# **Fair Housing Activity Statement – Texas**

Recognizing that each jurisdiction is unique, this Fair Housing Activity Statement - Texas is intended to be used in conjunction with the Analysis of Impediments, Phase I as a form to help the City of Ivanhoe, Texas perform assessments of their impediments to fair housing choice, plan actions to address identified impediments, and communicate their plans to the State of Texas and HUD.

Protected classes may experience disparities in home mortgage lending

Impediment #1

| impediment #1 |               | and high cost loans.  |  |  |
|---------------|---------------|---|--|--|
|               |               | No local action is required at this time.   |  |  |
| Impediment #2 |               | There is inadequate information available to the real estate community, governments and the public about fair housing requirements and enforcement procedures.  |  |  |
|               |               | No local action is required at this time.   |  |  |
| <u>Impedi</u> | ment          | The public is not sufficiently aware of their Fair Housing rights and how to obtain the assistance necessary to protect those rights.   |  |  |
| 1.            | shoul<br>Mont | istent with the Fair Housing Act, the State of Texas, and funded sub-recipients d continue to promote and conduct events to celebrate April as Fair Housing h, and direct sub-recipients to do the same. These events can demonstrate ort for fair housing and build awareness.   |  |  |
|               |               | We will commit to undertake Fair Housing Month activities. (Check all that apply.)  |  |  |
|               |               | Passage of a resolution by our governing body.  The City of Ivanhoe, Texas passed Resolution #2011-12R adopting the FHAST declaring the City is in the process of developing resources which may serve to provide additional benefits to its residents and that the City will not participate in any activity or pass zoning ordinances or policies that violate anyone's civil rights. |  |  |
|               |               | □ Placing posters in public buildings.  |  |  |
|               |               | ☐ Governing body will hold a special hearing to solicit input from the community.   |  |  |
|               |               | □ Other. Please specify.  |  |  |
|               |               | When will you undertake these activities? □ 2012 □ 2013   |  |  |
|               |               | Not Applicable (Explain)  |  |  |

| 2.            | Consistent with the Fair Housing Act, the State of Texas and funded sub-recipinate have historically conducted fair housing activities at various times of the year a should continue to fund, depending on sufficient appropriations, or collaborate public and private agencies, organizations and groups to plan and conduct fair activities. |   |  |  |  |
|---------------|--|---|--|--|--|
|               |  | We will conduct/sponsor/fund in fair housing activities at various times of the year other than "April as Fair Housing Month". Attach a description of these activities and identify the organizations and agencies you have worked with. |  |  |  |
|               |  | When will you do this? □ 2011 □ 2012 □ 2013   |  |  |  |
|               |  | Not Applicable (Explain) The City of Ivanhoe, Texas will annually review the Fair Housing Activity Statement, and take action on Resolution renewal/update (see item #1).   |  |  |  |
| <u>Impedi</u> | iment  | "Not in my Backyard" (NIMBY) may be an impediment to fair housing in Texas communities.   |  |  |  |

- 1. NIMBY opposition needs to be anticipated and planning and outreach should occur on the front end of projects. To mitigate defensive and reactive responses, planning should include strategies for education, outreach and marketing that provide accurate information and promote the positive aspects and benefits of affordable housing to build support among community residents.<sup>1</sup>
- The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) provides extensive information about Fair Housing and examples at <a href="https://www.hud.gov">www.hud.gov</a>. Generally communities should consider:
  - Working with local officials, editorial boards, religious and civic organizations and other community leaders to initiate education programs.
  - Seeking opportunities to present information to community organizations by requesting to be placed on their meeting agendas.
  - Including a visit to the Group Home residence as part of an education program.
  - Answering all questions.
  - Talking with local neighborhood leaders, including elected representatives, and setting up a neighborhood meeting.
  - Setting up a liaison committee consisting of advocates, group residents, and neighborhood residents to discuss issues.
  - Identifying areas that meet AFFH targets where the community supports development, has worked with community groups and potentially uses funds to assist the development of multi-family affordable housing.

| We have developed an anti-NIMBYism action plan. [Attach a copy or description] |
|--|
| We will develop an anti-NIMBYism action plan. [Designate who will be           |
| responsible for developing this plan.]   |
| As a new, small city in Texas, just starting to develop our action plan, the   |
| City of Ivanhoe will to the best of its ability, explain to residents what is  |
| taking place, or is planned in order to eliminate the "shock factor". The      |
| city's designated representative, will work with state agencies and the        |

