

91 S.Ct. 1790
Supreme Court of the United States

Eugene GRIFFIN et al., Petitioners,

v.

Lavon BRECKENRIDGE et al.

No. 144.

|

Argued Jan. 13 and 14, 1971.

|

Decided June 7, 1971.

Synopsis

Action to recover damages on account of allegedly racially motivated assault committed upon public highway. The United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi dismissed the action, and plaintiffs appealed. The Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, 410 F.2d 817, affirmed, and certiorari was granted. The Supreme Court, Mr. Justice Stewart, held that the Ku Klux Klan Act extends to private conspiracies and was constitutional, as applied to case at hand, under Thirteenth Amendment and under congressional power to protect right of interstate travel.

Reversed and remanded.

Mr. Justice Harlan concurred and filed opinion.

Procedural Posture(s): On Appeal.

West Headnotes (14)

[1] **Conspiracy** 🔑 Color of Law; State or Private Action

Ku Klux Klan Act, affording civil remedy for conspiracy to deprive person or class of persons of equal protection of laws or equal privileges and immunities, covers private conspiracies. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1985(3).

1440 Cases that cite this headnote

[2] **Conspiracy** 🔑 Intent, motive, or animus

Ku Klux Klan Act language requiring intent to deprive of equal protection or equal privileges and immunities means that there must

be some racial, or perhaps otherwise class-based, invidiously discriminatory animus behind conspirators' action; conspiracy must aim at deprivation of equal enjoyment of rights secured by law to all. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1985(3).

4757 Cases that cite this headnote

[3] **Conspiracy** 🔑 Equal privileges and immunities; equal protection

Conspiracy 🔑 Civil rights conspiracies

Complaint under Ku Klux Klan Act must allege that defendants conspired or went in disguise on highway or premises of another for purpose of depriving, directly or indirectly, any person or class of persons of equal protection of laws or equal privileges and immunities under laws, and must assert that one or more of conspirators did, or caused to be done, and act in furtherance of object of conspiracy whereby another was injured in person or property or deprived of having and exercising any right or privilege of a citizen. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1985(3).

2118 Cases that cite this headnote

[4] **Conspiracy** 🔑 Particular Rights or Privileges; Particular Deprivations

Conspiracy 🔑 Civil rights conspiracies

Complaint alleging that defendants conspired to carry out assault to prevent plaintiffs and other Negro Americans from seeking equal protection of laws and enjoying equal rights, privileges and immunities, and that defendants acted under mistaken belief that plaintiffs' companion was worker for civil rights for Negroes, and alleging detention, threats, and battery, and personal injury resulting therefrom stated cause of action under Ku Klux Klan Act. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1985(3).

868 Cases that cite this headnote

[5] **Constitutional Law** 🔑 Facial invalidity

Constitutional Law 🔑 Invalidity as applied

Court need not find language of governing statute constitutional in all its possible applications in order to uphold its facial

constitutionality and its application to complaint in case.

[13 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[6] **Conspiracy** ⚡ Civil liability

That Ku Klux Klan Act reaches private conspiracies to deprive others of legal rights did not render it unconstitutional. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1985(3).

[24 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[7] **Human Trafficking and Slavery** ⚡ Power to Enact; Validity

Congress may impose liability on private persons under Thirteenth Amendment. U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 13.

[9 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[8] **Human Trafficking and Slavery** ⚡ Abolition in general

Thirteenth Amendment is not mere prohibition of state laws establishing or upholding slavery, but absolute declaration that slavery or involuntary servitude shall not exist in any part of United States. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1985(3); U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 13.

[13 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[9] **Human Trafficking and Slavery** ⚡ Purpose and construction in general

Varieties of private conduct which Congress may make criminally punishable or civilly remediable, under Thirteenth Amendment, extend beyond actual imposition of slavery or involuntary servitude. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1985(3); U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 13.

[14 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[10] **Human Trafficking and Slavery** ⚡ Power to Enact; Validity

Human Trafficking and Slavery ⚡ Abolition in general

Human Trafficking and Slavery ⚡ Badges and incidents of slavery

Thirteenth Amendment committed nation to proposition that former slaves and their descendants should be forever free and to keep that promise Congress has power rationally to determine what are badges and incidents of slavery and authority to translate that determination into effective legislation. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1985(3); U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 13.

[24 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[11] **Conspiracy** ⚡ Civil liability

Human Trafficking and Slavery ⚡ Power to Enact; Validity

Ku Klux Klan Act is constitutional under Congress' powers under Thirteenth Amendment to create statutory cause of action for Negro citizens who have been victims of conspiratorial, racially discriminatory private action aimed at depriving them of basic rights that law secures to all free men. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1985(3); U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 13, § 2.

[1480 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[12] **Commerce** ⚡ Limitations on travel

Right of interstate travel is constitutionally protected, does not necessarily rest on Fourteenth Amendment, and is assertable against private as well as governmental interference. U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 14.

[42 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[13] **Commerce** ⚡ Limitations on travel

Right of interstate travel, like other rights of national citizenship, is within power of Congress to protect by appropriate legislation.

[10 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[14] **Commerce** ⚡ Limitations on travel

Ku Klux Klan Act was constitutional, under congressional power to protect right of interstate travel, as applied to action in which plaintiffs

alleged that they were traveling on highways near interstate border, that conspiracy prevented Negroes from exercising rights to travel, and that conspiracy was inspired by defendants' erroneous belief that out-of-state person was worker for civil rights. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1985(3).

30 Cases that cite this headnote

**1791 Syllabus *

* The syllabus constitutes no part of the opinion of the Court but has been prepared by the Reporter of Decisions for the convenience of the reader. See *United States v. Detroit Timber & Lumber Co.*, 200 U.S. 321, 337, 26 S.Ct. 282, 287, 50 L.Ed. 499.

*88 Petitioners, Negro citizens of Mississippi, filed a damages action under 42 U.S.C. s 1985(3), charging that respondents, white citizens of Mississippi, conspired to assault petitioners, who were passengers 'travelling upon the federal, state, and local highways' in an automobile driven by one Grady, a citizen of Tennessee, for the purpose of preventing them 'and other Negro-Americans, through * * * force, violence and intimidation, from seeking the equal protection of the laws and from enjoying the equal rights, privileges and immunities of citizens under the laws of the United States and the State of Mississippi,' including rights to free speech, assembly, association, and movement, and the right not to be enslaved. The complaint alleged that pursuant to the conspiracy respondents, mistakenly believing Grady to be a civil rights worker, blocked the travellers' passage on the public highways, forced them from the car, held them at bay with firearms, and amidst threats of murder clubbed them, inflicting serious physical injury. Section 1985(3) provides: 'If two or more persons * * * conspire or go in disguise on the highway or on the premises of another, for the purpose of depriving * * * any person or class of persons of the equal protection of the laws, or of equal privileges and immunities under the laws (and) in any case of conspiracy set forth in this section, if one or more persons engaged therein do * * * any act in furtherance of the object of such conspiracy, whereby another is injured * * * or deprived of * * * any right or privilege of a citizen of the United States, the party so injured or deprived' may have a cause of action for damages against the conspirators. The District Court dismissed **1792 the complaint for failure to state

a cause of action, relying on *Collins v. Hardyman*, 341 U.S. 651, 71 S.Ct. 937, 95 L.Ed. 1253, where the Court in order to avoid difficult constitutional questions, in effect construed s 1985(3) to reach only conspiracies under color of state law. The Court of Appeals affirmed. Held:

1. Sec. 1985(3) does not require state action but reaches private conspiracies, such as the one alleged in the complaint here, that are aimed at invidiously discriminatory deprivation of the *89 equal enjoyment of rights secured to all by law, as is clearly manifested by the wording and legislative history of the statute and companion statutory provisions, and the constitutional impediments that influenced the Court's construction of the statute in *Collins*, supra, as is clear from more recent decisions, simply do not exist. Pp. 1795—1799.

2. Congress had the constitutional authority to reach a private conspiracy of the sort alleged in the complaint in this case both under s 2 of the Thirteenth Amendment and under its power to protect the right of interstate travel. Pp. 1799—1801.

410 F.2d 817, reversed and remanded.

Attorneys and Law Firms

Stephen J. Pollak, Washington, D.C., for the petitioners.

Lawrence G. Wallace, Washington, D.C., for United States, as amicus curiae, by special leave of Court.

W. D. Moore, Philadelphia, Miss., for respondents.

Opinion

Mr. Justice STEWART delivered the opinion of the Court.

This litigation began when the petitioners filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi, seeking compensatory and punitive damages and alleging, in substantial part, as follows:

'2. The plaintiffs are Negro citizens of the United States and residents of Kemper County, Mississippi. * * *

*90 '3. The defendants, Lavon Breckenridge and James Calvin Breckenridge, are white adult citizens of the United States residing in DeKalb, Kemper County, Mississippi.

'4. On July 2, 1966, the * * * plaintiffs * * * were passengers in an automobile belonging to and operated by R. G. Grady of Memphis, Tennessee. They were travelling upon the federal,

state and local highways in and about DeKalb, Mississippi, performing various errands and visiting friends.

‘5. On July 2, 1966 defendants, acting under a mistaken belief that R. G. Grady was a worker for Civil Rights for Negroes, wilfully and maliciously conspired, planned, and agreed to block the passage of said plaintiffs in said automobile upon the public highways, to stop and detain them and to assault, beat and injure them with deadly weapons. Their purpose was to prevent said plaintiffs and other Negro-Americans, through such force, violence and intimidation, from seeking the equal protection of the laws and from enjoying the equal rights, privileges and immunities of citizens under the laws of the United States and the State of Mississippi, including but not limited to their rights to freedom of speech, movement, association and assembly; their right to petition their government for redress of their grievances; their rights to be secure in their persons and their homes; and their rights not to be enslaved nor deprived of life and liberty other than by due process of law.

‘6. Pursuant to their conspiracy, defendants drove their truck into the path of Grady's automobile and blocked its passage over the public road. Both defendants then forced Grady and said plaintiffs to get out of Grady's automobile ****1793** and prevented said plaintiffs from escaping while defendant James ***91** Calvin Breckenridge clubbed Grady with a blackjack, pipe or other kind of club by pointing firearms at said plaintiffs and uttering threats to kill and injure them if defendants' orders were not obeyed, thereby terrorizing them to the utmost degree and depriving them of their liberty.

‘7. Pursuant to their conspiracy, defendants wilfully, intentionally, and maliciously menaced and assaulted each of the said plaintiffs by pointing firearms and wielding deadly blackjacks, pipes or other kind of clubs, while uttering threats to kill and injure said plaintiffs, causing them to become stricken with fear of immediate injury and death and to suffer extreme terror, mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress.

‘8. Pursuant to defendants' conspiracy, defendant James Calvin Breckenridge then wilfully, intentionally and maliciously clubbed each of said plaintiffs on and about the head, severely injuring all of them, while both defendants continued to assault said plaintiffs and prevent their escape by pointing their firearms at them.

* * * * *

‘12. By their conspiracy and acts pursuant thereto, the defendants have wilfully and maliciously, directly and indirectly, intimidated and prevented the * * * plaintiffs * * * and other Negro-Americans from enjoying and exercising their rights, privileges and immunities as citizens of the United States and the State of Mississippi, including but not limited to, their rights to freedom of speech, movement, association and assembly; the right to petition their government for redress of grievances; their right to be secure in their person; their right not to be enslaved nor deprived of life, liberty or property other than by due process of law, and their ***92** rights to travel the public highways without restraint in the same terms as white citizens in Kemper County, Mississippi * * *.’

The jurisdiction of the federal court was invoked under the language of Rev.Stat. s 1980, **42 U.S.C. s 1985(3)**, which that provides:

‘If two or more persons in any State or Territory conspire or go in disguise on the highway or on the premises of another, for the purpose of depriving either directly or indirectly, any person or class of persons of the equal protection of the laws or of equal privileges and immunities under the laws (and) in any case of conspiracy set forth in this section, if one or more persons engaged therein do, or cause to be done, any act in furtherance of the object of such conspiracy, whereby another is injured in his person or property, or deprived of having and exercising any right or privilege of a citizen of the United States, the party so injured or deprived may have an action for the recovery of damages, occasioned by such injury or deprivation, against any one or more of the conspirators.’

The District Court dismissed the complaint for failure to state a cause of action, relying on the authority of this Court's opinion in *Collins v. Hardyman*, **341 U.S. 651**, **71 S.Ct. 937**, **95 L.Ed. 1253**, which in effect construed the

above language of [s 1985\(3\)](#) as reaching only conspiracies under color of state law. The Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit affirmed the judgment of dismissal. [410 F.2d 817](#). Judge Goldberg's thorough opinion for that court expressed 'serious doubts' as to the 'continued vitality' of *Collins v. Hardyman*, [id.](#), at [823](#), and stated that 'it would not surprise us if *Collins v. Hardyman* were disapproved and if [s 1985\(3\)](#) were held to embrace private conspiracies to interfere with rights of national citizenship,' [id.](#), at [825—826](#) (footnote omitted), but concluded that '(s)ince we *93 may not adopt what the Supreme Court has expressly rejected, we obediently abide the mandate in *Collins*,' [id.](#), at [826—827](#). We **1794 granted certiorari, [397 U.S. 1074, 90 S.Ct. 1525, 25 L.Ed.2d 808](#), to consider questions going to the scope and constitutionality of [42 U.S.C. s 1985\(3\)](#).

I

Collins v. Hardyman was decided 20 years ago. The complaint in that case alleged that the plaintiffs were members of a political club that had scheduled a meeting to adopt a resolution opposing the Marshall Plan, and to send copies of the resolution to appropriate federal officials; that the defendants conspired to deprive the plaintiffs of their rights as citizens of the United States peaceably to assemble and to equal privileges and immunities under the laws of the United States; that, in furtherance of the conspiracy, the defendants proceeded to the meeting site and, by threats and violence, broke up the meeting, thus interfering with the right of the plaintiffs to petition the Government for the redress of grievances; and that the defendants did not interfere or conspire to interfere with the meetings of other political groups with whose opinions the defendants agreed. The Court held that this complaint did not state a cause of action under [s 1985\(3\)](#):¹

¹ The statute was then [8 U.S.C. s 47\(3\)](#) (1946 ed.).

'The complaint makes no claim that the conspiracy or the overt acts involved any action by state officials, or that defendants even pretended to act under color of state law. It is not shown that defendants had or claimed any protection or immunity from the law of the State or that they in fact enjoyed such because of any act or omission by state authorities.' [341 U.S.](#), at [655, 71 S.Ct.](#), at [939](#).

'What we have here is not a conspiracy to affect in any way these plaintiffs' equality of protection by *94 the law, or their equality of privileges and immunities under the law. There is not the slightest allegation that defendants were conscious of or trying to influence the law, or were endeavoring to obstruct

or interfere with it. * * * Such private discrimination is not inequality before the law unless there is some manipulation of the law or its agencies to give sanction or sanctuary for doing so.' [Id.](#), at [661, 71 S.Ct.](#), at [942](#).

The Court was careful to make clear that it was deciding no constitutional question, but simply construing the language of the statute, or more precisely, determining the applicability of the statute to the facts alleged in the complaint:²

² 'We do not say that no conspiracy by private individuals could be of such magnitude and effect as to work a deprivation of equal protection of the laws, or of equal privileges and immunities under laws. * * * But here nothing of that sort appears. We have a case of a lawless political brawl, precipitated by a handful of white citizens against other white citizens.' [341 U.S.](#), at [662, 71 S.Ct.](#), at [942](#).

'We say nothing of the power of Congress to authorize such civil actions as respondents have commenced or otherwise to redress such grievances as they assert. We think that Congress has not, in the narrow class of conspiracies defined by this statute, included the conspiracy charged here. We therefore reach no constitutional questions.' [Id.](#), at [662, 71 S.Ct.](#), at [942](#). Nonetheless, the Court made equally clear that the construction it gave to the statute was influenced by the constitutional problems that it thought would have otherwise been engendered:

'It is apparent that, if this complaint meets the requirements of this Act, it raises constitutional problems of the first magnitude that, in the light of history, are not without difficulty. These would *95 include issues as to congressional power under and apart from the Fourteenth Amendment, the reserved power of the States, the content **1795 of rights derived from national as distinguished from state citizenship, and the question of separability of the Act in its application to those two classes of rights.' [Id.](#), at [659, 71 S.Ct.](#), at [940](#).

Mr. Justice Burton filed a dissenting opinion, joined by Mr. Justice Black and Mr. Justice Douglas. The dissenters thought that '(t)he language of the statute refutes the suggestion that action under color of state law is a necessary ingredient of the cause of action which it recognizes.' [Id.](#), at [663, 71 S.Ct.](#), at [942](#). Further the dissenters found no constitutional difficulty in according to the statutory words their apparent meaning:

‘Congress certainly has the power to create a federal cause of action in favor of persons injured by private individuals through the abridgment of federally created constitutional rights. It seems to me that Congress has done just this in (s 1985(3)). This is not inconsistent with the principle underlying the Fourteenth Amendment. That amendment prohibits the respective states from making laws abridging the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States or denying to any person within the jurisdiction of a state the equal protection of the laws. Cases holding that those clauses are directed only at state action are not authority for the contention that Congress may not pass laws supporting rights which exist apart from the Fourteenth Amendment.’ *Id.*, at 664, 71 S.Ct., at 943.

II

Whether or not *Collins v. Hardyman* was correctly decided on its own facts is a question with which we need not here be concerned. But it is clear, in the light of *96 the evolution of decisional law in the years that have passed since that case was decided, that many of the constitutional problems there perceived simply do not exist. Little reason remains, therefore, not to accord to the words of the statute their apparent meaning. That meaning is confirmed by judicial construction of related laws, by the structural setting of s 1995(3) itself, and by its legislative history. And a fair reading of the allegations of the complaint in this case clearly brings them within this meaning of the statutory language. As so construed, and as applied to this complaint, we have no doubt that the statute was within the constitutional power of Congress to enact.

III

We turn, then, to an examination of the meaning of s 1985(3). On their face, the words of the statute fully encompass the conduct of private persons. The provision speaks simply of ‘two or more persons in any State or Territory’ who ‘conspire or go in disguise on the highway or on the premises of another.’ Going in disguise, in particular, is in this context an activity so little associated with official action and so commonly connected with private marauders that this clause could almost never be applicable under the artificially restrictive construction of *Collins*. And since the ‘going in disguise’ aspect must include private action, it is hard to see how the conspiracy aspect, joined by a disjunctive, could be read to require the involvement of state officers.

The provision continues, specifying the motivation required ‘for the purpose of depriving, either directly or indirectly, any person or class of persons of the equal protection of the laws, or of equal privileges and immunities under the laws.’ This language is, of course, similar to that of s 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment, *97 which in terms speaks only to the States,³ and judicial thinking **1796 about what can constitute an equal protection deprivation has, because of the Amendment’s wording, focused almost entirely upon identifying the requisite ‘state action’ and defining the offending forms of state law and official conduct. A century of Fourteenth Amendment adjudication has, in other words, made it understandably difficult to conceive of what might constitute a deprivation of the equal protection of the laws by private persons. Yet there is nothing inherent in the phrase that requires the action working the deprivation to come from the State. See, e.g., *United States v. Harris*, 106 U.S. 629, 643, 1 S.Ct. 601, 612, 27 L.Ed. 290. Indeed, the failure to mention any such requisite can be viewed as an important indication of congressional intent to speak in s 1985(3) of all deprivations of ‘equal protection of the laws’ and ‘equal privileges and immunities under the laws,’ whatever their source.

³ ‘No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.’

The approach of this Court to other Reconstruction civil rights statutes in the years since *Collins* has been to ‘accord (them) a sweep as broad as (their) language.’ *United States v. Price*, 383 U.S. 787, 801, 86 S.Ct. 1152, 1160, 16 L.Ed.2d 267; *Jones v. Alfred H. Mayer Co.*, 392 U.S. 409, 437, 88 S.Ct. 2186, 2202, 20 L.Ed.2d 1189. Moreover, very similar language in closely related statutes has early and late received an interpretation quite inconsistent with that given to s 1985(3) in *Collins*. In construing the exact criminal counterpart of s 1985(3), the Court in *United States v. Harris*, *supra*, observed that the statute was ‘not limited to take effect only in case (of state action),’ *id.*, at 639, 1 S.Ct., at 609, but ‘was framed to protect from invasion by private persons the equal privileges *98 and immunities under the laws of all persons and classes of persons,’ *id.*, at 637, 1 S.Ct., at 607. In *United States v. Williams*, 341 U.S. 70, 71 S.Ct. 581, 95 L.Ed. 758, the Court considered the closest remaining criminal analogue to s 1985(3), 18 U.S.C. s 241.⁴ Mr. Justice Frankfurter’s plurality opinion, without contravention from the concurrence

or dissent, concluded that ‘if language is to carry any meaning at all it must be clear that the principal purpose of (s 241), unlike (18 U.S.C. s 242), was to reach private action rather than officers of a State acting under its authority. Men who ‘go in disguise upon the public highway, or upon the premises of another’ are not likely to be acting in official capacities.’ 341 U.S., at 76, 71 S.Ct., at 584. ‘Nothing in (the) terms (of s 241) indicates that color of State law was to be relevant to prosecution under it.’ *Id.*, at 78, 71 S.Ct., at 585 (footnote omitted).

4 ‘If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any citizen in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; or
 ‘If two or more persons go in disguise on the highway, or on the premises of another, with intent to prevent or hinder his free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege so secured—
 ‘They shall be fined not more than \$5,000 or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both.’
 The penalty section was amended in 1968. See 18 U.S.C. s 241 (1964 ed., Supp. V).

A like construction of s 1985(3) is reinforced when examination is broadened to take in its companion statutory provisions. There appear to be three possible forms for a state action limitation on s 1985(3)—that there must be action under color of state law, that there must be interference with or influence upon state authorities, or that there must be a private conspiracy so massive and effective that it supplants those authorities and thus satisfies the state action requirement.⁵ The Congress *99 that passed the Civil Rights Act of 1871, 17 Stat. 13, s 2 of which is the parent of s 1985(3), dealt with each of these three situations in explicit terms in other parts of the same Act. An element of the cause of action established by the first section, **1797 now 42 U.S.C. s 1983, is that the deprivation complained of must have been inflicted under color of state law.⁶ To read any such requirement into s 1985(3) would thus deprive that section of all independent effect. As for interference with State officials, s 1985(3) itself contains another clause dealing explicitly with that situation.⁷ And s 3 of the 1871 Act provided for military action at the command of the President should massive private lawlessness render state authorities powerless to protect the federal rights of classes of citizens, such a situation being defined by the Act as constituting a state denial of equal

protection. 17 Stat. 14. Given the existence of these three provisions, it is almost impossible to believe that Congress intended, in the dissimilar language of the portion of s 1985(3) now before us, simply to duplicate the coverage of one or more of them.

5 This last was suggested in *Collins v. Hardyman*. See n. 2, *supra*.

6 ‘Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress.’

7 ‘If two or more persons in any State or Territory conspire or go in disguise on the highway or on the premises of another * * * for the purpose of preventing or hindering the constituted authorities of any State or Territory from giving or securing to all persons within such State or Territory the equal protection of the laws * * *.’

