



KeyCite Yellow Flag - Negative Treatment

Not Followed as Dicta [Hutchins v. District of Columbia](#), D.C.Cir., June 18, 1999

78 S.Ct. 1113

Supreme Court of the United States

Rockwell KENT and Walter Briehl, Petitioners,

v.

John Foster DULLES, Secretary of State.

No. 481.

|  
Argued April 10, 1958.

|  
Decided June 16, 1958.

### Synopsis

Separate actions against Secretary of State for declaration, inter alia, that plaintiffs were entitled to passports. The United States District Court for the District of Columbia, in both cases, granted motions of Secretary and plaintiffs appealed. The United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, [101 U.S.App.D.C. 239](#), [248 F.2d 561](#), [101 U.S.App.D.C. 278](#), [248 F.2d 600](#), affirmed and Supreme Court granted certiorari. The Supreme Court, Mr. Justice Douglas, held that under statutes providing that passports may be issued under such rules as President shall prescribe and that it is unlawful for citizen to enter or leave United States without a valid passport, Secretary of State did not have authority to promulgate regulations denying passports, in effect, to Communists and to persons whom evidence showed were going abroad to further Communist causes, or regulation giving authority to demand a non-Communist affidavit from citizen applying for passport.

Reversed.

Mr. Justice Clark, Mr. Justice Burton, Mr. Justice Harlan and Mr. Justice Whittaker dissented.

West Headnotes (6)

### [1] Constitutional Law

🔑 [Travel and movement](#)

Right to travel is a part of “liberty” of which citizens cannot be deprived without due process of law. [U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 5](#).

[218 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

### [2] Constitutional Law

🔑 [Constitutional Rights in General](#)

Where activity exercised by an American citizen is included in constitutional protection, court will not readily infer that Congress gave government department unbridled discretion to grant or withhold it.

[14 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

### [3] Constitutional Law

🔑 [Encroachment on legislature](#)

If right of exit from the United States, which is a personal right, is to be regulated it must be pursuant to lawmaking function of Congress. [U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 5](#); [22 U.S.C.A. § 211a](#).

[11 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

### [4] Constitutional Law

🔑 [Foreign policy and national defense](#)

If power to regulate exit of citizens from United States is delegated by Congress standards must be adequate to pass scrutiny by accepted tests. [22 U.S.C.A. § 211a](#).

[24 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

### [5] Aliens, Immigration, and Citizenship

🔑 [Restrictions on travel](#)

Where activities or enjoyment, natural and often necessary to well-being of American citizens, such as travel, are involved, court construes narrowly all delegated powers that curtail or dilute them. [U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 5](#); Immigration and Nationality Act, § 215, [8 U.S.C.A. § 1185](#); Internal Security Act of 1950, §§ 2, 6, [50 U.S.C.A. §§ 781, 785](#).

[45 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

**[6] Aliens, Immigration, and Citizenship**

🔑 [Passports and Travel Documents](#)

**Aliens, Immigration, and Citizenship**

🔑 [Proceedings](#)

Under statutes providing that passports may be issued under such rules as President shall prescribe and that it is unlawful for citizen to enter or leave United States without a valid passport, Secretary of State did not have authority to adopt regulations denying passports, in effect, to Communists and to persons whom evidence showed were going abroad to further Communist causes, or regulation giving authority to demand a non-Communist affidavit from citizen applying for passport. 22 U.S.C.A. §§ 211a, 212; Immigration and Nationality Act, § 215, 8 U.S.C.A. § 1185; Internal Security Act of 1950, §§ 2, 6, 50 U.S.C.A. §§ 781, 785; Proclamation Jan. 17, 1953, No. 3004, 67 Stat. C31.

[65 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

**Attorneys and Law Firms**

**\*\*1113 \*117** Mr. Leonard B. Boudin, New York City, for petitioners.

**\*\*1114** Mr. J. Lee Rankin, Sol. Gen., Washington, D.C., for respondent.

**Opinion**

Mr. Justice DOUGLAS delivered the opinion of the Court.

This case concerns two applications for passports, denied by the Secretary of State. One was by Rockwell Kent who desired to visit England and attend a meeting of an organization known as the 'World Council of Peace' in Helsinki, Finland. The Director of the Passport Office informed Kent that issuance of a passport was precluded by s 51.135 of the Regulations promulgated by the Secretary of State on two grounds:<sup>1</sup> (1) that he was a **\*118** Communist and (2) that he had had 'a consistent and prolonged adherence to the Communist Party line.' The letter of denial specified in some detail the facts on which those conclusions were based. Kent was also advised of his right to an informal

hearing under s 51.137 of the Regulations. But he was also told that whether or not a hearing was requested it would be necessary, before a passport would be issued, to submit an affidavit as to whether he was then or ever had been a Communist.<sup>2</sup> Kent did not ask for a hearing but filed a new passport application listing several European countries he desired to visit. When advised that a hearing was still available to him, his attorney replied that Kent took the position **\*119** that the requirement of an affidavit concerning Communist Party membership 'is unlawful and that for that reason and as a matter of conscience,' he would not supply one. He did, however, have a hearing at which the principal evidence against him was from his book *It's Me O Lord*, which Kent agreed was accurate. He again refused to submit the affidavit, maintaining that any matters unrelated to the question of his citizenship were irrelevant to the Department's consideration of his application. The Department advised him that no further consideration of his application would be given until he satisfied the requirements of the Regulations.

<sup>1</sup> 22 CFR s 51.135 provides:

'In order to promote the national interest by assuring that persons who support the world Communist movement of which the Communist Party is an integral unit may not, through use of United States passports, further the purposes of that movement, no passport, except one limited for direct and immediate return to the United States, shall be issued to:

'(a) Persons who are members of the Communist Party or who have recently terminated such membership under such circumstances as to warrant the conclusion—not otherwise rebutted by the evidence—that they continue to act in furtherance of the interests and under the discipline of the Communist Party;

'(b) Persons, regardless of the formal state of their affiliation with the Communist Party, who engage in activities which support the Communist movement under such circumstances as to warrant the conclusion—not otherwise rebutted by the evidence—that they have engaged in such activities as a result of direction, domination, or control exercised over them by the Communist movement;

'(c) Persons, regardless of the formal state of their affiliation with the Communist Party, as to whom there is reason to believe, on the balance of all the evidence, that they are going abroad to engage in activities which will advance the Communist movement for the purpose, knowingly and wilfully of advancing that movement.'

<sup>2</sup> Section 51.142 of the Regulations provides:

'At any stage of the proceedings in the Passport Division or before the Board, if it is deemed necessary, the

applicant may be required, as a part of his application, to subscribe, under oath or affirmation, to a statement with respect to present or past membership in the Communist Party. If applicant states that he is a Communist, refusal of a passport in his case will be without further proceedings.'

Thereupon Kent sued in the District Court for declaratory relief. The District Court granted summary judgment for respondent. On appeal the case of Kent was heard with that of Dr. Walter Briehl, a psychiatrist. When Briehl applied for a passport, the Director of the **\*\*1115** Passport Office asked him to supply the affidavit covering membership in the Communist Party. Briehl, like Kent, refused. The Director then tentatively disapproved the application on the following grounds:

'In your case it has been alleged that you were a Communist. Specifically it is alleged that you were a member of the Los Angeles County Communist Party; that you were a member of the Bookshop Association, St. Louis, Missouri; that you held Communist Party meetings; that in 1936 and 1941 you contributed articles to the Communist Publication 'Social Work Today'; that in 1939, 1940 and 1941 you were a sponsor to raise funds for veterans of the Abraham Lincoln Brigade in calling on the President of the United States by a petition to defend the rights of the Communist Party and its members; that you contributed to the Civil Rights Congress bail fund to be used in raising bail on behalf of convicted Communist leaders in New York City; that **\*120** you were a member of the Hollywood Arts, Sciences and Professions Council and a contact of the Los Angeles Committee for Protection of Foreign Born and a contact of the Freedom Stage, Incorporated.'

The Director advised Briehl of his right to a hearing but stated that whether or not a hearing was held, an affidavit concerning membership in the Communist Party would be necessary. Briehl asked for a hearing and one was held. At

that hearing he raised three objections: (1) that his 'political affiliations' were irrelevant to his right to a passport; (2) that 'every American citizen has the right to travel regardless of politics'; and (3) that the burden was on the Department to prove illegal activities by Briehl. Briehl persisted in his refusal to supply the affidavit. Because of that refusal Briehl was advised that the Board of Passport Appeals could not under the Regulations entertain an appeal.

Briehl filed his complaint in the District Court which held that his case was indistinguishable from Kent's and dismissed the complaint.

The Court of Appeals heard the two cases en banc and affirmed the District Court by a divided vote. [101 U.S.App.D.C. 278, 248 F.2d 600](#); [101 U.S.App.D.C. 239, 248 F.2d 561](#). The cases are here on writ of certiorari. [355 U.S. 881, 78 S.Ct. 149, 2 L.Ed. 111](#).

The Court first noted the function that the passport performed in American law in the case of [Urtetiqui v. D'Arbel, 9 Pet. 692, 699, 9 L.Ed. 276](#), decided in 1835:

'There is no law of the United States, in any manner regulating the issuing of passports, or directing upon what evidence it may be done, or declaring their legal effect. It is understood, as matter of practice, that some evidence of citizenship is required, by the secretary of state, before issuing a passport. This, however, is entirely discretionary **\*121** with him. No inquiry is instituted by him to ascertain the fact of citizenship, or any proceedings had, that will in any manner bear the character of a judicial inquiry. It is a document, which, from its nature and object, is addressed to foreign power; purporting only to be a request, that the bearer of it may pass safely and freely; and is to be considered rather in the character of a political document, by which the bearer is recognized, in foreign countries, as an American citizen; and which, by usage and the law of nations, is received as evidence of the fact.'

A passport not only is of great value—indeed necessary—abroad; it is also an aid in establishing citizenship for purposes of re-entry into the United States. See **\*\*1116 Browder v. United States, 312 U.S. 335, 339, 61 S.Ct. 599, 602, 85 L.Ed. 862; 3 Moore, International Law Digest (1906), s 512.** But throughout most of our history—until indeed quite recently—a passport, though a great convenience in foreign travel, was not a legal requirement for leaving or entering the United States. See Jaffe, *The Right to Travel: The Passport Problem*, 35 *Foreign Affairs* 17. Apart from minor exceptions to be noted, it was first<sup>3</sup> made a requirement by s 215 of the Act of June 27, 1952, 66 Stat. 190, **8 U.S.C. s 1185, 8 U.S.C.A. s 1185**, which states that, after a prescribed proclamation by the President, it is ‘unlawful for any citizen of the United States to depart from or enter, or attempt to depart from or enter, the United **\*122** States unless he bears a valid passport.’<sup>4</sup> And the Proclamation necessary to make the restrictions of this Act applicable and in force has been made.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>3</sup> Sections 2 and 6 of the Act of September 23, 1950, known as the Internal Security Act of 1950, 64 Stat. 987, 993, **50 U.S.C. ss 781, 785, 50 U.S.C.A. ss 781, 785**, provide that it shall be unlawful, when a Communist organization is registered under the Act or when ‘there is in effect a final order of the Board requiring an organization to register,’ for any member having knowledge of such registry and order to apply for a passport or for any official to issue him one. But the conditions precedent have not yet materialized.

<sup>4</sup> That section provides in relevant part:  
 ‘(a) When the United States is at war or during the existence of any national emergency proclaimed by the President, \* \* \* and the President shall find that the interests of the United States require that restrictions and prohibitions in addition to those provided otherwise than by this section be imposed upon the departure of persons from and their entry into the United States, and shall make public proclamation thereof, it shall, until otherwise ordered by the President or the Congress, be unlawful—  
 ‘(1) for any alien to depart from or enter or attempt to depart from or enter the United States except under such reasonable rules, regulations, and orders, and subject to such limitations and exceptions as the President may prescribe;  
 ‘(3) for any person knowingly to make any false statement in an application for permission to depart from or enter the United States with intent to induce or secure the granting of such permission either for himself or for another;

‘(b) After such proclamation as is provided for in subsection (a) has been made and published and while such proclamation is in force, it shall, except as otherwise provided by the President, and subject to such limitations and exceptions as the President may authorize and prescribe, be unlawful for any citizen of the United States to depart from or enter, or attempt to depart from or enter, the United States unless he bears a valid passport.’

<sup>5</sup> Proc.No.3004, 67 Stat. C31.

Prior to 1952 there were numerous laws enacted by Congress regulating passports and many decisions, rulings, and regulations by the Executive Department concerning them. Thus in 1803 Congress made it unlawful for an official knowingly to issue a passport to an alien certifying that he is a citizen. 2 Stat. 205. In 1815, just prior to the termination of the War of 1812, it made it illegal for a citizen to ‘cross the frontier’ into enemy **\*123** territory, to board vessels of the enemy on waters of the United States or to visit any of his camps within the limits of the United States, ‘without a passport first obtained’ from the Secretary of State or other designated official. 3 Stat. 199—200. The Secretary of State took similar steps during the Civil War. See Dept. of State, *The American Passport* (1898), 50. In 1850 Congress ratified a treaty with Switzerland requiring passports from citizens of the two nations. 11 Stat. 587, 589—590. Finally in 1856 Congress enacted what remains today as our basic passport statute. Prior to that time various federal officials, state and local officials, and notaries public had undertaken to issue either certificates of citizenship or other documents in the nature of letters of introduction to foreign officials requesting treatment according **\*\*1117** to the usages of international law. By the Act of August 18, 1856, 11 Stat. 52, 60—61, **22 U.S.C. s 211a, 22 U.S.C.A. s 211a**, Congress put an end to those practices.<sup>6</sup> This provision, as codified by the Act of July 3, 1926, 44 Stat., Part 2, 887, reads,

<sup>6</sup> See & Op.Atty.Gen. 350, 352.

‘The Secretary of State may grant and issue passports \* \* \* under such rules as the President shall designate and prescribe for and on behalf of the United States, and no other person shall grant, issue, or verify such passports.’

Thus for most of our history a passport was not a condition to entry or exit.

It is true that, at intervals, a passport has been required for travel. Mention has already been made of the restrictions imposed during the War of 1812 and during the Civil War. A

like restriction, which was the forerunner of that contained in the 1952 Act, was imposed by Congress in 1918.

\*124 The Act of May 22, 1918, 40 Stat. 559, made it unlawful, while a Presidential Proclamation was in force, for a citizen to leave or enter the United States ‘unless he bears a valid passport.’ See H.R.Rep. No. 485, 65th Cong., 2d Sess. That statute was invoked by Presidential Proclamation No. 1473 on August 8, 1918, 40 Stat. 1829, which continued in effect until March 3, 1921. 41 Stat. 1359.

The 1918 Act was effective only in wartime. It was amended in 1941 so that it could be invoked in the then-existing emergency. 55 Stat. 252. See S.Rep. No. 444, 77th Cong., 1st Sess. It was invoked by Presidential Proclamation. Proc. No. 2523, November 14, 1941, 55 Stat. 1696. That emergency continued until April 28, 1952. Proc. No. 2974, 66 Stat. C31, 50 U.S.C.A.Appendix, note preceding section 1. Congress extended the statutory provisions until April 1, 1953. 66 Stat. 54, 57, 96, 137, 330, 333. It was during this extension period that the Secretary of State issued the Regulations here complained of.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>7</sup> Dept.Reg.No.108.162, effective August 28, 1952, 17 Fed.Reg. 8013.

Under the 1926 Act and its predecessor a large body of precedents grew up which repeat over and again that the issuance of passports is ‘a discretionary act’ on the part of the Secretary of State. The scholars,<sup>8</sup> the courts,<sup>9</sup> the Chief Executive,<sup>10</sup> and the Attorneys General,<sup>11</sup> all \*125 \*\*1118 so said. This long-continued executive construction should be enough, it is said, to warrant the inference that Congress had adopted it. See [Allen v. Grand Central Aircraft Co.](#), 347 U.S. 535, 544—545, 74 S.Ct. 745, 750—751, 98 L.Ed. 933; [United States v. Allen-Bradley Co.](#), 352 U.S. 306, 310, 77 S.Ct. 343, 345, 1 L.Ed.2d 347. But the key to that problem, as we shall see, is in the manner in which the Secretary’s discretion was exercised, not in the bare fact that he had discretion.

<sup>8</sup> See 2 Hyde, *International Law* (2d rev. ed. 1945), s 399; 3 Hackworth, *Digest of International Law* (1942), s 268.

<sup>9</sup> See [Perkins v. Elg](#), 307 U.S. 325, 350, 59 S.Ct. 884, 896, 83 L.Ed. 1320.

<sup>10</sup> [Exec.Order No. 654](#), June 13, 1907; *id.*, No. 2119—A Jan. 12, 1915; *id.*, No. 2286—A Dec. 17, 1915; *id.*, No. 2362—A, Apr. 17, 1916; *id.*, No. 2519—A, Jan. 24, 1917; *id.*, No. 4382—A, Feb. 12, 1926; *id.*, No. 4800, Jan. 31, 1928; *id.*, No. 5860, June 22, 1932; *id.*, No. 7856,

Mar. 31, 1938, 3 Fed.Reg. 681, 22 CFR s 51.75. The present provision is that last listed and reads in part as follows:

‘The Secretary of State is authorized in his discretion to refuse to issue a passport, to restrict a passport for use only in certain countries, to restrict it against use in certain countries, to withdraw or cancel a passport already issued, and to withdraw a passport for the purpose of restricting its validity or use in certain countries.’

The Department, however, did not feel that the Secretary of State could exercise his discretion willfully without cause. Acting Secretary Wilson wrote on April 27, 1907, ‘The issuance of passports is a discretionary act on the part of the Secretary of State, and he may, for reasons deemed by him to be sufficient, direct the refusal of a passport to an American citizen; but a passport is not to be refused to an American citizen, even if his character is doubtful, unless there is reason to believe that he will put the passports to an improper or unlawful use.’ *Foreign Relations of the United States*, Pt. II, (1910), 1083. See 3 Moore, *International Law Digest* (1906), s 512. Freund, *Administrative Powers over Persons and Property* (1928), 97, states ‘\* \* \* in practice it is clear that the Department of State acts upon the theory that it must grant the passport unless there is some circumstance making it a duty to refuse it. Any other attitude would indeed be intolerable; it would mean an executive power of a political character over individuals quite out of harmony with traditional American legislative practice.’

<sup>11</sup> 13 Op.Atty.Gen. 89, 92; 23 Op.Atty.Gen. 509, 511.

[1] The right to travel is a part of the ‘liberty’ of which the citizen cannot be deprived without the due process of law under the Fifth Amendment. So much is conceded by the Solicitor General. In Anglo-Saxon law that right was emerging at least as early as the Magna Carta.<sup>12</sup> Chafee, \*126 *Three Human Rights in the Constitution of 1787* (1956), 171—181, 187 et seq., shows how deeply engrained in our history this freedom of movement is. Freedom of movement across frontiers in either direction, and inside frontiers as well, was a part of our heritage. Travel abroad, like travel within the country, may be necessary for a livelihood. It may be as close to the heart of the individual as the choice of what he eats, or wears, or reads. Freedom of movement is basic in our scheme of values. See [Crandall v. State of Nevada](#), 6 Wall. 35, 44, 18 L.Ed. 744; [Williams v. Fears](#), 179 U.S. 270, 274, 21 S.Ct. 128, 129, 45 L.Ed. 186; [Edwards v. People of State of California](#), 314 U.S. 160, 62 S.Ct. 164, 86 L.Ed. 119. ‘Our nation,’ wrote Chafee, ‘has thrived on the principle that, outside areas of plainly harmful conduct, every

American is left to shape his own life as he thinks best, do what he pleases, go where he pleases.' *Id.*, at 197.

12 Article 42 reads as follows:

'It shall be lawful to any person, for the future, to go out of our kingdom, and to return, safely and securely, by land or by water, saving his allegiance to us, unless it be in time of war, for some short space, for the common good of the kingdom: excepting prisoners and outlaws, according to the laws of the land, and of the people of the nation at war against us, and Merchants who shall be treated as it is said above.' And see Jaffe, *op. cit. supra*, 19—20; Sibley, *The Passport System*, 7 *J.Soc.Comp.Leg.* (N.S.) 26, 32—33; 1 *Blackstone Commentaries* 134—135.

Freedom of movement also has large social values. As Chafee put it:

'Foreign correspondents and lecturers on public affairs need first-hand information. Scientists and scholars gain greatly from consultations with colleagues in other countries. Students equip themselves for more fruitful careers in the United States by instruction in foreign universities.<sup>13</sup> Then there are reasons close to the core of personal life—marriage, reuniting families, spending hours with old friends. Finally, travel abroad enables American citizens to understand that people like themselves live in Europe and helps them to be well-informed \*127 on public issues. An American who has crossed the ocean is not obliged to form his opinions about our foreign policy merely from what he is told by officials of our government or by a few correspondents of American \*\*1119 newspapers. Moreover, his views on domestic questions are enriched by seeing how foreigners are trying to solve similar problems. In many different ways direct contact with other countries contributes to sounder decisions at home.' *Id.*, at 195—196. And see Vestal, *Freedom of Movement*, 41 *Iowa L.Rev.* 6, 13—14.

13 The use of foreign travel to promote educational interests is reviewed by Francis J. Colligan in 30 *Dept. State Bull.* 663.

Freedom to travel is, indeed, an important aspect of the citizen's 'liberty.' We need not decide the extent to which it can be curtailed. We are first concerned with the extent, if any, to which Congress has authorized its curtailment.

The difficulty is that while the power of the Secretary of State over the issuance of passports is expressed in broad terms, it was apparently long exercised quite narrowly. So far

as material here, the cases of refusal of passports generally fell into two categories. First, questions pertinent to the citizenship of the applicant and his allegiance to the United States had to be resolved by the Secretary, for the command of Congress was that 'No passport shall be granted or issued to or verified for any other persons than those owing allegiance, whether citizens or not, to the United States.' 32 Stat. 386, 22 U.S.C. s 212, 22 U.S.C.A. s 212. Second, was the question whether the applicant was participating in illegal conduct, trying to escape the toils of the law, promoting passport frauds, or otherwise engaging in conduct which would violate the laws of the United States. See 3 Moore, *International Law Digest* (1906), s 512; 3 Hackworth, *Digest of International Law* (1942), s 268; 2 Hyde, *International Law* (2d rev. ed.), s 401.

\*128 The grounds for refusal asserted here do not relate to citizenship or allegiance on the one hand or to criminal or unlawful conduct on the other. Yet, so far as relevant here, those two are the only ones which it could fairly be argued were adopted by Congress in light of prior administrative practice. One can find in the records of the State Department rulings of subordinates covering a wider range of activities than the two indicated. But as respects Communists these are scattered rulings and not consistently of one pattern. We can say with assurance that whatever may have been the practice after 1926, at the time the Act of July 3, 1926, was adopted, the administrative practice, so far as relevant here, had jelled only around the two categories mentioned. We, therefore, hesitate to impute to Congress, when in 1952 it made a passport necessary for foreign travel and left its issuance to the discretion of the Secretary of State, a purpose to give him unbridled discretion to grant or withhold a passport from a citizen for any substantive reason he may choose.

More restrictive regulations were applied in 1918 and in 1941 as war measures. We are not compelled to equate this present problem of statutory construction with problems that may arise under the war power. Cf. *Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer*, 343 U.S. 579, 72 S.Ct. 863, 96 L.Ed. 1153.

