court order was issued compelling
10		days of the election in Lyndhurst and	10	you to provide that name and you did not. Right?
11	_	f power to Ferriero's controlled	11	A I don't agree with that.
12	-	on, the Stellato-Ferriero	12	Q You don't agree that there was a court
13		on, she rules and sends it back so they	13	order compelling you to
14		t all of the contractors to their	14	A (Cross-talk.)
15		Le including, according to Joe	15	O You don't agree that there was a court
16		wn words to me, himself. Mizdol is the	16	order compelling you to provide the name of a
17		adge and then we end up here, gentlemen,	17	witness?
18		Which I did not want filed in Bergen	18	A I don't have any knowledge of that.
19		ne exact reasons I'm outlining here but	19	Q You have no knowledge of that?
20	-	ere we are. And lo and behold, Judge	20	A I do not.
21		up getting this case as well. And it	21	Q Okay. And there was a second order or
22		n and on and on with back and forths	22	a second go round with the court where you were
23	•	ogatories and depositions being canceled	23	compelled again to provide that information?
24	nonstop.	3	24	A I'm aware of one time that I was
25	Q	And also people not responding to	25	compelled by the Court to do it and I did it.
	-			
1	interrogatori	Page 83	1	Page 85 Q And you did it immediately upon that
2	A	Wouldn't know.	2	court order or did you wait?
3	Q	What do you mean you wouldn't know?	3	
4	Q A	I wouldn't know. You have to talk to	4	A Well, there were other issues going
5	А	I wouldn't know. Tou have to talk to	4	on
'	my former att	ornov about that		on.
6	-	torney about that.	5	Q Fair enough.
6	Q	corney about that. All right. So then why don't we just	6	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you
7	Q start there.	All right. So then why don't we just	6 7	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question.
7 8	Q start there.	All right. So then why don't we just Sure, whatever you want.	6 7 8	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain.
7 8 9	Q start there. A Q	All right. So then why don't we just Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't	6 7 8 9	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to.
7 8 9 10	Q start there. A Q identify the	All right. So then why don't we just Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't name of a witness in this case?	6 7 8 9 10	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to. Q Fair enough but I'm asking you a
7 8 9 10	Q start there. A Q identify the	All right. So then why don't we just Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't name of a witness in this case? That's correct.	6 7 8 9 10	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to. Q Fair enough but I'm asking you a simple question?
7 8 9 10 11 12	Q start there. A Q identify the A Q	All right. So then why don't we just Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't name of a witness in this case? That's correct. And then withhold that information?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to. Q Fair enough but I'm asking you a simple question? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you read back
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q start there. A Q identify the A Q A	All right. So then why don't we just Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't name of a witness in this case? That's correct. And then withhold that information? That's correct.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to. Q Fair enough but I'm asking you a simple question? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you read back the question, please.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q start there. A Q identify the A Q A Q	All right. So then why don't we just Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't name of a witness in this case? That's correct. And then withhold that information? That's correct. You didn't do that?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to. Q Fair enough but I'm asking you a simple question? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you read back the question, please. (Whereupon, the last question was
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q start there. A Q identify the A Q A	All right. So then why don't we just Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't name of a witness in this case? That's correct. And then withhold that information? That's correct. You didn't do that? I'm sorry. No, no, I did do that.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to. Q Fair enough but I'm asking you a simple question? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you read back the question, please. (Whereupon, the last question was read back by the reporter.)
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q start there. A Q identify the A Q A Q A	All right. So then why don't we just Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't name of a witness in this case? That's correct. And then withhold that information? That's correct. You didn't do that? I'm sorry. No, no, I did do that. You did do that?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to. Q Fair enough but I'm asking you a simple question? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you read back the question, please. (Whereupon, the last question was read back by the reporter.) Q Is that true?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q start there. A Q identify the A Q A Q A	All right. So then why don't we just Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't name of a witness in this case? That's correct. And then withhold that information? That's correct. You didn't do that? I'm sorry. No, no, I did do that. You did do that? I did not hear the word didn't.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to. Q Fair enough but I'm asking you a simple question? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you read back the question, please. (Whereupon, the last question was read back by the reporter.) Q Is that true? A I don't have a clear understanding of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q start there. A Q identify the A Q A Q A Q A Q A	All right. So then why don't we just Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't name of a witness in this case? That's correct. And then withhold that information? That's correct. You didn't do that? I'm sorry. No, no, I did do that. You did do that? I did not hear the word didn't. Yes. So you did do that?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to. Q Fair enough but I'm asking you a simple question? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you read back the question, please. (Whereupon, the last question was read back by the reporter.) Q Is that true? A I don't have a clear understanding of what was going on during a period of time from
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q start there. A Q identify the A Q A Q A Q A	Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't name of a witness in this case? That's correct. And then withhold that information? That's correct. You didn't do that? I'm sorry. No, no, I did do that. You did not hear the word didn't. Yes. So you did do that? I did do that. Absolutely.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to. Q Fair enough but I'm asking you a simple question? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you read back the question, please. (Whereupon, the last question was read back by the reporter.) Q Is that true? A I don't have a clear understanding of what was going on during a period of time from approximately April of 2023 through the hiring of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q start there. A Q identify the A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	All right. So then why don't we just Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't name of a witness in this case? That's correct. And then withhold that information? That's correct. You didn't do that? I'm sorry. No, no, I did do that. You did do that? I did not hear the word didn't. Yes. So you did do that? I did do that. Absolutely. And then even after the court issued	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to. Q Fair enough but I'm asking you a simple question? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you read back the question, please. (Whereupon, the last question was read back by the reporter.) Q Is that true? A I don't have a clear understanding of what was going on during a period of time from approximately April of 2023 through the hiring of —through me representing myself per se for a brief
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q start there. A Q identify the A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't name of a witness in this case? That's correct. And then withhold that information? That's correct. You didn't do that? I'm sorry. No, no, I did do that. You did do that? I did not hear the word didn't. Yes. So you did do that? I did do that. Absolutely. And then even after the court issued ling you that you had to provide it you	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to. Q Fair enough but I'm asking you a simple question? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you read back the question, please. (Whereupon, the last question was read back by the reporter.) Q Is that true? A I don't have a clear understanding of what was going on during a period of time from approximately April of 2023 through the hiring of —through me representing myself per se for a brief period and before the hiring of Jillian Weiss.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q start there. A Q identify the A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A S S A Q A Q A	Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't name of a witness in this case? That's correct. And then withhold that information? That's correct. You didn't do that? I'm sorry. No, no, I did do that. You did do that? I did not hear the word didn't. Yes. So you did do that? I did do that. Absolutely. And then even after the court issued ling you that you had to provide it you is to do that, correct?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to. Q Fair enough but I'm asking you a simple question? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you read back the question, please. (Whereupon, the last question was read back by the reporter.) Q Is that true? A I don't have a clear understanding of what was going on during a period of time from approximately April of 2023 through the hiring of —through me representing myself per se for a brief period and before the hiring of Jillian Weiss. What occurred is when I brought up
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q start there. A Q identify the A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A A Q A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't name of a witness in this case? That's correct. And then withhold that information? That's correct. You didn't do that? I'm sorry. No, no, I did do that. You did do that? I did not hear the word didn't. Yes. So you did do that? I did do that. Absolutely. And then even after the court issued ling you that you had to provide it you do to do that, correct? I fought it but I did it when the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to. Q Fair enough but I'm asking you a simple question? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you read back the question, please. (Whereupon, the last question was read back by the reporter.) Q Is that true? A I don't have a clear understanding of what was going on during a period of time from approximately April of 2023 through the hiring of —through me representing myself per se for a brief period and before the hiring of Jillian Weiss. What occurred is when I brought up with Brian Schiller my concerns about Judge Friscia
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q start there. A Q identify the A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A C A Q A C A Q A C A C	Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't name of a witness in this case? That's correct. And then withhold that information? That's correct. You didn't do that? I'm sorry. No, no, I did do that. You did othat? I did not hear the word didn't. Yes. So you did do that? I did do that. Absolutely. And then even after the court issued ling you that you had to provide it you it to do that, correct? I fought it but I did it when the id me to do it.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to. Q Fair enough but I'm asking you a simple question? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you read back the question, please. (Whereupon, the last question was read back by the reporter.) Q Is that true? A I don't have a clear understanding of what was going on during a period of time from approximately April of 2023 through the hiring ofthrough me representing myself per se for a brief period and before the hiring of Jillian Weiss. What occurred is when I brought up with Brian Schiller my concerns about Judge Friscia and the connections of you to Mr. Ferriero, the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q start there. A Q identify the A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A A Q A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Sure, whatever you want. So you're telling me that you didn't name of a witness in this case? That's correct. And then withhold that information? That's correct. You didn't do that? I'm sorry. No, no, I did do that. You did do that? I did not hear the word didn't. Yes. So you did do that? I did do that. Absolutely. And then even after the court issued ling you that you had to provide it you do to do that, correct? I fought it but I did it when the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Fair enough. A I have to explain them because you asked me a question. Q I'm not asking you to explain. A I realize but I have to. Q Fair enough but I'm asking you a simple question? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you read back the question, please. (Whereupon, the last question was read back by the reporter.) Q Is that true? A I don't have a clear understanding of what was going on during a period of time from approximately April of 2023 through the hiring of through me representing myself per se for a brief period and before the hiring of Jillian Weiss. What occurred is when I brought up with Brian Schiller my concerns about Judge Friscia

		Daviu Sivelia	UII V)1/ <i>25/202</i> 7
1	crime and	Page 86 other things, Mr. Schiller stopped	1	Page 88 media number three. The time is 12:49 p.m.
2		me. I have records of that. He would	2	We're off the record.
3		calls. I did not know what was going	3	(Whereupon, a luncheon recess was
4	on.	carrs. I are not know what was going	4	taken.)
5	Q Q	Okay. So	5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon.
6	Q A	But if the Court orders me to do	6	This is media number four. The time is
7	==	I don't take that lightly. I wouldn't	7	1:43:00 p.m. We are back on the record.
8		hat and I did do it and I understood that	8	BY MR. DORIS:
9	had to be do		9	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Sivella. We're
10	0 0	So whatever the date is that you	10	back on the record. So before I begin, I just want
11		at, how soon before that did you learn	11	to note for the record that in response to my
12	_	was a court order compelling you to	12	question regarding what documents you reviewed, the
13		t information?	13	record will reflect this but it's my understanding
14	A	Until today, I didn't honestly	14	that your response was over an hour long.
15		there was a court order.	15	So I just want to put that on the
16	Q	Really? And you didn't tell your	16	record just for the written record but of course
17	-	at you refused to provide that	17	that will be reflected once we have that transcript.
18	information		18	Just a couple follow-up questions.
19	Α	Initially I did. I said no.	19	Before today, have you ever met
20	Q	Oh, okay.	20	Michael Carrino?
21	A	I thought that was a dialogue going	21	A Yes, I believe I have. Not in a
22	back and for	* * *	22	I'm hesitating the way I'm answering because I don't
23		Do you understand the distinction? I	23	think we had a direct interaction. I was not at my
24	did not :	I was not doing it in defiance of a court	24	house when he came there. I met him, like, in a
25		ssumed that it was dialogue going on	25	group setting perhaps. Michael Carrino could
1	between vou	Page 87 and Mr. Schiller.	1	Page 89 probably clarify better than me if he remembers.
2	Q	You think a court order	2	Q So you knew who he was?
3	A	I did not see a court order.	3	A Of course.
4	Q	And you weren't advised of a court	4	Q But you never really had any
5	order?	-	5	conversations with him before today, correct?
6	A	Not that I recall.	6	A I really didn't have any conversation
7	Q	Okay. What I'd like to do is, I'd	7	with him today and I'm not trying to be
8	like to have	e these documents copied before we leave.	8	argumentative. I just want to clarify what I mean
9	A	I have copies for you.	9	by meeting Michael Carrino. So right now, you could
10		MS. WEISS: Okay.	10	say I met Michael Carrino, but Michael Carrino and I
11		MR. DORIS: I'd like to compare the	11	have not had a conversation today, so quote unquote,
12	origina	als and the copies to make sure	12	I have not met him where I had dialogue with him.
13		MS. WEISS: Sure. That's fine.	13	I have in these types of situations
14		MR. DORIS: And then we can mark them	14	been in his presence more than once that I can
15	as a g	roup.	15	recall, political events or whatever, but I don't
16		MS. WEISS: Sure. Okay.	16	recall ever having a one-on-one type of substantial
17	A	I already have the copies, I have five	17	conversation with him.
18	copies.		18	Q Okay. What about Vincent Auteri, have
19	Q	Okay.	19	you ever met him before?
20	A	And then original.	20	A Don't have a recollection of Vincent.
21		MS. WEISS: All right. When should	21	Q And Paul Haggerty, have you ever met
22	we come	e back?	22	him before today?
23		(Whereupon, a discussion was held off	23	A I remember walking past Paul Haggerty
24	tl	ne record.)	24	at a public thing in the park but not and I think
105		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of	25	I was with Michelle Scott and she said hello to him.
25			= 0	

Page 90 2 again the same type of answer. I never really had 3 an interaction with him. 4 Q No conversations or anything? 5 A Not that I recall. 6 Q Same thing with Mike, no 6 Q Same thing with Mike, no 7 conversations? 8 A Not that I recall. 9 Q Okay. 10 A I'm not saying it absolutely never 11 happened. I just don't recall it, if it did. 12 Q All right. You had talked about a 13 lawsuit that went to the Supreme Court. Do you 14 remember that? 15 A Yes, sir. 16 Q That was the lawsuit that you had 17 filed against the Mayor Giangeruso in Lyndhurst; is 18 that right? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And the result of that case was it was 21 ultimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? 22 A My understanding, yes. 23 MS. WEISS: Objection to the 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 26 Q We're going to get into that in a 27 minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 28 that's your understanding? 29 A As a matter of law I believe it was 10 dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a 11 lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could 12 note be — even though the fact set was not disputed 13 there was differences in the appellate courts as to 14 that was differences in the appellate courts as to 15 there was differences in the appellate courts as to 16 what law governed, which is why it went to the 17 Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so — that 18 could still be a question for another case, I guess. 19 Q Well — 19 Q Well — 10 Ms. WEISS: When the fact set was not disputed and the province of appellate court, correct? A Don't recall — A Remble? A Tou don't recall if your case was many disputed and answered. B NE. NEISS: Now it was dismarked. B A Asked and answered. But I will. I'll that. Asked and answered. B A Asked and answered. But I will. I'll that. Asked and answered. B A NoEISS: NODISS: And by the way. I have store it always. I thought you weren't a lawys. I will magain. It's not a branch and the will wi	Page 92
a interaction with him. 4	rage 92
4 NS. WEISS: Be doesn't know. 5 A Not that I recall. 6 Q Same thing with Mike, no 7 conversations? 8 A Not that I recall. 9 Q Okay. 10 A I'm not saying it absolutely never 11 happened. I just don't recall it, if it did. 12 Q All right. You had talked about a 13 lawsuit that went to the Supreme Court. Do you 14 remember that? 15 A Yes, sir. 16 Q That was the lawsuit that you had 17 filled against the Mayor Glangeruso in Lyndhurst; is 18 that right? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And the result of that case was it was 21 ultimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? 22 A My understanding, yes. 23 MS. WEISS: Objection to the 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 26 Q We're going to get into that in a 27 minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 28 that's your understanding? 29 A A S a matter of law I believe it was 20 dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a 21 lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Glangeruso could 22 not be — even though the fact set was not disputed 23 per se, meaning these events did occur, the 24 liability of Mayor Glangeruso is in some dispute and 25 there was differences in the appellate court; as to 36 that's your understanding? 37 A Sa matter of law I believe it was 38 A Asked and answered. 39 Q I thought you weren't ta lawyer 40 It's not a big deal. 41 I im not a proper objection just so you knot a proper objection just so you knot one way or knot one was or knell you can me objection, just doesn't yet ruled on the later. 41 Q You 're not certain if your content of the case was it was dismissed? 42 A I know I went to law school but I or were practiced law. 43 Q Okay. 44 A I know I went to law school but I or were practiced law. 45 Q Okay. 46 Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 46 that's your understanding? 47 A Sa matter of law I believe it was dismissed by a judge. 48 A I don't recall. 49 You don't recall. 40 You don't recall. 40 You don't recall — wait, let finish my question, sir. I gave you plent; to ramble. 40 You don'	<i>r</i> as
5 A Not that I recall. 6 Q Same thing with Mike, no 6 A Asked and answered. 7 conversations? 8 A Not that I recall. 9 Q Okay. 10 A I'm not saying it absolutely never 11 happened. I just don't recall it, if it did. 12 Q All right. You had talked about a 13 lawsuit that went to the Supreme Court. Do you 14 remember that? 15 A Yes, sir. 16 Q That was the Lawsuit that you had 17 filed against the Mayor Giangeruso in Lyndhurst; is 18 that right? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And the result of that case was it was 21 ultimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? 22 A My understanding, yes. 23 MS. WEISS: Objection to the 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 26 Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? 3 A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could 12 not be — even though the fact set was not dispute and 15 there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the 18 could still be a question for another case, I guess. 18 DANE. DORIS: I'm asking him. 4 A A Saked and answered. 8 A Asked and answered. 8 A Asked and answered. 8 B A Asked and answered. 8 A Asked and answered. 8 B A Asked and answered. 8 B A Asked and answered. 8 DA S. WEISS: Objection in the 19 Counce of the law and the say of the way, not a proper objection just so you knot go ahead. 16 MS. WEISS: WEISS: WEISS: Well, you can me objection, just docent't get ruled on the later. 19 Q Fair enough. 10 A Tim not aboard admitted lawyer and I cannot speak 11 A I know I went to law school but I 4 Case came to an end. 12 C You're not certain in terms of he case, it was dismissed; 13 Limit of questioning. Go ahead. 14 A I don't recall. 15 A Don't recall. 16 A Don't recall. 17 Q Not won't recall — Wait, let finish my question, sir. I gave you plent; to ramble. 18 Limit of question, sir. I gave you plent; to ramble. 19 Q You don't recall — A You're being dispara	
6 Q Same thing with Mike, no 7 conversations? 8 A Not that I recall. 9 Q Okay. 10 A I'm not saying it absolutely never 11 happened. I just don't recall it, if it did. 12 Q All right. You had talked about a 13 lawsuit that went to the Supreme Court. Do you 14 remember that? 15 A Yes, sir. 16 Q That was the lawsuit that you had 17 filed against the Mayor Glangeruso in Lyndhurst; is 18 that right? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And the result of that case was it was 21 ultimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? 22 A My understanding, yes. 23 MS. WEISS: Objection to the 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 26 Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 27 A As a anter of law I believe it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, believe that the Wayor Glangeruso could context and in terms of the case, it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, believe that the Wayor Glangeruso could context and it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, believe that the Wayor Glangeruso could context and it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, believe that the Wayor Glangeruso could context and it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, believe that the Wayor Glangeruso could context and it was just not heard, so — that law governed, which is why it went to the context as to the was differences in the appellate courts as to the was differences in the appellate courts as to the was differences in the appellate courts as to the was differences in the appellate courts as to the was differences in the appellate courts as to was distill be a question for another case, I guess. 18 A Asked and answered. My A lawy of Glangeruso and the Township proper objection to the lawyor Glangeruso and the Township proper objection post a lawy or Glangeruso and the Township proper objection plants court. To have a proper objection just so you know one way or the other who was differences in the appellate courts as	
7 MS. WEISS: You're not the last A Asked and answered. But you can me objection, just doesn't get ruled on the filed against the Mayor Giangeruso in Lyndhurst; is that right? 10 A I'm not saying it absolutely never 10 MS. WEISS: Okay, well, I'll that. Asked and answered. But you can me objection filed against the went to the Supreme Court. Do you 13 MS. WEISS: Well, you can me objection, just doesn't get ruled on the filed against the Mayor Giangeruso in Lyndhurst; is 16 MS. WEISS: Well, you can me objection, just doesn't get ruled on the filed against the Mayor Giangeruso in Lyndhurst; is 18 that right? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And the result of that case was it was 21 ultimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? 22 A My understanding, yes. 23 MS. WEISS: Objection to the 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 25 case came to an end. 26 Q Mo're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? 4 A a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could 12 not be — even though the fact set was not disputed a per se, meaning these events did occur, the 14 liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and 15 there was differences in the appellate courts as to 6 what law governed, which is why it went to the 18 Q Wou're being disparaging. 27 You're not certain in terms of it case came to an end. 28 Q Mok, fair enough. 4 A I don't recall. 29 You don't recall. — Was dismissed; hat's your understanding? 4 A I don't recall. 30 Q Mok, fair enough. 4 A I don't recall. — Was dismissed by a judge. 4 A Don't recall. — Was dismissed by a judge. 5 Q Way. The case proceeded in 20 You don't recall. — Was commended in the fair set was not disputed and 19 A Rom't recall. — Was could still be a question for another case, I guess. 4 A Ramble? 14 A Ramble? 15 Q You don't recall in your proceeds in 20 You're being disparaging. 15 You're being disparaging. 17 Q No. You don't recall if y	
8 A Asked and answered. 9 Q Okay. A I'm not saying it absolutely never 11 happened. I just don't recall it, if it did. 12 Q All right. You had talked about a 13 lawsuit that went to the Supreme Court. Do you 14 remember that? 15 A Yes, sir. 16 Q That was the lawsuit that you had 17 filed against the Mayor Giangeruso in Lyndhurst; is 18 that right? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And the result of that case was it was 21 ultimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? 22 A My understanding, yes. 23 MS. WEISS: Objection to the 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 26 Q We're going to get into that in a nimute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? 3 Q Ok, fair enough. 4 A I know I went to law school but I never practiced law. 5 Q We're going to get into that in a nimute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? 9 A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could not be — even though the fact set was not disputed and perse, meaning these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the Count and it was just not heard, so — that Could still be a question for another case, I guess. 8 A Asked and answered. But you that. Asked and answered. But you call that. Asked and answered. But you at that hasked and answered. But you call that. Asked and answered. But you objection, just doesn't get ruled on to objection, just	
9 Q Okay. A I'm not saying it absolutely never 10 A I'm not saying it absolutely never 11 happened. I just don't recall it, if it did. 12 Q All right. You had talked about a 13 lawsuit that went to the Supreme Court. Do you 14 remember that? 16 Q That was the lawsuit that you had 17 filed against the Mayor Giangeruso in Lyndhurst; is 18 that right? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And the result of that case was it was 21 ultimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? 22 A My understanding, yes. 23 NS. WEISS: Objection to the 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 26 Q Oh, fair enough. 27 I'm not a board admitted lawyer and I cannot speak 28 as legal authority on that. 29 Q No, fair enough. 4 A I know I went to law school but I 5 never practiced law. 6 Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 8 that's your understanding? 9 A As a matter of law I believe it was 10 dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could not be — even though the fact set was not disputed per se, meaning these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and 15 there was differences in the appellate courts as to 6 what law governed, which is why it went to the Could still be a question for another case, I guess. 9 Q No. You don't recall i you against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township I against Mayor Giangeruso and	lawyer.
10 A I'm not saying it absolutely never 11 happened. I just don't recall it, if it did. 12 Q All right. You had talked about a 13 lawsuit that went to the Supreme Court. Do you 14 remember that? 15 A Yes, sir. 16 Q That was the lawsuit that you had 16 MS. WEISS: Melts: Wou load talked about a 17 filed against the Mayor Giangeruso in Lyndhurst; is 18 that right? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And the result of that case was it was 21 ultimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? 22 A My understanding, yes. 23 MS. WEISS: Objection to the 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 26 Q Mo, fair enough. 27 A I know I went to law school but I never practiced law. 28 Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 29 A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could in lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could in the was differences in the appellate court, sa to was dismissed by a give year of the case proceeded in per se, meaning these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to was dismissed; in the appellate court, sa to was dispared in my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. 17 Q No. You don't recall — wait, let finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. 18 A Ramble? 19 A Ramble? 19 A A Ramble? 19 A A Ramble? 10 A RS. WEISS: Objection to all finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. 11 Lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso and the Township I would still be a question for another case, I guess. 19 Q No. You don't recall — Wait, let finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. 19 A Ramble? 19 A Ramble? 10 A Rou don't recall if you against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township I	
11 happened. I just don't recall it, if it did. 12	er?
12 Q All right. You had talked about a 13 lawsuit that went to the Supreme Court. Do you 14 remember that? 15 A Yes, sir. 16 Q That was the lawsuit that you had 17 filed against the Mayor Giangeruso in Lyndhurst; is 18 that right? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And the result of that case was it was 21 ultimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? 22 A My understanding, yes. 23 MS. WEISS: Objection to the 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 26 Q We're going to get into that in a 27 minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 28 that's your understanding? 29 A As a matter of law I believe it was 20 Gokay. 21 Certain. 22 Q You're not certain in terms of the case came to an end. 23 dismissed? 24 A I'm not certain in terms of the case came to an end. 25 A I just want to law school but I hever practiced law. 26 Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 28 that's your understanding? 29 A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could not be — even though the fact set was not disputed per se, meaning these events did occur, the 11 lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it vent to the Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so — that law governed, which is why it vent to the Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so — that Could still be a question for another case, I guess. 18 later. 19 Q Fair enough. 20 A This is a lot of fun. I'm not certain. 21 certain. 22 Q You're not certain if your certain. 23 dismissed? 24 A I'm not certain in terms of the case came to an end. 25 asking if you know one way or the other who was dismissed by a judge. 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q Okay. 6 P We're going to get into that in a pincting for a proper dismissed; 8 Q Okay. 8 Q Okay. 8 Q Okay. 8 Q Okay. 9 A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed by a judge. 9 A As a matter of law	l say
lassuit that went to the Supreme Court. Do you remember that? A Yes, sir. Q That was the lawsuit that you had filed against the Mayor Giangeruso in Lyndhurst; is that right? A Yes. Q And the result of that case was it was lutimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? A My understanding, yes. A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, A I know I went to law school but I never practiced law. Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could in the way of Mayor Giangeruso is in some disputed that law governed, which is why it went to the whole way on the Township I supreme Court and it was just not heard, so — that could still be a question for another case, I guess. MR. DORIS: And by the way, not a proper objection just so you knot a go ahead. MS. WEISS: Well, you can me is go ahead. MS. WEISS: Well, you can me is go ahead. MS. WEISS: Well, you can me is go ahead. MS. WEISS: Well, you can me is go ahead. MS. WEISS: Well, you can me is go ahead. MS. WEISS: Well, you can me is go ahead. A I hat right? A Yes. 19 Q Fair enough. 20 A This is a lot of fun. I'm not certain. 21 certain. 22 Q You're not certain if your or case came to an end. 23 dismissed? 4 A I'm not certain in terms of it case came to an end. 24 A I'm not certain in terms of it case came to an end. 25 asking if you know one way or the other whe case as legal authority on that. 3 Q Oh, fair enough. 4 A I know I went to law school but I 5 Q Okay. 6 Q We're going to get into that in a 7 minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 8 Q Okay. 6 Q We're going to get into that in a 9 appellate court, correct? 10 A Don't recall. 11 Q You don't recall. 12 Q You don't recall. 13 to ramble. 14 A Ramble? 15 Q You don't recall if your or case, I guess.	an just
lassuit that went to the Supreme Court. Do you remember that? A Yes, sir. Q That was the lawsuit that you had filed against the Mayor Giangeruso in Lyndhurst; is that right? A Yes. Q And the result of that case was it was lutimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? A My understanding, yes. A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, A I know I went to law school but I never practiced law. Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could in the way of Mayor Giangeruso is in some disputed that law governed, which is why it went to the whole way on the Township I supreme Court and it was just not heard, so — that could still be a question for another case, I guess. MR. DORIS: And by the way, not a proper objection just so you knot a go ahead. MS. WEISS: Well, you can me is go ahead. MS. WEISS: Well, you can me is go ahead. MS. WEISS: Well, you can me is go ahead. MS. WEISS: Well, you can me is go ahead. MS. WEISS: Well, you can me is go ahead. MS. WEISS: Well, you can me is go ahead. A I hat right? A Yes. 19 Q Fair enough. 20 A This is a lot of fun. I'm not certain. 21 certain. 22 Q You're not certain if your or case came to an end. 23 dismissed? 4 A I'm not certain in terms of it case came to an end. 24 A I'm not certain in terms of it case came to an end. 25 asking if you know one way or the other whe case as legal authority on that. 3 Q Oh, fair enough. 4 A I know I went to law school but I 5 Q Okay. 6 Q We're going to get into that in a 7 minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 8 Q Okay. 6 Q We're going to get into that in a 9 appellate court, correct? 10 A Don't recall. 11 Q You don't recall. 12 Q You don't recall. 13 to ramble. 14 A Ramble? 15 Q You don't recall if your or case, I guess.	
15 A Yes, sir. Q That was the lawsuit that you had filed against the Mayor Giangeruso in Lyndhurst; is that right? 18 that right? 19 A Yes. Q And the result of that case was it was 21 ultimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? 22 A My understanding, yes. 23 MS. WEISS: Objection to the 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 26 Q No, fair enough. 27 as legal authority on that. 28 Q No, fair enough. 29 A I know I went to law school but I never practiced law. 20 Q Me're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 30 that's your understanding? 41 A S a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could in lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could in the was differences in the appellate court, sorrect? 41 Limpton Case came to an end. 42 A I don't recall. 43 P Q Okay. 44 A I don't recall. 55 Q Okay. 64 Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? 65 A S a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could in the matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could in the matter of law I believe it was differences in the appellate court, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the law against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township I against Mayor Giangeruso and the Tow	that's
16 Q That was the lawsuit that you had 17 filed against the Mayor Giangeruso in Lyndhurst; is 18 that right? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And the result of that case was it was 21 ultimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? 22 A My understanding, yes. 23 MS. WEISS: Objection to the 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 26 Q Not asking you how the tend as legal authority on that. 27 as legal authority on that. 28 A I know I went to law school but I never practiced law. 29 A Rismissed by a judge. 4 A I know I went to law school but I never practiced law. 5 Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 8 that's your understanding? 9 A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could not be even though these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some disputed there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the could still be a question for another case, I guess. 10 NS. WEISS: Well, you can me objection, just doesn't get ruled on to a later. 10 A This is a lot of fun. I'm not certain. 11 Certain. 22 Q You're not certain if your certain. 23 dismissed? 24 A I'm not certain in terms of the case came to an end. 25 asking if you know one way or the other who was dismissed by a judge. 26 A I'm not certain in terms of the case came to an end. 27 Not asking you know one way or the other who was dismissed by a judge. 28 A I don't recall. 29 Q Okay. 20 Okay. 30 Okay. 31 Depoint not a lawyer, I don't recall. 31 Depoint not all don't recall. 32 Q Okay. 33 The case proceeded in appellate court, correct? 34 A I don't recall. 35 Q Okay. 36 Depoint not all don't recall. 37 Depoint not all don't recall. 38 Q Okay. The case proceeded in appellate courts as to to ramble. 39 A Ramble? 40 Not asking you how the tend of the recall not have as differences in the appellate courts as to to ramble. 41 A Ramble? 42 You don't recall	ow. But
17 filed against the Mayor Giangeruso in Lyndhurst; is 18 that right? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And the result of that case was it was 21 ultimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? 22 A My understanding, yes. 23 MS. WEISS: Objection to the 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 26 as legal authority on that. 27 as legal authority on that. 28 A I know I went to law school but I never practiced law. 29 A A I know I went to law school but I never practiced law. 3 Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 3 that's your understanding? 4 A A a a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could not be even though the fact set was not disputed per se, meaning these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the could still be a question for another case, I guess. 4 Could still be a question for another case, I guess. 5 Q No. You don't recall A You're being disparaging. 6 A This is a lot of fun. I'm not certain. 7 Could dismissed? 8 dismissed? 9 A This is a lot of fun. I'm not certain. 9 A I'm not certain in terms of he case came to an end. 1 Q Not asking you how the term asking if you know one way or the other who was dismissed by a judge. 1 Q Not asking you know one way or the other who was dismissed by a judge. 2 A I don't recall. 2 A I don't recall. 3 Was dismissed by a judge. 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q Okay. 6 Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of he case, it was dismissed; 7 line of questioning. Go ahead. 8 Q Okay. The case proceeded in appellate court, correct? 10 A Don't recall. 11 Q You don't recall Wait, let finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. 12 To amble. 13 Parker of was differences in the appellate courts as to have differences in the appellate courts as to have differences in the appell	
that right? 18 that right? 19 A Yes. 19 Q Fair enough. 20 Q And the result of that case was it was 21 ultimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? 21 a My understanding, yes. 22 A My understanding yes. 23 MS. WEISS: Objection to the 23 dismissed? 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 26 as legal authority on that. 27 as legal authority on that. 28 A I know I went to law school but I 4 A I don't recall. 29 A Ne're going to get into that in a 6 Q We're going to get into that in a 6 That's your understanding? 30 A A A A A A A A A A A A B A A A A B A A A A B A A A A B A A A A B A A A B A A A B A A A B A A B A A B A A B A A B A A B A A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	ake the
18 that right? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And the result of that case was it was 21 ultimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? 21 A My understanding, yes. 22 A My understanding, yes. 23 MS. WEISS: Objection to the 23 dismissed? 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 26 as legal authority on that. 27 Q Not asking you how the text as legal authority on that. 28 Q Oh, fair enough. 29 A I know I went to law school but I never practiced law. 20 Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? 29 A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could per se, meaning these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the could still be a question for another case, I guess. 18 later. 19 Q Fair enough. A This is a lot of fun. I'm not certain in terms of it cartain. 20 A T'm not certain in terms of it case came to an end. 21 Q Not asking you how the text as kismissed by a judge. 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q Okay. 6 Q We're going to get into that in a line of questioning. Go ahead. 8 that's your understanding? 9 A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed; and line of questioning. Go ahead. 10 A Don't recall. 11 Q You don't recall wait, let finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. 12 to ramble. 13 A Ramble? 14 A Ramble? 15 Q You don't recall Wait is pour law to the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the liability of Mayor Giangeruso and the Township II against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township II against Mayor Giangeruso and the Towns	
19 Q Fair enough. 20 Q And the result of that case was it was 21 ultimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? 22 A My understanding, yes. 23 MS. WEISS: Objection to the 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 26 A I was dismissed? 27 Q Not asking you how the tent as legal authority on that. 28 Q Oh, fair enough. 29 A I know I went to law school but I 20 A I'm not certain in terms of Page 91 21 I'm not a board admitted lawyer and I cannot speak as legal authority on that. 22 Q Not asking you how the tent asking if you know one way or the other who was dismissed by a judge. 29 Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? 20 A I'm not certain in terms of Page 91 21 Q Not asking you how the tent asking if you know one way or the other who was dismissed by a judge. 20 Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? 31 A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and lawyer lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and lawyer lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso lawyer la	
20 Q And the result of that case was it was 21 ultimately dismissed as a matter of law, correct? 22 A My understanding, yes. 23 MS. WEISS: Objection to the 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 26 Page 91 27 I'm not a board admitted lawyer and I cannot speak 28 as legal authority on that. 29 Q Not asking you how the tend as legal authority on that. 3 Q Oh, fair enough. 4 A I know I went to law school but I 5 never practiced law. 6 Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 8 that's your understanding? 9 A As a matter of law I believe it was 10 dismissed because the and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could 11 pon't recall. 11 lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could 12 por se, meaning these events did occur, the 14 liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and 15 there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the 16 What law governed, which is why it went to the 17 Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so that 18 could still be a question for another case, I guess. 20 A This is a lot of fun. I'm not certain in terms of it certain. 21 certain. 22 Q You're not certain. 22 Q Not asking you how the tend case came to an end. 23 asking if you know one way or the other who was dismissed by a judge. 4 A I don't recall. 9 Q Okay. 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q Okay. 6 MS. WEISS: Objection to all in appellate court, correct? 10 A Don't recall. 11 Q You don't recall wait, let finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. 12 finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. 13 A Ramble? 14 A Ramble? 15 Q You don't recall A You're being disparaging. 16 A Ramble? 17 Q No. You don't recall if your against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township you how the tend as A I don't recall if your asking if you know one w	
A My understanding, yes. MS. WEISS: Objection to the question. A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, Page 91 I I'm not a board admitted lawyer and I cannot speak as legal authority on that. Q Oh, fair enough. A I know I went to law school but I never practiced law. Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could not be — even though the fact set was not disputed per se, meaning these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so — that could still be a question for another case, I guess. 22	ot
A My understanding, yes. MS. WEISS: Objection to the question. A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, Page 91 I I'm not a board admitted lawyer and I cannot speak as legal authority on that. Q Oh, fair enough. A I know I went to law school but I never practiced law. Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the — and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could not be — even though the fact set was not disputed per se, meaning these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so — that could still be a question for another case, I guess. 22	
23 dismissed? 24 question. 25 A I just want to say I'm not a lawyer, 26 as legal authority on that. 27 asking if you know one way or the other who asking	ase was
24	
25 Case came to an end. Page 91 1 I'm not a board admitted lawyer and I cannot speak 2 as legal authority on that. 2 asking if you know one way or the other who 3 Q Oh, fair enough. 4 A I know I went to law school but I 5 never practiced law. 6 Q We're going to get into that in a 7 minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 8 that's your understanding? 9 A As a matter of law I believe it was 10 dismissed because the and again, I'm not a 11 lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could 12 not be even though the fact set was not disputed 13 per se, meaning these events did occur, the 14 liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and 15 there was differences in the appellate courts as to 16 what law governed, which is why it went to the 17 Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so that 18 could still be a question for another case, I guess. 2	how that
I'm not a board admitted lawyer and I cannot speak as legal authority on that. Q Oh, fair enough. A I know I went to law school but I never practiced law. Q We're going to get into that in a finite, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could per se, meaning these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the supreme Court and it was just not heard, so that could still be a question for another case, I guess. Page 91 Q Not asking you how the ter asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you know one way or the other who asking if you kno	
1 I'm not a board admitted lawyer and I cannot speak 2 as legal authority on that. 3 Q Oh, fair enough. 4 A I know I went to law school but I 5 never practiced law. 6 Q We're going to get into that in a 7 minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 8 that's your understanding? 9 A As a matter of law I believe it was 10 dismissed because the and again, I'm not a 11 lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could 12 per se, meaning these events did occur, the 13 per se, meaning these events did occur, the 14 liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and 15 there was differences in the appellate courts as to 16 what law governed, which is why it went to the 17 Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so that 18 could still be a question for another case, I guess. 1 Q Not asking you how the ter 2 asking if you know one way or the other who 3 was dismissed by a judge. 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q Okay. 6 MS. WEISS: Objection to all 6 MS. WEISS: Objection to all 7 line of questioning. Go ahead. 8 Q Okay. The case proceeded in 9 appellate court, correct? 9 A Don't recall. 10 A Don't recall. 11 Q You don't recall wait, let 12 finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty 13 to ramble. 14 A Ramble? 15 Q You don't recall 16 A You're being disparaging. 16 A You're being disparaging. 17 Q No. You don't recall if your 18 against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township your	
as legal authority on that. Q Oh, fair enough. A I know I went to law school but I never practiced law. Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could not be even though the fact set was not disputed per se, meaning these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so that could still be a question for another case, I guess. asking if you know one way or the other who was dismissed by a judge. A I don't recall. A I don't recall. MS. WEISS: Objection to all pure for a person of the case proceeded in appellate court, correct? Don't recall. A Don't recall. You don't recall wait, let to ramble. A Ramble? A Ramble? You don't recall A You're being disparaging. No. You don't recall if your against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township in the stant of the case, it was dismissed by a judge. A I don't recall. A Don't recall. A Don't recall. A Ramble? You don't recall A You're being disparaging. No. You don't recall if your against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township in the case proceeded in appellate court, correct? A Ramble? You don't recall A You're being disparaging.	Page 93
3 was dismissed by a judge. 4 A I know I went to law school but I 5 never practiced law. 6 Q We're going to get into that in a 7 minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 8 that's your understanding? 9 A As a matter of law I believe it was 10 dismissed because the and again, I'm not a 11 lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could 12 not be even though the fact set was not disputed 13 per se, meaning these events did occur, the 14 liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and 15 there was differences in the appellate courts as to 16 what law governed, which is why it went to the 17 Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so that 18 could still be a question for another case, I guess. 18 was dismissed by a judge. 4 A I don't recall. 6 MS. WEISS: Objection to all 10 appellate court, correct? 11 line of questioning. Go ahead. 8 Q Okay. The case proceeded in 10 A Don't recall. 11 Q You don't recall wait, let finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. 11 to ramble. 12 To ramble. 13 Ramble? 14 A Ramble? 15 Q You don't recall Wou're being disparaging. 16 MS. WEISS: Objection to all disputed in the proceeded in appellate court, correct? 18 Pon't recall wait, let finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. 19 A Ramble? 10 A Ramble? 11 Pour don't recall Wou're being disparaging. 11 Pour don't recall if your gainest Mayor Giangeruso and the Township proceeded in appellate courts and the Township proceeded in appellate courts and the Township proceeded in appellate courts are proceeded in appellate courts and the Township proceeded in appellate courts are proceeded in appellate courts a	
A I know I went to law school but I 5 never practiced law. 6 Q We're going to get into that in a 7 minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; 8 that's your understanding? 9 A As a matter of law I believe it was 10 dismissed because the and again, I'm not a 11 lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could 12 not be even though the fact set was not disputed 13 per se, meaning these events did occur, the 14 liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and 15 there was differences in the appellate courts as to 16 what law governed, which is why it went to the 17 Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so that 18 could still be a question for another case, I guess. 18 I don't recall. A I don't recall. 5 Q Okay. A Don't recall. 10 A Don't recall. 11 Q You don't recall wait, let or amble. 12 finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. 13 to ramble. 14 A Ramble? 15 Q You don't recall 16 A You're being disparaging. 17 Q No. You don't recall if your gainst Mayor Giangeruso and the Township process.	scher it
never practiced law. Q We're going to get into that in a minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso lawyer you don't recall wait, let finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. A Ramble? A Ramble? You don't recall wait, let finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. A Ramble? You don't recall lawyer, I believe that the mayor Giangeruso and the Township I gain the may	
MS. WEISS: Objection to all minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could not be even though the fact set was not disputed per se, meaning these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so that could still be a question for another case, I guess. MS. WEISS: Objection to all line of questioning. Go ahead. A powerset; The case proceeded in A pon't recall. You don't recall wait, let I finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. A Ramble? You don't recall A You're being disparaging. No. You don't recall if your against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township proceeded in A pon't recall. A Ramble? No. You don't recall if your against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township proceeded in A pon't recall. A Ramble? You're being disparaging. A gainst Mayor Giangeruso and the Township proceeded in A pon't recall. A Ramble? You don't recall A you're being disparaging.	
minute, but in terms of the case, it was dismissed; that's your understanding? A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could not be even though the fact set was not disputed per se, meaning these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so that could still be a question for another case, I guess. I line of questioning. Go ahead. Q Okay. The case proceeded in A Don't recall. Q You don't recall wait, let finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. A Ramble? You don't recall A You're being disparaging. Q No. You don't recall if your against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township process.	l +bia
that's your understanding? A As a matter of law I believe it was dismissed because the and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could not be even though the fact set was not disputed per se, meaning these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the sould still be a question for another case, I guess. B Q Okay. The case proceeded in appellate court, correct? A Don't recall. You don't recall wait, let finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. A Ramble? You don't recall A You're being disparaging. Q No. You don't recall if your against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township proceeded in appellate court, correct? A Don't recall. Q You don't recall A You're being disparaging. Ramble? Q No. You don't recall if your against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township proceeded in appellate court, correct? A Don't recall. Q You don't recall A You're being disparaging. Ramble?	L CHIS
9 A As a matter of law I believe it was 10 dismissed because the and again, I'm not a 11 lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could 12 not be even though the fact set was not disputed 13 per se, meaning these events did occur, the 14 liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and 15 there was differences in the appellate courts as to 16 what law governed, which is why it went to the 17 Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so that 18 could still be a question for another case, I guess. 9 appellate court, correct? 10 A Don't recall. 11 Q You don't recall wait, let 12 finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty 13 to ramble. 14 A Ramble? 15 Q You don't recall 16 A You're being disparaging. 16 A You're being disparaging. 17 Q No. You don't recall if your against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township process.	+ho
dismissed because the and again, I'm not a lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could not be even though the fact set was not disputed per se, meaning these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the what law governed, which is why it went to the Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so that could still be a question for another case, I guess. 10 A Don't recall. 11 Q You don't recall wait, let finish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. 14 A Ramble? You don't recall A You're being disparaging. Q No. You don't recall if your 18 against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township process.	che
lawyer, I believe that the Mayor Giangeruso could not be — even though the fact set was not disputed per se, meaning these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so — that could still be a question for another case, I guess. 11	
not be even though the fact set was not disputed per se, meaning these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the supreme Court and it was just not heard, so that scould still be a question for another case, I guess. In inish my question, sir. I gave you plenty to ramble. A Ramble? You don't recall A You're being disparaging. Q No. You don't recall if your against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township process.	L
per se, meaning these events did occur, the liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and there was differences in the appellate courts as to there was differences in the appellate courts as to what law governed, which is why it went to the Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so — that could still be a question for another case, I guess. Is against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township of the recommendation of the second still be a question for another case, I guess.	
14 liability of Mayor Giangeruso is in some dispute and 15 there was differences in the appellate courts as to 16 what law governed, which is why it went to the 17 Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so that 18 could still be a question for another case, I guess. 14 A Ramble? 15 Q You don't recall 16 A You're being disparaging. 17 Q No. You don't recall if your gainst Mayor Giangeruso and the Township process.	(OI CIME
there was differences in the appellate courts as to the what law governed, which is why it went to the Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so that could still be a question for another case, I guess. 15 Q You don't recall You're being disparaging. 17 Q No. You don't recall if your	
what law governed, which is why it went to the 16 A You're being disparaging. 17 Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so that 18 could still be a question for another case, I guess. 18 against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township page 19 against Mayor Giangeruso and May	
17 Supreme Court and it was just not heard, so that 18 could still be a question for another case, I guess. 18 against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township p	
18 could still be a question for another case, I guess. 18 against Mayor Giangeruso and the Township p	
19 Q Well 19 to the Third Circuit Court of Appeals?	proceeded
00 3 37-1 1 1 1 100 3 7-1 17:1:	
20 A Not mine, but some case. 20 A I do recall that.	
Q To clarify, the District Court case 21 Q And do you recall it being di	ismissed
22 which was filed in federal court was dismissed, 22 there?	_
23 right? 23 A I don't I recall it going	
24 A Again, sir, same answer. I had a 24 Third Circuit where there was some ambiguit	cy as to
25 lawyer handling that, the process involved in that 25 what the Third Circuit thought versus other	r circuits

