

53 S.Ct. 181
Supreme Court of the United States

STEPHENSON et al.

v.

BINFORD et al.

No. 326.

|
Argued Nov. 14—15, 1932.

|
Decided Dec. 5, 1932.

Synopsis

Suit by J. H. Stephenson against T. Binford and others, wherein W. S. Finnegan and others intervened. The statutory District Courts of three judges denied an interlocutory injunction (53 F.(2d) 509), and, on final hearing, entered a decree denying a permanent injunction, and the plaintiff and the interveners appeal.

Decree affirmed.

Mr. Justice BUTLER, dissenting.

West Headnotes (13)

[1] Highways

🔑 Right to use

State highways are public property, and their use for purposes of gain is special and extraordinary, which Legislature, generally, may prohibit or condition as it sees fit.

[30 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[2] Automobiles

🔑 Constitutional and statutory provisions

Texas statute providing for regulation by Railroad Commission of private contract carriers by motor vehicle held not attempt to convert such contract carriers into common carriers. *Vernon's Ann.Civ.St. art. 911b, §§ 1, 3, 4, 6(a, c, d), 6-aa, 6-bb, 13, 22b.*

[2 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[3] Automobiles

🔑 Constitutional and statutory provisions

Texas statute providing for regulation by Railroad Commission of private contract carriers by motor vehicle held valid exercise of “state’s legislative power over highways”, in view of existing conditions. *Vernon's Ann.Civ.St. art. 911b, §§ 1, 3, 4, 6(a, c, d), 6-aa, 6-bb, 13, 22b.*

[52 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[4] Constitutional Law

🔑 Particular Issues and Applications

Extent of legislative regulation of private contract carriers by motor vehicle, and relation of regulations to evils sought to be remedied, are matters addressed to judgment of Legislature, and not for courts. *Vernon's Ann.Civ.St. art. 911b, §§ 1, 3, 4, 6(a, c, d), 6-aa, 6-bb, 13, 22b.*

[17 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[5] Automobiles

🔑 Constitutionality and validity of acts and ordinances

Provision in Texas statute regulating private contract carriers by motor vehicle requiring carrier to obtain permit from Railroad Commission, and making issue of permit dependent on condition that efficiency of existing common carrier service is not impaired, held valid as being within judgment of Legislature. *Vernon's Ann.Civ.St. art. 911b, § 6(a, c).*

[8 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[6] Constitutional Law

🔑 Railroads

Provision in Texas statute regulating private contract carriers, authorizing Railroad Commission to prescribe minimum rates not less than those prescribed for common carriers for substantially same service, held not invalid as abrogating “freedom of contract” (Vernon's

Ann. Civ. St. Tex. art. 911b, s 6-aa; Const. U.S. Amend. 14).

8 Cases that cite this headnote

[7] **Automobiles**

🔑 Power to control and regulate

Contracts made by private contract carriers by motor vehicle must be deemed to have been made in contemplation of state's authority to regulate use of highways.

16 Cases that cite this headnote

[8] **Constitutional Law**

🔑 Constitutionality of Statutory Provisions

Statute, if valid on one ground, is not rendered invalid by fact that Legislature had other or additional purposes, which, considered apart, would render act unconstitutional.

7 Cases that cite this headnote

[9] **Automobiles**

🔑 Constitutional and statutory provisions

Carriers

🔑 Constitutional and statutory provisions

Constitutional Law

🔑 Right to use streets and highways

Texas statute providing for regulation by Railroad Commission of private contract carriers by motor vehicle held not ineffective on ground it modifies or abrogates existing contracts between carriers and shippers. *Vernon's Ann.Civ.St. art. 911b, §§ 1, 3, 4, 6(a, c, d), 6-aa, 6-bb, 13, 22b.*

36 Cases that cite this headnote

[10] **Constitutional Law**

🔑 Carriers

Where Texas Railroad Commission has not attempted to enforce statutory provision requiring every motor carrier to furnish policy of insurance, contract motor carriers subject to statute could not complain. *Vernon's Ann.Civ.St. art. 911b, § 13.*

1 Cases that cite this headnote

[11] **Federal Courts**

🔑 Unsettled or Undecided Questions

Where state courts have not passed on question involving construction of state statute, federal Supreme Court should not adopt construction which might render statute of doubtful validity, but will await determination by state courts.

5 Cases that cite this headnote

[12] **Automobiles**

🔑 Constitutionality and validity of acts and ordinances

Constitutional Law

🔑 Carriers and public utilities; railroads

Provision in Texas statute regulating private contract carriers by motor vehicle, authorizing issue of special permits to persons engaged in business of transporting named commodities, held not to deny to contract carriers "equal protection of laws". *Vernon's Ann.Civ.St. art. 911b, § 6(d).*

9 Cases that cite this headnote

[13] **Automobiles**

🔑 Constitutional and statutory provisions

Constitutional Law

🔑 Carriers and public utilities; railroads

Texas statute providing for regulation by Railroad Commission of private contract carriers by motor vehicle held not to deny contract carriers "equal protection of laws" on ground statute is inapplicable to shipper-owners transporting their own commodities under substantially similar conditions. *Vernon's Ann.Civ.St. art. 911b, §§ 1, 3, 4, 6(a, c, d), 6-aa, 6-bb, 13, 22b.*

10 Cases that cite this headnote

****182 *251** Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of Texas.

Attorneys and Law Firms

*253 Mr. John H. Crooker, of Houston, Tex., for appellants.

*255 Mr. La Rue Brown, of Boston, Mass., for intervener appellant D. A. beard.

*257 Mr. Elbert Hooper, of Austin, Tex., for appellees.

Opinion

*259 Mr. Justice SUTHERLAND delivered the opinion of the Court.

This is a suit brought in the court below by Stephenson, one of the appellants, in which the other appellants intervened, against various officials of the state and counties of Texas, among them, the Governor, Attorney General, members of the state highway commission and of the *260 State Railroad Commission, to enjoin the enforcement of certain provisions of a state statute hereafter described. The appellants severally were engaged in transporting freight by means of motortrucks over the highways of the state, between certain cities located within the state, under private contracts made with various named shippers, which contracts, among other terms, fixed the rate to be charged for the transportation services. While these contracts were in force and in process of being performed, **183 the state statute was passed (Acts Tex. 1929, c. 314 as amended by Acts Tex. 1931, c. 277 (Vernon's Ann. Civ. St. Tex. art. 911b, s 1 et seq.)), the effect of which, it is alleged, is to prohibit appellants from carrying out the terms, provisions, and conditions of their contracts; to preclude them from transporting freight over the highways of the state under their contracts as private carriers to their great injury; and to subject them to criminal prosecutions. It is further alleged that an enforcement of the act will destroy the business of appellants, and, unless restrained, will cause them irreparable injury.

The following constitute the salient provisions of the act. Section 1 defines various terms used in the act. Section 3 provides that no common carrier of property for compensation or hire shall operate over the highways of the state without first obtaining a certificate of public convenience and necessity, and that no contract carrier shall thus operate without a permit so to do. Section 4 vests the Railroad Commission with authority to supervise and regulate the transportation of property for compensation or hire by motor vehicle on any public highway of the state; to fix maximum or minimum, or maximum and minimum,

rates, fares, and charges in accordance with the specific provisions of the act; to prescribe rules and regulations for the government of motor carriers, for the safety of their operations, and for other purposes; to require each driver to have a license pursuant to an examination as to his ability and fitness. By the same section the commission is given broad powers of supervision and *261 regulation in respect of matters affecting the relationship of the motor carriers and the shipping public, as may be necessary in the interest of the public; and also to supervise and regulate such carriers generally 'so as to carefully preserve, foster and regulate transportation and to relieve the existing and all future undue burdens on the highways arising by reason of the use of the highways by motor carriers, adjusting and administering its regulations in the interests of the public.' The Railroad Commission and the highway commission are directed to cooperate in respect of the condition of the public highways and their ability to carry existing and proposed additional traffic.

Section 5 contains various provisions relating to common carriers over the highways, and among other things requires them to have certificates of public convenience and necessity. Section 6(a) provides that no motor carrier now operating as a contract carrier, or hereafter desiring to engage in so doing, shall operate until it shall have received a permit from the Railroad Commission which shall not be issued until the applicant has complied with the requirements of the act. Section 6(c) directs that such permits shall be granted only after a hearing, and not if the commission be of opinion 'that the proposed operation of any such contract carrier will impair the efficient public service of any authorized common carrier or common carriers then adequately serving the same territory.'

Section 6(d) authorizes the Railroad Commission to issue special permits to persons desiring to transport for hire over the state highways live stock, mohair, wool, milk, and certain other commodities, upon such terms and under such regulations as may be deemed proper, having in mind the protection of the highways and the safety of the traveling public. Section 6aa gives the commission authority to prescribe rules and regulations governing the operation of contract carriers in competition with common *262 carriers over the highways, and to prescribe minimum rates to be collected by such contract carriers 'which shall not be less than the rates prescribed for common carriers for substantially the same service.'

Section 6bb provides that no permit to operate as a contract carrier shall be granted to any person operating as a common

carrier holding a certificate of convenience and necessity, and that no certificate of convenience and necessity shall be granted to any person operating as a contract carrier, and that no vehicle shall be operated by any motor carrier with both a permit and a certificate.

Section 13 requires all motor carriers to give bonds and insurance policies, which among other things shall provide that the obligor will pay judgments recovered against the motor carrier based on claims for loss or damages for personal injuries, or 'loss of, or injury to, property occurring during the term of said bonds and policies and arising out of the actual operation of such motor carrier.' The section contains a proviso directing the commission not to require insurance covering loss of or damage to cargo in amount excessive for the class of service to be rendered by the carrier.

Section 22(b) is a broad declaration of policy. It declares that the business of operating as a motor carrier of property for hire along the highways of the state is one affected with the public interest. It further declares that the rapid increase of motor carrier traffic and the lack of effective regulation have increased the dangers and hazards on public highways and made more stringent regulations imperative to the end that the highways may be rendered safer for public use, the wear and tear upon them reduced, discrimination in rates eliminated, congestion of traffic minimized, the use of the highways for transportation of property for hire restricted to the extent required by the necessities ****184** of the general public, and the various transportation agencies of the state adjusted and ***263** correlated 'so that public highways may serve the best interest of the general public.'

The case was heard by a statutory court consisting of three judges, under section 266 of the Judicial Code, U.S.C., title 28, s 380 (28 USCA 380), upon the pleadings and affidavits and other evidence. That court delivered an opinion and denied an interlocutory injunction (D.C.) 53 F.(2d) 509. Later, and upon final hearing, the court made findings of fact and entered a decree denying a permanent injunction. The case comes here by appeal from that decree.

Appellants assail the statute upon the following grounds: (1) That as applied to appellants, all of whom are private contract carriers, the result of the statute is to compel them to dedicate their property to the quasi public use of public transportation before they can operate their motors over the highways, and thus to take their property for public use without adequate compensation and to deprive them of their property without due process of law. In other words, the alleged effect of

the statute is to convert the private carriers into common carriers by legislative fiat. (2) That the business of appellants is not affected with a public interest, and the provisions of the statute so declaring in terms, or in effect, constitute an attempt to deprive appellants of their property without due process of law, and to abrogate their right of private contract. (3) That the statute by requiring appellants to obtain a permit in the nature of a certificate of public convenience and necessity subjects them to other regulations before they can lawfully operate upon the highways, which regulations are not imposed upon other private carriers similarly situated, and thereby appellants are denied the equal protection of the laws. (4) That other regulations to which appellants are subjected are not made applicable to persons using the highways in transportation of their own commodities under substantially similar conditions, ***264** and thereby appellants are denied the equal protection of the laws.

To these contentions appellees reply: (a) That the act does not undertake to convert the contract carriers into common carriers, or to require them to devote their property to any different or greater public use than that to which they have already voluntarily dedicated it, or to render any service beyond that which they have contracted to render, but merely fixes reasonable conditions upon the permissive use which they make of public property as a place of business. (b) That the act is bottomed upon the state's power to protect its highways and remove traffic hazards, as well as upon its power and duty to foster and preserve a dependable transportation system for the whole people. (c) That the contract carriers reached by the act are, under conditions, now obtaining upon the highways, engaged in a business affected with a public interest, and the reasonable regulation of their rates and practices is essential for the protection of that interest. (d) That the act is not discriminatory in the particulars asserted by appellants.

[1] First. It is well established law that the highways of the state are public property; that their primary and preferred use is for private purposes; and that their use for purposes of gain is special and extraordinary, which, generally at least, the legislature may prohibit or condition as it sees fit. *Packard v. Banton*, 264 U.S. 140, 144, 44 S.Ct. 257, 68 L.Ed. 596, and cases cited; *Frost & Frost Trucking Co. v. R.R. Comm.*, 271 U.S. 583, 592, 593, 46 S.Ct. 605, 70 L.Ed. 1101, 47 A.L.R. 457; *Hodge Drive-It-Yourself Co. v. Cincinnati*, 284 U.S. 335, 337, 52 S.Ct. 144, 76 L.Ed. 323; *Johnson Transfer & Freight Lines v. Perry* (D.C.) 47 F.(2d) 900, 902; *Southern Motorways v. Perry* (D.C.) 39 F.(2d) 145, 147; *People's Transit Co. v. Henshaw* (C.C.A.) 20 F.(2d) 87, 89; *Weksler v. Collins*, 317

Ill. 132, 138, 139, 147 N.E. 797; *Maine Motor Coaches v. Public Utilities*, 125 Me. 63, 65, 130 A. 866.

*265 Putting aside the question whether the statute may stand against the attack made under the due process of law clause, upon the theory that appellants, by reason of their use of the public highways, are engaged in a business impressed with a public interest, and the question whether it may be justified on the ground that, wholly apart from its relation to highway conservation, it is necessary in order to prevent impairment of the public service of authorized common carriers adequately serving the same territory, we confine our inquiry to the question whether, in the light of the broad general rule just stated, the statute may be construed and sustained as a constitutional exercise of the legislative power to regulate the use of the state highways. Provisions of the statute assailed on the ground that they are not highway regulations and violate the due process of law clause are: the requirement that the private contract carrier before engaging in business must obtain a permit upon considerations relating to the effect of their competition upon existing common carriers; the provision authorizing the Railroad Commission to fix the minimum rates of such private carriers operating in competition with common carriers, which shall not be less than the rates prescribed for common carriers for substantially the same service; and the requirement, as appellants interpret the statute, that such private carriers must furnish cargo insurance policies and bonds.

**185 [2] We are of opinion that neither by specific provision or provisions, nor by the statute considered as a whole, is there an attempt to convert private contract carriers by motor into common carriers. Certainly, the statute does not say so. Common carriers by motor and private contract carriers are classified separately and subjected to distinctly separate provisions. By section 1(h), the contract carrier is defined as 'any motor carrier * * * transporting *266 property for compensation or hire over any highway in this State other than as a common carrier.' It is difficult to see how the Legislature could more clearly have evinced an intention to avoid an attempt to convert the contract carrier into a common carrier. It is true that the regulations imposed upon the two classes are in some instances similar, if not identical; but they are imposed upon each class considered by itself, and it does not follow that regulations appropriately imposed upon the business of a common carrier may not also be appropriate to the business of a contract carrier.

Appellants, in support of their contention, rely upon prior decisions of this court; but there is nothing in any of them, as a brief review will disclose, which requires us to hold that the legislation here under review compels private contract carriers to assume the duties and obligations of common carriers, or interferes with their freedom to limit their business to that of carrying under private contracts as they have been wont to do.

Michigan Public Utilities Commission v. Duke, 266 U.S. 570, 45 S.Ct. 191, 69 L.Ed. 445, 36 A.L.R. 1105, dealt with a state law which expressly provided that all persons engaged in the transportation of persons or property for hire by motor vehicle upon the public highways of the state should be common carriers, and that all laws of the state regulating transportation by other common carriers should apply with equal force and effect to such common carriers. It was upon this express provision that this court based its holding (pages 577, 578 of 266 U.S., 45 S.Ct. 191) that it was beyond the power of the state by legislative fiat to convert property used exclusively in the business of a private carrier into a public utility, or to make the owner a public carrier, since that would be to take private property for public use without just compensation in violation of the due process of law clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

Buck v. Kuykendall, 267 U.S. 307, 45 S.Ct. 324, 69 L.Ed. 623, 38 A.L.R. 286, and *Bush & Sons Co. v. Maloy*, 267 U.S. 317, 45 S.Ct. 326, 327, 69 L.Ed. 627, were cases which dealt with state *267 statutes affecting interstate commerce and with discriminations relating thereto. No such questions are raised in respect of the application to appellants of the Texas statute now under consideration.

The question decided in *Frost & Frost Trucking Co. v. R.R. Comm.*, 271 U.S. 583, 46 S.Ct. 605, 70 L.Ed. 1101, 47 A.L.R. 457, differs entirely from that here presented. There (page 592 of 271 U.S., 46 S.Ct. 605) the California Supreme Court (*Frost v. Railroad Comm.*, 197 Cal. 230, 240 P. 26) had construed a provision of the state statute which required the private contract carrier to obtain, not a permit, as here, but a certificate of public convenience and necessity before doing business over the state highways, as a condition obliging him to dedicate his property to the business of public transportation and to subject himself to all the duties and burdens imposed by the act upon common carriers. This court, in accordance with the settled rule, accepted that construction as binding and, in that view, said (page 592 of 271 U.S., 46 S.Ct. 605, 606):

‘* * * The case presented is not that of a private carrier, who, in order to have the privilege of using the highways, is required merely to secure a certificate of public convenience and become subject to regulations appropriate to that kind of a carrier, but it is that of a private carrier, who, in order to enjoy the use of the highways, must submit to the condition of becoming a common carrier and of being regulated as such by the Railroad Commission. The certificate of public convenience, required by section 5, is exacted of a common carrier, and is purely incidental to that status. The requirement does not apply to a private carrier qua private carrier, but to him only in his imposed statutory character of common carrier. Apart from that signification, so far as he is concerned, it does not exist.’

On the contrary, the Texas statute in respect of permits deals exclusively with the private contract carrier, and requires the issue of the permit not to him in the imposed character of a common carrier, but in his actual character *268 as a private contract carrier. If the California statute requiring a certificate had been thus interpreted by the highest court of the state, the foregoing quotation clearly suggests that our decision might have been otherwise.

[Smith v. Cahoon, 283 U.S. 553, 51 S.Ct. 582, 75 L.Ed. 1264](#), dealt with a Florida statute indiscriminately applying to all who operated motor vehicles for compensation or as common carriers over public highways, and prohibiting such operation without a certificate of public convenience and necessity, application for which was to be accompanied by a schedule of tariffs. No certificate was valid unless a bond were given by the applicant for protection against injuries resulting from negligence, and for the protection of persons and property carried. The Railroad Commission **186 was vested with authority to fix or approve rates, regulate service, prescribe methods of keeping accounts, etc. Schedules of rates were to be open to the public, and all alterations in tariffs were under the commission's control. The violation of any provision of the act was made a misdemeanor punishable by fine or imprisonment or by both. This court held that, since the statute affixed the same conditions to all who applied for certificates, and embraced in those conditions a scheme of supervision and control which constitutionally could be applied only to common carriers, a private carrier for hire could not constitutionally be arrested under it for failure to procure a certificate or pay the tax required by the act. It further held that, if the statute were regarded as intended to afford one constitutional scheme for common carriers and another for private carriers, it failed to define the obligations

of private carriers with the certainty required of criminal statutes, and was therefore void; and that this defect was not removed by a decision of the state court declaring the provisions separable, and that only those legally applicable to private carriers were intended to apply to them, without also deciding which provisions were so applicable. ‘No separate *269 scheme of regulation,’ we said ([page 563 of 283 U.S., 51 S.Ct. 582, 585](#)), ‘can be discerned in the terms of the act with respect to those considerations of safety and proper operation affecting the use of highways which may appropriately relate to private carriers as well as to common carriers.’

The vice of the statute was that all carriers for hire, whether public or private, were put upon the same footing by explicit provisions which could not be severed so as to afford one valid scheme for common carriers and another for private carriers, with the result that, until the separability of these provisions should be determined by competent authority, they were void for uncertainty. In the Texas statute no such uncertainty exists. The provisions intended to be applicable to contract carriers are distinctly set forth and separately stated, plainly leaving for determination only the question whether such provisions, or any of them, are invalid as so applied. [Continental Baking Co. v. Woodring, 286 U.S. 352, 364, 52 S.Ct. 595, 76 L.Ed. 1155](#).

We come, then, to consider the challenged provisions of the statute under review, in the light of their exclusive relation to contract carriers, unembarrassed by any previous ruling of this court. In view of the conclusions to which we shall come, it is not necessary to determine whether the operation of trucks for the transportation of freight under private contracts, carried into effect by the use of the public highways, is a business impressed with a public interest.