|               |  | city's action plan.   |
|---------------|--|---|
|               |  | When will you do this? □ 2011 □ 2012 □ 2013   |
|               |  | Not Applicable (Explain)  |
| <u>Impedi</u> | ment :   | Certain governmental policies and practices may not meet current HUD policy concerning affirmatively furthering fair housing. Jurisdictions should act to ensure that their policies and procedures affirmatively further fair housing, address mal-distribution of resources, and that they do not unnecessarily impact housing choice.  |
| 1.            | juriso<br>the p<br>treati<br>to hir<br>infras<br>(App-<br>comr | art of certifying that a community is affirmatively furthering fair housing, dictions that have long-term infrastructure plans should review them to determine if lan promotes racial concentrations or otherwise inadvertently results in disparate ment of members of protected classes. While not intended to direct a community is a consultant, it is anticipated that a community will review its long-term structure plans as part of this recommended action. In reviewing the project list endix F of the Phase 1 AI) there are many projects that are listed as being of munity-wide benefit. The records do not indicate the actual location of projects or de adequate discussion of how the projects benefit the entire community. |
|               |  | We have a long-term infrastructure plan and will review the plan to determine if it promotes racial concentrations or otherwise inadvertently results in disparate treatment of members of protected classes as it relates to the availability of housing.  |
|               |  | When will you do this? □ 2011   |
|               |  | Not Applicable (Explain)  As a new, small city, we have not yet developed long term infrastructure plans. Any future opportunity for infrastructure development or construction will be reviewed by City Council for Fair Housing Compliance. In addition, the City of Ivanhoe will be working with the state to obtain information from the GLO database to affirmatively further fair housing in the city. No new infrastructure development or construction has occurred, and maintenance of city streets and right of ways has focused on all areas of the city.  |
|               |  | We have already collected information on the locations of protected populations and have adequate information for insuring that new projects with "citywide benefits" will not perpetuate illegal differences in treatment.   |
|               |  | We will use the information in the AI to ensure that proposed projects do not perpetuate illegal differences in treatment.  |
|               |  | When will you do this? $\square$ 2011 $\square$ 2012 Not Applicable (Explain)   |
| 2.            |  | has been determined under federal law that Fair Housing applies to all federal ing and community development funds, to reduce "siloing" the fair housing  |

Deep East Texas Council of Government to gain funding to support the

|    |                        | ponent into only housing-related programs, fair housing should be considered in all ities for all local community planning staff.  |
|----|------------------------|--|
|    |                        | We have determined that consideration of Fair Housing implications has already been incorporated into all aspects of planning in this jurisdiction. [Please attach supporting documentation.]  |
|    |                        | We will review and insure that Fair Housing implications are addressed in all aspects of planning in this jurisdiction in a manner consistent with the guidelines provided by the state at the FHAST form training and maintain documentation of that review.  |
|    |                        | The City of Ivanhoe Zoning Commission reviewed the current Zoning Ordinance in October 2012 for equal treatment of members of all classes, and found the Ordinance in compliance with state guidelines.  |
|    |                        | When will you do this? □ 2012 □ 2013   |
|    |                        | Not Applicable (Explain)   |
| 3. | such                   | ould be beneficial for local elected officials to require senior staff of any subrecipient as a city or county—including managers and attorneys—to receive available Fair sing training within the first 12 months of their employment or engagement.  |
|    |                        | We have a policy in place providing for all senior staff – including managers and attorneys – to receive Fair Housing training within their first 12 months on the job, and for long-term senior staff to receive regular updated training. [Attach copy of policy]  |
|    |                        | We do not have such a policy and will develop and implement one.  The City of Ivanhoe will work with the Deep East Texas Council of Governments and/or other agencies to provide training regarding fair housing to appropriate officials and staff.   |
|    |                        | When will you do this? □ 2013 □ 2014 □ 2015  |
|    |                        | Not Applicable (Explain)   |
| 4. | educ<br>or co<br>train | art of what is usually a common initial training by the associations that provide cation opportunities for newly-appointed board members or newly-elected council ommissioners court members of cities and counties, the state should request that ing include specific information on the Fair Housing Act—with a discussion of natively furthering fair housing obligations. |
|    |                        | No local action is required at this time.  |
| 5. | impronot c             | Il communities should consider limiting the concentration of infrastructure ovements like wastewater treatment, solid waste disposal, or similar necessary but lesirable infrastructure projects in residential areas where there are concentrations otected classes.  |
|    |                        | We have an official policy that limits the concentration of certain infrastructure improvements. [Attach a copy.]  |

