 KeyCite Red Flag - Severe Negative Treatment
Disapproved of by [U.S. v. Guest](#), U.S.Ga., March 28, 1966

41 S.Ct. 133

Supreme Court of the United States.

UNITED STATES

v.

WHEELER et al.

No. 68.

|
Argued April 28, 1920.

|
Decided Dec. 13, 1920.

Synopsis

In Error to the District Court of the United States for the District of Arizona.

Harry C. Wheeler and others were indicted for conspiring to deprive citizens of their right and privilege to reside and remain in a state immune from unlawful deportation. There was a judgment quashing the indictment ([254 Fed. 611](#)), and the United States brings error. Affirmed.

West Headnotes (2)

- [1] [Constitutional Law](#)
 [Privileges and Immunities of Citizens of the Several States \(Article \)](#)

U.S.C.A.Const. art. 4, § 2, giving citizens of the several states the privileges and immunities of citizens of each state, merely limits the power which each state had before the Articles of Confederation, which contained a similar limitation in article 4 thereof, to exclude citizens of other states from privileges granted to its own citizens, but did not deprive the states of their power to deal with the right of residence and of ingress and egress therein, except to the extent of that limitation.

[38 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

- [2] [Constitutional Law](#)

 [Purpose or Object of Constitutional Provision](#)

[Constitutional Law](#)

 [Criminal Law](#)

U.S.C.A.Const. art. 4, § 2, guaranteeing to citizens of the several states the privileges and immunities of citizens of each state, is intended to protect those privileges against state action, and is not violated by a wrongful prevention by an individual of the enjoyment of such privilege by a citizen of another state, so that an indictment in United States District Court against individuals for conspiring to deprive citizens of such privileges and immunities was properly quashed.

[11 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

Attorneys and Law Firms

****133 *282** The Attorney General and W. C. Herron of Washington, D. C., for the United States.

***289** Messrs. Charles E. Hughes, of New York City, E. E. Ellinwood and John Mason Ross, both of Bisbee, Ariz., and Clifton Mathews, of Globe, Ariz., for defendants in error.

Opinion

***292** Mr. Chief Justice WHITE delivered the opinion of the Court.

The case is here under the Criminal Appeals Act (Comp. St. § 1704) to directly review a judgment quashing an indictment against the 25 persons who are defendants in error. The indictment contained four counts, but as the fourth is now abandoned by the government we need not consider it.

The first count charged the accused with conspiring, in violation of section 19 of the Criminal Code (Comp. St. § 10183), to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate 221 named persons, alleged to be citizens of the United States residing in Arizona, of rights or privileges ****134** secured to them by the Constitution or laws of the United States; that is to say, the right and privilege pertaining to citizens of said state peacefully to reside and remain therein and to be immune from unlawful deportation from that state to another. And the overt acts alleged were: The arming of the conspirators; the

seizure and holding of the persons named until by means of a railway train procured for that purpose they were forcibly transported into New Mexico, and in that state released under threat of death or great bodily harm should they ever return to the state of Arizona.

The second count was the same as the first, except that only 25 of the persons alleged in the first count to have been injured were named, and they were stated to be citizens of the United States residing in, but not citizens of, the state of Arizona.

The third count was also identical with the first, except that it embraced only 196 of the injured persons named in *293 the first count and 1 additional person not therein named, all being declared to be citizens of the United States and of the state of Arizona, residing in that state.

The court quashed the indictment, on the ground that no power had been delegated by the Constitution to the United States to forbid and punish the wrongful acts complained of, as the right to do so was exclusively within the authority reserved by that instrument to the several states. As the entire case will be disposed of by testing the accuracy of this view, we come immediately to consider that subject.

In argument, the asserted error in the conclusion is based, not upon the direct result of any particular provision of the Constitution, but upon implications arising from that instrument as a whole, the conditions existing at the time of its adoption, and the consequences inevitably produced from the creation by it of the government of the United States. A wide field of inquiry common to all the contentions is thus opened. In order, therefore, to afford a common basis by which to measure the correctness of the various implications insisted upon, we state under separate headings doctrines which are applicable to all the contentions, and which are in reason so well founded and so conclusively sustained by authority as to be indisputable.

[1] (a) In all the states, from the beginning down to the adoption of the Articles of Confederation, the citizens thereof possessed the fundamental right, inherent in citizens of all free governments, peacefully to dwell within the limits of their respective states, to move at will from place to place therein, and to have free ingress thereto and egress therefrom, with a consequent authority in the states to forbid and punish violations of this fundamental right. [Corfield v. Coryell](#), 4 Wash. C. C. 371, 380, 381, Fed. Cas. No. 3,230; [Slaughterhouse Cases](#), 16 Wall. 36, 76, 21 L. Ed. 394.

(b) Whether, in disregard of the principles of comity, any of the states recognized in their own citizens rights on *294 this subject which they refused to grant to citizens of other states, we need not consider, in view of the provision of the Articles of Confederation on the subject. By that provision uniformity was secured, not by lodging power in Congress to deal with the subject, but, while reserving in the several states the authority which they had theretofore enjoyed, yet subjecting such authority to a limitation inhibiting the power from being used to discriminate. The text of article 4 which provides for this subject is as follows:

‘The better to secure and perpetuate mutual friendship and intercourse among the people of the different states in this Union, the free inhabitants of each of these states, paupers, vagabonds and fugitives from justice excepted, shall be entitled to all privileges and immunities of free citizens in the several states; and the people of each state shall have free ingress and egress to and from any other state, * * *’

Thus, while power remained in the several states, the boundaries demarking them became, at least for the purpose of the enjoyment of the right here in question, negligible, and the frontiers of the Confederation became the measure of the equal right secured to the inhabitants of each and all the states.

(c) That the Constitution plainly intended to preserve and enforce the limitation as to discrimination imposed upon the states by article 4 of the Confederation, and thus necessarily assumed the continued possession by the states of the reserved power to deal with free residence, ingress and egress, cannot be denied for the following reasons: (1) Because the text of article 4, § 2, of the Constitution, makes manifest that it was drawn with reference to the corresponding clause of the Articles of Confederation and was intended to perpetuate its limitations; and (2) because that view has been so conclusively settled as to leave no room for controversy. Thus *295 in [Paul v. Virginia](#), 8 Wall. 168, 180, 19 L. Ed. 357, considering the operation and effect of article 4, § 2, of the Constitution, it was said:

‘It was undoubtedly the object of the clause in question to place the citizens of each state upon the same footing with citizens of other states, so far as the advantages resulting from citizenship in those states are concerned. It relieves them from the disabilities of alienage in other states; it inhibits discriminating legislation against them by other states; it gives them the right of free ingress into other states, and egress from them; it insures to them in other states the same freedom possessed by the citizens of those states in the acquisition and enjoyment of property and in the pursuit ****135** of happiness; and it secures them in other states the equal protection of their laws. It has been justly said that no provision in the Constitution has tended so strongly to constitute the citizens of the United States one people as this.