The final area of inquiry into the meaning of s 1985(2) lies in its legislative history. As originally introduced in the 42d Congress, the section was solely a criminal provision outlawing certain conspiratorial acts done with *100 intent ‘to do any act in violation of the rights, privileges, or immunities of another person * * *.’ *Cong. Globe*, 42d Cong., 1st Sess., App. 68 (1871). Introducing the bill, the House sponsor, Representative Shellabarger stressed that ‘the United States always has assumed to enforce, as against the States, and also persons, every one of the provisions of the Constitution.’ *Id.*, at App. 69 (emphasis supplied). The enormous sweep of the original language led to pressures for amendment, in the course of which the present civil remedy was added. The explanations of the added language centered entirely on the animus or motivation that would be required, and there was no suggestion whatever that liability would not be imposed for purely private conspiracies. Representative Willard, draftsman of the limiting amendment, said that his version ‘provid(ed) that the essence of the crime should consist in the intent to deprive a person of the equal protection of the laws and of equal privileges and immunities under the laws; in other words, that the Constitution secured, and was only intended to secure, equality of rights and immunities, and

that we could only punish by United States laws a denial of that equality.’ *Id.*, at App. 188. Representative Shellabarger’s explanation of the amendment was very similar: ‘The object of the amendment is * * * to confine the authority of this law to the prevention of deprivations which shall attack the equality of rights of American citizens; that any violation of the right, the animus and effect of which is to strike down the citizen, to the end that he may not enjoy equality of rights as contrasted with his and other citizens’ rights, shall be within the scope of the remedies of this section.’ *Id.*, at 478.⁸

⁸ The conspiracy and disguise language of what finally became [s 1985\(3\)](#) appears to have been borrowed from the parent of [18 U.S.C. s 241](#). See *Cong.Globe*, 41st Cong., 2d Sess., 3611—3613 (1870).

101** Other supporters of the bill were even more explicit in their insistence upon coverage of private action. Shortly before the amendment was introduced, Representative Shanks urged, ‘I do not want to see (this measure) so amended that there shall be taken out of it the frank assertion of the power of the national Government to protect life, liberty, *1798** and property, irrespective of the act of the State. *Id.*, at App. 141. At about the same time, Representative Coburn asked: ‘Shall we deal with individuals, or with the State as a State? If we can deal with individuals, that is a less radical course, and works less interference with local governments. * * * It would seem more accordant with reason that the easier, more direct, and more certain method of dealing with individual criminals was preferable, and that the more thorough method of superseding State authority should only be resorted to when the deprivation of rights and the condition of outlawry was so general as to prevail in all quarters in defiance of or by permission of the local government.’ *Id.*, at 459. After the amendment had been proposed in the House, Senator Pool insisted in support of the bill during Senate debate that ‘Congress must deal with individuals, not States. It must punish the offender against the rights of the citizen * * *.’ *Id.*, at 608.

[1] [2] It is thus evident that all indicators—text, companion provisions, and legislative history—point unwaveringly to [s 1985\(3\)](#)’s coverage of private conspiracies. That the statute was meant to reach private action does not, however, mean that it was intended to apply to all tortious, conspiratorial interferences with the rights of others. For, though the supporters of the legislation insisted on coverage of private conspiracies, they were equally emphatic that they did not believe, in the words of Representative Cook, ‘that Congress has a right to punish an assault and battery when

committed by two or more persons ***102** within a State.’ *Id.*, at 485. The constitutional shoals that would lie in the path of interpreting [s 1985\(3\)](#) as a general federal tort law can be avoided by giving full effect to the congressional purpose—by requiring, as an element of the cause of action, the kind of invidiously discriminatory motivation stressed by the sponsors of the limiting amendment. See the remarks of Representatives Willard and Shellabarger, quoted *supra*, at 1797. The language requiring intent to deprive of equal protection, or equal privileges and immunities, means that there must be some racial, or perhaps otherwise class-based, invidiously discriminatory animus behind the conspirators’ action.⁹ The conspiracy, in other words, must aim at a deprivation of the equal enjoyment of rights secured by the law to all.¹⁰

⁹ We need not decide, given the facts of this case, whether a conspiracy motivated by invidiously discriminatory intent other than racial bias would be actionable under the portion of [s 1985\(3\)](#) before us. Cf. *Cong.Globe*, 42d Cong., 1st Sess., 567 (1871) (remarks of Sen Edmunds).

¹⁰ The motivation requirement introduced by the word ‘equal’ into the portion of [s 1985\(3\)](#) before us must not be confused with the test of ‘specific intent to deprive a person of a federal right made definite by decision or other rule of law’ articulated by the plurality opinion in [Screws v. United States](#), 325 U.S. 91, 103, 65 S.Ct. 1031, 1036, 89 L.Ed. 1495, for prosecutions under [18 U.S.C. s 242](#). [Section 1985\(3\)](#), unlike [s 242](#), contains no specific requirement of ‘wilfulness.’ Cf. [Monroe v. Pape](#), 365 U.S. 167, 187, 81 S.Ct. 473, 484, 5 L.Ed.2d 492. The motivation aspect of [s 1985\(3\)](#) focuses not on scienter in relation to deprivation of rights but on invidiously discriminatory animus.

IV

[3] We return to the petitioners’ complaint to determine whether it states a cause of action under [s 1985\(3\)](#) as so construed. To come within the legislation a complaint must allege that the defendants did (1) ‘conspire or go in disguise on the highway or on the premises of another’ (2) ‘for the purpose of depriving, either directly ***103** or indirectly, any person or class of persons of the equal protection of the laws, or of equal privileges and immunities under the laws.’ It must

then assert that one or more of the conspirators (3) did, or caused to be done, ‘any act in furtherance of the object of (the) conspiracy,’ whereby another was (4a) ‘injured in his person ****1799** or property’ or (4b) ‘deprived of having and exercising any right or privilege of a citizen of the United States.’

[4] The complaint fully alleges, with particulars, that the respondents conspired to carry out the assault. It further asserts that ‘(t)heir purpose was to prevent (the) plaintiffs and other Negro-Americans, through * * * force, violence and intimidation, from seeking the equal protection of the laws and from enjoying the equal rights, privileges and immunities of citizens under the laws of the United States and the State of Mississippi,’ including a long list of enumerated rights such as free speech, assembly, association, and movement. The complaint further alleges that the respondents were ‘acting under a mistaken belief that R. G. Grady was a worker for Civil Rights for Negroes.’ These allegations clearly support the requisite animus to deprive the petitioners of the equal enjoyment of legal rights because of their race. The claims of detention, threats, and battery amply satisfy the requirement of acts done in furtherance of the conspiracy. Finally, the petitioners—whether or not the nonparty Grady was the main or only target of the conspiracy—allege personal injury resulting from those acts. The complaint, then, states a cause of action under s 1985(3). Indeed, the conduct here alleged lies so close to the core of the coverage intended by Congress that it is hard to conceive of wholly private conduct that would come within the statute if this does not. We must, accordingly, consider whether Congress had constitutional power to enact a statute that imposes liability under federal law for the conduct alleged in this complaint.

*104 V

[5] The constitutionality of s 1985(3) might once have appeared to have been settled adversely by *United States v. Harris*, 106 U.S. 629, 1 S.Ct. 601, 27 L.Ed. 290, and *Baldwin v. Franks*, 120 U.S. 678, 7 S.Ct. 656, 32 L.Ed. 766, which held unconstitutional its criminal counterpart, then s 5519 of the Revised Statutes.¹¹ The Court in those cases, however, followed a severability rule that required invalidation of an entire statute if any part of it was unconstitutionally overbroad, unless its different parts could be read as wholly independent provisions, E.g., *Baldwin v. Franks*, *supra*, at 685, 7 S.Ct., at 658. This Court has long since firmly rejected that rule in such cases as *United States v. Raines*, 362

U.S. 17, 20—24, 80 S.Ct. 519, 522—524, 4 L.Ed.2d 524. Consequently, we need not find the language of s 1985(3) now before us constitutional in all its possible applications in order to uphold its facial constitutionality and its application to the complaint in this case.

¹¹ Rev.Stat. s 5519 was repealed in 1909. 35 Stat. 1154.

[6] That s 1985(3) reaches private conspiracies to deprive others of legal rights can, of itself, cause no doubts of its constitutionality. It has long been settled that 18 U.S.C. s 241, a criminal statute of far broader phrasing (see n. 4, *supra*), reaches wholly private conspiracies and is constitutional. E.g., *In re Quarles*, 158 U.S. 532, 15 S.Ct. 959, 39 L.Ed. 1080; *Logan v. United States*, 144 U.S. 263, 293—295, 12 S.Ct. 617, 626—627, 36 L.Ed. 429; *United States v. Waddell*, 112 U.S. 76, 77—81, 5 S.Ct. 35, 36—38, 28 L.Ed. 673; *Ex parte Yarbrough*, 110 U.S. 651, 4 S.Ct. 152, 28 L.Ed. 274. See generally *Twining v. New Jersey*, 211 U.S. 78, 97—98, 29 S.Ct. 14, 18—20, 53 L.Ed. 97. Our inquiry, therefore, need go only to identifying a source of congressional power to reach the private conspiracy alleged by the complaint in this case.

A

[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] Even as it struck down Rev.Stat. s 5519 in *United States v. Harris*, the Court indicated that parts of its coverage would, if severable, be constitutional under the ***105** Thirteenth Amendment. 106 U.S., at 640—641, 1 S.Ct., at 610—611. And surely there has never been any doubt of the power of Congress to impose ****1800** liability on private persons under s 2 of that amendment, ‘for the amendment is not a mere prohibition of state laws establishing or upholding slavery, but an absolute declaration that slavery or involuntary servitude shall not exist in any part of the United States.’ *Civil Rights Cases*, 109 U.S. 3, 20, 3 S.Ct. 18, 28, 27 L.Ed. 835. See also *id.*, at 23, 3 S.Ct., at 30; *Clyatt v. United States*, 197 U.S. 207, 216, 218, 25 S.Ct. 429, 430, 431, 49 L.Ed. 726; *Jones v. Alfred H. Mayer Co.*, 392 U.S., at 437—440, 88 S.Ct., at 2202—2204, 20 L.Ed.2d 1189. Not only may Congress impose such liability, but the varieties of private conduct that it may make criminally punishable or civilly remediable extend far beyond the actual imposition of slavery or involuntary servitude. By the Thirteenth Amendment, we committed ourselves as a Nation to the proposition that the former slaves and their descendants should be forever free. To keep that promise,

‘Congress has the power under the Thirteenth Amendment rationally to determine what are the badges and the incidents of slavery, and the authority to translate that determination into effective legislation.’ *Jones v. Alfred H. Mayer Co.*, *supra*, at 440, 88 S.Ct., at 2203. We can only conclude that Congress was wholly within its powers under s 2 of the Thirteenth Amendment in creating a statutory cause of action for Negro citizens who have been the victims of conspiratorial, racially discriminatory private action aimed at depriving them of the basic rights that the law secures to all free men.

B

[12] [13] Our cases have firmly established that the right of interstate travel is constitutionally protected, does not necessarily rest on the Fourteenth Amendment, and is assertable against private as well as governmental interference. *Shapiro v. Thompson*, 394 U.S. 618, 629—631, 89 S.Ct. 1322, 1328—1330, 22 L.Ed.2d 600; *id.*, at 642—644, 89 S.Ct., at 1335—1336 (concurring opinion); *106 *United States v. Guest*, 383 U.S. 745, 757—760 and n. 17, 86 S.Ct. 1170, 1177—1180, 16 L.Ed.2d 239; *Twining v. New Jersey*, 211 U.S. 78, 97, 29 S.Ct. 14, 18, 53 L.Ed. 97; *Slaughter-House Cases*, 16 Wall. 36, 79—80, 21 L.Ed. 394; *Crandall v. Nevada*, 6 Wall. 35, 44, 48—49, 18 L.Ed. 744; *Passenger Cases (Smith v. Turner)*, 7 How. 283, 492, 12 L.Ed. 702 (Taney, C.J., dissenting). The ‘right to pass freely from state to state’ has been explicitly recognized as ‘among the rights and privileges of national citizenship.’ *Twining v. New Jersey*, *supra*, 211 U.S., at 97, 29 S.Ct., at 19. That right, like other rights of national citizenship, is within the power of Congress to protect by appropriate legislation. E.g., *United States v. Guest*, *supra*, 383 U.S., at 759, 86 S.Ct., at 1178; *United States v. Classic*, 313 U.S. 299, 314—315, 61 S.Ct. 1031, 1037—1038, 85 L.Ed. 1368; *Ex parte Yarbrough*, 110 U.S. 651, 4 S.Ct. 152, 28 L.Ed. 274; *Oregon v. Mitchell*, 400 U.S. 112, 285—287, 91 S.Ct. 260, 345—346, 27 L.Ed.2d 272 (concurring and dissenting opinion).

[14] The complaint in this case alleged that the petitioners ‘were travelling upon the federal, state and local highways in and about’ DeKalb, Kemper County, Mississippi. Kemper County is on the Mississippi-Alabama border. One of the results of the conspiracy, according to the complaint, was to prevent the petitioners and other Negroes from exercising their ‘rights to travel the public highways without restraint in the same terms as white citizens in Kemper County,

Mississippi.’ Finally, the conspiracy was alleged to have been inspired by the respondents’ erroneous belief that Grady, a Tennessean, was a worker for Negro civil rights. Under these allegations it is open to the petitioners to prove at trial that they had been engaging in interstate travel or intended to do so, that their federal right to travel interstate was one of the rights meant to be discriminatorily impaired by the conspiracy, that the conspirators intended to drive out-of-state civil rights workers from the State, or that they meant to **1801 deter the petitioners from associating with such persons. This and other evidence could make it clear that the petitioners had suffered from conduct that Congress may reach under its power to protect the right of interstate travel.

*107 C

In identifying these two constitutional sources of congressional power, we do not imply the absence of any other. More specifically, the allegations of the complaint in this case have not required consideration of the scope of the power of Congress under s 5 of the Fourteenth Amendment.¹² By the same token, since the allegations of the complaint bring this cause of action so close to the constitutionally authorized core of the statute, there has been no occasion here to trace out its constitutionally permissible periphery.

¹² See *Katzenbach v. Morgan*, 384 U.S. 641, 86 S.Ct. 1731, 16 L.Ed.2d 828; *Oregon v. Mitchell*, 400 U.S. 112, 135, 91 S.Ct. 260, 270 (opinion of Douglas, J.), 229, 91 S.Ct. 317 (opinion of Brennan, White, and Marshall, JJ.); *United States v. Guest*, 383 U.S. 745, 761, 86 S.Ct. 1170, 1180 (Clark, J., concurring), 774, 86 S.Ct. 1187 (Brennan, J., concurring and dissenting).

The judgment is reversed, and the case is remanded to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

It is so ordered.

Reversed and remanded.

Mr. Justice HARLAN, concurring.

I agree with the Court’s opinion, except that I find it unnecessary to rely on the ‘right of interstate travel’ as a

premise for justifying federal jurisdiction under [s 1985\(3\)](#).
With that reservation, I join the opinion and judgment of the
Court.

All Citations

403 U.S. 88, 91 S.Ct. 1790, 29 L.Ed.2d 338, 9 Fair
Empl.Prac.Cas. (BNA) 1196, 3 Empl. Prac. Dec. P 8284














End of Document

© 2023 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.

Negative Treatment

Negative Citing References (15)


The KeyCited document has been negatively referenced by the following events or decisions in other litigation or proceedings:

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Declined to Extend by	 1. Davis v. Glanton MOST NEGATIVE 107 F.3d 1044 , 3rd Cir.(Pa.) After trust whose trustees were predominantly African-Americans brought federal civil rights action against township and township board of commissioners, township and board filed...	Mar. 03, 1997	Case		4 11 S.Ct.
Declined to Extend by	2. Deja Vu of Nashville, Inc. v. Metropolitan Government of Nashville 360 F.Supp.3d 714 , M.D.Tenn. GOVERNMENT — Abstention. Colorado River abstention was not warranted in valet company's action arising out of denial of its permit to operate at adult entertainment club.	Feb. 04, 2019	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Declined to Extend by	 3. Alharbi v. Miller 368 F.Supp.3d 527 , E.D.N.Y. IMMIGRATION — Visas. Yemeni nationals seeking immigrant visas, were neither approved for immigrant visas nor issued them by virtue of having received approval notices.	Mar. 26, 2019	Case		1 2 S.Ct.
Declined to Extend by	4. National Coalition on Black Civic Participation v. Wohl 2023 WL 2403012 , S.D.N.Y. GOVERNMENT — Elections. Transmitting robocalls containing false information intended to prevent voting by mail through threats and intimidation violated Voting Rights Act.	Mar. 08, 2023	Case		2 S.Ct.
Distinguished by	5. Cribb v. Pelham  552 F.Supp. 1217 , D.S.C. Civil rights action was brought by former state court defendant. The District Court, Hamilton, J., held that: (1) South Carolina Highway Patrol enjoyed state's Eleventh...	Nov. 24, 1982	Case		2 4 S.Ct.
Distinguished by	 6. Shaare Tefila Congregation v. Cobb 606 F.Supp. 1504 , D.Md. Jewish congregation, individually and on behalf of its members, instituted civil action against eight private defendants for alleged violations of federal and state law arising...	Apr. 22, 1985	Case		3 4 14 S.Ct.
Distinguished by	 7. Harrison v. Yalobusha County  2010 WL 3937964 , N.D.Miss. Before the Court is Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [38]. After reviewing the motions, responses, rules, and authorities, the Court finds as follows: In 1998, Plaintiff...	Oct. 05, 2010	Case		2 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Distinguished by	8. Harrington v. City of Phenix City ” 2012 WL 5287057 , M.D.Ala. As all parties agree, this is a police brutality case. Plaintiff Patrick Harrington brings suit alleging three law enforcement officers from two agencies used excessive force or...	Oct. 24, 2012	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Distinguished by	9. Dean v. Warren ” 12 F.4th 1248 , 11th Cir.(Ga.) CIVIL RIGHTS — Free Speech. Cheerleader stopped from kneeling during national anthem could not maintain civil rights conspiracy claim on an indirect race-based theory.	Sep. 02, 2021	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Distinguished by	10. Williams v. Heartland Realty Investors, Inc. 2022 WL 3027073 , N.D.Ill. Pro se plaintiffs Andy Williams and Vonita Cruz bring this lawsuit against Heartland Realty Investors, Inc. and Heartland Willowbrook, LLC, d/b/a Willowbrook Apartment Homes...	Aug. 01, 2022	Case		—
Limitation of Holding Recognized by	11. Kessler v. Monsour ” 865 F.Supp. 234 , M.D.Pa. Teacher brought action against school district, district officials, and state education association under federal civil rights statutes as well as under state law for intentional...	Sep. 06, 1994	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Limitation of Holding Recognized by	12. Holman v. Stefano 2010 WL 3814589 , D.S.C. The pro se Plaintiff, Roslyn Holman (“Holman”), filed this action on June 23, 2009. On August 5, 2009, Defendants Clemson University (“Clemson”) and James William Logan, Jr....	Mar. 01, 2010	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Limitation of Holding Recognized by	13. Zhang Jingrong v. Chinese Anti-Cult World Alliance ” 311 F.Supp.3d 514 , E.D.N.Y. CIVIL RIGHTS — Religion. Falun Gong was “religion” for purposes of practitioners’ claims against opposition group under Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances Act.	Apr. 23, 2018	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Limitation of Holding Recognized by	14. Pendleton v. City of Spokane Police Department ” 2019 WL 320581 , E.D.Wash. BEFORE THE COURT is Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint. ECF No. 20. Plaintiff, a pretrial detainee at the Spokane County Jail, is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis;...	Jan. 24, 2019	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Limitation of Holding Recognized by	15. Cervini v. Cisneros ” 593 F.Supp.3d 530 , W.D.Tex. CIVIL RIGHTS — Conspiracy. Claim under civil rights conspiracy statute does not require plaintiffs to plead that the conspirators had a racial or other class-based animus.	Mar. 23, 2022	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.

