



Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research On-line version ISSN 1414-431X

Braz J Med Biol Res vol.48 no.10 Ribeirão Preto Oct. 2015 Epub July 28, 2015

http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1414-431X20154460

IX MEETING OF THE "SOCIEDADE BRASILEIRA DE BIOCIÊNCIAS NUCLEARES". CAXAMBU, MG, BRAZIL AUGUST 27-30, 2014

Low-intensity red and infrared laser effects at high fluences on *Escherichia coli* cultures

L.L. Barboza¹

V.M.A. Campos¹

L.A.G. Magalhães¹

F. Paoli²

A.S. Fonseca¹ ³ ⁴

¹Departamento de Biofísica e Biometria, Instituto de Biologia Roberto Alcântara Gomes, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil

²Departamento de Morfologia, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora, Juiz de Fora, MG, Brasil

³Departamento de Ciências Fisiológicas, Instituto Biomédico, Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil

⁴Centro de Ciências da Saúde, Centro Universitário Serra dos =rgãos, Teresópolis, RJ, Brasil



ABSTRACT

Semiconductor laser devices are readily available and practical radiation sources providing wavelength tenability and high monochromaticity. Low-intensity red and near-infrared lasers are considered safe for use in clinical applications. However, adverse effects can occur via free radical generation, and the biological effects of these lasers from unusually high fluences or high doses have not yet been evaluated. Here, we evaluated the survival, filamentation induction and morphology of *Escherichia coli* cells deficient in repair of oxidative DNA lesions when exposed to low-intensity red and infrared lasers at unusually high fluences. Cultures of wild-type (AB1157), endonuclease III-deficient (JW1625-1), and endonuclease IV-deficient (JW2146-1) *E. coli*, in exponential and stationary growth phases, were exposed to red and infrared lasers (0, 250, 500, and 1000 J/cm²) to evaluate their survival rates, filamentation phenotype induction and cell morphologies. The results showed that low-intensity red and infrared lasers have potential to induce adverse effects on cells, whether used at unusually high fluences, or at high doses. Hence, there is a need to reinforce the importance of accurate dosimetry in therapeutic protocols.

Key words: DNA; Escherichia coli ; Filamentation; Laser

INTRODUCTION

Low-intensity lasers are lightweight, available sources of monochromatic non-ionizing radiation ($\frac{1}{2}$). Because they are practical and low-cost, these devices are increasingly being used in health care. In nonphotosynthetisizing cells, laser light absorption occurs via chromophores and alterations in cell physiology have been reported $(\frac{2}{2})$. Chromophores, which act as intracellular photoacceptors, are responsible for the biological effects of low-intensity lasers $(\frac{3}{2})$. Certain reaction centers in cytochrome c oxidase (Cua and Cub or hemes a and a3) in mammalian cells and cytochrome bd and bo complexes in Escherichia coli cells have been described as the main cellular photoacceptors $(\frac{3}{2})$. After absorption of laser radiation energy at low fluences by such photoacceptors, transduction processes are responsible for activating intracellular signaling pathways, thereby amplifying the primary photosignal ($\frac{4}{2}$). Highly reactive chemical species (i.e., reactive oxygen and nitrogen species) are involved in the transduction processes where they function as second messages, interact with biomolecules, and alter cellular functions and gene expression $(\frac{4}{5})$. It is possible that photobiological side-effects occur when the antioxidant systems are not capable of protecting the cells against free radical attack. This situation can occur when antioxidant systems are not functioning, or when inadequate exposure to low-intensity lasers at high doses arises. An intracellular imbalance between oxidant and antioxidant contents means that free radicals might occur in cells exposed to low-intensity lasers when high doses are used. At therapeutic doses, sub-lethal DNA damage has been reported after exposure to low-intensity red and infrared lasers in eukarvotic (567) and prokarvotic cells $(\frac{8}{9}, \frac{9}{9}).$

Although low-intensity laser radiation can potentially damage DNA, therapeutic protocols based on it are used successfully to improve wound healing ($\frac{10}{10}$), accelerate the repair of skin, cartilage and bone, to treat nerve injuries and relieve inflammation ($\frac{11}{10}$) and pain ($\frac{12}{10}$). The scientific basis of laser applications in therapy is the so-

Low-intensity red and infrared laser effects at high fluences on Escherichia coli cultures

called biostimulation (or biomodulation) effect, which results from alterations of intracellular processes, mainly via an increase in metabolism and the rate of cell division (2).