In a case of comparable magnitude, *Korematsu v. United States*, 323 U.S. 214, 218, 65 S.Ct. 193, 195, 89 L.Ed. 194, we allowed the Government in time of war to exclude citizens from their homes and restrict their freedom of movement only on a showing of 'the gravest imminent danger to the public safety.' There the Congress and the Chief Executive moved in coordinated action; and, as we said, the Nation was then at war. No such condition presently exists. No such showing of extremity, no such showing of joint action by the Chief

Executive and the Congress to curtail a constitutional right of the citizen has been made here.

**\*129** [2] [3] [4] [5] Since we start with an exercise by an American citizen of an activity included in constitutional protection, we **\*\*1120** will not readily infer that Congress gave the Secretary of State unbridled discretion to grant or withhold it. If we were dealing with political questions entrusted to the Chief Executive by the Constitution we would have a different case. But there is more involved here. In part, of course, the issuance of the passport carries some implication of intention to extend the bearer diplomatic protection, though it does no more than 'request all whom it may concern to permit safely and freely to pass, and in case of need to give all lawful aid and protection' to this citizen of the United States. But that function of the passport is subordinate. Its crucial function today is control over exit. And, as we have seen, the right of exit is a personal right included within the word 'liberty' as used in the Fifth Amendment. If that 'liberty' is to be regulated, it must be pursuant to the lawmaking functions of the Congress. *Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer*, supra. And if that power is delegated, the standards must be adequate to pass scrutiny by the accepted tests. See *Panama Refining Co. v. Ryan*, 293 U.S. 388, 420—430, 55 S.Ct. 241, 248—252, 79 L.Ed. 446. Cf. *Cantwell v. State of Connecticut*, 310 U.S. 296, 307, 60 S.Ct. 900, 904. 84 L.Ed. 1213; *Niemotko v. State of Maryland*, 340 U.S. 268, 271, 71 S.Ct. 325, 327, 95 L.Ed. 267. Where activities or enjoyment, natural and often necessary to the well-being of an American citizen, such as travel, are involved, we will construe narrowly all delegated powers that curtail or dilute them. See *Ex Parte Endo*, 323 U.S. 283, 301—302, 65 S.Ct. 208, 218, 89 L.Ed. 243. Cf. *Hannegan v. Esquire, Inc.*, 327 U.S. 146, 156, 66 S.Ct. 456, 461, 90 L.Ed. 586; *United States v. Rumely*, 345 U.S. 41, 46, 73 S.Ct. 543, 97 L.Ed. 770. We hesitate to find in this broad generalized power an authority to trench so heavily on the rights of the citizen.

[6] Thus we do not reach the question of constitutionality. We only conclude that s 1185 and s 211a do not delegate to the Secretary the kind of authority exercised here. **\*130** We deal with beliefs, with associations, with ideological matters. We must remember that we are dealing here with citizens who have neither been accused of crimes nor found guilty. They are being denied their freedom of movement solely because of their refusal to be subjected to inquiry into their beliefs and associations. They do not seek to escape the law nor to violate it. They may or may not be Communists. But assuming they are, the only law which Congress has passed expressly curtailing the movement of Communists across our

borders has not yet become effective.<sup>14</sup> It would therefore be strange to infer that pending the effectiveness of that law, the Secretary has been silently granted by Congress the larger, the more pervasive power to curtail in his discretion the free movement of citizens in order to satisfy himself about their beliefs or associations.

<sup>14</sup> See note 3, supra.

To repeat, we deal here with a constitutional right of the citizen, a right which we must assume Congress will be faithful to respect. We would be faced with important constitutional questions were we to hold that Congress by s 1185 and s 211a had given the Secretary authority to withhold passports to citizens because of their beliefs or associations. Congress has made no such provision in explicit terms; and absent one, the Secretary may not employ that standard to restrict the citizens' right of free movement.

Reversed.

Mr. Justice CLARK, with whom Mr. Justice BURTON, Mr. Justice HARLAN, and Mr. Justice WHITTAKER concur, dissenting.

**\*\*1121** On August 28, 1952, acting under authority vested by *Executive Order No. 7856*, 22 CFR s 51.77, the Secretary of State issued the regulations in question, s 51.142 of **\*131** which provides that a passport applicant may be required to make a statement under oath 'with respect to present or past membership in the Communist Party.' 22 CFR s 51.142. Since 1917, the Congress has required that every passport application 'contain a true recital of each and every matter of fact which may be required by \* \* \* any rules' of the Secretary of State, and that requirement must be satisfied '(b)efore a passport is issued to any person.' 40 Stat. 227, 22 U.S.C. s 213, 22 U.S.C.A. s 213. In the context of that background, the Secretary asked for, and petitioners refused to file, affidavits stating whether they then were or ever had been members of the Communist Party. Thereupon the Secretary refused to further consider petitioners' applications until such time as they filed the required affidavits.

The Secretary's action clearly must be held authorized by Congress if the requested information is relevant to any ground upon which the Secretary might properly refuse to issue a passport. The Court purports today to preclude the existence of such a ground by holding that the Secretary has

not been authorized to deny a passport to a Communist whose travel abroad would be inimical to our national security.

In thus construing the authority of the Secretary, the Court recognizes that all during our history he has had discretion to grant or withhold passports. That power, first exercised without benefit of statute, was made the subject of specific legislative authority in 1856 when the Congress consolidated all power over passports in the hands of the Secretary. 11 Stat. 60—61. In 1874 the statutory language, 'shall be authorized to grant and issue,' was changed to 'may grant and issue.' 1874 R.S. s 4075. In slightly modified form, the Secretary's power has come through several re-enactments, e.g., 44 Stat., Part 1, p. 657 in 1926, to its present-day embodiment in 44 Stat., Part 2, p. 887, 22 U.S.C. s 211a, 22 U.S.C.A. s 211a.

\*132 This discretionary authority, which we previously acknowledged in *Perkins v. Elg*, 1939, 307 U.S. 325, 349—350, 59 S.Ct. 884, 896, 83 L.Ed. 1320, was exercised both in times of peace and in periods of war. During war and other periods of national emergency, however, the importance of the Secretary's passport power was tremendously magnified by a succession of 'travel-control statutes' making possession of a passport a legal necessity to leaving or entering this country. The first of these was enacted in 1815 just prior to the end of the War of 1812, when it was made illegal for any citizen to 'cross the frontier' into enemy territory without a passport. 3 Stat. 199. After the same result was accomplished during the Civil War without congressional sanction, 3 Moore, *International Law Digest*, 1015—1021, World War I prompted passage in 1918 of the second travel-control statute, 40 Stat. 559. The 1918 statute, directly antecedent to presently controlling legislation, provided that in time of war and upon public proclamation by the President that the public safety required additional travel restrictions, no citizen could depart from or enter into the country without a passport. Shortly thereafter, President Wilson made the required proclamation of public necessity, and provided that no citizen should be granted a passport unless it affirmatively appeared that his 'departure or entry is not prejudicial to the interests of the United States.' Proc. No. 1473, 40 Stat. 1829.

The legislative history of the 1918 Act sharply indicates that Congress meant the Secretary to deny passports to those whose travel abroad would be contrary to our national security. The Act came to the floor of the House of Representatives \*\*1122 accompanied by the following explanation in the Report of the House Committee on Foreign Affairs, H.R.Rep. No. 485, 65th Cong., 2d Sess. 2—3:

'That some supervision of travel by American citizens is essential appeared from statements made \*133 before the committee at the hearing upon the bill. One case was mentioned of a United States citizen who recently returned from Europe after having, to the knowledge of our Government, done work in a neutral country for the German Government. There was strong suspicion that he came to the United States for no proper purpose. Nevertheless not only was it impossible to exclude him but it would now be impossible to prevent him from leaving the country if he saw fit to do so. The known facts in his case are not sufficient to warrant the institution of a criminal prosecution, and in any event the difficulty of securing legal evidence from the place of his activities in Europe may easily be imagined.

'It is essential to meet the situation that the Executive should have wide discretion and wide authority of action. No one can foresee the different means which may be adopted by hostile nations to secure military information or spread propaganda and discontent. It is obviously impracticable to appeal to Congress for further legislation in each new emergency. Swift Executive action is the only effective counterstroke.

'The committee was informed by representatives of the executive departments that the need for prompt legislation of the character suggested is most pressing. There have recently been numerous suspicious departures for Cuba which it was impossible to prevent. Other individual cases of entry and departure at various points have excited the greatest anxiety. This is particularly true in respect of the Mexican border, passage across which can not legally be restricted for many types of persons reasonably suspected of aiding Germany's purposes.'

\*134 During debate of the bill on the floor of the House, its House spokesman stated:

'The Government is now very much hampered by lack of authority to control the travel to and from this country, even of people suspected of not being loyal, and even of those whom they suspect of being in the employ of enemy governments.' 56 Cong.Rec. 6029.

'Our ports are open, so far as the law is concerned, to alien friends, citizens, and neutrals, to come and go at will and pleasure, and that notwithstanding the Government may suspect the conduct and the intention of the individuals who come and go.' Id. at 6065.



His counterpart in the Senate stated in debate:

'The chief object of the bill is to correct a very serious trouble which the Department of State, the Department of Justice, and the Department of Labor are having with aliens and alien enemies and renegade American citizens, I am sorry to say, entering the United States from nests they have in Cuba and over the Mexican border. They can now enter and depart without any power of the departments or of the Government to intercept or delay them. There is no law that covers this case. It is believed that all the information which goes to Germany of the war preparations of the United States and of the transportation of troops to France passes through Mexico. The Government **\*\*1123** is having a great deal of trouble along that border. It is an everyday occurrence, and the emergency of this measure is very great. The bill is supplementary to the espionage **\*135** laws and necessary for their efficient execution in detecting and punishing German spies.' 56 Cong.Rec. 6192.

The implication is unmistakable that the Secretary was intended to exercise his traditional passport function in such a manner as would effectively add to the protection of this country's internal security.

That the Secretary so understood and so exercised his passport power in this period is evident from two State Department documents in 1920. A memorandum of the Under Secretary of State, dated November 30, 1920, declared, 'Any assistance in the way of passport facilities, which this Government may render to a person who is working either directly or indirectly in behalf of the Soviet Government is a help to the Soviet Government \* \* \*.' Memorandum Re Applicants for Passports Who are Bolsheviks or Who are Connected with Bolshevik Government, Code No. 5000. Accordingly, it was recommended that passports be refused any person 'who counsels or advocates publicly or privately the overthrow (of) organized Governments by force.' Id. Among the examples stated were '(m)embers of the Communist Party.' Id. Two weeks later, the State Department published office instructions, dated December 16, 1920, to our embassies throughout the world, implementing Code No. 5000 by prohibiting issuance of passports to 'anarchists' and 'revolutionary radicals.' Expressly included among the proscribed classes of citizens were those who 'believe in or advocate the overthrow by force or violence of the Government of the United States,' as well as all those who 'are members of or are affiliated with any organization' that believes in or advocates such overthrow.

By its terms a war statute, the 1918 Act expired in March 1921, see 41 Stat. 1359, after which no more travel **\*136** controls existed until 1941. In that year, Congress amended the 1918 Act so as to provide the same controls during the national emergency proclaimed by the President on May 27, 1941, should the President find and publicly proclaim that the interest of the United States required that such restrictions be reimposed. 55 Stat. 252. Shortly thereafter, President Roosevelt invoked this authority, 55 Stat. 1696, and implementing regulations were issued by the State Department. 22 CFR s 53. The legislative history of the 1941 amendment is as clear as that of the 1918 Act: the purpose of the legislation was to so use the passport power of the Secretary as to block travel to and from the country by those persons whose passage would not be in the best interests and security of the United States. The Report of the Senate Committee on the Judiciary, S.Rep. No. 444, 77th Cong., 1st Sess. 1—2, declared:

'Since the outbreak of the present war it has come to the attention of the Department of State and of other executive departments that there are many persons in and outside of the United States who are directly engaged in espionage and subversive activities in the interests of foreign governments, and others who are engaged in activities inimical to the best interests of the United States, who desire to travel from time to time between the United States and foreign countries in connection with their activities \* \* \*.'

During debate on the House floor, the 'sole purpose' of the bill was stated to be establishment of 'a sort of clearing house,' where those persons wishing to enter or leave the country 'would have to give their reasons why they were going or coming, and where it would be determined whether \* \* \* their coming **\*\*1124** in or going our would be inimical to the interests of the United States.' **\*137** 87 Cong.Rec. 5052. See also 87 Cong.Rec. 5048—5053, 5386—5388. The carrying out of this legislative purpose resulted in a 'complete change in emphasis of the work of the Division from that of an agency to afford protection to the individual to that of one whose principal purpose was to safeguard and maintain the security

of the state.’ 12 Dept.State Bull. 1070. That transformation involved ‘the clearance upon a basis of security for the state of the entry and departure of hundreds of thousands of persons into and from the United States.’ Id. (Emphasis added.)

While the national emergency to which the 1941 amendment related was officially declared at an end on April 28, 1952, Proc. No. 2974, 66 Stat. C31, Congress continued the provisions of the Act in effect until April 1, 1953. 66 Stat. 54. In that interim period, Congress passed the Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952, which both repealed the 1918 Act as amended in 1941, 66 Stat. 279, and reenacted it as s 215 of the 1952 Act, amending it only to the extent that its provisions would be subject to invocation ‘during the existence of any national emergency proclaimed by the President.’ 66 Stat. 190. There is practically no legislative history on this incorporation of the 1918 statute in the 1952 Act apart from a comment in the House Report that the provisions of s 215 are ‘incorporated in the bill \* \* \* in practically the same form as they now appear in the act of May 22, 1918.’ H.R. Rep. No. 1365, 82d Cong., 2d Sess. 53. For that reason, the legislative history of the 1918 Act and the 1941 amendment, which I have set out at some detail, is doubly important in ascertaining the intent of the Congress as to the authority of the Secretary to deny passports under s 215 of the 1952 Act. Cf. [United States v. Plesha, 1957, 352 U.S. 202, 205, 77 S.Ct. 275, 277, 1 L.Ed.2d 254.](#)

At the time of the 1952 Act, a national emergency proclaimed by President Truman on December 16, 1950, in \*138 response to the Korean conflict, was—and still is today—in existence. Proc. No. 2914, 64 Stat. A454, 50 U.S.C.A.Appendix, note preceding section 1. In reliance on that, the President invoked the travel restrictions of s 215 on January 17, 1953. Proc.No. 3004, 67 Stat. C31. The proclamation by which this was done carefully pointed out that none of its provisions should be interpreted as revoking any regulation ‘heretofore issued relating to the departure of persons from, or their entry into, the United States.’ Id. Among the regulations theretofore issued were those now attacked relating to the issuance of passports to Communists, for they had been promulgated to be effective on August 28, 1952, shortly after passage of the 1952 Act. [17 Fed.Reg. 8013.](#)

Congress, by virtue of s 215 of the 1952 Act, has approved whatever use of his discretion the Secretary had made prior to the June 1952 date of that legislation.<sup>1</sup> That conclusion necessarily follows from the fact that s 215 continued to make legal exit or entry turn on possession of a passport, without in any way limiting the discretionary passport power theretofore

exercised by the Secretary. See [United States v. Allen-Bradley Co., 1957, 352 U.S. 306, 310—311, 77 S.Ct. 343, 345—346, 1 L.Ed.2d 347;](#) \*\*1125 [Allen v. Grand Central Aircraft Co., 1954, 347 U.S. 535, 544—545, 74 S.Ct. 745, 750—751, 98 L.Ed. 933;](#) [United States v. Cerecedo Hermanos y Compania, 1908, 209 U.S. 337, 339, 28 S.Ct. 532, 533, 52 L.Ed. 821.](#) But the Court then determines (1) that the Secretary’s denial of passports in peacetime extended to only two categories of cases, those involving allegiance and those involving criminal activity, and (2) that the Secretary’s \*139 wartime exercise of his discretion, while admittedly more restrictive, has no relevance to the practice which Congress can be said to have approved in 1952. Since the present denials do not involve grounds either of allegiance or criminal activity, the Court concludes that they were beyond the pale of congressional authorization. Both of the propositions set out above are vital to the Court’s final conclusion. Neither of them has any validity: the first is contrary to fact, and the second to common sense.

<sup>1</sup> This is not seriously disputed by the majority. However, reference is made to a reluctance to interpret broadly the practice of the Secretary approved by Congress in the 1952 Act because the denial of passports on security grounds had not ‘jelled’ at the time of the 1926 Act. But that overlooks (1) that it is congressional intent in the 1952 statute, not the 1926 statute, to which we look, and (2) that there is abundant evidence, set out in this opinion, of security denials before as well as after 1926.

The peacetime practice of the State Department indisputably involved denial of passports for reasons of national security. The Report of the Commission on Government Security (1957), 470—473, summarizes the Department’s policy on granting passports to Communists by excerpts from State Department documents. Shortly after the 1917 Russian Revolution, the Department ‘became aware of the scope and danger of the world-wide revolutionary movement and the attendant purpose to overthrow all existing governments, including our own.’ Thereafter ‘passports were refused to American Communists who desired to go abroad for indoctrination, instruction, etc. This policy was continued until 1931 \* \* \*.’ (Emphasis added.) From 1931 ‘until World War II no persons were refused passports because they were Communists.’ After World War II, ‘(a)t first passports were refused,’ but upon reconsideration of the matter in 1948, ‘the decision was made that passports would be issued to Communists and supporters of communism who satisfied the Department that they did not intend, while abroad, to engage in the promotion of Communist activities.’ At the same time, however, it was decided that ‘passports should be refused

to persons whose purpose in traveling abroad was believed to be to subvert the interest of the United States.' Later in 1948 the policy was changed to give Communist journalists passports even though they were 'actively \*140 promoting the Communist cause.' Nearly two years later, in September 1950, the latter leniency was reversed, after it was pointed out 'that the Internal Security Act of 1950 clearly showed the desire of Congress that no Communists should be issued passports of this Government.'<sup>2</sup> The matter was referred to the Department's Legal Adviser, 'who agreed that it was the duty of the State Department to refuse passports to all Communists, including journalists.'

<sup>2</sup> For a comprehensive story of Communism in America indicating the necessity for passport control, see Hoover, *Masters of Deceit* (1958).

Other evidence of peacetime denials for security reasons is more scattered, but nevertheless existent. Much of it centers around opposition to the Internal Security Act of 1950, for one of the stated aims of that legislation was denial of passports to Communists. The minority report of the Senate Committee on the Judiciary objected, 'But this can be done under the existing discretionary powers of the Secretary of State \* \* \* as evidenced by the recent denial or cancellation of a passport to Paul Robeson.' S.Rep.No. 2369, Part 2, 81st Cong., 2d Sess. 10. President Truman, in vetoing that Act, stated: 'It is claimed that this bill would deny passports to Communists. The fact is that the Government can and does deny passports to Communists under existing law.' 96 Cong.Rec. 15631.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>3</sup> To the same effect see the statement of Senator Kilgore during Senate debate on the Act, 96 Cong.Rec. 14538, and an amendment offered to the Act in both the House, 96 Cong.Rec. 13756, and Senate, 96 Cong.Rec. 14599.

**\*\*1126** In 1869 Attorney General Hoar advised the Secretary of State that good reason existed for the passport power being discretionary in nature, for it might sometimes be 'most inexpedient for the public interests for this country to grant a passport to a citizen of the United States.' \*141 23 Op.Atty.Gen. 509, 511. As an example he referred to the case of 'an avowed anarchist,' for if such person were to seek a passport, 'the public interests might require that his application be denied.' Ibid. See also, 13 Op.Atty.Gen. 89, 92.

Orders promulgated by the Passport Office periodically have required denial of passports to 'political adventurers' and 'revolutionary radicals,' the latter phrase being defined to include 'those who wish to go abroad to take part in the political or military affairs of foreign countries in ways which

would be contrary to the policy or inimical to the welfare of the United States.' See, shortly after the end of World War I, Passport Office Instructions of May 4, 1921; in 1937, Passport Office Instructions of July 30, 1937; in 1948, Foreign Service Regulations of July 9, 1948.

An even more serious error of the Court is its determination that the Secretary's wartime use of his discretion is wholly irrelevant in determining what discretionary practices were approved by Congress in enactment of s 215. In a wholly realistic sense there is no peace today, and there was no peace in 1952. At both times the state of national emergency declared by the President in 1950, wherein he stated that 'world conquest communist imperialism is the goal of the forces of aggression that have been loosed upon the world' and that 'the increasing menace of the forces of communist aggression requires that the national defense of the United States be strengthened as speedily as possible,' was in full effect. Proc.No. 2914, 64 Stat. A454. It is not a case, then, of judging what may be done in peace by what has been done in war. Professor Jaffe has aptly exposed the fallacy upon which the majority proceeds:

'The criterion here is the defense of the country from external enemies. It is asserted that the precedents of 'war' have no relevance to 'peace.' But the \*142 critical consideration is defense against an external enemy; and communication abroad between our citizens and the enemy cannot by its nature be controlled by the usual criminal process. The facts in a particular case as to the citizen's intention are inevitably speculative: all is to be done after the bird has flown. Now our Congress and the Administration have concluded that the Communist International is a foreign and domestic enemy. We deal with its domestic aspect by criminal process; we would seem justified in dealing with its external aspect by exit control. If an avowed Communist is going abroad, it may be assumed that he will take counsel there with his fellows, will arrange for the steady and dependable flow of cash and information, and do his bit to promote the purposes of the 'conspiracy.' Jaffe, *The Right to Travel: The Passport Problem*, 35 Foreign Affairs 17, 26.

Were this a time of peace, there might very well be no problem for us to decide, since petitioners then would not need a passport to leave the country. The very structure of s 215 is such that either war or national emergency is prerequisite to imposition of its restrictions.

Indeed, rather than being irrelevant, the wartime practice may be the only relevant one, for the discretion with which we

are concerned is a discretionary control over international travel. Yet only in times of war and national emergency has a passport been required to leave or enter this country, and hence only in such times has passport power necessarily meant power to control travel.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>4</sup> Peacetime exercise of the passport power may still be relevant from another point of view, namely, if other countries hinge entry on possession of a passport, the right of international travel of a United States citizen who cannot secure a passport will thereby be curtailed. For though he can get out of this country, he cannot get into another.

\*143 Finally, while distinguishing away the Secretary's passport denials in wartime, the majority makes no attempt to distinguish the Secretary's practice during periods when there has been no official state of war but when nevertheless a presidential proclamation of national emergency has been in effect, the very situation which has prevailed since the end of World War II. Throughout that time, as I have pointed out, the Secretary refused passports to those 'whose purpose in traveling abroad was believed to be to subvert the interest of the United States.' Report of the Commission on





Government Security, *supra*. Numerous specific instances of passport denials on security grounds during the years 1947—1951 were reported in a February 1952 law review article, nearly half a year prior to passage of s 215. Note, Passport Refusals for Political Reasons, 61 *Yale L.J.* 171.