There is ample support in the record for the following findings of the court below:

‘The evidence shows there are 1,360,413 motor vehicles other than either common or contract carriers or commercial carriers of passengers registered for use on the highways of Texas, and that it is one of the purposes of the Legislature to make the use of the highways safer and more convenient for these private operators, involving incidentally either a lessening of commercial transportation *270 on the highways, or such improvement in their character and practices as to effect the same result. In this connection, the Court finds that the provisions of the statute carried out in accordance with the declaration of purpose and the specific

instructions therein will have the effect either of lessening commercial traffic on the highways, or, by bringing it under careful and adequate supervision, of making the use thereof by the very large number of owners and operators of private motor vehicles safer and more convenient.

‘The increase of unregulated truck transportation over the highways had developed a difficult and perplexing public problem to the extent that the Governor of the State in his message to the Legislature called attention to the fact that the highways were being taken and badly used by motor vehicles engaged in the transportation of freight for hire.

‘The number of contract carriers on the highways of Texas having rapidly grown, as elsewhere found, the business they conduct now exists as a very large factor in commercial transportation. The court finds that it is not the effect of one such carrier or a limited number thereof which produced the serious problem with which the Legislature of Texas purported to deal and has dealt, but it is the effect, in the aggregate, of such contract carriers that is important.

‘The inevitable result of the continuance of the enormous increase of so-called private carriers for hire and the continual decrease in the number of common carriers holding certificates of public convenience and necessity will be the practical disappearance altogether of common carriers from the roads.

‘The Legislature has declared that all of the available carriage service, including common carriage by rail and road and contract carriage by road, are so interdependent *271 that the public may not continue to have a safe and dependable transportation system unless private carriers operating on the same roads with common carriers are brought under just and reasonable regulations bringing their service into relation with common carriers, **187 and we find the evidence supports this finding.

‘The requirement of the Texas statute under attack that contract carriers must have a permit with the prerequisites in the statute for such a permit, is reasonable, particularly in that this method enables the State to know who will use its highways and to more efficiently regulate such use. The permit system has immediate relation to the condition of the roads and bridges, congestion of the highways and the character of equipment to be used, which relates not only to the effect of the operations on business but also to the problem of safety and convenience in use of the highway.

‘The experience of the Railroad Commission supports the Legislative declaration that unregulated contract carriers under the former law effectively prevents the primary purpose of fostering and conserving for the public welfare all commercial transportation on the highways which it has been the purpose of the laws of Texas, under rules of the Commission, to foster.’

[3] These and other findings and the evidence contained in the record conclusively show that during recent years the unregulated use of the highways of the state by a vast and constantly growing number of private contract carriers has had the effect of greatly decreasing the freight which would be carried by railroads within the state, and, in consequence, adding to the burden upon the highways. Certainly, the removal or amelioration of that burden, with its resulting injury to the highways, interference with their primary use, danger, and inconvenience, is a legitimate subject for the exercise of the state legislative power. And that this was one of the chief ends sought *272 to be accomplished by the provisions in question, the record amply establishes.

[4] The assailed provisions, in this view, are not ends in and of themselves, but means to the legitimate end of conserving the highways. The extent to which, as means, they conduce to that end, the degree of their efficiency, the closeness of their relation to the end sought to be attained, are matters addressed to the judgment of the Legislature, and not to that of the courts. It is enough if it can be seen that in any degree, or under any reasonably conceivable circumstances, there is an actual relation between the means and the end. Compare [McCulloch v. Maryland](#), 4 Wheat. 316, 409, 410, 419, 421, 423, 4 L.Ed. 579; [Veazie Bank v. Fenno](#), 8 Wall. 533, 549, 19 L.Ed. 482; [Legal Tender Cases](#), 12 Wall. 457, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 20 L.Ed. 287; [Pomeroy](#), *Constitutional Law* (9th Ed.) s 268a.

[5] Turning our attention then to the provision for permits, it is to be observed that the requirement is not that the private contract carrier shall obtain a certificate of public convenience and necessity, but that he shall obtain a permit, the issue of which is made dependent upon the condition that the efficiency of common carrier service then adequately serving the same territory shall not be impaired. Does the required relation here exist between the condition imposed and the end sought? We think it does. But, in any event, if the Legislature so concluded, as it evidently did, that conclusion must stand, since we are not able to say that in reaching it that body was manifestly wrong. [Jacobson v. Massachusetts](#), 197 U.S. 11, 30, 31, 25 S.Ct. 358, 49 L.Ed. 643, 3 Ann.Cas. 765. Compare

Euclid v. Ambler Realty Co., 272 U.S. 365, 395, 47 S.Ct. 114, 71 L.Ed. 303, 54 A.L.R. 1016; *Zahn v. Board of Public Works*, 274 U.S. 325, 328, 47 S.Ct. 594, 71 L.Ed. 1074. Debatable questions of this character are not for the courts, but for the Legislature, which is entitled to form its own judgment. *Sproles v. Binford*, 286 U.S. 374, 388, 389, 52 S.Ct. 581, 76 L.Ed. 1167. Leaving out of consideration common carriers by *273 trucks, impairment of the railway freight service, in the very nature of things, must result, to some degree, in adding to the burden imposed upon the highways. Or, stated conversely, any diversion of traffic from the highways to the railroads must correspondingly relieve the former and therefore contribute directly to their conservation. There is thus a substantial relation between the means here adopted and the end sought. This is made plain by the *Sproles Case*, *supra* (page 394 of 286 U.S., 52 S.Ct. 581, 587):

‘The state has a vital interest in the appropriate utilization of the railroads which serve its people, as well as in the proper maintenance of its highways as safe and convenient facilities. The state provides its highways and pays for their upkeep. Its people make railroad transportation possible by the payment of transportation charges. It cannot be said that the state is powerless to protect its highways from being subjected to excessive burdens when other means of transportation are available. The use of highways for truck transportation has its manifest convenience, but we perceive no constitutional ground for denying to the state the right to foster a fair distribution of traffic to the end that all necessary facilities should be maintained and that the public should not be inconvenienced by inordinate uses of its highways for purposes of gain. This is not a case of a denial of the use of the highways to one class of citizens as opposed to another, or of limitations having no appropriate relation to highway protection.’

****188 [6]** What has just been said applies in the main to the other challenged provision authorizing the commission to prescribe minimum rates not less than those prescribed for common carriers for substantially the same service. This provision, by precluding the contract carriers from rendering service at rates under those charged by the railroad carriers, has a definite tendency to relieve the highways by *274 diverting traffic from them to the railroads. The authority is limited to the fixing of minimum rates. The contract carrier may not charge less than the rates so fixed, but is left free to charge as much more as he sees fit and can obtain. Undoubtedly, this interferes with the freedom of the parties to contract, but it is not such an interference as the Fourteenth Amendment forbids. While freedom of contract

is the general rule, it is nevertheless not absolute but subject to a great variety of legitimate restraints, among which are such as are required for the safety and welfare of the state and its inhabitants. *Knoxville Iron Co. v. Harbison*, 183 U.S. 13, 22, 22 S.Ct. 1, 46 L.Ed. 55; *Atlantic Coast Line R. Co. v. Riverside Mills*, 219 U.S. 186, 202, 31 S.Ct. 164, 55 L.Ed. 167, 31 L.R.A.(N.S.) 7; *Chicago, B. & Quincy R. Co. v. McGuire*, 219 U.S. 549, 567, et seq., 31 S.Ct. 259, 55 L.Ed. 328; *Baltimore & Ohio R. Co. v. Int. Com. Comm.*, 221 U.S. 612, 619, 31 S.Ct. 621, 55 L.Ed. 878. When the exercise of that freedom conflicts with the power and duty of the state to safeguard its property from injury and preserve it for those uses for which it was primarily designed, such freedom may be regulated and limited to the extent which reasonably may be necessary to carry the power and duty into effect. Compare *McLean v. Arkansas*, 211 U.S. 539, 545, 29 S.Ct. 206, 53 L.Ed. 315; *Miller v. Wilson*, 236 U.S. 373, 380, 35 S.Ct. 342, 59 L.Ed. 628, L.R.A. 1915F, 829; *Frisbie v. United States*, 157 U.S. 160, 165, 15 S.Ct. 586, 39 L.Ed. 657; *Highland v. Russell Car & Snow Plow Co.*, 279 U.S. 253, 261, 49 S.Ct. 314, 73 L.Ed. 688; *Adkins v. Children's Hospital*, 261 U.S. 525, 546, 43 S.Ct. 394, 67 L.Ed. 785, 24 A.L.R. 1238.

[7] Here the circumstance which justifies what otherwise might be an unconstitutional interference with the freedom of private contract is that the contract calls for a service, the performance of which contemplates the use of facilities belonging to the state; and it would be strange doctrine which, while recognizing the power of the state to regulate the use itself, would deny its power to regulate the contract so far as it contemplates the use. ‘Contracts which relate to the use of the highways must be deemed to have been made in contemplation of the regulatory authority of the state.’ *275 *Sproles v. Binford*, *supra*, at pages 390, 391 of 286 U.S., 52 S.Ct. 581, 586, and authorities cited. The principle that Congress may regulate private contracts whenever reasonably necessary to effect any of the great purposes for which the national government was created, *Highland v. Russell Car & Snow Plow Co.*, *supra*, at page 261 of 279 U.S., 49 S.Ct. 314, applies to a state under like circumstances.

An entirely different question was presented in the *Frost Trucking Case*, *supra*. There, as we pointed out (pages 591, 592, of 271 U.S., 46 S.Ct. 605), the California act, as construed by the highest court of the state, was in no real sense a regulation of the use of the public highways. Its purpose was to protect the business of those who were common carriers in fact by controlling competitive conditions. Protection

or conservation of the highways was not involved.¹ The condition which constrained the private contract carrier to become a common carrier, therefore, had no relation to the highways. In this view, the use of the highways furnished a purely unrelated occasion for imposing the unconstitutional condition, affording no firmer basis for that condition than would have been the case if the contract carrier were using a road in private ownership.

¹ The California Supreme Court expressly said that the act 'does not purport to be and is not in fact a regulation of the use of the highways.' *Frost v. Railroad Commission*, 197 Cal. 230, 244, 240 P. 26, 32.

The Texas statute, on the contrary, rests definitely upon the policy of highway conservation, and the provision now under review is governed by the same principle as that which recognizes the authority of a state to prescribe the conditions upon which it will permit public work to be done on its behalf. Among such conditions it may prescribe that laborers employed by a contractor to do such work shall not be permitted to labor more than eight hours per day. *Atkin v. Kansas*, 191 U.S. 207, 24 S.Ct. 124, 48 L.Ed. 148. 'It cannot be deemed a part of the liberty of any contractor,' it is said at pages 222, 223 of 191 U.S., 24 S.Ct. 124, 127, 'that he be allowed to do public work in any mode he may choose to adopt *276 without regard to the wishes of the state. On the contrary, it belongs to the state, as the guardian and trustee for its people, and having control of its affairs, to prescribe the conditions upon which it will permit public work to be done on its behalf, or on behalf of its municipalities. No court has authority to review its action in that respect. Regulations on this subject suggest only considerations of public policy. And with such considerations the courts have no concern.' See, also, *Ellis v. United States*, 206 U.S. 246, 256, 27 S.Ct. 600, 51 L.Ed. 1047, 11 Ann.Cas. 589; **189 *Heim v. McCall*, 239 U.S. 175, 191, 36 S.Ct. 78, 60 L.Ed. 206, Ann. Cas. 1917B, 287. It may be said with like force that it belongs to the state, 'as master in its own house,' to prescribe the terms upon which persons will be permitted to contract in respect of the use of the public highways for purposes of gain. See *Hodge Drive-It-Yourself Co. v. Cincinnati*, 284 U.S. 335, 337, 52 S.Ct. 144, 76 L.Ed. 323.

[8] [9] We need not consider whether the act in some other aspect would be good or bad. It is enough to support its validity that, plainly, one of its aims is to conserve the highways. If the Legislature had other or additional purposes, which, considered apart, it had no constitutional power to make effective, that would not have the result of making the act invalid. *Ellis v. United States*, 206 U.S. 246, 256, 27 S.Ct.

600, 51 L.Ed. 1047, 11 Ann.Cas. 589. Nor does it matter that the legislation has the result of modifying or abrogating contracts already in effect. Such contracts are to be regarded as having been made subject to the future exercise of the constitutional power of the state. *Louisville & Nashville R. Co. v. Mottley*, 219 U.S. 467, 480, et seq., 31 S.Ct. 265, 55 L.Ed. 297, 34 L.R.A.(N.S.) 671; *Union Bridge Co. v. United States*, 204 U.S. 364, 400, 27 S.Ct. 367, 51 L.Ed. 523; *Sproles v. Binford*, supra, at pages 390, 391 of 286 U.S., 52 S.Ct. 581.

[10] [11] The provision of section 13, requiring every motor carrier, whether operating under permit or certificate, to furnish a bond and policy of insurance conditioned that the obligor will pay, among other things, for loss of, or injury to, property arising out of the actual operation of the carrier, is construed by appellants as including cargoes *277 carried by them, and is assailed as a requirement bearing no relation to public safety, but as an attempt to condition the purely private contractual relationship between shipper and private carrier. It is said that the proviso which prohibits the commission from requiring insurance covering loss of, or damage to, cargo in an excessive amount requires the construction suggested. So far as appears, no attempt yet has been made to enforce the provision against any of these appellants, and until that is done they have no occasion to complain. Moreover, no state court thus far has dealt with the question, and, unless obliged to do otherwise, we should not adopt a construction which might render the provision of doubtful validity, but await a determination of the matter by the courts of the state. *Utah Power & L. Co. v. Pfof*, 286 U.S. 165, 186, 52 S.Ct. 548, 76 L.Ed. 1038.

[12] Second. The contention that the act, in certain particulars, denies appellants the equal protection of the laws requires only brief consideration. Section 6(d), which authorizes the issue of special permits to persons engaged in the business of transporting certain named commodities upon such terms, conditions, and restrictions as the Railroad Commission may deem proper, etc., is said to discriminate arbitrarily against carriers of commodities of a similar character, in that the selected carriers are not required to comply with many of the onerous provisions of the statute. It is by no means clear that such is the case, and it is asserted on behalf of appellees, and not disputed, that the Attorney General of the state, in an official opinion, has construed the provision to mean that persons operating under these special permits either as contract or common carriers are subject to the provisions of the act applicable to such carriers, and that this construction has been accepted by the Railroad

Commission. There is nothing in the record to suggest that the provision has been otherwise applied. Appellants in this *278 regard, therefore, have no ground upon which to base a complaint.

[13] Nor do we find merit in the further contention that the act arbitrarily discriminates against appellants because it does not apply to persons, commonly known as ‘shipper-owners,’ who are transporting their own commodities under substantially similar conditions. It is obvious that certain provisions of the statute, like that requiring the commission to fix minimum rates, can have no application to such owners. We are of opinion, from an examination of the act and the companion act which was upheld by this court in *Sproles v. Binford*, supra, that all provisions relating to contract carriers

which are germane to shipper-owners are made applicable to them. In any event, it is not shown that the act thus far has been so administered as to result in any unlawful discrimination.

The decree of the court below is


Affirmed.

Mr. Justice BUTLER dissents.

All Citations

287 U.S. 251, 53 S.Ct. 181, 77 L.Ed. 288, 87 A.L.R. 721, P.U.R. 1933A 440

Filings (3)

Title	PDF	Court	Date	Type
1. Brief on Behalf of Appellants* Stephenson v. Binford 1932 WL 33745		U.S.	Nov. 14, 1932	Brief
2. Brief for Appellees. Stephenson v. Binford 1932 WL 33746	—	U.S.	Nov. 11, 1932	Brief
3. Brief on Behalf of D. A. Beard, Intervener. Stephenson v. Binford 1932 WL 33747	—	U.S.	Nov 1932	Brief

History (2)

Direct History (2)

1. [Stephenson v. Binford](#)












53 F.2d 509 , S.D.Tex. , Oct. 26, 1931

Affirmed by

2. [Stephenson v. Binford](#)

287 U.S. 251 , U.S.Tex. , Dec. 05, 1932

Citing References (500)

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	 1. Parks v. Watson ¶ 716 F.2d 646, 652+ , 9th Cir.(Or.) Company, which wished to develop part of its land, and its partners brought action against city and its manager under Civil Rights Act and the Sherman Act arising out of city's...	Sep. 22, 1983	Case		3 7 13 S.Ct.
Examined by	2. Anderson v. Thomas ¶ 26 P.2d 60, 67+ , Or. In Banc. Appeal from Circuit Court, Marion County; L. G. Lewelling, Judge. Suit by A. C. Anderson against Charles M. Thomas, State Commissioner of Public Utilities. From the...	Oct. 17, 1933	Case		3 5 6 S.Ct.
Examined by	3. Brief of Appellant California State Automobile Association Inter-Insurance Bureau California State Auto. Ass'n Inter-Ins. Bureau v. Downey 1951 WL 82119, *82119+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 25, 1951	Brief		3 6 13 S.Ct.
Examined by	4. Brief for Appellants. ¶ Allen v. Galveston Truck Line Corp. 1932 WL 33513, *33513+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1932	Brief		1 3 7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	 5. City of El Paso v. Simmons ¶ 85 S.Ct. 577, 583+ , U.S.Tex. Action in nature of trespass to try title brought against city. The United States District Court for the Western District of Texas rendered judgment for the city, and the plaintiff...	Jan. 18, 1965	Case		4 9 S.Ct.
Discussed by	6. Hicklin v. Coney 54 S.Ct. 142, 143+ , U.S.S.C. Suit, in the original jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of South Carolina, by the State, on the relation of J. C. Coney and others, as members of and constituting the Railroad...	Dec. 04, 1933	Case		12 S.Ct.
Discussed by	7. Baker v. Glenn ¶ 2 F.Supp. 880, 882+ , E.D.Ky. Suit by Howard E. Baker and another, doing business as Baker & Hulley, against Selden R. Glenn and others, constituting the State Tax Commission of the Commonwealth of Kentucky. ...	Jan. 21, 1933	Case		3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	8. Shaw v. State ¶ 447 P.2d 262, 266+ , Ariz.App. Action was brought by lessors of heavy motor vehicles against the State and another to recover taxes paid. The Superior Court of Maricopa County, Cause No. 1075—94, Warren L....	Nov. 20, 1968	Case		1 8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	9. Morel v. Railroad Commission of California 81 P.2d 144, 148+ , Cal. In Bank. Proceeding by Victor Morel against the Railroad Commission of the State of California to annul an order of the respondent requiring the petitioner to cease and desist from...	June 30, 1938	Case		3 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	10. State v. King ¶ 188 A. 775, 778+ , Me. Report from Superior Court, Aroostook County. Sandy King was indicted for operating truck as contract carrier without permit. On report from the Superior Court. Case remanded,...	Dec. 07, 1936	Case		3 13 S.Ct.
Discussed by	11. Herring v. State ¶ 64 P.2d 921, 923+ , Okla.Crim.App. Appeal from Court of Common Pleas, Oklahoma County; J. B. Barnett, Judge. J. A. Herring was convicted of operating a motor vehicle without a proper Corporation Commission permit,...	Nov. 25, 1936	Case		3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	12. State v. Hicklin ¶ 167 S.E. 674, 676+ , S.C. Suit by the State, on the relation of J. C. Coney and others, as members of and constituting the Railroad Commission of South Carolina, against M. D. Hicklin, individually, and as...	Jan. 27, 1933	Case		3 12 13 S.Ct.
Discussed by	13. Prater v. Department of Public Service of Washington ¶ 60 P.2d 238, 241+ , Wash. En Banc. Appeal from Superior Court, Spokane County; William A. Hunek, Judge. Injunction suit by Harry Prater and Floyd Prater, copartners doing business under the name and style...	Aug. 20, 1936	Case		3 7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	14. Robertson v. Department of Public Works 39 P.2d 596, 597+ , Wash. En Banc. Appeal from Superior Court, Thurston County; D. F. Wright, Judge. Petition by Gus Robertson, doing business as the Robertson Transfer, against the Department of Public...	Dec. 21, 1934	Case		7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	15. Public Utilities Commissioner 17 Or. Op. Atty. Gen. 125, 125+ I have your letter dated October 20, 1934, wherein you state that: " * * the commission has required where there is competition between the contract and common carrier, that the...	Oct. 31, 1934	Administrative Decision		6 S.Ct.
Discussed by	16. Reply to Answer to Petition for Review HILL BROTHERS CHEMICAL COMPANY, Petitioner, v. SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, Respondent, Robin Lorentsen, et al., Real Parties in Interest. 2005 WL 779436, *779436+ , Cal. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Jan. 11, 2005	Petition		—
Discussed by	17. Brief for the United States as Amicus Curiae Supporting Respondents ¶ Birchfield v. State of North Dakota 2016 WL 4177088, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 22, 2016	Brief		1 7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	18. Brief of Respondent ¶ Birchfield v. North Dakota 2016 WL 1068982, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 15, 2016	Brief		5 S.Ct.













Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	19. Brief for the United States as Amicus Curiae Supporting Reversal James Patrick NOLLAN and Marilyn Harvey Nollan, Appellants, v. CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION. 1986 WL 720594, *720594+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 19, 1986	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	20. Brief of Appellees ¶¶ Walter J. FLECK, et al., Appellants, v. Warren SPANNAUS, et al., Appellees. 1978 WL 206805, *206805+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 31, 1978	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	21. Brief of Appellees ¶¶ Fleck v. Spannaus 1978 WL 223313, *223313+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 31, 1978	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	22. Amicus Curiae Brief of the Attorney General of Arkansas ¶¶ Williamson v. Lee Optical of Oklahoma, Inc. 1955 WL 72903, *72903+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 17, 1955	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	23. Appellee's Brief ¶¶ Railway Exp. Agency, Inc. v. People of the State of New York 1948 WL 47227, *47227+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 26, 1948	Brief		1 7 9 S.Ct.
Discussed by	24. Brief of the State of New York as Amicus Curiae* ¶¶ East New York Sav. Bank v. Hahn 1945 WL 48495, *48495+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 01, 1945	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	25. Brief of Respondent Wisconsin Employment Relations Board Allen-Bradley Local No. 1111 v. Wisconsin Employment Relations Bd. 1941 WL 52751, *52751+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1941	Brief		—
Discussed by	26. Brief of Petitioner. Kinney v. Nebraska 1941 WL 52916, *52916+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 26, 1941	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	27. Brief for Appellant Opposing Appellees' Motion to Dismiss or Affirm. ¶¶ Philadelphia-Detroit Lines, Inc. v. Simpson 1940 WL 71270, *71270+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1940	Brief		3 12 13 S.Ct.
Discussed by	28. Brief for O. E. Weller, et al. Constituting the Public Service Commission of Maryland, Appellee. U.S. v. The Baltimore and Annapolis R. Co. 1939 WL 48358, *48358+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 14, 1939	Brief		3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	29. Brief for Appellees Ziffrin, Inc. v. Martin 1939 WL 48777, *48777+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1939	Brief		12 13 S.Ct.
Discussed by	30. Brief for Appellants. ¶¶ Gibbs v. Buck 1938 WL 39116, *39116+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 16, 1938	Brief		6 9 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	31. Brief for Intervening Defendants, Appellants. South Carolina State Highway Dept. v. Barnwell Bros., Inc. 1937 WL 40950, *40950+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 18, 1937	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Discussed by	32. Amicus Brief ¶¶ South Carolina State Highway Dept. v. Barnwell Bros, Inc. 1937 WL 40954, *40954+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1937	Brief		1 3 7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	33. Brief for State of Texas as Amicus Curiae ¶¶ South Carolina State Highway Dept. v. Barnwell Bros., Inc., et al 1937 WL 40956, *40956+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1937	Brief		3 7 S.Ct.
Discussed by	34. Reply Brief of Appellants With Appendix ¶¶ Ingels v. Morf 1937 WL 40507, *40507+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 04, 1937	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	35. Appellee's Brief. ¶¶ Abrams v. Van Schaick 1934 WL 31903, *31903+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1934	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	36. Brief for Appellee Atkins v. Hertz Drivurself Stations, Inc. 1933 WL 63282, *63282+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 11, 1933	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	37. Brief on Behalf of Petitioner. Bemis Bros. Bag Co. v. U.S. 1933 WL 31479, *31479+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 08, 1933	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Discussed by	38. Reply Brief for Defendants-Appellants in Both Cases ¶¶ PHILIP MORRIS, INC., et al., Plaintiffs-Appellees, v. Scott HARSHBARGER, Attorney General of Massachusetts, et al., Defendants-Appellants. United Stat... 1998 WL 34279870, *34279870+ , 1st Cir. (Appellate Brief)	June 02, 1998	Brief		3 4 S.Ct.
Discussed by	39. Respondent's Answer to Amicus Curiae Brief ¶¶ THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. Marcus ARREDONDO, Defendant and Appellant. 2017 WL 3653693, *1+ , Cal. (Appellate Brief)	June 08, 2017	Brief		1 S.Ct.
Discussed by	40. Brief of Appellant COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, Appellee, v. John M. DILIBERTO, Appellant. 2016 WL 8606907, *1+ , Pa.Super. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 26, 2016	Brief		1 S.Ct.
Discussed by	41. Appellant's Appeal Brief ¶¶ FOND DU LAC COUNTY, Plaintiff-Respondent, v. Kevin C. DERKSEN, Respondent-Appellant. 2001 WL 34357973, *34357973+ , Wis.App. II Dist. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 20, 2001	Brief		1 3 S.Ct.






Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 42. Exxon Corp. v. Eagerton 103 S.Ct. 2296, 2307 , U.S.Ala. Alabama oil and gas producers sought declaration that Alabama statute increasing severance tax on oil and gas extracted from Alabama wells and exempting royalty owners from the...	June 08, 1983	Case		—
Cited by	 43. U.S. Trust Co. of New York v. New Jersey 97 S.Ct. 1505, 1517 , U.S.N.J. A trustee and holder of New York and New Jersey Port Authority bonds instituted an action against the State of New Jersey for declaratory relief, contending that the Contract...	Apr. 27, 1977	Case		—
Cited by	 44. Northern Natural Gas Co. v. State Corp. Commission of Kan. 83 S.Ct. 646, 653 , U.S.Kan. Action by interstate pipeline company to review an order of the Kansas State Corporation Commission. The Finney District Court rendered a judgment from which the company appealed....	Feb. 18, 1963	Case		—
Cited by	45. Bode v. Barrett 73 S.Ct. 468, 471 , U.S.Ill. Actions to have S.H.A.Ill., ch. 95 1/2, ss 9, 12a, 22, imposing a license tax, measured exclusively by gross weight of vehicle, on owners of certain motor vehicles for the use of...	Feb. 09, 1953	Case		—
Cited by	 46. Alabama State Federation of Labor, Local Union No. 103, United Broth. of Carpenters and Joiners of America v. McAdory 65 S.Ct. 1384, 1394 , U.S.Ala. Suit by Alabama State Federation of Labor, Local Union No. 103, United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America, and others, against Robert E. McAdory, as Solicitor of...	June 11, 1945	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	 47. Wood v. Lovett  61 S.Ct. 983, 990 , U.S.Ark. Action by T. S. Lovett, Jr., against J. H. Wood and another to cancel tax deeds of the State of Arkansas, to quiet title, and for mesne profits or rents. From a judgment of the...	May 26, 1941	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	 48. State of Minnesota ex rel. Pearson v. Probate Court of Ramsey County 60 S.Ct. 523, 526+ , U.S.Minn. Appeal from the Supreme Court of the State of Minnesota. Proceeding by the State of Minnesota, on the relation of Charles Edwin Pearson, for a writ of prohibition commanding the...	Feb. 26, 1940	Case		11 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 49. Railroad Retirement Board v. Alton R. Co. 55 S.Ct. 758, 775, U.S. Dist. Col. On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. Suit by the Alton Railroad Company and others against the Railroad Retirement Board and...	May 06, 1935	Case		—
Cited by	 50. Aero Mayflower Transit Co. v. Georgia Public Serv. Com'n 55 S.Ct. 709, 713, U.S. Ga. Appeal from the Supreme Court of the State of Georgia. Action by the Aero Mayflower Transit Company against the Georgia Public Service Commission and others. A judgment dismissing...	Apr. 29, 1935	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	51. Hoover Motor Exp Co v. Fort 55 S.Ct. 149, 149, U.S. Tenn. For opinion below, see 72 S.W.(2d) 1052. The appeal herein is dismissed for the want of a substantial Federal question. Stephenson v. Binford, 287 U. S. 251, 264, 272, 275, 276, 53...	Nov. 12, 1934	Case		13 S.Ct.
Cited by	 52. Nebbia v. People of New York 54 S.Ct. 505, 510+, U.S. N.Y. Leo Nebbia was convicted for violating an order of the New York Milk Control Board fixing the selling price of milk, and the conviction having been affirmed by the Court of Appeals...	Mar. 05, 1934	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	53. Burroughs v. U.S. 54 S.Ct. 287, 291, U.S. Dist. Col. Ada L. Burroughs and James Cannon, Jr., were charged with violation of the Corrupt Practices Act, and to review a judgment of the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia (62...	Jan. 08, 1934	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	 54. Home Bldg. & Loan Ass'n v. Blaisdell  54 S.Ct. 231, 239+, U.S. Minn. Action by John H. Blaisdell and wife against the Home Building & Loan Association. Judgment for plaintiff was affirmed by the state Supreme Court (249 N.W. 893) on the authority...	Jan. 08, 1934	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	55. Public Service Com'n of Montana v. Great Northern Utilities Co. 53 S.Ct. 546, 548, U.S. Mont. Suit by the Great Northern Utilities Company against the Public Service Commission of Montana and others, members thereof. From a decree for plaintiff granting an injunction (1...	Apr. 10, 1933	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	 56. Bradley v. Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 53 S.Ct. 577, 578, U.S. Ohio Application by C. A. Bradley, doing business as Wolverine Motor Freight Lines, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate by motor as a common carrier of...	Apr. 10, 1933	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	57. Electric Bond & Share Co. v. Securities and Exchange Commission 92 F.2d 580, 588 , C.C.A.2 (N.Y.) Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Suit by the Securities and Exchange Commission against the Electric Bond & Share Company...	Nov. 08, 1937	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	58. Noerr Motor Freight, Inc. v. Eastern R.R. Presidents Conference 273 F.2d 218, 225 , 3rd Cir.(Pa.) Action was brought under the Sherman Anti-Trust Act and Clayton Act by 41 long-distance trucking companies and their trade association against 24 major eastern railroads,...	Dec. 10, 1959	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	59. U.S. v. Husband R. (Roach) 453 F.2d 1054, 1062 , 5th Cir.(Canal Zone) Defendant was convicted in the Magistrate's Court of operating his bus in violation of regulation of Canal Zone, a misdemeanor, and he appealed. The United States District Court...	Dec. 30, 1971	Case		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	60. Minnesota Gas Co. v. Public Service Commission, Dept. of Public Service, State of Minn. ¶¶ 523 F.2d 581, 585 , 8th Cir.(Minn.) Action was brought by private utility having franchise with city of Minneapolis for declaratory judgment as to constitutionality of Minnesota statute granting jurisdiction over...	Sep. 26, 1975	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	61. Boylan v. U.S. 310 F.2d 493, 501 , 9th Cir.(Or.) The defendant was convicted of engaging in the business of a contract carrier by water without first obtaining a permit from the Interstate Commerce Commission. The United States...	Nov. 19, 1962	Case		11 S.Ct.
Cited by	62. Northwest Steel Rolling Mills v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue 110 F.2d 286, 291 , C.C.A.9 HANEY, Circuit Judge, dissenting. Upon Petition to Review a Decision of the United States Board of Tax Appeals. Petition by Northwest Steel Rolling Mills, Incorporated, to review a...	Mar. 01, 1940	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	63. Cain v. Bowlby 114 F.2d 519, 526 , C.C.A.10 (N.M.) PHILLIPS, Circuit Judge, dissenting. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the District of New Mexico; Colin Neblett, Judge. Action by Clara Bowlby against...	Sep. 03, 1940	Case		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	64. Hohri v. U.S. 782 F.2d 227, 256 , D.C.Cir. Japanese-American World War II internees or their representatives brought suit against the United States, seeking money damages and a declaratory judgment on 22 claims, based upon...	Jan. 21, 1986	Case		13 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 65. Paul Gray, Inc. v. Ingels ¶¶ 23 F.Supp. 946, 952+ , S.D.Cal. YANKWICH, District J., dissenting. In Equity. Suit by Paul Gray, Incorporated, and others, against Ray Ingels, as Director of the Department of Motor Vehicles of the State of...	July 09, 1938	Case		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	 66. Pfile v. Corcoran ¶¶ 287 F.Supp. 554, 560 , D.Colo. Proceeding by United States Army enlistee against a Major General of the United States Army to obtain release from active duty and return to reserve status. The District Court,...	July 17, 1968	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	 67. In re Cope ¶¶ 8 F.Supp. 778, 784 , D.Colo. In Bankruptcy. In the matter of the petition in bankruptcy of Charles Francis Cope, debtor, and in the matter of the petition in bankruptcy of James William Chilton, debtor. On...	Nov. 17, 1934	Case		—
Cited by	 68. Atchison, T. & S. F. Ry. Co. v. City of Chicago 136 F.Supp. 476, 483+ , N.D.Ill. Action for a declaratory judgment to determine the validity and application of a Chicago ordinance respecting the licensing of vehicles for transporting through passengers between...	Dec. 12, 1955	Case		—
Cited by	69. Ford v. Cain 2004 WL 2998560, *7 , E.D.La. Derrick Ford's pro se motion for post-conviction relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 was considered on memoranda. Upon review of the state court records, it is clear that the...	Dec. 27, 2004	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	70. May v. Mulligan ¶¶ 36 F.Supp. 596, 599 , W.D.Mich. Action by George S. May, doing business as George S. May Company, against George V. R. Mulligan, for breach of contract. Judgment for defendant.	June 15, 1939	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	71. Glens Falls Ins. Co. v. Irion ¶¶ 323 F.Supp. 1164, 1177 , D.Mont. Action by insurer for declaratory judgment as to its rights, duties and responsibilities, if any, under terms of three policies. on remaining parties' motions for summary judgment,...	Dec. 15, 1970	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	72. Ropico, Inc. v. City of New York ¶¶ 425 F.Supp. 970, 976 , S.D.N.Y. Action was brought by New York City holders of City's full faith and credit short-term notes challenging the New York State Emergency Moratorium Act for the City of New York. On...	Sep. 07, 1976	Case		9 S.Ct.










Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	73. Jamaica Sav. Bank v. Lefkowitz 390 F.Supp. 1357, 1362 , E.D.N.Y. Savings bank brought suit for declaratory relief and injunction against enforcement of state statute requiring mortgagees to pay mortgagors interest on escrow funds deposited for...	Feb. 28, 1975	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	74. Fordham Bus Corp v. US 41 F.Supp. 712, 715 , S.D.N.Y. Action by the Fordham Bus Corporation against the United States and the Interstate Commerce Commission to set aside an order of the Commission granting plaintiff a certificate of...	Oct. 23, 1941	Case		3 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	75. City of Tulsa v. Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. 5 F.Supp. 822, 827 , N.D.Okla. At Law. Action by the City of Tulsa against the Southwestern Bell Telephone Company. On defendant's motion for a directed verdict. Motion sustained.	Jan. 29, 1934	Case		11 S.Ct.
Cited by	76. D. A. Beard Truck Line Co. v. Smith 12 F.Supp. 964, 965 , S.D.Tex. In Equity. Bills by the D. A. Beard Truck Line Company, J. E. Rayburn, and R. L. Winton, against Lon A. Smith and others. Bills dismissed for want of jurisdiction.	Nov. 25, 1935	Case		—
Cited by	77. Texport Carrier Corp. v. Smith 8 F.Supp. 28, 33+ , W.D.Tex. In Equity. Suit by the Texport Carrier Corporation against Lon A. Smith and others, as members of the Railroad Commission, Governor, and Attorney General of Texas, for an...	Aug. 27, 1934	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	78. Wald Storage & Transfer Co. v. Smith 4 F.Supp. 61, 62 , S.D.Tex. In Equity. Separate suits by the Wald Storage & Transfer Company and by D. A. Beard against Lon A. Smith and others. Bills dismissed for want of equity.	June 23, 1933	Case		—
Cited by	79. HMK Corp. v. Chesterfield County 1984 WL 2859, *9+ , E.D.Va. On 5 April 1984, defendants filed their motion to dismiss and motion for summary judgment. On 10 April 1984, the plaintiff responded. On 12 April 1984 defendants rebutted. On...	Aug. 09, 1984	Case		12 S.Ct.
Cited by	80. Butler v. Thompson 97 F.Supp. 17, 24 , E.D.Va. Jessie Butler brought suit against Mary A. Thompson, Central Registrar for the County of Arlington, Virginia, and others to compel the named defendant to register the plaintiff as...	Feb. 19, 1951	Case		—
Cited by	81. Deppman v. Murray 5 F.Supp. 661, 667+ , W.D.Wash. Separate suits in equity by Charles Deppman against E. K. Murray, Director of the Department of Public Works of the State of Washington, and others, by Herbert M. Cogdal and...	Jan. 18, 1934	Case		12 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 82. Comtronics, Inc. v. Puerto Rico Telephone Co. 409 F.Supp. 800, 811 , D.Puerto Rico Manufacturer of telephone terminal equipment brought action to recover from telephone company and its president for alleged deprivation of constitutional rights because of...	June 17, 1975	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	 83. Hughes Transp v. U. S. 121 F.Supp. 212, 232 , Ct.Cl. Action by motor vehicle contract carrier against United States for difference between freight charges paid by United States, as shipper-consignee, for United States property, which...	May 04, 1954	Case		3 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	84. National Motor Fleets, Inc. v. Brown ¶¶ 213 So.2d 570, 576 , Ala. Proceeding on petition of taxpayer and lessor of truck to require director of county department of revenue to issue vehicle license at stated price. The Circuit Court, Jefferson...	July 25, 1968	Case		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	85. City of Mobile v. Farrell 158 So. 539, 541+ , Ala. Appeal from Circuit Court, Mobile County; Claude A. Grayson, J. Blocker Thornton, and Norvell R. Leigh, Jr., Judges. Bill for injunction by the City of Mobile against Claude M....	Dec. 20, 1934	Case		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	86. Sewer Imp. Dist. No. 1 of Wynne v. Delinquent Lands 68 S.W.2d 80, 82 , Ark. Appeal from Cross Chancery Court; A. L. Hutchins, Chancellor. Proceeding by Sewer Improvement District No. 1 of Wynne, Arkansas, against Delinquent Lands. From an adverse decree,...	Feb. 05, 1934	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	 87. Commercial Communications, Inc. v. Public Utilities Commission 327 P.2d 513, 520 , Cal. Consolidated proceedings to review an order of the Public Utilities Commission excepting with modifications, a tariff filed by a public telephone company covering the installing,...	June 27, 1958	Case		13 S.Ct.
Cited by	 88. Samuelson v. Public Utilities Commission of State 227 P.2d 256, 261+ , Cal. Petition by Gordon A. Samuelson and Gilbert J. Munson, co-partners, for a writ to review an order made by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, and others...	Feb. 09, 1951	Case		—
Cited by	 89. Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co. v. Industrial Acc. Commission ¶¶ 182 P.2d 159, 175 , Cal. CARTER, J., dissenting. Consolidated proceedings under the Labor Code by the Aetna Casualty & Surety Company, a corporation, insurance carrier, and another, by the Firemen's Fund...	June 26, 1947	Case		9 S.Ct.








Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> 90. Caminetti v. Pacific Mut. Life Ins. Co. of Cal. ¶¶ 139 P.2d 908, 927 , Cal.</p> <p>In bank. Proceedings by A. Caminetti, Jr., insurance commissioner, etc., and William H. Neblett and others against Pacific Mutual Life Insurance Company of California, a...</p>	June 25, 1943	Case		<p>9</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> 91. Meyers v. Railroad Commission 23 P.2d 26, 27 , Cal.</p> <p>Petition by Alex Meyers, doing business as the Western Transportation Company, to review a decision of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, finding that petitioner...</p>	June 01, 1933	Case		<p>3</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> 92. People v. Duntley 17 P.2d 715, 718 , Cal.</p> <p>In Bank. Action by the People of the state of California against G. M. Duntley. From the judgment for defendant, plaintiff appeals. Affirmed.</p>	Dec. 30, 1932	Case		<p>3</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> 93. Marin Assn. of Public Employees v. Marin County Employees' Retirement Assn. 206 Cal.Rptr.3d 365, 389 , Cal.App. 1 Dist.</p> <p>LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT — Public Employment. Retirement association's revision of members' pension formula was not an unconstitutional impairment of contracts.</p>	Aug. 17, 2016	Case		<p>6</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> 94. In re Marriage of Doud 226 Cal.Rptr. 423, 426 , Cal.App. 3 Dist.</p> <p>Wife brought action for modification of divorce decree to include division of military retirement benefits. The Superior Court, Sacramento County, Robert N. Zarick, J., granted...</p>	May 23, 1986	Case		<p>9</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p>95. Western Contracting Corp. v. State Bd. of Equalization ¶¶ 114 Cal.Rptr. 227, 235 , Cal.App. 2 Dist.</p> <p>Contractor brought action against the Department of Water Resources and the State Board of Equalization for a declaration that certain materials and supplies used in the...</p>	May 22, 1974	Case		<p>9</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p> 96. Baruch Inv. Co. v. Huntoon 65 Cal.Rptr. 131, 136 , Cal.App. 1 Dist.</p> <p>Action by plaintiff investment company for recovery for breach of an agreement under which defendant used its accounts receivable in order to obtain working capital. Defendant...</p>	Dec. 27, 1967	Case		<p>10</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
Cited by	<p>97. California State Auto. Ass'n Inter-Insurance Bureau v. Downey 216 P.2d 882, 890+ , Cal.App. 1 Dist.</p> <p>Proceeding by the California State Automobile Association Inter-Insurance Bureau against Wallace K. Downey, Insurance Commissionr of the State of California to compel by mandate,...</p>	Apr. 10, 1950	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 98. Castleman v. Scudder 185 P.2d 35, 37 , Cal.App. 3 Dist. Appeal from Superior Court, Sacramento County; Peter J. Shields, Judge. Mandamus by Nat Castleman and Solomon Ellis Kaplan against Hubert B. Scudder, Real Estate Commissioner of...	Oct. 09, 1947	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	 99. Ex parte Mares 171 P.2d 762, 764 , Cal.App. 1 Dist. Proceeding in the matter of the application of Reo Mares for a writ of habeas corpus for petitioner's release from custody after an arrest for violation of ordinance of the city...	Aug. 19, 1946	Case		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	100. State Bonded Audit Bureau v. Pomona Mut. Bldg. & Loan Ass'n  98 P.2d 829, 832 , Cal.Super.A.D. Appeal from Municipal Court of City of Los Angeles; James H. Pope, Judge. Action by the State Bonded Audit Bureau, Inc., a corporation, against the Pomona Mutual Building & Loan...	Jan. 30, 1940	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	 101. Western Colorado Power Co. v. Public Utilities Commission 411 P.2d 785, 803 , Colo. Certiorari to review Public Service Commission's grant of authorization to wholesale cooperative to construct steam electric generating facility. The District Court, Montrose...	Feb. 14, 1966	Case		—
Cited by	 102. City of Colorado Springs v. Kitty Hawk Development Co. 392 P.2d 467, 472+ , Colo. Action by corporate developer of subdivision against city to recover payment made to city in amount of eight per cent of appraised value of subdivision for city's use in acquiring...	May 04, 1964	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	103. Public Utilities Com'n v. Manley 60 P.2d 913, 920 , Colo. En Banc. Error to District Court, Fremont County; James L. Cooper, Judge. Suit by William Manley and others, a copartnership doing business under the name and style of the Manley...	July 28, 1936	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	 104. Bushnell v. People 19 P.2d 197, 199 , Colo. En Banc. Error to District Court, Larimer County; Claude C. Coffin, Judge. Frank Bushnell was convicted of operating as a private motor vehicle carrier for hire, and he brings...	Jan. 30, 1933	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	105. Franklin v. Harper  55 S.E.2d 221, 231 , Ga. In mandamus action by J. Walter Harper and others, as county registrars, against David Franklin, Chairman of the Board of Commissioners of Roads and Revenues, the Superior Court of...	Sep. 16, 1949	Case		8 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 106. Southeastern Greyhound Lines v. Georgia Public Service Commission 181 S.E. 834, 836 , Ga. Certified Question from Court of Appeals. Proceeding between the Southeastern Greyhound Lines and the Georgia Public Service Commission and others. To review the judgment, the...	Sep. 16, 1935	Case		1 3 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	107. Nance v. Harrison ¶ 169 S.E. 22, 26 , Ga. Error from Superior Court, Fulton County; Virlyn B. Moore, Judge. Suit for injunction by C. W. Nance and others, doing business in the name of Nance Transfer Company, against...	Mar. 02, 1933	Case		—
Cited by	108. McEntyre v. Georgia Public Service Commission ¶ 168 S.E. 246, 248 , Ga. Error from Superior Court, Fulton County; Virlyn B. Moore, Judge. Petitions by R. L. McEntyre and others against the Georgia Public Service Commission and others. To review the...	Feb. 16, 1933	Case		—
Cited by	109. Del Rio v. Crake 955 P.2d 90, 97 , Hawai'i INSURANCE - Automobile. Tort threshold statute under no-fault scheme did not violate equal protection rights of persons unable to afford insurance.	May 08, 1998	Case		—
Cited by	110. Territory of Hawaii v. Scruggs ¶ 1958 WL 9949, *2 , Hawai'i Terr. The purpose of a street is to afford a way for traffic, both pedestrian and vehicular, to the public and the public is rightfully entitled to the use of such thoroughfare free of...	Dec. 15, 1958	Case		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	111. Bode v. Barrett ¶ 106 N.E.2d 521, 529 , Ill. Suit by William E. Bode and others against Edward J. Barrett, Secretary of State, and others to restrain disbursement of public moneys by officers of state received under 1951 Act...	Mar. 20, 1952	Case		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	 112. Storen v. Sexton ¶ 200 N.E. 251, 258 , Ind. Action by Timothy P. Sexton, treasurer of Marion County, as ex officio treasurer of the City of Indianapolis and the board of school commissioners thereof, against William P....	Mar. 05, 1936	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	 113. Kelly v. Finney 194 N.E. 157, 162+ , Ind. Action by Hubert S. Kelly, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated, against Frank Finney, etc., and others. From a judgment for defendants, plaintiff appeals. Affirmed.	Feb. 21, 1935	Case		1 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 114. City of Indianapolis v. Clint's Wrecker Service, Inc. 440 N.E.2d 737, 742 , Ind.App. 1 Dist. Wrecker service brought action for injunctive relief and damages claiming that ordinance of city council which provided that it would be unlawful for any wrecker to proceed to...	Oct. 19, 1982	Case		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	115. Peterson v. Iowa State Commerce Commission ¶ 129 N.W.2d 656, 661+ , Iowa Certiorari to review action of the Commerce Commission in revoking plaintiff's contract carrier permit. The Polk District Court, Dring D. Needham, J., entered judgment sustaining...	July 16, 1964	Case		2 S.Ct.
Cited by	116. Priest v. Whitney Loan & Trust Co. ¶ 261 N.W. 374, 379 , Iowa Appeal from District Court, Cass County; Ernest M. Miller, Judge. This action involves the constitutionality of chapter 159 of the Acts of the 45th General Assembly of Iowa, under...	May 14, 1935	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	 117. Ray v. City of Owensboro 415 S.W.2d 77, 80 , Ky. Action for injunction against enforcement of ordinance requiring franchise for operation of ambulance service. The Circuit Court of Daviess County, Dan M. Griffith, Jr., J.,...	May 12, 1967	Case		1 3 S.Ct.
Cited by	118. Adams v. Burke 215 S.W.2d 531, 532 , Ky. Appeal from Circuit Court, Carroll County; Ward Yager, Judge. Suit by Arch Burke against Orville Adams and others for an injunction restraining the named defendant from operating a...	June 18, 1948	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	119. Louisville Taxicab & Transfer Co. v. Blanton 202 S.W.2d 433, 435+ , Ky. Appeal from Circuit Court, Franklin County; W. B. Ardery, Judge. Action by Louisville Taxicab & Transfer Company and others against William W. Blanton, Director of the Division of...	May 09, 1947	Case		1 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	120. Ashland Transfer Co. v. State Tax Commission ¶ 56 S.W.2d 691, 695+ , Ky. Appeal from Circuit Court, Franklin County. Consolidated actions by the Ashland Transfer Company and others and by the Aetna Oil Service against the State Tax Commission and...	Dec. 16, 1932	Case		—
Cited by	121. Mt. Tom Motor Lines v. McKesson & Robbins 89 N.E.2d 3, 7 , Mass. Action of contract by the Mt. Tom Motor Lines, Inc., against McKesson & Robbins, Inc., to recover additional payment for the transportation and delivery of the defendant's goods...	Nov. 22, 1949	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	122. Com. v. Reardon ¶ 185 N.E. 40, 42+ , Mass. Reported from Superior Criminal Court, Suffolk County; H. J. Williams, Judge. Daniel F. Reardon and the Boston & Maine Transportation Company were convicted of violations of St....	Apr. 03, 1933	Case		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	123. Baltimore Tank Lines v. Public Service Commission 137 A.2d 187, 193+ , Md. Intrastate motor carriers of flammables appealed from order of Public Service Commission permitting appellants to continue operations as both contract and common carriers, but...	Dec. 18, 1957	Case		13 S.Ct.
Cited by	124. Tidewater Exp. Lines v. Public Service Commission 87 A.2d 158, 160+ , Md. The Tidewater Express Lines, Inc., and others sued for an injunction to set aside an order of the Public Service Commission granting an application by the City Express, Inc., for...	Mar. 13, 1952	Case		2 3 5 S.Ct.
Cited by	125. Baltimore & A.R. Co. v. Lichtenberg 4 A.2d 734, 737+ , Md. Appeals from Circuit Court, Anne Arundel County; Linwood L. Clark, Judge. Suit by Jerome M. Lichtenberg against O. E. Weller and others, constituting the Public Service Commission...	Mar. 08, 1939	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	126. Parlett Co-operative v. Tidewater Lines ¶ 165 A. 313, 316+ , Md. Appeal from Circuit Court, Howard County; Wm. Henry Forsythe, Jr., Judge. Suit by the Tidewater Lines, Inc., against the Parlett Co-operative, Inc. Decree for plaintiff, and...	Mar. 21, 1933	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	127. Michigan Transp. Co. v. Secretary of State ¶ 201 N.W.2d 83, 88 , Mich.App. Action by motor carriers seeking declaratory judgment and injunctive relief with respect to their duties under section of motor vehicle registration fee statute relating to road...	July 03, 1972	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	128. Johnston v. L. B. Hartz Stores 277 N.W. 414, 415 , Minn. Appeal from District Court, Pennington County; M. A. Brattland, Judge. Action by Edwin F. Johnston against the L. B. Hartz Stores, Inc., to recover the difference between what the...	Feb. 04, 1938	Case		3 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	129. Associated Merchants of Montana v. Ormesher ¶ 86 P.2d 1031, 1033 , Mont. Appeal from District Court, Fourth Judicial District, Missoula County; Ralph J. Arnold, Judge. Action by the Associated Merchants of Montana, an unincorporated trade association,...	Feb. 04, 1939	Case		6 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 130. Barney v. Board of Railroad Com'rs ¶ 17 P.2d 82, 87+ , Mont. Appeal from District Court, Fergus County; John C. Huntoon, Judge. Suit by Maynard N. Barney against the Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Montana and others....	Dec. 19, 1932	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	131. Kuhn v. North Dakota Public Service Commission 76 N.W.2d 171, 173 , N.D. Proceeding in the matter of investigation, upon Commission's own motion, into service rendered by unlimited special motor carriers for purpose of zoning such service. The District...	Mar. 29, 1956	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	132. In re Rodgers 279 N.W. 800, 807+ , Neb. Appeal from Nebraska State Railway Commission. In the matter of the application of Ralph C. Rodgers for a certificate to operate as common carrier of property under Resolution No....	May 27, 1938	Case		—
Cited by	 133. Rothman v. Rothman ¶ 320 A.2d 496, 500 , N.J. Suit by wife for divorce on ground of adultery and counterclaim by husband for divorce on 'no-fault' ground of an 18-month separation with no prospect of reconciliation. The...	June 05, 1974	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	134. Auto-Rite Supply Co. v. Mayor and Township Committeemen of Woodbridge Tp. ¶ 135 A.2d 515, 522 , N.J. Action to determine validity of township ordinance closing certain businesses on Sundays. The Superior Court, Law Division, 41 N.J.Super. 303, 124 A.2d 612, declared ordinance...	Oct. 21, 1957	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	 135. Jamouneau v. Harner ¶ 109 A.2d 640, 647+ , N.J. Declaratory judgment proceeding by landlord against county rent control board and state Attorney General for determination of amount, if any, to which his lawful rent was...	Nov. 22, 1954	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	136. Reingold v. Harper ¶ 78 A.2d 54, 59 , N.J. Irving Reingold and Philip Stark, partners doing business as Rein Motors, brought suit against Harry C. Harper, Commissioner of Labor and Industry, to challenge validity of statute...	Jan. 08, 1951	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	 137. Abelson's Inc. v. New Jersey State Bd. of Optometrists ¶ 75 A.2d 867, 871 , N.J. Action by Abelson's Inc. and others against the New Jersey State Board of Optometrists for a declaratory judgment that act regulating optometry be declared unconstitutional and for...	Oct. 16, 1950	Case		4 S.Ct.




Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	138. Wilentz v. Hendrickson 🟢 38 A.2d 199, 209 , N.J.Err. & App. Information by David T. Wilentz, Attorney General, informant, against Robert C. Hendrickson, State Treasurer, and others, to enjoin enforcement of statutes relating to collection...	June 22, 1944	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	139. Labash v. Board of Embalmers & Funeral Directors 79 A.2d 693, 694 , N.J.Super.A.D. John Labash applied to the Board of Embalmers and Funeral Directors of the State of New Jersey for a transfer of a permit to operate a branch establishment, and from a...	Mar. 21, 1951	Case		—
Cited by	140. In re Mechanics Trust Co. 🟢 181 A. 423, 428 , N.J.Ch. Proceedings, in the matter of plan of reorganization of the Mechanics Trust Company. Plan approved.	Nov. 04, 1935	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	141. State v. Trotwood 🟢 363 A.2d 931, 933+ , N.J.Co. Defendant was convicted in the West Windsor Municipal Court on two counts of hitchhiking in violation of statute and took an appeal. After trial de novo on the record, the County...	July 16, 1976	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	142. New Jersey Highway Authority v. Sills 268 A.2d 308, 311+ , N.J.Super.Ch. Declaratory judgment action to determine constitutionality of statutes providing for exemptions from payment of tolls. The Superior Court held the statutes unconstitutional, 109...	July 29, 1970	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	143. U. S. Trust Co. of New York v. State 🟢 338 A.2d 833, 870 , N.J.Super.L. Consolidated actions were brought concerning constitutional validity of legislation creating, and later repealing, a covenant between the States of New Jersey and New York and...	May 14, 1975	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	144. Robson v. Rodriguez 🟢 130 A.2d 74, 78 , N.J.Super.L. Plaintiff obtained judgment against defendant as a result of a claim based on negligence arising out of an automobile accident. The defendant consented to judgment and the...	Mar. 13, 1957	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	145. Patrolmen's Benev. Ass'n of City of New York v. City of New York 🟢 391 N.Y.S.2d 544, 551 , N.Y. Appeal was taken from a judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, George Starke, J., confirming impasse panel's report and recommendations and from orders of said court...	Dec. 22, 1976	Case		9 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	146. Flushing Nat. Bank v. Municipal Assistance Corp. for City of New York ¶ 390 N.Y.S.2d 22, 35 , N.Y. Holders of short-term anticipation notes issued by City of New York brought action challenging constitutionality of New York City Emergency Moratorium Act. The Supreme Court, New...	Nov. 19, 1976	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	147. Good Humor Corporation v. City of New York 49 N.E.2d 153, 156 , N.Y. Appeal from Supreme Court, Appellate Division, Second Department. Action by the Good Humor Corporation and others against the City of New York and another to restrain the...	Apr. 15, 1943	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	148. Application of Cohen ¶ 55 N.Y.S.2d 337, 341 , N.Y.A.D. 1 Dept. Appeal from Supreme Court, New York County; Aaron J. Levy, Justice. Proceeding in the matter of the application of Morris Cohen for an order under article 78 of the Civil Practice...	May 18, 1945	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	149. Patrolmen's Benev. Ass'n of City of New York v. City of New York ¶ 299 N.Y.S.2d 986, 990 , N.Y.Sup. Action to enjoin adoption of new police duty charts in which defendants moved for summary judgment. The Supreme Court, Special Term, New York County, Part I, Edward T. McCaffrey,...	Apr. 30, 1969	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	150. Trio Distributor Corp. v. City of Albany 156 N.Y.S.2d 906, 909+ , N.Y.Sup. Action by corporation, which sells ice cream products from its refrigerated motor trucks manned by its employees, along public streets of city, and employee against city and city...	July 07, 1956	Case		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	151. New York State Licensed Bail Agent's Ass'n v. Murtagh 107 N.Y.S.2d 380, 386 , N.Y.Sup. Proceedings in the matter of the application of New York State Licensed Bail Agent's Association, Inc. and Louis Gertner for an order under and pursuant to Article 78 of the Civil...	Sep. 19, 1951	Case		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	152. Gilpin v. Mutual Life Ins. Co. of N.Y. 64 N.Y.S.2d 436, 451 , N.Y.Sup. Action by C. Monteith Gilpin and others against the Mutual Life Insurance Company of New York and another to enjoin defendant from interfering with plaintiffs' quiet enjoyment of...	June 28, 1946	Case		—
Cited by	153. People v. Pfigst 148 N.Y.S.2d 640, 650+ , N.Y.Mag.Ct. Owner of automobiles was charged with operating and permitting his drivers to operate automobiles as taxicabs for hire without licenses in violation of the Administrative Code of...	Jan. 13, 1956	Case		1 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	154. State ex rel. Garry Motor Lines v. Public Utilities Commission 30 N.E.2d 997, 999 , Ohio Original mandamus action by the State, on the relation of the Garry Motor Lines, Incorporated, against the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio and others, to compel respondents to...	Dec. 31, 1940	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	155. Bruin v. Leveline ¶¶ 9 N.E.2d 895, 897 , Ohio App. 1 Dist. Actions by one Bruin and another, executors of the estates of Linda Boutcher and of James W. Boutcher, deceased, against one Leveline and the Home Owners' Loan Corporation and...	Oct. 26, 1936	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	156. Hudgins v. State ¶¶ 133 P.2d 231, 238 , Okla.Crim.App. Appeal from Court of Common Pleas, Oklahoma County; Carl Traub, Judge. J. N. (Nat) Hudgins was convicted of operating a motor vehicle upon the public highway for transportation of...	Jan. 13, 1943	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	157. Wilkinson v. Carpenter ¶¶ 561 P.2d 607, 610 , Or. Judgment creditors moved to set amount of homestead exemption in realty subject to execution. The Circuit Court, Multnomah County, Clifford B. Olsen, J., set the amount in...	Mar. 17, 1977	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	158. City of Philadelphia v. District Council 33, American Federation of State, County & Mun. Employees, AFL-CIO ¶¶ 598 A.2d 256, 265 , Pa. Union brought suit to enjoin enforcement of city pension plan ordinance, claiming ordinance violated terms of union-city contract. The Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia County,...	Oct. 01, 1991	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	159. City of Philadelphia v. District Council 33, American Federation of State, County & Mun. Employees, AFL-CIO ¶¶ 581 A.2d 916, 925 , Pa. Union brought suit to enjoin enforcement of city pension plan ordinance, claiming ordinance violated terms of union-city contract. The Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia County,...	Oct. 05, 1990	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	160. Rohrer v. Milk Control Board 186 A. 336, 339+ , Pa. Case No. 243, January term, 1936, upon Certification by the Superior Court, Eastern District, No. 239, October term, 1935; William M. Parker, Judge. Proceeding by the Milk Control...	June 26, 1936	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	161. Shapp v. Sloan ¶¶ 367 A.2d 791, 799 , Pa.Cmwltth. Proceeding was brought by Governor, various cabinet officers and the Governor's justice commission challenging constitutionality of legislation relating to fiscal control of...	Dec. 03, 1976	Case		9 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	162. Com. v. Kennedy 195 A. 770, 781 , Pa.Super. Appeal No. 252, October term, 1937, from judgment of Court of Quarter Sessions, Northampton County, No. 27, February term, 1937; Wm. M. McKeen, Judge. Robert B. Kennedy was...	Dec. 17, 1937	Case		—
Cited by	163. Rohrer v. Milk Control Board 184 A. 133, 149+ , Pa.Super. Appeal No. 239, October term, 1935, from decree of Court of Common Pleas, Lancaster County, No. 34, December term, 1934; Benj. C. Atlee, President Judge. Proceeding by the Milk...	Mar. 16, 1936	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	164. Com. v. Guseman 1939 WL 2670, *3+ , Pa.Quar.Sess. Defendant owned and operated a dump truck in Works Progress Administration work under a contract with the United States Treasury Department, and was summarily convicted before an...	1939	Case		1 3 S.Ct.
Cited by	165. Newman v. Cambridge Mut. Fire Ins. Co. 🗑️ 476 A.2d 113, 117 , R.I. Policy holder brought action to recover fire insurance proceeds for fire damages to property she owned, which occurred after her policy had expired. The Superior Court, Newport...	May 23, 1984	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	166. State v. Nuss 🗑️ 114 N.W.2d 633, 640 , S.D. Prosecution against private vocational training school examiner and solicitor for collecting \$150 in advance from prospective student for tuition. From a judgment of the Municipal...	Apr. 11, 1962	Case		—
Cited by	167. City of Chattanooga v. Fanburg 🗑️ 265 S.W.2d 15, 20 , Tenn. Proceeding involving constitutionality of a city ordinance regulating and licensing automobile wrecker and towing service. The Criminal Court, Hamilton County, Raulston...	Feb. 11, 1954	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	168. State v. Harris 76 S.W.2d 324, 326 , Tenn. Error to Criminal Court, Roane County; John J. Blair, Judge. Indictment against H. C. Harris for operating a truck upon public highways and furnishing transportation for hire...	Nov. 30, 1934	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	169. Hoover Motor Express Co. v. Fort 🗑️ 72 S.W.2d 1052, 1055+ , Tenn. Appeal from Chancery Court, Davidson County; R. B. C. Howell, Chancellor. Suit by the Hoover Motor Express Company, Inc., and others against Dancey Fort, Commissioner, and others....	June 23, 1934	Case		4 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	170. J.L. Mac-TN, Inc. v. State ¶ 2004 WL 350652, *6 , Tenn.Ct.App. J.L. Mac-Tn, Inc. and the State contracted for J.L. Mac's provision of tire shredding services at the various county disposal facilities requiring those services. Subsequent to...	Feb. 24, 2004	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	171. Lake Transport, Inc. v. Railroad Commission of Texas 505 S.W.2d 781, 784 , Tex. Appeal proceeding in which corporation sought to have Railroad Commission's orders and certificate authorizing carrier to transport gypsum and gypsum products between gypsum...	Feb. 20, 1974	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	172. Southwestern Greyhound Lines v. Railroad Commission of Tex. 99 S.W.2d 263, 266 , Tex. Error to Court of Civil Appeals of Third Supreme Judicial District. Suit by the Southwestern Greyhound Lines, Incorporated, to set aside an order of the Railroad Commission of...	Nov. 25, 1936	Case		—
Cited by	173. New Way Lumber Co. v. Smith 96 S.W.2d 282, 284+ , Tex. Error to Court of Civil Appeals of First Supreme Judicial District. Bill by the New Way Lumber Company and others against L. A. Smith and others. An order granting a temporary...	July 15, 1936	Case		1 3 S.Ct.
Cited by	174. Parsons v. City of Galveston ¶ 84 S.W.2d 996, 999 , Tex. Error to Court of Civil Appeals of First Supreme Judicial District. Action by Vince Parsons and others against the City of Galveston and others. Judgment refusing issuance of...	July 10, 1935	Case		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	175. Ex parte Truelock ¶ 140 S.W.2d 167, 171+ , Tex.Crim.App. Appeal from Montgomery County Court; J. W. Strode, Judge. Proceeding in the matter of the application of Harry Truelock for a writ of habeas corpus. From a judgment refusing to...	Apr. 03, 1940	Case		1 3 S.Ct.
Cited by	176. Alamo Carriage Service, Inc. v. City of San Antonio 768 S.W.2d 937, 941 , Tex.App.-San Antonio Owners of horse-drawn carriage business sued city after they lost operating permits. The 166th District Court, Bexar County, Solomon Casseb, Jr., J., rendered judgment for city...	Apr. 12, 1989	Case		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	177. Professional Mobile Home Transport v. Railroad Com'n of Texas 733 S.W.2d 892, 896 , Tex.App.-Austin Mobile home transport company sought judicial review of decision of Railroad Commission granting specialized motor carrier's application for certificate of convenience and...	Apr. 08, 1987	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	 178. Railroad Com'n of Texas v. Ennis Transp. Co., Inc. 695 S.W.2d 706, 711 , Tex.App.-Austin First applicant sought authority from Railroad Commission to transport roofing materials and supplies between all points in Texas, while second applicant sought to amend its...	July 03, 1985	Case		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	179. Steve D. Thompson Trucking, Inc. v. State  685 S.W.2d 129, 131 , Tex.App.-Austin State and several regulated motor carriers brought action for declaratory and injunctive relief. The 299th Judicial District Court, Travis County, Harley Clark, J., determined...	Jan. 23, 1985	Case		—
Cited by	180. Majestic Industries, Inc. v. St. Clair 537 S.W.2d 297, 302 , Tex.Civ.App.-Austin Suit was brought to enjoin enforcement of certain provisions of the Mobile Homes Standards Act and for declaratory judgment that certain provisions of the Act were...	Apr. 28, 1976	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	181. City of Dallas v. Harris  157 S.W.2d 710, 711 , Tex.Civ.App.-Dallas Appeal from District Court, Dallas County; W. L. Thornton, Judge. Action by C. W. Harris and others against the City of Dallas and others to restrain the enforcement of an...	Nov. 14, 1941	Case		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	182. Austin Fireproof Warehouse Transfer Co. v. Faltinson 144 S.W.2d 905, 907+ , Tex.Civ.App.-El Paso Appeal from District Court, Nueces County; Cullen W. Briggs, Judge. Suit by R. L. Faltinson and his wife against the Austin Fireproof Warehouse Transfer Company to recover damages...	Oct. 24, 1940	Case		5 12 S.Ct.
Cited by	 183. Texas & P. Ry. Co. v. Railroad Commission 138 S.W.2d 927, 929+ , Tex.Civ.App.-Austin Appeal from District Court, Travis County; Ralph W. Yarbrough, Judge. Suit by the Texas & Pacific Railway Company and others against the Railroad Commission of Texas and Thomas G....	Mar. 27, 1940	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	184. Smith v. Coleman 127 S.W.2d 928, 932 , Tex.Civ.App.-Waco Appeal from District Court, Seventy-Seventh District, Freestone County; H. F. Kirby, Judge. Suit in equity by Ben Coleman against Lon A. Smith and others, members of the State...	Apr. 20, 1939	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	185. Texas & N. O. R. Co. v. Greer 117 S.W.2d 148, 150 , Tex.Civ.App.-Austin Error from District Court, Travis County; Roy C. Archer, Judge. Suit to set aside an order of the Railroad Commission by C. B. Greer, Jr., against the Railroad Commission and...	Apr. 20, 1938	Case		12 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	186. Britton v. Smith 82 S.W.2d 1065, 1066+ , Tex.Civ.App.-Waco Appeal from District Court, Limestone County; H. F. Kirby, Judge. Suit by B. B. Britton against Lon A. Smith and others, members of the Railroad Commission of Texas, such...	Apr. 18, 1935	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	187. Railroad Commission of Tex. v. Inter-City Forwarding Co. ¶¶ 57 S.W.2d 290, 292+ , Tex.Civ.App.-Austin Appeal from District Court, Travis County; W. F. Robertson, Judge. Application by the Inter-City Forwarding Company filed with the Railroad Commission of Texas for a permit to...	Jan. 11, 1933	Case		—
Cited by	188. Railroad Commission of Tex. v. Rodgers ¶¶ 57 S.W.2d 294, 295 , Tex.Civ.App.-Austin Appeal from District Court, Travis County; W. F. Robertson, Judge. Application by R. M. Rodgers filed with the Railroad Commission of Texas for permit to continue as a contract...	Jan. 11, 1933	Case		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	189. Texas-Louisiana Power Co. v. City of Farmersville 67 S.W.2d 235, 239 , Tex.Com.App. Error to Court of Civil Appeals of Fifth Supreme Judicial District. Suit by the City of Farmersville against the Texas-Louisiana Power Company and others. Judgment for defendants...	Dec. 30, 1933	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	190. Anderson, Clayton & Co. v. State ex rel. Allred 62 S.W.2d 107, 111 , Tex.Com.App. Certified Questions from Court of Civil Appeals of Seventh Supreme Judicial District. Suit by the State, on the relation of James V. Allred, Attorney General, and James V. Allred,...	June 24, 1933	Case		—
Cited by	191. Slater v. Salt Lake City ¶¶ 206 P.2d 153, 158 , Utah Appeal from Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake County; A. H. Ellett, Judge. Action by Ralph D. Slater, doing business as International Publishers Service, against Salt Lake...	May 14, 1949	Case		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	192. Elkins v. Schaaf 102 P.2d 230, 241 , Wash. En Banc. Suit by Ike Elkins and another against Ferd Schaaf, as director of the department of public service, and others, to permanently restrain the department of public service...	May 02, 1940	Case		—
Cited by	193. South Bay Motor Freight Co. v. Schaaf 101 P.2d 584, 587 , Wash. Department 2. Action by the South Bay Motor Freight Company, Incorporated, against Ferd J. Schaaf, as Director of the Department of Public Service of the State of Washington, to...	Apr. 15, 1940	Case		6 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	194. North Bend Stage Lines v. Schaaf ¶ 92 P.2d 702, 705 , Wash. Department 2. Proceeding between the North Bend Stage Lines, Incorporated, and Ferd J. Schaaf and others, as members of the Department of Public Service of the State of Washington,...	July 20, 1939	Case		1 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	195. State ex rel. Scott v. Superior Court for Thurston County 24 P.2d 87, 88 , Wash. En Banc. Petition by the State, on the relation of Kenneth Scott, against the Superior Court for Thurston County, and Hon. D. F. Wright, one of the judges thereof, whereby a...	July 18, 1933	Case		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	196. State ex rel. Bldg. Owners & Managers Ass'n of Milwaukee, Inc. v. Adamany ¶ 219 N.W.2d 274, 283 , Wis. Original action seeking declaratory judgment and injunction prohibiting enforcement of statute providing that tax reductions due to property tax relief should be passed on from...	June 28, 1974	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	197. United Parcel Service of Milwaukee v. Public Service Commission 4 N.W.2d 138, 142+ , Wis. Appeal from a judgment of the Circuit Court for Dane County; A. C. Hoppmann, Judge. Modified and affirmed. Application July 30, 1940, by United Parcel Service of Milwaukee in re...	June 01, 1942	Case		3 7 13 S.Ct.
Cited by	198. Hanauer v. Republic Bldg. Co. 255 N.W. 136, 138 , Wis. Appeal from an order of the Circuit Court for Milwaukee County; Gustave G. Gehrz, Circuit Judge. Reversed with directions. This action was commenced on October 2, 1933, by Henry J....	June 05, 1934	Case		—
Cited by	199. Orr v. County Com'n of Cabell County ¶ 359 S.E.2d 109, 112 , W.Va. Action was brought alleging that county commission had tortiously interfered with contract with public service district. The Cabell County Circuit Court, Ferguson, J., entered...	June 03, 1987	Case		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	200. State v. Langley ¶ 84 P.2d 767, 775+ , Wyo. Reserved questions from District Court, Laramie County; Sam M. Thompson, Judge. Lloyd G. Langley, doing business as the Black & White Grocery, was charged with unlawfully selling...	Dec. 05, 1938	Case		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	201. Public Service Commission of Wyoming v. Grimshaw 53 P.2d 1, 8+ , Wyo. Reserved Questions from District Court, Sheridan County; James H. Burgess, Judge. Action by the Public Service Commission of Wyoming against W. C. Grimshaw. On reserved questions...	Dec. 17, 1935	Case		13 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	202. In Microband Corp. of America v. R.L. Mohr 76 CPUC 616, 616 , Cal.P.U.C. On July 31, 1970, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) amended Section 21.703(g) of the FCC rules to permit the use of up to 10 MHz in the 2,150-2,160 MHz portion of the...	May 07, 1974	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	203. In the matter of the application of MICHIGAN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY for authority to revise its Tariff M.P.S.C. No. 2 as it pertains to the offering of DESIGN LINE telephone service. 1980 WL 627534, *1 , Mich.P.S.C. At a session of the Michigan Public Service Commission held at its offices in the City of Lansing, Michigan, on the 28th day of October, 1980. PRESENT: Hon. Daniel J. Demlow,...	Oct. 28, 1980	Administrative Decision		2 S.Ct.
Cited by	204. In Re: Generic Docket Addressing Rural Universal Service 2000 WL 36323411, *1 , Tenn.P.S.C. AT&T Communications of the South Central States, Inc. ("AT&T") files this reply as to the three "Legal Issues" listed in the report of the Pre-hearing Officer: AT&T has stated the...	Nov. 16, 2000	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	205. Chris Beutler 1991 WL 496730 (Neb.A.G.), *3 You have inquired regarding the legality of LB 72 which would impose a new form of community consent as a prerequisite for licensing of a low-level radioactive waste facility. ...	May 01, 1991	Administrative Decision		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	206. THE HONORABLE WILLIE L. BROWN, JR. 64 Ops. Cal. Atty. Gen. 192, 192 THE HONORABLE WILLIE L. BROWN, JR., SPEAKER OF THE ASSEMBLY, has requested an opinion on the following questions: 1. When the 1979 amendment to Business and Professions Code...	Mar. 04, 1981	Administrative Decision		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	207. 38 Or. Op. Atty. Gen. 1814, 1814 38 Or. Op. Atty. Gen. 1814, 1814 This opinion is issued in response to questions presented by The Honorable Alan C. Riebel, State Representative. Prior to its amendment by Oregon Laws 1977, ch 809, ORS 92.010(7)...	Mar. 16, 1978	Administrative Decision		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	208. PATROLMEN'S BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, INC., Respondent, and CITY OF NEW YORK, Appellant, and LOUIS J. LEFKOWITZ, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, Intervenor 9 Off. Dec. of N. Y. Pub. Employee Rel. Bd P 7530	Dec. 22, 1976	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	209. In the Matter of PATROLMEN'S BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, INC., Respondent, v. THE CITY OF NEW YORK, Appellant, and LOUIS J. LEFKOWITZ, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, Intervenor. 9 Off. Dec. of N. Y. Pub. Employee Rel. Bd P 7530	Dec. 22, 1976	Administrative Decision		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	210. CITY OF PHILADELPHIA 22 PPER P 22018	Oct. 05, 1990	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	211. Honorable J. Bruce Burns Wash. AGO 1959-60 NO. 88, 1959-60 NO. 88 A law enforcement officer has no authority, either statutory or common law, to stop a motorist for the sole purpose of determining whether the motorist has a valid operator's...	Dec. 10, 1959	Administrative Decision		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	212. New Series Bulletin NS-13A 1962 WL 115044 (CA INS BUL)+	Apr. 16, 1962	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	213. Promoting Wholesale Competition Through Open Access Non-Discriminatory Transmission Services by Public Utilities; Recovery of Stranded Costs by Public Utilities and Transmitting Utilities 61 FR 21540-01 The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) is issuing a Final Rule requiring all public utilities that own, control or operate facilities used for transmitting electric...	May 10, 1996	Federal Register		—
Cited by	214. Petition for Writ of Certiorari ¶¶ Geoffrey Franklin FINN, Sui Juris, Petitioner, v. State of Indiana, Respondents. 2001 WL 34117410, *34117410+, U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Oct. 12, 2001	Petition		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	215. Jurisdictional Statement ¶¶ United States Trust Company of New York v. The State of New Jersey 1976 WL 194341, *1, U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	May 25, 1976	Petition		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	216. Jurisdictional Statement Ohlson v. Phillips 1970 WL 155692, *155692+, U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Feb. 13, 1970	Petition		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	217. Jurisdictional Statement Public Utilities Com'n v. Baltimore Shippers and Receivers Ass'n, Inc. 1967 WL 129515, *129515+, U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Nov. 22, 1967	Petition		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	218. Jurisdictional Statement Colorado-Ute Elec. Ass'n, Inc. v. The Western Colorado Power Co. 1966 WL 115362, *1+, U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Aug. 11, 1966	Petition		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	219. Jurisdictional Statement Kitty Hawk Development Co. v. City of Colorado Springs 1964 WL 81115, *1+, U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Oct. 09, 1964	Petition		13 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	220. Answer to Petition for Review HILL BROTHERS CHEMICAL COMPANY, Petitioner, v. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, Respondent. Robin Lorentsen, et al., Real P... 2004 WL 3260650, *3260650 , Cal. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Dec. 29, 2004	Petition		—
Cited by	221. Reply Brief for Petitioner Birchfield v. North Dakota 2016 WL 1459196, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 13, 2016	Brief		—
Cited by	222. Brief of Amicus Curiae Institute for Justice in Support of Petitioners ¶¶ Horne v. United States Department of Agriculture 2015 WL 1048427, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 09, 2015	Brief		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	223. Brief of Appellees, H. K. Whittington, Circuit Clerk and Registrar of Amite County; Mrs. Pauline Easley, Circuit Clerk and Registrar of Claiborne Coun... U.S. v. Mississippi 2004 WL 3645970, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov 2004	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	224. BRIEF OF THE AMERICAN TRUCKING ASSOCIATIONS, INC. AND THE CALIFORNIA TRUCKING ASSOCIATION AS AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS City of Columbus v. Ours Garage and Wrecker Service, Inc. 2002 WL 463362, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 21, 2002	Brief		—
Cited by	225. BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE THE CITY OF DALLAS IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS City of Columbus v. Ours Garage and Wrecker Service, Inc. 2002 WL 264634, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 19, 2002	Brief		1 3 S.Ct.
Cited by	226. Brief for Petitioners. U.S. v. I.C.C. 1994 WL 16434972, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 05, 1994	Brief		—
Cited by	227. BRIEF FOR RESPONDENT Dolan v. City of Tigard 1994 WL 123754, *123754+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 17, 1994	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	228. Brief for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and the States of Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louis... James Patrick NOLLAN and Marilyn Harvey Nollan, Appellants, v. CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION, Appellee. 1987 WL 864768, *864768+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 07, 1987	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	229. Appellants Reply Brief. Northern Natural Gas Co. v. The State Corp. Com'n of the State of Kansas 1982 WL 886178, *886178+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 08, 1982	Brief		3 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	230. Reply Brief of Appellant Allied Structural Steel Company Walter J. FLECK, et al., Appellants, v. Warren SPANNAUS, et al., Appellees. 1978 WL 206807, *206807+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 19, 1978	Brief		3 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	231. Reply Brief of Appellant Allied Structural Steel Company Fleck v. Spannaus 1978 WL 223314, *223314+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 19, 1978	Brief		3 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	232. Motion for Leave to File Brief Amicus Curiae and Brief of the Association of American Railroads as Amicus Curiae RAYMOND MOTOR TRANSPORTATION, INC., et al., Appellants, v. Zel S. RICE, et al., Appellees. 1977 WL 189125, *189125+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 08, 1977	Brief		3 12 13 S.Ct.
Cited by	233. Brief of the Commonwealth of Virginia as Amicus Curiae RAYMOND MOTOR TRANSPORTATION, INC., A Minnesota Corporation and CONSOLIDATED FREIGHTWAYS CORPORATION OF DELAWARE A Delaware Corporation, Appellants, v... 1977 WL 189126, *189126+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 08, 1977	Brief		—
Cited by	234. Motion for Leave to File Brief Amicus Curiae and Brief of the Association of American Railroads as Amicus Curiae Raymond Motor Transportation, Inc. v. Rice 1977 WL 204919, *204919+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 08, 1977	Brief		3 12 13 S.Ct.
Cited by	235. Brief of the Commonwealth of Virginia as Amicus Curiae Raymond Motor Transportation, Inc. v. Rice 1977 WL 204918, *204918+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 03, 1977	Brief		—
Cited by	236. Appellant's Brief Pike v. Bruce Church, Inc. 1969 WL 119973, *119973+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 28, 1969	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	237. Appellees' Motion to Affirm or Dismiss Appeal and Brief ¶¶ T. Eugene THOMPSON, Appellant, v. TRAVELERS INSURANCE COMPANY, Continental Casualty Company, Time Insurance Company, Edward Ernest Nelson, an Underwri... 1969 WL 136877, *136877 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	May 05, 1969	Brief		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	238. Brief Of Appellant Colorado-Ute Electric Association, Inc., In Opposition to Motion of Appellees the Western Colorado Power Company and Public Service... Colorado-Ute Elec. Ass'n, Inc., v. The Western Colorado Power Co., 1966 WL 100876, *100876+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 30, 1966	Brief		—
Cited by	239. Brief for the State of Mississippi, Amicus Curiae South Carolina v. Katzenbach 1965 WL 115342, *115342+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 20, 1965	Brief		3 4 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	240. Reply Brief for the Appellants to the Brief for the United States as Amicus Curiae Harman v. Forssenius 1965 WL 115588, *115588+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 18, 1965	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	241. Brief of Appellee Northern Natural Gas Co. v. The State Corp. Com'n of the State of Kansas 1962 WL 115452, *115452+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 19, 1962	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	242. Brief for Contract Carrier Conference of AmeRican Trucking Associations, Inc. I.C.C. v. J-T Transp. Co., Inc. 1961 WL 102226, *102226+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 05, 1961	Brief		2 3 S.Ct.
Cited by	243. Brief for Appellants ¶¶ Stevens v. Creasy 1959 WL 101911, *101911+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 09, 1959	Brief		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	244. Statement as to Jurisdiction. Florida Citrus Com'n v. U.S. 1956 WL 89582, *89582 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 28, 1956	Brief		—
Cited by	245. Brief of Amicus Curiae State of Kansas, on the Relation of Harold R. Fatzer, Attorney General. ¶¶ Williamson v. Lee Optical of Oklahoma, Inc. 1955 WL 72901, *72901 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 14, 1955	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	246. Brief of the Appellants, Mac Q. Williamson, Attorney General of Oklahoma, Et al. Williamson v. Lee Optical of Oklahoma, Inc. 1955 WL 72902, *72902 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 14, 1955	Brief		—
Cited by	247. Brief, Amicus Curiae, by the City of New York on Behalf of the Board of Education of the City of New York. Ellis v. Dixon 1954 WL 72752, *72752+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 14, 1954	Brief		11 S.Ct.
Cited by	248. Brief of Plaintiffs-Appellants in Answer to Statement of Appellees Opposing Jurisdiction and in Answer to Motion of Appellees to Dismiss or Affirm Bode v. Barrett 1952 WL 82512, *82512 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 09, 1952	Brief		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	249. Appellee's Brief Adler v. The Bd. of Educ. of the City of New York 1951 WL 81924, *81924+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 24, 1951	Brief		11 S.Ct.