		Daviu Sivena	UII (J1/25/202 4
1	ruling on sim	Page 94 milar fact sets and that the attorney	1	Page 96 O You had mentioned earlier about a
2	-	that, Joel Silberman, said we would have	2	website.
3		Supreme Court to get a ruling on that,	3	A Yes.
4	-	to do that, and I said yes.	4	Q Lyndhurst 07071. Did you ever write
5	Q	Okay.	5	on that website under the name Jimmy Scoops?
6	A A	That's what I recall.	6	A Yes.
7	Q	Right. So I'll represent to you that	7	Q Okay. I want to ask you a few
8	-	dismissed in Federal District Court.	8	questions about the case that you referenced with
9	-	represent to you that it was upheld,	9	the DiMaggio family.
10		was upheld in the Third Circuit	10	
11	Appellate Cou	-	11	You filed a lawsuit in that particular case against Tom DiMaggio, Anthony DiMaggio, Tom's
12	Apperrate Cot		12	
	7	Does that refresh your recollection?		wife, and I believe the Township; is that correct?
13	Α	No. Because I told you what I I'm	13	A I'm not sure about Tom DiMaggio
14		ord for it as an attorney that you know	14	personally in that case.
15	-	alking about so if that's what you tell	15	Q But the other names you did?
16	·	cept that as a fact. But I don't know	16	A To the best of my recollection this
17	that personal	-	17	is sometime ago I believe it was Joyce DiMaggio,
18	Q	You don't?	18	Anthony/Tony DiMaggio, that would be the son of
19	А	No.	19	Thomas DiMaggio, and I think the Township of
20	Q	Well, then how is it that you would	20	Lyndhurst was named because they participated in
21	file an appea	l in the Supreme Court if you didn't	21	the action with what the DiMaggios were doing,
22	if you got a	favorable disposition in the Third	22	police reports or whatever. I follow attorney's
23	Circuit?		23	guidance on those things.
24		MS. WEISS: Objection.	24	Q Understood.
25	А	Obviously there was no conclusive	25	That lawsuit was withdrawn by you?
		Page 95		Page 97
1	result. And	to get a conclusive result we had to	1	A Which one are you referring to, sir?
2	file an appea	ll to the Supreme Court. That's what	2	Q The one
3	was done.		3	A Federal Court?
4	Q	Right. And they didn't take your	4	Q Yes.
5	appeal, corre	ect?	5	A That was withdrawn under the
6	А	They did not.	6	circumstances I described earlier.
7		MS. WEISS: Objection to that	7	Q No appeal was filed out of that,
8	question	. Go ahead.	8	correct?
9	Q	Okay. Have you ever filed an ethics	9	A It is withdrawn under the
10		inst Joel Silberman?	10	circumstances I described earlier.
11	A	No.	11	Q I understand that but I'm saying no
12	Q	Have you ever sued Joel Silberman?	12	appeal was ever filed, right?
13	A	No.	13	MS. WEISS: Well, objection.
14	Q	Have you ever filed an ethics	14	A From my perspective?
15		inst Mr. Schiller?	15	Q No, just from the factual basis. Did
16	A	No.	16	it occur or not? Was there an appeal filed from
17	Q	Have you ever sued Mr. Schiller?	17	that?
18	A A	No.	18	MS. WEISS: They withdrew it.
19	Q	Have you ever filed a lawsuit against	19	Q I understand.
20		that ever represented you in any case?	20	A I would appeal my own withdrawal? I
1 20	A A	No.	21	don't understand the question.
	A	TAO •	21	
21		Have you away filed any othics		
21 22	Q	Have you ever filed any ethics		Q I'm having trouble. Sometimes you
21 22 23	Q complaints ag	ainst any of the attorneys that	23	seem like you think you're a lawyer and other times
21 22	Q complaints ag			-

	Daviu Sivelia	UII V	
1	Page 98	1	Page 100 A That would have happened. I don't
2	A Mr. Doris, you have on a couple of	2	know where in the chain of events, like, four years
3	occasions in this deposition editorialized in what	3	ago.
4	you think I'm doing or not doing. How I speak	4	Q Okay. I'll represent to you that it
5	I've never represented myself as an attorney. I've	5	was the same afternoon as within a couple hours of
6	made it very clear I am not. How I speak and how I	6	you leaving the courthouse. Does that refresh your
7	conduct myself, how you interpret that is for your	7	recollection?
8	own interpretation. But you to label me which you	8	A No.
9	consistently do as either a petulant person and now	9	Q Okay. Do you remember being told that
10	I'm presenting myself as a lawyer, not as a lawyer.	10	you would have to fill out the document if you
11	Allow me to answer your questions, I will, but	11	wanted to press charges at the police station. Do
12	please, you know, provocation.	12	you recall that?
13	So what is the question, again, sir?	13	A No.
14	(Whereupon, the last question was	14	Q You don't recall that.
15	read back by the reporter.)	15	Did you ever file those charges
16	A The case was withdrawn. And no, I did	16	against
17	not file an appeal on my own withdrawal. That I'm	17	A Don't recall.
18	aware of	18	Q Anthony?
19	Q Okay.	19	You did file a civil lawsuit, though,
20	A unless someone did that without my	20	against Anthony DiMaggio?
21	knowledge.	21	A In response in response to him
22	Q Was the document that was filed with	22	filing a harassment complaint against me.
23	the court ending that case a voluntary dismissal?	23	Q Correct. And you filed a civil
24	MS. WEISS: Objection.	24	lawsuit.
25		25	A Correct. In Bergen County.
23	A It was yes, the plaintiffs in that	25	A correct. In bergen country.
1	Page 99	1	Page 101
1	case were my son, referring to the federal case,	1	Q Do you recall on the day when you were
2	where Anthony DiMaggio called 911, reported false	2	in court regarding the federal lawsuit, do you
3	hate crimes, to be clear what case we're referring	3	recall the judge Judge Waldor advising you that
4	to. The plaintiffs in that case was not myself		if you ever filed another case in federal court she would make sure that the case came to her so that
5	which explain some of my vagueness about the detail,	5	
6	but my son, all adults, Brandon Sena, S-E-N-A, and	6	she could monitor it and make sure that it had any
7	then Myko, you got that spelling. Those three	7	merit to it?
8	individuals were asked to withdraw by me and then	8	A I never had any interaction with Judge
9	contacted Mr. Schiller to withdraw.	9	Waldor directly. The lawyer dealt with Judge
10	Q Is it fair to say on that	10	Waldor.
11	A Mr. Silberman. I'm sorry, I misspoke.	11	Q You weren't in the courtroom when the
12 13	Q No problem.	12 13	judge made that pronouncement?
14	Is it fair to say on that day that you	14	A Not that I recall. I never had any
15	were in court where that was discussed, that you	15	direct communication with her.
	were not happy about the case having to be dismissed?	16	Q Do you recall her stating that on the record?
16			
17	A I was not happy, no. Of course I was	17 18	A No, I do not. O Okay. So is it your testimony, then,
18	not happy.		
19	Q From that courthouse did you go	19	that the fact that this particular case was not
20	directly to the Lyndhurst Police Department?	20	filed in federal court, the one we're here for
21	A I don't recall doing that.	21	today, had nothing to do with the judge's admonition
22	Q Do you recall going to the Lyndhurst	22	that should you ever file another federal court case
23	Police Department, whether it was immediately after	23	she would actually be overseeing it?
24	or not, in order to file a complaint against Anthony	24	A It certainly would not have bothered
25	DiMaggio?	25	me if she did.
		1	

	David Sivel	ia vii	01/20/2021
1	Page 1 Q Right.	02 1	Page 104 inappropriate contact was made with Ms. DiMaggio?
2	A Judge Waldor has a very good	2	A I recall getting something. I do not
3	reputation.	3	recall the details of what that something was.
4	Q I agree. But the fact that it's not	4	Q Do you recall one of the details being
5	filed in federal court, is it your testimony that	5	the individual who engaged in the inappropriate
6	that had nothing to do with the fact that you were	6	action?
7	trying to stay out of federal court because of	7	A I'm sorry.
8	A Not on my part.	8	Q Do you recall one of the bits of
9	Q Okay.	9	information you received being the name of the actor
10	A Maybe on Mr. Silberman's part or his	10	that engaged in the inappropriate conduct?
11	associate Mr. Schiller's part, for the reasons I	11	A Perhaps. I don't remember.
12	described.	12	Q Okay. Well, you had mentioned earlier
13	Q Mr. Silberman and Mr. Schiller, did	13	that you had gone to an individual's house, his name
14	they were they part of the same law firm?	14	I believe you said was Mr. Garciano; is that
15	A No. Mr. Silberman referred	15	correct?
16	Mr. Schiller to me. I had never met Mr. Schiller	n 16	A Yes.
17	person.	17	Q How did you know who he was?
18	Q Okay. Now, you referenced earlier an	18	A I believe Pat Scott told me.
19	unsavory incident involving Gina DiMaggio where	19	Q And who's Pat Scott?
20	somebody sent her an inappropriate picture; do you	20	A She's a former Township employee,
21	recall that?	21	she's deceased. And I believe when you look at
22	A Yes. I did not know it was a picture	, 22	these records that you're going to get copies of,
23	though. Thank you.	23	there's a text message where she's discussing this
24	Q You became aware of that information	24	with regarding Ricky Pizzuti's understanding of
25	from a public record request that you had made,	25	the situation and and I think there's reference
1	Page 1	03 1	Page 105 to his name Garcia in the e-mail that I sent to CJ
2	A No.	2	Griffin requesting the OPRA request. So apparently
3	Q Did you get any information in any	3	I knew Garcia's name prior to that.
4	Public Record Act request that you made or was made		Q Okay. So you had some information on
5	on your behalf about that incident?	5	who the actor was?
6	A Yes.	6	A My assumption based on what I told.
7	Q And where did that come from?	7	Q You didn't know him personally?
8	A It would have come from Pashman Steir		A Absolutely not.
9	the law firm, CJ Griffin, the attorney, making an	9	
	ene 14m 111m, ee e1111m, ene deserne, marring un	-	
1.10	OPRA request to the Lyndhurst Board of Education for	r 10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10	OPRA request to the Lyndhurst Board of Education for whatever records would be available.		A One time.
11	whatever records would be available.	11	A One time. Q Prior to the first time we talked
11 12	whatever records would be available. Q And she would have done that on your	11 12	A One time. Q Prior to the first time we talked about, there was no prior contact?
11 12 13	whatever records would be available. Q And she would have done that on your behalf?	11 12 13	A One time. Q Prior to the first time we talked about, there was no prior contact? A One time when I was on my way to U.S.
11 12 13 14	whatever records would be available. Q And she would have done that on your behalf? A Yes. But	11 12 13 14	A One time. Q Prior to the first time we talked about, there was no prior contact? A One time when I was on my way to U.S. Open I stopped at his house.
11 12 13 14 15	whatever records would be available. Q And she would have done that on your behalf? A Yes. But Q Go ahead.	11 12 13 14 15	A One time. Q Prior to the first time we talked about, there was no prior contact? A One time when I was on my way to U.S. Open I stopped at his house. Q Okay. Fair enough. And when you went
11 12 13 14 15	whatever records would be available. Q And she would have done that on your behalf? A Yes. But Q Go ahead. A Just want to make one thing clear to	11 12 13 14 15 16	A One time. Q Prior to the first time we talked about, there was no prior contact? A One time when I was on my way to U.S. Open I stopped at his house. Q Okay. Fair enough. And when you went to go meet him you understood he was the person who
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	whatever records would be available. Q And she would have done that on your behalf? A Yes. But Q Go ahead. A Just want to make one thing clear to you if this matters to you. In no OPRA request the	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A One time. Q Prior to the first time we talked about, there was no prior contact? A One time when I was on my way to U.S. Open I stopped at his house. Q Okay. Fair enough. And when you went to go meet him you understood he was the person who engaged in that inappropriate contact with
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	whatever records would be available. Q And she would have done that on your behalf? A Yes. But Q Go ahead. A Just want to make one thing clear to you if this matters to you. In no OPRA request the CJ Griffin ever made for me did she refer to me as	11 12 13 14 15 16 t 17 18	A One time. Q Prior to the first time we talked about, there was no prior contact? A One time when I was on my way to U.S. Open I stopped at his house. Q Okay. Fair enough. And when you went to go meet him you understood he was the person who engaged in that inappropriate contact with Ms. DiMaggio?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	whatever records would be available. Q And she would have done that on your behalf? A Yes. But Q Go ahead. A Just want to make one thing clear to you if this matters to you. In no OPRA request the CJ Griffin ever made for me did she refer to me as anything but a client. Not by name. So there would	11 12 13 14 15 16 t 17 18 d 19	A One time. Q Prior to the first time we talked about, there was no prior contact? A One time when I was on my way to U.S. Open I stopped at his house. Q Okay. Fair enough. And when you went to go meet him you understood he was the person who engaged in that inappropriate contact with Ms. DiMaggio? A Allegedly.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	whatever records would be available. Q And she would have done that on your behalf? A Yes. But Q Go ahead. A Just want to make one thing clear to you if this matters to you. In no OPRA request the CJ Griffin ever made for me did she refer to me as anything but a client. Not by name. So there would be a presumption that I was asking for it from	11 12 13 14 15 16 t 17 18 d 19 f 20	A One time. Q Prior to the first time we talked about, there was no prior contact? A One time when I was on my way to U.S. Open I stopped at his house. Q Okay. Fair enough. And when you went to go meet him you understood he was the person who engaged in that inappropriate contact with Ms. DiMaggio? A Allegedly. Q Allegedly. Okay. But you understood
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	whatever records would be available. Q And she would have done that on your behalf? A Yes. But Q Go ahead. A Just want to make one thing clear to you if this matters to you. In no OPRA request the CJ Griffin ever made for me did she refer to me as anything but a client. Not by name. So there would be a presumption that I was asking for it from it CJ Griffin requested it, not a direct statement,	11 12 13 14 15 16 t 17 18 d 19 f 20 21	A One time. Q Prior to the first time we talked about, there was no prior contact? A One time when I was on my way to U.S. Open I stopped at his house. Q Okay. Fair enough. And when you went to go meet him you understood he was the person who engaged in that inappropriate contact with Ms. DiMaggio? A Allegedly. Q Allegedly. Okay. But you understood that?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	whatever records would be available. Q And she would have done that on your behalf? A Yes. But Q Go ahead. A Just want to make one thing clear to you if this matters to you. In no OPRA request the CJ Griffin ever made for me did she refer to me as anything but a client. Not by name. So there would be a presumption that I was asking for it from it CJ Griffin requested it, not a direct statement, David Sivella wants this record.	11 12 13 14 15 16 t 17 18 d 19 f 20 21	A One time. Q Prior to the first time we talked about, there was no prior contact? A One time when I was on my way to U.S. Open I stopped at his house. Q Okay. Fair enough. And when you went to go meet him you understood he was the person who engaged in that inappropriate contact with Ms. DiMaggio? A Allegedly. Q Allegedly. Okay. But you understood that? A Correct.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	whatever records would be available. Q And she would have done that on your behalf? A Yes. But Q Go ahead. A Just want to make one thing clear to you if this matters to you. In no OPRA request the CJ Griffin ever made for me did she refer to me as anything but a client. Not by name. So there would be a presumption that I was asking for it from it CJ Griffin requested it, not a direct statement, David Sivella wants this record. Q Oh, I see. Okay. Well, in any event	11 12 13 14 15 16 t 17 18 d 19 f 20 21 22 23	A One time. Q Prior to the first time we talked about, there was no prior contact? A One time when I was on my way to U.S. Open I stopped at his house. Q Okay. Fair enough. And when you went to go meet him you understood he was the person who engaged in that inappropriate contact with Ms. DiMaggio? A Allegedly. Q Allegedly. Okay. But you understood that? A Correct. Q And are you aware that the individual
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	whatever records would be available. Q And she would have done that on your behalf? A Yes. But Q Go ahead. A Just want to make one thing clear to you if this matters to you. In no OPRA request the CJ Griffin ever made for me did she refer to me as anything but a client. Not by name. So there would be a presumption that I was asking for it from it CJ Griffin requested it, not a direct statement, David Sivella wants this record.	11 12 13 14 15 16 t 17 18 d 19 f 20 21	A One time. Q Prior to the first time we talked about, there was no prior contact? A One time when I was on my way to U.S. Open I stopped at his house. Q Okay. Fair enough. And when you went to go meet him you understood he was the person who engaged in that inappropriate contact with Ms. DiMaggio? A Allegedly. Q Allegedly. Okay. But you understood that? A Correct.

	David Sivena		
1	Page 106	1	Page 108 Q And you're sure it was their party?
2	Q Were you aware that that individual	2	A Yeah, I was told that.
3	was scared and upset that you went to his home and	3	Q Were you present at the party?
4	had no idea how you got information about who he	4	A Absolutely not.
5	was?	5	Q Who told you that's where the party
6	A No, I'm not.	6	was at?
7	Q Is it true that you offered him or	7	A Anthony Dell'Aquilla.
8	asked him if he was being represented by an	8	Q Were you ever did you ever receive
9	attorney?	9	information that at whatever party this was that
10	A I asked him if he needed an attorney.	10	Mr. Carrino left the party because he wasn't
11	Q Why did you ask him that?	11	comfortable being in the presence of certain people
12	A Because I was under the impression	12	based on the actions they had taken?
13	that he probably could not afford an attorney in	13	A No, I did not.
14		14	Q Okay. Did you know that one of the
15	these circumstances and I certainly had a lot of reason to understand that the Lyndhurst Police	15	individuals that you were associated with or that
16	Department routinely practices in a way outside the	16	you said you were associated with was videotaping
17	lanes of normal process and that this person may	17	Mr. Carrino's young daughter, teenager?
18	-	18	
19	very well need an attorney to properly represent himself because he was dealing with the child of a	19	A I don't know what you're talking about.
20	very powerful local politician who had abused the	20	Q You don't know anything about that?
21	system repeatedly with his family.	21	A No.
22	Q And when you did do that, you were	22	Q Okay. The case with Anthony DiMaggio,
23	aware that he was accused at least or alleged to	23	you filed the civil lawsuit against him, correct?
24	have been the person who sent inappropriate contact	24	A Which one are you referring to because
25	to Ms. DiMaggio?	25	federal
	========	==	2000202
1	Page 107 A Again, repeat that.	1	Page 109 O The state court action.
2	A Again, repeat that. MR. DORIS: You can read it back,	2	Q The state court action. A Yes.
3	please.	3	Q Okay. And what was the basis of that
4	(Whereupon, the last question was	4	lawsuit, if you remember the allegations?
5	read back by the reporter.)	5	A He and again, this is going from
6	A I did not know what the what the	6	memory, I have not reviewed any documents regarding
7	inappropriate what the contents were per se. I	7	this per se, at least not in detail. He filed a
8	knew that that that or I had been informed or	8	criminal complaint, I believe, against me for
9	had the impression that there was some question as	9	harassment of him. And I think his wife as well.
10	to whether or not Gina DiMaggio had acted in an	10	And that was the basis of it. It was a false report
11	inappropriate way with a former student.	11	which and he was you argued, actually, and one
12	Q And you thought she acted in an	12	thing I did, and it's in here, you argued that it
13	inappropriate way towards this individual?	13	was even though he may have done it or did do it, he
14	A Yeah.	14	never followed through on it, therefore it wasn't
15	Q And that's why you offered your to	15	actionable.
	pay for legal counsel, correct?	16	Q Well, let's be clear. Whatever you
16			say I said, the judge dismissed the case, didn't he?
16 17	A Yes. And by the way, Gina DiMaggio	17	say I said, the judge dishissed the case, didn't he:
		17 18	A I think you understand my opinion of
17	A Yes. And by the way, Gina DiMaggio		
17 18	A Yes. And by the way, Gina DiMaggio and I can look.	18	A I think you understand my opinion of
17 18 19	A Yes. And by the way, Gina DiMaggio and I can look. Q There's no pending question, sir.	18 19	A I think you understand my opinion of your relationships with these parties with the
17 18 19 20	A Yes. And by the way, Gina DiMaggio — and I can look. Q There's no pending question, sir. There's no pending question. Thank you.	18 19 20	A I think you understand my opinion of your relationships with these parties with the Bergen County court system, sir.
17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. And by the way, Gina DiMaggio and I can look. Q There's no pending question, sir. There's no pending question. Thank you. You referenced earlier some party that	18 19 20 21	A I think you understand my opinion of your relationships with these parties with the Bergen County court system, sir. Q I don't
17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. And by the way, Gina DiMaggio — and I can look. Q There's no pending question, sir. There's no pending question. Thank you. You referenced earlier some party that you said Mr. Carrino was at, the Bove; is that	18 19 20 21 22	A I think you understand my opinion of your relationships with these parties with the Bergen County court system, sir. Q I don't A Yes, he did dismiss it, perhaps. I

	David Sive	na on '	U1/25/2U2 4
1	Page 1 question. Regardless of what our legal arguments	10 1	Page 11 Mr. Carbone, I think you said.
2	were made to the court, the judge dismissed that	2	A That is correct.
3	case based on those legal arguments, correct?	3	Q Did you ever at some point fire
4	A If you say so.	4	Mr. Carbone, for lack of a better word, term?
5	Q I'm asking you. Is that what	5	A No.
6	happened?	6	Q Is are those lawsuit that
7	A If that's how it concluded. I told	1	lawsuit still pending?
		'	
8	I told my attorney at that time, Mr. Rubis, after	1	A No.
9	two years of delays with that case I was moving to	9	Q What was the result of that lawsuit?
10	New York, just end it.	10	A I described it at length. Would you
11	Q So are you saying you dismissed that	11	like me to do it again?
12	lawsuit?	12	Q I'd like you to answer my question,
13	A It was dismissed. I don't recall the		yeah.
14	terms of how it was.	14	A The result was Judge Friscia, Mr.
15	Q Well, let me see if I can refresh you		Ferriero's friend, took a year to manage that case
16	recollection.	16	through depositions and interrogatories and other
17	A Please.	17	back and forth between attorneys including Doug
18	Q There was a motion filed in lieu of a	an 18	Bern. And one month after the change of government
19	answer which means we didn't even answer the	19	of elected officials in political control, she sent
20	complaint. We told the judge in the motion based	on 20	it back to Lyndhurst for a revote to continue on
21	the law there's no basis for this lawsuit. And	21	business as usual.
22	based on that the judge threw your case out. Does	22	Q And what happened?
23	that refresh your recollection?	23	A After that?
24	A No.	24	Q Yes.
25	Q It doesn't?	25	A Lyndhurst revoted, and appointed
	Page 1	11	Page 11
1	A If you say so, that's fine.	1	people associated with Joe Ferriero to \$600,000
2	Q Okay.	2	management position for the construction of the
3	A I accept your interpretation. You're	e 3	school, no bid, that is Epic Management, and they
4	a barred licensed attorney.	4	appointed no bid to DiCara and Rubino. It's an
5	Q Okay. The lawsuit that you filed	5	architecture firm I believe based in Wayne and
6	against the Lyndhurst school, or the school board,	6	appointed them as the architect, removing local
7	I'm not sure how that went.	7	architect named Galloway, I think. And I believe,
8	You did have a lawsuit with them,	8	not spoken to him in quite sometime, but I believe
9	correct?	9	Mr. Dilascio was supposed to have been the project
10	A Which lawsuit? The one about the	10	manager for that project for about \$150,000. So the
11	middle school or junior high school that I referred		price went up quadrupled and they changed all of the
12	to with Mr. Ferriero?	12	
12 13		13	vendors.
			Q And was that the end of the lawsuit
14	against the Lyndhurst school board or their schools		after the revote or
15	A I think it's just that one.	15	A There was not a legal action taken by
16	Q Okay. So then why did you ask me	16	me.
17	which one?	17	Q Okay. Why don't you just tell us
18	A Because at that moment I hadn't	18	briefly what your education is.
19	thought it through, so I thought you could be more	19	A Like, story of education or my
20	clear.	20	Q I don't need your life story, just
21	Q Even though there's only one.	21	your education
	A Now that I've thought it through I	22	A It's a great store though.
22	agree there's only one but I still asked you to be	23	Q I'm sure. All stories are great.
	agree there s only one but I still asked you to be		
222324	more clear.	24	A Thank you. I have a J.D.