History (3)


Direct History (3)

 1. [Griffin v. Breckenridge](#)
410 F.2d 817 , 5th Cir.(Miss.) , Apr. 29, 1969





Certiorari Granted by

















2. [Griffin v. Breckenridge](#)
397 U.S. 1074 , U.S.Miss. , May 04, 1970


















AND Judgment Reversed by

















 3. [Griffin v. Breckenridge](#)
403 U.S. 88 , U.S.Miss. , June 07, 1971

Citing References (500)













Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Declined to Extend by NEGATIVE	 1. Alharbi v. Miller 368 F.Supp.3d 527, 566+ , E.D.N.Y. IMMIGRATION — Visas. Yemeni nationals seeking immigrant visas, were neither approved for immigrant visas nor issued them by virtue of having received approval notices.	Mar. 26, 2019	Case		1 2 S.Ct.
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	2. Dean v. Warren  12 F.4th 1248, 1255+ , 11th Cir.(Ga.) CIVIL RIGHTS — Free Speech. Cheerleader stopped from kneeling during national anthem could not maintain civil rights conspiracy claim on an indirect race-based theory.	Sep. 02, 2021	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Limitation of Holding Recognized by NEGATIVE	3. Cervini v. Cisneros  593 F.Supp.3d 530, 536+ , W.D.Tex. CIVIL RIGHTS — Conspiracy. Claim under civil rights conspiracy statute does not require plaintiffs to plead that the conspirators had a racial or other class-based animus.	Mar. 23, 2022	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Limitation of Holding Recognized by NEGATIVE	 4. Zhang Jingrong v. Chinese Anti-Cult World Alliance  311 F.Supp.3d 514, 547+ , E.D.N.Y. CIVIL RIGHTS — Religion. Falun Gong was “religion” for purposes of practitioners' claims against opposition group under Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances Act.	Apr. 23, 2018	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Examined by	 5. Bray v. Alexandria Women's Health Clinic  113 S.Ct. 753, 756+ , U.S.Va. ABORTION - Conspiracy to Deprive. Obstructing access to abortion clinics did not qualify as class-based, invidiously discriminatory animus to deprive women of right to abortion or...	Jan. 13, 1993	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	 6. United Broth. of Carpenters and Joiners of America, Local 610, AFL-CIO v. Scott  103 S.Ct. 3352, 3354+ , U.S.Tex. Construction company and two of its employees brought action against trades council, its unions and individual union members, alleging that they conspired to deprive plaintiffs of...	July 05, 1983	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	 7. Kush v. Rutledge  103 S.Ct. 1483, 1484+ , U.S.Ariz. Civil rights suit was brought to recover damages for an alleged conspiracy to intimidate potential witnesses in a federal lawsuit. The district court dismissed. The United...	Apr. 04, 1983	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	<p> 8. Great American Federal Sav. & Loan Ass'n v. Novotny ¶¶ 99 S.Ct. 2345, 2348+ , U.S.Pa.</p> <p>Action was brought by former male employee, who alleged that his support for female employees was cause of his discharge, contending that he had been injured as result of...</p>	June 11, 1979	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	<p> 9. Aulson v. Blanchard ¶¶ 83 F.3d 1, 3+ , 1st Cir.(Mass.)</p> <p>Town selectman brought action against variety of municipal officeholders, alleging violation of selectman's civil rights. The United States District Court for the District of...</p>	Apr. 25, 1996	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Examined by	<p> 10. U.S. v. Nelson ¶¶ 277 F.3d 164, 178+ , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.)</p> <p>CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Jury. Defendants could not waive right to impartial tribunal.</p>	Jan. 07, 2002	Case		9 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	<p> 11. Keating v. Carey ¶¶ 706 F.2d 377, 385+ , 2nd Cir.</p> <p>State civil servant brought action under federal civil rights law challenging his termination from employment, and appealed from a grant of summary judgment for the defendants...</p>	Apr. 18, 1983	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	<p> 12. Farber v. City of Paterson ¶¶ 440 F.3d 131, 134+ , 3rd Cir.(N.J.)</p> <p>CIVIL RIGHTS - Equal Protection. No § 1985(3) action for conspiracies motivated by discriminatory animus toward political affiliation.</p>	Mar. 08, 2006	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Examined by	<p> 13. Novotny v. Great American Federal Sav. and Loan Ass'n ¶¶ 584 F.2d 1235, 1240+ , 3rd Cir.(Pa.)</p> <p>In an action by a male employee allegedly discharged because he had charged his employer with discrimination against female employees, the United States District Court for the...</p>	Aug. 07, 1978	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	<p> 14. Harrison v. KVAT Food Management, Inc. ¶¶ 766 F.2d 155, 156+ , 4th Cir.(Va.)</p> <p>Discharged employee brought action against his employer and two of its officials alleging that they conspired, in violation of civil rights statute, to prevent him from running for...</p>	July 02, 1985	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	<p> 15. Ward v. Connor ¶¶ 657 F.2d 45, 47+ , 4th Cir.(Va.)</p> <p>Action was brought alleging that defendants engaged in a conspiracy to deprive plaintiff of his civil rights by kidnapping him, holding him captive, and subjecting him to physical...</p>	Aug. 10, 1981	Case		3 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	<p> 16. Bellamy v. Mason's Stores, Inc. (Richmond) ¶¶ 508 F.2d 504, 506+ , 4th Cir.(Va.)</p> <p>Civil rights action alleging that employer violated plaintiff's right of free association by firing him for his membership in the Ku klux Klan. The United States District Court...</p>	Dec. 27, 1974	Case		<p>3 4 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p>17. Hughes v. Ranger Fuel Corp., Division of Pittston Co. ¶¶ 467 F.2d 6, 8+ , 4th Cir.(W.Va.)</p> <p>Action, under Civil Rights Act, to recover damages from coal company and its employees for alleged assault and battery committed on plaintiffs because of plaintiffs' efforts in...</p>	Sep. 26, 1972	Case		<p>2 4 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 18. Roe v. Abortion Abolition Soc. ¶¶ 811 F.2d 931, 933+ , 5th Cir.(Tex.)</p> <p>Alleged victims of conspiracy brought civil rights action against antiabortion society and municipalities. The United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas,...</p>	Mar. 09, 1987	Case		<p>2 3 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p>  19. Scott v. Moore ¶¶ 680 F.2d 979, 986+ , 5th Cir.(Tex.)</p> <p>Construction company and two of its employees brought action against trades council, its unions, and individual union members, alleging that defendants conspired for purpose of...</p>	July 01, 1982	Case		<p>2 4 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 20. Kimble v. D. J. McDuffy, Inc. ¶¶ 648 F.2d 340, 345+ , 5th Cir.(La.)</p> <p>Former employee sued former employer, other employers in oil drilling industry and employers association claiming conspiracy to deny employment to plaintiff and class members...</p>	June 18, 1981	Case		<p>2 4 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 21. Scott v. Moore ¶¶ 640 F.2d 708, 715+ , 5th Cir.(Tex.)</p> <p>Construction company and two of its employees brought action against trades council, its unions, and individual union members, alleging that defendants conspired for purpose of...</p>	Mar. 26, 1981	Case		<p>2 4 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 22. Kimble v. D. J. McDuffy, Inc. 623 F.2d 1060, 1065+ , 5th Cir.(La.)</p> <p>Class action was brought on behalf of all individuals who had been denied employment by companies in the oil drilling industry because they had filed workers' compensation or...</p>	Aug. 14, 1980	Case		<p>2 4 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p>  23. McLellan v. Mississippi Power & Light Co. ¶¶ 545 F.2d 919, 923+ , 5th Cir.(Miss.)</p> <p>A discharged employee brought action against his former employer and local and international unions alleging conspiracy to deprive him of his civil rights, as result of which he...</p>	Jan. 20, 1977	Case		<p>2 3 4</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>













Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	 24. McLellan v. Mississippi Power & Light Co. ¶ 526 F.2d 870, 873+ , 5th Cir.(Miss.) An electric utility employee brought an action against the utility and local and international unions, alleging that defendants had conspired to deprive him of his civil rights, as...	Apr. 21, 1976	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	 25. Westberry v. Gilman Paper Co. ¶ 507 F.2d 206, 207+ , 5th Cir.(Ga.) Civil rights suit was brought against paper company and an agent, counsel and vice-president thereof by former employee who alleged that, because of his antipollution efforts and...	Jan. 22, 1975	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	 26. Chapman v. Higbee Co. ¶ 319 F.3d 825, 831+ , 6th Cir.(Ohio) CIVIL RIGHTS - Equal Protection. Civil rights statute's equal benefit clause protects against nongovernmental discrimination.	Feb. 11, 2003	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Examined by	 27. Volunteer Medical Clinic, Inc. v. Operation Rescue ¶ 948 F.2d 218, 220+ , 6th Cir.(Tenn.) Abortion clinic brought action against abortion protestors pursuant to § 1985(3), Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, and various state laws. The United States...	Oct. 29, 1991	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	 28. O'Neill v. Grayson County War Memorial Hospital ¶ 472 F.2d 1140, 1144+ , 6th Cir.(Ky.) Physician brought action under civil rights statutes against hospital and others, alleging denial of due process and equal protection in dismissal from hospital staff. The United...	Feb. 02, 1973	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	 29. Azar v. Conley ¶ 456 F.2d 1382, 1385+ , 6th Cir.(Ohio) Civil rights action by white middle class family against police officers, public officials and certain private citizens. The United States District Court for the Northern District...	Feb. 22, 1972	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	 30. Grimes v. Smith ¶ 776 F.2d 1359, 1364+ , 7th Cir.(Ind.) Defeated candidate for judge of city court, with two voters, brought action against various defendants, alleging that by putting up same-name candidate in another race they...	Nov. 12, 1985	Case		4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	 31. Munson v. Friske ¶ 754 F.2d 683, 694+ , 7th Cir.(Wis.) In civil rights suit seeking declaratory, equitable, and monetary relief for alleged violation of plaintiff's First and Fourteenth Amendment rights arising out of the termination...	Jan. 29, 1985	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	<p> 32. Murphy v. Mount Carmel High School ”</p> <p>543 F.2d 1189, 1190+ , 7th Cir.(Ill.)</p> <p>After the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, William J. Lynch and Hubert L. Will, JJ., dismissed two civil rights conspiracy...</p>	Oct. 04, 1976	Case		<p>2</p> <p>4</p> <p>11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p>33. Lesser v. Braniff Airways, Inc. ”</p> <p>518 F.2d 538, 540+ , 7th Cir.(Ill.)</p> <p>Airline passengers filed suit against airline whose employees requested the arrest of one passenger to recover damages under statute providing that if two or more persons conspire...</p>	June 18, 1975	Case		<p>2</p> <p>4</p> <p>11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 34. Dombrowski v. Dowling ”</p> <p>459 F.2d 190, 192+ , 7th Cir.(Ill.)</p> <p>Action wherein plaintiff alleged that acts of defendants were part of an unlawful conspiracy to deny him his civil rights and violated his statutory right to equal enjoyment of...</p>	Apr. 07, 1972	Case		<p>2</p> <p>4</p> <p>11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 35. Lewis v. Pearson Foundation, Inc. ”</p> <p>908 F.2d 318, 320+ , 8th Cir.(Mo.)</p> <p>Plaintiff brought action against operators of alleged “mock abortion clinic” to recover for civil rights conspiracy to prevent abortion by plaintiff. The United States District...</p>	July 10, 1990	Case		<p>2</p> <p>4</p> <p>11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 36. Harrison v. Springdale Water & Sewer Com'n ”</p> <p>780 F.2d 1422, 1429+ , 8th Cir.(Ark.)</p> <p>Landowners brought civil rights suit against city and its water and sewer commission, alleging that city and commission conspired to force landowners to settle a state court...</p>	Jan. 07, 1986	Case		<p>2</p> <p>4</p> <p>11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 37. Means v. Wilson ”</p> <p>522 F.2d 833, 838+ , 8th Cir.(S.D.)</p> <p>Indian supporters of a candidate for tribal council president and opponents of the incumbent president brought action for damages and injunctive and declaratory relief on basis of...</p>	Aug. 05, 1975	Case		<p>2</p> <p>4</p> <p>11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 38. Action v. Gannon ”</p> <p>450 F.2d 1227, 1231+ , 8th Cir.(Mo.)</p> <p>Action on behalf of church members to enjoin interference with church services by human rights demonstrators. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri,...</p>	Nov. 03, 1971	Case		<p>2</p> <p>4</p> <p>11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p>39. United States v. Hougen ”</p> <p>--- F.4th ----+ , 9th Cir.(Cal.)</p> <p>CRIMINAL JUSTICE — Hate Crimes. Statute governing hate crimes is a constitutional exercise of Congress' enforcement authority under Thirteenth Amendment.</p>	Aug. 01, 2023	Case		<p>3</p> <p>4</p> <p>11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>










Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	  40. Bretz v. Kelman ¶ 773 F.2d 1026, 1027+ , 9th Cir.(Mont.) Plaintiff brought action against city, city policeman, and others, alleging a violation of his constitutional rights. The United States District Court for the District of...	Oct. 08, 1985	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Examined by	 41. Life Ins. Co. of North America v. Reichardt ¶ 591 F.2d 499, 502+ , 9th Cir.(Cal.) Female disability insurance policyholder brought an action against the California State Insurance Commissioner and various insurers, on behalf of herself and other women similarly...	Jan. 11, 1979	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Examined by	42. Arnold v. Tiffany ¶ 487 F.2d 216, 217+ , 9th Cir.(Cal.) A group of independent newspaper dealers brought an action against a Los Angeles newspaper publisher and others alleging that defendants conspired to prevent the dealers from...	Oct. 25, 1973	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	 43. Brown v. Reardon ¶ 770 F.2d 896, 905+ , 10th Cir.(Kan.) Former city employees brought civil rights action following their termination, alleging that their dismissal was based upon their refusal to contribute to political fund. The...	Aug. 19, 1985	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	 44. Wilhelm v. Continental Title Co. ¶ 720 F.2d 1173, 1175+ , 10th Cir.(Colo.) Branch office manager of title insurance company brought employment discrimination action against company and its president, as well as additional civil rights charge against state...	Nov. 07, 1983	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	 45. Taylor v. Gilmartin ¶ 686 F.2d 1346, 1350+ , 10th Cir.(Okla.) Adult plaintiff sued religious deprogrammers seeking recovery under Civil Rights Act and at common law. The United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma,...	July 30, 1982	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	 46. Fisher v. Shamburg ¶ 624 F.2d 156, 157+ , 10th Cir.(Kan.) Black man brought complaint alleging that there was a racially motivated conspiracy to interfere with his enjoyment of place of public accommodation and that such constituted a...	June 24, 1980	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Examined by	 47. Lyes v. City of Riviera Beach, Fla. ¶ 166 F.3d 1332, 1337+ , 11th Cir.(Fla.) Employee sued city, city redevelopment agency, and various city officials for sex discrimination. The United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, No....	Feb. 11, 1999	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	<p> 48. Lucero v. Operation Rescue of Birmingham ¶¶</p> <p>954 F.2d 624, 627+ , 11th Cir.(Ala.)</p> <p>Gynecologist who performed abortions brought action on behalf of himself and his patients challenging protests that blocked access to clinic. The United States District Court for...</p>	Feb. 05, 1992	Case		<p>2</p> <p>4</p> <p>11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 49. Hobson v. Wilson ¶¶</p> <p>737 F.2d 1, 14+ , D.C.Cir.</p> <p>In an action in which plaintiffs were awarded damages for deprivation of First Amendment rights by the District of Columbia, members of its police department and members of the...</p>	June 08, 1984	Case		<p>2</p> <p>4</p> <p>11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p>50. Lucero v. Operation Rescue of Birmingham ¶¶</p> <p>772 F.Supp. 1193, 1200+ , N.D.Ala.</p> <p>Operator of abortion clinic and his patients brought action challenging protests that blocked access to clinic. On plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction, the District...</p>	Aug. 15, 1991	Case		<p>2</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 51. Stevens v. Rifkin ¶¶</p> <p>608 F.Supp. 710, 719+ , N.D.Cal.</p> <p>Dissident political group which actively resisted speculation in housing, exploitation of tenants, and forced relocation of nonaffluent people brought action against developers,...</p>	Oct. 17, 1984	Case		<p>2</p> <p>4</p> <p>11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 52. Cooper v. Molko ¶¶</p> <p>512 F.Supp. 563, 568+ , N.D.Cal.</p> <p>Member of religious group sued police officer, his parents, and seven deprogrammers, alleging that his civil rights were violated in the course of his abduction and deprogramming. ...</p>	Feb. 03, 1981	Case		<p>2</p> <p>4</p> <p>11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 53. Baer v. Baer ¶¶</p> <p>450 F.Supp. 481, 489+ , N.D.Cal.</p> <p>Action was brought by plaintiff against his parents and foundation allegedly in business of "legal deprogramming" claiming that parents and foundation conspired to and did abduct...</p>	Apr. 14, 1978	Case		<p>2</p> <p>3</p> <p>11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 54. Reichardt v. Payne ¶¶</p> <p>396 F.Supp. 1010, 1016+ , N.D.Cal.</p> <p>A female disability insurance policyholder brought an action against the California Insurance Commissioner and various disability insurance companies, on behalf of herself and...</p>	Apr. 30, 1975	Case		<p>2</p> <p>6</p> <p>11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 55. Furumoto v. Lyman</p> <p>362 F.Supp. 1267, 1286+ , N.D.Cal.</p> <p>Former students at private university brought action under Civil Rights Act and constitutional amendments for injunction preventing board of trustees, administration and faculty of...</p>	Aug. 21, 1973	Case		<p>2</p> <p>4</p> <p>11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	56. Shahawy v. Lee 1996 WL 33663633, *17+ , M.D.Fla. This cause comes before the Court on various Motions for Summary Judgment filed by the Defendants. Specifically, Defendant Sarasota County Public Hospital Board's Motion for...	Dec. 13, 1996	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	57. Dean v. Olens ¶¶ 2019 WL 8017734, *3+ , N.D.Ga. This case comes before the Court on the motions to dismiss for failure to state a claim of Defendants Earl Ehrhart [14] and Neil Warren [23]. In the fall of 2017, Plaintiff Tommia...	Feb. 07, 2019	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Examined by	58. Westberry v. Gilman Paper Co. ¶¶ 60 F.R.D. 447, 448+ , S.D.Ga. Action under civil rights provision. The District Court, Lawrence, Chief Judge, held that where plaintiff was white and not a member of any identified group or class against which...	July 13, 1973	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	59. Schneider v. Bahler ¶¶ 564 F.Supp. 1449, 1456+ , N.D.Ind. Plaintiff brought civil rights action alleging discrimination as a result of failure of certain parties to rent him farmland. The District Court, Sharp, Chief Judge, held that:...	June 02, 1983	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	60. Hack v. Oxford Health Care, Inc. ¶¶ 562 F.Supp. 295, 298+ , N.D.Ind. Licensed practical nurse at nursing home brought action against nursing home officials alleging that she was terminated from her employment solely because of her testimony before...	Apr. 14, 1983	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	61. Redgrave v. Boston Symphony Orchestra, Inc. ¶¶ 557 F.Supp. 230, 238+ , D.Mass. In suit by celebrity and celebrity's corporation, with which symphony orchestra contracted for series of concerts celebrity was to narrate, alleging that orchestra and unnamed...	Feb. 01, 1983	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	62. Williams v. Northfield Mount Hermon School ¶¶ 504 F.Supp. 1319, 1326+ , D.Mass. Former student brought civil rights action against private boarding school and school personnel. On defendants' motion to dismiss, the District Court, Freedman, District Judge,...	Jan. 16, 1981	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Examined by	63. Korotki v. Goughan ¶¶ 597 F.Supp. 1365, 1372+ , D.Md. Motorist brought civil rights action against town, town officials, policeman, and others, seeking damages arising out of violations of the Non-Resident Violator Compact. After...	Sep. 28, 1984	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	<p> 64. Witten v. A.H. Smith and Co. ¶¶ 567 F.Supp. 1063, 1065+ , D.Md.</p> <p>Putative class action was filed alleging unlawful employment practices in violation of civil rights statute. On defendants' motion to dismiss, the District Court, James R....</p>	July 05, 1983	Case		<p>2 3 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 65. In re Jackson Lockdown/MCO Cases ¶¶ 568 F.Supp. 869, 879+ , E.D.Mich.</p> <p>Inmates of state prison brought action against prison warden, corrections department director, prison guards and guards' union, alleging various civil rights violations with...</p>	June 28, 1983	Case		<p>2 3 4</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p>66. Bergman v. U.S. ¶¶ 551 F.Supp. 407, 413+ , W.D.Mich.</p> <p>Action was brought against named and unnamed Federal Bureau of Investigation agents, seeking damages and declaratory relief pursuant to federal civil rights statutes and Federal...</p>	Nov. 19, 1982	Case		<p>2 4 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p>67. Ferdnace v. Automobile Transport, Inc. ¶¶ 1978 WL 1562, *2+ , E.D.Mich.</p> <p>This matter is before the Court on motions to dismiss brought by defendants Automobile Transport, Inc., International Brotherhood of Teamsters and Teamsters Local 299. The...</p>	Jan. 11, 1978	Case		<p>2 4 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p>68. Kenyatta v. Moore ¶¶ 623 F.Supp. 224, 227+ , S.D.Miss.</p> <p>Civil rights activist brought civil rights action against FBI agents. On motion for judgment on the pleadings, the District Court, Tom S. Lee, J., held that: (1) Section 1985(3)...</p>	Nov. 20, 1985	Case		<p>2 4 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 69. Waller v. Butkovich ¶¶ 584 F.Supp. 909, 935+ , M.D.N.C.</p> <p>Participants in anti-Ku Klux Klan rally brought action charging city, state, and federal government officials and agencies with complicity in attack by members of Ku Klux Klan and...</p>	Apr. 17, 1984	Case		<p>2 3 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> 70. Chambers v. Omaha Girls Club 629 F.Supp. 925, 935+ , D.Neb.</p> <p>Unmarried employee of private social club for girls brought action on various theories following her discharge under club's "negative role model" policy prohibiting continued...</p>	Feb. 11, 1986	Case		<p>2 3 4</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p>71. Higgins v. Saavedra ¶¶ 2017 WL 3052774, *5+ , D.N.M.</p> <p>In the First Amended Complaint for Civil Rights Violations (Doc. 40) (Complaint), Plaintiff Rachel Higgins (Plaintiff) asserts claims as Guardian ad Litem on behalf of B.P., a...</p>	June 15, 2017	Case		<p>1 2 3</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>






















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	72. U.S. v. Beebe ¶ 807 F.Supp.2d 1045, 1053+ , D.N.M. CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Hate Crimes. Statute criminalizing causing bodily injury because of victim's actual or perceived race was valid under Thirteenth Amendment.	Aug. 04, 2011	Case		7 9 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	73. Puglisi v. Underhill Park Taxpayer Ass'n ¶ 947 F.Supp. 673, 689+ , S.D.N.Y. Nonminority landlord brought action against purported neighborhood association and its members, alleging that they violated his civil rights and Fair Housing Act by attempting to...	Nov. 12, 1996	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Examined by	74. Johnson-Kirk v. OB GYN Womenservices, P.C. ¶ 1995 WL 307589, *3+ , W.D.N.Y. Presently before this Court is the motion to dismiss, made pursuant to FRCvP 12(b)(1) and FRCvP 12(b)(6), of defendants OB GYN Womenservices, P.C., Buffalo GYN Womenservices,...	May 15, 1995	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	75. Spencer v. Casavilla ¶ 839 F.Supp. 1014, 1016+ , S.D.N.Y. Parents of black man who was fatally beaten by whites brought civil rights action against assailants. The District Court, Charles S. Haight, Jr., J., 717 F.Supp. 1057, dismissed...	Dec. 07, 1993	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	76. Upper Hudson Planned Parenthood, Inc. v. Doe ¶ 836 F.Supp. 939, 944+ , N.D.N.Y. Provider of abortion services sought preliminary injunctive relief against abortion protesters, and protesters moved to dismiss one cause of action and for attorney fees, costs and...	Oct. 18, 1993	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	77. Conrad v. Perales ¶ 818 F.Supp. 559, 563+ , W.D.N.Y. Medicare patients in skilled nursing facilities brought class action against New York Department of Social Services and three nursing home trade associations to recover money...	Apr. 06, 1993	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	78. Emanuel v. Barry ¶ 724 F.Supp. 1096, 1098+ , E.D.N.Y. Jewish family brought suit under § 1985(3) alleging that defendants conspired to and did deny them equal protection of laws and equal privileges and immunities in that, motivated...	Nov. 14, 1989	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	79. Pavlo v. Stiefel Laboratories, Inc. ¶ 1979 WL 105, *8+ , S.D.N.Y. The plaintiffs Michael Pavlo, Thomas Conway and Paul Marsh brought this action against their former employer, Stiefel Laboratories, Inc. and its employees Werner Stiefel...	Nov. 27, 1979	Case		2 11 S.Ct.















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	 80. Peck v. U.S. ¶ 470 F.Supp. 1003, 1009+ , S.D.N.Y. Civil action was brought against FBI agents and the United States to recover for alleged violations of plaintiff's constitutional rights based on agents' alleged failure to attempt...	Apr. 25, 1979	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	 81. Selzer v. Berkowitz 459 F.Supp. 347, 349+ , E.D.N.Y. Assistant professor brought civil rights action against college alleging a conspiracy to deny him equal protection when he was denied tenure because he had cooperated with...	Oct. 27, 1978	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	82. Murphy v. Local Union No. 18, 18A, 18B, 18C, and 18RA, Intern. Union of Operating Engineers, ¶ 1978 WL 13999, *72+ , N.D. Ohio This lawsuit involves a confrontation between a union member and his union and its officers. A lengthy composition follows because it became necessary to relate the detailed...	July 18, 1978	Case		2 11 14 S.Ct.
Examined by	83. Silkwood v. Kerr-McGee Corp. ¶ 460 F.Supp. 399, 403+ , W.D.Okla. Administrator of estate of union organizer and others brought action against employer and others claiming employer had conspired to deprive union organizer and others of their...	Sep. 22, 1978	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	 84. Dauven v. U.S. Bancorp. ¶ 2014 WL 2949310, *4+ , D.Or. Theodore, Barbara, and Christina Dauven (collectively "Dauvens"), appearing pro se, filed a 55–page Amended Complaint naming eighteen defendants and asserting thirteen claims...	Mar. 19, 2014	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Examined by	85. McCleester v. Mackel ¶ 2008 WL 821531, *26+ , W.D.Pa. This matter comes before the Court on the Defendants' Partial Motion to Dismiss, which has been filed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). Document No. 19. For the...	Mar. 27, 2008	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	 86. Desi'z Pizza, Inc. v. City of Wilkes-Barre ¶ 2006 WL 2460881, *14+ , M.D.Pa. Before this Court is the Summary Judgment Motion of the Defendants pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56. (Doc. 68). Defendants' Motion has been briefed by the parties...	Aug. 23, 2006	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	 87. Startzell v. City of Philadelphia ¶ 2006 WL 1479809, *3+ , E.D.Pa. This civil rights action involves competing constitutional rights. Plaintiffs are a group of Christian evangelists who allege that they have been prevented from exercising their...	May 26, 2006	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.











Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	88. Kasarda v. Kattner ¶ 2005 WL 1322765, *5+ , M.D.Pa. On September 17, 2004, Plaintiff, Anthony F. Kasarda, a resident of Ebervale, Pennsylvania, filed a Complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(3) and raises various state law...	June 02, 2005	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Examined by	89. Melnick v. Scott Township ¶ 2005 WL 8168063, *3+ , M.D.Pa. On December 14, 2004, Plaintiff, John Melnick, a resident of Clarks Summit, Pennsylvania, filed a Complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and § 1985. (Doc. 1). Plaintiff is...	May 12, 2005	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Examined by	90. Abdulhay v. Bethlehem Medical Arts, L.P. ¶ 2004 WL 620127, *6+ , E.D.Pa. This matter is before the court on the Motion of Bethlehem Medical Arts, L.P., Bethlehem Medical Arts, LLC, and Kevin T. Fogarty, M.D. to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint, which...	Mar. 29, 2004	Case		3 4 S.Ct.
Examined by	91. Carchman v. Korman Corp. ¶ 456 F.Supp. 730, 731+ , E.D.Pa. Action was brought on behalf of tenants association alleging conspiracy on part of landlord and corporation which managed apartment complex to deprive plaintiffs of equal...	July 21, 1978	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	92. Jackson v. Associated Hospital Service of Philadelphia ¶ 414 F.Supp. 315, 323+ , E.D.Pa. Plaintiffs brought action under federal civil rights statutes on claim of invalidity of maternity benefit plans of defendant private nonprofit health insurers. The District Court,...	May 11, 1976	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Examined by	93. Pendrell v. Chatham College ¶ 370 F.Supp. 494, 500+ , W.D.Pa. Action by a former associate professor at a college under the Civil Rights Act for relief based on alleged discrimination in regard to her discharge. Defendants moved to dismiss. ...	Jan. 23, 1974	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Examined by	94. Amoco Oil Co. v. Local 99, Intern. Broth. of Elec. Workers, AFL-CIO ¶ 536 F.Supp. 1203, 1212+ , D.R.I. Oil company sued several labor unions and union officers for compensatory and punitive damages arising out of picketing of plaintiff's premises for alleged purpose of forcing it to...	Mar. 29, 1982	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	95. Phillips v. Singletary ¶ 350 F.Supp. 297, 301+ , D.S.C. On motions to dismiss complaint, seeking money damages by charging a general conspiracy among the defendants to deprive plaintiff, presently serving a 25-year sentence on rape...	Oct. 13, 1972	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	96. Red Elk v. Vig ¶ 571 F.Supp. 422, 424+ , D.S.D. On court's order that plaintiffs establish that court had subject-matter jurisdiction over civil rights action, the District Court, Bogue, Chief Judge, held that: (1) claim was...	Sep. 20, 1983	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	97. Pruitt v. City of Campbell, Texas 2001 WL 1442117, *2+ , N.D.Tex. On August 7, 2001, Defendants, the City of Campbell (the "City"), Mayor Pro Tem Donna Nelson ("Nelson"), Geri Barnes ("Barnes"), Pansy Young ("Young"), Kenneth Lindsey...	Nov. 13, 2001	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Examined by	98. Scott v. Moore ¶ 461 F.Supp. 224, 227+ , E.D.Tex. Action was brought to recover for assault and beating committed upon nonunion workers, and for loss of tools and equipment. The District Court, Joe J. Fisher, Chief Judge, held...	Nov. 16, 1978	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	99. Britt v. Suckle ¶ 453 F.Supp. 987, 991+ , E.D.Tex. Employee brought civil rights action under section of the Ku Klux Klan statute prohibiting conspiracy to obstruct the due course of justice. The District Court, Justice, J., held...	May 11, 1978	Case		3 4 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	100. Sines v. Kessler ¶ 324 F.Supp.3d 765, 780+ , W.D.Va. CIVIL RIGHTS — Conspiracy. Residents plausibly alleged that white nationalist group was part of conspiracy to engage in racially motivated violence at white supremacist rallies.	July 09, 2018	Case		7 10 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	101. Dotson v. Mountain Mission School, Inc. ¶ 590 F.Supp. 583, 586+ , W.D.Va. Action was brought under the Ku Klux Klan Act on behalf of a group of orphans. On remand after previous appeal, 692 F.2d 752, the District Court, Glen M. Williams, J., held that...	June 21, 1984	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	102. Bellamy v. Mason's Stores, Inc. ¶ 368 F.Supp. 1025, 1026+ , E.D.Va. Former employee who had been discharged from his employment allegedly because he was a member of an organization which was racially exclusive in composition and ideology and...	Dec. 07, 1973	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Examined by	103. Pendleton v. City of Spokane 2019 WL 13249687, *6+ , E.D.Wash. BEFORE THE COURT are Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, ECF No. 25, and a second motion for appointment of counsel, ECF No. 23. Plaintiff, a pretrial detainee at the Spokane...	Mar. 12, 2019	Case		—

















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Declined to Extend by NEGATIVE	104. Deja Vu of Nashville, Inc. v. Metropolitan Government of Nashville 360 F.Supp.3d 714, 725+ , M.D.Tenn. GOVERNMENT — Abstention. Colorado River abstention was not warranted in valet company's action arising out of denial of its permit to operate at adult entertainment club.	Feb. 04, 2019	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Declined to Extend by NEGATIVE	105. Davis v. Glanton 107 F.3d 1044, 1049+ , 3rd Cir.(Pa.) After trust whose trustees were predominantly African-Americans brought federal civil rights action against township and township board of commissioners, township and board filed...	Mar. 03, 1997	Case		4 11 S.Ct.
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	106. Harrison v. Yalobusha County ¶ 2010 WL 3937964, *9+ , N.D.Miss. Before the Court is Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [38]. After reviewing the motions, responses, rules, and authorities, the Court finds as follows: In 1998, Plaintiff...	Oct. 05, 2010	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	107. Cribb v. Pelham ¶ 552 F.Supp. 1217, 1223+ , D.S.C. Civil rights action was brought by former state court defendant. The District Court, Hamilton, J., held that: (1) South Carolina Highway Patrol enjoyed state's Eleventh...	Nov. 24, 1982	Case		2 4 S.Ct.
Limitation of Holding Recognized by NEGATIVE	108. Kessler v. Monsour ¶ 865 F.Supp. 234, 238+ , M.D.Pa. Teacher brought action against school district, district officials, and state education association under federal civil rights statutes as well as under state law for intentional...	Sep. 06, 1994	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	109. Palmer v. Thompson 91 S.Ct. 1940, 1953+ , U.S.Miss. Class action by Negro citizens and residents of city to compel city to reopen swimming pools and operate them on a desegregated basis. The United States District Court for the...	June 14, 1971	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	110. United States v. Diggins ¶ 36 F.4th 302, 307+ , 1st Cir.(Me.) CRIMINAL JUSTICE — Civil Rights. Federal hate-crimes law was with powers of Congress under Thirteenth Amendment.	June 08, 2022	Case		7 8 10 S.Ct.
Discussed by	111. Andrade v. Jamestown Housing Authority ¶ 82 F.3d 1179, 1192+ , 1st Cir.(R.I.) Employee brought action against city housing authority which was host agency for senior aide program, which sought to employ low income seniors in nonprofit businesses and...	May 01, 1996	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 112. Romero-Barcelo v. Hernandez-Agosto  75 F.3d 23, 34+ , 1st Cir.(Puerto Rico) Former governor of Puerto Rico brought civil rights action against political opponents involved in legislative hearings into possible murders of arrestees by police, claiming that...	Jan. 31, 1996	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 113. Libertad v. Welch  53 F.3d 428, 447+ , 1st Cir.(Puerto Rico) Plaintiffs abortion clinics, their directors or administrators, two women who had attempted to enter blockaded abortion clinic to obtain services, and association of feminist and...	Apr. 28, 1995	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	114. Hahn v. Sargent  523 F.2d 461, 468+ , 1st Cir.(Mass.) Former state political party chairman brought suit under the Civil Rights Act against former Governor, state Attorney General, Assistant Attorneys General, Secretary of Consumer...	Sep. 18, 1975	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	115. Bricker v. Crane  468 F.2d 1228, 1232+ , 1st Cir.(N.H.) Action brought pursuant to Civil Rights Act of 1871. The United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire, Hugh H. Bownes, J., dismissed action, and plaintiff...	Nov. 07, 1972	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	  116. Iqbal v. Hasty 490 F.3d 143, 176+ , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.) CIVIL RIGHTS - Prisons. Exigent circumstances of post-9/11 context did not diminish Muslim detainee's right not to be mistreated.	June 14, 2007	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 117. Gagliardi v. Village of Pawling  18 F.3d 188, 194+ , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.) Landowners brought action against village, zoning board of appeals, its members, planning board, board of trustees, and building inspector to challenge zoning decisions affecting...	Mar. 09, 1994	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 118. Town of West Hartford v. Operation Rescue  991 F.2d 1039, 1044+ , 2nd Cir.(Conn.) Town brought action against antiabortion protesters to obtain preliminary injunction against future protests and abortion clinic intervened. The United States District Court for...	Apr. 21, 1993	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 119. Spencer v. Casavilla  903 F.2d 171, 174+ , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.) Parents of black man who was fatally beaten by whites brought civil rights action against assailants. The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York,...	May 14, 1990	Case		2 11 S.Ct.

















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 120. New York State Nat. Organization for Women v. Terry ” 886 F.2d 1339, 1358+ , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.) Health care clinics and abortion providers, numerous organizations, and city, as intervener, brought action against antiabortion organization and abortion protesters, seeking to...	Sep. 20, 1989	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 121. People by Abrams v. 11 Cornwell Co. ” 695 F.2d 34, 42+ , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.) The State of New York sued partnership of property owners charging civil rights violations in connection with partnerships' acquisition of and failure to sell to the state a...	Nov. 30, 1982	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	122. Lasche v. New Jersey ” 2022 WL 604025, *7+ , 3rd Cir.(N.J.) Two foster parents with religious views against same-sex marriage and homosexual conduct had their foster child removed and their foster license suspended. The foster parents claim...	Mar. 01, 2022	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	123. Davis v. Samuels ” 962 F.3d 105, 114+ , 3rd Cir.(Pa.) CIVIL RIGHTS — Prisons. Liability under Bivens did not extend to prison officials in action alleging unlawful deprivation of non-citizen inmate's right to marry.	June 11, 2020	Case		1 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	124. Friends and Residents of St. Thomas Township, Inc. v. St. Thomas Development, Inc. ” 176 Fed.Appx. 219, 228+ , 3rd Cir.(Pa.) CIVIL RIGHTS - Parties. Citizens' group lacked standing to bring civil rights action against developer.	Apr. 10, 2006	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 125. Lake v. Arnold ” 112 F.3d 682, 685+ , 3rd Cir.(Pa.) Mentally retarded woman and her husband brought state court action against woman's parents, hospital, and physicians, alleging, in addition to state-law claims, deprivation of...	May 02, 1997	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	  126. Rogin v. Bensalem Tp. ” 616 F.2d 680, 696+ , 3rd Cir.(Pa.) Homeowners who purchased lots in developer's condominium project brought class action against developer, township, and various township zoning officers requesting issuance of...	Feb. 21, 1980	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 127. Bethel v. Jendoco Const. Corp. 570 F.2d 1168, 1173+ , 3rd Cir.(Pa.) Black carpenter instituted civil rights action against various construction companies and certain unions representing construction workers. The United States District Court for...	Jan. 16, 1978	Case		3 4 S.Ct.













Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 128. Phillips v. Trello 502 F.2d 1000, 1004+ , 3rd Cir.(Pa.) Civil rights action was brought against two residents, a councilman of borough, and four local police officers. The United States District Court for the Western District of...	July 26, 1974	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 129. Richardson v. Miller ¶ 446 F.2d 1247, 1249+ , 3rd Cir.(Pa.) Civil rights action. The United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, Louis Rosenberg, J., dismissed the action, and plaintiff appealed. The Court of...	July 14, 1971	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	130. Terice v. Summons ¶ 755 F.2d 1081, 1085+ , 4th Cir.(S.C.) Personal representative of estate of enlisted man, who died at sea aboard naval vessel while on active duty as result of sentence involving limited diet and rigorous and exhausting...	Feb. 22, 1985	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 131. Rodgers v. Tolson ¶ 582 F.2d 315, 317+ , 4th Cir.(Md.) A civil rights action was dismissed by order of the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore, Edward S. Northrop, Chief Judge, and plaintiffs...	Aug. 17, 1978	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 132. Mississippi Women's Medical Clinic v. McMillan ¶ 866 F.2d 788, 793+ , 5th Cir.(Miss.) Abortion clinic sought preliminary injunction to prohibit or limit abortion protestors from picketing outside clinic, claiming that protestors' advocacy abridged privacy rights of...	Feb. 28, 1989	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	  133. Daigle v. Gulf State Utilities Co., Local Union Number 2286 ¶ 794 F.2d 974, 978+ , 5th Cir.(Tex.) Discharged employee sued former employer, labor union and other persons under civil rights statute and for alleged labor law violations. The United States District Court for the...	July 18, 1986	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 134. Earnest v. Lowentritt ¶ 690 F.2d 1198, 1202+ , 5th Cir.(La.) Petitioners appealed from judgment of the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana, Nauman S. Scott, Chief Judge, dismissing their civil right claims...	Nov. 08, 1982	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	135. Kirkpatrick v. Seligman & Latz, Inc. ¶ 636 F.2d 1047, 1049+ , 5th Cir.(Fla.) A prospective transsexual whose employment had been terminated when he or she refused to dress in accordance with biological gender while at work brought civil rights action. The...	Feb. 12, 1981	Case		2 3 S.Ct.














Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	136. Hayes v. U.S. ¶ 464 F.2d 1252, 1257+ , 5th Cir.(Tex.) Proceeding on motion to vacate sentences imposed for offenses of conspiring to injure Negro students in free exercise of right to attend school without regard to race and of...	June 30, 1972	Case		4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	137. Warner v. Greenebaum, Doll & McDonald ¶ 104 Fed.Appx. 493, 497+ , 6th Cir.(Ky.) CIVIL RIGHTS - Free Speech. Environmentalists failed to establish membership in cognizable class, as was required for § 1985 conspiracy action.	June 23, 2004	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	138. Chapman v. Higbee Co. ¶ 256 F.3d 416, 424+ , 6th Cir.(Ohio) CIVIL RIGHTS - State Action. Stop of African-American customer by department store guard did not constitute "state action."	July 05, 2001	Case		11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	139. Haverstick Enterprises, Inc. v. Financial Federal Credit, Inc. ¶ 32 F.3d 989, 993+ , 6th Cir.(Mich.) Owner of truck purchased through retail installment contract sued creditor, creditor's employees, city, city police department, and city police officer alleging that creditor's...	Aug. 19, 1994	Case		4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	140. Gardner v. Bisceglia ¶ 956 F.2d 1164, 1164+ , 6th Cir.(Tenn.) E.D.Tenn. AFFIRMED.	Mar. 11, 1992	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	141. Browder v. Tipton ¶ 630 F.2d 1149, 1150+ , 6th Cir.(Tenn.) Plaintiffs who were caused to be arrested by defendants' false accusations that they had committed serious felonies brought civil rights suit. The United States District Court for...	Sep. 30, 1980	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	142. Taylor v. Brighton Corp. ¶ 616 F.2d 256, 264+ , 6th Cir.(Ohio) Former employees who alleged they were discharged in retaliation for reporting safety violations brought action against employer. The United States District Court for the Southern...	Feb. 14, 1980	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	143. Ohio Inns, Inc. v. Nye ¶ 542 F.2d 673, 678+ , 6th Cir.(Ohio) Action was instituted to recover under conspiratorial and substantive civil rights statutes. The United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Joseph P....	Oct. 06, 1976	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	144. Cameron v. Brock ¶ 473 F.2d 608, 610+ , 6th Cir.(Tenn.) Supporter of incumbent sheriff's election opponent was arrested and incarcerated while distributing campaign pamphlets and he brought suit, under the Civil Rights Act, against the...	Feb. 13, 1973	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 145. Triad Associates, Inc. v. Chicago Housing Authority ¶ 892 F.2d 583, 592+ , 7th Cir.(Ill.) Following dismissal of white public contractors' various civil rights claims against public housing authority and city officials, officials moved for Rule 11 sanctions and/or...	Dec. 26, 1989	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 146. Stevens v. Tillman ¶ 855 F.2d 394, 403+ , 7th Cir.(Ill.) Elementary school principal brought action against president of parent teacher association and her supporters, asserting federal claim for conspiracy to violate principal's civil...	Aug. 18, 1988	Case		3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 147. Quinones v. Szorc 771 F.2d 289, 291+ , 7th Cir.(Ill.) Plaintiff appealed from dismissal by the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, Nicholas J. Bua, J., of Section 1985(3) action. The...	Aug. 22, 1985	Case		3 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 148. D'Amato v. Wisconsin Gas Co. ¶ 760 F.2d 1474, 1486+ , 7th Cir.(Wis.) Worker, who suffered from acrophobia and whose employment with government contractor was terminated, commenced an action against contractor, union, and two federal government...	Apr. 25, 1985	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 149. Bell v. City of Milwaukee 746 F.2d 1205, 1233+ , 7th Cir.(Wis.) Civil rights action was brought by black victim's siblings and on behalf of estate of victim and estate of victim's father to recover damages in connection with fatal shooting of...	Sep. 04, 1984	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 150. Lenard v. Argento ¶ 699 F.2d 874, 883+ , 7th Cir.(Ill.) Civil rights action was brought against police officers and village arising out of injuries plaintiff sustained following his arrest at the scene of an automobile accident in which...	Feb. 01, 1983	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 151. Stern v. U.S. Gypsum, Inc. 547 F.2d 1329, 1335+ , 7th Cir.(Ill.) Internal revenue agent, who had been in charge of corporate audit, brought action against corporation and some of its officers who had filed complaints concerning plaintiff's...	Jan. 12, 1977	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 152. Cohen v. Illinois Institute of Technology ¶ 524 F.2d 818, 828+ , 7th Cir.(Ill.) Former assistant professor at Illinois Institute of Technology brought Civil Rights Act suit against university and others to recover for alleged sex-based discrimination against...	Oct. 28, 1975	Case		2 8 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	153. Federer v. Gephardt ¶ 363 F.3d 754, 758+ , 8th Cir.(Mo.) CIVIL RIGHTS - State Action. Alleged target of campaign interference failed to show state action required for conspiracy claim.	Apr. 13, 2004	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	154. Larson by Larson v. Miller ¶ 55 F.3d 1343, 1351+ , 8th Cir.(Neb.) Civil Rights. Federal civil rights conspiracy statute applied to conspiracy by school district employees based on animus against handicapped females.	May 31, 1995	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	155. Gill v. Farm Bureau Life Ins. Co. of Missouri 906 F.2d 1265, 1269+ , 8th Cir.(Mo.) Former insurance agent brought action against insurers to recover for civil rights conspiracy by terminating agency relationship for sole reason that agent supported and was...	July 02, 1990	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	156. Shortbull v. Looking Elk ¶ 677 F.2d 645, 648+ , 8th Cir.(S.D.) Nonenrolled member of the Oglala Sioux Tribe brought action under statute affording civil remedy for conspiracy to deprive person or class of persons of equal protection of laws or...	May 03, 1982	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	157. McNally v. Pulitzer Pub. Co. ¶ 532 F.2d 69, 74+ , 8th Cir.(Mo.) Inmate of federal penitentiary brought civil rights action against various prison officials, newspaper publisher and reporter seeking damages and injunctive relief as well as...	Mar. 05, 1976	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	158. National Abortions Federation v. Operation Rescue ¶ 8 F.3d 680, 682+ , 9th Cir.(Cal.) Women asserting constitutional right to abortion brought action under civil rights conspiracy statute against persons involved in rescue and blockade activities at abortion...	Oct. 29, 1993	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	159. Sever v. Alaska Pulp Corp. ¶ 978 F.2d 1529, 1536+ , 9th Cir.(Alaska) Former timber company employee brought suit against company, its officers and employees for allegedly violating the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO),...	Oct. 26, 1992	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	160. Usher v. City of Los Angeles ¶ 828 F.2d 556, 561+ , 9th Cir.(Cal.) Arrestee brought action against city and city police officers for violation of his civil rights during his arrest. The United States District Court for the Central District of...	Sep. 21, 1987	Case		2 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	  161. Gibson v. U.S. ¶ 781 F.2d 1334, 1341+ , 9th Cir.(Cal.) Plaintiffs brought suit seeking compensatory and punitive damages for alleged conspiracy to violate their civil rights. The United States District Court for the Central District...	Jan. 30, 1986	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 162. Trerice v. Pedersen 769 F.2d 1398, 1402+ , 9th Cir.(Cal.) Father and personal representative for estate of enlisted member of navy who died while serving at sea brought action against the son's superior officer for alleged common-law...	Aug. 28, 1985	Case		2 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 163. Scott v. Rosenberg ¶ 702 F.2d 1263, 1270+ , 9th Cir.(Cal.) Pastor of church filed suit seeking injunctive relief and actual and punitive damages against officers and employees of the Federal Communications Commission for an alleged...	Jan. 21, 1983	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	164. Canlis v. San Joaquin Sheriff's Posse Comitatus ¶ 641 F.2d 711, 718+ , 9th Cir.(Cal.) Members of county sheriff's department brought civil rights suit against an unincorporated association of private citizens, who purported to act as a sheriff's posse, and that...	Apr. 06, 1981	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 165. Briley v. State of Cal. ¶ 564 F.2d 849, 859+ , 9th Cir.(Cal.) Civil Rights Act suit was brought against California trial judge, prosecuting attorneys, privately retained criminal counsel and others to recover for alleged violation of...	Oct. 19, 1977	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 166. Lopez v. Arrowhead Ranches ¶ 523 F.2d 924, 926+ , 9th Cir.(Ariz.) Citizen and legally admitted alien farm workers brought action under the Immigration and Nationality Act and the civil rights laws asserting that employers hired illegal aliens...	Sep. 26, 1975	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 167. Allen v. Briggs ¶ 331 Fed.Appx. 603, 605+ , 10th Cir.(Okla.) LITIGATION - Dismissal. Petitioner's pro se, in forma pauperis civil rights action was properly dismissed as frivolous.	June 19, 2009	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	168. Wolfson v. Bruno ¶ 265 Fed.Appx. 697, 698+ , 10th Cir.(Utah) CIVIL RIGHTS - Prisons. Prisoner failed to state a claim for civil rights conspiracy.	Feb. 14, 2008	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	169. Tilton v. Richardson ¶ 6 F.3d 683, 684+ , 10th Cir.(Okla.) Plaintiff appealed from order of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, James O. Ellison, Chief Judge, which dismissed civil rights claim for lack...	Sep. 14, 1993	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 170. Dixon v. City of Lawton, Okl. ¶¶ 898 F.2d 1443, 1447+ , 10th Cir.(Okla.) Administratrix brought civil rights action against police officers and city arising out of the shooting death of her son. Following a jury trial, the United States District Court...	Mar. 08, 1990	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 171. Santistevan v. Loveridge 732 F.2d 116, 118+ , 10th Cir.(Colo.) Federal employees brought civil rights action against their supervisors, alleging conspiracy to interfere with performance of employees' duties as federal officers. The United...	Apr. 12, 1984	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 172. Silkwood v. Kerr-McGee Corp. ¶¶ 637 F.2d 743, 747+ , 10th Cir.(Okla.) Estate and heirs of corporate employee made claims against officials and agents of corporation and agents of Federal Bureau of Investigation alleging that defendants engaged in...	Dec. 03, 1980	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	173. Smith v. Yellow Freight System, Inc. ¶¶ 536 F.2d 1320, 1322+ , 10th Cir.(Kan.) Former truck driver brought action against his former employer and others based on alleged conspiracy to deprive the truck driver of his civil rights. The District Court for the...	June 21, 1976	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	174. Overcash v. Shelnett ¶¶ 753 Fed.Appx. 741, 746+ , 11th Cir.(Fla.) JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION — Judges. Florida judges were judicially immune from divorcee's claims alleging violations of administrative judicial-assignment rules.	Oct. 12, 2018	Case		1 2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 175. Chavis v. Clayton County School Dist. ¶¶ 300 F.3d 1288, 1292+ , 11th Cir.(Ga.) EDUCATION - Civil Rights. School officials could be held liable under § 1985(2) for race-based retaliation against witness.	Aug. 06, 2002	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 176. Park v. City of Atlanta ¶¶ 120 F.3d 1157, 1160+ , 11th Cir.(Ga.) Korean–American store owners filed civil rights action against city, mayor, and chief of police, claiming that owners' constitutional rights were violated by defendants' failure to...	Aug. 28, 1997	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 177. Spagnola v. Mathis ¶¶ 809 F.2d 16, 29+ , D.C.Cir. Federal employee who allegedly suffered harassment and denial of promotion sought monetary and injunctive relief from two of his superiors. The United States District Court for...	Dec. 05, 1986	Case		2 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 178. McCord v. Bailey ¶ 636 F.2d 606, 613+ , D.C.Cir. Plaintiff, a convicted Watergate burglar, brought suit against his defense attorneys for malpractice, conspiracy to represent him incompetently, and conspiracy to deprive him of...	Sep. 09, 1980	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	179. McNeely v. Crosswhite ¶ 2014 WL 6903897, *4+ , N.D.Ala. On November 19, 2014, the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation was entered and the parties were allowed therein fourteen (14) days in which to file objections to the...	Dec. 08, 2014	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 180. Shakur El-Bey v. Menefee ¶ 2014 WL 6633544, *15+ , M.D.Ala. Before the court is the amended motion for summary judgment (Doc. 47) filed by Defendant Tuskegee University. Having considered the motion, the court concludes that it is due to be...	Oct. 27, 2014	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	181. Lyon v. Ashurst 2008 WL 3821832, *5+ , M.D.Ala. This cause is before the court on a Motion to Dismiss (Doc. # 9) filed by Defendants William Robert Ashurst ("Ashurst"), John Thomas Hall ("Hall"), Randall A. Estes ("Estes"), and...	Aug. 13, 2008	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 182. Gray v. City of Eufaula ¶ 31 F.Supp.2d 957, 966+ , M.D.Ala. Motorist and passenger who were off-duty police officers, and who had been pursued and detained after passenger yelled at on-duty officer with whom he was familiar, sued on-duty...	Oct. 20, 1998	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	183. Bell v. Mike Ford Realty Co. 857 F.Supp. 1550, 1558+ , S.D.Ala. Black potential purchaser of real estate brought civil-rights and Fair Housing Act claims against real-estate agency and vendor's relative who listed property for sale. Agency...	June 06, 1994	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 184. Candy H. v. Redemption Ranch, Inc. ¶ 563 F.Supp. 505, 515+ , M.D.Ala. A civil rights conspiracy action was filed alleging an agreement among the defendants and their agents to bring girls to a home for girls, to impose their home's rules on the girls...	May 02, 1983	Case		2 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 185. Brett v. Sohio Const. Co. ¶ 518 F.Supp. 698, 704+ , D.Alaska Former union job site steward filed action alleging that she had been discharged from her position in retaliation for her support of the union secretary business agent's opponent...	July 24, 1981	Case		3 4 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	186. Mills v. Southwest Service Adm'rs, Inc. 2011 WL 1936587, *6+ , D.Ariz. Pending before the Court are the following motions: (1) Plaintiff James A. Mills' Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 32); and (2) Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 42) filed by...	May 20, 2011	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	187. Rankin v. Howard 457 F.Supp. 70, 74+ , D.Ariz. Civil rights action was brought for alleged interference with plaintiff's religious beliefs and practices, and for common-law torts. On motion of certain defendants for summary...	July 07, 1978	Case		3 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	188. Jones v. U.S. ¶¶ 401 F.Supp. 168, 172+ , E.D.Ark. Action was brought against a United States attorney and his assistants and other defendants for damages for alleged jury tampering at plaintiff's aborted criminal trial. On motion...	Aug. 27, 1975	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	189. English v. Garcetti ¶¶ 2022 WL 17224688, *4+ , C.D.Cal. On June 27, 2022, Richard English ("Plaintiff"), a California resident proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights action raising numerous claims against a long list of Defendants....	Sep. 26, 2022	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	190. English v. Garcetti ¶¶ 2022 WL 17224700, *3+ , C.D.Cal. On June 27, 2022, Richard English ("Plaintiff"), a California resident proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights action raising numerous claims against a long list of Defendants....	July 19, 2022	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	191. Howard v. City of Los Angeles 2017 WL 11628117, *3+ , C.D.Cal. On May 14, 2014, California resident Adon Howard ("Plaintiff") filed suit against the City of Los Angeles (the "City") and several members of the Los Angeles Police Department...	Mar. 23, 2017	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	192. Ismail v. Ford ¶¶ 2014 WL 1681993, *5+ , C.D.Cal. This is a non-prisoner civil-rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Only three defendants remain in the case: Michael Ford and Shelby Ford (together "the Fords") and Julie Fulkerson...	Apr. 29, 2014	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	193. Woodall v. Schwarzenegger ¶¶ 2011 WL 864372, *4+ , S.D.Cal. On August 31, 2010, Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed a civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, along with a Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis ("IFP). At the time Plaintiff...	Mar. 09, 2011	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	194. Merchant v. Lopez ¶ 2009 WL 5199410, *4+ , S.D.Cal. Curtis Harvey Merchant ("Plaintiff"), a prisoner currently incarcerated at Calipatria State Prison located in Calipatria, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed a...	Dec. 23, 2009	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	195. Saunders v. Fairman ¶ 2008 WL 2283786, *6+ , E.D.Cal. Plaintiff, Jason Saunders, ("plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed this action on July 5,...	May 30, 2008	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	196. Eklund v. County of Orange ¶ 2008 WL 11338615, *6+ , C.D.Cal. Before the Court is Defendants County of Orange (the "County"), Orange County Sheriff's Department ("OCSD"), Orange County Deputy Sheriff Moldenhauer ("Moldenhauer"), Orange County...	May 19, 2008	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	197. Saunders v. Fairman ¶ 2008 WL 928329, *8+ , E.D.Cal. Plaintiff, Jason Saunders, ("plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed this action on July 5,...	Apr. 04, 2008	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	198. Hightower v. Schwarzenegger ¶ 2008 WL 752555, *16+ , E.D.Cal. Plaintiff Thomas A. Hightower ("plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, and 1986....	Mar. 19, 2008	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	199. Afridi v. U.S. ¶ 2007 WL 627958, *5+ , S.D.Cal. Plaintiff, a federal inmate currently incarcerated at Federal Corrections Institution in Adelanto, California and proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights Complaint pursuant to...	Feb. 16, 2007	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	200. Hoffman v. Goldin ¶ 2006 WL 8443524, *8+ , C.D.Cal. On April 13, 2006, Plaintiff Peter Hoffman filed his Complaint for Deprivation of Constitutional Rights, Privileges and Immunities ("Complaint") (Docket #1) against Defendants...	July 18, 2006	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	201. Lachapelle v. City of El Cajon ¶ 1996 WL 914125, *6+ , S.D.Cal. This case comes before the court on Defendants' motion for summary judgment. The parties appeared before the Honorable Howard B. Turrentine on October 15, 1996 at 10:30 a.m....	Nov. 26, 1996	Case		2 6 S.Ct.
