The biological effects of low-intensity lasers are dependent on the exposure parameters used. Energy densities, directionality, high monochromaticity and emission mode properties are characteristics that enable semiconductor laser devices to treat various diseases, and the different clinical protocols suggested for their use can be found in specialized literature on this topic $(\frac{11}{2})$ and in guides on laser devices. These protocols are based on low-energy densities (fluences) or low-power densities and for this reason low-intensity lasers are considered safe for clinical applications. Also, red and near-infrared radiation (600 up to 1300 nm) is not considered to induce significant adverse effects in biological tissues $(\frac{2}{2})$, unlike ultraviolet radiation, which induces hyperpigmentation, aging and carcinogenesis ($\frac{13}{1}$). Under low fluences (0.1 up to 100 J/cm²), low-intensity lasers are considered to generate nonthermal and nondestructive effects $(\frac{1}{2})$. However, high energy densities and intensities are deposited in a small volume and over a short time period, thereby delivering high-dose radiation to the biological tissue exposed to such lasers. Hence, the clinical outcomes of laser use depend on delivery of accurate doses of laser radiation and ensuring that adverse effects cannot occur through accidental high-dose exposure. However, few experimental studies on the biological effects induced by low-intensity lasers at unusual doses exist, making research in this area important as undesirable effects from low-dose lasers can occur via accidental exposure or when non-calibrated devices are used. Therefore, the work presented here investigated the survival, filamentation induction and morphology of E. coli cells deficient in repair of oxidative DNA lesions when exposed to low-intensity red and infrared laser radiation at unusually high fluences.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Low-intensity red and near-infrared lasers

Therapeutic low-intensity red and near-infrared lasers (Photon Lase III) were purchased from DMC Equipamentos Ltda. (Brazil). The laser parameters are shown in <u>Table 1</u>.

Parameter	Red laser	Infrared laser		
Emission medium	InGaAIP	AsGaAl		
Emission mode	Continuous wave	Continuous wave		
Power (mW)	100	100		
Fluence (J/cm ²)	250, 500 and 1000	250, 500 and 1000		
Energy (J)	7, 14 and 28	7, 14 and 28		
Irradiation time (s)	70, 140 and 280	70, 140 and 280		
Spot size (mm ²)	2.75	2.75		

Table 1. Low-intensity laser para	ameters.
-----------------------------------	----------

E. coli cell survival

Cultures of *E. coli* AB1157 (wild-type), JW1625-1 (deficient in endonuclease III) and JW2146-1 (deficient in endonuclease IV) were exposed to low-intensity red and infrared lasers and their survival rates were evaluated. From stocks in stationary growth phase, cultures of these strains were prepared to attain their exponential growth phase (i.e., 10^8 cells/mL; 2–3 h, 37°C). Other experiments were carried out with cultures of the same *E. coli* strains in the stationary growth phase (10^{10} cells/mL; 18 h, 37°C). Bacterial cells were centrifuged twice (700 *g*, 15 min) and resuspended in saline (0.9% NaCl) each time. Aliquots (50μ L, n=5, for each fluence) of the bacterial suspensions (10^8 cells/mL) were exposed, at room temperature and under white light (fluorescent lamps), to low-intensity red and infrared lasers. The exposure time of the cells was automatically adjusted by the laser device as a function of the fluence. The laser device was positioned such that almost all the surface of the bacterial aliquot suspension was covered by the laser beam. Controls were bacterial suspensions not exposed to lasers. Immediately after exposure to a laser, the bacterial suspensions were counted after incubation (37° C, 18 h) and the survival fractions were calculated ($\frac{14}{2}$).

Bacterial filamentation assays

To evaluate filamentation induction, exponential and stationary *E. coli* AB1157, JW1625-1, and JW2146-1 cultures were obtained and exposed to low-intensity red and infrared lasers as described in the bacterial survival assay. Bacterial suspensions not exposed to lasers were used as controls. Immediately after exposure, aliquots (20 μ L) were withdrawn, spread onto microscopic slides and stained by the Gram method ($\frac{15}{2}$). Bacterial cells were visualized using a Carl Zeiss Axio Scope A1 microscope (Germany) equipped with an A-plan 40/0.65 objective, a 0.90 condenser and a 100W halogen lamp. The images were captured with an AxioCam HRc Sony 12M color microscopy camera (Carl Zeiss), using AxioVision software. Thereafter, the images were analyzed by Image-Pro Plus 6.0 software for Windows XP (Media Cybernetics, Inc., USA) to determine the bacterial filamentation

percentages. A bacterial filament was considered to be 2.5 times the average area of a bacterial cell. Experiments were carried out in duplicate and the results represent the mean of three independent assays.

