

97 S.Ct. 2376

Supreme Court of the United States

Edward W. MAHER, Commissioner of  
Social Services of Connecticut, Appellant,

v.

Susan ROE et al.

No. 75-1440

|

Argued Jan. 11, 1977.

|

Decided June 20, 1977.

### Synopsis

Indigent women brought suit challenging a Connecticut regulation prohibiting the funding of abortions that were not medically necessary. The United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, [380 F.Supp. 726](#) held that the Social Security Act required state funding of nontherapeutic abortions, but the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, [522 F.2d 928](#), reversed. On remand, a Three-Judge District Court, [408 F.Supp. 660](#), held that the regulation denied equal protection and Connecticut Commissioner of Social Services appealed. The Supreme Court, Mr. Justice Powell, held that the equal protection clause did not require a state participating in the medicaid program to pay the expenses incident to nontherapeutic abortions for indigent women simply because it had made a policy choice to pay expenses incident to childbirth.

Reversed and remanded.

Mr. Chief Justice Burger filed a concurring statement.

Mr. Justice Brennan filed a dissenting opinion in which Mr. Justice Marshall and Mr. Justice Blackmun joined.

For separate dissenting opinion of Mr. Justice Marshall see [97 S.Ct. 2394](#).

For separate dissenting opinion of Mr. Justice Blackmun, in which Mr. Justice Brennan and Mr. Justice Marshall joined, see [97 S.Ct. 2398](#).

See also [97 S.Ct. 2366](#) and [97 S.Ct. 2391](#).

West Headnotes (7)

**[1] Health**  **Benefits and Services Covered**

Constitution imposes no obligation on states to pay pregnancy-related medical expenses of indigent women, or indeed to pay any of the medical expenses of indigents; but when state decides to alleviate some of the hardships of poverty by providing medical care, manner in which it dispenses benefits is subject to constitutional limitations. [U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 14](#).

[67 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

**[2] Constitutional Law**  **Statutes and other written regulations and rules**

Financial need alone does not identify “suspect class” for purposes of equal protection analysis. [U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 14](#).

[144 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

**[3] Constitutional Law**  **Other particular issues and applications**

Equal protection clause did not require state participating in medicaid program to pay expenses incident to nontherapeutic abortions for indigent women simply because it had made policy choice to pay expenses incident to childbirth. [U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 14](#); Social Security Act, § 1901 et seq., [42 U.S.C.A. § 1396](#) et seq.

[199 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

**[4] Constitutional Law**  **Applicability to governmental or private action; state action**

There is a basic difference between direct state interference with protected activity and state encouragement of alternative activity consonant with legislative policy, in that Constitutional concerns are greatest when state attempts to impose its will by force of law, while state's power to encourage actions deemed to be

in public interest is necessarily far broader.  
U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 14.

73 Cases that cite this headnote

[5] **States** 🔑 Health, safety, morals, and welfare in general

State was not required to show compelling interest for its policy choice to favor normal childbirth.

18 Cases that cite this headnote

[6] **States** 🔑 Entitlement

States have wide latitude in choosing among competing demands for limited public funds.

3 Cases that cite this headnote

[7] **Constitutional Law** 🔑 Abortion funding  
**Health** 🔑 Abortion or birth control

Connecticut medicaid regulation requiring prior written request by indigent pregnant woman and prior authorization by Department of Social Services for funding of abortions did not deny equal protection. Social Security Act, § 1901 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. § 1396 et seq.; U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 14.

145 Cases that cite this headnote

\*\*2377 \*464 Syllabus \*

Appellees, two indigent women who were unable to obtain a physician's certificate of medical necessity, brought this action attacking the validity of a Connecticut Welfare Department regulation that limits state Medicaid benefits for first trimester abortions to those that are "medically necessary." A three-judge District Court held that the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment forbids the exclusion of **nontherapeutic abortions** from a state welfare program that generally subsidizes the medical expenses incident to pregnancy and childbirth. The court found implicit in *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113, 93 S.Ct. 705, 35 L.Ed.2d 147, and *Doe v. Bolton*, 410 U.S. 179, 93 S.Ct. 739, 35 L.Ed.2d

201, the view that "abortion and childbirth . . . are simply two alternative medical methods of dealing with pregnancy. . . ." Held:

1. The Equal Protection Clause does not require a State participating in the Medicaid program to pay the expenses incident to **nontherapeutic abortions** for indigent women simply because it has made a policy choice to pay expenses incident to childbirth. Pp. 2380-2386.

(a) Financial need alone does not identify a suspect class for purposes of equal protection analysis. See \*\*2378 *San Antonio School District v. Rodriguez*, 411 U.S. 1, 29, 93 S.Ct. 1278, 1294, 36 L.Ed.2d 16; *Dandridge v. Williams*, 397 U.S. 471, 90 S.Ct. 1153, 25 L.Ed.2d 491. P. 2381.

(b) The Connecticut regulation, does not impinge upon the fundamental right of privacy recognized in *Roe*, supra, that protects a woman from unduly burdensome interference with her freedom to decide whether or not to terminate her pregnancy. That right implies no limitation on a State's authority to make a value judgment favoring childbirth over abortion and to implement that judgment by the allocation of public funds. An indigent woman desiring an abortion is not disadvantaged by Connecticut's decision to fund childbirth; she continues as before to be dependent on private abortion services. Pp. 2381-2383

(c) A State is not required to show a compelling interest for its policy choice to favor normal childbirth. Pp. 2383-2384.

(d) Connecticut's regulation is rationally related to and furthers its "strong and legitimate interest in encouraging normal childbirth," \*465 *Beal v. Doe*, 432 U.S. 438, 446, 97 S.Ct. 2366, 2372, 53 L.Ed.2d 464. The subsidizing of costs incident to childbirth is a rational means of encouraging childbirth. States, moreover, have a wide latitude in choosing among competing demands for limited public funds. Pp. 2385-2386.

2. Since it is not unreasonable for a State to insist upon a prior showing of medical necessity to insure that its money is being spent only for authorized purposes, the District Court erred in invalidating the requirements of prior written request by the pregnant woman and prior authorization by the Department of Social Services for abortions. Although similar requirements are not imposed for other medical procedures, such procedures do not involve the termination of a potential human life. P. 2386.

D.C., 408 F.Supp. 660, reversed and remanded.

### Attorneys and Law Firms

Edmund C. Walsh, Hartford, Conn., for appellant.

Lucy V. Katz, Stamford, Conn., for appellees.

### Opinion

Mr. Justice POWELL delivered the opinion of the Court.

In *Beal v. Doe*, 432 U.S. 438, 97 S.Ct. 2366, 53 L.Ed.2d 464, we hold today that Title XIX of the Social Security Act does not require the funding of *nontherapeutic abortions* as a condition of participation in the \*466 joint federal-state medicaid program established by that statute. In this case, as a result of our decision in *Beal*, we must decide whether the Constitution requires a participating State to pay for *nontherapeutic abortions* when it pays for childbirth.

#### I

A regulation of the Connecticut Welfare Department limits state Medicaid benefits for first trimester abortions<sup>1</sup> to those that are “medically necessary,” a term defined to include psychiatric necessity. Connecticut Welfare Department, Public Assistance Program Manual, Vol. 3, c. III, s 275 (1975).<sup>2</sup> Connecticut enforces this limitation \*\*2379 through a system of prior authorization from its Department of Social Services. In order to obtain authorization for a first trimester abortion, the hospital or clinic where the abortion is to be performed must submit, among other things, a certificate from the patient's attending physician stating that the abortion is medically necessary.

This attack on the validity of the Connecticut regulation \*467 was brought against appellant Maher, the Commissioner of Social Services, by appellees Poe and Roe, two indigent women who were unable to obtain a physician's certificate of medical necessity.<sup>3</sup> In a complaint filed in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, they challenged the regulation both as inconsistent with the requirements of Title XIX of the Social Security Act, as added 79 Stat. 343, as amended, 42 U.S.C. s 1396 et seq. (1970 ed. and Supp. V), and as violative of their constitutional rights, including the Fourteenth Amendment's guarantees of due process and equal protection. Connecticut originally defended

its regulation on the theory that Title XIX of the Social Security Act prohibited the funding of abortions that were not medically necessary. After certifying a class of women unable to obtain Medicaid assistance for abortions because of the regulation, the District Court held that the Social Security Act not only allowed state funding of *nontherapeutic abortions* but also required it. *Roe v. Norton*, 380 F.Supp. 726 (1974). On appeal, the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit read the Social Security Act to allow, but not to require, state funding of such abortions. 522 F.2d 928 (1975). Upon remand for consideration of the constitutional issues raised in the complaint, a three-judge District Court was convened. That court invalidated the Connecticut regulation. 408 F.Supp. 660 (1975).

\*468 Although it found no independent constitutional right to a state-financed abortion, the District Court held that the Equal Protection Clause forbids the exclusion of *nontherapeutic abortions* from a state welfare program that generally subsidizes the medical expenses incident to pregnancy and childbirth. The court found implicit in *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113, 93 S.Ct. 705, 35 L.Ed.2d 147 (1973), and *Doe v. Bolton*, 410 U.S. 179, 93 S.Ct. 739, 35 L.Ed.2d 201 (1973), the view that “abortion and childbirth, when stripped of the sensitive moral arguments surrounding the abortion controversy, are simply two alternative medical methods of dealing with pregnancy . . .” 408 F.Supp., at 663 n. 3. Relying also on *Shapiro v. Thompson*, 394 U.S. 618, 89 S.Ct. 1322, 22 L.Ed.2d 600 (1969), and *Memorial Hospital v. Maricopa County*, 415 U.S. 250, 94 S.Ct. 1076, 39 L.Ed.2d 306 (1974), the court held that the Connecticut program “weights the choice of the pregnant mother against choosing to exercise her constitutionally protected right” to a *nontherapeutic abortion* and “thus infringes upon a fundamental interest.” 408 F.Supp., at 663-664. The court found no state interest to justify this infringement. The State's fiscal interest was held to be “wholly chimerical because abortion is the least expensive medical response to a pregnancy.” *Id.*, at 664 (footnote omitted). And any moral objection to abortion was deemed constitutionally irrelevant:

“The state may not justify its refusal to pay for one type of expense arising from \*\*2380 pregnancy on the basis that it morally opposes such an expenditure of money. To sanction such a justification would be to permit discrimination against those seeking to exercise a constitutional right on the basis that the state simply does not approve of the exercise of that right.” *Ibid.*

The District Court enjoined the State from requiring the certificate of medical necessity for Medicaid-funded abortions.<sup>4</sup> \*469 The court also struck down the related requirements of prior written request by the pregnant woman and prior authorization by the Department of Social Services, holding that the State could not impose any requirements on Medicaid payments for abortions that are not “equally applicable to medicaid payments for childbirth, if such conditions or requirements tend to discourage a woman from choosing an abortion or to delay the occurrence of an abortion that she has asked her physician to perform.” *Id.*, at 665. We noted probable jurisdiction to consider the constitutionality of the Connecticut regulation. 428 U.S. 908, 96 S.Ct. 3219, 49 L.Ed.2d 1216 (1976).

II

[1] (1) The Constitution imposes no obligation on the States to pay the pregnancy-related medical expenses of indigent women, or indeed to pay any of the medical expenses of indigents.<sup>5</sup> But when a State decides to alleviate some of the \*470 hardships of poverty by providing medical care, the manner in which it dispenses benefits is subject to constitutional limitations. Appellees' claim is that Connecticut must accord equal treatment to both abortion and childbirth, and may not evidence a policy preference by funding only the medical expenses incident to childbirth. This challenge to the classifications established by the Connecticut regulation presents a question arising under the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. The basic framework of analysis of such a claim is well settled:

“We must decide, first, whether (state legislation) operates to the disadvantage of some suspect class or impinges upon a fundamental right explicitly or implicitly protected by the Constitution, thereby requiring \*\*2381 strict judicial scrutiny. . . . If not, the (legislative) scheme must still be examined to determine whether it rationally furthers some legitimate, articulated state purpose and therefore does not constitute an invidious discrimination . . . .” *San Antonio School District v. Rodriguez*, 411 U.S. 1, 17, 93 S.Ct. 1278, 1288, 36 L.Ed.2d 16 (1973).

Accord, *Massachusetts Board of Retirement v. Murgia*, 427 U.S. 307, 312, 314, 96 S.Ct. 2562, 2566, 2567, 49 L.Ed.2d 520 (1976). Applying this analysis here, we think the

District Court erred in holding that the Connecticut regulation violated the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

A

[2] (2) This case involves no discrimination against a suspect class. An indigent woman desiring an abortion does not come within \*471 the limited category of disadvantaged classes so recognized by our cases. Nor does the fact that the impact of the regulation falls upon those who cannot pay lead to a different conclusion. In a sense, every denial of welfare to an indigent creates a wealth classification as compared to nonindigents who are able to pay for the desired goods or services. But this Court has never held that financial need alone identifies a suspect class for purposes of equal protection analysis. See *Rodriguez, supra*, 411 U.S. at 29, 93 S.Ct., at 1294; *Dandridge v. Williams*, 397 U.S. 471, 90 S.Ct. 1153, 25 L.Ed.2d 491 (1970).<sup>6</sup> Accordingly, the central question in this case is whether the regulation “impinges upon a fundamental right explicitly or implicitly protected by the Constitution.” The District Court read our decisions in *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113, 93 S.Ct. 705, 35 L.Ed.2d 147 (1973), and the subsequent cases applying it, as establishing a fundamental right to abortion and therefore concluded that nothing less than a compelling state interest would justify Connecticut's different treatment of abortion and childbirth. We think the District Court misconceived the nature and scope of the fundamental right recognized in *Roe*.

B

[3] (3) At issue in *Roe* was the constitutionality of a Texas law making it a crime to procure or attempt to procure an abortion, except on medical advice for the purpose of saving the life of the mother. Drawing on a group of disparate cases restricting governmental intrusion, physical coercion, and criminal prohibition of certain activities, we concluded that the Fourteenth Amendment's concept of personal liberty \*472 affords constitutional protection against state interference with certain aspects of an individual's personal “privacy,” including a woman's decision to terminate her pregnancy.<sup>7</sup> *Id.*, at 153, 93 S.Ct., at 727.

The Texas statute imposed severe criminal sanctions on the physicians and other medical personnel who performed abortions, thus drastically limiting the availability and safety

of the desired service. As Mr. Justice Stewart observed, “it is difficult to imagine a more complete abridgment of a **\*\*2382** constitutional freedom . . .” *Id.*, at 170, 93 S.Ct., at 735 (concurring opinion). We held that only a compelling state interest would justify such a sweeping restriction on a constitutionally protected interest, and we found no such state interest during the first trimester. Even when judged against this demanding standard, however, the State’s dual interest in the health of the pregnant woman and the potential life of the fetus were deemed sufficient to justify substantial regulation of abortions in the second and third trimesters. “These interests are separate and distinct. Each grows in substantiality as the woman approaches term and, at a point during pregnancy, each becomes ‘compelling.’ ” *Id.*, at 162-163, 93 S.Ct., at 731. In the second trimester, the State’s interest in the health of the pregnant woman justifies state regulation reasonably related to that concern. *Id.*, at 163, 93 S.Ct., at 731-732. At viability, usually in the third trimester, the State’s interest in the potential life of the fetus justifies prohibition with criminal penalties, except where the life or health of the mother is threatened. *Id.*, at 163-164, 93 S.Ct., at 732.

The Texas law in *Roe* was a stark example of impermissible interference with the pregnant woman’s decision to terminate her pregnancy. In subsequent cases, we have invalidated **\*473** other types of restrictions, different in form but similar in effect, on the woman’s freedom of choice. Thus, in *Planned Parenthood of Central Missouri v. Danforth*, 428 U.S. 52, 70-71, n. 11, 96 S.Ct. 2831, 2841-2842, 49 L.Ed.2d 788 (1976), we held that Missouri’s requirement of spousal consent was unconstitutional because it “granted (the husband) the right to prevent unilaterally, and for whatever reason, the effectuation of his wife’s and her physician’s decision to terminate her pregnancy.” Missouri had interposed an “absolute obstacle to a woman’s decision that *Roe* held to be constitutionally protected from such interference.” (Emphasis added.) Although a state-created obstacle need not be absolute to be impermissible, see *Doe v. Bolton*, 410 U.S. 179, 93 S.Ct. 739, 35 L.Ed.2d 201 (1973); *Carey v. Population Services International*, 431 U.S. 678, 97 S.Ct. 2010, 52 L.Ed.2d 675 (1977), we have held that a requirement for a lawful abortion “is not unconstitutional unless it unduly burdens the right to seek an abortion.” *Bellotti v. Baird*, 428 U.S. 132, 147, 96 S.Ct. 2857, 2866, 49 L.Ed.2d 844 (1976). We recognized in *Bellotti* that “not all distinction between abortion and other procedures is forbidden” and that “(t)he constitutionality of such distinction will depend upon its degree and the justification for it.” *Id.*, at 149-150, 96 S.Ct., at 2867. We therefore declined to rule on the constitutionality

of a Massachusetts statute regulating a minor’s access to an abortion until the state courts had had an opportunity to determine whether the statute authorized a parental veto over the minor’s decision or the less burdensome requirement of parental consultation.

These cases recognize a constitutionally protected interest “in making certain kinds of important decisions” free from governmental compulsion. *Whalen v. Roe*, 429 U.S. 589, 599-600, and nn. 24 and 26, 97 S.Ct. 869, 876, 51 L.Ed.2d 64 (1977). As *Whalen* makes clear, the right in *Roe v. Wade* can be understood only by considering both the woman’s interest and the nature of the State’s interference with it. *Roe* did not declare an unqualified “constitutional right to an abortion,” as the District Court seemed to think. Rather, the right protects the woman from **\*474** unduly burdensome interference with her freedom to decide whether to terminate her pregnancy. It implies no limitation on the authority of a State to make a value judgment favoring childbirth over abortion, and to implement that judgment by the allocation of public funds.

The Connecticut regulation before us is different in kind from the laws invalidated in our previous abortion decisions. The Connecticut regulation places no obstacles absolute or otherwise in the pregnant woman’s path to an abortion. An indigent woman who desires an abortion **\*\*2383** suffers no disadvantage as a consequence of Connecticut’s decision to fund childbirth; she continues as before to be dependent on private sources for the service she desires. The State may have made childbirth a more attractive alternative, thereby influencing the woman’s decision, but it has imposed no restriction on access to abortions that was not already there. The indigency that may make it difficult and in some cases, perhaps, impossible for some women to have abortions is neither created nor in any way affected by the Connecticut regulation. We conclude that the Connecticut regulation does not impinge upon the fundamental right recognized in *Roe*.<sup>8</sup>

**\*475 C**

[4] (4) Our conclusion signals no retreat from *Roe* or the cases applying it. There is a basic difference between direct state interference with a protected activity and state encouragement of an alternative activity consonant with legislative policy.<sup>9</sup> **\*476** Constitutional concerns are greatest when the State attempts to impose its will by force of law; the State’s power to encourage actions deemed to be in the public interest is necessarily far broader.

This distinction is implicit in two cases cited in *Roe* in support of the pregnant woman's right under the Fourteenth Amendment. *Meyer v. Nebraska*, 262 U.S. 390, 43 S.Ct. 625, 67 L.Ed. 1042 (1923), involved a Nebraska law making it criminal to teach foreign languages to children who had not passed the eighth grade. *Id.*, at 396-397, 43 S.Ct., at 626. Nebraska's imposition of a criminal sanction on the providers of desired services makes *Meyer* closely analogous to *Roe*. In sustaining the constitutional challenge brought by a teacher convicted under the law, the Court held that the teacher's "right thus to teach and the right of parents to engage him so to instruct their children" were "within the liberty of the Amendment." 262 U.S., at 400, 43 S.Ct., at 627. In *Pierce v. Society of Sisters*, 268 U.S. 510, 45 S.Ct. 571, 69 L.Ed. 1070 (1925), the Court relied on *Meyer* to invalidate an Oregon criminal law requiring the parent or guardian of a child to send him to a public school, thus precluding the choice of a private school. Reasoning that the Fourteenth Amendment's concept of liberty "excludes any general power of the State to standardize its children by forcing them to accept instruction from public teachers only," the Court held that the law "unreasonably interfere(d) with the liberty of parents and guardians to direct the upbringing and education of children under their control." 268 U.S., at 534-535, 45 S.Ct., at 573.

[5] (5) Both cases invalidated substantial restrictions on constitutionally protected liberty interests: in *Meyer*, the parent's right to have his child taught a particular foreign language; in *Pierce*, the parent's right to choose private rather than public school education. But neither case denied to a State \*477 the policy choice of encouraging the preferred course of action. Indeed, in *Meyer*, the Court was careful to state that the power of the State "to prescribe a curriculum" that included English and excluded German in its free public schools "is not questioned." 262 U.S., at 402, 43 S.Ct., at 628. Similarly, *Pierce* casts no shadow over a State's power to favor public education by funding it a policy choice pursued in some States for more than a century. See *Brown v. Board of Education*, 347 U.S. 483, 489 n. 4, 74 S.Ct. 686, 689, 98 L.Ed. 873 (1954). Indeed, in *Norwood v. Harrison*, 413 U.S. 455, 462, 93 S.Ct. 2804, 2809, 37 L.Ed.2d 723 (1973), we explicitly rejected the argument that *Pierce* established a "right of private or parochial schools to share with public schools in state largesse," noting that "(i)t is one thing to say that a State may not prohibit the maintenance of private schools and quite another to say that such schools must, as a matter of equal protection, receive state aid." Yet, were we to accept appellees' argument, an indigent parent could challenge the state policy of favoring public rather than

private schools, or of preferring instruction in English rather than German, on grounds identical in principle to those advanced here. We think it abundantly clear that a State is not required to show a compelling interest for its policy choice to favor normal childbirth any more than a State must so justify its election to fund public but not private education.<sup>10</sup>

\*478 \*\*2385 D

The question remains whether Connecticut's regulation can be sustained under the less demanding test of rationality that applies in the absence of a suspect classification or the impingement of a fundamental right. This test requires that the distinction drawn between childbirth and nontherapeutic abortion by the regulation be "rationally related" to a "constitutionally permissible" purpose. *Lindsey v. Normet*, 405 U.S. 56, 74, 92 S.Ct. 862, 874, 31 L.Ed.2d 36 (1972); *Massachusetts Board of Retirement v. Murgia*, 427 U.S., at 314, 96 S.Ct., at 2567. We hold that the Connecticut funding scheme satisfies this standard.

*Roe* itself explicitly acknowledged the State's strong interest in protecting the potential life of the fetus. That interest exists throughout the pregnancy, "grow(ing) in substantiality as the woman approaches term." 410 U.S., at 162-163, 93 S.Ct., at 731. Because the pregnant woman carries a potential human being she "cannot be isolated in her privacy. . . . (Her) privacy is no longer sole and any right of privacy she possesses must be measured accordingly." *Id.*, at 159, 93 S.Ct., at 730. The State unquestionably has a "strong and legitimate interest in encouraging normal childbirth," *Beal v. Doe*, 432 U.S. 438, at 446, 97 S.Ct. 2366, at 2372, 53 L.Ed.2d 464, an interest honored over the centuries.<sup>11</sup> Nor can there be any question that the Connecticut regulation rationally furthers that interest. The medical costs associated with childbirth are substantial, and have increased significantly in recent years. As \*479 recognized by the District Court in this case, such costs are significantly greater than those normally associated with elective abortions during the first trimester. The subsidizing of costs incident to childbirth is a rational means of encouraging childbirth.

[6] (6) We certainly are not unsympathetic to the plight of an indigent woman who desires an abortion, but "the Constitution does not provide judicial remedies for every social and economic ill," *Lindsey v. Normet*, *supra*, 405 U.S., at 74, 92 S.Ct., at 874. Our cases uniformly have accorded the States a wider latitude in choosing among competing demands for limited public funds.<sup>12</sup> In *Dandridge*

v. Williams, 397 U.S., at 485, 90 S.Ct., at 1162, despite recognition that laws and regulations allocating welfare funds involve “the most basic economic needs of impoverished human beings,” we held that classifications survive equal protection challenge when a “reasonable basis” for the classification is shown. As the preceding discussion makes clear, the state interest in encouraging normal childbirth exceeds this minimal level.

The decision whether to expend state funds for [nontherapeutic abortion](#) is fraught with judgments of policy and value over which opinions are sharply divided. Our conclusion that the Connecticut regulation is constitutional is not based on a weighing of its wisdom or social desirability, for this Court does not strike down state laws “because they may be unwise, improvident, or out of harmony with a particular school of thought.” [Williamson v. Lee Optical Co.](#), 348 U.S. 483, 488, 75 S.Ct. 461, 464, 99 L.Ed. 563 (1955), quoted in [Dandridge v. Williams](#), *supra*, 397 U.S. at 484, 90 S.Ct., at 1161. Indeed, when an issue involves policy choices as sensitive as those implicated by public funding of [nontherapeutic abortions](#), the appropriate forum for their resolution in a democracy is the legislature. We should not forget that “legislatures \*480 are ultimate guardians of the liberties and welfare of the people in quite as great a degree as the courts.” \*\*2386 [Missouri, K. & T. R. Co. v. May](#), 194 U.S. 267, 270, 24 S.Ct. 638, 639, 48 L.Ed. 971 (1904) (Holmes, J.).<sup>13</sup>

In conclusion, we emphasize that our decision today does not proscribe government funding of [nontherapeutic abortions](#). It is open to Congress to require provision of Medicaid benefits for such abortions as a condition of state participation in the Medicaid program. Also, under Title XIX as construed in [Beal v. Doe](#), 432 U.S. 438, 97 S.Ct. 2366, 53 L.Ed.2d 464, Connecticut is free through normal democratic processes to decide that such benefits should be provided. We hold only that the Constitution does not require a judicially imposed resolution of these difficult issues.

### III

[7] (7) The District Court also invalidated Connecticut's requirements of prior written request by the pregnant woman and prior authorization by the Department of Social Services. Our analysis above rejects the basic premise that prompted invalidation of these procedural requirements. It is not unreasonable for a State to insist upon a prior showing of medical necessity to insure that its money is being spent

only for authorized purposes. The simple answer to the argument that similar requirements are not imposed for other medical procedures is that such procedures do not involve the termination of a potential human life. In [Planned Parenthood of Central Missouri v. Danforth](#), 428 U.S. 52, 96 S.Ct. 2831, 49 L.Ed.2d 788 (1976), we held that the woman's written consent to an abortion was not an impermissible burden under *Roe*. We think that decision is controlling on the similar issue here.

\*481 The judgment of the District Court is reversed, and the case is remanded for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

It is so ordered.

Mr. Chief Justice BURGER, concurring.

I join the Court's opinion. Like the Court, I do not read any decision of this Court as requiring a State to finance a [nontherapeutic abortion](#). The Court's holdings in [Roe v. Wade](#), 410 U.S. 113, 93 S.Ct. 705, 35 L.Ed.2d 147 (1973), and [Doe v. Bolton](#), 410 U.S. 179, 93 S.Ct. 739, 35 L.Ed.2d 201 (1973), simply require that a State not create an absolute barrier to a woman's decision to have an abortion. These precedents do not suggest that the State is constitutionally required to assist her in procuring it.

From time to time, every state legislature determines that, as a matter of sound public policy, the government ought to provide certain health and social services to its citizens. Encouragement of childbirth and child care is not a novel undertaking in this regard. Various governments, both in this country and in others, have made such a determination for centuries. In recent times, they have similarly provided educational services. The decision to provide any one of these services or not to provide them is not required by the Federal Constitution. Nor does the providing of a particular service require, as a matter of federal constitutional law, the provision of another.

Here, the State of Connecticut has determined that it will finance certain childbirth expenses. That legislative determination \*482 places no state-created barrier to a woman's choice to procure an abortion, and it does not require the State to provide it. Accordingly, I concur in the judgment.

Mr. Justice BRENNAN, with whom Mr. Justice MARSHALL and Mr. Justice BLACKMUN join, dissenting.

