



KeyCite Yellow Flag - Negative Treatment

Not Followed on State Law Grounds [State v. Expunged Record \(No.\) 249,044](#), La., July 2, 2004

62 S.Ct. 164

Supreme Court of the United States.

EDWARDS

v.

PEOPLE OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

No. 17.

|
Reargued Oct. 21, 1941.|
Decided Nov. 24, 1941.**Synopsis**

Appeal from the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Yuba.

Fred F. Edwards was convicted of violating St.Cal.1937, p. 1406, s 2615, making it a misdemeanor for a person to bring or assist in bringing into state any indigent person who was not a resident of the state, knowing him to be an indigent person, and from a judgment of the Superior Court of California affirming the conviction, Fred F. Edwards appeals.

Reversed.

West Headnotes (8)

[1] Commerce**Key** [Transportation of passengers](#)The transportation of persons is “commerce” within the meaning of constitutional provision delegating to Congress the authority to regulate interstate commerce. [U.S.C.A. Const. Art. 1, § 8, cl. 3.](#)[10 Cases that cite this headnote](#)**[2] Commerce****Key** [Powers Remaining in States, and Limitations Thereon](#)

Although the Constitution delegates to Congress the authority to regulate interstate commerce, the

states are not wholly precluded from exercising their police powers in matter of local concern, even though they may thereby affect interstate commerce. [U.S.C.A. Const. Art. 1, § 8, cl. 3.](#)[7 Cases that cite this headnote](#)**[3] Evidence****Key** [Particular facts](#)The grave and perplexing social and economic dislocation reflected by California statute prohibiting the bringing or transportation of indigent non-residents into California is a matter of common knowledge and concern. [St.Cal.1937, p. 1406, § 2615.](#)[12 Cases that cite this headnote](#)**[4] Constitutional Law****Key** [Inquiry Into Legislative Judgment](#)

It is not the function of the Supreme Court to pass upon the wisdom, need or appropriateness of legislative efforts of state to solve difficulties created by the social phenomenon of large-scale interstate migration.

[17 Cases that cite this headnote](#)**[5] Commerce****Key** [In general; application of state or federal law](#)The commerce clause establishes the immunity of interstate commerce from the control of the states respecting all those subjects embraced within the grant which are of such a nature as to demand that, if regulated at all, their regulation must be prescribed by a single authority. [U.S.C.A. Const. Art. 1, § 8, cl. 3.](#)[22 Cases that cite this headnote](#)**[6] Commerce****Key** [Transportation of passengers](#)

The constitutional limitation upon state power to interfere with interstate transportation of persons is not subject to an exception in the case of paupers, since a person does not constitute a “moral pestilence” within the

meaning of a prior decision of the Supreme Court because he is without employment and without funds and “poverty” and “immorality” are not synonymous. U.S.C.A. Const. Art. 1, § 8, cl. 3.

[56 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[7] Courts

🔑 Decisions of Same Court or Co-Ordinate Court

Courts

🔑 Constitutional questions

In determining constitutionality of California statute prohibiting the bringing or transportation of indigent nonresidents into California the Supreme Court was not bound by language in case decided by the Supreme Court in 1836, that it was competent for a state to provide precautionary measures against the moral pestilence of paupers, assuming that the term “pauper” was applicable to an able-bodied but unemployed person. St.1937, p. 1406, § 2615; U.S.C.A. Const. Art. 1, § 8, cl. 3.

[36 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[8] Commerce

🔑 State offenses and prosecutions

Public Assistance

🔑 Validity

Public Assistance

🔑 Offenses and prosecutions

The California statute making it a misdemeanor to bring or assist in bringing into the state any indigent nonresident is not a valid exercise of “police power” of the State of California, and imposes an “unconstitutional burden” upon “interstate commerce”. St.Cal.1937, p. 1406, § 2615; U.S.C.A.Const. art. 1, § 8.

[44 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

Attorneys and Law Firms

161** *165** Mr. Samuel Slaff, of New York City, for appellant.

***164** Mr. John H. Tolan, of Oakland, Cal., for the Select Committee of the House of Representatives of the United States, appointed pursuant to House Resolution No. 63, April 22, 1940, to investigate Interstate Migration of Destitute Citizens, as amicus curiae by special leave of Court.

***169** Mr. W. T. Sweigert, of San Francisco, Cal., for appellee.

Opinion

***170** Mr. Justice BYRNES delivered the opinion of the Court.

The facts of this case are simple and are not disputed. Appellant is a citizen of the United States and a resident of California. In December, 1939, he left his home in Marysville, California, for Spur, Texas, with the intention of bringing back to Marysville, his wife's brother, Frank Duncan, a citizen of the United States and a resident of Texas. ***171** When he arrived in Texas, appellant learned that Duncan had last been employed by the Works Progress Administration. Appellant thus became aware of the fact that Duncan was an indigent person and he continued to be aware of it throughout the period involved in this case. The two men agreed that appellant should transport Duncan from Texas to Marysville in appellant's automobile. Accordingly, they left Spur on January 1, 1940, entered California by way of Arizona on January 3, and reached Marysville on January 5. When he left Texas, Duncan had about \$20. It had all been spent by the time he reached Marysville. He lived with appellant for about ten days until he obtained financial assistance from the Farm Security Administration. During the ten day interval, he had no employment.

In Justice Court a complaint was filed against appellant under Section 2615 of the Welfare and Institutions Code of California, St.1937, p. 1406, which provides: ‘Every ****166** person, firm or corporation, or officer or agent thereof that brings or assists in bringing into the State any indigent person who is not a resident of the State, knowing him to be an indigent person, is guilty of a misdemeanor.’ On demurrer to the complaint, appellant urged that the Section violated several provisions of the Federal Constitution. The demurrer was overruled, the cause was tried, appellant was convicted and sentenced to six months imprisonment in the county jail, and sentence was suspended.

On appeal to the Superior Court of Yuba County, the facts as stated above were stipulated. The Superior Court, although

regarding as ‘close’ the question of the validity of the Section, felt ‘constrained to uphold the statute as a valid exercise of the police power of the State of California’. Consequently, the conviction was affirmed. No appeal to a higher state court was open to appellant. We noted probable jurisdiction early last *172 term, and later ordered reargument (313 U.S. 545, 61 S.Ct. 956, 85 L.Ed. 1511) which has been held.

At the threshold of our inquiry a question arises with respect to the interpretation of Section 2615. On reargument, the Attorney General of California has submitted an exposition of the history of the Section, which reveals that statutes similar, though not identical to it have been in effect in California since 1860, see Cal.Stat. 1860, p. 213; Cal.Stat. 1901, p. 636; Cal.Stat.1933, p. 2005. Neither under these forerunners nor under Section 2615 itself does the term ‘indigent person’ seem to have been accorded an authoritative interpretation by the California courts. The appellee claims for the Section a very limited scope. It urges that the term ‘indigent person’ must be taken to include only persons who are presently destitute of property and without resources to obtain the necessities of life, and who have no relatives or friends able and willing to support them. It is conceded, however, that the term is not confined to those who are physically or mentally incapacitated. While the generality of the language of the Section contains no hint of these limitations, we are content to assign to the term this narrow meaning.

[1] [2] Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution delegates to the Congress the authority to regulate interstate commerce. And it is settled beyond question that the transportation of persons is ‘commerce’, within the meaning of that provision.¹ It is nevertheless true that the States are not wholly precluded from exercising their police power in matters of local concern even though they may thereby affect interstate *173 commerce. *California v. Thompson*, 313 U.S. 109, 113, 61 S.Ct. 930, 932, 85 L.Ed. 1219. The issue presented in this case, therefore, is whether the prohibition embodied in Section 2615 against the ‘bringing’ or transportation of indigent persons into California is within the police power of that State. We think that it is not, and hold that it is an unconstitutional barrier to interstate commerce.

¹ *Gloucester Ferry Co. v. Pennsylvania*, 114 U.S. 196, 203, 5 S.Ct. 826, 827, 29 L.Ed. 158; *Leisy v. Hardin*, 135 U.S. 100, 112, 10 S.Ct. 681, 685, 34 L.Ed. 128; *Covington & C. Bridge Co. v. Kentucky*, 154 U.S. 204, 218, 14 S.Ct. 1087, 1092, 38 L.Ed. 962; *Hoke v. United States*, 227 U.S. 308, 320, 33 S.Ct. 281, 283, 57 L.Ed. 523, 43 L.R.A.,N.S., 906, Ann.Cas.1913E, 905; *Caminetti v. United States*, 242 U.S. 470, 491, 37 S.Ct. 192,

196, 61 L.Ed. 442, L.R.A.1917F, 502, Ann.Cas.1917B, 1168; *United States v. Hill*, 248 U.S. 420, 423, 39 S.Ct. 143, 144, 63 L.Ed. 337; *Mitchell v. United States*, 313 U.S. 80, 61 S.Ct. 873, 85 L.Ed. 1201. Cf. The Federal Kidnaping Act of 1932, U.S.C., Title 18, ss 408a—408c, 18 U.S.C.A. ss 408a—408c. It is immaterial whether or not the transportation is commercial in character. See *Caminetti v. United States*, supra.

[3] [4] The grave and perplexing social and economic dislocation which this statute reflects is a matter of common knowledge and concern. We are not unmindful of it. We appreciate that the spectacle of large segments of our population constantly on the move has given rise to urgent demands upon the ingenuity of government. Both the brief of the Attorney General of California and that of the Chairman of the Select Committee of the House of Representatives of the United States as amicus curiae have sharpened **167 this appreciation. The State asserts that the huge influx of migrants into California in recent years has resulted in problems of health, morals, and especially finance, the proportions of which are staggering. It is not for us to say that this is not true. We have repeatedly and recently affirmed, and we now reaffirm, that we do not conceive it our function to pass upon ‘the wisdom, need, or appropriateness’ of the legislative efforts of the States to solve such difficulties. See *Olsen v. Nebraska*, 313 U.S. 236, 246, 61 S.Ct. 862, 865, 85 L.Ed. 1305, 133 A.L.R. 1500.

But this does not mean that there are no boundaries to the permissible area of State legislative activity. There are. And none is more certain than the prohibition against attempts on the part of any single State to isolate itself from difficulties common to all of them by restraining the transportation of persons and property across its borders. It is frequently the case that a State might gain a momentary respite from the pressure of events by the simple expedient of shutting its gates to the outside world. But, in the words of Mr. Justice Cardozo: ‘The Constitution was *174 framed under the dominion of a political philosophy less parochial in range. It was framed upon the theory that the peoples of the several states must sink or swim together, and that in the long run prosperity and salvation are in union and not division.’ *Baldwin v. Seelig*, 294 U.S. 511, 523, 55 S.Ct. 497, 500, 79 L.Ed. 1032, 101 A.L.R. 55.

It is difficult to conceive of a statute more squarely in conflict with this theory than the Section challenged here. Its express purpose and inevitable effect is to prohibit the transportation of indigent persons across the California border. The burden

upon interstate commerce is intended and immediate; it is the plain and sole function of the statute. Moreover, the indigent non-residents who are the real victims of the statute are deprived of the opportunity to exert political pressure upon the California legislature in order to obtain a change in policy. [South Carolina Highway Department v. Barnwell Bros.](#), 303 U.S. 177, 185, note 2, 58 S.Ct. 510, 513, 82 L.Ed. 734. We think this statute must fail under any known test of the validity of State interference with interstate commerce.

It is urged, however, that the concept which underlies Section 2615 enjoys a firm basis in English and American history.² This is the notion that each community should care for its own indigent, that relief is solely the responsibility of local government. Of this it must first be said that we are not now called upon to determine anything other than the propriety of an attempt by a State to prohibit the transportation of indigent non-residents into its territory. The nature and extent of its obligation to afford relief to newcomers is not here involved. We do, however, suggest that the theory of the Elizabethan poor laws no longer fits the facts. Recent years, and particularly the past decade, have been marked by a growing recognition that in an industrial society the task of providing *175 assistance to the needy has ceased to be local in character. The duty to share the burden, if not wholly to assume it, has been recognized not only by State governments, but by the Federal government as well. The changed attitude is reflected in the Social Security laws under which the Federal and State governments cooperate for the care of the aged, the blind and dependent children. U.S.C., Title 42, ss 301—1307, 42 U.S.C.A. ss 301—1307, esp. ss 301, 501, 601, 701, 721, 801, 1201. It is reflected in the works programs under which work is furnished the unemployed, with the States supplying approximately 25% and the Federal government approximately 75% of the cost. See, e.g., Joint Resolution of June 26, 1940, c. 432, s 1(d), 76th Cong., 3rd Sess., 54 Stat. 611, 613, 15 U.S.C.A. ss 721—728. It is further reflected in the Farm Security laws, under which the entire cost of the relief provisions is borne by the Federal government. *Id.*, at ss 2(a), 2(b), 2(d).

² See Hirsch, H.M., *Our Settlement Laws* (N.Y. Dept. of Social Welfare, 1933), passim.

Indeed the record in this very case illustrates the inadequate basis in fact for the theory that relief is presently a local matter. Before leaving Texas, Duncan had received assistance from the Works **168 Progress Administration. After arriving in California he was aided by the Farm Security Administration, which, as we have said, is wholly financed by the Federal

government. This is not to say that our judgment would be different if Duncan had received relief from local agencies in Texas and California. Nor is it to suggest that the financial burden of assistance to indigent persons does not continue to fall heavily upon local and State governments. It is only to illustrate that in not inconsiderable measure the relief of the needy has become the common responsibility and concern of the whole nation.

[5] What has been said with respect to financing relief is not without its bearing upon the regulation of the transportation of indigent persons. For the social phenomenon of large-scale interstate migration is as certainly a matter of national concern as the provision of assistance to those who have found a permanent or temporary abode. *176 Moreover, and unlike the relief problem, this phenomenon does not admit of diverse treatment by the several States. The prohibition against transporting indigent non-residents into one State is an open invitation to retaliatory measures, and the burdens upon the transportation of such persons become cumulative. Moreover, it would be a virtual impossibility for migrants and those who transport them to acquaint themselves with the peculiar rules of admission of many states. ‘This court has repeatedly declared that the grant (the commerce clause) established the immunity of interstate commerce from the control of the states respecting all those subjects embraced within the grant which are of such a nature as to demand that, if regulated at all, their regulation must be prescribed by a single authority.’ [Milk Control Board v. Eisenberg Farm Products](#), 306 U.S. 346, 351, 59 S.Ct. 528, 530, 83 L.Ed. 752. We are of the opinion that the transportation of indigent persons from State to State clearly falls within this class of subjects. The scope of Congressional power to deal with this problem we are not now called upon to decide.

[6] There remains to be noticed only the contention that the limitation upon State power to interfere with the interstate transportation of persons is subject to an exception in the case of ‘paupers’. It is true that support for this contention may be found in early decisions of this Court. In [City of New York v. Miln](#), 11 Pet. 102, 103, at page 143, 9 L.Ed. 648, it was said that it is ‘as competent and as necessary for a state to provide precautionary measures against the moral pestilence of paupers, vagabonds, and possibly convicts; as it is to guard against the physical pestilence, which may arise from unsound and infectious articles imported * * *.’ This language has been casually repeated in numerous later cases up to the turn of the century. See, e.g., [Passenger Cases](#), 7 How. 283, 426 and 466, 467, 12 L.Ed. 702; [Hannibal & St. J. Railway Company v. Husen](#), 95 U.S. 465, 471, 24 L.Ed. 527;

[Plumley v. Massachusetts](#), 155 U.S. 461, 478, 15 S.Ct. 154, 160, 39 L.Ed. 223; *177 [Missouri, Kansas & Topeka Ry. v. Haber](#), 169 U.S. 613, 629, 18 S.Ct. 488, 494, 42 L.Ed. 878. In none of these cases, however, was the power of a State to exclude 'paupers' actually involved.

[7] Whether an able-bodied but unemployed person like Duncan is a 'pauper' within the historical meaning of the term is open to considerable doubt. See [53 Harvard L.Rev. 1031, 1032](#). But assuming that the term is applicable to him and to persons similarly situated, we do not consider ourselves bound by the language referred to. [City of New York v. Miln](#) was decided in 1836. Whatever may have been the notion then prevailing, we do not think that it will now be seriously contended that because a person is without employment and without funds he constitutes a 'moral pestilence'. Poverty and immorality are not synonymous.

[8] We are of the opinion that Section 2615 is not a valid exercise of the police power of California, that it imposes an unconstitutional burden upon interstate commerce, and that the conviction under it cannot be sustained. In the view we have taken it is unnecessary to decide whether the Section is repugnant to other provisions of the Constitution.

Reversed.

Mr. Justice DOUGLAS, concurring.

*169 I express no view on whether or not the statute here in question runs afoul of Art. I, Sec. 8 of the Constitution granting to Congress the power 'to regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States.' But I am of the opinion that the right of persons to move freely from State to State occupies a more protected position in our constitutional system than does the movement of cattle, fruit, steel and coal across state lines. While the opinion of the Court expresses no view on that issue, the right involved is so fundamental that I deem it appropriate to indicate the reach of the constitutional question which is present.

*178 The right to move freely from State to State is an incident of national citizenship protected by the privileges and immunities clause of the Fourteenth Amendment against state interference. Mr. Justice Moody in [Twining v. State of New Jersey](#), 211 U.S. 78, 97, 29 S.Ct. 14, 18, 53 L.Ed. 97, stated, 'Privileges and immunities of citizens of the United States * * * are only such as arise out of the nature and essential character of the national government, or are

specifically granted or secured to all citizens or persons by the Constitution of the United States.' And he went on to state that one of those rights of national citizenship was 'the right to pass freely from state to state'. *Id.*, 211 U.S. page 97, 29 S.Ct. pages 18, 19, 53 L.Ed. 97. Now it is apparent that this right is not specifically granted by the Constitution. Yet before the Fourteenth Amendment it was recognized as a right fundamental to the national character of our Federal government. It was so decided in 1867 by [Crandall v. Nevada](#), 6 Wall. 35, 39, 18 L.Ed. 745. In that case this Court struck down a Nevada tax 'upon every person leaving the State' by common carrier. Mr. Justice Miller writing for the Court held that the right to move freely throughout the nation was a right of national citizenship. That the right was implied did not make it any the less 'guaranteed' by the Constitution. *Id.*, 6 Wall. page 47, 18 L.Ed. 745. To be sure, he emphasized that the Nevada statute would obstruct the right of a citizen to travel to the seat of his national government or its offices throughout the country. And see [United States v. Wheeler](#), 254 U.S. 281, 299, 41 S.Ct. 133, 136, 65 L.Ed. 270. But there is not a shred of evidence in the record of the Crandall case that the persons there involved were en route on any such mission any more than it appears in this case that Duncan entered California to interview some federal agency. The point which Mr. Justice Miller made was merely in illustration of the damage and havoc which would ensue if the States had the power to prevent the free movement of citizens from one State to another. *179 This is emphasized by his quotation from Chief Justice Taney's dissenting opinion in the [Passenger Cases](#), 7 How. 283, 492, 12 L.Ed. 702: 'We are all citizens of the United States, and as members of the same community must have the right to pass and repass through every part of it without interruption, as freely as in our own States.' Hence the dictum in [United States v. Wheeler](#), *supra*, 254 U.S. page 299, 41 S.Ct. page 136, 65 L.Ed. 270, which attempts to limit the Crandall case to a holding that the statute in question directly burdened 'the performance by the United States of its governmental functions' and limited the 'rights of the citizens growing out of such functions,' does not bear analysis.

So, when the Fourteenth Amendment was adopted in 1868 it had been squarely and authoritatively settled that the right to move freely from State to State was a right of national citizenship. As such it was protected by the privileges and immunities clause of the Fourteenth Amendment against state interference. [Slaughter-House Cases](#), 16 Wall. 36, 74, 79, 21 L.Ed. 394. In the latter case Mr. Justice Miller recognized that it was so 'protected by implied guarantees' of the Constitution. *Id.*, 16 Wall. page 79, 21 L.Ed. 394. That was also acknowledged in [Twining v. State of New Jersey](#), *supra*.

And Chief Justice Fuller in [Williams v. Fears](#), 179 U.S. 270, 274, 21 S.Ct. 128, 129, 45 L.Ed. 186, stated: 'Undoubtedly the right of locomotion, the right to remove from one place to another according to inclination, is an attribute of personal liberty, and the right, ordinarily, of free transit from or through the territory of any state is a right secured by the 14th Amendment and by other provisions of the Constitution.'