|    |                | We have official policies and procedures that take the location of protected classes into account when deciding where to locate undesirable infrastructure improvements. [Attach a copy.]   |
|----|----------------|---|
|    |                | We do NOT have limits on the concentration of undesirable infrastructure improvements or policies and procedures that take the residential location of members of protected classes into account, but will develop formal limits or official policies and procedures.   |
|    |                | When will you do this? □ 2011 □ 2012 □ 2013   |
|    |                | Not Applicable (Explain)  Ivanhoe is a small city; we do not provide wastewater, landfill or similar facilities or services. For any future development requiring environmenta clearance, the governing body will review the project(s) for consistency with fair housing requirements.   |
| 6. |                | munities electing to provide publicly financed housing incentives should be ested to call for recipients to engage in affirmative marketing.  |
|    |                | ☐ We have a policy requiring Affirmative Marketing Plans from developers seeking tax abatements or other supports for new housing.  |
|    |                | $\hfill \square$<br>We do not have such a policy and will develop and implement one.  |
|    |                | When will you do this? □ 2011   |
|    |                | Not Applicable (Explain)  The City of Ivanhoe does not currently administer any housing programs, however if the City is approached by a developer and the City agrees to provide funding, the City will require the developer to provide affirmative marketing plans.  |
| 7. | road:<br>addit | urisdiction is a non-entitlement community, when working in LMI areas to replace s or other infrastructure, the jurisdiction should consider making application for ional sources of funding to provide assistance to repair substandard housing ciated with the project (i.e., TDHCA or HUD.)  |
|    |                | We formally consider accessing supplementary funds when infrastructure proposals are developed. [Attach policies.]  |
|    |                | We have not consistently done this in the past and we will develop a process to formally consider making housing funding applications when funds for infrastructure projects are sought.  The City of Ivanhoe held a public hearing September 13, 2012 to solicit public input for community development block grant projects, and received information from grant writer David Waxman & Associates regarding Community Development Block Grants. |
|    |                | When will you do this? □ 2011 □ 2012 □ 2013   |

|     |  | Not Applicable (Explain)   |
|-----|--|--|
| 8.  | and v<br>proje<br>impro<br>as ac                   | infrastructure projects take into account items like curb cuts, sidewalks, hearing visually impaired indicators at intersections. When approving non-federally funded ects, similar special needs construction should be required for infrastructure ovements. Projects should also address other legacy discrimination issues, such accessibility in public areas like courthouses, community centers and other high careas.  |
|     |  | We currently require that applications for non-federally-funded infrastructure projects are ADA compliant and address other legacy discrimination issues.  |
|     |  | We do not have such a requirement. We will develop one.  Local funds will be utilized in the same manner as federal funded infrastructure projects.  |
|     |  | When will you do this? □2011 □ 2012  |
|     |  | Not Applicable (Explain)   |
| 9.  | other<br>Activ<br>infras<br>hous<br>comr<br>of the | i jurisdiction applying for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds or federal housing and community development funds should submit a Fair Housing ities Statement – Texas (FHAST) with their application, reviewing their structure needs and housing needs and how the proposed activity promotes fair ing or results in more equitable treatment of protected classes. Projects with munity-wide benefits should be accompanied by explicit commitments on the part e local jurisdictions to undertake additional activities to affirmatively further fair ing along with a monitoring and reporting process.   |
|     |  | We submit a FHAST form.  The City Council of the City of Ivanhoe submitted an application October 25, 2012 for CDBG funds for street improvement to serve low to moderate income residents and property owners in the city. The City Resolution #2012-14R states the City desires to develop a viable community, including decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities, principally for persons of low-to-moderate income; and certain conditions exist which represent a threat to the public health and safety; and it is necessary and in the best interests of the City of Ivanhoe to apply for funding under the Texas Community Development Block Grant Program. |
|     |  | Not Applicable (Explain)   |
| 10. | low-ii<br>deter                                    | art of the non-housing disaster recovery program, jurisdictions should consider noome areas and areas populated principally by members of protected classes to mine the potential for flooding and consider making infrastructure expenditures to protect the impacted communities—including colonias.   |
|     |  | We have reviewed LMI areas and areas populated principally by members of protected classes, and prioritized infrastructure expenditures to help protect the impacted communities—including colonias.   |
|     |  |  |

The City of Ivanhoe in September 2012 completed a survey of homes in specific areas of the city to verify low to moderate incomes, and areas