On this multiple basis, then, I am constrained to disagree with the majority as to the authority of the Secretary to deny petitioners' applications for passports. The majority's resolution of the authority question prevents it from reaching the constitutional issues raised by petitioners, relating to claimed unlawful delegation of legislative power, violation of free speech and association under the First Amendment, and violation of international travel under the Fifth Amendment. In view of that, it would be inappropriate for me, as a dissenter, to consider those questions at this time. Cf. *Peters v. Hobby*, 1955, 349 U.S. 331, 353—357, 75 S.Ct. 790, 801—803, 99 L.Ed. 1129. Accordingly, I would affirm on the issue of the Secretary's authority to require the affidavits involved in this case, without reaching any constitutional questions.

#### All Citations

357 U.S. 116, 78 S.Ct. 1113, 2 L.Ed.2d 1204














## Filings (4)

Title	PDF	Court	Date	Type
<b>1. Reply Brief for Petitioners</b> Kent v. Dulles 1958 WL 92031		U.S.	Apr. 08, 1958	Brief
<b>2. Brief for the Respondent</b> Kent v. Dulles 1958 WL 92030		U.S.	Mar. 12, 1958	Brief
<b>3. Brief for American Civil Liberties Union Amicus Curiae</b> Kent v. Dulles 1958 WL 91891		U.S.	Feb. 03, 1958	Brief
<b>4. Brief for Petitioners</b> Kent v. Dulles 1958 WL 92029		U.S.	Feb. 03, 1958	Brief




## Negative Treatment

### Negative Citing References (18)

The KeyCited document has been negatively referenced by the following events or decisions in other litigation or proceedings:

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Not Followed as Dicta	 1. <a href="#">Hutchins v. District of Columbia</a> ”  <b>MOST NEGATIVE</b>	June 18, 1999	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Declined to Extend by	2. <a href="#">Zivotofsky ex rel. Zivotofsky v. Secretary of State</a> ”	July 23, 2013	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	3. <a href="#">Zemel v. Rusk</a> ”	Feb. 20, 1964	Case		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	 4. <a href="#">U.S. v. Travis</a>	May 13, 1964	Case		—
Distinguished by	 5. <a href="#">Zemel v. Rusk</a> ”	May 03, 1965	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	 6. <a href="#">Kirk v. Board of Regents of University of Cal.</a>	May 28, 1969	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	 7. <a href="#">Agee v. Muskie</a> ”	June 27, 1980	Case		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Distinguished by	8. <a href="#">State v. Kamin</a> 417 N.W.2d 197 , Wis.App. Circuit Court, Kenosha County Affirmed	Oct. 07, 1987	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	9. <a href="#">City of Oceanside v. McKenna</a> 264 Cal.Rptr. 275 , Cal.App. 4 Dist. City and city development commission brought action for declaratory and injunctive relief regarding condominium unit owner's attempt to lease unit in publicly subsidized...	Nov. 22, 1989	Case		—
Distinguished by	10. <a href="#">State v. Ruesch</a> 571 N.W.2d 898 , Wis.App. CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Stalking. Subsection of stalking statute exempting constitutionally protected conduct does not provide additional element of crime.	Oct. 30, 1997	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	11. <a href="#">In re Handy</a> 764 A.2d 1226 , Vt. REAL PROPERTY - Zoning and Planning. Town's unbridled discretion to choose whether to apply old or new zoning bylaws was unconstitutional.	Nov. 17, 2000	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	12. <a href="#">Weinstein v. Albright</a> 261 F.3d 127 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.) FAMILY LAW - Child Support. Obligor was not denied due process by implementation of federal child support enforcement statute.	Aug. 10, 2001	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	13. <a href="#">Emergency Coalition To Defend Educational Travel v. U.S. Dept. of Treasury</a> 498 F.Supp.2d 150 , D.D.C. EDUCATION - Civil Rights. Restrictions on educational programs offered in Cuba did not violate First Amendment.	July 30, 2007	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	14. <a href="#">K.M. v. D.S.</a> 23 N.E.3d 864 , Ind.App. K.M. appeals the trial court's issuance of an order for protection against him in favor of D.S. On appeal, K.M. argues that there was insufficient evidence to support the issuance...	Nov. 18, 2014	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	15. <a href="#">Vincent v. City of Sulphur</a> 805 F.3d 543 , 5th Cir.(La.) CIVIL RIGHTS - Immunity. Police officers who issued no-trespass warning to city resident were entitled to qualified immunity.	Oct. 28, 2015	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	16. <a href="#">Kadura v. Lynch</a> 2017 WL 914249 , E.D.Mich. Plaintiffs Dr. Naji Abduljaber, Mr. Abdus Samad Tootla, Mr. Alaa Saade, and Mr. Ahmed Saleh Abusaleh filed this action based on their alleged inclusion in the Terrorist Screening...	Mar. 08, 2017	Case		—
Distinguished by	17. <a href="#">Robert E. v. Berryhill</a>	Aug. 13, 2018	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
	<p>2018 WL 4621921 , D.Or.                      Plaintiff, Robert E., brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) seeking judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("the Commissioner") that...</p>				
<p>Modification                      Recognized by</p>	<p> 18. <a href="#">Emergency Coalition to Defend Educational Travel v. U.S. Dept. of the Treasury</a></p> <p>545 F.3d 4 , D.C.Cir.                      EDUCATION - Instruction in Cuba. Regulation imposing tight restrictions on conducting college courses in Cuba did not infringe free speech, academic freedom.</p>	<p>Nov. 04,                      2008</p>	<p>Case</p>	<p></p>	<p> 1                      S.Ct.</p>



## History (5)

### Direct History (5)

 1. [Briehl v. Dulles](#)  
248 F.2d 561 , D.C.Cir. , June 27, 1957

*Judgment Reversed by*

 2. [Kent v. Dulles](#)  
357 U.S. 116 , U.S.Dist.Col. , June 16, 1958

---

 3. [Kent v. Dulles](#)  
248 F.2d 600 , D.C.Cir. , June 27, 1957














*Certiorari Granted by*













4. [Kent v. Dulles](#)  
355 U.S. 881 , U.S.Dist.Col. , Nov. 25, 1957

*AND Judgment Reversed by*

 5. [Kent v. Dulles](#)  
357 U.S. 116 , U.S.Dist.Col. , June 16, 1958




















## Citing References (500)

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	 <b>1. Agee v. Muskie</b> ¶¶ 629 F.2d 80, 83+ , D.C.Cir.  United States citizen and former employee of Central Intelligence Agency brought action seeking declaratory and injunctive relief with regard to revocation of his passport. The...	June 27, 1980	Case		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a>  S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	 <b>2. Zemel v. Rusk</b> ¶¶ 85 S.Ct. 1271, 1275+ , U.S.Conn.  Action to determine whether Secretary of State is authorized to refuse to validate passports for travel to Cuba, and whether exercise of that authority is constitutionally...	May 03, 1965	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a>  S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>3. Zemel v. Rusk</b> ¶¶ 228 F.Supp. 65, 67+ , D.Conn.  Action against Secretary of State and Attorney General for declaratory judgment and injunction decreeing that section of Immigration and Nationality Act relating to travel control...	Feb. 20, 1964	Case		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a>  S.Ct.
Examined by	 <b>4. Haig v. Agee</b> ¶¶ 101 S.Ct. 2766, 2769+ , U.S.Dist.Col.  A passport was revoked on ground that activities of the passport holder in foreign countries had caused serious damage to national security and foreign policy of the United States....	June 29, 1981	Case		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a>  S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>5. Lutz v. City of York, Pa.</b> ¶¶ 899 F.2d 255, 260+ , 3rd Cir.(Pa.)  Action was brought challenging constitutionality of Pennsylvania ordinance outlawing "cruising," which consisted of driving repeatedly around loop of certain major public roads...	Mar. 28, 1990	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a>  S.Ct.
Examined by	 <b>6. International Refugee Assistance Project v. Trump</b> ¶¶ 883 F.3d 233, 293+ , 4th Cir.(Md.)  IMMIGRATION - Injunction. Preliminary injunction against enforcement of Proclamation barring entry by nationals from six predominantly Muslim countries was warranted.	Feb. 15, 2018	Case		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">6</a>  S.Ct.
Examined by	 <b>7. International Refugee Assistance Project v. Trump</b> ¶¶ 857 F.3d 554, 614+ , 4th Cir.(Md.)  IMMIGRATION — Visas. President's temporary suspension of entry by aliens would not be reviewed deferentially.	May 25, 2017	Case		<a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a>  S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>8. Eunique v. Powell</b> ¶¶ 302 F.3d 971, 973+ , 9th Cir.(Cal.)  FAMILY LAW - Child Support. Denial of passport to parent substantially in arrears in child support does not deny due process.	Aug. 23, 2002	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a>  S.Ct.















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	 <b>9. Eunique v. Powell</b> ¶ 281 F.3d 940, 943+ , 9th Cir.(Cal.) FAMILY LAW - Child Support. Statute authorizing passport denial to applicant in arrears in child support payments was valid.	Feb. 22, 2002	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>10. U.S. v. Travis</b> ¶ 241 F.Supp. 468, 470+ , S.D.Cal. Defendant was indicted for unlawful departure from United States without bearing valid passport for Republic of Cuba and he moved for dismissal. The District Court, Crary, J.,...	Oct. 30, 1963	Case		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>11. Woodward v. Rogers</b> ¶ 344 F.Supp. 974, 977+ , D.D.C. Suit seeking, inter alia, a judgment declaring that the oath of allegiance required as a condition to obtaining a United States passport is unauthorized by and contrary to law. ...	June 26, 1972	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>12. U.S. v. Laub</b> ¶ 253 F.Supp. 433, 449+ , E.D.N.Y. See 86 S.Ct. 1891. Prosecution for conspiracy to induce, recruit, and arrange for group to depart from United States for Cuba without bearing a valid passport and to violate...	Apr. 15, 1966	Case		<a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	 <b>13. Copeland v. Secretary of State</b> ¶ 226 F.Supp. 20, 24+ , S.D.N.Y. Action to enjoin and restrain enforcement of 50 U.S.U.A. 785, 6 of the Subversive Activities Control Act of 1950 making it unlawful for a member of the Communist organization...	Jan. 23, 1964	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>14. MacEwan v. Rusk</b> ¶ 228 F.Supp. 306, 308+ , E.D.Pa. Action was brought against the Secretary of State and the Attorney General for declaratory judgment declaring invalid Secretary of State's regulations refusing to endorse passport...	Mar. 30, 1964	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>15. Jurisdictional Statement</b> ¶ Zemel v. Rusk 1964 WL 95184, *95184+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	May 15, 1964	Petition		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>16. Jurisdictional Statement</b> ¶ Aptheker v. The Secretary of State 1963 WL 106011, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Sep. 11, 1963	Petition		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>17. Brief for Respondents</b> ¶ Trump v. State of Hawaii 2017 WL 4022033, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 11, 2017	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>18. BRIEF OF RESPONDENTS</b> City of Chicago v. Morales 1998 WL 614302, *614302+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 11, 1998	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	<b>19. BRIEF FOR RESPONDENTS ¶¶</b> U.S. Department of State v. Legal Assistance for Vietnamese Asylum Seekers, Inc. 1996 WL 525561, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 04, 1996	Brief		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>20. Brief of the Respondent Opening Brief ¶¶</b> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Petitioner, v. Peter MONSANTO, Respondent. 1989 WL 1115133, *1115133+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 09, 1989	Brief		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>21. Reply Brief for the Petitioner ¶¶</b> Edmund S. MUSKIE, Secretary of State, Petitioner, v. Philip AGEE. 1981 WL 389931, *389931+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 09, 1981	Brief		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>22. Brief for the Respondent ¶¶</b> Edmund S. MUSKIE, Secretary of State, Petitioner, v. Philip AGEE, Respondent. 1980 WL 339658, *339658+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 22, 1980	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>23. Brief for the Petitioner ¶¶</b> Edmund S. MUSKIE, Secretary of State, Petitioner, v. Philip AGEE. 1980 WL 339656, *339656+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov 1980	Brief		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>24. Respondent's Brief in Opposition</b> Edmund S. MUSKIE, Secretary of State, Petitioner, v. Philip AGEE, Respondent. 1980 WL 339654, *339654+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 13, 1980	Brief		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>25. Brief for Grace Aznavorian, etc. ¶¶</b> Joseph A. CALIFANO, Jr., Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, Appellant, v. Grace AZNAVORIAN, etc. Grace AZNAVORIAN, etc., Appellant, v. Josep...	Aug. 28, 1978	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>26. Brief for the Appellees ¶¶</b> U.S. v. Lee 1966 WL 100823, *100823+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 27, 1966	Brief		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>27. Brief for the Petitioner. ¶¶</b> Travis v. U.S. 1966 WL 100703, *100703+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 15, 1966	Brief		<a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>28. Appellants Reply Brief ¶¶</b> Zemel v. Rusk 1965 WL 115487, *115487+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 27, 1965	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>29. Brief for the Appellees ¶¶</b> Zemel v. Rusk 1965 WL 115486, *115486+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 08, 1965	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>30. Brief for the Appellant ¶¶</b> Zemel v. Rusk 1964 WL 81305, *81305+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 08, 1964	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.







Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	<b>31. Brief for the Appellees</b> Ryan CLANCY, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. OFFICE OF FOREIGN ASSETS CONTROL, et al., Defendants-Appellees. 2007 WL 4453976, *4453976+ , 7th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 10, 2007	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>32. Brief for Plaintiffs-Appellees</b> ¶¶ STATE OF HAWAII, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellees, v. Donald J. TRUMP, et al., Defendants-Appellants. 2017 WL 5623012, *1+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 18, 2017	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>33. Brief for Defendant/Appellee</b> ¶¶ Eudene EUNIQUE, Plaintiff/Appellant, v. Madeleine K. ALBRIGHT, Secretary of State for the United States, Defendant/Appellee. 2000 WL 33981106, *33981106+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	May 04, 2000	Brief		<a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>34. Brief For Defendant/Aappellee</b> ¶¶ Eudene EUNIQUE, Plaintiff/Appellant, v. Madeleine K. ALBRIGHT, Defendant/Appellee. 2000 WL 33983064, *33983064+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	May 04, 2000	Brief		<a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>35. Brief for Appellants</b> ¶¶ FREEDOM TO TRAVEL CAMPAIGN, Medea Benjamin, Pam Montanaro, Walter Turner, Plaintiffs-Appellants, Christopher Gerhart, Ginny Hildebrand, David Horvath,... 1995 WL 17069794, *17069794+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 03, 1995	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>36. Response Brief of Appellee</b> ¶¶ Dana Alix ZZYYM, Plaintiff - Appellee, v. Michael R. POMPEO, in his official capacity as Secretary of State, and Steven J. Mullen, in his official cap... 2019 WL 2082594, *1+ , 10th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	May 08, 2019	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>37. Brief of Appellants</b> ¶¶ EMERGENCY COALITION TO DEFEND EDUCATIONAL TRAVEL ("ECDET"); Wayne S. Smith, Ph.D.; John W. Cotman, Ph.D.; Jessica Kamen, Undergraduate Student; Adna... 2008 WL 2323187, *2323187+ , D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	May 30, 2008	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>38. JOINT APPENDIX, VOL. I</b> ¶¶ Trump v. International Refugee Assistance Project 2017 WL 3448008, *1+ , U.S. (Joint Appendix)	Aug. 10, 2017	Joint Appendix		<a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>39. Memorandum in Support of Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment or, in the Alternative, for Dismissal in Part</b> Gulet MOHAMED, Plaintiff, v. Eric H. HOLDER, Jr., et al., Defendants. 2014 WL 8097406, *1+ , E.D.Va. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Dec. 09, 2014	Motion		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Not Followed as Dicta <b>NEGATIVE</b>	 <b>40. Hutchins v. District of Columbia</b>  188 F.3d 531, 537+ , D.C.Cir.  Minors, parents, and private business brought action against District of Columbia to challenge constitutionality of District's Juvenile Curfew Act. The United States District Court...	June 18, 1999	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Declined to Extend by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>41. Zivotofsky ex rel. Zivotofsky v. Secretary of State</b>  725 F.3d 197, 216+ , D.C.Cir.  INTERNATIONAL LAW - Foreign Sovereigns. Statute addressing passports for U.S. citizens born in Jerusalem infringed on President's recognition power.	July 23, 2013	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>42. Robert E. v. Berryhill</b> 2018 WL 4621921, *7+ , D.Or.  Plaintiff, Robert E., brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) seeking judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("the Commissioner") that...	Aug. 13, 2018	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	 <b>43. U.S. v. Travis</b> 241 F.Supp. 472, 475+ , S.D.Cal.  Defendant was indicted for departing from United States for Cuba without valid passport. The District Court, Crary, J., held that fact that entry of defendant into Cuba was...	May 13, 1964	Case		—
Discussed by	 <b>44. Zivotofsky ex rel. Zivotofsky v. Kerry</b>  135 S.Ct. 2076, 2096+ , U.S.  GOVERNMENT - Separation of Powers. Act requiring Israel to be listed on passports of Jerusalem-born persons infringed President's exclusive power of recognition.	June 08, 2015	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>45. City of Chicago v. Morales</b> 119 S.Ct. 1849, 1852+ , U.S.Ill.  After they were charged with violating city's gang loitering ordinance, defendants in one set of actions moved to dismiss actions. The Circuit Court, Cook County, Thaddeus L....	June 10, 1999	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>46. Regan v. Wald</b>  104 S.Ct. 3026, 3032+ , U.S.Mass.  See 469 U.S. 912, 105 S.Ct. 285. A Treasury Department regulation, first promulgated in 1963 as part of the Cuban Assets Control Regulations implemented under the Trading with the...	June 28, 1984	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>47. Califano v. Aznavorian</b>  99 S.Ct. 471, 472+ , U.S.Cal.  Supplementary Security Income recipient sought judicial review of decision of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare denying her benefits for time when she had been...	Dec. 11, 1978	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 <b>48. Gutknecht v. U.S.</b> ¶ 90 S.Ct. 506, 511+ , U.S.Minn.  Prosecution for willfully and knowingly failing and neglecting to perform duty required under Selective Service Act. The United States District Court for the District of Minnesota,...	Jan. 19, 1970	Case		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>49. Shapiro v. Thompson</b> 89 S.Ct. 1322, 1329+ , U.S.Conn.  Appeals from decisions of three-judge District Courts for District of Connecticut, District of Columbia, and Eastern District of Pennsylvania, 270 F.Supp. 331,277 F.Supp. 65,279...	Apr. 21, 1969	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>50. Aptheker v. Secretary of State</b> ¶ 84 S.Ct. 1659, 1663+ , U.S.Dist.Col.  Actions for injunctive relief and for declaratory relief in respect to constitutionality of a section of the Subversive Activities Control Act. The three judge United States...	June 22, 1964	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>51. Wald v. Regan</b> ¶ 708 F.2d 794, 797+ , 1st Cir.(Mass.)  Plaintiffs brought an action challenging a Treasury Department regulation which prohibited persons who would desire to travel to Cuba from paying for "transportation-related"...	May 16, 1983	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>52. Hernandez v. Cremer</b> ¶ 913 F.2d 230, 234+ , 5th Cir.(Tex.)  United States citizen denied entry at Mexican border brought suit against Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) and its employees. The United States District Court for the...	Oct. 02, 1990	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>53. Yepes-Prado v. U.S. I.N.S.</b> 10 F.3d 1363, 1368+ , 9th Cir.  Alien petitioned for review of denial of discretionary waiver of deportation. The Court of Appeals, Reinhardt, Circuit Judge, held that: (1) alien's unwed parent status was...	Oct. 08, 1993	Case		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>54. Abourezk v. Reagan</b> ¶ 785 F.2d 1043, 1056+ , D.C.Cir.  Suits were brought challenging refusal of Secretary of State to issue visas to aliens who plaintiffs, citizens and residents of United States, had invited to come to United States...	Mar. 11, 1986	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>55. Narenji v. Civiletti</b> 617 F.2d 745, 750+ , D.C.Cir.  The United States District Court for the District of Columbia, 481 F.Supp. 1132, declared unconstitutional a regulation which required all immigrant alien postsecondary school...	Dec. 27, 1979	Case		<a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 <b>56. Lynd v. Rusk</b> ¶ 389 F.2d 940, 944+ , D.C.Cir. Suits for injunction against revocation by Secretary of State of passports and for declaratory relief. The United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Edward M....	Dec. 20, 1967	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>57. Worthy v. Herter</b> ¶ 270 F.2d 905, 907+ , D.C.Cir. Action against the United States Secretary of State by a newspaperman protesting denial of renewal of his passport, based upon his unwillingness to agree to abide by restrictions...	June 09, 1959	Case		<a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>58. Omar v. Kerry</b> ¶ 2016 WL 617449, *7+ , N.D.Cal. This lawsuit presents the question of whether the United States government may revoke a United States citizen's passport based solely on a purported "confession" that the citizen...	Feb. 16, 2016	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>59. Omar v. Kerry</b> ¶ 2015 WL 5964901, *6+ , N.D.Cal. Plaintiff Mosed Shaye Omar contends that Defendants the United States Department of State, John Kerry as the Secretary of State, Brenda Sprague as the Deputy Assistant Secretary of...	Oct. 13, 2015	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>60. U.S. v. Ortega Lopez</b> ¶ 684 F.Supp. 1506, 1514+ , C.D.Cal. Motions were brought to declare Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, and sentencing guidelines promulgated thereunder unconstitutional. The District Court, Hauk, Senior District Judge,...	May 05, 1988	Case		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>61. Aznavorian v. Califano</b> ¶ 440 F.Supp. 788, 795+ , S.D.Cal. Social security recipient who had been denied supplemental security income benefits as a result of having been outside of the United States for an entire month brought action...	Aug. 12, 1977	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>62. Kelso v. U.S. Dept. of State</b> ¶ 13 F.Supp.2d 1, 6+ , D.D.C. Passport holder brought action challenging revocation of his passport. On motion for preliminary injunction, the District Court, Kollar-Kotelly, J., held that: (1) pre-revocation...	Apr. 29, 1998	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>63. Agee v. Vance</b> 483 F.Supp. 729, 730+ , D.D.C. Plaintiff, a United States citizen and former CIA employee, brought an action against the Secretary of State seeking declaratory and injunctive relief with regard to revocation of...	Jan. 28, 1980	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.



Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 <b>64. Narenji v. Civiletti</b> ¶¶ 481 F.Supp. 1132, 1141+ , D.D.C. Following consolidation of cases seeking declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to regulation directing Iranian nonimmigrant postsecondary students to report to Immigration...	Dec. 11, 1979	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>65. Flynn v. Rusk</b> ¶¶ 219 F.Supp. 709, 713+ , D.D.C. Proceeding to determine constitutionality of Section 6 of the Subversive Activities Control Act of 1950 prohibiting the issuance of passports to members of the Communist Party. A...	July 12, 1963	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>66. Newman v. Garcia</b> ¶¶ 2016 WL 8939133, *3+ , M.D.Fla. Daniel Newman, proceeding pro se, filed a complaint alleging Officer J.R. Garcia of the Jacksonville Sheriff's Office violated his constitutional rights. Doc. 2. Before the Court...	Sep. 26, 2016	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>67. Moses v. Allard</b> ¶¶ 779 F.Supp. 857, 867+ , E.D.Mich. This action is before the Court on Trustee David W. Allard's ("Trustee") Motion For Order Compelling Debtor to Testify, filed April 12, 1991. The Trustee, representing the...	Aug. 09, 1991	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>68. Doe v. Haslam</b> ¶¶ 2017 WL 5187117, *15+ , M.D.Tenn. Before the Court are Motions to Dismiss (Doc Nos. 10 & 26) filed by Defendants Governor William E. Haslam and Tennessee Bureau of Investigation ("TBI") Director Mark Gwyn in two...	Nov. 09, 2017	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>69. U.S. v. Williams</b> ¶¶ 691 F.Supp. 36, 46+ , M.D.Tenn. Defendants charged with and/or convicted of federal crimes moved for order declaring invalid and unenforceable federal Sentencing Guidelines promulgated by Sentencing Commission. ...	June 23, 1988	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>70. Mohamed v. Holder</b> ¶¶ 995 F.Supp.2d 520, 529+ , E.D.Va. CIVIL RIGHTS - Due Process. Allegations were sufficient to state claim for violations of procedural due process based on placement on no fly list.	Jan. 22, 2014	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>71. Clancy v. Office of Foreign Assets Control of U.S. Dept. of Treasury</b> 2007 WL 1051767, *11+ , E.D.Wis. This action for declaratory and injunctive relief arises out of a monetary penalty imposed on the Plaintiff, Ryan Clancy ("Clancy"), for engaging in certain prohibited...	Mar. 31, 2007	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>72. U.S. v. Torres</b>  857 F.Supp. 168, 177+ , D.Puerto Rico Defendant moves to dismiss charge of possessing firearm during crime of violence, claiming that sentence under both firearm statute and carjacking statute would violate double...	July 08, 1994	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>73. In re Alcala</b>  271 Cal.Rptr. 674, 684+ , Cal.App. 1 Dist. Prisoner sought writ of habeas corpus challenging warden's prohibition against prisoners wearing certain items of civilian clothing. The Superior Court, Marin County, No....	July 23, 1990	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>74. State v. Burnett</b>  755 N.E.2d 857, 864+ , Ohio CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Trespass. Drug-exclusion zone violated due process right to intra-state travel.	Oct. 17, 2001	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>75. Eggert v. City of Seattle</b>  505 P.2d 801, 803+ , Wash. Action by applicants for city jobs against city and city civil service commission challenging city charter provisions which grant preference in employment for some positions city...	Jan. 25, 1973	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>76. Coalition of Chiliwist v. Okanogan County</b>  2017 WL 1032774, *7+ , Wash.App. Div. 3 Coalition of Chiliwist Residents and Friends (Coalition) appeals the summary dismissal of their complaint that primarily sought to void Okanogan County (County) Board of County...	Mar. 16, 2017	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>77. Decision</b>  IN THE MATTER OF MORTON BORROW, 2930 HAVERFORD ROAD, ARDMORE, PA. 27 F.C.C. 783, 789+ , F.C.C. 1. By order of July 30, 1958, released August 5, 1958 (23 F.R. 6152) the Commission designated the above-entitled application of Morton Borrow for hearing upon the following...	Dec. 02, 1959	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>78. Petition for Writ of Certiorari</b>  Hinojosa v. Horn 2018 WL 4961443, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Oct. 08, 2018	Petition		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>79. Brief in Opposition</b>  Trump v. State of Hawaii 2018 WL 417995, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Jan. 12, 2018	Petition		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>80. Supplemental Brief of Brajesh Katare</b>  Katare v. Katare 2012 WL 6721403, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Dec. 24, 2012	Petition		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>81. Brief for the United States in Opposition</b> Rahmani v. United States of America 2006 WL 3423866, *3423866+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Nov. 27, 2006	Petition		—
Discussed by	<b>82. Petition for a Writ of Certiorari</b> ¶¶ Gonzalez-Vera v. Kissinger 2006 WL 3357067, *3357067+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Nov. 14, 2006	Petition		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>83. Petition for A Writ of Certiorari</b> MINNESOTA SENIOR FEDERATION, Metropolitan Region; and Mary Sarno, Petitioners, v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; and Tommy G. Thompson, Secretary of Health... 2002 WL 32135058, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Mar. 13, 2002	Petition		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>84. Petition for a Writ of Certiorari</b> HUMANITARIAN LAW PROJECT; Ralph Fertig; Ilankai Tamil Sangam; Tamils of Northern California; Tamil Welfare and Human Rights Committee; Federation of ... 2000 WL 34001020, *34001020+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Nov. 29, 2000	Petition		<a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>85. Brief for the United States in Opposition</b> ¶¶ Robert W. HOWARD, Petitioner, v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. 1996 WL 33438415, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Oct. 11, 1996	Petition		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>86. Brief of Amici Curiae</b> ¶¶ Juan Carlos VALDEZ-BERNAL, a.k.a. Carlos Bernal Valdez, Petitioner, v. Alberto R. GONZALES, United States Attorney General, Respondent. 2007 WL 4136839, *4136839+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Oct. 12, 2007	Petition		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>87. Petition for Review</b> In re: K.F., a Minor. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff/Respondent, v. K. F., Defendant/Appellant. 2016 WL 5927188, *1+ , Cal. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Sep. 06, 2016	Petition		—
Discussed by	<b>88. Appellant's Petition for Review</b> ¶¶ THE PEOPLE, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. Samir Assir ELMACHTOUB, Defendant and Appellant. 2014 WL 583191, *1+ , Cal. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Jan. 14, 2014	Petition		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>89. Appellant's Petition for Review</b> In re STEVEN R., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law. The People, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. STEVEN R., Defendant and Appellant. 2013 WL 1720870, *1+ , Cal. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Mar. 22, 2013	Petition		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>90. Petition for Review After the Partially Published Decision of the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, Affirming the Judgment of ...</b> THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. Susan Ann SCHAEFFER, Defendant and Appellant. 2012 WL 4653835, *1+ , Cal. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Sep. 10, 2012	Petition		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>91. Brief of Momodoulamin Jobe and the Immigrant Defense Project as Amici Curiae in Support of Petitioner ”</b> Barton v. Barr 2019 WL 3023276, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 03, 2019	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>92. Brief of Members of Congress as Amici Curiae in Support of Respondents ”</b> Trump v. State of Hawaii 2018 WL 1586437, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 30, 2018	Brief		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>93. Brief for Amici Curiae Scholars of Immigration Law in Support of Respondents on the History of the Immigration and Nationality Act</b> Trump v. State of Hawaii 2018 WL 1586764, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 30, 2018	Brief		—
Discussed by	<b>94. Brief of U.S. Companies as Amici Curiae in Support of Respondents ”</b> Trump v. State of Hawaii 2018 WL 1605657, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 30, 2018	Brief		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>95. Amicus Curiae Brief of 36 Appellate Lawyers Supporting Respondents</b> Trump v. State of Hawaii 2018 WL 1585882, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 27, 2018	Brief		—
Discussed by	<b>96. Brief for Respondents</b> Trump v. State of Hawaii 2018 WL 1468304, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 23, 2018	Brief		—
Discussed by	<b>97. Brief of Amicus Curiae Khizr Khan in Support of Respondents ”</b> Trump v. State of Hawaii 2018 WL 1557070, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 23, 2018	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>98. Brief of the Policing Project at New York University School of Law as Amicus Curiae Supporting Respondent ”</b> United States of America v. Microsoft Corporation 2018 WL 481600, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 18, 2018	Brief		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>99. Reply Brief for the Petitioners</b> Trump v. International Refugee Assistance Project 2017 WL 4457184, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 04, 2017	Brief		—
Discussed by	<b>100. Brief of Members of Congress as Amici Curiae in Support of Respondents ”</b> Trump v. International Refugee Assistance Project 2017 WL 4163970, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 18, 2017	Brief		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>101. Brief Of Amicus Curiae Khizr Khan In Support Of Respondents</b> ” Trump v. International Refugee Assistance Project 2017 WL 4176194, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 14, 2017	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>102. Brief of Public Knowledge, the International Costumers Guild, Shapeways, Inc., the Open Source Hardware Association, Formlabs Inc., Printrbot Inc., th...</b> ” Star Athletica, LLC v. Varsity Brands, Inc. 2016 WL 3965163, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 21, 2016	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>103. Brief Amicus Curiae of American Jewish Committee in Support of the Petitioner</b> ” Zivotofsky v. Kerry 2014 WL 3611511, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 22, 2014	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>104. Brief for the United States Senate as Amicus Curiae Supporting Petitioner</b> ” Zivotofsky v. Kerry 2014 WL 3767419, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 22, 2014	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>105. Brief of Amicus Curiae Cato Institute in Support of Petitioners</b> ” McCullen v. Coakley 2013 WL 5274827, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 16, 2013	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>106. Brief for National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers, National Legal Aid &amp; Defender Association, Immigrant Defense Project, Immigrant Legal Reso...</b> ” Vartelas v. Holder 2011 WL 5908954, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 22, 2011	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>107. Brief for Members of the United States Senate and the United States House of Representatives as Amici Curiae in Support of Petitioner</b> ” Zivotofsky v. Clinton 2011 WL 3467248, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 05, 2011	Brief		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>108. Brief of Amicus Curiae of the American Association of Jewish Lawyers and Jurists in Support of Petitioner</b> Zivotofsky v. Clinton 2011 WL 3511015, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 05, 2011	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>109. Brief for the Cato Institute as Amicus Curiae in Support of Respondents</b> ” Gonzales v. State of Oregon 2005 WL 1687167, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 18, 2005	Brief		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>110. BRIEF FOR THE AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS, ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP, DELOITTE &amp; TOUCHE LLP, AND KPMG LLP AS AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT ...</b> ” Browner v. American Trucking Associations, Inc. 2000 WL 1298911, *1298911+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 11, 2000	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>111. BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE MERCATUS CENTER IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT ¶¶</b> Browner v. American Trucking Associations, Inc. 2000 WL 1298998, *1298998+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 11, 2000	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>112. BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE SENATOR ORRIN HATCH AND REPRESENTATIVE TOM BLILEY IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS</b> Browner v. American Trucking Associations, Inc. 2000 WL 1299009, *1299009+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 08, 2000	Brief		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>113. BRIEF AMICI CURIAE OF WASHINGTON LEGAL FOUNDATION AND ALLIED EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION IN SUPPORT OF CROSS-PETITIONERS ¶¶</b> American Trucking Associations, Inc. v. Browner 2000 WL 1015745, *1015745+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 21, 2000	Brief		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>114. BRIEF AMICI CURIAE OF COUNCIL FOR SECULAR HUMANISM AND INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY OF HUMANISM IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS ¶¶</b> State of Washington v. Glucksberg 1996 WL 708950, *708950+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 09, 1996	Brief		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>115. REPLY BRIEF FOR THE PETITIONER</b> Loving v. U.S. 1995 WL 763709, *1+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 28, 1995	Brief		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>116. BRIEF FOR THE PETITIONER</b> Loving v. U.S. 1995 WL 668007, *668007+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 09, 1995	Brief		<a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>117. BRIEF FOR PETITIONERS</b> Rust v. Sullivan 1990 WL 505724, *1+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 27, 1990	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>118. Brief for Petitioners</b> Dr. Irving RUST, on behalf of himself, his patients, and all others similarly situated, Dr. Melvin Padawer, on behalf of himself, his patients, and al... 1990 WL 10012648, *10012648+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 27, 1990	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>119. Joint and Combined Amicus Brief of the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers, the National Network for the Right to Counsel, the American C...</b> CAPLIN & DRYSDALE, Chartered, Petitioner, v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondent. United States of America, Petitioner, v. Peter Monsanto, Respondent... 1988 WL 1026330, *1026330+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 27, 1988	Brief		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>120. Brief for American Civil Liberties Union, Amicus Curiae</b> Zemel v. Rusk 1985 WL 731270, *731270+, U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 02, 1985	Brief		<a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>121. Reply Brief for the Petitioner</b> Edmund S. MUSKIE, Secretary of State, Petitioner, v. Philip AGEE. 1980 WL 339655, *339655+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 1980	Brief		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>122. Brief for Amici Curiae American Broadcasting Companies, Inc. CBS Inc. National Association of Broadcasters National Broadcasting Company, Inc. Nationa...</b> Federal Communications Commission v. Pacifica Foundation 1978 WL 223423, *223423+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 27, 1978	Brief		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>123. Brief of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, as Amicus Curiae ¶¶</b> Mathews v. Cintron 1975 WL 184939, *184939+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 11, 1975	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>124. Motion for Leave to File Brief and Brief for the International Brotherhood of Police Officers as Amicus Curiae ¶¶</b> John L. BARRY, Commissioner of the Suffolk County Police Department, Petitioner, v. Thomas DWEN, as President of the Suffolk County Patrolmen's Benevo... 1975 WL 173507, *173507+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 11, 1975	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>125. Motion for Leave to File Brief and Brief for the International Brotherhood of Police Officers as Amicus Curiae ¶¶</b> John L. BARRY, Commissioner of the Suffolk County Police Department, Petitioner, v. Thomas DWEN, as President of the Suffolk County Patrolmen's Benevo... 1975 WL 173517, *173517+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 11, 1975	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>126. Brief for Appellees</b> Mitchell v. Mandel 1972 WL 135746, *135746+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 05, 1972	Brief		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>127. Brief for Petitioner, New York Times Company</b> New York Times Co. v. U.S. 1971 WL 134368, *134368+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	June 26, 1971	Brief		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>128. Brief for Petitioner, New York Times Company</b> New York Times Co. v. U.S. 1971 WL 147018, *147018+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	June 26, 1971	Brief		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>129. Brief for Respondents Radio Television News Directors Association, et al. ¶¶</b> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and Federal Communications Commission, Petitioners, v. RADIO TELEVISION NEWS DIRECTORS ASSOCIATION, et al., Respondents. 1969 WL 136842, *136842+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 22, 1969	Brief		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>130. Brief of Appellant ¶¶</b> Schneider v. Smith 1967 WL 113802, *113802+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 01, 1967	Brief		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>131. Brief for Appellants and Appellants-Intervenors on Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana, New Orleans Div...</b> Dombrowski v. Pfister 1964 WL 81259, *81259+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 25, 1964	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>132. Brief for Petitioner ¶¶</b> Schneider v. Rusk 1962 WL 115152, *115152+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 29, 1962	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>133. Brief for Petitioners</b> Cafeteria and Restaurant Workers Union v. McElroy 1960 WL 98716, *98716+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 25, 1960	Brief		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>134. Reply Brief for Petitioner</b> Taylor v. McElroy 1959 WL 101669, *101669+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 30, 1959	Brief		—
Discussed by	<b>135. Reply Brief for Petitioner</b> Greene v. McElroy 1959 WL 101897, *101897+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 27, 1959	Brief		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>136. Brief for the Petitioner ¶¶</b> Greene v. McElroy 1959 WL 101896, *101896+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 20, 1959	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>137. Opening Brief of the Defendant - Appellant Dustin Buttrick ¶¶</b> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Appellee, v. Dustin BUTTRICK, Defendant - Appellant. 2005 WL 6250681, *1+ , 1st Cir. (Appellate Brief)	July 01, 2005	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>138. Appellant's Brief ¶¶</b> David A. PERRY, Plaintiff - appellant, v. Virginia C. BEECHER, Director of the New Hampshire Division of Motor Vehicles, Defendant - appellant. 2004 WL 5664455, *5664455+ , 1st Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 30, 2004	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>139. Brief for Plaintiffs-Appellants-Cross-Appellees with Special Appendix ¶¶</b> Alan KACHALSKY, Christina Nikolov, Johnnie Nance, Anna Marcucci-Nance, Eric Detmer, Second Amendment Foundation, Inc., Plaintiffs-Appellants-Cross-App... 2011 WL 5833579, *1+ , 2nd Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 09, 2011	Brief		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>140. Reply Brief for Appellant ¶¶</b> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Appellee, v. Chuck Parker COLLINGTON, Appellant. 2019 WL 4412665, *1+ , 4th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 13, 2019	Brief		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>141. Brief of Amicus Curiae, United Game Fowl Breeders Association in Support of Appellants, and Seeking Reversal of Their Convictions ¶¶</b> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Appellee, v. Scott LAWSON, Sheri Hutto, Wayne Hutto, Leslie Peeler, Nancy Dyal, James Collins, Jr., Appellants. 2011 WL 1476012, *1+ , 4th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 18, 2011	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.



Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>142. Brief of the United States</b> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Appellee, v. Mohan Othniel GREENWOOD, Appellant. 2006 WL 3023612, *3023612+, 4th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 13, 2006	Brief		—
Discussed by	<b>143. Brief of Appellee</b> Rebecca WILLIS, Plaintiff - Appellant, v. TOWN OF MARSHALL, North Carolina, Defendant - Appellee. 2004 WL 3200529, *3200529+, 4th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	May 14, 2004	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>144. Brief of Appellant</b> Rebecca WILLIS, Plaintiff - Appellant, v. TOWN OF MARSHALL, North Carolina, Defendant - Appellee. 2004 WL 3200530, *3200530+, 4th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 12, 2004	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>145. Brief of Defendants-Appellees ¶¶</b> David Israel SANCHEZ, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. John F. KERRY, United States Secretary of State, and Benita Jones-Burnett, Acting Director of the Charle... 2015 WL 4241205, *1+, 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	July 10, 2015	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>146. Brief for Appellant</b> Laura Nancy CASTRO; Yuliana Trinidad Castro, Individually and as Next Friend of C.A.G.; Jessica Garcia; Rodrigo Sampayo; Ana Alanis, Plaintiffs-Appell... 2013 WL 8635070, *1+, 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 19, 2013	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>147. Brief for Appellant Monica Castro</b> Monica CASTRO, For Herself and as Next Friend of R.M.G., A Minor Child, Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant-Appellee. 2007 WL 7131702, *1+, 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	July 11, 2007	Brief		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>148. Appellant's Brief ¶¶</b> Maan BAZZI, Petitioner-Appellant, v. Loretta LYNCH, et al., Respondents-Appellees. 2017 WL 512535, *1+, 6th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 06, 2017	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>149. Appellant's Brief ¶¶</b> Nasser BEYDOUN, Petitioner-Appellant, v. Loretta LYNCH, et al., Respondents-Appellees. 2016 WL 7385997, *1+, 6th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 19, 2016	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>150. Appellant's Brief ¶¶</b> Saeb MOKDAD, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Eric HOLDER, Jr., et al, Defendant-Appellee. 2014 WL 1400242, *1+, 6th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 07, 2014	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>151. Brief of Defendant-Appellee Police Officer Jeffrey Zucker</b> Robert KENNEDY, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. CITY OF CINCINNATI, et al., Defendants, Police Officer Jeffrey Zucker, Defendant-Appellee. 2011 WL 2178264, *1+, 6th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	May 27, 2011	Brief		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>152. Brief for the Appellees</b> Ryan CLANCY, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. OFFICE OF FOREIGN ASSETS CONTROL, et al., Defendants-Appellees. 2007 WL 4207629, *4207629+, 7th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 19, 2007	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>153. Brief of Plaintiff-Appellant</b> Ryan CLANCY, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. OFFICE OF FOREIGN ASSETS CONTROL OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY, Henry M. Paulson, Jr., Secretar... 2007 WL 3021481, *3021481+, 7th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 04, 2007	Brief		—
Discussed by	<b>154. Appellant's Brief and Short Appendix ¶¶</b> In re the Application of: Massimo FABRI, Petitioner-Appellee, v. Lesley PRITIKIN-FABRI, Respondent-Appellant. 2001 WL 34354307, *1+, 7th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	2001	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>155. Appellant's Informal Brief ¶¶</b> James FRANKENFIELD, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Adam E. FOX, et al., Defendants-Appellees. 2018 WL 3343089, *1+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	July 04, 2018	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>156. Brief of Amicus Curiae Khizr Khan in Support of Plaintiffs-Appellees and Affirmance ¶¶</b> STATE OF HAWAII, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellees, v. Donald J. TRUMP, et al., Defendants-Appellants. 2017 WL 1521287, *1+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 19, 2017	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>157. Brief of the East Bay Community Law Center, Public Counsel, and National Law Center on Homelessness &amp; Poverty As Amici Curiae in Support of Plaintiffs... ¶¶</b> Cheyenne DESERTRAIN, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al., Defendants-Appellees. 2012 WL 3721349, *1+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 16, 2012	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>158. Reply Brief ¶¶</b> Adam RICHARDS, Brett Stewart, Second Amendment Foundation, Inc., and The Calguns Foundation, Inc., Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. Ed PRIETO and County of Y... 2011 WL 9535605, *1+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 25, 2011	Brief		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>159. Supplemental Brief Driver's License Suspension Constitutionality, to Defendants of Alameda County &amp; to Central Towing &amp; Transporting</b> William J. WHITSITT, (Plaintiff Appellant), v. George LYTTLE et. al., (Defendant Appellee). 2010 WL 6415367, *1+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 27, 2010	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>160. Plaintiffs' Opening Brief on Cross-Appeal</b> HUMANITARIAN LAW PROJECT, Ralph Fertig; Ilankai Thamil Sangam, Tamils of Northern California; Tamil Welfare and Human Rights Committee; Federation of ... 2002 WL 32298358, *32298358+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	June 03, 2002	Brief		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>161. Appellants' Reply Brief and Brief of Cross-Appellees</b> HUMANITARIAN LAW PROJECT; Ralph Fertig; Ilankai Thamil Sangam; Tamils of Northern California; Tamil Welfare and Human Rights Committee; Federation of ... 1998 WL 34089591, *34089591+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 13, 1998	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>162. Appellants' Opening Brief With Addendum</b> HUMANITARIAN LAW PROJECT; Ralph Fertig; Ilankai Thamil Sangam; Tamils of Northern California; Tamil Welfare and Human Rights Committee, Federation of ... 1998 WL 34303127, *34303127+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 03, 1998	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>163. Reply Brief for Appellants</b> ¶¶ Freedom to Travel Campaign, Medea Benjamin, Pam Montanaro, Walter Turner, Plaintiffs-Appellants. Christopher GERHART, Ginny Hildebrand, David Horvath, ... 1995 WL 17069313, *17069313+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	May 22, 1995	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>164. Reply Brief for Appellants</b> Dana Alix ZZYYM, Plaintiff-Appellee, v. Michael R. POMPEO, in his official capacity as Secretary of State, and Steven J. Mullen, in his official capac... 2019 WL 2336748, *1+, 10th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	May 01, 2019	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>165. Brief of Appellants</b> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, Appellee, v. Charles BARRETT and Kathleen Barrett, Appellants. 2014 WL 2994200, *1+, 10th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	June 26, 2014	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>166. Appellant's Combined Initial Brief and Petition for a Writ of Mandamus</b> ¶¶ UNITED STATES, Appellee, v. Khalid SHALHOUB, Appellant/Petitioner. 2016 WL 2342505, *1+, 11th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 29, 2016	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>167. Brief for Appellee</b> ¶¶ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff-Appellee, v. Robert Winford HOWARD, Defendant-Appellant. 1996 WL 33472595, *33472595+, 11th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 26, 1996	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>168. Brief for Appellee</b> ¶¶ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff-Appellee, v. Robert Winford HOWARD, Defendant-Appellant. 1996 WL 33500060, *33500060+, 11th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 26, 1996	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>169. Brief of Appellant</b> ¶ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Appellee, v. Robert W. HOWARD, Appellant. 1995 WL 17057584, *17057584+, 11th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 07, 1995	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>170. Corrected Brief of Plaintiff-Appellant Robert K. Murakami</b> ¶ Robert K. MURAKAMI, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. UNITED STATES, Defendant-Appellee. 2004 WL 3763882, *3763882+, Fed.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 26, 2004	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>171. Brief of Appellants</b> ¶ Martin SCAHILL, et al, Appellants, v. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA., et al., Appellees. 2018 WL 2151590, *1+, D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	May 09, 2018	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>172. Reply Brief Of The Detroit International Bridge Company And The Canadian Transit Company</b> DETROIT INTERNATIONAL BRIDGE COMPANY et al., Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA et al., Defendants-Appellees. 2017 WL 1548587, *1+, D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 28, 2017	Brief		—
Discussed by	<b>173. Reply Brief of the Detroit International Bridge Company and the Canadian Transit Company</b> DETROIT INTERNATIONAL BRIDGE COMPANY et al., Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA et al., Defendants-Appellees. 2017 WL 1294999, *1+, D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 07, 2017	Brief		—
Discussed by	<b>174. Brief for Petitioner Mehmet Ege</b> ¶ Mehmet EGE, Petitioner, v. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, et al. and Transportation Security Administration, Respondents. 2013 WL 5872305, *1+, D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 01, 2013	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>175. Reply Brief</b> ¶ Stephen DEARTH, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. Eric H. HOLDER, Jr., Defendant-Appellee. 2013 WL 1411840, *1+, D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 08, 2013	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>176. Reply Brief</b> ¶ Stephen DEARTH, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. Eric H. HOLDER, Jr., Defendant-Appellee. 2013 WL 1450992, *1+, D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 08, 2013	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>177. Appellants' Brief</b> ¶ Stephen DEARTH, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. Eric H. HOLDER, Jr., Defendant-Appellee. 2013 WL 486215, *1+, D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 08, 2013	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>178. Brief of Members of the United States Senate and the United States House of Representatives as Amici Curiae in Support of Appellant Supporting Reversa... ”</b> Menachem Binyamin ZIVOTOFISKY, by his parents and guardians, Ari Z. and Naomi Siegman Zivotofsky, Appellant, v. SECRETARY OF STATE, Appellee. 2012 WL 3061438, *1+ , D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	July 27, 2012	Brief		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>179. Brief of Amicus Curiae American Association of Jewish Lawyers and Jurists in Support of Plaintiff-Appellant</b> Menachem Binyamin ZIVOTOFISKY, by his parents and guardians, Ari Z. and Naomi Siegman Zivotofsky, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. SECRETARY OF STATE, Defendant... 2012 WL 3111614, *1+ , D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	July 27, 2012	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>180. Appellant Brief</b> Stephen C. WALKER Plaintiff/Appellant, v. Eric HOLDER Jr, U.S. Attorney General, Et Al Defendant/Appellee. 2011 WL 758654, *758654+ , D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 03, 2011	Brief		—
Discussed by	<b>181. Brief for the Appellees ”</b> EMERGENCY COALITION TO DEFEND EDUCATIONAL TRAVEL, et al., Plaintiffs/Appellants, v. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY, et al., Defendants/Appel... 2008 WL 2278708, *2278708+ , D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	May 30, 2008	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>182. Brief for the Appellant ”</b> Menachem Binyamin ZIVOTOFISKY, by his parents and guardians, Ari Z. and Naomi Siegman Zivotofsky, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. THE SECRETARY OF STATE, Defen... 2008 WL 2756230, *2756230+ , D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 06, 2008	Brief		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>183. Brief for Appellants ”</b> Olivier BANCOULT, et. al., Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. Robert S. MCNAMARA, et. al., Defendants-Appellees. 2005 WL 2451246, *2451246+ , D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 03, 2005	Brief		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>184. Brief for the Appellant ”</b> Menachem Binyamin ZIVOTOFISKY, by his parents and guardians, Ari Z. and Naomi Siegman Zivotofsky, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. THE SECRETARY OF STATE, Defen... 2005 WL 1253993, *1253993+ , D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	May 18, 2005	Brief		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>185. Brief for Appellants</b> REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE, National Republican Senatorial Committee, National Republican Congressional Committee, Appellants, v. FEDERAL ELECTION ... 1995 WL 17204743, *17204743+, D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	May 24, 1995	Brief		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>186. Petition for Review</b> THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff-Respondent, v. Antoniette GODINA, Defendant-Appellant. 2016 WL 5255613, *1+, Cal. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 19, 2016	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>187. Petition for Review</b> In re: K.F. A minor. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff/Respondent, v. K.F., Defendant/Appellant. 2016 WL 4621536, *1+, Cal. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 02, 2016	Brief		—
Discussed by	<b>188. Appellant's Answer Brief On the Merits</b> ¶¶ PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. Jeffrey Michael MORAN, Defendant and Appellant. 2014 WL 4649987, *1+, Cal. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 05, 2014	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>189. Appellant's Opening Brief</b> ¶¶ PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. Samir Assir ELMACHTOUB, Defendant and Appellant. 2012 WL 6700085, *1+, Cal.App. 6 Dist. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 10, 2012	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>190. Brief of Amicus Curiae Public Defender Service in Support of Appellant</b> ¶¶ Antaun CONLEY, Appellant, v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Appellee. 2012 WL 9507923, *1+, D.C. (Appellate Brief)	May 18, 2012	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>191. Brief of Appellants Howard Trickett, et al.</b> ¶¶ Bonnie TRICKETT, Appellee, v. Howard TRICKETT, et al., Appellants. 2018 WL 2306813, *1+, Ohio App. 11 Dist. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 27, 2018	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>192. Brief of Appellants Howard Trickett, et al.</b> ¶¶ Bonnie TRICKETT, Appellee, v. Howard TRICKETT, et al., Appellants. 2018 WL 2704308, *1+, Ohio App. 11 Dist. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 27, 2018	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>193. Appellant's Opening Brief and Excerpt of Record</b> STATE OF OREGON, Plaintiff-Respondent, v. Debra Annetta BUCKLES, Defendant-Appellant. 2013 WL 8599446, *1+, Or.App. (Appellate Brief)	June 25, 2013	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>194. Appellant's Brief and Excerpt of Record</b> ㉹ Penny SODERHOLM for Jolynn Soderholm, Petitioner-Respondent, v. Loren KRUEGER, Respondent-Appellant. 2004 WL 6243316, *1+ , Or.App. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 08, 2004	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>195. Supplemental Brief of Mr. Yates</b> ㉹ State of Washington, Respondent, v. Brian YATES, Petitioner. 1996 WL 33683121, *33683121+ , Wash. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 24, 1996	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>196. Brief of Plaintiffs-Appellants-Petitioner</b> ㉹ Diane BRANDMILLER, Shane Evans, Jodie Kowalski, Wendy Miller, and Pamela Rogers, Plaintiffs-Appellants-Pet, v. Phillip ARREOLA, John C. Butoric, Duway... 1995 WL 17050491, *17050491+ , Wis. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 08, 1995	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>197. Writ of Error Prosecutor's (Derksen's) Response To Reply Brief of Defendant-Appellant</b> ㉹ STATE OF WISCONSIN, Plaintiff-Respondent, v. Roy Charles DERKSEN, Defendant, writ of error prosecutor. 2019 WL 1994636, *1+ , Wis.App. II Dist. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 19, 2019	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>198. Writ of Error Prosecutor's (Derksen's) Response to Reply Brief of Defendant-Appellant</b> ㉹ STATE OF WISCONSIN, Plaintiff-Respondent, v. Roy Charles DERKSEN, Defendant, Writ of Error Prosecutor. 2019 WL 2070591, *1+ , Wis.App. II Dist. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 19, 2019	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>199. Reply Brief of Defendant-Appellant</b> ㉹ STATE OF WISCONSIN, Plaintiff-Respondent, v. V. Roy CHARLES DERKSEN, Defendant, Writ of Error Prosecutor. 2019 WL 6251305, *1+ , Wis.App. II Dist. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 19, 2019	Brief		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>200. Complaint</b> ㉹ Don HAMRICK, pro se petitioner, v. Alan GOTTUEB, Nicki Fellenzeh Angel Shamaya, Wayne Lapierre, Exec. VP/CEO, Robert Dowlut, Gen. Counsel, Respondent. 2004 WL 2991920, *2991920+ , D.D.C. (Trial Pleading)	Nov. 22, 2004	Petition		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>201. Complaint</b> ㉹ Don HAMRICK, pro se petitioner, v. Alan GOTTUEB, Nicki Fellenzeh Angel Shamaya, Wayne Lapierre, Exec. VP/CEO, Robert Dowlut, Gen. Counsel, Respondent. 2004 WL 2991927, *2991927+ , D.D.C. (Trial Pleading)	Nov. 22, 2004	Petition		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>202. Complaint of Defamation &amp; Ritual Defamation And Violations of State &amp; Federal Antitrust Laws ”</b> Don HAMRICK, pro se, Petitioner, v. Alan GOTTLIEB, Nicki Fellenzer Angel Shamaya, Respondents. 2005 WL 3662996, *3662996+, W.D.Wash. (Trial Pleading)	Aug. 25, 2005	Petition		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>203. Opposition to Federal Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint ”</b> William G. KOCOL, and Timothy A. Gajewski, Plaintiffs, v. THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and CBP Officer Frank Burnett in his Individual Capacity, Defen...	Dec. 13, 2013	Motion		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>204. Plaintiff's Reply Memorandum in Further Support of His Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Defendants' Cross-Motion ”</b> Mosed Shaye OMAR, Plaintiff, v. John KERRY, Secretary of State, in his official capacity; Michele T. Bond, Acting Assistant Secretary for Consular Aff...	Nov. 23, 2015	Motion		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>205. Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and Cross Motion for Summary Judgment ”</b> Mosed Shaye OMAR, Plaintiff, v. John KERRY, Secretary of State, et al., Defendants. 2015 WL 12766144, *1+, N.D.Cal. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Nov. 13, 2015	Motion		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>206. Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support</b> Mosed Shaye OMAR, Plaintiff, v. John KERRY, Secretary of State, in his official capacity; Michele T. Bond, Acting Assistant Secretary for Consular Aff...	Oct. 30, 2015	Motion		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>207. Plaintiff Zzyym's Opening Brief in Support of Declaratory, Injunctive, and Other Relief and Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Judgment on the Admin... ”</b> Dana Alix ZZYYM, Plaintiff, v. John F. KERRY, in his official capacity as Secretary of State; and Sherman D. Portell, in his official capacity as the ...	Apr. 22, 2016	Motion		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.



Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<p><b>208. Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to the Motions to Dismiss and in Support of Plaintiffs' Cross-Motion for Partial Summar...</b></p> <p>DETROIT INTERNATIONAL BRIDGE COMPANY and the Canadian Transit Company, Plaintiffs, v. THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE, et al., Defendants. 2013 WL 11247541, *1+ , D.D.C. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)</p>	Nov. 08, 2013	Motion		—
Discussed by	<p><b>209. Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to the Motions to Dismiss and in Support of Plaintiffs' Cross-Motion for Partial Summar...</b></p> <p>DETROIT INTERNATIONAL BRIDGE COMPANY and the Canadian Transit Company, Plaintiffs, v. THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE, et al., Defendants. 2013 WL 11247542, *1+ , D.D.C. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)</p>	Nov. 08, 2013	Motion		—
Discussed by	<p><b>210. Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and Reply to Defendants' Opposition to Motion for Summar... ʹʹ</b></p> <p>Tom G. PALMER, et al., Plaintiffs, v. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, et al., Defendants. 2009 WL 5134097, *5134097+ , D.D.C. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)</p>	Sep. 23, 2009	Motion		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">5</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p><b>211. Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and in Support of their Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment ʹʹ</b></p> <p>Dan ODENHEIMER and Jocelyn Odenheimer, as Next Friend of E.O., their Minor Child, Plaintiffs, v. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE and Colin L. Powell...</p> <p>2004 WL 3627942, *1+ , D.D.C. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)</p>	Jan. 16, 2004	Motion		<p><a href="#">5</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p><b>212. Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment ʹʹ</b></p> <p>Dan ODENHEIMER and Jocelyn Odenheimer, as Next Friend of E.O. their Minor Child, Plaintiffs, v. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE and Colin L. Powell,...</p> <p>2004 WL 3627943, *1+ , D.D.C. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)</p>	Jan. 16, 2004	Motion		<p><a href="#">5</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p><b>213. Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Memorandum of Law in Support of the Motion</b></p> <p>Ross GILSON, Plaintiff, v. Richard L. OSBURN, as Interim President of Florida Atlantic University, and Kenneth Jessel, as Interim Provost of Florida A...</p> <p>2002 WL 32706615, *32706615+ , S.D.Fla. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)</p>	Sep. 10, 2002	Motion		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>214. Memorandum in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order</b> ¶ STATE OF HAWAII and Ismail Elshikh, Plaintiffs, v. Donald J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United States; U.S. Department of Hom... 2017 WL 6547034, *1+ , D.Hawai'i (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Oct. 10, 2017	Motion		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>215. Ex-Parte Motion for Temporary Restraining Order</b> ¶ ARAB AMERICAN CIVIL RIGHTS LEAGUE ("ACRL"), Samir Almasmari, Sabah Almasmary, Hana Almasmari, Mounira Atik, Walid Jammoul, Abubaker Abbass, on Behalf ... 2017 WL 4678924, *1+ , E.D.Mich. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Feb. 02, 2017	Motion		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>216. Reply Memorandum of Law in Further Support of Zeinab Taleb-Jedi's Pre-Trial Motions</b> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v. Zeinab TALEB-JEDI, Defendant. 2008 WL 8093630, *8093630+ , E.D.N.Y. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Feb. 29, 2008	Motion		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>217. Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendant Oscar S. Wyatt, Jr.'s Pre-Trial Motions</b> USA, v. VINCENT et al. 2005 WL 6042413, *6042413+ , S.D.N.Y. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	2005	Motion		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>218. Lumni Zhuta's Memorandum of Law: Motion to Dismiss</b> ¶ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. Lumni ZHUTA, Defendant. 2010 WL 6510273, *1+ , W.D.N.Y. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	June 29, 2010	Motion		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>219. Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Trial Brief</b> ¶ Calvin MCCRAW, et al., Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF OKLAHOMA CITY, et al., Defendants. 2018 WL 7958515, *1+ , W.D.Okla. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Aug. 22, 2018	Motion		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>220. Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and Alternative Motion for Stay</b> ¶ ANIMAL LEGAL DEFENSE FUND, et al., Plaintiffs, v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al., Defendants. 2019 WL 3024131, *1+ , D.Or. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	July 01, 2019	Motion		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>221. Reply Memorandum in Support of Defendants' FRCP 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss</b> ¶ Marlin ANDERSON, Mary Bailey, Matthew Chase, Jack Golden, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF PORTLAND; ... 2009 WL 4896893, *4896893+ , D.Or. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Apr. 29, 2009	Motion		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>222. Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' FRCP 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss ¶¶</b> Marlin ANDERSON, Mary Bailey, Matthew Chase, Jack Golden, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF PORTLAND; ... 2009 WL 4896892, *4896892+ , D.Or. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Mar. 30, 2009	Motion		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>223. Defendants' Memorandum in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment ¶¶</b> TRANSCANADA KEystone XL PIPELINE, LP and TC Oil Pipeline Operations Inc., Plaintiffs, v. John F. KERRY, Secretary of the Department of State; Loretta ... 2016 WL 1392353, *1+ , S.D.Tex. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Apr. 01, 2016	Motion		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>224. Plaintiff's Memorandum in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint ¶¶</b> Gulet MOHAMED, Plaintiff, v. Eric H. HOLDER, in his official Capacity as Attorney General of The United States, et al., Defendants. 2013 WL 12366387, *1+ , E.D.Va. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Oct. 18, 2013	Motion		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>225. Motion for Temporary Restraining Order ¶¶</b> STATE OF WASHINGTON, Plaintiff, v. Donald TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United States; U.S. Department of Homeland Security; Joh... 2017 WL 511013, *1+ , W.D.Wash. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Jan. 30, 2017	Motion		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>226. Motion for Temporary Restraining Order ¶¶</b> STATE OF WASHINGTON, Plaintiff, v. Donald TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United States; U.S. Department of Homeland Security; Joh... 2017 WL 6817681, *1+ , W.D.Wash. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Jan. 30, 2017	Motion		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>227. Defendants' Reply in Favor of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment</b> Ryan CLANCY, Plaintiff, v. OFFICE OF FOREIGN ASSETS CONTROL, U.S. Department of the Treasury; John W. Snow, Secretary of the U.S. Department of the Tr... 2005 WL 3723435, *3723435+ , E.D.Wis. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Nov. 10, 2005	Motion		—
Discussed by	<b>228. Plaintiff's Brief in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment</b> Ryan CLANCY, Plaintiff, v. OFFICE OF FOREIGN ASSETS CONTROL OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY, John W. Snow, Secretary, United States De... 2005 WL 2979530, *2979530+ , E.D.Wis. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Sep. 29, 2005	Motion		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>229. Vincent v. City of Sulphur</b> 805 F.3d 543, 548+ , 5th Cir.(La.)  CIVIL RIGHTS - Immunity. Police officers who issued no-trespass warning to city resident were entitled to qualified immunity.	Oct. 28, 2015	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>230. K.M. v. D.S. ¶¶</b> 23 N.E.3d 864, 864+ , Ind.App.  K.M. appeals the trial court's issuance of an order for protection against him in favor of D.S. On appeal, K.M. argues that there was insufficient evidence to support the issuance...	Nov. 18, 2014	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>231. Emergency Coalition To Defend Educational Travel v. U.S. Dept. of Treasury</b> 498 F.Supp.2d 150, 163+ , D.D.C.  EDUCATION - Civil Rights. Restrictions on educational programs offered in Cuba did not violate First Amendment.	July 30, 2007	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>232. Weinstein v. Albright</b> 261 F.3d 127, 134+ , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.)  FAMILY LAW - Child Support. Obligor was not denied due process by implementation of federal child support enforcement statute.	Aug. 10, 2001	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>233. In re Handy</b> 764 A.2d 1226, 1238 , Vt.  REAL PROPERTY - Zoning and Planning. Town's unbridled discretion to choose whether to apply old or new zoning bylaws was unconstitutional.	Nov. 17, 2000	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>234. State v. Ruesch</b> 571 N.W.2d 898, 903 , Wis.App.  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Stalking. Subsection of stalking statute exempting constitutionally protected conduct does not provide additional element of crime.	Oct. 30, 1997	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>235. City of Oceanside v. McKenna</b> 264 Cal.Rptr. 275, 283+ , Cal.App. 4 Dist.  City and city development commission brought action for declaratory and injunctive relief regarding condominium unit owner's attempt to lease unit in publicly subsidized...	Nov. 22, 1989	Case		—
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>236. State v. Kamin</b> 417 N.W.2d 197, 197 , Wis.App.  Circuit Court, Kenosha County Affirmed	Oct. 07, 1987	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Modification Recognized by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>237. Emergency Coalition to Defend Educational Travel v. U.S. Dept. of the Treasury</b> 545 F.3d 4, 14+ , D.C.Cir.  EDUCATION - Instruction in Cuba. Regulation imposing tight restrictions on conducting college courses in Cuba did not infringe free speech, academic freedom.	Nov. 04, 2008	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.




Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>238. Vartelas v. Holder</b> ¶ 132 S.Ct. 1479, 1488 , U.S.  IMMIGRATION - Deportation or Removal. Immigration provision attaching new disability against travel abroad based on prior crime did not apply retroactively.	Mar. 28, 2012	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>239. Food and Drug Admin. v. Brown &amp; Williamson Tobacco Corp.</b> 120 S.Ct. 1291, 1330 , U.S.N.C.  GOVERNMENT - Tobacco. Food and Drug Administration lacks authority to regulate tobacco products as customarily marketed.	Mar. 21, 2000	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>240. Kolender v. Lawson</b> 103 S.Ct. 1855, 1859 , U.S.Cal.  Individual who had been arrested and convicted for violating a California statute requiring persons who loiter or wander on the streets to provide a "credible and reliable"...	May 02, 1983	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>241. Califano v. Gautier Torres</b> 98 S.Ct. 906, 908 , U.S.Puerto Rico  Recipient who qualified for benefits under the Supplemental Security Income program while residing in the United States but who was denied benefits upon moving to Puerto Rico...	Feb. 27, 1978	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>242. Kelley v. Johnson</b> 96 S.Ct. 1440, 1448+ , U.S.N.Y.  Policeman brought suit under the Civil Rights Act of 1871 challenging validity of county's hair grooming regulation for the male members of its police force. The United States...	Apr. 05, 1976	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>243. Boehning v. Indiana State Emp. Ass'n, Inc.</b> 96 S.Ct. 168, 170 , U.S.Ind.  After an employee of the Indiana State Highway Commission was dismissed for cause, her request for a pretermination hearing being denied, she brought a federal civil rights suit...	Nov. 11, 1975	Case		—
Cited by	<b>244. Roe v. Wade</b> ¶ 93 S.Ct. 756, 758 , U.S.Tex.  For opinions of the Court see 93 S.Ct. 705, 739.	Jan. 22, 1973	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>245. Irish Northern Aid Committee v. Attorney General of U.S .</b> 93 S.Ct. 679, 679 , U.S.N.Y.  Former decision, 409 U.S. 823, 93 S.Ct. 86. Facts and opinion, D.C., 346 F.Supp. 1384; 465 F.2d 1405.	Dec. 18, 1972	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>246. Evansville-Vanderburgh Airport Authority Dist. v. Delta Airlines, Inc.</b> 92 S.Ct. 1349, 1359+ , U.S.Ind.  Actions by airlines challenging constitutionality of charges of one dollar levied by a state and by a municipality on persons enplaning a scheduled commercial airliner to help...	Apr. 19, 1972	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> <b>247. New York Times Co. v. U.S.</b> 91 S.Ct. 2140, 2155 , U.S.Dist.Col.</p> <p>The United States sought to enjoin newspapers from publishing contents of classified historical study on Viet Nam policy. In one case, the District Court for the Southern District...</p>	June 30, 1971	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<p> <b>248. U.S. v. Robel</b> </p> <p>88 S.Ct. 419, 433 , U.S.Wash.</p> <p>Prosecution under Subversive Activities Control Act. The United States District Court for the Western District of Washington dismissed the indictment, 254 F.Supp. 291, and the...</p>	Dec. 11, 1967	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<p> <b>249. Boutilier v. Immigration and Naturalization Service</b></p> <p>87 S.Ct. 1563, 1571+ , U.S.N.Y.</p> <p>Petition for review of deportation order. The Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, Kaufman, Circuit Judge, dismissed the petition, 363 F.2d 488, and certiorari was granted. The...</p>	May 22, 1967	Case		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<p> <b>250. U.S. v. Laub</b> </p> <p>87 S.Ct. 574, 578+ , U.S.N.Y.</p> <p>Prosecution for conspiracy to recruit and arrange for the travel to Cuba of 58 American citizens whose passports, although otherwise valid, were not specifically validated for...</p>	Jan. 10, 1967	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<p> <b>251. U.S. v. Guest</b> </p> <p>86 S.Ct. 1170, 1179+ , U.S.Ga.</p> <p>Prosecution for alleged conspiracy against rights of citizens. The United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia, Athens Division, sustained defendants' motions...</p>	Mar. 28, 1966	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<p> <b>252. Griswold v. Connecticut</b></p> <p>85 S.Ct. 1678, 1683+ , U.S.Conn.</p> <p>Defendants were convicted of violating the Connecticut birth control law. The Circuit Court in the Sixth Circuit, Connecticut, rendered judgments, and the defendants appealed. The...</p>	June 07, 1965	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<p> <b>253. Bell v. State of Md.</b></p> <p>84 S.Ct. 1814, 1827+ , U.S.Md.</p> <p>Negro students who participated in a 'sit-in' protest demonstration at a Baltimore restaurant which refused to serve colored people were convicted for violating the Maryland...</p>	June 22, 1964	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<p> <b>254. Kennedy v. Mendoza-Martinez</b></p> <p>83 S.Ct. 554, 566 , U.S.Cal.</p> <p>Actions for declaratory judgments that plaintiffs were citizens of the United States. A three-judge United States District Court for the District of Columbia, 187 F.Supp. 683,...</p>	Feb. 18, 1963	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>255. Poe v. Ullman</b> 81 S.Ct. 1752, 1763 , U.S.Conn.  Actions for judgments declaring invalidity of Connecticut statutes prohibiting the use of contraceptives. The Superior Court, New Haven County, Connecticut, sustained demurrers...	June 19, 1961	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>256. Greene v. McElroy</b> 79 S.Ct. 1400, 1419+ , U.S.Dist.Col.  Action, against Secretary of Defense and others, for declaration that revocation of government contractor's employee's security clearance was unlawful and void and for order...	June 29, 1959	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>257. People of State of N. Y. v. O'Neill</b>  79 S.Ct. 564, 572+ , U.S.Fla.  Proceeding under the Florida 'Uniform Law to Secure the Attendance of Witnesses from Within or Without a State in Criminal Proceedings.' The Florida Supreme Court, 100 So.2d 149,...	Mar. 02, 1959	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>258. Dayton v. Dulles</b> 78 S.Ct. 1127, 1130+ , U.S.Dist.Col.  Suit by passport applicant for judgment declaring that he was entitled to passport. The United States District Court for the District of Columbia entered summary judgment for...	June 16, 1958	Case		—
Cited by	<b>259. N.L.R.B. v. Beverly Enterprises-Massachusetts, Inc.</b> 174 F.3d 13, 32 , 1st Cir.  National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) petitioned for enforcement of its order, 1998 WL 183042, finding that employer committed unfair labor practices by unilaterally reducing...	Apr. 06, 1999	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>260. Massachusetts Coalition of Citizens with Disabilities v. Civil Defense Agency and Office of Emergency Preparedness of Com. of Massachusetts</b> 649 F.2d 71, 78 , 1st Cir.(Mass.)  Class action was brought on behalf of persons residing in the area of a nuclear power plant who were handicapped, did not speak English, or were without access to an automobile...	May 26, 1981	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>261. Richards v. Thurston</b> 424 F.2d 1281, 1284+ , 1st Cir.(Mass.)  Action by suspended high school student to compel restoration to status as member of senior class. The United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, Charles...	Apr. 28, 1970	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>262. Quill v. Vacco</b> 80 F.3d 716, 738+ , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.)  Physicians brought action challenging constitutionality of New York statutes making it a crime to aid a person in committing a suicide or attempting to commit suicide. On...	Apr. 02, 1996	Case		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>263. <a href="#">Taber v. Maine</a></b> 45 F.3d 598, 610 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.)  Off-duty Navy servicemen injured in automobile accident with another off-duty Navy serviceman, who had been drinking on base, brought personal injury action against federal...	Jan. 05, 1995	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>264. <a href="#">Taber v. Maine</a></b> 67 F.3d 1029, 1041 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.)  Off-duty Navy serviceman brought personal injury action under Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA) against federal government for injuries he sustained in automobile accident with...	Jan. 05, 1995	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>265. <a href="#">U.S. v. Monsanto</a></b> 852 F.2d 1400, 1409 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.)  Defendant charged with violations of RICO, narcotics, continuing criminal enterprise and firearms statutes sought to have postindictment restraining order prohibiting transfer or...	July 01, 1988	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>266. <a href="#">Caltagirone v. Grant</a></b> 629 F.2d 739, 748 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.)  Italian national, who had been arrested under "provisional arrest" article of extradition treaty with Italy, sought habeas corpus relief. The United States District Court for the...	June 26, 1980	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>267. <a href="#">King v. New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority</a></b> 442 F.2d 646, 649 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.)  Action challenging constitutionality of Municipal Housing Authority resolution imposing a five-year residency requirement for admission to public housing. The United States...	May 12, 1971	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>268. <a href="#">U.S. v. Bass</a></b> 434 F.2d 1296, 1299 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.)  Defendant was convicted before the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Marvin E. Frankel, J., 308 F.Supp. 1385, of receiving, possessing or...	Nov. 30, 1970	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>269. <a href="#">Muniz v. U.S.</a></b> 305 F.2d 285, 287 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.)  Action under the Federal Tort Claims Act. From a judgment of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Edmund L. Palmieri, J., dismissing the action...	Feb. 27, 1962	Case		—
Cited by	<b>270. <a href="#">U.S. v. Foster</a></b>  278 F.2d 567, 570 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.)  Prosecution of the defendant. From an order of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Gregory F. Noonan, J., denying defendant's motion to dismiss...	May 12, 1960	Case		—





















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>271. Zeller v. Donegal School Dist. Bd. of Ed.</b> 517 F.2d 600, 614+ , 3rd Cir.(Pa.) Parents of high school student brought Civil Rights Act suit seeking equitable and monetary relief under Civil Rights Act as regards student's exclusion from soccer team for...	May 14, 1975	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>272. Veterans and Reservists for Peace in Vietnam v. Regional Commissioner of Customs, Region II</b> 459 F.2d 676, 683+ , 3rd Cir.(Pa.) Action attacking validity of Trading with the Enemy Act and regulations promulgated thereunder. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Charles...	May 04, 1972	Case		—
Cited by	<b>273. Borough of Bethel Park v. Stans</b> 449 F.2d 575, 579 , 3rd Cir.(Pa.) Plaintiffs brought suit raising questions regarding propriety of certain procedures established by Secretary of Commerce and Director of the Bureau of the Census for taking of 1970...	Sep. 30, 1971	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>274. Willis v. Town Of Marshall, N.C. ¶¶</b> 426 F.3d 251, 268+ , 4th Cir.(N.C.) CIVIL RIGHTS - Right of Assembly. Recreational dancing was not protected activity under First Amendment.	Oct. 07, 2005	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>275. U.S. v. Smith</b> 812 F.2d 161, 166+ , 4th Cir.(Va.) Defendant was convicted in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Albert V. Bryan, Jr., Chief Judge, of simple assault and of intentionally touching...	Feb. 20, 1987	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>276. Blankenship v. Buenger</b> 653 Fed.Appx. 330, 345 , 5th Cir.(Tex.) CIVIL RIGHTS — State Action. Private, nonprofit water supply corporation was not a state actor.	June 28, 2016	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>277. Castro v. U.S.</b> 560 F.3d 381, 391 , 5th Cir.(Tex.) GOVERNMENT - United States. District court improperly granted motion to dismiss FTCA action on ground that discretionary function applied.	Feb. 20, 2009	Case		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>278. U.S. v. Bredimus</b> 352 F.3d 200, 210 , 5th Cir.(Tex.) CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Sex Offenses. Statute prohibiting travel in foreign commerce for purpose of engaging in sex act with child was constitutional.	Nov. 26, 2003	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>279. Karr v. Schmidt</b> 460 F.2d 609, 615 , 5th Cir.(Tex.) High school student brought a class action to enjoin the enforcement of portion of public school dress code regulation relating to length of hair for boys. The United States...	Apr. 28, 1972	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>280. Worthy v. U.S.</b> 328 F.2d 386, 392 , 5th Cir.(Fla.)  Prosecution for unlawfully entering the United States without a valid passport. Defendant was found guilty. From the judgment of the United States District Court for the Southern...	Feb. 20, 1964	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>281. Beydoun v. Sessions</b> ¶¶ 871 F.3d 459, 467 , 6th Cir.(Mich.)  TRANSPORTATION — Aviation. Incidental or negligible delays imposed on United States citizens by their alleged placement on government's "Selectee List" did not implicate right to...	Sep. 12, 2017	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>282. Kennedy v. City Of Cincinnati</b> 595 F.3d 327, 335+ , 6th Cir.(Ohio)  CIVIL RIGHTS - Due Process. City police officer violated pool member's liberty interest in banning him from city's recreational property.	Feb. 16, 2010	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>283. Johnson v. City of Cincinnati</b> 310 F.3d 484, 497 , 6th Cir.(Ohio)  CIVIL RIGHTS - Right to Travel. Ordinance banning drug offenders from drug exclusion zones was unconstitutional.	Sep. 26, 2002	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>284. Women's Medical Professional Corp. v. Voinovich</b> 130 F.3d 187, 212 , 6th Cir.(Ohio)  Abortion clinic and affiliated doctor brought action against governor of Ohio, Attorney General of Ohio, and prosecuting attorney challenging constitutionality of Ohio law...	Nov. 18, 1997	Case		—
Cited by	<b>285. Markadonatos v. Village of Woodridge</b> 760 F.3d 545, 547 , 7th Cir.(Ill.)  The court is divided. Five judges in two groups (Judges Posner, Flaum, and Kanne in one; Judges Easterbrook and Tinder in the other) vote to affirm the judgment of the district...	July 21, 2014	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>286. Clancy v. Office of Foreign Assets Control of U.S. Dept. of Treasury</b> 559 F.3d 595, 604+ , 7th Cir.(Wis.)  CIVIL RIGHTS - Due Process. Pre-penalty notice was sufficient to protect American citizen's due process rights.	Mar. 11, 2009	Case		<a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>287. Doe v. City of Lafayette, Ind.</b> 377 F.3d 757, 772 , 7th Cir.(Ind.)  CIVIL RIGHTS - Free Speech. City's ban on access to parks did not violate sex offender's constitutional rights.	July 30, 2004	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>288. Herbstein v. Bruetman</b> 241 F.3d 586, 588 , 7th Cir.(Ill.)  LITIGATION - Judgment. District court properly withheld judgment debtor's passport.	Feb. 21, 2001	Case		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>289. Bethesda Lutheran Homes and Services, Inc. v. Leean</b> 122 F.3d 443, 448 , 7th Cir.(Wis.)  Private facility in Wisconsin which offered long-term care to severely retarded individuals, patients of that facility who were not residents of Wisconsin, and nonresidents who...	Aug. 21, 1997	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>290. Albright v. Oliver</b> 975 F.2d 343, 347+ , 7th Cir.(Ill.)  Arrestee brought civil rights action against police officer. The United States District Court for the Central District of Illinois, Michael M. Mihm, Chief Judge, dismissed, and...	Sep. 14, 1992	Case		—
Cited by	<b>291. Schleiffer v. Meyers</b> 644 F.2d 656, 662 , 7th Cir.(Ind.)  Action was instituted for an injunction against enforcement of a state court order requiring adherence to a Swedish custody decree. The United States District Court for the...	Mar. 16, 1981	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>292. U.S. v. Shaheen</b> 445 F.2d 6, 10 , 7th Cir.(Ill.)  Taxpayer appealed from an order of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, Julius J. Hoffman, J., denying his motion to quash a...	June 12, 1971	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>293. Kashem v. Barr</b>  941 F.3d 358, 378 , 9th Cir.(Or.)  TRANSPORTATION — Aviation. Criteria used by Terrorist Screening Center (TSC) in determining whether to place individuals on No Fly List were not unconstitutionally vague.	Oct. 21, 2019	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>294. Ibrahim v. U.S. Department of Homeland Security</b> 912 F.3d 1147, 1179 , 9th Cir.(Cal.)  GOVERNMENT — Attorney Fees. Alien's achievement in successfully challenging her No Fly list placement and forcing government to fix its error was extraordinary.	Jan. 02, 2019	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>295. Fikre v. Federal Bureau of Investigation</b> 904 F.3d 1033, 1036 , 9th Cir.(Or.)  CIVIL RIGHTS — Due Process. Airline passenger's due process claims arising out of his placement on the "No Fly List" were not mooted when the government removed him from the list.	Sep. 20, 2018	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>296. Hawaii v. Trump</b>  878 F.3d 662, 688 , 9th Cir.(Hawaii)  IMMIGRATION - Visas. Presidential Proclamation did not state connection between scope of restriction imposed and finding of detriment that Government sought to alleviate.	Dec. 22, 2017	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>297. Hawaii v. Trump</b> ¶¶ 859 F.3d 741, 770 , 9th Cir.  IMMIGRATION — Exclusion. Executive order suspending entry of nationals from six majority-Muslim countries was unsupported by sufficient findings.	June 12, 2017	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>298. Cavins v. Lockyer</b> ¶¶ 232 Fed.Appx. 655, 657 , 9th Cir.(Cal.)  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Habeas Corpus. Petitioner suffered concrete and continuing injury, and, thus, despite his release, his habeas petition was not moot.	May 04, 2007	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>299. Jones v. Bates</b> 127 F.3d 839, 858 , 9th Cir.(Cal.)  State legislator and voters brought action for declaratory and injunctive relief against Secretary of State of California, challenging state constitutional amendment establishing...	Oct. 07, 1997	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>300. Freedom to Travel Campaign v. Newcomb</b> 82 F.3d 1431, 1439+ , 9th Cir.(Cal.)  Organization which organizes educational trips to Cuba, and individuals wishing to travel to Cuba, brought action challenging Cuban Asset Control Regulations, restricting travel to...	Apr. 29, 1996	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>301. DeNueva v. Reyes</b> ¶¶ 966 F.2d 480, 485+ , 9th Cir.(N.Mariana Islands)  Philippine citizen who had a Philippine passport and resided in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI) brought federal civil rights action against Government of...	June 05, 1992	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>302. Pangilinan v. I.N.S.</b> 796 F.2d 1091, 1101+ , 9th Cir.(Cal.)  Appeals were taken from judgments of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, William W Schwarzer, J., and of the Southern District of California,...	Aug. 11, 1986	Case		<a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>303. Mow Sun Wong v. Campbell</b> 626 F.2d 739, 743 , 9th Cir.(Cal.)  Action was brought challenging civil service regulation excluding virtually all noncitizens from eligibility for appointment to federal civil service. The United States District...	Sep. 02, 1980	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>304. Abdi v. Wray</b> ¶¶ 942 F.3d 1019, 1029 , 10th Cir.(Utah)  TRANSPORTATION — Aviation. Placement of traveler on suspected terrorist list did not deprive traveler of his liberty interest in travel in violation of procedural due process.	Nov. 12, 2019	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>305. Gary v. City of Warner Robins, Ga.</b> ” 311 F.3d 1334, 1338 , 11th Cir.(Ga.) CIVIL RIGHTS - Equal Protection. City ordinance banning under-21 persons from liquor-licensed non-restaurants survived equal protection challenge.	Nov. 13, 2002	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>306. Zivotofsky v. Secretary of State</b> 571 F.3d 1227, 1241+ , D.C.Cir. GOVERNMENT - Separation of Powers. Issue of whether State Department could lawfully refuse to record United States citizen's birthplace as "Israel" on passport was nonjusticiable.	July 10, 2009	Case		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>307. Hutchins by Owens v. District of Columbia</b> 144 F.3d 798, 807+ , D.C.Cir. Minors, parents, and private business brought action against District of Columbia to challenge constitutionality of District's Juvenile Curfew Act. The United States District...	May 22, 1998	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>308. International Union, United Auto., Aerospace &amp; Agr. Implement Workers of America, UAW v. Occupational Safety &amp; Health Admin.</b> ” 938 F.2d 1310, 1317+ , D.C.Cir. Representatives of labor and industry challenged Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulation requiring employers to lockout or tagout energy isolating devices...	July 12, 1991	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>309. Steele v. F.C.C.</b> 770 F.2d 1192, 1197 , D.C.Cir. Disappointed applicant brought action challenging Federal Communications Commission policy of extending preferential treatment to female applicants for FM radio stations in...	Aug. 23, 1985	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>310. Ramirez de Arellano v. Weinberger</b> 745 F.2d 1500, 1529 , D.C.Cir. In case involving alleged occupation, amounting to effective seizure and destruction, of United States citizen's privately owned cattle ranch in Honduras by officials of United...	Oct. 05, 1984	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>311. Tel-Oren v. Libyan Arab Republic</b> 726 F.2d 774, 787 , D.C.Cir. Survivors and representatives of persons murdered in armed attack on civilian bus in Israel brought suit against defendants for compensatory and punitive damages for alleged...	Feb. 03, 1984	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>312. Ramirez de Arellano v. Weinberger</b> 724 F.2d 143, 168 , D.C.Cir. Plaintiffs asked the District Court to use its extraordinary injunctive power to order United States military operations taking place on plaintiffs' Honduran property to cease and...	Dec. 22, 1983	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>313. Phillips v. Bureau of Prisons</b> 591 F.2d 966, 975 , D.C.Cir.  An organization engaged in providing legal services to prisoners, one of its paralegal staff assistants, and others brought suit against the Bureau of Prisons, challenging the...	Jan. 15, 1979	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>314. Tatum v. Laird</b> 444 F.2d 947, 954 , D.C.Cir.  Action for declaratory judgment that army's surveillance of lawful civilian political activity was unconstitutional, for an injunction forbidding future similar activity, and...	Apr. 27, 1971	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>315. U.S. v. Matthews</b> 419 F.2d 1177, 1193+ , D.C.Cir.  Defendant was convicted in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Gesell, J., of petit larceny and of engaging in a riot, and he appealed. The District of...	Nov. 10, 1969	Case		—
Cited by	<b>316. Edwards v. Habib</b> 397 F.2d 687, 690 , D.C.Cir.  Action by landlord for possession of dwelling house rented on a month-to-month lease. The District of Columbia Court of General Sessions, John J. Malloy, J., rendered judgment for...	May 17, 1968	Case		—
Cited by	<b>317. Wilson v. U.S.</b>  366 F.2d 666, 668 , D.C.Cir.  Defendant was convicted of narcotics vagrancy under statute defining a vagrant as any person who is a narcotic drug user or show has been convicted of a narcotic offense and who is...	Aug. 03, 1966	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>318. Homer v. Richmond</b> 292 F.2d 719, 723 , D.C.Cir.  Action to compel the Commandant of the United States Coast Guard to issue licenses to the plaintiffs as radiotelegraph officers eligible to serve as such in the United States...	Apr. 20, 1961	Case		—
Cited by	<b>319. Borrow v. F.C.C.</b> 285 F.2d 666, 670+ , D.C.Cir.  Proceeding on appeal from the Federal Communications Commission which dismissed application for radio operator's license renewal. The Court of Appeals, Prettyman, Chief Judge,...	June 30, 1960	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>320. Graham v. Richmond</b> 272 F.2d 517, 522+ , D.C.Cir.  Action for judgment declaring plaintiff eligible for employment in merchant marine, and for related relief. The United States District Court for the District of Columbia,...	Nov. 05, 1959	Case		—
Cited by	<b>321. E.E.O.C. v. Martin Industries, Inc.</b> 581 F.Supp. 1029, 1033 , N.D.Ala.  Equal Employment Opportunity Commission brought action against employer charging violations of the Equal Pay Act. On employer's motion for summary judgment, the District Court,...	Feb. 24, 1984	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>322. Prigmore v. Renfro</b> 356 F.Supp. 427, 433 , N.D.Ala.  A class action was brought for injunctive relief against enforcement of an Alabama election statute concerning absentee voting. A three-judge District Court, McFadden, J., held...	Sep. 29, 1972	Case		—
Cited by	<b>323. Williams v. Wallace</b> 240 F.Supp. 100, 106 , M.D.Ala.  Action against the governor of the state of Alabama and other officials to restrain their interference with plaintiffs' proposed march, wherein the United States by leave of court...	Mar. 17, 1965	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>324. U.S. v. U.S. Klans, Knights of Ku Klux Klan, Inc.</b> 194 F.Supp. 897, 903 , M.D.Ala.  Proceeding on motion of the United States for certain injunctive relief against certain organizations, and members thereof, and certain city officials in regard to facilitating...	June 02, 1961	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>325. U.S. v. Brittan</b> 687 F.Supp. 1329, 1332 , E.D.Ark.  Defendant convicted of bank robbery challenged constitutionality of new federal sentencing guidelines. The District Court, Eisele, Chief Judge, speaking for all judges of the...	May 27, 1988	Case		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>326. Ibrahim v. Department of Homeland Security</b> 62 F.Supp.3d 909, 928 , N.D.Cal.  CIVIL RIGHTS - Due Process. Due process required that nonimmigrant alien's name be removed from no-fly list.#	Jan. 14, 2014	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>327. Smith v. County of Los Angeles</b> ¶¶ 2012 WL 12886201, *6 , C.D.Cal.  Before the Court is a motion for judgment on the pleadings in a dispute between Plaintiff Reginald Lenard Smith and Defendants County of Los Angeles ("County"), Los Angeles...	Sep. 11, 2012	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>328. Friends of Roeding Park v. City of Fresno</b> 848 F.Supp.2d 1152, 1164 , E.D.Cal.  ENVIRONMENTAL LAW - Parties. City did not qualify as defendant in National Environmental Policy Act or National Historic Preservation Act claim.	Jan. 31, 2012	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>329. Yepes v. Yates</b> 2009 WL 837649, *15 , C.D.Cal.  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Double Jeopardy. The defendant's prosecution in state court did not subject him to double jeopardy.	Mar. 27, 2009	Case		—
Cited by	<b>330. Lewis v. City of Berkeley</b> ¶¶ 2009 WL 33326, *9+ , N.D.Cal.  CIVIL RIGHTS - Equal Protection. Resident failed to state a cause of action against city for violation of equal protection clause based on city's decision to revoke the use permit...	Jan. 06, 2009	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>331. Maciel v. Rice</b>  2007 WL 4525143, *4+, E.D.Cal.  Plaintiff Salvador Maciel, Jr. ("Mr.Maciel") seeks a writ of mandamus and a temporary restraining order to compel Defendant Secretary of State Condoleeza Rice ("the...	Dec. 18, 2007	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>332. Cavins v. Hunter</b> 2006 WL 1582350, *1, E.D.Cal.  Petitioner is proceeding with an application for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner challenges the fact that he was civilly recommitted to Atascadero State...	June 02, 2006	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>333. Justin v. City of Los Angeles</b> 2000 WL 1808426, *4, C.D.Cal.  Plaintiffs seek a Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO") to stop Defendants from continuing their alleged harassment of the homeless population in the downtown Los Angeles, "Skid...	Dec. 05, 2000	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>334. Bullfrog Films, Inc. v. Wick</b> 646 F.Supp. 492, 504, C.D.Cal.  Film makers brought action challenging USIA regulations implementing the Beirut Agreement governing certification of films as educational for duty free import into foreign...	Oct. 24, 1986	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>335. In re Benny</b> 44 B.R. 581, 586, N.D.Cal.  In bankruptcy proceeding, debtor moved, inter alia, for declaration that provisions of Bankruptcy Amendments and Federal Judgeship Act of 1984 governing terms of office of...	Nov. 29, 1984	Case		—
Cited by	<b>336. U.S. v. Lipper</b> 1981 WL 1762, *7, N.D.Cal.  An application for a Writ Ne Exeat Republica duly came on for hearing before the Court 10:00 A.M. Monday, March 23, 1981. The United States was represented by G. William Hunter,...	Mar. 25, 1981	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>337. U.S. v. Approximately 633.79 Tons of Yellowfin Tuna</b> 383 F.Supp. 659, 662, S.D.Cal.  Claimant filed motion to dismiss action founded upon alleged taking of yellowfin tuna in manner violative of the Tuna Conventions Act. The District Court, Gordon Thompson, Jr.,...	Oct. 15, 1974	Case		—
Cited by	<b>338. Alexander v. Thompson</b> 313 F.Supp. 1389, 1395, C.D.Cal.  Action by high school student seeking declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to school regulation requiring that sideburns be kept within specified maximum length on pain...	June 12, 1970	Case		—



Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>339. Mengelkoch v. Industrial Welfare Commission</b> 284 F.Supp. 950, 952 , C.D.Cal.  Proceedings on motion to dissolve three-judge court empaneled to hear suit seeking injunction against enforcement of state law limiting hours that women could work. The Court held...	May 10, 1968	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>340. Grider v. City and County of Denver</b> 🇺🇸 2012 WL 1079466, *6 , D.Colo.  THIS MATTER comes before the Court pursuant to Defendant City of Aurora's Motion to Dismiss (# 89), the Plaintiffs' response (# 95), and Aurora's reply (# 96); and Defendant City...	Mar. 30, 2012	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>341. Women's Health Services, Inc. v. Maher</b> 514 F.Supp. 265, 271 , D.Conn.  Appeal was taken from a judgment of the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, Blumenfeld, J., 482 F.Supp. 725, which held unconstitutional a Connecticut...	May 06, 1981	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>342. Battle v. Norton</b> 365 F.Supp. 925, 928 , D.Conn.  Suit was brought by federal prisoner against warden seeking writ of habeas corpus to secure release and require United States Board of Parole acting under a pilot project to follow...	Oct. 23, 1973	Case		—
Cited by	<b>343. Wrenn v. District of Columbia</b> 167 F.Supp.3d 86, 97+ , D.D.C.  CIVIL RIGHTS — Right to Bear Arms. Preliminary injunctive relief was not warranted in suit challenging District of Columbia laws governing licensing of concealed weapons.	Mar. 07, 2016	Case		—
Cited by	<b>344. Dearth v. Holder</b> 893 F.Supp.2d 59, 72 , D.D.C.  CIVIL RIGHTS - Right to Bear Arms. Statutes prohibiting receipt or sale of firearms to non-U.S. citizen did not violate Second Amendment.	Sep. 27, 2012	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>345. Hutchins v. District of Columbia</b> 🇺🇸 942 F.Supp. 665, 670 , D.D.C.  Minors, parents, and private business brought action against District of Columbia to challenge constitutionality of Juvenile Curfew Act. Parties moved for summary judgment. The...	Oct. 29, 1996	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>346. Agee v. Baker</b> 753 F.Supp. 373, 386 , D.D.C.  Passport applicant brought action seeking to compel Secretary of State to issue him a passport. On cross motions for summary judgment, the District Court, Gesell , J., held that:...	Oct. 30, 1990	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>347. In re Omega Equipment Corp.</b> 51 B.R. 569, 574 , D.D.C.  Appeals were brought from decision of the United States Bankruptcy Court adjudging defendants in civil contempt for evading effect of temporary restraining order. The District...	July 19, 1985	Case		—
Cited by	<b>348. U.S. v. Ehrlichman</b> 376 F.Supp. 29, 34 , D.D.C.  Defendants, who had been indicted for conspiring to injure psychiatrist in enjoyment of Fourth Amendment rights by entering offices without warrant for purpose of obtaining medical...	May 24, 1974	Case		—
Cited by	<b>349. Berrigan v. Sigler</b> 358 F.Supp. 130, 137+ , D.D.C.  Two parolees filed complaint seeking judicial review of the administrative action of members of the United States Board of Parole in denying permission to plaintiffs to travel to...	May 03, 1973	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>350. Amalgamated Meat Cutters and Butcher Workmen of North America, AFL-CIO v. Connally</b> 337 F.Supp. 737, 756+ , D.D.C.  Action by union on behalf of itself and its affiliated local unions against the Secretary of the Treasury, individually, and as chairman of the cost of living council and others...	Oct. 22, 1971	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>351. United Federation of Postal Clerks v. Blount</b> 325 F.Supp. 879, 885 , D.D.C.  Action brought by unincorporated public employee labor organization, consisting primarily of post office employees, for declaratory and injunctive relief invalidating portions of...	Mar. 31, 1971	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>352. Harrell v. Tobriner</b> 279 F.Supp. 22, 26 , D.D.C.  Actions by public assistance applicants for relief by declaratory judgments and injunctions against enforcement by defendants of residence requirements. The Three-Judge District...	Nov. 08, 1967	Case		—
Cited by	<b>353. Roundtree-Bey v. Bureau of Consular Affairs, United States Department of State</b> 2012 WL 13133632, *4+ , S.D.Fla.  THIS CAUSE is before the Court upon Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 3), Plaintiff's Sealed Petition Pursuant to the Petition Clausula [sic] of...	July 03, 2012	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>354. U.S. v. Bogle</b> 689 F.Supp. 1121, 1162 , S.D.Fla.  Eight criminal cases were consolidated for purposes of determining constitutionality of sentencing guidelines promulgated by United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to...	June 15, 1988	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>355. Drayton v. McIntosh County, Georgia</b> ¶ 2016 WL 3443919, *11+ , S.D.Ga.  This case comes before the Court on two fully briefed motions to dismiss: The first has been filed by Defendants McIntosh County, Georgia (the "County"); McIntosh County Sheriff...	June 17, 2016	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>356. Thompson v. Eubanks</b> 2012 WL 12864365, *7 , N.D.Ga.  Petitioner, David Nathan Thompson, represented by McNeill Stokes, challenges via 28 U.S.C. § 2254 the constitutionality of his June 23, 2005, Fulton County sentence and order of...	Sep. 13, 2012	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>357. Matsuo v. U.S.</b> ¶ 532 F.Supp.2d 1238, 1249 , D.Hawai'i  LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT - Hours and Wages. Federal Employees Pay Comparability Act (FEPCA) did not violate Equal Protection Clause by locality pay exclusion.	Jan. 30, 2008	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>358. U.S. v. Alley</b> 755 F.Supp. 771, 775 , N.D.Ill.  Individual and corporate defendants were charged with criminal pollution discharge offenses based upon electroplating facilities' discharge of waste water into publicly owned...	June 11, 1990	Case		—
Cited by	<b>359. U.S. v. Dahlin</b> 701 F.Supp. 148, 150 , N.D.Ill.  Criminal defendant brought motion to declare unconstitutional the United States sentencing guidelines promulgated pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984. The District...	Nov. 25, 1988	Case		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>360. U.S. v. Schetz</b> 698 F.Supp. 153, 156 , N.D.Ill.  Defendants who faced sentencing under Sentencing Reform Act moved that Sentencing Guidelines be invalidated and that they be sentenced under pre-Act procedures. The District...	Nov. 03, 1988	Case		—
Cited by	<b>361. U.S. v. Eastland</b> 694 F.Supp. 512, 514+ , N.D.Ill.  Defendant brought motion to declare newly promulgated sentencing guidelines unconstitutional. The District Court, Aspen, J., held that: (1) Congress improperly delegated its...	Sep. 08, 1988	Case		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>362. Great Western Cities, Inc. v. Binstein</b> 476 F.Supp. 827, 837 , N.D.Ill.  Land development corporation brought action seeking to enjoin allegedly illegal solicitation of litigation against it and malicious interference with its contract rights. On motion...	Aug. 27, 1979	Case		—
Cited by	<b>363. U.S. v. Cord</b> 458 F.Supp. 1207, 1208 , N.D.Ill.  Government filed motion to take deposition of witness. The District Court, Bua, J., held that motion of Government to take deposition of witness whose testimony was allegedly...	Oct. 31, 1978	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>364. Pollion v. Lewis</b> 320 F.Supp. 1343, 1352 , N.D.Ill.  Class action on behalf of plaintiffs and all persons whose drivers' licenses or vehicle registrations had been revoked under the Illinois Financial Responsibility Law. A...	Nov. 25, 1970	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>365. Valenti v. Hartford City, Indiana</b> 225 F.Supp.3d 770, 782 , N.D.Ind.  CRIMINAL JUSTICE — Sex Offenders. Ordinance regulating sex offenders had punitive effect, and thus violated Indiana Constitution's Ex Post Facto Clause as applied to sex offender.	Dec. 01, 2016	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>366. Kay v. Mills</b> 490 F.Supp. 844, 853 , E.D.Ky.  Action was brought by candidate for Democratic party nomination as president after Kentucky State Board of Elections did not place candidate's name on ballot to be used in Kentucky...	May 02, 1980	Case		—
Cited by	<b>367. Vincent v. City of Sulphur</b> 28 F.Supp.3d 626, 638+ , W.D.La.  CIVIL RIGHTS - Immunity. City resident's procedural due process rights were violated, for purposes of qualified immunity, when officers banned him from city property.	May 15, 2014	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>368. Dickerson v. City of Gretna</b> 2007 WL 1098787, *3 , E.D.La.  IT IS ORDERED that defendants' motion to dismiss (Rec.Doc.21) is GRANTED regarding plaintiffs' allegations of intrastate travel; defendants' motion is DENIED as it relates to all...	Mar. 30, 2007	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>369. Larche v. Hannah</b>  177 F.Supp. 816, 828 , W.D.La.  Suit by state registrars against Commission on Civil Rights and its members to stay effectiveness of Commission subpoenas and subpoenas duces tecum directed toward registrars and...	Oct. 07, 1959	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>370. Lu v. Smith</b> 2016 WL 4595206, *3 , D.Mass.  Defendants Diane Smith ("Smith") and Trustees of Boston University ("BU") seek dismissal of Plaintiff Friedrich Lu's amended complaint, filed pro se, which alleges that Smith and...	Sep. 02, 2016	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>371. Craft v. Hodel</b> 683 F.Supp. 289, 301+ , D.Mass.  Action was brought challenging regulation banning nude bathing in Cape Cod Seashore National Park. The District Court, Woodlock, J., held that: (1) regulation did not violate...	Apr. 04, 1988	Case		—

