‘Indeed, without some provision of the kind removing from the citizens of each state the disabilities of alienage in the other states, and giving them equality of privilege with citizens of those states, the republic would have constituted little more than a league of states; it would not have constituted the Union which now exists.’

Again, in *Ward v. Maryland*, 12 Wall. 418, 430 (20 L. Ed. 449), upon the same subject, the court declared:

‘Attempt will not be made to define the words ‘privileges and immunities,’ or to specify the rights which they are intended to secure and protect, beyond what may be necessary to the decision of the case before the court. Beyond doubt those words are words of very comprehensive meaning, but it will be sufficient to say that the clause plainly and unmistakably secures and protects the right of a citizen of one state to pass into any other state of the Union for the purpose of engaging in lawful commerce, trade, or business without molestation; to acquire personal ***296** property; to take and hold real estate; to maintain actions in the courts of the state; and to be exempt from any higher taxes or excises than are imposed by the state upon its own citizens.’

In the *Slaughterhouse Cases*, 16 Wall. 36, 75, 76, 21 L. Ed. 394, the court, after reciting both the provisions of article 4 of the Confederation and article 4, § 2, of the Constitution, said: ‘There can be but little question that the purpose of both these provisions is the same, and that the privileges and immunities intended are the same in each. In the article of the Confederation we have some of these specifically mentioned, and enough perhaps to give some general idea of the class of civil rights meant by the phrase.

‘Fortunately we are not without judicial construction of this clause of the Constitution. The first and leading case on the subject is that of *Corfield v. Coryell*, decided by Mr. Justice Washington in the Circuit Court for the District of Pennsylvania in 1823.

‘The inquiry,’ he says, ‘is, What are the privileges and immunities of citizens of the several states? We feel no hesitation in confining these expressions to those privileges and immunities which are fundamental, which belong of right to the citizens of all free governments, and which have at all times been enjoyed by citizens of the several states which compose this Union, from the time of their becoming free, independent, and sovereign. What these fundamental principles are it would be more tedious than difficult to enumerate. They may all, however, be comprehended under the following general heads: Protection by the government, with the right to acquire and possess property of every kind, and to pursue and obtain happiness and safety, subject, nevertheless, to such restraints as the government may prescribe for the general good of the whole.’

***297** ‘This definition of the privileges and immunities of citizens of the states is adopted in the main by this court in the recent case of *Ward v. State of Maryland*, while it declines to undertake an authoritative definition beyond what was necessary to that decision. The description, when taken to include others not named, but which are of the same general character, embraces nearly every civil right for the establishment and protection of which organized government is instituted. They are, in the language of Judge Washington, those rights which are fundamental. Throughout his opinion, they are spoken of as rights belonging to the individual as a citizen of a state. They are so spoken of in the constitutional provision which he was construing. And they have always been held to be the class of rights which the state governments were created to establish and secure.’

The controlling influence of the opinion in the Slaughterhouse Cases, as well as that of Mr. Justice Washington in *Corfield v. Coryell*, stand out in bolder relief when it is observed that in the latter case, following the statement of the general principles contained in the passage quoted in the Slaughterhouse Cases, there is found, by way of illustration, an enumeration of particular rights declared to be clearly embraced by the general principles, one of which is described as:

‘The right of a citizen of one state to pass through, or reside in any other state, for purposes of trade, agriculture, professional pursuits, or otherwise.’

[2] Applying these doctrines, let us come to test the soundness of the implications from the Constitution relied upon to establish the absence of all state authority to deal with the individual wrongs complained of, and the possession by the federal government of power for that purpose, and, as pertinent thereto, to refer briefly to the authorities which it is assumed sustained those implications.

Undoubtedly the right of citizens of the states to reside peacefully in, and to have free ingress into and egress from, *298 the several states had, prior to the Confederation, a twofold aspect: (1) As possessed in their own states; and (2) as enjoyed in virtue of the comity of other states. But although the Constitution fused these distinct rights into one, by providing that one state should not deny to the citizens of other states rights given to its own citizens, no basis is afforded for contending that a wrongful prevention by an individual of the enjoyment by a citizen of one state in another of rights possessed in that state by its own citizens was a violation of a right afforded by the Constitution. This is the necessary result of article 4, § 2, which reserves to the several states authority over the subject, limited by the restriction against state discriminatory action, hence excluding federal authority, except **136 where invoked to enforce the limitation, which is not here the case; a conclusion expressly sustained by the ruling in [United States v. Harris](#), 106 U. S. 629, 645, 1 Sup. Ct. 601, 27 L. Ed. 290, to the effect that the second section of article 4, like the Fourteenth Amendment, is directed alone against state action. And this was but a summary of what had been previously pointed out in the [Slaughterhouse Cases](#), 16 Wall. 36, 21 L. Ed. 394, where,

in dealing with the privileges and immunities embraced by article 4, § 2, of the Constitution, it was observed (page 77):

‘It would be the vainest show of learning to attempt to prove by citations of authority that up to the adoption of the recent amendments, no claim or pretence was set up that those rights depended on the federal government for their existence or protection, beyond the very few express limitations which the federal Constitution imposed upon the states—such, for instance, as the prohibition against ex post facto laws, bills of attainder, and laws impairing the obligation of contracts. But, with the exception of these and a few other restrictions, the entire domain of the privileges and immunities of citizens of the states, as above defined, lay within the constitutional and legislative power *299 of the states, and without that of the federal government.’

Nor is the situation changed by assuming that as a state has the power, by depriving its own citizens of the right to reside peacefully therein and to free ingress thereto and egress therefrom, it may, without violating the prohibitions of article 4 against discrimination, apply a like rule to citizens of other states, and hence engender, outside of article 4, a federal right. This must be so, since the proposition assumes that a state could, without violating the fundamental limitations of the Constitution, other than those of article 4, § 2, enact legislation incompatible with its existence as a free government and destructive of the fundamental rights of its citizens, and, furthermore, because the premise upon which the proposition rests is state action and the existence of federal power to determine the repugnancy of such action to the Constitution, matters which, not being here involved, are not disputed.

This leads us furthermore to point out that the case of [Crandall v. Nevada](#), 6 Wall. 35, 18 L. Ed. 745, so much relied upon in the argument, is inapplicable, not only because it involved the validity of state action, but because the state statute considered in that case was held to directly burden

the performance by the United States of its governmental functions and also to limit rights of the citizens growing out of such functions; and hence it also follows that the observation made in [Twining v. New Jersey](#), 211 U. S. 78, 97, 29 Sup. Ct. 14, 53 L. Ed. 97, to the effect that it had been held in the Crandall Case that the privilege of passing from state to state is an attribute of national citizenship, may here be put out of view as inapposite.