The District Court held:

“When Connecticut refuses to fund elective abortions while funding therapeutic **\*\*2387** abortions and prenatal and postnatal care, it weights the choice of the pregnant mother against choosing to exercise her constitutionally protected right to an elective abortion. . . . Her choice is affected not simply by the absence of payment for the abortion, but by the availability of public funds for childbirth if she chooses not to have the abortion. When the state thus infringes upon a fundamental interest, it must assert a compelling state interest.” *Roe v. Norton*, 408 F.Supp. 660, 663-664 (1975).

This Court reverses on the ground that “the District Court misconceived the nature and scope of the fundamental right recognized in *Roe (v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113, 93 S.Ct. 705, 35 L.Ed.2d 147 (1973))*,” ante, at 2381, and therefore that Connecticut was not required to meet the “compelling interest” test to justify its discrimination against elective abortion but only “the less demanding test of rationality that applies in the absence of . . . the impingement of a fundamental right,” ante, at 2385. This holding, the Court insists, “places no obstacles absolute or otherwise in the pregnant woman's path to an abortion”; she is still at liberty to finance the abortion from “private sources.” Ante, at 2382. True, “the State may (by funding childbirth) have made childbirth a more attractive alternative, thereby influencing the woman's decision, but it has imposed no restriction on access to abortions that was not already there.” Ibid. True, also, indigency “may make it difficult and in some cases, **\*483** perhaps impossible for some women to have abortions,” but that regrettable consequence “is neither created nor in any way affected by the Connecticut regulation.” Ibid.

But a distressing insensitivity to the plight of impoverished pregnant women is inherent in the Court's analysis. The stark reality for too many, not just “some,” indigent pregnant women is that indigency makes access to competent licensed physicians not merely “difficult” but “impossible.” As a practical matter, many indigent women will feel they have no choice but to carry their pregnancies to term because the State will pay for the associated medical services, even though they would have chosen to have abortions if the State had also provided funds for that procedure, or indeed if the

State had provided funds for neither procedure. This disparity in funding by the State clearly operates to coerce indigent pregnant women to bear children they would not otherwise choose to have, and just as clearly, this coercion can only operate upon the poor, who are uniquely the victims of this form of financial pressure. Mr. Justice Frankfurter's words are apt:

“To sanction such a ruthless consequence, inevitably resulting from a money hurdle erected by the State, would justify a latter-day Anatole France to add one more item to his ironic comments on the ‘majestic equality’ of the law. ‘The law, in its majestic equality, forbids the rich as well as the poor to sleep under bridges, to beg in the streets, and to steal bread’ . . . .” *Griffin v. Illinois*, 351 U.S. 12, 23, 76 S.Ct. 585, 593, 100 L.Ed. 891 (1956) (concurring opinion).

None can take seriously the Court's assurance that its “conclusion signals no retreat from *Roe (v. Wade)* or the cases applying it,” ante, at 2383. That statement must occasion great surprise among the Courts of Appeals and District Courts that, relying upon *Roe v. Wade* and *Doe v. Bolton*, 410 U.S. 179, 93 S.Ct. 739, 35 L.Ed.2d 201 (1973), have held that States are constitutionally required to fund elective abortions if they fund pregnancies carried to **\*484** term. See *Doe v. Rose*, 499 F.2d 1112 (CA10 1974); *Wulff v. Singleton*, 508 F.2d 1211 (CA8 1974), rev'd and remanded on other grounds, 428 U.S. 106, 96 S.Ct. 2868, 49 L.Ed.2d 826 (1976); *Doe v. Westby*, 383 F.Supp. 1143 (W.D.S.D. 1974), vacated and remanded (in light of *Hagens v. Lavine*, 415 U.S. 528, 94 S.Ct. 1372, 39 L.Ed.2d 577 (1974)), 420 U.S. 968, 95 S.Ct. 1385, 43 L.Ed.2d 648, on remand, 402 F.Supp. 140 (1975); *Doe v. Wohlgenuth*, 376 F.Supp. 173 (W.D. Pa. 1974), aff'd on statutory grounds sub nom. *Doe v. Beal*, 523 F.2d 611 (CA3 1975), rev'd and remanded **\*\*2388** 432 U.S. 438, 97 S.Ct. 2366, 53 L.Ed.2d 464 (1977); *Doe v. Rampton*, 366 F.Supp. 189 (D.C. Utah 1973); *Klein v. Nassau County Medical Center*, 347 F.Supp. 496 (E.D.N.Y. 1972), vacated and remanded (in light of *Roe v. Wade* and *Doe v. Bolton*, 412 U.S. 925, 93 S.Ct. 2747, 37 L.Ed.2d 152 (1973)), on remand, 409 F.Supp. 731 (1976). Indeed, it cannot be gainsaid that today's decision seriously erodes the principles that *Roe* and *Doe* announced to guide the determination of what constitutes an unconstitutional infringement of the fundamental right of pregnant women to be free to decide whether to have an abortion.

The Court's premise is that only an equal protection claim is presented here. Claims of interference with enjoyment of fundamental rights have, however, occupied a rather



protean position in our constitutional jurisprudence. Whether or not the Court's analysis may reasonably proceed under the Equal Protection Clause, the Court plainly errs in ignoring, as it does, the unanswerable argument of appellees, and the holding of the District Court, that the regulation unconstitutionally impinges upon their claim of privacy derived from the Due Process Clause.

Roe v. Wade and cases following it hold that an area of privacy invulnerable to the State's intrusion surrounds the decision of a pregnant woman whether or not to carry her pregnancy to term. The Connecticut scheme clearly infringes upon that area of privacy by bringing financial pressures on indigent women that force them to bear children they would not otherwise have. That is an obvious impairment of the \*485 fundamental right established by Roe v. Wade. Yet the Court concludes that "the Connecticut regulation does not impinge upon (that) fundamental right." Ante, at 2383. This conclusion is based on a perceived distinction, on the one hand, between the imposition of criminal penalties for the procurement of an abortion present in Roe v. Wade and Doe v. Bolton and the absolute prohibition present in [Planned Parenthood of Central Missouri v. Danforth](#), 428 U.S. 52, 96 S.Ct. 2831, 49 L.Ed.2d 788 (1976), and, on the other, the assertedly lesser inhibition imposed by the Connecticut scheme. Ante, at 2382-2383.

The last time our Brother POWELL espoused the concept in an abortion case that "(t)here is a basic difference between direct state interference with a protected activity and state encouragement of an alternative activity consonant with legislative policy," ante, at 2383, the Court refused to adopt it. [Singleton v. Wulff](#), 428 U.S. 106, 122, 96 S.Ct. 2868, 49 L.Ed.2d 826 (1976). This was made explicit in Part II of our Brother Blackmun's opinion for four of us and is implicit in our Brother Stevens' essential agreement with the analysis of Part II-B. *Id.*, at 121-122, 96 S.Ct. at 2877 (concurring in part). Part II-B stated:

"Mr. Justice Powell would so limit Doe and the other cases cited, explaining them as cases in which the State 'directly interfered with the abortion decision' and 'directly interdicted the normal functioning of the physician-patient relationship by criminalizing certain procedures,' (428 U.S.) at 128, 96 S.Ct., at 2880-2881. There is no support in the language of the cited cases for this distinction . . . . Moreover, a 'direct interference' or 'interdiction' test does not appear to be supported by precedent. . . . For a doctor who cannot afford to work for nothing, and a woman who cannot afford to pay him, the State's refusal to fund an abortion is as effective an 'interdiction' of it as would ever be necessary. Furthermore,

since the right . . . is not simply the right to have an abortion, but the right to have abortions nondiscriminatorily funded, \*486 the denial of such funding is as complete an 'interdiction' of the exercise of the right as could ever exist." *Id.*, at 118 n. 7, 96 S.Ct., at 2876.

We have also rejected this approach in other abortion cases. Doe v. Bolton, the companion to Roe v. Wade, in addition to striking down the Georgia criminal prohibition against elective abortions, struck down the procedural requirements of certification \*\*2389 of hospitals, of approval by a hospital committee, and of concurrence in the abortion decision by two doctors other than the woman's own doctor. None of these requirements operated as an absolute bar to elective abortions in the manner of the criminal prohibitions present in the other aspect of the case or in Roe, but this was not sufficient to save them from unconstitutionality. In [Planned Parenthood](#), supra, we struck down a requirement for spousal consent to an elective abortion which the Court characterizes today simply as an "absolute obstacle" to a woman's obtaining an abortion. Ante, at 2382. But the obstacle was "absolute" only in the limited sense that a woman who was unable to persuade her spouse to agree to an elective abortion was prevented from obtaining one. Any woman whose husband agreed, or could be persuaded to agree, was free to obtain an abortion, and the State never imposed directly any prohibition of its own. This requirement was qualitatively different from the criminal statutes that the Court today says are comparable, but we nevertheless found it unconstitutional.

Most recently, also in a privacy case, the Court squarely reaffirmed that the right of privacy was fundamental, and that an infringement upon that right must be justified by a compelling state interest. [Carey v. Population Services International](#), 431 U.S. 678, 97 S.Ct. 2010, 52 L.Ed.2d 675 (1977). That case struck down in its entirety a New York law forbidding the sale of contraceptives to minors under 16 years old, limiting persons who could sell contraceptives to pharmacists, and forbidding advertisement \*487 and display of contraceptives. There was no New York law forbidding use of contraceptives by anyone, including minors under 16, and therefore no "absolute" prohibition against the exercise of the fundamental right. Nevertheless the statute was declared unconstitutional as a burden on the right to privacy. In words that apply fully to Connecticut's statute, and that could hardly be more explicit, Carey stated: " 'Compelling' is of course the key word; where a decision as fundamental as that whether to bear or beget a child is involved, regulations

imposing a burden on it may be justified only by compelling state interests, and must be narrowly drawn to express only those interests.” *Id.*, at 686, 97 S.Ct., at 2017. Carey relied specifically upon *Roe*, *Doe*, and *Planned Parenthood*, and interpreted them in a way flatly inconsistent with the Court's interpretation today: “The significance of these cases is that they establish that the same test must be applied to state regulations that burden an individual's right to decide to prevent conception or terminate pregnancy by substantially limiting access to the means of effectuating that decision as is applied to state statutes that prohibit the decision entirely.” 431 U.S., at 688, 97 S.Ct., at 2018.

Finally, cases involving other fundamental rights also make clear that the Court's concept of what constitutes an impermissible infringement upon the fundamental right of a pregnant woman to choose to have an abortion makes new law. We have repeatedly found that infringements of fundamental rights are not limited to outright denials of those rights. First Amendment decisions have consistently held in a wide variety of contexts that the compelling-state-interest test is applicable not only to outright denials but also to restraints that make exercise of those rights more difficult. See, e. g., *Sherbert v. Verner*, 374 U.S. 398, 83 S.Ct. 1790, 10 L.Ed.2d 965 (1963) (free exercise of religion); *NAACP v. Button*, 371 U.S. 415, 83 S.Ct. 328, 9 L.Ed.2d 405 (1963) (freedom of expression \*488 and association), *Linmark Associates v. Township of Willingboro*, 431 U.S. 85, 97 S.Ct. 1614, 52 L.Ed.2d 155 (1977) (freedom of expression). The compelling-state-interest test has been applied in voting cases, even where only relatively small infringements upon voting power, such as dilution of voting strength caused by malapportionment, have been involved. See, e. g., *Reynolds v. Sims*, 377 U.S. 533, 562, 566, 84 S.Ct. 1362, 1382, 1384, 12 L.Ed.2d 506 (1964); *Chapman v. Meier*, 420 U.S. 1, 95 S.Ct. 751, 42 L.Ed.2d 766 (1975); \*\*2390 *Connor v. Finch*, 431 U.S. 407, 97 S.Ct. 1828, 52 L.Ed.2d 465 (1977). Similarly, cases involving the right to travel have consistently held that statutes penalizing the fundamental right to travel must pass muster under the compelling-state-interest test, irrespective of whether the statutes actually deter travel. *Memorial Hospital v. Maricopa County*, 415 U.S. 250, 257-258, 94 S.Ct. 1076, 1081-1082, 39 L.Ed.2d 306 (1974); *Dunn v. Blumstein*, 405 U.S. 330, 339-341, 92 S.Ct. 995, 1001-1002, 31 L.Ed.2d 274 (1972); *Shapiro v. Thompson*, 394 U.S. 618, 89 S.Ct. 1322, 22 L.Ed.2d 600 (1969). And indigents asserting a fundamental right of access to the courts have been excused payment of entry costs without being required first to show that their indigency was an absolute bar to access. *Griffin v. Illinois*, 351 U.S. 12, 76 S.Ct. 585, 100 L.Ed. 891 (1956); *Douglas*

*v. California*, 372 U.S. 353, 83 S.Ct. 814, 9 L.Ed.2d 811 (1963); *Boddie v. Connecticut*, 401 U.S. 371, 91 S.Ct. 780, 28 L.Ed.2d 113 (1971).

Until today, I had not thought the nature of the fundamental right established in *Roe* was open to question, let alone susceptible of the interpretation advanced by the Court. The fact that the Connecticut scheme may not operate as an absolute bar preventing all indigent women from having abortions is not critical. What is critical is that the State has inhibited their fundamental right to make that choice free from state interference.

Nor does the manner in which Connecticut has burdened the right freely to choose to have an abortion save its Medicaid program. The Connecticut scheme cannot be distinguished from other grants and withholdings of financial benefits that we have held unconstitutionally burdened a fundamental right. *Sherbert v. Verner*, *supra*, struck down a South Carolina statute that denied unemployment compensation to a woman who for religious reasons could not \*489 work on Saturday, but that would have provided such compensation if her unemployment had stemmed from a number of other nonreligious causes. Even though there was no proof of indigency in that case, *Sherbert* held that “the pressure upon her to forgo (her religious) practice (was) unmistakable,” 374 U.S., at 404, 83 S.Ct., at 1799, and therefore held that the effect was the same as a fine imposed for Saturday worship. Here, though the burden is upon the right to privacy derived from the Due Process Clause and not upon freedom of religion under the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment, the governing principle is the same, for Connecticut grants and withholds financial benefits in a manner that discourages significantly the exercise of a fundamental constitutional right. Indeed, the case for application of the principle actually is stronger than in *Verner* since appellees are all indigents and therefore even more vulnerable to the financial pressures imposed by the Connecticut regulation.

*Bellotti v. Baird*, 428 U.S. 132, 147, 96 S.Ct. 2857, 2866, 49 L.Ed.2d 844 (1976), held, and the Court today agrees, ante, at 2382, that a state requirement is unconstitutional if it “unduly burdens the right to seek an abortion.” Connecticut has “unduly” burdened the fundamental right of pregnant women to be free to choose to have an abortion because the State has advanced no compelling state interest to justify its interference in that choice.

Although appellant does not argue it as justification, the Court concludes that the State's interest “in protecting the potential

life of the fetus” suffices, ante, at 2385. \* Since only the first trimester of pregnancy is involved in this case, that justification is totally foreclosed if the Court is not overruling \*490 the holding of Roe v. Wade that \*\*2391 “(w)ith respect to the State’s important and legitimate interest in potential life, the ‘compelling’ point is at viability,” occurring at about the end of the second trimester. 410 U.S., at 163, 93 S.Ct., at 732. The appellant also argues a further justification not relied upon by the Court, namely, that the State needs “to control the amount of its limited public funds which will be allocated to its public welfare budget.” Brief for Appellant 22. The District Court correctly held, however, that the asserted interest was “wholly chimerical” because the “state’s assertion that it saves money when it declines to pay the cost of a welfare mother’s abortion is simply contrary to undisputed facts.” 408 F.Supp., at 664.

Finally, the reasons that render the Connecticut regulation unconstitutional also render invalid, in my view, the requirement of a prior written certification by the woman’s attending physician that the abortion is “medically necessary,” and the requirement that the hospital submit a Request for Authorization of Professional Services including a “statement indicating the medical need for the abortion.” Brief for Appellees 2-3. For the same reasons, I would also strike down the requirement for prior authorization of payment by the Connecticut Department of Social Services.

#### All Citations

432 U.S. 464, 97 S.Ct. 2376, 53 L.Ed.2d 484

### Footnotes

\* The syllabus constitutes no part of the opinion of the Court but has been prepared by the Reporter of Decisions for the convenience of the reader. See [United States v. Detroit Timber & Lumber Co.](#), 200 U.S. 321, 337, 26 S.Ct. 282, 287, 50 L.Ed. 499.

1 The procedures governing abortions beyond the first trimester are not challenged here.

2 Section 275 provides in relevant part:

“The Department makes payment for abortion services under the Medical Assistance (Title XIX) Program when the following conditions are met:

“1. In the opinion of the attending physician the abortion is medically necessary. The term ‘Medically Necessary’ includes psychiatric necessity.

“2. The abortion is to be performed in an accredited hospital or licensed clinic when the patient is in the first trimester of pregnancy. . . .

“3. The written request for the abortion is submitted by the patient, and in the case of a minor, from the parent or guardian.

“4. Prior authorization for the abortion is secured from the Chief of Medical Services, Division of Health Services, Department of Social Services.”

See n. 4, *infra*.

3 At the time this action was filed, Mary Poe, a 16-year-old high school junior, had already obtained an abortion at a Connecticut hospital. Apparently because of Poe’s inability to obtain a certificate of medical necessity,

the hospital was denied reimbursement by the Department of Social Services. As a result, Poe was being pressed to pay the hospital bill of \$244. Susan Roe, an unwed mother of three children, was unable to obtain an abortion because of her physician's refusal to certify that the procedure was medically necessary. By consent, a temporary restraining order was entered by the District Court enjoining the Connecticut officials from refusing to pay for Roe's abortion. After the remand from the Court of Appeals, the District Court issued temporary restraining orders covering three additional women. [Roe v. Norton](#), 408 F.Supp. 660, 663 (1975).

4 The District Court's judgment and order, entered on January 16, 1976, were not stayed. On January 26, 1976, the Department of Social Services revised s 275 to allow reimbursement for nontherapeutic abortions without prior authorization or consent. The fact that this revision was made retroactive to January 16, 1976, suggests that the revision was made only for the purpose of interim compliance with the District Court's judgment and order, which were entered the same date. No suggestion of mootness has been made by any of the parties, and this appeal was taken and submitted on the theory that Connecticut desires to reinstate the invalidated regulation. Under these circumstances, the subsequent revision of the regulation does not render the case moot. In any event, there would remain the denial of reimbursement to Mary Poe, and similarly situated members of the class, under the prerevision regulation. See 380 F.Supp., at 730 n. 3. The State has asserted no Eleventh Amendment defense to this relief sought by Poe and those whom she represents.

5 [Boddie v. Connecticut](#), 401 U.S. 371, 91 S.Ct. 780, 28 L.Ed.2d 113 (1971), cited by appellees, is not to the contrary. There the Court invalidated under the Due Process Clause "certain state procedures for the commencement of litigation, including requirements for payment of court fees and costs for service of process," restricting the ability of indigent persons to bring an action for divorce. *Id.*, at 372, 91 S.Ct., at 783. The Court held:

"(G)iven the basic position of the marriage relationship in this society's hierarchy of values and the concomitant state monopolization of the means for legally dissolving this relationship, due process does prohibit a State from denying, solely because of inability to pay, access to its courts to individuals who seek judicial dissolution of their marriages." *Id.*, at 374, 91 S.Ct., at 784.

Because Connecticut has made no attempt to monopolize the means for terminating pregnancies through abortion the present case is easily distinguished from *Boddie*. See also [United States v. Kras](#), 409 U.S. 434, 93 S.Ct. 631, 34 L.Ed.2d 626 (1973); [Ortwein v. Schwab](#), 410 U.S. 656, 93 S.Ct. 1172, 35 L.Ed.2d 572 (1973).

6 In cases such as [Griffin v. Illinois](#), 351 U.S. 12, 76 S.Ct. 585, 100 L.Ed. 891 (1956) and [Douglas v. California](#), 372 U.S. 353, 83 S.Ct. 814, 9 L.Ed.2d 811 (1963), the Court held that the Equal Protection Clause requires States that allow appellate review of criminal convictions to provide indigent defendants with trial transcripts and appellate counsel. These cases are grounded in the criminal justice system, a governmental monopoly in which participation is compelled. Cf. n. 5, *supra*. Our subsequent decisions have made it clear that the principles underlying *Griffin* and *Douglas* do not extend to legislative classifications generally.

7 A woman has at least an equal right to choose to carry her fetus to term as to choose to abort it. Indeed, the right of procreation without state interference has long been recognized as "one of the basic civil rights of man . . . fundamental to the very existence and survival of the race." [Skinner v. Oklahoma, ex rel. Williamson](#), 316 U.S. 535, 541, 62 S.Ct. 1110, 1113, 86 L.Ed. 1655 (1942).

8 Appellees rely on [Shapiro v. Thompson](#), 394 U.S. 618, 89 S.Ct. 1322, 22 L.Ed.2d 600 (1969), and [Memorial Hospital v. Maricopa County](#), 415 U.S. 250, 94 S.Ct. 1076, 39 L.Ed.2d 306 (1974). In those cases durational residence requirements for the receipt of public benefits were found to be unconstitutional because they "penalized" the exercise of the constitutional right to travel interstate.

Appellees' reliance on the penalty analysis of Shapiro and Maricopa County is misplaced. In our view there is only a semantic difference between appellees' assertion that the Connecticut law unduly interferes with a woman's right to terminate her pregnancy and their assertion that it penalizes the exercise of that right. Penalties are most familiar to the criminal law, where criminal sanctions are imposed as a consequence of proscribed conduct. Shapiro and Maricopa County recognized that denial of welfare to one who had recently exercised the right to travel across state lines was sufficiently analogous to a criminal fine to justify strict judicial scrutiny.

If Connecticut denied general welfare benefits to all women who had obtained abortions and who were otherwise entitled to the benefits, we would have a close analogy to the facts in Shapiro, and strict scrutiny might be appropriate under either the penalty analysis or the analysis we have applied in our previous abortion decisions. But the claim here is that the State "penalizes" the woman's decision to have an abortion by refusing to pay for it. Shapiro and Maricopa County did not hold that States would penalize the right to travel interstate by refusing to pay the bus fares of the indigent travelers. We find no support in the right-to-travel cases for the view that Connecticut must show a compelling interest for its decision not to fund elective abortions.

*Sherbert v. Verner*, 374 U.S. 398, 83 S.Ct. 1790, 10 L.Ed.2d 965 (1963), similarly is inapplicable here. In addition, that case was decided in the significantly different context of a constitutionally imposed "governmental obligation of neutrality" originating in the Establishment and Freedom of Religion Clauses of the First Amendment. *Id.*, at 409, 83 S.Ct., at 1797.

- 9 In *Buckley v. Valeo*, 424 U.S. 1, 96 S.Ct. 612, 46 L.Ed.2d 659 (1976), we drew this distinction in sustaining the public financing of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971. The Act provided public funds to some candidates but not to others. We rejected an asserted analogy to cases such as *American Party of Texas v. White*, 415 U.S. 767, 94 S.Ct. 1296, 39 L.Ed.2d 744 (1974), which involved restrictions on access to the electoral process:

"These cases, however, dealt primarily with state laws requiring a candidate to satisfy certain requirements in order to have his name appear on the ballot. These were, of course, direct burdens not only on the candidate's ability to run for office but also on the voter's ability to voice preferences regarding representative government and contemporary issues. In contrast, the denial of public financing to some Presidential candidates is not restrictive of voters' rights and less restrictive of candidates'. Subtitle H does not prevent any candidate from getting on the ballot or any voter from casting a vote for the candidate of his choice; the inability, if any, of minority party candidates to wage effective campaigns will derive not from lack of public funding but from their inability to raise private contributions. Any disadvantage suffered by operation of the eligibility formulae under Subtitle H is thus limited to the claimed denial of the enhancement of opportunity to communicate with the electorate that the formulae afford eligible candidates." 424 U.S., at 94-95, 96 S.Ct., at 670-671 (emphasis added; footnote omitted).

- 10 In his dissenting opinion, Mr. Justice Brennan rejects the distinction between direct state interference with a protected activity and state encouragement of an alternative activity and argues that our previous abortion decisions are inconsistent with today's decision. But as stated above, all of those decisions involved laws that placed substantial state-created obstacles in the pregnant woman's path to an abortion. Our recent decision in *Carey v. Population Services International*, 431 U.S. 678, 97 S.Ct. 2010, 52 L.Ed.2d 675 (1977) differs only in that it involved state-created restrictions on access to contraceptives, rather than abortions. Mr. Justice Brennan simply asserts that the Connecticut regulation "is an obvious impairment of the fundamental right established by *Roe v. Wade*." Post, at 2388. The only suggested source for this purportedly "obvious" conclusion is a quotation from *Singleton v. Wulff*, 428 U.S. 106, 96 S.Ct. 2868, 49 L.Ed.2d 826 (1976). Yet, as Mr. Justice Blackmun was careful to note at the beginning of his opinion in *Singleton*, that case presented "issues (of standing) not going to the merits of this dispute." *Id.*, at 108, 96 S.Ct., at 2871. Significantly, Mr.

Justice Brennan makes no effort to distinguish or explain the much more analogous authority of [Norwood v. Harrison](#), 413 U.S. 455, 93 S.Ct. 2804, 37 L.Ed.2d 723 (1973).

- 11 In addition to the direct interest in protecting the fetus, a State may have legitimate demographic concerns about its rate of population growth. Such concerns are basic to the future of the State and in some circumstances could constitute a substantial reason for departure from a position of neutrality between abortion and childbirth.
- 12 See generally Wilkinson, *The Supreme Court, the Equal Protection Clause, and the Three Faces of Constitutional Equality*, 61 Va.L.Rev. 945, 998-1017 (1975).
- 13 Much of the rhetoric of the three dissenting opinions would be equally applicable if Connecticut had elected not to fund either abortions or childbirth. Yet none of the dissents goes so far as to argue that the Constitution requires such assistance for all indigent pregnant women.
- \* The Court also suggests, ante, at 2385 n. 11, that a “State may have legitimate demographic concerns about its rate of population growth” which might justify a choice to favor live births over abortions. While it is conceivable that under some circumstances this might be an appropriate factor to be considered as part of a State’s “compelling” interest, no one contends that this is the case here, or indeed that Connecticut has any demographic concerns at all about the rate of its population growth.