prioritizing infrastructure expenditures and improvements to protect impacted classes. We have not done this in the past but will conduct such a review and consider these infrastructure projects in the future. When will you do this? □ 2012 □ 2013 Not Applicable (Explain) 11. If applicable, all policies should be reviewed regarding denying applicants' access to disaster recovery CDBG funds if their residence is located in the flood plain. If the policy does not allow participation by restricting building in flood plains, then the policy should be assessed to see if alternative housing programs could be implemented for the residents. Local jurisdictions should analyze the results and see if protected classes are more frequently harmed by flood plain restrictions. This action does not apply to the GLO CDBG Disaster Relief Fund that limits property purchase "unless TXCDBG receives satisfactory evidence that the property to be purchased was not constructed or purchased by the current owner after the property site location was officially mapped and included in a designated flood plain." We have completed this review and analysis and will take action on our findings. The City of Ivanhoe city flood plain map has been reviewed. On May 14, 2011 the City Council adopted Ordinance #2011-4a which provides for residents to participate in the national flood plain insurance program. The city flood plain administrator in conjunction with Tyler County Flood Plain/Emergency Management updated the city flood plain information which provides information for new housing construction. The predominant determining factor is mortgage insurance availability and cost, which is not controlled by the city. We have not completed this review and analysis. We will do so and take appropriate actions based on our findings for Round 2 programs. When will you do this? □ 2011 □ 2012 Not Applicable (Explain) 12. When an entire community is in a flood plain, the community should establish clear standards that allow for proper elevation or relocation, and that also allows for visitability/special needs considerations consistent with state<sup>[3]</sup> and federal law. We have established clear standards that allow for proper elevation of homes or for relocation, and also allow for visitability/special needs considerations consistent with state and federal law. [Attach documentation.] We have not developed these standards but will do so for Round 2 programs.

populated by members of protected classes for use in determining and

When will you do this? □2011

<sup>[3]</sup> Texas Government Code Section 2306.514

|     |  | Due to the entire City of Ivanhoe not located in the flood plain. (Refer to item #11).  |
|-----|--|---|
| 13. | conc                                     | I jurisdictions that accommodated the relocation of disaster survivors resulting in entrations of protected class survivors in specific areas should establish Moving to ortunity Programs and include renters in their Moving to Opportunity Programs as ed under Round 2.   |
|     |  | This action step applies to our jurisdiction. We will establish a Moving to Opportunity Program for disaster survivors as part of our Round 2 housing recovery program.   |
|     |  | When will you do this? □ 2011   |
|     |  | Not Applicable (Explain)  The City of Ivanhoe did not receive any persons relocated to our community.   |
| 14. | juriso<br>econ<br>these<br>Thes<br>exter | sistent with the process established in the Conciliation Agreement, local dictions and state agencies should work together to determine a demographic and omic profile of victims of the natural disaster and establish goals for assisting e populations in no less that the proportions they were impacted by the disaster. The goals should be performance goals and disaster recovery funds should be needed incrementally in a manner to ensure that these populations are equitably sted with benefits. |
|     |  | We will cooperate with state agencies to carry out this action step.  |
|     |  | When will you do this? □ 2011   |
|     |  | Not Applicable (Explain)  |
| 15. | that a                                   | frastructure programs funded with disaster recovery funds should be designed so any publicly accessible infrastructure projects and associated facilities are fully ssible to persons with disabilities.  |
|     |  | We have established clear policies and procedures to insure that all infrastructure programs funded with disaster recovery funds will be designed so that any publicly accessible infrastructure projects and associated facilities are fully accessible to persons with disabilities. [Attach documentation.]  |
|     |  | We have not developed these standards and policies; we will do so for Round 2 infrastructure projects.  The City of Ivanhoe is not qualified for disaster recovery funds prior to November 2009, when the City was created. Policies and procedures are being developed in conjunction with the 2012 Community Development Block Grant funding cycle and city application for future disaster recovery funds, and will follow FEMA guidelines for disaster recovery funding.                                  |

When will you do this?  $\square$  2012

|               | □ <b>N</b>   | Not Appl   | licable (Explain)   |
|---------------|--|--|---|
| 16.           | dama<br>that a<br>of ap  | aged or<br>affirmati   | with the Conciliation Agreement, family and elderly public housing units destroyed by the disaster should be reconstructed or repaired in a manner vely furthers fair housing utilizing disaster recovery funds within 24 months of the initial application for disaster recovery assistance for the local  |
|               |  | the dis  | firm that family and elderly public housing units damaged or destroyed by saster will be reconstructed or repaired in a manner that affirmatively restair housing utilizing disaster recovery funds within 24 months of ssion of the initial application for disaster recovery assistance by the local ction.   |
|               |  | -  | oplicable (Explain)  The City of Ivanhoe has no public housing units in the community.  |
| <u>Impedi</u> | ment   | <u>#6</u>  | Governmental entities at all levels do not appear to have been proactive in the enforcement of both the Fair Housing Act and the obligation to affirmatively further fair housing. The State and subrecipients should implement a robust and effective structure for identifying and pursuing suspected violations.   |
| 1.            | agen<br>that r<br>prote<br>enfor<br>progri<br>juriso<br>the fo<br>cond<br>renta<br>forec | cies and<br>receive f<br>ect state<br>rement<br>rams by<br>dictions<br>ollowing<br>itions ba<br>dl; preda<br>dlosure r | otential for increase in Fair Housing enforcement action by federal and state of private organizations, an ongoing fair housing testing program for areas federal housing and community development funds could be beneficial to agencies and sub-recipients from potential repayment. Fair housing it is a valid use of CDBG funding and can be used to establish testing agencies trained in HUD testing procedures. The state, or local combining together, should consider conducting tests in areas that include its steering in sales and rental; the denial of and different terms and assed on race, national origin, familial status, and disability in sales and atory and disparate terms and conditions in lending and insurance; and modification schemes targeting minority neighborhoods. The state should be reducation to applicable entities on self-testing and self-correction. |
|               |  |  | We currently have a testing program for Fair Housing violations. For more information.  We do not have a testing program for Fair Housing violations and plan to tablish one.  With the assistance of the Deep East Texas Council of Governments, State and Federal Agencies, to the extent possible the City of Ivanhoe will contract with the COG or another entity providing such tests on our behalf.   |
|               |  |  | When will you do this? □ 2013   |
|               |  |  | Not Applicable (Explain)  |