Cited by	250. Appellant's Reply Brief California State Auto. Ass'n Inter-Ins. Bureau v. Maloney 1951 WL 82121, *82121+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 03, 1951	Brief		—
Cited by	251. Brief for Appellee. California State Auto. Ass'n Inter-Ins. Bureau v. Downey 1951 WL 82120, *82120+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 28, 1951	Brief		5 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	252. Brief for State of New Jersey as Amicus Curiae ¶¶ Amalgamated Ass'n of Street v. Wisconsin Employment Relations Bd. 1950 WL 78651, *78651+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 29, 1950	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	253. Appellees' Brief Cities Service Gas Co. v. Peerless Oil and Gas Co. 1950 WL 78378, *78378+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 30, 1950	Brief		3 6 10 S.Ct.
Cited by	254. Statement as to Jurisdiction California State Auto. Ass'n Inter-Ins. Bureau v. Downey 1950 WL 78645, *78645+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 16, 1950	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	255. Brief for Beneficial Industrial Loan Corporation ¶¶ Hannah COHEN, Executrix of the Estate of Sol Cohen, deceased, and David F. Cohen, Intervenor, Petitioners, v. BENEFICIAL INDUSTRIAL LOAN CORPORATION, ... 1949 WL 38654, *38654 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 14, 1949	Brief		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	256. Brief for Beneficial Industrial Loan Corporation. ¶¶ Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp. 1949 WL 50591, *50591 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 14, 1949	Brief		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	257. Brief for Appellee American Communications Ass'n C. I. O. v. Douds 1949 WL 50660, *50660+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 12, 1949	Brief		—
Cited by	258. Brief for Appellees. Stainback v. Mo Hock Ke Lok Po 1949 WL 50503, *50503+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 10, 1949	Brief		8 S.Ct.
Cited by	259. Brief for Appellants Stainback v. Mo Hock Ke Lok Po 1948 WL 47229, *47229+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 07, 1948	Brief		—
Cited by	260. Brief for Appellees ¶¶ American Federation of Labor v. American Sash & Door Co. 1948 WL 47181, *47181 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 30, 1948	Brief		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	261. Brief of Appellees, Northwestern Iron & Metal Company, And Dan Giebelhouse. ¶¶ American Federation of Labor v. American Sash & Door Co. 1948 WL 47182, *47182+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1948	Brief		11 S.Ct.
Cited by	262. Brief of Appellees Aero Mayflower Transit Co. v. Bd. of R.R. Comm'rs of the State of Montana 1947 WL 44260, *44260 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 01, 1947	Brief		—
Cited by	263. Brief for Petitioner Steele v. General Mills, Inc. 1946 WL 50194, *50194+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct 1946	Brief		6 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	264. Respondent's Brief on Reargument Alma Motor Co. v. The Timken-Detroit Axle Co. 1946 WL 50118, *50118+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 23, 1946	Brief		3 4 S.Ct.
Cited by	265. Brief for Appellee. Southern Pacific Co. v. Arizona 1944 WL 42950, *42950+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 26, 1944	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	266. Brief for Appellants Tribune Company and Robert Rutherford McCormick Tribune Co. v. U.S. 1944 WL 42545, *42545+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 21, 1944	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	267. Brief for the United States and Interstate Commerce Commission Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis v. U.S. 1944 WL 42705, *42705+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 03, 1944	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	268. Brief for Appellant Cornell Steamboat Co. v. U.S. 1943 WL 54572, *54572+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 27, 1943	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	269. Brief of Appellees, Regular Common Carrier Conference of the American Trucking Associations, and Illinois-Minnesota Motor Carriers' Conference. Noble v. U.S. 1943 WL 54723, *54723+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 31, 1943	Brief		13 S.Ct.
Cited by	270. Brief of Appellee, State of Ohio. Dunn v. Ohio 1942 WL 54047, *54047+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 30, 1942	Brief		—
Cited by	271. Brief of Appellants Dunn v. Ohio 1942 WL 54046, *54046+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 05, 1942	Brief		11 S.Ct.
Cited by	272. Brief for the United States and the Interstate Commerce Commission Ziffrin Inc. v. U.S. 1942 WL 53703, *53703+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec 1942	Brief		3 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	273. Appellee's Brief Jamison v. Texas 1942 WL 54174, *54174+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1942	Brief		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	274. Brief of Appellee. ” Faitoute Iron & Steel Co. v. City Of Asbury Park 1942 WL 53868, *53868+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 21, 1942	Brief		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	275. Brief for the United States and the Interstate Commerce Commission U.S. v. N. E. Rosenblum Truck Lines, Inc. 1941 WL 53342, *53342+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 25, 1941	Brief		—
Cited by	276. Brief of Appellants Opposing Appellee's Motion to Affirm Dunn v. Ohio 1941 WL 53280, *53280+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1941	Brief		3 7 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	277. Reply Brief for Appellants (Defendants Below) In Case 610--and--Appellees in Case 611. Watson v. Buck 1941 WL 53314, *53314+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 26, 1941	Brief		11 S.Ct.
Cited by	278. Brief for Appellants (Defendants Below) In Case 610--and--Appellees in Case 611 Watson v. Buck 1941 WL 52903, *52903+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 05, 1941	Brief		—
Cited by	279. Statement as to Jurisdiction. Philadelphia-Detroit Lines, Inc. v. Simpson 1940 WL 46600, *46600+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 19, 1940	Brief		11 S.Ct.
Cited by	280. Brief for Respondent. U.S. v. Appalachian Electric Power Co. 1940 WL 71225, *71225+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 31, 1940	Brief		—
Cited by	281. Brief for the Appellees Osborn v. Ozlin 1940 WL 46857, *46857+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar 1940	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	282. Reply Brief for the United States U.S. v. City and County of San Francisco 1940 WL 71196, *71196+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar 1940	Brief		3 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	283. Statement as to Jurisdiction. Arthur v. Indiana 1940 WL 46911, *46911+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 20, 1940	Brief		—
Cited by	284. Appellee's Brief. Minnesota v. Probate Court of Ramsey County 1940 WL 46386, *46386+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 27, 1940	Brief		11 S.Ct.
Cited by	285. Reply Brief for Appellant. Ziffrin Inc. v. Martin 1939 WL 48512, *48512+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 02, 1939	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	286. Brief for Appellant. Ziffrin, Inc. v. Martin 1939 WL 48776, *48776+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 22, 1939	Brief		—
Cited by	287. Brief for Petitioner Milk Control Bd. of the Com. of Pennsylvania v. Eisenberg Farm Products 1939 WL 48619, *48619 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 11, 1939	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	288. Brief for Commissioner of Agriculture and Markets of the State of New York as Amicus Curiae. Milk Control Bd. of The Com. of Pennsylvania v. Eisenberg Farm Products 1939 WL 48723, *48723+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 03, 1939	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	289. Brief for Appellees Dixie Ohio Exp. Co. v. State Revenue Com'n of Georgia 1938 WL 39469, *39469 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 08, 1938	Brief		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	290. Brief of the National Association of Railroad and Utilities Commissioners as Amicus Curiae in Support of the Judgment Below. McDonald v. Thompson 1938 WL 39376, *39376+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 07, 1938	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	291. Brief for Appellants Kohn v. Cent. Distributing Co. Inc. 1938 WL 39414, *39414+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	July 05, 1938	Brief		1 3 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	292. Brief for the Respondents Elec. Bond and Share Co. v. S.E.C. 1938 WL 63888, *63888+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan 1938	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	293. Motion of Commonwealth of Kentucky for Leave to File Brief as Amicus Curiae and Brief of Amicus Curiae. South Carolina State Highway Dept. v. Barnwell Bros., Inc. 1937 WL 40955, *40955+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 17, 1937	Brief		—
Cited by	294. Brief for Appellees on Re-Argument. ¶¶ Dodge v. Bd. of Educ. of the City of Chicago 1937 WL 40781, *40781 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 05, 1937	Brief		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	295. Brief for Appellees. South Carolina State Highway Dept. v. Barnwell Bros. Inc. 1937 WL 40951, *40951+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1937	Brief		1 3 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	296. Brief for the Respondent Administrator Alabama Power Co. v. Ickes 1937 WL 63803, *63803+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1937	Brief		—
Cited by	297. Brief of the State of South Carolina and its Officials, Original Defendants, Appellants. South Carolina State Highway Dept. v. Barnwell Bros. Inc. 1937 WL 63810, *63810+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1937	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	298. Brief for Appellees Townsend v. Yeomans 1937 WL 40743, *40743+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 28, 1937	Brief		—
Cited by	299. Appellees' Reply Brief Henderson Co. v. Thompson 1937 WL 40514, *40514+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 19, 1937	Brief		3 6 10 S.Ct.
Cited by	300. Brief of Appellees, Members of Mortgage Commission of the State of New York. Lauro v. Barker 1937 WL 63752, *63752+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 13, 1937	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	301. Brief for Appellant Henderson Co. v. Thompson 1937 WL 40486, *40486+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 11, 1937	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	302. Brief of Amici Curiae West Coast Hotel Co. v. Parrish 1936 WL 40057, *40057+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 30, 1936	Brief		3 5 6 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	303. Brief for Appellees. Thompson v. Consol. Gas Utilities Corp. 1936 WL 64970, *64970+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 09, 1936	Brief		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	304. Economic Brief for Appellees W. H. H. Chamberlin v. Andrews 1936 WL 64967, *64967 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 04, 1936	Brief		—
Cited by	305. Brief for the Appellants Thompson v. Consol. Gas Utilities Corp. 1936 WL 64969, *64969+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 13, 1936	Brief		3 13 S.Ct.
Cited by	306. Brief of Appellants With Appendix Ingels v. Morf 1936 WL 40112, *40112+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1936	Brief		—
Cited by	307. Statement As to Jurisdiction. New york Life Ins. Co. v. Alexander 1936 WL 40349, *40349+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1936	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	308. Brief for the National Labor Relations Board Associated Press v. Nat. Labor Relations Bd. 1936 WL 64995, *64995+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1936	Brief		12 S.Ct.
Cited by	309. Brief on Behalf of States of Connecticut, Illinois, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey and Rhode Island, as Amici Curiae. Morehead v. People 1936 WL 64945, *64945 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 27, 1936	Brief		—
Cited by	310. Brief on Behalf of Appellee With Appendix Morf v. Bingaman 1936 WL 40161, *40161+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 18, 1936	Brief		1 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	311. Brief for Appellee. Hanson v. Burris 1936 WL 40472, *40472+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 11, 1936	Brief		11 S.Ct.
Cited by	312. Brief on Behalf of Appellees. Treigle v. Acme Homestead Ass'n 1935 WL 32664, *32664+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 30, 1935	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Cited by	313. Brief for the United States U.S. v. Butler 1935 WL 33033, *33033+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 18, 1935	Brief		3 12 13 S.Ct.
Cited by	314. Brief for the Respondent Rickert Rice Mills, Inc. v. Fontenot 1935 WL 32986, *32986+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 14, 1935	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	315. Appellee's Brief Colgate v. Harvey 1935 WL 32862, *32862+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 10, 1935	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	316. Brief for Appellee. Stanley v. The Public Utilities Co. of Maine 1935 WL 32799, *32799+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 30, 1935	Brief		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	317. Brief of Appellee. Doty v. Love 1935 WL 32806, *32806 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 07, 1935	Brief		9 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	318. Brief for Respondents. R.R. Retirement Bd. v. The Alton R. Co. 1935 WL 32944, *32944+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 06, 1935	Brief		—
Cited by	319. Brief for Appellees Aero Mayflower Transit Co. v. Georgia Public S. Com'n 1935 WL 32808, *32808+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 04, 1935	Brief		3 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	320. Brief for the United States and the Reconstruction Finance Corporation U.S. v. Bankers Trust Co. 1934 WL 32075, *32075+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 18, 1934	Brief		—
Cited by	321. Brief on Behalf of Frank W. Webster, et al., Appellees. Nashville Chattanooga & St. Louis Railway v. Webster 1934 WL 60180, *60180+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 30, 1934	Brief		1 3 S.Ct.
Cited by	322. Statement as to Jurisdiction. Stanley v. The Public Utilities Com'n of Maine. 1934 WL 32078, *32078+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 28, 1934	Brief		11 S.Ct.
Cited by	323. Brief on Behalf of Appellant, the Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis Railway. Nashville Chattanooga & St. Louis Railway v. Webster 1934 WL 60179, *60179+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 21, 1934	Brief		13 S.Ct.
Cited by	324. Brief for Appellants Abrams v. Van Schaick 1934 WL 60182, *60182+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 08, 1934	Brief		—
Cited by	325. Brief for Appellees. Hamilton v. The Regents of the University of California 1934 WL 32093, *32093+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 08, 1934	Brief		—
Cited by	326. Reply Brief of Appellant Aero Mayflower Transit Co. v. Georgia Public Service Com'n 1934 WL 31968, *31968 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1934	Brief		—
Cited by	327. Brief for the Petitioners R.R. Retirement Bd. v. The Alton R. Co. 1934 WL 32082, *32082+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1934	Brief		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	328. Brief for the United States A. L. A. Schechter Poultry Corp. v. U.S. 1934 WL 31976, *31976+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	1934	Brief		3 4 S.Ct.
Cited by	329. Brief for Appellee. Nebbia v. The People of the State of New York 1933 WL 63320, *63320+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 29, 1933	Brief		—
Cited by	330. Statement as to Jurisdiction. A. Magnano Co. v. Hamilton 1933 WL 63353, *63353+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 15, 1933	Brief		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	331. Statement as to Jurisdiction. Wald Transfer & Storage Co. v. Smith 1933 WL 32091, *32091+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 26, 1933	Brief		3 9 13 S.Ct.
Cited by	332. Statement as to Jurisdiction. Beard v. Smith 1933 WL 32092, *32092+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 26, 1933	Brief		3 9 S.Ct.
Cited by	333. Brief for Petitioner Roland Boynton, Attorney General of the State of Kansas. ¶¶ Boynton v. The Hutchinson Gas Co. 1933 WL 63334, *63334 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1933	Brief		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	334. Brief for Appellee Allen v. Galveston Truck Line Corp. 1933 WL 31669, *31669+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	May 08, 1933	Brief		13 S.Ct.
Cited by	335. Brief of Appellees ¶¶ Mun. Investors Ass'n v. City of Birmingham 1912 WL 19753, *19753+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 05, 1912	Brief		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	336. Joint Reply Brief for Appellants AMERICAN MOTORS SALES CORPORATION, et al., Appellees, v. DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, et al., Appellant, Virginia Autom... 1978 WL 220495, *220495+ , 4th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	June 02, 1978	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	337. Joint Brief for Appellants ¶¶ AMERICAN MOTORS SALES CORPORATION, et al., Appellees, v. DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, et al., Appellant, Virginia Autom... 1978 WL 220497, *220497+ , 4th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 19, 1978	Brief		11 S.Ct.
Cited by	338. Appellant's Reply Brief THE CAMDEN INSURANCE AND REAL ESTATE AGENCY, INC., Appellant, v. THE HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY, Appellee. 1978 WL 220561, *220561+ , 4th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 04, 1978	Brief		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	339. Appellant's Brief THE CAMDEN INSURANCE AND REAL ESTATE AGENCY, INC., Appellant, v. THE HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY, Appellee. 1978 WL 220560, *220560 , 4th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 17, 1978	Brief		—
Cited by	340. The Pelican's Reply Brief RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION, Appellee, v. COUNCIL OF CO-OWNERS OF PELICAN CONDOMINIUM, Appellant. 1993 WL 13104635, *13104635+ , 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	July 19, 1993	Brief		3 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	341. Original Brief on Behalf of Bob F. Wright and Gaynell Le Corgne Wright, Defendant - Appellant ¶¶ FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION in its corporate capacity, Plaintiff - Appellee, v. Bob F. WRIGHT and Gaynell Le Corgne Wright, Defendant - Appe... 1991 WL 11247645, *11247645+, 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 21, 1991	Brief		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	342. Brief for Defendants-Appellants MICHIGAN STATE AFL-CIO; Utility Workers Union of America, Local 223; George Horuczi; International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, AFL-CIO-, Local ... 2016 WL 4983214, *1+, 6th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 16, 2016	Brief		—
Cited by	343. Brief of Defendants-Appellees BORMAN, LLC, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. 18718 BORMAN, LLC, Joseph Schwebel, Defendants-Appellees. 2014 WL 4257381, *1+, 6th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 20, 2014	Brief		—
Cited by	344. Appellee's Brief on Appeal THE CITY OF PONTIAC RETIRED EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION, Delmer Anderson, John Claya, Thomas Hunter, Henry C. Shoemaker, Yvette Talley and Debra Woods, Plai... 2012 WL 5465654, *1+, 6th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 01, 2012	Brief		—
Cited by	345. Supplemental Brief Driver's License Suspension Constitutionality, to Defendants of Alameda County & Central Towing & Transporting William J. WHITSITT, (Plaintiff Appellant), v. George LYTTLE et. al., (Defendant Appellee). 2010 WL 6415367, *1+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 27, 2010	Brief		—
Cited by	346. Appellant My Reply Brief William J. WHITSITT, (Plaintiff Appellant), v. OFFICER JEAN ZEDLITZ LANCE & SON ALAMEDA COUNTY, City of Dublin Police Services, Credit Regulating Serv... 2009 WL 6849531, *6849531+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 31, 2009	Brief		—
Cited by	347. Appellants' Opening Brief HARRY'S COCKTAIL LOUNGE, INC., et al., Plaintiffs/Appellants, v. Brook MCMAHON, individually and in his official capacity, etc., et al., Defendants/Ap... 1995 WL 17066884, *17066884+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 07, 1995	Brief		5 S.Ct.
Cited by	348. Appellant/Petitioner's Opening Brief Marcus R. HUTTON(C), Plaintiff-Petitioner-Appellant, v. J. SHELTON, Defendant/Respondent-Appellee. 2004 WL 3483003, *3483003, 10th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 22, 2004	Brief		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	349. Corrected Reply Brief for the Appellants Grant O. ADAMS, et al., Appellants, v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al., Appellees. 2012 WL 2561143, *1+ , D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	July 02, 2012	Brief		—
Cited by	350. Brief for the Appellees ¶¶ Grant O. ADAMS, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Ray Lahood, Secretary of Transportation, and Michael P. Huerta, Acting Adm... 2012 WL 2190572, *1+ , D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	June 15, 2012	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	351. Intervenor's Brief ¶¶ STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee, v. Amanda Kathleen TURNER, Appellant. Amanda Kathleen TURNER, Petitioner, v. THE HONORABLE CRAN MCCLENNEN JUDGE OF THE SUP... 2014 WL 10585036, *1+ , Ariz.App. Div. 1 (Appellate Brief)	2014	Brief		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	352. All Rights Writ ¶¶ Percival Charles FERRIS, Jr., Petitioner, v. STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent. 2016 WL 3466995, *1 , Fla. (Appellate Brief)	June 17, 2016	Brief		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	353. Brief of Appellees John Rymer, Diane Rymer, and the Diane L. Rymer Family Irrevocable Trust ¶¶ Polo GOLF and Country Club Homeowners Association, Inc., Appellant, v. John RYMER, et. al., Appellees. 2013 WL 4878476, *1 , Ga. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 03, 2013	Brief		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	354. Brief of Appellees John Rymer, Diane Rymer, and the Diane L. Rymer Family Irrevocable Trust ¶¶ POLO GOLF AND COUNTRY CLUB HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC., Appellant, v. FORSYTH COUNTY, et. al., Appellees. 2013 WL 4878478, *1 , Ga. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 03, 2013	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	355. Brief of Appellees John Rymer, Diane Rymer, and the Diane L. Rymer Family Irrevocable Trust ¶¶ Polo GOLF and Country Club Homeowners Association, Inc., Appellant, v. FORSYTH COUNTY, et. al., Appellees. 2013 WL 3962091, *1 , Ga.App. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 09, 2013	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	356. Opening Brief of Defendant-Appellant and Appendix A STATE OF HAWAI'I, Plaintiff-Appellee, v. Robert COVINGTON Jr., Defendant-Appellant. 2018 WL 10036234, *1+ , Hawai'i App. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 07, 2018	Brief		1 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	357. Appellant's Consolidated Opening Brief ¶¶ State of Kansas, Inc., et al County of Ford, Inc., and City of Dodge City, Plaintiff/Appellee. v. PRIVATE CITIZEN OF KANSAS RONALD BRUCE, Hartnett, su... 1997 WL 33815251, *33815251+, Kan.App. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 18, 1997	Brief		1 3 S.Ct.
Cited by	358. Brief in Support of Notice for Dismissal for Lack of Jurisdiction ¶¶ STATE OF MAINE, Plaintiff, v. Daniel H. PELLETIER Jr., Defendant. 2015 WL 9899914, *1, Me. (Appellate Brief)	May 27, 2015	Brief		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	359. Brief of Appellees' State of Michigan and Department of Treasury ALLEN PARK RETIREES ASSOCIATION, INC., a Non-Profit Corporation, and Its Representative Plaintiff Russell Pillar, On Behalf of Himself and Others Simi... 2016 WL 7322719, *1+, Mich.App. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 08, 2016	Brief		—
Cited by	360. Opening Brief of Appellant STATE OF MONTANA, Plaintiff, Appellee, v. Brent WRENSHALL, Jackson, Accused, Appellant. 2014 WL 4387448, *1+, Mont. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 28, 2014	Brief		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	361. Brief of Appellant ¶¶ L. Tim WAGNER, Director of Insurance of the State of Nebraska, As Liquidator of Amwest Surety Insurance Company, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. UNITED NATION... 2008 WL 778844, *778844, Neb.App. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 19, 2008	Brief		6 S.Ct.
Cited by	362. Brief for Appellee COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, Appellee, v. Walter KITKO, Appellant. 2013 WL 8354524, *1+, Pa.Super. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 22, 2013	Brief		—
Cited by	363. Brief for Appellant ¶¶ COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, Appellee, v. Joseph Ronald GREENBERG, JR., Appellant. 2012 WL 7985515, *1+, Pa.Super. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 02, 2012	Brief		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	364. Brief for Appellant & Rep Record COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, v. Kimberly S. MINDEK. 2005 WL 3968602, *3968602, Pa.Super. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 08, 2005	Brief		—
Cited by	365. Final Brief John DALEN, Appellant, v. THE STATE, Respondent. 2018 WL 7823881, *1+, S.C.App. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 17, 2018	Brief		—
Cited by	366. Brief of Appellant John DALEN, Appellant, v. THE STATE, Respondent. 2018 WL 3256922, *1+, S.C.App. (Appellate Brief)	June 13, 2018	Brief		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	367. Appellant's Brief Maria Dejesus REYNOSO, Appellant, v. DIBS US INC., Appellee. 2016 WL 4410856, *1+ , Tex.App.-Hous. (14 Dist.) (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 15, 2016	Brief		—
Cited by	368. Appellees' Response Brief on Certified Questions Judith Pinborough ZIMMERMAN, Ph.D, Appellant/ Plaintiff, v. UNIVERSITY OF UTAH and Dr. William McMahon, Appellees/Defendants. 2017 WL 8788117, *1+ , Utah (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 02, 2017	Brief		—
Cited by	369. Brief of Geoffrey Mitchell Bengtson COUNTY OF PIERCE, Honorable Robert Wing-Respondent Julia Gehring-Respondent, v. Geoffrey Mitchell BENGTON, Defendant-Appellant. 2006 WL 6140921, *6140921+ , Wis.App. III Dist. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 21, 2006	Brief		—
Cited by	370. Appellant's Reply Brief ¶¶ FOND DU LAC COUNTY, Plaintiff-Respondent, v. Kevin C. DERKSEN, Respondent-Appellant. 2001 WL 34357974, *34357974 , Wis.App. II Dist. (Appellate Brief)	2001	Brief		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	371. Complaint For Violations of Civil Rights, Violations of United States Constitutional Rights, Denial of Due Process of Law, Oppression Resulting from C... Jerry VIERRA, Plaintiff, v. Cochise COUNTY, State of Arizona, Officer B. W. Berry as Agent of Cochise County Sheriffs Department, and B. W. Berry, Ind... 2006 WL 4028409, *4028409 , D.Ariz. (Trial Pleading)	Dec. 18, 2006	Petition		—
Cited by	372. Jurisdiction ¶¶ Oliver ENDSLEY, Plaintiff, v. State of Georgia, Defendant. 2003 WL 23825732, *23825732 , N.D.Ga. (Trial Pleading)	Apr. 07, 2003	Petition		1 7 S.Ct.
Cited by	373. I Verified Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Tina-Marie: NICKERSON, Plaintiff, v. CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE STATE OF OREGON FOR BENTON COUNTY, Patrick Hunter in his official and private capacity, Def... 2007 WL 4766151, *4766151+ , D.Or. (Trial Pleading)	2007	Petition		—
Cited by	374. Plaintiff's Answer to Defendants Mt Pleasant City Corp., Jim Wilberg Kay Larsen, Chad Wooley, Ivo Ray Peterson Motion for Judgement on Pleadings ¶¶ Philip-james: ROBERTS, Plaintiff, v. Kevin BRADSHAW Et al. 2005 WL 1989186, *1989186 , D.Utah (Trial Pleading)	May 05, 2005	Petition		1 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	375. Memorandum in Reply to Defendants' and Defendant-Intervenor's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, and in Opposition to Defendants' ... TEHAMA-COLUSA CANAL AUTHORITY, Plaintiff, v. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR; Kenneth Lee Salazar, in his official capacity as Secretary of t... 2011 WL 13266755, *1+ , E.D.Cal. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Jan. 28, 2011	Motion		7 S.Ct.
Cited by	376. Memorandum in Support of Federal Defendants' Motion to Dismiss ʹʹ James M. JONES, Plaintiff, v. AIR LINES PILOTS ASSOCIATION, INTERNATIONAL, et al., Defendants. 2010 WL 943349, *943349 , D.D.C. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Jan. 26, 2010	Motion		—
Cited by	377. Memorandum in Support of Complaint We The People Noble Asad Hajur Bey Moorish National Preamble Citizen Of The United States General Government Plaintiff, v. Officer MIKESELL et. al., D... 2003 WL 23774762, *23774762+ , M.D.Fla. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	July 21, 2003	Motion		—
Cited by	378. Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Notice of Removal and Petition to Remove PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA, Plaintiff, v. Quentin Izel BESSENT Sovereign Accused. 2000 WL 34578617, *34578617 , M.D.Fla. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	May 08, 2000	Motion		—
Cited by	379. Federal Defendants' Motion to Dismiss ʹʹ Troy G. AVERA, Plaintiff, v. UNITED AIRLINES, et al., Defendants. 2009 WL 3464014, *3464014 , N.D.Fla. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Sep. 04, 2009	Motion		—
Cited by	380. Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order John WELCH, Judith Welch, Kenneth Sparks, Jr., Carol Sparks, Sherry Murphy, and Mark Fulks on Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated, ... 2012 WL 13036177, *1+ , E.D.Mich. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Aug. 31, 2012	Motion		—
Cited by	381. Response to Plaintiff's opposition to Petitioner's Motion to Strike Plaintiffs Motion to remand and Response to Plaintiffs response in support of Moti... JUSTICE COURT, a corporation, County of Clark, a corporation of State of Nevada, a corporation, Plaintiff, v. Vernon-Harry: CLEMENS, Alleged Defendant... 2003 WL 24263092, *24263092+ , D.Nev. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	June 12, 2003	Motion		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	382. Memorandum in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Adam SWEET, an individual, and 2Brothers Moving & Delivery, a Washington corporation individually and as successor in interest to Adam Sweet dba 2Brot... 2008 WL 7294684, *7294684+ , D.Or. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	July 30, 2008	Motion		3 S.Ct.
Cited by	383. Response of City of Lancaster Defendants' to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment ” SHELL'S DISPOSAL AND RECYCLING, INC., Plaintiff, v. City of Lancaster; City Council; J. Richard Gray; Department of Public Works; Charlotte Katzenmoye... 2008 WL 7312933, *7312933 , E.D.Pa. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Dec. 12, 2008	Motion		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	384. Plaintiff Cycle Barn's Response to Defendant Arctic Cat's Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(6) CYCLE BARN, INC., a Washington Corporation, d/ b/a Smokey Point Cycle Barn, Plaintiff, v. ARCTIC CAT SALES INC., a Minnesota Corporation, Defendant. 2010 WL 11668441, *1+ , W.D.Wash. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Feb. 01, 2010	Motion		—
Cited by	385. Varsity Gold'S Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction VARSITY GOLD, INC., Plaintiff, v. ELITE FUNDRAISING LLC, Defendant. 2005 WL 2413434, *2413434+ , W.D.Wash. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Aug. 04, 2005	Motion		—