With the object of confining our decision to the case before us, we say that nothing we have stated must be considered as implying a want of power in the United States to restrain acts which, although involving ingress or *300 egress into

or from a state, have for their direct and necessary effect an interference with the performance of duties which it is incumbent upon the United States to discharge, as illustrated in the Crandall Case, supra.

Judgment affirmed.

Mr. Justice CLARKE dissents.









All Citations

254 U.S. 281, 41 S.Ct. 133, 65 L.Ed. 270

Negative Treatment

Negative Citing References (4)

The KeyCited document has been negatively referenced by the following events or decisions in other litigation or proceedings:

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Disapproved of by	 1. U.S. v. Guest MOST NEGATIVE 86 S.Ct. 1170 , U.S.Ga. Prosecution for alleged conspiracy against rights of citizens. The United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia, Athens Division, sustained defendants' motions...	Mar. 28, 1966	Case		—
Disagreement Recognized by	 2. State v. Doe ”” 231 P.3d 1016 , Idaho FAMILY LAW - Juvenile Justice. City juvenile curfew ordinance was not unconstitutionally overbroad on its face.	Mar. 26, 2010	Case		—
Distinguished by	 3. People v. Barnes 2017 WL 4639896 , Cal.App. 4 Dist. Autumn Marie Barnes received fraudulent checks in amounts totaling more than \$950, and subsequently pleaded guilty to one count of grand theft of personal property in violation of...	Oct. 17, 2017	Case		—
Distinguished by	 4. People v. Barnes 2020 WL 64677 , Cal.App. 4 Dist. Autumn Marie Barnes received fraudulent checks in amounts totaling more than \$950, and subsequently pleaded guilty to one count of grand theft of personal property in violation of...	Jan. 07, 2020	Case		—


History (2)

Direct History (2)

1. [U.S. v. Wheeler](#)

254 F. 611 , D.Ariz. , Dec. 02, 1918

Affirmed by

 2. [U.S. v. Wheeler](#)






254 U.S. 281 , U.S.Ariz. , Dec. 13, 1920

Citing References (282)