		David Sivella		
1	А	Page 114 You mean undergrad?	1	Page 116 A I believe he was but I cannot attest
2	Q	Yes.	2	to that myself. I was unconscious.
3	A	Rutgers.	3	Q Yes. I heard that for the third time
4	Q	And what degree did you have?	4	but I'm asking still whether he was or was not and
5	A	Political science.	5	how do you know?
6	Q	What year did you graduate?	6	MS. WEISS: Objection to the
7	A A	1995. Something like that.	7	question.
8	Q	And then right after that did you go	8	MR. DORIS: You object to me asking
9	to law school	•	9	if his son was present.
10	A	About a year.	10	MS. WEISS: Yes, because the
11	Q	Which law school did you go to?	11	timeframe, he mentioned that there's two
12	A A	Yeshiva Cardozo School of Law.	12	incidents. Maybe you did
13	Q	You graduated from there?	13	MR. DORIS: Right. There are two.
14	æ A	Yes.	14	MS. WEISS: So you're asking him a
15	Q	With a J.D.?	15	frame certain period of time. He says he
16	A A	Yes.	16	was unconscious at some point, so he may know
17	0	Did you ever take any bar exam in any	17	
18	state?	PIG YOU EVEL CARE ANY DAT EXAM IN ANY	18	at one point and not know at the other. So,
19	State:	Never.	19	anyway. MR. DORIS: Understood. There's
				video that shows him interacting with people so
20	Q add	The incident in this case, what was	20	
21 22	-	s at that time?	21 22	he wasn't unconscious the whole time.
23	A	My residential address?	23	A Okay. May I have a clarification
	Q	Yes.		point on your knowledge and your lack of knowledge
24	A	614 New York Avenue in Lyndhurst.	24	and what epilepsy is.
25	Q	Do you still own that home?	25	Q No, you may not.
	_	Page 115		Page 117
1	A	I sold it in March of 2022.	1	MS. WEISS: No. You can explain
2	Q	Do you have any other properties that	2	later.
3	you own in I		3	(cross-talk)
4	A	No.	4	A No idea what he's talking about
5	Q	This incident occurred back in	5	medically.
6		2019. Who was living in the home with	6	Q So the question is, was your son
7	-	time besides your husband, if anyone?	7	present the first time when you had the seizure that
8	A	My son.	8	evening?
9	Q	What's his name?	9	A I know he was present at some point.
10	A	King and his nickname is Aldwin	10	Q Okay.
11		last name Belen, B-E-L-E-N.	11	A I do not know anything about the
12	Q	On the night when this incident	12	timeline of what that point was, whether it was the
13	occurred whe	en you had the seizure, was he present?	13	entire duration from prior to the seizure and after,
14	A	Yes.	14	or only during one of the events or only a portion
15	Q	Was there anybody else in the home	15	of one of the events. I have no recollection.
16	-	that evening, before the police arrived?	16	Q Correct. I understand it's your
17	A	My husband and my son.	17	recollection but I'm asking your knowledge from
18	Q	Was your son present for both	18	other sources besides your recollection.
19	incidents th	nat occurred?	19	A My impression is that he was there
	Α	I was unconscious.	20	both times.
20	Q	Okay. Well, still, my question	21	Q And you base that impression on what?
20 21			22	A His reference to the behavior of the
	whether you	were	22	A his reference to the behavior of the
21		were I was unconscious.	23	police in the house. Impression he was there both
21 22	whether you			

		David Sivena	_	
1	А	Page 118 But we can easily ask him.	1	Page 120 that they're making is that you owe them legal fees
2	Q	Yeah, where does he currently reside?	2	
3	A	He resides in North Arlington.	3	A Yes. That's their claim.
4	Q	What's his address?	4	Q Yes. What court is that filed in?
5	A	No idea.	5	A They filed it in Superior Court in
6	Q	You have no idea what your son's	6	Bergen County.
7	address is?		7	
8		MS. WEISS: Objection.	8	additional legal work since that lawsuit had been
9		MR. DORIS: What's objectionable?	9	filed?
10		MS. WEISS: You said you don't know.	10	A I have not used Pashman Stein since
11		MR. DORIS: I just think it's odd.	11	they sent me that outrageous bill two and a half
12		MS. WEISS: Maybe odd or not but he	12	years ago.
13	angwere	d the question.	13	
14	answere	MR. DORIS: Okay.	14	
15	BY MR. DORIS	-	15	A Sitting there.
16	Q DI PIK. DOKIS	And that's a truthful response you	16	
	-		17	Q Miss Weiss. I just need you to on the record
17		here your son King lives?		
18	A	I know he lives in North Arlington. I	18	A Oh, I'm sorry. Forgive me, I'm sorry
19	•	et his address at home.	19	about that. I didn't mean to disrespect.
20	Q	Okay.	20	
21	A	I have not abandoned him. I saw him	21	
22	on Christmas		22	, -
23	Q	I was never suggesting that. I just	23	~ •
24		ow his address, but that's all right, we	24	•
25	can always fo	ollow up with your attorney.	25	mentioned that you weren't sure if they were still
1		Page 119	1	Page 121
1	A	You can get his address. I'd be happy	1	
2	to provide i	•	2	5, 1
3	Q	Yeah, thank you. We'll follow up.	3	•
4		tter, obviously.	4	
5	A	Okay. No problem.	5	A One is Polesetsky and don't ask me
6	Q	Are you currently involved in any	6	to spell these names. Polesetsky and Osgys. Those
7		ts besides this one?	7	are cousins versus me as the executor of the estate,
8	A	Yes.	8	Mildred Schlimbach, S-C-H-L-I-M-B-A-C-H.
9	Q	How many?	9	~
10	A	Let's see. I think the only active	10	
11	•	w is with Pashman Stein.	11	-
12	Q	Can you tell me what that lawsuit is.	12	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
13	A	What is the nature of it?	13	~
14	Q -	The name of it and the nature of it.	14	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
15	A	The name of it is I guess Pashman	15	-
16		David Sivella. I don't really know	16	
17		e of it is. The docket number I'm sure	17	
18	_	de to you if you want that, I don't have	18	
19		nature of it is a claim of sixty	19	~
20		ousand dollars or so for an election	20	•
	case in Lynd		21	-
21	_	For for work they performed?	22	filed?
22	Q			
22 23	A	For work they're billing for work	23	-
22	A		23 24	-

		u OII	
1	Page 12 MS. WEISS: Objection. Can we go off		Page 124 A I haven't selected the attorney yet.
2	the record for a sec.	2	I'm talking to several firms.
3	MR. DORIS: Sure.	3	Q Who is the other attorney that
4	MS. WEISS: Oh, that means we have to	4	represented you in that lawsuit, the whatever
5	go off the record. Okay. Never mind. You car	. 5	A Successor attorney?
6	answer, if you know.	6	Q Yeah.
7	A Sure. What was the question? I'm	7	A David Pikus, P-I-K-U-S, of Bressler
8	sorry. In the discussion of answering	8	Avery, et cetera, et cetera.
9	Q What were the terms of the settlement?		Q And the settlement was I guess
10	A I paid — the estate paid the legal	10	accomplished by him and his firm; is that fair to
11	bills of the plaintiffs and gave each one of them	11	say?
12	-	12	
	\$50,000 because we concluded it was cheaper than		A It was done with him as representing
13	having a trial.	13	me in that and yes. And done through a mediator.
14	Q Who is the attorney that represented	14	Q I know you had to pay \$50,000 to some
15	you in that lawsuit?	15	individuals. How many did you have to pay?
16	A Two.	16	A The two plaintiffs.
17	Q What were their names?	17	Q It was two plaintiffs. Who are those
18	A First one was Nicholas Doria. He was	18	plaintiffs?
19	terminated.	19	A In who are they to me?
20	Q Nicholas Doria, he represented you in	20	Q Well, yeah. What were their names
21	one of your other lawsuits against Lyndhurst, too,	21	first, I guess?
22	correct?	22	A One is Matthew Polesetsky, that one I
23	A Correct.	23	can spell. P-O-L-E-S-E-T-S-K-Y. He's a California
24	Q And he was terminated?	24	attorney. He is my cousin. I really don't know
25	A Correct.	25	him. I've met him twice. And the other is another
	Page 12	3	Page 125
1	Q When was he terminated?	1	cousin, different parents. It's a woman named Jamie
2	A August, September of 2022.	2	J-A-M-I-E and her last name I'll get it close,
3	Q 2020?	3	Osgys, I think is how you say it O-S-G-Y-S or
4	A August or September, it was an ongoing	4	something. Weird name. German name.
5	process of 2022.	5	Q And she's your cousin?
6	Q Did you ever file any type of ethics	6	A Cousin.
7	complaints?	7	Q Okay. That was filed in you said
8	A Not yet. Those things are in	8	Essex County?
9	progress.	9	A I believe so. Because you all
10	Q Have you ever filed a lawsuit against	10	you lawyers correct me if I'm wrong, but if it's
11	Nick Doria?	11	probated, it would have been filed in the place it
12	A Not yet. Those things are in	12	was probated?
13	progress.	13	Q Yeah.
14	Q When you say they're in progress, are	14	A Essex County. All probates in Essex.
15	they	15	Q Okay. Were there any other lawsuits
16	A They are under attorney review and I	16	that you've been involved in since 2019 to the
17	am not going to discuss them if you don't mind.	17	present?
18		18	· ·
	Q No, no. I understand that. That's		
19	what I was trying to find out.	19	filed in another estate. That I'm the executor of a
20	A Yeah, yeah.	20	will.
21	Q Fair enough.	21	Q And
	A But I am happy to answer any of your	22	A And do you want me to give you the
22	1.7	23	names?
23	questions.		
1	Q Attorney. Which attorney will be	24	Q Yeah.

	David Sivella		71,20,2021
1	Page 126 It's an aunt. My aunt. The her name is Marie,	1	Page 128 A They were suing me as the executor.
2	not Maria. Marie. Sivella, my last name. And I	2	Q Correct.
3	know there was a caveat filed but I don't we	3	A And I was also a beneficiary, so I
4	never went to court on that because all of these	4	don't know like you don't know what a caveat is,
5	things were resolved globally based on the terms I	5	I'm not necessarily sure what the overlap of my role
6	just described.	6	as a beneficiary versus executor but my role in
7	Q Was that completely separate from the	7	these lawsuits was defending the estates in terms of
8	other	8	the executor being the executor. And I'm sure in
9	A Same plaintiff.	9	the Schlimbach case it was in that role because
10	Q I thought the plaintiffs in the other	10	there was millions going to charity that that I
11	case	11	had to defend. They were trying to undo a will that
12	A Polesetsky was the plaintiff in that	12	left million dollars to charity.
13	one, filed the caveat. But to get you details of	13	Q Was just so I'm clear, the Marie
14	that I'd have to consult with Mr. Pikus about that.	14	Sivella caveat that was filed, was that all part of
15	Q Was that as a result I don't know	15	the same estate or was that a separate
16	what a caveat is, honestly estate cases, but	16	A No. You want me to give you a
17	A I could clarify for you.	17	structure of estate
18	Q Yeah, please.	18	Q Well, if there's a different estate
19	A What a caveat is, just so everybody	19	I'd like to know
20	understands, everybody, when somebody dies at some	20	A That is a different estate.
21	point, when a person passes away and they have a	21	Q what that is.
22	will, you have ten days in which from the date of	22	A That is a different estate. She is
23	death you have to wait wait ten days from the	23	these are sisters.
24	date of death to file an objection to the probate of	24	Q Okay.
25	the will. So in Marie's case, my Aunt Marie's case,	25	A So Marie is Mildred's sister.
	the will be in latte b case, my hand latte b case,	23	n bo faire is finited b bibeer.
1	Page 127 she had a will, and a trust named in that will, and	1	Page 129 Q Okay.
2	when the before I even filed, I was doing her	2	A Younger sister. And when she passed
3	funeral during Covid, before I even filed the	3	away a few months after Millie did, in September of
4	•	-	and a few monemb after infiffe and, in deptember of
-		4	2020, and that would of course be a separate estate.
5	paperwork on her estate, Matthew Polesetsky, during her funeral, three days after her death, filed a	5	2020, and that would of course be a separate estate. O
5 6	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a	5	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved?
6	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You	5	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it.
6 7	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat	5	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged?
6 7 8	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people	5 6 7 8	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No.
6 7 8 9	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people can argue their cases. It either follows into a	5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No. Q Did he drop it as part of the
6 7 8 9 10	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people can argue their cases. It either follows into a lawsuit, becomes a lawsuit, or it gets negotiated	5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No. Q Did he drop it as part of the settlement for the other case?
6 7 8 9 10 11	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people can argue their cases. It either follows into a lawsuit, becomes a lawsuit, or it gets negotiated and settled out, however it gets settled. But for	5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No. Q Did he drop it as part of the settlement for the other case? A I would I refused to settle the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people can argue their cases. It either follows into a lawsuit, becomes a lawsuit, or it gets negotiated and settled out, however it gets settled. But for the caveat to be released you either have to beat	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No. Q Did he drop it as part of the settlement for the other case? A I would I refused to settle the Schlimbach estate. I was going to take them to
6 7 8 9 10 11	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people can argue their cases. It either follows into a lawsuit, becomes a lawsuit, or it gets negotiated and settled out, however it gets settled. But for the caveat to be released you either have to beat them in court or they have to agree to drop the	5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No. Q Did he drop it as part of the settlement for the other case? A I would I refused to settle the Schlimbach estate. I was going to take them to court because they had such a bad case if they did
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people can argue their cases. It either follows into a lawsuit, becomes a lawsuit, or it gets negotiated and settled out, however it gets settled. But for the caveat to be released you either have to beat them in court or they have to agree to drop the caveat. And after Mr. Polesetsky did not fare too	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No. Q Did he drop it as part of the settlement for the other case? A I would I refused to settle the Schlimbach estate. I was going to take them to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people can argue their cases. It either follows into a lawsuit, becomes a lawsuit, or it gets negotiated and settled out, however it gets settled. But for the caveat to be released you either have to beat them in court or they have to agree to drop the caveat. And after Mr. Polesetsky did not fare too well with his first lawsuit on a more major estate,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No. Q Did he drop it as part of the settlement for the other case? A I would I refused to settle the Schlimbach estate. I was going to take them to court because they had such a bad case if they did not drop all of this. Just stop all of this stupidity.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people can argue their cases. It either follows into a lawsuit, becomes a lawsuit, or it gets negotiated and settled out, however it gets settled. But for the caveat to be released you either have to beat them in court or they have to agree to drop the caveat. And after Mr. Polesetsky did not fare too well with his first lawsuit on a more major estate, he dropped the caveat on Sivella as well.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No. Q Did he drop it as part of the settlement for the other case? A I would I refused to settle the Schlimbach estate. I was going to take them to court because they had such a bad case if they did not drop all of this. Just stop all of this stupidity. Q Is there any other lawsuits that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people can argue their cases. It either follows into a lawsuit, becomes a lawsuit, or it gets negotiated and settled out, however it gets settled. But for the caveat to be released you either have to beat them in court or they have to agree to drop the caveat. And after Mr. Polesetsky did not fare too well with his first lawsuit on a more major estate, he dropped the caveat on Sivella as well. Q Was the more major estate the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No. Q Did he drop it as part of the settlement for the other case? A I would I refused to settle the Schlimbach estate. I was going to take them to court because they had such a bad case if they did not drop all of this. Just stop all of this stupidity. Q Is there any other lawsuits that you've been involved in from December 2019 until
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people can argue their cases. It either follows into a lawsuit, becomes a lawsuit, or it gets negotiated and settled out, however it gets settled. But for the caveat to be released you either have to beat them in court or they have to agree to drop the caveat. And after Mr. Polesetsky did not fare too well with his first lawsuit on a more major estate, he dropped the caveat on Sivella as well. Q Was the more major estate the Polesetsky estate or is this	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No. Q Did he drop it as part of the settlement for the other case? A I would I refused to settle the Schlimbach estate. I was going to take them to court because they had such a bad case if they did not drop all of this. Just stop all of this stupidity. Q Is there any other lawsuits that you've been involved in from December 2019 until today?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people can argue their cases. It either follows into a lawsuit, becomes a lawsuit, or it gets negotiated and settled out, however it gets settled. But for the caveat to be released you either have to beat them in court or they have to agree to drop the caveat. And after Mr. Polesetsky did not fare too well with his first lawsuit on a more major estate, he dropped the caveat on Sivella as well. Q Was the more major estate the Polesetsky estate or is this A Well, Polesetsky is not an estate.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No. Q Did he drop it as part of the settlement for the other case? A I would I refused to settle the Schlimbach estate. I was going to take them to court because they had such a bad case if they did not drop all of this. Just stop all of this stupidity. Q Is there any other lawsuits that you've been involved in from December 2019 until today? A I don't recall any.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people can argue their cases. It either follows into a lawsuit, becomes a lawsuit, or it gets negotiated and settled out, however it gets settled. But for the caveat to be released you either have to beat them in court or they have to agree to drop the caveat. And after Mr. Polesetsky did not fare too well with his first lawsuit on a more major estate, he dropped the caveat on Sivella as well. Q Was the more major estate the Polesetsky estate or is this A Well, Polesetsky is not an estate. He's a plaintiff.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No. Q Did he drop it as part of the settlement for the other case? A I would I refused to settle the Schlimbach estate. I was going to take them to court because they had such a bad case if they did not drop all of this. Just stop all of this stupidity. Q Is there any other lawsuits that you've been involved in from December 2019 until today? A I don't recall any. Q Just have a few questions now about
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people can argue their cases. It either follows into a lawsuit, becomes a lawsuit, or it gets negotiated and settled out, however it gets settled. But for the caveat to be released you either have to beat them in court or they have to agree to drop the caveat. And after Mr. Polesetsky did not fare too well with his first lawsuit on a more major estate, he dropped the caveat on Sivella as well. Q Was the more major estate the Polesetsky estate or is this A Well, Polesetsky is not an estate. He's a plaintiff. Q Oh, I see. Okay. But they're not	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No. Q Did he drop it as part of the settlement for the other case? A I would I refused to settle the Schlimbach estate. I was going to take them to court because they had such a bad case if they did not drop all of this. Just stop all of this stupidity. Q Is there any other lawsuits that you've been involved in from December 2019 until today? A I don't recall any. Q Just have a few questions now about the allegations of this case.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people can argue their cases. It either follows into a lawsuit, becomes a lawsuit, or it gets negotiated and settled out, however it gets settled. But for the caveat to be released you either have to beat them in court or they have to agree to drop the caveat. And after Mr. Polesetsky did not fare too well with his first lawsuit on a more major estate, he dropped the caveat on Sivella as well. Q Was the more major estate the Polesetsky estate or is this A Well, Polesetsky is not an estate. He's a plaintiff. Q Oh, I see. Okay. But they're not suing you as the executor, right? Wasn't that an	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No. Q Did he drop it as part of the settlement for the other case? A I would I refused to settle the Schlimbach estate. I was going to take them to court because they had such a bad case if they did not drop all of this. Just stop all of this stupidity. Q Is there any other lawsuits that you've been involved in from December 2019 until today? A I don't recall any. Q Just have a few questions now about the allegations of this case. A Sure.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people can argue their cases. It either follows into a lawsuit, becomes a lawsuit, or it gets negotiated and settled out, however it gets settled. But for the caveat to be released you either have to beat them in court or they have to agree to drop the caveat. And after Mr. Polesetsky did not fare too well with his first lawsuit on a more major estate, he dropped the caveat on Sivella as well. Q Was the more major estate the Polesetsky estate or is this A Well, Polesetsky is not an estate. He's a plaintiff. Q Oh, I see. Okay. But they're not	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No. Q Did he drop it as part of the settlement for the other case? A I would I refused to settle the Schlimbach estate. I was going to take them to court because they had such a bad case if they did not drop all of this. Just stop all of this stupidity. Q Is there any other lawsuits that you've been involved in from December 2019 until today? A I don't recall any. Q Just have a few questions now about the allegations of this case. A Sure. Q Couple of the allegations that you
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	her funeral, three days after her death, filed a caveat. He didn't even understand the law. You have to wait ten days to do this. But what a caveat does is it freezes the probate of a will so people can argue their cases. It either follows into a lawsuit, becomes a lawsuit, or it gets negotiated and settled out, however it gets settled. But for the caveat to be released you either have to beat them in court or they have to agree to drop the caveat. And after Mr. Polesetsky did not fare too well with his first lawsuit on a more major estate, he dropped the caveat on Sivella as well. Q Was the more major estate the Polesetsky estate or is this A Well, Polesetsky is not an estate. He's a plaintiff. Q Oh, I see. Okay. But they're not suing you as the executor, right? Wasn't that an estate claim, against the estate?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. And was that caveat resolved? A He dropped it. Q No money exchanged? A No. Q Did he drop it as part of the settlement for the other case? A I would I refused to settle the Schlimbach estate. I was going to take them to court because they had such a bad case if they did not drop all of this. Just stop all of this stupidity. Q Is there any other lawsuits that you've been involved in from December 2019 until today? A I don't recall any. Q Just have a few questions now about the allegations of this case. A Sure.

	Daviu Sivelia		
1	$ \begin{array}{c} {\rm Page~130} \\ {\rm orientation~as~well~as~your~discrimination;~is~that} \end{array} $	1	Page 132 right now, to be clear, I voluntarily don't drive
2	correct?	2	anymore.
3	A Yes.	3	Q Okay.
4	Q Okay. Sexual orientation, as an	4	A Theoretically I could because I
5	openly gay man is what you're referring to?	5	haven't had a seizure since the night Mr. Pizzuti
6	A Yes.	6	was at my house, that was my last seizure. So I
7	Q Okay. And the disability, because	7	could say I haven't had a seizure in a few years.
8	it's not necessarily spelled out, please tell me	8	My medication is working now, give me a license
9	what the disability that you're referring to?	9	back. I choose not to do that because, you know,
10	A I am an epileptic. I believe that's	10	prior to that, just six months earlier I crashed
11	classified as a disability by Social Security	11	into a guardrail on the New Jersey Parkway and
12	Administration.	12	having a seizure. And I stopped driving then. So
13	Q Is there any other disability that you	13	by the time Mr. Pizzuti was at my house, that on
14	claimed?	14	December 6th, I was no longer driving.
15	A Not that I recall.	15	Q That crash was in 2019?
16	Q Okay. Did you ever file for	16	A It was April early April 2019.
17	disability based on your epilepsy?	17	Here, I can give you the court records for it if you
18	A No.	18	want. That's in here.
19	Q Never filed with any government agency	19	Q Where was the accident, where did it
20	for any type of benefits related to being epileptic?	20	take place?
21	A No.	21	A Tinton Falls, is where I had to go to
22	Q Never declared disabled by social	22	court.
23	security?	23	Q What happened?
24	A No. But I was by the D.M.V. of New	24	A You mean the event that occurred?
25	Jersey.	25	Q Yes.
1	Page 131 Q When did that occur?	1	Page 133 A All right. So may I explain to you
2	A In the '80s. Started in 1980s.	2	what a seizure is like? Not trying to be I know
3	Q What happened?	3	we have our times together but I'm not trying to be
4	A I had a seizure, and by law, when you	4	obnoxious or anything like this. Just generally
5	have a seizure, in New Jersey, at least anyway, the	5	trying to help you understand this.
6	doctors report must report a seizure to the	6	So when you have there are
7	Division of Motor Vehicles.	7	different types of seizures when you have epilepsy.
8	Q Okay. And did that result in you	8	So you can have grand mal seizures and you can have
9	having to lose your driving privileges for a period	9	petit mal seizures or absence seizures.
10	of time?	10	Do you already know?
11	A Yes.	11	Q No.
12	Q How long about?	12	A Okay. So the difference is this. And
13	A It's happened about three or four	13	I think I have a good way of explaining this to
14	times. The law is six months minimum. But it never	14	everybody if you don't know the difference. I think
15	was just six months. Sometimes it went a year.	15	everybody knows the classic, I won't call it a joke
16	Sometimes it took longer than a year. So, you know,	16	but the classic, you know, he's having a seizure and
17	I don't really remember the exact details.	17	that shaking. That's a grand mal seize. An absence
	I don a rearry remember one evace decarry.	18	seizure or a petit mal seizure is and I shouldn't
	O No. that's fine. Just so I understand	1 10	perpare or a beere mar persare to and I priorital f
18	Q No, that's fine. Just so I understand		he diagnosing Mitch McConnell but if everyone has
18 19	the process, if you if you have a seizure, you	19	be diagnosing Mitch McConnell but if everyone has
18 19 20	the process, if you if you have a seizure, you report it to D.M.V. Do they automatically	19 20	seen Mitch McConnell phase out in front of the
18 19 20 21	the process, if you if you have a seizure, you report it to D.M.V. Do they automatically A Yes.	19 20 21	seen Mitch McConnell phase out in front of the podium a couple of times, that's a petit mal
18 19 20 21 22	the process, if you if you have a seizure, you report it to D.M.V. Do they automatically A Yes. Q They automatically take	19 20 21 22	seen Mitch McConnell phase out in front of the podium a couple of times, that's a petit mal seizure. Even if it's not happening to him for some
18 19 20 21 22 23	the process, if you if you have a seizure, you report it to D.M.V. Do they automatically A Yes. Q They automatically take A Until they verify, yes. I'm sorry,	19 20 21 22 23	seen Mitch McConnell phase out in front of the podium a couple of times, that's a petit mal seizure. Even if it's not happening to him for some reason, his symptoms are a petit mal seizure.
18 19 20 21 22	the process, if you if you have a seizure, you report it to D.M.V. Do they automatically A Yes. Q They automatically take	19 20 21 22	seen Mitch McConnell phase out in front of the podium a couple of times, that's a petit mal seizure. Even if it's not happening to him for some

Page 134 **Page 136** and Mitch McConnell is a great example for us to interrogatories and things. 1 2 2 all, you know, commonly understand this. If Mitch What is this altered state, this 3 McConnell -- strike that. 3 question altered state. It's postictal. When you 4 If -- if you have a petit mal seizure have a seizure like that, you're in -- you collapse 4 5 and you observe Mitch McConnell at a microphone in 5 as a board, you're out of it for maybe three or four 6 those moments on the news, you saw how he was okay, 6 minutes and that's why you're shaking. Then you 7 7 slowly start to recover. And you could be walking then phased out, clearly didn't know where he was, 8 then came back and sort of knew where he was and 8 around talking to people and people may think, oh, 9 then kind of had to be ushered away, because he was 9 you know what's going on. You have no idea what's regaining consciousness all in front of you in a few going on. You literally don't even remember any of 10 10 moments. But it did not attack his complete central it unless some hint of it sticks in your mind after 11 11 12 it's over. 12 nervous system. 13 The condition I have is grand mal 13 And -- and so that's part of -- that's 14 seizures. And the reason I have grand mal seizures 14 why I meant maybe you need to understand what this 15 is I was delivered with forceps as a kid, as a baby. 15 is about when you're asking me was my son there both times. Wouldn't know. And part of it is just sort 16 I have scar tissue on my right temple lobe that is 16 17 -- through years of testing is the source of -- of a 17 of purges your memory for that period of time. And problem there, you know, electrical problem there. when it's over, it takes, you know, time to recover 18 19 and get yourself back to full functionality because So when I have a seizure, literally 19 20 you're like, I don't want to use the word "dead," 20 you're not instantly okay. And also they tend to 21 but you're literally dead for a minute or two. So 21 drug you up when you're taken to the hospital, they 22 your complete nervous system is basically just dose you up heavily to just keep you from 23 electrocuted, you stiffen up like a board, and you 23 seizing. Because once you have a seizure, as 24 collapse like a board into what I've injured myself 24 Mr. Pizzuti witnessed that night, if you don't go to 25 more than once. I know this from recovery. You 25 the hospital, which I refused to, which is classic Page 135 Page 137 collapse into whatever it is. So if you're standing what you do when you have these seizures, no, no, 1 no, I wouldn't go to the hospital, you have another 2 on a ladder and this happens, you're probably going 2 3 to die. If you're driving a car when this happens, 3 one until you're medicated up and the process is you're going to crash into a guardrail. You have no 4 shut down. conscious understanding of what happened. 5 5 So I hope that answers your question Some people have auras, they call 6 about that. 7 7 them, I think, is when you're aware this may happen Q I think it does. 8 to you and you can sit down or do something. I do 8 Α Okav. 9 not. Not everybody has the same. So I do not know 9 Just a general question, Mr. Sivella. 10 what happened to me until I regain consciousness. 10 What facts do you have to support your allegation 11 So in the case -- now I'm going to use 11 that any of the defendants did something improper 12 Mr. Pizzuti as an example, if I may, the night, 12 towards you because of your sexual orientation? 13 because that will illustrate this. I can remember 13 There were references -- Mr. Pizzuti 14 one thing about that night. One thing. Ricky 14 indicated to me, and Pat Scott also backed this up 15 Pizzuti over my face and I recognized his face and I as well, that there were references to the size of 15 16 said, hey, Ricky. And then I blanked out again. I 16 my penis in this whole thing. And I was in my 17 remember nothing that went on because when you have bedroom, in my bed, in my underwear, and that's --17 18 these seizures, it purges your electrical system, 18 my interpretation of the bit of a sexual -- and a 19 and -- every muscle -- you're aware of every muscle 19 bias thing. You could say, and I'll use this as a 20 you have after every one of these seizures because 20 comparison, if I were a woman, any of the women in 21 everything hurts. It's gone through every muscle, 21 this room, and I'm the husband, and I called an 22 everything in your body. 22 ambulance, and the police came to my house and 23 So the thing that -- and I'm going to 23 recorded without telling us what was going on in the 24 bedroom and my wife who was having a medical take the liberty to explain this because I've seen it come up in a few of the questions and emergency was in her underwear in the bed, which of

	David Sivella	on (J1/25/202 4
1	Page 138 course I wouldn't be getting her dressed or	1	Page 140 And just for the record, Mr. Pizzuti,
2	whatever, in my case I wet my pants and that's why	2	Officer Pizzuti, Sergeant Pizzuti did not have his
3	they took my pants off. But that's what I was told.	3	camera on. The the second time they came back,
4	But the the point is, if you have male police	4	which was hours later, they again returned with
5	officers repeatedly looking at your wife, or any	5	their cameras on. Again, did not inform anyone in
6	woman in the state of undress, and they were male	6	the house that their cameras were on. Recorded at
7	police officers, and, you know, you could see her	7	that point, I think I was in the bedroom, and that's
8	nipples on her breasts because they're wet her	8	when they got bedroom underwear shots.
9	bra is wet or her T-shirt is wet, you can see the	9	Now, I just want to be real clear and
10	contours of her vagina because her underwear is wet,	10	I'm not trying to I just don't want to be unfair
11	I define that as a sexual thing.	11	to any officers here with this. The two young
12	Q Well, let me just be sure I	12	officers that had their camera on, maybe they made a
13	understand. You're a male, right?	13	mistake coming into the house the first time. The
14	A Um-hmm.	14	second time, I wouldn't be as generous, I don't
15	Q So comment about forget about the	15	know. But even so, I'll give them the benefit of
16	comment about your penis. Let's talk about being on	16	the doubt.
17	video. Whether you're whatever your sexual	17	Let's assume that they were afraid I
18	orientation is, right, gay or not gay, that's going	18	might be injured and they wanted to make sure I
19	to appear on your whatever your body, whatever	19	didn't blame them for that. So they want to record
20	state it's in is how it's gonna appear, correct?	20	it for their own security, you know, their own
21	A I think the no disrespect.	21	professional security. I don't have a problem with
22	Q It's okay.	22	that. I don't have a problem with that as long as
23	A You're conflating the technicality of	23	they notify the people they were doing it and the
24	the recording and obviously what happened because	24	people other people who were there were okay with
25	this is what's happening and this is these are	25	that. But they didn't do that.
1		1	
	D 120		D 141
1	Page 139 the facts. With what was done with that recording	1	Page 141 Me personally, as long as that video
1 2		1 2	8
	the facts. With what was done with that recording		Me personally, as long as that video
2	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact.	2	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing
2 3	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. $ \begin{tabular}{ll} Q & Okay. \end{tabular}$	2 3	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the
2 3 4	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I	2 3 4	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I
2 3 4 5	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too.	2 3 4 5	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that
2 3 4 5 6	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do	2 3 4 5 6	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes
2 3 4 5 6 7	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do not respect police, I have a lot of friends who are	2 3 4 5 6 7	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes mistakes. But it's what happened with it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do not respect police, I have a lot of friends who are police. I have a high degree of respect for police. But I also and the people I associate with also have a high level of expectation that police will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes mistakes. But it's what happened with it afterwards, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do not respect police, I have a lot of friends who are police. I have a high degree of respect for police. But I also and the people I associate with also have a high level of expectation that police will live up to that respect because they get and must	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes mistakes. But it's what happened with it afterwards, sir. Q That's the problem you have with this, what happened afterwards? A Absolutely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do not respect police, I have a lot of friends who are police. I have a high degree of respect for police. But I also and the people I associate with also have a high level of expectation that police will live up to that respect because they get and must get a lot of latitude to do their jobs because the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes mistakes. But it's what happened with it afterwards, sir. Q That's the problem you have with this, what happened afterwards? A Absolutely. Q Is it fair to say, though, then that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do not respect police, I have a lot of friends who are police. I have a high degree of respect for police. But I also and the people I associate with also have a high level of expectation that police will live up to that respect because they get and must get a lot of latitude to do their jobs because the public is a tough nut. So I understand that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes mistakes. But it's what happened with it afterwards, sir. Q That's the problem you have with this, what happened afterwards? A Absolutely. Q Is it fair to say, though, then that your lawsuit is not based on the fact that officers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do not respect police, I have a lot of friends who are police. I have a high degree of respect for police. But I also and the people I associate with also have a high level of expectation that police will live up to that respect because they get and must get a lot of latitude to do their jobs because the public is a tough nut. So I understand that. But in this case, you're talking about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes mistakes. But it's what happened with it afterwards, sir. Q That's the problem you have with this, what happened afterwards? A Absolutely. Q Is it fair to say, though, then that your lawsuit is not based on the fact that officers engaged their video on their body worn cameras?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do not respect police, I have a lot of friends who are police. I have a high degree of respect for police. But I also and the people I associate with also have a high level of expectation that police will live up to that respect because they get and must get a lot of latitude to do their jobs because the public is a tough nut. So I understand that. But in this case, you're talking about what officer what Officer Capp did turning on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes mistakes. But it's what happened with it afterwards, sir. Q That's the problem you have with this, what happened afterwards? A Absolutely. Q Is it fair to say, though, then that your lawsuit is not based on the fact that officers engaged their video on their body worn cameras? A It is not based on that and I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do not respect police, I have a lot of friends who are police. I have a high degree of respect for police. But I also and the people I associate with also have a high level of expectation that police will live up to that respect because they get and must get a lot of latitude to do their jobs because the public is a tough nut. So I understand that. But in this case, you're talking about what officer what Officer Capp did turning on the camera, and Officer LeStrange did turning on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes mistakes. But it's what happened with it afterwards, sir. Q That's the problem you have with this, what happened afterwards? A Absolutely. Q Is it fair to say, though, then that your lawsuit is not based on the fact that officers engaged their video on their body worn cameras? A It is not based on that and I would like to make one thing clear about that. That is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do not respect police, I have a lot of friends who are police. I have a high degree of respect for police. But I also — and the people I associate with also have a high level of expectation that police will live up to that respect because they get and must get a lot of latitude to do their jobs because the public is a tough nut. So I understand that. But in this case, you're talking about what officer — what Officer Capp did turning on the camera, and Officer LeStrange did turning on the camera. That part of processes, I understand it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes mistakes. But it's what happened with it afterwards, sir. Q That's the problem you have with this, what happened afterwards? A Absolutely. Q Is it fair to say, though, then that your lawsuit is not based on the fact that officers engaged their video on their body worn cameras? A It is not based on that and I would like to make one thing clear about that. That is not to say they did not act incorrectly by not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do not respect police, I have a lot of friends who are police. I have a high degree of respect for police. But I also and the people I associate with also have a high level of expectation that police will live up to that respect because they get and must get a lot of latitude to do their jobs because the public is a tough nut. So I understand that. But in this case, you're talking about what officer what Officer Capp did turning on the camera, and Officer LeStrange did turning on the camera. That part of processes, I understand it, you know, they turned on their cameras responding to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes mistakes. But it's what happened with it afterwards, sir. Q That's the problem you have with this, what happened afterwards? A Absolutely. Q Is it fair to say, though, then that your lawsuit is not based on the fact that officers engaged their video on their body worn cameras? A It is not based on that and I would like to make one thing clear about that. That is not to say they did not act incorrectly by not properly notifying the people in the house that they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do not respect police, I have a lot of friends who are police. I have a high degree of respect for police. But I also — and the people I associate with also have a high level of expectation that police will live up to that respect because they get and must get a lot of latitude to do their jobs because the public is a tough nut. So I understand that. But in this case, you're talking about what officer — what Officer Capp did turning on the camera, and Officer LeStrange did turning on the camera. That part of processes, I understand it, you know, they turned on their cameras responding to a call. They didn't know what they were walking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes mistakes. But it's what happened with it afterwards, sir. Q That's the problem you have with this, what happened afterwards? A Absolutely. Q Is it fair to say, though, then that your lawsuit is not based on the fact that officers engaged their video on their body worn cameras? A It is not based on that and I would like to make one thing clear about that. That is not to say they did not act incorrectly by not properly notifying the people in the house that they had their cameras on and what I just described. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do not respect police, I have a lot of friends who are police. I have a high degree of respect for police. But I also and the people I associate with also have a high level of expectation that police will live up to that respect because they get and must get a lot of latitude to do their jobs because the public is a tough nut. So I understand that. But in this case, you're talking about what officer what Officer Capp did turning on the camera, and Officer LeStrange did turning on the camera. That part of processes, I understand it, you know, they turned on their cameras responding to a call. They didn't know what they were walking into the first time. I understand that. But they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes mistakes. But it's what happened with it afterwards, sir. Q That's the problem you have with this, what happened afterwards? A Absolutely. Q Is it fair to say, though, then that your lawsuit is not based on the fact that officers engaged their video on their body worn cameras? A It is not based on that and I would like to make one thing clear about that. That is not to say they did not act incorrectly by not properly notifying the people in the house that they had their cameras on and what I just described. I do not believe that they acted in a manner that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do not respect police, I have a lot of friends who are police. I have a high degree of respect for police. But I also and the people I associate with also have a high level of expectation that police will live up to that respect because they get and must get a lot of latitude to do their jobs because the public is a tough nut. So I understand that. But in this case, you're talking about what officer what Officer Capp did turning on the camera, and Officer LeStrange did turning on the camera. That part of processes, I understand it, you know, they turned on their cameras responding to a call. They didn't know what they were walking into the first time. I understand that. But they did not notify anyone in the house that they had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes mistakes. But it's what happened with it afterwards, sir. Q That's the problem you have with this, what happened afterwards? A Absolutely. Q Is it fair to say, though, then that your lawsuit is not based on the fact that officers engaged their video on their body worn cameras? A It is not based on that and I would like to make one thing clear about that. That is not to say they did not act incorrectly by not properly notifying the people in the house that they had their cameras on and what I just described. I do not believe that they acted in a manner that was correct under the policies as I understand them of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do not respect police, I have a lot of friends who are police. I have a high degree of respect for police. But I also — and the people I associate with also have a high level of expectation that police will live up to that respect because they get and must get a lot of latitude to do their jobs because the public is a tough nut. So I understand that. But in this case, you're talking about what officer — what Officer Capp did turning on the camera, and Officer LeStrange did turning on the camera. That part of processes, I understand it, you know, they turned on their cameras responding to a call. They didn't know what they were walking into the first time. I understand that. But they did not notify anyone in the house that they had their cameras on. Neither one of them. And they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes mistakes. But it's what happened with it afterwards, sir. Q That's the problem you have with this, what happened afterwards? A Absolutely. Q Is it fair to say, though, then that your lawsuit is not based on the fact that officers engaged their video on their body worn cameras? A It is not based on that and I would like to make one thing clear about that. That is not to say they did not act incorrectly by not properly notifying the people in the house that they had their cameras on and what I just described. I do not believe that they acted in a manner that was correct under the policies as I understand them of the State of New Jersey and the Lyndhurst Police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do not respect police, I have a lot of friends who are police. I have a high degree of respect for police. But I also and the people I associate with also have a high level of expectation that police will live up to that respect because they get and must get a lot of latitude to do their jobs because the public is a tough nut. So I understand that. But in this case, you're talking about what officer what Officer Capp did turning on the camera, and Officer LeStrange did turning on the camera. That part of processes, I understand it, you know, they turned on their cameras responding to a call. They didn't know what they were walking into the first time. I understand that. But they did not notify anyone in the house that they had their cameras on. Neither one of them. And they proceeded to keep them on during the entire time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes mistakes. But it's what happened with it afterwards, sir. Q That's the problem you have with this, what happened afterwards? A Absolutely. Q Is it fair to say, though, then that your lawsuit is not based on the fact that officers engaged their video on their body worn cameras? A It is not based on that and I would like to make one thing clear about that. That is not to say they did not act incorrectly by not properly notifying the people in the house that they had their cameras on and what I just described. I do not believe that they acted in a manner that was correct under the policies as I understand them of the State of New Jersey and the Lyndhurst Police Department. But I do believe every officer in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the facts. With what was done with that recording after the fact. Q Okay. A Okay? I just want to be clear. And I want to be clear about one other thing, too. Because as much as certain people like to claim I do not respect police, I have a lot of friends who are police. I have a high degree of respect for police. But I also — and the people I associate with also have a high level of expectation that police will live up to that respect because they get and must get a lot of latitude to do their jobs because the public is a tough nut. So I understand that. But in this case, you're talking about what officer — what Officer Capp did turning on the camera, and Officer LeStrange did turning on the camera. That part of processes, I understand it, you know, they turned on their cameras responding to a call. They didn't know what they were walking into the first time. I understand that. But they did not notify anyone in the house that they had their cameras on. Neither one of them. And they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Me personally, as long as that video footage is secured and private, if they were doing it to protect the integrity of the agency, the police agency, and themselves as professionals, I don't have a problem with that. My problem is that they recorded it incorrectly, but everyone makes mistakes. But it's what happened with it afterwards, sir. Q That's the problem you have with this, what happened afterwards? A Absolutely. Q Is it fair to say, though, then that your lawsuit is not based on the fact that officers engaged their video on their body worn cameras? A It is not based on that and I would like to make one thing clear about that. That is not to say they did not act incorrectly by not properly notifying the people in the house that they had their cameras on and what I just described. I do not believe that they acted in a manner that was correct under the policies as I understand them of the State of New Jersey and the Lyndhurst Police