Cited by	386. Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ” UNITED AUTOMOBILE, Aerospace, Agricultural Implement Workers of America International Union (Uaw); et. al., Plaintiffs, v. Luis G. FORTU%25NO, et. al.... 2009 WL 5119958, *5119958 , D.Puerto Rico (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Sep. 08, 2009	Motion		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	387. Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Rule 12 (B)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure UNITED AUTOMOBILE, Aerospace, Agricultural Implement Workers of America International Union (UAW); et. al., Plaintiffs, v. Luis G. FORTUNO, et.al., De... 2009 WL 2495426, *2495426 , D.Puerto Rico (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	June 17, 2009	Motion		9 S.Ct.
Cited by	388. Memorandum of Law in Support of the County's Motion to Dismiss ” Application of YELLOW CAB OF NEWBURGH, INC., And G & C Transportation, Inc., Petitioner-Plaintiffs, For a Judgment Pursuant to CPLR Article 78 and CPL... 2009 WL 6826717, *6826717 , N.Y.Sup. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	June 30, 2009	Motion		1 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	389. Respondent's Memorandum of Law Submitted in Support of Motion to Dismiss 』 APPLICATION OF G&C TRANSPORTATION, INC., v. WESTCHESTER COUNTY, et al. 2008 WL 8112217, *8112217, N.Y.Sup. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	July 10, 2008	Motion		1 S.Ct.
Cited by	390. Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of Application for an Order to Show Cause, Motion for a Temporary Stay, Declaratory Judgment and Article 78 R... ALL AMERICAN CRANE SERVICE INC., Cranes Express Inc., G & C Crane Service, LLC CNB Crane Rental Inc., William Sackaris, Vasillios Sitaras, Gary Camard... 2008 WL 8103572, *8103572, N.Y.Sup. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	June 06, 2008	Motion		8 S.Ct.
—	391. The King v Vizzard; Ex parte Hill 1933 WL 26588, *1, HCA	Dec. 15, 1933	Case	—	—
Mentioned by	392. Watson v. Employers Liability Assur. Corp. 75 S.Ct. 166, 176, U.S.La. Suit against an insurer begun in Louisiana State Court and removed to the Federal District Court by defendant on ground of diversity of citizenship. The United States District...	Dec. 06, 1954	Case		5 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	393. Railway Exp. Agency v. People of State of N.Y. 69 S.Ct. 463, 468, U.S.N.Y. The Railway Express Agency, Inc., and Charles Gerchario and other individuals were convicted of violating traffic regulation of the City of New York forbidding any one to operate...	Jan. 31, 1949	Case		—
Mentioned by	394. Steele v. General Mills 67 S.Ct. 439, 443, U.S.Tex. Action by Leo H. Steele against General Mills, Inc., to recover full rates fixed by orders of the Railroad Commission of the State of Texas for goods hauled by plaintiff as a...	Jan. 06, 1947	Case		3 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	395. Veix v. Sixth Ward Building & Loan Ass'n of Newark 60 S.Ct. 792, 795, U.S.N.J. Appeal from the Supreme Court of the State of New Jersey. Action by Harry M. Veix against the Sixth Ward Building & Loan Association of Newark, N.J., for the withdrawal value of...	Apr. 22, 1940	Case		—
Mentioned by	396. Patterson v. Stanolind Oil & Gas Co. 59 S.Ct. 259, 260, U.S.Okla. Appeal from the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma. Action by Russell B. Patterson against the Stanolind Oil & Gas Company and the Amerada Petroleum Corporation to recover oil...	Jan. 03, 1939	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Mentioned by	 397. South Carolina State Highway Department v. Barnwell Bros. 58 S.Ct. 510, 517 , U.S.S.C. Suit by Barnwell Bros., Inc., against the South Carolina State Highway Department and others to enjoin the defendants from enforcing a statute imposing restrictions on the use of...	Feb. 14, 1938	Case		4 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	 398. West Coast Hotel Co. v. Parrish 57 S.Ct. 578, 583 , U.S.Wash. Action by Ernest Parrish and wife against the West Coast Hotel Company. From a judgment of the Supreme Court of the State of Washington (185 Wash. 581, 55 P.(2d) 1083), reversing...	Mar. 29, 1937	Case		—
Mentioned by	 399. Stanley v. Public Utilities Commission of Maine 55 S.Ct. 628, 628 , U.S.Me. Appeal from the Supreme Judicial Court of the State of Maine. John M. Stanley applied to the Public Utilities Commission of Maine for a certificate of convenience and necessity to...	Apr. 15, 1935	Case		—
Mentioned by	 400. Semler v. Oregon State Bd. of Dental Examiners 55 S.Ct. 570, 571 , U.S.Or. Appeal from the Supreme Court of the State of Oregon. Suit by Harry Semler against the Oregon State Board of Dental Examiners and others. Judgment dismissing the suit was affirmed...	Apr. 01, 1935	Case		7 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	 401. Norman v. Baltimore & O.R. Co. 55 S.Ct. 407, 417 , U.S.N.Y. On Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court of the State of New York. On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit. Two proceedings,...	Feb. 18, 1935	Case		—
Mentioned by	 402. Fox v. Standard Oil Co. of New Jersey 55 S.Ct. 333, 339 , U.S.W.Va. Suit by the Standard Oil Company of New Jersey against Fred L. Fox, in his individual right and in his official capacity as Tax Commissioner of the State of West Virginia. Decree...	Jan. 14, 1935	Case		4 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	403. Coale v. Pearson 54 S.Ct. 131, 131 , U.S.Md. Appeal from the Court of Appeals of the State of Maryland.	Nov. 20, 1933	Case		—
Mentioned by	404. Federal Radio Commission v. Nelson Bros. Bond & Mortg. Co. (Station WIBO) 53 S.Ct. 627, 635 , U.S.Dist.Col. On Writs of Certiorari to the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia. Application by Johnson-Kennedy Radio Corporation, as owner of Radio Broadcasting Station WJKS, for a...	May 08, 1933	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Mentioned by	 405. Louis K. Liggett Co. v. Lee 53 S.Ct. 481, 504 , U.S.Fla. Suit by the Louis K. Liggett Company and others against J. M. Lee, as Comptroller of the State of Florida, and others. From a judgment of the Supreme Court of the State of Florida...	Mar. 13, 1933	Case		—
Mentioned by	406. Securities and Exchange Commission v. Crude Oil Corp. of America 93 F.2d 844, 849 , C.C.A.7 (Wis.) Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Western District of Wisconsin; Patrick T. Stone, Judge. Suit in equity by the Securities and Exchange Commission against...	Nov. 23, 1937	Case		3 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	407. City and County of San Francisco v. Market Street Ry. Co. 98 F.2d 628, 634+ , C.C.A.9 (Cal.) Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of California, Southern Division; Harold Louderback, Judge. Suit by the Market Street Railway Company...	July 07, 1938	Case		—
Mentioned by	408. San Francisco Shopping News Co. v. City of South San Francisco 69 F.2d 879, 889 , C.C.A.9 (Cal.) Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of California, Southern Division; A. F. St. Sure, Judge. Suit by the San Francisco Shopping News...	Mar. 19, 1934	Case		3 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	 409. Lukens Steel Co. v. Perkins 107 F.2d 627, 640 , App.D.C. EDGERTON, Associate Justice, dissenting. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the District of Columbia. Suit by the Lukens Steel Company and others against...	Aug. 04, 1939	Case		—
Mentioned by	410. Asher v. Ingels 13 F.Supp. 654, 658 , S.D.Cal. In Equity. Suit by Lou Asher and another, copartners, doing business under the firm name and style of Asher & Ponder, against Ray Ingels, as Director of the Department of Motor...	Feb. 08, 1936	Case		3 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	411. Transamerican Freight Lines v. U.S. 51 F.Supp. 405, 410 , D.Del. Suit by the Transamerican Freight Lines, Inc., against the United States and the Interstate Commerce Commission to set aside and enjoin the enforcement of a certain order of the...	Aug. 10, 1943	Case		1 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	 412. Buck v. Gibbs 34 F.Supp. 510, 516 , N.D.Fla. In Equity. Action by Gene Buck, individually and as President of the American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers, and others against George Couper Gibbs, individually...	Aug. 05, 1940	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Mentioned by	413. Lowe v. City Council of Augusta 45 F.Supp. 143, 148 , S.D.Ga. Action by H. A. Lowe and others against the City Council of Augusta and another to enjoin the enforcement of a municipal ordinance of the city, regulating the business of taxicabs...	Apr. 27, 1942	Case		—
Mentioned by	414. Whitney v. Johnson 37 F.Supp. 65, 66 , E.D.Ky. Action by A. M. Whitney and others against Keen Johnson and others, wherein constitutionality of Ky. St. Secs. 2739g-80, 2739g-82, was challenged, and wherein an interlocutory...	Feb. 21, 1941	Case		11 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	415. In re American States Public Service Co. 12 F.Supp. 667, 706 , D.Md. In Bankruptcy. Proceedings for the reorganization of the American States Public Service Company, debtor, wherein Burco, Incorporated, and others intervened. On petition of the...	Nov. 07, 1935	Case		—
Mentioned by	416. City of Pontiac Retired Employees v. City of Pontiac 2012 WL 2917311, *4 , E.D.Mich. This matter is before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order [dkt 2], which sought the issuance of a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunctive...	July 17, 2012	Case		—
Mentioned by	417. O'Neill v. Lang Transp. Corporation 19 F.Supp. 477, 480 , D.Nev. Action by Ralph W. O'Neill against the Lang Transportation Corporation and others, removed to the federal District Court on named defendant's petition. On named defendant's...	June 02, 1937	Case		3 7 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	418. Alkire v. Cashman 350 F.Supp. 360, 365 , S.D.Ohio Action by residents of municipalities challenging constitutionality of Ohio statute requiring all public water works systems serving 5,000 or more persons to fluoridate their...	Oct. 11, 1972	Case		13 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	419. A. E. McDonald Motor Freight Lines v. U.S. 35 F.Supp. 132, 134 , N.D.Tex. Action by A. E. McDonald Motor Freight Lines, Incorporated, against the United States and Interstate Commerce Commission and others to set aside an order of the Interstate Commerce...	Apr. 17, 1940	Case		—
Mentioned by	420. U.S. v. Gregg 5 F.Supp. 848, 858 , S.D.Tex. In Equity. Suit by the United States of America against Paul E. Gregg and others. Decree in favor of the plaintiff in accordance with opinion.	Jan. 10, 1934	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Mentioned by	421. In re Evans 362 B.R. 275, 282 , Bkrtcy.D.S.C. BANKRUPTCY - Bankruptcy Estate. Alleged state law limitations on debtors' right to increased homestead exemption were preempted by federal law.	Dec. 05, 2006	Case		4 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	422. In re Barnhart 47 B.R. 277, 280+ , Bkrtcy.N.D.Tex. Debtors filed motion wherein they sought to avoid eight judicial liens encumbering their homestead. The Bankruptcy Court, John C. Ford, J., held that Texas' retroactive...	Mar. 12, 1985	Case		9 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	423. Bolcom-Canal Lumber Co. v. U.S. 24 F.Supp. 316, 320 , Ct.Cl. Action by the Bolcom-Canal Lumber Company against the United States to recover income taxes paid for the year 1918. Petition dismissed.	May 02, 1938	Case		12 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	424. American Federation of Labor v. American Sash & Door Co. 189 P.2d 912, 919 , Ariz. Proceeding by American Federation of Labor, Arizona State Federation of Labor, Phoenix Building & Construction Trades Council, United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of...	Feb. 04, 1948	Case		—
Mentioned by	425. San Francisco Street Artists Guild v. Scott 112 Cal.Rptr. 502, 504 , Cal.App. 1 Dist. Individual artisans and artisans association brought action challenging constitutionality of ordinance prohibiting them from selling their wares on public streets without a...	Mar. 01, 1974	Case		—
Mentioned by	426. Pittsford v. City of Los Angeles 122 P.2d 535, 539 , Cal.App. 2 Dist. Appeal from Superior Court, Los Angeles, County; Hartley Shaw, Edward T. Bishop, and W. Turney Fox, Judges. Action to enjoin the enforcement of an ordinance by Marion J. Pittsford...	Feb. 17, 1942	Case		—
Mentioned by	427. People v. Ala Carte Catering Co. 159 Cal.Rptr. 479, 486 , Cal.Super.A.D. Respective appeals were taken by the People and the defendant from orders of the Municipal Court, Los Angeles Judicial District, Los Angeles County, Eric E. Younger, J., dismissing...	Sep. 04, 1979	Case		7 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	428. Asphalt Paving Co. v. County Com'rs of Jefferson County 425 P.2d 289, 295 , Colo. Action for a declaratory judgment as to validity of resolutions of a Board of County Commissioners prohibiting certain truck traffic in residential areas. The District Court,...	Mar. 27, 1967	Case		1 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Mentioned by	429. Territory v. Fung 1936 WL 4430, *4 , Hawai'i Terr. Within constitutional limitations common carriers of passengers by automobile who are also public utilities as defined by section 7940, R. L. 1935, are subject to reasonable...	Dec. 23, 1936	Case		—
Mentioned by	430. Joseph Triner Corporation v. McNeil 2 N.E.2d 929, 935 , Ill. Suit by the Joseph Triner Corporation against Carl W. McNeil. Decree for plaintiff, and defendant appeals. Affirmed.	June 10, 1936	Case		—
Mentioned by	431. Whitney v. Fife 109 S.W.2d 832, 835 , Ky. Appeal from Circuit Court, Hardin County. Suit by A. M. Whitney and others for writs of prohibition against H. B. Fife, Judge of the Hardin County Court, and another. From a...	Oct. 29, 1937	Case		1 3 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	432. Hunter Co. v. McHugh 11 So.2d 495, 505 , La. Appeal from Civil District Court, Parish of Orleans; Nat W. Bond, Judge. Suit by the Hunter Company, Inc., and the Superior Oil Company against Joseph L. McHugh, Commissioner of...	Nov. 30, 1942	Case		—
Mentioned by	433. Treigle v. Acme Homestead Ass'n 160 So. 637, 643 , La. Appeal from Civil District Court, Parish of Orleans; Walter L. Gleason, Judge. Suit by Camille v. Treigle against the Acme Homestead Association. Judgment for plaintiff, and...	Mar. 04, 1935	Case		4 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	434. First National Stores v. H. P. Welch Co. 55 N.E.2d 200, 202 , Mass. Action of contract or tort by First National Stores, Incorporated, against H. P. Welch Company to recover for loss of goods destroyed by fire while in defendant's possession. The...	Apr. 29, 1944	Case		12 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	435. Stanley v. American Motorists Ins. Co. 73 A.2d 1, 2 , Md. Suit for declaratory relief by American Motorists Insurance Company against Bernice Stanley and Sebastian John Pfeiffer. The Circuit Court No. 2 of Baltimore City, Herman M...	Apr. 14, 1950	Case		—
Mentioned by	436. State ex rel. Rice v. Evans-Terry Co. 159 So. 658, 659 , Miss. Appeal from Chancery Court, Jones County; A. B. Amis, Sr., Chancellor. Suit by the State, on the relation of Greek L. Rice, Attorney General, and Joe S. Price, State Auditor of...	Feb. 25, 1935	Case		—
Mentioned by	437. Nebraska Public Service Com'n v. Nebraska Public Power Dist. 590 N.W.2d 840, 847 , Neb. ENERGY AND UTILITIES - Telecommunications. PSC did not have jurisdiction over telecommunications contract carriers.	Mar. 19, 1999	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Mentioned by	 438. National City Bank of New York v. Del Sordo 109 A.2d 631, 638 , N.J. Action to recover the possession of an automobile or in the alternative to recover judgment for its value. Summary judgment was entered in the Law Division of the Superior Court...	Nov. 29, 1954	Case		—
Mentioned by	439. Rocker v. Cardinal Building & Loan Ass'n of Newark 179 A. 667, 674 , N.J.Sup. Action by Ida Rocker against the Cardinal Building & Loan Association of Newark. Order in accordance with opinion.	Apr. 30, 1935	Case		4 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	 440. Black River Regulating Dist. v. Adirondack League Club 121 N.E.2d 428, 434 , N.Y. Action by river regulating district and members of district board for judgment declaring it entitled to maintain condemnation proceedings against defendant to obtain land for...	July 14, 1954	Case		3 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	 441. Trio Distributor Corp. v. City of Albany 156 N.Y.S.2d 912, 915 , N.Y.A.D. 3 Dept. Action by corporation engaged in sale of ice cream products from refrigerated motor trucks manner by corporation's licensed employees and by one such employee against city and city...	Sep. 24, 1956	Case		—
Mentioned by	442. Kuperschmid v. Globe Brief Case Corp. 58 N.Y.S.2d 71, 78 , N.Y.Sup.App.Term Appeal from Municipal Court, Borough of Manhattan, Second and Seventh Districts. Separate actions by Ruth Kuperschmid and another against Globe Brief Case Corporation, by Ruth...	Sep. 11, 1945	Case		—
Mentioned by	443. Okfuskee County Rural Water Dist. No. 3 v. City of Okemah 257 P.3d 1011, 1016 , Okla.Civ.App. Div. 3 ENERGY AND UTILITIES - Water and Sewer. District Court erred in finding water district had waived any right to damages preceding the filing of its petition against city utilities...	Jan. 28, 2011	Case		—
Mentioned by	444. Hertz Drivurself Stations v. Siggins 58 A.2d 464, 476 , Pa. Appeal No. 7, May term, 1948, from Decree of the Court of Common Pleas of Dauphin County at No. 1664 Equity Docket, No. 595 Commonwealth Docket, 1943; J. Paul Rupp, Judge. Bill in...	Mar. 26, 1948	Case		1 3 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	445. Lien v. Rowe 92 N.W.2d 922, 925 , S.D. Action to obtain a judgment for the recovery of motor vehicle compensation fees paid under protest. Judgment of dismissal in the Circuit Court of Pennington County, E. W....	Nov. 13, 1958	Case		1 7 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Mentioned by	446. Oil Field Haulers Ass'n v. Railroad Commission 381 S.W.2d 183, 194 , Tex. Suit by oil field haulers association to have enforcement of railroad commission's order reducing rates on certain intrastate shipments enjoined. Railroads intervened. The 126th...	June 03, 1964	Case		12 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	447. Railroad Commission v. McDonald 90 S.W.2d 581, 585 , Tex.Civ.App.-Austin Appeal from District Court, Travis County; W. F. Robertson, Judge. Suit by A. E. McDonald against the Railroad Commission and others. Judgment for plaintiff, and defendants appeal....	Jan. 08, 1936	Case		3 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	448. Horluck Transp. Co. v. Eckright 352 P.2d 205, 208 , Wash. Action by carrier of passengers to enjoin a voluntary association from operating sixteen-passenger bus without a certificate of convenience and necessity. The Superior Court,...	May 12, 1960	Case		—
Mentioned by	449. Pacific Inland Tariff Bureau v. Schaaf 95 P.2d 781, 783 , Wash. Department 1. Action by the Pacific Inland Tariff Bureau, a corporation, against Fred J. Schaaf, Director of the Department of Public Service of the State of Washington, for...	Nov. 04, 1939	Case		1 7 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	450. State ex rel. Foss Co. v. Kelly 59 P.2d 373, 377 , Wash. En Banc. Appeal from Superior Court, Thurston County; D. F. Wright, Judge. Action by the State, on the relation of the Foss Company, Inc., for a writ of prohibition against E. Pat..	July 09, 1936	Case		11 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	451. Russell v. Walter Schultz Wholesale Grocery Co. 64 P.2d 610, 611 , Wyo. Appeal from District Court, Natrona County; C. D. Murane, Judge. Action by L. J. Russell, doing business under the firm name and style of the Russell Transportation Company,...	Feb. 02, 1937	Case		3 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	452. Abolition of Railroad Crossings 49 Pa. D. & C. 154, 161 You have asked to be advised on the following question: "Under the provisions of section 409 of the Public Utility Law, does the Public Utility Commission have jurisdiction over..."	Dec. 03, 1943	Administrative Decision		—
Mentioned by	453. Brief for the United States as Amicus Curiae MICHAEL M., Petitioner, v. THE SUPERIOR COURT OF SONOMA COUNTY, The People of the State of California. 1980 WL 339752, *339752 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 09, 1980	Brief		—
Mentioned by	454. Appellant's Brief Opposing Appellees' Motions to Affirm Daniels v. United States of America 1963 WL 105724, *105724 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 01, 1963	Brief		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Mentioned by	455. Appellant's Brief Daniel v. Family Sec. Life Ins. Co. 1948 WL 47356, *47356+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 13, 1948	Brief		11 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	456. Brief for Appellees Anderson Nat. Bank v. Reeves 1943 WL 54458, *54458 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1943	Brief		—
Mentioned by	457. Original Brief on Behalf of Appellees. Great Atlantic & Never abbreviate Tea Co. v. Grosjean 1937 WL 40692, *40692 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 23, 1937	Brief		4 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	458. Brief of Respondents McDonald v. Thompson 1933 WL 31966, *31966+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 04, 1933	Brief		3 4 S.Ct.
Mentioned by	459. Appellant's or Petitioner's Informal Brief Djehuty MA'AT-RA, Appellant/Petitioner, v. Ben BATEMAN, officer Xzavia Atkins, officer Robert Morris, tow truck co. owner, Appellee/Respondent. 2006 WL 2982067, *2982067 , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 05, 2006	Brief		—
Mentioned by	460. Defendants' Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss Dr. John TELFORD, Plaintiff, v. Roy ROBERTS in his official capacity as the emergency manager for Detroit Public Schools; Governor Rick Snyder in his ... 2013 WL 5728481, *1 , E.D.Mich. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	May 07, 2013	Motion		—
Mentioned by	461. Rule 12(c) Motion on the Pleadings Joseph VALENTI, Co-Chief Negotiator for the Coalition of Unions of the City of Detroit, by and on behalf of the members of the unions within the Coali... 2012 WL 2848718, *1 , E.D.Mich. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	July 06, 2012	Motion		—
Mentioned by	462. Defendants' Supplemental Response in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order Joseph VALENTI, Co-Chief Negotiator for the Coalition of Unions of the City of Detroit, by and on behalf of the members of the unions within the Coali... 2012 WL 1310374, *1 , E.D.Mich. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Apr. 03, 2012	Motion		—
Mentioned by	463. Defendants' Response in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order Joseph VALENTI, Co-Chief Negotiator for the Coalition of Unions of the City of Detroit, by and on behalf of the members of the unions within the Coali... 2012 WL 1310371, *1 , E.D.Mich. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Apr. 02, 2012	Motion		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Mentioned by	464. Defendants' Brief in Support of Response in Opposition to a Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction DETROIT FEDERATION OF TEACHERS, American Federation of Teachers Local 231; Detroit Association of Educational Office Employees, American Federation of... 2011 WL 4460697, *1, E.D.Mich. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Sep. 12, 2011	Motion		—
Mentioned by	465. Supplemental Brief of Plaintiffs the Nooksack Indian Tribe and Nooksack Business Corporation II THE NOOKSACK INDIAN TRIBE OF WASHINGTON and the Nooksack Business Corporation II, Plaintiffs, v. OUTSOURCE SERVICES MANAGEMENT, LLC, Defendant. 2012 WL 6692707, *1, W.D.Wash. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	May 29, 2012	Motion		—
Mentioned by	466. Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Federal Civil Procedure Rule 12(B)(6) and Rule 12(B)(1); and Joinder to Dockets No. 76 and No. 89 LONGO EN-TECH PUERTO RICO, INC., Plaintiff, v. THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY; et alia, Defendants. 2017 WL 3601478, *1, D.Puerto Rico (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Feb. 24, 2017	Motion		—
Mentioned by	467. Defendants' 06/05/2015 Motion for Summary Disposition in Lieu of An Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint ALLEN PARK RETIREES ASSOCIATION, INC., et al, Plaintiffs, v. THE STATE OF MICHIGAN and the Michigan Department of Treasury, Joint and Several., Defend... 2015 WL 8625434, *1, Mich.Ct.Cl. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	June 05, 2015	Motion		—
—	468. Validity and applicability of statutes relating to use of highway by private motor carriers and contract motor carriers for hire 109 A.L.R. 550 This annotation supersedes those in 56 A.L.R. 1056; 81 A.L.R. 1415; and 87 A.L.R. 735. Legislation relating to motor transportation of persons and property upon the public highways...	1937	ALR	—	3 5 7 12 13 S.Ct.
—	469. What actions arise under the Constitution of the United States so as to vest jurisdiction of Federal courts 13 A.L.R.2d 390 This annotation deals specifically with an important phase of statutory and case law governing Federal jurisdiction, covering the question as to what actions arise under the...	1950	ALR	—	1 9 13 S.Ct.
—	470. State taxation of motor carriers as affected by commerce clause 17 A.L.R.2d 421 This annotation deals with the constitutional power of a state, in view of the commerce clause in the Federal Constitution, to impose a tax upon interstate motor carriers as a...	1951	ALR	—	1 12 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	<p>471. Jurisdiction of public service commission over carriers transporting by motor trucks or busses 103 A.L.R. 268</p> <p>(Supplementing annotation in 51 A.L.R. 820.) In the earliest annotation upon this subject, when motor transportation was in its infancy, the question generally was whether the...</p>	1936	ALR	—	<p>3 5 6 12 13</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
—	<p>472. Constitutionality, construction, and application of statutes relating specifically to hours of service or other conditions affecting drivers of motor trucks 120 A.L.R. 295</p> <p>The decision in H. P. Welch Co. v. State (N.H.) (reported herewith) ante, 282 (affirmed in (1939) — U. S. —, 83 L. ed. (Adv. 363), 59 S. Ct. 438), sustaining the validity of...</p>	1939	ALR	—	<p>3</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
—	<p>473. Statutes or ordinances which apply to railroads, but not to carriers on public highways, or vice versa, as denying equal protection 139 A.L.R. 977</p> <p>There must be some natural, practical, and substantial difference between railroads and common carriers using other means of transportation in order to furnish a reasonable basis...</p>	1942	ALR	—	<p>3</p> <p>S.Ct.</p>
—	<p>474. Federal Banking Law Reporter 441245, FLUSHING NATIONAL BANK, ON BEHALF OF ITSELF AND ALL OTHER HOLDERS OF NOTES OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK MATURING ON OR BEFORE JUNE 30, 1976, APPELLANT, V. MUNICIPAL ASSISTANCE CORPORATION FOR THE CITY OF NEW YORK ET AL., RESP Federal Banking Law Reporter</p> <p>390 N.Y.S.2d 22, 358 N.E.2d 848 FLUSHING NATIONAL BANK, on Behalf of Itself and All Other Holders of Notes of the City of New York Maturing on or before June 30, 1976, Appellant,...</p>	1976	Other Secondary Source	—	—
—	<p>475. Federal Banking Law Reporter 461013, UNITED STATES TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK, TRUSTEE V. NEW JERSEY ET AL. Federal Banking Law Reporter</p> <p>UNITED STATES TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK, TRUSTEE v. NEW JERSEY ET AL. UNITED STATES TRUST CO. v. NEW JERSEY, 431 U.S. 1 (1977) APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY No....</p>	1977	Other Secondary Source	—	—
—	<p>476. Fletcher Cyclopedia Law of Private Corporations s 6671, § 6671. What businesses are affected with a public interest—Particular industries</p> <p>Common carriers and public utilities are the primary businesses deemed to be "affected with a public interest." This class includes public service companies such as electric...</p>	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	477. Fletcher Encyclopedia Law of Private Corporations s 6684, § 6684. Extent of power to regulate rates—General rules Under the guise of rate regulation, the government cannot take over the management of the corporation or unreasonably interfere with such management. However, when management...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	6 S.Ct.
—	478. Fletcher Encyclopedia Law of Private Corporations s 6716.40, § 6716.40. Denial of equal protection of the laws—Motor carriers Auto transportation companies, sometimes called motor carriers, may be separately classified for regulation purposes. For example, busses operating over particular routes have been...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	12 S.Ct.
—	479. McQuillin The Law of Municipal Corporations s 19:107, § 19:107. Licensing ordinances—Police power purposes An ordinance is void and cannot be upheld as a valid police regulation where it imposes a license tax that is, in effect, an interference with interstate commerce. The fact that an...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	—
—	480. Williston on Contracts s 59:23, § 59:23. Statutory limitation of carrier's capacity to contract A carrier that is not a common carrier has the same freedom of contract as an ordinary bailee for hire, that is, it can contract on any terms except for total exemption from...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	—
—	481. Am. Jur. 2d Automobiles and Highway Traffic s 13, § 13. Vehicles engaged in transportation for hire Am. Jur. 2d Automobiles and Highway Traffic The use of highways for the purpose of transporting persons or property for hire, by the ordinary means, is incidental to and consistent with the primary purpose of their...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	1 S.Ct.
—	482. Am. Jur. 2d Automobiles and Highway Traffic s 27, § 27. Regulations pertaining to vehicles engaged in transportation for hire Am. Jur. 2d Automobiles and Highway Traffic The use upon the public highways of motor vehicles engaged in transportation for hire may be prohibited, restricted, or conditioned by the controlling public authority. This rule...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	7 13 S.Ct.
—	483. Am. Jur. 2d Constitutional Law s 392, § 392. Obligation of contracts Am. Jur. 2d Constitutional Law Contract rights are subject to the reasonable exercise of the police power. Thus, the "impairment of contracts" clause of the United States Constitution, often referred to as the...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	7 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	484. Am. Jur. 2d Constitutional Law s 643, § 643. Limitations Am. Jur. 2d Constitutional Law The general rule is well settled that freedom of contract is not absolute, unlimited, or universal. Liberty of contract under the Constitution is necessarily subject to the...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	6 S.Ct.
—	485. Am. Jur. 2d Highways, Streets, and Bridges s 210, § 210. Municipal power to prohibit or regulate Am. Jur. 2d Highways, Streets, and Bridges The use of public streets as a place to conduct a private business is generally recognized as a special or extraordinary use, which the controlling public authority may prohibit or...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	1 S.Ct.
—	486. Am. Jur. 2d Highways, Streets, and Bridges s 223, § 223. Use of highways for transportation facilities and operation, generally Am. Jur. 2d Highways, Streets, and Bridges The use of highways and streets as a facility for commercial transportation of freight or passengers by the ordinary means is incidental to and consistent with the primary purpose...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	7 S.Ct.
—	487. Cal. Jur. 3d Constitutional Law s 406, § 406. Generally Cal. Jur. 3d Constitutional Law Not every encroachment by a law upon rights conferred by a contract unconstitutionally impairs the agreement. The state continues to possess authority to safeguard the vital...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	—
—	488. CJS Constitutional Law s 285, § 285. Construction of statutes as judicial function CJS Constitutional Law The interpretation of existing statutes is a judicial function with which the legislature cannot interfere. Since the determination of the true state and meaning of the existing...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	—
—	489. CJS Constitutional Law s 566, § 566. Exercise of police power CJS Constitutional Law As a general rule, the police power of the state cannot be permanently surrendered but is always reserved. The legislature may not, by the grant of a corporate charter, deprive the...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	3 S.Ct.
—	490. CJS Constitutional Law s 1501, § 1501. Motor carriers CJS Constitutional Law The use of public streets and highways for the conduct of the business of a common carrier for hire is a mere privilege which may be granted or withheld by the State or...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	5 S.Ct.