## Filings (5)

Title	PDF	Court	Date	Type
<b>1. Brief of Appellees</b> Edward W. MAHER, Commissioner of Social Services of the State of Connecticut, Appellant, v. Susan ROE, et al., Appellees. 1976 WL 181642	—	U.S.	Sep. 28, 1976	Brief
<b>2. Brief of Jane Doe, Amicus Curiae</b> Edward W. MAHER, Commissioner of Social Services of the State of Connecticut, Appellant, v. Susan ROE, et al., Appellee. 1976 WL 181646	—	U.S.	Sep. 27, 1976	Brief
<b>3. Motion for Leave to File Brief Amici Curiae and Annexed Brief of the American Public Health Association, Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc., the National Organization for Women and Certain Medical School Deans, Professors and Individual Physicians</b> Edward W. MAHER, Commissioner of Social Services of Connecticut, Defendant-Appellant, v. Susan ROE, et al., Plaintiff-Appellee. (No. 75-1440) John H. Poelker, etc., et al., Defendants-Petitioners, v. Jane Doe, etc., Plaintiff-Respondent. (No. 75-442) 1976 WL 181644	—	U.S.	Sep. 17, 1976	Brief
<b>4. Brief of the Appellant</b> Edward W. MAHER, Commissioner of Social Services of the State of Connecticut, Appellant, v. Susan ROE, et als., Appellee. 1976 WL 181641	—	U.S.	Aug. 25, 1976	Brief
<b>5. Amicus Curiae Brief of the State of New Jersey</b> Edward W. MAHER, Commissioner of Social Services of the State of Connecticut, Appellant, v. Susan ROE, et al., Appellees. 1976 WL 181643	—	U.S.	Aug. 16, 1976	Brief













**Negative Treatment**





**Negative Citing References (16)**

The KeyCited document has been negatively referenced by the following events or decisions in other litigation or proceedings:

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Disagreement Recognized by	 1. <a href="#">K.P. v. LeBlanc</a> <b>MOST NEGATIVE</b> 729 F.3d 427 , 5th Cir.(La.) FAMILY LAW - Abortion. State law excluding abortion from coverage in state malpractice fund did not place a substantial obstacle on right to obtain an abortion.	Sep. 04, 2013	Case		—
Called into Doubt by	 2. <a href="#">Greenville Women's Clinic v. Bryant</a> 222 F.3d 157 , 4th Cir.(S.C.) FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Regulation establishing standards for licensing abortion clinics did not violate due process.	Aug. 15, 2000	Case		—
Declined to Extend by	 3. <a href="#">Colorado Christian University v. Weaver</a> ” 534 F.3d 1245 , 10th Cir.(Colo.) EDUCATION - Religion. Colorado's exclusion of “pervasively sectarian” institutions from scholarship program violated First Amendment.	July 23, 2008	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	 4. <a href="#">Rush v. Parham</a> 440 F.Supp. 383 , N.D.Ga. Plaintiff, an individual eligible for medicaid coverage, brought action for declaratory, injunctive, and mandamus relief and damages on claim of improper denial of plaintiff's...	Aug. 02, 1977	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	 5. <a href="#">Planned Parenthood League of Massachusetts v. Bellotti</a> 641 F.2d 1006 , 1st Cir.(Mass.) Class action for declaratory and injunctive relief was brought challenging constitutionality of Massachusetts abortion statute. The United States District Court for the District of...	Feb. 09, 1981	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	6. <a href="#">Nyberg v. City of Virginia</a> ” 667 F.2d 754 , 8th Cir.(Minn.) City appealed from an order of the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, Donald D. Alsop, J., refusing to vacate an earlier injunction barring a city from...	Jan. 11, 1982	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	  7. <a href="#">Planned Parenthood Ass'n Chicago Area v. Kempiners</a> ” 568 F.Supp. 1490 , N.D.Ill. Organization providing abortion counseling and referral services brought action challenging constitutionality of state statute denying it state funds under program dealing with...	Aug. 09, 1983	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.



Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Distinguished by	 8. <a href="#">Gilliard v. Kirk</a> 633 F.Supp. 1529 , W.D.N.C. Children of low income mothers sought to enjoin state and federal officials from enforcing child support attribution regulations imposed for aid to families with dependent children...	May 07, 1986	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	  9. <a href="#">Planned Parenthood Federation of America v. Bowen</a> ” 680 F.Supp. 1465 , D.Colo. Family planning services and physicians brought action seeking preliminary injunction against Secretary of Health and Human Services' promulgation of regulations prohibiting...	Feb. 25, 1988	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	 10. <a href="#">Lipscomb By and Through DeFehr v. Simmons</a> 884 F.2d 1242 , 9th Cir.(Or.) Children in foster care brought action challenging Oregon statutory scheme by which foster children living with relatives did not receive state funds, while children living with...	Sep. 07, 1989	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	 11. <a href="#">Com. of Mass. v. Secretary of Health and Human Services</a> ” 899 F.2d 53 , 1st Cir.(Mass.) Action was brought challenging regulations which altered interpretation of Title X by prohibiting nondirective abortion counseling or referral and by enlarging the degree of...	Mar. 19, 1990	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	 12. <a href="#">Planned Parenthood Federation of America v. Sullivan</a> 913 F.2d 1492 , 10th Cir.(Colo.) Family planning services and physicians brought action seeking to enjoin Secretary of Health and Human Services from enforcing regulations prohibiting counseling and referral for...	Sep. 06, 1990	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	13. <a href="#">Kenny A. v. Perdue</a> 2004 WL 5503780 , N.D.Ga. This action is before the Court on State Defendants' motion for summary judgment and motion to exclude reports and testimony of plaintiffs' experts. For the following reasons, the...	Dec. 13, 2004	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	14. <a href="#">NRG Energy, Inc. v. Crotty</a> 795 N.Y.S.2d 129 , N.Y.A.D. 3 Dept. ENVIRONMENTAL LAW - Clean Air. Appeals of suits challenging Acid Deposition Reduction Program (ADRP) regulations were moot.	May 05, 2005	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by	15. <a href="#">American Civil Liberties Union of Kansas and Western Missouri v. Praeger</a> ” 917 F.Supp.2d 1179 , D.Kan.	Jan. 07, 2013	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.


Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
	FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether Kansas law imposed substantial obstacle on women's right to an abortion.				
Distinguished by	 16. <a href="#">Planned Parenthood of Greater Ohio v. Himes</a>  888 F.3d 224 , 6th Cir.(Ohio) CIVIL RIGHTS — Free Speech. Ohio statute prohibiting disbursement of federal funding to entities that provided abortions violated First Amendment free speech rights.	Apr. 18, 2018	Case		 4 S.Ct.

## History (6)

### Direct History (6)

 1. [Roe v. Norton](#)  
380 F.Supp. 726 , D.Conn. , June 12, 1974

*Judgment Reversed by*

 2. [Roe v. Norton](#)  
522 F.2d 928 , 2nd Cir.(Conn.) , July 31, 1975

*On Remand to*

 3. [Roe v. Norton](#)  
408 F.Supp. 660 , D.Conn. , Dec. 31, 1975



*Probable Jurisdiction Noted by*


4. [Maher v. Roe](#)  
428 U.S. 908 , U.S.Conn. , July 06, 1976

*AND Stay Denied by*

5. [Maher v. Roe](#)  
429 U.S. 935 , U.S.Conn. , Nov. 08, 1976


























*AND Judgment Reversed by*















 6. [Maher v. Roe](#)   
432 U.S. 464 , U.S.Conn. , June 20, 1977



Citing References (500)

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>1. Planned Parenthood of Greater Ohio v. Himes</b> ¶ 888 F.3d 224, 231+ , 6th Cir.(Ohio) CIVIL RIGHTS — Free Speech. Ohio statute prohibiting disbursement of federal funding to entities that provided abortions violated First Amendment free speech rights.	Apr. 18, 2018	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>2. Com. of Mass. v. Secretary of Health and Human Services</b> ¶ 899 F.2d 53, 55+ , 1st Cir.(Mass.) Action was brought challenging regulations which altered interpretation of Title X by prohibiting nondirective abortion counseling or referral and by enlarging the degree of...	Mar. 19, 1990	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>3. Planned Parenthood Federation of America v. Bowen</b> ¶ 680 F.Supp. 1465, 1473+ , D.Colo. Family planning services and physicians brought action seeking preliminary injunction against Secretary of Health and Human Services' promulgation of regulations prohibiting...	Feb. 25, 1988	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>4. Nyberg v. City of Virginia</b> ¶ 667 F.2d 754, 756+ , 8th Cir.(Minn.) City appealed from an order of the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, Donald D. Alsop, J., refusing to vacate an earlier injunction barring a city from...	Jan. 11, 1982	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>5. Bray v. Alexandria Women's Health Clinic</b> ¶ 113 S.Ct. 753, 761+ , U.S.Va. ABORTION - Conspiracy to Deprive. Obstructing access to abortion clinics did not qualify as class-based, invidiously discriminatory animus to deprive women of right to abortion or...	Jan. 13, 1993	Case		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>6. Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey</b> ¶ 112 S.Ct. 2791, 2819+ , U.S.Pa. Abortion clinics and physician challenged, on due process grounds, the constitutionality of the 1988 and 1989 amendments to the Pennsylvania abortion statute. The United States...	June 29, 1992	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>7. Rust v. Sullivan</b> ¶ 111 S.Ct. 1759, 1763+ , U.S.N.Y. Recipients of family planning funds under Title X of the Public Health Service Act and doctors who supervised Title X funds brought two suits challenging regulations of the...	May 23, 1991	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	<p> <b>8. Webster v. Reproductive Health Services</b> </p> <p>109 S.Ct. 3040, 3042+ , U.S.Mo.</p> <p>State-employed health care professionals and facilities offering abortion counseling and services brought class action seeking declaration and injunctive relief challenging...</p>	July 03, 1989	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>9. Thornburgh v. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists</b> </p> <p>106 S.Ct. 2169, 2190+ , U.S.Pa.</p> <p>Action was brought challenging constitutionality of Pennsylvania Abortion Control Act. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Daniel H....</p>	June 11, 1986	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>10. City of Akron v. Akron Center for Reproductive Health, Inc.</b> </p> <p>103 S.Ct. 2481, 2487+ , U.S.Ohio</p> <p>Three abortion clinics and a physician brought suit challenging the validity of Akron, Ohio, abortion ordinance. The United States District Court for the Northern District of...</p>	June 15, 1983	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p><b>11. Harris v. McRae</b></p> <p>100 S.Ct. 2701, 2702+ , U.S.</p> <p>For opinions of the Court, see 100 S.Ct. 2671.</p>	June 30, 1980	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>12. Harris v. McRae</b> </p> <p>100 S.Ct. 2671, 2678+ , U.S.N.Y.</p> <p>Action was brought challenging statutory and constitutional validity of the Hyde Amendment, which severely limits use of federal funds to reimburse cost of abortions under medicaid...</p>	June 30, 1980	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p>  <b>13. Com. of Mass. v. Secretary of Health and Human Services</b> </p> <p>873 F.2d 1528, 1539+ , 1st Cir.(Mass.)</p> <p>This appeal arises out of a challenge to new regulations promulgated under Title X of the Public Health Service Act, 42 U.S.C. § 300 et seq. by appellant Secretary of Health and...</p>	May 08, 1989	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p>  <b>14. State of N.Y. v. Sullivan</b> </p> <p>889 F.2d 401, 410+ , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.)</p> <p>Family planning grantees and others brought suit challenging final regulations promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services that prohibit grantees from counseling...</p>	Nov. 01, 1989	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>15. Monmouth County Correctional Institutional Inmates v. Lanzaro</b>  </p> <p>834 F.2d 326, 340+ , 3rd Cir.(N.J.)</p> <p>Inmates of correctional institution brought class action against officials and county and state defendants alleging county's policies and practices denied pregnant inmates...</p>	Nov. 25, 1987	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>























Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	<p> <b>16. Whole Woman's Health v. Cole</b> ”</p> <p>790 F.3d 563, 569+ , 5th Cir.(Tex.)</p> <p>FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Texas statute requiring abortion facilities to satisfy standards for ambulatory surgical centers was not facially unconstitutional.</p>	June 09, 2015	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>17. Deerfield Medical Center v. City of Deerfield Beach</b> ”</p> <p>661 F.2d 328, 334+ , 5th Cir.(Fla.)</p> <p>A city commission denied application for an occupational license to open an abortion facility in area zoned for business operations. The United States District Court for the...</p>	Nov. 13, 1981	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>18. Akron Center for Reproductive Health, Inc. v. City of Akron</b> ”</p> <p>651 F.2d 1198, 1202+ , 6th Cir.(Ohio)</p> <p>Three corporations operating abortion clinics and Cincinnati physician who had performed abortions in one clinic brought action challenging validity of Akron, Ohio, abortion...</p>	June 12, 1981	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>19. Reproductive Health Service v. Webster</b></p> <p>851 F.2d 1071, 1080+ , 8th Cir.(Mo.)</p> <p>Health care professionals and facilities offering abortion counseling and services and pregnant women seeking abortion services or pregnancy counseling brought class action seeking...</p>	July 13, 1988	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>20. Reproductive Health Services v. Freeman</b> ”</p> <p>614 F.2d 585, 589+ , 8th Cir.(Mo.)</p> <p>Physicians and medical clinic financially injured by Missouri regulation restricting expenditure of federal and state funds for abortions sought declaratory and injunctive relief...</p>	Jan. 09, 1980	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>21. Planned Parenthood of Cent. and Northern Arizona v. State of Ariz.</b> ”</p> <p>718 F.2d 938, 943+ , 9th Cir.(Ariz.)</p> <p>Family-planning entities in Arizona brought action challenging constitutionality of the footnote to Arizona appropriation bill that forbade expenditure of state social welfare...</p>	Oct. 18, 1983	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>22. DKT Memorial Fund Ltd. v. Agency for Intern. Development</b> ”</p> <p>887 F.2d 275, 288+ , D.C.Cir.</p> <p>Domestic and foreign nongovernment family planning organizations brought declaratory suit challenging Agency for International Development's antiabortion funding policy. The...</p>	Oct. 10, 1989	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	<b>23. Planned Parenthood Southeast, Inc. v. Strange</b> ¶ 9 F.Supp.3d 1272, 1281+ , M.D.Ala. FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Triable issues existed as to whether doctors at three abortion clinics would be able to gain admitting privileges at local hospitals.	Mar. 31, 2014	Case		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>24. Women's Health Services, Inc. v. Maher</b> ¶ 482 F.Supp. 725, 728+ , D.Conn. A class action was brought challenging the validity of a Connecticut medicaid regulation permitting payment for abortion services only in cases where the life of the mother would...	Jan. 07, 1980	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>25. Planned Parenthood Ass'n-Chicago Area v. Kempiners</b> ¶ 531 F.Supp. 320, 324+ , N.D.Ill. Association brought action seeking declaratory and injunctive relief against Illinois statutes denying association eligibility for grants of state funds under state program...	Nov. 23, 1981	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>26. Zbaraz v. Quern</b> ¶ 469 F.Supp. 1212, 1217+ , N.D.Ill. Class action was instituted to enjoin enforcement of Illinois statute imposing restrictions on public funding of medically necessary abortions. On cross motions for summary...	Apr. 29, 1979	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>27. Wynn v. Scott</b> ¶ 449 F.Supp. 1302, 1307+ , N.D.Ill. In consolidated class actions questioning the constitutionality of the Illinois Abortion Act of 1975, a three-judge District Court, Marshall, J., held that certain sections of the...	Apr. 12, 1978	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>28. Frieman v. Walsh</b> ¶ 481 F.Supp. 137, 144+ , W.D.Mo. Action was brought to challenge Missouri's scheme for limiting public funding of abortions. The District Court, Elmo B. Hunter, J., held that: (1) the state of Missouri, by...	Jan. 26, 1979	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>29. Womens Services, P.C. v. Thone</b> ¶ 483 F.Supp. 1022, 1032+ , D.Neb. Various plaintiffs including a corporation which provided facilities and support staff for physicians to perform abortions brought suit to challenge the constitutionality of the...	Nov. 09, 1979	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Examined by	<b>30. McRae v. Califano</b> ¶ 491 F.Supp. 630, 634+ , E.D.N.Y. Action was brought challenging validity of Hyde amendment limiting medicaid funding for abortions. The District Court, 421 F.Supp. 533, enjoined enforcement of the Amendment. The...	Jan. 15, 1980	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.






























Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	<p> <b>31. West Side Women's Services, Inc. v. City of Cleveland, Ohio</b> ¶</p> <p>573 F.Supp. 504, 513+ , N.D.Ohio</p> <p>Action was brought challenging constitutionality of city ordinance prohibiting establishing abortion clinics in local retail business districts. The District Court, Frank J....</p>	Oct. 21, 1983	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p><b>32. National Educ. Ass'n of Rhode Island v. Garrahy</b> ¶</p> <p>598 F.Supp. 1374, 1379+ , D.R.I.</p> <p>In a consolidated action, plaintiffs sought permanent declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to Section 1983 against enforcement of Rhode Island statutes which regulated the...</p>	Dec. 03, 1984	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>33. Planned Parenthood of Rhode Island v. Board of Medical Review</b> ¶</p> <p>598 F.Supp. 625, 630+ , D.R.I.</p> <p>Rhode Island physicians whose practice involved performing abortions brought class action challenging constitutional validity of Rhode Island statute which required a physician...</p>	Nov. 19, 1984	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>34. D _____ R _____ v. Mitchell</b> ¶</p> <p>456 F.Supp. 609, 610+ , D.Utah</p> <p>A class civil rights action was brought seeking injunctive and declaratory relief on claim of invalidity of Utah statute limiting funding of abortions by medicaid recipients. The...</p>	June 30, 1978	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p><b>35. Peck v. Califano</b> ¶</p> <p>454 F.Supp. 484, 486+ , D.Utah</p> <p>Plaintiff brought action challenging federal regulatory moratorium on provision of sterilization services through medicaid to persons under 21 years of age. The District Court,...</p>	June 30, 1977	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>36. Doe v. Percy</b> ¶</p> <p>476 F.Supp. 324, 326+ , W.D.Wis.</p> <p>Indigent pregnant woman who was denied assistance under Wisconsin's medical assistance program brought action claiming that statute providing medical assistance funds for certain...</p>	Sep. 13, 1979	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>37. Doe v. Mundy</b> ¶</p> <p>441 F.Supp. 447, 449+ , E.D.Wis.</p> <p>Action was brought seeking declaratory and injunctive relief against policy of county hospital precluding performance of elective abortions. The District Court, Myron L. Gordon,...</p>	Sep. 02, 1977	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p>  <b>38. West Virginia Ass'n of Community Health Centers, Inc. v. Sullivan</b> ¶</p> <p>737 F.Supp. 929, 943+ , S.D.W.Va.</p> <p>Class of organizations receiving Title X assistance from West Virginia Department of Health brought action to enjoin the Department of Health and Human Services from implementing...</p>	Mar. 01, 1990	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>

















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	<p> <b>39. Simat Corp. v. Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System</b> ”</p> <p>56 P.3d 28, 33+ , Ariz.</p> <p>CIVIL RIGHTS - Equal Protection. State had to fund abortions for indigent women whose health was in danger.</p>	Oct. 22, 2002	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>40. Committee To Defend Reproductive Rights v. Myers</b> ”</p> <p>172 Cal.Rptr. 866, 895+ , Cal.</p> <p>Various welfare and health care rights organizations, and others, brought suit against the Director of the State Health Department, challenging implementation of provisions of the...</p>	Mar. 20, 1981	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">5</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>41. Committee to Defend Reproductive Rights v. Myers</b> ”</p> <p>156 Cal.Rptr. 73, 78+ , Cal.App. 1 Dist.</p> <p>Various welfare and health care rights organizations, and others, brought suit against Director of the State Health Department, challenging implementation of provisions of the...</p>	May 29, 1979	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">5</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>42. Doe v. Department of Social Services</b> ”</p> <p>487 N.W.2d 166, 170+ , Mich.</p> <p>Minor eligible for medical assistance payments under state Medicaid program and her mother, who was also eligible for assistance, brought action challenging constitutionality of...</p>	June 09, 1992	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>43. Doe v. Director of Dept. of Social Services</b> ”</p> <p>468 N.W.2d 862, 874+ , Mich.App.</p> <p>Minor eligible for medical assistance payments under the state Medicaid program and her mother, who was also eligible for assistance, sought assistance to pay for minor's abortion....</p>	Feb. 19, 1991	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>44. Women of State of Minn. by Doe v. Gomez</b> ”</p> <p>542 N.W.2d 17, 22+ , Minn.</p> <p>Women, physicians, financial aid organization, and providers of abortion and counseling services sought declaratory and injunctive relief against state and counties, challenging...</p>	Dec. 15, 1995	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">5</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>45. Fischer v. Department of Public Welfare</b> ”</p> <p>502 A.2d 114, 118+ , Pa.</p> <p>Action was brought challenging constitutionality of statutes dealing with public funding of abortions. The Commonwealth Court, No. 283 C.D. 1981, 85 Pa.Cmwlt. 215, 482 A.2d...</p>	Dec. 05, 1985	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	<p> <b>46. Fischer v. Com., Dept. of Public Welfare</b> </p> <p>482 A.2d 1148, 1154+ , Pa.Cmwlt. </p> <p>Action was brought seeking declaratory and injunctive relief which would prohibit implementation of statutes dealing with public funding of abortion. The Commonwealth Court, No....</p>	Sep. 20, 1984	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>47. Bell v. Low Income Women of Texas</b> </p> <p>95 S.W.3d 253, 262+ , Tex. </p> <p>FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Restrictions on funding of abortions for indigent women were not unconstitutional.</p>	Dec. 31, 2002	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p> <b>48. Women's Health Center of West Virginia, Inc. v. Panepinto</b> </p> <p>446 S.E.2d 658, 663+ , W.Va. </p> <p>Action was brought challenging constitutionality of statute which bans the use of state Medicaid funds for abortions except in limited circumstances. Constitutionality of the...</p>	Dec. 17, 1993	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Examined by	<p><b>49. The Honorable John Rader</b></p> <p>1978 WL 18539 (Alaska A.G.), *1+</p> <p>We are in receipt of a document entitled 'Comments on Attorney General's Opinion Regarding CSSB 245, An Act Relating to Abortion,' (hereafter, Comments) which apparently has been...</p>	Mar. 31, 1978	Administrative Decision		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Declined to Extend by	<p> <b>50. Colorado Christian University v. Weaver</b> </p> <p>534 F.3d 1245, 1260+ , 10th Cir.(Colo.)</p> <p>EDUCATION - Religion. Colorado's exclusion of "pervasively sectarian" institutions from scholarship program violated First Amendment.</p>	July 23, 2008	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Distinguished by	<p> <b>51. Planned Parenthood Federation of America v. Sullivan</b></p> <p>913 F.2d 1492, 1498+ , 10th Cir.(Colo.)</p> <p>Family planning services and physicians brought action seeking to enjoin Secretary of Health and Human Services from enforcing regulations prohibiting counseling and referral for...</p>	Sep. 06, 1990	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Distinguished by	<p>  <b>52. Planned Parenthood Ass'n Chicago Area v. Kempiners</b> </p> <p>568 F.Supp. 1490, 1495+ , N.D.Ill.</p> <p>Organization providing abortion counseling and referral services brought action challenging constitutionality of state statute denying it state funds under program dealing with...</p>	Aug. 09, 1983	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>53. Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization</b> </p> <p>142 S.Ct. 2228, 2314+ , U.S.</p> <p>HEALTH — Abortion. Constitution does not provide right to abortion.</p>	June 24, 2022	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<p> <b>54. Hodgson v. Minnesota</b> ”</p> <p>110 S.Ct. 2926, 2937+ , U.S.Minn.</p> <p>Action was brought challenging parental notification requirement of Minnesota Abortion Law. The United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, Donald D. Alsop, Chief...</p>	June 25, 1990	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>55. Ohio v. Akron Center for Reproductive Health</b> ”</p> <p>110 S.Ct. 2972, 2985+ , U.S.Ohio</p> <p>Action was brought seeking declaration that Ohio's parental notification of abortion statute was unconstitutional. The United States District Court for the Northern District of...</p>	June 25, 1990	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>56. Regan v. Taxation With Representation of Washington</b> ”</p> <p>103 S.Ct. 1997, 2003+ , U.S.Dist.Col.</p> <p>Suit was brought by nonprofit organization seeking a declaratory judgment that it qualified for tax exempt status after its application was denied by Internal Revenue Service...</p>	May 23, 1983	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>57. H. L. v. Matheson</b></p> <p>101 S.Ct. 1164, 1173+ , U.S.Utah</p> <p>Minor brought action for purpose of having Utah statute, requiring physician to notify, if possible, parents of minor seeking an abortion, declared unconstitutional and to enjoin...</p>	Mar. 23, 1981	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>58. Roberts v. U. S.</b> ”</p> <p>100 S.Ct. 1358, 1370+ , U.S.Dist.Col.</p> <p>Petitioner was convicted before the United States District Court for the District of Columbia on two counts of using a telephone to facilitate the distribution of heroin, and he...</p>	Apr. 15, 1980	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>59. Beal v. Doe</b></p> <p>97 S.Ct. 2366, 2370+ , U.S.Pa.</p> <p>Women, who were eligible for medical assistance under Pennsylvania medicaid plan and who were denied financial assistance for desired nontherapeutic abortions pursuant to state...</p>	June 20, 1977	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p><b>60. Beal v. Doe</b> ”</p> <p>97 S.Ct. 2394, 2396+ , U.S.Pa.</p> <p>For majority opinion of the Court, see 97 S.Ct. 2366, 2376, 2391.</p>	June 20, 1977	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>61. Alliance for Open Society Intern., Inc. v. U.S. Agency for Intern. Development</b> ”</p> <p>651 F.3d 218, 243+ , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.)</p> <p>CIVIL RIGHTS - Free Speech. Groups had standing to seek injunction against interpretation of law requiring adoption of policy to get government funding.</p>	July 06, 2011	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>

















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 <b>62. Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey</b> ¶ 947 F.2d 682, 690+ , 3rd Cir.(Pa.)  Abortion clinics and physician challenged, on due process grounds, the constitutionality of the 1988 and 1989 amendments to the Pennsylvania abortion statute. The United States...	Oct. 21, 1991	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	  <b>63. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Pennsylvania Section v. Thornburgh</b> ¶ 737 F.2d 283, 291+ , 3rd Cir.(Pa.)  Action was brought by physicians, a physicians' professional organization, several clinical providers of first-trimester abortions, members of the clergy, and an individual whose...	May 31, 1984	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	  <b>64. Margaret S. v. Edwards</b> ¶ 794 F.2d 994, 997+ , 5th Cir.(La.)  Individual and class action was brought seeking permanent and injunctive relief against provisions of the Louisiana abortion statute. The United States District Court, Eastern...	July 18, 1986	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>65. Gary B. v. Whitmer</b> 957 F.3d 616, 652+ , 6th Cir.(Mich.)  EDUCATION — Civil Rights. Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause provided students with fundamental right to basic minimum education, one that provided access to literacy.	Apr. 23, 2020	Case		—
Discussed by	<b>66. Planned Parenthood of Greater Ohio v. Hodges</b> 917 F.3d 908, 912+ , 6th Cir.(Ohio)  FAMILY LAW — Abortion. Ohio statute prohibiting state from funding organizations that performed nontherapeutic abortions did not violate Due Process Clause.	Mar. 12, 2019	Case		—
Discussed by	 <b>67. Planned Parenthood Southwest Ohio Region v. DeWine</b> ¶ 696 F.3d 490, 515+ , 6th Cir.(Ohio)  FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Statute regulating use of medical abortion-inducing drug mifepristone, or RU-486, was not unconstitutionally vague.	Oct. 02, 2012	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>68. Mozert v. Hawkins County Bd. of Educ.</b> ¶ 827 F.2d 1058, 1071+ , 6th Cir.(Tenn.)  School children and their parents brought action seeking injunctive relief and money damages for alleged violation of their First Amendment right to free exercise of religion. ...	Aug. 24, 1987	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<p> <b>69. Planned Parenthood of Indiana, Inc. v. Commissioner of Indiana State Dept. Health</b> ¶¶ 699 F.3d 962, 987+ , 7th Cir.(Ind.)</p> <p>HEALTH - Medical Assistance. Term, qualified, as used in Medicaid's freedom of choice provision, referred to provider's fitness to render medical service.</p>	Oct. 23, 2012	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p><b>70. Planned Parenthood Ass'n of Chicago Area v. Kempiners</b> ¶¶ 700 F.2d 1115, 1123+ , 7th Cir.(Ill.)</p> <p>Organization providing abortion counselling referral services brought action challenging constitutionality of state statute denying it state funds under a program dealing with...</p>	Feb. 23, 1983	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>71. Charles v. Carey</b> ¶¶ 627 F.2d 772, 776+ , 7th Cir.(Ill.)</p> <p>Action was brought seeking preliminary injunction and challenging constitutionality of provisions of Illinois abortion statutes. The United States District Court for the Northern...</p>	July 29, 1980	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>72. Planned Parenthood of Mid-Missouri and Eastern Kansas, Inc. v. Dempsey</b> ¶¶ 167 F.3d 458, 461+ , 8th Cir.(Mo.)</p> <p>Action was brought challenging constitutionality of Missouri statute preventing abortion service providers from receiving state family planning funds. The United States District...</p>	Feb. 03, 1999	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>73. California by and through Becerra v. Azar</b> ¶¶ 950 F.3d 1067, 1074+ , 9th Cir.(Cal.)</p> <p>FAMILY LAW — Abortion. HHS rule forbidding Title X recipients from promoting abortion as method of family planning did not violate ACA.</p>	Feb. 24, 2020	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>74. Davey v. Locke</b> ¶¶ 299 F.3d 748, 765+ , 9th Cir.(Wash.)</p> <p>EDUCATION - Religion. State's scholarship program violated free exercise clause.</p>	July 18, 2002	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>75. Lopez v. Department of Health Services</b> ¶¶ 939 F.2d 881, 883+ , 9th Cir.(Ariz.)</p> <p>Patient brought § 1983 action against hospital and ambulance service, alleging that he was denied medical services because of his indigency. The United States District Court for...</p>	July 30, 1991	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p><b>76. U.S. v. Chavez</b> ¶¶ 627 F.2d 953, 957+ , 9th Cir.(Ariz.)</p> <p>Defendant was convicted in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona, William P. Copple, J., of willfully failing to file income tax returns, and he appealed. ...</p>	Sep. 11, 1980	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>












Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<p> <b>77. D _____ R _____ v. Mitchell</b> ”</p> <p>617 F.2d 203, 205+ , 10th Cir.(Utah)</p> <p>A class civil rights action was brought seeking injunctive and declaratory relief and alleging invalidity of Utah statute limiting funding of abortions for medicaid recipients. The...</p>	Mar. 10, 1980	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>78. Wideman v. Shallowford Community Hosp., Inc.</b> ”</p> <p>826 F.2d 1030, 1033+ , 11th Cir.(Ga.)</p> <p>Parents of premature baby brought action against county, county ambulance drivers, and hospital to challenge constitutionality of county's policy of driving patients only to...</p>	Sep. 08, 1987	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>  <b>79. Gay and Lesbian Students Ass'n v. Gohn</b> ”</p> <p>656 F.Supp. 1045, 1054+ , W.D.Ark.</p> <p>Gay and lesbian students association sued university officials for allegedly denying association university funding. The District Court, H. Franklin Waters, Chief Judge, held...</p>	Mar. 23, 1987	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>80. Bullfrog Films, Inc. v. Wick</b> ”</p> <p>646 F.Supp. 492, 501+ , C.D.Cal.</p> <p>Film makers brought action challenging USIA regulations implementing the Beirut Agreement governing certification of films as educational for duty free import into foreign...</p>	Oct. 24, 1986	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p>  <b>81. Traweek v. City and County of San Francisco</b> ”</p> <p>659 F.Supp. 1012, 1024+ , N.D.Cal.</p> <p>Owners of apartment complex brought action against city and county and against officials challenging ordinance restricting conversion of apartment units to condominiums. The...</p>	Apr. 04, 1984	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>82. Leocata ex rel. Gilbride v. Wilson-Coker</b> ”</p> <p>343 F.Supp.2d 144, 152+ , D.Conn.</p> <p>CIVIL RIGHTS - Disabilities. Denial of assisted living expense reimbursement was not ADA violation.</p>	Nov. 03, 2004	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p><b>83. Voe v. Califano</b> ”</p> <p>434 F.Supp. 1058, 1061+ , D.Conn.</p> <p>Twenty-year-old woman who was eligible for medicaid funds brought suit to obtain public funding for the expenses of a sterilization operation. On plaintiff's motion for a...</p>	July 14, 1977	Case		<p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p><b>84. Lewis v. Delaware State College</b> ”</p> <p>455 F.Supp. 239, 249+ , D.Del.</p> <p>Plaintiff brought action alleging that state college, its trustees, and its president violated her federal constitutional rights when they refused to renew her contract of...</p>	July 19, 1978	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>













Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>85. Florida Women's Medical Clinic, Inc. v. Smith</b> ¶ 536 F.Supp. 1048, 1054+ , S.D.Fla. Class action was brought challenging Florida statutes and regulations governing first trimester abortions. The District Court, Gonzalez, J., held that: (1) statutes and rules...	Mar. 12, 1982	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>86. Eaton v. Lyng</b> 669 F.Supp. 266, 270+ , N.D.Iowa Strikers and related individuals brought action challenging strikers amendment to Food Stamp Act, which precluded household from becoming eligible for food stamps if member of...	June 29, 1987	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>87. American Civil Liberties Union of Kansas and Western Missouri v. Praeger</b> ¶ 863 F.Supp.2d 1125, 1134+ , D.Kan. FAMILY LAW - Abortion. ACLU sufficiently alleged due process and equal protection challenges to statute prohibiting insurance coverage of abortion.	Mar. 29, 2012	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>88. American Civil Liberties Union of Kansas and Western Missouri v. Praeger</b> ¶ 815 F.Supp.2d 1204, 1211+ , D.Kan. FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Law prohibiting health insurers from providing coverage for elective abortion services did not violate due process.	Sep. 29, 2011	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>89. Victoria W. v. Larpenter</b> ¶ 205 F.Supp.2d 580, 592+ , E.D.La. CIVIL RIGHTS - Prisons. Inmate's failure to obtain abortion while incarcerated was not result of unconstitutional policy.	May 21, 2002	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>90. Margaret S. v. Treen</b> ¶ 597 F.Supp. 636, 647+ , E.D.La. An individual and class action was brought seeking permanent and injunctive relief against various provisions of the Louisiana abortion statute. The District Court, Robert F...	June 29, 1984	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>91. Margaret S. v. Edwards</b> ¶ 488 F.Supp. 181, 201+ , E.D.La. Case was filed challenging constitutionality of Louisiana statute regulating abortion. The District Court, Robert F. Collins, J., held that certain provisions of the Louisiana...	Mar. 03, 1980	Case		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>92. Emma G. v. Edwards</b> ¶ 434 F.Supp. 1048, 1050+ , E.D.La. Suit was brought to enjoin Louisiana Health and Human Resource Administration from denying medicaid payments for therapeutic abortions performed outside hospital and to enjoin...	July 11, 1977	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.



Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<p> <b>93. Com. of Mass. v. Bowen</b> 679 F.Supp. 137, 145+ , D.Mass.</p> <p>Health clinics receiving federal funds sought to enjoin implementation of new regulations prohibiting advocacy of abortion as method of family planning. The District Court,...</p>	Mar. 03, 1988	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p><b>94. Baird v. Bellotti</b> </p> <p>450 F.Supp. 997, 1000+ , D.Mass.</p> <p>Class action was brought involving constitutionality of Massachusetts statute defining procedures that must be followed before minor can obtain abortion. The three-judge District...</p>	May 02, 1978	Case		—
Discussed by	<p><b>95. Doherty v. Merck &amp; Co., Inc.</b> </p> <p>2017 WL 3668415, *6+ , D.Me.</p> <p>In this lawsuit involving Maine's Wrongful Birth Statute, the next step is to determine the statute's constitutionality. Kayla Doherty blames her doctor and a drug manufacturing...</p>	Aug. 24, 2017	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>96. Women's Community Health Center, Inc. v. Cohen</b></p> <p>477 F.Supp. 542, 545+ , D.Me.</p> <p>Consolidated actions were brought under Civil Rights Act seeking declaratory and injunctive relief against enforcement of two state statutes regulating performance of abortions....</p>	Sep. 13, 1979	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>97. Birth Control Centers, Inc. v. Reizen</b> </p> <p>508 F.Supp. 1366, 1371+ , E.D.Mich.</p> <p>Civil action was brought for declaratory and injunctive relief challenging constitutionality of certain provisions of Michigan Public Health Code and regulations promulgated...</p>	Mar. 02, 1981	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p><b>98. Hodgson v. Flakne</b></p> <p>463 F.Supp. 67, 68+ , D.Minn.</p> <p>Medical doctors brought declaratory judgment action against State Attorney General and others to determine constitutionality of state law regulating abortion, and parties...</p>	Nov. 30, 1978	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>99. Leigh v. Olson</b></p> <p>497 F.Supp. 1340, 1343+ , D.N.D.</p> <p>Board-certified obstetrician-gynecologist, individually and on behalf of his patients and on behalf of all other physicians and persons similarly situated, and counselor,...</p>	Sep. 26, 1980	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>100. Monmouth County Correctional Inst. Inmates v. Lanzaro</b></p> <p>643 F.Supp. 1217, 1223+ , D.N.J.</p> <p>Inmates of correctional institution brought class action against officials and county and state defendants alleging county's policies and practices denied pregnant inmates...</p>	May 29, 1986	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>





















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>101. State of N.Y. v. Bowen</b> ¶ 690 F.Supp. 1261, 1272+ , S.D.N.Y.  Title X grantees and others brought suit challenging final regulations promulgated by Department of Health and Human Services which prohibited Title X recipients from counseling...	June 30, 1988	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a>  S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>102. Westchester Women's Health Organization, Inc. v. Whalen</b> ¶ 475 F.Supp. 734, 739+ , S.D.N.Y.  Local and national woman's health organizations and doctor sought determination that New York statutory scheme regulating health service facilities could not be constitutionally...	June 21, 1979	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a>  S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>103. Planned Parenthood of Greater Ohio v. Hodges</b> ¶ 201 F.Supp.3d 898, 902+ , S.D.Ohio  FAMILY LAW — Abortion. Ohio statute defunding abortion providers for unrelated abortion services violated First Amendment and Due Process Clause warranting injunction.	Aug. 12, 2016	Case		<a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">6</a>  S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>104. Family Planning Clinic, Inc. v. City of Cleveland, Ohio</b> ¶ 594 F.Supp. 1410, 1414+ , N.D.Ohio  Unsuccessful applicants for license to operate free-standing abortion clinic in residence-office district brought action challenging constitutionality of the ordinance. The...	Oct. 02, 1984	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a>  S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>105. Akron Center for Reproductive Health, Inc. v. City of Akron</b> ¶ 479 F.Supp. 1172, 1197+ , N.D.Ohio  Three corporations operating outpatient abortion clinics and a Cincinnati physician who had performed abortions in one clinic brought action challenging validity of Akron, Ohio,...	Aug. 22, 1979	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a>  S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>106. Woe v. Califano</b> ¶ 460 F.Supp. 234, 235+ , S.D.Ohio  Action was brought challenging constitutionality of Hyde Amendment which prohibits the use of federal funds for nontherapeutic abortions. The District Court, Duncan, J., held that:...	Jan. 09, 1978	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">4</a>  S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>107. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Pennsylvania Section v. Thornburgh</b> ¶ 552 F.Supp. 791, 796+ , E.D.Pa.  Action was brought challenging constitutionality of Pennsylvania Abortion Control Act. On plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction, the District Court, Huyett, J., held...	Dec. 10, 1982	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a>  S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	 <b>108. Women's Medical Center of N.W. Houston v. Archer</b> ¶ 159 F.Supp.2d 414, 456+ , S.D.Tex.  FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Enforcement of amendments to abortion licensing statute and regulations was enjoined.	Dec. 29, 1999	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>109. Christensen v. Wisconsin Medical Bd.</b> ¶ 551 F.Supp. 565, 568+ , W.D.Wis.  Action was brought for declaratory and injunctive relief in which plaintiffs challenged the constitutionality of Wisconsin Medical Examining Board regulation restricting the...	Nov. 29, 1982	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>110. Moore v. Ganim</b> ¶ 660 A.2d 742, 759+ , Conn.  Individuals eligible for general assistance benefits brought action against city and city officials, challenging constitutionality of statute terminating general assistance...	June 20, 1995	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>111. State v. Burroughs</b> ¶ 2022 WL 1115769, *5+ , Del.Super.  The State charged Defendant with possessing of a firearm during the course of a felony and other related charges. After Defendant was detained and presented to the Court of Common...	Apr. 13, 2022	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>112. State v. Williams</b> ¶ 2013 WL 6913265, *2+ , Del.Super.  Dear Counsel: Defendant Robert Williams ("Defendant") requests that the required transdermal alcohol ("TAD") monitoring portion of his Third Offense Driving Under the Influence...	Dec. 31, 2013	Case		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>113. Planned Parenthood of Idaho, Inc. v. Kurtz</b> ¶ 2001 WL 34157539, *2+ , Idaho Dist.  Plaintiffs seek a Preliminary Injunction to prevent Defendants from enforcing the recently amended Idaho Code § 56-209c, asserting that the amendments violate the Idaho...	Aug. 17, 2001	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>114. Pooh-Bah Enterprises, Inc. v. County of Cook</b> ¶ 905 N.E.2d 781, 791+ , Ill.  CIVIL RIGHTS - Free Speech. Small venue exemptions to county and city amusement tax ordinances did not violate free speech clause of First Amendment.	Mar. 19, 2009	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	 <b>115. People v. Krizka</b> 416 N.E.2d 36, 37+ , Ill.App. 1 Dist.  Defendants were convicted in the Circuit Court, Cook County, Donald E. Joyce, J., of criminal trespass to land, and they appealed. The Appellate Court, Rizzi, J., held that: (1)...	Dec. 31, 1980	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<p> <b>116. Indiana Hospital Licensing Council v. Women's Pavilion of South Bend, Inc.</b> ¶¶ 420 N.E.2d 1301, 1312+ , Ind.App. 4 Dist.</p> <p>Hospital Licensing Council appealed from decision of the Circuit Court, St. Joseph County, John W. Montgomery, J., which refused to enjoin first trimester abortion clinic from...</p>	May 28, 1981	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p><b>117. Planned Parenthood of the Heartland, Inc. v. Reynolds</b> ¶¶ 962 N.W.2d 37, 48+ , Iowa</p> <p>CIVIL RIGHTS — Equal Protection. Statutory amendment excluding abortion provider from receiving sex-education funding was not subject to strict scrutiny.</p>	June 30, 2021	Case		<p><a href="#">5</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>118. Moe v. Secretary of Administration and Finance</b> ¶¶ 417 N.E.2d 387, 394+ , Mass.</p> <p>Medicaid-eligible pregnant women, who desired medically necessary abortions that were not necessary to avoid their death, and physicians who were willing to perform such abortions...</p>	Feb. 18, 1981	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p><b>119. Framingham Clinic, Inc. v. Board of Selectmen of Southborough</b> 367 N.E.2d 606, 609+ , Mass.</p> <p>Action was instituted to declare invalid a zoning bylaw regarding "Abortion Clinics" and to enjoin its enforcement. After case was reserved and reported by Wilkins, J., the Supreme...</p>	Sep. 08, 1977	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p><b>120. Kindley v. Governor of Maryland</b> ¶¶ 426 A.2d 908, 912+ , Md.</p> <p>Residents and taxpayers filed bill in equity against various state officials seeking declaratory and injunctive relief to prevent funding of abortions and to declare illegal the...</p>	Mar. 10, 1981	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p><b>121. Bayne v. Secretary of State</b> ¶¶ 392 A.2d 67, 74+ , Md.</p> <p>Action seeking mandamus and mandatory injunction was brought after the Secretary refused to take action verifying signatures on a petition to referendum with respect to abortion...</p>	Aug. 17, 1978	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">5</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>122. Taylor v. Kurapati</b> ¶¶ 600 N.W.2d 670, 687+ , Mich.App.</p> <p>HEALTH - Malpractice. Michigan ceases to recognize cause of action for wrongful birth.</p>	June 25, 1999	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Discussed by	<p> <b>123. Right to Choose v. Byrne</b> 450 A.2d 925, 929+ , N.J.</p> <p>Action was brought challenging New Jersey statute prohibiting medicaid funding for abortions except where medically indicated to be necessary to preserve mother's life. The...</p>	Aug. 18, 1982	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>124. Planned Parenthood of New York City, Inc. v. State, Dept. of Institutions and Agencies</b> 379 A.2d 841, 843+ , N.J.  Director of Division of Medical Assistance and Health Services denied application for reimbursement for costs of abortions performed in New York on 550 residents of New Jersey...	Nov. 01, 1977	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>125. Michael N. v. Montgomery County Department of Social Services</b> ¶ 185 N.Y.S.3d 493, 534+ , N.Y.Sup.  CIVIL RIGHTS — Arrest and Detention. Allegations in father's complaint were insufficient to support claim that temporary order of protection violated his Fourth Amendment rights.	Sep. 23, 2022	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>126. Hope v. Perales</b> ¶ 571 N.Y.S.2d 972, 976+ , N.Y.Sup.  Action was brought challenging constitutionality of the Prenatal Care Assistance Program insofar as Program fails to provide reimbursement to health care providers who perform...	Apr. 15, 1991	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>127. Planned Parenthood Ass'n, Inc. v. Department of Human Resources of State of Or.</b> ¶ 663 P.2d 1247, 1254+ , Or.App.  Judicial review was sought for determination of validity of rule of the Department of Human Resources. The Court of Appeals, Buttler, P.J., held that rule limiting state medical...	May 11, 1983	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>128. CONSTITUTIONALITY OF SECTION 7(b) (3) OF THE EMERGENCY VETERANS' JOB TRAINING ACT OF 1983</b> ¶ 13 U.S. Op. Off. Legal Counsel 31, 35+  This memorandum responds to your request that we assess the constitutionality of section 7(b)(3) of the Emergency Veterans' Job Training Act of 1983 ("VJTA"), 29 U.S.C. § 1721...	Jan. 23, 1989	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>129. Margaret Rivera</b> 1985 WL 194202 (Colo.A.G.), *2+  This opinion letter is in response to your October 15, 1984 request for advice concerning the effect of the constitutional amendment prohibiting the use of "public funds" for...	Feb. 06, 1985	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>130. The Honorable Joseph E. Brennan</b> ¶ Me. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 84-28, 84-28+  This will respond to your inquiry of October 19, 1984, in which you ask two questions about the possible effects of a Maine Equal Rights Amendment. You asked: 1. Would the...	Oct. 30, 1984	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Discussed by	<b>131. Honorable Lincoln Davis</b> ¶ Tenn. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 99-232, 99-232+  Whether proposed legislation, which would prohibit the expenditure of any state funds to perform abortions except where the life of the mother would be endangered if the fetus were...	Dec. 15, 1999	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	<b>132. Ms. Dana Elizabeth Moore</b> Tenn. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 85-119+  Equal Protection: Privacy: Exclusion of pregnant women from genetics testing program because of possible choice of abortion; use of language in legislation as precluding...	Apr. 15, 1985	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Disagreement Recognized by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>133. K.P. v. LeBlanc</b> 729 F.3d 427, 442+ , 5th Cir.(La.)  FAMILY LAW - Abortion. State law excluding abortion from coverage in state malpractice fund did not place a substantial obstacle on right to obtain an abortion.	Sep. 04, 2013	Case		—
Called into Doubt by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>134. Greenville Women's Clinic v. Bryant</b> 222 F.3d 157, 165+ , 4th Cir.(S.C.)  FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Regulation establishing standards for licensing abortion clinics did not violate due process.	Aug. 15, 2000	Case		—
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>135. American Civil Liberties Union of Kansas and Western Missouri v. Praeger</b> ¶¶ 917 F.Supp.2d 1179, 1187+ , D.Kan.  FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether Kansas law imposed substantial obstacle on women's right to an abortion.	Jan. 07, 2013	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>136. Lipscomb By and Through DeFehr v. Simmons</b> 884 F.2d 1242, 1246+ , 9th Cir.(Or.)  Children in foster care brought action challenging Oregon statutory scheme by which foster children living with relatives did not receive state funds, while children living with...	Sep. 07, 1989	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>137. Gilliard v. Kirk</b> 633 F.Supp. 1529, 1556 , W.D.N.C.  Children of low income mothers sought to enjoin state and federal officials from enforcing child support attribution regulations imposed for aid to families with dependent children...	May 07, 1986	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>138. Planned Parenthood League of Massachusetts v. Bellotti</b> 641 F.2d 1006, 1012+ , 1st Cir.(Mass.)  Class action for declaratory and injunctive relief was brought challenging constitutionality of Massachusetts abortion statute. The United States District Court for the District of...	Feb. 09, 1981	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <b>NEGATIVE</b>	<b>139. Rush v. Parham</b> 440 F.Supp. 383, 389+ , N.D.Ga.  Plaintiff, an individual eligible for medicaid coverage, brought action for declaratory, injunctive, and mandamus relief and damages on claim of improper denial of plaintiff's...	Aug. 02, 1977	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>140. Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt</b> 136 S.Ct. 2292, 2323 , U.S.  FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Texas law's admitting privileges and surgical center requirements for providers imposed undue burden on women's right to seek previability abortions.	June 27, 2016	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>141. U.S. v. Windsor</b>  133 S.Ct. 2675, 2719 , U.S.  FAMILY LAW - Marriage. DOMA's exclusion of same-sex marriage under federal law violated the liberty of the person protected by the Fifth Amendment.	June 26, 2013	Case		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>142. Legal Services Corp. v. Velazquez</b>  121 S.Ct. 1043, 1054 , U.S.  LEGAL SERVICES - Indigent Services. Restriction on welfare law challenges by recipients of Legal Services funds was invalid.	Feb. 28, 2001	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>143. National Endowment for the Arts v. Finley</b>  118 S.Ct. 2168, 2179 , U.S.Cal.  GOVERNMENT - Public Funding. "Decency and respect" standard for National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) grant applications was not facially unconstitutional.	June 25, 1998	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>144. Kadrmas v. Dickinson Public Schools</b> 108 S.Ct. 2481, 2493 , U.S.N.D.  Student brought action challenging constitutionality of North Dakota statute permitting some school districts to charge a user fee for bus transportation. The trial court...	June 24, 1988	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>145. Lyng v. International Union, United Auto., Aerospace and Agr. Implement Workers of America, UAW</b>  108 S.Ct. 1184, 1191+ , U.S.Dist.Col.  Unions and union members challenged constitutionality of 1981 Amendment to Food Stamp Act, precluding household from becoming eligible for food stamps if member of household were...	Mar. 23, 1988	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>146. Arkansas Writers' Project, Inc. v. Ragland</b> 107 S.Ct. 1722, 1731 , U.S.Ark.  Publisher of general interest magazine brought state suit challenging Arkansas' sales tax scheme. The Chancery Court granted publisher summary judgment, and the Arkansas Supreme...	Apr. 22, 1987	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>147. Cornelius v. NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc.</b> 105 S.Ct. 3439, 3443 , U.S.Dist.Col.  Legal defense funds brought suit alleging that an executive order unconstitutionally excluded them from participation in a charity drive aimed at federal employees and military...	July 02, 1985	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> <b>148. Chemical Mfrs. Ass'n v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.</b> 105 S.Ct. 1102, 1113 , U.S.</p> <p>Action was brought challenging Environmental Protection Agency's policy of issuing fundamentally different factor variances from toxic pollutant effluent limitations under the...</p>	Feb. 27, 1985	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>149. Youngberg v. Romeo</b> 102 S.Ct. 2452, 2459 , U.S.Pa.</p> <p>Mother of mentally retarded individual, who was involuntarily committed to a Pennsylvania state institution, filed a civil rights suit, as her son's next friend, against the...</p>	June 18, 1982	Case		<p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>150. Williams v. Zbaraz</b> 99 S.Ct. 2095, 2097+ , U.S.Ill.</p> <p>Application was made to Mr. Justice Stevens, as Circuit Justice, for stay pending appeal of judgment of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois,...</p>	May 24, 1979	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>151. Colautti v. Franklin</b> 99 S.Ct. 675, 680+ , U.S.Pa.</p> <p>Appeal was taken from judgment of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania declaring unconstitutional a section of the Pennsylvania Abortion...</p>	Jan. 09, 1979	Case		—
Cited by	<p> <b>152. First Nat. Bank of Boston v. Bellotti</b> 98 S.Ct. 1407, 1443 , U.S.Mass.</p> <p>National banking associations and business corporations brought action to challenge the constitutionality of a Massachusetts criminal statute that prohibited them and other...</p>	Apr. 26, 1978	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>153. Califano v. McRae</b> 98 S.Ct. 27, 27+ , U.S.</p> <p>Former decision, 433 U.S. 916, 97 S.Ct. 2993. Facts and opinion, McRae v. Mathews, D.C., 421 F.Supp. 533. On Application for Stay.</p>	July 20, 1977	Case		—
Cited by	<p><b>154. Califano v. McRae</b> 97 S.Ct. 2993, 2993 , U.S.N.Y.</p> <p>Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. Facts and opinion, McRae v. Mathews, 421 F.Supp. 533.</p>	June 29, 1977	Case		—
Cited by	<p> <b>155. Poelker v. Doe</b> 97 S.Ct. 2391, 2392+ , U.S.Mo.</p> <p>Indigent pregnant woman brought civil rights action alleging that a city's refusal to provide publicly financed hospital services for nontherapeutic abortions violated equal...</p>	June 20, 1977	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>156. Doherty v. Merck &amp; Co., Inc.</b>  892 F.3d 493, 499 , 1st Cir.(Me.)</p> <p>FAMILY LAW — Birth Control. Maine's wrongful birth statute did not violate patient's due process right to privacy.</p>	June 18, 2018	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>157. Eulitt ex rel. Eulitt v. Maine, Dept. of Educ.</b> 386 F.3d 344, 354 , 1st Cir.(Me.) EDUCATION - Religion. Statute prohibiting payment of public tuition dollars to sectarian schools did not violate Free Exercise.	Oct. 22, 2004	Case		—
Cited by	<b>158. Gary S. v. Manchester School Dist.</b> 374 F.3d 15, 21+ , 1st Cir.(N.H.) EDUCATION - Disabled Students. Provision of fewer IDEA services to student at religious elementary school did not violate Free Exercise Clause.	July 01, 2004	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>159. Dickerson v. Latessa</b> 872 F.2d 1116, 1119 , 1st Cir.(Mass.) State prisoner sought habeas corpus. The United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, William G. Young, J., 688 F.Supp. 797,denied relief and prisoner...	Apr. 25, 1989	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>160. Preterm, Inc. v. Dukakis</b> 591 F.2d 121, 127+ , 1st Cir.(Mass.) Appeal was taken from judgment of the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, Andrew A. Caffrey, J., 463 F.Supp. 222, in action challenging the validity, in...	Jan. 15, 1979	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>161. Bush v. City of Utica, N.Y. ¶¶</b> 558 Fed.Appx. 131, 134 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.) CIVIL RIGHTS - Duty to Protect. Fire chief was not entitled to qualified immunity for alleged conduct in selectively withholding fire department services.	Mar. 17, 2014	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>162. Windsor v. U.S. ¶¶</b> 699 F.3d 169, 208 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.) GLBT - Marriage. Classification of same-sex spouses drawn by Defense of Marriage Act violated equal protection.	Oct. 18, 2012	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>163. U.S. v. Stein ¶¶</b> 541 F.3d 130, 147 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.) CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Counsel. Indicted accounting firm employees were deprived of their Sixth Amendment right to counsel.	Aug. 28, 2008	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>164. Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc. v. Agency for Intern. Development ¶¶</b> 915 F.2d 59, 63+ , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.) Action was brought challenging lawfulness of implementation by the Agency for International Development of anti abortion policy by inserting in family planning grants standard...	Sep. 19, 1990	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>165. State of N.Y. v. Heckler</b> 719 F.2d 1191, 1195 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.)  Action was brought challenging federal regulation requiring that family planning agencies which receive federal funds notify parents of unemancipated minors before distributing...	Oct. 07, 1983	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>166. Caulfield v. Board of Ed. of City of New York</b> 583 F.2d 605, 615 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.)  Teachers, supervisors and administrators brought actions seeking declaratory judgment voiding memorandum of understanding entered into by city board of education and Office of...	Sep. 05, 1978	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>167. Greklek v. Toia</b> 565 F.2d 1259, 1261 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.)  State and county officials of the New York State Department of Social Services appealed from a decision by the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York,...	Nov. 28, 1977	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>168. Paul P. v. Verniero</b> 170 F.3d 396, 405 , 3rd Cir.(N.J.)  Action was brought challenging constitutionality of New Jersey statute which provides a system of registration and community notification as to certain sex offenders (Megan's Law)....	Mar. 16, 1999	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>169. Murillo v. Bambrick</b> 681 F.2d 898, 904+ , 3rd Cir.(N.J.)  Class action suit was brought for declaration that special matrimonial litigation fee imposed by New Jersey violated equal protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. The...	June 17, 1982	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>170. Block v. Potter</b> 631 F.2d 233, 235 , 3rd Cir.(Virgin Islands)  Prisoner filed habeas corpus petition. The United States District Court for the District of the Virgin Islands, Almeric L. Christian, Chief Judge, entered judgment denying the...	Sep. 23, 1980	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>171. Mayor of Baltimore v. Azar</b>  973 F.3d 258, 316+ , 4th Cir.(Md.)  FAMILY LAW — Abortion. HHS acted arbitrarily and capriciously in promulgating rule prohibiting physicians in Title X programs from referring patients for abortion.	Sep. 03, 2020	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>172. Doe v. Kenley</b> 584 F.2d 1362, 1364+ , 4th Cir.(Va.)  Pregnant Virginia medicaid recipient brought civil class action to enjoin implementation of policy of Virginia State Board of Health by use of a standard which would tend to...	Oct. 19, 1978	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.














Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>173. Driggers v. Cruz</b> 🏴󠁧󠁢󠁥󠁮󠁧󠁿 740 F.3d 333, 337 , 5th Cir.(Tex.)  CIVIL RIGHTS - Prisons. Conditions imposed on prisoner for not participating in BOP's financial responsibility program did not violate due process.	Jan. 15, 2014	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>174. Lang v. Quinlan</b> 990 F.2d 1252, 1252 , 5th Cir.(Tex.)  Robert Lang, a federal prisoner, appeals the district court's dismissal of his pro se, in forma pauperis civil rights complaint as frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d). For...	Apr. 09, 1993	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>175. Clark v. Prichard</b> 812 F.2d 991, 995 , 5th Cir.(Miss.)  Probationer brought class action seeking to enjoin enforcement of state's alleged policy of requiring probationers to seek modification of conditions of probation in order to...	Mar. 23, 1987	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	🏴󠁧󠁢󠁥󠁮󠁧󠁿 <b>176. Scheinberg v. Smith</b> 659 F.2d 476, 482 , 5th Cir.(Fla.)  Licensed physician who performed abortions brought class action challenging constitutionality of provisions of Florida's Medical Practice Act. The United States District Court for...	Oct. 02, 1981	Case		—
Cited by	<b>177. Bussey v. Harris</b> 611 F.2d 1001, 1006 , 5th Cir.(Ga.)  Physician and his licensed assistant brought action for declaratory and injunctive relief contending that Fifth Amendment required medicare reimbursement for paramedical services...	Feb. 13, 1980	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	🏴󠁧󠁢󠁥󠁮󠁧󠁿 <b>178. Norman v. St. Clair</b> 🏴󠁧󠁢󠁥󠁮󠁧󠁿 610 F.2d 1228, 1243 , 5th Cir.(Miss.)  Class actions were brought challenging Mississippi medicaid regulations deeming the income of one spouse to be available for the support of the other spouse in the calculation of...	Jan. 28, 1980	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	🏴󠁧󠁢󠁥󠁮󠁧󠁿 <b>179. Plante v. Gonzalez</b> 575 F.2d 1119, 1131+ , 5th Cir.(Fla.)  Action was brought by five state senators against officials charged with administering financial disclosure provisions of Florida's Sunshine Amendment arguing that exercise of the...	June 30, 1978	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>180. Sullivan v. Benningfield</b> 🏴󠁧󠁢󠁥󠁮󠁧󠁿 920 F.3d 401, 413 , 6th Cir.(Tenn.)  CIVIL RIGHTS — Jurisdiction. Equal protection challenge to program granting sentencing credit to detainees who underwent sterilizations was not rendered moot by later court order...	Apr. 04, 2019	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	🏴󠁧󠁢󠁥󠁮󠁧󠁿 <b>181. DeBoer v. Snyder</b> 772 F.3d 388, 411 , 6th Cir.(Mich.)  GLBT - Marriage. Gay marriage bans in Michigan, Kentucky, Ohio, and Tennessee did not violate equal protection or due process.	Nov. 06, 2014	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>182. Gibson v. Matthews</b> 926 F.2d 532, 536+ , 6th Cir.(Ky.)  Prisoner brought civil right suit alleging that prison officials violated her constitutional rights in not enabling her to have an abortion. The United States District Court for...	Feb. 22, 1991	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>183. Oglesby Const., Inc. v. Skinner</b> 904 F.2d 707, 707 , 6th Cir.(Ohio)  N.D.Ohio AFFIRMED.	June 15, 1990	Case		<a href="#">2</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>184. U.S. v. Luster</b> 889 F.2d 1523, 1527 , 6th Cir.(Mich.)  Defendant was convicted in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Richard F. Suhrheinrich, J., of credit card fraud and he appealed. The Court of...	Nov. 21, 1989	Case		<a href="#">2</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>185. Ledesma v. Block</b> 825 F.2d 1046, 1050+ , 6th Cir.(Mich.)  Striker and wife, who were disqualified from food stamp program, brought suit challenging constitutionality of amendments to Food Stamp Act removing strikers and family from...	Aug. 06, 1987	Case		<a href="#">4</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>186. Whole Woman's Health Alliance v. Rokita</b> 13 F.4th 595, 599 , 7th Cir.(Ind.)  FAMILY LAW — Abortion. State was likely to prevail on its claim that statute regulating abortions was constitutional.	Sep. 08, 2021	Case		—
Cited by	<b>187. St. Joan Antida High School Inc. v. Milwaukee Public School District</b> ¶¶ 919 F.3d 1003, 1009+ , 7th Cir.(Wis.)  EDUCATION — Transportation. City school district's one-mile rule for free transportation did not violate private school students' equal protection rights.	Mar. 25, 2019	Case		<a href="#">4</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>188. Xiu Ling Chen v. Gonzales</b> 489 F.3d 861, 862+ , 7th Cir.  IMMIGRATION - Asylum. A remand was required of an asylum application based on a fear of sterilization in China.	June 11, 2007	Case		<a href="#">3</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>189. Smith v. City of Chicago</b> ¶¶ 457 F.3d 643, 656+ , 7th Cir.(Ill.)  GOVERNMENT - Attorney Fees. City had rational basis for subsidizing litigation position of aldermen defending ordinance but not that of those seeking invalidation.	Aug. 07, 2006	Case		<a href="#">4</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>190. Christian Legal Society v. Walker</b> ¶¶ 453 F.3d 853, 873+ , 7th Cir.(Ill.)  EDUCATION - Civil Rights. Exclusion of homosexuals from Christian student organization did not violate university's anti-discrimination policy.	July 10, 2006	Case		<a href="#">4</a>  S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>191. Johnson v. Daley</b> 339 F.3d 582, 586 , 7th Cir.(Wis.) CIVIL RIGHTS - Attorney Fees. Prison Litigation Reform Act attorney fee provision was constitutional.	Aug. 19, 2003	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>192. Lewis v. Sullivan</b> 279 F.3d 526, 528 , 7th Cir.(Wis.) CIVIL RIGHTS - Prisons. Prison Litigation Reform Act limit on in forma pauperis filing did not deny prisoner court access.	Feb. 01, 2002	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>193. U.S. v. Hirschberg</b> 988 F.2d 1509, 1515 , 7th Cir.(Ill.) Defendants were convicted in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Charles P. Kocoras, J., of altering or removing vehicle identification numbers...	Mar. 19, 1993	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>194. K.H. Through Murphy v. Morgan</b> 914 F.2d 846, 857 , 7th Cir.(Ill.) Child who was abused by foster parent filed civil rights action against child welfare workers. The United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, George M....	Sep. 24, 1990	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>195. International Union, United Auto., Aerospace and Agr. Implement Workers of America, UAW v. Johnson Controls, Inc. ¶¶</b> 886 F.2d 871, 905 , 7th Cir.(Wis.) Unions and employees brought Title VII suit claiming that employer's fetal protection policy precluding fertile women from working in high lead exposure position in its battery...	Sep. 26, 1989	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>196. United Beverage Co. of South Bend, Inc. v. Indiana Alcoholic Beverage Com'n</b> 760 F.2d 155, 158 , 7th Cir.(Ind.) Beer wholesaler and trade association to which it belonged brought suit against Indiana's Alcoholic Beverage Commission and its members to enjoin enforcement of rule generally...	Apr. 22, 1985	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	  <b>197. Charles v. Daley</b> 749 F.2d 452, 459+ , 7th Cir.(Ill.) Action was brought seeking preliminary injunction and challenging constitutionality of Illinois Abortion Law. The United States District Court for the Northern District of...	Nov. 30, 1984	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>198. Planned Parenthood Minnesota v. Rounds ¶¶</b> 467 F.3d 716, 728 , 8th Cir.(S.D.) FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Preliminary injunction against enforcement of amendments to South Dakota informed consent statute was upheld.	Oct. 30, 2006	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>199. Hodgson v. State of Minn.</b> 853 F.2d 1452, 1466 , 8th Cir.(Minn.)  Action was brought challenging parental notification requirement of Minnesota abortion law. The United States District Court of the District of Minnesota, Donald D. Alsop, Chief...	Aug. 08, 1988	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	  <b>200. Planned Parenthood Ass'n of Kansas City, Missouri, Inc. v. Ashcroft</b> ¶¶ 655 F.2d 848, 864+ , 8th Cir.(Mo.)  Action was brought challenging constitutional validity of Missouri statutes regulating abortions. The United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri, Elmo B....	July 08, 1981	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>201. Planned Parenthood of Minnesota v. State of Minn.</b> 612 F.2d 359, 360 , 8th Cir.(Minn.)  Declaratory judgment action was brought challenging constitutionality of a provision of the Minnesota Family Planning Grants Act. The United States District Court for the District...	Jan. 02, 1980	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>202. U.S. v. Wynde</b> 579 F.2d 1088, 1092 , 8th Cir.(S.D.)  Defendant was convicted in the United States District Court for the District of South Dakota, Fred J. Nichol, Chief Judge, of receipt by a convicted felon of a firearm previously...	June 26, 1978	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>203. Lehocky v. Curators of University of Missouri</b> ¶¶ 558 F.2d 887, 888+ , 8th Cir.(Mo.)  Suit was brought by state university female employee seeking declaratory judgment concerning constitutional validity of medical benefits plan. The United States District Court for...	June 30, 1977	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>204. Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. Petrick</b> ¶¶ 68 F.4th 475, 486 , 9th Cir.(Idaho)  ENVIRONMENTAL LAW — Impact Statements. Forest Service's reliance on community plan to determine that project was “wildland-urban interface” under HFRA was arbitrary or capricious.	May 16, 2023	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>205. Koala v. Khosla</b> 931 F.3d 887, 909+ , 9th Cir.(Cal.)  EDUCATION — Civil Rights. Student association pled plausible First Amendment claims against state university and student government officials.	July 24, 2019	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>206. Isaacson v. Horne</b> ¶¶ 716 F.3d 1213, 1222 , 9th Cir.(Ariz.)  FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Arizona statute barring abortions after 20 weeks gestation was unconstitutional.	May 21, 2013	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>207. Hrdlicka v. Reniff</b> ¶ 656 F.3d 942, 946 , 9th Cir.  Judge Reinhardt and Judge W. Fletcher have voted to deny the Appellees' petitions for rehearing and petitions for rehearing en banc, filed on February 15, 2011 and February 23,...	Sep. 01, 2011	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>208. Giese v. Barnhart</b> 55 Fed.Appx. 799, 800 , 9th Cir.(Cal.)  SOCIAL SECURITY - SSI. Claimant's depression was not severe enough to meet requirements under Listings.	Dec. 19, 2002	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>209. Rucker v. Davis</b> 237 F.3d 1113, 1138 , 9th Cir.(Cal.)  REAL PROPERTY - Subsidized Housing. Drug eviction statute does not apply to innocent public housing tenants.	Jan. 24, 2001	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>210. Gentala v. City of Tucson</b> 213 F.3d 1055, 1077+ , 9th Cir.(Ariz.)  CIVIL RIGHTS - Free Speech. Denial of city subsidy of National Day of Prayer event violated Free Speech Clause.	Apr. 20, 2000	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>211. Schmidt v. State of Nev.</b> ¶ 60 F.3d 834, 834 , 9th Cir.(Nev.)  D.Nev. AFFIRMED.	July 07, 1995	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>212. Planned Parenthood of Cent. and Northern Arizona v. State of Ariz.</b> 789 F.2d 1348, 1350 , 9th Cir.(Ariz.)  Family-planning entities in Arizona brought action challenging constitutionality of a footnote to Arizona Appropriation Bill that forbade expenditure of state social welfare funds...	May 15, 1986	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>213. Lewis v. Hegstrom</b> 767 F.2d 1371, 1372 , 9th Cir.(Or.)  Class action was brought challenging proposed Oregon administrative rule defining period of ineligibility of an applicant for medicaid who has transferred his or her home for less...	Aug. 08, 1985	Case		—
Cited by	<b>214. Bumpus v. Clark</b> 681 F.2d 679, 686+ , 9th Cir.(Or.)  Residents of county-owned nursing home which was licensed as medicaid provider brought action seeking declaratory and injunctive relief preventing involuntary transfers and...	July 16, 1982	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>215. Hawaii Boating Ass'n v. Water Transp. Facilities Division, Dept. of Transp., State of Hawaii</b> ¶ 651 F.2d 661, 665+ , 9th Cir.(Hawaii)  Plaintiffs, members of class certified as "persons who have applied for permanent mooring privileges in a small boat harbor of * * * Hawaii * * * since June 9, 1976, who have...	July 20, 1981	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> <b>216. Fisher v. Reiser</b> ¶¶</p> <p>610 F.2d 629, 637+ , 9th Cir.(Nev.)</p> <p>Class action was filed to obtain declaratory and injunctive relief to redress alleged deprivation of equal protection and violation of the right to travel arising from Nevada's...</p>	Nov. 28, 1979	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>217. California Hospital Ass'n v. Obledo</b></p> <p>602 F.2d 1357, 1359 , 9th Cir.(Cal.)</p> <p>Hospital associations and individual hospitals brought suit seeking a declaration that federal and state law were violated by a California program that limited reimbursement of...</p>	Aug. 27, 1979	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>218. U.S. v. Choate</b></p> <p>576 F.2d 165, 183 , 9th Cir.(Cal.)</p> <p>The United States District Court for the Central District of California, Warren J. Ferguson, J., dismissed indictment charging defendant with income tax evasion, and the Government...</p>	Mar. 15, 1978	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>219. Doe v. City of Albuquerque</b> ¶¶</p> <p>667 F.3d 1111, 1117 , 10th Cir.(N.M.)</p> <p>CIVIL RIGHTS - Public Information. Municipality did not show that sex offender ordinance had been narrowly tailored to significant government interest.</p>	Jan. 20, 2012	Case		<p><a href="#">5</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>220. Hern v. Beye</b></p> <p>57 F.3d 906, 913 , 10th Cir.(Colo.)</p> <p>Physicians and abortion clinics brought action to enjoin Executive Director of Colorado's Department of Social Services (DSS) from refusing to fund abortions in cases of rape or...</p>	June 08, 1995	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>221. Harris v. Champion</b> ¶¶</p> <p>15 F.3d 1538, 1568 , 10th Cir.(Okla.)</p> <p>State inmate filed federal habeas corpus petition alleging constitutional deficiencies in his trial and sentence and violations of due process, equal protection and his right to...</p>	Jan. 26, 1994	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>222. Schultz v. Alabama</b> ¶¶</p> <p>42 F.4th 1298, 1323 , 11th Cir.(Ala.)</p> <p>CRIMINAL JUSTICE — Bail. County's bail system satisfied procedural due process rights of indigent arrestees.</p>	July 29, 2022	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>223. Jones v. Governor of Florida</b> ¶¶</p> <p>975 F.3d 1016, 1030+ , 11th Cir.(Fla.)</p> <p>CIVIL RIGHTS — Equal Protection. Florida's constitutional amendment and felon reenfranchisement statute did not violate Equal Protection Clause as applied.</p>	Sep. 11, 2020	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> <b>224. Doe v. Moore</b> ¶¶ 410 F.3d 1337, 1344 , 11th Cir.(Fla.)</p> <p>CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Sex Offenders. Registration/ notification scheme of Florida's Sex Offender Act was constitutional.</p>	June 06, 2005	Case		—
Cited by	<p> <b>225. Women's Emergency Network v. Bush</b> 323 F.3d 937, 946 , 11th Cir.(Fla.)</p> <p>CIVIL RIGHTS - Free Speech. State residents did not have standing to challenge program allowing license plates with "Choose Life" message.</p>	Mar. 07, 2003	Case		—
Cited by	<p> <b>226. Burton v. Tampa Housing Authority</b> 271 F.3d 1274, 1283 , 11th Cir.(Fla.)</p> <p>REAL PROPERTY - Subsidized Housing. Public housing tenant could be evicted for son's drug-related activity.</p>	Nov. 07, 2001	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<p> <b>227. Britell v. U.S.</b> 372 F.3d 1370, 1381+ , Fed.Cir.(Mass.)</p> <p>FAMILY LAW - Abortion. CHAMPUS provisions disallowing payment for most abortions did not violate equal protection.</p>	June 24, 2004	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<p> <b>228. Gilardi v. U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services</b> ¶¶ 733 F.3d 1208, 1222 , D.C.Cir.</p> <p>INSURANCE - Health. Employers showed likelihood of success of claim that contraceptive mandate of Affordable Care Act violated Religious Freedom Restoration Act.</p>	Nov. 01, 2013	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<p> <b>229. Ukrainian-American Bar Ass'n, Inc. v. Baker</b> 893 F.2d 1374, 1387 , D.C.Cir.</p> <p>Ukrainian-American Bar Association sought order requiring Immigration and Naturalization Service to furnish Soviet and East Bloc aliens seeking asylum with written information of...</p>	Jan. 26, 1990	Case		—
Cited by	<p><b>230. Overall v. Watson</b> ¶¶ 2018 WL 3475434, *12 , N.D.Ala.</p> <p>Plaintiff Mark Overall, a suspended attorney proceeding pro se, filed suit against the Alabama State Bar and several of its current and former officers, raising claims of race...</p>	July 19, 2018	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<p><b>231. Seals v. Alabama</b> ¶¶ 2011 WL 2462583, *24 , M.D.Ala.</p> <p>This case is before the court on a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint filed on May 27, 2008 by Theron Seals ["Seals"], a former state inmate and a convicted sex offender. In this...</p>	May 26, 2011	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>232. Alabama Education Ass'n v. Bentley</b> ¶ 788 F.Supp.2d 1283, 1310 , N.D.Ala.  EDUCATION - Political Activities. Statute prohibiting payroll deductions for educational association's political action committee contributions was likely unconstitutional.	Mar. 18, 2011	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>233. Parker v. King</b> ¶ 2008 WL 901087, *23 , M.D.Ala.  On December 26, 2007, the Magistrate Judge entered a Recommendation (Doc. # 35) that the Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Doc. # 4) filed by Plaintiff Jimmie E. Parker...	Mar. 31, 2008	Case		—
Cited by	<b>234. D.W. by M.J. on Behalf of D.W. v. Poundstone</b> 165 F.R.D. 661, 671 , M.D.Ala.  Minor brought action against Commissioner of State Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation challenging state's practice of placing children on waiting list after...	Mar. 29, 1996	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>235. Briggs v. Montgomery</b> ¶ 2019 WL 2515950, *9 , D.Ariz.  Pending before the Court are Defendants Maricopa County and Maricopa County Attorney William Montgomery's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 34) and Defendant Treatment Assessment Screening...	June 18, 2019	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>236. Coronado v. Napolitano</b> ¶ 2008 WL 4838707, *3 , D.Ariz.  Currently before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint (Dkt.62), which challenges Plaintiffs' claims that Arizona's constitutional and statutory...	Nov. 06, 2008	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>237. Edwards v. Beck</b> ¶ 8 F.Supp.3d 1091, 1099 , E.D.Ark.  FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Arkansas statute banning abortions at 12 weeks gestation was unconstitutional.	Mar. 14, 2014	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>238. Little Rock Family Planning Services, P.A. v. Dalton</b> ¶ 860 F.Supp. 609, 616+ , E.D.Ark.  Clinics and doctors brought injunctive and declaratory action on behalf of themselves and of Arkansas Medicaid eligible women on ground that amendment to Arkansas Constitution...	July 25, 1994	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>239. Limpin v. California</b> ¶ 2023 WL 3213862, *3 , S.D.Cal.  On January 9, 2023, Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed a complaint alleging four causes of action against numerous defendants. Plaintiff also filed a Motion for Leave to proceed...	May 02, 2023	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>240. State v. Azar</b> 385 F.Supp.3d 960, 973 , N.D.Cal.  FAMILY LAW — Abortion. Statewide preliminary injunction barring HHS final rule forbidding Title X grantees from making referral for abortion services was appropriate.	Apr. 26, 2019	Case		—
Cited by	<b>241. American Civil Liberties Union of Northern California v. Burwell</b>  2017 WL 4551492, *7 , N.D.Cal.  The ACLU of Northern California filed a lawsuit—based on taxpayer standing—challenging federal grants to religious organizations for the care of unaccompanied immigrant minors and...	Oct. 11, 2017	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>242. Zepeda v. U.S.</b>  2013 WL 4776509, *2 , E.D.Cal.  Petitioner is proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Petitioner is currently in custody at the California Correctional...	Sep. 04, 2013	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>243. Salazar v. Brown</b>  2013 WL 3187679, *3 , N.D.Cal.  In this action by a pro se plaintiff alleging violations of due process and equal protection pursuant to 42 U.S.C.1983, defendants move to dismiss. For the reasons stated below,...	June 21, 2013	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>244. Croteau v. California</b> 2007 WL 4180831, *4 , S.D.Cal.  Presently before the Court are motions to dismiss the first amended complaint for failure to state a claim filed by the City of San Diego ("the City") and the San Diego Police...	Nov. 21, 2007	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>245. Croteau v. City of San Diego</b> 2007 WL 9776734, *5 , S.D.Cal.  Presently before the court is a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim filed by the City of San Diego (the City) and the San Diego Police Department ("SDPD") (collectively...	July 25, 2007	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>246. Rodriguez v. Merez</b> 2006 WL 1747234, *3 , E.D.Cal.  On September 30, 2005, this court granted plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis and directed the filing and service of process of plaintiff's complaint. The...	June 21, 2006	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	  <b>247. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co. v. Bonta</b>  272 F.Supp.2d 1085, 1109+ , E.D.Cal.  GOVERNMENT - Tobacco. Anti-tobacco ads were protected government speech.	July 24, 2003	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>248. Gardner v. Wilson</b> 959 F.Supp. 1224, 1229 , C.D.Cal.  Inmate sued prison officials and others challenging constitutionality of five dollar copayments imposed on medical visits. Officials moved for summary judgment. The District...	Mar. 03, 1997	Case		<a href="#">2</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>249. Bella Lewitzky Dance Foundation v. Frohnmayer</b> 754 F.Supp. 774, 784 , C.D.Cal.  Nonprofit corporation which applied for National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) grants brought action challenging constitutionality of requirement that grant recipients certify that...	Jan. 09, 1991	Case		<a href="#">3</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>250. Blankenship v. Estep</b> 2008 WL 4964712, *3 , D.Colo.  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Habeas Corpus. The petitioner was not entitled to habeas relief where the statute under which he made incriminating statements did not violate the Equal...	Nov. 18, 2008	Case		<a href="#">2</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>251. Mares v. Conagra Poultry Co., Inc.</b> 773 F.Supp. 248, 255 , D.Colo.  Employee who was terminated for refusing to sign a form disclosing any medications being taken and authorizing the named physician to release to the employer any information...	July 15, 1991	Case		<a href="#">4</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>252. Dicara v. Connecticut Educ. Dept.</b> ¶ 2008 WL 5083622, *2 , D.Conn.  GOVERNMENT - Licensing. The state department of education was entitled to summary judgment on an equal protection claim brought by an individual seeking certification as a School...	Nov. 26, 2008	Case		<a href="#">2</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>253. Town of West Hartford v. Operation Rescue</b> ¶ 792 F.Supp. 161, 164+ , D.Conn.  Town brought action against antiabortion protestors to obtain preliminary injunction against protest. Abortion clinic intervened. The District Court, 726 F.Supp. 371, issued...	May 12, 1992	Case		<a href="#">4</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>254. Rosado v. Bowen</b> 1986 WL 12433, *9 , D.Conn.  This is a challenge to federal and state regulations that define the "household" or "family unit" for purposes of determining eligibility for Aid to Families with Dependent...	Apr. 25, 1986	Case		—
Cited by	<b>255. Ruby v. Massey</b> 452 F.Supp. 361, 365 , D.Conn.  Parents of severely mentally retarded children brought action challenging refusal of hospital to perform sterilizations upon the children. The District Court, Blumenfeld, J., held...	May 16, 1978	Case		<a href="#">7</a>  S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> <b>256. DKT Memorial Fund Ltd. v. Agency for Intern. Development</b> ¶¶ 691 F.Supp. 394, 404 , D.D.C.</p> <p>Domestic and foreign nongovernment family planning organizations brought declaratory suit challenging Agency for International Development's antiabortion funding policy. The...</p>	July 01, 1988	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">5</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>257. International Union, United Auto., Aerospace and Agr. Implement Workers of America, UAW v. Lyng</b> ¶¶ 648 F.Supp. 1234, 1246 , D.D.C.</p> <p>Unions and individual members and their families challenged constitutionality of 1981 amendment to Food Stamp Act precluding household from becoming eligible for food stamps if...</p>	Nov. 14, 1986	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>258. International Union, United Auto., Aerospace and Agr. Implement Workers of America, UAW v. Block</b> ¶¶ 1985 WL 56768, *5 , D.D.C.</p> <p>At issue here is the constitutionality of a 1981 amendment (7 U.S.C. §2015(d)(3)) to the Food Stamp Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. §§2011-2029)), which generally precludes a family from...</p>	Sep. 30, 1985	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>259. Gray Panthers v. Administrator, Health Care Financing Admin.</b> 566 F.Supp. 889, 893 , D.D.C.</p> <p>National organization dedicated to helping nation's elderly sought to have medicaid regulations which permitted states to deem income from noninstitutionalized spouse to be...</p>	July 15, 1983	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>260. Haring v. Blumenthal</b> 471 F.Supp. 1172, 1177 , D.D.C.</p> <p>Plaintiff sought relief from alleged religious discrimination in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and an injunction to restrain the Internal Revenue Service,...</p>	Apr. 10, 1979	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>261. Rodriguez v. Procter &amp; Gamble Company</b> 465 F.Supp.3d 1301, 1327 , S.D.Fla.</p> <p>IMMIGRATION — Employment. Employer's policy that rejected Deferred Action for Childhood Arrival (DACA) recipients and others legally authorized to work violated § 1981.</p>	June 10, 2020	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>262. Laque v. Adkinson</b> ¶¶ 2019 WL 4196903, *8 , N.D.Fla.</p> <p>Plaintiff Michael Laque, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, sues Defendants Dr. James Sheppard, Nurse Cindy Weeks and Walton County Sheriff Michael Adkinson under 42 U.S.C. §...</p>	Aug. 01, 2019	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>263. Planned Parenthood of Southwest and Central Florida v. Philip</b> 194 F.Supp.3d 1213, 1216 , N.D.Fla.  FAMILY LAW — Abortion. Injunction enjoining law that prohibited clinics receiving state funds for services unrelated to abortions from performing abortions was warranted.	June 30, 2016	Case		—
Cited by	<b>264. Pottinger v. City of Miami</b> ” 810 F.Supp. 1551, 1578 , S.D.Fla.  Class action was brought under § 1983 against city on behalf of homeless persons living in city, alleging violations of constitutional rights in connection with arrests and...	Nov. 16, 1992	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>265. Hospital Development and Service Corp. v. North Broward Hosp. Dist.</b> ” 619 F.Supp. 535, 539 , S.D.Fla.  Action was brought against hospital district, challenging its policies regarding treatment of indigent patients. On district's motions for summary judgment, the District Court,...	Sep. 16, 1985	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>266. Scheinberg v. Smith</b> 482 F.Supp. 529, 537+ , S.D.Fla.  Licensed physician who performs abortions brought class action challenging the constitutionality of provisions of the Florida Medical Practice Act. The District Court, Aronovitz,...	Dec. 13, 1979	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>267. Planned Parenthood Ass'n of Atlanta Area, Inc. v. Harris</b> 670 F.Supp. 971, 982 , N.D.Ga.  Providers of abortion and abortion-related services brought class action seeking relief against the Georgia Parental Notification Act which requires minors seeking abortion to...	Sep. 08, 1987	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>268. Rush v. Johnson</b> ” 565 F.Supp. 856, 868+ , N.D.Ga.  Action was brought for declaratory, injunctive and mandamus relief and damages against Director of the Georgia Department of Medical Assistance who denied plaintiff's application...	June 09, 1983	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>269. Legal Aid Soc. of Hawaii v. Legal Services Corp.</b> 961 F.Supp. 1402, 1411 , D.Hawai'i  Legal aid organizations which received federal funds from the Legal Services Corporation (LSC), together with two of their lawyers, brought action to enjoin LSC from enforcing...	Feb. 14, 1997	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>270. Dupage Habitat for Humanity, Inc. v. Village of Glendale Heights</b> 2002 WL 449285, *5 , N.D.Ill.  Plaintiff, DuPage Habitat for Humanity ("Habitat"), brings this action against Defendant, the Village of Glendale Heights ("Village"), for an alleged violation of equal...	Mar. 21, 2002	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.






Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>271. K.L. v. Edgar</b> 941 F.Supp. 706, 709 , N.D.Ill.  Class of patients institutionalized for mental illness in mental health facilities operated by state brought action against state, challenging conditions and practices in such...	Sep. 30, 1996	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>272. King v. Bradley</b> 829 F.Supp. 989, 995 , N.D.Ill.  Child support recipients brought action against Illinois officials, seeking to compel compliance with Child Support Enforcement Act. On officials' motion to dismiss, the District...	Aug. 09, 1993	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>273. Radcliffe v. Bowen</b> 1987 WL 6613, *4 , N.D.Ill.  Plaintiffs bring a putative class action suit to challenge 20 C.F.R. § 206.10(a)(1)(vii), issued pursuant to § 2640 of the Deficit Reduction Act of 1984 (DEFRA), 42 U.S.C. §...	Feb. 10, 1987	Case		—
Cited by	<b>274. Planned Parenthood of Indiana and Kentucky, Inc. v. Commissioner, Indiana State Department of Health</b> 258 F.Supp.3d 929, 941 , S.D.Ind.  FAMILY LAW — Abortion. Preliminary injunction was warranted to enjoin enforcement of amendments to Indiana law pertaining to unemancipated pregnant minors seeking abortions.	June 28, 2017	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>275. Gary-Northwest Indiana Women's Services, Inc. v. Bowen</b> 496 F.Supp. 894, 901+ , N.D.Ind.  Action was brought challenging constitutionality of certain provisions of Indiana's abortion statute. A three-judge panel of the United States District Court for the Northern...	Sep. 12, 1980	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>276. U.S. v. Fadl</b> 2006 WL 4711885, *6+ , N.D.Iowa  Before the court are Defendant Abdel Mageed Fadl's Objections (docket no. 45) to United States Magistrate Judge John A. Jarvey's Report and Recommendation (docket no. 35), which...	Aug. 04, 2006	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>277. U.S. v. Fadl</b> 2006 WL 1989795, *3 , N.D.Iowa  This matter comes before the court pursuant to defendant's June 21, 2006 motion to set aside guilty plea (docket number 33). This matter was referred to the undersigned United...	July 13, 2006	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>278. Laird v. Stilwill</b> 969 F.Supp. 1167, 1198 , N.D.Iowa  Class of claimants for Social Security disability benefits brought civil rights action against Director of Disability Determination Services Bureau (DDS) of Iowa Department of...	June 12, 1997	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>279. Comprehensive Health of Planned Parenthood of Kansas and Mid-Missouri, Inc. v. Templeton</b> ” 954 F.Supp.2d 1205, 1218+ , D.Kan. FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Abortion provider was not entitled to preliminary injunctive relief in its challenge to Kansas “fetal pain provision.”	June 30, 2013	Case		<a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>280. Planned Parenthood of Kansas and Mid-Missouri v. Brownback</b> 799 F.Supp.2d 1218, 1233 , D.Kan. FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Organization was entitled to preliminary injunctive relief on a challenge to state legislation affecting Title X funding.	Aug. 01, 2011	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>281. Tank v. Chronister</b> 941 F.Supp. 969, 974 , D.Kan. Husband of deceased patient brought action against hospital under Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act (EMTALA) alleging violation of federal rights and medical...	June 06, 1996	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>282. June Medical Services LLC v. Gee</b> 280 F.Supp.3d 849, 861 , M.D.La. FAMILY LAW — Abortion. Favorable decision would not redress alleged injury caused by abortion regulation since state criminalized all abortions performed after 20 weeks.	Nov. 16, 2017	Case		—
Cited by	<b>283. June Medical Services LLC v. Kliebert</b> ” 158 F.Supp.3d 473, 531+ , M.D.La. FAMILY LAW — Abortion. Statute requiring abortion providers to have hospital admitting privileges placed undue burden on large fraction of women in state seeking abortion.	Jan. 26, 2016	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>284. George v. Home Depot, Inc.</b> 2001 WL 1558315, *8 , E.D.La. Plaintiff, Teresa N. George, brings this action against her former employer, The Home Depot, Inc., alleging religious discrimination in employment pursuant to Title VII, 42 U.S.C....	Dec. 06, 2001	Case		—
Cited by	<b>285. Britell v. U.S.</b> 150 F.Supp.2d 211, 216+ , D.Mass. FAMILY LAW - Abortion. CHAMPUS refusal to fund abortion of anencephalic fetus remains in question.	May 16, 2001	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>286. Dickerson v. Latessa</b> 688 F.Supp. 797, 800 , D.Mass. Massachusetts inmate convicted of first-degree murder sought federal habeas corpus relief asserting that Massachusetts denied equal protection to persons convicted of first-degree...	May 31, 1988	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.



Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> <b>287. Jaffe v. Sharp</b> 463 F.Supp. 222, 231 , D.Mass.</p> <p>In two related cases, medicaid recipients, physicians, and various organizations challenged state statute refusing funding of abortions for medicaid recipients except where...</p>	July 28, 1978	Case		<p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>288. Reynolds v. Dukakis</b> 441 F.Supp. 646, 655 , D.Mass.</p> <p>A civil action was brought for a judicial declaration that certain statutes of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts denying state veterans' benefits to plaintiff violated his...</p>	Nov. 09, 1977	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>289. Jenkins v. Kurtinitis</b> </p> <p>2015 WL 1285355, *19+ , D.Md.</p> <p>Plaintiff Brandon Jenkins, who unsuccessfully sought admission to the Radiation Therapy Program at the Community College of Baltimore County ("CCBC"), filed suit against four CCBC...</p>	Mar. 20, 2015	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>290. Johnson v. Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services</b> </p> <p>885 F.Supp. 817, 821 , D.Md.</p> <p>Inmate challenged state's co-pay policy regarding inmate medical care. The District Court, Smalkin, J., held that co-pay system bore rational relationship to legitimate prison...</p>	May 04, 1995	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>291. McCarthy v. Hornbeck</b> </p> <p>590 F.Supp. 936, 945+ , D.Md.</p> <p>Action was brought challenging Maryland laws under which school transportation is not provided for all students attending private schools. The District Court, Alexander Harvey,...</p>	July 20, 1984	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>292. Family Planning Association of Maine v. United States Department of Health and Human Services</b> </p> <p>466 F.Supp.3d 259, 273 , D.Me.</p> <p>FAMILY LAW — Abortion. Rule permitting nondirective counseling by Title X grant recipients but prohibiting referrals for abortion services was not arbitrary and capricious.</p>	June 09, 2020	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>293. Family Planning Association of Maine v. United States Department of Health and Human Services</b> </p> <p>404 F.Supp.3d 286, 317+ , D.Me.</p> <p>FAMILY LAW — Abortion. Title X grantee was not likely to prevail in action against Secretary of HHS on claim alleging that new final rule violated Administrative Procedures Act.</p>	July 03, 2019	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>294. Strehlke v. Grosse Pointe Public Schools System</b> </p> <p>2014 WL 4603482, *6 , E.D.Mich.</p> <p>In this civil rights action, instituted pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Plaintiffs—each of whom is a minor filing suit through a parent as next of friend—claim that Defendants—a...</p>	Sep. 15, 2014	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>295. Davis v. City of Detroit Fire Dept.</b> 2005 WL 1541097, *4 , E.D.Mich.  This action arises out of a December 13, 2000, fire that started in the kitchen area of Plaintiff's second floor flat. As a result of the fire, Plaintiff jumped from a window and...	June 30, 2005	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>296. Swope v. Lubbers</b> 560 F.Supp. 1328, 1330+ , W.D.Mich.  State college students sought injunctive relief with regard to the refusal to transfer funds for the showing of an x-rated film. The District Court, Hillman, J., held that...	Apr. 07, 1983	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>297. Bishop v. Swanson</b> 🗨️ 2023 WL 1786468, *23 , D.Minn.  These matters' plaintiffs—Merel Evans Bishop, Joseph Goodwin, William McRae, and William Mosby—are individuals committed to the Minnesota Sex Offender Program (MSOP) in Moose Lake,...	Jan. 24, 2023	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>298. United States v. Lazzaro</b> 🗨️ 2022 WL 17417970, *4+ , D.Minn.  Defendant Anton Joseph Lazzaro moves the Court to dismiss the indictment against him, alleging selective and vindictive prosecution [Dkt. No. 236]. In addition, he contends the...	Oct. 12, 2022	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	🚩 <b>299. Hodgson v. State of Minn.</b> 648 F.Supp. 756, 770+ , D.Minn.  Various facilities providing abortion services and unemancipated minors brought action attacking constitutionality of Minnesota statute requiring notification to parents of minors...	Nov. 06, 1986	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	🚩 <b>300. Rollen v. Dwyer</b> 2007 WL 2199676, *21+ , E.D.Mo.  This matter is before the Court on petitioner's objections to the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Mary Ann L. Medler, to whom the matter was referred...	July 27, 2007	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>301. Roby v. Williams</b> 2006 WL 8441953, *2 , W.D.Mo.  Plaintiff has filed this pro se civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, seeking relief for certain claimed violations of his federally protected rights occurring during his...	Aug. 28, 2006	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>302. Sokol v. Smith</b> 671 F.Supp. 1243, 1246 , W.D.Mo.  Women, who left employment to have children, and were unable to regain their former positions, brought suit on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, to have...	Sep. 01, 1987	Case		—


















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	  <b>303. Reproductive Health Services v. Webster</b> 662 F.Supp. 407, 427+ , W.D.Mo. Class action was brought seeking declaratory and injunctive relief, which challenged constitutionality of several sections of state act relating to regulation of abortion. The...	Mar. 17, 1987	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">5</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>304. Planned Parenthood of Missoula Inc. v. Blouke</b>  858 F.Supp. 137, 142+ , D.Mont. Providers of reproductive health care services sought declaratory judgment that state of Montana, as participant in Medicaid program, was required to fund costs associated with...	July 19, 1994	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>305. Dove v. Schurmeier</b>  2019 WL 4670740, *6 , E.D.N.C. This matter is before the court on defendants' motion for summary judgment (DE 72). The issues raised have been fully briefed and are ripe for adjudication. For the following...	Sep. 24, 2019	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>306. Murdock v. Thompson</b> 2018 WL 3869992, *5 , W.D.N.C. THIS MATTER is before the Court on initial review of pro se Plaintiff's Complaint, (Doc. No. 1). Plaintiff is proceeding in forma pauperis. (Doc. No. 6). Pro se Plaintiff filed a...	Aug. 14, 2018	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>307. McDaniel v. Bailey</b>  2018 WL 3381428, *6 , W.D.N.C. THIS MATTER is before the Court on initial review of the Complaint, (Doc. No. 1), Plaintiff's Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs, (Doc. No....	July 11, 2018	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>308. McDaniel v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools</b>  2018 WL 11486879, *5 , W.D.N.C. THIS MATTER is before the Court on initial review of the Complaint, (Doc. No. 1), and Plaintiff's Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs, (Doc....	May 11, 2018	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>309. Planned Parenthood of Cent. North Carolina v. Cansler</b>  877 F.Supp.2d 310, 327 , M.D.N.C. FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Legislation barring family planning organization from receiving funds was unconstitutional bill of attainder.	June 28, 2012	Case		<a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>310. Planned Parenthood of Central North Carolina v. Cansler</b>  804 F.Supp.2d 482, 494+ , M.D.N.C. GOVERNMENT - Public Assistance. Family planning organization was entitled to preliminary injunctive relief against enforcement of anti-funding legislation.	Aug. 19, 2011	Case		<a href="#">5</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> <b>311. Lilly v. Harris-Teeter Supermarket</b> 545 F.Supp. 686, 707 , W.D.N.C.</p> <p>Black employees of owner of warehouse and grocery stores brought an employment discrimination class action against owner. The District Court, 503 F.Supp. 29, McMillan, J., granted...</p>	July 13, 1982	Case		—
Cited by	<p><b>312. International Broadcasting Corp. v. City of Bismarck</b> 697 F.Supp. 1094, 1097 , D.N.D.</p> <p>Applicant for cable television franchise brought suit against city, challenging decision of city to grant all three applicants a franchise. On city's motion for dismissal, the...</p>	Feb. 19, 1987	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>313. Valley Family Planning v. State of N.D.</b> 489 F.Supp. 238, 242 , D.N.D.</p> <p>Action was brought challenging constitutionality of North Dakota statute. The District Court, Benson, Chief Judge, held that North Dakota statute providing that no government funds...</p>	May 15, 1980	Case		—
Cited by	<p><b>314. Doe v. Nebraska</b> </p> <p>734 F.Supp.2d 882, 927 , D.Neb.</p> <p>CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Sex Offenders. Consent to search and Internet monitoring provisions of Nebraska's Sex Offender Registration Act violated Fourth Amendment.</p>	Aug. 16, 2010	Case		—
Cited by	<p> <b>315. U.S. v. Summers</b> </p> <p>2008 WL 5255816, *6 , D.Neb.</p> <p>CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Sex Offenders. A sex offender's right to interstate travel was not impermissibly burdened by the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act.</p>	Dec. 16, 2008	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>316. Smith v. McDaniel</b> </p> <p>2009 WL 2152325, *39 , D.Nev.</p> <p>This habeas matter under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 comes before the Court for a decision on the merits on the remaining claims. Petitioner Tony Smith seeks to set aside his July 6, 1999,...</p>	July 10, 2009	Case		—
Cited by	<p><b>317. Gary S. v. Manchester School Dist.</b> </p> <p>241 F.Supp.2d 111, 118 , D.N.H.</p> <p>EDUCATION - Disabled Students. Private school student was not entitled to hearing regarding IDEA benefits.</p>	Jan. 16, 2003	Case		—
Cited by	<p><b>318. Forum for Academic and Institutional Rights, Inc. v. Rumsfeld</b></p> <p>291 F.Supp.2d 269, 298 , D.N.J.</p> <p>EDUCATION - Federal Funding. Law schools were not likely to prevail on claim Solomon Amendment violated First Amendment.</p>	Nov. 05, 2003	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.</p>

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>319. Black United Fund of New Jersey, Inc. v. Kean</b> ¶ 593 F.Supp. 1567, 1580+ , D.N.J.  Umbrella organization formed for purpose of raising funds to benefit selected health and welfare groups sought preliminary injunction compelling the governor to permit it to...	Oct. 04, 1984	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>320. Ward v. Presbyterian Healthcare Services</b> 1999 WL 35809384, *2 , D.N.M.  THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Defendant The Board of Regents of the University of New Mexico's ("UNM") Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Civil Rights Claims for Failure to...	Aug. 30, 1999	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>321. McNeil v. HCDSS</b> 2018 WL 6173983, *7 , N.D.N.Y.  Pro se Plaintiffs Jeffrey McNeil and Alissa McNeil have commenced this action against Herkimer County Department of Social Services ("HCDSS") and Sarah Riente, a HCDSS case worker,...	Aug. 17, 2018	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>322. Bullock v. DSS, CPS, Commissioner</b> 2018 WL 1115218, *7 , N.D.N.Y.  The Clerk has sent to the Court for review two complaints filed in one action at the request of pro se Plaintiff Saunduallee Bullock. (Dkt. Nos. 1 and 1-1.) Plaintiff names...	Jan. 18, 2018	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>323. Wallace v. New York</b> ¶ 40 F.Supp.3d 278, 330 , E.D.N.Y.  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Sex Offenders. State sex offender residency restrictions, which imposed lifetime restrictions on some offenders, did not violate Ex Post Facto Clause.	Aug. 28, 2014	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>324. Samuel v. Excelsior College</b> 2014 WL 2105839, *4 , N.D.N.Y.  Pro se plaintiff Wayne Samuel brought this action alleging violations of his civil rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On April 30, 2014, the Honorable Randolph F. Treece, United...	May 20, 2014	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>325. Williams v. Falkowski</b> 2013 WL 5423703, *10 , N.D.N.Y.  The above-captioned matter comes to this court following a Report–Recommendation by Magistrate Judge Randolph F. Treece, duly filed September 4, 2013. Following ten days from the...	Sep. 26, 2013	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>326. Smith v. Hogan</b> 2011 WL 4478503, *5 , N.D.N.Y.  Pro se Plaintiff Leon Smith brought this action, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging his constitutional rights were violated when, while incarcerated at the Central New York...	Aug. 02, 2011	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.


Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>327. Faccio v. National Grid Elec. and Gas Co.</b> 2010 WL 2948488, *1 , N.D.N.Y.  The Clerk has sent to the Court for review a Complaint filed by pro se Plaintiffs Daniel and Chastity Faccio, along with their children, D.F. and A.F. Dkt. No. 1, Compl. Daniel...	June 24, 2010	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>328. Bronx Legal Services v. Legal Services Corp.</b> 2002 WL 1835597, *6 , S.D.N.Y.  LEGAL SERVICES - Attorney-Client Relationship. Disclosure of legal aid client names did not violate ethical obligations.	Aug. 08, 2002	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>329. Velazquez v. Legal Services Corp.</b> ¶¶ 985 F.Supp. 323, 344 , E.D.N.Y.  Recipients of funding from the Legal Services Corporation filed suit challenging the constitutionality of the program integrity regulations that govern the use of non-LSC funds by...	Dec. 22, 1997	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>330. Peel v. Crew</b> 1996 WL 719378, *14+ , S.D.N.Y.  Plaintiffs Vanessa Peel and Tyrone Lee ("Plaintiffs"), as parents and voters in Community School Board District 12, County of Bronx, have moved by order to show cause for a...	Dec. 13, 1996	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>331. Rocanova v. U.S.</b> 955 F.Supp. 27, 31 , S.D.N.Y.  Debtor-taxpayer commenced adversary proceeding challenging notice of levy served to collect unpaid withholding taxes. Motion to withdraw reference to Bankruptcy Court was...	May 29, 1996	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>332. West v. City of New York</b> 1996 WL 240161, *4 , S.D.N.Y.  Plaintiff James West brings this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging that defendants City of New York, the New York City Department of Health ("DOH"), Richard...	May 08, 1996	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>333. Tenorio v. Murphy</b> ¶¶ 866 F.Supp. 92, 96 , E.D.N.Y.  After he was convicted of drug offense, prisoner brought civil rights action against federal and state police officials and attorneys who had represented him. Defendants moved to...	Sep. 20, 1994	Case		—
Cited by	<b>334. Cohen v. Bane</b> ¶¶ 853 F.Supp. 620, 628 , E.D.N.Y.  Pharmacies and physicians whose status as Medicaid providers was terminated by state agency brought civil rights suit alleging existence of a conspiracy to discriminate against...	May 20, 1994	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>335. Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc. v. Agency for Intern. Development</b> 1990 WL 26306, *9+ , S.D.N.Y.  Plaintiffs Planned Parenthood Federation of America ("Planned Parenthood") and Planned Parenthood Center of El Paso ("PPCEP"), Stewart R. Mott ("Mott"), Stephen Isaacs...	Mar. 07, 1990	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>336. Gay Men's Health Crisis v. Sullivan</b> 733 F.Supp. 619, 636 , S.D.N.Y.  Several nonprofit organizational and governmental plaintiffs involved in education of homosexual men and other persons about Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS) brought suit...	Dec. 14, 1989	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>337. Mezetin v. Cunningham</b> 628 F.Supp. 173, 176 , S.D.N.Y.  Former prisoner brought action alleging that defendants deprived him of his civil rights in denying him good-time credit against his term of incarceration for contempt of court. ...	Feb. 19, 1986	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>338. Seide v. Prevost</b> 536 F.Supp. 1121, 1138 , S.D.N.Y.  Members of Board of Visitors of Manhattan Children's Psychiatric Center and representatives of child and adult psychiatric patients brought class action for injunctive relief...	Mar. 19, 1982	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>339. Yapalater v. Bates</b> 494 F.Supp. 1349, 1365 , S.D.N.Y.  Psychiatrist in private practice sued complaining of refusal of New York State officials to approve medicaid reimbursement for services of ancillary personnel working under the...	July 30, 1980	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>340. Bacon v. Toia</b> 493 F.Supp. 865, 871+ , S.D.N.Y.  Recipients of aid to families with dependent children brought class action challenging validity of revised eligibility requirements of emergency assistance program established by...	June 23, 1980	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>341. Crespo v. U.S. Merit Systems Protection Bd.</b> 486 F.Supp.2d 680, 694 , N.D.Ohio  CIVIL RIGHTS - Free Speech. Hatch Act provision barring county department director from running for partisan office was rationally based.	Feb. 16, 2007	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>342. McGuire v. Ameritech Services, Inc.</b> 253 F.Supp.2d 988, 1000 , S.D.Ohio  ENERGY AND UTILITIES - Telecommunications. Recipients of collect calls from state and county inmates challenged legality of high rates.	Jan. 15, 2003	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>343. Akron Center for Reproductive Health v. Rosen</b> 633 F.Supp. 1123, 1136 , N.D.Ohio  Action was brought seeking declaration of constitutionality of Ohio parental notification abortion statute. The District Court, Ann Aldrich, J., held that: (1) pregnant...	Apr. 22, 1986	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	  <b>344. Haskell v. Washington Tp.</b> 635 F.Supp. 550, 557+ , S.D.Ohio  Physician brought suit and moved for partial summary judgment arguing that zoning resolutions were unconstitutional and illegally deprived him of opportunity to open abortion...	Apr. 17, 1986	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>345. West Side Women's Services, Inc. v. City of Cleveland</b> 450 F.Supp. 796, 798+ , N.D.Ohio  Physician and clinic corporation filed complaint against city, inter alia, after city's passage of ordinance prohibiting plaintiffs from operating abortion clinic in local retail...	Mar. 01, 1978	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>346. Hill v. Kemp</b> 645 F.Supp.2d 992, 999 , N.D.Okla.  GOVERNMENT - States. Oklahoma statute governing distribution of specialty license plate fees did not violate unconstitutional conditions doctrine.	July 31, 2009	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>347. Hill v. Kemp</b> 2005 WL 8178307, *7 , N.D.Okla.  Now before the Court are the motions to dismiss (Dkt. ## 46, 47, 50, and 51) filed by defendants Howard H. Hendrick, in his official capacity as Director of the Oklahoma Department...	Aug. 16, 2005	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>348. U.S. v. Clayton</b>  2009 WL 1033664, *18+ , W.D.Pa.  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Sex Offenders. Requirement that sex offenders register within three days of traveling in interstate commerce was one way which closely linked such registration...	Apr. 16, 2009	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>349. U.S. v. Shenandoah</b>  572 F.Supp.2d 566, 586 , M.D.Pa.  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Sex Offenders. Prosecution of defendants under the criminal provision of SORNA did not violate due process.	Aug. 20, 2008	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>350. Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey</b> 744 F.Supp. 1323, 1376+ , E.D.Pa.  Challenge was brought to constitutionality of 1988 and 1989 amendments to Pennsylvania abortion statute. Plaintiff moved for partial summary judgment. The District Court,...	Aug. 24, 1990	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>351. Planned Parenthood Federation of America v. Sullivan</b>  1989 WL 149755, *7 , E.D.Pa.  This case involves a challenge by plaintiff family planning groups to revised Part 700 of the Public Health Service Grants Administration Manual and its implementation via requests...	Dec. 08, 1989	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p><b>352. U.S. v. Kerr</b> 686 F.Supp. 1174, 1178 , W.D.Pa.</p> <p>Defendants filed provision papers raising several objections to conclusions in their presentence reports, including constitutional challenges to sentencing guidelines' "Criminal...</p>	June 03, 1988	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>353. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Pennsylvania Section v. Thornburgh</b> ¶¶ 613 F.Supp. 656, 670 , E.D.Pa.</p> <p>Abortion providers brought action challenging constitutionality of Pennsylvania Abortion Control Act. Preliminary injunction issued as to one section, 552 F.Supp. 791, and appeal...</p>	June 17, 1985	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>354. Brown v. Heckler</b> ¶¶ 589 F.Supp. 985, 995 , E.D.Pa.</p> <p>Action was brought challenging constitutionality of statute requiring that certain income of stepparent be considered in determining AFDC benefits. On cross motions for summary...</p>	Apr. 26, 1984	Case		<p><a href="#">6</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>355. Roe v. Casey</b> 464 F.Supp. 487, 497+ , E.D.Pa.</p> <p>Pregnant, indigent women, physicians, and others brought action against Pennsylvania State Treasurer and Secretary of State Department of Public Welfare, challenging state statute...</p>	Dec. 21, 1978	Case		<p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>356. Roe v. Casey</b> 464 F.Supp. 483, 486 , E.D.Pa.</p> <p>Pregnant, indigent females, physicians and others brought action against Pennsylvania State Treasurer and Secretary of State Department of Public Welfare challenging...</p>	Dec. 11, 1978	Case		—
Cited by	<p><b>357. Doe v. Colautti</b> 454 F.Supp. 621, 631+ , E.D.Pa.</p> <p>Plaintiff, an inmate in a private psychiatric hospital, sought a preliminary injunction in his suit contending that Pennsylvania's 60-day limitation on medical assistance benefits...</p>	June 21, 1978	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>358. A.C. v. Raimondo</b> ¶¶ 494 F.Supp.3d 170, 191 , D.R.I.</p> <p>EDUCATION — Civil Rights. Civics education is not a fundamental right under the Constitution.</p>	Oct. 13, 2020	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>359. Women's Medical Center of Providence, Inc. v. Roberts</b> 530 F.Supp. 1136, 1143+ , D.R.I.</p> <p>Action was brought challenging constitutional validity of Rhode Island "Informed Consent for Abortion" Act. The District Court, Pettine, Chief Judge, held that: (1) provision of...</p>	Jan. 15, 1982	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>360. <a href="#">Rose v. Borsos</a></b>  2018 WL 3967673, *8 , E.D.Tenn.  Before the Court are the following motions: 1) a motion for substitution of parties filed by the United States (Doc. 95); 2) a motion to dismiss filed by the United States, Dean...	Aug. 17, 2018	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>361. <a href="#">Graham v. Tennessee Secondary School Athletic Ass'n</a></b> 1995 WL 115890, *8 , E.D.Tenn.  Plaintiffs are students or parents of students at The McCallie School ("McCallie"), a private school located in Chattanooga, Tennessee. McCallie is a member of the Tennessee...	Feb. 20, 1995	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>362. <a href="#">Planned Parenthood Ass'n of Hidalgo County Texas, Inc. v. Suehs</a></b>  828 F.Supp.2d 872, 882+ , W.D.Tex.  FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Health-care providers had substantial likelihood of success on equal protection claim challenging Texas administrative rule.	Apr. 30, 2012	Case		<a href="#">2</a> <a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>363. <a href="#">Planned Parenthood of Cent. Tex. v. Sanchez</a></b>  280 F.Supp.2d 590, 607+ , W.D.Tex.  FAMILY LAW - Abortion. State restriction on privately funded abortions was preempted.	Aug. 04, 2003	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	  <b>364. <a href="#">Andrews v. Ballard</a></b> 498 F.Supp. 1038, 1051 , S.D.Tex.  Proceeding was instituted on constitutional challenge to provisions of the Texas Medical Practice Act and regulations promulgated pursuant thereto. The District Court, McDonald,...	July 09, 1980	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>365. <a href="#">Medina v. Allen</a></b> 2023 WL 2743137, *9 , D.Utah  Defendants' Motion to Dismiss was filed over a year ago on March 4, 2022. Extensive briefing by the parties followed. Plaintiffs filed a Memorandum in Opposition on May 31, 2022, ...	Mar. 31, 2023	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>366. <a href="#">Utah Women's Clinic, Inc. v. Graham</a></b>  892 F.Supp. 1379, 1384 , D.Utah  Clinics, on behalf of themselves and the Medicaid eligible women they serve, brought § 1983 action, seeking declaration that Utah's abortion funding statute contravenes federal...	June 20, 1995	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>367. <a href="#">Jane L. v. Bangerter</a></b>  794 F.Supp. 1537, 1543 , D.Utah  Action was filed challenging Utah Abortion Act on ground that it violated Utah's and United States Constitutions. On defendants' motion for summary judgment and to dismiss, the...	May 22, 1992	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>368. Prynne v. Northam</b> 2019 WL 3860197, *6 , E.D.Va.  THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 19) Plaintiff's Complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). Plaintiff brought this...	Aug. 16, 2019	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>369. Austin v. Berryman</b> 670 F.Supp. 672, 677+ , W.D.Va.  Claimant brought action against Virginia Employment Commission, challenging denial of unemployment compensation benefits. On cross motions for partial summary judgment, the...	Sep. 23, 1987	Case		—
Cited by	<b>370. Balentine v. Tremblay</b> 2012 WL 1999859, *10 , D.Vt.  This matter came before the court on the motion to dismiss (Doc. 3) filed by Defendants Thomas Tremblay, Jeffrey Wallin, Max Schleuter, and Andrew Pallito (collectively,...	June 04, 2012	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>371. Compassion in Dying v. State of Wash.</b>  850 F.Supp. 1454, 1464 , W.D.Wash.  Suit was commenced challenging constitutional validity of state statute that banned physician-assisted suicide by mentally competent, terminally ill adults. Cross-motions for...	May 03, 1994	Case		—
Cited by	<b>372. Fox Valley Reproductive Health Care Center, Inc. v. Arft</b>  446 F.Supp. 1072, 1075 , E.D.Wis.  Abortion clinic brought suit challenging the validity of a town ordinance regulating abortion clinics. On the clinic's motion for a preliminary injunction, the District Court,...	Mar. 08, 1978	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>373. Phillips v. Thaxton</b> 2013 WL 8292680, *4 , S.D.W.Va.  Pending before the Court is the initial screening of Plaintiffs' pro se complaint filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, (ECF No. 2), and an Application to Proceed Without Prepayment...	Oct. 25, 2013	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>374. Calvert v. West Virginia Legal Services Plan, Inc.</b> 464 F.Supp. 789, 791+ , S.D.W.Va.  Action was brought to test the constitutionality of alleged state action which denied plaintiff access to free legal services when others whose financial status was similar had...	Feb. 07, 1979	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>375. In re Phillips</b> 60 B.R. 166, 168 , Bkrcty.E.D.Tenn.  Trustee filed objection to debtors' claim of homestead exemption for 15-month leasehold estate. The Bankruptcy Court, Clive W. Bare, J., held that Tennessee homestead statute,...	Mar. 28, 1986	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>376. Schetzner v. C.I.R.</b> 1999 WL 549561, *4 , U.S.Tax Ct.  This case was heard pursuant to the provisions of section 7443A(b)(3) and Rules 180, 181, and 182. Respondent determined deficiencies in petitioner's Federal income taxes for the...	July 29, 1999	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>377. Kozlowski v. C.I.R.</b> 1979 WL 3244, *1 , U.S.Tax Ct.  Respondent determined a deficiency of \$204 in petitioners' Federal income taxes for the year 1974. Because of concessions by the parties, the sole issue for our decision is...	May 08, 1979	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>378. Keeler v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</b> 70 T.C. 279, 286 , U.S.Tax Ct.  Petitioner sought child care deductions under sec. 214 for expenses which enabled her to be gainfully employed. Children lived with her but she was not entitled to claim them as...	May 22, 1978	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>379. Black v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</b> 69 T.C. 505, 509+ , U.S.Tax Ct.  Held: Sec. 214, I.R.C. 1954, as amended and in effect in 1972 and 1973, does not constitute unconstitutional discrimination on the basis of marital status, sex, or interference...	Dec. 21, 1977	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>380. State, Dept. of Health &amp; Social Services v. Planned Parenthood of Alaska, Inc.</b> 28 P.3d 904, 909+ , Alaska  CIVIL RIGHTS - Equal Protection. DHSS regulation denying funding for medically necessary abortions violates equal protection.	July 27, 2001	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>381. Simat Corp. v. Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System</b> 29 P.3d 281, 284+ , Ariz.App. Div. 1  GOVERNMENT - Public Assistance. Statute barring public funding of abortions, except those necessary to save life of mother, was constitutional.	Aug. 07, 2001	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>382. Zuravsky v. Asta</b> 569 P.2d 1371, 1372 , Ariz.App. Div. 2  County taxpayers filed suit to enjoin members of county board of supervisors from spending public funds for nontherapeutic abortions and to recover sums spent for such procedures...	Aug. 01, 1977	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>383. American Academy of Pediatrics v. Lungren</b> 66 Cal.Rptr.2d 210, 222 , Cal.  FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Parental consent statute violated right to privacy.	Aug. 05, 1997	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> <b>384. <a href="#">People v. Sorenson</a></b> ”                      2020 WL 781056, *6 , Cal.App. 6 Dist.</p> <p>Defendant John Anders Sorenson pleaded no contest to possessing a firearm as a felon. The trial court granted a three-year term of probation and imposed various fines and fees. We...</p>	Feb. 13, 2020	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>385. <a href="#">Wheatherford v. City of San Rafael</a></b> ”                      171 Cal.Rptr.3d 912, 918 , Cal.App. 1 Dist.</p> <p>GOVERNMENT — Counties. Resident who was not a landowner lacked “taxpayer” standing for suit to restrain expenditure of county and city funds.</p>	May 22, 2014	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>386. <a href="#">Evans v. City of Berkeley</a></b>                      127 Cal.Rptr.2d 696, 705+ , Cal.App. 1 Dist.</p> <p>CIVIL RIGHTS - Free Speech. Subsidy was lawfully denied to youth group for noncompliance with city non-discrimination policy.</p>	Nov. 25, 2002	Case		—
Cited by	<p><b>387. <a href="#">People v. Rivera</a></b>                      179 Cal.Rptr. 384, 390 , Cal.App. 4 Dist.</p> <p>Defendant was convicted before the Superior Court, San Diego County, Earl H. Maas, Jr., J., of first-degree burglary and assault with intent to commit murder, and he appealed. The...</p>	Dec. 24, 1981	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>388. <a href="#">California Chiropractic Assn. v. Human Relations Agency</a></b> ”                      154 Cal.Rptr. 255, 259 , Cal.App. 3 Dist.</p> <p>Chiropractic association brought action for declaratory and injunctive relief against state officials with respect to administration of the Medi-Cal program. The Superior Court,...</p>	Mar. 28, 1979	Case		<p><a href="#">6</a> S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>389. <a href="#">In re David B.</a></b>                      154 Cal.Rptr. 63, 70 , Cal.App. 5 Dist.</p> <p>Proceeding was initiated for severance of parental relationship because of mother's inability to care for her son due to mental illness. The Superior Court, Kern County, William...</p>	Mar. 28, 1979	Case		—
Cited by	<p><b>390. <a href="#">G. B. v. Lackner</a></b>                      145 Cal.Rptr. 555, 569+ , Cal.App. 1 Dist.</p> <p>Medi-Cal claimant sought benefits for surgery to treat gender identity dysphoria or transsexualism. The referee of the State Department of Health ordered treatment authorization...</p>	Apr. 20, 1978	Case		<p><a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>391. <a href="#">People in Interest of S. P. B.</a></b> ”                      651 P.2d 1213, 1216 , Colo.</p> <p>Natural father of illegitimate child appealed child support order of the District Court, El Paso County, Donald E. Campbell, J. The Supreme Court, Dubofsky, J., held that neither...</p>	Oct. 12, 1982	Case		—