	David Sivella on 01/25/2024					
1	Page 142 even serious issue, you know.	1	Page 144 there were two other adults there, my husband who is			
2	· -	2	·			
3	Q Well okay. A So that could fall under this. But	3	a registered nurse, and my son who is an adult man.			
4			And they asked neither one of them and that's			
	Q What I'm trying to be clear about,	4	reflected in the record, I assume, of those			
5	though, we've got a complaint and I'm trying to find	5	recordings.			
6	out, are you saying that any of the officers, part	6				
7	of your complaint, improperly used their video to	7	is that they came to my house the first time in			
8	record you in any way?	8	error with those cameras on, did not inform. And			
9	A I think with the improper part was	9				
10	that they entered a private home. I don't dispute	10	1			
11	them turning them on in the car, I didn't watch the	11	could have been domestic violence, there could have			
12	videos, I prefer not to watch. But I understand	12	been any murder, who knows. So I totally			
13	they turned them on in route which would be normal	13	understand that.			
14	procedure as I understand it. But once they entered	14	The second time they came they were			
15	the home, that they had an obligation to inform.	15	coming in response to my husband's 911 call. And			
16	And I do have, just for the record, one video, body	16	they turned them on again and did not tell anybody.			
17	cam video with myself and Officer Capp, the same	17	Q Well let's just talk about the two			
18	officer who entered my house, and this is September	18	incidents that you're referring to. The first time			
19	of 2020, so about a year, ten months after the event	19	that they came to your house for the medical call,			
20	in my home.	20	that was much different than when Capp came to your			
21 22	And Officer Capp came to my house. We	21	house because you received some package that you			
23	met outside, because someone had sent a package of	22	were questioning.			
	mace, like numerous cans of mace to my house and I'm	23	Do you agree with that?			
24	like Lyndhurst politics, someone trying to send	24	MS. WEISS: Objection.			
25	me mace and get me in trouble. So I give it to the	25	A It was not an emergency.			
_	Page 143		Page 145			
1	police. I call the police, and say please come pick	1	Q Correct?			
2	this up, and whatever you want to do with this	2	A The second time, correct.			
3	stuff. It was sent to a person not me. When I	3	Q The first time actually let me ask			
4	looked up the name thinking it might be a neighbor,	5	you this.			
5 6	it turned out to be dome epilepsy doctor with some crazy ideas. This is some local person being a	6	Have you ever seen these videos? A No. Me in that state?			
7 8	jerk. So I turned it over.	7	<u>.</u>			
	The point, though, is when Officer	9				
9	Capp came to my house and I have a recording of this as he approached me with his camera already		Q Capp and LeStrange?			
10	-	10	A I have not watched them. I can't watch. I mean, I think you can understand you don't			
11 12	activated on getting out of the car, as he	11	want to see yourself like that.			
13	approached me, he said, I have my camera on. I am	12 13				
	required to tell you that. So this was about ten months after the	14	Q So before you filed this lawsuit, you			
14 15		15	never reviewed those videos?			
	event in my house. So I would presume that Officer		A I had Joe Silberman review them.			
16 17	Capp got himself better educated in the process between those events. But he himself said, I am	16 17	Q Okay. But you didn't, is my question? A No.			
	·	18				
18 19	required to tell you. Now, of course, I was in a state of	19	Q So you as you sit here today you have no idea what actions you were taking on that			
20	consciousness or altered state, whatever you want to	20	evening?			
21	call it. I was not capable of giving consent when	21	A I relied on an attorney looking at			
22	the officers entered my house. So it was myself	21				
23	alone and they I was alone and they entered and	23	them all, Joel Silberman, he didn't eventually represent me, he didn't do it, but he was in contact			
24	they had their cameras on, I couldn't say to you	24	with IA Auteri, Director Auteri in this matter.			
25	they didn't ask me. I couldn't even remember. But	25	That's in the record and he reviewed all of those			
25	and aron c don no. I couron c even remember. But		THE D IN CHE LEGALA AND HE LEATENER BILL OF CHOSE			

	David Sivella on 01/25/2024					
1	Page 146 videos and I asked very specific questions without	1	Page 148 was being in the house with them on and not telling			
2	any grotesque details, did the officers behave in an	2	anyone that they had them on.			
3	appropriate fashion. He said absolutely.	3	Q That does not answer my question.			
4	Absolutely. Meaning I'm not referring to the	4	That gives me your opinion. I'm asking if it's part			
5	cameras. I want to be clear. I'm referring to	5	of your lawsuit.			
6	their conduct in my home. There was no disputes	6	MS. WEISS: Objection.			
7	over their behavior.	7	A I would have to rely on counsel to			
8	Q And the officers came, were they there	8	answer that question.			
9	to help you? The officers who showed up that night	9	Q Well, I'm asking you what's your			
10	were there to help you; you would agree with that?	10	understanding, then, of what your			
11	A I believe Officer Pizzuti genuinely	11	A My understanding is that those cameras			
12	wanted to help me. I know Brian Capp's reputation.	12	should, if I'll put it to you this way. My			
13	Because he was Jim O'Connor's his mother is Jim	13	understanding is even if it's under the discretion			
14	O'Connor's secretary and I've known Jim O'Connor for	14	of the department to be recording that, the fact			
15	years. And I know from the community he has an	15	that they did record it makes it imperative that			
16	outstanding reputation as a fine young man.	16	they safeguard it properly.			
17	Officer LeStrange, the only issue I	17	So if you're going to take your			
18	don't know him. The only issue I have with him is	18	prerogative and record something, then you have to			
19	that night, and I haven't looked at the videos and I	19	safeguard it. So if you're authorizing recording			
20	don't even know if it would be reflected in the	20	and then you use it illegitimately, the recording of			
21	videos, is, as I was acting out, what concerned me	21	it is part of that, too.			
22	is my son said he kept tapping his gun as if I'm	22	Q Well, sir, I'm trying to break this			
23	going to, you know, have to use this if you don't	23	down so it's clear because it's not very clear.			
24	behave.	24	You're talking about what the officers did in your			
25	So there is basically a you can	25	opinion with these videos after they were taken.			
	Page 147		Page 149			
1			1 490 140			
1	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the	1	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining			
1 2	8	1 2				
2 3	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's		Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course.			
2 3 4	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is — because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None	2	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is,			
2 3 4 5	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young	2 3 4 5	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging			
2 3 4 5 6	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers not including	2 3 4 5 6	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your			
2 3 4 5 6 7	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is — because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers — not including Mr. Pizzuti in that — once those young men went	2 3 4 5 6 7	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is — because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers — not including Mr. Pizzuti in that — once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers not including Mr. Pizzuti in that once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the department, those that footage was used for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked out in the case. I don't know yet if that's the			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is — because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers — not including Mr. Pizzuti in that — once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the department, those — that footage was used for purposes that in no way, shape or form is reflected	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked out in the case. I don't know yet if that's the case.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers not including Mr. Pizzuti in that once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the department, those that footage was used for purposes that in no way, shape or form is reflected in the law.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked out in the case. I don't know yet if that's the case. Q But you filed a lawsuit. So			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is — because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers — not including Mr. Pizzuti in that — once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the department, those — that footage was used for purposes that in no way, shape or form is reflected in the law. Q That's according to you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked out in the case. I don't know yet if that's the case. Q But you filed a lawsuit. So A According to my lawyer's advice on the			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is — because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers — not including Mr. Pizzuti in that — once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the department, those — that footage was used for purposes that in no way, shape or form is reflected in the law. Q That's according to you? A That's according to the law.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked out in the case. I don't know yet if that's the case. Q But you filed a lawsuit. So A According to my lawyer's advice on the basis that my lawyer thought that this should be			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers not including Mr. Pizzuti in that once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the department, those that footage was used for purposes that in no way, shape or form is reflected in the law. Q That's according to you? A That's according to the law. Q Well, we'll see about that. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked out in the case. I don't know yet if that's the case. Q But you filed a lawsuit. So A According to my lawyer's advice on the basis that my lawyer thought that this should be filed.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is — because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers — not including Mr. Pizzuti in that — once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the department, those — that footage was used for purposes that in no way, shape or form is reflected in the law. Q That's according to you? A That's according to the law. Q Well, we'll see about that. But before we get there, just factually, because I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked out in the case. I don't know yet if that's the case. Q But you filed a lawsuit. So A According to my lawyer's advice on the basis that my lawyer thought that this should be filed. Q You understand that there's no part of			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is — because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers — not including Mr. Pizzuti in that — once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the department, those — that footage was used for purposes that in no way, shape or form is reflected in the law. Q That's according to you? A That's according to the law. Q Well, we'll see about that. But before we get there, just factually, because I'm not asking for legal conclusions. What I'm trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked out in the case. I don't know yet if that's the case. Q But you filed a lawsuit. So A According to my lawyer's advice on the basis that my lawyer thought that this should be filed. Q You understand that there's no part of your lawsuit that said they improperly recorded you?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is — because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers — not including Mr. Pizzuti in that — once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the department, those — that footage was used for purposes that in no way, shape or form is reflected in the law. Q That's according to you? A That's according to the law. Q Well, we'll see about that. But before we get there, just factually, because I'm not asking for legal conclusions. What I'm trying to find out is, and I still don't think you've answered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked out in the case. I don't know yet if that's the case. Q But you filed a lawsuit. So A According to my lawyer's advice on the basis that my lawyer thought that this should be filed. Q You understand that there's no part of your lawsuit that said they improperly recorded you? MS. WEISS: Objection.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is — because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers — not including Mr. Pizzuti in that — once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the department, those — that footage was used for purposes that in no way, shape or form is reflected in the law. Q That's according to you? A That's according to the law. Q Well, we'll see about that. But before we get there, just factually, because I'm not asking for legal conclusions. What I'm trying to find out is, and I still don't think you've answered my question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked out in the case. I don't know yet if that's the case. Q But you filed a lawsuit. So A According to my lawyer's advice on the basis that my lawyer thought that this should be filed. Q You understand that there's no part of your lawsuit that said they improperly recorded you? MS. WEISS: Objection. A Then I think I've been going through			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is — because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers — not including Mr. Pizzuti in that — once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the department, those — that footage was used for purposes that in no way, shape or form is reflected in the law. Q That's according to you? A That's according to the law. Q Well, we'll see about that. But before we get there, just factually, because I'm not asking for legal conclusions. What I'm trying to find out is, and I still don't think you've answered my question. A I'll do my best.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked out in the case. I don't know yet if that's the case. Q But you filed a lawsuit. So A According to my lawyer's advice on the basis that my lawyer thought that this should be filed. Q You understand that there's no part of your lawsuit that said they improperly recorded you? MS. WEISS: Objection. A Then I think I've been going through pains to be clear about this. But I'm going to do			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is — because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers — not including Mr. Pizzuti in that — once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the department, those — that footage was used for purposes that in no way, shape or form is reflected in the law. Q That's according to you? A That's according to the law. Q Well, we'll see about that. But before we get there, just factually, because I'm not asking for legal conclusions. What I'm trying to find out is, and I still don't think you've answered my question. A I'll do my best. Q Are you suing any of the officers in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked out in the case. I don't know yet if that's the case. Q But you filed a lawsuit. So A According to my lawyer's advice on the basis that my lawyer thought that this should be filed. Q You understand that there's no part of your lawsuit that said they improperly recorded you? MS. WEISS: Objection. A Then I think I've been going through pains to be clear about this. But I'm going to do it again.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is — because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers — not including Mr. Pizzuti in that — once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the department, those — that footage was used for purposes that in no way, shape or form is reflected in the law. Q That's according to you? A That's according to the law. Q Well, we'll see about that. But before we get there, just factually, because I'm not asking for legal conclusions. What I'm trying to find out is, and I still don't think you've answered my question. A I'll do my best. Q Are you suing any of the officers in this case or is any part of your complaint based on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked out in the case. I don't know yet if that's the case. Q But you filed a lawsuit. So A According to my lawyer's advice on the basis that my lawyer thought that this should be filed. Q You understand that there's no part of your lawsuit that said they improperly recorded you? MS. WEISS: Objection. A Then I think I've been going through pains to be clear about this. But I'm going to do it again. Q With all due respect, you're going to			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is — because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers — not including Mr. Pizzuti in that — once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the department, those — that footage was used for purposes that in no way, shape or form is reflected in the law. Q That's according to you? A That's according to the law. Q Well, we'll see about that. But before we get there, just factually, because I'm not asking for legal conclusions. What I'm trying to find out is, and I still don't think you've answered my question. A I'll do my best. Q Are you suing any of the officers in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked out in the case. I don't know yet if that's the case. Q But you filed a lawsuit. So A According to my lawyer's advice on the basis that my lawyer thought that this should be filed. Q You understand that there's no part of your lawsuit that said they improperly recorded you? MS. WEISS: Objection. A Then I think I've been going through pains to be clear about this. But I'm going to do it again. Q With all due respect, you're going to have to try harder.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is — because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers — not including Mr. Pizzuti in that — once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the department, those — that footage was used for purposes that in no way, shape or form is reflected in the law. Q That's according to you? A That's according to the law. Q Well, we'll see about that. But before we get there, just factually, because I'm not asking for legal conclusions. What I'm trying to find out is, and I still don't think you've answered my question. A I'll do my best. Q Are you suing any of the officers in this case or is any part of your complaint based on the fact that there was improper use by engaging the videos?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked out in the case. I don't know yet if that's the case. Q But you filed a lawsuit. So A According to my lawyer's advice on the basis that my lawyer thought that this should be filed. Q You understand that there's no part of your lawsuit that said they improperly recorded you? MS. WEISS: Objection. A Then I think I've been going through pains to be clear about this. But I'm going to do it again. Q With all due respect, you're going to have to try harder. A Anything for you.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	interview my son and talk to him about it. But the issue I have, Mr. Doris, with this, is — because we can nitpick the specific behavior and so on. It's an emergency situation. That's not the point. None of that is the point. The point is once those young men, meaning the young officers — not including Mr. Pizzuti in that — once those young men went back and logged those body cam footages with the department, those — that footage was used for purposes that in no way, shape or form is reflected in the law. Q That's according to you? A That's according to the law. Q Well, we'll see about that. But before we get there, just factually, because I'm not asking for legal conclusions. What I'm trying to find out is, and I still don't think you've answered my question. A I'll do my best. Q Are you suing any of the officers in this case or is any part of your complaint based on the fact that there was improper use by engaging the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Correct? That's part of what you're complaining about; is that fair? A Of course. Q Okay. My question to you is, specifically, is any part of your lawsuit alleging that the officers improperly recorded you in your home? A I think that would have to be worked out in the case. I don't know yet if that's the case. Q But you filed a lawsuit. So A According to my lawyer's advice on the basis that my lawyer thought that this should be filed. Q You understand that there's no part of your lawsuit that said they improperly recorded you? MS. WEISS: Objection. A Then I think I've been going through pains to be clear about this. But I'm going to do it again. Q With all due respect, you're going to have to try harder.			

1 my home in and of itself was a problem. I don't 2 think if they were in my home and had informed 3 people we have recording devices on, we are going to 4 leave them on even if you object because we're 5 dealing with a person who might injure himself or 6 injure us and we have a potential liability here for 7 that reason, we must record this, I don't have an 8 objection to that. 9 My objection, and when you define 10 proper use of those cameras, my objection, and this 11 comes under the category of proper use, they went in 12 there without clarifying that those cameras were on. 13 They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were 14 on. 15 And I heard you at yeah, 16 Mr. Mazure's deposition where you made reference to 17 vibration and flashing lights and so on. And I 18 could address that to you very simply. No one with 19 a loved one in a medical emergency is paying 20 attention to what is going on on a police officer's 21 body. You've got vests on perhaps, you've got 22 taser, you've got gum. You've got armament strap, a 23 belt on it. All of those things. You think a 24 civilian with no background in law enforcement is 25 looking at that and saying, oh, of course that 1 not know what they meant. 2 O So he was aware of a green light on? A No, he was aware of a green light and he added it up after the fact. At the time he didn't know what that meant because, again, you've seen police officers in full regalia, right, out on the road. These guys are getting and women getting packed with equipment now. 9		David Sivella on 01/25/2024					
think if they were in my home and had informed people we have recording devices on, we are going to people we have recording devices on, we are going to dealing with a person who might injure himself or injure us and we have a potential liability here for that reason, we must record this, I don't have an dealing with a person who might injure himself or that reason, we must record this, I don't have an dealing with a person who might injure himself or that reason, we must record this, I don't have an dealing with a person who might injure himself or that reason, we must record this, I don't have an dealing with a person who might injure himself or that reason, we must record this, I don't have an dealing with a person who might injure himself or injure us and we have a potential liability here for that reason, we must record this, I don't have an dealing with a person who might injure himself or injure us and we have a potential liability here for that reason, we must record this, I don't have an dealing with a person who might injure himself or injure us and we have a potential liability here for injure us and we have a potential liability here for that reason, we must record this, I don't have an dealing with a person who might injure himself or injure us and we have a potential liability here for that reason, we must record this, I don't have an dealing with a person who might injure himself or injure us and we have a potential liability here for that was what the dealing with the seal present of the road. These goys are getting that these comers were a five how and the hard pour at — yeah, a five didn't even inform anyone the search sea were don't have were safe? a now house part? a how wo were seal that the save repaired and terminate thing about the call that night was to make sure you were safe that night when they agartement. a how house part? a how house part? a house on the limburg himself or a how about body cameras, you probably have a powed at that and saying, oh, of course of have a person	1	8	1	Page 152 not know what they meant.			
a people we have recording devices on, we are going to leave them on even if you object because we're dealing with a person who might injure himself or injure us and we have a potential liability here for that reason, we must record this, I don't have an objection to that. By tobjection, and when you define proper use of those cameras, my objection, and when you define proper use of those cameras, my objection, and when you define the third of the recording proper use of those cameras, my objection, and when you define the third thir		-	2				
A leave them on even if you object because we're of obading with a person who might jurne himself or injure us and we have a potential liability here for injure us and we have a potential liability here for injure us and we have a potential liability here for injure us and we have a potential liability here for injure us and we have a potential liability here for injure us and we have a potential liability here for injure us and we have a potential liability here for it injure us and we have a potential liability here for it injure us that reason, we must record this, I don't have an objection to that. A by didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on.							
didn't know what that meant because, again, you've seen police officers in full regalia, right, out on the road. These quys are getting — and wamen objection to that. Ny objection, and when you define proper use of those cameras, my objection, and this in the third the without clarifying that those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone the camera is on. Fine y ever informed anyone the camera is on. Fine y ever informed anyone the camera is on. They never informed anyone the camera is on. They never informed anyone the cameras were on. They down they never informed anyone the cameras were on. They are also anyone the cameras is on. They never informed anyone the cameras were on. They down that the most important thing shout the call that night was to make sure you were safe? A no whose part? A the fundamental that, the most important thing about the call that night was to make sure you were safe? A the fundamental that it is point of the camera were on. They down that the safe important thing about the call that night was to make sure you were safe? A no whose part? B Q no overybody's. A the fundamental that is point of the camera were on. They down that that the most important thing about the call that night was to make sure you were safe? A the washing the fundamental that in the fundamental that is poi			4	•			
seen police officers in full regalia, right, out on that reason, we must record this, I don't have an objection to that. Ny objection, and when you define proper use of those cameras, my objection, and this comes under the category of proper use, they went in the proper use of those cameras, my objection, and this comes under the category of proper use of those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. And I heard you at — yeah, or vibration and flashing lights and so on. And I or vibration and flashing lights and so on. And I or vibration and flashing lights and so on. And I or vibration and flashing lights and so on. And I or vibration to what is going on om a police officer's body. You've got vests on perhaps, you've got to camera the category is paying tatent in to what is going on om a police officer's looking at that and saying, oh, of course that colling at that and saying, oh, of course that comeras were on. Page 151 Tamera is on. They never informed anyone the cameras were on. No one was even registered. They were for coused on me, not cameras. Your average person — I know about body cameras, you probably have awareness of those. Your average person doesn't, know — would never even register that or think about that. No one was even register that or think about that. Page 151 Q No one was even register that or think about that. Page 151 Q No one was even register that or think about that. Page 151 Q No one was even registered. They were for dusty, is, yes. Their intentions and what they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through these medical emergency the EMTs that was about that. Page 151 C No one was even registered. They were for dusty, is, yes. Their intentions and what they were doing one to the coorded, you would never even register that or think about that. Q Did anybody that you're aware of ask if the cameras were on. A No one was even registered. They were for dusty, is, yes. Their intentions and vhat they were doing of the properties b	5		5	-			
that reason, we must record this, I don't have an 3 objection to that. y hy objection, and when you define proper use of those cameras, my objection, and this proper use of those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. Page 151 Camera is on. They prever informed anyone the camera were on. They didn't even informed anyone the camera were on. Page 151 Camera is on. They never informed anyone the focused on me, not cameras. Your average person doesn't know a wereness of those. Your average person doesn't know a wereness of those. Your average person doesn't know a wereness of those. Your average person doesn't know a wereness of those. Your average person doesn't know a wereness of those. Your average person doesn't know a wereness of t	6		6				
sobjection to that. yo define proper use of those cameras, my objection, and this comes under the category of proper use, they went in the thing about the call that night was to make sure you were safe? \(\) And I heard you at yeah, \(\) And I heard you at yeah, \(\) W. Hazure's deposition where you made reference to the vibration and flashing lights and so on. And I \(\) at the could address that to you very simply. No one with a loved one in a medical emergency is paying attention to what is going on on a police officer's the body. You've got you. You've yot that that and saying, oh, of course that \(\) below in that the most in going on the call that night when the that the most \(\) at the Lyndhurst Police Department's \(\) at the Lynd	7	that reason, we must record this, I don't have an	7				
10 proper use of those cameras, my objection, and this 11 comes under the category of proper use, they went in 12 there without clarifying that those cameras were 14 on.	8	objection to that.	8	getting packed with equipment now.			
comes under the category of proper use, they went in lather without clarifying that those cameras were on. And I heard you at — yeah, Mr. Mazure's deposition where you made reference to vibration and flashing lights and so on. And I are outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late outled address that to you very simply. No one with late of the wind that, the most important thing, was to make sure you were safe that high when they yes to you agree with that, the most important thing, was to make sure you were safe that high when they got that call? A I think the most important thing, was to make sure you were safe that night when they one through these procedures before. I they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through these procedures before. I they we	9	My objection, and when you define	9	Q Do you agree with me that the most			
there without clarifying that those cameras were on. 13 They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. 15 And I heard you at — yeah, 16 Mr. Mazure's deposition where you made reference to vibration and flashing lights and so on. And I ocula address that to you very simply. No one with 19 a loved one in a medical emergency is paying at loved one in a medical emergency at loved one in a medical emergency at loved one in a medical emergency at loved one in a medical emergen	10	proper use of those cameras, my objection, and this	10 important thing about the call that night was				
13 They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were on. 14 on. 15 And I heard you at yeah, 16 Mr. Mazure's deposition where you made reference to 17 vibration and flashing lights and so on. And I 18 could address that to you very simply. No one with 19 a lowed one in a medical emergency is paying attention to what is going on on a police officer's 21 body. You've got vests on perhaps, you've got 21 body. You've yot your. You've got armament strap, a 22 tivilian with no background in law enforcement is 24 civilian with no background in law enforcement is 25 looking at that and saying, oh, of course that 26 cameras were on. 17 Page 151 camera is on. They never informed anyone the 27 camera son. They never informed anyone the 28 cameras were on. 18 A No one was even registered. They were 6 focused on me, not cameras. Your average person 7 I know about body cameras, you probably have a wareness of those. Your average person doesn't 29 know would never even register that or think a about that. 19 Q Well, clearly, you were unable to say 12 could not be recorded, you couldn't? 18 A Dabouluty not. 29 Did your husband at any time tell the 15 police officers stop recording? 19 Dice officers stop recording? 20 A Me was unaware of the 17 recording until I found out about it. 20 Right. So my question is, he never 21 Q And your son didn't say anything 22 either? 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 25 ender? 29 Yeah. Bow do you know that they don't send they see the worst of 19 pepartment. 20 On what they see the most important thing, as a matter of duty, is, yes. Their intentions and what 25 matter of duty, is, yes. Their intentions and what 25 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been 25 they see the were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been 26 they see the were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been 16 love cops because when you're in my condition, no one encounters more publi	11	comes under the category of proper use, they went in	11	make sure you were safe?			
14 on. 15 And I heard you at yeah, 16 Mr. Mazure's deposition where you made reference to 17 vibration and flashing lights and so on. And I 18 could address that to you very simply. No one with 19 a loved one in a medical emergency is paying 20 attention to what is going on on a police officer's 21 body. You've got vests on perhaps, you've got 22 taser, you've got you. You've got armament strap, a 23 belt on it. All of those things. You think a 24 civilian with no background in law enforcement is 25 looking at that and saying, oh, of course that 16	12	there without clarifying that those cameras were on.	12	A I'm sorry?			
15 And I heard you at — yeah, 16 Mr. Mazure's deposition where you made reference to 17 vibration and flashing lights and so on. And I 18 could address that to you very simply. No one with 19 a loved one in a medical emergency is paying 20 attention to what is going on on a police officer's 21 body. You've got vests on perhaps, you've got. 22 taser, you've got gun. You've got armament strap, a 23 belt on it. All of those things. You think a 24 civilian with no background in law enforcement is 25 looking at that and saying, oh, of course that 26 camera is on. They never informed anyone the 27 camera is on. They never informed anyone the 28 cameras were on. 3	13	They didn't even inform anyone those cameras were	13	Q Do you agree with that, the most			
16 Mr. Mazure's deposition where you made reference to rivoration and flashing lights and so on. And I 18 could address that to you very simply. No one with a loved one in a medical emergency is paying attention to what is going on on a police officer's body. You've got twests on perhaps, you've got yet attention to what is going on on a police officer's belt on it. All of those things. You think a 21 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 22 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 23 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 25 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 26 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 27 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 28 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 29 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 29 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 29 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying at 20 iooking at that and saying, oh, of course that 20 iooking at that and saying oh, of course that 20 iooking a	14	on.	14	important			
17 vibration and flashing lights and so on. And I 18 could address that to you very simply. No one with 19 a loved one in a medical emergency is paying 20 attention to what is going on on a police officer's 21 body. You've got vests on perhaps, you've got 22 taser, you've got got gun. You've got 23 taser, you've got got gun. You've got 24 civilian with no background in law enforcement is 25 looking at that and saying, oh, of course that 26 camera is on. They never informed anyone the 27 camera is on. They never informed anyone the 28 cameras were on. 3	15	And I heard you at yeah,	15	A On whose part?			
could address that to you very simply. No one with a loved one in a medical emergency is paying attention to what is going on on a police officer's body. You've got vests on perhaps, you've got taser, you've got gun. You've got think a civilian with no background in law enforcement is looking at that and saying, oh, of course that camera is on. They never informed anyone the cameras were on. Page 151 camera is on. They never informed anyone the cameras were on. Q Did anybody that you're aware of ask if the cameras were on? A No one was even registered. They were focused on me, not cameras. Your average person—I know about body cameras, you probably have about that. Q Well, clearly, you were unable to say could not be recorded, you couldn't? A Absolutely not. Q Did your husband at any time tell the police officers stop recording? A My husband was unaware of the recording until I found out about it. Q Right. So my question is, he never said anything— A He was unaware. A My son was unaware but when I raised it with him he said I was suspicious that they were in the record only send one or two cars? How do you know any of	16	Mr. Mazure's deposition where you made reference to	16	Q On everybody's.			
19 a lowed one in a medical emergency is paying 20 attention to what is going on on a police officer's 21 body. You've got vests on perhaps, you've got 22 taser, you've got got you for you from the police officer's 23 belt on it. All of those things. You think a 24 civilian with no background in law enforcement is 25 looking at that and saying, oh, of course that 26 camera is on. They never informed anyone the 27 camera were on. 28	17	vibration and flashing lights and so on. And I	17	A The Lyndhurst Police Department's			
20 attention to what is going on on a police officer's 21 body. You've got vests on perhaps, you've got 22 taser, you've got gun. You've got armament strap, a 3 belt on it. All of those things. You think a 24 civilian with no background in law enforcement is 25 looking at that and saying, oh, of course that 26 camera is on. They never informed anyone the 27 camera is on. They never informed anyone the 28 cameras were on. 3	18	could address that to you very simply. No one with	18	part? I'm not going to speak for Lyndhurst Police			
belt on it. All of those things. You think a looking at that and saying, oh, of course that the most important thing, as a looking at that and saying, oh, of course that the camera were on. Page 151 camera is on. They never informed anyone the cameras were on. Q Did anybody that you're aware of ask if the cameras were on. A No one was even registered. They were focused on me, not cameras. Your average person — focused on me, not cameras, you probably have awareness of those. Your average person doesn't shout that. Q Well, clearly, you were unable to say could not be recorded, you couldn't? A A absolutely not. A A absolutely not. A A basolutely not. Q Did your husband at any time tell the police officers stop recording? A Be was unaware. Q Right. So my question is, he never said anything — A My son was unaware but when I raised it with him he said I was suspicious that they were only seed on was unaware but when I raised it with him he said I was suspicious that they were the various calls and how do you know that they only send one or two cars? How do you know any of	19	a loved one in a medical emergency is paying	19	Department.			
taser, you've got gun. You've got armament strap, a belt on it. All of those things. You think a civilian with no background in law enforcement is looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that call? Page 151 1 camera is on. They never informed anyone the looking at that and saying, oh, of course that looking at that call? 1 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through these procedures before. Invidures is the only place through these procedures before. Invidures is the only place of love cops because when you're in my condition, no one encounters more public servants than I do in that way. And most police officers are absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And hew York police offi	20	attention to what is going on on a police officer's	20	Q Not asking you to speak for them but			
23 belt on it. All of those things. You think a 24 civilian with no background in law enforcement is 25 looking at that and saying, oh, of course that Page 151 1 camera is on. They never informed anyone the 2 cameras were on. 3	21	body. You've got vests on perhaps, you've got	21	do you agree that that was the most important thing,			
24 civilian with no background in law enforcement is looking at that and saying, oh, of course that 25 looking at that and saying, oh, of course that 26 matter of duty, is, yes. Their intentions and what 27 matter of duty, is, yes. Their intentions and what 28 matter of duty, is, yes. Their intentions and what 29 matter of duty, is, yes. Their intentions and what 20 matter of duty, is, yes. Their intentions and what 21 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through these procedures before. 2 through these procedures before. 3 I've been through these medical 4 emergencies before. Lyndhurst is the only place 5 I've encountered many cops in these situations and I love cops because when you're in my condition, no one encounters more public servants than I do in 8 awareness of those. Your average person doesn't 10 about that. 11 Q Well, clearly, you were unable to say 12 could not be recorded, you couldn't? 13 A Absolutely not. 14 Q Did your husband at any time tell the police officers stop recording? 15 A My husband was unaware of the 16 recording until I found out about it. 17 Q Right. So my question is, he never 18 Q Right. So my question is, he never 29 And your son didn't say anything 20 either? 21 A My son was unaware but when I raised 22 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 I think the most important thing, as a matter of duty, is, yes. Their intentions and what 25 matter of duty, is, yes. Their intentions and what 26 through these procedures before. 2 through these procedures before. 2 through these procedures before. 2 I've encountered many cops in these situations and I love cops because when you're in my condition, no one encounters more public servants than I do in that way. And most police officers are absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And they see the worst of it. But most of the time when these things	22	2 taser, you've got gun. You've got armament strap, a		was to make sure you were safe that night when they			
Page 151 1 camera is on. They never informed anyone the cameras were on. 3 Q Did anybody that you're aware of ask 4 if the cameras were on? 5 A No one was even registered. They were focused on me, not cameras, you probably have awareness of those. Your average person—7 I know about body cameras, you probably have awareness of those. Your average person doesn't about that. 10 Q Well, clearly, you were unable to say could not be recorded, you couldn't? 11 Q Well, clearly, you were unable to say could not be recorded, you couldn't? 12 Q Did your husband at any time tell the police officers stop recording? 16 A My husband was unaware of the recording until I found out about it. 18 Q Right. So my question is, he never asid anything—2 20 A He was unaware. 21 Q And your son didn't say anything either? 22 either? 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised it with him he said I was suspicious that they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through these procedures before. 1 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through these procedures before. 1 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through these procedures before. 1 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through these procedures before. 1 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through these procedures before. 1 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through these procedures before. 1 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through these procedures before. 1 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through these procedures before. 1 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through these procedures before. 1 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through these procedures before. 1 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through these procedures before. 1 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through these medical the encreprice is before. 1 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been through th	23	belt on it. All of those things. You think a	23 got that call?				
Page 151 1 camera is on. They never informed anyone the 2 cameras were on. 3 Q Did anybody that you're aware of ask 4 if the cameras were on? 5 A No one was even registered. They were 6 focused on me, not cameras. Your average person — 7 I know about body cameras, you probably have 8 awareness of those. Your average person doesn't 9 know — would never even register that or think 10 about that. 11 Q Well, clearly, you were unable to say 12 could not be recorded, you couldn't? 13 A Absolutely not. 14 Q Did your husband at any time tell the 15 Page 151 1 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been 2 through these procedures before. 3 I've been through these medical 4 emergencies before. Inyndurst is the only place 5 I've encountered many cops in these situations and I 6 love cops because when you're in my condition, no 7 one encounters more public servants than I do in 8 that way. And most police officers are absolutely 9 outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And they see the worst of 11 it. But most of the time when these things occur, a 12 could not be recorded, you couldn't? 13 it's been through these procedures before. 17 ve been through these medical 18 emergencies before. Inyndurst is the only place 19 I've encountered many cops in these situations and I 10 love cops because when you're in my condition, no 7 one encounters more public servants than I do in 8 that way. And most police officers are absolutely 9 outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And they see the worst of 11 it. But most of the time when these things occur, a 12 police officer may show up at first but as soon as 13 it's determined it's a medical emergency, the EMTs 14 they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been 16 love cops because when you're in my condition, no 7 one encountered many cops in these situations and I 16 love cops because when you're in my condition, no 16 love cops because when you're in my condition, no 17 the been through these five on my condition,	24	civilian with no background in law enforcement is	24	A I think the most important thing, as a			
camera is on. They never informed anyone the cameras were on. Q Did anybody that you're aware of ask if the cameras were on? A No one was even registered. They were focused on me, not cameras. Your average person — focused on me, not cameras, you probably have awareness of those. Your average person doesn't whom — would never even register that or think about that. Q Well, clearly, you were unable to say couldn't? Could not be recorded, you couldn't? A Absolutely not. Did your husband at any time tell the police officers stop recording? A My husband was unaware of the recording until I found out about it. Q Right. So my question is, he never sid anything — land anything and I was suspicious that they were looning land in through these procedures before. I though these procedures before. I they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been dical ### demanded in the mergencies before. I they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been dical ### demanded in the mergencies before. I ve encountered many cops in these situations and I have been deep on the setulation and I have been deep on the police officers are absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers are absolutely outstanding. A	25	looking at that and saying, oh, of course that	25	matter of duty, is, yes. Their intentions and what			
camera is on. They never informed anyone the cameras were on. Q Did anybody that you're aware of ask if the cameras were on? A No one was even registered. They were focused on me, not cameras. Your average person — focused on me, not cameras, you probably have awareness of those. Your average person doesn't whom — would never even register that or think about that. Q Well, clearly, you were unable to say couldn't? Could not be recorded, you couldn't? A Absolutely not. Did your husband at any time tell the police officers stop recording? A My husband was unaware of the recording until I found out about it. Q Right. So my question is, he never sid anything — land anything and I was suspicious that they were looning land in through these procedures before. I though these procedures before. I they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been dical ### demanded in the mergencies before. I they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been dical ### demanded in the mergencies before. I ve encountered many cops in these situations and I have been deep on the setulation and I have been deep on the police officers are absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers are absolutely outstanding. A		Page 151		Page 153			
I 've been through these medical if the cameras were on? A No one was even registered. They were focused on me, not cameras. Your average person — I know about body cameras, you probably have awareness of those. Your average person doesn't know — would never even register that or think about that. Q Well, clearly, you were unable to say could not be recorded, you couldn't? A Absolutely not. Q Did your husband at any time tell the police officers stop recording? A My husband was unaware of the recording until I found out about it. Q Right. So my question is, he never A My son was unaware. Q And your son didn't say anything either? A My son was unaware but when I raised ti with him he said I was suspicious that they were I 've encountered many cops in these situations and I love cops because when you're in my condition, no one encounters more public servants than I do in that way. And most police officers are absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And they see the worst of it. But most of the time when these things occur, a police officer may show up at first but as soon as it's determined it's a medical emergency, the EMTS take over and the police leave. And usually you don't have three police officers from three different cars showing up to one person's house unless at first it's considered a threat. Once it's established it's not, they keep coming back and recording? A All these things? Q Yeah. How do you know that they don't send various calls and how do you know that they only send one or two cars? How do you know any of	1		1	they were doing, I can't speak to that. I have been			
4 if the cameras were on? A No one was even registered. They were focused on me, not cameras. Your average person — 1 know about body cameras, you probably have 8 awareness of those. Your average person doesn't 9 know — would never even register that or think about that. 10 Well, clearly, you were unable to say 12 could not be recorded, you couldn't? 13 A Absolutely not. 14 Q Did your husband at any time tell the 15 police officers stop recording? 15 In focusing in the said anything — 16 A My husband was unaware of the 17 recording until I found out about it. 18 Q Right. So my question is, he never 19 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 19 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 10 considered many cops in these situations and I love cops because when you're in my condition, no one encounters more public servants than I do in that way. And most police officers are absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And they see the worst of it. But most of the time when these things occur, a police officer may show up at first but as soon as it's determined it's a medical emergency, the EMTs take over and the police leave. And usually you don't have three police officers from three different cars showing up to one person's house unless at first it's considered a threat. Once it's established it's not, they keep coming back and recording? Q And your son didn't say anything 20 Q How do you know — 21 A All these things? 22 Q Yeah. How do you know that they don't send various calls and how do you know that they only send one or two cars? How do you know any of	2	cameras were on.	2	through these procedures before.			
5 A No one was even registered. They were 6 focused on me, not cameras. Your average person 7 I know about body cameras, you probably have 8 awareness of those. Your average person doesn't 9 know would never even register that or think 10 about that. 11 Q Well, clearly, you were unable to say 12 could not be recorded, you couldn't? 14 Q Did your husband at any time tell the 15 police officers stop recording? 16 A My husband was unaware of the 17 recording until I found out about it. 18 Q Right. So my question is, he never 19 said anything 20 A He was unaware. 21 Q And your son didn't say anything 22 either? 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 15 I've encountered many cops in these situations and I love cops because when you're in my condition, no 7 one encounters more public servants than I do in 8 that way. And most police officers are absolutely 9 outstanding. And New York police officers, 10 absolutely outstanding. And they see the worst of 11 it. But most of the time when these things occur, a 12 police officer may show up at first but as soon as 13 it's determined it's a medical emergency, the EMTS 14 take over and the police leave. And usually you 15 don't have three police officers from three 16 different cars showing up to one person's house 17 unless at first it's considered a threat. Once it's 18 established it's not, they keep coming back and 19 recording? 20 Q How do you know 21 A All these things? 22 Q Yeah. How do you know that they don't 23 send various calls and how do you know that they 24 only send one or two cars? How do you know any of	3	Q Did anybody that you're aware of ask	3	I've been through these medical			
6 focused on me, not cameras. Your average person 7 I know about body cameras, you probably have 8 awareness of those. Your average person doesn't 9 know would never even register that or think 10 about that. 11	4	4 if the cameras were on?		emergencies before. Lyndhurst is the only place			
7 I know about body cameras, you probably have 8 awareness of those. Your average person doesn't 9 know would never even register that or think 10 about that. 11 Q Well, clearly, you were unable to say 12 could not be recorded, you couldn't? 13 A Absolutely not. 14 Q Did your husband at any time tell the 15 police officers stop recording? 16 A My husband was unaware of the 17 recording until I found out about it. 18 Q Right. So my question is, he never 19 said anything 20 A He was unaware. 21 Q And your son didn't say anything 22 either? 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 7 one encounters more public servants than I do in 8 that way. And most police officers are absolutely 9 outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And they see the worst of 11 it. But most of the time when these things occur, a 12 police officer may show up at first but as soon as 13 it's determined it's a medical emergency, the EMTS 14 take over and the police leave. And usually you 15 don't have three police officers from three 16 different cars showing up to one person's house 17 unless at first it's considered a threat. Once it's 18 established it's not, they keep coming back and 19 recording? 20 Q How do you know 21 Q And your son didn't say anything 22 either? 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 25 only send one or two cars? How do you know any of	5	5 A No one was even registered. They were		I've encountered many cops in these situations and I $$			
awareness of those. Your average person doesn't y know — would never even register that or think about that. Q Well, clearly, you were unable to say 10 could not be recorded, you couldn't? A Absolutely not. Did your husband at any time tell the police officers stop recording? A My husband was unaware of the recording until I found out about it. Q Right. So my question is, he never A He was unaware. Q And your son didn't say anything A My son was unaware but when I raised A My son was unaware but when I raised A My sen was unaw any of A My son was unaware of A My son was unaware but when I raised A My sen was unaware of B that way. And most police officers are absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers, absolutely outstanding. And New York police officers police officer may show up at first but as soon as it's determined it's a medical emergency, the EMTS take over and the police leave. And usually you don't have three police officers from three different cars showing up to one person's house 17 unless at first it's considered a threat. Once it's established it's not, they keep coming back and recording? A All these things? A All these things? Yeah. How do you know that they only send one or two cars? How do you know any of	6	focused on me, not cameras. Your average person	6	love cops because when you're in my condition, no			
9 know would never even register that or think 10 about that. 11 Q Well, clearly, you were unable to say 12 could not be recorded, you couldn't? 13 A Absolutely not. 14 Q Did your husband at any time tell the 15 police officers stop recording? 16 A My husband was unaware of the 17 recording until I found out about it. 18 Q Right. So my question is, he never 19 said anything 20 A He was unaware. 20 Q How do you know 21 Q And your son didn't say anything 22 either? 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 24 only send one or two cars? How do you know any of	7		7	one encounters more public servants than I do in			
about that. Q Well, clearly, you were unable to say 1 it. But most of the time when these things occur, a 1 police officer may show up at first but as soon as 1 A Absolutely not. 1 police officer may show up at first but as soon as 1 it's determined it's a medical emergency, the EMTS 1 take over and the police leave. And usually you 1 police officers stop recording? 1 don't have three police officers from three 1 different cars showing up to one person's house 1 recording until I found out about it. 1 unless at first it's considered a threat. Once it's 1 established it's not, they keep coming back and 1 recording? 2 A He was unaware. 2 Q How do you know 2 A All these things? 2 either? 2 Q Yeah. How do you know that they don't 2 send various calls and how do you know that they 2 only send one or two cars? How do you know any of	8		8	-			
11 Q Well, clearly, you were unable to say 12 could not be recorded, you couldn't? 13 A Absolutely not. 14 Q Did your husband at any time tell the 15 police officers stop recording? 16 A My husband was unaware of the 17 recording until I found out about it. 18 Q Right. So my question is, he never 19 said anything 20 A He was unaware. 21 Q And your son didn't say anything 22 either? 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 25 Is But most of the time when these things occur, a 26 police officer may show up at first but as soon as 27 it's But most of the time when these things occur, a 28 it's determined it's a medical emergency, the EMTS 29 don't have three police officers from three 20 don't have three police officers from three 21 unless at first it's considered a threat. Once it's 22 leither? 23 A All these things? 24 Send various calls and how do you know that they 25 only send one or two cars? How do you know any of							
12 could not be recorded, you couldn't? 13 A Absolutely not. 14 Q Did your husband at any time tell the 15 police officers stop recording? 16 A My husband was unaware of the 17 recording until I found out about it. 18 Q Right. So my question is, he never 19 said anything 20 A He was unaware. 21 Q And your son didn't say anything 22 either? 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 24 only send one or two cars? How do you know any of							
A Absolutely not. 13 it's determined it's a medical emergency, the EMTS 14 Q Did your husband at any time tell the 15 police officers stop recording? 16 A My husband was unaware of the 17 recording until I found out about it. 18 Q Right. So my question is, he never 19 said anything 20 A He was unaware. 21 Q And your son didn't say anything 22 either? 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 13 it's determined it's a medical emergency, the EMTS 14 take over and the police leave. And usually you 15 don't have three police officers from three 16 different cars showing up to one person's house 17 unless at first it's considered a threat. Once it's 18 established it's not, they keep coming back and 19 recording? 20 Q How do you know 21 A All these things? 22 Q Yeah. How do you know that they don't 23 send various calls and how do you know that they 24 only send one or two cars? How do you know any of	1	-		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
14 Q Did your husband at any time tell the police officers stop recording? 15 police officers stop recording? 16 A My husband was unaware of the 16 different cars showing up to one person's house 17 recording until I found out about it. 17 unless at first it's considered a threat. Once it's 18 Q Right. So my question is, he never 18 established it's not, they keep coming back and 19 recording? 20 A He was unaware. 20 Q How do you know 21 Q And your son didn't say anything 21 A All these things? 22 either? 22 Q Yeah. How do you know that they don't 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 24 only send one or two cars? How do you know any of	1	,					
police officers stop recording? A My husband was unaware of the 16 A My husband was unaware of the 17 recording until I found out about it. 18 Q Right. So my question is, he never 18 said anything 20 A He was unaware. 20 Q And your son didn't say anything 21 A All these things? 22 either? 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 25 don't have three police officers from three 26 different cars showing up to one person's house 27 unless at first it's considered a threat. Once it's 28 established it's not, they keep coming back and 29 recording? 20 Q How do you know 21 A All these things? 22 Q Yeah. How do you know that they don't 23 send various calls and how do you know that they 24 only send one or two cars? How do you know any of		•					
A My husband was unaware of the recording until I found out about it. Recording until I found until I fou	1	-					
17 recording until I found out about it. 18 Q Right. So my question is, he never 19 said anything 20 A He was unaware. 21 Q And your son didn't say anything 22 either? 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 25 unless at first it's considered a threat. Once it's established it's not, they keep coming back and recording? 20 Q How do you know 21 A All these things? 22 Q Yeah. How do you know that they don't send various calls and how do you know that they only send one or two cars? How do you know any of				-			
18 Q Right. So my question is, he never 19 said anything 20 A He was unaware. 21 Q And your son didn't say anything 22 either? 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 24 established it's not, they keep coming back and 19 recording? 20 Q How do you know 21 A All these things? 22 Q Yeah. How do you know that they don't 23 send various calls and how do you know that they 24 only send one or two cars? How do you know any of	1						
19 said anything 20 A He was unaware. 21 Q And your son didn't say anything 22 either? 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 24 recording? 20 Q How do you know 21 A All these things? 22 Q Yeah. How do you know that they don't 23 send various calls and how do you know that they 24 only send one or two cars? How do you know any of	1						
20 Q How do you know 21 Q And your son didn't say anything 22 either? 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 24 only send one or two cars? How do you know any of	1			,			
21 Q And your son didn't say anything 22 either? 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 24 only send one or two cars? How do you know that they 25 only send one or two cars? How do you know any of							
22 either? 23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 25 Q Yeah. How do you know that they don't 26 a send various calls and how do you know that they 27 a send various calls and how do you know that they 28 a send various calls and how do you know any of							
23 A My son was unaware but when I raised 24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 25 send various calls and how do you know that they 26 only send one or two cars? How do you know any of				-			
24 it with him he said I was suspicious that they were 24 only send one or two cars? How do you know any of				-			
	1						
23 recording because he saw green rights on but he did 25 that?		-					
	25	recording because he saw green rights on but he did	25	Liides			