Bacterial morphological measurements

Bacterial suspensions of *E. coli* AB1157, JW2146-1, and JW1625-1 (10^8 cells/mL) were exposed to low-intensity red and infrared lasers as described above in the bacterial survival and filamentation assay methods. Immediately after laser exposure, aliquots were spread onto microscopic slides and stained by the Gram method ($\frac{15}{10}$). Bacterial cells were visualized by light microscopy (300 cells for each laser exposure), as described in the bacterial filamentation assay method.

Statistical analysis

Data are reported as means±SD of the bacterial survival fractions, the bacterial filament percentages, and the surface area of the bacterial cells. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to verify potential statistical differences, followed by the Tukey post-test with P<0.05 indicating statistical significance. InStat software for Windows XP (GraphPad Software, USA) was used to perform the statistical analyses.

RESULTS

Survival of E. coli cultures exposed to low-intensity red and infrared lasers

The survival fractions of exponentially grown *E. coli* AB1157, JW1625-1 and JW2146-1 cultures exposed to lowintensity red and infrared lasers are reported in <u>Table 2</u>. The data in this table show that exposure to these lasers did not significantly alter the survival fractions of the *E. coli* AB1157 and JW1625-1 cultures. However, red and infrared lasers significantly (P<0.05) decreased the survival fractions of JW2146-1 at the higher fluence (1000 J/cm²) evaluated herein.

Fluence (J/cm ²)	Survival fractions						
	AB1157		JW1625-1		JW2146-1		
	Red	Infrared	Red	Infrared	Red	Infrared	
0	1.0 ± 0.17	1.0 ± 0.17	1.0 ± 0.11	1.0 ± 0.11	1.0 ± 0.18	1.0 ± 0.18	
250	1.2 ± 0.23	1.2 ± 0.13	1.5 ± 0.30	1.3 ± 0.22	0.7 ± 0.21	1.0 ± 0.42	
500	1.1 ± 0.14	1.3 ± 0.18	1.1 ± 0.30	1.3 ± 0.23	0.7 ± 0.22	0.6 ± 0.27	
1000	$1.2~\pm~0.25$	$1.3~\pm~0.25$	$1.0~\pm~0.20$	$0.9~\pm~0.22$	$0.4 \pm 0.12^{*}$	$0.7 \pm 0.08^{*}$	

Table 2. Survival fractions of *E. coli* cultures exposed to low-intensity red and infrared lasers in exponential growth phase.

Data are reported as means \pm SD of three independent assays. Experiments were carried out in quadruplicate. *P < 0.05 compared to the control group not exposed to lasers (Tukey post-test).

The survival rates of stationary cultures of the same *E. coli*strains were evaluated to verify whether the lowintensity red and infrared laser effects are dependent on the physiological conditions of the cells (<u>Table 3</u>). Stationary *E. coli* AB1157 cultures had survival fractions similar to those of the exponential cultures. However, *E. coli* JW1625-1 had an increased survival fraction after exposure to red laser at the higher fluence level. No significant alteration of the survival fraction was obtained for *E. coli* JW1625-1 after infrared laser exposure. In contrast to the decreased survival fractions of the exponential cultures of *E. coli* JW2146-1, the survival fractions of stationary JW2146-1 cultures were not significantly modified by exposure to low-intensity red and infrared lasers. Table 3. Survival fractions of *E. coli* cultures exposed to low-intensity red and infrared lasers in stationary growth phase.

Fluence (J/cm ²)	Survival fractions					
	AB1157		JW1625-1		JW2146-1	
	Red	Infrared	Red	Infrared	Red	Infrared
0	1.0 ± 0.19	1.0 ± 0.19	0.9 ± 0.17	0.9 ± 0.17	1.0 ± 0.17	1.0 ± 0.17
250	1.0 ± 0.31	1.1 ± 0.25	1.3 ± 0.30	2.3 ± 0.25	1.1 ± 0.24	0.7 ± 0.23
500	0.8 ± 0.25	1.0 ± 0.33	1.2 ± 0.16	1.4 ± 0.24	0.9 ± 0.16	1.0 ± 0.20
1000	$0.9~\pm~0.18$	$0.9~\pm~0.22$	$1.7 \pm 0.12^{*}$	1.1 ± 0.16	$0.9~\pm~0.31$	$0.8~\pm~0.26$

Data are reported as means \pm SD of three independent assays. Experiments were carried out in quadruplicate. *P < 0.05 compared to the control group not exposed to lasers (Tukey post-test).