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	491. CJS Constitutional Law s 2290, § 2290. Carriers CJS Constitutional Law As a general rule, fair and reasonable governmental regulation of the charges or rates exacted by carriers does not contravene the constitutional guaranty of due process of law....	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	6 S.Ct.
—	492. CJS Motor Vehicles s 156, § 156. Regulation CJS Motor Vehicles The state has power to impose regulations on private or contract carriers by motor vehicle when using the public highways for the transaction of their business. Accordingly, some...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	2 4 7 S.Ct.
—	493. CJS Motor Vehicles s 157, § 157. Regulation—Subjecting private carriers to common-carrier regulations CJS Motor Vehicles A motor vehicle operator who is in fact a private carrier cannot, by legislative edict or command, be compelled to become a public or common carrier so as to be subject to...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	2 S.Ct.
—	494. CJS Motor Vehicles s 210, § 210. Persons or vehicles excluded or exempted CJS Motor Vehicles Statutes that require a special license, permit, or certificate of public convenience and necessity for motor vehicles do not apply to vehicles used only for the owner's personal...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	5 S.Ct.
—	495. INHERENT SOVEREIGN POWERS: THE INFLUENTIAL YET CURIOUSLY UNCONTROVERSIAL FLIP SIDE OF NATURAL RIGHTS 4 Ala. C.R. & C.L. L. Rev. 133 , 167 Abstract. 133 I. Introduction. 134 II. The Wide Reach of "Inherent Sovereign Powers". 137 A. The Contract Clause. 137 III. The Double Jeopardy Clause. 151 IV. State Sovereignty...	2013	Law Review	—	7 S.Ct.
—	496. CONSTITUTIONAL BASES TO RETROACTIVELY ALTER PRIVATE PRISON CONTRACTS 54 Am. Crim. L. Rev. 339 , 371 States that execute contracts with private prison corporations may later alter those agreements without violating the Contracts Clause of the United States Constitution. Whether a...	2017	Law Review	—	—
—	497. THE ARTS: A TRADITIONAL SPHERE OF FREE EXPRESSION? FIRST AMENDMENT IMPLICATIONS OF GOVERNMENT FUNDING TO THE ARTS IN THE AFTERMATH OF RUST V. SULLIVAN 45 Am. U. L. Rev. 353 , 414 C1-3Table of Contents Introduction . 353 I. A Brief History of Government Funding of the Arts . . . 360 A. Support for the Arts Before 1965 . 360 B. The National Endowment for...	1995	Law Review	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	<p>498. GETTING BEYOND SCARCITY: A NEW PARADIGM FOR ASSESSING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF BROADCAST REGULATION 85 Calif. L. Rev. 1687 , 1747</p> <p>For years broadcast stations have been subject to a range of government regulation that calls on them to act as public trustees of the airwaves. The Supreme Court has upheld this...</p>	1997	Law Review	—	<p>3 S.Ct.</p>
—	<p>499. FORGOTTEN CASES: WORTHEN v. THOMAS 66 Clev. St. L. Rev. 705 , 720+</p> <p>According to received opinion, the case of the Home Bldg. & Loan Ass'n v. Blaisdell, decided in 1934, laid to rest any force the Contracts Clause of the United States Constitution...</p>	2018	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>500. ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTMENT: A PROPOSAL FOR STATE LEGISLATION 16 Colum. J. Envtl. L. 349 , 389</p> <p>Few issues loom larger today than the problem of environmental degradation due to human activity. The United States has made considerable progress over the course of the past...</p>	1991	Law Review	—	<p>9 S.Ct.</p>

Negative Treatment

There are no Negative Treatment results for this citation.