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Examined by	1. BRIEF AMICUS CURIAE OF THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS ¶ Anderson v. Roe 1998 WL 784405, *784405+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 10, 1998	Brief		—
Examined by	2. Brief for the United States ¶ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Appellant, v. Herbert GUEST, et al. 1965 WL 130124, *130124+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 09, 1965	Brief		—
Examined by	3. Appellees' Principal Brief in Response to Appellant City of Memphis' Principal Brief Lakendus COLE, et al, Plaintiffs - Appellees, v. CITY OF MEMPHIS, et al, Defendant - Appellant. 2016 WL 827550, *1+ , 6th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 29, 2016	Brief		—
Disapproved of by NEGATIVE	4. U.S. v. Guest 86 S.Ct. 1170, 1179+ , U.S.Ga. Prosecution for alleged conspiracy against rights of citizens. The United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia, Athens Division, sustained defendants' motions...	Mar. 28, 1966	Case		—
Disagreement Recognized by NEGATIVE	5. State v. Doe ¶ 231 P.3d 1016, 1031+ , Idaho FAMILY LAW - Juvenile Justice. City juvenile curfew ordinance was not unconstitutionally overbroad on its face.	Mar. 26, 2010	Case		—
Discussed by	6. Edwards v. People of State of California ¶ 62 S.Ct. 164, 169+ , U.S.Cal. Appeal from the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Yuba. Fred F. Edwards was convicted of violating St.Cal.1937, p. 1406, s 2615, making it a...	Nov. 24, 1941	Case		—
Discussed by	7. U.S. v. Guest 246 F.Supp. 475, 482+ , M.D.Ga. Prosecution for alleged conspiracy against rights of citizens. Defendants moved to dismiss. The District Court, Bootle, Chief Judge, held, inter alia, that indictment alleging...	Dec. 29, 1964	Case		—
Discussed by	8. Bergman v. U.S. 565 F.Supp. 1353, 1397+ , W.D.Mich. An action was filed seeking to recover damages for injuries sustained by "Freedom Riders." On various motions, the District Court, Enslin, J., held that: (1) the Government...	May 31, 1983	Case		—
Discussed by	9. Petition for Writ of Certiorari ¶ Hinojosa v. Horn 2018 WL 4961443, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Oct. 08, 2018	Petition		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	10. Brief Of Counsel for Appellee, James Spergeon Lackey. UNITED STATES, Appellant, v. Herbert GUEST, et al., Appellees. 1965 WL 130125, *130125+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 06, 1965	Brief		—
Discussed by	11. Brief on Behalf of Petitioners. Hague v. Committee for Indus. Organization 1939 WL 48752, *48752+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 27, 1939	Brief		—
Discussed by	12. Brief for Appellant/Cross Appellee ¶¶ Maryfrances CASSELL, Appellant/Cross Appellee, v. LANCASTER MENNONITE CONFERENCE, Landisville District, Mount Joy Mennonite Church, M. Florence Miller... 1993 WL 13139912, *13139912+ , 3rd Cir. (Appellate Brief)	June 18, 1993	Brief		—
Discussed by	13. Plaintiffs-Appellants' Opening Brief ¶¶ Gail SACCO, Lyla Bartholomae, Joe Sacco, John Brown, Cody Huff, Patrick Band, Robert Edmonds, Individuals; Southern Nevada Advocates For Homeless Peop... 2008 WL 937156, *937156+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 22, 2008	Brief		—
Discussed by	14. Appellants' Opening Brief ¶¶ Bobby Joe JOYCE; Timothy E. Smith; Thomas O'Halloran on Behalf of Themselves and all Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. CITY AND COU... 1996 WL 33489994, *33489994+ , 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 23, 1996	Brief		—
Discussed by	15. Brief of Appellant, P.J. Lumber Co., Inc. P.J. LUMBER CO., INC., Appellant, v. THE CITY OF PRICHARD, ALABAMA, Appellee. 2017 WL 4586370, *1+ , Ala.Civ.App. (Appellate Brief)	June 28, 2017	Brief		—
Discussed by	16. Brief and Record Appendix for the Defendant on Reported Questions from the New Bedford District Court ¶¶ COMMONWEALTH, v. Luis RAPOSO. 2007 WL 5883652, *5883652+ , Mass. (Appellate Brief)	Nov 2007	Brief		—
Discussed by	17. Brief for the Appellant Richard Pacheco COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, v. Richard PACHECO, Appellant. 2009 WL 8385721, *1+ , Mass.App.Ct. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 26, 2009	Brief		—
Discussed by	18. Brief and Record Appendix for the Defendant on Reported Questions from the New Bedford District Court ¶¶ COMMONWEALTH, v. Luis RAPOSO. 2007 WL 4349542, *4349542+ , Mass.App.Ct. (Appellate Brief)	Nov 2007	Brief		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Discussed by	19. Memorandum in Support of Request Summary Judgment for Criminal Act, Constitutional Violation Via Fraud, Extortion and Defraud Via U.S. Mail Complaint ... ¶¶ In Propria Persona All Rights Reserved without Prejudice Edwin V. NASSAR, Plaintiffs, v. Paul MACKAY (De Facto), Defendant Individually. 2004 WL 3333838, *1+ , E.D.Mich. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Feb. 17, 2004	Motion		—
Discussed by	20. Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' FRCP 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss ¶¶ Marlin ANDERSON, Mary Bailey, Matthew Chase, Jack Golden, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF PORTLAND; ... 2009 WL 4896892, *4896892+ , D.Or. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Mar. 30, 2009	Motion		—
Discussed by	21. Plaintiff's Supplement Regarding Constitutionality of NRS 179.490 ¶¶ Salvatore GIANDINOTO, Plaintiff, v. Catherine Cortez MASTO, Attorney General of the State of Nevada; James Wright, Director of the Nevada Department o... 2014 WL 8850012, *1+ , Nev.Dist.Ct. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	May 29, 2014	Motion		—
Cited by	22. Zobel v. Williams ¶¶ 102 S.Ct. 2309, 2323+ , U.S.Alaska Suit was brought by Alaska residents challenging dividend distribution plan as violative of their right to equal protection guarantees and their constitutional right to migrate to...	June 14, 1982	Case		—
Cited by	23. Kentucky Whip & Collar Co. v. Illinois Cent. R. Co. 57 S.Ct. 277, 281 , U.S.Ky. On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. Suit by the Kentucky Whip & Collar Company against the Illinois Central Railroad Company....	Jan. 04, 1937	Case		—
Cited by	24. Ferry v. Spokane, P. & S. Ry. Co. 42 S.Ct. 358, 358 , U.S.Or. Appeal from the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Action by Evelyn P. Ferry against the Spokane, Portland & Seattle Railway Company and another. From a...	Apr. 10, 1922	Case		—
Cited by	25. Lutz v. City of York, Pa. ¶¶ 899 F.2d 255, 265 , 3rd Cir.(Pa.) Action was brought challenging constitutionality of Pennsylvania ordinance outlawing "cruising," which consisted of driving repeatedly around loop of certain major public roads...	Mar. 28, 1990	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> 26. U.S. v. Booker 655 F.2d 562, 567 , 4th Cir.(N.C.)</p> <p>Defendants were convicted in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, W. Earl Britt, J., of kidnapping and carrying away two persons with intent...</p>	July 30, 1981	Case		—
Cited by	<p> 27. Cramer v. Skinner 931 F.2d 1020, 1030 , 5th Cir.(Tex.)</p> <p>Airline passenger brought action against government officials and agencies charged with enforcing Love Field amendment challenging constitutionality of amendment. The United...</p>	May 09, 1991	Case		—
Cited by	<p>28. Worthy v. U.S. ” 328 F.2d 386, 394 , 5th Cir.(Fla.)</p> <p>Prosecution for unlawfully entering the United States without a valid passport. Defendant was found guilty. From the judgment of the United States District Court for the Southern...</p>	Feb. 20, 1964	Case		—
Cited by	<p> 29. Johnson v. City of Cincinnati ” 310 F.3d 484, 497 , 6th Cir.(Ohio)</p> <p>CIVIL RIGHTS - Right to Travel. Ordinance banning drug offenders from drug exclusion zones was unconstitutional.</p>	Sep. 26, 2002	Case		—
Cited by	<p> 30. Hemans v. U.S. ” 163 F.2d 228, 240 , C.C.A.6 (Mich.)</p> <p>Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan; Theodore Levin, judge. Charles R. Hemans was convicted of traveling in interstate commerce...</p>	July 28, 1947	Case		—
Cited by	<p> 31. Doe v. Miller ” 405 F.3d 700, 712 , 8th Cir.(Iowa)</p> <p>CIVIL RIGHTS - Due Process. Residency restriction in sex offender statute was rational way of promoting safety of children.</p>	Apr. 29, 2005	Case		—
Cited by	<p>32. Byrd v. Sexton 277 F.2d 418, 432 , 8th Cir.(Mo.)</p> <p>Action for an alleged civil rights violation because of the imposition of an \$8 annual high school 'enrollment fee' which plaintiff as a pupil refused to pay and for which she was...</p>	Mar. 28, 1960	Case		—
Cited by	<p> 33. Nunez by Nunez v. City of San Diego ” 114 F.3d 935, 944 , 9th Cir.(Cal.)</p> <p>Minors and their parents brought § 1983 action against city and city officials, challenging constitutionality of city's juvenile curfew ordinance. The United States District...</p>	June 09, 1997	Case		—
Cited by	<p>34. Powe v. U.S. 109 F.2d 147, 150 , C.C.A.5 (Ala.)</p> <p>Appeals from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of Alabama; John McDuffie, Judge. Sam B. Powe and others were convicted for conspiring to injure,...</p>	Jan. 17, 1940	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	<p> 35. Hutchins v. District of Columbia ¶¶ 188 F.3d 531, 560+ , D.C.Cir.</p> <p>Minors, parents, and private business brought action against District of Columbia to challenge constitutionality of District's Juvenile Curfew Act. The United States District Court...</p>	June 18, 1999	Case		—
Cited by	<p>36. Zellner v. Lingo 218 F.Supp. 513, 515 , M.D.Ala.</p> <p>Action under civil rights statutes wherein plaintiffs, who participated in freedom walk, sought injunctive relief against state prosecutions. On motions to dismiss, the District...</p>	June 19, 1963	Case		—
Cited by	<p> 37. Nunez v. City of San Diego 963 F.Supp. 912, 923 , S.D.Cal.</p> <p>Minors brought action against city of San Diego, mayor, and chief of police challenging constitutionality of ordinance establishing 10:00 PM curfew for all persons under age of 18....</p>	Dec. 18, 1995	Case		—
Cited by	<p> 38. Daly v. Harris 215 F.Supp.2d 1098, 1115+ , D.Hawaii</p> <p>ENVIRONMENTAL LAW - Parks. Charging non-residents a fee to enter underwater park did not violate right to travel.</p>	June 24, 2002	Case		—