Filamentation induction in E. coli cultures exposed to low-intensity red and infrared lasers

<u>Figure 1</u> shows a photograph of representative cells from *E. coli* AB1157 cultures during the exponential growth phase (1A). <u>Figure 1B</u>shows the bacterial cell image analysis. The bacterial filament percentages in exponential phase *E. coli* cultures are shown in <u>Table 4</u>. Data in this table show that the red and infrared lasers did not significantly induce the filamentation phenotype in *E. coli* AB1157. Also, infrared laser treatment did not significantly induce filament formation in *E. coli* JW1625-1 and JW2146-1 cultures. However, in the JW1625-1 cultures, exposure to low-intensity red laser significantly (P<0.05) induced an increase in the percentage of bacterial filaments, but in JW2146-1 cultures this effect was significant only at mid fluence (500 J/cm²).



Figure 1 Representative images of bacterial filamentation from AB1157 cultures in the stationary growth phase. *A*, Arrow denotes bacterial filamentation; *B*, same image illustrating how the image analysis was performed. A bacterial filament was considered to be present in a bacterium when the area of the bacterial cell was 2.5-times larger than the mean value of the area.

Fluence (J/cm ²)	Percentage of bacterial filaments						
	AB1157		JW1625-1		JW2146-1		
	Red	Infrared	Red	Infrared	Red	Infrared	
0	0.6 ± 0.25	0.6 ± 0.25	0.3 ± 0.34	0.3 ± 0.34	1.2 ± 0.57	1.2 ± 0.57	
250	$0.0~\pm~0.00$	$0.0~\pm~0.00$	$2.6 \pm 0.77^{*}$	0.9 ± 0.30	1.1 ± 0.55	0.5 ± 0.25	
500	0.0 ± 0.00	$0.0~\pm~0.00$	4.3 ± 1.53*	$0.0~\pm~0.00$	$2.1 \pm 0.52^{*}$	1.4 ± 0.35	
1000	$0.0~\pm~0.00$	$0.2~\pm~0.28$	$1.3 \pm 0.47^{*}$	$0.0~\pm~0.00$	$1.0~\pm~0.52$	1.2 ± 0.57	

Table 4. Bacterial filament percentages in exponentially grown *E. coli* cultures exposed to low-intensity red and infrared lasers.

Data are reported as means \pm SD of three independent assays. Experiments were carried out in duplicate. *P<0.05 compared to the control group not exposed to lasers (Tukey post-test).

Stationary *E. coli* cultures were also exposed to red and infrared lasers to evaluate filamentation induction (Table 5). Similar to the results observed with the exponential cultures, *E. coli* AB1157 exposure to red laser treatment did not induce significant filamentation, but exposure to infrared laser at the higher fluence (1000 J/cm²) increased the level of this phenotype. In contrast to the results of the exponential cultures, red laser exposure did not induce significant filamentation in stationary *E. coli* JW1625-1. Interestingly, laser exposure significantly (P<0.05) reduced the filament percentage in stationary *E. coli* JW2146-1, except at 500 J/cm² (no significant alteration) and at 1000 J/cm² where a significant (P<0.05) increase in bacterial filaments was seen.

Fluence (J/cm ²)		Percentage of bacterial filaments						
	AB1157		JW1625-1		JW2146-1			
	Red	Infrared	Red	Infrared	Red	Infrared		
0	0.5 ± 0.24	0.5 ± 0.24	1.1 ± 0.51	1.1 ± 0.91	1.4 ± 0.58	1.4 ± 0.18		
250	1.3 ± 0.51	0.9 ± 0.78	1.6 ± 0.90	$0.0~\pm~0.00$	$0.0 \pm 0.00^{*}$	$0.3 \pm 0.13^{*}$		
500	$0.0~\pm~0.00$	0.3 ± 0.28	0.8 ± 0.40	1.0 ± 0.57	0.7 ± 0.31*	0.9 ± 0.31		
1000	$0.3~\pm~0.23$	$1.5 \pm 0.58^{\star}$	$0.5~\pm~0.27$	$1.0~\pm~0.35$	$0.3~\pm~0.12^{\star}$	$6.1 \pm 2.70^{*}$		

Table 5. Bacterial filament percentages in stationary E. coli cultures exposed to low-intensity red and infrared lasers.