2. TDHCA should, as a pilot program, allocate funds to independent third parties or a combined jurisdiction team identified in point 1 of this section to provide similar testing to determine if additional enforcement is necessary.

## No local action is required at this time.

|    | •   |
|----|---|
| 3. | Impacted agencies that provide certification that they are affirmatively furthering fair housing as required by federal law, should consider publishing a public document on enforcement that provides the public and communities with a clear description (and chart) of the state and Federal Fair Housing Act.   |
|    | On documents concerning housing and community development programs that are provided to the public, we will list fair housing enforcement contacts and procedures consistent with the State suggested language when it is provided in 2011.   |
|    | Pending receipt of draft language from the state.   |
|    | When will you do this? □ 2013   |
|    | □ Not Applicable (Explain)  |
| 4. | Each community should place on its website (if one is available) the contact, at the local, state, and federal levels, for reporting a Fair Housing complaint, if citizens believe they were victims of housing discrimination.   |
|    | □ We have published the contact information – at the local, state and federal levels – for reporting a Fair Housing complaint. [Attach a copy or URL.]  The city website is online at <a href="www.cityofivanhoetx.com">www.cityofivanhoetx.com</a> and the fair housing complaint contact information is included for:  LOCAL: <a href="cityofivanhoe@gmail.com">cityofivanhoe@gmail.com</a> STATE: <a href="www.twc.state.tx.us/crd/file_hsg.html">www.twc.state.tx.us/crd/file_hsg.html</a> <a href="FEDERAL: http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src+/topics/housing_discrimination">housing_discrimination</a>   |
|    | When will you do this? □ 2012   |
|    | □ Not Applicable (Explain)  |
| 5. | Each local jurisdiction should publish on its website a clear statement, approved jointly by TDHCA, expressing the jurisdiction's obligation to affirmatively further fair housing and providing a method for reporting suspected noncompliance to the state and to HUD. The jurisdiction's contact person should be able to refer to clear local Fair Housing procedures for the complaint process, keep logs and records of all inquiries, allegations, complaints and referrals. These reports should be sent to the appropriate funding agency. Where these reports show that a jurisdiction has administered programs inconsistently with the AI and had the effect of discouraging applications from members of protected classes who are deemed eligible under the plan for assistance, affirmative marketing plans should be developed and submitted to the appropriate agency. |

Affirmatively Further Fair Housing. [Attach a copy or URL.]

We have published a policy statement expressing our jurisdiction's obligation to

|  | We will publish a policy statement consistent with the language the State provides in 2011.  The City of Ivanhoe will utilize a policy statement pending receipt of draft language from the state. |
|--|--|
|  | When will you do this? □ 2013  |
|  | Not Applicable (Explain)   |
|  | We have developed clear procedures for the Fair Housing complaint process. [Attach a copy]   |
|  | We will develop clear procedures for the Fair Housing complaint process once more guidance in given by the State.  |
|  | When will you do this? □ 2013  |
|  | Not Applicable (Explain)   |
|  | We keep complete logs and records of all Fair Housing inquiries, allegations, complaints and referrals and have a policy statement about these legal records.                                      |
|  | We will begin keeping required logs and records.   |
|  | When will you do this? □ 2012  |
|  | Not Applicable (Explain)   |
|  | We have remedial procedures for developers, landlords, home sellers and others whose actions may be inconsistent with Fair Housing laws and regulations.   |
|  | We do not have remedial procedures but will develop them.  The agency or person who will be responsible for developing these procedures is the <u>Ivanhoe City Council.</u>                        |
|  | When will you do this? □ 2013  |
|  | Not Applicable (Explain)   |
| Impediment #7  Many local jurisdictions have zoning codes, land use controls, and administrative practices that may impede fair housing choice and fail to affirmatively further fair housing. |  |

1. The law anticipates that ordinances creating disparate impact should also be reviewed for change. If a disparate impact is determined to exist by the local jurisdiction, it could repeal or amend the restriction, use public funds to offset the cost through homebuyer assistance programs, or waive fees or other offsets to make the home more affordable.