Cited by	<p>39. Eric v. Kansas 2019 WL 5787950, *2+ , D.Kan.</p> <p>The case comes before the court on the plaintiff Mark Eric's response (ECF# 8) to the Magistrate Judge's Notice and Order to Show Cause (ECF# 7). On September 12, 2019, the court...</p>	Nov. 06, 2019	Case		—
Cited by	<p> 40. Dickerson v. City of Gretna 2007 WL 1098787, *3 , E.D.La.</p> <p>IT IS ORDERED that defendants' motion to dismiss (Rec.Doc.21) is GRANTED regarding plaintiffs' allegations of intrastate travel; defendants' motion is DENIED as it relates to all...</p>	Mar. 30, 2007	Case		—
Cited by	<p>41. Ash v. Maryland Transit Administration ¶¶ 2019 WL 1129439, *9 , D.Md.</p> <p>In this disability discrimination case, plaintiff Reuben Ash has sued the Maryland Transit Administration ("MTA," "Maryland," or the "State"), alleging violations of Title II of...</p>	Mar. 12, 2019	Case		—
Cited by	<p>42. Montana Outfitters Action Group v. Fish and Game Commission of State of Montana 417 F.Supp. 1005, 1009 , D.Mont.</p> <p>Action was instituted to challenge constitutionality of big game licensing procedure in State of Montana. The three-judge District Court held that where the opportunity to enjoy a...</p>	Aug. 12, 1976	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	43. Lee v. Walters 2002 WL 31440883, *21 , D.Or. Plaintiffs, Vicki and Darrell Lee, and another individual, Gene Ferryman ("Ferryman"), co-owned a business known as The New Portland Meadows ("TNPM") which had a perpetual lease...	Jan. 30, 2002	Case		—
Cited by	44. Gaffney v. City of Allentown ¶ 1997 WL 597989, *3 , E.D.Pa. Before the court is the constitutionality of a juvenile curfew enacted by the city of Allentown, Pennsylvania ("the City") in June 1997. For the reasons that follow, the court...	Sep. 17, 1997	Case		—
Cited by	45. Bykofsky v. Borough of Middletown 401 F.Supp. 1242, 1254+ , M.D.Pa. Action was brought by a mother and her son to test constitutionality of a nocturnal juvenile curfew ordinance. The District Court, Sheridan, Chief Judge, held that, with deletions...	Aug. 22, 1975	Case		—
Cited by	46. U.S. v. Frederick 2010 WL 2179102, *10 , D.S.D. Defendant, Thomas William Frederick ("Frederick"), filed a motion to modify release conditions, Docket No. 24. In his motion, Frederick 000claims that the statutorily mandated bail...	May 27, 2010	Case		—
Cited by	47. Kreitzer v. Puerto Rico Cars, Inc. 417 F.Supp. 498, 503 , D.Puerto Rico Plaintiff, a Maryland resident, brought diversity action against owners of rental car to recover for injuries sustained in collision between such vehicle and vehicle in which...	June 03, 1975	Case		—
Cited by	48. In re White ¶ 158 Cal.Rptr. 562, 567 , Cal.App. 5 Dist. Petitioner, convicted of soliciting an act of prostitution, brought habeas corpus proceeding seeking to be free from certain conditions of probation. The Court of Appeal, Hopper,...	Sep. 24, 1979	Case		—
Cited by	49. Moon v. Moon 345 So.2d 168, 175 , La.App. 3 Cir. Suit was brought by wife for divorce and for alimony, child support and reformation or supplementation of postseparation community property settlement. The Fourteenth Judicial...	Apr. 13, 1977	Case		—
Cited by	50. Com. v. Weston W. ¶ 913 N.E.2d 832, 841 , Mass. CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Curfews. Six-hour curfew on persons under seventeen years of age was unconstitutional in part.	Sep. 25, 2009	Case		—
Cited by	51. State v. Burnett ¶ 755 N.E.2d 857, 873 , Ohio CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Trespass. Drug-exclusion zone violated due process right to intra-state travel.	Oct. 17, 2001	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	52. Hon. Milton Zechenelly 1976-77 La. Op. Atty. Gen. 294 57-A—JUVENILES 61—LAWS—General 71—MUNICIPALITIES R.S. 23:215; R.S. 23:161 A curfew which absolutely prohibits the presence of minors under 17 years of age on public streets after...	Sep. 21, 1977	Administrative Decision		—
Cited by	53. Brief in Opposition by Respondents American Samoa Government and the Office of Congresswoman Aumua Amata of American Samoa Tuaua v. United States of America 2016 WL 2754087, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	May 11, 2016	Petition		—
Cited by	54. Petition for a Writ of Certiorari Watchtower Bible and Tract Soc. of New York, Inc. v. Garcia Padilla 2015 WL 779547, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Feb. 18, 2015	Petition		—
Cited by	55. Petition for a Writ of Certiorari Watchtower Bible and Tract Soc. of New York, Inc. v. Segardia de Jesus 2011 WL 2618224, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	June 30, 2011	Petition		—
Cited by	56. Petition for Writ of Certiorari ¶¶ Doe I v. Miller 2005 WL 2456034, *2456034+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Sep. 28, 2005	Petition		—
Cited by	57. Brief of Amicus Curiae National Association of Home Builders in Support of the Respondents CITY OF CUYAHOGA FALLS, et al., Petitioners, v. BUCKEYE COMMUNITY HOPE FOUNDATION, et al., Respondents. 2002 WL 32134633, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Nov. 15, 2002	Petition		—
Cited by	58. Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the Fifth Circuit Article III Court of Appeals of the United States of America Kurt Charles GARRISON, Plaintiff - Appellant, v. CITY OF WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS David Bynum, Police Officer, Individually and in his Official Capacity a... 2000 WL 34014092, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Mar. 06, 2000	Petition		—
Cited by	59. Petition Loftice v. the People of the State of Colorado 1989 WL 1174612, *1174612+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Mar. 16, 1989	Petition		—
Cited by	60. Petition Kuzmiak v. Kuzmiak 1986 WL 767295, *767295+ , U.S. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Aug. 18, 1986	Petition		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	61. Petition for Review In re C. P., a Minor. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. C.P., Defendant and Appellant. 2015 WL 11070299, *1+ , Cal. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Mar. 30, 2015	Petition		—
Cited by	62. Appellant's Petition for Review PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. Glen FOWLER, Defendant and Appellant. 2014 WL 10010322, *1+ , Cal. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Dec. 02, 2014	Petition		—
Cited by	63. Appellant's Petition for Review In re: Crystal G., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. Crystal G., Defendant... 2013 WL 7119835, *1+ , Cal. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	Oct. 30, 2013	Petition		—
Cited by	64. Petition for Rehearing on Error Coram Nobis Rex, Michael W. MASON, Rex, Sean W. Mason, Robert E. Mason, Rex, Charles J. Goldmann, Rex, Stephen J. Beattie, Regina, Joan E. Hall, Appellant/Plainti... 1997 WL 33819695, *33819695 , Cal. (Appellate Petition, Motion and Filing)	July 28, 1997	Petition		—
Cited by	65. Brief of Amicus Curiae Cato Institute in Support of Petitioners ¶¶ McCullen v. Coakley 2013 WL 5274827, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 16, 2013	Brief		—
Cited by	66. Brief of the National Conference of State Legislatures, Council of State Governments, International City/County Management Association, and Internatio... McBurney v. Young 2013 WL 390992, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 30, 2013	Brief		—
Cited by	67. BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF HOME BUILDERS IN SUPPORT OF THE RESPONDENTS City of Cuyahoga Falls v. Buckeye Community Hope Foundation 2002 WL 31601679, *1+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 15, 2002	Brief		—
Cited by	68. BRIEF FOR WILLIAM COHEN, MICHAEL C. DORF, GERALD GUNTHER, RODERICK M. HILLS, JR., PAUL KAHN, KENNETH L. KARST, SETH KREIMER, DOUGLAS LAYCOCK, JEFFERY ... Anderson v. Roe 1998 WL 847289, *847289+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 08, 1998	Brief		—
Cited by	69. BRIEF OF THE NATIONAL LAW CENTER ON HOMELESSNESS AND POVERTY AS AMICUS CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS ¶¶ Anderson v. Roe 1998 WL 847330, *847330+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 08, 1998	Brief		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	70. BRIEF OF RESPONDENTS City of Chicago v. Morales 1998 WL 614302, *614302+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 11, 1998	Brief		—
Cited by	71. BRIEF OF CLARENDON FOUNDATION AS AMICUS CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER City of Boerne, Texas v. Flores 1996 WL 686217, *686217+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 29, 1996	Brief		—
Cited by	72. BRIEF FOR THE UNITED STATES U.S. v. Lanier 1996 WL 469133, *469133+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 16, 1996	Brief		—
Cited by	73. Brief for Respondent Lummis CONTROLLER OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA and County Treasurer of the County of Los Angeles, Petitioners, v. ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF TEXAS, et al... 1981 WL 390011, *390011+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 02, 1981	Brief		—
Cited by	74. Brief of Appellees Lester BALDWIN, Richard Carlson, Jerome J. Huseby, David R. Lee, and Donald J. Moris, Appellants, v. FISH AND GAME COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MONTANA;... 1977 WL 189636, *189636+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	May 07, 1977	Brief		—
Cited by	75. Brief of Appellees Baldwin v. Fish and Game Commission of the State of Montana 1977 WL 205177, *205177+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	May 07, 1977	Brief		—
Cited by	76. Brief for the Appellees U.S. v. Price 1965 WL 115365, *115365+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 20, 1965	Brief		—
Cited by	77. Brief U.S. v. Guest 1965 WL 115372, *115372+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 08, 1965	Brief		—
Cited by	78. Brief for the United States U.S. v. Price 1965 WL 115364, *115364+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 09, 1965	Brief		—
Cited by	79. Brief of Appellant Opposing Motions of Appellees to Dismiss or Affirm. Maddox v. Willis 1985 WL 731269, *731269+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 21, 1965	Brief		—
Cited by	80. Respondent's Brief People of the State of New York v. O'Neill 1958 WL 91647, *91647+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 31, 1958	Brief		—