Data are reported as means \pm SD of three independent assays. Experiments were carried out in duplicate. *P<0.05 compared to the control group not exposed to lasers (Tukey post-test).

Effect of low-intensity red and infrared lasers on the surface area of E. coli cells

The surface area of individual *E. coli* cells was evaluated after exposure to lasers at high fluences (<u>Tables 6</u> and <u>Z</u>). The data in <u>Table 6</u> show that exposure to red and infrared lasers significantly (P<0.05) increased the surface area of exponential *E. coli* AB1157 cells. However, exposure to the red laser did not induce significant alteration of the surface area of *E. coli* JW1625-1 cells; infrared laser exposure at the highest fluences (500 and 1000 J/cm²), however, did reduce the surface area of these cells. No significant alterations to the surface area of *E. coli* JW1625-1 exposed to red and infrared lasers were observed.

Fluence (J/cm ²)	Area (μm²)					
	AB1157		JW1625-1		JW2146-1	
	Red	Infrared	Red	Infrared	Red	Infrared
0	2.2 ± 0.67	2.2 ± 0.67	1.4 ± 0.49	1.4 ± 0.49	1.2 ± 0.39	1.2 ± 0.39
250	1.5 ± 0.43*	$1.5 \pm 0.52^{*}$	1.6 ± 0.57	1.2 ± 0.47	1.0 ± 0.55	1.1 ± 0.37
500	$1.0 \pm 0.56^*$	$1.6 \pm 0.45^{*}$	1.8 ± 0.66	$1.0 \pm 0.33^{*}$	1.2 ± 0.51	1.3 ± 0.44
1000	$1.1 \pm 0.53^{*}$	$1.5 \pm 0.47^{*}$	1.5 ± 0.54	$1.0 \pm 0.37^{\star}$	$1.1~\pm~0.42$	$1.1~\pm~0.43$

Table 6. Surface area of exponential E. coli cells exposed to red and infrared lasers.

Data are reported as means \pm SD of three independent assays. Experiments were carried out in duplicate. *P<0.05 compared to the control group not exposed to lasers (Tukey post-test).

Fluence (J/cm ²)	Area (μm ²)						
	AB1157		JW1625-1		JW2146-1		
	Red	Infrared	Red	Infrared	Red	Infrared	
0	1.5 ± 0.41	1.5 ± 0.41	0.9 ± 0.34	0.9 ± 0.34	1.2 ± 0.47	1.2 ± 0.47	
250	1.5 ± 0.68	1.5 ± 0.54	1.0 ± 0.33	0.5 ± 0.21	0.7 ± 0.34	1.0 ± 0.37	
500	1.2 ± 0.43	1.4 ± 0.41	0.9 ± 0.29	0.9 ± 0.31	1.1 ± 0.39	1.0 ± 0.39	
1000	$1.2~\pm~0.36$	1.5 ± 0.52	$0.8~\pm~0.28$	$0.6~\pm~0.40$	$1.0~\pm~0.41$	1.7 ± 0.69	

Table 7. Surface area of stationary E. coli cells exposed to red and infrared lasers.

Data are reported as means \pm SD of three independent assays. Experiments were carried out in duplicate. There were no significant differences compared to the control group not exposed to lasers (P>0.05, Tukey post-test).

To verify whether stationary cells, which differ physiologically to exponential cells, could be altered by laser exposure, the surface area of these cells was also evaluated. The data in <u>Table 7</u> show that low-intensity red and infrared lasers did not induce significant alterations to the surface areas of stationary *E. coli* AB1157, JW1625-1, and JW2146-1 cells.