		on (
1	Page 154 A I'm talking from my owner personal	1	sheet, was marked for identification.)	Page 156
2	experience.	2	(Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-2,	
3	Q Oh, I see.	3	2/13/21 letter, was marked for	
4	Well, let's be clear on a couple of	4	identification.)	
5	things. You did not sue Officer LeStrange in this	5	(Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-3, text	
6	lawsuit, correct?	6	messages, was marked for identification.)	
7	A No.	7	(Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-4, 7/5/18	
8	Q And you did not sue Officer Capp in	8	letter, was marked for identification.)	
9	this lawsuit?	9	(Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-5,	
10	A No.	10	campaign literature, was marked for	
11	Q And is that because you believe that	11	identification.)	
12	they didn't engage in any wrongful behavior?	12	(Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-6, police	
13	A I believe they behaved engaged in	13	report, was marked for identification.)	
14	incorrect behavior in not informing that they turned	14	(Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-7,	
15	on their cameras. I think they are young officers.	15	e-mails and text messages, was marked for	
16	Young men. And they did what they thought they	16	identification.)	
17	should do. I don't think they knew any better. And	17	(Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-8, e-mail	
18	I think if those videos were not accessed	18	and text messages, was marked for	
19	improperly, none of this would ever have been an	19	identification.)	
20	issue and they might repeatedly still be doing it	20	(Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-9, 9/3/19	
21	now. I don't know. But I don't think they acted	21	letter, was marked for identification.)	
22	with malice, is my point.	22	(Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-10,	
23	Q Is it fair to say that the main part	23	9/24/19 letter, was marked for	
24	of your lawsuit is what you claim is improper use or	24	identification.)	
25	of those videos after the fact?	25	(Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-11,	
1	Page 155	1	9/23/19 letter, was marked for	Page 157
1 2	A Yes.	1 2	9/23/19 letter, was marked for identification.)	Page 157
2	A Yes. Q Okay.	2	identification.)	Page 157
2 3	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were	2 3	<pre>identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12,</pre>	Page 157
2 3 4	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too,	2	identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for	Page 157
2 3 4 5	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense.	2 3 4 5	<pre>identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.)</pre>	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of	2 3 4	<pre>identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13,</pre>	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for</pre>	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for identification.)</pre>	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m. We're off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for</pre>	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m. We're off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-14,</pre>	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m. We're off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-14, 4/18/23 e-mail, was marked for</pre>	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m. We're off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-14, 4/18/23 e-mail, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-15,	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m. We're off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media number five. The time is 3:18 p.m. This is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-14, 4/18/23 e-mail, was marked for identification.)</pre>	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m. We're off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media number five. The time is 3:18 p.m. This is the end of media number five of the videotape deposition of Mr. David Sivella. This	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-14, 4/18/23 e-mail, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-15, Alampi complaint, was marked for	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m. We're off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media number five. The time is 3:18 p.m. This is the end of media number five of the videotape deposition of Mr. David Sivella. This deposition is going to be adjourned until a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-14, 4/18/23 e-mail, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-15, Alampi complaint, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-16,	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m. We're off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media number five. The time is 3:18 p.m. This is the end of media number five of the videotape deposition of Mr. David Sivella. This	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-14, 4/18/23 e-mail, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-15, Alampi complaint, was marked for identification.)	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m. We're off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media number five. The time is 3:18 p.m. This is the end of media number five of the videotape deposition of Mr. David Sivella. This deposition is going to be adjourned until a time and place agreed upon by all parties. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-14, 4/18/23 e-mail, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-15, Alampi complaint, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-16, records re DiMaggio, was marked for	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m. We're off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media number five. The time is 3:18 p.m. This is the end of media number five of the videotape deposition of Mr. David Sivella. This deposition is going to be adjourned until a time and place agreed upon by all parties. The time is today is January 25th, 2024. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-14, 4/18/23 e-mail, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-15, Alampi complaint, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-16, records re DiMaggio, was marked for identification.)	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m. We're off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media number five. The time is 3:18 p.m. This is the end of media number five of the videotape deposition of Mr. David Sivella. This deposition is going to be adjourned until a time and place agreed upon by all parties. The time is today is January 25th, 2024. The time is 3:18 p.m. And we're off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-14, 4/18/23 e-mail, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-15, Alampi complaint, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-16, records re DiMaggio, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-17,	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m. We're off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media number five. The time is 3:18 p.m. This is the end of media number five of the videotape deposition of Mr. David Sivella. This deposition is going to be adjourned until a time and place agreed upon by all parties. The time is today is January 25th, 2024. The time is 3:18 p.m. And we're off the record. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-14, 4/18/23 e-mail, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-15, Alampi complaint, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-16, records re DiMaggio, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-17, Bonnie Mizdol document, was marked for	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m. We're off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media number five. The time is 3:18 p.m. This is the end of media number five of the videotape deposition of Mr. David Sivella. This deposition is going to be adjourned until a time and place agreed upon by all parties. The time is today is January 25th, 2024. The time is 3:18 p.m. And we're off the record. Thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: Ms. Patel, are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-14, 4/18/23 e-mail, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-15, Alampi complaint, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-16, records re DiMaggio, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-17, Bonnie Mizdol document, was marked for identification.)	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m. We're off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media number five. The time is 3:18 p.m. This is the end of media number five of the videotape deposition of Mr. David Sivella. This deposition is going to be adjourned until a time and place agreed upon by all parties. The time is today is January 25th, 2024. The time is 3:18 p.m. And we're off the record. Thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: Ms. Patel, are you purchasing a copy?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-14, 4/18/23 e-mail, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-15, Alampi complaint, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-16, records re DiMaggio, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-17, Bonnie Mizdol document, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-17, Bonnie Mizdol document, was marked for identification.)	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m. We're off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media number five. The time is 3:18 p.m. This is the end of media number five of the videotape deposition of Mr. David Sivella. This deposition is going to be adjourned until a time and place agreed upon by all parties. The time is today is January 25th, 2024. The time is 3:18 p.m. And we're off the record. Thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: Ms. Patel, are you purchasing a copy? MS. PATEL: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-14, 4/18/23 e-mail, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-15, Alampi complaint, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-16, records re DiMaggio, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-17, Bonnie Mizdol document, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-18, list of counsel, was marked for	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. A While the caveat, there were mistakes made in collecting that information, too, but that's not the primary offense. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of media number four. The time is 2:59 p.m. We're off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media number five. The time is 3:18 p.m. This is the end of media number five of the videotape deposition of Mr. David Sivella. This deposition is going to be adjourned until a time and place agreed upon by all parties. The time is today is January 25th, 2024. The time is 3:18 p.m. And we're off the record. Thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: Ms. Patel, are you purchasing a copy? MS. PATEL: Yes. (Whereupon, the video deposition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-12, 9/24/19 letter, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-13, e-mail chain, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-14, 4/18/23 e-mail, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-15, Alampi complaint, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-16, records re DiMaggio, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-17, Bonnie Mizdol document, was marked for identification.) (Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-18, list of counsel, was marked for identification.)	Page 157

1	Page 158 identification.)
2	(Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-20,
3	Alampi billing records, was marked for
4	identification.)
5	(Whereupon, Exhibit Sivella-21,
6	Tinton Falls transcript, was marked for
7	identification.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 159
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, CARRIE L. CUHADARYAN, a Certified Court
4	Reporter, do hereby certify that prior to the
5	commencement of the examination, the witness was
6	duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole
7	truth and nothing but the truth.
8	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is
9	a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as
10	taken stenographically by and before me at the time,
11	place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the
12	best of my ability.
13	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a
13 14	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of
14	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of
14 15	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am
14 15 16	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or
14 15 16 17	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in
14 15 16 17 18	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in this action.
14 15 16 17 18 19	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in this action.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in this action.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in this action. Carrie L. Cuhadaryan
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in this action. Carrie L. Cuhadaryan Certified Court Reporter
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in this action. Carrie L. Cuhadaryan Certified Court Reporter
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in this action. Carrie L. Cuhadaryan Certified Court Reporter

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: \$10,000..2017

Exhibits SivellaD 1 5:7 SivellaD 2 5:8 SivellaD 3 5:9	SivellaD 16 5:22 SivellaD 17 5:23 SivellaD 18 5:24 SivellaD 19	\$90,000 37:18 0 07071 96:4	1988 27:19 1989 46:12 1995 114:7 1999 32:20
5:7 SivellaD 2 5:8 SivellaD 3	5:23 SivellaD 18 5:24	07071 96:4	
5:8 SivellaD 3	5:24		
	SivellaD 19	07071.com	1:43:00 88:7
	6:3	51:15 55:22 56:7	2
SivellaD 4 5:10	SivellaD 20 6:4	57:15 	2 52:11,12 2/13/21
SivellaD 5 5:11	SivellaD 21 6:5	10029 17:7	156:3 2008 18:18
SivellaD 6 5:12	\$	10:37 49:2110:38 7:9	27:19 45:10 72:4 73:22,24
SivellaD 7 5:13	\$10,000 52:19	10:41 9:13 10:44 9:18	74:4,14 2009 27:12,
SivellaD 8 5:14	\$150,000 68:14	11:37 49:25	20 36:10 2011 18:14,
SivellaD 9 5:15	113:10 \$2,454 52:10	11:51 50:5 11th 68:3	19,21 20:14
SivellaD 10 5:16	\$2,500 52:11 \$2400.54	12:49 88:1 13 31:13	2013 20:15 2015 47:18
SivellaD 11 5:17	53:2 \$300,000	15 73:5,6, 9,14	68:24 2016 29:22
SivellaD 12 5:18	52:13 \$50,000	1500 17:4 16 36:10	30:15 31:10 32:9
SivellaD 13 5:19	122:12 124:14	16th 27:12	2017 32:10 33:11 34:3
SivellaD 14 5:20	\$600,000 113:1	1961 13:12 1980's 81:9	35:23 36:14 37:6,12
SivellaD 15 5:21	\$70,000 52:15	1980s 131:2	38:12 51:14

David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: 2018..account 2018 21:22 155:17 absence 7 39:19 133:9,17 21-A 17:4 44:3,10 absolutely 7 39:19 **21st** 31:10 47:6,21 26:15 44:3 48:6 **23rd** 13:12 71:23 156:7 7/5/18 50:10,19 83:19 25th 7:7 51:11 90:10 155:17 54:9,21, 8 105:8 **26th** 47:6 23,24 108:4 **80s** 131:2 155:7 2:59 2019 17:13 141:11 22:2 23:3 146:3,4 9 48:5,13 3 151:13 59:2,5 153:8,10 9/23/19 300,000 60:13 157:1 **abuse** 63:3 52:18 63:16 9/24/19 abused 14:9, 65:18 66:1 **31st** 47:5 156:23 10 106:20 67:13,14 3:18 157:4 68:3 70:14 accept 94:16 155:12,18, 71:20 9/3/19 111:3 24 76:14,16 156:20 acceptable 115:6 911 22:7 12:3 48:23 4 125:16 24:14 access 67:11 129:17 4/18/23 63:21 65:3 132:15,16 accessed 157:10 70:16 76:8 154:18 **2020** 121:23 99:2 123:3 144:15 5 accident 129:4 132:19 5th 59:2 142:19 Α accommodate **2021** 31:13 9:1 A-D-L-W-I-N 6 71:21 115:11 accompanied **2022** 78:11 614 114:24 24:12 a.m. 7:9 115:1 6th 17:13 9:13,18 accomplished 123:2,5 76:19 49:21,25 124:10 2023 78:24 132:14 50:5 account 85:19 68:11 abandoned **2024** 7:8 118:21

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: accounts..ahead

	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: accountsahead
accounts	activities	admonition	59:7
68:14,17	45:24	101:21	afraid 20:7
accused	activity	adopted	140:17
106:23	55:1	27:11	
			afternoon
accusing	actor 104:9	-	88:5,9
48:1	105:5	27:12,22 29:21	100:5
acquainted	added 152:4	32:14 44:8	agency
49:11,12	addition 8:5	68:25	130:19
act 62:14	37:12	77:21 82:4	141:3,4
103:4	additional		aggressively
141:17	84:2 120:8	adult 62:23	25:8
acted		66:15	agree 15:2
107:10,12	address 16:5	144:2	84:11,12,
141:20	17:3 25:24	adults 99:6	15 102:4
154:21	114:21,22	144:1	111:23
	118:4,7,	advantage	127:13
acting 64:10	19,24	63:1	144:23
75:25	119:1		146:10
146:21	150:18	adversarial	152:9,13,
action 96:21	addressed	14:8	21
104:6	78:22	advice	agreed 28:8
109:1	adjourned	149:12	44:7,8
113:15	155:15,24	advise 12:8	56:2
actionable	adjudicated	advised 8:2,	155:16
109:15	38:24	10,15 87:4	
actions		•	agreement
108:12		advising 8:6	
145:19	42:4	79:17	15:24 29:24
	82:12,13 130:12	101:3	30:8,10
activated 143:11	130:12	affects 53:4	35:11
_	administrator	affiliation	71:14
activating	38:1	72:8	
149:25	admit 38:7	afford	ahead 40:15
active 38:13	admitted	106:13	41:10
66:5 77:10	91:1		92:15 93:7
119:10	<i>,</i>	aforementioned	95:8

David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: Alampi..approximately 103:15 19:8 63:19 allowed Anthony 41:23 20:1,17 71:25 Alampi 23:7 66:21 22:6 23:6 24:6,20 appearing 68:16 48:14 49:6 25:7,17 22:16,24 69:5,8 66:3 96:11 36:15 37:8 23:7 99:2,24 45:18 allowing appellate 100:18,20 63:17 80:7 91:15 93:9 108:7,22 67:15,22 altered 94:11 68:4,7,19, Anthony/tony 136:2,3 apply 73:13 96:18 21 69:12, 143:20 25 70:1 appoint anymore ambiguity 77:7 79:16 34:17,18 132:2 93:24 80:20 73:9 anyone's 85:25 ambulance appointed 47:23 157:13 137:22 56:17 158:3 Apartment 73:15,17, Amendment 17:4 Alampi's 19 78:1 58:5 77:6 79:24 81:14 apologize amount 76:18 70:12,13 112:25 alcoholic angry 66:13, 113:4,6 44:16 appalled 14 47:3 appointee Aldwin 22:10 animosity 75:11 115:10 apparently 63:11 25:8 105:2 appointing allegation animus 58:20 58:18 119:25 appeal 94:21 answering 137:10 95:2,5 appointments 19:11 97:7,12, 73:4 allegations 36:25 37:3 16,20 109:4 approached 59:15 98:17 121:15,17 50:10 63:9 88:22 129:21,23 143:10,12 Appeals 122:8 93:19 alleged 14:9 approve 37:9 answers 12:3 106:23 appearance 19:6 81:3 approximately 69:9 Allegedly 137:5 52:11 105:19,20 78:11 appeared anteroom

alleging

149:5

39:4

22:22

23:3,4,6

85:19

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: April..August

	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: AprilAugust
April 29:22	77:20 78:3	attained	60:4 64:22
30:15	82:5	27:16	67:14,15,
31:10 32:9	assignment	attempt	21,24
76:16	77 : 23	15:15	68:2,8
85:19	78:2,5		69:9,10
132:16	82 : 17	attempted	76:11 78:6
architect		72:9	80:14 83:5
113:6,7	assistance	attempting	84:3 86:17
	52:3	34:17	94:1,14
architects	assistant	attend 27:21	95:20 98:5
35:17	40:25		103:9
37:13,21	assisting	attendance	106:9,10,
architecture	25:3	28:3 39:12	13,18
113:5		attended	110:8
argue 127:9	associate	8:14	111:4
	51:3	attention	118:25
argued	102:11	31:6	120:13
109:11,12	139:9	150:20	122:14
argumentative	association		123:16,24
89:8	59:9 70:9	attest 32:22	124:1,3,5,
arguments	79 : 18	49:1 116:1	24 145:21
110:1,3	assume 40:22	attorney	attorney's
	66:17	8:1,2,7	38:10
Arlington	131:24	14:13	96:22
118:3,18	140:17	15:8,9	a t. t. a a
armament	144:4	22:22	attorney- client 38:8
150:22		23:4,6,8	
arranged	assumed	24:6,11	attorneys
45:13,19	10:25	25:5,6	22:18 33:5
46:17	86:25	30:5,7	69:6 79:21
	assuming	31:12,19	95:23
arrived	62:2	34:11 35:9	112:17
115:16	assumption	36:15 37:8	August 54:9,
assessment	105:6	39:9,13	23,24
66:13		42:3,6	65:25
assigned	attack	43:24	78:24
32:13	134:11	45:19	123:2,4
J2 • 1 J		52:10 56:9	

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: aunt..began

	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: auntbegan
aunt 126:1,	49:3,4	87:22	108:12
25	78:23	88:7,10	110:3,20,
auras 135:6	84:24	98:15	22 113:5
	98:18	107:2,5	126:5
Auteri 7:22	102:24	112:17,20	129:25
89:18	105:23	115:5	130:17
145:24	106:2,23	132:9	141:13,15
authorities	135:7,19	134:8	147:21
42:18 49:9	151:3	136:19	hogigoll.
	152:2,3	140:3	basically 25:14 32:3
authority	-	147:8	63:8
18:9,13,22	awareness 151:8	153:18	
20:14	131:8		134:22 146:25
27:16		backed	140:25
73:23 91:2	В	137:14	basis 35:12
authorizing	B-E-L-E-N	background	58:21
29:20	17:11	19:5 27:25	97:15
148:19	115:11	30:12	109:3,10
automatic		150:24	110:21
68:12	B-O-V-E 66:2	bad 129:13	149:13
	107:25		bathroom
automatically	baby 134:15	badge 58:15	23:18
16:12		Baldassare	
131:20,22	back 9:19	22:17 23:5	Bear 36:12
Avenue 17:4	12:18,21	24:6,20	bearing
44:12	29:14	25:7,8	70:13
114:24	31:25 36:6	63:17,19	beat 127:12
	42:8 45:1,	68:5	
average 151:6,8	9 46:11	bar 79:18	bed 137:17,
131:0,0	47:15,22	114:17	25
Avery 124:8	48:22 50:5		bedroom
avoid 69:9	56:24 57:9	Barone 80:7	137:17,24
	58:23,25	barred 111:4	140:7,8
awaiting	70:15 71:4		·
33:9	73:14,24	base 117:21	beg 26:15
aware 14:18,	75:1	based 30:17	27 : 7
19 36:24	82:13,22	32:22	began 51:13
41:19 43:4	85:12,15	105:6	54:9 78:5
	86:22		

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: begin..Bove

	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: beginBove
begin 60:7	Bergen 18:9,	Biden 42:4	53:5 66:4
88:10	13,22	big 82:3	67 : 12
beginning	20:13	92:12	72:11 91:1
51:25 53:8	22:19		103:10
54:8 80:10	27:13,15,	bill 47:1	111:6,14
34.0 00.10	17 30:7	52:10 53:2	134:23,24
begins 7:2	31:8 32:8	120:11	136:5
behalf 31:15	41:2 46:5	billing	Bob 42:3,5
33:9 79:18		119:23	-
103:5,13	64:14	158:3	Bobby 45:11
	71:24 75:6		body 135:22
behave	77:24	bills 52:4,	138:19
146:2,24	79:14,17	9,13 79:24	141:14
behaved	81:13,18,	122:11	142:16
154:13	21 82:18	birth 13:11	147:8
behavior	100:25	bit 76:10	150:21
48:21	109:20	137:18	151:7
117:22	120:6		
146:7		bits 104:8	bonding 3/:9
147:3	Bern 32:18,	blah 60:23,	Bonnie 77:8,
154:12,14	21,24 112:18	24	14,23
	112:10	blame 140:19	157:19
behold 82:20	Bernstein		borrow 30:19
Belen 17:11,	33:4	blaming	68:16
16,18	bet 40:20	76:12	
22:11	58:8	blanked	borrowed
115:11		135:16	68:15
belt 150:23	bewildered	blessed	boss 74:16
	42:11,13	19:15	bothered
beneficiary	67 : 4		54:3
128:3,6	bias 137:19	block 82:7	101:24
benefit	bid 52:4,	board 30:8,	
140:15	13,15,25	18 35:8	bought 33:1
benefits	53 : 6	45:12	bouncing
130:20	113:3,4	48:13,15,	75:20
	·	20 49:8	Bove 66:6,
BER-L-007990-	bidding	50:15	20 107:22,
21 7:6	74:20	51:10,20	24
			- •

20 brother 73:8 ,2 brought 8:5 21:16,22 22:3 36:7 44:12 67:16 68:7,8 74:11 79:16 85:22 brunt 70:13 build 29:24 building 30:12 54:22	65:8,13,14 70:16 71:7 73:6 75:8 133:15 135:6 139:19 143:1,21 144:15,19 152:10,23 called 8:1 27:21 29:24 30:1 44:22 51:14	146:5 148:11
21:16,22 22:3 36:7 44:12 67:16 68:7,8 74:11 79:16 85:22 brunt 70:13 build 29:24 4 building 30:12	70:16 71:7 73:6 75:8 133:15 135:6 139:19 143:1,21 144:15,19 152:10,23 called 8:1 27:21 29:24 30:1 44:22 51:14	146:5 148:11 149:25 150:10,12, 13 151:2, 4,6,7 154:15 campaign 156:10 campaigned 32:21
21:16,22 22:3 36:7 44:12 67:16 68:7,8 74:11 79:16 85:22 brunt 70:13 build 29:24 4 building 30:12	73:6 75:8 133:15 135:6 139:19 143:1,21 144:15,19 152:10,23 called 8:1 27:21 29:24 30:1 44:22 51:14	148:11 149:25 150:10,12, 13 151:2, 4,6,7 154:15 campaign 156:10 campaigned 32:21
22:3 36:7 44:12 67:16 68:7,8 74:11 79:16 85:22 brunt 70:13 build 29:24 4 building 30:12	133:15 135:6 139:19 143:1,21 144:15,19 152:10,23 called 8:1 27:21 29:24 30:1 44:22 51:14	149:25 150:10,12, 13 151:2, 4,6,7 154:15 campaign 156:10 campaigned 32:21
44:12 67:16 68:7,8 74:11 79:16 85:22 brunt 70:13 build 29:24 4 building 30:12	135:6 139:19 143:1,21 144:15,19 152:10,23 called 8:1 27:21 29:24 30:1 44:22 51:14	150:10,12, 13 151:2, 4,6,7 154:15 campaign 156:10 campaigned 32:21
67:16 68:7,8 74:11 79:16 85:22 brunt 70:13 build 29:24 building 30:12	139:19 143:1,21 144:15,19 152:10,23 called 8:1 27:21 29:24 30:1 44:22 51:14	13 151:2, 4,6,7 154:15 campaign 156:10 campaigned 32:21
68:7,8 74:11 79:16 85:22 brunt 70:13 build 29:24 building 30:12	143:1,21 144:15,19 152:10,23 called 8:1 27:21 29:24 30:1 44:22 51:14	4,6,7 154:15 campaign 156:10 campaigned 32:21
74:11 79:16 85:22 brunt 70:13 build 29:24 4 building 30:12	144:15,19 152:10,23 called 8:1 27:21 29:24 30:1 44:22 51:14	4,6,7 154:15 campaign 156:10 campaigned 32:21
79:16 85:22 brunt 70:13 build 29:24 4 building 30:12	144:15,19 152:10,23 called 8:1 27:21 29:24 30:1 44:22 51:14	campaign 156:10 campaigned 32:21
85:22 brunt 70:13 build 29:24 building 30:12	152:10,23 called 8:1 27:21 29:24 30:1 44:22 51:14	156:10 campaigned 32:21
brunt 70:13 build 29:24 building 30:12	called 8:1 27:21 29:24 30:1 44:22 51:14	156:10 campaigned 32:21
build 29:24 building 30:12	27:21 29:24 30:1 44:22 51:14	campaigned 32:21
build 29:24 building 30:12	29:24 30:1 44:22 51:14	32:21
4 building 30:12	44:22 51:14	
30:12	51 : 14	campaigns
		Camparuns
54:22		50:16
	69:25 71:4	30:10
65:19,20	99:2	canceled
70:5	137:21	82:23
buildings	calling	candidate
39:5	55:14 76:8	32:20
		34:23
		35:3,4
70:10		66:5
business		
9 24:25		cans 142:23
	153:23	capable
	cam 142:17	143:21
. F	147:8	
Duys 31.4		capacity
		64:10
С	•	Capp 139:15
	•	142:17,21
5	•	143:9,16
124:23	151:1	144:20
call 24:14,	cameras	145:9
15,18 28:7	139:18,22	154:8
30:22 39:7	•	
ソち	141:14,19	Capp's
	builds 63:11 70:10 business 24:25 44:21 45:6 112:21 buys 31:4 C California 124:23 call 24:14, 15,18 28:7	builds 63:11 calls 47:8 70:10 56:10 65:3 74:24 75:3 86:3 153:23 44:21 45:6 112:21 cam 142:17 147:8 call camera 78:24 139:16,17 140:3,12 143:10,12 151:1 call 24:14, cameras 15,18 28:7 30:22 39:7 140:5,6