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> <b>392. Lujan v. Colorado State Bd. of Educ.</b> 649 P.2d 1005, 1022 , Colo.</p> <p>In action challenging state's system of financing public elementary and secondary education, the District Court of the city and county of Denver, Joseph R. Quinn, J., declared...</p>	May 24, 1982	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>393. Mahoney v. Lensink</b> 569 A.2d 518, 530 , Conn.</p> <p>Parents of voluntary patient in state mental hospital brought action against commissioners of mental health, state police, and mental retardation upon suicide death of patient. The...</p>	Jan. 30, 1990	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>394. State v. Calash</b> 563 A.2d 660, 671 , Conn.</p> <p>Defendants were convicted in the Superior Court, Judicial District of New Haven, Hadden, J., of drug offenses following denial of suppression motions by the same court, Schaller,...</p>	Aug. 08, 1989	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>395. In re Juvenile Appeal ”</b> 454 A.2d 1262, 1274+ , Conn.</p> <p>Mother of minor child appealed from the Superior Court , in the judicial district of Litchfield at Torrington, Glass, J., following trial to state referee Thomas D. Gill,...</p>	Jan. 18, 1983	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>396. Doe v. Maher ”</b> 515 A.2d 134, 151 , Conn.Super.</p> <p>Class action, seeking injunctive relief, was brought on behalf of indigent pregnant women and physicians willing to perform medically necessary abortions challenging regulation...</p>	Apr. 09, 1986	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>397. TYRESE BURROUGHS, Defendant Below, Appellant, v. STATE OF DELAWARE, Appellee. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF TYRESE BURROUGHS FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION</b> --- A.3d ---- , Del.Supr.</p> <p>It is reasonable to conclude that a previously convicted drug dealer, who is prohibited by law from possessing firearms but who is again arrested for drug dealing, this time while...</p>	Aug. 30, 2023	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>398. Tilden v. Hayward ”</b> 1990 WL 131162, *11+ , Del.Ch.</p> <p>In this purported class action, plaintiffs, Muriel Tilden, Robin Webb and Patricia Livingston ("plaintiffs" or the "DCPS clients"), on behalf of themselves, their children, and...</p>	Sep. 10, 1990	Case		<p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>399. Renee B. v. Florida Agency for Health Care Admin.</b> 790 So.2d 1036, 1040+ , Fla.</p> <p>SOCIAL SECURITY - Medicaid. Exception from Medicaid coverage for medically necessary abortions does not violate right of privacy.</p>	July 12, 2001	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>





Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>400. Dade County v. American Hosp. of Miami, Inc.</b> ¶ 502 So.2d 1230, 1231 , Fla.  Private hospital brought action seeking declaration that county hospital had legal and financial duty to provide postemergency care to indigent emergency patients after patients'...	Jan. 06, 1987	Case		<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>401. Renee B. v. State, Agency for Health Care Admin.</b> 756 So.2d 218, 221 , Fla.App. 1 Dist.  FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Rule prohibiting Medicaid funding for abortion did not violate right to privacy.	Apr. 20, 2000	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>402. Rudeen v. Cenarrusa</b> 38 P.3d 598, 607 , Idaho  GOVERNMENT - Elections. Idaho term limits were constitutional.	Dec. 13, 2001	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>403. Village of Oak Lawn v. Marcowitz</b> 427 N.E.2d 36, 40 , Ill.  Village filed a complaint charging an ordinance violation in operation of an ambulatory surgical treatment center without a license. The Circuit Court, Cook County, Thomas Walsh,...	June 26, 1981	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>404. People ex rel. Hansen v. Phelan</b> ¶ 628 N.E.2d 160, 183 , Ill.App. 1 Dist.  Elective Abortions. President of Cook County Board of Commissioners had authority to order resumption of elective abortion services at county hospital.	Mar. 16, 1993	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>405. Family Life League v. Illinois Dept. of Public Aid</b> 478 N.E.2d 432, 438 , Ill.App. 1 Dist.  Two corporations concerned with family life, executive director, and president brought action for mandamus against State Department of Public Aid and its director seeking...	Apr. 10, 1985	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>406. People v. Roberts</b> 404 N.E.2d 278, 284 , Ill.App. 5 Dist.  Defendants were convicted in the Circuit Court, St. Clair County, Delmar Koebel, J., of conspiracy, bribery and official misconduct, and they appealed. The Appellate Court,...	Mar. 03, 1980	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>407. People v. Kimmons</b> 399 N.E.2d 187, 188 , Ill.App. 5 Dist.  Defendant was convicted in the Circuit Court, Randolph County, Carl H. Becker, J., of unlawful possession of diazepam, and he appealed. The Appellate Court, Jones, P. J., held...	Dec. 14, 1979	Case		—
Cited by	<b>408. Humphreys v. Clinic for Women, Inc.</b> 796 N.E.2d 247, 250 , Ind.  SOCIAL SECURITY - Medicaid. Indiana's Medicaid program was too restrictive in funding abortions.	Sep. 24, 2003	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> <b>409. Mueller v. State</b> 837 N.E.2d 198, 202 , Ind.App. CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Pretrial Diversion. Prosecutor's preclusion of defendants from participating in pretrial diversion program violated their constitutional rights.</p>	Nov. 16, 2005	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>410. Gary Community Mental Health Center, Inc. v. Indiana Dept. of Public Welfare</b>  507 N.E.2d 1019, 1023+ , Ind.App. 3 Dist. Indigent mental patients and in-patient psychiatric facility filed verified petition for review of county department of public welfare's refusal to pay costs for patients'...</p>	May 28, 1987	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>411. In re Beychok</b> 495 So.2d 1278, 1283 , La. Member of university's board of supervisors was charged with violating ethics code for entering into contracts, on behalf of company he owned, for rental of advertising space from...</p>	Oct. 20, 1986	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>412. Bazley v. Tortorich</b> 397 So.2d 475, 483 , La. Sanitation Department employee, who assertedly was struck by automobile as he attempted to step up to rear of garbage truck during course of his employment, brought action to...</p>	Feb. 26, 1981	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>413. Jenkins v. Whitfield</b> 505 So.2d 83, 86+ , La.App. 4 Cir. Employee appealed from order of the Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, George C. Connolly, Jr., J., denying his claim for unemployment compensation benefits. The Court of...</p>	Mar. 16, 1987	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>414. Desselle v. Liberty Mut. Ins. Co.</b> 482 So.2d 1009, 1011 , La.App. 3 Cir. Dependent widow brought action for workers' compensation death benefits. The 12th Judicial District Court, Parish of Avoyelles, James N. Lee, J., entered judgment sustaining...</p>	Feb. 05, 1986	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>415. State v. Vice</b> 476 So.2d 590, 592 , La.App. 3 Cir. Defendant was charged with intentionally avoiding payment for telecommunication services and of transferring a device which could be used for commission of crime involving...</p>	Oct. 10, 1985	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>416. Nix v. King</b> 457 So.2d 805, 807 , La.App. 1 Cir. Prisoner petitioned for review of determination of Department of Corrections Disciplinary Board. The Nineteenth Judicial District Court, East Baton Rouge Parish, Carl A. Guidry,...</p>	Oct. 09, 1984	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.</p>










Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> <b>417. Williams v. Lallie Kemp Charity Hosp.</b> 428 So.2d 1000, 1009 , La.App. 1 Cir.</p> <p>Hospital appealed from a judgment of the Twenty-First Judicial District Court, Parish of Tangipahoa, Burrell J. Carter, J., awarding mother damages for her son's injuries and in...</p>	Feb. 22, 1983	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>418. District Attorney for Suffolk Dist. v. Watson</b> ¶¶ 411 N.E.2d 1274, 1302 , Mass.</p> <p>District attorney filed complaint seeking declaratory judgment regarding constitutionality of capital punishment statute or, in the alternative, relief under the Supreme Judicial...</p>	Oct. 28, 1980	Case		—
Cited by	<p><b>419. Petition of Dept. of Public Welfare</b> 381 N.E.2d 565, 572 , Mass.</p> <p>Parents appealed from two decrees of Probate Court for County of Middlesex, Freedman, J., allowing petitions brought by Department of Public Welfare to dispense with parental...</p>	Aug. 29, 1978	Case		<p><a href="#">5</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>420. Goldman v. Secretary of Executive Office of Health and Human Services</b> 2021 WL 956035, *6 , Mass.Super.</p> <p>Ronald Goldman and twenty-seven other Massachusetts taxpayers (the "Plaintiffs") bring this action under G.L. c. 29, § 63, challenging the legality of the expenditure of state...</p>	Mar. 10, 2021	Case		—
Cited by	<p><b>421. In re Laurence T.</b> ¶¶ 403 A.2d 1256, 1260 , Md.</p> <p>An order of the District Court, Montgomery County, Douglas H. Moore, Jr., J., dismissing a delinquency petition was reversed by the Court of Special Appeals and certiorari was...</p>	July 26, 1979	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>422. Bates v. Dept. of Behavioral and Developmental Services</b> 863 A.2d 890, 902 , Me.</p> <p>HEALTH - Mental Health. System-based standard would measure State's compliance with mental health services consent decree.</p>	Dec. 17, 2004	Case		—
Cited by	<p> <b>423. Miller v. Szelenyi</b> ¶¶ 546 A.2d 1013, 1023 , Me.</p> <p>After nurse's aide collapsed while on duty at hospital of state facility for mentally handicapped and died, her husband brought action against doctor and supervisors, alleging...</p>	Aug. 26, 1988	Case		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>424. Blair v. Hutzel Hosp.</b> ¶¶ 552 N.W.2d 507, 515+ , Mich.App.</p> <p>HEALTH LAW - Malpractice. Hospital may be liable for failure to provide MSAFP screening to pregnant patient who gave birth to baby with Down's syndrome.</p>	July 09, 1996	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>425. <a href="#">Syrkowski v. Appleyard</a></b> 333 N.W.2d 90, 93 , Mich.App.  Petition was filed seeking an order of filiation and entry of petitioner's name on birth certificate as natural and legal father of a child to be born to a surrogate mother who had...	Jan. 19, 1983	Case		—
Cited by	<b>426. <a href="#">Doe v. Kelley</a></b> 307 N.W.2d 438, 441 , Mich.App.  A married couple brought action against the State Attorney General and county prosecutor for declaration of unconstitutionality of certain statutes prohibiting exchange of money or...	May 05, 1981	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>427. <a href="#">State v. Merrill</a></b> ¶ 450 N.W.2d 318, 322 , Minn.  After motion to dismiss charges of first and second-degree murder of unborn child was denied, the District Court, Olmsted County, O. Russell Olson, J., certified questions for...	Jan. 19, 1990	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>428. <a href="#">Hickman v. Group Health Plan, Inc.</a></b> 396 N.W.2d 10, 13+ , Minn.  After striking down wrongful birth statute as unconstitutional, the District Court, Hennepin County, Chester Durda, J., certified question regarding statute's constitutionality. ...	Oct. 24, 1986	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>429. <a href="#">McKee v. Likins</a></b> ¶ 261 N.W.2d 566, 575+ , Minn.  County resident brought action challenging, on statutory and constitutional grounds, authority of both the state and county welfare officials to make welfare payments for medical...	Sep. 23, 1977	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>430. <a href="#">Doe v. Parson</a></b> ¶ 567 S.W.3d 625, 632 , Mo.  FAMILY LAW — Abortion. Pre-abortion requirements of Missouri's Informed Consent Law did not violate patient's rights under state RFRA.	Feb. 13, 2019	Case		—
Cited by	 <b>431. <a href="#">In re Care and Treatment of Norton</a></b> 123 S.W.3d 170, 173+ , Mo.  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Sex Offenders. Sex offender did not have right to counsel during evaluation prior to filing petition for civil commitment as sexually violent predator.	Dec. 23, 2003	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>432. <a href="#">Stam v. State</a></b> 267 S.E.2d 335, 339+ , N.C.App.  North Carolina citizen and taxpayer brought declaratory judgment action against State and certain county seeking judgment declaring unlawful appropriation of state funds by 1977...	June 17, 1980	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 <b>433. Planned Parenthood of Cent. New Jersey v. Farmer</b> 762 A.2d 620, 645 , N.J. FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Parental Notification for Abortion Act violated equal protection.	Aug. 15, 2000	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>434. Matter of Plan for Orderly Withdrawal from New Jersey of Twin City Fire Ins. Co.</b> 609 A.2d 1248, 1265 , N.J. Private-passenger automobile insurer sought to withdraw from state market. The Commissioner of Insurance imposed conditions upon withdrawal, and insurer appealed. The Superior...	July 29, 1992	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>435. Doe v. Bridgeton Hospital Ass'n, Inc.</b> 403 A.2d 965, 966 , N.J.Super.A.D. Appeal was taken by defendant hospitals from an order of the Superior Court, Law Division, determining that the so-called conscience law did not permit withholding of abortions to...	June 11, 1979	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>436. Livingston v. New Jersey State Bd. of Medical Examiners</b> ¶¶ 402 A.2d 967, 971 , N.J.Super.A.D. Licensed obstetrician gynecologist and outpatient clinic directed by gynecologist and licensed to perform abortions challenged validity of recently enacted "Termination of...	May 21, 1979	Case		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>437. Right to Choose v. Byrne</b> 405 A.2d 427, 431+ , N.J.Super.Ch. In suit challenging on statutory and constitutional grounds the guidelines for Medicaid funding for abortions promulgated by the State Department of Human Services, the Superior...	July 02, 1979	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>438. Right To Choose v. Byrne</b> 398 A.2d 587, 590+ , N.J.Super.Ch. Action was brought challenging New Jersey statute limiting the State's contribution to Medicaid funding for abortions to those situations in which the abortion is necessary to...	Jan. 10, 1979	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>439. New Mexico Right to Choose/NARAL v. Johnson</b> ¶¶ 975 P.2d 841, 856 , N.M. SOCIAL SECURITY - Medicaid. Rule restricting state funding of abortions for Medicaid-eligible women violated state's Equal Rights Amendment.	Nov. 25, 1998	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	 <b>440. Katz v. New Mexico Dept. of Human Services, Income Support Division</b> 624 P.2d 39, 43 , N.M. Claimant for medicaid benefits appealed ruling of Department of Human Services denying medicaid benefits, and Court of Appeals affirmed. Certiorari was granted, and the Supreme...	Jan. 26, 1981	Case		<a href="#">1</a> S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> <b>441. Hope v. Perales</b> 611 N.Y.S.2d 811, 814+ , N.Y.</p> <p>Abortion. Statute providing for pregnancy-related services but not medically necessary abortions did not violate State Constitution.</p>	May 05, 1994	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>442. Gloria C. v. William C.</b> 476 N.Y.S.2d 991, 996+ , N.Y.Fam.Ct.</p> <p>Mother petitioned for order of protection on behalf of her unborn child, alleging that she had been assaulted several times by her husband. The Family Court, Richmond County,...</p>	May 07, 1984	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>443. Matter of Adoption of Baby Girl L.J.</b> 505 N.Y.S.2d 813, 816 , N.Y.Sur.</p> <p>Private placement adoption in which adoptive child was born to surrogate mother who was artificially inseminated by adopting father came before court. The Surrogate's Court,...</p>	July 31, 1986	Case		—
Cited by	<p><b>444. Bryant v. Hacker</b> 689 N.E.2d 609, 611 , Ohio App. 1 Dist.</p> <p>FAMILY LAW - Child Support. Father's argument that he did not want child did not release him from his obligation to pay child support.</p>	Dec. 24, 1996	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>445. Davis v. Fieker</b> 952 P.2d 505, 512+ , Okla.</p> <p>FAMILY LAW - Abortion. Statutes restricting abortions to certain facilities did not place undue burden on a woman's right to seek abortion.</p>	Dec. 23, 1997	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>446. Speck v. Finegold</b> ¶¶ 439 A.2d 110, 120+ , Pa.</p> <p>Parents of genetically defective child brought action against physicians on their own behalf and on behalf of the child alleging that the child was born due to the negligence of...</p>	Dec. 31, 1981	Case		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> <b>447. In re William L.</b> 383 A.2d 1228, 1236 , Pa.</p> <p>From orders of the Court of Common Pleas, Orphans Court Division, Lycoming County, Nos. 2986, 2987, 2988, and 2993, Thomas C. Raup, J., terminating rights of mother to her three...</p>	Jan. 31, 1978	Case		—
Cited by	<p> <b>448. Fischer v. Department of Public Welfare</b> 482 A.2d 1137, 1144+ , Pa.Cmwth.</p> <p>On December 19, 1980, the Pennsylvania General Assembly amended the Public Welfare Code by adding a section (hereinafter Act of 1980) which provided that no funds of the...</p>	Mar. 09, 1984	Case		—
Cited by	<p> <b>449. Fischer v. Com., Dept. of Public Welfare</b> 444 A.2d 774, 778 , Pa.Cmwth.</p> <p>Petition for review was brought challenging act permitting use of medical assistance funds for abortions only where such procedures are necessary to save life of mother or where...</p>	Apr. 08, 1982	Case		<p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>450. Dansby v. Thomas Jefferson University Hosp.</b> 623 A.2d 816, 819+ , Pa.Super.  Wrongful Birth. Pennsylvania statute prohibiting cause of action for wrongful birth did not violate mother's constitutional right to abortion.	Mar. 19, 1993	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>451. Bowser v. Wayne Ave. Medical Associates</b> ¶ 1990 WL 302951, *2+ , Pa.Com.Pl.  Before this court is the Superior Court's remand of an order and opinion of this court dated September 26, 1988, wherein this court entered judgment in favor of all defendants and...	July 31, 1990	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>452. City of Pawtucket v. Sundlun</b> 662 A.2d 40, 60 , R.I.  Communities brought actions against state officials seeking declaratory judgment that state's method of funding public education was unconstitutional. After cases were...	July 20, 1995	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>453. Planned Parenthood of Middle Tennessee v. Sundquist</b> 1998 WL 467110, *2+ , Tenn.Ct.App.  This appeal presents a multifaceted challenge to the constitutionality of Tennessee's abortion statutes. After a physician and a clinic in Knoxville were charged with violating...	Aug. 12, 1998	Case		—
Cited by	<b>454. McGlothlin v. Bristol Obstetrics, Gynecology and Family Planning, Inc.</b> 1998 WL 65459, *3 , Tenn.Ct.App.  Plaintiffs, mother and daughter, sued defendants, charging defendants performed an abortion on daughter, in violation of T.C.A. § 39-15-202, and the doctor, in performing the...	Feb. 11, 1998	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>455. Lawrence v. State</b> ¶ 240 S.W.3d 912, 917+ , Tex.Crim.App.  CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Homicide. Statute prohibiting the intentional or knowing killing of any unborn human was not unconstitutional.	Nov. 21, 2007	Case		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>456. Texas Dept. of Human Resources v. Texas State Employees Union CWA/AFL-CIO</b> 696 S.W.2d 164, 171 , Tex.App.-Austin  Employees of Department of Human Resources brought suit to enjoin enforcement of restrictions as to leave time and expenses imposed upon employee representatives who assist in...	July 03, 1985	Case		—
Cited by	<b>457. Commonwealth v. Hill</b> ¶ 2017 WL 9833501, *2 , Va.Cir.Ct.  This day came the defendant, by counsel, and moved this Court to declare Va. Code § 19.2-264.3:1 unconstitutional, and the Court considered defendant's motion and attached...	Jan. 17, 2017	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> <b>458. Wyoming Nat. Abortion Rights Action League v. Karpan</b> 881 P.2d 281, 288+ , Wyo.</p> <p>Opponents of proposed initiative sought declaratory judgment ordering that initiative not be included on ballot for general election, and the District Court, Laramie County,...</p>	Sep. 07, 1994	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>459. Morales Morales v. E.L.A.</b> 126 D.P.R. 92, 102 , P.R.</p> <p>PETICION DE CERTIORARI para revisar una SENTENCIA de Angel G. Hermida, J. (San Juan), que declara no ha lugar un recurso de revisión de cierta decisión emitida por la Junta de...</p>	Apr. 23, 1990	Case		—
Cited by	<p><b>460. In re BAE Systems Information</b> 01-2 BCA P 31495, 31495 , A.S.B.C.A.</p> <p>In this appeal, the Government contends that Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 31.205-52, Asset Valuations Resulting From Business Combinations, "disallows" costs claimed...</p>	June 29, 2001	Administrative Decision		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>461. JEANNE CHARTER AND STEVE CHARTER, PETITIONERS, AND DARRELL ABBOTT, ET AL., INTERVENORS v. USDA</b> 61 Agric. Dec. 598</p> <p>RICHARD F. CEBULL, District Judge. This case arose when the Petitioners refused to pay beef checkoff assessments pursuant to The Beef Promotion and Research Act. The Petitioners...</p>	Nov. 01, 2002	Administrative Decision		<p><a href="#">4</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>462. Honorable Ken Hollis</b> La. Atty. Gen. Op. No. 93-135</p> <p>15–A Constitutional Law 92–A–2(g) Retirement—State Employees Art. 1, Sect. 3 La. Const.1974 R.S. 24:36, R.S. 11:403(10), 413(6) and (8), 735, 411(6). Act 518 of 1992 providing...</p>	Apr. 07, 1993	Administrative Decision		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>463. The Honorable R. Clayton Mitchell, Jr.</b> 75 Md. Op. Atty. Gen. 3</p> <p>You have requested our opinion on three questions regarding the inclusion of restrictions on abortion in the annual budget bill. Your questions are prompted by the possibility...</p>	Jan. 23, 1990	Administrative Decision		<p><a href="#">1</a></p> <p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>464. The Honorable Barbara A. Hoffman The Honorable Samuel I. Rosenberg The Honorable Leo E. Green</b> </p> <p>74 Md. Op. Atty. Gen. 3</p> <p>You have requested our opinion concerning the effect of Webster v. Reproductive Health Services, 57 U.S.L.W. 5023 (1989), the Supreme Court's recent abortion decision, on...</p>	July 20, 1989	Administrative Decision		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p><b>465. The Honorable Adele Wilzack</b> 70 Md. Op. Atty. Gen. 3+</p> <p>In three cases decided in 1983, the Supreme Court considered the validity of various state and local statutes regulating the performance of abortions. City of Akron v. Akron Center...</p>	Dec. 31, 1985	Administrative Decision		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>


Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>466. Honorable Thomas Patrick O'Reilly</b> 63 Md. Op. Atty. Gen. 579+  This is in response to your letter of April 27, 1978, as supplemented by your letter of May 2, 1978, asking for our opinion on whether (1) that portion of the 1978-1979...	May 31, 1978	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>467. Honorable John Carroll Byrnes</b> 62 Md. Op. Atty. Gen. 338  You have asked this office to inform you of the effect which recent cases calling into question methods of public school finance relying heavily on local property tax revenue might...	Nov. 09, 1977	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	<b>468. Senator Arlene Nelson</b> 1990 WL 485357 (Neb.A.G.), *2+  The legal questions here relate to AM 2294 of LB 662 which was adopted March 1, 1990. LB 662 to be known as the Family Services Incentive Act for Communities, provides for the...	Mar. 28, 1990	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">5</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>469. Senator Jerome Warner</b> 1981 WL 129021 (Neb.A.G.), *1  You have asked whether the committee amendment to LB 556 is constitutional. It provides that special abortion coverage 'shall' be offered to a state employee covered under a group...	May 06, 1981	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">3</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>470. Senator Bernice Labeledz</b> 1981 WL 129027 (Neb.A.G.), *1+  You have asked us to reconsider Attorney General Opinion #71 (April 8, 1981) with special emphasis on Planned Parenthood of Central Missouri v. Danforth, 428 U.S. 52 (1976), and...	Apr. 24, 1981	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">3</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>471. Neb. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 04029, 04029</b> Neb. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 04029, 04029  In Attorney General Opinion Number 03017 dated ("Opinion No. 03017"), this office expressed the legal opinion that 1) pursuant to the provisions of Neb. Rev. Stat. § 71-7606(3)...	Dec. 21, 2004	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">3</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>472. Senator Jim Jensen</b> Neb. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 00006, 00006+  You have requested an analysis of potential legislation prohibiting the use of tissue, cells, organs or other materials from a human fetus or child who has been the subject of an...	Feb. 02, 2000	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">5</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>473. Senator Don Wesely Senator Jim Jensen</b> Neb. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 98021, 98021+  This opinion addresses separate but essentially identical requests submitted by Senator Wesely and Senator Jensen concerning the constitutionality of a proposed amendment...	Mar. 30, 1998	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">3</a>  S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>474. E. Benjamin Nelson Mary Dean Harvey</b> 🟢 Neb. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 94069, 94069+  Pursuant to your request for "any and all clear legal authority" regarding the necessity of the State of Nebraska expanding the scope of its funding for abortions for Medicaid...	Aug. 31, 1994	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">7</a>  S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>475. 1980 WL 97483 (Neb.A.G.), *2+</b> 1980 Neb. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 406+ Re: LB 1004	Apr. 17, 1980	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>476. Michael Fitting, D.O.</b> 1996 Nev. Op. Atty. Gen. 46  The Director of the Nevada Department of Prisons has authority to charge inmates a copayment for medical care provided no inmate is denied medical care due to indigency and that...	Apr. 19, 1996	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>477. 1978 WL 29449 (Or.A.G.), *6</b> 38 Or. Op. Atty. Gen. 1661  This opinion is issued in response to questions presented by Ms. Linda Kaeser, Assistant Director of the Department of Human Resources. May a state financed abortion be denied to a...	Jan. 10, 1978	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>478. The Honorable G. Ralph Davenport, Jr.</b> 2005 WL 774133 (S.C.A.G.), *3+  You have requested an opinion "on the constitutionality of House Bill 3213, the Right to Life Bill, which I have introduced this year." With the caveat set forth herein, it is...	Mar. 30, 2005	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>479. Honorable Tommy Burnett</b> Tenn. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 89-123+  Additional requirements imposed by Public Chapter 466 on ambulatory surgical treatment centers that perform abortions unconstitutionally infringe on a woman's right of privacy as...	Sep. 26, 1989	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>480. Honorable R. W. Jenkins</b> Tenn. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 82-3+  You have requested an opinion on the following question: Whether a program for informal adjustments of cases, in lieu of formal proceedings, under the Juvenile Court statutes,...	Jan. 08, 1982	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>481. Honorable Brad Wright</b> Tex. Atty. Gen. Op. JM-816, JM-816  Re: Whether a hospital district is required to make its facilities available for nontherapeutic abortions	Oct. 27, 1987	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>482. Honorable Erwin W. Barton</b> Tex. Atty. Gen. Op. JM-470, JM-470  Re: Constitutional validity of a municipal ordinance which requires participants in a medical assistance program to apply for other available benefits	Apr. 08, 1986	Administrative Decision		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>483. Protecting Statutory Conscience Rights in Health Care; Delegations of Authority</b> 84 FR 23170-01+  The United States has a long history of providing protections in health care for individuals and entities on the basis of religious beliefs or moral convictions. Congress has...	May 21, 2019	Federal Register		—

























Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<b>484. Statutory Prohibition on Use of Appropriated Funds in Programs Where Abortion is a Method of Family Planning; Standard of Compliance for Family Planning Services Projects</b> 53 FR 2922-01  The Public Health Service (PHS) amends the regulations governing the use of funds for family planning services under Title X of the Public Health Service Act in order to set...	Feb. 02, 1988	Federal Register		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>485. Parental Notification Requirements Applicable to Projects for Family Planning Services</b> 48 FR 3600-01  The rules below amend the regulations governing the program for family planning services funded under Title X of the Public Health Service Act. The rules implement a 1981 amendment...	Jan. 26, 1983	Federal Register		<a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Cited by	<b>486. Provision of Abortion Services by the Indian Health Service</b> 47 FR 4016-01  The Public Health Service is adding a new Subpart F to its regulations on Indian Health, making the Indian Health Service (IHS) policy on provision of abortion services consistent...	Jan. 27, 1982	Federal Register		<a href="#">3</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <span style="border: 1px solid red; padding: 1px;">NEGATIVE</span>	<b>487. NRG Energy, Inc. v. Crotty</b> 795 N.Y.S.2d 129, 132 , N.Y.A.D. 3 Dept.  ENVIRONMENTAL LAW - Clean Air. Appeals of suits challenging Acid Deposition Reduction Program (ADRP) regulations were moot.	May 05, 2005	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.
Distinguished by <span style="border: 1px solid red; padding: 1px;">NEGATIVE</span>	<b>488. Kenny A. v. Perdue</b> 2004 WL 5503780, *7+ , N.D.Ga.  This action is before the Court on State Defendants' motion for summary judgment and motion to exclude reports and testimony of plaintiffs' experts. For the following reasons, the...	Dec. 13, 2004	Case		<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">7</a> S.Ct.
Mentioned by	<b>489. June Medical Services L. L. C. v. Russo</b> 140 S.Ct. 2103, 2169 , U.S.  FAMILY LAW — Abortion. Louisiana law requiring doctors who performed abortions to hold hospital admitting privileges placed unconstitutional burden on access to abortion.	June 29, 2020	Case		—
Mentioned by	<b>490. Arizona Free Enterprise Club's Freedom Club PAC v. Bennett</b> 131 S.Ct. 2806, 2834 , U.S.  GOVERNMENT - Elections. Matching funds provision substantially burdened political speech, and was subject to strict scrutiny under First Amendment.	June 27, 2011	Case		<a href="#">4</a> S.Ct.
Mentioned by	<b>491. M.L.B. v. S.L.J.</b> 117 S.Ct. 555, 574 , U.S.Miss.  FAMILY LAW - Parental Rights. Mississippi statutes that conditioned indigent mother's right to appeal judgment terminating her parental rights on prepayment of costs violated equal...	Dec. 16, 1996	Case		<a href="#">2</a> S.Ct.



Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Mentioned by	<p> <b>492. Lewis v. Casey</b> 116 S.Ct. 2174, 2191 , U.S.Ariz.</p> <p>CIVIL RIGHTS - Prisons. Order mandating detailed, systemwide changes in Arizona prison system's prison law libraries and legal assistance program was unwarranted.</p>	June 24, 1996	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Mentioned by	<p> <b>493. Norfolk and Western Ry. Co. v. American Train Dispatchers Ass'n</b> 111 S.Ct. 1156, 1163 , U.S.Dist.Col.</p> <p>Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) issued orders exempting parties to approved railway mergers from the provisions of collective bargaining agreements, and union petitioned for...</p>	Mar. 19, 1991	Case		—
Mentioned by	<p> <b>494. Minneapolis Star and Tribune Co. v. Minnesota Com'r of Revenue</b> 103 S.Ct. 1365, 1380 , U.S.Minn.</p> <p>Newspaper brought an action seeking a refund of use taxes imposed on the cost of paper and ink products consumed in the production of its publication. The District Court,...</p>	Mar. 29, 1983	Case		<p><a href="#">2</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Mentioned by	<p> <b>495. Michael M. v. Superior Court of Sonoma County</b> 101 S.Ct. 1200, 1211 , U.S.Cal.</p> <p>Defendant, a 17 1/2-year-old male, who had been charged with violating California's "statutory rape" law, petitioned for a writ of certiorari to review a judgment of the...</p>	Mar. 23, 1981	Case		<p><a href="#">3</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Mentioned by	<p> <b>496. Schweiker v. Wilson</b> 101 S.Ct. 1074, 1088 , U.S.Ill.</p> <p>Action was brought challenging constitutionality of provisions of Social Security Act tying eligibility for a reduced amount of supplemental security income benefits to residents...</p>	Mar. 04, 1981	Case		—
Mentioned by	<p> <b>497. Califano v. Westcott</b> 99 S.Ct. 2655, 2666 , U.S.Mass.</p> <p>Action was brought challenging constitutionality of that section of aid to families with dependent children program providing benefits to families whose dependent children have...</p>	June 25, 1979	Case		—
Mentioned by	<p><b>498. Klein v. Doe</b> 98 S.Ct. 385, 385+ , U.S.Idaho</p> <p>On appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Idaho.</p>	Oct. 31, 1977	Case		<p><a href="#">7</a></p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Mentioned by	<p><b>499. Toia v. Klein</b> 97 S.Ct. 2962, 2962 , U.S.N.Y.</p> <p>Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. Facts and opinion, Klein v. Nassau County Medical Center, 409 F.Supp. 731.</p>	June 27, 1977	Case		—

















Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Mentioned by	<b>500. <a href="#">Westby v. Doe</a></b> 97 S.Ct. 2962, 2962 , U.S.S.D.  Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Dakota. Facts and opinion, D.C., 402 F.Supp. 140.	June 27, 1977	Case		—


**Table of Authorities (48)**

Treatment	Referenced Title	Type	Depth	Quoted	Page Number
Cited	<p> <b>1. American Party of Texas v. White</b></p> <p>94 S.Ct. 1296, U.S.Tex., 1974</p> <p>Actions were brought by minority political parties and their candidates, qualified voters supporting minority party candidates, and independent and unaffiliated candidates seeking...</p>	Case			2383
Discussed	<p> <b>2. Beal v. Doe</b></p> <p>97 S.Ct. 2366, U.S.Pa., 1977</p> <p>Women, who were eligible for medical assistance under Pennsylvania medicaid plan and who were denied financial assistance for desired nontherapeutic abortions pursuant to state...</p>	Case		”	2378+
Discussed	<p> <b>3. Bellotti v. Baird</b></p> <p>96 S.Ct. 2857, U.S.Mass., 1976</p> <p>Appeal was taken from an order of a three-judge panel of the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, 393 F.Supp. 847, holding unconstitutional a...</p>	Case		”	2382+
Discussed	<p> <b>4. Boddie v. Connecticut</b></p> <p>91 S.Ct. 780, U.S.Conn., 1971</p> <p>Class action, on behalf of women in Connecticut receiving state welfare assistance and desiring to obtain divorces but barred from doing so by inability to pay required court fees...</p>	Case		”	2378+
Cited	<p> <b>5. Brown v. Board of Ed. of Topeka, Shawnee County, Kan.</b></p> <p>74 S.Ct. 686, U.S.Kan., 1954</p> <p>Class actions originating in the four states of Kansas, South Carolina, Virginia, and Delaware, by which minor Negro plaintiffs sought to obtain admission to public schools on a...</p>	Case			2384
Cited	<p> <b>6. Buckley v. Valeo</b></p> <p>96 S.Ct. 612, U.S.Dist.Col., 1976</p> <p>Various candidates for federal office and political parties and organizations brought action challenging constitutionality of Federal Election Campaign Act. The District Court for...</p>	Case			2383+
Discussed	<p> <b>7. Carey v. Population Services, Intern.</b></p> <p>97 S.Ct. 2010, U.S.N.Y., 1977</p> <p>Action was brought by distributors of contraceptives challenging constitutionality of New York statute which prohibited distribution of contraceptives to anyone under age of 16,...</p>	Case		”	2382+

Treatment	Referenced Title	Type	Depth	Quoted	Page Number
Mentioned	<p> <b>8. Chapman v. Meier</b></p> <p>95 S.Ct. 751, U.S.N.D., 1975</p> <p>A declaratory judgment action was instituted for reapportionment of the North Dakota Legislative Assembly. A three-judge District Court, 372 F.Supp. 371, adopted a permanent...</p>	Case			2389
Cited	<p><b>9. Commissioner of Social Services of State of New York v. Klein</b></p> <p>93 S.Ct. 2747, U.S.N.Y., 1973</p> <p>Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. Former decision, 410 U.S. 922, 93 S.Ct. 1358. Facts and opinion, Klein v. Nassau County Medical...</p>	Case			2388+
Mentioned	<p> <b>10. Connor v. Finch</b></p> <p>97 S.Ct. 1828, U.S.Miss., 1977</p> <p>In suit for reapportionment of the Mississippi Legislature, the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi, 419 F.Supp. 1072, 419 F.Supp. 1089, and 422...</p>	Case			2389
Discussed	<p> <b>11. Dandridge v. Williams</b></p> <p>90 S.Ct. 1153, U.S.Md., 1970</p> <p>Action to declare invalid and permanently enjoin enforcement of regulation of Maryland Department of Public Welfare placing an absolute limit of \$250 per month on amount of a grant...</p>	Case		”	2378+
Discussed	<p> <b>12. Doe v. Bolton</b></p> <p>93 S.Ct. 739, U.S.Ga., 1973</p> <p>Action was brought challenging validity of Georgia abortion statute. The United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, 319 F.Supp. 1048, as a three-judge...</p>	Case			2377+
Mentioned	<p> <b>13. Doe v. Rampton</b></p> <p>366 F.Supp. 189, D.Utah, 1973</p> <p>Pregnant woman brought suit, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated, for declaratory judgment and injunctive relief against enforcement of state statutes regulating...</p>	Case			2388
Cited	<p><b>14. Doe v. Rose</b></p> <p>499 F.2d 1112, 10th Cir.(Utah), 1974</p> <p>Action was brought challenging informal policy of executive director of State Department of Social Services concerning abortions and circumstances under which they would be paid...</p>	Case			2387











Treatment	Referenced Title	Type	Depth	Quoted	Page Number
Cited	 <b>15. Doe v. Westby</b> 383 F.Supp. 1143, D.S.D., 1974 Plaintiff sought declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to claimed unconstitutional policy promulgated by state social service department in its administration of rules of...	Case			2387
Mentioned	 <b>16. Doe v. Wohlgemuth</b> 376 F.Supp. 173, W.D.Pa., 1974 Welfare recipients and participants in the Pennsylvania medical assistance program brought action challenging state's refusal to provide reimbursement for cost of abortions which...	Case			2387
Mentioned	 <b>17. Douglas v. People of State of Cal.</b> 83 S.Ct. 814, U.S.Cal., 1963 Defendants were convicted in the Superior Court, Los Angeles County, of certain felonies and they appealed. The District Court of Appeal, 187 Cal.App.2d 802, 10 Cal.Rptr. 188,...	Case			2381+
Mentioned	 <b>18. Dunn v. Blumstein</b> 92 S.Ct. 995, U.S.Tenn., 1972 Action was brought challenging state durational residence laws for voter. A three-judge District Court, 337 F.Supp. 323, held the laws invalid and state officials appealed. The...	Case			2390
Discussed	 <b>19. Griffin v. Illinois</b> 76 S.Ct. 585, U.S.Ill., 1956 Defendants, who had been convicted of armed robbery, and whose motion to have copy of record including transcript furnished them without costs on ground of their poverty had been...	Case		”	2381+
Cited	 <b>20. Hagans v. Lavine</b> 94 S.Ct. 1372, U.S.N.Y., 1974 Recipients of public assistance under federal-state AFDC program brought action under civil rights statute challenging New York regulation permitting the State to recoup prior...	Case			2387
Mentioned	 <b>21. Klein v. Nassau County Medical Center</b> 347 F.Supp. 496, E.D.N.Y., 1972 Action challenging on constitutional and federal statutory grounds the validity of an administrative letter of the New York State Commissioner of Social Services, dated April 8,...	Case			2388

Treatment	Referenced Title	Type	Depth	Quoted	Page Number
Cited	<p> <b>22. Lindsey v. Normet</b></p> <p>92 S.Ct. 862, U.S.Or., 1972</p> <p>Class action by month-to-month tenants seeking declaratory judgment that Oregon Forcible Entry and Wrongful Detainer Statute was unconstitutional on its face and an injunction...</p>	Case			2385+
Cited	<p> <b>23. Linmark Associates, Inc. v. Willingboro Tp.</b></p> <p>97 S.Ct. 1614, U.S.N.J., 1977</p> <p>Owner of residential property brought suit challenging a township ordinance banning "For Sale" and "Sold" signs from residential property. The District Court for the District...</p>	Case			2389
Cited	<p><b>24. Maher v. Roe</b></p> <p>96 S.Ct. 3219, U.S.Conn., 1976</p> <p>Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut. Facts and opinion, Roe v. Norton, 408 F.Supp. 660.</p>	Case			2380
Cited	<p> <b>25. Massachusetts Bd. of Retirement v. Murgia</b></p> <p>96 S.Ct. 2562, U.S.Mass., 1976</p> <p>Plaintiff, who was involuntarily retired from the uniformed branch of the Massachusetts state police pursuant to statute setting mandatory retirement age at 50, brought action to...</p>	Case			2381+
Cited	<p> <b>26. Memorial Hospital v. Maricopa County</b></p> <p></p> <p>94 S.Ct. 1076, U.S.Ariz., 1974</p> <p>Appeal from a decision of the Arizona Supreme Court, 108 Ariz. 373, 498 P.2d 461, vacating a judgment of trial court compelling county board of supervisors to accept an indigent...</p>	Case			2379+
Discussed	<p> <b>27. Meyer v. Nebraska</b></p> <p>43 S.Ct. 625, U.S.Neb., 1923</p> <p>In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Nebraska. Robert T. Meyer was convicted of an offense, and his conviction was affirmed by the Supreme Court of Nebraska (107 Neb. 657,...</p>	Case			2384+
Cited	<p><b>28. Missouri, K. &amp; T. Ry. Co. of Texas v. May</b></p> <p>24 S.Ct. 638, U.S.Tex., 1904</p> <p>IN ERROR to the County Court of Bell County in the state of Texas to review a judgment enforcing against a railway company the penalty given to contiguous landowners by the Texas...</p>	Case			2385

Treatment	Referenced Title	Type	Depth	Quoted	Page Number
Cited	<p> <b>29. National Ass'n for Advancement of Colored People v. Button</b></p> <p>83 S.Ct. 328, U.S.Va., 1963</p> <p>The National Association for the Advancement of Colored People brought suit against the Attorney General of Virginia and others for a declaration that certain Virginia statutes are...</p>	Case			2389
Cited	<p> <b>30. Norwood v. Harrison</b></p> <p>93 S.Ct. 2804, U.S.Miss., 1973</p> <p>A three-judge District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi, 340 F.Supp. 1003, sustained validity of Mississippi statutory program under which textbooks are purchased by...</p>	Case		”	2384+
Mentioned	<p> <b>31. Ortwein v. Schwab</b></p> <p>93 S.Ct. 1172, U.S.Or., 1973</p> <p>Welfare recipients filed an original mandamus proceeding to compel the Oregon Court of Appeals to accept, without the payment of a filing fee, a petition for review of decisions of...</p>	Case			2380
Discussed	<p> <b>32. Pierce v. Society of the Sisters of the Holy Names of Jesus and Mary</b></p> <p>45 S.Ct. 571, U.S.Or., 1925</p> <p>Appeals from the District Court of the United States for the District of Oregon. Two suits, one by the Society of the Sisters of the Holy Names of Jesus and Mary, the other by the...</p>	Case		”	2384+
Discussed	<p> <b>33. Planned Parenthood of Central Missouri v. Danforth</b></p> <p>96 S.Ct. 2831, U.S.Mo., 1976</p> <p>Physicians and family-planning organization brought suit for injunctive and declaratory relief challenging the constitutionality of the Missouri abortion statute. A three-judge...</p>	Case		”	2382+
Cited	<p> <b>34. Reynolds v. Sims</b></p> <p>84 S.Ct. 1362, U.S.Ala., 1964</p> <p>Alabama legislative apportionment cases. The three-judge United States District Court for the Middle District of Alabama, 208 F.Supp. 431, gave its decision, and appeals were...</p>	Case			2389
Judgment Reversed	<p> <b>35. Roe v. Norton</b></p> <p>408 F.Supp. 660, D.Conn., 1975</p> <p>Suit was brought challenging the constitutionality of Connecticut Department of Welfare's refusal to reimburse welfare recipients for the expense of an abortion, absent a prior...</p>	Case		”	2378+



Treatment	Referenced Title	Type	Depth	Quoted	Page Number
Cited	 <b>36. Roe v. Norton</b> 522 F.2d 928, 2nd Cir.(Conn.), 1975 Pregnant women who sought medicaid assistance for nontherapeutic abortion brought action against Connecticut officials charged with administration of state medical assistance...	Case			2379
Cited	 <b>37. Roe v. Norton</b> 380 F.Supp. 726, D.Conn., 1974 Plaintiffs brought action challenging state regulation requiring prior medical certification that abortion is necessary before payment of medicaid funds for an abortion. The...	Case			2379+
Examined	 <b>38. Roe v. Wade</b> 93 S.Ct. 705, U.S.Tex., 1973 Action was brought for a declaratory and injunctive relief respecting Texas criminal abortion laws which were claimed to be unconstitutional. A three-judge United States District...	Case		”	2377+
Discussed	 <b>39. San Antonio Independent School Dist. v. Rodriguez</b> 93 S.Ct. 1278, U.S.Tex., 1973 Class action was brought on behalf of school children, who were said to be members of poor families residing in school districts having low property tax base, challenging reliance...	Case		”	2377+
Discussed	 <b>40. Shapiro v. Thompson</b> 89 S.Ct. 1322, U.S.Conn., 1969 Appeals from decisions of three-judge District Courts for District of Connecticut, District of Columbia, and Eastern District of Pennsylvania, 270 F.Supp. 331,277 F.Supp. 65,279...	Case			2379+
Discussed	 <b>41. Sherbert v. Verner</b> 83 S.Ct. 1790, U.S.S.C., 1963 Proceeding on claim for unemployment compensation benefits. From a judgment of the Common Pleas Court, Spartanburg County, South Carolina, the claimant appealed. The Supreme...	Case			2383+
Discussed	 <b>42. Singleton v. Wulff</b> 96 S.Ct. 2868, U.S.Mo., 1976 Two Missouri-licensed physicians brought action challenging constitutionality of Missouri statute excluding abortions that are not “medically indicated” from the purposes for which...	Case		”	2384+

Treatment	Referenced Title	Type	Depth	Quoted	Page Number
Cited	<p> <b>43. Skinner v. State of Okl. ex rel. Williamson</b></p> <p>62 S.Ct. 1110, U.S.Okla., 1942</p> <p>On Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma. Proceeding by the State of Oklahoma, on the relation of Mac Q. Williamson, Attorney General of the State of...</p>	Case			<a href="#">2381</a>
Cited	<p> <b>44. U. S. v. Detroit Timber &amp; Lumber Co.</b></p> <p>26 S.Ct. 282, U.S.Ark., 1906</p> <p>CROSS APPEALS from the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit to review a decree of that court which, on appeal from a decree of the Circuit Court for the...</p>	Case			<a href="#">2377</a>
Cited	<p> <b>45. U.S. v. Kras</b></p> <p>93 S.Ct. 631, U.S.N.Y., 1973</p> <p>Petitioner filed petition for leave to file petition in bankruptcy without prepayment of filing fees. The Government intervened. The United States District Court for the Eastern...</p>	Case			<a href="#">2380</a>
Cited	<p> <b>46. Whalen v. Roe</b></p> <p>97 S.Ct. 869, U.S.N.Y., 1977</p> <p>Physicians and patients brought action challenging constitutionality of New York statutes which required that the state be provided with a copy of every prescription for certain...</p>	Case		”	<a href="#">2382</a>
Cited	<p> <b>47. Williamson v. Lee Optical of Oklahoma Inc.</b></p> <p>75 S.Ct. 461, U.S.Okla., 1955</p> <p>Proceeding under Federal Declaratory Judgment Act to challenge constitutionality of Oklahoma statute dealing with regulation of visual care. The United States District Court, for...</p>	Case		”	<a href="#">2385</a>
Mentioned	<p> <b>48. Wulff v. Singleton</b></p> <p>508 F.2d 1211, 8th Cir.(Mo.), 1974</p> <p>Licensed Missouri medical doctors brought action challenging constitutionality of Missouri statute providing for medical assistance payments if pregnancy is carried to a term or...</p>	Case			<a href="#">2387</a>