DISCUSSION

Some clinical protocols based on low-intensity red and infrared lasers were proposed by empirical professional practice. Also, current device guides do not contain recommendations that prevent medical professionals from delivering high radiation doses to patients during laser exposure. Despite some discrepancies, experimental studies have mostly described the positive effects induced by such lasers when used at therapeutic doses. However, the effects of accidental exposure to high-dose radiation or exposure to non-calibrated laser devices have been neglected. Our research shows that, at high fluences, low-intensity red and infrared laser radiation used to treat soft tissue diseases can induce lethal effects on *E. coli* cultures, depending on the DNA repair mechanisms of the strain and the physiological condition of the cells (i.e., stationary or exponentially growing cells) (Tables 2 and 3). In previous studies, we have shown that *E. coli* (AB1157) cells proficient in DNA repair mechanisms are resistant to red and infrared laser exposure at fluences or doses in the therapeutic range (161718). The data in Tables 2 and 3 suggest that these cells are also not inactivated by red and infrared laser exposure at high fluences. However, high fluences of red and infrared lasers decrease the survival rates of exponential phase E. coli cultures deficient in endonuclease III (JW1625-1) and E. coli cultures deficient in endonuclease IV (JW2146-1) (Table 2). As part of its base excision repair mechanism, endonuclease III repairs apurinic/apyrimidinic sites and damaged pyrimidines $(\frac{19}{20})$. Similarly, endonuclease IV acts on apurinic/apyrimidinic sites and oxidatively damaged bases in *E. coli* ($\frac{21222324}{}$). Data obtained in our study indicated that sub-lethal oxidative lesions in DNA are induced in cells exposed to low-intensity red and infrared lasers, and that the survival of cells with failing DNA repair mechanisms decreased when exposed to such radiation. However, stationary endonuclease IV-deficient cells are not sensitive to lasers and the viability of endonuclease III-deficient cells is increased by red laser exposure at the higher fluence level we evaluated (1000 J/cm²). These results suggest that both endonuclease III- and endonuclease IV-deficient *E. coli* cells respond to low-intensity lasers depending on their physiological condition. Nevertheless, laser-induced effects on endonuclease III-deficient cells at high fluence might be related to an increase or acceleration of cellular proliferation (biostimulation or biomodulation effect) $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{17}{2})$ despite this effect not being observed at the similar fluences used in this study.

Low-intensity red and infrared lasers at unusually high fluences did not induce filamentation in exponential phase wild-type *E. coli* AB1157 cultures (Table 4). Also, endonuclease III and endonuclease IV-deficient *E. coli* cultures did not present this phenotype when exposed to an infrared laser. However, at therapeutic fluences, low-intensity lasers induce filamentation in cultures of these bacterial strains ($^{17}, ^{18}, ^{25}, ^{26}$). At high laser fluences, the bacterial cells could use other defense mechanisms against laser radiation because bacterial survival was not affected, except for *E. coli* JW2146-1 cultures at 1000 J/cm². Then again, the data obtained with endonuclease III and endonuclease IV at mid laser fluence (500 J/cm²) agree with these previous data. To confirm whether physiological conditions can influence the effects of low-intensity lasers on cells, a filamentation assay was also performed with stationary *E. coli* cultures (Table 5). Except at 1000 J/cm², exposure to lasers did not induce filamentation in wild-type and endonuclease III-deficient *E. coli* cultures in the stationary growth phase. Also, red and infrared lasers at high fluences induced different effects on the filamentation phenotype in endonuclease IV-deficient *E. coli* cultures, except at 1000 J/cm². In fact, these lasers induced the filamentation phenotype at therapeutic fluences, except at cells could use other defense mechanisms (antioxidant mechanisms) different from those used at therapeutic fluences.

Use of the filamentation assay has permitted evaluation of the induction of this phenotype as indicative of DNA damage by low-intensity laser at therapeutic fluences ($\frac{161718}{1}$). However, cells exposed to lasers can present other morphological changes and surface area measurements were carried out in wild-type, endonuclease III-deficient (JW1625-1) and endonuclease IV-deficient (JW2146-1) *E. coli* cells. Indeed, the data in <u>Table 6</u> show that exposure to low-intensity red and infrared lasers decreased the surface areas of exponential phase wild-type *E. coli* cells. Also, the surface areas of exponential *E. coli* JW1625-1 cells decreased when exposed to infrared lasers did not alter the surface areas of *E. coli* JW2146-1 cells at exponential phase. In stationary growth phase, the low-intensity red and infrared lasers did not modify the surface areas of wild-type *E. coli* AB1157, JW1625-1 and JW2146-1 cells (<u>Table 7</u>). Some authors have reported that low-intensity lasers alter the function of ion channels in the plasmatic membrane ($\frac{27}{28}$) and in the mitochondrial membrane ($\frac{29}{2}$). The results of our morphological analyses can be explained by the effects of the low-intensity lasers, by direct or indirect mechanisms, affect the functions of membrane ion channels in bacterial cells.