****170** In the face of this history I cannot accede to the suggestion ([Helson v. Com. of Kentucky](#), 279 U.S. 245, 251, 49 S.Ct. 279, 281, 73 L.Ed. 683; [Colgate v. Harvey](#), 296 U.S. 404, 444, 56 S.Ct. 252, 265, 80 L.Ed. 299, 102 A.L.R. 54) that the commerce clause is the appropriate explanation of [Crandall v. Nevada](#), supra. Two of the Justices in that case expressly ***180** put the decision on the commerce clause; the others put it on the broader ground of rights of national citizenship, Mr. Justice Miller stating that 'we do not concede that the question before us is to be determined' by the commerce clause. *Id.*, 6 Wall. page 43, 18 L.Ed. 745. On that broader ground it should continue to rest.

To be sure, there are expressions in the cases that this right of free movement of persons is an incident of state citizenship protected against discriminatory state action by Art. IV, Sec. 2 of the Constitution. [Corfield v. Coryell](#), Fed.Cas.No.3,230, 4 Wash. C.C. 371, 381; [Paul v. Virginia](#), 8 Wall, 168, 180, 19 L.Ed. 357; [Ward v. Maryland](#), 12 Wall. 418, 430, 20 L.Ed. 449; [United States v. Wheeler](#), supra, 254 U.S. pages 298, 299, 41 S.Ct. pages 135, 136, 65 L.Ed. 270. Under the dicta of those cases the statute in the instant case would not survive, since California is curtailing only the free movement of indigents who are non-residents of that State. But the thrust of the [Crandall](#) case is deeper. Mr. Justice Miller adverted to [Corfield v. Coryell](#), [Paul v. Virginia](#), and [Ward v. Maryland](#), when he stated in the [Slaughter-House Cases](#) that the right protected by the [Crandall](#) case was a right of national citizenship arising from the 'implied guarantees' of the Constitution. 16 Wall. at pages 75-79, 21 L.Ed. 394. But his failure to classify that right as one of state citizenship protected solely by Art. IV, sec. 2, underscores his view that the free movement of persons throughout this nation was a right of national citizenship. It likewise emphasizes that Art. IV, Sec. 2, whatever its reach, is primarily concerned with the incidents of residence (the matter involved in [United States v. Wheeler](#), supra) and the exercise of rights within a State, so that a citizen of one State is not in a 'condition of alienage when he is within or when he removes to another state.' [Blake v. McClung](#), 172 U.S. 239, 256, 19 S.Ct. 165, 172, 43 L.Ed. 432. Furthermore, Art. IV, Sec. 2, cannot explain the [Crandall](#) decision. The statute in that case applied to citizens

of Nevada as well as to citizens of ***181** other States. That is to say Nevada was not 'discriminating against citizens of other states in favor of its own.' [Hague v. Committee for Industrial Organization](#), 307 U.S. 496, 511, 59 S.Ct. 954, 962, 83 L.Ed. 1423, and cases cited. Thus it is plain that the right of free ingress and egress rises to a higher constitutional dignity than that afforded by state citizenship.

The conclusion that the right of free movement is a right of national citizenship stands on firm historical ground. If a state tax on that movement, as in the [Crandall](#) case, is invalid, a fortiori a state statute which obstructs or in substance prevents that movement must fall. That result necessarily follows unless perchance a State can curtail the right of free movement of those who are poor or destitute. But to allow such an exception to be engrafted on the rights of national citizenship would be to contravene every conception of national unity. It would also introduce a caste system utterly incompatible with the spirit of our system of government. It would permit those who were stigmatized by a State as indigents, paupers, or vagabonds to be relegated to an inferior class of citizenship. It would prevent a citizen because he was poor from seeking new horizons in other States. It might thus withhold from large segments of our people that mobility which is basic to any guarantee of freedom of opportunity. The result would be a substantial dilution of the rights of national citizenship, a serious impairment of the principles of equality. Since the state statute here challenged involves such consequences, it runs afoul of the privileges and immunities clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

Mr. Justice BLACK and Mr. Justice MURPHY join in this opinion.

Mr. Justice JACKSON, concurring.

I concur in the result reached by the Court, and I agree that the grounds of its decision are permissible ones under ****171 *182** applicable authorities. But the migrations of a human being, of whom it is charged that he possesses nothing that can be sold and has no wherewithal to buy, do not fit easily into my notions as to what is commerce. To hold that the measure of his rights is the commerce clause is likely to result eventually either in distorting the commercial law or in denaturing human rights. I turn, therefore, away from principles by which commerce is regulated to that clause of the Constitution by virtue of which [Duncan](#) is a citizen of the United States and which forbids any state to abridge his privileges or immunities as such.

This clause was adopted to make United States citizenship the dominant and paramount allegiance among us. The return which the law had long associated with allegiance was protection. The power of citizenship as a shield against oppression was widely known from the example of Paul's Roman citizenship, which sent the centurion scurrying to his higher-ups with the message: 'Take heed what thou doest: for this man is a Roman.' I suppose none of us doubts that the hope of imparting to American citizenship some of this vitality was the purpose of declaring in the Fourteenth Amendment: 'All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States * * *.'

But the hope proclaimed in such generality soon shriveled in the process of judicial interpretation. For nearly three-quarters of a century this Court rejected every plea to the privileges and immunities clause. The judicial history of this clause and the very real difficulties in the way of its practical application to specific cases have been too well and recently reviewed to warrant repetition.¹

¹ See dissenting opinion of Mr. Justice Stone in *Colgate v. Harvey*, 296 U.S. 404, 436, et seq., 56 S.Ct. 252, 262, 80 L.Ed. 299, 102 A.L.R. 54.

*183 While instances of valid 'privileges or immunities' must be but few, I am convinced that this is one. I do not ignore or belittle the difficulties of what has been characterized by this Court as an 'almost forgotten' clause. But the difficulty of the task does not excuse us from giving these general and abstract words whatever of specific content and concreteness they will bear as we mark out their application, case by case. That is the method of the common law, and it has been the method of this Court with other no less general statements in our fundamental law. This Court has not been timorous about giving concrete meaning to such obscure and vagrant phrases as 'due process,' 'general welfare,' 'equal protection,' or even 'commerce among the several States.' But it has always hesitated to give any real meaning to the privileges and immunities clause lest it improvidently give too much.

This Court should, however, hold squarely that it is a privilege of citizenship of the United States, protected from state abridgment, to enter any state of the Union, either for temporary sojourn or for the establishment of permanent

residence therein and for gaining resultant citizenship thereof. If national citizenship means less than this, it means nothing.

The language of the Fourteenth Amendment declaring two kinds of citizenship is discriminating. It is: 'All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside.' While it thus establishes national citizenship from the mere circumstance of birth within the territory and jurisdiction of the United States, birth within a state does not establish citizenship thereof. State citizenship is ephemeral. It results only from residence and is gained or lost therewith. That choice of residence was subject to local approval is contrary to the inescapable implications of the westward movement of our civilization.

*184 Even as to an alien who had 'been admitted to the United States under the Federal law,' this Court, through Mr. Justice Hughes, declared that 'He was thus admitted with the privilege of entering and abiding in the United States, and hence of **172 entering and abiding in any state in the Union.' *Truax v. Raich*, 239 U.S. 33, 39, 36 S.Ct. 7, 9, 60 L.Ed. 131, L.R.A.1916D, 545, Ann.Cas.1917B, 283. Why we should hesitate to hold that federal citizenship implies rights to enter and abide in any state of the Union at least equal to those possessed by aliens passes my understanding. The world is even more upside down than I had supposed it to be, if California must accept aliens in deference to their federal privileges but is free to turn back citizens of the United States unless we treat them as subjects of commerce.

The right of the citizen to migrate from state to state which, I agree with Mr. Justice DOUGLAS, is shown by our precedents to be one of national citizenship, is not, however, an unlimited one. In addition to being subject to all constitutional limitations imposed by the federal government, such citizen is subject to some control by state governments. He may not, if a fugitive from justice, claim freedom to migrate unmolested, nor may he endanger others by carrying contagion about. These causes, and perhaps others that do not occur to me now, warrant any public authority in stopping a man where it finds him and arresting his progress across a state line quite as much as from place to place within the state.

It is here that we meet the real crux of this case. Does 'indigence' as defined by the application of the California statute constitute a basis for restricting the freedom of a citizen, as crime or contagion warrants its restriction? We should say now, and in no uncertain terms, that a man's mere property status, without more, cannot be used by a state to

test, qualify, or limit his rights as a citizen of the United States. 'Indigence' in itself is neither a source of rights nor a basis for denying them. The mere *185 state of being without funds is a neutral fact—constitutionally an irrelevance, like race, creed, or color. I agree with what I understand to be the holding of the Court that cases which may indicate the contrary are overruled.

Any measure which would divide our citizenry on the basis of property into one class free to move from state to state and another class that is poverty-bound to the place where it has suffered misfortune is not only at war with the habit and custom by which our country has expanded, but is also a short-sighted blow at the security of property itself. Property can have no more dangerous, even if unwitting, enemy than one who would make its possession a pretext for unequal or exclusive civil rights. Where those rights are derived from national citizenship no state may impose such a test, and whether the Congress could do so we are not called upon to inquire.

I think California had no right to make the condition of Duncan's purse, with no evidence of violation by him of any law or social policy which caused it, the basis of excluding him or of punishing one who extended him aid.


If I doubted whether his federal citizenship alone were enough to open the gates of California to Duncan, my doubt

would disappear on consideration of the obligations of such citizenship. Duncan owes a duty to render military service, and this Court has said that this duty is the result of his citizenship. Mr. Chief Justice White declared in the [Selective Draft Law Cases](#), 245 U.S. 366, 378, 38 S.Ct. 159, 161, 62 L.Ed. 349, L.R.A.1918C, 361, Ann.Cas.1918B, 856: 'It may not be doubted that the very conception of a just government and its duty to the citizen includes the reciprocal obligation of the citizen to render military service in case of need and the right to compel it.' A contention that a citizen's duty to render military service is suspended by 'indigence' would meet with little favor. Rich or penniless, Duncan's citizenship under the Constitution *186 pledges his strength to the defense of California as a part of the United States, and his right to migrate to any part of the land he must defend is something she must respect under the same instrument. Unless this Court is willing to say that citizenship of the United States means at least this much to the citizen, then our heritage of constitutional privileges and immunities is only a promise to the ear to be broken to the hope, a teasing illusion like a munificent bequest in a pauper's will.

All Citations

314 U.S. 160, 62 S.Ct. 164, 86 L.Ed. 119

Filings (5)

Title	PDF	Court	Date	Type
1. Brief of the Attorney General of the State of California on Behalf of Appellee. Edwards v. The People of the State of California 1941 WL 52965	—	U.S.	Oct. 15, 1941	Brief
2. Brief of John H. Tolan for the Select Committee of the House of Representatives of the United States, Appointed Pursuant to House Resolution No. 63, April 22, 1940, to Investigate Interstate Migration of Destitute Citizens, As Amicus Curiae. Edwards v. The People of the State of California 1941 WL 76690	—	U.S.	Oct. 08, 1941	Brief
3. Appellee and Respondent's Brief. Edwards v. The People of the State of California 1941 WL 52964	—	U.S.	Apr. 23, 1941	Brief
4. Appellant's Brief Edwards v. The People of the State of California 1941 WL 53329		U.S.	Feb. 19, 1941	Brief
5. Statement as to Jurisdiction. Edwards v. The People of the State of California 1940 WL 46636	—	U.S.	Oct Term 1940	Brief

Negative Treatment

Negative Citing References (15)

The KeyCited document has been negatively referenced by the following events or decisions in other litigation or proceedings:

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Not Followed on State Law Grounds	1. State v. Expunged Record (No.) 249,044 MOST NEGATIVE 881 So.2d 104 , La. CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Records. Statute prohibiting destruction of felony arrest records does not violate equal protection.	July 02, 2004	Case		—
Declined to Extend by	2. Matsuo v. U.S. 532 F.Supp.2d 1238 , D.Hawai'i LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT - Hours and Wages. Federal Employees Pay Comparability Act (FEPCA) did not violate Equal Protection Clause by locality pay exclusion.	Jan. 30, 2008	Case		8 S.Ct.
Distinguished by	3. State of Md. v. Wirtz 269 F.Supp. 826 , D.Md. Action by the State of Maryland, in which 25 other states intervened as parties plaintiff, asking for declaration that 1966 amendments to Fair Labor Standards Act insofar as they...	June 13, 1967	Case		7 S.Ct.
Distinguished by	4. Kirk v. Board of Regents of University of Cal. 78 Cal.Rptr. 260 , Cal.App. 1 Dist. Action to determine validity of classification between residents and nonresidents in determining tuition at state university. The Superior Court, Alameda County, Monroe Friedman...	May 28, 1969	Case		—
Distinguished by	5. Service Mach. & Shipbuilding Corp. v. Edwards 466 F.Supp. 1200 , W.D.La. Proceeding was instituted to declare invalid and enjoin enforcement of a workers' registration ordinance. The District Court, Davis, J., held that ordinance of St. Mary Parish...	Feb. 13, 1979	Case		—
Distinguished by	6. State v. Ruesch 571 N.W.2d 898 , Wis.App. CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Stalking. Subsection of stalking statute exempting constitutionally protected conduct does not provide additional element of crime.	Oct. 30, 1997	Case		—
Distinguished by	7. Luther v. Commissioner of Revenue 588 N.W.2d 502 , Minn. TAXATION - Income. Taxpayer fit within statutory definition of "nondomiciliary resident."	Feb. 04, 1999	Case		6 8 S.Ct.
Distinguished by	8. U.S. v. Arizona 703 F.Supp.2d 980 , D.Ariz.	July 28, 2010	Case		7 S.Ct.















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
	IMMIGRATION - Preemption. Preliminary injunction issued against enforcement of Arizona statute requiring officers to check immigration status.				
Distinguished by	9. United States v. State of Arizona 2010 WL 11405085 , D.Ariz. At issue is Defendants the State of Arizona and Janice K. Brewer's Motion to Dismiss ("Defs.' Mot.") (Doc. 81). The facts of this case were summarized in this Court's Order of July...	Dec. 10, 2010	Case		8 S.Ct.
Distinguished by	10. Schatz v. Interfaith Care Center 811 N.W.2d 643 , Minn. LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT - Workers' Compensation. Provision relating to payments to out-of-state medical providers did not violate equal protection.	Apr. 11, 2012	Case		3 S.Ct.
Distinguished by	11. Sanchez v. City of Fresno 914 F.Supp.2d 1079 , E.D.Cal. GOVERNMENT - Municipalities. Municipality is a "person" that can be sued for civil rights violation under California's Bane Act.	Dec. 26, 2012	Case		—
Distinguished by	12. Knappenberger v. Davis-Stanton 351 P.3d 54 , Or.App. LITIGATION - Limitations. Application of tolling statute to claims against out-of-state defendant did not violate Commerce Clause.	May 13, 2015	Case		1 8 S.Ct.
Distinguished by	13. Hughes v. City of Cedar Rapids 112 F.Supp.3d 817 , N.D.Iowa GOVERNMENT - Highways and Roads. City's traffic camera system was rationally related to legitimate government interest.	July 02, 2015	Case		8 S.Ct.
Distinguished by	14. Harper v. Ramirez 2015 WL 9918409 , C.D.Cal. This Report and Recommendation is submitted to the Honorable John A. Kronstadt, United States District Judge, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 and General Order 194 of...	Dec. 16, 2015	Case		—
Distinguished by	15. Garber v. Menendez 888 F.3d 839 , 6th Cir.(Ohio) HEALTH - Limitations. Ohio statute that tolled statute of limitations while defendant was out-of-state did not violate dormant Commerce Clause.	May 01, 2018	Case		3 S.Ct.











Citing References (500)