|    |   | We recently conducted or updated a Fair Housing Review of our ordinances and codes.   |  |
|----|---|---|--|
|    |   | We have not done so but will conduct a review.  The Planning & Zoning Commission will utilize state suggested guidelines when they become available to develop recommendations for the city policy to consider and document fair housing implications of any new requirements in the city's Zoning Ordinance. |  |
|    |   | We have a policy statement/guidance for those responsible for developing codes/ ordinances that reminds them to consider and document the Fair Housing/AFFH implications of any new rule.   |  |
|    |   | We do not have such a policy/guidance but will develop one when suggested guidelines are provided by the State in 2011.   |  |
|    |   | When will you do this? □ 2013   |  |
|    |   | Not Applicable (Explain)  |  |
| 2. | To help limit concentrations that could be considered impediments to affirmatively furthering fair housing, jurisdictions that have long term planning documents for housing growth or redevelopment, or revitalization plans, should consider allowing or encouraging mixed income affordable housing in the plan and provide incentives for development of this type of housing in areas that are not concentrated. |   |  |
|    |   | We recently conducted a Fair Housing Review and took/are taking appropriate action concerning our planning documents. [Attach a list of plans reviewed, a summary of findings, and actions you will take to remove impediments]   |  |
|    |   | We have not done so but will conduct a Fair Housing Review after the State provides suggested guidelines.   |  |
|    |   | When will you do this? □ 2013   |  |
|    |   | Not Applicable (Explain)  |  |
|    |   | We have identified residential areas that show concentrations or underrepresentation of protected groups, and we encourage mixed-income affordable housing and other strategies to widen housing choice throughout our jurisdiction.  |  |
|    |   | We have not done so but will include this in our FHAST plan once guidelines are provided by the State in 2011.  |  |
|    |   | When will you do this? □ 2011 □ 2012 □ 2013   |  |
|    |   | Not Applicable (Explain)  |  |
|    |   |   |  |

| 3.   | consi                                 | ocal jurisdictions seeking CDBG Disaster Recovery funds from the state should onsider offering expedited permitting and review processes for affordable housing rojects within high opportunity target zones.   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
|  |                                       | We currently offer incentives to developers to locate affordable housing projects in high opportunity neighborhoods and prevent overconcentration.  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                       | We have not done so but will.   |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                       | When will you do this? □ 2011 □ 2012 □ 2013   |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                       | Not Applicable (Explain)  As a small city, Ivanhoe Building Permits are reviewed within 48 to 72 hours of receipt of application.   |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Impedi</u>  | ment :                                | Inadequate planning for re-housing after an emergency situation creates a situation where persons who are uninsured or under-insured, low income, or special needs can be displaced for long periods of time.   |  |  |  |  |
| 1.   | temp<br>guida                         | e legislators, the Sunset Commission, and communities acknowledge that while orary disaster housing is a federal program, Texas should continue to provide ance to local governments on additional planning that needs to be done as part of mergency preparedness planning to most efficiently work with FEMA.   |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                       | No local action is required at this time.   |  |  |  |  |
| 2.   | hous<br>provibuildi<br>healt<br>can r | as much of what FEMA has previously offered is travel trailers or manufactured ousing, local governments should review their zoning requirements or other land use rovisions that restrict temporary housing or housing on an existing lot during the uilding process and look at potential waivers that do not risk or negatively impact ealth, safety, and welfare during a period after disasters so that low income persons an move back to their existing communities with temporary housing while waiting for edevelopment. |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                       | We have reviewed our zoning requirements and other land use provisions and have provided waivers or other accommodations for post-disaster housing.  The City of Ivanhoe Zoning Ordinance allows temporary structures during construction and disasters.  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                       | We have not done so but will review our zoning and look at potential waivers.   |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                       | When will you do this? □ 2011 □ 2012 □ 2013   |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                       | Not Applicable (Explain)  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                       |   |  |  |  |  |
| Impediment #9 There are impediments in public and private actions and private attitudes to housing choice for persons with disabilities. |                                       |   |  |  |  |  |

To meet federal Fair Housing requirements for zoning and neighborhood uses. jurisdictions should look to determine if there are direct or indirect limitations in codes that would prevent facilities or personal residences from providing assistance or communities of choice or service-enriched environments that directly impact special needs persons. Local jurisdictions should work to ensure that zoning or code requirements do not unnecessarily impose stricter commercial building requirements, such as emergency access or protection services, on group homes, thereby dramatically increasing housing costs for persons with special needs. We have reviewed our codes and ordinances and have addressed/are addressing any impediments relating to special needs persons, including (1) rules that might prevent facilities or personal residences from providing assistance or communities of choice or service-enriched environments that directly impact special needs persons, and (2) rules that might unfairly increase the costs to special needs persons. We have not done so but will conduct a review and address any impediments identified once guidelines are provided by the State. When will you do this? □ 2012 Not Applicable (Explain) Local jurisdictions should consider coordinating with the legislatively created Housing and Health Services Coordination Council for best practices on working with supportive services. We agree to coordinate with the legislatively created Housing and Health Services Coordination Council staffed by TDHCA for best practices on working with supportive services. When will you do this?  $\square$  2011  $\square$  2012  $\square$  2013 Not Applicable (Explain)