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>372. Alexander v. Trustees of Boston University</b> 584 F.Supp. 282, 286 , D.Mass.  Students who objected on religious grounds to filing statements of compliance with Selective Service Act sought to enjoin enforcement of regulation denying financial aid to them. ...	Apr. 11, 1984	Case		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>373. International Refugee Assistance Project v. Trump</b> 265 F.Supp.3d 570, 615 , D.Md.  IMMIGRATION — Visas. Establishment Clause challenge to third version of ban on entry from some predominantly Muslim countries was likely to succeed.	Oct. 17, 2017	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>374. Abdul-Rahman Omar Adra v. Clift</b> 195 F.Supp. 857, 865 , D.Md.  Action by alien for a judgment or decree requiring defendants to deliver his daughter to his custody, wherein defendants counterclaimed for money allegedly spent for maintenance...	June 30, 1961	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>375. U.S. v. Adamo Wrecking Co.</b> 445 F.Supp. 1056, 1074+ , E.D.Mich.  Wrecking company was charged with violating the Clean Air Act of 1970 in connection with the demolition of a building. On motion to dismiss the indictment, the District Court,...	June 06, 1975	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>376. Minnesota ex rel. Hatch v. U.S.</b> 102 F.Supp.2d 1115, 1128 , D.Minn.  SOCIAL SECURITY - Medicare. Medicare+Choice payment formulation did not violate the Tenth Amendment.	July 07, 2000	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>377. National Ass'n of Property Owners v. U. S.</b> 499 F.Supp. 1223, 1239+ , D.Minn.  Three suits were brought challenging various provisions of the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness Act. Upon cross motions for summary judgment in all three suits, the District...	July 24, 1980	Case		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>378. E.E.O.C. v. Allstate Ins. Co.</b> 570 F.Supp. 1224, 1234 , S.D.Miss.  Equal Employment Opportunity Commission brought Equal Pay Act action against employer. On employer's motion for summary judgment, the District Court, Barbour, J., held that: (1)...	Sep. 09, 1983	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>379. U.S. v. Johnson</b> 682 F.Supp. 1033, 1034 , W.D.Mo.  Prisoners awaiting sentencing under new sentencing guidelines challenged constitutional status of Sentencing Commission. The District Court, Sachs, J., held that sentencing...	Apr. 01, 1988	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>380. United States v. Wass</b> 2018 WL 3341180, *2 , E.D.N.C.  This cause comes before the court on defendant Edward Jay Wass' motion to dismiss his indictment [DE 20]. The government has responded in opposition, [DE 21], and the matter is...	July 06, 2018	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>381. Harty v. Luihn Four, Inc. ”</b> 747 F.Supp.2d 547, 553 , E.D.N.C.  CIVIL RIGHTS - Disabilities. Restaurant patron did not have standing to bring suit against restaurant under Americans with Disabilities Act.	Oct. 13, 2010	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>382. Willis v. Town of Marshall ”</b> 293 F.Supp.2d 608, 619 , W.D.N.C.  CIVIL RIGHTS - Free Speech. Recreational dancer's conduct was not constitutionally protected expression.	Nov. 25, 2003	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>383. Willis v. Town of Marshall, N.C.</b> 2003 WL 22231259, *9 , W.D.N.C.  THIS MATTER is before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and on Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction. Having considered the pleadings, the parties' briefs, and the...	June 20, 2003	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>384. Keenan v. Board of Law Examiners of State of N. C. ”</b> 317 F.Supp. 1350, 1361+ , E.D.N.C.  Class action seeking a declaratory judgment declaring unconstitutional and an injunction preventing enforcement of rule requiring a person to have been a bona fide citizen and...	Oct. 02, 1970	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>385. Salem v. Pompeo ”</b> 2020 WL 108561, *10+ , E.D.N.Y.  Plaintiffs are United States (“U.S.”) citizen children and U.S. citizen parents of children seeking U.S. passports and Consular Reports of Birth Abroad (“CRBA”) at the U.S. Embassy...	Jan. 08, 2020	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>386. Kouadio v. Decker</b> 352 F.Supp.3d 235, 240 , S.D.N.Y.  IMMIGRATION — Asylum. Non-resident alien's 34-month detention without bond hearing pending resolution of his asylum application violated Due Process Clause.	Dec. 27, 2018	Case		—
Cited by	<b>387. Bishop v. Best Buy, Co. Inc. ”</b> 2011 WL 4011449, *6 , S.D.N.Y.  Before the Court are Plaintiff Samaad Bishop's Motion for Reconsideration of this Court's October 13, 2010 Order deciding Defendants' motions to dismiss; Plaintiff's Motion for...	Sep. 08, 2011	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>388. Pittman v. Grayson</b> 1997 WL 370331, *3 , S.D.N.Y.  On May 1, 1992, Erna Pittman Grayson (hereinafter "Erna Pittman") flew from John F. Kennedy International Airport to Iceland aboard an Icelandair flight with the two daughters...	July 02, 1997	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>389. Soling v. City of New York</b> 1988 WL 25183, *1 , S.D.N.Y.  Plaintiff pro se Chester Soling ("Soling") commenced this action against the defendant City of New York (the "City") asserting violations of his civil rights under the United...	Mar. 10, 1988	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>390. U.S. v. Messina</b> 566 F.Supp. 740, 745 , E.D.N.Y.  Government appealed from magistrate's order admitting to bail arrestees in custody pending formal request for their extradition by government of Italy, where they were charged with...	June 24, 1983	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>391. U.S. v. Brumage</b> 377 F.Supp. 144, 148 , E.D.N.Y.  Prosecution for willfully exporting electronic and technical equipment, without a validated export license, knowing that items were indirectly destined for East Germany and...	Apr. 11, 1974	Case		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>392. Mandel v. Mitchell</b> 325 F.Supp. 620, 628+ , E.D.N.Y.  Suit for declaratory judgment of invalidity of statute excluding aliens who are or at any time were members of described classes of aliens identified with certain leftist and...	Mar. 18, 1971	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>393. King v. New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority</b> ¶¶ 314 F.Supp. 427, 430+ , S.D.N.Y.  Action challenging constitutionality of municipal housing authority resolution imposing five-year residence requirement for admission to public housing. The District Court, Wyatt,...	June 29, 1970	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>394. U.S. v. Tarlowski</b> 305 F.Supp. 112, 116+ , E.D.N.Y.  Proceeding on motion of defendant, charged with failure to file income tax returns, to suppress certain statements and records obtained from him during course of investigation by...	Aug. 04, 1969	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>395. U.S. v. One Book Entitled "The Adventures of Father Silas"</b> 249 F.Supp. 911, 920 , S.D.N.Y.  Government's consolidated libel proceedings for judicial condemnation of imported obscene books. On claimant's motion for summary judgment, the District Court, Frankel, J., held...	Jan. 18, 1966	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>396. Ferguson v. Van Horne</b> 2011 WL 251116, *5 , N.D.Ohio  On November 3, 2010, then Magistrate Judge Benita Pearson filed a Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 80) resolving Defendant's motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff has filed...	Jan. 26, 2011	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>397. Ferguson v. Van Horne</b> 2010 WL 5644787, *4 , N.D.Ohio  Defendant E. Leroy Van Horne's ("Defendant") Motion for Summary Judgment, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 (ECF No. 65), is before the undersigned Magistrate Judge...	Nov. 03, 2010	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>398. Johnson v. City of Cincinnati</b> ¶ 119 F.Supp.2d 735, 744+ , S.D.Ohio  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Drugs. Municipal ordinance creating "drug exclusion zones" was unconstitutional.	Jan. 20, 2000	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>399. Hanzel v. Arter</b> 625 F.Supp. 1259, 1263 , S.D.Ohio  Parents of public school children challenged statute requiring immunization due to their belief in "chiropractic ethics." The school district moved for summary judgment. The...	Dec. 12, 1985	Case		—
Cited by	<b>400. U.S. v. Cincinnati Transit, Inc.</b> 337 F.Supp. 1068, 1072 , S.D.Ohio  Action by United States against bus utility to enjoin fare increase and service cut-back as violation of Phase I and II Price Freeze Regulations and action for declaratory judgment...	Jan. 28, 1972	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>401. Rutherford v. U.S.</b> ¶ 438 F.Supp. 1287, 1299 , W.D.Okla.  Action was brought for judicial review of the Food and Drug Administration's determination that substance commonly called Laetrile is a "new drug" within meaning of the Federal...	Dec. 05, 1977	Case		—
Cited by	<b>402. Grooman v. Clackamas County</b> 2014 WL 6460840, *8 , D.Or.  Plaintiff Laurie Grooman brought this lawsuit against defendants Clackamas County, John Christensen, and Josh Hattan. Plaintiff's claims arise from plaintiff's alleged unlawful...	Nov. 13, 2014	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>403. Latif v. Holder</b> ¶ 28 F.Supp.3d 1134, 1148 , D.Or.  GOVERNMENT - Emergency. Airline passengers allegedly wrongly placed on No-Fly List were not given required notice and opportunity for redress.	June 24, 2014	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>404. Latif v. Holder</b> ¶ 969 F.Supp.2d 1293, 1302+ , D.Or.  CIVIL RIGHTS - Due Process. Citizens had a constitutionally-protected liberty interest in traveling internationally by air.	Aug. 28, 2013	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>405. <a href="#">McCool v. City of Philadelphia</a></b> 494 F.Supp.2d 307, 314 , E.D.Pa.  CIVIL RIGHTS - Due Process. Plaintiff stated claim that his substantive due process right of intrastate travel was violated by residency requirement.	June 27, 2007	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>406. <a href="#">AARP v. E.E.O.C.</a></b> 390 F.Supp.2d 437, 454 , E.D.Pa.  HEALTH - Medicare. Prior court interpretation of statute did not foreclose later, differing regulatory exemption.	Sep. 27, 2005	Case		—
Cited by	<b>407. <a href="#">Lutz v. City of York, Pa.</a></b> 692 F.Supp. 457, 458 , M.D.Pa.  Motorist sought preliminary injunction against city's cruising ordinance which prohibited unnecessary repetitive driving in certain section of city during nighttime hours. The...	Aug. 18, 1988	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>408. <a href="#">McCormick v. Hirsch</a></b> 460 F.Supp. 1337, 1345 , M.D.Pa.  A church official sought preliminary injunction restraining the National Labor Relations Board from asserting jurisdiction over parochial school which employed lay teachers seeking...	Nov. 03, 1978	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>409. <a href="#">Providence Journal Co. v. Federal Bureau of Investigation</a></b> 460 F.Supp. 762, 776 , D.R.I.  Newspaper brought action to obtain disclosure, under the Freedom of Information Act, of logs and memoranda of electronic surveillance. On various pretrial motions, the District...	May 15, 1978	Case		—
Cited by	<b>410. <a href="#">Palmigiano v. Affleck</a></b> 327 F.Supp. 1280, 1282+ , D.R.I.  Action under federal Civil Rights Act. The three-judge District Court held that the Rhode Island constitutional provision that duties and powers of Attorney General shall be the...	June 10, 1971	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>411. <a href="#">Kovac v. Wray</a> </b> 363 F.Supp.3d 721, 750+ , N.D.Tex.  TRANSPORTATION — Aviation. Muslim-American plausibly pleaded substantive due process claim challenging his placement on federal “no fly list.”	Mar. 05, 2019	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>412. <a href="#">U.S. v. Torres</a></b> 566 F.Supp.2d 591, 597+ , W.D.Tex.  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Bail. Adam Walsh Amendment's mandatory imposition of curfew and electronic monitoring violates procedural due process.	July 18, 2008	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>413. <a href="#">Andrews v. Ballard</a> </b> 498 F.Supp. 1038, 1051 , S.D.Tex.  Proceeding was instituted on constitutional challenge to provisions of the Texas Medical Practice Act and regulations promulgated pursuant thereto. The District Court, McDonald,...	July 09, 1980	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>414. U.S. v. State of Tex.</b> 252 F.Supp. 234, 250+ , W.D.Tex.  Action by the United States challenging validity of Texas poll tax. The United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Austin Division, sitting as a three-judge...	Feb. 09, 1966	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>415. Abdi v. Wray</b> 2018 WL 1940411, *2+ , D.Utah  Before the court is Defendants' Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint. (Dkt. No. 18.) The court held a hearing on the Motion on April 5, 2018. At the conclusion of the hearing,...	Apr. 23, 2018	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>416. Elhady v. Kable</b> 391 F.Supp.3d 562, 577+ , E.D.Va.  CIVIL RIGHTS — Due Process. DHS TRIP process, as it applied to inquiry concerning inclusion in TSDB, did not provide adequate remedy to citizens under Due Process Clause.	Sep. 04, 2019	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>417. Mohamed v. Holder</b> 266 F.Supp.3d 868, 876 , E.D.Va.  TRANSPORTATION — Aviation. "No Fly List," register of persons who were prohibited from flying on commercial airlines, did not violate citizen's substantive due process rights.	July 20, 2017	Case		—
Cited by	<b>418. Mohamed v. Holder</b> 2015 WL 4394958, *6+ , E.D.Va.  Plaintiff Gulet Mohamed (Plaintiff or "Mohamed") has challenged his alleged placement on the No Fly List. The No Fly List is a list of persons who are precluded from flying on...	July 16, 2015	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>419. Redditt v. Fairfax County Police Dept.</b> 2003 WL 24232724, *6 , E.D.Va.  This matter is before the Court on Defendants' Motions to Dismiss under Rule 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(6) and Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment under Rule 56. Plaintiffs Louise...	Mar. 04, 2003	Case		—
Cited by	<b>420. Schleifer v. City of Charlottesville</b> 963 F.Supp. 534, 542 , W.D.Va.  Juveniles and parents sought preliminary injunction preventing enforcement of municipal curfew law. The District Court, Michael, J., held that: (1) juveniles and parents failed...	Apr. 30, 1997	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>421. Pathfinders Motorcycle Club v. Prue</b> 500 F.Supp.2d 426, 435+ , D.Vt.  CIVIL RIGHTS - Immunity. Summary judgment on qualified immunity grounds was not warranted in § 1983 action brought by off-road motorcycle enthusiasts.	May 23, 2007	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>422. Suey Fong v. Dulles</b> 169 F.Supp. 537, 538 , E.D.Wis.  Action for declaratory judgment of citizenship. The District Court, Grubb, J., held that evidence, including result of blood tests, disclosed that the plaintiff was excluded from...	Dec. 30, 1958	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>423. Byam v. Dorset</b> 2019 WL 2173959, *3 , D.Virgin Islands  This matter is before the Court on the application of pro se plaintiff Maureen Melissa Byam to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP") under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) [ECF 2], and for an...	Mar. 11, 2019	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>424. Watchtower Bible Tract Soc. of New York, Inc. v. Sanchez-Ramos</b> 647 F.Supp.2d 103, 122+ , D.Puerto Rico  CIVIL RIGHTS - Association. Puerto Rico Controlled Access law did not violate right of express association.	Aug. 10, 2009	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>425. Watchtower Bible and Tract of NY v. Sanchez Ramos</b> 389 F.Supp.2d 171, 188 , D.Puerto Rico  CIVIL RIGHTS - Free Speech. Allowing neighborhoods to close off access to public streets did not violate religious organization's constitutional rights.	Aug. 09, 2005	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>426. Camacho v. Puerto Rico Ports Authority</b> 254 F.Supp.2d 220, 231 , D.Puerto Rico  LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT - Discrimination. Puerto Rico Ports Authority and a harbor pilot had an employer-employee relationship under ADEA.	Mar. 25, 2003	Case		—
Cited by	<b>427. De Niewa v. Reyes</b> 1989 WL 158912, *6+ , D.N.Mar.I.  Plaintiff YOLANDA U. DE NIEVA filed a Complaint alleging that rights guaranteed to her by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and by Article I,...	Oct. 19, 1989	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>428. Gautier Torres v. Mathews</b> 426 F.Supp. 1106, 1110+ , D.Puerto Rico  Recipient who qualified for benefits under the Supplemental Security Income program while residing in Connecticut but who was denied benefits after he moved to Puerto Rico brought...	Feb. 14, 1977	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>429. Kreitzer v. Puerto Rico Cars, Inc.</b> 417 F.Supp. 498, 504+ , D.Puerto Rico  Plaintiff, a Maryland resident, brought diversity action against owners of rental car to recover for injuries sustained in collision between such vehicle and vehicle in which...	June 03, 1975	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>430. In re Moses</b> 132 B.R. 837, 844 , Bkrtcy.E.D.Mich.  Motion was brought to compel debtor whose involuntary Chapter 7 case had been converted to case under Chapter 11 to answer questions put to her at creditor's meeting. The...	Dec. 04, 1990	Case		—
Cited by	<b>431. Suzuki v. U.S.</b> 29 Fed.Cl. 688, 692+ , Fed.Cl.  Japanese Internee. Making Japanese internee ineligible for compensation because she returned to Japan during World War II did not violate due process clause.	Oct. 22, 1993	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>432. U. S. v. Kalscheuer</b> 11 M.J. 373, 375 , CMA  The accused, airman first class, United States Air Force, was found guilty of possession of methamphetamine and marijuana. The United States Air Force Court of Military Review...	Aug. 17, 1981	Case		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>433. Stills v. Stills</b> 361 S.W.3d 823, 833 , Ark.  FAMILY LAW - Child Custody. Ex-wife could not waive in relocation agreement Hollandsworth presumption in favor of her move out of state.	Mar. 18, 2010	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>434. Tobe v. City of Santa Ana</b> ¶¶ 40 Cal.Rptr.2d 402, 419+ , Cal.  Camping. Ordinance banning camping and storage of personal property in public areas did not impermissibly restrict right to travel.	Apr. 24, 1995	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>435. Ector v. City of Torrance</b> 109 Cal.Rptr. 849, 852 , Cal.  Former city librarian petitioned for writ of mandate to compel charter city to vacate order terminating his employment on ground that he did not reside within borders of city as...	Oct. 02, 1973	Case		—
Cited by	<b>436. People v. Belous</b> 80 Cal.Rptr. 354, 360 , Cal.  Defendant was convicted in the Superior Court, Los Angeles County, John G. Barnes, J., of abortion and conspiracy to commit an abortion, and he appealed. The Supreme Court,...	Sep. 05, 1969	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>437. People v. Lee</b> 2015 WL 6604017, *3 , Cal.App. 4 Dist.  Defendant and appellant Frank William Lee used stolen credit cards to make purchases and pled guilty to seven counts each of burglary and petty theft. The trial court sentenced...	Oct. 30, 2015	Case		—
Cited by	<b>438. In re D.E.</b> ¶¶ 2012 WL 6004154, *11 , Cal.App. 6 Dist.  In this delinquency proceeding (see Welf. & Inst.Code, § 602), the court declared D.E. a ward of the court and placed him on probation for a period of 24 months on certain terms...	Nov. 30, 2012	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>439. In re James C.</b> 81 Cal.Rptr.3d 846, 851 , Cal.App. 4 Dist.  FAMILY LAW - Juvenile Justice. Exclusion from United States was not proper probation condition for juvenile United States citizen.	Aug. 11, 2008	Case		<a href="#">1</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>440. People v. Beach</b> 195 Cal.Rptr. 381, 386 , Cal.App. 2 Dist.  Defendant was convicted in the Superior Court, Los Angeles County, James M. Ideman, J., of involuntary manslaughter, and she appealed. The Court of Appeal, Rutberg, J., held...	Sep. 30, 1983	Case		<a href="#">1</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>441. In re White</b> 158 Cal.Rptr. 562, 567 , Cal.App. 5 Dist.  Petitioner, convicted of soliciting an act of prostitution, brought habeas corpus proceeding seeking to be free from certain conditions of probation. The Court of Appeal, Hopper,...	Sep. 24, 1979	Case		<a href="#">1</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>442. McGue v. Sillas</b> 147 Cal.Rptr. 354, 357 , Cal.App. 1 Dist.  Writ of mandamus was sought directing director of Department of Motor Vehicles to set aside its decision which suspended petitioner's driver's license for a period of six months...	July 14, 1978	Case		<a href="#">1</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>443. People v. Dooley</b> 134 Cal.Rptr. 573, 580 , Cal.App. 1 Dist.  The San Mateo Superior Court, Frank Piombo, J., found defendant guilty of possession of a controlled sale for substance, and she appealed from an order granting probation. The...	Dec. 03, 1976	Case		—
Cited by	<b>444. CEEED v. California Coastal Zone Conservation Com.</b> 118 Cal.Rptr. 315, 332+ , Cal.App. 4 Dist.  The constitutionality of the Coastal Conservation Act of 1972, i.e., the 'Coastal Initiative,' was challenged by an action for declaratory relief. From an adverse judgment of the...	Nov. 19, 1974	Case		<a href="#">1</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>445. Montalvo v. Madera Unified Sch. Dist. Bd. of Education</b> 98 Cal.Rptr. 593, 599 , Cal.App. 5 Dist.  Suit by junior high school student attacking validity of school regulation limiting length of hair. The Superior Court, Madera County, Jack L. Hammerberg, J., entered a judgment...	Nov. 17, 1971	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>446. People v. Barksdale</b> 96 Cal.Rptr. 265, 269 , Cal.App. 1 Dist.  Defendant was charged in the Municipal Court, San Leandro-Hayward Judicial District, of performing illegal abortion and he demurred to the complaint. The Municipal Court sustained...	July 22, 1971	Case		<a href="#">1</a>  S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>447. <a href="#">Finot v. Pasadena City Bd. of Educ.</a></b> ¶ 58 Cal.Rptr. 520, 526+ , Cal.App. 2 Dist.  High school teacher brought mandamus proceeding against board of education and school district to compel them to rescind their assignment of him to home teaching and to restore him...	Apr. 18, 1967	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>448. <a href="#">People v. Housman</a></b> 210 Cal.Rptr. 186, 190 , Cal.Super.A.D.  Defendant pled guilty in the Municipal Court, Beverly Hills Judicial District, Los Angeles County, John Murphy, Temporary Judge, to charge of violating municipal ordinance...	Nov. 27, 1984	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>449. <a href="#">People in Interest of J.M.</a></b> 768 P.2d 219, 221 , Colo.  Juvenile was convicted in the District Court, Pueblo County, Patti F. O'Rourke, J., of loitering by a minor after curfew, and he appealed. The Supreme Court, Rovira, J., held...	Jan. 23, 1989	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>450. <a href="#">State v. Culmo</a></b> ¶ 642 A.2d 90, 96 , Conn.Super.  Defendant charged with second-degree stalking moved to dismiss indictment on ground statute was unconstitutionally vague. The Superior Court, Geographical Area No. 9 at...	Aug. 03, 1993	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>451. <a href="#">Conley v. U.S.</a></b> 79 A.3d 270, 287 , D.C.  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Weapons. Statute setting forth offense of presence in motor vehicle containing a firearm (PMVCF) violates Due Process Clause.	Sep. 26, 2013	Case		—
Cited by	<b>452. <a href="#">Speight v. U.S.</a></b> 569 A.2d 124, 140 , D.C.  Defendant was arrested for the offense of distributing cocaine while on pretrial release from the arrest of charge of carrying a dangerous weapon. The Circuit Court, Nan R. Huhn,...	Nov. 28, 1989	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>453. <a href="#">Ricks v. U. S.</a></b> 228 A.2d 316, 319 , D.C.App.  Prosecutions resulting in convictions for violation of the Narcotics Vagrancy Statute and the General Vagrancy Statute by the District of Columbia Court of General Sessions, Harold...	Apr. 06, 1967	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>454. <a href="#">Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Concerning Custody of the Parties' Minor Children</a></b> ¶ Samuel v. Person 2010 WL 2627858, *7 , D.C.Super. (Trial Order)  The parties have sued and countersued each other for absolute divorce, legal and physical custody of their two minor children, child support, and equitable distribution of marital...	June 15, 2010	Trial Court Order		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>455. B.H. v. State</b> 645 So.2d 987, 991+ , Fla.  Juvenile Escape. Juvenile escape statute was unconstitutional because it violated both nondelegation and vagueness doctrines.	Nov. 23, 1994	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>456. State v. Davis</b>  814 S.E.2d 701, 706+ , Ga.  CRIMINAL JUSTICE — Pardon. Sex offender registration requirement was a disability imposed by law, and thus within the authority of the Board of Pardons and Paroles to remove.	May 21, 2018	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>457. Davis v. State</b>  798 S.E.2d 474, 480 , Ga.App.  CRIMINAL JUSTICE — Pardon. Disabilities removed by pardon for conviction for aggravated sodomy included sex-offender registration requirement.	Mar. 10, 2017	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>458. State v. Shigematsu</b>  483 P.2d 997, 1000 , Hawai'i  Defendants were charged with violation of statute proscribing presence of persons in room barricaded or otherwise so built or protected to make access or ingress to police officers...	Apr. 07, 1971	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>459. State v. Bitt</b> 798 P.2d 43, 48 , Idaho  State appealed from decision rendered in the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District, Bannock County, B. Lynn Winmill, J., affirming magistrate court's determination that...	Sep. 13, 1990	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>460. Washington Water Power Co. v. Kootenai Environmental Alliance</b> 591 P.2d 122, 129 , Idaho  The Idaho Public Utilities Commission issued orders prohibiting public utility from engaging in political advocacy, and utility appealed. The Supreme Court, Shepard, C. J., held...	Feb. 21, 1979	Case		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>461. People v. Chambers</b> 360 N.E.2d 55, 57+ , Ill.  Juveniles were convicted before the Circuit Court, Ogle County, Alan W. Cargerman, J., for violation of juvenile curfew law, and they appealed. The Appellate Court, 32 Ill.App.3d...	Nov. 15, 1976	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>462. Falls v. State</b> 130 N.E.3d 618, 622 , Ind.App.  CRIMINAL JUSTICE — Stalking. Sufficient evidence supported finding that defendant's actions of following victim with his car constituted stalking.	July 25, 2019	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>463. C.S. v. T.K.</b> ¶ 118 N.E.3d 78, 83 , Ind.App.  FAMILY LAW — Protection Orders. Evidence was insufficient to support finding of stalking and issuance of protective order in favor of police officer and against business owner.	Jan. 29, 2019	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>464. VanHorn v. State</b> ¶ 889 N.E.2d 908, 912+ , Ind.App.  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Stalking. Evidence was insufficient to support conviction for stalking.	July 15, 2008	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>465. Department of Transp. v. Armacost</b> 532 A.2d 1056, 1064 , Md.  County and local officials filed petition for declaratory judgment and interlocutory injunction, seeking to have vehicle emission inspection program declared unconstitutional, as...	Nov. 03, 1987	Case		—
Cited by	<b>466. Light v. D'Amato</b> ¶ 105 A.3d 447, 451 , Me.  FAMILY LAW - Child Custody. Child custody award in divorce judgment did not unconstitutionally interfere with wife's right to travel.	Dec. 02, 2014	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>467. People v. Hayes</b> 364 N.W.2d 635, 643 , Mich.  Defendant was convicted in the Circuit Court, Allegan County, George R. Corsiglia, J., of first-degree murder, and he appealed. The Court of Appeals affirmed in an unpublished...	Dec. 28, 1984	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>468. People v. Fields</b> 216 N.W.2d 51, 63 , Mich.  Proceeding on charges against 16-year-old defendant. The probate court waived jurisdiction, the Circuit Court, Washtenaw County, John W. Conlin, J., affirmed and defendant...	Mar. 19, 1974	Case		—
Cited by	<b>469. Tischendorf v. Tischendorf</b> 321 N.W.2d 405, 413 , Minn.  Mother appealed from an order of the Ramsey County District Court, Joseph Summers, J., denying her motion which sought to modify a prior order that had amended a 1977 judgment and...	July 09, 1982	Case		—
Cited by	<b>470. State v. Dobbins</b> 178 S.E.2d 449, 457+ , N.C.  Defendant was convicted in police court of unlawful possession of dangerous weapon in area in which declared state of emergency existed, and of violating emergency curfew ordinance...	Jan. 20, 1971	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>471. State v. Stewart</b> ¶ 253 S.E.2d 638, 641 , N.C.App.  Defendant was charged by citation with deliberately displaying an artificial light from a motor-driven conveyance into a field frequented by wild deer and beyond the surface of the...	Apr. 17, 1979	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.



Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>472. Abrahams v. Civil Service Commission</b> 319 A.2d 483, 495+ , N.J.  Proceeding on appeal from Civil Service Commission's dismissal of appeal of city law department secretary whose employment was terminated due to failure to reside in city. After...	May 08, 1974	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>473. State v. Douglas</b> 2017 WL 3027370, *4 , N.J.Super.A.D.  Defendant Melvin R. Douglas appeals from a November 2, 2015 conviction, entered following his guilty plea to fourth-degree possession of a controlled dangerous substance (CDS),...	July 18, 2017	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>474. Opinion</b> Lyle v. Lyle 2015 WL 4056123, *4 , N.J.Super.Ch. (Trial Order)  * The court in its discretion utilizes pseudonyms and initials in place of the parties' actual names. L. R. Jones, J.S.C. With another summer fast approaching, it is once again the...	June 17, 2015	Trial Court Order		—
Cited by	<b>475. Allen v. City of Bordentown</b> 524 A.2d 478, 483+ , N.J.Super.L.  Parents sued to enjoin city's enforcement of curfew ordinance. The Superior Court, Burlington County, Haines, A.J.S.C., held that: (1) curfew ordinance, prohibiting minor from...	Feb. 13, 1987	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>476. Rankin on Behalf of Bd. of Ed. of City of New York v. Shanker</b> 295 N.Y.S.2d 625, 642 , N.Y.  Individual defendant and defendant union moved for a jury trial in a proceeding to punish them for criminal contempt of court. The Supreme Court, New York County, Francis J....	Oct. 30, 1968	Case		—
Cited by	<b>477. Boreali v. Axelrod</b> 518 N.Y.S.2d 440, 449 , N.Y.A.D. 3 Dept.  Restaurant owner, trade and business associations, state senator and state assemblymen commenced Article 78 proceeding to annul regulations promulgated by public health council...	July 23, 1987	Case		—
Cited by	<b>478. Eichner v. Dillon</b> 426 N.Y.S.2d 517, 539 , N.Y.A.D. 2 Dept.  Priest brought proceeding to have religious brother, who was in "chronic vegetative state," declared incompetent, and to obtain judicial approval for withdrawal of extraordinary...	Mar. 27, 1980	Case		—
Cited by	<b>479. Hehir v. New York City Transit Authority</b> 189 N.Y.S.2d 1004, 1015+ , N.Y.Sup.  Proceeding on application to review determination of the State Civil Service Commission which affirmed a finding of the New York City Transit Authority that petitioner was a person...	June 02, 1959	Case		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>480. People v. Lane</b> 550 N.Y.S.2d 529, 531+ , N.Y.Sup.App.Term  Defendant was convicted of driving to left of pavement markings in no-passing zone, and driving while intoxicated, in the Justice Court, Town of Dover, Dutchess County, Cantele,...	Nov. 16, 1989	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>481. People v. DeClemente ¶¶</b> 442 N.Y.S.2d 931, 935+ , N.Y.City Crim.Ct.  Defendant was charged with criminal trespass in the third degree. The Criminal Court, City of New York, County of Queens, Glass, J., held that: (1) criminal trespass in the...	Sep. 14, 1981	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>482. Estate of Sanchez</b> 481 N.Y.S.2d 601, 603+ , N.Y.Sur.  City commissioner of social services moved to vacate a conditional settlement of its lien and to interpose a claim for the entire net proceeds of a claim arising from decedent's...	Oct. 24, 1984	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>483. Schneider v. Laffoon</b> 212 N.E.2d 801, 806 , Ohio  Proceeding to determine inheritance or succession tax in estate of Ohio resident. The Probate Court, Hamilton County, determined that no part of trust should be treated as taxable...	Dec. 22, 1965	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>484. Cleveland Metroparks v. Sferra ¶¶</b> 2018 WL 3814973, *2+ , Ohio App. 8 Dist.  {¶ 1} Defendant-appellant, Matthew L. Sferra ("Sferra"), proceeding pro se, appeals the decision of the Cleveland Municipal Court finding him guilty of operating a watercraft at...	Aug. 09, 2018	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>485. State v. Stuber</b> 2002 WL 1433852, *1 , Ohio App. 3 Dist.  Defendant was convicted in the Court of Common Pleas, Allen County, of driving under a suspended license. Defendant appealed. The Court of Appeals, Bryant, J., held that: (1)...	June 28, 2002	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>486. Amburgy v. Village of South Lebanon</b> 2002 WL 1009381, *2 , Ohio App. 12 Dist.  GOVERNMENT - Highways and Roads. Ordinance restricting traffic of "through" trucks violated equal protection.	May 20, 2002	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>487. Parker v. Hood</b> 1981 WL 5137, *3 , Ohio App. 12 Dist.  This cause came on to be heard upon the appeals, the transcripts of the dockets, journal entries and original papers from the Court of Common Pleas of Clermont County, Ohio, the...	June 24, 1981	Case		—
Cited by	<b>488. Fraternal Order of Police Youngstown Lodge No. 28 v. Hunter</b> 360 N.E.2d 708, 721 , Ohio App. 7 Dist.  Action was brought for determination of rights of parties under Youngstown civil service commission regulation providing that officers and employees not residing within the city...	Apr. 16, 1975	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>489. Fraternal Order of Police, Youngstown Lodge No. 28 v. Hunter</b> ¶ 303 N.E.2d 103, 106+ , Ohio Com.Pl.  Action for determination of rights under Youngstown civil service commission regulation providing that officers and employees not residing within city are subject to dismissal. ...	May 02, 1973	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>490. Edmondson v. Pearce</b> 91 P.3d 605, 625 , Okla.  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Animals. Statute outlawing cockfighting did not amount to an uncompensated regulatory takings.	Mar. 30, 2004	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>491. Delgado v. Souders</b> ¶ 46 P.3d 729, 750 , Or.  CIVIL RIGHTS - Due Process. Civil anti-stalking statute was not facially vague.	May 16, 2002	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>492. Josephine County School Dist. No. 7 v. Oregon School Activities Ass'n</b> 515 P.2d 431, 437 , Or.App.  After high school, which lost league championship football game, had successfully filed a protest with state school activities association on ground of the ineligibility of one of...	Oct. 31, 1973	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>493. Opinion and Order</b> ¶ CITY OF PORTLAND, Plaintiff, v. Eric Glen BURRAGE, Defendant. 2005 WL 5490460, *5 , Or.Cir. (Trial Order)  Multiple Defendants, charged with violations of a "Drug Free Zone Variance" pursuant to Portland City Code 14B.20.060 D, challenge the constitutionality of the latest version of...	Apr. 20, 2005	Trial Court Order		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>494. Texas Boll Weevil Eradication Foundation, Inc. v. Lewellen</b> 952 S.W.2d 454, 475 , Tex.  GOVERNMENT - States. Statute creating boll weevil eradication foundation constituted unconstitutional delegation of legislative authority to private entity.	Apr. 30, 1997	Case		—
Cited by	<b>495. Taylor v. State</b> 2009 WL 259673, *1+ , Tex.App.-Beaumont  After appealing from justice court, Dorman Lee Taylor ("Dorman") was convicted by a jury in the county court for three offenses: (1) failing to maintain financial responsibility...	Feb. 04, 2009	Case		<a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>496. Naff v. State</b> 946 S.W.2d 529, 532 , Tex.App.-Fort Worth  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Indictment and Information. Complaints were not invalid on ground that they were sworn to by municipal court prosecutor's secretary.	May 15, 1997	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>497. State v. Smith</b> ¶ 344 P.3d 1244, 1248 , Wash.App. Div. 2  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Sex Offenders. Defendant did not show that sex offender registration statute impaired right to travel.	Feb. 18, 2015	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>498. State v. Enquist</b> 256 P.3d 1277, 1282 , Wash.App. Div. 2  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Sex Offenders. Transient sex offender requirements did not violate State or Federal ex post facto clauses or defendant's right to travel.	Aug. 05, 2011	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>499. City of Seattle v. McConahy</b> 937 P.2d 1133, 1141 , Wash.App. Div. 1  CIVIL RIGHTS - Prohibition Against Sitting. Ordinance prohibiting sitting on sidewalk was constitutional.	June 02, 1997	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>500. Brandmiller v. Arreola</b> ¶ 544 N.W.2d 894, 898+ , Wis.  TRANSPORTATION - Cruising. Cruising ordinances did not violate state constitutional right of intrastate travel.	Mar. 13, 1996	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.