	David Sivella		Index: captainchairman
146:12	38:15	35:21 36:7	21 135:11
captain	42:23	38:20,24	138:2
38:15,17	43:1,13	42:21,22	139:14
57:3 66:19	53:20	43:4 45:17	144:9
	55:10,21	48:18	147:21
car 135:3	56:3,5,13,	54:17	149:9,10
142:11	23 57:3,4,	55:3,11	cases 126:16
143:11	13,20,21,	60:2 63:20	127:9
Carbone	24,25	68:4,6,20	
30:6,16,23	58:7,14	71:15,21	cast 31:1
31:16,19	66:19	74:9	36:7 37:13
32:1,17	88:20,25	76:20,21	category
112:1,4	89:9,10	77:21	150:11
Cardino 34:8	107:22	78:2,5,8,	Cathy 22:4
	108:10	18,23 79:8	_
Cardozo	Carrino's	82:9,18,21	cautious
114:12	56:12,21	83:10	41:10,13
care 63:1	108:17	90:20	caveat
109:25		91:7,18,	125:18
career 48:17	Carrinos	20,21	126:3,13,
54:14	63:13	92:2,22,25	16,19
141:24,25	Carry 40:17	93:8,17	127:6,7,
141.24,23	41:20	94:8	12,14,16
careers	cars	95:20,24	128:4,14
25:20	153:16,24	96:8,11,14	129:5
Carl 30:5		98:16,23	155:3
Carmine 23:7	case 7:5	99:1,3,4,	central
	11:1,12	15 101:4,	134:11
36:15 37:8	14:18 15:6	5,19,22	
38:16	18:6 19:19	108:22	certified
45:18	25:10,13,	109:17	80:9
67:15	22,25 26:2	110:3,9,22	cetera 124:8
77:6,7	28:21	112:15	
79:16	30:4,16,23	114:20	chain 100:2
Caro 37:21	31:8,14,15	119:21	157 : 7
Carrie 7:11	32:1,2,7,	126:11,25	chairman
	11,13	128:9	22:20
Carrino 7:21	33:2,3	129:10,13,	27:18,20

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: chairs..clear

	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: chairsclear
32:24 46:5	109:23	Chris 34:8	139:6
67:25 81:8	checked	37:24	154:24
chairs 8:8	39:18	Christmas	claimed
challenge	checks 27:25	118:22	19:9,10
30:7 31:5		chronological	24:19
	Checky 81:9	26:17	130:14
challenged 30:24	chief 35:1,2	Circuit	claiming
30:24	37:25	93:19,24,	24:15 61:7
chambers	38:18		claims 64:19
23:12	39:15 52:8	23	
change 36:5	chief's		clarification
72:8,9	73:18	circuits	13:1 31:18
82:11	child 64:25	93:25	42:24
112:18	65:1 71:7	circumstances	59:18
changed 35:7	76 : 12	97:6,10	116:22
45:12	106:19	106:14	clarify
72:21	child's	cites 58:3	10:24
113:11	68:25	City 17:2	11:13
character		-	12:25
75:16	children	civil 25:3	
	27:11	56:19 73:5	
charged	children's	100:19,23	89:1,8 91:21
52:14,15	27:22 44:8	108:23	126:17
77:13	77:20	civilian	
charges	chill 56:24	24:25 64:9	
67:16 68:8	57 : 9	150:24	150:12
70:2 76:10	Chivas 44:18	c J 31:12	classic
77:6 79:16		52:2 56:9	133:15,16
100:11,15	choice 42:3	60:4 62:14	136:25
charity	choices	67:14	classified
128:10,12	47:15	103:9,18,	130:11
chase 61:15	choose 16:21	21 105:1	clear 10:2
cheaper	80:12	CJ 's 52:3	21:5 23:20
122:12	132:9	claim 119:19	
	chose 53:7	120:3	34:6
check 62:24		127:23	57:16,22
		-	

David Sivella on 01/25/2024 **Index: client..confidential** 67:18 101:14 134:11,22 collecting 77:24,25 155:4 communications completed 85:17 98:6 10:20 38:10 college 99:3 113:25 community completely 103:16 146:15 126:7 comfortable 109:16 108:11 111:20,24 31:7 company concept 128:13 34:15,20 comment concern 132:1 35:12 76:11 78:12 139:4,5 138:15,16 compare concerned 140:9 87:11 commission 59:25 141:16 35:7 62:25 70:1 comparison 142:4 137:20 146:21 commissioner 146:5 33:10 148:23 compelled concerns 34:14 149:19 84:23,25 79:8 85:23 35:11 154:4 concluded compelling 52:6,7,14, **client** 23:17 84:9,13,16 110:7 16,18,22, 103:19 86:12 122:12 23 55:6,20 **close** 40:9 56:20 complaining conclusions 61:8,11 58:18 149:1 147:16 72:15 60:12,14 complaint conclusive 81:10 64:11 68:1 70:18 94:25 95:1 125:2 71:21 95:10,15 condition 72:19 **closed** 64:23 99:24 134:13 73:21 100:22 co-defendant 153:6 commissioners 105:24,25 68:23,24 conduct 30:9 45:12 109:8 Coincidentally 38:14 98:7 72:11,16 110:20 53:2 77:19 104:10 142:5,7 committee 129:25 collapse 147:21 79:14 146:6 134:24 157:13 **common** 10:13 135:1 confidential complaints 136:4 commonly 13:17,18, 95:23 134:2 22 15:12 colleague 123:7 16:7,11,12 56:3 communication complete

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: confi

DAVI	D SIVELLA vs TOWNS David Sivella		ex: confidentialitycorre
confidentialit	consisted	23:24 57:8	cooperation
y 14:17	34:6	112:20	78:13
15:20 16:9	consistently	continued	cop 56:16
confidentially	98:9	52:25	61:3 73:7
14:2	conspiracy	54:4,5	copied 87:8
confirm 26:1	47:17	contours	copies
29:18	Constitution	138:10	28:10,15
40:13	58:6	contract	29:15
conflating		52:5	31:11 38:7
138:23	construction	52.5	47:4 52:12
	30:2,11	contractors	55:4 62:15
confronted	35 : 17	35:15 38:2	69:13
11:5	37:14,15,	53:7 82:14	
confused	17 45:4,20	contributes	87:9,12,
30:4	82:7 113:2	76:17	17,18
	consult		104:22
connections	126:14	contributor	cops 65:9
85:24,25	120.14	37 : 18	153:5,6
conscious	consulting	control 35:7	copy 60:19
135:5	30:23	41:3 66:14	69:18 71:3
consciousness	contact	75 : 25	155:21
134:10	23:17 59:6	112:19	155:21
135:10	104:1		cornered
143:20	105:9,12,	controlled	69:23
143:20	17 106:24	35:8 82:11	coroner
consent	145:23	conversation	46:10,14
29:23 30:8		10:13 44:3	·
143:21	contacted	58:9 78:9	correct 10:9
consented	99:9	89:6,11,17	16:12,18
28:2	contacting		17:13
20•2	55:7	conversations	18:20
consequence		67:7 89:5	31:20,22,
47:13 54:7	contacts	90:4,7	24 43:15
considered	47:9	convoluted	59:17 61:7
34:20	contents	12:17 20:3	74:12
42:16	107:7	cooperated	83:1,11,
47:16	continue	42:17 69:3	13,22 89:5
153:17	19:22	42:1/ 07:3	90:21 93:9
1	19://		

DAVI	D SIVELLA vs TOWNS. David Sivella		ET AL. idex: correctionscriminal
95:5 96:12	157:22	court 7:10,	courtesy
97:8	counsel's	14 10:15	73:11
100:23,25	41:22	13:22	courthouse
103:1	41.22	21:22 22:4	99:19
104:15	county 18:9,	27:13 31:9	100:6
105:22	13,22	32:8,18	100:0
107:16,23	22:19	38:14,21	courtroom
108:23	27:13,15,	55:2 63:20	23:10,11
110:3	17 30:7	64:15	28:19
111:9	31:8 32:9,	67 : 17	101:11
112:2	24 41:1,2,	70:17 71:7	courts 64:13
117:16	22,24 42:2	77:17,18,	91:15
120:21	46:5,10,14	19 81:21,	
122:22,23,	60:16	22 83:20,	cousin
25 125:10	64:14	24 84:1,9,	124:24
127:24	67 : 25	12,15,22,	125:1,5,6
128:2	71:24	25 85 : 2	cousins
130:2	74:18 75:6	86:6,12,	121:7
138:20	77:24	15,24	Covid 127:3
141:21	79:11,14,	87:2,3,4	
145:1,2	17 81:8,	90:13	coward 67:3
149:1	13,19,22	91:17,21,	crash 132:15
154:6	82:19	22 93:9,19	135:4
corrections	100:25	94:3,8,11,	crashed
73:1	109:20	21 95:2	132:10
73.1	120:6	97:3 98:23	
corruption	121:14	99:14	crazy 143:6
48:17	125:8,14	101:2,4,	creating
cost 141:25	couple 22:25	20,22	55:9
	44:1 47:2	102:5,7	credit 14:6
couched	48:11	109:1,20	
19:25	79:24	110:2	crime 70:17,
counsel 7:13	88:18 98:2	120:4,5	20 86:1
15 : 17	100:5	126:4	crimes 22:8
41:1,24	129:23	127:13	24:15 76:9
79:11	133:21	129:13	99:3
107:16	154:4	132:17,22	criminal
148:7		155:20	25:4 45:24
			2J.T TJ.2T

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: criminally..democrat

12,14 72:1 21 101: 17 death 7:3 126: 3 64:25 127: :22 decade :16 :7 decade :14 67:2 s 42:7 deceas 50:1 104: 8 64:8, 74:20 Decemb	121:23 121:23 23,24 de: 55 45:15 23 de: 12 21 22 42:21	fendant 7:18 8:4 43:8 55:6, 11 fendants 7:5,21 8:5 43:11 129:24 137:11 fending 128:7
21 101: :17 death 7:3 126: 3 64:25 127: :22 decade :16 :7 decade :14 67:2 s 42:7 deceas 42:15 deceas 50:1 104: 8 64:8, 74:20 Decemb	121:23 de: 23,24 de: 25	43:8 55:6, 11 fendants 7:5,21 8:5 43:11 129:24 137:11 fending
death 7:3 126: 3 64:25 127: 22 16 16 17 42:15 8 64:8, 74:20 death 126: 127: 42:15 104: 42:15 104:	121:23 23,24 de: 55 45:15 23 de: 12 21 22	fendants 7:5,21 8:5 43:11 129:24 137:11 fending
7:3 126: 3 64:25 127: :22 decade :16 :7 decade :14 67:2 s 42:7 deceas 42:15 8 64:8, 74:20 Decemb	23,24 de: 55 7 45:15 23 de: 121:23 45:15	fendants 7:5,21 8:5 43:11 129:24 137:11 fending
7:3 126: 3 64:25 127: :22 decade :16 :7 decade :14 67:2 s 42:7 deceas 42:15 104: 8 64:8, 74:20 Decemb	23,24 de: 55 7 45:15 23 de: 12 45:21 de:	7:5,21 8:5 43:11 129:24 137:11 fending
3 64:25 127: :22 decade :16 :7 decade :14 67:2 s 42:7 deceas 50:1 42:15 104: 8 64:8, 74:20 Decemb	45:15 45:15 23 de: ed	7:5,21 8:5 43:11 129:24 137:11 fending
:22 :16 :7 :14 contact decade 67:2 s 42:7 deceas 50:1 104: 8 64:8, 74:20 Decemb	45:15 s 23 de: ed	43:11 129:24 137:11 fending
decade :16 :7 :14 s 42:7 deceas 50:1 42:15 8 64:8, 74:20 Decemb	45:15 23 ed 1 12 21 de:	129:24 137:11 fending
:7 decade :14 67:2 s 42:7 deceas 42:15 104: 8 64:8, 74:20 Decemb	es 123 de: led 12 l2 de:	137:11 fending
s 42:7 deceas 50:1 42:15 104: 8 64:8, 74:20 Decemb	de: 12 21 2	_
50:1 42:15 8 64:8, 74:20 Decemb	ed]	
50:1 42:15 8 64:8, 74:20 Decemb	12 21 de :	120:7
8 64:8, 74:20 Decemb	2	
74:20 Decemb		fense
17.1		22:18 23:5
1 77 1	[3 23 : 2	68:15
1 //:10	4	fer 81:24
76.1		fiance
70.1		86 : 24
:1 /8:1 115:	. 6	
47:3	αe:	fine
5 82:10 132:	. 1 /l	138:11
:22,23	81:12	150:9
:5,7 decide	ae	gree 114:4
decide		139:8
:20,21 35:2		1 7:9
ine decide	ae.	lays 110:9
12 81:1	_	livered
14		134:15
14		
ines decisi)	ll'aquilla
ines decisi 22 33:9	De:	48:14,19
ines decisi 22 33:9 92:12 declar	De:	•
ines decisi 22 33:9 92:12 declar 130:	De:	66:3,10,22
ines decisi 22 33:9 92:12 declar 130: ng 25 dedica	De: ed 4 22 (ted 1	•
ines decisi 22 33:9 92:12 declar 130: ng 25 dedica :19 62:4	De: ed 2 : 22 6	66:3,10,22
ines decisi 22 33:9 92:12 declar 130: ng 25 dedica :19 62:4	De: 1 22 1 ted 1 1 de: 1 63:5	66:3,10,22 108:7
ines decisi 22 33:9 92:12 declar 130: ng 25 dedica 62:4	De: red 2 22 ted 3 4 de: 1 63:5 7	66:3,10,22 108:7 manded
	33:9 92:12 declar 130:	130:22

DAVI	David Sivella		ex: democraticDimaggios
32:19	7:2 8:1,6,	76:21	72:15,16
79:12	20 9:25	104:3,4	113:9
democratic	10:11 11:9	126:13	Dimaggio
22:20	13:3 14:22	131:17	19:8 20:1,
27 : 17	15:5	146:2	18 22:6
37:19	16:15,22	determined	23:6 34:23
45:13 46:5	19:3 26:9,	153:13	45 : 11
72:12	14 36:22		60:7,10,
	38:25	devices	12,13,25
democrats	39:8,15,	150:3	61:3,8,12,
41:2	16,19,20	Di 37:21	23 63:20,
Dennis 68:22	42:9,20	diagnosing	22 70:16
77:5,7,16	98:3	133:19	71:6,16
82:5	150:16		72:3,4,7,
deny 40:13	155:14,15,	dialed $71:4$	17,25 74:6
deny 40.15	23	dialogue	75:10,21
department	depositions	60:23	76:5 96:9,
22:7	8:24 33:20	61:13 76:6	11,13,17,
24:13,22,	34:1 65:25	86:21,25	18,19
23 25:1	82:23	89:12	99:2,25
39:2 53:12	112:16	Dicara 113:4	100:20
54:1,12			102:19
59:8,9	depth 67:8	die 135:3	104:1
60:17 63:4	deputy 38:17	dies 126:20	105:18
65:12	designate	difference	106:25
70:19	16:6	133:12,14,	107:10,17
99:20,23	3 1 3	24,25	108:22
106:16	designated 13:18	•	157:16
141:23 147:9		differences	Dimaggio's
	16:10,17	91:15	24:14
148:14 152:19	designating	difficult	62:15 71:3
152:19	16:20	12:12	
Department's	destroyed	Dilascio	Dimaggios
152:17	25:20	33:21	22:16
Deposing	detail 99:5	34:10	63:13
33:21	109:7	35:8,18,25	70:15
deposition		45:10	96:21
dehosition	details 49:4	· - ·	

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: direct..documents

direct 9:20	discovery	93:3,21	73:7
60:8 88:23	38:9	94:8 99:16	distress
101:14	discretion	109:17	56:10
103:21		110:2,11,	30:10
	148:13	13	distressed
directed	discrimination	-	62:5
79 : 25	130:1	disparaging	distribute
directly	discuss	93:16	51:16
59:6 77:15		displayed	31:10
99:20	76:24	74:7	District
101:9	123:17		91:21 94:8
	discussed	disposition	disturbance
director	63:15	94:22	55:9
27:14,15	99:14	dispute	55:9
75: 6		91:14	disturbing
145:24	discussing	142:10	58:19 60:6
disability	104:23		Division
130:7,9,	discussion	disputed	131:7
	9:5,10	91:12	131:7
11,13,17	21 : 19	disputes	divorced
disabled	49:18,23	63:23	41:4
130:22	87 : 23	146:6	docket
disappeared	122:8		119:17
29:9		disrespect	
	disliked	120:19	doctor 143:5
disbarment	53:25	138:21	doctors
68:12 70:3	dismiss	disrespectful	131:6
disbarred	109:22	64:20	
69:4,6,8,			document
10 79:15,	dismissal	distance	19:23
20	23:1 24:2	12:5 53:23	31:11
20	94:10	distinction	33:20 38:4
discharged	98:23	86:23	61:10
15:10	dismissed		79:10
discover	20:21	distinctive	98:22
139:25	21:2,17	64:4	100:10
	90:21	distinctivenes	157:19
discovered		s 64:5	
	91:7,10,22		documents
78 : 7	92:3,23	distinguish	21:23

David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: dog..educated 152:25 26:10 28:11 drinking 29:18,20 39:12 44:20 30:4 31:23 63:17 E drinks 44:20 36:21 64:18,23, drive 48:7, **e-mail** 62:3 24 65:2 37:16 25 132:1 105:1 39:10,17 68:4 71:12 156:17 49:13,16 78:25 driver 62:22 157:7,10 51:19 79:10 driver's 52:11 53:1 80:19 81:1 e-mailed 48:4 87:11,14 54:23 62:11 driving 88:8 92:5, 59:16 e-mails 48:4,5,7 65:23 13 98:2 61:14,16 76:16 74:11 107:2 62:15 131:9 80:6,7 116:8,13, 156:15 132:12,14 87:8 88:12 19 118:9, 135:3 109:6 11,14,15 earlier 26:8 27:10 122:3 **drop** 68:6 dog 44:13 35:22 36:8 147:2 71:17,19 dollars 51:23,24 127:13 dose 136:22 119:20 96:1 97:6, 129:9,14 128:12 doubt 70:1 10 102:18 dropped 140:16 104:12 dome 143:5 25:23 107:21 Doug 112:17 domestic 71:22 132:10 144:11 Douglas 121:18 early 23:1,2 32:18 127:16 door 62:24 35:23 129:6 63:7 dragged 32:9 44:15,17 33:7 34:2 **drove** 48:9 Doria 39:11 71:1 122:18,20 dragging drug 136:21 132:16 123:11 82:9 drunk 47:11 **easily** 118:1 **Doris** 7:20 drags 82:22 **Dudek** 34:7 editorialized 8:3,12,18, drank 44:18 35:14 98:3 22 9:21,23 dressed due 149:21 educate 12:15,18, 138:1 48:12 22 13:8,9, duration 20 16:14, Dressler 117:13 educated 18,21,24 79:19 143:16 duty 64:8

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: education..estate

	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: educationestate
education	emergency	87:25	entire 28:5
30:9	39:6	92:25	43:15
103:10	137:25	110:10	52 : 17
113:18,19,	139:25	113:13	71:19
21	144:25	155:6,13	117:13
efforts 60:4	147:4	ended 61:16	139:23
errorts 00.4	150:19	77:13	entity 33:1
eighteen	153:13	11:13	entity 55:1
81:11	ometicael	ending 98:23	Epic 37:17
Eilert 37:24	emotional	ends 58:7,9	113:3
	28:3 75:19	82:8,21	epilepsy
elected	empathy	-	48:6
32:22	25:14	enforcement	116:24
33:15	emphasis	150 : 24	130:17
48:15 49:7	56:15	engage	133:7
53:1 59:5	30.13	154 : 12	143:5
67:12	employed	•	143.3
112:19	17 : 21	engaged	epileptic
election	18:8,12	104:5,10	130:10,20
34:3,4	20:13	105:17	equipment
35:6,19,22	68:21 77:7	129:24	152:8
36:4 50:23	employee	141:14	
51:3	104:20	154:13	Erik 33:4
52:20,22		engagement	erratically
66:6 71:19	employing	147:25	75:25
82:10	79:20	ongoging.	error 62:1
119:20	employment	engaging 147 : 22	144:8
	18:23	14/:22	144.0
elections	EMTS 153:13	enter 24:22	escapes 34:9
51:22	EMIS 133:13	28:14	Esquire
electrical	encountered	entered	120:22
134:18	153:5	142:10,14,	
135:18	encounters	18 143:22,	Essex 121:14
	153:7	23	125:8,14
electrocuted			established
134:23	end 9:12	entering	33:17 51:2
emergencies	49:20 63:9	45:8	153:18
153:4	81:23	enters 29:17	estate 78:15
	82:17		estate /0.13

121:7	event 16:5	9:20 32:4	expectation
122:10	28:1 54:25	exception	139:10
125:19	56:13,14,	52 : 19	experience
126:16	15 67:13		32:23
127:4,15,	103:23	exceptions	54:15
17,18,19,	132:24	52:18	59:13
23 128:15,		exchanged	154:2
17,18,20,	143:15	129 : 7	134:2
22 129:4,			expert 52:4
12	eventful	exclusively	explain 43:6
	29:1	32:18	85:6,8
estates	events 27:8,	excuse 31:18	99:5 117:1
121:2,3	9 55:2	36:1 42:22	133:1
128:7	59:4 76:19	58:22	135:24
Esther	89:15		133:24
41:24,25	91:13	executive	explained
42:1	100:2	27:15	30:24
74:16,18	117:14,15	74:18	44:14
79:11	143:17	executor	explaining
		121:7	24:2 74:10
estimate	eventually	125:19	133:13
12:6	56:2,4	127:22	133:13
estimation	71:18	128:1,6,8	extensively
12:7	145:22		32:21
	everybody's	exhibit	extraordinari
et al 7:5	152:16	28:14	y 69:23
ethics 67:16		155 : 25	_
68:1,2,8,	everyone's	156:2,5,7,	extremely
13 69:7	27 : 7	9,12,14,	47:14
77:6 95:9,	evolution	17,20,22,	66:12,14
14,22	24:3	25 157:3,	eyes 59:14
123:6		6,9,12,15,	200
	exact 12:6	18,21,24	
evaluations	62:19	158:2,5	F
65 : 16	76:21	•	F.B.I. 47:20
evening 71:1	82:19	existed	48:3,9
115:16	131:17	58:21	49:1 78:13
117:8	exam 114:17	exiting	
145:20		121:1	face 57:19
170.40	examination		135:15

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: face-to-face..Ferriero

face-to-face 91:3 92:19 45:7 28:3 54:2 57:20 99:10,13 fare 127:14 Fernandes' 60:17 120:2 fashion 53:15 60:17 123:21 146:3 Fernandez fact 7:25 124:10 father 35:1, 38:18 19:11 141:12 2 52:7 54:18 34:17 154:23 fault 144:6 Fernandez's 38:20 fairly 72:6 favorable Fernandez's 42:15 fall 67:14 94:22 Ferriero 58:20 falls 132:21 favorable 70:17 62:25 Falls 132:21 82:15 28:1,20,23 91:12 false 20:2,4 31:13 32:19,23, 10:19 63:21 53:15 53:17 139:2 65:3,8 21:22 37:20 38:3 141:13 70:16 76:9 22:3,4,19 39:22 147:22 99:2 23:10,11 41:3 42:17 46xt25 9:25 76:21 42:17 40:10,25		David Sivella	on 01/25/2024 11	idex: face-to-faceFerriero
facilitate 105:15 fashion 53:15 60:17 120:2 fashion 53:15 fact 7:25 124:10 father 35:1, 38:18 19:11 141:12 2 52:7 54:18 53:18,20 30:17 149:2 72:7 54:18 53:18,20 34:17 154:23 fault 144:6 Fernandez's 38:20 fairly 72:6 favorable 72:7 54:18 42:15 fail 67:14 94:22 Ferriero 58:20 142:3 favorable 22:19 62:25 fall 63:1 82:15 28:1,20,23 66:10 69:7 158:6 February 29:9 94:1,16 false 20:2,4 31:13 32:19,23, 100:19 24:14,15 39:19 44:3 25:3:4 100:19 24:14,15 39:19 44:3 35:11 139:2 65:3,8 21:22 37:20 38:3 <t< th=""><th>face-to-face</th><th>91:3 92:19</th><th>45:7</th><th>28:3 54:2</th></t<>	face-to-face	91:3 92:19	45:7	28:3 54:2
fact 17	57:20	•	fare 127:14	Fernandes'
60:17 120:2 rashon fact 7:25 123:21 146:3 Fernandez 30:17 149:2 72:7 54:18 34:17 154:23 fault 144:6 53:17 38:20 fairly 72:6 fault 144:6 Fernandez's 47:13 fall 67:14 94:22 Ferriero 58:20 142:3 favorable 27:17 66:10 69:7 158:6 February 29:19 94:1,16 false 20:2,4 31:13 32:19,23, 101:19 24:14,15 39:19 44:3 25 33:4 102:4,6 63:21 federal 36:11 139:2 65:3,8 21:22 37:20 38:3 141:13 70:16 76:9 22:3,4,19 40:10,25 148:14 109:10 38:14 41:3 42:17 152:4 familiar 40:4,10 43:23 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 44:4,14 45:21 9:25 76:21 42:17 46:4 47:4, 139:1 family 19:17 97:3 99:1 75:8,9 70:15 56:24 <	facilitate	105:15		53 : 15
fact 7:25		120:2		
19:11 141:12 2 52:7 53:18,20 30:17 149:2 72:7 54:18 34:17 154:23 fault 144:6 Fernandez's 38:20 fairly 72:6 favorable 47:13 fall 67:14 94:22 Ferriero 58:20 142:3 favored 22:19 66:10 69:7 158:6 February 29:9 94:1,16 false 20:2,4 31:13 32:19,23, 101:19 24:14,15 39:19 44:3 25 33:4 102:4,6 63:21 federal 36:11 139:2 65:3,8 21:22 33:0,11 139:2 99:2 22:3,4,19 39:22 147:22 99:2 23:10,11 41:3 42:17 152:4 familiar 40:4,10 43:23 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 44:4,14 152:4 familiar 40:4,10 43:23 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 44:4,14 152:4 familiarity 63:19 68:3 45:3,14 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 44:4,14 152:4 familiarity 63:19 68:3 46:4 47:4, 137:10 61:25 80:8 81:21 150:9 facts 41:6 familiarity 63:19 68:3 46:4 47:4, 137:10 61:25 91:22 94:8 77:13,14 factual 28:18 101:2,4, 72:13 97:15 56:24 20,22 74:14,25 factually 57:5,10 102:5,7 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 77:12,21 failed 34:19 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 111:12		123:21	146:3	
19:11 30:17 149:2 34:17 34:17 154:23 38:20 42:15 fairly 72:6 47:13 62:25 66:10 69:7 91:12 94:1,16 101:19 24:14,15 102:4,6 1139:2 141:13 70:16 76:9 141:13 70:16 76:9 141:13 152:4 152:4 154:25 familiar 154:25 153:18,20 53:18,20 54:18 fault 144:6 favorable ferriero 22:19 favored 27:17 28:1,20,23 29:9 94:1,16 63:21 65:3,8 102:4,6 63:21 139:2 141:13 70:16 76:9 22:3,4,19 141:13 70:16 76:9 22:3,4,19 141:14 152:4 154:25 9:25 76:21 148:14 152:4 154:25 9:25 76:21 148:14 152:4 154:25 9:25 76:21 148:14 152:4 154:25 9:25 76:21 148:14 152:4 familiar 40:4,10 43:23 44:4,14 43:23 44:4,14 43:23 44:4,14 43:23 44:4,14 43:23 46:4 47:4, 11 50:9 family 19:17 97:3 99:1 factual 28:18 97:15 56:24 20,22 75:8,9 76:3 108:25 75:8,9 76:3 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 family's felt 25:11		124:10	father 35:1,	
30:17 34:17 34:17 34:17 38:20 42:15 fairly 72:6 fairly 72:6 favorable 47:13 fall 67:14 58:20 62:25 66:10 69:7 91:12 94:1,16 10:19 10:14,6 139:2 141:13 102:4,6 139:2 141:13 102:4,6 139:2 141:13 152:4 familiar 152:4 familiar 152:4 facts 41:6 familiarity 139:1 factual 139:1 factual 139:1 factual 139:1 factual 139:1 factual 139:1 factual 139:2 factually 147:15 60:7 63:2 family's fell 139:2 family's fell 139:2 family's fell 139:2 family's fell 130:2 family's fell 130:2 fact 130:2 family's fell 12:7 fact 13:10 family's fell 13:2 fell 14:6 familiarity 19:17 70:3 70:3 70:3 70:3 70:3 70:3 70:3 70:		141:12		53:18,20
34:17 154:23 fault 144:6 Fernandez's 38:20 fairly 72:6 favorable 53:17 47:13 fall 67:14 94:22 Ferriero 58:20 142:3 22:19 62:25 Falls 132:21 82:15 28:1,20,23 91:12 February 29:9 94:1,16 false 20:2,4 31:13 32:19,23, 101:19 24:14,15 39:19 44:3 25 33:4 102:4,6 63:21 federal 36:11 139:2 65:3,8 21:22 39:22 141:13 70:16 76:9 22:3,4,19 40:10,25 147:22 99:2 23:10,11 41:3 42:17 148:14 109:10 38:14 41:3 42:17 152:4 familiar 40:4,10 43:23 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 44:4,14 137:10 61:25 80:8 81:21 150:9 family 19:17 97:3 99:1 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 75:8,9	30:17	149:2	72 : 7	54:18
38:20 fairly 72:6 favorable 53:17 47:13 fall 67:14 94:22 Ferriero 58:20 142:3 favored 27:17 66:25 Falls 132:21 82:15 28:1,20,23 91:12 February 29:9 94:1,16 false 20:2,4 31:13 32:19,23, 101:19 24:14,15 39:19 44:3 25 33:4 102:4,6 63:21 federal 36:11 139:2 65:3,8 21:22 37:20 38:3 141:13 70:16 76:9 22:3,4,19 40:10,25 148:14 109:10 38:14 41:3 42:17 152:4 familiar 40:4,10 43:23 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 45:3,14 facts 41:6 familiarity 63:19 68:3 46:4 47:4, 137:10 61:25 80:8 81:21 11 50:9 factual 28:18 101:2,4, 72:13 97:15 56:24 20,22 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2	34:17	154:23	144.6	Fernandez's
42:15 fall fy /2:0 favorable 94:22 Ferriero 58:20 142:3 favored 27:17 62:25 Falls 132:21 82:15 28:1,20,23 91:12 158:6 February 29:9 94:1,16 false 20:2,4 31:13 32:19,23, 101:19 24:14,15 39:19 44:3 25 33:4 102:4,6 63:21 federal 36:11 139:2 65:3,8 21:22 37:20 38:3 141:13 70:16 76:9 22:3,4,19 40:10,25 148:14 109:10 38:14 41:3 42:17 152:4 familiar 40:4,10 43:23 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 44:4,14 137:10 61:25 80:8 81:21 11 50:9 family 19:17 97:3 99:1 76:18 factual 28:18 101:2,4, 72:13 97:15 56:24 20,22 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 76:3 failed 34:19 77:17,19	38:20	6 1 1 72.6	fault 144:6	
58:20 142:3 favored 27:17 66:10 69:7 158:6 February 29:9 94:1,16 false 20:2,4 31:13 32:19,23, 101:19 24:14,15 39:19 44:3 25 33:4 102:4,6 63:21 federal 36:11 139:2 65:3,8 21:22 37:20 38:3 141:13 70:16 76:9 22:3,4,19 39:22 147:22 99:2 23:10,11 41:3 42:17 152:4 familiar 40:4,10 43:23 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 44:4,14 152:4 familiarity 63:19 68:3 45:3,14 137:10 61:25 91:22 94:8 139:1 family 19:17 97:3 99:1 67:18 factual 28:18 101:2,4, 72:13 97:15 56:24 20,22 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 75:10 failed 34:19 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 73:20 family's felt 25:11	42:15	fairly /2:0	favorable	
62:25 Falls 132:21 82:15 28:1,20,23 91:12 158:6 February 29:9 94:1,16 false 20:2,4 31:13 32:19,23, 101:19 24:14,15 39:19 44:3 25:33:4 102:4,6 63:21 federal 36:11 139:2 65:3,8 21:22 37:20:38:3 141:13 70:16 76:9 22:3,4,19 39:22 147:22 99:2 23:10,11 40:10,25 148:14 109:10 38:14 41:3 42:17 152:4 familiar 40:4,10 43:23 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 44:4,14 137:10 61:25 80:8 81:21 150:9 139:1 family 19:17 97:3 99:1 76:18 factual 28:18 101:2,4, 72:13 97:15 56:24 20,22 75:8,9 faited 34:19 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon <t< td=""><th>47:13</th><td>fall 67:14</td><td>94:22</td><td></td></t<>	47:13	fall 67:14	94:22	
62:25 Falls 132:21 82:15 27:17 91:12 158:6 February 29:9 94:1,16 false 20:2,4 31:13 32:19,23, 101:19 24:14,15 39:19 44:3 25 33:4 102:4,6 63:21 federal 36:11 139:2 65:3,8 21:22 37:20 38:3 141:13 70:16 76:9 22:3,4,19 39:22 147:22 99:2 23:10,11 40:10,25 148:14 109:10 38:14 41:3 42:17 152:4 familiar 40:4,10 43:23 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 44:4,14 45:3,14 45:3,14 46:4 47:4, 137:10 61:25 80:8 81:21 11 50:9 139:1 family 19:17 97:3 99:1 67:18 factual 28:18 101:2,4, 72:13 97:15 56:24 20,22 74:14,25 factually 57:5,10 102:5,7 76:3 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 76:3 failed 34:19 77:17,19 fee	58:20	142:3	favored	
66:10 69:7 158:6 February 29:9 91:12 94:1,16 false 20:2,4 31:13 32:19,23, 101:19 24:14,15 39:19 44:3 25 33:4 102:4,6 63:21 federal 36:11 139:2 65:3,8 21:22 37:20 38:3 141:13 70:16 76:9 22:3,4,19 40:10,25 148:14 109:10 38:14 41:3 42:17 152:4 familiar 40:4,10 43:23 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 44:4,14 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 45:3,14 facts 41:6 familiarity 63:19 68:3 46:4 47:4, 139:1 family 19:17 97:3 99:1 75:18 factual 28:18 101:2,4, 72:13 97:15 56:24 20,22 74:14,25 factually 57:5,10 102:5,7 76:3 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 76:3 failed 34:19 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 <	62:25	Falls 127.71		
91:12 94:1,16 false 20:2,4 31:13 32:19,23, 101:19 24:14,15 39:19 44:3 25 33:4 102:4,6 63:21 federal 36:11 39:2 70:16 76:9 22:3,4,19 147:22 99:2 23:10,11 41:3 42:17 43:23 148:14 152:4 familiar 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 facts 41:6 137:10 61:25 139:1 family 19:17 factual 97:15 56:24 20:22 factually 17:15 60:7 63:2 failed 34:19 fair 11:23 96:9 family's felt 25:11 felbruary 29:9 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 32:19,23, 40:11 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:3 36:11 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:3 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:4 37:20 38:4 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,25 40:10,20 40:10,25 40:10,20 40:10,20 40:10,20 40:10,20 40:10,20 40:10,20 40:10,20 40:10,20 40:10,20 40:10,20 40:10,20 40:10,20 40:10,2	66:10 69:7		02:13	
101:19			_	
101:19	94:1,16			
139:2 65:3,8 21:22 37:20 38:3 141:13 70:16 76:9 22:3,4,19 40:10,25 148:14 109:10 38:14 41:3 42:17 152:4 familiar 40:4,10 43:23 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 44:4,14 153:10 61:25 80:8 81:21 150:9 139:1 family 19:17 97:3 99:1 67:18 139:1 56:24 20,22 74:14,25 139:15 56:24 20,22 74:14,25 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 76:3 147:15 69:24 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 152:4 73:20 family's felt 25:11	•		39:19 44:3	25 33:4
139:2 65:3,8 70:16 76:9 21:22 39:22 40:10,25 414:13 42:17 43:23 44:4,14 45:3,14 familiar 40:4,10 43:23 46:4 47:4, 137:10 61:25 80:8 81:21 139:1 family 19:17 97:3 99:1 67:18 97:15 56:24 20,22 76:21 73:20 family's felt 25:11 50:29 85:24 73:20 family's felt 25:11	102:4,6	63:21	federal	36:11
141:13 70:16 76:9 22:3,4,19 39:22 147:22 99:2 23:10,11 40:10,25 148:14 109:10 38:14 41:3 42:17 152:4 familiar 40:4,10 43:23 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 44:4,14 facts 41:6 familiarity 63:19 68:3 45:3,14 137:10 61:25 91:22 94:8 11 50:9 139:1 family 19:17 97:3 99:1 67:18 factual 28:18 101:2,4, 72:13 97:15 56:24 20,22 74:14,25 factually 57:5,10 102:5,7 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 76:3 failed 34:19 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 73:20 family's felt 25:11 11:12		65:3,8		37:20 38:3
147:22 99:2 23:10,11 40:10,25 148:14 109:10 38:14 41:3 42:17 152:4 familiar 40:4,10 43:23 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 44:4,14 facts 41:6 familiarity 63:19 68:3 45:3,14 137:10 61:25 80:8 81:21 11 50:9 139:1 family 19:17 97:3 99:1 67:18 factual 28:18 101:2,4, 72:13 97:15 56:24 20,22 74:14,25 factually 57:5,10 102:5,7 76:3 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 76:3 failed 34:19 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 73:20 family's felt 25:11 111:12		70:16 76:9		39:22
148:14 109:10 38:14 41:3 42:17 152:4 familiar 40:4,10 44:4,14 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 44:4,14 facts 41:6 familiarity 63:19 68:3 45:3,14 137:10 61:25 80:8 81:21 150:9 139:1 family 19:17 97:3 99:1 67:18 factual 28:18 101:2,4, 72:13 97:15 56:24 20,22 74:14,25 factually 57:5,10 102:5,7 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 76:3 failed 34:19 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 73:20 family's felt 25:11 111:12		99:2		40:10,25
152:4 familiar 40:4,10 43:23 154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 44:4,14 facts 41:6 familiarity 63:19 68:3 45:3,14 137:10 61:25 91:22 94:8 11 50:9 139:1 family 19:17 97:3 99:1 72:13 97:15 56:24 20,22 74:14,25 factually 57:5,10 102:5,7 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 76:3 failed 34:19 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 73:20 family's felt 25:11		109:10	·	41:3 42:17
154:25 9:25 76:21 42:17 44:4,14 facts 41:6 familiarity 63:19 68:3 137:10 61:25 91:22 94:8 139:1 family 19:17 97:3 99:1 factual 28:18 101:2,4, 72:13 97:15 56:24 20,22 74:14,25 factually 57:5,10 102:5,7 76:3 147:15 69:24 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 73:20 family's felt 25:11	152:4	familiar		43:23
facts 41:6 familiarity 63:19 68:3 45:3,14 137:10 61:25 80:8 81:21 11 50:9 139:1 family 19:17 97:3 99:1 67:18 factual 28:18 101:2,4, 72:13 97:15 56:24 20,22 74:14,25 factually 57:5,10 102:5,7 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 76:3 failed 34:19 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 73:20 family's felt 25:11 111:12			- • · - / - •	44:4,14
137:10 61:25 80:8 81:21 46:4 47:4, 11 50:9 91:22 94:8 67:18 72:13 97:15 56:24 20,22 74:14,25 75:5,10 102:5,7 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 76:3 77:12,21 faired 34:19 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 73:20 family's felt 25:11 11:12			-	45:3,14
139:1 family 19:17 91:22 94:8 67:18 factual 28:18 101:2,4, 74:14,25 factually 57:5,10 102:5,7 147:15 69:24 77:12,21 failed 34:19 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 73:20 family's felt 25:11		_		46:4 47:4,
family 19:17 97:3 99:1 67:18 factual 28:18 101:2,4, 72:13 97:15 56:24 20,22 74:14,25 factually 57:5,10 102:5,7 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 76:3 69:24 77:12,21 failed 34:19 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 73:20 family's felt 25:11		61:25		11 50:9
factual 28:18 101:2,4, 72:13 97:15 56:24 20,22 74:14,25 factually 57:5,10 102:5,7 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 76:3 failed 34:19 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 73:20 family's felt 25:11 111:12	139:1	family 19:17		67 : 18
97:15 56:24 20,22 74:14,25 factually 57:5,10 102:5,7 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 76:3 69:24 77:12,21 failed 34:19 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 73:20 family's felt 25:11	factual	-	97:3 99:1	72:13
factually 57:5,10 102:5,7 75:8,9 147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 76:3 failed 34:19 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 73:20 family's felt 25:11 111:12	97:15	56:24	• •	74:14,25
147:15 60:7 63:2 108:25 76:3 77:12,21 failed 34:19 77:17,19 fees 120:1 78:14 fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 111:12 fair 12:12	factually		•	75 : 8,9
failed 34:19 fair 11:23 13:8 26:7 73:20 family's 69:24 77:12,21 78:14 78:14 80:20 82:2 111:12 111:12	_			76:3
fair 11:23 96:9 felon 22:19 80:20 82:2 13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 73:20 family's felt 25:11		69:24		77:12,21
13:8 26:7 106:21 69:5 85:24 73:20 family's felt 25:11	failed 34:19	77:17,19	fees 120:1	78:14
73:20 family's felt 25:11	fair 11:23	96:9	felon 22:19	80:20 82:2
family's felt 25:11	13:8 26:7	106:21	69:5	85:24
85:5,10 reit 25:11 113:1	73:20	family's	fol+ 25.11	111:12
	85:5,10	ramity 2	161f 73:11	113:1