#### Impediment #10

There are barriers to mobility and free housing choice for Housing Choice Voucher holders including: inadequate tenant counseling services and mobility assistance, failure of PHAs to apply for the FMR pilot demonstration funds, and government policies, procedures, and regulations that tend to decrease participation by private housing providers and to restrict available housing to "racially or low-income populated neighborhoods" with little access to economic, educational, or other opportunity.

No local action beyond compliance with Round 2 Housing Guidelines is currently required but communities are encouraged to work with local public housing authorities to understand and overcome these impediments.

| Impediment #11 | Loss of housing stock in Hurricanes Dolly and Ike compounded the         |
|----------------|--|
| -              | shortage of affordable housing in disaster recovery areas. This shortage |
|                | is particularly acute in safe, low-poverty neighborhoods with access to  |
|                | standard public services, job opportunities and good schools.            |

No local action is required at this time. TDHCA will develop a statewide strategic plan including guidance for local jurisdictions on the following Action Steps in 2011.

- To help offset the costs of developments that feature reduced rents without government support, local jurisdictions should consider establishing density bonuses to allow for higher levels of units per site for multifamily developments and single-family developments that propose increased affordability.
- 2. TDHCA and HUD have developed programs that preserve affordable housing. Continuing in this vein, the state and local jurisdictions should work to preserve existing affordable housing development and discourage them from converting to market rate housing. Requirements should be included in all publicly funded developments providing tenants with early and clear notification of the intention of management to convert to market rate housing and providing first right of refusal to nonprofit and public entities and organizations to purchase units to maintain affordability.
- 3. The state and local jurisdictions should consider using CDBG funds to buy down the cost of land in high-cost and high-opportunity development areas to increase affordable housing options in these areas.

# Lack of financial resources for both individuals and housing providers limits Fair Housing choice. Using an effective program under Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 may help members of protected classes gain economic opportunities necessary to allow them to exercise fair housing choice.

1. The state is maximizing its resources in Round 2 of the Ike/Dolly funding to affirmatively further fair housing in single family and multi-family developments. As called for in the Conciliation Agreement, the state is looking to provide more integrated housing options for persons in racially concentrated or poverty concentrated neighborhood groups. In single-family programs, the state should require subrecipients to offer the opportunity to relocate out of floodplain areas, concentrations of racial minorities, or concentrations of poverty—through the Homeowner Opportunity Program. Any relocation should be into an area that does not result in simply relocating the high-concentration from one area to another.

Local jurisdictions will be responsible for complying with Section 3 as part of their contract with the state.

- 2. Jurisdictions receiving federal funds from HUD, directly or indirectly, should ensure they have a compliant Section 3 program to meet HUD requirements regarding notification to LMI eligible persons of potential job creation at the impacted neighborhood level with federal funds.
  - We have in place a Section 3 program that meets the requirements of federal law and regulations regarding potential job creation at the impacted neighborhood

level and the use of federal funds to hire local LMI eligible persons. We confirm that appropriate staff persons in this jurisdiction have already received training on Section 3, regarding job creation for local LMI persons including members of protected classes. [Attach Section 3 plan and list of staff names and training dates]

We have not done so but will develop a Section 3 program that meets the requirements of federal law and regulations and that ensure appropriate staff receive training.

The City of Ivanhoe will develop a Section 3 program in conjunction with the city application for Community Development Block Grant funding in 2013/2014.

When will you do this? □ 2013

## **Impediment #13**

Location and lack of housing accessibility and visitability standards within political jurisdictions limits fair housing choice for persons with disabilities.

- 1. Local jurisdictions should consider establishing incentives for affordable housing applicants to create an increased set-aside of housing units for persons with disabilities or persons who are elderly without violating the existing TDHCA integrated housing rule.
- TDHCA and local jurisdictions should consider adding proximity to medical facilities as a scoring incentive for competitive programs using federal funds for proximity to medical facilities.
- 3. TDHCA should require that all federally funded housing construction be built to accessibility standards found in Texas Government Code §2306.514.
  - We have formally considered: 1) establishing incentives for affordable housing developers to create an increased set-aside of housing units for persons with disabilities or persons who are elderly without violating the existing TDHCA Integrated Housing Rule; 2) providing point incentives for units in proximity to medical facilities for competitive programs using federal funds; and 3)requiring new housing built with federal funds to be built with structures that allow for accessible features, regardless of whether the original occupant needs the features, as called for by state law. [Attach documentation of the review and resulting actions.]
  - ☐ We have not undertaken the above review, but plan to do so.