However, despite our results suggesting that free radicals are involved in the laser-induced effects on cell viability and morphology of the bacterial cells, it is possible that the transient thermal effects of the low-intensity lasers $(\frac{1}{2})$ are involved in the biological effects reported in this work.

In conclusion, the data from this study show that high fluences of low-intensity red and infrared lasers are lethal, induce a filamentation phenotype, and alter the morphology of *E. coli* cells. Low-intensity red and infrared lasers affect bacterial cells whether used at unusually high fluences or high doses, and our findings reinforce the need for accurate dosimetry in therapeutic protocols.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Fundação de Amparo è Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ - E-26/111.779/2013), Fundação de Amparo è Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG - APQ 00432/13), and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento e Pesquisa (CNPq - 474405/2013-3).

REFERENCES

1. Niemz MH. Laser-tissue interactions: Fundamentals and applications. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2007. [Links]

2. Karu T. Primary and secondary mechanisms of action of visible to near-IR radiation on cells. J Photochem Photobiol B 1999; 49: 1–17, doi: 10.1016/S1011-1344(98)00219-X. [Links]

3. Karu TI, Lyapunova TS, Pomoshnikova NA. The activation of yeast metabolism with He-Ne laser radiation. IV. Relationship between the activity of catalase and protein synthesis. Lasers Life Sci1993; 5: 251–258. [Links]

4. Karu T, Pyatibrat L. Gene expression under laser and light-emitting diodes radiation for modulation of cell adhesion: Possible applications for biotechnology. IUBMB Life 2011; 63: 747–753. [Links]

5. Hawkins DH, Abrahamse H. The role of laser fluence in cell viability, proliferation, and membrane integrity of wounded human skin fibroblasts following helium-neon laser irradiation. Lasers Surg Med 2006; 38: 74–83, doi: 10.1002/lsm.20271. [Links]

6. Godon C, Cordelieres FP, Biard D, Giocanti N, Megnin-Chanet F, Hall J, et al. PARP inhibition versus PARP-1 silencing: different outcomes in terms of single-strand break repair and radiation susceptibility. Nucleic Acids Res2008; 36: 4454–4464, doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn403. [Links]

7. Mbene AB, Houreld NN, Abrahamse H. DNA damage after phototherapy in wounded fibroblast cells irradiated with 16 J/cm(2). J Photochem Photobiol B 2009; 94: 131–137, doi: 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2008.11.002. [Links]

9. Kohli R, Gupta PK. Irradiance dependence of the He-Ne laser-induced protection against UVC radiation in E. coli strains. J Photochem Photobiol B 2003; 69: 161–167. [Links]

9. Fonseca AS, Geller M, Bernardo FM, Valenca SS, de PF. Low-level infrared laser effect on plasmid DNA. Lasers Med Sci 2012; 27: 121–130, doi: 10.1007/s10103-011-0905-2. [Links]

10. Peplow PV, Chung TY, Baxter GD. Laser photobiomodulation of wound healing: a review of experimental studies in mouse and rat animal models. Photomed Laser Surg 2010; 28: 291–325, doi: 10.1089/pho.2008.2446. [Links]

11. Maia ML, Bonjardim LR, Quintans JS, Ribeiro MA, Maia LG, Conti PC. Effect of low-level laser therapy on pain levels in patients with temporomandibular disorders: a systematic review. J Appl Oral Sci 2012; 20: 594–602, doi: 10.1590/S1678-77572012000600002. [Links]

12. Gross AR, Dziengo S, Boers O, Goldsmith CH, Graham N, Lilge L, et al. Low level laser therapy (LLLT) for neck pain: a systematic review and meta-regression. Open Orthop J 2013; 7: 396–419, doi: 10.2174/1874325001307010396. [Links]

13. Amaro-Ortiz A, Yan B, D'Orazio JA. Ultraviolet radiation, aging and the skin: prevention of damage by topical cAMP manipulation. Molecules 2014; 19: 6202–6219, doi: 10.3390/molecules19056202. [Links]

14. Fonseca AS, Moreira TO, Paixao DL, Farias FM, Guimaraes OR, de PS, et al. Effect of laser therapy on DNA damage. Lasers Surg Med 2010; 42: 481–488, doi: 10.1002/lsm.v42:6. [Links]

15. Cappuccino JG, Sherman N. Microbiology: a laboratory manual. California: Benjamin Cummings Science Publishing; 1999. [Links]