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	1. Knappenberger v. Davis-Stanton ¶ 351 P.3d 54, 63+ , Or.App. LITIGATION - Limitations. Application of tolling statute to claims against out-of-state defendant did not violate Commerce Clause.	May 13, 2015	Case		1 8 S.Ct.
Examined by	2. Memorial Hospital v. Maricopa County ¶ 94 S.Ct. 1076, 1093+ , U.S.Ariz. Appeal from a decision of the Arizona Supreme Court, 108 Ariz. 373, 498 P.2d 461, vacating a judgment of trial court compelling county board of supervisors to accept an indigent...	Feb. 26, 1974	Case		3 7 8 S.Ct.
Examined by	3. U.S. v. Guest 86 S.Ct. 1170, 1178+ , U.S.Ga. Prosecution for alleged conspiracy against rights of citizens. The United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia, Athens Division, sustained defendants' motions...	Mar. 28, 1966	Case		6 8 S.Ct.
Examined by	4. Thompson v. Shapiro ¶ 270 F.Supp. 331, 334+ , D.Conn. Action was brought in three-judge federal District Court for a declaration that Connecticut statute violates the Federal Constitution, and for an injunction against its enforcement...	June 19, 1967	Case		5 8 S.Ct.
Examined by	5. In re Higgins ¶ 259 N.Y.S.2d 874, 877+ , N.Y.Fam.Ct. Proceeding upon request which court considered as motion for reconsideration of order of discharge of neglected infant or as request that court on its own motion modify, set aside...	May 12, 1965	Case		3 7 8 S.Ct.
Examined by	6. Petition for a Writ of Certiorari Menendez v. Garber 2018 WL 5678474, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Oct. 29, 2018	Petition		5 S.Ct.
Examined by	7. BRIEF OF CATHOLIC CHARITIES USA, NATIONAL COUNCIL OF THE CHURCHES OF CHRIST IN THE USA, LUTHERAN SERVICES IN AMERICA, AMERICAN FRIENDS SERVICE COMMITTEE... ¶ Anderson v. Roe 1998 WL 847170, *847170+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 08, 1998	Brief		5 6 S.Ct.
Examined by	8. BRIEF OF WASHINGTON LEGAL FOUNDATION U.S. REPRESENTATIVES HENRY HYDE AND LUIS V. GUTIERREZ, ALLIED EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION, NORTHWEST NEIGHBORHOOD FEDE... ¶ City of Chicago v. Morales 1998 WL 331127, *331127+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	June 19, 1998	Brief		3 6 8 S.Ct.
Examined by	9. Brief of Appellant Virginia C. Shaffer Shaffer v. Valtierra 1970 WL 136756, *136756+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 12, 1970	Brief		8 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	10. Brief of Amicus Curiae on Behalf of Appellee ¶¶ Bernard SHAPIRO, Welfare Commissioner of Connecticut, Appellant, v. Vivian THOMPSON, Appellee. 1968 WL 129376, *129376+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 19, 1968	Brief		6 8 S.Ct.
Examined by	11. Brief of American Civil Liberties Union, American Civil Liberties Union of Southern California, and Connecticut Civil Liberties Union, Amici Curiae ¶¶ Bernard SHAPIRO, Commissioner of Welfare of the State of Connecticut, Appellant, v. Vivian Marie THOMPSON, Appellee. 1968 WL 129374, *129374+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 20, 1968	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Examined by	12. Brief for the Appellee ¶¶ Shapiro v. Thompson 1968 WL 112577, *112577+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 12, 1968	Brief		3 7 8 S.Ct.
Examined by	13. Brief of the Appellant Shapiro v. Thompson 1968 WL 112578, *112578+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 27, 1968	Brief		7 S.Ct.
Examined by	14. Brief of the State of California as Amicus Curiae on Behalf of Appellant Bernard SHAPIRO, Welfare Commissioner of Connecticut, Appellant, v. Vivian THOMPSON, Appellee. 1967 WL 129655, *129655+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 01, 1967	Brief		3 8 S.Ct.
Examined by	15. Brief of Appellant Marshall GARBER, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Heriberto MENENDEZ, M.D., Defendant-Appellee. 2017 WL 5186672, *1+ , 6th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 07, 2017	Brief		5 S.Ct.
Examined by	16. Defendant-Appellant's Brief and Short Appendix ¶¶ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff-Appellee, v. David M. LARSEN, Defendant-Appellant. 2008 WL 5788125, *5788125+ , 7th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 08, 2008	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Examined by	17. Opening Brief of Appellant Arlene Rosenblatt ¶¶ Arlene ROSENBLATT, an individual, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. THE CITY OF SANTA MONICA, a municipa... 2017 WL 6557667, *1+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 22, 2017	Brief		2 5 S.Ct.
Examined by	18. Opening Brief of Appellant Donald S. MILLER, Appellant, v. Sally REED, Director, California Department of Motor Vehicles; Daniel E. Lungren, Attorney General of the State of Cal... 1998 WL 34081754, *34081754+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 17, 1998	Brief		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	19. Defendant-Appellant's Brief ¶ STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, Plaintiff-Appellee, v. Kenneth Jermaine ELLIS, Defendant-Appellant. 2019 WL 6248607, *1+ , N.C.App. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 07, 2019	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	20. United States v. State of Arizona 2010 WL 11405085, *9+ , D.Ariz. At issue is Defendants the State of Arizona and Janice K. Brewer's Motion to Dismiss ("Defs.' Mot.") (Doc. 81). The facts of this case were summarized in this Court's Order of July...	Dec. 10, 2010	Case		8 S.Ct.
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	21. U.S. v. Arizona 703 F.Supp.2d 980, 1003+ , D.Ariz. IMMIGRATION - Preemption. Preliminary injunction issued against enforcement of Arizona statute requiring officers to check immigration status.	July 28, 2010	Case		7 S.Ct.
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	22. Luther v. Commissioner of Revenue 588 N.W.2d 502, 511+ , Minn. TAXATION - Income. Taxpayer fit within statutory definition of "nondomiciliary resident."	Feb. 04, 1999	Case		6 8 S.Ct.
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	23. State of Md. v. Wirtz 269 F.Supp. 826, 833+ , D.Md. Action by the State of Maryland, in which 25 other states intervened as parties plaintiff, asking for declaration that 1966 amendments to Fair Labor Standards Act insofar as they...	June 13, 1967	Case		7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	24. Saenz v. Roe ¶ 119 S.Ct. 1518, 1525+ , U.S.Cal. CIVIL RIGHTS - Privileges and Immunities. State statute imposing durational residency requirement on TANF benefit recipients was unconstitutional.	May 17, 1999	Case		—
Discussed by	25. Camps Newfound/Owatonna, Inc. v. Town of Harrison, Me. ¶ 117 S.Ct. 1590, 1593+ , U.S.Me. TAXES - Real Property. Property tax exemption that favored charitable institutions serving state residents violated commerce clause.	May 19, 1997	Case		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	26. Zobel v. Williams ¶ 102 S.Ct. 2309, 2316+ , U.S.Alaska Suit was brought by Alaska residents challenging dividend distribution plan as violative of their right to equal protection guarantees and their constitutional right to migrate to...	June 14, 1982	Case		5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	27. Jones v. Helms ¶ 101 S.Ct. 2434, 2440+ , U.S.Ga. Georgia prisoner, convicted of felony child abandonment, filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus. The United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia, J....	June 15, 1981	Case		4 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 28. Shapiro v. Thompson ¶ 89 S.Ct. 1322, 1329+ , U.S.Conn. Appeals from decisions of three-judge District Courts for District of Connecticut, District of Columbia, and Eastern District of Pennsylvania, 270 F.Supp. 331,277 F.Supp. 65,279...	Apr. 21, 1969	Case		4 5 6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 29. Heart of Atlanta Motel, Inc. v. U. S. ¶ 85 S.Ct. 348, 369+ , U.S.Ga. Action by a motel operator for declaratory judgment as to the constitutionality of the public accommodations provisions of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and for injunctive relief. ...	Dec. 14, 1964	Case		5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	30. Lutz v. City of York, Pa. ¶ 899 F.2d 255, 261+ , 3rd Cir.(Pa.) Action was brought challenging constitutionality of Pennsylvania ordinance outlawing "cruising," which consisted of driving repeatedly around loop of certain major public roads...	Mar. 28, 1990	Case		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 31. Hutchins v. District of Columbia 188 F.3d 531, 536+ , D.C.Cir. Minors, parents, and private business brought action against District of Columbia to challenge constitutionality of District's Juvenile Curfew Act. The United States District Court...	June 18, 1999	Case		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 32. Green v. Anderson 811 F.Supp. 516, 518+ , E.D.Cal. Recent California residents brought action challenging constitutionality of California's durational residency requirement limiting Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC)...	Jan. 28, 1993	Case		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	33. Goldman v. Knecht ¶ 295 F.Supp. 897, 903+ , D.Colo. Action was brought for injunctive and declaratory judgment relief with respect to Colorado vagrancy statute. A three-judge federal District Court, William E. Doyle, J., held that...	Feb. 03, 1969	Case		7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 34. CSX Transp., Inc. v. Williams ¶ 2005 WL 902130, *20+ , D.D.C. Plaintiff CSX Transportation Inc. ("CSXT"), a freight railroad and the exclusive rail carrier of hazardous materials through the District of Columbia, seeks to enjoin enforcement...	Apr. 18, 2005	Case		6 8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 35. Harrell v. Tobriner 279 F.Supp. 22, 26+ , D.D.C. Actions by public assistance applicants for relief by declaratory judgments and injunctions against enforcement by defendants of residence requirements. The Three-Judge District...	Nov. 08, 1967	Case		3 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<p> 36. Florida State Conference of NAACP Branches v. City of Daytona Beach, Fla. ”</p> <p>54 F.Supp.2d 1283, 1286+ , M.D.Fla.</p> <p>Suit was brought against city, seeking preliminary injunction barring city from implementing traffic management plan used in past to bar vehicular traffic across bridges leading to...</p>	Apr. 08, 1999	Case		<p>1</p> <p>6</p> <p>8</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> 37. Pottinger v. City of Miami ”</p> <p>810 F.Supp. 1551, 1579+ , S.D.Fla.</p> <p>Class action was brought under § 1983 against city on behalf of homeless persons living in city, alleging violations of constitutional rights in connection with arrests and...</p>	Nov. 16, 1992	Case		<p>6</p> <p>7</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>38. Minnesota ex rel. Hatch v. U.S.</p> <p>102 F.Supp.2d 1115, 1126+ , D.Minn.</p> <p>SOCIAL SECURITY - Medicare. Medicare+Choice payment formulation did not violate the Tenth Amendment.</p>	July 07, 2000	Case		—
Discussed by	<p> 39. American Libraries Ass'n v. Pataki ”</p> <p>969 F.Supp. 160, 173+ , S.D.N.Y.</p> <p>Organizations that use the Internet to communicate brought action challenging constitutionality of New York statute making it a crime to use a computer to disseminate obscene...</p>	June 20, 1997	Case		<p>1</p> <p>6</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>40. Smith v. Lower Merion Tp.</p> <p>1991 WL 152982, *2+ , E.D.Pa.</p> <p>Plaintiffs, a group of students residing in Lower Merion Township and owners of property within the Township, bring this action, under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985, asking the court...</p>	Aug. 06, 1991	Case		<p>4</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> 41. Tobe v. City of Santa Ana ”</p> <p>40 Cal.Rptr.2d 402, 419+ , Cal.</p> <p>Camping. Ordinance banning camping and storage of personal property in public areas did not impermissibly restrict right to travel.</p>	Apr. 24, 1995	Case		<p>7</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>42. Maryland State Comptroller of Treasury v. Wynne</p> <p>64 A.3d 453, 462+ , Md.</p> <p>TAXATION - Income. Failure to credit against county income tax the taxes paid to other states for S corporation income violated Commerce Clause.</p>	Jan. 28, 2013	Case		<p>5</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> 43. Parker v. Municipal Judge of City of Las Vegas ”</p> <p>427 P.2d 642, 643+ , Nev.</p> <p>Consolidated original proceedings in prohibition against the municipal judge by petitioners who had been tried and found guilty in Municipal Court under 'disorderly persons'...</p>	May 15, 1967	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<p>44. Chamber of Commerce of U. S. v. State ¶¶</p> <p>445 A.2d 353, 368+ , N.J.</p> <p>The United States and New Jersey Chambers of Commerce brought action against the state of New Jersey and the Attorney General of New Jersey seeking declaratory judgment that the...</p>	May 03, 1982	Case		<p>6</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>45. Fenster v. Criminal Court of City of New York ¶¶</p> <p>259 N.Y.S.2d 67, 72+ , N.Y.Sup.</p> <p>Proceeding for order prohibiting court from trying petitioner on vagrancy charge on ground that statute under which prosecution had been brought was on its face unconstitutional. ...</p>	Mar. 31, 1965	Case		<p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>46. Brief Amicus Curiae of Gun Owners of America, Gun Owners Foundation, The Heller Foundation, Conservative Legal Defense and Education Fund, Downsize DC... ¶¶</p> <p>New York State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n, Inc. v. City of New York, New York</p> <p>2018 WL 4943806, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)</p>	Oct. 09, 2018	Petition		<p>6</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>47. Petition for a Writ of Certiorari ¶¶</p> <p>Korman v. Schott</p> <p>2015 WL 738550, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)</p>	Feb. 17, 2015	Petition		<p>8</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>48. Petition for a Writ of Certiorari ¶¶</p> <p>Korman v. Superior Court of the State of California, San Francisco</p> <p>2014 WL 7185618, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)</p>	Dec. 16, 2014	Petition		<p>8</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>49. Petition for a Writ of Certiorari ¶¶</p> <p>Korman v. Superior Court of the State of California, San Francisco</p> <p>2014 WL 7366912, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)</p>	Dec. 16, 2014	Petition		<p>8</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>50. Petition for Writ of Certiorari ¶¶</p> <p>Courtney v. Danner</p> <p>2014 WL 890887, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)</p>	Mar. 03, 2014	Petition		<p>6</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>51. Petition for Writ of Certiorari ¶¶</p> <p>Walliser v. May</p> <p>2012 WL 6762617, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)</p>	Dec. 28, 2012	Petition		<p>6</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>52. Petition for Writ of Certiorari ¶¶</p> <p>Sullivan v. State of North Carolina</p> <p>2010 WL 4382025, *4382025+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)</p>	Sep. 16, 2010	Petition		<p>5</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	53. Reply for Petitioners ” Donald H. CARLSON, Warren Hart, Gerard Haskins, Stephen R. Libby, Earl Weese, and Lyla C. Weese, Individually and as Class Representatives On Behalf O... 1996 WL 33421959, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Dec. 23, 1996	Petition		3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	54. Jurisdictional Statement ” Starns v. Malkerson 1971 WL 167570, *167570+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Jan. 18, 1971	Petition		5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	55. Jurisdictional Statement ” Kirk v. The Bd. of Regents of the University of California 1969 WL 136764, *136764+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Nov. 10, 1969	Petition		—
Discussed by	56. Petition for Review PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. Mark Irving SHAPIRO, Defendant and Appellant in the Court of Appeal, Petitioner Herein... 2014 WL 4794919, *1+ , Cal. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Aug. 29, 2014	Petition		—
Discussed by	57. Petition of Antonette Niedle for Review by the Supreme Court of California ” Antonette NIEDLE, Petitioner, v. WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD, State of California; and La Salsa Holding Company; California Indemnity Insuranc... 2001 WL 34376895, *34376895+ , Cal. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Apr. 02, 2001	Petition		4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	58. Brief of Louisiana, Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Indiana, Kansas, the Commonwealth of Kentucky by and Through Governor... ” New York State Rifle & Pistol Association, Inc. v. City of New York, New York 2019 WL 2173983, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	May 14, 2019	Brief		1 2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	59. Reply Brief for Petitioners ” Tanco v. Haslam 2015 WL 1776077, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 17, 2015	Brief		—
Discussed by	60. Reply Brief ” McDonald v. City of Chicago 2010 WL 383619, *383619+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 29, 2010	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	61. Brief of Amici Curiae State Legislators in Support of Petitioners ” McDonald v. City of Chicago 2009 WL 4099510, *4099510+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 23, 2009	Brief		6 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	62. BRIEF FOR RESPONDENTS VILLAGE OF BARTLETT AND CITIZENS AGAINST THE BALEFILL ” Solid Waste Agency of Northern Cook County v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2000 WL 1369440, *1369440+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 20, 2000	Brief		1 6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	63. BRIEF FOR WILLIAM COHEN, MICHAEL C. DORF, GERALD GUNTHER, RODERICK M. HILLS, JR., PAUL KAHN, KENNETH L. KARST, SETH KREIMER, DOUGLAS LAYCOCK, JEFFERY ... ” Anderson v. Roe 1998 WL 847289, *847289+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 08, 1998	Brief		5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	64. BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE THE AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS ” Anderson v. Roe 1998 WL 847295, *847295+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 08, 1998	Brief		5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	65. BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE BRENNAN CENTER FOR JUSTICE AT NEW YORK UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW, ASIAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATION FUND, INC., CENTER F... Anderson v. Roe 1998 WL 847301, *847301+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 08, 1998	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	66. BRIEF OF RESPONDENTS Anderson v. Roe 1998 WL 847469, *847469+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 08, 1998	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	67. BRIEF FOR THE UNITED STATES AS AMICUS CURIAE SUPPORTING PETITIONERS IN PART AND RESPONDENTS IN PART ” Anderson v. Roe 1998 WL 798866, *798866+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 10, 1998	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	68. BRIEF OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, AND THE STATES OF ALABAMA, FLORIDA, GEORGIA, HAWAII, MARYLAND, MINNESOTA, MONTANA, NEVADA, NEW HAMPSHIRE, N... Anderson v. Roe 1998 WL 798877, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 10, 1998	Brief		5 8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	69. BRIEF OF RESPONDENTS ” City of Chicago v. Morales 1998 WL 614302, *614302+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 11, 1998	Brief		5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	70. PETITIONER'S REPLY BRIEF ” Camps Newfound/Owatonna, Inc. v. Town of Harrison 1996 WL 403309, *403309+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 17, 1996	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	71. BRIEF FOR THE PETITIONER ” Camps Newfound/Owatonna, Inc. v. Town of Harrison 1996 WL 245552, *245552+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	May 10, 1996	Brief		6 7 8 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	72. Brief for Amicus Curiae National Bar Association in Support of Respondents ¶ Roy ROMER, as Governor of the State of Colorado, and the State of Colorado, Petitioners, v. Richard G. EVANS, Angela Romero, Linda Fowler, Paul Brown,... 1995 WL 17008431, *17008431+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	1995	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	73. BRIEF FOR RESPONDENTS ¶ Anderson (Eloise), Director, California Department of Social Services, Gould (Russell S.), Director, California Department of Finance v. Green (Deshaw... 1994 WL 699703, *1+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 13, 1994	Brief		4 6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	74. Brief Amicus Curiae of 178 Organizations in Support of Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania PLANNED PARENTHOOD OF SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA, et al., Petitioners, v. Robert P. CASEY, et al., Respondents. Robert P. Casey, et al., Petitioners, v... 1992 WL 12006405, *12006405+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 06, 1992	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	75. Brief for Appellee ¶ Alvin D. HOOPER and Mary N. Hooper, Appellants, v. BERNALILLO COUNTY ASSESSOR, Appellee. 1984 WL 565596, *565596+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1984	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	76. Brief of Appellant United Building and Construction Trades Council of Camden County and Vicinity v. Mayor and Council of the City of Camden 1983 WL 961657, *1+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	May 26, 1983	Brief		—
Discussed by	77. Brief for Petitioners Martinez v. Brockette 1982 WL 1044666, *1+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 25, 1982	Brief		—
Discussed by	78. Reply Brief of Appellants Ronald M. ZOBEL and Patricia L. Zobel, Appellants, v. Thomas WILLIAMS, Commissioner of Revenue, and State of Alaska, Appellees. 1981 WL 389713, *389713+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 21, 1981	Brief		4 5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	79. Brief of Appellants Ronald M. ZOBEL and Patricia L. Zobel, Appellants, v. Thomas WILLIAMS, Commissioner of Revenue, and State of Alaska, Appellees. 1981 WL 389712, *389712+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 13, 1981	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	80. Brief for Appellant Elie JONES, Warden, Stone Mountain Correctional Institution, Appellant, v. Bobby H. HELMS, Appellee. 1981 WL 390486, *390486+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar 1981	Brief		8 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	81. Brief for Respondent GREAT AMERICAN FEDERAL SAVINGS & LOAN ASSOCIATION, John A. Virostek, Joseph E. Bugel, John J. Dravecky, Daniel T. Kubasak, Edward J. Lesko, James E. O... 1979 WL 199979, *199979+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 28, 1979	Brief		5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	82. Brief for Respondent Great American Federal Savings & Loan Association v. Novotny 1979 WL 213874, *213874+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 28, 1979	Brief		5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	83. Brief for the Appellant ¶¶ Terry T. TORRES, Appellant, v. COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO, Appellee. 1978 WL 207150, *207150+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 16, 1978	Brief		5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	84. Brief Amicus Curiae of East Texas Legal Services, Inc. ¶¶ Chapman v. Houston Welfare Rights Organization 1978 WL 223303, *223303+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	June 05, 1978	Brief		5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	85. Motion for Leave to File Brief Amicus Curiae and Brief Amicus Curiae on Behalf of the National Right to Work Legal Defense Foundation, Urging Reversal Sidney S. HICKLIN, et al., Appellants, v. Edmund ORBECK, et al., Appellees. 1978 WL 206745, *206745+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 27, 1978	Brief		—
Discussed by	86. Brief of Appellees Sidney S. HICKLIN, Ruby E. Dorman, Betty Cloud, Tommy Ray Woodruff, Frederick A. Mathers, Harry A. Browning, Emmett Ray, and Joseph G. O'Brien, Appell... 1978 WL 206740, *206740+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 10, 1978	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	87. Brief of Appellees Hicklin v. Orbeck 1978 WL 223115, *223115+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 10, 1978	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	88. Brief of Appellants ¶¶ Sidney S. HICKLIN, Rudy E. Dorman, Betty Cloud, Tommy Ray Woodruff, Frederick A. Mathers, Harry A. Browning, Emmett Ray, and Joseph G. O'Brien, Appell... 1977 WL 189447, *189447+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 31, 1977	Brief		5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	89. Jurisdictional Statement ¶¶ Hicklin v. Orbeck 1977 WL 204989, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Aug. 29, 1977	Brief		3 7 8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	90. Brief for Petitioner THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, Petitioner, v. Allan BAKKE, Respondent. 1977 WL 187977, *187977+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	June 07, 1977	Brief		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	91. Brief for the Respondents UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Petitioner, v. Joseph A. CHADWICK et al., Respondents. 1977 WL 189821, *189821+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 01, 1977	Brief		6 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	92. Brief for Petitioner Bowen v. U.S. 1974 WL 186132, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 13, 1974	Brief		7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	93. Brief for Appellees ” Village of Belle Terre v. Boraas 1974 WL 187429, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 07, 1974	Brief		7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	94. Appellees' Brief Vlandis v. Kline. 1973 WL 172574, *172574+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 08, 1973	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	95. Brief for the Appellants Northeast Airlines, Inc. v. New Hampshire Aeronautics Comm'n 1971 WL 135445, *135445+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 26, 1971	Brief		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	96. Appellees' Brief ” Dtes v. Carter 1971 WL 133723, *133723+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 26, 1971	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	97. Brief of the Attorney General of the State of New York as Amicus Curiae in Support of Affirmance James v. Valtierra 1970 WL 122012, *122012+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 05, 1970	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	98. Brief of the Attorney General of the State of New York as Amicus Curiae in Support of Affirmance James v. Valtierra 1970 WL 122179, *122179+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 05, 1970	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	99. Brief for Appellee Housing Authority of the City of San Jose. ” James v. Valtierra 1970 WL 122009, *122009+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 26, 1970	Brief		—
Discussed by	100. Brief for Appellee Housing Authority of the City of San Jose. ” James v. Valtierra 1970 WL 122176, *122176+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 26, 1970	Brief		—
Discussed by	101. Motion for Leave to File a Brief as Amici Curiae and Brief of The National Urban Coalition, the Alliance for Labor Action, the American Federation of ... James v. Valtierra 1970 WL 122850, *122850+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 26, 1970	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	102. Motion for Leave to File a Brief as amici Curiae James v. Valtierra 1970 WL 136721, *136721+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 26, 1970	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	103. Brief of the Attorney General of the State of New York as Amicus Curiae in Support of Affirmance James v. Valtierra 1970 WL 136727, *136727+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1970	Brief		6 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	104. Brief of Appellant Virginia C. Shaffer Shaffer v. Valtierra 1970 WL 122035, *122035+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 12, 1970	Brief		—
Discussed by	105. Brief of Appellant Virginia C. Shaffer Shaffer v. Valtierra 1970 WL 122202, *122202+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 12, 1970	Brief		—
Discussed by	106. Appellants' Brief on the Merits Jimenez v. Naff 1970 WL 122634, *122634+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 12, 1970	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	107. Appellants' Brief on the Merits Jimenez v. Naff 1970 WL 136669, *136669+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 12, 1970	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	108. Rief of New York Civil Liberties Union; Block Communities Inc.; Citizens Committee for Children; Citizens Union; Coalition for Adequate Income and Med... ” Rosado v. Wyman 1969 WL 120209, *120209+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 06, 1969	Brief		7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	109. Motion for Leave to File Brief Amici Curiae and Brief Amici Curiae of the Center on Social Welfare Policy and Law, the National Housing Law Project an... ” Simmons v. The Housing Authority of West Haven 1969 WL 120188, *120188+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 07, 1969	Brief		—
Discussed by	110. Appellants' Brief on the Merits Hall v. Beals 1969 WL 120134, *120134+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 14, 1969	Brief		5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	111. Brief of Consumers Union of United States, Inc., Amicus Curiae ” Christine SNIADACH, Petitioner, v. FAMILY FINANCE CORPORATION OF BAY VIEW and Miller Harris Instrument Company. 1969 WL 136794, *136794+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 11, 1969	Brief		7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	112. Supplemental Brief for Appellees on Reargument Shapiro v. Thompson 1968 WL 112575, *112575+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 19, 1968	Brief		4 8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	113. Motion for Leave to File Brief Amici Curiae and Brief Amici Curiae of the Naacp Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc., the National Office for the ... King v. Smith 1968 WL 112515, *112515+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 06, 1968	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	114. Motion of the Child Welfare League of America, Inc. and the National Council of Churches of Christ in the U. S. A. for Leave to File A Brief As Amici ... ” King v. Smith 1968 WL 112514, *112514+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 05, 1968	Brief		4 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	115. Motion of the Legal Aid Society of Alameda County for Leave to File Brief as Amicus Curiae on Behalf of Appellee and Amicus Curiae Brief on Behalf of ... Bernard SHAPIRO, Welfare Commissioner of Connecticut, Appellant, v. Vivian THOMPSON, Appellee. 1968 WL 129373, *129373+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 18, 1968	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	116. Brief of the Attorney General of the State of New York As Amicus Curiae in Support of Affirmance Reitman v. Mulkey, 1967 WL 113955, *113955+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 09, 1967	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	117. Brief of Amici Curiae Japanese American Citizens League ” Richard Perry LOVING, et al., Appellants, v. COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA. 1967 WL 93612, *93612+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 17, 1967	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	118. Brief of Amici Curiae Japanese American Citizens League. ” Loving v. Commonwealth of Virginia 1967 WL 113928, *113928+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 17, 1967	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	119. Brief for the United States ” UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Appellant, v. Herbert GUEST, et al. 1965 WL 130124, *130124+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 09, 1965	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	120. Brief of the State of California as Amicus Curiae. Heart of Atlanta Motel, Inc. v. U.S. 1964 WL 81384, *81384+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 28, 1964	Brief		—
Discussed by	121. Brief for Appellees ” HEART OF ATLANTA MOTEL, INC., a Georgia Corporation, Appellant, v. THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and Robert F. Kennedy, as the Attorney General of the ... 1964 WL 95342, *95342+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 28, 1964	Brief		1 6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	122. Brief for Appellants and Appellants-Intervenors on Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana, New Orleans Div... Dombrowski v. Pfister 1964 WL 81259, *81259+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 25, 1964	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	123. Brief for the Petitioner ” THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY, Petitioner, v. L. B. SULLIVAN, Respondent. 1963 WL 66441, *66441+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 06, 1963	Brief		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	124. Reply Brief for Appellants Head v. New Mexico Board of Examiners in Optometry 1963 WL 105580, *105580+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 15, 1963	Brief		8 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	125. Brief of Appellee Head v. New Mexico Board of Examiners in Optometry 1963 WL 105577, *105577+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 12, 1963	Brief		—
Discussed by	126. Appellants' Brief. Florida Lime and Avocado Growers, Inc. v. Paul 1962 WL 115832, *115832+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 24, 1962	Brief		6 8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	127. Appellant's Opening Brief ¶¶ Robinson v. The People of the State of California 1962 WL 115380, *115380+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 15, 1962	Brief		—
Discussed by	128. Brief of Appellant. ¶¶ Martin v. Herbert Walton as Probate Judge of Johnson County 1961 WL 102285, *102285+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 21, 1961	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	129. Brief for Amicus Curiae People of the State of New York v. O'Neill 1958 WL 91649, *91649+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 08, 1958	Brief		5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	130. Respondent's Brief People of the State of New York v. O'Neill 1958 WL 91647, *91647+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 31, 1958	Brief		—
Discussed by	131. Brief for the United States as Amicus Curiae ¶¶ Powell v. The U.S. Cartridge Co. 1949 WL 50437, *50437+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov 1949	Brief		1 6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	132. Brief for Elmer W. Henderson. Henderson v. U.S. 1949 WL 50667, *50667+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 08, 1949	Brief		7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	133. Brief for the United States as Amicus Curiae ¶¶ Kennedy v. Silas Mason Co. 1948 WL 47457, *47457+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 15, 1948	Brief		1 6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	134. Brief and Argument for Appellants ¶¶ Colegrove v. Green 1946 WL 50074, *50074+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 02, 1946	Brief		5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	135. Brief for Appellant Volume I: the Law Southern Pacific Co. v. Arizona. 1944 WL 42949, *42949+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 22, 1944	Brief		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	136. Opening Brief for Appellant. ¶¶ In re Endo 1944 WL 42557, *42557+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 14, 1944	Brief		—
Discussed by	137. Brief for Appellants. ¶¶ Hoopston Canning Co. v. Pink 1942 WL 75737, *75737+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1942	Brief		—
Discussed by	138. Brief of Amicus Curiae Legal Action Center for the Homeless ¶¶ Charles WHITING, III, et al., Appellants, v. TOWN OF WESTERLY, et al., Appellees. 1990 WL 10534549, *10534549+ , 1st Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 22, 1990	Brief		6 7 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	139. Brief on Appeal for Bahji Adams as Appellant ¶¶ Bahji ADAMS, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. VERMONT OFFICE OF CHILD SUPPORT, et. al., Defendant(s)-Appelle(s). 2017 WL 1831848, *1+ , 2nd Cir. (Appellate Brief)	May 04, 2017	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	140. Brief and Special Appendix for Plaintiffs-Appellants Riva JANES, individually, Bruce Schwartz, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Bette Goldstein, individually and on behalf of ... 2014 WL 1509333, *1+ , 2nd Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 14, 2014	Brief		—
Discussed by	141. Brief for Appellees ¶¶ Maldonado v. Houstoun 1998 WL 34300953, *34300953+ , 3rd Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 24, 1998	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	142. Brief of Appellant ¶¶ Josef JANSA and Cilka Jansa, Plaintiffs/Appellees, v. Deanna Wraight MCNEIL, Defendant/Appellant. 1998 WL 34178586, *34178586+ , 4th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 23, 1998	Brief		1 6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	143. Brief of Appellees CADLES OF GRASSY MEADOWS II, L.L.C., by assignment from Olney Savings Association, Plaintiff-Appellant, The State of Texas, Intervenor Plaintiff-Appel... 2007 WL 6158433, *6158433+ , 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 14, 2007	Brief		—
Discussed by	144. Brief of Appellees CADLES OF GRASSY MEADOWS II, L.L.C., by Assignment from Olney Savings Association, Plaintiff-Appellant, THE STATE OF TEXAS, Intervenor plaintiff-Appel... 2007 WL 5960205, *5960205+ , 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 13, 2007	Brief		—
Discussed by	145. Brief of Appellant CADLES OF GRASSY MEADOWS II, L.L.C., by assignment from Olney Saving Association, Plaintiff- appellant, THE STATE OF TEXAS, Intervenor-Plaintiffappell... 2007 WL 5960204, *1+ , 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 11, 2007	Brief		—
Discussed by	146. Brief of Amicus Curiae National Law Center for Homelessness & Poverty ¶¶ Prince JOHNSON, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellees, v. CITY OF DALLAS, Texas, et al., Defendants-Appellants. 1995 WL 17846738, *17846738+ , 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 21, 1995	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	147. Appellant's Brief Robert J. MUSEMECHE, Defendant-Appellant, v. CHARTER NATIONAL BANK-WESTHEIMER, Plaintiff-Appellee. 1993 WL 13104756, *1+ , 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 03, 1993	Brief		2 3 8 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	148. Brief of Amicus Curiae State of Ohio in Support of Appellant and Reversal Marshall GARBER, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Heriberto MENENDEZ, MD, Defendant-Appellee. 2018 WL 1634059, *1+ , 6th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 02, 2018	Brief		—
Discussed by	149. Appellant's Reply Brief ¶¶ Marshall GARBER, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Heriberto MENENDEZ, M.D., Defendant-Appellee. 2017 WL 6553594, *1+ , 6th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 21, 2017	Brief		1 S.Ct.
Discussed by	150. Brief of Appellee ¶¶ Marshall GARBER, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Heriberto MENENDEZ, M.D., Defendant-Appellee. 2017 WL 6405885, *1+ , 6th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 07, 2017	Brief		1 S.Ct.
Discussed by	151. Appellants' Brief and Required Short Appendix ¶¶ Gayle SCHOR, Kristine Mulcahy, Angela Shue, and others similarly situated, Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. Mayor Richard DALEY, Officer Ramon Solidum, Unkno... 2008 WL 5786346, *5786346+ , 7th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 02, 2008	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	152. Reply Brief of Appellant Arlene Rosenblatt ¶¶ Arlene ROSENBLATT, an individual, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff--Appellant, v. THE ITY OF SANTA MONICA, a municipa... 2018 WL 1791829, *1+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 13, 2018	Brief		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	153. Respondents' answering Brief Arlene ROSENBLATT, Plaintiff and Appellant, v. CITY OF SANTA MONICA; The City Council of the City of Santa Monica, Defendants and Respondents. 2018 WL 1121873, *1+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 21, 2018	Brief		3 7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	154. Brief of Amici Curiae Pink Pistols, Women Against Gun Control, Inc., and Second Amendment Sisters in Support of Plaintiffs-Appellants ¶¶ Edward PERUTA, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, et al., Defendants-Appellees. 2015 WL 1955162, *1+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 29, 2015	Brief		—
Discussed by	155. Appellants' Opening Brief ¶¶ James COURTNEY; Clifford Courtney, Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. Jeffrey GOLTZ, chairman and commissioner; Patrick Oshie, commissioner; Philip Jones, comm... 2012 WL 4086851, *1+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 06, 2012	Brief		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	156. Brief of the East Bay Community Law Center, Public Counsel, and National Law Center on Homelessness & Poverty As Amici Curiae in Support of Plaintiffs... Cheyenne DESERTRAIN, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al., Defendants-Appellees. 2012 WL 3721349, *1+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 16, 2012	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	157. Plaintiffs-Appellants Reply Brief Alan PORTER; William J. Cody; Patrick Kerr; Steven Lewis, Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. Bill JONES, in his individual capacity; Bruce Mcpherson, in his of... 2005 WL 5075554, *5075554+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 21, 2005	Brief		6 8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	158. Appellants' Opening Brief ¶¶ Alan PORTER; William J. Cody; Patrick Kerr; Steven Lewis, Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. Bill JONES, in his individual capacity; Bruce Mcpherson, in his of... 2005 WL 4930875, *4930875+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 07, 2005	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	159. Brief Amici Curiae of the National Law Center on Homelessness & Poverty, Coalition on Homelessness, San Francisco, National Coalition for the Homeless... ¶¶ Bobby Joe JOYCE, Timothy E. Smith, Thomas O'halloran, on Behalf of Themselves and all Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. CITY AND CO... 1996 WL 33489993, *33489993+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 17, 1996	Brief		6 7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	160. Brief for Appellees ¶¶ Deshawn Green, Debby Venturella, and Diana P. BERTOLLT, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs-Appellees, v. Eloise AND... 1993 WL 13011113, *1+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	June 18, 1993	Brief		—
Discussed by	161. Brief of Appellant ¶¶ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff/Appellee, v. Jorge MARTINEZ, Defendant/Appellant. 2007 WL 2426120, *2426120+ , 10th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	July 12, 2007	Brief		1 6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	162. Brief of Appellant ¶¶ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff/Appellee, v. Juan M. Perez CARDENAS, Defendant/Appellant. 2007 WL 2426117, *2426117+ , 10th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	June 29, 2007	Brief		1 6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	163. Opening Brief of Appellant ¶¶ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff/Appellee, v. Adalberto AGUILAR-BANUELOS, Defendant/Appellant. 2007 WL 2210610, *2210610+ , 10th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	June 06, 2007	Brief		1 6 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	164. Appellant's Reply Brief Olga L. RAMIREZ, Plaintiff - Appellant, v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondent - Appellee. 2005 WL 3577607, *3577607+ , 11th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 13, 2005	Brief		7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	165. Amended Answer Brief of Appellees Michael POTTINGER, Peter Carter, Berry Young, et al, Appellees, v. CITY OF MIAMI, Appellant. 1994 WL 16056244, *16056244+ , 11th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	May 09, 1994	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	166. Appellant's Opening Brief Thomasena JOHNSON, Appellant, v. Jesse SMITH, Appellee. 2000 WL 35515573, *35515573+ , Alaska (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 11, 2000	Brief		5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	167. Reply to Answer to Petition for Review ¶¶ Debra NEWELL, Plaintiff and Appellant, v. Mohamed ABOUELMAGD, Defendant and Respondent. 2018 WL 1566086, *1+ , Cal. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 22, 2018	Brief		1 S.Ct.
Discussed by	168. Respondent's Brief ¶¶ Clarence W. MAYO and Joyce Mayo, Petitioners, v. NATIONAL FARMERS UNION PROPERTY AND CASUALTY COMPANY, a Colorado corporation, Respondent. 1991 WL 11034429, *1+ , Colo. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 20, 1991	Brief		—
Discussed by	169. Reply Brief for the Plaintiff-Appellant ¶¶ Gregory LANGADINOS, v. James RAINVILLE, et. al. and Healthsouth Corp. 2000 WL 35603377, *35603377+ , Mass.App.Ct. (Appellate Brief)	June 28, 2000	Brief		7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	170. Brief on Behalf of Respondent Raymond BLOOMQUIST, D.O., Relator, v. Hon. Nancy L. SCHNEIDER, Judge, St. Charles County Circuit Court, Respondent. 2007 WL 2507767, *2507767+ , Mo. (Appellate Brief)	2007	Brief		3 7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	171. Defendant-Appellant's Brief ¶¶ STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, Plaintiff-Appellee, v. Richard Vaughn SPRINKLE-SURRATT, Defendant-Appellant. 2019 WL 5431260, *1+ , N.C.App. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 30, 2019	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	172. Appellant's Amended Opening Brief and Excerpt of Record Allan F. KNAPPENBERGER, an individual, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Adelbert John DAVIS-STANTON, an individual, aka John Stanton, aka John Davis-Stanton, D... 2012 WL 7959183, *1+ , Or.App. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 31, 2012	Brief		1 S.Ct.











Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	173. Brief for Appellant ¶¶ COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, Appellee, v. James C. WERT, Appellant. 2002 WL 32622191, *32622191+ , Pa.Cmwth. (Appellate Brief)	2002	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	174. Brief of Plaintiffs-Appellants ¶¶ Joseph A. PELLAND (John Poe), John Woe, John Moe, and John Doe, Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. State of Rhode Island, Department of Corrections, and Ashbel... 2006 WL 6160906, *6160906+ , R.I. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 18, 2006	Brief		—
Discussed by	175. Reply Brief Of Appellants/Cross Respondents State of Washington, Christine O. Gregoire and Sam Reed Daniel MADISON, Sebrina Moore, Larence Bolden, Beverly Dubois and Dannielle Garner, Respondents/Cross Appellants, v. State of Washington; Christine O.... 2006 WL 2303737, *2303737+ , Wash. (Appellate Brief)	June 06, 2006	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	176. Brief of the Appellant STATE OF WISCONSIN, Plaintiff-respondent, v. Dawn M. CARROTHERS, Defendant-appellant. 2007 WL 7259722, *7259722+ , Wis.App. II Dist. (Appellate Brief)	2007	Brief		—
Discussed by	177. Brief and Appendix of Petitioner-Appellant, Dana M. Leduc In re the Marriage of: Dana M. LEDUC, f/k/a Dana M. Hayes, Petitioner-Appellant, v. Patrick J. HAYES, Respondent-Respondent. 2003 WL 24112482, *24112482+ , Wis.App. III Dist. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 26, 2003	Brief		—
Discussed by	178. Guardian ad Litem's Brief ¶¶ In re the Marriage of: Julia LUNA, Petitioner, STATE OF WISCONSIN, Appellant, Ramiro LUNA, Respondent. 1992 WL 12785546, *1+ , Wis.App. I Dist. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 12, 1992	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	179. Alabama and Governor Bentley's Response to United States' Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Doc. 2) ¶¶ HISPANIC INTEREST COALITION OF ALABAMA; et al., Plaintiffs, v. Robert BENTLEY, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of Alabama; et al., D... 2011 WL 7091203, *1+ , N.D.Ala. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Aug. 15, 2011	Motion		3 5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	180. Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction ¶¶ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. STATE OF ALABAMA & Governor Robert J. Bentley, Defendants. 2011 WL 7091193, *1+ , N.D.Ala. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Aug. 01, 2011	Motion		3 7 S.Ct.












Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	181. Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss ¶¶ THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. THE STATE OF ARIZONA; and Janice K. Brewer, Governor of the State of Arizona, in her Official Capacity, De... 2010 WL 4873964, *4873964+ , D.Ariz. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Aug. 26, 2010	Motion		3 8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	182. Brief of Amicus Curiae Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights under Law in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction ¶¶ FRIENDLY HOUSE; et al., Plaintiffs, v. Michael B. WHITING; et al., Defendants. 2010 WL 2959360, *2959360+ , D.Ariz. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	July 06, 2010	Motion		3 7 8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	183. Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant Denise Joseph-Brown, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint; Memorandum of Points and Author... Richard MCLAUGHLIN and Eve McLaughlin, Plaintiffs, v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, a New Jersey Corporation; Ethicon, Inc., a New Jersey Corporation; Denise Jos... 2014 WL 10209454, *1+ , C.D.Cal. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Apr. 07, 2014	Motion		3 7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	184. Reply in Support of CSXT's Motions for Summary Judgment and Preliminary Injunction CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC., Plaintiff, v. WILLIAMS et al., Defendants. 2005 WL 1173412, *1173412+ , D.D.C. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Mar. 17, 2005	Motion		—
Discussed by	185. Motion to Reconsider ¶¶ Gayle SCHOR, Kristine Mulcahy, Angela Shue, Joseph Fosco and others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. Mayor Richard DALEY, Officer Ramon Solidum, Unkn... 2008 WL 7255825, *7255825+ , N.D.Ill. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	July 15, 2008	Motion		—
Discussed by	186. Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment FRANCARL REALTY CORPORATION, Viking Star, Inc., Viking Starship, Inc., Viking Quest, Inc., Viking Good Times, Inc., Paul G. Forsberg, Sr., Hank Lackne... 2006 WL 5303799, *5303799+ , E.D.N.Y. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	May 25, 2006	Motion		—
Discussed by	187. Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment ¶¶ FRANCARL REALTY CORPORATION, Viking Star, Inc., Viking Starship, Inc., Viking Quest, Inc., Viking Good Times, Inc., Paul G. Forsberg, Sr., Hank Lackne... 2006 WL 8089923, *1+ , E.D.N.Y. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	May 25, 2006	Motion		2 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	188. Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment Riva JANES, Bruce Schwartz, Bette Goldstein, and Hillel Abraham individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. Triborough... 2013 WL 11252313, *1+ , S.D.N.Y. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Aug. 23, 2013	Motion		—
Discussed by	189. Plaintiff Marshall Garber's Memorandum in Opposition of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Marshall GARBER, Plaintiff, v. Heriberto MENENDEZ, M.D., Defendant. 2017 WL 3393336, *1+ , N.D.Ohio (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	July 08, 2017	Motion		—
Discussed by	190. Defendant Heriberto Menendez, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss ¶ Marshall GARBER, Plaintiff, v. Heriberto MENENDEZ, M.D., Defendant. 2017 WL 2772749, *1+ , N.D.Ohio (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	June 09, 2017	Motion		1 8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	191. Plaintiff's Supplement Regarding Constitutionality of NRS 179.490 ¶ Salvatore GIANDINOTO, Plaintiff, v. Catherine Cortez MASTO, Attorney General of the State of Nevada; James Wright, Director of the Nevada Department o... 2014 WL 8850012, *1+ , Nev.Dist.Ct. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	May 29, 2014	Motion		1 2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	192. Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider Edith L. TOMASKO (nee DuBuc), v. Vincent E. DUBUC. 1998 WL 35165744, *35165744+ , N.H.Super. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Nov. 16, 1998	Motion		—
Discussed by	193. Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Thomas J. IGOE, JR. and Richard P. Swanson, Individually, and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. George E. PATAKI, Gove... 1999 WL 35233749, *1+ , N.Y.Sup. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	June 28, 1999	Motion		—
Not Followed on State Law Grounds NEGATIVE	194. State v. Expunged Record (No.) 249,044 881 So.2d 104, 109 , La. CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Records. Statute prohibiting destruction of felony arrest records does not violate equal protection.	July 02, 2004	Case		—
Declined to Extend by NEGATIVE	195. Matsuo v. U.S. 532 F.Supp.2d 1238, 1249 , D.Hawai'i LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT - Hours and Wages. Federal Employees Pay Comparability Act (FEPCA) did not violate Equal Protection Clause by locality pay exclusion.	Jan. 30, 2008	Case		8 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	196. Garber v. Menendez 888 F.3d 839, 846+ , 6th Cir.(Ohio) HEALTH - Limitations. Ohio statute that tolled statute of limitations while defendant was out-of-state did not violate dormant Commerce Clause.	May 01, 2018	Case		3 S.Ct.
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	197. Harper v. Ramirez 2015 WL 9918409, *10 , C.D.Cal. This Report and Recommendation is submitted to the Honorable John A. Kronstadt, United States District Judge, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 and General Order 194 of...	Dec. 16, 2015	Case		—
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	198. Hughes v. City of Cedar Rapids 112 F.Supp.3d 817, 840 , N.D.Iowa GOVERNMENT - Highways and Roads. City's traffic camera system was rationally related to legitimate government interest.	July 02, 2015	Case		8 S.Ct.
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	199. Schatz v. Interfaith Care Center 811 N.W.2d 643, 654 , Minn. LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT - Workers' Compensation. Provision relating to payments to out-of-state medical providers did not violate equal protection.	Apr. 11, 2012	Case		3 S.Ct.
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	200. State v. Ruesch 571 N.W.2d 898, 903+ , Wis.App. CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Stalking. Subsection of stalking statute exempting constitutionally protected conduct does not provide additional element of crime.	Oct. 30, 1997	Case		—
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	201. Service Mach. & Shipbuilding Corp. v. Edwards 466 F.Supp. 1200, 1208 , W.D.La. Proceeding was instituted to declare invalid and enjoin enforcement of a workers' registration ordinance. The District Court, Davis, J., held that ordinance of St. Mary Parish...	Feb. 13, 1979	Case		—
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	202. Kirk v. Board of Regents of University of Cal. 78 Cal.Rptr. 260, 267 , Cal.App. 1 Dist. Action to determine validity of classification between residents and nonresidents in determining tuition at state university. The Superior Court, Alameda County, Monroe Friedman...	May 28, 1969	Case		—
Cited by	203. C & A Carbone, Inc. v. Town of Clarkstown, N.Y. 114 S.Ct. 1677, 1701 , U.S.N.Y. Interstate Commerce. Town's solid waste ordinance violated interstate commerce clause.	May 16, 1994	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 204. Chemical Waste Management, Inc. v. Hunt 112 S.Ct. 2009, 2013 , U.S.Ala. Operator of commercial hazardous waste facility brought suit for declaratory relief, challenging constitutionality of statute imposing additional fee on all hazardous waste...	June 01, 1992	Case		—
Cited by	 205. Fort Gratiot Sanitary Landfill, Inc. v. Michigan Dept. of Natural Resources 112 S.Ct. 2019, 2024 , U.S.Mich. After county denied landfill operator's application for authority to accept out-of-state solid waste at its landfill, operator filed action seeking judgment declaring waste import...	June 01, 1992	Case		—
Cited by	 206. Attorney General of New York v. Soto-Lopez 106 S.Ct. 2317, 2320+ , U.S.N.Y. Applicants for state employment, who were denied additional points for service in armed forces by reason that their entry into armed forces was from another state, brought action...	June 17, 1986	Case		—
Cited by	 207. Martinez v. Bynum 103 S.Ct. 1838, 1852 , U.S.Tex. Suit was brought challenging constitutionality of Texas statute permitting school district to deny tuition-free admission to its public schools for a minor who lived apart from a...	May 02, 1983	Case		—
Cited by	 208. Haig v. Agee 101 S.Ct. 2766, 2782 , U.S.Dist.Col. A passport was revoked on ground that activities of the passport holder in foreign countries had caused serious damage to national security and foreign policy of the United States....	June 29, 1981	Case		—
Cited by	 209. Califano v. Aznavorian 99 S.Ct. 471, 475 , U.S.Cal. Supplementary Security Income recipient sought judicial review of decision of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare denying her benefits for time when she had been...	Dec. 11, 1978	Case		—
Cited by	 210. Regents of University of California v. Bakke 98 S.Ct. 2733, 2781 , U.S.Cal. White male whose application to state medical school was rejected brought action challenging legality of the school's special admissions program under which 16 of the 100...	June 28, 1978	Case		—
Cited by	 211. City of Philadelphia v. New Jersey 98 S.Ct. 2531, 2537 , U.S.N.J. Appeal was taken from a judgment of the Supreme Court of New Jersey, 68 N.J. 451, 348 A.2d 505, upholding the constitutionality of a New Jersey statute prohibiting the...	June 23, 1978	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> 212. Hicklin v. Orbeck 98 S.Ct. 2482, 2488 , U.S.Alaska</p> <p>Action was brought challenging constitutionality of the "Alaska Hire" law. The Superior Court, Third Judicial District, entered judgment in favor of defendant state officials,...</p>	June 22, 1978	Case		—
Cited by	<p> 213. North v. Russell  96 S.Ct. 2709, 2716 , U.S.Ky.</p> <p>State prisoner petitioned for habeas corpus relief. The Harlan Circuit Court denied relief and the Court of Appeals of Kentucky, 516 S.W.2d 103, affirmed and on appeal the United...</p>	June 28, 1976	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	<p> 214. Meek v. Pittenger  95 S.Ct. 1753, 1778 , U.S.Pa.</p> <p>Individuals and various organizations brought an action against the Pennsylvania Secretary of Education and others challenging, on First Amendment grounds, the constitutionality of...</p>	May 19, 1975	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	<p> 215. Lubin v. Panish 94 S.Ct. 1315, 1322 , U.S.Cal.</p> <p>Indigent, who was denied nomination papers to file as a candidate for position of county supervisor in California because he was unable to pay filing fee required of all candidates...</p>	Mar. 26, 1974	Case		—
Cited by	<p> 216. Evansville-Vanderburgh Airport Authority Dist. v. Delta Airlines, Inc. 92 S.Ct. 1349, 1359+ , U.S.Ind.</p> <p>Actions by airlines challenging constitutionality of charges of one dollar levied by a state and by a municipality on persons enplaning a scheduled commercial airliner to help...</p>	Apr. 19, 1972	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	<p> 217. Papachristou v. City of Jacksonville  92 S.Ct. 839, 843+ , U.S.Fla.</p> <p>Eight defendants were convicted in a Florida Municipal Court of violating City of Jacksonville vagrancy ordinance. They appealed and their convictions were affirmed by the Florida...</p>	Feb. 24, 1972	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	<p> 218. Graham v. Richardson 91 S.Ct. 1848, 1854+ , U.S.Ariz.</p> <p>Two cases involving application of equal protection clause to state welfare laws discriminating against aliens were consolidated on appeal. In one case, alien resident of Arizona...</p>	June 14, 1971	Case		—
Cited by	<p> 219. Schmerber v. California 86 S.Ct. 1826, 1830 , U.S.Cal.</p> <p>Petitioner was convicted in the Los Angeles Municipal Court of criminal offense of driving an automobile while under influence of intoxicating liquor and he appealed. The...</p>	June 20, 1966	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 220. Harper v. Virginia State Bd. of Elections 86 S.Ct. 1079, 1082+ , U.S.Va. Suits by Virginia residents to have poll tax declared unconstitutional. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, as a three-judge court, 240 F.Supp....	Mar. 24, 1966	Case		—
Cited by	 221. Shuttlesworth v. City of Birmingham 86 S.Ct. 211, 216 , U.S.Ala. The defendant was convicted of violating ordinance which makes it an offense for one who is blocking free passage of sidewalk to refuse to heed police request to move on and...	Nov. 15, 1965	Case		—
Cited by	 222. Zemel v. Rusk 85 S.Ct. 1271, 1280 , U.S.Conn. Action to determine whether Secretary of State is authorized to refuse to validate passports for travel to Cuba, and whether exercise of that authority is constitutionally...	May 03, 1965	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	 223. Aptheker v. Secretary of State 84 S.Ct. 1659, 1671 , U.S.Dist.Col. Actions for injunctive relief and for declaratory relief in respect to constitutionality of a section of the Subversive Activities Control Act. The three judge United States...	June 22, 1964	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	 224. Bell v. State of Md. 84 S.Ct. 1814, 1827+ , U.S.Md. Negro students who participated in a 'sit-in' protest demonstration at a Baltimore restaurant which refused to serve colored people were convicted for violating the Maryland...	June 22, 1964	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	 225. Martin v. Walton 82 S.Ct. 1, 3 , U.S.Kan. An attorney who was a member of the Kansas Bar, and who practiced law in both Kansas and Missouri, brought action against the Probate Judge of Johnson County, Kansas, to compel the...	Nov. 06, 1961	Case		—
Cited by	 226. McNeal v. Culver 81 S.Ct. 413, 419 , U.S.Fla. Proceeding on habeas corpus petition addressed to Florida Supreme Court to obtain petitioner's discharge from custody on ground that petitioner was denied services of attorney when...	Jan. 23, 1961	Case		—
Cited by	 227. Smith v. People of the State of California 80 S.Ct. 215, 217 , U.S.Cal. Defendant was convicted in the Municipal Court of Los Angeles for violating ordinance dealing with obscene materials, and he appealed. The Appellate Department of the Superior...	Dec. 14, 1959	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 228. People of State of N. Y. v. O'Neill 79 S.Ct. 564, 569+ , U.S.Fla. Proceeding under the Florida 'Uniform Law to Secure the Attendance of Witnesses from Within or Without a State in Criminal Proceedings.' The Florida Supreme Court, 100 So.2d 149,...	Mar. 02, 1959	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	 229. Capitol Greyhound Lines v. Brice 70 S.Ct. 806, 808 , U.S.Md. The Capitol Greyhound Lines, the Pennsylvania Greyhound Lines, Inc., and the Red Star Motor Coaches, Inc., repectively, filed petitions for writs of mandamus commanding W. Lee...	May 15, 1950	Case		—
Cited by	 230. Powell v. U.S. Cartridge Co. 70 S.Ct. 755, 763 , U.S.Mo. R. M. Powell and others sued the United States Cartride Company, Julia Rhoda Aaron and others sued Ford, Bacon & Davis, Inc., and Roy Creel and others sued Lone Star Defense...	May 08, 1950	Case		—
Cited by	 231. Winters v. New York 68 S.Ct. 665, 668 , U.S.N.Y. Murray Winters was convicted of having in his possession with intent to sell certain magazines charged to violate a New York statute, the conviction was affirmed by the Appellate...	Mar. 29, 1948	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	 232. Connecticut Mut. Life Ins. Co. v. Moore 68 S.Ct. 682, 693 , U.S.N.Y. See 334 U.S. 810, 68 S.Ct. 1014. Action by the Connecticut Mutual Life Insurance Company and others against Frank C. Moore, Comptroller of the State of New York, for declaratory...	Mar. 29, 1948	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	 233. Bob-Lo Excursion Co. v. People of State of Mich. 68 S.Ct. 358, 361+ , U.S.Mich. The Bob-Lo Excursion Company was convicted of violating the Michigan Civil Rights Act, Comp.Laws Supp.Mich.1940, ss 17115-146 to 17115-148. Judgment was affirmed by the Supreme...	Feb. 02, 1948	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	 234. Adamson v. People of State of California 67 S.Ct. 1672, 1676 , U.S.Cal. Admiral Dewey Adamson was convicted of murder in the first degree, the conviction was affirmed by the Supreme Court of the State of California, 27 Cal.2d 478, 165 P.2d 3, and the...	June 23, 1947	Case		—
Cited by	 235. Morgan v. Com. of Va. 66 S.Ct. 1050, 1053 , U.S.Va. Irene Morgan was convicted of violating a state statute relating to the segregation of passengers of public motor carriers according to color and making it a misdemeanor for any...	June 03, 1946	Case		8 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 236. Southern Pac. Co. v. State of Ariz. ex rel. Sullivan 65 S.Ct. 1515, 1519 , U.S.Ariz. Action by the State of Arizona, on the relation of John L. Sullivan, Attorney General of the State of Arizona, against the Southern Pacific Company to recover from defendant...	June 18, 1945	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	 237. Williams v. State of N.C. 65 S.Ct. 1092, 1111 , U.S.N.C. O. B. Williams and Lillie Shaver Hendrix were convicted of bigamous cohabitation which conviction was affirmed by the Supreme Court of North Carolina, 224 N.C. 183, 29 S.E.2d 744,...	May 21, 1945	Case		—
Cited by	238. Duckworth v. Arkansas 62 S.Ct. 311, 315 , U.S.Ark. Appeal from the Supreme Court of the State of Arkansas. Jim Duckworth was convicted of transporting intoxicating liquor through the State of Arkansas without a permit from the...	Dec. 15, 1941	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	 239. U.S. v. Pacheco-Ortiz  889 F.2d 301, 311 , 1st Cir.(Puerto Rico) Defendant was convicted in the United States District Court for the District of Puerto Rico, Carmen Consuelo Cerezo, J., of one count of conspiracy to commit mail fraud and three...	Nov. 01, 1989	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	 240. U.S. v. Capo 791 F.2d 1054, 1067 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.) Defendants were convicted of conspiring to engage in extortionate job-selling scheme in violation of Hobbs Act, of substantive violations of Hobbs Act, of making false statements,...	May 30, 1986	Case		—
Cited by	241. Soto-Lopez v. New York City Civil Service Com'n 755 F.2d 266, 278+ , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.) Applicants for state employment, who were denied additional points for service in armed forces by reason that their entry into armed forces was from another state, brought action...	Feb. 15, 1985	Case		—
Cited by	242. Bacon v. Toia  648 F.2d 801, 809 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.) Recipients of aid to families with dependent children brought class action challenging the validity of the revised eligibility requirements of the emergency assistance program...	May 04, 1981	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	243. M. Witmark & Sons v. Fred Fisher Music Co. 125 F.2d 949, 959 , C.C.A.2 (N.Y.) FRANK, Circuit Judge, dissenting. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Action by M. Witmark & Sons against Fred Fisher Music...	Feb. 11, 1942	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	244. Singh v. Uber Technologies Inc. ¶¶ 939 F.3d 210, 229 , 3rd Cir.(N.J.) LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT — Arbitration. FAA exclusion for “workers engaged in foreign or interstate commerce” applied to transportation workers who transported passengers.	Sep. 11, 2019	Case		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	245. Kelly v. Ford, Bacon & Davis 162 F.2d 555, 561 , C.C.A.3 (Pa.) Appeal from the judgment of the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania; Willaim H. Kirkpatrick, Judge. Action by Joseph J. A. Kelly against...	June 12, 1947	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	246. Hawkins v. Moss 503 F.2d 1171, 1179 , 4th Cir.(S.C.) Action was brought by New Jersey attorney who established a residence in South Carolina, who sought admission to the South Carolina Bar on motion, and who challenged the...	Sep. 18, 1974	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	247. Mitchell v. Lublin, McGaughy & Associates 250 F.2d 253, 259 , 4th Cir.(Va.) Action by the Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor, for an injunction restraining defendants from violating Fair Labor Standards Act. The United States District...	Nov. 25, 1957	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	248. Walling v. Haile Gold Mines ¶¶ 136 F.2d 102, 104+ , C.C.A.4 (S.C.) Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Western District of South Carolina, at Greenville; Charles Cecil Wyche, Judge. Action by L. Metcalfe Walling,...	May 28, 1943	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	249. Missel v. Overnight Motor Transp. Co. 126 F.2d 98, 106+ , C.C.A.4 (Md.) Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore; William C. Coleman, Judge. Action by William H. Missel against the Overnight Motor...	Jan. 05, 1942	Case		—
Cited by	250. U.S. v. Bailey ¶¶ 115 F.3d 1222, 1229 , 5th Cir.(Tex.) Defendant was charged with failure to pay child support under Child Support Recovery Act (CSRA). The United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Samuel Fred...	June 12, 1997	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	251. Davis v. Page 618 F.2d 374, 385+ , 5th Cir.(Fla.) Indigent parent brought class action seeking declaratory relief declaring unconstitutional the conducting of child dependency proceedings in Florida against indigent parents...	June 06, 1980	Case		6 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 252. Service Mach. & Shipbuilding Corp. v. Edwards 617 F.2d 70, 73 , 5th Cir.(La.) Proceeding was instituted to declare invalid and enjoin enforcement of a Louisiana workers' registration ordinance. The United States District Court for the Western District of...	May 12, 1980	Case		—
Cited by	253. U.S. v. Cyzewski 484 F.2d 509, 511 , 5th Cir.(Fla.) The United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, at Tampa, Ben Krentzman, J., suppressed five pounds of marijuana discovered by airport security search, and the...	Aug. 29, 1973	Case		—
Cited by	 254. Enterprise Box Co. v. Fleming 125 F.2d 897, 899 , C.C.A.5 (Fla.) Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of Florida; Curtis L. Waller, Judge. Action for injunction by Philip B. Fleming, Administrator of the...	Feb. 26, 1942	Case		—
Cited by	 255. U.S. v. Page 136 F.3d 481, 487+ , 6th Cir.(Ohio) Following jury trial, defendant was convicted in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Dlott, J., of interstate domestic violence under Violence...	Feb. 12, 1998	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	256. U.S. v. Stagman 446 F.2d 489, 494 , 6th Cir.(Ky.) Defendants were convicted before the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Kentucky, Mac Swinford, J., of violating Travel Act, and they appealed. After...	July 27, 1971	Case		—
Cited by	257. Hughes v. City of Cedar Rapids, Iowa 840 F.3d 987, 995 , 8th Cir.(Iowa) CIVIL RIGHTS — Due Process. Drivers did not state procedural due process claim based on city's use of traffic camera system.	Nov. 02, 2016	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	 258. U.S. v. Hanigan 681 F.2d 1127, 1130 , 9th Cir.(Ariz.) Defendant was convicted in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona, Richard M. Bilby, J., of three counts of aiding and abetting a robbery affecting commerce...	July 19, 1982	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	 259. Brandenburger v. Thompson 494 F.2d 885, 892 , 9th Cir.(Hawaii) Plaintiff, who successfully challenged Hawaii's one-year durational residency requirement for state welfare benefits, moved for award of attorney fees following entry of the...	Mar. 25, 1974	Case		8 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	260. Mull v. U.S. 402 F.2d 571, 573 , 9th Cir.(Ariz.) Defendant Indian was convicted in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona, James A. Walsh, Chief Judge, of assault with a dangerous weapon with intent to do...	Oct. 28, 1968	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	261. Anderson v. Mullaney ¶¶ 191 F.2d 123, 127 , 9th Cir.(Alaska) Action by Oscar Anderson, and another, against M. P. Mullaney, Commissioner of Taxation of the Territory of Alaska, contesting the constitutionality of statute imposing a \$50...	June 25, 1951	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	262. Fox v. Summit King Mines 143 F.2d 926, 929 , C.C.A.9 (Nev.) Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the District of Nevada; Frank H. Norcross, Judge. Action by Al C. Fox and others against Summit King Mines, Limited, to...	June 27, 1944	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	263. National Ass'n of Home Builders v. Babbitt 130 F.3d 1041, 1050+ , D.C.Cir. Land developers and local governments brought action challenging constitutionality of "taking" provision of Endangered Species Act as applied to endangered species of fly found...	Dec. 05, 1997	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	264. Cross v. Harris 418 F.2d 1095, 1102 , D.C.Cir. Proceeding on petition for writ of habeas corpus attacking confinement in hospital under Sexual Psychopath Act. The United States District Court for the District of Columbia,...	Apr. 16, 1969	Case		—
Cited by	265. Waste Recycling, Inc. v. Southeast Alabama Solid Waste Disposal Authority 814 F.Supp. 1566, 1577 , M.D.Ala. Private companies involved in collection and disposal of solid waste brought commerce clause challenge to municipal ordinances enacted by three cities governing collection and...	Mar. 08, 1993	Case		—
Cited by	266. Gasser v. Morgan 498 F.Supp. 1154, 1167 , N.D.Ala. Action was brought challenging constitutionality of Alabama drug paraphernalia statute. The District Court, Guin, J., held that: (1) when bad purpose must be proved before person...	Sep. 10, 1980	Case		—
Cited by	267. Broughton v. Brewer 298 F.Supp. 260, 270+ , S.D.Ala. Actions challenging constitutionality of vagrancy statute of State of Alabama. A three-judge court, Rives, Circuit Judge, held that Alabama vagrancy statute, when considered with...	Mar. 13, 1969	Case		8 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	268. Williams v. Wallace 240 F.Supp. 100, 106 , M.D.Ala. Action against the governor of the state of Alabama and other officials to restrain their interference with plaintiffs' proposed march, wherein the United States by leave of court...	Mar. 17, 1965	Case		—
Cited by	269. Zellner v. Lingo 218 F.Supp. 513, 515 , M.D.Ala. Action under civil rights statutes wherein plaintiffs, who participated in freedom walk, sought injunctive relief against state prosecutions. On motions to dismiss, the District...	June 19, 1963	Case		—
Cited by	270. Brown v. Anderson 202 F.Supp. 96, 103 , D.Alaska Action seeking a declaratory judgment and injunctive relief in regard to an Alaskan statute regulating salmon fishing. A three-judge District Court, Powell, J., held that Alaskan...	Feb. 12, 1962	Case		1 6 S.Ct.
Cited by	271. Cabanas v. Gloodt Associates ¶¶ 942 F.Supp. 1295, 1303 , E.D.Cal. Resort manager brought action in diversity alleging defamation, intentional interference with existing contract and prospective business relations, negligence, false light invasion...	Sep. 25, 1996	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	272. Joyce v. City and County of San Francisco 846 F.Supp. 843, 860 , N.D.Cal. Class of homeless persons brought action against city, seeking injunctive and declaratory relief, which challenged program that targeted violation of certain ordinances and thus...	Mar. 15, 1994	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	273. Construction Industry Ass'n of Sonoma County v. City of Petaluma 375 F.Supp. 574, 581+ , N.D.Cal. Action by construction association against city, challenging constitutionality of city's plan for limiting its growth by limiting number of people who would henceforth be permitted...	Apr. 26, 1974	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	274. Valtierra v. Housing Authority of City of San Jose 313 F.Supp. 1, 4 , N.D.Cal. Action for judgment declaring state constitutional provision requiring that low rent housing project be approved by majority of qualified electors unconstitutional and for order...	Mar. 23, 1970	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	275. Wilcox v. Emmons 67 F.Supp. 339, 373 , S.D.Cal. Action by Homer Glen Wilcox against Delos C. Emmons and others for damages for the forcible exclusion of plaintiff from California by military authorities, wherein defendant John...	July 29, 1946	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	276. Grider v. City and County of Denver 2012 WL 1079466, *7 , D.Colo. THIS MATTER comes before the Court pursuant to Defendant City of Aurora's Motion to Dismiss (# 89), the Plaintiffs' response (# 95), and Aurora's reply (# 96); and Defendant City...	Mar. 30, 2012	Case		—
Cited by	277. Galahad v. Weinshienk 555 F.Supp. 1201, 1208 , D.Colo. Colorado resident who had been admitted to the bar in Pennsylvania and Alaska brought action challenging constitutionality of Colorado district court rule predicating admission to...	Jan. 17, 1983	Case		—
Cited by	278. Peruta v. City of Hartford 2012 WL 3656366, *5 , D.Conn. The Plaintiff, Edward A. Peruta, brings this action for an injunction on behalf of himself and other persons similarly situated to enjoin the operation of the Pay and Display...	Aug. 24, 2012	Case		—
Cited by	 279. U. S. Brewers Ass'n, Inc. v. Healy 532 F.Supp. 1312, 1328 , D.Conn. Brewers association brought action challenging the constitutionality of Connecticut's beer price affirmation statute. On cross motions for summary judgment, the District Court,...	Feb. 16, 1982	Case		—
Cited by	 280. Bynum v. Connecticut Commission on Forfeited Rights 296 F.Supp. 495, 499 , D.Conn. Proceedings on motion for impaneling of three-judge court to consider claim of unconstitutionality of state statute. The District Court, Blumenfeld, J., held that claim that state...	Oct. 03, 1968	Case		—
Cited by	281. Berrigan v. Sigler 358 F.Supp. 130, 138 , D.D.C. Two parolees filed complaint seeking judicial review of the administrative action of members of the United States Board of Parole in denying permission to plaintiffs to travel to...	May 03, 1973	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	 282. Green v. Department of Public Welfare of State of Del. 270 F.Supp. 173, 177 , D.Del. Class action for declaratory judgment that one-year residency prerequisite to availability of certain categories of assistance to needy persons of Delaware is unconstitutional....	June 28, 1967	Case		—
Cited by	283. Wallace v. Town of Palm Beach 624 F.Supp. 864, 870 , S.D.Fla. Action was brought challenging constitutionality of town ordinance requiring identification card to be issued to employees in certain occupations. The District Court, Roettger,...	Dec. 16, 1985	Case		6 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	284. Tucker v. Hitchcock 44 F.Supp. 874, 877 , S.D.Fla. Action by L. W. Tucker and others against W. C. Hitchcock to recover unpaid wages and liquidated damages under the Fair Labor Standards Act. Judgment for plaintiffs in accordance...	Apr. 02, 1942	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	285. Georgia Latino Alliance for Human Rights v. Deal 793 F.Supp.2d 1317, 1337 , N.D.Ga. IMMIGRATION - Preemption. Enforcement of Georgia's Illegal Immigration Reform and Enforcement Act was preliminarily enjoined.	June 27, 2011	Case		—
Cited by	286. John Doe No. 1 v. Georgia Dept. of Public Safety 147 F.Supp.2d 1369, 1373+ , N.D.Ga. GOVERNMENT - Licensing. Law restricting issuance of Georgia driver's licenses to illegal aliens was valid.	June 06, 2001	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	287. Daly v. Harris 215 F.Supp.2d 1098, 1114 , D.Hawai'i ENVIRONMENTAL LAW - Parks. Charging non-residents a fee to enter underwater park did not violate right to travel.	June 24, 2002	Case		—
Cited by	288. Mountain States Legal Foundation v. Espy 833 F.Supp. 808, 815 , D.Idaho Residents of nonfederal land within national forest brought action challenging regulations limiting the use of an unpaved forest development road. On cross motions for summary...	Sep. 24, 1993	Case		—
Cited by	289. BankDirect Capital Finance, LLC v. Capital Premium Finance, Inc. ¶¶ 326 F.R.D. 171, 173 , N.D.Ill. COMMERCIAL LAW — Discovery. Court would require plaintiff bank to produce communications between it and 52 insurance agencies that its counsel had promised to produce.	Aug. 03, 2018	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	290. General Ins. Co. of America v. Clark Mall Corp. ¶¶ 2010 WL 2901788, *6 , N.D.Ill. INSURANCE - Liability. Insurer who failed to present evidence to create a genuine issue of material fact was not entitled to reconsideration.	July 26, 2010	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	291. Wendt v. Offshore Trust Service, Inc. ¶¶ 2010 WL 1849426, *1 , N.D.Ill. LITIGATION - Venue. Florida investment groups were not entitled to dismissal of investors' action against them for fiduciary breaches under the doctrine of forum non conveniens...	May 07, 2010	Case		7 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	292. O'Sullivan v. City of Chicago ” 478 F.Supp.2d 1034, 1036 , N.D.Ill. LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT - Discrimination. White Chicago police officers could enjoin their pending suspensions in retaliation for complaints of race discrimination.	Mar. 15, 2007	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	293. Maher v. City of Chicago ” 406 F.Supp.2d 1006, 1031 , N.D.Ill. VETERANS - Preference. Reservist failed to establish that city violated Veterans' Reemployment Rights Act.	Jan. 03, 2006	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	294. In re Sulfuric Acid Antitrust Litigation ” 231 F.R.D. 351, 354 , N.D.Ill. LITIGATION - Discovery. Order compelling defendants to re-review discovery production would not impose undue burden on defendants.	Sep. 27, 2005	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	295. Rasmussen v. City of Lake Forest, Illinois 404 F.Supp. 148, 155+ , N.D.Ill. Real estate developers brought an action against a city and others challenging the constitutionality of zoning ordinances prohibiting sale of lots of less than one and one-half...	Nov. 10, 1975	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	296. Pollion v. Lewis 320 F.Supp. 1343, 1352 , N.D.Ill. Class action on behalf of plaintiffs and all persons whose drivers' licenses or vehicle registrations had been revoked under the Illinois Financial Responsibility Law. A...	Nov. 25, 1970	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	297. Johnson v. Robinson 296 F.Supp. 1165, 1170 , N.D.Ill. Class action against state officials to enjoin enforcement of state statute requiring state welfare aid applicant to have continuously resided for one whole year in state...	Dec. 28, 1967	Case		4 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	298. Globe Newspaper Co. v. Fenton ” 819 F.Supp. 89, 91 , D.Mass. On cross motions for summary judgment on issue of accessibility of closed criminal case files maintained in criminal trial courts of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the District...	Mar. 29, 1993	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	299. Doe v. Bowen ” 682 F.Supp. 637, 644 , D.Mass. Psychiatrist sought preliminary injunction preventing Secretary of Health and Human Services from suspending him from eligibility for Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement. The...	July 30, 1987	Case		7 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	300. Ross v. Gunaris 395 F.Supp. 623, 628 , D.Mass. Action was brought to challenge constitutionality of a Massachusetts statute providing that the motor vehicle registrar shall suspend the driver's license of a person who has...	June 09, 1975	Case		—
Cited by	301. Ebel v. Drum 52 F.Supp. 189, 196 , D.Mass. Action by Maximilian Franz Joseph Ebel against Hugh A. Drum and others, to restrain the defendants from expelling plaintiff from the Eastern Military Area of the United States...	Sep. 20, 1943	Case		—
Cited by	302. Maryland State Conference of NAACP Branches v. Maryland Dept. of State Police 72 F.Supp.2d 560, 568+ , D.Md. African-American advocacy organization and individual minority motorists filed class action lawsuit against Maryland State Police and individual officers, supervisors, and...	Sep. 30, 1999	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	303. Bergman v. U.S. 565 F.Supp. 1353, 1396 , W.D.Mich. An action was filed seeking to recover damages for injuries sustained by "Freedom Riders." On various motions, the District Court, Ensen, J., held that: (1) the Government...	May 31, 1983	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	304. Smith v. Hill 285 F.Supp. 556, 560 , E.D.N.C. Action to enjoin enforcement of vagrancy ordinance and for declaration of invalidity. On motion for summary judgment, the District Court, Hemphill, J., held that ordinance...	Apr. 11, 1968	Case		—
Cited by	305. McClain v. LeGrand ¶¶ 2019 WL 6829950, *4 , D.Nev. This represented habeas matter by Petitioner James David McClain ("Petitioner or "McClain") under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 comes before the Court on McClain's motion for an evidentiary...	Dec. 13, 2019	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	306. Kreimer v. Bureau of Police for Town of Morristown ¶¶ 765 F.Supp. 181, 196+ , D.N.J. Challenge was brought to city library policy. The District Court, Sarokin, J., held that policy permitting eviction of persons not engaged in reading, studying or using library...	May 22, 1991	Case		6 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	307. Krzewinski v. Kugler 338 F.Supp. 492, 497 , D.N.J. Action to determine constitutionality of New Jersey tenure statute requiring police and firemen to be residents in municipality where they are employed. The three-judge District...	Feb. 04, 1972	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	308. USA Baseball v. City of New York 509 F.Supp.2d 285, 301 , S.D.N.Y. EDUCATION - Athletics. New York City ordinance prohibiting use of metal bats in high school baseball games was not unconstitutional.	Aug. 28, 2007	Case		1 6 S.Ct.
Cited by	309. U.S. ex rel. Eisenstein v. City of New York 2006 WL 846376, *8 , S.D.N.Y. Pro se Plaintiff Irwin Eisenstein ("Eisenstein") commenced this action against Defendants City of New York and Mayor of the City of New York, Michael Bloomberg, (collectively...	Mar. 31, 2006	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	310. U.S. v. Hawkins 2006 WL 469343, *3 , W.D.N.Y. On January 31, 2006, a criminal complaint was filed against the defendant, Charles E. Hawkins, Jr., alleging that he violated 18 U.S.C. § 2423(b) when he traveled in interstate...	Feb. 27, 2006	Case		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	311. Soling v. City of New York 1988 WL 25183, *1 , S.D.N.Y. Plaintiff pro se Chester Soling ("Soling") commenced this action against the defendant City of New York (the "City") asserting violations of his civil rights under the United...	Mar. 10, 1988	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	312. In re Agent Orange Product Liability Litigation 597 F.Supp. 740, 815 , E.D.N.Y. Class action was commenced charging the United States government and a major portion of the chemical industry with deaths and dreadful injuries to tens of thousands of Vietnam...	Sep. 25, 1984	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	313. Consumers Union of U. S., Inc. v. Albright 427 F.Supp. 840, 845 , S.D.N.Y. An action was brought to enjoin enforcement, operation and execution of New York banking laws relating to savings bank life insurance. A Three-Judge District Court, Gagliardi, J.,...	Feb. 25, 1977	Case		—
Cited by	314. Gaddis v. Wyman 304 F.Supp. 717, 722+ , S.D.N.Y. Actions attacking validity of state relief statutes. A Three-Judge District Court, Mansfield, J., held that state statute creating presumption that persons who resided in state...	Sep. 22, 1969	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	315. Snell v. Wyman 281 F.Supp. 853, 867 , S.D.N.Y. Action by welfare recipients seeking declaratory and injunctive relief, urging that certain provisions of New York Social Services Law were invalid on federal constitutional...	Feb. 29, 1968	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 316. Garber v. Menendez ¶¶ 2017 WL 3705875, *3+ , N.D.Ohio This matter is before the Court upon Defendant Heriberto Menendez, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 4). This is a medical malpractice case. For the reasons that follow, the motion is...	Aug. 28, 2017	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	 317. National Solid Waste Management Ass'n v. Voinovich 763 F.Supp. 244, 261 , S.D.Ohio Trade association whose members were engaged in solid waste management business brought action challenging constitutionality of provisions of Ohio's solid waste disposal statute. ...	May 01, 1991	Case		—
Cited by	 318. Tesar v. Hallas 738 F.Supp. 240, 242 , N.D.Ohio Ohio resident brought defamation action against Pennsylvania resident. Pennsylvania resident removed action to federal court and moved to dismiss. The District Court, Ann...	Mar. 30, 1990	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	319. Mapco, Inc. v. Grunder 470 F.Supp. 401, 412 , N.D.Ohio In a suit for injunctive relief against enforcement of the Coal Use Tax of Ohio, and on motion by both parties for summary judgment, the District Court, William K. Thomas, J., held...	Mar. 21, 1979	Case		—
Cited by	 320. Mahaley v. Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Authority 355 F.Supp. 1245, 1249 , N.D.Ohio Consolidated suits in which plaintiffs sought declaration that statute which provides that the United States Housing Authority shall not make any contract for loans, other than...	Feb. 22, 1973	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	321. Bell v. City of Harrisburg 2011 WL 66114, *9+ , M.D.Pa. On August 20, 2008, the now fifty-one plaintiffs filed the instant lawsuit against the City of Harrisburg, Charles Kellar, Tina Manoogian-King, and Pierre Ritter. (Rec.Doc. No. 1)....	Jan. 10, 2011	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	322. Bell v. City of Harrisburg 2010 WL 5559503, *11 , M.D.Pa. Pursuant to an Order entered on June 9, 2010, Honorable Thomas Vanaskie referred defendants' pending Motion for Summary Judgment to the undersigned Magistrate Judge for the purpose...	June 28, 2010	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	 323. Aldens, Inc. v. Packel 379 F.Supp. 521, 527 , M.D.Pa. Out-of-state mail order retailer brought action for declaratory judgment that application to it of state Goods and Services Installment Sales Act was unconstitutional. State...	Aug. 02, 1974	Case		8 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	324. Leger v. Sailer ¶ 321 F.Supp. 250, 257 , E.D.Pa. Class action in behalf of aliens in which constitutionality of denial of general assistance to aliens was questioned. The Three-Judge District Court, Adams, Circuit Judge, held...	July 13, 1970	Case		—
Cited by	325. Hughes v. Rizzo 282 F.Supp. 881, 884 , E.D.Pa. Action under Civil Rights Act. The District Court, Fullam, J., held that where primary motive for various arrests and interrogations was desired to rid park of 'hippies', or at...	Apr. 11, 1968	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	326. Smith v. Reynolds 277 F.Supp. 65, 68 , E.D.Pa. Proceedings before three-judge district court to determine validity of residence requirement of state public welfare statute. The District Court, Joseph S. Lord, III, J., held that...	Dec. 18, 1967	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	327. U.S. v. Renken 55 F.Supp. 1, 7 , W.D.S.C. Prosecutions by the United States against Walter A. Renken, against the Old Monastery Company and others, against Vincent Chicco and another, and against Richland Wholesale...	May 06, 1944	Case		—
Cited by	328. Hawk v. Fenner 396 F.Supp. 1, 4+ , D.S.D. Applicants for county poor relief, who were denied relief because they had not resided in the state for one year and in the county for 90 days, brought action against state...	May 30, 1975	Case		—
Cited by	329. McCay v. State of S.D. 366 F.Supp. 1244, 1246 , D.S.D. Action to have enforcement of durational residency requirement of South Dakota divorce statute enjoined. A three-judge district court was convened pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. §§ 2281,...	Nov. 15, 1973	Case		—
Cited by	330. U.S. v. Ramirez 115 F.Supp.2d 918, 923 , W.D.Tenn. CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Searches and Seizures. Officer's relying on tinting ordinance for vehicle stop was reasonable despite constitutional defect.	Sep. 28, 2000	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	331. Cadles of Grassy Meadows II, L.L.C. ex rel. Olney Savings Ass'n v. Goldner 2007 WL 1701839, *3+ , N.D.Tex. Before the Court is Defendants' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, filed November 13, 2006. Upon review of the pleadings, briefs, and applicable law, for the reasons stated...	June 12, 2007	Case		6 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	332. United States v. Mayville ¶¶ 2018 WL 1224464, *7, D.Utah After the court denied defendant John Elisha Mayville's first motion to suppress evidence, he filed a second motion to suppress. In his second motion, he argues that the drug and...	Mar. 07, 2018	Case		3 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	333. Eldridge v. Bouchard 645 F.Supp. 749, 752+, W.D.Va. Employees of one division of Virginia Department of State Police brought suit challenging Department's practice of paying salary differential to employees of another division. ...	Oct. 09, 1986	Case		3 7 8 S.Ct.
Cited by	334. Dunham v. Pulsifer 312 F.Supp. 411, 416, D.Vt. Action by high school students to enjoin school authorities from enforcing athletic grooming code. Trial on the merits was advanced and consolidated with hearing on application...	May 05, 1970	Case		—
Cited by	335. Wymelenberg v. Syman 54 F.R.D. 198, 200, E.D.Wis. Plaintiff brought action on behalf of himself and others challenging constitutionality of Wisconsin statute requiring two-year continuous residency in Wisconsin by one of the...	Jan. 04, 1972	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	336. Breen v. Kahl 296 F.Supp. 702, 708, W.D.Wis. Two teenage male students by their parents as next friends brought an action challenging constitutionality of haircut regulation promulgated by school board. The District Court,...	Feb. 20, 1969	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	337. Ramos v. Health and Social Services Bd. of State of Wis. Dept. of Health and Social Services 276 F.Supp. 474, 477, E.D.Wis. Action for injunction against enforcement of certain provisions of state statutes upon ground of their unconstitutionality. Plaintiffs moved for preliminary injunction. ...	Nov. 21, 1967	Case		3 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	338. Kreitzer v. Puerto Rico Cars, Inc. 417 F.Supp. 498, 504+, D.Puerto Rico Plaintiff, a Maryland resident, brought diversity action against owners of rental car to recover for injuries sustained in collision between such vehicle and vehicle in which...	June 03, 1975	Case		7 8 S.Ct.
Cited by	339. Hanson v. State 166 So.2d 886, 887, Ala.App. Appeal from conviction in the County Court, DeKalb County, W. G. Hawkins, J., of conduct calculated to provoke breach of peace. The Court of Appeals held that complaint alleging...	Aug. 18, 1964	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 340. Hicklin v. Orbeck 565 P.2d 159, 169+ , Alaska Action was brought challenging constitutionality of the "Alaska Hire" law which limits petroleum and pipeline jobs to residents of Alaska. The Superior Court, Third Judicial...	June 03, 1977	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	 341. Associated Home Builders etc., Inc. v. City of Livermore  135 Cal.Rptr. 41, 62 , Cal. Appeal was taken from an order of Superior Court, Alameda County, Lyles E. Cook, J., enjoining enforcement of a local zoning ordinance, adopted by initiative, which prohibited...	Dec. 17, 1976	Case		—
Cited by	 342. Diamond v. Bland 113 Cal.Rptr. 468, 478 , Cal. The Superior Court, San Bernardino County, James E. Cunningham, Sr., J., dissolved a permanent injunction against defendants who had refused to permit use of shopping center...	Apr. 25, 1974	Case		—
Cited by	 343. In re King 90 Cal.Rptr. 15, 20+ , Cal. Petition for habeas corpus. The Supreme Court, Tobriner, J., held that provision of welfare statute punishing as a felon nonsupporting father who remains out of state for 30 days...	Oct. 02, 1970	Case		—
Cited by	 344. Crouch v. Crouch 169 P.2d 897, 906 , Cal. SCHAUER and CARTER, JJ., dissenting.	May 24, 1946	Case		—
Cited by	345. Portnoy v. Superior Court of Riverside County 125 P.2d 487, 489 , Cal. In Bank. Certiorari proceeding by Frank Portnoy and others against the Superior Court of the County of Riverside and others, to review a judgment of the Superior Court affirming a...	May 01, 1942	Case		—
Cited by	 346. Homes on Wheels, Inc. v. City of Santa Barbara 2005 WL 2951480, *4 , Cal.App. 2 Dist. The trial court issued a preliminary injunction to prevent enforcement of a recreational and large vehicle parking ordinance. Defendants City of Santa Barbara (City) and Chief of...	Nov. 07, 2005	Case		—
Cited by	 347. Hatch v. Superior Court 94 Cal.Rptr.2d 453, 482 , Cal.App. 4 Dist. CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Obscenity and Pornography. Statute prohibiting sending of harmful matter to minors with intent to seduce was constitutional.	Mar. 31, 2000	Case		6 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 348. Tobe v. City of Santa Ana 27 Cal.Rptr.2d 386, 392 , Cal.App. 4 Dist. Homeless. Municipal "camping ordinance," designed to drive homeless out of metropolitan area, was unconstitutional.	Feb. 02, 1994	Case		—
Cited by	349. Shaw v. McMahon  243 Cal.Rptr. 26, 35 , Cal.App. 1 Dist. Recipient of aid to families with dependent children benefits sought writ of mandamus, claiming that rule mandating fixed period of automatic ineligibility upon receipt of lump sum...	Dec. 31, 1987	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	350. City of Oakland v. Oakland Raiders 220 Cal.Rptr. 153, 155 , Cal.App. 1 Dist. City of Oakland brought eminent domain action to acquire all of those property rights associated with ownership of professional football team as franchise member of National...	Nov. 15, 1985	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	351. Davis v. Justice Court 89 Cal.Rptr. 409, 414 , Cal.App. 1 Dist. Writ of prohibition against prosecution of misdemeanor complaint charging violation of county curfew regulation. The Superior Court, Contra Costra County, Thomas F. Frage, J.,....	Aug. 31, 1970	Case		—
Cited by	 352. Montgomery Ward & Co. v. State Bd. of Equalization 78 Cal.Rptr. 373, 395+ , Cal.App. 1 Dist. Action for refund of use taxes. The Superior Court, City and County of San Francisco, Alvin E. Weinberger, J., entered judgment for taxpayer-retailer, and the State Board of...	May 12, 1969	Case		—
Cited by	 353. Kinnear v. City and County of San Francisco 35 Cal.Rptr. 43, 47 , Cal.App. 1 Dist. Action for restoration of position and recovery of unpaid wages by former deputy sheriff who had been relieved of his county civil service job when he became a candidate for...	Nov. 12, 1963	Case		—
Cited by	354. People v. Hutchinson 260 Cal.Rptr. 178, 181+ , Cal.Super.A.D. Defendant was convicted of driving vehicle with illegally tinted front side windows by the Municipal Court, Needles-Calgana Judicial District of San Bernardino County, Mark H....	May 30, 1989	Case		—
Cited by	 355. Evans v. Romer 882 P.2d 1335, 1354 , Colo. Constitutional Amendments. Constitutional amendment which provided that homosexual, lesbian or bisexual orientation, conduct, practices or relationships could not provide the basis...	Oct. 11, 1994	Case		5 S.Ct.


Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	356. Order Regarding Plaintiff's to (sic) Motion to Change Judge And Defendants Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to C.R.C.P. Rule 12(b) Villani v. City of Aurora 2006 WL 6174209, *6174209, Colo.Dist.Ct. (Trial Order) This matter comes before the Court for consideration of Plaintiff's to (sic) Motion to Change Judge ("Recusal Motion") and Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to C.R.C.P. Rule...	Feb. 08, 2006	Trial Court Order		—
Cited by	357. State v. Doe 178 A.2d 271, 275, Conn. Action for declaratory judgment determining constitutionality of statute under which state proposed to remove defendant, who was receiving welfare assistance, to another state. ...	Feb. 06, 1962	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	358. LaPaglia v. Connecticut Valley Hospital 2018 WL 5099732, *6, Conn.Super. On October 10, 2017, the plaintiff, John Angelo LaPaglia, who is self-represented, commenced this action by service of writ, summons and complaint against the defendants, the...	Oct. 02, 2018	Case		—
Cited by	359. State v. Ader 1992 WL 119194, *4, Conn.Super. The issue in this case is whether Public Acts, June 1991 Special Session, No. 91-3 § 134, which criminalizes the sale of out-of-state lottery tickets in Connecticut, violates the...	May 15, 1992	Case		—
Cited by	360. Glover v. District of Columbia 250 A.2d 556, 561, D.C.App. Defendant was convicted in the District of Columbia Court of General Sessions, William C. Pryor, J., of violating a curfew and he appealed. The Court of Appeals, Kern, J., held...	Feb. 25, 1969	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	361. Ricks v. U. S. ¶¶ 228 A.2d 316, 321, D.C.App. Prosecutions resulting in convictions for violation of the Narcotics Vagrancy Statute and the General Vagrancy Statute by the District of Columbia Court of General Sessions, Harold...	Apr. 06, 1967	Case		—
Cited by	362. State v. J.P. 907 So.2d 1101, 1125, Fla. FAMILY LAW - Juvenile Justice. Juvenile curfew ordinances violated juveniles' constitutional rights to privacy and to travel.	Nov. 18, 2004	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	363. Reinish v. Clark ¶¶ 765 So.2d 197, 212, Fla.App. 1 Dist. TAXATION - Real Property. State homestead tax exemption did not violate commerce clause.	July 20, 2000	Case		8 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	364. Matilla v. Matilla 474 So.2d 306, 308 , Fla.App. 3 Dist. The Circuit Court, Dade County, Sam I. Silver, J., issued order which, inter alia, permitted mother, after divorce in which father was granted visitation, to return with child to...	July 16, 1985	Case		—
Cited by	365. Garren v. State 264 S.E.2d 876, 877+ , Ga. Defendant was convicted in Thomas Superior Court, Lilly, J., of abandoning his legitimate minor children, then leaving Georgia and he appealed. The Supreme Court, Nichols, C. J.,...	Feb. 26, 1980	Case		—
Cited by	366. State v. Grahovac 480 P.2d 148, 152 , Hawai'i Prosecution for violations of vagrancy statute. Two defendants were convicted in the Ewa District Court, Oahu County, Louis A. Rodrigues, District Magistrate, and an appeal by one...	Feb. 01, 1971	Case		—
Cited by	367. State v. Doe ¶ 231 P.3d 1016, 1031 , Idaho FAMILY LAW - Juvenile Justice. City juvenile curfew ordinance was not unconstitutionally overbroad on its face.	Mar. 26, 2010	Case		—
Cited by	368. Bartosz v. Jones 197 P.3d 310, 323+ , Idaho FAMILY LAW - Child Custody. Magistrate did not apply presumption against relocation when it denied request of mother to move out of state.	Oct. 16, 2008	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	369. People v. Sanchez 503 N.E.2d 277, 289 , Ill. Defendant was convicted in the Circuit Court of Lake County, William D. Block, J., of two counts of murder, aggravated kidnapping, rape, deviate sexual assault, and attempted...	Dec. 19, 1986	Case		—
Cited by	370. Wadlington v. Mindes 259 N.E.2d 257, 262 , Ill. Claimant for unemployment compensation brought action to review decision of Board of Review of State Department of Labor denying claimant benefits for two separate periods of...	May 27, 1970	Case		—
Cited by	371. Umthun v. Day & Zimmermann ¶ 16 N.W.2d 258, 261 , Iowa Appeal from District Court, Des Moines County; Paul H. McCoid, Judge. Law action under the Fair Labor Standards Act, Ch. 676, 52 Stat. 1060, 29 U.S.C.A. §§ 201–219, by an employee...	Nov. 14, 1944	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	372. State v. Limon 83 P.3d 229, 239 , Kan.App. CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Sentencing. There was rational basis for treating adults who engaged in heterosexual sodomy with children less severely than those who engaged in homosexual...	Jan. 30, 2004	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 373. State v. Hershberger 5 P.3d 1004, 1010 , Kan.App. CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Arrest. Dismissal was not appropriate remedy for delay in probable cause hearing.	May 05, 2000	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	 374. Com. v. Weston W. 913 N.E.2d 832, 839 , Mass. CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Curfews. Six-hour curfew on persons under seventeen years of age was unconstitutional in part.	Sep. 25, 2009	Case		—
Cited by	375. Benefit v. City of Cambridge  679 N.E.2d 184, 189 , Mass. CIVIL RIGHTS - Free Speech. Massachusetts statute prohibiting public begging without a license violated First Amendment.	May 14, 1997	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	376. Simon v. Town of Needham 42 N.E.2d 516, 519 , Mass. Exceptions from Land Court, Norfolk County; C. C. Smith, Judge. Petition by Philip Simon against the Town of Needham to determine the validity and extent of a zoning by-law. The...	May 27, 1942	Case		—
Cited by	377. Bob-Lo Co. v. Dept. of Treasury, Revenue Div. 1980 WL 3290, *5 , Mich.Ct.Cl. This matter is before the Court on a claim for recovery of a use tax assessment made against Appellant, Island of Bob-Lo Company, by Appellee, the Michigan Department of Treasury,...	Dec. 22, 1980	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	 378. Hillstrom v. Commissioner of Revenue 270 N.W.2d 265, 269 , Minn. Taxpayer deducted out-of-state gambling winnings on his state income tax return and the deduction was disallowed by the Commissioner of Revenue. The Tax Court affirmed, and the...	July 07, 1978	Case		—
Cited by	379. In re Boutelle's Estate 15 N.W.2d 506, 509 , Minn. Appeal from District Court, Hennepin County; Edmund A. Montgomery, Judge. Proceeding in the matter of the estate of Mortimer Hayes Boutelle, deceased, upon the petition of Harriett...	July 14, 1944	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	 380. Thiede v. Town of Scandia Valley 14 N.W.2d 400, 405+ , Minn. Appeal from District Court, Morrison County; D. M. Cameron, Judge. Action by Louise Thiede against the Town of Scandia Valley and Frank Cameron, and others, as officials of such...	Apr. 21, 1944	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	381. Lucht v. Bell 8 N.W.2d 26, 28 , Minn. Appeal from District Court, Cottonwood County; Albert H. Enersen, Judge. Suit by Ruth Lucht and others, by Carl Lucht, guardian ad litem, and others, against N. J. Bell as sheriff...	Feb. 19, 1943	Case		7 S.Ct.









Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	382. Harris v. Commissioner of Revenue 1976 WL 885, *3 , Minn.Tax The taxpayer moved from Minnesota to Georgia in 1973 and deducted his moving expense as allowed on his federal return. In computing his Minnesota income tax he failed to add his...	Aug. 05, 1976	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	383. Northwest Airlines Inc. v. Joint City-County Airport Bd. 463 P.2d 470, 473 , Mont. Action seeking permanent injunction restraining imposition of tax authorized by statute and declaratory judgment that statute was unconstitutional. The First District Court, Lewis...	Jan. 05, 1970	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	384. City of Reno v. Second Judicial Dist. Court 427 P.2d 4, 6 , Nev. Original proceeding in certiorari by municipality to review ruling by district court that municipal ordinance was unconstitutional. The Supreme Court, Thompson, J., held that...	May 03, 1967	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	385. Abrahams v. Civil Service Commission 319 A.2d 483, 494 , N.J. Proceeding on appeal from Civil Service Commission's dismissal of appeal of city law department secretary whose employment was terminated due to failure to reside in city. After...	May 08, 1974	Case		—
Cited by	386. Hickman v. New Jersey State Police 2014 WL 2807311, *5 , N.J.Super.A.D. Petitioners William Hickman, Christine Selden, Louis Gentile, and Corporate Security and Investigations, Inc. appeal from the New Jersey State Police's denial of their private...	June 23, 2014	Case		1 2 S.Ct.
Cited by	387. D'Onofrio v. D'Onofrio 365 A.2d 27, 30 , N.J.Super.Ch. Divorced mother petitioned for leave to remove her children to South Carolina for purposes of establishing permanent residency there. The Superior Court, Chancery Division,...	Aug. 12, 1976	Case		—
Cited by	388. People v. Berck 347 N.Y.S.2d 33, 39 , N.Y. The Appellate Term of the Supreme Court for the Ninth and Tenth Judicial Districts of the Second Judicial Department affirmed defendant's conviction on charge of loitering which...	July 02, 1973	Case		—
Cited by	389. Fenster v. Leary 279 N.Y.S.2d 743, 746 , N.Y.Sup. Action for judgment declaring vagrancy statute repugnant to United States Constitution and enjoining police commissioner, his agents and assistants from arresting or prosecuting...	May 14, 1967	Case		8 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	390. Hart v. Taylor ¶ 58 N.Y.S.2d 39, 40 , N.Y.Sup. Action by Theodore Hart against Deems Taylor, President of the American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers, an unincorporated membership corporation, and another, to...	Oct. 15, 1945	Case		—
Cited by	391. People v. Holbrook Transp. Corp. ¶ 378 N.Y.S.2d 939, 944 , N.Y.Dist.Ct. Corporate defendant was charged with violating provision of county sanitary code which prohibited the idling of the engine of a motor vehicle for more than three minutes. The...	Jan. 13, 1976	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	392. People v. Altman 304 N.Y.S.2d 534, 536 , N.Y.Dist.Ct. Prosecution for violation of town ordinance. The District Court, Nassau County, Frank X. Altimari, J., held, inter alia, that town ordinance prohibiting seaplanes from taking off...	Oct. 23, 1969	Case		2 S.Ct.
Cited by	393. Webster v. Ryan 729 N.Y.S.2d 315, 322 , N.Y.Fam.Ct. FAMILY LAW - Visitation. Child has right to maintain contact with person with whom he has developed parent-like relationship.	June 21, 2001	Case		—
Cited by	394. Matter of Kathie L. ¶ 418 N.Y.S.2d 859, 862 , N.Y.Fam.Ct. In proceeding on petition to have 15-year-old alleged runaway child from Florida adjudged a person in need of supervision and to have her returned in accordance with Interstate...	June 20, 1979	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	395. In re Paul and Mark 315 N.Y.S.2d 12, 14 , N.Y.Fam.Ct. Proceeding to extend placement of two children in New York institution at public expense. The Family Court, City of New York, New York County, Justine Wise Polier, J., held that...	Oct. 19, 1970	Case		—
Cited by	396. Estate of Sanchez ¶ 481 N.Y.S.2d 601, 603+ , N.Y.Sur. City commissioner of social services moved to vacate a conditional settlement of its lien and to interpose a claim for the entire net proceeds of a claim arising from decedent's...	Oct. 24, 1984	Case		—
Cited by	397. Renker v. Village of Brooklyn 40 N.E.2d 925, 930 , Ohio Action by one Renker against the Village of Brooklyn, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, to enjoin the enforcement of an ordinance of the defendant regulating trailers and licensing and...	Apr. 01, 1942	Case		—
Cited by	398. Wise v. Morrison 2000 WL 1089518, *3 , Ohio App. 5 Dist. Plaintiff-appellant John E. Wise appeals the August 5, 1999, Judgment Entry of the Stark County Court of Common Pleas granting Summary Judgment in favor of defendant-appellee Lee...	July 31, 2000	Case		6 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 399. Keel v. Sternfeld 1999 WL 316867, *4+ , Ohio App. 6 Dist. This is an appeal from the judgment of the Lucas County Court of Common Pleas which granted the motion for summary judgment filed by appellees, Ernst Sternfeld, M.D., and William...	May 21, 1999	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	400. Spence v. Gohara 1994 WL 590528, *3 , Ohio App. 6 Dist. This is an appeal from a summary judgment granted by the Lucas County Court of Common Pleas in favor of appellee S.F. Gohara, M.D. on claims of medical malpractice and loss of...	Oct. 28, 1994	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	 401. State ex rel. Semetko v. Board of Com'rs 283 N.E.2d 648, 652 , Ohio App. 6 Dist. Original action in mandamus by soldiers' relief commission to compel board of county commissioners to levy certain funds allegedly due relators to provide welfare benefits to...	Dec. 09, 1971	Case		—
Cited by	402. Brodnick v. Munger 102 N.E.2d 48, 55 , Ohio Com.Pl. Action by Joseph J. Brodnick, against Harry J. Munger, and others, as the Board of County Commissioners, Montgomery County, and others, for declaratory judgment as to the...	Oct. 22, 1951	Case		—
Cited by	 403. Edmondson v. Pearce 91 P.3d 605, 625+ , Okla. CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Animals. Statute outlawing cockfighting did not amount to an uncompensated regulatory takings.	Mar. 30, 2004	Case		2 S.Ct.
Cited by	 404. Hayes v. Municipal Court of Oklahoma City 487 P.2d 974, 979 , Okla.Crim.App. Original proceeding for writ of prohibition by petitioner who contended that antiloitering ordinance was unconstitutional as denying due process and equal protection because of...	July 28, 1971	Case		—
Cited by	 405. American Trucking Associations, Inc. v. Scheiner 509 A.2d 838, 859 , Pa. Trucking association and corporations engaged in interstate motor carrier business brought class action suit, challenging constitutionality of state axle tax and road tax...	May 06, 1986	Case		—
Cited by	406. Schlegel v. Zoning Hearing Bd. of Borough of Northampton 354 A.2d 596, 599 , Pa.Cmwltl. Neighboring landowners appealed decision of zoning hearing board granting corporation variance to permit change from one nonconforming use to another, thereby allowing the...	Mar. 31, 1976	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	407. Stottlemeyer v. Stottlemeyer 302 A.2d 830, 834 , Pa.Super. Divorce action was brought in which neither party met one-year statutory residency requirement. The Court of Common Pleas of York County, Civil Action, Law, at No. 231, January...	Apr. 04, 1973	Case		—
Cited by	408. In re: Opinion Pursuant to Pa. R.A.P. 1925 ” Com. v. Moyer 2006 WL 5062058, *5062058 , Pa.Com.Pl. (Trial Order) CHARGES: (1) UNLAWFUL POSSESSION DRUG PARAPHERNALIA (2) DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE In this criminal case involving charges of driving under the influence and possession of drug...	Mar. 31, 2006	Trial Court Order		—
Cited by	409. In re: Defendant's Omnibus Pretrial Motion Before Oler, J. Opinion and Order of Court Com. v. Moore 2001 WL 36093777, *36093777 , Pa.Com.Pl. (Trial Order) CRIMINAL TERM CHARGE: (1) UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF SMALL AMOUNT OF MARIJUANA (2) UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF DRUG PARAPHERNALIA OLER, J., August 27, 2001. In this criminal case, Defendant...	Aug. 27, 2001	Trial Court Order		—
Cited by	410. In re Community Legal Services, Inc. 1967 WL 5799, *18 , Pa.Com.Pl. On May 12, 1966, the incorporators of Community Legal Services, Inc., made application to this court for a charter under the provisions of the Nonprofit Corporation Law of May 5,...	1967	Case		—
Cited by	411. Com. Ex Rel. Garrett v. Botula 1965 WL 8200, *7 , Pa.Com.Pl. This case comes before the court as a complaint in the form of a letter by Lavalley Garrett. Because of the nature of the complaint, we treated it as an application for a writ of...	1965	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	412. State of North Dakota ex rel. Strutz v. Perkins County 9 N.W.2d 500, 501 , S.D. Appeal from Circuit Court, Perkins County; Frank Gladstone, Judge. Proceeding by the State of North Dakota, on the relation of Alvin C. Strutz, Attorney General, against Perkins...	May 14, 1943	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	413. State v. March 395 S.W.3d 738, 786 , Tenn.Crim.App. CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Counsel. Uncounselled statements concerning uncharged, separate conspiracy to kill murder victim's parents did not violate Sixth Amendment right to counsel.	Jan. 27, 2011	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	414. Baker v. State 478 S.W.2d 445, 448 , Tex.Crim.App. Defendant was convicted before the Lubbock County Court, E. H. Boedeker, J., of unlawful carrying of a switchblade knife, and he appealed. The Court of Criminal Appeals, Odom, J.,...	Mar. 29, 1972	Case		6 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	415. Taylor v. State 2009 WL 259673, *1+ , Tex.App.-Beaumont After appealing from justice court, Dorman Lee Taylor ("Dorman") was convicted by a jury in the county court for three offenses: (1) failing to maintain financial responsibility...	Feb. 04, 2009	Case		2 8 S.Ct.
Cited by	416. \$27,920.00 In U.S. Currency v. State 37 S.W.3d 533, 535+ , Tex.App.-Texarkana CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Forfeitures. Vehicle owner failed to show he was true owner of seized money.	Feb. 08, 2001	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	417. State v. Chettero 297 P.3d 582, 586 , Utah CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Drugs. Defendant's constitutional right to travel was not implicated by drug interdiction exercise.	Feb. 15, 2013	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	418. State v. Lee 957 P.2d 741, 751 , Wash. CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Stalking. Statute defining the offense of stalking was not unconstitutionally vague or overbroad.	June 18, 1998	Case		—
Cited by	419. Eggert v. City of Seattle ” 505 P.2d 801, 803+ , Wash. Action by applicants for city jobs against city and city civil service commission challenging city charter provisions which grant preference in employment for some positions city...	Jan. 25, 1973	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	420. Moen v. Erlandson 498 P.2d 849, 854 , Wash. Action was brought challenging state durational residence laws for voter. The Supreme Court, King County, Lloyd Shorett, J., held the laws invalid and city clerk filed writ of...	June 22, 1972	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	421. City of Spokane v. Port 716 P.2d 945, 946 , Wash.App. Div. 3 Defendant was convicted in the Superior Court, Spokane County, Thomas E. Merryman, J., of driving without a driver's license and she appealed. The Court of Appeals, McInturff,...	Mar. 27, 1986	Case		—
Cited by	422. Memorandum Decision Daniel MADISON, Beverly Dubois, and Dannielle Garner, Plaintiffs, v. State of Washington, Christine O. Gregoire, Governor, and Sam Reed, Secretary of ... 2006 WL 3713715, *3713715 , Wash.Super. (Trial Order) Article VI Section 3 of the Washington State Constitution provides that the right to vote does not extend to those "convicted of infamous crimes unless restored to their civil...	Mar. 27, 2006	Trial Court Order		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 423. Kessel v. Leavitt ” 511 S.E.2d 720, 746 , W.Va. TORTS - Fraud. Father could maintain action for fraud in concealment of information regarding location and adoption of child.	July 22, 1998	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	 424. Watt v. Watt ” 971 P.2d 608, 615+ , Wyo. FAMILY LAW - Child Custody. Intrastate relocation by custodial parent cannot be considered change in circumstances for custody modification purposes.	Jan. 19, 1999	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	 425. Postley v. Secretario de Hacienda 75 D.P.R. 874, 899 , P.R. Sentencia de Luis R. Polo, J. (San Juan), declarando sin lugar demanda sobre reintegro de contribución sobre ingresos ilegalmente cobrada. Revocada y se dicta nueva sentencia...	Feb. 02, 1954	Case		—
Cited by	 426. Ballester v. Tribunal de Apelacion de Contribuciones y Buscaglia, Tes., Interventor 61 D.P.R. 474, 500 , P.R. Revisión mediante certiorari especial de una decisión del Tribunal de Apelación de Contribuciones. Modificada, y así modificada, se confirma la decisión recurrida.	Mar. 09, 1943	Case		—
Cited by	427. Caribbean Carriage Company, Inc. v. Cruz Soto 2016 WL 1358053, *4 , TCA Comparecen ante nos mediante recurso de apelación Caribbean Carriage Company, Inc., el señor Eladio Dávila y la señora Zauda Ayala Cardona (en adelante Caribbean Carriage, señor...	Feb. 29, 2016	Case		—
Cited by	 428. SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION INLAND EMPIRE AREA (Respondent) and AMERICAN FEDERATION OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES AFL-CIO (Charging Party) ” 46 F.L.R.A. 161, 186 , F.L.R.A. This unfair labor practice case is before the Authority on exceptions filed by the Respondent to the attached decision of the Administrative Law Judge. The General Counsel filed an...	Oct. 21, 1992	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	 429. SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION Respondent and AMERICAN FEDERATION OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES, AFL-CIO Charging Party 13 F.L.R.A. 112, 124 , F.L.R.A. The Administrative Law Judge issued the attached Decision in the above-entitled proceeding, finding that the Respondent had engaged in the unfair labor practices alleged in the...	Sep. 27, 1983	Administrative Decision		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	430. Southern Florida Hotel & Motel Ass'n  245 NLRB 561, 602 , N.L.R.B. On September 29, 1978, Administrative Law Judge Joseph I. Nachman issued the attached Decision in this proceeding. Thereafter, Respondents filed exceptions and a supporting brief,...	Sep. 28, 1979	Administrative Decision		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	 431. United Marine Div. Local 333 228 NLRB 1107, 1113 , N.L.R.B. On May 14, 1976, Administrative Law Judge Joseph I. Nachman issued the attached Decision in this proceeding. Thereafter, the General Counsel filed exceptions and a supporting...	Mar. 30, 1977	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	432. General Tire & Rubber Co. 190 NLRB 227, 231 , N.L.R.B. On January 27, 1971, Trial Examiner Joseph I. Nachman issued his Decision in the above-entitled proceeding, finding that Respondent had engaged in certain unfair labor practices...	1971	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	433. Leece-Neville Co. 159 NLRB 293, 299 , N.L.R.B. On March 8, 1966, Trial Examiner Joseph I. Nachman issued his Decision in the above-entitled proceeding, finding that the Respondent had engaged in and was engaging in certain...	1966	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	 434. Royal Plating & Polishing Co., Inc. 148 NLRB 545, 556 , N.L.R.B. On February 3, 1964, Trial Examiner Joseph I. Nachman issued his Decision in the above-entitled proceeding finding that the Respondent had engaged in certain unfair labor practices...	1964	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	435. Reynolds Corp. 74 NLRB 1622, 1682 , N.L.R.B. Upon amended charges duly filed on September 2, 1944, by United Steelworkers of America, CIO, herein called the CIO, the National Labor Relations Board, herein called the Board, by...	1947	Administrative Decision		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	436. The Honorable William E. Fernandes 1969 WL 208727 (Hawaii A.G.), *1 This is in response to your letter of March 7, 1969, regarding the legality of S.B. No. 749 entitled "A Bill for an Act Relating to an Airport Facilities Tax." The bill proposes...	Apr. 10, 1969	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	437. Senator Rush  1981 Iowa Op. Atty. Gen. 21, 21 MOTOR VEHICLES -- Maximum mechanical operation -- Section 321.225, The Code 1979. City buses are considered commercial vehicles for hire under Section 321.225. Consequently, city...	Feb. 04, 1981	Administrative Decision		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	438. Senator Vard R. Johnson 1986 WL 194575 (Neb.A.G.), *4 You have requested our opinion on certain questions regarding the constitutionality of LB 1114, as amended, a bill proposing to revise Nebraska's gross premiums tax. Under...	Feb. 26, 1986	Administrative Decision		6 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	439. 1977 Neb. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 83 1977 Neb. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 83 Re: Constitutionality of L.B. 480	Mar. 25, 1977	Administrative Decision		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	440. The Honorable Louis S. Test 1979 Nev. Op. Atty. Gen. 73 Municipal Sewage Systems—A municipality may not contract away its police power to acquire, own, operate, regulate and control a public sewer system, nor contract away its police...	July 19, 1979	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	441. The Honorable Antonio Parkinson Tenn. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 14-52, 14-52 1. Do the drug-free-workplace programs established by Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 50-9-101 to -114 violate either the Tennessee Constitution or the United States Constitution? 2. If the...	Apr. 24, 2014	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	442. Mr. Don R. Stiles Tex. Atty. Gen. Op. JM-762, JM-762 Re: Constitutional validity of electronic monitoring of probationers	Aug. 04, 1987	Administrative Decision		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	443. IN THE MATTER OF THE ARBITRATION BETWEEN RESPONDENT 1 (Services - Airlines), Employer, GRIEVANT 1-LABOR UNION, Union, 2002 WL 34924666, *4 , AAA This matter was submitted to arbitration pursuant to a collective bargaining Agreement between the parties effective April 28, 2001 through October 22, 2004. A hearing was held...	Apr. 17, 2002	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	444. IN THE MATTER OF THE ARBITRATION BETWEEN RESPONDENT 1 (Services -- Airlines), Employer, GRIEVANT 1-LABOR UNION, Union, 2002 WL 34924693, *4 , AAA This matter was submitted to arbitration pursuant to a collective bargaining Agreement between the parties effective April 28, 2001 through October 22, 2004. A hearing was held...	Apr. 17, 2002	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	 445. Re Virginia Electric & Power Co. 57 F.P.C. 24, 65 , F.P.C. We approve and adopt with minor modifications the well-reasoned Initial Decision of Presiding Administrative Law Judge Lsaac D. Benkin of September 20, 1976, issuing herein,...	Jan. 10, 1977	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	446. Brief in Opposition Menendez v. Garber 2019 WL 261725, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Jan. 14, 2019	Petition		—
Cited by	447. Petition for Writ of Certiorari Du v. State of New Jersey Committee on Character 2017 WL 4708109, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Oct. 16, 2017	Petition		—
Cited by	448. Petition for Writ of Certiorari Tri Quoc Du v. Virginia Bd. of Bar Examiners 2016 WL 8465593, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	July 21, 2016	Petition		—



Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	449. Petition for Writ of Certiorari Sheikh v. Kelly 2016 WL 4191855, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	May 25, 2016	Petition		—
Cited by	450. Petition for a Writ of Certiorari Janes v. Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority 2015 WL 3408682, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	May 22, 2015	Petition		—
Cited by	451. Motion for Leave to File Brief Amicus Curiae and Brief of AWG Group Limited as Amicus Curiae in Support of Petitioner ¶¶ BG Group PLC v. Republic of Argentina 2012 WL 3875287, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Aug. 30, 2012	Petition		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	452. Petition for Writ of Certiorari Thompson v. State of Georgia 2011 WL 6330469, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Dec. 13, 2011	Petition		—
Cited by	453. Original Petition for a Writ of Certiorari with Appendix A Arnold v. City of Columbus, Georgia 2011 WL 6257248, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Nov. 10, 2011	Petition		—
Cited by	454. Petition for Writ of Certiorari Kiyemba v. Obama 2010 WL 5069549, *5069549+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Dec. 08, 2010	Petition		—
Cited by	455. Petition for a Writ of Certiorari ¶¶ Szymanski v. Fletc Her-Harlee Corporation 2008 WL 5151081, *5151081+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Dec. 02, 2008	Petition		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	456. Petition for Writ of Certiorari ¶¶ Citizens Financial Services, FSB v. U.S. 2006 WL 2380104, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Aug. 15, 2006	Petition		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	457. Reply Brief ¶¶ David M. ROEDER, Et Al., Petitioners, v. ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN, Et Al., Respondents. 2004 WL 1204460, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	May 24, 2004	Petition		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	458. Petition for Writ of Mandamus ¶¶ IN RE GREEN TREE FINANCIAL CORP. a/k/a Green Tree Acceptance Corp. a/k/a Green Tree Financial Services Corp. n/k/a Conesco Finance Corp. 2004 WL 424059, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Mar. 01, 2004	Petition		7 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	459. Reply for Petitioners ¶¶ Donald H. CARLSON, Warren Hart, Gerard Haskins, Stephen R. Libby, Earl Weese, and Lyla C. Weese, Individually and as Class Representatives on Behalf o... 2003 WL 22428533, *22428533+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Sep. 22, 2003	Petition		1 6 S.Ct.
Cited by	460. Brief in Opposition to Petition for Writ of Certiorari Donald H. CARLSON, Warren Hart, Gerard Haskins, Stephen R. Libby, Earl Weese, and Lyla C. Weese, individually and as Class Representatives on behalf o... 2003 WL 22428532, *22428532+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Sep. 12, 2003	Petition		7 8 S.Ct.
Cited by	461. Petition for a Writ of Certiorari Donald H. CARLSON, Warren Hart, Gerard Haskins, Stephen R. Libby, Earl Weese, and Lyla C. Weese, Individually and as Class Representatives on Behalf o... 2003 WL 22428531, *22428531+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	July 11, 2003	Petition		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	462. Petition for Writ of Certiorari Lloyd VICKROY, Petitioner, v. Brad SCHAUFLEER Respondent. 2002 WL 32134278, *1 , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	July 13, 2002	Petition		—
Cited by	463. Petition for A Writ of Certiorari MINNESOTA SENIOR FEDERATION, Metropolitan Region; and Mary Sarno, Petitioners, v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; and Tommy G. Thompson, Secretary of Health... 2002 WL 32135058, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Mar. 13, 2002	Petition		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	464. Petition for a Writ of Certiorari Kimberlee Ann MCDONALD, Petitioner, v. STATE OF WASHINGTON, Respondent. 2001 WL 34125165, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	June 04, 2001	Petition		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	465. Petition for Writ of Certiorari James Preston SUTTON, Petitioner, v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondent. 2001 WL 34125174, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	May 29, 2001	Petition		—
Cited by	466. Petition(Appeal) for a Writ of Certiorari, ¶¶ Jay Nolan RENOBATO, Petitioner, v. BUREAU OF THE PUBLIC DEBT, Respondent. 2001 WL 34117327, *34117327+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	May 12, 2001	Petition		7 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	467. Petition for Writ of Certiorari Charles L. GRIMES, Petitioner, v. James W. WETZLER, individually, Michael H. Urbach, as Tax Commissioner of the State of New York, Phillip Zurlo, Warr... 2001 WL 34124854, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Apr. 27, 2001	Petition		2 S.Ct.
Cited by	468. Petition for a Writ of Certiorari Jerry W. and Marcia H. MARKHAM, Petitioners, v. Alan B. COMSTOCK, as Assessor of Town of Jerusalem, Board of Assessment of Town of Jerusalem, and Atto... 2000 WL 34000815, *34000815+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Nov. 20, 2000	Petition		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	469. Petition for A Writ of Certiorari ¶¶ Elmer F. WILLIAMS, II, Petitioner, v. HOUSTON FIREFIGHTERS' RELIEF and Retirement Fund; Donny R. Myers; Tommy R. Shelton; George Lowdermilk; Maxie R. ... 2000 WL 34000345, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Nov. 13, 2000	Petition		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	470. Petition for Writ of Certiorari ¶¶ John VINCENT, Petitioner, v. DELTA AIRLINES, INC., et al., Respondents. 1999 WL 33632962, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Aug. 23, 1999	Petition		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	471. Brief in Opposition Eloise ANDERSON, individually and in her official capacity as Director, California Department of Social Services; California Department of Social Serv... 1994 WL 16012021, *16012021+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Aug. 26, 1994	Petition		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	472. Petition Margaret S. ROWLAND, Petitioner, v. Robert E. KINGMAN, Respondent. 1993 WL 13075585, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Nov. 26, 1993	Petition		—
Cited by	473. Petition ¶¶ Louis A. LaRAIA, Petitioner, v. COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, Respondent. 1992 WL 12074681, *12074681+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Apr. 06, 1992	Petition		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	474. Petition for Writ of Certiorari ¶¶ GENETICS INSTITUTE, INC., and Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Inc., Petitioners, v. AMGEN, INC., Respondent. 1991 WL 11177178, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	July 01, 1991	Petition		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	475. Petition ¶¶ Daniel H. OVERMYER, Petitioner, v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondent. 1990 WL 10058658, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Aug. 07, 1990	Petition		7 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	476. Petition ¶¶ Louis A. LARAIA, Petitioner, v. COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, Respondent. 1990 WL 10058756, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	July 31, 1990	Petition		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	477. Petition for Writ of Certiorari ¶¶ Walker v. Superior Court of Sacramento County 1989 WL 1174509, *1174509+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Mar. 06, 1989	Petition		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	478. Jurisdictional Statement Woods v. The People of the State of California 1989 WL 1184301, *1184301+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Feb. 01, 1989	Petition		—
Cited by	479. Petition for Writ of Certiorari Bishop v. Gwaltney 1988 WL 1094937, *1094937+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	July 26, 1988	Petition		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	480. Petition for Writ of Certiorari City of Philadelphia v. Borough of Glassboro 1985 WL 694655, *694655+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	1985	Petition		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	481. Brief of the American Civil Liberties Union and the American Civil Liberties Union of Virginia in Support of Jurisdictional Statement. Amicus Curiae RICHMOND NEWSPAPERS, INC., Timothy B. Wheeler, and Kevin McCarthy, Appellants, v. COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, Appellee. Richmond Newspapers, Inc., Timot... 1979 WL 199909, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Sep. 14, 1979	Petition		—
Cited by	482. Jurisdictional Statement McDougal v. County of Imperial 1977 WL 205252, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Sep. 26, 1977	Petition		2 S.Ct.
Cited by	483. Jurisdictional Statement Califano v. Torres 1977 WL 204941, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	July 14, 1977	Petition		—
Cited by	484. Jurisdictional Statement Consumers Union of United States, Inc. v. Heimann 1977 WL 205273, *1 , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	May 16, 1977	Petition		—
Cited by	485. Jurisdictional Statement. McMillan v. Federal Nat. Mortg. Ass'n 1971 WL 167550, *167550+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Mar. 10, 1971	Petition		1 6 8 S.Ct.
Cited by	486. Jurisdictional Statement Reptile Products Ass'n, Inc. v. Diamond 1971 WL 167567, *167567+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Jan. 12, 1971	Petition		3 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	487. Jurisdict Statement  Graham v. Richardson 1970 WL 155610, *155610+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Aug. 28, 1970	Petition		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	488. Jurisdictional Statement On Behalf of Appellant Virginia C. Shaffer Shaffer v. Valtierra 1970 WL 136755, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	June 05, 1970	Petition		—
Cited by	489. Jurisdictional Statement Southern Railway Co. v. City of Harrodsburg 1970 WL 155715, *155715+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	May 06, 1970	Petition		2 S.Ct.
Cited by	490. Jurisdictional Statement Locke v. People of the State of California 1969 WL 136781, *136781+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Dec. 29, 1969	Petition		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	491. Jurisdictional Statement Grove Press, Inc. v. Maryland State Bd. of Censors 1969 WL 136734, *136734+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Dec. 03, 1969	Petition		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	492. Jurisdictional Statement Kowan v. People of the State of California 1969 WL 120052, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Apr. 15, 1969	Petition		—
Cited by	493. Jurisdictional Statement In re Gross 1969 WL 136667, *136667+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Feb. 11, 1969	Petition		—
Cited by	494. Jurisdictional Statement Turner v. Fouche 1968 WL 112495, *1 , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Dec. 16, 1968	Petition		—
Cited by	495. Jurisdictional Statement Robinson v. Johnson 1968 WL 129240, *129240+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Apr. 29, 1968	Petition		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	496. Jurisdictional Statement Shapiro v. Thompson 1967 WL 129526, *129526+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Nov. 13, 1967	Petition		—
Cited by	497. Jurisdictional Statement Schackman v. The People of the State of California 1967 WL 129535, *129535+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Jan. 23, 1967	Petition		—
Cited by	498. Jurisdictional Statement Kenneth BAER, Appellant, v. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK. 1966 WL 115498, *1 , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Mar. 02, 1966	Petition		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	499. Jurisdictional Statement Marchev v. Tp. of Livingston 1965 WL 115518, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Sep. 15, 1965	Petition		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	500. Jurisdictional Statement U.S. v. Guest 1965 WL 130042, *130042+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Mar. 29, 1965	Petition		6 S.Ct.

History

There are no History results for this citation.