David Sivella on 01/25/2024 **Index: Ferriero's..frequently** Ferriero's 108:23 147:17 147:8 23:5 37:18 109:7 fine 68:18 forceps 46:5 48:21 110:18 87:13 134:15 68:23 69:1 111:5,13 111:1 forget 39:6 74:20 120:4,5,9 131:18 138:15 82:11,16 121:13,22 146:16 112:15 123:10 Forgive finish 10:18 125:7,11, 120:18 fight 70:21 93:12 19 126:3, form 15:2 figure 9:8 13 127:2, fire 112:3 147:10 31:4 3,5 128:14 firm 102:14 forray 51:21 file 24:13 130:19 103:9 145:13 45:4,17,23 82:22 forths 113:5 149:11,14 65:2 81:20 124:10 forty-five 82:6 94:21 files 26:21 24:8 firms 124:2 95:2 98:17 29:15 forum 51:18 99:24 fit 75:14 31:15 100:15,19 fought 83:23 70:17 flashing 101:22 150:17 foul 30:25 filing 20:2, 123:6 3 25:3 **fliers** 63:25 found 12:12 126:24 33:11 76:9 151:17 130:16 flip 75:1,2 100:22 frame 116:15 filed 20:17 focused fill 100:10 30:15 31:9 151:6 freaked 63:8 51:10 finally 36:6 follow 74:1 Fred 42:7 81:21,25 66:10 96:22 free 72:22 82:18 finance 118:25 90:17 freedom 58:3 30:10 119:3 91:22 Freeholder financial follow-up 95:9,14, 32:19,20 80:9 88:18 19,22 96:10 freely 38:7 financing footage 97:7,12,16 80:4,8 24:21 freezes 98:22 55:18 127:8 find 19:17 100:23 141:2 60:5 62:19 frenzy 47:10 101:4,20 147:9 123:19 102:5 frequently 142:5 footages 105:24

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: friend

2.2.	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: friendgraduated
40:4,10	funeral	gentleman	125:22
60:2	127:3,5	22:17 34:8	128:16
friend 81:10	furious	68:22	132:8,17
112:15	66:15	gentlemen	140:15
	00110	14:2,7	142:25
friendly	G	•	giving 14:11
28:5 38:3		144:6	74:4,5
41:15	G-U-I-L 61:6		76 : 22
friends 61:9	G-U-I-L-R-L-A-	<pre>genuinely 67:3</pre>	143:21
139:7	N-D 61:6		
Friscia		146:11	Global 7:12
28:22,23	Galloway	German 125:4	-
29:2,17	113:7	germane	126:5
32:12	game 45:1	68:20	good 7:1
35:20	games 65:10	Ciangagusa	9:22,23
36:5,9		Giangeruso 34:13,16,	33:14
69:1 77:20	Garcia 62:23	19 35:11	46:13
78:2,7	105:1	36:1 43:13	50:16,19
82:21	Garcia's	45:11	66:13 74:3
85:23	105:3	56:18	77:16 79:2
112:14	Garciano	58:17,18	88:5,9
front 10:5	104:14	72:17	102:2
66:17	10.4	90:17	133:13
68:25	gave 10:4 60:22 75:9		goodness
133:20	93:12	93:18	71:15
134:10	122:11		
		Gina 60:10,	•
full 136:19	gay 130:5	24 61:3,	91:16
152:6	138:18	12,22	government
full-time	general 31:6	102:19	14:10
18:4 19:1	49:4 68:9	107:10,17	51:17 62:2
fun 92:20	80:14	girlfriend	112 : 18
	137:9	66:6 77:22	130:19
functionality 136:19	generally	82:3	graduate
	133:4	give 11:3	114:6
fund 68:14		12:9 26:20	graduated
funds 19:10	generous	55:25 64:9	113:25
	140:14		113.23

	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: grandheard
114:13	guests 27:23	hand 16:3	133:22
grand 133:8,	quidance	17:14	138:25
17 134:13,	_	61:22	happy 13:18
14	Guirland	handicapping	74:2
gratuitously	61:5,8,11	45:1	99:15,17,
50:24	guise 44:24	handily	18 119:1
great	_	50:23	123:22
113:22,23	gun 58:15	handing	harass 20:1
134:1	146:22 150:22	63:25	70:22
green 151:25		handle 49:10	harassment
152:2,3	guy 50:19	80:12	59:8
-	59 : 21		70:18,21,
Greg 32:11	75 : 19	handled 18:5 32:25 61:3	25 /0.10
Griffin	guys 75:21	80:12 94:2	100:22
31:13 52:2	152 : 7		109:9
56:9,10		handling	harder
60:4 62:14 67:14	Н	79:1 91:25	149:22
103:9,18,	Hackensack	hands 72:1	harm 65:4
21 105:2	44:9	happen 135:7	Hasbrouck
	Haggerty	happened	74:14,21
grotesque 146:2	7:21 35:24	52:20	hate 22:8
	55:6,7,19,	70:23	24:15
ground 10:1	20 65:11	90:11	63:25
group 87:15	72:17,18,	100:1	70:17 76:9
88:25	19 89:21,	110:6	99:3
guaranteed	23	112:22	he'll 79:2
70:2	Haggerty's	131:3,13	
guardrail	34:24	132:23 135:5,10	head 61:19 72:23
132:11	35:24 73:8	138:24	
135:4	Haggertys	141:7,10	health 65:16
guess 12:1	63:13	happening	hear 66:8,9
62:1 91:18	half 120:11	49:7 54:3	75 : 13
119:15	hall 38:25	61:14	83:17
124:9,21	39:5	66:24	heard 46:25
	37.3		47:2 91:17

David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: hearing..idea 79:4 116:3 51:24 150:1,2 150:15 61:17 65:9 honestly houses 111:11 hearing 33:13 housing 139:8,10 23:9,15 86:14 18:9,13,22 30:21 **highly** 33:19 126:16 20:14 54:13 heart 71:15 27:14,15 honored 14:3 58:19 60:6 73:22 hope 57:16, heavily hint 136:11 136:22 21 137:5 Hudson 42:2 hire 69:5 huge 76:7 horror 78:6 Heights 75:10 74:14,21 hospital hundred hired 30:5 held 9:5,10 136:21,25 69:25 21:19 hiring 58:13 137:2 hung 47:8 27:13 85:19,21 hour 24:8 hurts 135:21 30:20 34:4 49:2 88:14 historically 35:23 husband 17:9 67:22 hours 100:5 38:25 28:20 140:4 history 39:9,19 62:21 25:18 49:18,23 115:7,17 house 53:18, 31:14 72:23 19 62:23 137:21 58:16 60:7 73:14 66:8 82:3 144:1 63:3 68:21 87:23 88:24 151:14,16 72:2 104:13 **helped** 50:20 husband's holding 105:14 60:17 144:15 24:24 117:23 helping **Huseby** 7:11 132:6,13 hole 60:23 51:20 137:22 Holly 78:10 139:21 I hesitating 88:22 home 66:1 140:6,13 IA 14:3,19 141:18 69:25 hev 46:14 15:11 142:18,21, 106:3 63:24 145:24 23 143:9, 114:25 77:10 Ian 7:20 115:6,15 15,22 135:16 71:12 72:6 144:7,19, 118:19 high 29:25 79:10 21 148:1 142:10,15, 30:3 34:20 153:16 20 146:6 idea 106:4 37:10 149:7 117:4 housekeeping

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: ideas..inform

	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: ideasinform
118:5,6	12:25	incident	66:25
136:9	14:16 33:6	17:12,14	incredulous
145:19	48:16	102:19	29:8
ideas 143:6	72:20	103:5,25	
	75:24 79:5	114:20	incumbent
identification	152:10,14,	115:5,12	34:5,9,13,
156:1,4,6,	21,24	incidental	23 35:14
8,11,13,	impression	77:3	45:11
16,19,21,	106:12		incumbents
24 157:2,	107:9	incidents	34:7
5,8,11,14,	117:19,21,	115:19	indicating
17,20,23	23	116:12	61:25
158:1,4,7		144:18	
identify	imprisonment	include 47:7	indicted
83:10	69:1	included	28:2
illegal	improper	16:15 19:7	indictment
55:14	30:13		74:22
69:16	137:11	including	individual
:111 - m; t ; m - t - 1 - 1	142:9	34:16 78:24	104:5
illegitimately 148:20	147:22,25	82 : 15	105:23
140:20	154:24	112:17	106:2
illustrate	improperly	147:6	107:13
135:13	142:7		
imitating	149:6,16	income 52:21	individual's 104:13
64:19	154 : 19	53:4	104:13
immediately	impropriety	inconsistent	individuals
66:12 85:1	31:6 69:9	27 : 8	22:9 65:4
99:23		incorrect	80:18 99:8
121:23	inappropriate	154:14	108:15
	23:16		124:15
impacts 14:6	102:20	incorrectly	influence
imperative	104:1,5,10	141:6,17	80:21
148:15	105:17	increase	121:17
implying	106:24	52:21	influential
77:14	107:7,11,	79:25	72:6
	13	increasing	
important	inches	47:10	inform 140:5
10:4,24	52:11,12	• - •	142:15

David Sivella on 01/25/2024 **Index: information..involving** 51:20 62:5 144:8 81:20,21 19:4 150:13 instability interested interviews information 74:7 50:14 14:2 15:11 12:4 14:11 instantly interesting introduce 51:16 136:20 7:13 40:18 59:24 60:1 47:25 instruction investigated 67:11 79:19 11:3,15, 61:12 69:15 70:8 18,19 80:13 interests 76:23 12:11,19 51:17 67:8 83:12 investigating 84:23 42:18 insubordinate internal 86:13,18 76:1 53:24 investigation 102:24 54:16 42:6 61:4 insurance 103:3 34:15,20 72:14 80:3 internally 104:9 35:12 59:8 investigations 105:4 14:20 integrity internet 106:4 79:4,5 69:18 108:9 invited 141:3 155:4 27:23 interpret 98:7 intentionally informed involved 78:20 107:8 interpretation 20:18 150:2 intentions 42:12 98:8 25:16 151:1 51:12 111:3 33:2,3 36:11 43:4 152:25 137:18 informing 67:9,10 154:14 interrogatorie interacting 77:14 s 82:23 116:20 Initially 81:17,22 83:1 86:19 interaction 91:25 112:16 58:11 injure 119:6 136:1 88:23 90:3 150:5,6 125:16 interrupt 101:8 129:17 injured 36:16 interactions 134:24 involvement 40:16 55:18,23 140:18 48:21 interview 61:4 inquire involving 47:20 49:2 interest 54:19 60:24 147:1 19:18 63:12,20 insisted interviewed 37:4,15

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: issue...July

	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: issueJuly
78:8	155:17	75:13,23	15:24,25
102:19	Jarvis 35:1,	iohs 75•21	16:1 22:3
issue 16:2,5		139:12	23:12
33:18	52:7,8,9,		28:21,23
60:6,8	16,23	Joe 29:4,6	29:2,17
61:22	•	77:11	32:12,14,
62:16	Jarvis' 35:1	·	17 35:20
142:1	Jennifer	113:1	36:5,9
146:17,18	22:17	145:15	64:23 69:1
147:2	jeopardy	Joel 22:14	77:17,18,
154:20	54:20	25:19 94:2	20,22,23
		95:10,12	78:1,2,3,
issued 83:20 84:9	jerk 143:7	145:22	5,7,12,22
	Jersey 44:9	john 30:6	82:1,4,17,
issues 30:13	60:11	34:7 52:6,	20 85:23
38:11 48:6	67:16	14	93:3
53:9	68:2,13,18	toined Q.O	101:3,8,9,
54:11,16	69:7 80:14	joined 8:9	12 102:2
58:19 59:7		joint 29:23	109:17
60:9 68:10	131:5	30:8,10	110:2,20,
78:15,16	132:11	joke 133:15	22 112:14
79:19 85:3	141:22	Joseph 22:18	judge's
	JIF 39:13	27:17	101:21
J	Jillian 7:16		judges 79:2,
J-A-M-I-E	85:21	32:19	17,22
125:2	120:22	36:11	81:12,13,
	Tim 1/6.12	37:18,20	18
J-A-R-V-I-S	Jim 146:13, 14	39 : 22	judgment
52:7		40:24	65:15
J.D. 113:24	Jimmy 81:9	43:23	
114:15	96:5	74:14	judicial 78:16
James 39:15	Joan 80:6	75:8,9	
Jamie 125:1	job 18:3	76:3	79:3,4,13 157:25
	19:1 40:24	Joyce 23:6	
January 7:7	41:22	96:17	July 47:6
27:12	60:17	111daa 10.5	51:11
34:24 59:5	73:13 74:7	judge 10:5	54:9,21

	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: Junelegal
June 32:9	98:21	38:14	122:21
36:14 37:6	116:23	43:1,3,9	125:15
47:21	117:17	45:4,17	128:7
junior 29:25		53:19 82:6	129:16
30:3 37:10	L	90:13,16	lawyer 77:10
51:24		95:19	90:25
111:11	label 98:8	96:10,25	91:1,11,25
	lack 112:4	100:19,24	92:7,9
jury 10:5	116:23	101:2	97:23
	11	108:23	98:10
K	lacking 65:15	109:4	101:9
Vanan 25.24	03:13	110:12,21	149:13
Karen 35:24 55:6	ladder 135:2	111:5,8,10	
33:0	laid 56:23	112:6,7,9	lawyer's
key 133:25	57:9	113:13	149:12
kid 56:24		119:12,24	lawyers
57:10	lanes 106:17	120:8,14,	125:10
134:15	Laterio	21 121:13,	lead 27:9
	38:16	16,19,21	
kids 28:21	43:13	122:15	leaned 39:21
57:18 65:9	latitude	123:10,25	learn 86:11
kind 65:6	139:12	124:4	leave 56:4
134:9	1 60.6 11	125:18	80:23,24
King 22:10	law 69:6,11	127:10,15	87:8 150:4
115:10	77:8,9,15 82:5 90:21	129:24	153:14
118:17		141:13	
knew 48:11	91:4,5,9, 16 102:14	145:13	leaving
63:4 89:2	10 102:14	148:5	100:6
105:3	110:21	149:5,11,	left 18:21
107:8	114:9,11,	16 154:6,	20:13,15
134:8	114:9,11,	9,24	23:9 29:10
154:0	131:4,14	lawsuits	46:25 50:7
	147:11,13	21:5,13	59:2
knocked	150:24	34:16	108:10
62:24		111:13	128:12
knowledge	lawsuit	119:7	legal 7:10
51:7	20:17,21	120:24	33:25 78:9
84:18,19	22:3,15	121:1,4	22.22 ,000

	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: legallylunc
91:2	139:10	list 33:5	longer 52:23
107:16	Lexington	43:15	78:6
110:1,3	17:4	157:21	131:16
113:15		Listen 56:23	132:14
120:1,8	liability		looked 14:3
122:10	91:14	listened	29:5 40:23
147:16	150:6	45:22	45:5,22
legally 58:4	liberty	literally	143:4
65:2	135:24	37:22	146:19
legislative	library 39:4	134:19,21	loosely 28:7
37:25	license	136:10	_
	25:10 48:4	literature	lording
legitimacy	81:24	50:18	75:21
30:7	132:8	156:10	lose 131:9
legitimately	licensed	litigation	lost 35:4
73:13	111:4	7:12 18:1,	36:8
75 : 23		25	lot 53:24
length	lieu 110:18	live 45:7	77:11
112:10	Lieutenant	139:11	80:16
Leo 74:24	52:9		92:20
75:13,17	55:10,21	lives	106:14
·	56:12	118:17,18	139:7,12
Lestrange	57:3,13	living 115:6	-
139:16	66:19	lo 82:20	Lou 46:2
145:9	life 17:24,		loud 57:16,
146 : 17	25 19:15	lobby 24:22	21
154:5	113:20	lobe 134:16	Louis 67:24
1etter 31:13 52:2 119:4	light 152:2,	local 106:20	love 153:6
156:3,8,	3	113:6	loved 150:19
21,23	lightly 86:7	143:6	
157:1,4	lights	logged 147:8	loyal 42:10,
letting 29:4	150:17	long 63:2	
level 48:16	151:25	82:9 88:14	loyalist
67:9 69:24		131:12	32:24 33:4
70:11	Lisa 28:21 32:12 78:7	140:22	lunch 44:9
/ U • T T	34:14 / X:/	141:1	

	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: luncheonMarch
luncheon	103:10	141:24	103:9
88:3	106:15	150:16	120:1
Lyndhurst	111:6,14	155:4	mal 133:8,
7:5,21	112:20,25	mailed 50:22	9,17,18,
18:2,11	114:24		21,23,25
19:1 20:15	115:3	main 154:23	134:4,13,
22:7,16	119:21	major 68:10	14
24:13,21	122:21	127:15,17	
25:1 29:23	141:22	majority	male 55:8
30:9,10	142:24	35:6,10	138:4,6,13
32:13	152:17,18	53:6,7	malice
33:18	153:4	•	154:22
34:21		make 7:23	mam //0.1 0
35:7,23	M	13:21	man 48:1,8, 13 57:25
36:5,6		14:17	61:24 63:7
37:7,11	M-I-Z-D-O-L	15:3,4	
39:1,9,14	77:9	23:13	65:7 66:3,
43:3,14	M-O-N-T-I-L-L-	29:19	16 76:8
45:5,14	o 52:6	36:12 37:2	130:5
51:5,15,18		58:12,23	144:2
	mace 58:15	64:16	146:16
53:10,25 54:12	142:23,25	71:24 73:7	man's 62:22
	made 25:22	87:12	manage
55:7,19,22	28:10	92:16	112:15
56:6,17,19	29:15	101:5,6	
57:14	31:11	103:16	management
60:11,15	54:16	129:24	37:17
61:16,17	58:10	140:18	113:2,3
63:2,3,12	70:16 71:1	141:16	manager
65:12	73:1 76:5	152:11,22	35:17
67:20	77:17,23	makes 64:18	37:14,15,
70:19 71:6	78:23 98:6	141:6	17 113:10
72:9 73:16	101:12	148:15	manna
78:2	102:25		manner 141:20
81:10,17	103:4,18	making 29:11	141:20
82:7,10	104:1	30:10	Mara 22:17
90:17	110:2	63:14,20,	March 33:12
96:4,20	140:12	23 64:2	115:1
99:20,22		68:6 77:11	_ _ _

David Sivella on 01/25/2024 **Index: Maria..mentioning** Maria 126:2 30:20 Matthew meetings 124:22 41:15 74:15,18, Marie 126:1, 127:4 127:25 23 2 128:13, 136:14 25 Matty 34:7 member 63:2 152:1,5 67:12 Marie's mayor 34:13 media 7:2 126:25 35:9 37:24 memo 64:21 9:13,17 43:14 46:3 mark 87:14 memory 38:4 15:13 58:17 109:6 49:21 50:4 marked 13:22 72:15 136:17 88:1,6 156:1,3,6, 90:17 155:7,11, 8,10,13, men 22:9 91:11,14 15,18,21, 13 24:12 93:18 23 157:1, 81:12 mediator Mazure's 147:6,7 4,7,10,13, 124:13 150:16 16,19,22, 154:16 medical 25 158:3,6 Mcconnell 23:14, men's 137:24 133:19,20 marks 54:8 15 139:25 134:1,3,5 144:19 married Menendez Mcguire 150:19 17:15,19 42:5 74:24 153:3,13 27:10 Menendez's 75:2,3,13, medically 42:3 massive 17 117:5 52:21 mental 58:19 meaning 76:17 medicated 65:16 31:17 137:3 matter 7:3 43:25 mention 13:17 33:7 48:21 61:9 medication 72:14 60:24 72:22 132:8 78:13 71:13 75:22 meet 44:8 mentioned 90:21 91:9 91:13 74:21 55:22 145:24 121:2 105:16 78:12 96:1 152:25 146:4 104:12 meeting 37:7 147:6 matters 116:11 39:6 32:25 means 73:7 120:25 44:11,22, 68:18,23 110:19 25 45:16 mentioning 78:10 122:4 46:4 64:23 78:19 79:9 103:17 meant 11:11 74:13 89:9 121:2

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: merit..multiple

ddle 30:1 51:22 57:20 111:11 ke 90:6 ldred 121:8,10 ldred's 128:25 llie 129:3 llion 128:12 llions 128:10	minute 57:7 91:7 134:21 minutes 24:8 136:6 misdialed 70:24 misspoke 99:11 mistake 25:22 30:20 140:13 141:25	101:6 month 51:11
57:20 111:11 ke 90:6 Idred 121:8,10 Idred's 128:25 Ilie 129:3 Ilion 128:12	134:21 minutes 24:8 136:6 misdialed 70:24 misspoke 99:11 mistake 25:22 30:20 140:13	month 51:11 56:5 65:18 112:18 months 35:22 43:22 44:1 129:3 131:14,15 132:10 142:19 143:14 Montillo
111:11 ke 90:6 Idred 121:8,10 Idred's 128:25 Ilie 129:3 Ilion 128:12 Ilions	minutes 24:8 136:6 misdialed 70:24 misspoke 99:11 mistake 25:22 30:20 140:13	56:5 65:18 112:18 months 35:22 43:22 44:1 129:3 131:14,15 132:10 142:19 143:14 Montillo
ke 90:6 ldred 121:8,10 ldred's 128:25 llie 129:3 llion 128:12	136:6 misdialed 70:24 misspoke 99:11 mistake 25:22 30:20 140:13	56:5 65:18 112:18 months 35:22 43:22 44:1 129:3 131:14,15 132:10 142:19 143:14 Montillo
ldred 121:8,10 ldred's 128:25 llie 129:3 llion 128:12	136:6 misdialed 70:24 misspoke 99:11 mistake 25:22 30:20 140:13	112:18 months 35:22 43:22 44:1 129:3 131:14,15 132:10 142:19 143:14 Montillo
l21:8,10 ldred's l28:25 llie 129:3 llion l28:12	70:24 misspoke 99:11 mistake 25:22 30:20 140:13	43:22 44:1 129:3 131:14,15 132:10 142:19 143:14 Montillo
l21:8,10 ldred's l28:25 llie 129:3 llion l28:12	70:24 misspoke 99:11 mistake 25:22 30:20 140:13	43:22 44:1 129:3 131:14,15 132:10 142:19 143:14 Montillo
ldred's 128:25 1lie 129:3 1lion 128:12	misspoke 99:11 mistake 25:22 30:20 140:13	129:3 131:14,15 132:10 142:19 143:14 Montillo
128:25 llie 129:3 llion 128:12 llions	99:11 mistake 25:22 30:20 140:13	131:14,15 132:10 142:19 143:14 Montillo
llie 129:3 llion 128:12 llions	mistake 25:22 30:20 140:13	132:10 142:19 143:14 Montillo
llion 128:12 llions	25:22 30:20 140:13	142:19 143:14 Montillo
llion 128:12 llions	25:22 30:20 140:13	143:14 Montillo
128:12 11ions	140:13	Montillo
llions		
	141:25	34:7 35:4,
128:10		
	miataliaa	10 36:2
mic 64:2		52:6,14,17
		morning 7:1
	133:4	8:10 9:22,
04:3,5	Mitch	23 74:15
nd 20:3	·	morphed
•	134:1,2,5	29:25
	Mizdol 77:9,	
	14,23 78:3	mortician
	82:5,16	46:12,13
	157:19	mother 34:25
136:11		35:24 55:6
ndful		146:13
10:14	-	motion
ndsot		110:18,20
	134:6,11	
	money 19:24	Motor 131:7
	30:19	move 8:7
51:4 91:20	50:20	moving 110:9
nimum	68:16	_
131:14	77:11	Mukti 7:18
nor 64:16	129:7	multiple
	mic 64:2 micking 64:3,5 nd 20:3 26:16,17 33:8 60:6 61:21 122:5 123:17 136:11 ndful 10:14 ndset 69:22 ne 43:24 61:4 91:20 nimum 131:14 nor 64:16	mic 64:2 micking 54:3,5 Mitch 133:19,20 134:1,2,5 33:8 60:6 51:21 14,23 78:3 82:5,16 123:17 136:11 moment 74:17 111:18 moments 134:6,11 moments 134:7:11 129:7

DAVI	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: murderobjection
46:1	19	138:8	34:16
murder	necessarily	nitpick	49:21 50:5
144:12	42:16	147:3	68:15
	128:5		88:1,6
muscle	130:8	non-elected	119:17
135:19,21		52:24	155:7,12,
Musto 34:8	needed 15:11 74:19	nonstop	13
Myko 22:11	106:10	82:24	numerous
99:7	100:10	normal 73:11	66:18
	negotiated	106:17	142:23
	72 : 12	142:13	nurse 144:2
	127:10	Manth 110.2	
named 22:10,	neighbor	North 118:3,	nut 139:13
17 30:5	30:6 143:4	10	
31:12 34:8	n on h ove	note 29:11	0
35:1,3	nephew 121:12	64:1,16	
38:18	121:12	88:11	O'CONNOR
43:2,10,	nervous	noted 8:11	39:15
16,18	134:12,22		146:14
48:13	news 134:6	notes 39:17,	O'Connor's
50:11 61:5	nice 39:25	10	146:13,14
66:6 68:22	nice 39:23	notifies	O-S-G-Y-S
77:8 81:8	Nicholas	67 : 14	125:3
96:20	39:11	notify	
113:7	122:18,20	139:21	O-U-R-Y
125:1	Nick 123:11	140:23	68:22
127:1	nickname	notifying	Oakland
names 96:15	115:10	141:18	75 : 18
121:4,6			oath 10:3
122:17	night 62:20		41:8,17
124:20	115:12	70:14	•
125:23	132:5	71:20	object
Nasta 78:22	135:12,14	76:14	11:14,17 59:11 65:2
	136:24	number 7:2,5	116:8
nature 12:5	146:9,19	9:13,18	150:4
14:4 16:16	152:10,22	13:14	
25:16	nipples	14:6,11,21	objection
119:13,14,			13:7,16

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. Index: objectionable..opinion David Sivella on 01/25/2024 90:23 18:4 141:13 office 8:25 92:14,17 37:25 142:6 occur 76:20 41:23 51:7 93:6 94:24 143:22 91:13 1/6.2 8 9

95:7 97:13	97:16	53:4 68:2	146:2,8,9
98:24		69:6,8	
116:6	131:1	73:4 78:16	·
118:8	153:11	79 : 21	149:6
122:1	occurred		151 : 15
126:24	20:25 21:1	officer	152:6
144:24	23:1 24:16	34:24	153:8,9,15
147:24	33:6 36:14	38:18	154:15
148:6	54:25 71:2	53:14,17,	
149:17	76 : 16	18,20	
150:8,9,10	77 : 13	56 : 17	52:24
130.0,9,10	85:22	58:14	64:10
objectionable	115:5,13,	60:16 61:5	officials
118:9	19 132:24	64:8,13	112:19
objects 16:8		70:16,19,	
	occurring	20 72:1,5,	older 26:23
obligation	22:9 55:2	18 73:1,2,	omitting
142:15	71:20	8,10 74:6	78:20
obnoxious	occurs 67:13	75:17 80:9	one-on-one
133:4	October 68:3	139:15,16	89:16
observation		140:2	
67:2	odd 118:11,	141:23	ongoing
07.2	12	142:17,18,	123:4
observe	oddly 40:23	21 143:8,	Open 62:13,
134:5	_	15 146:11,	20,22
observed	offended	17 153:12	105:14
48:17	54:2	154:5,8	
	offense	officer's	opened 51:14
observing	155:5	150:20	openly 130:5
67:1	offer 40:1,	130:20	opens 38:9
occasion	3,7,24	officers	-
50:15	•	34:17,18	operation
occasions	offered 8:25	55:10	69:24
98:3	106:7	58:18 73:3	opinion 53:9
90:3	107:15	138:5,7	59:12 72:2
occupation		140:11,12	80:5,16

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: opposed..party

	David Sivena	a on 01/25/2024	index: opposedparty
109:18,24	originally		Parkway
147:25	44:23	P	132:11
148:4,25	originals	P-I-K-U-S	parole 69:4
opposed	87 : 12	124:7	part 33:20
35:16	Osgys 121:6	P-O-L-E-S-E-T-	49:13
51:22	125:3	S-K-Y 124:23	51:25
opposition	0		58:21 79:8
34:12 70:7	Oury 68:22 69:2 77:5,	p.m. 88:1,7	102:8,10,
OPRA 52:3	7,8,16	155:7,12, 18,24	11,14
60:4 61:16	•	10,24	128:14
62:13,14	Oury's 82:5	pacing 35:21	129:9
103:10,17,	outdoor	package	136:13,16
24 105:2	44:12	142:22	139:17
26.12	outlines	144:21	142:6,9
order 26:13,	31:14	packed 152:8	147:21
17 32:3,5 36:6 58:23		_	148:4,21
72:8 75:10	outlining	pages 68:10	149:1,5,15
83:21	82:19	<pre>paid 122:10</pre>	152:15,18
84:2,9,13,	outrageous	pains 149:19	154:23
16,21 85:2	120:11	_	participate
86:12,15,	outstanding	Paladino	47:16
25 87:2,3,	146:16	32:11	participated
5 99:24	153:9,10	pants 138:2,	96:20
	overlap	3	
ordered	128:5	paperwork	parties 8:23
15:24	120:5	127:4	16:11
83:24 84:1	overlapping		43:17
orders 86:6	79:8	Pardon 18:16	109:19 155:16
ordinances	overseeing	parents	122:10
30:19	78:8	66:8,20	partner
aui aut at i a	101:23	125:1	77:8,9,15
orientation 130:1,4	owe 120:1	park 22:8	82:5
130:1,4		48:10	party 16:6
137:12	owed 40:24	53:16 55:8	33:1 37:19
	46:8	63:24	45:13
original	owner 154:1	89:24	66:1,8,17,
87:20			18 72:8

David Sivella on 01/25/2024 **Index: Pashman..personally** 45:24,25 107:21 150:3 person 108:1,3,5, 55:7 81:16 22:23,24 people's 9,10 89:21,23 36:10 65:9 42:5,16 pay 47:1 Pashman perceived 47:19 31:12 52:2 107:16 42:5 62:8, 48:12 61:1 124:14,15 103:8 11 62:4 119:11,15 **paying** 25:13 67:17,18, percent 120:7,10 150:19 73:5,6,9, 19,20 pass 30:18 pending 14 71:4,5 107:19,20 72:6,12 passage Perez 28:22 77:5,6,24 30:21 112:7 29:2,17 78:1 penis 137:16 32:12 Passamano 81:17,24 138:16 77:20 70:20 98:9 78:2,7 pension 46:9 **passed** 29:23 102:17 129:2 perfectly 105:16 **people** 10:11 12:3 106:17,24 25:16 passes 126:21 126:21 28:10 30:4 perform 143:3,6 38:3,15 119:24 past 89:23 150:5 43:2,16 performed Pat 41:1 151:6,8 51:1 53:4, 119:22 60:1,20,21 6,25 56:18 person's 104:18,19 **period** 23:14 63:25 23:17 137:14 24:7 38:13 64:19 153:16 46:18 **Patel** 7:18 65:2,3,21 personal 50:11 51:9 9:2 28:13 71:5 75:23 14:4 42:11 52:16,24 79:22 49:15 67:23 80:5 54:10 82:15,25 155:20,22 154:1 85:18,21 108:11 patience 116:15 personally 113:1 58:24 131:9 116:20 14:25 28:5 136:17 Patricia 54:2 70:12 127:8 50:11 94:17 135:6 permissions 96:14 136:8 32:8 pattern 105:7 139:6,9 80:17 permitted 141:1 140:23,24 11:10 13:3 Paul 37:23 141:18