When will you do this? □ 2011 □ 2012 □ 2013

□ Not Applicable (Explain)

To date, the City of Ivanhoe is not administering any housing programs. If the opportunity presents itself in the future, the city will consider developing incentives consistent with community needs and the jurisdictions ability to support such incentives to comply with all fair housing laws.

| <u>ımpea</u>   | <u>iment 7</u>                | <del>714</del>                   | infrastructure and protections against flooding and are impacted by flooding beyond events like Hurricanes Dolly and Ike.  |
|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1.             | colonia<br>improv<br>historia | as, in pa<br>vements<br>cal prov | Gs, and local jurisdictions should examine the infrastructure needs in articular the use of CDBG disaster recovery funds to provide drainage to correct flooding problems in the wake of Hurricane Dolly, and the ision of public infrastructure and housing assistance to meet those needs non-border colonias.   |
|                |                               | jurisdic                         | ve identified the unserved infrastructure needs of colonias within our ction and whether these infrastructure improvements are eligible for recovery funding and, if so, whether those projects will be funded.  |
|                |                               | We ha                            | ve not undertaken the above review, but plan to do so.   |
|                |                               |                                  | When will you do this? □ 2011  |
|                |                               | Not Ap                           | plicable (Explain) No colonias exist in our community.   |
| <u>Imped</u>   | iment ‡                       | <u>¥15</u>                       | Minority neighborhoods in disaster areas are primarily served by non-regulated insurance companies that do not adhere to underwriting guidelines and may be discriminated against in the provision of insurance. Texas has passed aggressive statues to prevent insurance "redlining." National research indicates that protected classes face unwarranted disparities in the cost of insurance, the amount of coverage, and cancellation of policies without notice to the homeowner. |
|                |                               | No                               | local action is required at this time.   |
| Impediment #16 |                               |                                  | Many jurisdictions do not have adequate Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing or Fair Housing Plans, and do not keep sufficient records of their activities.   |
| 1.             | by th                         | e Fair H<br>ment th              | f CDBG funds from HUD for housing should maintain records as required lousing Act, HUD regulations, and the Conciliation Agreement in order to at they are carrying out their commitments and affirmatively furthering fair  |
|                |                               | compli<br>Concili                | rrently maintain all required records to document our AFFH actions and ance with Fair Housing laws, HUD and State regulations, and the ation Agreement. [Attach details of the records now kept and identify the or entity responsible for keeping these records.]   |
|                |                               | We ha                            | ve not done so but do will do so in compliance with GLO guidance.  |
|                |                               |                                  | When will you do this? □ 2013  |
|                |                               | Not Ap                           | plicable (Explain)   |

| 2.                               | Al af<br>Als d<br>issue<br>Hous<br>ident    | required under the Conciliation Agreement, the State will conduct a new Statewide ter HUD approval of the Phase 1 AI. Entitlement communities should conduct new or update current AIs to ensure that they address all recommended data and es and specifically address issues related to all protected classes under the Fair sing Act. Race and national origin, as well as the other protected classes, must be diffied independent of low and moderate-income categories in order to understand impact of actions, practices, regulations, ordinances, and other factors on them. |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|                                  |   | We recently completed a formal Analysis of Impediments, are currently updating an existing AI, or are conducting our first AI. [Attach most recent AI or draft.]  |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |   | We are using the FHAST form process to analyze our impediments to fair housing and plan how to address them.  |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |   | When will you do this? □ 2013   |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |   | Not Applicable (Explain)  |  |  |  |  |
| unique in<br>develop<br>State of | mped<br>alterr<br>Texas                     | r additional Local Action Steps developed by this jurisdiction: To address iments to fair housing within each community, local jurisdictions are encouraged to native action steps to be adopted in lieu of or in addition to those set out in the solution Interior Analysis of Impediments to fair housing. If your jurisdiction elects to native or additional action steps, please describe them below.  We plan to take additional Action Steps, described in an Attachment.   |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |   | We will not take additional FH Action Steps at this time.   |  |  |  |  |
|                                  | When will you do this? □ 2011 □ 2012 □ 2013 |   |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |   | Not Applicable (Explain)  |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |   | oved and adopted by the City Council of the City Of Ivanhoe, this day of _, 2011.   |  |  |  |  |
| ATTEST                           | :   | Mayor, City of Ivanhoe  |  |  |  |  |
| City Secret                      | ary, City                                   | v of Ivanhoe  |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |   |   |  |  |  |  |