16. Sergio LPS, Marciano RS, Polignano GAC, Guimarães OR, Geller M, Paoli F, et al. Evaluation of DNA damage induced by therapeutic low-level red laser. Clin Exp Dermatol Res 2012; 3: 166, doi: 10.4172/2155-9554.1000166. [Links]

17. Canuto KS, Sergio LPS, Marciano RS, Guimarães OR, Polignano GAC, Geller M, et al. DNA repair in bacterial cultures and plasmid DNA exposed to infrared laser for treatment of pain. Laser Phys Lett 2013; 10: 065606, doi: 10.1088/1612-2011/10/6/065606. [Links]

18. Teixeira GR, Marciano RS, Sergio LPS, Polignano GAC, Guimarães OR, Geller M, et al. Effects of infrared laser at fluences used for treatment of dentin hypersensitivity on DNA repair in *Escherichia coli* and plasmids. Opt Laser Technol 2014; 64: 46–52, doi: 10.1016/j.optlastec.2014.04.023. [Links]

19. Romano CA, Sontz PA, Barton JK. Mutants of the base excision repair glycosylase, endonuclease III: DNA charge transport as a first step in lesion detection. Biochemistry 2011; 50: 6133–6145, doi: 10.1021/bi2003179. [Links]

20. Kato S, Hashiguchi K, Igarashi K, Moriwaki T, Yonekura S, Zhang-Akiyama QM. Structural and functional properties of CiNTH, an endonuclease III homologue of the ascidian Ciona intestinalis: critical role of N-terminal region. Genes Genet Syst 2012; 87: 115–124, doi: 10.1266/ggs.87.115. [Links]

21. Ramotar D. The apurinic-apyrimidinic endonuclease IV family of DNA repair enzymes. Biochem Cell Biol 1997; 75: 327–336, doi: 10.1139/o97-046. [Links]

22. Kerins SM, Collins R, McCarthy TV. Characterization of an endonuclease IV 3'-5' exonuclease activity. J Biol Chem 2003; 278: 3048–3054, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M210750200. [Links]

23. Christov PP, Banerjee S, Stone MP, Rizzo CJ. Selective Incisincision of the a-N5-methyl-formamidopyrimidine anomer by *Escherichia coli* endonuclease. J Nucleic Acids2010; 2010: 850234. [Links]

24. Mol CD, Hosfield DJ, Tainer JA. Abasic site recognition by two apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease families in DNA base excision repair: the 3' ends justify the means. Mutat Res 2000; 460: 211–229, doi: 10.1016/S0921-8777(00)00028-8. [Links]

25. Marciano RS, Sergio LPS, Polignano GAC, Presta GA, Guimarães OR, Geller M, et al. Laser for treatment of aphthous ulcers on bacteria cultures and DNA. Photochem Photobiol Sci 2012; 11: 1476–1483, doi: 10.1039/c2pp25027f. [Links]

26. Fonseca AS, Presta G, Geller M, Paoli F. Low intensity infrared laser induces filamentation in *Escherichia coli* cells. Lasers Phys 2011; 21: 1–9, doi: 10.1134/S1054660X11170051. [Links]

27. Ignatov YD, Vislobokov AI, Vlasov TD, Kolpakova ME, Mel'nikov KN, Petrishchev IN. Effects of helium-neon laser irradiation and local anesthetics on potassium channels in pond snail neurons. Neurosci Behav Physiol 2005; 35: 871–875, doi: 10.1007/s11055-005-0137-7. [Links]

28. Giannelli M, Chellini F, Sassoli C, Francini F, Pini A, Squecco R, et al. Photoactivation of bone marrow mesenchymal stromal cells with diode laser: effects and mechanisms of action. J Cell Physiol 2013; 228: 172–181. [Links]

29. Huang YY, Nagata K, Tedford CE, Hamblin MR. Low-level laser therapy (810 nm) protects primary cortical neurons against excitotoxicity *in vitro*. J Biophotonics 2014; 7: 656–664, doi: 10.1002/jbio.v7.8. [Links]

First published online.

Received: October 31, 2014; Accepted: June 9, 2015

Correspondence: A.S. Fonseca: adnfonseca@ig.com.br.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Av. Bandeirantes, 3900 14049-900 Ribeirão Preto SP Brazil Tel. / Fax: +55 16 3315-9120 Mail bjournal@terra.com.br