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: personnel..Polesetsky

	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024 Ir	idex: personnelPolesetsky
personnel	photographs	137:13	pleasure
14:5	28:17	140:1,2	40:14
perspective 97:14	phrase 41:13	146:11 147:7	pled 69:2
	pick 50:7	Pizzuti's	plenty 30:13
petit 133:9,	143:1	8:1,2 53:9	93:12
18,21,23,	picking	70:9	plumber 30:6
25 134:4	79:22	104:24	35:2
petulant	picture		podium
64:25 65:1	28:20,22	place 28:24	133:21
71:7 76:12	29:18	44:1	
98:9	102:20,22	125:11	point 14:16
phase 133:20		132:20	16:6 18:5
-	piece 50:18	153:4	27:21 42:8
phased 134:7	Pikus 124:7	155:16	46:24
philanthropica	126:14	places 38:12	
11y 19:18	Pizzuti 7:19	placing 22:6	64:4 66:4
Phillip 56:4	8:9,17 9:9	65:3	09.22
- 55.17	49:13		72:13 77:2
phone 55:17,	50:12,18,	plaintiff	112:3
18 75:2,3, 14	21,22	7:4,17	116:16,17,
14	51:2,6,9,	25:12	23 117:9, 12 126:21
phonecall	21 53:3,	126:9,12 127:20	138:4
22:7 43:23	21,22	127:20	140:7
44:4 47:6,	54:10,12,	plaintiff's	141:24
7 70:24	15 59:1,4,	8:6	143:8
phonecalls	25 60:21,	plaintiffs	147:4,5
47:5	25 61:2,9	25:3,13	154:22
phones 75:1,	62:3,9,11	98:25 99:4	
2	63:13	122:11	pointing
_	66:25	124:16,17,	72:18
photo 155:25	70:11	18 126:10	Polesetsky
photograph	76:20,25	play 65:10	121:5,6
53:12,14	80:1	81:15	124:22
photographer	132:5,13		126:12
54:6	135:12,15	players 36:9	12/ • 1/11/
	136:24	67:9	18,19

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: police..pretty

	David Sivella	a on 01/25/2024	Index: policepretty
police 20:2,	policies	15:16	27:2 53:3
4 22:7	141:21	post 15:13	prerogative
24:13,21,	politely	57:13	148:18
23 25:1,4	62:16	37.13	140.10
34:14,17,	02.10	postictal	presence
18 35:10	political	136:3	89:14
39:2 52:8	28:6,8	potent 51:4	108:11
53:11	44:25	_	present 8:23
54:1,12	65:14	potential	17:19
55:4,5,7,	67:8,24	85:25	53:15
15,19,24	75 : 10	150:6	55 : 17
56:17,20	89:15	power 36:5	64:12
58:10,12,	112:19	67:9 82:11	108:3
14,17	114:5		
*		powerful	115:13,18,
59:7,8	politically	63:2	25 116:9
61:5 63:3,	62:10 63:1	106:20	117:7,9
21 65:8,12	67:1	practice	125:17
70:19 72:1	73:14,16,	69:11	presenting
73:4,21	18		98:10
75:17 79:6	politician	practiced	
96:22	106:20	91:5	<pre>presiding 77:18</pre>
99:20,23		practices	//:10
100:11	politicians	106:16	press 58:4
105:24	51:17	practicing	100:11
106:15	politics	25:5,6	pressure
115:16	45:1 81:6	23.3,0	53:24
117:23	142:24	predicament	70:10
137:22	portion	24:10,11	70.10
138:4,7	12:20	25:15	pressures
139:7,8,10	117:14	50:25	67 : 1
141:4,22	11/14	prefer	presume
143:1	pose 80:18	142:12	143:15
150:20	position		
151:15	27:16	prepare	presumption
152:6,17,	28:23 46:6	21:24	103:20
18 153:8,	113:2	26:13	pretty 50:16
9,12,14,15		36:22	56:23 57:9
156:12	possession	preparing	62:4 75:5,
		-	

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: p

Index: previous..protected

	David Sivena	on 01/25/2024	Index: previousprotected
19	probates	process 10:1	14
previous	125:14	24:24	project
16:1	problem 49:5	25:21	35:16
	54:18 76:7	33:21,25	45:21
price 113:11	99:12	38:24 52:1	48:22
primary	119:5	58:13	80:2,8
155:5	134:18	68:18	81:18
prior 18:7	140:21,22	81:1,2	113:9,10
27:19 28:2	141:5,9	91:25	•
52:20	150:1	106:17	<pre>promise 76:5</pre>
	130•1	123:5	promoted
105:3,9,	problems	131:19	37:22
11,12	80:11	137:3	
117:13	procedure	143:16	pronounced
132:10	16:8		107:25
prison 40:4,	142:14	processes	pronouncement
5,11 42:19		73:11	101:12
43:25	procedures	139:17	proper 47:19
44:5,15	153:2	produce 54:5	49:9 92:14
46:6,17,19	proceed 7:24	produced	150:10,11
47:18	11:18	50:21 54:4	
68:24	proceeded		properly
	93:8,18	product 38:8	
private 15:3,4	139:23	professional	106:18
71:14	139.23	19:5	141:18
141:2	proceeding	24:10,11	148:16
142:10	11:9 13:2	25:9 28:7	properties
142:10	14:22	54:13	115:2
privately	22:21,25	140:21	
14:14	27:13,22		prosecutor
privileges	28:18,25	professionals	42:2
131:9	29:1	141:4	Prospect
	32:15,17	proforma	44:12
probate	42:22,23	28:1	protect
126:24	proceedings	program	141:3
127:8	44:8	44:16	
probated			protected
125:11,12	proceeds	progress	58:4 75:24
	45:18	123:9,13,	

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Inde

	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: protestsraised
protests	publication	quash 42:6	149:4
7:25	70:8	question	151 : 18
provide	publish	=	questionable
13:19	51:19	20,23,25	33:19
14:15	69:13,14	11:2,12	questioning
31:10 38:6	pulled 29:3	12:24	93:7
83:21	-	13:1,4	144:22
84:10,16,	purchasing	19:2,12,14	
23 86:13,	155:21	20:5,6,8,	questions
17 119:2,	purges	12,23	8:3 9:3,4
18	135:18	21:16	23:20,21
provided	136:17	31:19	33:13,23
86:11	n	33:24	40:2 59:16
	<pre>purpose 51:15</pre>	36:20,24	76:24
provocation		69 : 21	80:24 81:3
98:12	55:14	84:5,6,8	88:18 96:8
psych 34:19	purposes	85:7,11,	98:11
	10:13	13,14	123:23
public 19:18	147:10	88:12	129:20
30:21 31:5	pursue 19:17	90:24	135:25
33:23		91:18	146:1
39:18	<pre>put 9:9</pre>	93:12 95:8	quickly
51:16,19	14:1 29:14	97:21,25	70:24
52:5	47:22	98:13,14	
60:10,15	53:22	107:4,9,	quizzically
61:17	54:19 77:3	19,20	29:6
62:3,5,13	88:15	110:1	quote 23:9
64:12	148:12	112:12	45:19
69:15 75:6	putting	115:21,25	64:25
80:8 89:24	58:23	116:7	77:21
102:25	33723	117:6	89 : 11
103:4		117:0	
139:13	Q	122:7	
153:7	quadrupled	136:3	
publically	113:11		rabbit 60:23
53:23		137:5,9	
70:9,10	qualified	145:16	raise 50:20
70.5710	73:20	147:18	raised
		148:3,8	

${\bf DAVID\ SIVELLA\ vs\ TOWNSHIP\ OF\ LYNDHURST, ET\ AL.}$

2111	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: Ralphrecording
151:23	47:25	received	21:11,20
Ralph 75:4,	48:3,7	61:23	30:14
5,15	71:1,22	104:9	31:25
	72:2 74:5	144:21	39:18
ramble	106:15	receiving	40:14 44:2
93:13,14	133:23	56:11	46:2
ran 33:16	134:14		49:19,22,
rapid 32:4	150:7	recent 79:24	24 50:1,6
Tapiu 52.4	reasonable	recently	51:6 57:12
rapidly	12:7	41:4 43:25	60:16 63:4
36:12		recess 9:15	64:1 77:4
re-passage	reasons	50:2 88:3	81:19
37:9	15:10 26:6	155:9	87:24
	33:16	133.9	88:2,7,10,
reached	48:11	recognizable	11,13,16
56:21	82:19	63:22 64:7	101:16
read 12:18,	102:11	recognized	102:25
21 55:12	recall 12:3	53 : 21	103:4,22
85:12,15	61:18 87:6	135:15	109:23
98:15	89:15,16	recollection	120:17
107:2,5	90:5,8,11	40:3 43:20	122:2,5
reading 69:7	93:4,10,	62:16	140:1,19
	11,15,17,	89:20	142:8,16
Ready 7:16	20,21,23	94:12	144:4
real 57:11	94:6	96:16	145:25
140:9	99:21,22	100:7	148:15,18
realize	100:12,14,	110:16,23	150:7
36:20	17 101:1,	117:15,17,	155:8,18
47:11	3,13,15	18	recorded
59:15 85:9	102:21		55:16,17
	104:2,3,4,	recommendation	137:23
realized	8 110:13	s 34:15	140:6
47:12	129:19	record 7:24	141:6
reappoint	130:15	9:6,7,8,	149:6,16
82:14	receive	11,14,19	151:12
reason 10:15		13:21	
11:2 24:10		14:1,25	recording
39:12 44:7	_ 0 0 0 0	20:20	25:2 63:22

		on 01/25/2024 Inde	ex: recordingsrememb
138:24	73:25	88:17	reiterate
139:1	104:25	144:4	13:7
143:9	117:22	146:20	rejected
148:14,19,	150:16	147:10	42:4
20 150:3	referenced	reflecting	
151:15,17,	96:8	55 : 5	related 66:2
25 153:19	102:18		121:11
recordings	107:21	refresh 38:4	130:20
144:5		94:12	relationship
	references	100:6	14:8 28:6
records 14:5	137:13,15	110:15,23	37:20
24:15 52:1	referred	refused	64:17
56:8 62:14	20:16	31:16	77 : 16
80:3 86:2	26:10 30:3	83:22	
103:11,24	54:15	86:17	relationships
104:22	64:24	129:11	109:19
132:17	67:18 77:5		released
157 : 16	102:15		44:5
158:3	111:11	refusing 31:21	127:12
recover		31:21	relevant
136:7,18	referring 21:6,14	regain	27:9 29:12
	23:11 26:2	135:10	50:25
recovery 134:25	30:2 31:11	regaining	
134:23		134:10	relied
redacted	56:2 57:1,	• •	145:21
61:20	2,12,14 60:24	regalia	rely 148:7
redo 33:14	64:22	152:6	remained
		register	17:18
reelected	71:8,9 80:3 97:1	151:9	
36:1,2,3		registered	remember
refer 21:23	99:1,3	144:2	62:19 69:4
81:23 92:1	108:24	151:5	72:20
103:18	130:5,9		75:12
reference	144:18	regular	89:23
	146:4,5	74:17	90:14
54 • 16	reflect	rehabilitation	100:9
54:16 58:23	rerrect	Tenabilicacion	
54:16 58:23 63:14	88:13	44:16	104:11 109:4

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: remembers..responding

	David Sivena	on 01/25/2024 Inde	x: remembersresponding
121:21	replaced	98:5 106:8	requesting
131:17	37:14 38:2	111:25	61:16
135:13,17	report 24:14	122:14,20	105:2
136:10	25:4 49:9	124:4	requests
143:25	55:5,12	representing	103:24
remembers	58:10,12	8:4 22:22	
89:1	63:21	25:19,21	required
	70:20	39:10	143:13,18
remind 36:8	109:10	71:11,12,	reside 17:1,
42:10	131:6,20	16 85:20	8 118:2
56:18 62:7	156:13	123:25	
reminder	130.13	124:12	residential
41:15	reported		114:22
	53:22 99:2	-	resides
removed	reporter	120:14,20	118:3
34:14,18	7:10,14	republican	resolution
53:6	10:15	40:25	30:21
removing	12:21	45:12	
113:6	13:22	72:11	resolutions
rent 69:8	85:15	78:11	30:18
	98:15		resolved
reorganization	107:5	Republicans	68:17
37:6	155 : 20	41:1	126:5
repeat 11:19		reputation	129:5
12:17 19:5	reporting	102:3	resources
107:1	22:8 56:9	146:12,16	63:4
zonostod	70:17 76:9	request	03:4
repeated 46:1 70:25	reports	47:23 60:5	respect
	20:2,4	102:25	15 : 17
repeatedly	65:8 96:22	102:25	81:2,4
106:21	represent	17 105:2	139:7,8,11
138:5	32:2 94:7,	1/ 103.2	149:21
154:20	9 100:4	requested	respected
rephrase	106:18	12:20	15:8
10:24	145:23	52:4,9	
12:23	147.43	53:1	respond 8:12
20:11	represented	62:13,14	responding
	22:14,15	103:21	20:4 82:25
	95:20,24		

David Sivella on 01/25/2024 **Index: responds..Rutherford** 139:18 79:13 60:25 80:19 80:17 61:2,9,10 137:21 responds 123:16 67:2 141:24 57:23,24, 145:15 104:24 25 rooms 81:12 135:14,16 reviewed round 84:22 response Rico 69:24 21:24 26:9 88:11,14 route 142:13 27:4 29:18 100:21 Ridge 37:22, 33:19 routinely 118:16 24 38:1 36:21 38:4 106:16 144:15 Rigolosi 49:14 **Rubino** 37:21 81:9 responsive 54:23 113:4 23:25 24:1 58:13,21 risk 34:20 **Rubis** 110:8 59:16 restate Rivera 75:4, 88:12 10:23 rug 62:17 5,15 109:6 ruled 92:17 restaurant road 29:12 145:14,25 44:12 152:7 rules 10:1 revote 31:1 result 8:7 68:12 73:4 **Robert** 34:13 32:5 36:7 52:21 65:4 82:13 36:1 38:19 112:20 90:20 95:1 56:18 ruling 82:8 113:14 112:9,14 94:1,3 rocks 44:19 126:15 revoted run 33:10, 112:25 131:8 rogue 72:1 15 34:4,10 **Reyna** 56:4 resulted role 46:14 48:13 37:7 55:1 81:15 Richard 7:19 50:15 128:5,6,9 33:21 retired 51:7,10 34:10 17:22,23, 53:3 romantically 35:1,2,3, 25 36:11 running 34:5 8,9,18,25 retirement room 14:9 50:14 66:4 45:10 18:7 23:14,15 71:20 49:12 24:22,24 return 26:21 **Russo** 34:8 50:12,14, 25:2 29:10 35:13 18 51:6,9 returned 38:15 52:7,8 24:9 140:4 Rutgers 39:1,7 53:3 62:11 114:3 review 16:9 48:22 73:3 72:15,16 37:16 77:25 Rutherford Ricky 53:13 68:18 77:3 78:24 53:16

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: S-A-R-L-O..send

	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: S-A-R-L-Osend
	128:9	71:5 89:25	25 134:4,
S	129:12	104:18,19	19 136:4,
S-A-R-L-O	Schlimbach's	137:14	23
81:16	121:9	screaming	seizures
		75:13,14	76:17
S-C-H-L-I-M-B-		•	133:7,8,9
A-C-H 121:8	30:1,3,11, 17 35:16	seat 35:24,	134:14
S-E-N-A 99:6		25	135:18,20
safe	37:10	sec 80:4,	137:1
152:11,22	45:5,21	12,15	1
•	48:13,15,	122:2	selected 35:17
safeguard	20 49:5,8	secretary	124:1
148:16,19	50:14 51:10,19,	146:14	124:1
safety 75:6	• •		selecting
sake 44:2	22,23,24 53:5 60:9,	secured	79:16
	10 61:17	141:2	selection
Sarlo 37:23	62:3 64:12	security	79:13
38:3	66:4	13:13 14:6	157:25
45:24,25	67:10,11,	24:21	Sena 22:10
81:16	20 78:1	130:11,23	54:24,25
sat 44:14,	80:2 81:18	140:20,21	55:16
18	82:8 91:4	seek 52:3	56:6,10
saved 41:22	111:6,11,		57:23
	14 113:3	seeking 31:15	58:3,12
scar 134:16	114:9,11,	31:13	99:6
scared 106:3	12	seize 133:17	
Schiller		seizing	Sena's 55:23
81:20	schools 52:5	136:23	Senator
85:23 86:1	60:15	seizure	37:23
87 : 1	61:15 82:7	76:15	45:24,25
95:15,17	111:14	115:13	78:10
99 : 9	Schuber 41:1	117:7,13	senators
102:13,16	science	131:4,5,6,	
•	114:5	19 132:5,	
Schiller's 102:11		6,7,12	send 65:9
102:11	Scoops 96:5	133:2,16,	69:14
Schlimbach	Scott 50:12	18,22,23,	142:24
121:8	60:1,20,21	10,22,23,	153:23,24

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: sending..sister

	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: sendingsister
sending 36:6	88:25	75:3 76:1,	24:5,9,17,
sends 82:13	settle	7	18,19
	129:11	sheriff's	25:9,11
sense 21:18		60:16,17	64:21 68:5
36:13	settled	64:13 72:5	94:2
separate	121:18,19	73:2,4	95:10,12
126:7	127:11	•	99:11
128:15	settlement	sheriffs	102:13,15
129:4	121:25	73:12	145:15,22
September	122:9	short 49:16	Silberman's
22:2 23:2,	124:9	55:13	102:10
3 59:2	129:10	64:14	
60:13	seventeen	65 : 19	similar
63:16	81:11	shortly 36:4	47:17 65:11 94:1
65:17,25		56:8,15	
123:2,4	sexual 61:23	58 : 11	simple 85:11
129:3	129:25 130:4	shots 140:8	97:25
142:18		snots 140:0	simply
Commont	137:12,18	show 24:16	150:18
Sergeant 140:2	138:11,17	32:3	sir 10:21
	shaking	153:12	11:7 12:10
series 32:7	133:17	showed 146:9	14:20 18:5
servants	136:6	-hi 2E.2	
153:7	shape 15:2	showing 25:2 69:16	24:3 26:19
served 48:15	147:10	79:10,24	27:1 41:8
served 40.13	shared 60:3	153:16	71:10
server			90:15
61:17,18	sharing 38:8	shows 116:20	91:24
service	sheet 156:1	shut 137:4	93:12 97:1
44:13	Shepisi	signature	98:13
56:19 73:5	78:10	29:20	107:19
set 38:20			109:20
49:16	Sheraton	signed 36:5	141:8
91:12	74:15,21	80:6,7,10	148:22
	sheriff	Silberman	sister 64:12
sets 94:1	71:24 73:9	22:14,22,	128:25
setting	74:23,24	23 23:3	128:25
			149.4

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Inde

	David Sive	lla on 01/25/2024	Index: sistersspeaks
sisters	156:25	156:14	118:17
128:23	Sivella-12	Sivella-8	136:15
sit 70:3	157:3	156:17	144:2
135:8			146:22
145:18	Sivella-13		147:1
	157 : 6	156:20	151:21,23
sits 79:14	Sivella-14	sixteen	son's 118:6
sitting 14:8	157:9	81:11	
39:20	Sivella-15	sixty 52:15	sort 32:4
74:24	157:12	66:5 81:6	45:1 55:9
120:15		119:19	61:25
situation	Sivella-16		73:11
47:19 63:6	157:15	sixty-three	120:2
104:25	Sivella-17	81:7	134:8
147:4	157:18	size 137:15	136:16
	Sivella-18	slowly 136:7	sorted
situations	157 : 21	_	15:21,23
89:13		small 8:8	sought 72:4
153:5	Sivella-19	smart 58:1	_
Sivella 7:3,	157:24	76:10	source
4,25 8:14,	Sivella-2	social 13:13	134:17
19 9:22	156:2	14:6 15:13	sources
13:11	Sivella-20	130:11,22	117:18
36:16	158:2	•	space 8:8
64:25 88:9		sold 115:1	9:1 45:8
103:22	Sivella-21	soliciting	59:4 69:8
119:16	158:5	55:8	
126:2	Sivella-3	son 22:10	speak 13:24
127:16	156:5	25:14	47:10
128:14	Sivella-4	56:12,21	54:14 70:4
137:9	156:7	60:15	91:1 98:4,
155:14		64:11 73:1	6 152:18,
Sivella-1	Sivella-5	96:18	20 153:1
155:25	156:9	99:1,6	speaking
	Sivella-6	115:8,17,	41:14
Sivella-10	156:12	18 116:9	60:25 86:2
156:22	Circlic 7	117:6	speaks 69:22
Sivella-11	Sivella-7	II / • U	Speaks 07.22

David Sivella on 01/25/2024

Index: specific..submission

	David Sivelia	on 01/25/2024 I	ndex: specificsubmission
specific	started	stayed 41:24	44:19
146:1	32:11	48:9	75:22
147:3	39:16	Stein 31:12	straightforwar
specifically	47:24	52:2 103:8	d 109:25
25:17	51:14	119:11,16	-1
48:18	54:11 55:2	120:7,10	strange 61:14
53:11	56:11	-	
54:16,17	77:11 81:7	Stellato	strap 150:22
67:10	131:2	46:2,3,8,	stress
71:10	state 11:12	9,11 67:24	76:17,18
81:16,17	13:20	Stellato-	strike 23:2
149:5	75 : 15	ferriero	30:6 51:23
	78:10	82:12	52:22
specifics 78:17	81:15	step 45:21	62:12
/0:1/	109:1	sticks	79 : 15
spell 121:6	114:18		134:3
124:23	136:2,3		
spelled	138:6,20		structure
130:8	141:22	134:23	12:13,16
anallina	143:19,20	stop 57:7	128:17
spelling 22:12 61:7	145:6	62:22 65:3	student
63:18 99:7	stated 19:23	129:14	61:4,5,24
		151:15	107:11
split 35:13		stopped 48:5	s+uff 57•17
spoken 10:16	7:24	86:1	143:3
113:8	103:21	105:14	
staff 37:25	States 38:22	132:12	stupidity
	58:4,5		129:15
standard	stating	stopping	Suarez
73:19	101:15	31:2	41:24,25
standing		store 113:22	42:1,2
135:1	station	stories	74:16,19
stands 61:21	100:11	113:23	79:11
	stationery		subject
start 27:6	37:23	story	39:14
49:15 83:7	stay 41:23	113:19,20	53:19 65:5
136:7	102:7	straight	
	,		submission

David Sivella on 01/25/2024 **Index: submit..technicality swept** 62:17 50:17 32:8 38:16 60:1 83:4 120:5 138:16 10:8 **submit** 47:20 swore 144:17 support **sworn** 59:5 Subpoenaed 147:1 19:16 41:5 80:4 symptoms 48:20 54:4 talked 90:12 133:23 subsequent 137:10 105:11 11:9 13:2 system supposed talking 8:18 61:17,18 subsequently 35:18 94:15 48:5,14 79:3,5 113:9 108:18 106:21 117:4 substantial Supreme 109:20 124:2 89:16 38:21 134:12,22 136:8 67:17 succeed 135:18 139:14 90:13 72:10 148:24 systems 91:17 Successor 154:1 14:10 94:3,21 124:5 95:2 tamper 68:13 suddenly T surprising tampering 32:12 75:18 68:11 T-SHIRT sue 154:5,8 138:9 surrogate's tapping 95:12, sued 78:15 146:22 table 28:19 17 79:21 33:5 39:21 target 77:1 suggesting 47:1 74:25 surrounded 58:15 taser 118:23 73:2 tactic 65:12 150:22 suing 127:22 suspect tactical task 82:6 128:1 61:11 25:16 teach 48:24 147:20 80:11 takes 70:17 teacher suit 21:22 suspicion 71:6,11 60:11,14 30:15 72:14 136:18 64:12 suits 21:21 suspicious taking 10:16 technical 65:3 151:24 73:13 30:12,20, **summer** 37:12 94:14 Swatting 25 38:12 145:19 65:10,11 technicality superior talk 10:12 swear 7:14 27:24 27:13 31:9 57:19,20 138:23

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: technically..threatening

	David Sivella	1 011 01/25/2024 Hide	x: tecnnicallytnreatenii
technically	terminated	thereabouts	136:1
78:4	70:24	54:9	150:23
teenager	122:19,24	thing 15:21	153:11,21
81:6	123:1	45:2 62:18	154:5
108:17	terms 59:4	68:19 77:2	thinking
	71:23	89:24 90:6	143:4
telling 11:2	79:20 91:7		
45:3,16	92:24 93:1		thinks 54:6
59:12 61:1 75:23	110:14	135:14,23	78:19
83:9,21	121:24	137:16,19	thirty 25:17
84:8	122:9	138:11	82:10
137:23	126:5	139:5	Thomas 7:9
148:1	128:7	141:16	34:22
	test 56:19	152:10,21,	
tells 66:19,	73:13,17,	24	60:12,13
20	18 75:22	things 8:11,	72:8,24,25
temple		13 12:5	76:5 96:19
134:16	testimony	14:1,21	thought
temporarily	10:4 11:5	16:16	33:14 47:2
31:2	13:19	19:17,25	48:23
	101:18	26:9,21	66:12
ten 27:20	102:5	27:25	86:21 92:9
126:22,23	testing	28:10	93:25
127:7	134:17	29:14	107:12
142:19	tests 34:19	38:5,7	111:19,22
143:14		42:9	126:10
tend 136:20	text 47:8	47:11,24	149:13
tension	56:11	49:10 51:3	154:16
76:13	60:19 61:2	55 : 25	
	71:2	59:25 67:7	thousand
tensions	104:23	77 : 12	119:20
54:22	156:5,15,	78:14,21	threat 41:13
65:20 70:6	18	79:9,25	153:17
79:25	Theodore	80:17,18	threatened
tenure 52:17	34:7	86:1 96:23	25:9
term 112:4	Theoretically	123:8,12	
	132:4	126:5	threatening 57:24,25
			31.24,23

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: threats..te

211,22	David Sivella	on 01/25/2024	Index: threatstown
68:5	48:3,6	155:7,12,	26:13
threats	49:12,21	16,17,18	36:22
80:18	50:5,11,13	timeframe	told 24:9
threw 110:22	51:9,13	116:11	36:10
threw 110:22	52:24 53:3	timeline	43:24
Thursday 7:7		63:15	44:13 54:5
ticket 34:6,	56:14	117:12	66:11 84:4
10,12,24	57:22 59:3		94:13
tickets		times 10:11	100:9
34:5,22	63:12,16	46:1 97:23	104:18
62:20	64:16,22	117:20,24	105:6
	65:23,25		108:2,5
tight 75:19	66:25	133:3,21	110:7,8,20
time 7:8	68:25	136:16	138:3
9:13,18	70:14,15	Tinton	tolerance
11:4,8,10,	72:7 74:25	132:21	26:15 27:7
25 12:5	76:7 77:19	158:6	
13:2 15:11	82:2 84:1,	tissue	Tom 45:11
16:5	24 85:18	134:16	96:11,13
17:12,15,	88:1,6		Tom's 96:11
19 22:13	93:12	title 45:20	Tony 61:8,
23:14 24:7	105:10,11,	67 : 19	11 63:20
26:25	13 110:8	today 9:24,	
27:14,18	114:21	25 10:4,	74:6
28:4,24	115:7	14,16,25	75:10,20
29:10,24,	116:3,15,	11:4,25	
25 30:2	21 117:7	12:25 26:9	tool 65:13
31:4 32:10	131:10	29:4 39:13	top 50:23
33:8,12	132:13	51:1 65:6,	61:19
34:13,25	136:17,18	7 86:14	totaling
35:20	139:20,23,	88:19	37:18
38:13,16	24 140:3,	89:5,7,11,	
39:10	13,14	22 101:21	totally
41:21	144:7,14,	129:18	144:12
42:19	18 145:2,3	145:18	tough 139:13
43:22	151:14	155:17	town 30:9
46:4,18	152:4 153:11	today's 7:7	
	173:11	coudy 5 / · /	30.23 39.3

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: Township..understanding

45:6,7,14	97:22	89:16 90:2	11:6,11,21
69:24	142:25	123:6	12:24
Township	troubled	130:20	13:5,6
7:4,20	60:3	types 89:13	46:12,13
18:1,11	true 46:21	133:7	67:6 71:25
22:8,15	60:5 85:16		73:3 80:25
23:7 29:23	106:7	U	81:5 84:7
30:11,17			86:15,23
34:10 35:9	trust 68:11,	u.s. 42:3,6	97:11,19,
36:15	14,16 79:1	62:20,21	21 106:15
37:7,8,10,	127:1	105:13	109:18
22 39:9,14	trustee 53:5	ultimately	115:24
43:3,14	trusts 121:3	90:21	117:16
45:19 53:9			119:25
67:15,21	truth 10:8	Um-hmm 12:10	123:18
71:13	41:14,18	138:14	127:6
93:18	truthful	unable 63:5	131:18
96:12,19	81:3	151:11	133:5
104:20	118:16	unaware	134:2
track 36:8		151:16,20,	136:14
	turn 31:16, 23	23	138:13
tracking			139:13,17,
14:10	turned 45:2	uncertain	20 141:21
transcript	70:25	71:23	142:12,14
88:17	139 : 18	uncle 72:17,	144:13
158:6	142:13	18	145:11
transcripts	143:5,7	unconscious	149:15
and Thea	144:16	21100113010U3	
_		115:20 23	understandable
16:15	154:14	115:20,23 116:2.16.	54:1 144:9
16:15 Trenton		116:2,16,	54:1 144:9
16:15	154:14 turning	116:2,16, 21	54:1 144:9 understanding
16:15 Trenton	154:14	116:2,16, 21 undergrad	54:1 144:9
16:15 Trenton 69:15	154:14 turning 139:15,16 142:11	116:2,16, 21	54:1 144:9 understanding 32:2 44:6 54:11
16:15 Trenton 69:15 trial 11:1,	154:14 turning 139:15,16 142:11 twenty 25:17	116:2,16, 21 undergrad	54:1 144:9 understanding 32:2 44:6 54:11 68:13
16:15 Trenton 69:15 trial 11:1, 4,8 13:2 122:13	154:14 turning 139:15,16 142:11 twenty 25:17 33:11	116:2,16, 21 undergrad 114:1	54:1 144:9 understanding 32:2 44:6 54:11 68:13 85:17
16:15 Trenton 69:15 trial 11:1, 4,8 13:2 122:13 trooper	154:14 turning 139:15,16 142:11 twenty 25:17 33:11 type 48:20,	116:2,16, 21 undergrad 114:1 undersheriff 75:4 76:2	54:1 144:9 understanding 32:2 44:6 54:11 68:13 85:17 88:13
16:15 Trenton 69:15 trial 11:1, 4,8 13:2 122:13 trooper 75:15	154:14 turning 139:15,16 142:11 twenty 25:17 33:11 type 48:20, 22 64:2	116:2,16, 21 undergrad 114:1 undersheriff 75:4 76:2 understand	54:1 144:9 understanding 32:2 44:6 54:11 68:13 85:17
16:15 Trenton 69:15 trial 11:1, 4,8 13:2 122:13 trooper	154:14 turning 139:15,16 142:11 twenty 25:17 33:11 type 48:20,	116:2,16, 21 undergrad 114:1 undersheriff 75:4 76:2	54:1 144:9 understanding 32:2 44:6 54:11 68:13 85:17 88:13 90:22 91:8

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: und

Index:	understands	waiting
--------	-------------	---------

	David Sivelia	on 01/25/2024 In	dex: understandswaiting
135:5	unlimited	131:7	89:18,20
148:10,11,	19:10	vendors	violence
13	unquote	113:12	144:11
understands	45:20	verified	visit 40:23
59:3 64:17	64:25	46:22	
126:20	89:11		visited
understood	unsavory	verify	40:4,10
11:2 48:19	102:19	131:23,25	visiting
67:8 86:8		versus 7:4	46:19,20
96:24	unstable	93:25	vividly
105:16,20	72:1,3	119:16	62 : 19
116:19	upfront	121:7	75 : 12
underwear	11:13	128:6	voice 63:22
137:17,25	upheld 94:9,	vests 150:21	64:4,6,7
138:10	10	vibration	• •
140:8	upset 47:14	150:17	voluntarily
	67:3 106:3		40:2 132:1
undo 128:11		victim 76:12	voluntary
undress	upstanding	video 16:16	98:23
138:6	62 : 4	55:23 56:5	<pre>vote 30:22,</pre>
undue 121:17	ushered	65 : 10	24 32:5
unelectable	134:9	116:20	33:22,24,
33:18	usual 112:21	138:17	25 37:9
		141:1,14	vote-getter
uneventful	v	142:7,16,	50:24 51:4
42:21		17 155:23	
unfair	vagina	videos 15:15	votes 37:13
140:10	138:10	142:12	
unintelligible	vagueness	145:5,14	W
57:17,18	99:5	146:1,19,	wait 85:2
United 38:22	variety	21 147:23	93:11
58:4,5	15:10	148:25	126:23
•	33:16	154:18,25	127:7
unknown 51:6		videotape	
unlawful		155:13	waiting 24:22
129:25	Vehicles	Vincent 81:8	4 7 • 44

DAVID SIVELLA vs TOWNSHIP OF LYNDHURST, ET AL. David Sivella on 01/25/2024 Index: Waldor..works

	David Sivella	a on 01/25/2024	Index: Waldorworks
Waldor 22:4	week 28:2	8,9,10	women 137:20
101:3,9,10	weeks 75:12	wife 53:15,	152:7
102:2	76:22,25	17,21 71:3	won 35:5,
walked 47:1	•	96 : 12	24,25
	Weird 125:4	109:9	Wood 37:22,
walking 89:23	Weiss $7:16$,	137:24	24 38:1
136:7	23 8:17,21	138:5	24 30:1
139:19	9:7 11:14,	win 50:23	Woodland
	17 12 : 14		48:10
walls 75:20		window 24:23	word 45:22
wanted 37:2	14:15	wishes 15:18	65:7 83:17
42:6	15:22	withdraw	94:14
44:23,25	16:1,4,17,	99:8,9	112:4
48:19	19,23	·	134:20
64:16 82:2	85:21	withdrawal	wording
100:11	87:10,13,	97:20	12:13,16
118:24	16,21	98:17	· ·
140:18	90:23	withdrawn	words 82:16
146:12	92:4,7,10,	21:3 25:10	work 38:8
watch	16 93:6	26:3 96:25	39:1 42:7
142:11,12	94:24 95:7	97:5,9	45:20
145:11	97:13,18	98:16	46:18
	98:24	withdrew	52:5,13,
watched	116:6,10, 14 117:1	97:18	15,19,20,
81:12			25 67:19
145:10	118:8,10, 12 120:16,	withhold	69:6
Wayne 113:5	20,22	83:12	119:22,23
wealthy	122:1,4	witnessed	120:8
19:10,15	144:24	136:24	worked 46:11
website	147:24	witnesses	53:8 79:10
44:23,24,	148:6	66:18	149:8
25 51:14	149:17	woman 50:11	working
55:22 56:6	well-	77:8 80:6	77:11 81:8
57:14	documented	125:1	132:8
69:14	38:21	137:20	works 64:13
96:2,5		138:6	73:5
	wet 138:2,		73.3

```
76:8 81:7
 131:25
                 100:2
worn 141:14
                 110:9
      153:10
worst
                 120:12
                 132:7
worth
      52:15,
                 134:17
 19,20
                 146:15
worthy 65:15
               Yeshiva
write 96:4
                 114:12
written
               Yo 56:22
 88:16
               York 17:2,5
wrong 16:13
                 110:10
 125:10
                 114:24
wrongful
                 153:9
 154:12
               young 22:9
wrote 50:21
                 24:12 41:5
                 48:1,8,13
      Y
                 57:21,24
                 58:13
year 18:10,
                 61:24
 12 25:18
                 62:22 63:7
 31:17
                 66:3,16
 32:22,23
                 108:17
 36:7 59:1
                 140:11
 73:23
                 146:16
 76:15
                 147:5,6,7
 112:15
                 154:15,16
 114:6,10
               Younger
 131:15,16
                 129:2
 142:19
years 18:15,
                     Z
 17 27:20
 48:15
                   17:5
               zip
 52:16
 56:16
 69:25
 70:3,4
```