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	202. Darden v. Alameda County Network of Mental Health Clients 1995 WL 616633, *5+ , N.D.Cal. Plaintiff Earnest Darden brought this action against Alameda County Network of Mental Health Clients ("ACNMHC") and various members of the ACNMHC Board of Directors alleging...	Oct. 04, 1995	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	203. Goehring v. Wright 858 F.Supp. 989, 998+ , N.D.Cal. Plaintiff, who had been charged and acquitted for hate crimes based on neighbor's complaints, sued neighbors and county in state court alleging violation of federal civil rights...	July 20, 1994	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	204. Puzv v. U.S. Dept. of Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs ¶ 1989 WL 201547, *8+ , N.D.Cal. For the last several years, this case has been the battleground of an acrimonious struggle over economic and political rights on what was known as the Hoopa Valley Reservation. ...	Nov. 21, 1989	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	205. National Abortion Federation v. Operation Rescue ¶ 721 F.Supp. 1168, 1170+ , C.D.Cal. Women asserting constitutional right to chose abortion sued persons involved in rescue and blockade activities at abortion facilities, under civil rights conspiracy statute. ...	Sep. 14, 1989	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	206. Washington v. Duty Free Shoppers ¶ 696 F.Supp. 1323, 1327+ , N.D.Cal. Black persons who were denied right to shop in store which was operated by limited partnership brought civil rights action against limited partnership, its customer relations...	June 13, 1988	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	207. Armster v. City of Riverside ¶ 611 F.Supp. 103, 105+ , C.D.Cal. Black male brought civil rights action against police officers alleging that police officers stood by and watched while he was being beaten up by private citizens, and that...	May 01, 1985	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	208. Reichardt v. Life Ins. Co. of North America ¶ 485 F.Supp. 56, 59+ , N.D.Cal. Female disability insurance policyholder brought action against the California State Insurance Commissioner and various insurers, on behalf of herself and other women similarly...	Aug. 22, 1979	Case		3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	209. Western Telecasters, Inc. v. California Federation of Labor, AFL-CIO ¶ 415 F.Supp. 30, 31+ , S.D.Cal. Action was brought against union to recover damages for personal injury caused by acts done in furtherance of a conspiracy to prevent plaintiffs from exercising constitutional...	Mar. 02, 1976	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.













Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 210. Revis v. Laird  391 F.Supp. 1133, 1138+ , E.D.Cal. Plaintiff sought to review agency action under Administrative Procedure Act and to redress deprivation of civil rights under Civil Rights Act. On cross motion for summary...	Mar. 31, 1975	Case		3 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	211. Retail Clerks Union, Local 770 v. Retail Clerks Intern. Ass'n  359 F.Supp. 1285, 1287+ , C.D.Cal. Action by union employees challenging constitutionality of union's mandatory retirement bylaws. On defendants' motion to dismiss, the District Court, Whelan, J., held that...	Apr. 13, 1973	Case		2 11 14 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 212. Arnold v. Tiffany  359 F.Supp. 1034, 1035+ , C.D.Cal. Action by newspaper distributors against newspapers alleging that defendants conspired to deprive plaintiffs of right to peaceable assembly for purpose of forming and maintaining a...	Mar. 05, 1973	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	213. Colorado Montana Wyoming State Area Conference of NAACP v. United States Election Integrity Plan  2023 WL 1338676, *5+ , D.Colo. CIVIL RIGHTS — Parties. Unincorporated association was not “person,” and thus could not be sued under voter intimidation provisions of Voting Rights Act and federal statute...	Jan. 31, 2023	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	214. Weiss v. Vasquez  2022 WL 5241885, *3+ , D.Colo. This case is before the Court pursuant to an Order (Dkt. #40) issued by Judge Charlotte N. Sweeney referring Defendant Steven Hasler's Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. #24) and Defendants...	Oct. 06, 2022	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 215. Grays v. Granicus, LLC  2018 WL 6788150, *8+ , D.Colo. Now before the Court are Defendants Jessica Richey, Chris Downard, and Granicus, LLC's (“Granicus,” and collectively “Defendants”) Motions to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint. (Dkt...	Dec. 26, 2018	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	216. Creek Red Nation, LLC v. Jeffco Midget Football Association, Inc.  175 F.Supp.3d 1290, 1295+ , D.Colo. CIVIL RIGHTS — Public Accommodations. Youth football organizations stated claim against league under Colorado statute prohibiting discrimination in places of public accommodation.	Mar. 30, 2016	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	217. Rocha v. Zavaras 2011 WL 1154636, *3+ , D.Colo. This case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Michael E. Hegarty pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On February 23, 2011, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and...	Mar. 29, 2011	Case		1 2 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	218. Brever v. Rockwell Intern. Corp. 801 F.Supp. 424, 429+ , D.Colo. Employees brought federal civil rights and state law claims against corporate and individual defendants. On defendants' motions to dismiss, the District Court, Sparr, J., held...	Aug. 31, 1992	Case		3 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	219. Runyan v. United Broth. of Carpenters and Joiners of America, AFL-CIO 554 F.Supp. 859, 863+ , D.Colo. Union official, who had been suspended at direction of international union president from his position as elected financial secretary and business representative of local union,...	Dec. 30, 1982	Case		3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	220. Martinez v. Winner 548 F.Supp. 278, 324+ , D.Colo. Plaintiff, whose criminal trial ended in a mistrial, brought civil rights action against the federal district judge, who presided at the trial, the federal prosecutors, various...	July 30, 1982	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	221. Doe v. Avon Old Farms School, Inc. 2023 WL 2742330, *8+ , D.Conn. In this lawsuit, two young women and their mother allege, among other things, a vast conspiracy by a private preparatory school, its employees, local police officers, and the...	Mar. 31, 2023	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	222. Naughton v. Gutcheon 2022 WL 3646177, *8+ , D.Conn. Plaintiff, Urleen Naughton, the former Executive Director of the Windsor Housing Authority, asserts by way of an Amended Complaint thirty-eight (38) causes of action against...	Aug. 24, 2022	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	223. Johnson v. Cook 2021 WL 2741723, *6+ , D.Conn. Plaintiff Isis M. Johnson ("Johnson" or "Plaintiff"), a convicted prisoner, has filed a pro se civil rights complaint asserting claims under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, 1986 and 1988...	July 01, 2021	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	224. Sentementes v. Town of Bethel 2021 WL 26098, *4+ , D.Conn. On April 27, 2020, the plaintiff, Thomas Sentementes, who was then an unsentenced inmate housed in the Bridgeport Correctional Center ("BCC") of the Connecticut Department of...	Jan. 04, 2021	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	225. Miller v. Cook 2020 WL 6395462, *3+ , D.Conn. Plaintiff, George Miller ("Miller"), currently incarcerated at the Corrigan-Radgowski Correctional Institution in Uncasville, Connecticut ("Corrigan-Radgowski"), brings this civil...	Nov. 02, 2020	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	226. Delgado v. Ocasio ¶ 2019 WL 4038754, *5+ , D.Conn. The plaintiff, Luis A. Delgado, is incarcerated at the Garner Correctional Institution (“Garner”) in Newtown, Connecticut. He has filed a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. §§...	Aug. 27, 2019	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	227. Brown v. Semple ¶ 2017 WL 4246776, *11+ , D.Conn. Kenya Brown—currently incarcerated at the Cheshire Correctional Institution (“Cheshire”) in Cheshire, Connecticut—originally filed a civil rights complaint against Commissioner...	Sep. 25, 2017	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	228. Harnage v. Caldono ¶ 2017 WL 2190057, *5+ , D.Conn. The plaintiff, James Harnage, is currently incarcerated at Corrigan-Radgowski Correctional Institution in Uncasville, Connecticut. He has filed a complaint pursuant 42 U.S.C....	May 18, 2017	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	229. St. Pierre v. Semple ¶ 2015 WL 6872442, *5+ , D.Conn. Plaintiff, Jon A. St. Pierre, incarcerated and pro se, has filed a civil rights complaint against Wardens Scott Semple, Scott Erfe and Chapdelaine. Health Administrator Mary Mauro...	Nov. 09, 2015	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	230. Tyus v. Newton ¶ 2014 WL 1690432, *4+ , D.Conn. In October 2013, in both this Court and the Connecticut Superior Court for the Judicial District of New London, the plaintiff filed civil rights complaints against defendants City...	Apr. 29, 2014	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	231. Messiah v. Pafumi ¶ 2014 WL 1671893, *3+ , D.Conn. The plaintiff, Yashua Messiah a/k/a Robin Bernard Elliott, is currently incarcerated at the Southern New Mexico Correctional Facility in Las Cruces, New Mexico. He filed this civil...	Apr. 25, 2014	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	232. Ziemba v. Lynch ¶ 2013 WL 5232543, *9+ , D.Conn. The plaintiff, Duane Ziemba, formerly incarcerated at Corrigan Correctional Institution, filed this action pro se under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1986 against Assistant Attorney...	Sep. 17, 2013	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	233. Mihaly v. Town of Trumbull Water Pollution Control Authority ¶ 2013 WL 2948329, *6+ , D.Conn. On November 27, 2012, Plaintiff Matthew Mihaly filed an Amended Complaint [Doc. # 23], alleging federal and state constitutional violations against the Town of Trumbull Water...	June 14, 2013	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	234. Castellano v. Murphy ¶ 2012 WL 4344321, *17+ , D.Conn. The plaintiff, Joseph James Castellano, currently confined at Garner Correctional in Newtown, Connecticut ("Garner"), has filed this civil rights action pro se and in forma...	Sep. 21, 2012	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	235. Miron v. Town of Stratford ¶ 881 F.Supp.2d 280, 291+ , D.Conn. CIVIL RIGHTS - Privacy. Allegation were sufficient to plead officers acted under color of state law.	July 24, 2012	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	236. Oliphant v. Villano ¶ 2010 WL 537749, *5+ , D.Conn. Plaintiff Anthony Wayne Oliphant, currently incarcerated at Northern Correctional Institution ("NCI"), filed this complaint pro se under 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq., 12131(1)(A)(B),...	Feb. 11, 2010	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	237. Sullivan v. Stein 2004 WL 1179351, *3+ , D.Conn. In this action, Plaintiffs Philip Sullivan and Charlotte Sullivan ("the Sullivans") have sued 28 defendants—including several state judges, an Assistant State's Attorney, the Town...	May 21, 2004	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	238. Johnson v. Andlinger & Co., Inc. ¶ 1998 WL 229913, *1+ , D.Conn. The plaintiff's motion for reconsideration is being granted, and the court is vacating in part its order dated March 18, 1997 [doc. # 53] addressing the parties cross-motions for...	Mar. 30, 1998	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	239. Larkin v. Town of West Hartford ¶ 891 F.Supp. 719, 730+ , D.Conn. Firefighter brought civil rights action against town and witnesses. Defendants moved for summary judgment. The District Court, Dorsey, Chief Judge, held that: (1) firefighter...	June 20, 1995	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	240. St. George v. Mak ¶ 842 F.Supp. 625, 630+ , D.Conn. Connecticut county's special deputy sheriffs sued county high sheriff and other sheriff's department officials under § 1983, § 1985, various Connecticut constitutional and...	Dec. 29, 1993	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	241. Smith v. Walsh ¶ 519 F.Supp. 853, 856+ , D.Conn. In action under sections 1983 and 1985 of the Civil Rights Act, plaintiff sought damages for decision of the Connecticut Real Estate Commission to deny his application for a real...	Aug. 07, 1981	Case		2 4 S.Ct.












Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 242. Marty's Adult World of New Britain, Inc. v. Guida ¶ 453 F.Supp. 810, 814+ , D.Conn. Corporation and officer-shareholders sought damages from city officials and court clerk for deprivations of constitutional rights for allegedly obstructing the opening of a "health...	June 29, 1978	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 243. Bush v. Butler ¶ 521 F.Supp.2d 63, 68+ , D.D.C. CIVIL RIGHTS - Conspiracy. Inmate failed to sufficiently allege existence of conspiracy to deprive him of his civil rights.	Nov. 14, 2007	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 244. Bhagwanani v. Howard University ¶ 355 F.Supp.2d 294, 301+ , D.D.C. EDUCATION - Removal. University employee's involuntary servitude claim was not removable to federal court.	Jan. 17, 2005	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 245. Brady v. Livingood ¶ 360 F.Supp.2d 94, 103+ , D.D.C. LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT - Public Employment. African-American employee failed to state retaliation claim under Congressional Accountability Act.	Mar. 24, 2004	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 246. Pope v. Bond ¶ 641 F.Supp. 489, 497+ , D.D.C. Former agency employee, whom superiors allegedly conspired to punish for "whistleblowing" to members of Congress, sued agency and superiors under Section 1985, Bivens, and various...	Aug. 05, 1986	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	247. Lewis v. Green ¶ 629 F.Supp. 546, 551+ , D.D.C. Prisoner who objected to approval of settlement in prisoners' federal class action challenging confinements in correctional institution brought action against judge who approved...	Feb. 28, 1986	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 248. Quinn v. DiGiulian ¶ 1983 WL 2005, *5+ , D.D.C. This matter comes before the court on the motions of defendants to dismiss certain counts and to strike plaintiff's demand for a jury trial, and on the motion of plaintiff for...	May 29, 1983	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 249. Hobson v. Wilson 556 F.Supp. 1157, 1167+ , D.D.C. In action in which plaintiffs were awarded damages for deprivation of First Amendment rights by District of Columbia, members of its police department and members of Federal Bureau...	June 01, 1982	Case		2 4 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 250. Thornwell v. U.S. ¶ 471 F.Supp. 344, 349+ , D.D.C. Action was brought against the United States and 29 individuals including four defendants in their official capacities, for alleged covert administration of drugs, harassment,...	May 30, 1979	Case		2 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 251. Lloyd v. Jefferson ¶ 53 F.Supp.2d 643, 669+ , D.Del. Contract employee with the Delaware Department of Public Instruction brought action against employees of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, alleging,...	May 12, 1999	Case		2 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	252. Bowman v. Bank of Delaware 666 F.Supp. 63, 64+ , D.Del. Discharged employee brought action against former employer, alleging that he was discriminated against because of his national origin. Former employer moved to dismiss counts...	Aug. 12, 1987	Case		2 11 12 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 253. Quinn v. Kent General Hosp., Inc. ¶ 617 F.Supp. 1226, 1235+ , D.Del. Physician brought civil rights and antitrust claims against private hospital and various members of its staff for hospital's refusal to admit physician to hospital's active medical...	Aug. 16, 1985	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	254. United States v. Leahy ¶ 2022 WL 2818114, *3+ , M.D.Fla. A grand jury returned an Indictment against Jordan Leahy, finding probable cause that Leahy used "force and threat of force" to "willfully intimate and interfere with" a man's use...	July 19, 2022	Case		9 10 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	255. Barth v. McNeely ¶ 2014 WL 3101348, *5+ , M.D.Fla. This cause is before the Court on Defendant, Sarasota County's, Motion to Dismiss Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint (Doc. 8), Defendants, Starlet McNeely, Chantel Hollman, and...	July 07, 2014	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 256. Saunders v. Duke ¶ 2012 WL 12870345, *9+ , M.D.Fla. This case is before the Court on the following motions: MOTION: Defendant George C. Duke's ("Duke's") Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Fifth Amended Complaint (Doc. 51, filed May 20,...	Jan. 04, 2012	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	257. del Pino v. Bay of Pigs Veterans Association ¶ 2008 WL 11411861, *3+ , S.D.Fla. THIS CAUSE is before the Court upon Defendant Univision Communications Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and Incorporated Memorandum of Law, filed December 14,...	Jan. 16, 2008	Case		2 S.Ct.












Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	258. del Pino v. Bay of Pigs Veterans Association ¶¶ 2008 WL 11411863, *3+ , S.D.Fla. THIS CAUSE is before the Court upon the Combined Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and Incorporated Memorandum of Law, filed by Defendants Bay of Pigs Veterans...	Jan. 16, 2008	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	259. Del Pino v. Bay of Pigs Veterans Association ¶¶ 2008 WL 11411847, *3+ , S.D.Fla. THIS CAUSE is before the Court upon the Motion of Defendants America TeVe Network, Inc. ("ATN"), Oscar Haza ("Haza"), and Miguel Cossio ("Cossio") to Dismiss Amended Complaint,...	Jan. 15, 2008	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	260. del Pino v. Bay of Pigs Veterans Association ¶¶ 2008 WL 11411848, *3+ , S.D.Fla. THIS CAUSE is before the Court upon Defendant Esteban Bovo's Motion to Dismiss, for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Incorporated Memorandum of Law, filed December 14, 2007 (D.E....	Jan. 15, 2008	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	261. del Pino v. Bay of Pigs Veterans Association ¶¶ 2007 WL 9706830, *2+ , S.D.Fla. THIS CAUSE is before the Court upon Defendant Martha Flores's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, filed December 5, 2007 (D.E. 19). Plaintiff filed his Response in...	Dec. 28, 2007	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	262. Larson v. School Bd. of Pinellas County, Fla. ¶¶ 820 F.Supp. 596, 600+ , M.D.Fla. Teacher brought suit against school board and its individual members alleging discrimination based on teacher's sex and physical condition. Defendants moved to dismiss. The...	Mar. 31, 1993	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	263. Redner v. Citrus County, Fla. ¶¶ 710 F.Supp. 318, 321+ , M.D.Fla. Promoters of nude dance brought action challenging constitutionality of two county ordinances on ground that ordinances infringed on free speech rights of dancers to engage in and...	Feb. 13, 1989	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	264. Peacock v. Guaranty Federal Sav. & Loan Ass'n 1979 WL 67, *2+ , M.D.Fla. The Plaintiffs bring this action under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1985(3), 1986, 1988 and the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution. The case is presently before...	Dec. 11, 1979	Case		2 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	265. Poirier v. Hodges ¶¶ 445 F.Supp. 838, 844+ , M.D.Fla. Hearing aid dealer brought action under civil rights statute charging two state employees with conspiring to destroy his business and violate his rights to due process and equal...	Feb. 02, 1978	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	266. Schwab v. First Appalachian Ins. Co. ¶ 58 F.R.D. 615, 619+ , S.D.Fla. Civil rights suit brought against sheriff, his insurer and county seeking recovery of compensatory and punitive damages stemming from claimed sexual assaults on plaintiff's minor...	Feb. 28, 1973	Case		3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	267. McDaniel v. Smith ¶ 2008 WL 4425305, *14+ , S.D.Ga. LITIGATION - Process. Summons and complaint delivered to residence of defendant's father was valid.	Sep. 30, 2008	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	268. Childree v. UAP/GA AG Chem, Inc. 892 F.Supp. 1554, 1568+ , N.D.Ga. Former employee of company which sold farm products brought action against company under whistle blower provision of False Claims Act and under Ku Klux Klan Act. The District...	June 20, 1995	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	269. Abernathy v. City of Cartersville, Ga. 642 F.Supp. 529, 531+ , N.D.Ga. Three former police captains brought civil rights actions as result of their being discharged after bringing to light activities of superiors which resulted in the superiors'...	June 30, 1986	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	270. Fiske v. Lockheed-Georgia Co., a div. of Lockheed Corp. ¶ 568 F.Supp. 590, 592+ , N.D.Ga. Former employees brought action against employer alleging that their discharge was due to their political activities. Employer moved for summary judgment. The District Court,...	Aug. 01, 1983	Case		2 6 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	271. Yellen v. Hara ¶ 2015 WL 8664200, *9+ , D.Hawai'i Plaintiffs Mike Yellen, Paul Chilson, and Carole Chilson (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), proceeding pro se, filed this suit asserting federal civil rights violations based on an...	Dec. 10, 2015	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	272. Lapin v. Taylor ¶ 475 F.Supp. 446, 448+ , D.Hawai'i Action was brought against attorney, alleging that attorney removed documents from plaintiff's Department of Labor medical file under false pretenses in violation of Privacy Act...	July 31, 1979	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	273. Butler v. U.S. ¶ 365 F.Supp. 1035, 1041+ , D.Hawai'i Action against the United States and various military personnel for exemplary and punitive damages arising out of detaining of plaintiffs by such personnel during visit of the...	Nov. 08, 1973	Case		2 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	274. U.S. v. Henery ¶ 60 F.Supp.3d 1126, 1129+ , D.Idaho CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Hate Crimes. Hate crimes statute was validly enacted.	Oct. 13, 2014	Case		7 9 10 S.Ct.













Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	275. Carrillo v. Chambers 2007 WL 257634, *6+ , N.D.Ill. Plaintiff, Carlos Carrillo, pro se, filed an Amended Complaint against Defendants, seeking damages and injunctive relief under Title 42 U.S.C. § 1983, § 1985 and § 1986 for alleged...	Jan. 22, 2007	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	276. Evans v. Torres ¶¶ 1999 WL 1010983, *6+ , N.D.Ill. Defendants Dr. Hiriberto Torres ("Torres"), Grant Hospital and the Pediatric Center of Chicago, Ltd. ("Grant"), Denise Patton ("Patton"), and the Illinois Department of...	Sep. 30, 1999	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	277. Burns v. Odeon ¶¶ 1996 WL 501742, *8+ , N.D.Ill. Plaintiffs William F. Burns ("Mr. Burns") and Artienasha Burns ("Mrs. Burns") (together "Plaintiffs") filed a complaint on September 15, 1995 ("Complaint") alleging civil...	Sep. 03, 1996	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	278. David v. Village of Oak Lawn ¶¶ 1996 WL 210072, *3+ , N.D.Ill. Plaintiff Michael David filed a nine-count complaint against defendants Village of Oak Lawn ("Oak Lawn"), Chief of the Oak Lawn Police Department James P. Houk ("Houk"), and...	Apr. 29, 1996	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	279. Rojcek v. Community Consol. School Dist. 15 ¶¶ 888 F.Supp. 878, 885+ , N.D.Ill. Former school district employee brought action against school district, members of board of education, her immediate supervisor, and supervisor's supervisor under § 1983 arising...	May 17, 1995	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	280. Schroeder v. City of Rolling Meadows ¶¶ 1994 WL 659214, *5+ , N.D.Ill. Plaintiffs, Carl F. Schroeder and High Frontier in Medicine, have filed a two count complaint alleging section 1983 and section 1985(3) actions against the City of Rolling Meadows,...	Nov. 02, 1994	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	281. Primm v. County of Dupage ¶¶ 1993 WL 338762, *8+ , N.D.Ill. On January 5, 1993, this Court entered a Memorandum Opinion and Order granting in part and denying in part certain Defendants' motion to dismiss. We subsequently granted the...	Sep. 02, 1993	Case		3 4 14 S.Ct.
Discussed by	282. Curtis v. GTE Communication Systems Corp. ¶¶ 1990 WL 106511, *4+ , N.D.Ill. This case is before us on the motion of defendants AG Communication Systems Corporation ("AG") and Greg Kurinec ("Kurinec") to dismiss Counts II and III of the complaint. We...	July 12, 1990	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 283. Herhold v. City of Chicago 723 F.Supp. 20, 34+ , N.D.Ill. Fire department employees brought action against city, union, and retirement board of fire fighters' annuity and benefit fund to recover for retaliation for exercising First...	Sep. 28, 1989	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 284. Stirgus v. Benoit ¶¶ 720 F.Supp. 119, 122+ , N.D.Ill. Black homeowner brought action against defendants who allegedly firebombed her home, alleging violations of Civil Rights Act of 1866, Ku Klux Klan Act, Fair Housing Act, and...	Sep. 18, 1989	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	285. Klupt v. City of Chicago ¶¶ 1989 WL 36406, *12+ , N.D.Ill. The plaintiff, Saul Klupt, is a Chicago police officer who claims that the defendants—the City of Chicago and various supervisory officers—violated his constitutional rights by...	Apr. 04, 1989	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	286. Moss v. Perkins ¶¶ 682 F.Supp. 395, 396+ , N.D.Ill. An action was brought alleging that two police officers conspired to deprive a black citizen of his constitutional rights. The District Court, Bua, J., held that plaintiff failed...	Apr. 05, 1988	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	287. Volk v. Coler ¶¶ 638 F.Supp. 1540, 1554+ , C.D.Ill. Employee brought action alleging that Director of Illinois Department of Children and Family Services, supervisor of field office of Department, regional administrators of...	July 08, 1986	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	288. Hawk v. Perillo ¶¶ 1986 WL 4737, *4+ , N.D.Ill. This civil rights action arises out of a violent conspiratorial attack that a group of white males allegedly perpetrated against plaintiffs. Defendant, Michael Timothy...	Apr. 16, 1986	Case		4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 289. Hawk v. Perillo ¶¶ 642 F.Supp. 380, 387+ , N.D.Ill. Minority victims of beating brought action against assailants, nearby restaurant, and police officers for violations of civil rights under Thirteenth and Fourteenth Amendments and...	Oct. 31, 1985	Case		4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	290. Napoli v. Board of Trustees of Thornton Community College ¶¶ 1985 WL 2428, *6+ , N.D.Ill. Plaintiff Janet Napoli sues under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(3), and 2000e–2000e–17 (1982), alleging defendants, the Board of Trustees (the board) of Thornton Community College...	Sep. 04, 1985	Case		2 11 S.Ct.













Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	291. Goldenberg v. Schaumburg Dodge, Inc. 1984 WL 872, *2+ , N.D.Ill. Plaintiff Larry Goldenberg brings this action under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(1), 1986 and 1988, against defendants Schaumburg Dodge, Inc. (Dodge), William Stephan, Officer William...	Aug. 16, 1984	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	292. Stevens v. Tillman 568 F.Supp. 289, 292+ , N.D.Ill. White elementary school principal in primarily black school brought action against certain parents for damages and injunctive relief, alleging conspiracy to violate her civil...	July 08, 1983	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	293. Tomkins v. Village of Tinley Park 566 F.Supp. 70, 79+ , N.D.Ill. In action arising out of installation of connecting pipe between certain defendants' residential sewer and plaintiff's building service sewer, plaintiff sought to recover against...	Apr. 26, 1983	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	294. Afro-American Police League v. Fraternal Order of Police, Chicago Lodge No. 7 553 F.Supp. 664, 673+ , N.D.Ill. Minority police officers' organization brought civil rights action against another police union seeking to enjoin implementation of collective bargaining agreement between the...	Dec. 08, 1982	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	295. Jordan v. City of Chicago, Dept. of Police 505 F.Supp. 1, 3+ , N.D.Ill. Suit was brought to recover for alleged deprivation of plaintiff's civil rights when she was strip-searched. Defendant City moved to dismiss. The District Court, Moran, J., held...	Mar. 24, 1980	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	296. Bianco v. American Broadcasting Companies, Inc. 470 F.Supp. 182, 183+ , N.D.Ill. Television station employees sued the station, its owner, and its general manager alleging that they engaged in electronic eavesdropping in violation of federal and Illinois law. ...	May 03, 1979	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	297. Spencer v. Community Hospital of Evanston 393 F.Supp. 1072, 1077+ , N.D.Ill. Physician brought civil rights complaint against private hospital. On motion of defendant to dismiss, the District Court, Kirkland, J., held that complaint alleging that agents of...	Apr. 22, 1975	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	298. Doyle v. Unicare Health Services, Inc., Aurora Center 399 F.Supp. 69, 75+ , N.D.Ill. Administrator for estate of mentally retarded resident and resident's sister brought action against custodial institution and various of its directors and employees for alleged...	Mar. 31, 1975	Case		2 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 299. Dear v. Rathje ¶ 391 F.Supp. 1, 8+ , N.D.Ill. A mother brought action for herself and minor children under civil rights statute, naming as defendants the mother's former husband, his wife, his attorney, and a judge. The...	Mar. 17, 1975	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	300. Snyder v. Smith ¶ 7 F.Supp.3d 842, 870+ , S.D.Ind. CIVIL RIGHTS - Equal Protection. Alleged sexual assault victim stated § 1983 "class of one" equal protection claim against police officers.	Mar. 14, 2014	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 301. Bryant v. Polston ¶ 2000 WL 1670938, *7+ , S.D.Ind. Plaintiffs, Gus F. Bryant and Teresa K. Bryant, bring this action against Defendants, Kevin Polston and Brenda Polston under Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968, said title...	Nov. 02, 2000	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	302. Faust v. Parke ¶ 1996 WL 698024, *6+ , N.D.Ind. Plaintiff, Kenneth P. Faust, an inmate at the Indiana State Prison ("ISP") in Michigan City, Indiana, brought this action pro se under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(3), 1986, and...	Oct. 03, 1996	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	303. McDonald v. Krajewski ¶ 649 F.Supp. 370, 376+ , N.D.Ind. Former employee of state court brought civil rights action against state judge, alleging that judge had dismissed her for improper political reasons. On judge's motion to...	Nov. 13, 1986	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 304. America's Best Cinema Corp. v. Fort Wayne Newspapers, Inc. ¶ 347 F.Supp. 328, 335+ , N.D.Ind. Action by operator of motion picture theater and tavern, which provided for its customers a continuous showing of unrated motion pictures, contending that defendant newspapers'...	Aug. 29, 1972	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	305. McCabe v. Macaulay ¶ 450 F.Supp.2d 928, 937+ , N.D.Iowa CIVIL RIGHTS - Arrest and Detention. Arrest of protestors at presidential election rally was not Civil Rights Act conspiracy provision violation.	Sep. 01, 2006	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	306. Bailiff v. Adams County Conference Bd. ¶ 54 F.Supp.2d 923, 926+ , S.D.Iowa Former county assessor brought action against county board of supervisors and its members, alleging civil rights conspiracy, Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA)...	Mar. 04, 1999	Case		2 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	307. Iowa Beef Processors, Inc. v. Gorman ¶ 476 F.Supp. 1382, 1387+ , N.D.Iowa After an employer filed an amended complaint against officers of a union, the union counterclaimed, asserting a civil rights class action on behalf of union members. On the...	Oct. 04, 1979	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	308. Hall v. Witteman ¶ 569 F.Supp.2d 1208, 1224+ , D.Kan. TORTS - RICO. Plaintiff failed to state a claim against judge, attorneys, and others under RICO.	Aug. 06, 2008	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	309. Women's Health Care Services, P.A. v. Operation Rescue-National ¶ 773 F.Supp. 258, 264+ , D.Kan. Action was brought for preliminary injunction to prevent abortion protestors from blocking entrances or exits to medical clinic at which abortions were performed. The District...	Aug. 07, 1991	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	310. Sipka v. Soet ¶ 761 F.Supp. 761, 766+ , D.Kan. Mother brought action alleging that she was denied due process and equal protection and that full faith and credit was not given to state of Kansas court order, and that court...	Mar. 15, 1991	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	311. Scott v. City of Overland Park ¶ 595 F.Supp. 520, 527+ , D.Kan. Female city police officer brought action against city, chief of police, and city manager alleging sex discrimination in denial of promotion, sexual harassment, and retaliation...	Sep. 11, 1984	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	312. Dai v. Le ¶ 2023 WL 4674315, *7+ , W.D.La. Before the Court is a Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. No. 31] filed by Defendants, Son Le ("Le"), Kirk Ring ("Ring"), William McCumber ("McCumber"), Christopher Martin...	July 20, 2023	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	313. Higginbotham v. Jones 2010 WL 1662475, *3+ , W.D.La. CIVIL RIGHTS - Immunity. Town mayor failed to state § 1983 claim against district attorney for authorizing mayor's arrest due to attorney's immunity.	Mar. 10, 2010	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	314. St. Martin v. Jones ¶ 2008 WL 4412267, *7+ , E.D.La. CIVIL RIGHTS - Municipal Liability. Suit alleging civil rights violations under federal and state law against a sheriff deputy in his official capacity and the police department of...	Sep. 17, 2008	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	315. Whitten v. Petroleum Club of Lafayette 508 F.Supp. 765, 770+ , W.D.La. Females employed in petroleum industry brought class action challenging males only policy of petroleum industry private membership club. Defendants moved for judgment on the...	Feb. 13, 1981	Case		3 4 11 S.Ct.







Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 316. Kimble v. D. J. McDuffy, Inc. ¶ 445 F.Supp. 269, 271+ , E.D.La. A class suit, predicated on the Conspiracy to Obstruct Justice Act, was brought on behalf of all persons who sought employment in the offshore oil industry and were denied it...	Jan. 24, 1978	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	317. Stevenson v. International Paper Co. ¶ 432 F.Supp. 390, 394+ , W.D.La. Female employees brought employment discrimination suit against employer and union. Following settlement of the case against the employer, the District Court, Stagg, J., held that...	Apr. 29, 1977	Case		11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	318. Strain v. Citizens Bank & Trust Co. ¶ 68 F.R.D. 697, 701+ , E.D.La. Complaint was filed in what was essentially a legal malpractice action. The District Court, Christenberry, J., held that complaint stated claim for deprivation of rights under...	Sep. 30, 1975	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	  319. Shaw v. Garrison ¶ 391 F.Supp. 1353, 1369+ , E.D.La. Action was brought by plaintiff under federal civil rights statutes and he died pending trial survived by neither spouse, children, parents, nor siblings. The motion of executor...	Mar. 04, 1975	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	320. Hayes v. Town of Dalton ¶ 2022 WL 488466, *9+ , D.Mass. In the aftermath of her daughter Sherilyn's tragic suicide, Plaintiff Patricia Hayes ("Plaintiff") filed suit seeking damages from the Town of Dalton and members of its police...	Feb. 17, 2022	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	321. Hayward v. Massachusetts ¶ 2016 WL 11189805, *12+ , D.Mass. Plaintiff Robert J. Hayward ("Plaintiff"), who is proceeding pro se, challenges the denial of his application for a Massachusetts license to carry ("LTC") a firearm. In his sixteen...	Nov. 14, 2016	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	322. Curley v. North American Man Boy Love Ass'n ¶ 2002 WL 264958, *1+ , D.Mass. The defendants have moved for reconsideration of certain aspects of the Court's Order entered September 27, 2001, ruling on the defendants' motion to dismiss. In the first place,...	Feb. 22, 2002	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 323. Rosenberg v. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc. 995 F.Supp. 190, 201+ , D.Mass. Stockbroker brought suit against her former employer and her former supervisor, alleging age and gender discrimination, as well as sexual harassment, under Age Discrimination in...	Jan. 26, 1998	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	324. Lowden v. William M. Mercer, Inc. 903 F.Supp. 212, 218+ , D.Mass. Former employee brought action against former employer alleging a violation of Title VII, Equal Pay Act (EPA), Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA), and Massachusetts law. ...	Oct. 17, 1995	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	325. Aulson v. Blanchard 🗑️ 1995 WL 598829, *1+ , D.Mass. In this action, the plaintiffs Alan Aulson and Maureen Aulson allege that certain town officials in Georgetown, Massachusetts, have conspired to violate their civil rights because...	Oct. 05, 1995	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	326. Fiorino v. Turner 🗑️ 476 F.Supp. 962, 964+ , D.Mass. Unsuccessful job applicant brought action against prospective employer, its personnel manager, and his former supervisor, for allegedly violating various statutes. Defendants...	Sep. 19, 1979	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	327. DesVergnes v. Seekonk Water Dist. 🗑️ 448 F.Supp. 1256, 1261+ , D.Mass. A housing developer brought a civil rights action against a water district and individual residents of the district. The District Court, Caffrey, Chief Judge, held that the...	Apr. 14, 1978	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	328. Hahn v. Sargent 🗑️ 388 F.Supp. 445, 449+ , D.Mass. Former state political party chairman brought action under Civil Rights Act against Governor, state Attorney General, Assistant Attorneys General, state Secretary of Consumer...	Jan. 10, 1975	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	329. Antonio v. Security Services of America, LLC 🗑️ 701 F.Supp.2d 749, 778+ , D.Md. CIVIL RIGHTS - Contracts. Federal Fair Housing Act (FHA) applied to racially-motivated arsonists' actions.	Mar. 31, 2010	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	330. Yates v. Hagerstown Lodge No. 212 Loyal Order of Moose 🗑️ 878 F.Supp. 788, 802+ , D.Md. Black applicant rejected for membership in local chapter of international fraternal association brought civil rights action against local chapter and its governor, and...	Jan. 09, 1995	Case		10 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	331. Sylvia Development Corp. v. Calvert County, Md. 🗑️ 842 F.Supp. 183, 186+ , D.Md. Developer and its principal brought suit against county and county commissioners, alleging civil rights claims arising out of denial of application to increase density of proposed...	Jan. 13, 1994	Case		2 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 332. Sellner v. Panagoulis ¶ 565 F.Supp. 238, 246+ , D.Md. In consolidated civil rights actions arising from defendants' alleged interference with plaintiff's independent investigation as private citizen of unsolved murder case, defendants...	Dec. 29, 1982	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 333. Highfield Water Co. v. Public Service Commission ¶ 488 F.Supp. 1176, 1191+ , D.Md. Private water utility and its sole stockholder brought action arising out of state takeover of their water system, alleging civil rights and antitrust violations, unconstitutional...	Apr. 09, 1980	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	334. Savina v. Gebhart ¶ 497 F.Supp. 65, 67+ , D.Md. Member of Ku Klux Klan brought action against county and county officials alleging that his discharge deprived him of his First and Fourteenth Amendment freedoms of expression of...	Feb. 26, 1980	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 335. Hodgin v. Jefferson ¶ 447 F.Supp. 804, 807+ , D.Md. Plaintiff, former employee of defendant savings and loan association, brought civil rights action on claim of discrimination against plaintiff and other women on the basis of sex....	Mar. 13, 1978	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	336. Schoonfield v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore 399 F.Supp. 1068, 1085+ , D.Md. Former warden of Baltimore city jail brought action against mayor and city council of Baltimore, city solicitor, members of jail board and attorney for public employees' union to...	Aug. 20, 1975	Case		4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 337. Doski v. M. Goldseker Co. ¶ 1975 WL 3626, *4+ , D.Md. On March 13, 1975, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss, asking the court to reconsider its Memorandum and Order of February 21, 1975, which denied the defendants' motion to...	July 17, 1975	Case		3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	338. Byrd v. Local Union No. 24, Intern. Broth. of Elec. Workers ¶ 375 F.Supp. 545, 551+ , D.Md. Proceedings on various motions to dismiss made by various defendants in civil rights actions based on claim that labor unions, contractors and others involved in building industry...	Mar. 19, 1974	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	339. United States v. Diggins ¶ 435 F.Supp.3d 268, 272+ , D.Me. CRIMINAL JUSTICE — Hate Crimes. Defendants' substantive challenge to certification by Assistant Attorney General under hate crimes act was not reviewable by the court.	Dec. 30, 2019	Case		8 9 10 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	340. Dorsey v. Greyhound Bus Lines ¶ 2004 WL 1859792, *3+ , D.Me. James Dorsey has filed this action against Greyhound Bus Lines complaining of his treatment by two Greyhound Bus ticket agents on December 30, 2003. For the following reasons I...	Aug. 20, 2004	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	341. Jackson v. Faber ¶ 834 F.Supp. 471, 475+ , D.Me. Plaintiff brought action against former defense attorney and private attorneys, alleging that attorneys violated 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, and 1986 when they participated with...	Oct. 04, 1993	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	342. Curran v. Portland Superintending School Committee, City of Portland, Me. ¶ 435 F.Supp. 1063, 1084+ , D.Me. Proceedings were instituted on preliminary motions of defendants to dismiss complaint wherein plaintiff alleged employment discrimination on account of sex. The District Court,...	July 18, 1977	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	343. Borke v. Warren 2022 WL 525838, *6+ , E.D.Mich. Plaintiff Matthew Shawn Borke is an environmentalist and self-described "water protector." (Am. Compl. at ¶2, ECF No. 26, PageID.182.) Water protectors like Borke "are activists,...	Feb. 22, 2022	Case		1 2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	344. Borke v. Warren ¶ 2022 WL 525839, *6+ , E.D.Mich. Plaintiff Matthew Shawn Borke is an environmentalist and self-described "water protector." (Am. Compl. at ¶2, ECF No. 26, PageID.182.) Water protectors like Borke "are activists,...	Feb. 22, 2022	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	345. Borke v. Warren ¶ 2021 WL 7448500, *11+ , E.D.Mich. Pro se plaintiff Matthew Shawn Borke ("Borke") commenced this action on October 13, 2020, against two groups of defendants, (1) Energy Transfer and its Chairman, Kelcy Warren (the...	Dec. 27, 2021	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	346. Lindensmith v. Webb 2016 WL 3679505, *1+ , E.D.Mich. This action is brought by a pro se plaintiff, whose application to proceed without prepaying fees or costs was granted (Dkt. 3). On April 29, 2016, Magistrate Judge Anthony P...	July 12, 2016	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	347. Carter v. Michigan Dept. of Corrections ¶ 2013 WL 5291567, *22+ , E.D.Mich. Plaintiff Joel Carter was a state prisoner incarcerated at the Marquette Branch Prison and the Gus Harrison Correctional Facility at all times relevant to his complaint. He...	Sep. 19, 2013	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.













Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 348. Annabel v. Heyns ¶¶ 2013 WL 4805690, *7+ , E.D.Mich. I. RECOMMENDATION: The Court should conclude that plaintiff has exhausted his claims against defendants Dinsa, King, and Rutgers, as set forth in ¶¶ 3, 5, and 6 of plaintiff's...	Aug. 07, 2013	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	349. Clark v. Caruso 2010 WL 746417, *9+ , E.D.Mich. This is a prisoner civil rights case. Plaintiff Thomas Wayne Clark, proceeding pro se, was confined to the Gus Harrison Correctional Facility in Adrian, Michigan, at all times...	Mar. 02, 2010	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	350. Prieto v. Kalamazoo Metal Recyclers, Inc. ¶¶ 2008 WL 5087968, *5+ , W.D.Mich. CIVIL RIGHTS - Equal Protection. Claims of a conspiracy to violate civil rights were barred by the intracorporate conspiracy doctrine.	Nov. 26, 2008	Case		2 11 12 S.Ct.
Discussed by	351. Knubbe v. Sparrow 808 F.Supp. 1295, 1302+ , E.D.Mich. Tenants sued landlords after they were evicted from apartment development alleging that landlords violated their First, Seventh and Fourteenth Amendment rights and alleged...	Dec. 14, 1992	Case		3 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 352. Clonlara, Inc. v. Runkel 722 F.Supp. 1442, 1461+ , E.D.Mich. Parents who chose to educate their children at home, and a private school which also sold packages to parents interested in educating their children at home, brought a civil rights...	July 18, 1989	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 353. Toteff v. Village of Oxford ¶¶ 562 F.Supp. 989, 997+ , E.D.Mich. Property owners filed suit against various defendants alleging violation of their civil and constitutional rights arising out of destruction and condemnation of building they owned...	Jan. 14, 1983	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	354. Smith v. Gibson 524 F.Supp. 664, 667+ , E.D.Mich. Complainant filed civil rights complaint against restaurant, its owners, manager and two police officers, alleging deprivation of equal protection and due process rights by his...	Oct. 20, 1981	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 355. Foreman v. General Motors Corp. ¶¶ 473 F.Supp. 166, 179+ , E.D.Mich. Civil rights complaint was filed against defendants including approximately 50 private corporations, the Secretary of Labor and officials of the state of Michigan and of the city...	June 27, 1979	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 356. R.S. ex rel. S.S. v. Minnewaska Area School Dist. No. 2149 ¶ 894 F.Supp.2d 1128, 1145+ , D.Minn. EDUCATION - Civil Rights. School officials were not entitled to qualified immunity from § 1983 claim by student's mom alleging free speech violation.	Sep. 06, 2012	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	357. Albert v. Larson ¶ 2005 WL 3542872, *7+ , D.Minn. This case arises from Plaintiff's termination of employment. The case is now before the Court on the Corporate Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Complaint For Failure to State a...	Dec. 21, 2005	Case		—
Discussed by	358. Spannaus v. Larkin, Hoffman, Daly & Lindgren, Ltd. ¶ 1991 WL 55946, *3+ , D.Minn. This matter is before the Court on defendants' motion to dismiss. A hearing was held on January 4, 1991. Defendants' motion to dismiss is granted. This case is the latest in a...	Apr. 10, 1991	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 359. Weseman v. Meeker County ¶ 659 F.Supp. 1571, 1575+ , D.Minn. Juvenile, who had been subject of delinquency proceedings, and her father brought action against county, county department of court services, county juvenile court judge, and...	May 20, 1987	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	360. Lewis v. Harrison ¶ 2017 WL 111332, *2+ , N.D.Miss. Plaintiff Lewis brings this action pro se, claiming that LaShunda Harrison conspired with Alice Stapleton and Blondie Adams in an effort to illegally trespass onto Lewis's property...	Jan. 10, 2017	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	361. Moeckel v. St. Louis Family Court/ Missouri 2019 WL 4722806, *2+ , E.D.Mo. This matter is before the Court on Defendants St. Louis Family Court/State of Missouri ("the State"), Ben Burkemper, and Clifford Faddis' motion to dismiss. (Doc. No. 3). The...	Sep. 26, 2019	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	362. Danzig v. Butler County ¶ 2007 WL 2137813, *7+ , E.D.Mo. This matter comes before the Court on Defendants Butler County, Missouri, Joe Humphrey, Jeff Darnell, and Robert Myers' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint [doc. # 5],...	July 23, 2007	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	363. Vaughn v. Merritt 2006 WL 8438368, *4+ , W.D.Mo. Now pending before the Court is Defendants Jack Merritt's, Toy Hill's, Richard Myers', David Coonrod's and the Honorable Dan Conklin's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended...	Apr. 07, 2006	Case		1 3 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	364. Hoover v. U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development ¶ 1990 WL 312545, *4+ , E.D.Mo. This matter comes before the Court to determine if a preliminary injunction should issue. Plaintiff, a pro se litigant, brings this action seeking equitable relief in the...	May 23, 1990	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	365. Gill v. Farm Bureau Life Ins. Co. of Missouri ¶ 715 F.Supp. 945, 946+ , E.D.Mo. Discharged employee filed action against his former employers alleging that they conspired against him and in furtherance of that conspiracy fired him by reason of the exercise of...	June 02, 1989	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	366. Walck v. Dunkerson ¶ 2006 WL 3498554, *1+ , D.Mont. United States Magistrate Judge Carolyn S. Ostby entered her Findings and Recommendation in this matter on November 7, 2006. Walck timely objected and is therefore entitled to de...	Dec. 04, 2006	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	367. Smith v. Food Lion, Inc. ¶ 2008 WL 11381396, *3+ , E.D.N.C. This matter is before the court on defendants' 5 May 2008 motions to dismiss pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1) and (6). Because plaintiff filed this action pro se, the court...	June 12, 2008	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	368. Words of Faith Fellowship, Inc. v. Rutherford County Dept. of Social Services ¶ 329 F.Supp.2d 675, 689+ , W.D.N.C. CIVIL RIGHTS - Municipal Liability. Parents stated basis for municipal and official capacity liability on claims involving abuse investigations.	June 10, 2004	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	369. Howard v. Food Lion, Inc. ¶ 232 F.Supp.2d 585, 597+ , M.D.N.C. CIVIL RIGHTS - Immunity. Referee for employment security commission had absolute immunity from employee's §1983 claims.	Oct. 23, 2002	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	370. Gemini Enterprises, Inc. v. WFMY Television Corp. ¶ 470 F.Supp. 559, 566+ , M.D.N.C. Astrologer and astrological forecasting service brought action against television station, television network and trade association of commercial radio and television broadcasters...	May 01, 1979	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	371. Stith v. Barnwell ¶ 447 F.Supp. 970, 972+ , M.D.N.C. Action was brought under Civil Rights Act by discharged employee of Department of Housing and Urban Development to obtain reinstatement. The District Court, Gordon, Chief Judge,...	Mar. 31, 1978	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.









Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	372. Chase v. McMasters ¶ 405 F.Supp. 1297, 1300+ , D.N.D. In a civil rights action, plaintiff complained of the refusal of a city to tie sewer and water into an urban lot held by the United States in trust for her as an Indian. On...	Dec. 20, 1975	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	373. Stanko v. Bosselman Enterprises ¶ 2017 WL 5953157, *2+ , D.Neb. This matter is before the Court on a Motion to Dismiss (Filing No. 3) filed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) by defendants Bosselman Enterprises ("Bosselman")...	Apr. 03, 2017	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	374. Blank v. Heineman ¶ 771 F.Supp. 1013, 1016+ , D.Neb. Officers of county republican party brought civil rights action against party claiming they were removed from party because they were evangelical christians. The District Court,...	Jan. 11, 1991	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	375. Mitchell v. City of Henderson 2015 WL 427835, *19+ , D.Nev. The three plaintiffs—Michael and Linda Mitchell (the "Parents") and their adult son Anthony Mitchell—filed this suit in response to events occurring in and around their respective...	Feb. 02, 2015	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	376. Putzer v. Whorton ¶ 2008 WL 4167509, *5+ , D.Nev. The Court has considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Robert A. McQuaid, Jr. (# 27) entered on August 6, 2008, in which the Magistrate Judge...	Sep. 03, 2008	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	377. Productions & Leasing v. Hotel Conquistador, Inc. ¶ 573 F.Supp. 717, 723+ , D.Nev. Plaintiff brought action against Nevada Gaming Commission and others seeking civil damages under civil rights statutes and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act. ...	July 13, 1982	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	378. Templeman v. Beasley ¶ 1993 WL 436842, *4+ , D.N.H. Before the court is defendant United States of America's Motion to Dismiss. (Doc. no. 14). Defendant seeks to have the complaint dismissed pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil...	Aug. 23, 1993	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	379. Cresci v. Kazan ¶ 2023 WL 358559, *4+ , D.N.J. On October 20, 2022, Magistrate Judge James B. Clark, III denied Plaintiff Peter Cresci's motion to amend his complaint. (DE 42.) This matter comes before the Court upon Cresci's...	Jan. 23, 2023	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	380. Murray-Nolan v. Rubin ¶ 2022 WL 4104343, *13+ , D.N.J. Plaintiff Gwyneth K. Murray-Nolan alleges that Defendants violated her First Amendment rights by retaliating against her chosen protest of COVID-related masking requirements: not...	Sep. 08, 2022	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	381. Salaam v. Small ¶ 2022 WL 577959, *6+ , D.N.J. Pending before the Court is a Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 14) filed by Defendants Marty Small, Sr., City of Atlantic City, and Alexis Waiters, collectively ("Defendants"), arguing...	Feb. 25, 2022	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	382. Devericks v. Cape May (Region) Office of Public Defender ¶ 2019 WL 97120, *4+ , D.N.J. Plaintiff Joshua Devericks, a pretrial detainee confined at Cape May County Correctional Center in Cape May, New Jersey, brings this civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983....	Jan. 03, 2019	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	383. Baker v. U.S. Marshal Service 2015 WL 377042, *6+ , D.N.J. This matter comes before the Court following supplemental briefing on a motion for summary judgment by Defendants Chief of Police John Scott Thompson and the City of Camden ("the...	Jan. 28, 2015	Case		1 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	384. Shabazz v. New Brunswick Police Dept. 2014 WL 2931602, *15+ , D.N.J. Presently before the Court is a Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint by pro se Plaintiff, Noble Aaron Shabazz. See Docket Entry No. 24. Plaintiff seeks to add 14 new...	June 27, 2014	Case		1 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	385. Johnson v. Maynard ¶ 2013 WL 6865584, *6+ , D.N.J. This matter comes before the Court upon two motions by the Plaintiff Anthony J. Johnson ("Plaintiff"), see Docket Entries Nos. 9 and 13, one seeking to amend his original complaint...	Dec. 23, 2013	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	386. Pitak v. Bell Atlantic Network Services, Inc. ¶ 928 F.Supp. 1354, 1369+ , D.N.J. Employees who were discharged as part of reduction-in-force brought action against their former employer, its parent corporation and company officials, claiming discharge in...	May 08, 1996	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	387. Perez v. Cucci ¶ 725 F.Supp. 209, 247+ , D.N.J. City police officer brought civil rights action against city officials after he was demoted. The District Court, Harold A. Ackerman, J., held that officer's demotion for...	May 02, 1989	Case		2 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 388. Skadegaard v. Farrell ¶ 578 F.Supp. 1209, 1215+ , D.N.J. Female employee of state agency sued supervisory personnel under Civil Rights Act, based on Fourteenth Amendment, claiming sexual harassment and retaliatory conspiracy for...	Jan. 19, 1984	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	389. Hauptmann v. Wilentz ¶ 570 F.Supp. 351, 364+ , D.N.J. Widow of individual convicted and executed for 1932 kidnapping of infant son of famous aviator brought civil rights act suit against the then state prosecutor, state police...	Aug. 11, 1983	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 390. Kyriazi v. Western Elec. Co. ¶ 461 F.Supp. 894, 949+ , D.N.J. Female former employee brought class action civil rights action against employer and also brought charges of conspiracy and interference with employment contract against certain of...	Oct. 30, 1978	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	391. Gray v. City of New York ¶ 2014 WL 12862303, *15+ , E.D.N.Y. In a memorandum and order dated March 19, 2012, and filed on March 20, 2012, this Court granted motions to dismiss the City of New York; its then-Mayor Michael Bloomberg; the...	Feb. 25, 2014	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	392. Lamar Advertising of Penn, LLC v. Pitman ¶ 573 F.Supp.2d 700, 711+ , N.D.N.Y. CIVIL RIGHTS - Due Process. Applicant for area variance did not have protectible property interest in granting of its application.	Aug. 06, 2008	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	393. Blount v. Swiderski ¶ 2006 WL 3314635, *15+ , E.D.N.Y. Plaintiff Yvonne Blount ("Blount"), a black female, claims that, on January 6, 2000, she was physically assaulted by defendant Peter Swiderski ("Swiderski"), a court officer,...	Nov. 14, 2006	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 394. Elmaghraby v. Ashcroft ¶ 2005 WL 2375202, *32+ , E.D.N.Y. Plaintiffs Ehab Elmaghraby and Javaid Iqbal are Muslim men from Egypt and Pakistan, respectively, who were arrested on criminal charges in the months following September 11, 2001,...	Sep. 27, 2005	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 395. Rowe Entertainment, Inc. v. William Morris Agency, Inc. 2005 WL 22833, *21+ , S.D.N.Y. This opinion will address the motions of Defendants William Morris Agency, Inc. ("WMA"), Creative Artists Agency, LLC ("CAA") and Renaissance Entertainment, Inc....	Jan. 05, 2005	Case		3 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	396. Felton v. Maines Cash & Carry, Inc. ¶¶ 2001 WL 118594, *3+ , N.D.N.Y. Presently before the Court are Defendants' motion to dismiss and Plaintiff's cross-motion to amend his complaint and to extend time for service upon defendant Woods. For the...	Feb. 02, 2001	Case		3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	397. Guveiyian v. Keefe ¶¶ 1998 WL 199843, *5+ , E.D.N.Y. By Memorandum and Order dated January 12, 1998 this Court granted defendants' motion to dismiss portions of the Complaint in this action. Plaintiff filed and served an Amended...	Mar. 24, 1998	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	398. Li v. Canarozzi ¶¶ 1997 WL 40979, *3+ , S.D.N.Y. Plaintiff Jian An Li served the instant order to show cause on Wednesday, January 29, 1997—two working days before this case was scheduled to go to trial. Plaintiff seeks leave...	Feb. 03, 1997	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	399. Rini v. Zwirn 886 F.Supp. 270, 290+ , E.D.N.Y. Former employees of town brought action arising out of their discharges against town, former town supervisor, town board members, other former town officials, president of public...	May 05, 1995	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	400. Srubar v. Rudd, Rosenberg, Mitofsky & Hollender ¶¶ 875 F.Supp. 155, 159+ , S.D.N.Y. Tenant filed pro se civil rights action against landlord and landlord's attorneys concerning holdover proceedings to evict tenant from her rent-controlled apartment. On motion to...	Dec. 09, 1994	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	401. Trautz v. Weisman 819 F.Supp. 282, 290+ , S.D.N.Y. Residents of adult care facility for mentally and emotionally disturbed people brought action against owners/operators of facility, facility, manager, and lessor of buildings used...	Apr. 06, 1993	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	402. Beyah v. Scully 1992 WL 51564, *3+ , S.D.N.Y. Plaintiff sues under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983, 1985 and 1986, and 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242, alleging that while he was incarcerated at the Green Haven Correctional Facility,...	Mar. 13, 1992	Case		3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	403. Pro-Choice Network of Western New York v. Project Rescue Western New York ¶¶ 799 F.Supp. 1417, 1429+ , W.D.N.Y. Health care providers sought preliminary injunction prohibiting abortion protestors from engaging in allegedly illegal effort to prevent women from obtaining abortions and other...	Feb. 14, 1992	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	404. Scales v. Village of Camden ¶ 1990 WL 152068, *9+ , N.D.N.Y. Plaintiffs have commenced an action under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985(3), and 15 U.S.C. §§ 1, 2, and 15 seeking damages for alleged violations of their rights to procedural and...	Oct. 05, 1990	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	405. Scales v. Village of Camden ¶ 1990 WL 124066, *9+ , N.D.N.Y. Plaintiffs have commenced an action under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985(3), and 15 U.S.C. §§ 1, 2, and 15 seeking damages for alleged violations of their rights to procedural and...	Aug. 17, 1990	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	406. Weg v. Macchiarola ¶ 729 F.Supp. 328, 340+ , S.D.N.Y. City employee brought civil rights and malicious prosecution action against city officials based on his suspension and subsequent prosecution for theft of services. On...	Jan. 24, 1990	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	407. Levy v. City of New York 726 F.Supp. 1446, 1453+ , S.D.N.Y. Apartment building owners brought civil rights action against city and various city officials and employees. On motion by city defendants to dismiss, the District Court, Leisure,...	Dec. 26, 1989	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	408. Verhagen v. Olarte ¶ 1989 WL 146265, *3+ , S.D.N.Y. Defendants move under Rule 12(b)(6), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to dismiss the complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Defendants filed...	Nov. 21, 1989	Case		4 11 14 S.Ct.
Discussed by	409. Cousins v. Terry ¶ 721 F.Supp. 426, 429+ , N.D.N.Y. Medical facilities that provided abortion services brought action against antiabortion protestors alleging, inter alia, violation of federal civil rights statute and requesting...	Sep. 22, 1989	Case		2 3 12 S.Ct.
Discussed by	410. Spencer v. Casavilla ¶ 717 F.Supp. 1057, 1060+ , S.D.N.Y. Parents of black man who was fatally beaten by whites brought civil rights action. The District Court, Haight, J., held that parents had no action under § 1983 or § 1985....	July 27, 1989	Case		3 4 14 S.Ct.
Discussed by	411. Jones v. Deutsch 715 F.Supp. 1237, 1248+ , S.D.N.Y. Organizations and individuals concerned with development of housing project for the homeless brought action to bar proposed incorporation of municipality. The District Court,...	June 28, 1989	Case		11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<p> 412. New York State Nat. Organization for Women v. Terry ¶¶ 704 F.Supp. 1247, 1258+ , S.D.N.Y.</p> <p>Coalition of women's organizations and abortion providers brought action against group committed to antiabortion protests and individuals affiliated with group, seeking permanent...</p>	Jan. 20, 1989	Case		<p>2 3 4</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>413. Gendalia v. Gioffre ¶¶ 606 F.Supp. 363, 367+ , S.D.N.Y.</p> <p>Town employees brought action against town and town officials claiming deprivation of property without due process by defendants arising out of defendants' failure to pay employees...</p>	Mar. 29, 1985	Case		<p>2 3 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>414. Maidman v. Regent House Tenants Corporation ¶¶ 1984 WL 1307, *2+ , S.D.N.Y.</p> <p>Plaintiff Lea Maidman ('Ms. Maidman'), a resident and shareholder in a New York cooperative apartment building known as Regent House, has moved this court for an order enjoining...</p>	Dec. 05, 1984	Case		<p>2 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>415. Helander v. Patrick ¶¶ 1984 WL 224, *4+ , S.D.N.Y.</p> <p>Plaintiff, Wendy Joy Helander, commenced this action for permanent injunctive relief and compensatory and punitive damages based on an alleged conspiracy by the named defendants...</p>	Apr. 19, 1984	Case		<p>2 3 4</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>416. Moore v. East New York Sav. Bank ¶¶ 1981 WL 402, *3+ , E.D.N.Y.</p> <p>Defendants East New York Savings Bank (Bank), Marie Janis, John Rao, and Collette Purdy move to dismiss plaintiffs' claims pursuant to Rule 12(b)(1) and (6) and for summary...</p>	Dec. 01, 1981	Case		<p>2</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> 417. Levitch v. Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc. 495 F.Supp. 649, 659+ , S.D.N.Y.</p> <p>A complaint by 26 independent film producers and directors against three national broadcasting networks and their New York licensed television stations charged defendants with...</p>	July 23, 1980	Case		<p>2 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>418. Guadagno v. Local 50, Bakery, Confectionery and Tobacco Workers Union 1980 WL 2159, *2+ , S.D.N.Y.</p> <p>In this civil rights action plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive damages against Local 50, Bakery, Confectionery and Tobacco Workers Union ("Local 50"), and several officers...</p>	June 16, 1980	Case		<p>4 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> 419. Spirt v. Teachers Ins. and Annuity Ass'n ¶¶ 475 F.Supp. 1298, 1313+ , S.D.N.Y.</p> <p>In a suit by a tenured college professor against her university and two retirement annuity plans on allegations that the plans engaged in impermissible sex discrimination by...</p>	Aug. 09, 1979	Case		<p>2 4 11</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 420. Amalgamated Clothing and Textile Workers Union v. J. P. Stevens & Co., Inc. ” 475 F.Supp. 482, 491+ , S.D.N.Y. Labor union invoked antitrust laws and civil rights laws and prayed, in aid of its efforts to organize textile company's employees, for declaratory and injunctive relief, as well...	Aug. 03, 1979	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 421. Weiss v. Willow Tree Civic Ass'n ” 467 F.Supp. 803, 812+ , S.D.N.Y. Hasidic Jews brought complaint alleging that civic association and its members had conspired and acted to harass and delay Hasidic Jews who sought to establish housing development...	Feb. 08, 1979	Case		8 9 10 S.Ct.
Discussed by	422. Kops v. New York Telephone Co. ” 456 F.Supp. 1090, 1094+ , S.D.N.Y. Attorneys who operated a legal clinic brought suit against telephone company, alleging that the telephone company's refusal to place their advertisement for legal services in the...	Sep. 19, 1978	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 423. Morpurgo v. Board of Higher Ed. in City of New York ” 423 F.Supp. 704, 711+ , S.D.N.Y. Graduate student who was formerly employed as adjunct lecturer and enrolled in doctoral program at city university commenced actions under various provisions of the Civil Rights...	Nov. 18, 1976	Case		5 S.Ct.
Discussed by	424. Johnston v. National Broadcasting Co., Inc. ” 356 F.Supp. 904, 908+ , E.D.N.Y. Proceedings on motion to dismiss complaint seeking damages for alleged violation of plaintiff's civil rights. The District Court, Bartels, J., held that complaint alleging that...	Mar. 21, 1973	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 425. Prows v. City of Oxford ” 2023 WL 3868266, *9+ , S.D. Ohio On November 28, 2022, plaintiff initiated this civil rights action against the City of Oxford, Ohio (the "City"), its chief of police John Jones ("Chief Jones"), its mayor Michael...	June 07, 2023	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	426. Miller v. Countrywide Home Loans ” 747 F.Supp.2d 947, 955+ , S.D. Ohio COMMERCIAL LAW - Debt Collection. Foreclosed mortgagor could not maintain Fair Debt Collections Act (FDCA) claims against mortgagee.	Sep. 30, 2010	Case		2 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	427. B & B Entertainment, Inc. v. Dunfee ” 630 F.Supp.2d 870, 885+ , S.D. Ohio CIVIL RIGHTS - Municipal Liability. Owner of adult cabaret business failed to state § 1983 claim against ministry or county related to protest activities.	June 08, 2009	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	428. Lightborne Pub., Inc. v. Citizens For Community Values ¶ 2009 WL 778241, *9+ , S.D.Ohio CIVIL RIGHTS - Free Speech. News publication sufficiently pled that it was participating in a protected activity by alleging adult services advertisements were legitimate...	Mar. 20, 2009	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	429. Kirkland v. Luken 536 F.Supp.2d 857, 878+ , S.D.Ohio CIVIL RIGHTS - Free Speech. Mayor's directing that attendee's microphone be turned off in public forum did not violate attendee's right to free speech	Mar. 06, 2008	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	430. Domokur v. Milton Tp. Bd. of Trustees ¶ 2007 WL 2688175, *2+ , N.D.Ohio This matter is before the Court upon a Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants Milton Township Board of Trustees, Aloysius Baker, Jr., Harold Moore, and Suzan Lemmon. (Dkt.# 8). Also...	Sep. 10, 2007	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	431. Dohner v. Neff ¶ 240 F.Supp.2d 692, 704+ , N.D.Ohio LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT - Discrimination. Sheriff was not entitled to qualified immunity from dispatcher's First Amendment retaliation claim.	Dec. 11, 2002	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	432. Spicuzza v. Ace Hardware, Inc. ¶ 1983 WL 31058, *3+ , N.D.Ohio This cause came to be heard on motions for summary judgment by defendants Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen and Helpers of America, Local Union No. 20 (hereinafter "Union") and...	May 13, 1983	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	433. Coon v. Froehlich ¶ 556 F.Supp. 115, 118+ , S.D.Ohio Plaintiff in an action under the 1871 civil rights statute and the federal statute providing cause of action for conspiracy to deprive of equal protection rights or equal...	Jan. 25, 1983	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	434. Wilson v. Moss ¶ 537 F.Supp. 281, 283+ , S.D.Ohio Licensed psychologist, who was tenured professor at state university, asserted five causes of action against defendants, including causes of action based on allegations that...	Apr. 05, 1982	Case		2 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	435. Williams v. Southern Ry. System ¶ 1976 WL 13232, *1+ , S.D.Ohio This is a civil rights action brought under several provisions of the federal civil rights acts. The plaintiff, a railroad trainman, has filed a complaint naming several railroad...	Nov. 02, 1976	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	436. Skillings v. Crowder ” 2019 WL 1377264, *3+ , N.D.Okla. Before the Court is Defendant David Boggs' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (Doc. 51). Defendant Boggs argues that Plaintiff's claims against him should be dismissed for failure...	Mar. 26, 2019	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	437. Jackson v. Education and Employment Ministry ” 2016 WL 3211989, *5+ , W.D.Okla. Before the Court is Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. No. 24] filed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56. Defendants seek judgment as a matter of law on all claims....	June 09, 2016	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	438. Waters v. Premo 2019 WL 943383, *7+ , D.Or. Plaintiff Dennis Waters ("Waters"), proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed a civil rights complaint stemming from his placement in administrative segregation at the...	Feb. 26, 2019	Case		1 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	439. Johnson v. Noack ” 2018 WL 3340876, *15+ , D.Or. Mario Johnson ("Plaintiff"), a self-represented litigant, brings this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action against four Oregon Department of Corrections ("ODOC") employees—Lindsay Noack...	July 06, 2018	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	440. Hadley v. Multnomah County 2009 WL 891809, *4+ , D.Or. Plaintiffs David Hadley, Linda Hadley, Jeff Cordes, Bret Burton, and Ofelia McMenemy bring this action against defendants Multnomah County, former Multnomah County Sheriff Bernie...	Mar. 31, 2009	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	441. Portland Feminist Women's Health Center v. Advocates For Life, Inc. ” 712 F.Supp. 165, 167+ , D.Or. Women's health center, and certain of its directors, employees and clients, filed action against abortion opponents, alleging that they violated § 1985(3) and § 1986 by their...	July 28, 1988	Case		3 4 14 S.Ct.
Discussed by	442. Portland Feminist Women's Health Center v. Advocates for Life, Inc. ” 681 F.Supp. 688, 689+ , D.Or. Abortion advocates brought civil rights action against abortion opponents. On defendants' motion to dismiss, the District Court, Frye, J., held that: (1) conspiracy to deprive...	Mar. 04, 1988	Case		3 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	443. Davis v. Geo Group, Inc. ” 2021 WL 4952571, *7+ , W.D.Pa. Before the Court is Defendants David O'Neill ("O'Neill") and Donna Mellendick's ("Mellendick") Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint for Lack of Subject Matter...	Oct. 25, 2021	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.














Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	444. Sundberg v. DiRocco 2017 WL 3394314, *10+ , M.D.Pa. When the American starlet Mae West remarked of a friend, "there is less here than meets the eye," few could predict this same observation would one day apply to a matter pending...	Aug. 08, 2017	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	445. K.L.Q. v. Plum Borough School District 2016 WL 2892174, *3+ , W.D.Pa. Presently before the Court is a Motion for Partial Dismissal of the Amended Complaint filed by Defendants Timothy Glasspool, Plum Borough School District, Justin Stephens, and...	May 17, 2016	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	446. Link v. Adamski 2015 WL 6873574, *7+ , W.D.Pa. This case has been referred to United States Magistrate Maureen P. Kelly for pretrial proceedings in accordance with the Magistrates Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 636 and Local Rule of Civil...	Nov. 09, 2015	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	447. Humphrey v. Wetzel 2015 WL 4993824, *11+ , W.D.Pa. AND NOW, this 13th day of August, 2015, after Plaintiff Douglas E. Humphrey filed a complaint in the above-captioned case, and after [30] Motion to Dismiss was filed by Defendants,...	Aug. 19, 2015	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	448. Crosby v. Harper 2014 WL 213294, *5+ , E.D.Pa. AND NOW, this 8th day of January, 2014, upon careful and independent consideration of the pleadings and record herein, and after review of the Report and Recommendation of United...	Jan. 08, 2014	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	449. Ansell v. Ross Tp. 2012 WL 1038825, *21+ , W.D.Pa. This matter comes before the Court on four separate motions for summary judgment filed by the Defendants pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56. Doc. Nos. 66, 68, 70 & 74....	Mar. 28, 2012	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	450. Perano v. Arbaugh 2011 WL 1103885, *14+ , E.D.Pa. This matter is before the court on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint filed together with a brief on June 11, 2010. Plaintiff filed a responsive brief on...	Mar. 25, 2011	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	451. Keating v. Pittston City 2011 WL 1466375, *6+ , M.D.Pa. On March 3, 2011, Plaintiff Marc Keating, an inmate at the Lackawanna County Prison ("LCP") at Scranton, Pennsylvania, filed, pro se, this civil rights action, pursuant to 42...	Mar. 21, 2011	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	452. Tomino v. City of Bethlehem 2010 WL 1348536, *16+ , E.D.Pa. NOW, this 31st day of March, 2010, upon consideration of Defendants, City of Bethlehem and John R. Lezoche's Partial Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint, which motion was filed...	Mar. 31, 2010	Case		2 4 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	453. Jackson v. City of Pittsburgh ¶ 688 F.Supp.2d 379, 395+ , W.D.Pa. CIVIL RIGHTS - Searches and Seizures. Fact issues precluded summary judgment on arrestee's Fourth Amendment claim against city and police officers.	Feb. 22, 2010	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	454. Wright v. Loftus ¶ 2009 WL 4051244, *4+ , M.D.Pa. Presently before the Court is Magistrate Judge Blewitt's Report and Recommendation ("R & R") of July 22, 2009 (Doc. 3) and Plaintiff's Objections to the Magistrate Judge's R & R...	Nov. 20, 2009	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	455. Knight v. Drye ¶ 2009 WL 2928899, *3+ , E.D.Pa. CIVIL RIGHTS - Attorney Fees. Police officer's equal protection conspiracy claim against police officer associations was groundless and therefore police officer associations were...	Sep. 09, 2009	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	456. Kay v. Clear channel Communications, Inc. ¶ 2005 WL 758250, *6+ , E.D.Pa. This matter is before the court on the Motion of Defendants Clear Channel Communications, Inc., Lowry Mays, Mark Mays, Randall Mays, John Hogan, James Shea, Jay Sterin, Richard...	Mar. 31, 2005	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	457. Friends and Residents of Saint Thomas Tp., Inc. v. Saint Thomas Development, Inc. ¶ 2005 WL 6133388, *11+ , M.D.Pa. Before the Court are motions to dismiss filed by all of the Defendants in this case. (Doc. Nos. 6 and 7.) The motions have been fully briefed and are ripe for disposition. For the...	Mar. 31, 2005	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	458. Williams v. Brotherhood Mission's Bd. of Trustees ¶ 2004 WL 503490, *3+ , E.D.Pa. Presently before this Court are the Motion for Summary Judgment filed on July 22, 2003 by James Alston, Brotherhood Mission's Board of Trustees, Terrilynn Donnell, Alexander Hall,...	Feb. 10, 2004	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	459. Setchko v. Township of Lower Southampton ¶ 2001 WL 229625, *3+ , E.D.Pa. Presently before the Court is the Motion to Dismiss, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), of Defendants, the Township of Lower Southampton ("Lower Southampton"),...	Mar. 08, 2001	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	460. Gordon v. Lowell ¶ 95 F.Supp.2d 264, 271+ , E.D.Pa. FAMILY LAW - Adoption. Grandparents could not challenge adoption of granddaughter under federal law.	Apr. 18, 2000	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 461. Ozoemena v. City of Philadelphia ¶¶ 1997 WL 633749, *4+ , E.D.Pa. There are three Motions to Dismiss for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, filed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6)—one filed by...	Oct. 01, 1997	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	462. Pierce v. Montgomery County Opportunity Bd., Inc. ¶¶ 884 F.Supp. 965, 978+ , E.D.Pa. Former executive director of county opportunity board sued board, several of its directors, and two officials of State Department of Community Affairs, based on her termination. ...	Mar. 31, 1995	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 463. Welch v. Board of Directors of Wildwood Golf Club ¶¶ 877 F.Supp. 955, 958+ , W.D.Pa. Women members of golf club brought class action under §§ 1983 and 1985(3) against club and owners of land on which club was located, seeking to be free from sexual discrimination,...	Feb. 16, 1995	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	464. Dover v. Marine Transport Lines ¶¶ 1991 WL 204032, *1+ , E.D.Pa. Plaintiffs Richard Wilmott and Harry Cole brought this action because defendant Keystone Shipping denied them employment. Plaintiffs have alleged that defendant Keystone Shipping...	Oct. 04, 1991	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	465. Sieger v. Township of Tinticum 1990 WL 10349, *3+ , E.D.Pa. Presently before the Court are defendant Upper Darby Township's motion to dismiss, incorporating defendant Borough of Lansdowne's memorandum of law filed in support of its motion...	Feb. 06, 1990	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	466. Sieger v. Township of Tinticum 1990 WL 10351, *3+ , E.D.Pa. Presently before the Court is defendant Borough of Lansdowne's Motion to Dismiss and the plaintiffs' response. For the following reasons, defendant's motion is GRANTED....	Feb. 06, 1990	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 467. Roe v. Operation Rescue 710 F.Supp. 577, 581+ , E.D.Pa. In action against antiabortion protesters, plaintiffs sought summary judgment on certain claims and permanent injunctive relief. The District Court, Newcomer, J., held that: (1)...	Mar. 21, 1989	Case		2 11 14 S.Ct.
Discussed by	468. Green v. Cook 1988 WL 48558, *1+ , E.D.Pa. Howard I. Green, pro se, a former federal prisoner, contends that defendants, either employees of the Federal Bureau of Prisons, the United States Department of Justice, the...	May 12, 1988	Case		2 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	469. Dudosh v. City of Allentown ¶ 629 F.Supp. 849, 852+ , E.D.Pa. Administrator of murder victim's estate brought action against city and city police officers for failure to provide adequate police protection. On defendants' motion to dismiss,...	Dec. 18, 1985	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	470. Smith v. Private Industry Council of Westmoreland and Fayette Counties, Inc. ¶ 622 F.Supp. 160, 165+ , W.D.Pa. Black adult male filed complaint against nonprofit corporation, its executive director, and member of the appointing council, alleging separate causes of action under the federal...	Nov. 04, 1985	Case		4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	471. Stoltzfus v. Ulrich ¶ 587 F.Supp. 1226, 1228+ , E.D.Pa. Employee brought action under civil rights conspiracy statute against employer for alleged unlawful discharge upon basis of religion. On employer's motion for dismissal of...	May 01, 1984	Case		2 3 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	472. Miller v. Indiana Hosp. 562 F.Supp. 1259, 1282+ , W.D.Pa. Licensed Pennsylvania physician sued private hospital, administrators and physicians thereat and Secretary of Pennsylvania Department of Health complaining of refusal to accept or...	Apr. 27, 1983	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	473. Schnabel v. Building and Const. Trades Council of Philadelphia and Vicinity, AFL-CIO ¶ 563 F.Supp. 1030, 1039+ , E.D.Pa. Construction company, company president, construction worker and construction manager brought action against unions and former and existing union officials, alleging that...	Apr. 13, 1983	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	474. Horne v. Farrell ¶ 560 F.Supp. 219, 225+ , M.D.Pa. Plaintiff filed suit alleging that he was threatened and coerced into signing agreement admitting liability and agreeing to pay debt in full. On defendants' motions to dismiss...	Jan. 28, 1983	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	475. Petrone v. City of Reading 541 F.Supp. 735, 740+ , E.D.Pa. Suit was brought against city and city officials alleging discriminatory code enforcement with respect to plaintiff's pizza franchise business, and alleging defamation. On motions...	June 23, 1982	Case		2 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	476. Murphy v. Villanova University ¶ 520 F.Supp. 560, 561+ , E.D.Pa. Plaintiff, a law student formerly enrolled in university's work-study program, brought suit pursuant to section 1983 against university and certain university employees alleging...	July 07, 1981	Case		2 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	477. Lyon v. Temple University of Com. System of Higher Ed. ¶ 507 F.Supp. 471, 478+ , E.D.Pa. Male professors brought suit alleging that university and union jointly and willfully discriminated against them on basis of sex by paying them lower salaries than those paid to...	Jan. 15, 1981	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	478. Shirey v. Bensalem Tp. ¶ 501 F.Supp. 1138, 1140+ , E.D.Pa. Plaintiffs brought class action against municipalities, police chiefs, and unknown police officers alleging deprivation of their civil rights as a result of an unlawful conspiracy...	Nov. 21, 1980	Case		2 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	479. Augenti v. Cappellini ¶ 84 F.R.D. 73, 78+ , M.D.Pa. Action was brought by member of international religious organization against his parents, their attorney and numerous other individuals who were involved in an effort to...	Aug. 31, 1979	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	480. Marchwinski v. Oliver Tyrone Corp. ¶ 461 F.Supp. 160, 169+ , W.D.Pa. Female cleaning personnel filed class action against corporate employers, unincorporated association and union local, alleging sex discrimination in employment in violation of...	Nov. 09, 1978	Case		3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	481. Keddie v. Pennsylvania State University ¶ 412 F.Supp. 1264, 1275+ , M.D.Pa. A former nontenured assistant university professor brought a civil rights action against university authorities, seeking damages and declaratory and injunctive relief because of...	Feb. 28, 1976	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	482. U. S. ex rel. Bennett v. Prasse ¶ 408 F.Supp. 988, 998+ , E.D.Pa. State prisoner brought civil rights action against prison officials, seeking damages for being placed in administrative segregation. The United States District Court, Ralph C....	Feb. 25, 1976	Case		—
Discussed by	483. Tarasi v. Pittsburgh Nat. Bank ¶ 401 F.Supp. 420, 420+ , W.D.Pa. In civil rights action, defendants moved to dismiss. The District Court, McCune, J., held, inter alia, that if defendant bank had a valid judgment against plaintiff, it was under...	Sep. 12, 1975	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	484. Hazo v. Geltz ¶ 395 F.Supp. 1331, 1336+ , W.D.Pa. Judgment debtor brought action under civil rights statute against judgment creditor, creditor's attorney, and deputy sheriff. Defendants moved to dismiss. The District Court,...	June 19, 1975	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 485. Pendrell v. Chatham College ¶ 386 F.Supp. 341, 346+ , W.D.Pa. After her prior action involving the same factual situation had been dismissed, a former associate professor of anthropology at a private college filed a so-called 'amended...	Dec. 05, 1974	Case		2 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	486. Hohensee v. Dailey ¶ 383 F.Supp. 6, 10+ , M.D.Pa. Civil rights action by tenant against landlords seeking damages and injunction relating to attempted eviction of tenant. On defendants' motion to dismiss, the District Court,...	Oct. 08, 1974	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 487. Bond v. Delaware County ¶ 368 F.Supp. 618, 629+ , E.D.Pa. Action by county prison guard against county commissioners, district attorney, county controller, county sheriff and the members of county board of supervisors of political party...	Dec. 20, 1973	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	488. McIntosh v. Garofalo ¶ 367 F.Supp. 501, 505+ , W.D.Pa. Civil rights action based on conspiracy between defendant and juror to deprive plaintiff of favorable verdict in state medical malpractice suit. The District Court, Teitelbaum,...	Nov. 16, 1973	Case		2 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 489. Stern v. Massachusetts Indem. & Life Ins. Co. ¶ 365 F.Supp. 433, 442+ , E.D.Pa. Civil rights action in which plaintiff alleged that defendant insurer refused to sell disability insurance to women containing the same terms and conditions available to men solely...	Oct. 12, 1973	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 490. Com. of Pa. v. Local Union No. 542, Intern. Union of Operating Engineers ¶ 347 F.Supp. 268, 291+ , E.D.Pa. Proceeding on plaintiffs' petition for an injunction pendente lite on ground that union and some of its members, officers, and agents were pursuing a course of violence, harassment...	Aug. 04, 1972	Case		3 4 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 491. Turner v. Unification Church ¶ 473 F.Supp. 367, 373+ , D.R.I. Suit was instituted on complaint asserting causes of action under numerous federal statutes, one constitutional amendment, and two Rhode Island common-law counts. On motion of...	Oct. 25, 1978	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	492. Weiss v. Patrick 453 F.Supp. 717, 723+ , D.R.I. Plaintiff brought action alleging that defendants had conspired to deprive her of her civil rights and claiming damages for assault and battery and false imprisonment. The District...	June 01, 1978	Case		2 11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	493. Holmes v. Becker ¶ 2018 WL 6926606, *5+ , D.S.C. This action has been filed by the Plaintiff, pro se, originally asserting claims pursuant to the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act (FDCPA), 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et. seq., and the...	Oct. 31, 2018	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	494. Stanfield v. Wigger ¶ 2015 WL 58077, *4+ , D.S.C. This matter is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R & R") of the Magistrate Judge (ECF No. 14). Following pre-service review pursuant to the provisions of 28...	Jan. 05, 2015	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	495. Jackson v. Williams ¶ 2010 WL 5644798, *3+ , D.S.C. The plaintiff, Lawrence Dennell Jackson ("Plaintiff"), proceeding pro se, brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff is an inmate at Evans Correctional...	Dec. 09, 2010	Case		2 S.Ct.
Discussed by	496. Harris v. Sumter County Sheriff's Dept. ¶ 2001 WL 34685102, *2+ , D.S.C. This matter is before the court for review of the magistrate judge's report and recommendation made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02. The magistrate...	Feb. 21, 2001	Case		2 11 S.Ct.
Discussed by	497. Birdnecklace v. Steele ¶ 2008 WL 1766720, *4+ , D.S.D. Plaintiff, William Birdnecklace, filed a pro se complaint alleging that defendants acted improperly in relation to the 2006 Oglala Sioux Tribe election of the tribe's council...	Apr. 11, 2008	Case		2 3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	498. Dubray v. Rosebud Housing Authority 565 F.Supp. 462, 466+ , D.S.D. Employees discharged from their positions with Indian housing authority brought action against the authority, managing director, several members of the board of directors and the...	May 06, 1983	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	499. Shortbull v. Looking Elk ¶ 507 F.Supp. 917, 920+ , D.S.D. Nonenrolled member of the Oglala Sioux Tribe brought action under statute affording civil remedy for conspiracy to deprive person or class of persons of equal protection of laws or...	Feb. 19, 1981	Case		2 3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	500. Zelaya v. Hammer ¶ 516 F.Supp.3d 778, 802+ , E.D.Tenn. CIVIL RIGHTS — Constitutional Torts. District court could not extend Bivens remedy to race-based equal-protection claim brought by employees against Department of Homeland Security...	Jan. 31, 2021	Case		1 2 3 S.Ct.