Cited by	81. Brief for Industry Respondents in Reply to Brief for Amicus Curiae. Wilson v. Loew's Inc. 1957 WL 87698, *87698+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 21, 1957	Brief		—
Cited by	82. Brief of Appellant May v. Anderson 1952 WL 82202, *82202+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 05, 1952	Brief		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	83. Brief for the Respondent, Charles R. Ford. U.S. v. Williams 1950 WL 78476, *78476 , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1950	Brief		—
Cited by	84. Brief for the Interstate Commerce Commission Henderson v. U.S. 1949 WL 50330, *50330+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct 1949	Brief		—
Cited by	85. Brief of the Attorney General of the State of California on Behalf of Appellee. Edwards v. The People of the State of California 1941 WL 52965, *52965+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 15, 1941	Brief		—
Cited by	86. Brief of John H. Tolán for the Select Committee of the House of Representatives of the United States, Appointed Pursuant to House Resolution No. 63, A... Edwards v. The People of the State of California 1941 WL 76690, *76690+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct. 08, 1941	Brief		—
Cited by	87. Brief for the United States U.S. v. Classic 1941 WL 76670, *76670+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Mar 1941	Brief		—
Cited by	88. Respondents' Brief Hague v. Committee for Indus. Organization 1939 WL 48838, *48838+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 27, 1939	Brief		—
Cited by	89. Appellee's Brief and Argument. Henry L. Doherty & Co. v. Goodman 1934 WL 31948, *31948+ , U.S. (Appellate Brief)	Oct Term 1934	Brief		—
Cited by	90. Appellant's Brief and Special Appendix ¶¶ Charles WILLIAMS, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. TOWN OF GREENBURGH and Hopeton White, William Bland, sued in their individual capacities, Defendant-Appellan... 2007 WL 6512816, *6512816+ , 2nd Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 15, 2007	Brief		—
Cited by	91. Brief for Plaintiff-Appellant Kendra MUSGRAVE, (Plaintiff) Appellant, v. Hon. Inez HOYS, (Defendant) Appellee. 2004 WL 3511717, *3511717+ , 2nd Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 05, 2004	Brief		—
Cited by	92. Appellants' Opening Brief ¶¶ Maria Guadalupe VILLARREAL; Ana Maria Villarreal, Plaintiffs - Appellants, v. Petra HORN, Port Director, United States Customs and Border Protection; ... 2019 WL 3282901, *1+ , 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	July 15, 2019	Brief		—
Cited by	93. Appellants' Reply Brief ¶¶ MARIA GEORGINA DE LA GARZA GUTIERREZ; Maria Guadalupe De La Garza Montemayor, Plaintiffs - Appellants, v. Rex W. TILLERSON, Secretary, U.S. Department... 2017 WL 3026497, *1+ , 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	July 15, 2017	Brief		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	94. Appellants' Reply Brief  Maria Georgina De La Garza GUTIERREZ; Maria Guadalupe De La Garza Montemayor, Plaintiffs - Appellants, v. Rex W. TILLERSON, Secretary, U.S. Department... 2017 WL 6421776, *1+ , 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	July 15, 2017	Brief		—
Cited by	95. Appellant's Opening Brief  Raquel HINOJOSA, also known as Raquel Flores Venegas, Appellant / Plaintiff, v. Petra HORN, Port Director, Customs and Border Enforcement, Jeff Sessio... 2017 WL 1106526, *1+ , 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 19, 2017	Brief		—
Cited by	96. Appellant's Brief UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff-Appellee, v. Pedro Ivan RIVERA, Defendant-Appellant. 2000 WL 34216008, *34216008+ , 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 21, 2000	Brief		—
Cited by	97. Appellant's Brief Kurt GARRISON, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. CITY OF WICHITA FALLS; David Bynum, Police Officer, Individually, and in his Official Capacity as a Police Offi... 1999 WL 33644067, *33644067+ , 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	May 06, 1999	Brief		—
Cited by	98. Brief of Amicus Curiae National Law Center for Homelessness & Poverty  Prince JOHNSON, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellees, v. CITY OF DALLAS, Texas, et al., Defendants-Appellants. 1995 WL 17846738, *17846738+ , 5th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 21, 1995	Brief		—
Cited by	99. Reply Brief for the Plaintiff-Appellant Rodney Rollins Rodney ROLLINS, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Joseph WILLETT et. al., Defendants-Appellees. 2016 WL 1407685, *1 , 7th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 31, 2016	Brief		—
Cited by	100. Brief for the Plaintiff-Appellant Rodney Rollins Rodney ROLLINS, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Joseph WILLETT et. al., Defendants-Appellees. 2016 WL 359039, *1+ , 7th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 19, 2016	Brief		—
Cited by	101. Appellant's Brief and Addendum ANDERSON-TULLY COMPANY, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Dustin MCDANIEL, Attorney General of the State of Arkansas, Defendant - Appellee. 2008 WL 5611017, *5611017 , 8th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 08, 2008	Brief		—
Cited by	102. Appellant's Brief and Addendum ANDERSON-TULLY COMPANY, Plaintiff - Appellant, v. Dustin MCDANIEL, Attorney General of the State of Arkansas, Defendant - Appellee. 2008 WL 5665515, *5665515+ , 8th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 05, 2008	Brief		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	103. Petitioner's Brief ¶¶ Randy MOSQUEDA, Petitioner, v. John ASHCROFT, Attorney General of the United States of America, Respondent. 2002 WL 32391199, *32391199+, 8th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 26, 2002	Brief		—
Cited by	104. Brief of the East Bay Community Law Center, Public Counsel, and National Law Center on Homelessness & Poverty As Amici Curiae in Support of Plaintiffs... ¶¶ Cheyenne DESERTRAIN, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al., Defendants-Appellees. 2012 WL 3721349, *1+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 16, 2012	Brief		—
Cited by	105. Appellant's Opening Brief ¶¶ Steven KESSLER, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al., Defendants-Appellees. 2000 WL 34217181, *34217181+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 14, 2000	Brief		—
Cited by	106. Appellant's Reply Brief Elisabeth KINDREICH, Plaintiff, Appellant, v. FREEWAY INDUSTRIAL PARK ASSOCIATES; Charles H. Owsley; Konrad Leak; Bond Ranch; C.Dennis Marteeny; Jon F... 2000 WL 34001138, *34001138+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 29, 2000	Brief		—
Cited by	107. Brief of Defendant-Appellee Stewart R. Kirkpatrick Roger William DVORAK, Plaintiff and Appellant Pro Se, v. Jack E. SANDS; Kevin Gillen; Matthew J. Sisler; Daniel N. McClean; Stewart R. Kirkpatrick; La... 1999 WL 33653164, *33653164+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 04, 1999	Brief		—
Cited by	108. Appellant's Reply to Appellee's Brief in Opposition ¶¶ Bobby Joe Joyce; Timothy E. Smith; Thomas O'halloran; on Behalf of Themselves and all Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. CITY AND CO... 1996 WL 33489995, *33489995+, 9th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 02, 1996	Brief		—
Cited by	109. Appellant's Reply Brief CROOKED CREEK PROPERTIES, INC., Appellant, v. Richard ENSLEY, et al, Appellees. 2017 WL 2313052, *1+, 11th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	May 24, 2017	Brief		—
Cited by	110. Appellant's Brief CROOKED CREEK PROPERTIES, INC., Appellant, v. Richard ENSLEY, et al, Appellees. 2017 WL 9833194, *1+, 11th Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 14, 2017	Brief		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	111. Reply Brief of Appellant District of Columbia Tiana HUTCHINS, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellees, v. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Defendant-Appellant. 1998 WL 35244019, *35244019+, D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 23, 1998	Brief		—
Cited by	112. Brief for Appellees Tiana Hutchins, et al. Tiana HUTCHINS, et al., Appellees, v. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Appellant. 1998 WL 35244020, *35244020+, D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Dec. 14, 1998	Brief		—
Cited by	113. Brief for the United States as Amicus Curiae Upon in Banc Consideration ¶¶ Tiana HUTCHINS, a minor, by Julia C. Owens her grandmother, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellees, v. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Defendant-Appellant. 1998 WL 35244021, *35244021+, D.C.Cir. (Appellate Brief)	Nov. 20, 1998	Brief		—
Cited by	114. Appellant's Opening Brief David CAREY, Appellant, v. Carol BLACKWELL, Respondent. 2014 WL 3572064, *1+, Cal.App. 5 Dist. (Appellate Brief)	Feb. 07, 2014	Brief		—
Cited by	115. Appellant's Opening Brief Ronald E. PIERCE, Appellant, v. Nadira ARREOLA, Respondent. 2011 WL 991803, *1+, Cal.App. 5 Dist. (Appellate Brief)	Mar. 04, 2011	Brief		—
Cited by	116. Brief of Appellant State of Kansas, Plaintiff-Appellee, v. Richard Tyrone RUFFUS, Defendant-Appellant. 1995 WL 17146573, *17146573+, Kan.App. (Appellate Brief)	July 13, 1995	Brief		—
Cited by	117. Brief of Defendant/Appellant Heidi Van METER, Plaintiff/Appellant, v. Michael A. UHLARIK, in His Official Capacity as Justice of the Trial Court of Massachusetts, District Court D... 2013 WL 2951307, *1+, Mass.App.Ct. (Appellate Brief)	May 31, 2013	Brief		—
Cited by	118. Brief of the Defendant/Appellant COMMONWEALTH, Appellee, v. John J. FERREIRA, Appellant. 2009 WL 3731746, *3731746, Mass.App.Ct. (Appellate Brief)	Oct 2009	Brief		—
Cited by	119. Brief of the Defendant/Appellant COMMONWEALTH, Appellee, v. Peter JUDGE, Appellant. 2009 WL 411354, *411354+, Mass.App.Ct. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 23, 2009	Brief		—
Cited by	120. Defendant's Brief and Appendix COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, Appellee, v. Claude BOLLING, Appellant. 2007 WL 2912894, *2912894+, Mass.App.Ct. (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 10, 2007	Brief		—













Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	121. Appellant's Opening Brief ¶¶ Eugene W. DONLAN, Petitioner, v. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. 2010 WL 10933583, *1+ , Nev. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 27, 2010	Brief		—
Cited by	122. Reply Brief of the Appellant ¶¶ Jimi ROSE, Appellant, v. ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE CITY OF ALLENTOWN, Appellee. 2004 WL 3735031, *3735031+ , Pa.Cmwlth. (Appellate Brief)	Apr. 20, 2004	Brief		—
Cited by	123. Brief for Appellant In the Interest of: C.R. Appeal of: C.R., Appellant. 2000 WL 34478162, *34478162+ , Pa.Super. (Appellate Brief)	2000	Brief		—
Cited by	124. Appellant Brief on Behalf of Appellant Brenda Ameze UHUNMWANGHO. Brenda Ameze UHUNMWANGHO, Appellant, v. THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee. 2019 WL 4047581, *1+ , Tex.App.-Beaumont (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 12, 2019	Brief		—
Cited by	125. Brief of Amicus American Civil Liberties Union of Washington ¶¶ State of Washington, Respondent, v. L. K., Appellant. 2000 WL 34541054, *34541054+ , Wash. (Appellate Brief)	Jan. 28, 2000	Brief		—
Cited by	126. Opening Brief of Appellant ¶¶ STATE OF WASHINGTON, Respondent, v. Kevin Arther PETERS, Appellant. 2018 WL 6812451, *1+ , Wash.App. Div. 3 (Appellate Brief)	Sep. 10, 2018	Brief		—
Cited by	127. Brief of Defendant-Appellant ¶¶ CITY OF SOUTH MILWAUKEE, Plaintiff-Respondent, v. Todd J. KESTER, Defendant-Appellant. 2012 WL 3537333, *1+ , Wis.App. II Dist. (Appellate Brief)	Aug. 03, 2012	Brief		—
Cited by	128. Complaint For Violations of Civil Rights, Violations of United States Constitutional Rights, Denial of Due Process of Law, Oppression Resulting from C... Jerry VIERRA, Plaintiff, v. Cochise COUNTY, State of Arizona, Officer B. W. Berry as Agent of Cochise County Sheriffs Department, and B. W. Berry, Ind... 2006 WL 4028409, *4028409 , D.Ariz. (Trial Pleading)	Dec. 18, 2006	Petition		—
Cited by	129. Complaint ¶¶ Don HAMRICK, pro se petitioner, v. Alan GOTTUEB, Nicki Fellenzeh Angel Shamaya, Wayne Lapierre, Exec. VP/CEO, Robert Dowlut, Gen. Counsel, Respondent. 2004 WL 2991920, *2991920 , D.D.C. (Trial Pleading)	Nov. 22, 2004	Petition		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	130. Complaint ” Don HAMRICK, pro se petitioner, v. Alan GOTTUEB, Nicki Fellenzeh Angel Shamaya, Wayne Lapierre, Exec. VP/CEO, Robert Dowlut, Gen. Counsel, Respondent. 2004 WL 2991927, *2991927, D.D.C. (Trial Pleading)	Nov. 22, 2004	Petition		—
Cited by	131. Complaint ” Duane MOORE, Plaintiff, v. THE COUNTY OF SUFFOLK, Mark Epley in his official capacity as Mayor of the Village of Southampton, Henry Lemons, Jr., in hi... 2009 WL 1866554, *1866554+, E.D.N.Y. (Trial Pleading)	May 13, 2009	Petition		—
Cited by	132. Complaint ” Duane MOORE, Plaintiff, v. THE COUNTY OF SUFFOLK, Mark Epley in his official capacity as Mayor of the Village of Southampton, Henry Lemons, Jr., in hi... 2009 WL 4993863, *4993863+, E.D.N.Y. (Trial Pleading)	May 13, 2009	Petition		—
Cited by	133. Complaint of Defamation & Ritual Defamation And Violations of State & Federal Antitrust Laws ” Don HAMRICK, pro se, Petitioner, v. Alan GOTTLIEB, Nicki Fellenzer Angel Shamaya, Respondents. 2005 WL 3662996, *3662996, W.D.Wash. (Trial Pleading)	Aug. 25, 2005	Petition		—
Cited by	134. Plaintiff's Surreply to Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss ANDERSON-TULLY COMPANY, Plaintiff, v. Dustin MCDANIEL, Attorney General of the State of Arkansas, Defendant. 2008 WL 7151102, *7151102, E.D.Ark. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	July 01, 2008	Motion		—
Cited by	135. Plaintiffs' Closing Brief Following Trial Manuel VASQUEZ, et al., Plaintiff-Petitioners, v. Tony RACKAUCKAS, et al., Defendant-Respondents. 2011 WL 1159166, *1, C.D.Cal. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Jan. 14, 2011	Motion		—
Cited by	136. Plaintiff's Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Summary Adjudication of Issues ” Adam ALARID, Plaintiff, v. D. MAILLOUX, C. Mayora, Leroy Baca, in his individual and official capacities as Sheriff for the County of Los Angeles, Cou... 2009 WL 4247590, *4247590+, C.D.Cal. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Oct. 15, 2009	Motion		—






Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	137. United States' Response to Defendant Indot's Motion For Summary Judgment EVERYBODY COUNTS, INC., et al., Plaintiffs, v. NORTHWESTERN INDIANA REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION, et al., Defendants. 2004 WL 3999804, *3999804+, N.D.Ind. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Sep. 14, 2004	Motion		—
Cited by	138. Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Support of Verified Petition for Temporary Restraining Order or Preliminary Injunction ¶¶ John DOE I-VI, on their own behalf and on behalf of a class of those similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS; Marion County Sheriff, D... 2006 WL 6019545, *6019545, S.D.Ind. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	June 02, 2006	Motion		—
Cited by	139. Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction Jane DOE, et al., Plaintiffs, v. GOV. ERNIE FLETCHER, et al., Defefndants. 2006 WL 5156699, *5156699+, W.D.Ky. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Oct. 05, 2006	Motion		—
Cited by	140. Plaintiffs' Supplemental Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Under Rule 12(b)(6) Flem BALLETT, et al, v. CITY OF GRETNA, et al. 2007 WL 2605226, *2605226, E.D.La. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	July 24, 2007	Motion		—
Cited by	141. Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Tracy DICKERSON, et al., v. CITY OF GRETNA. 2007 WL 468203, *468203, E.D.La. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Jan. 12, 2007	Motion		—
Cited by	142. Plaintiff Rosetta Watson's Response in Opposition to City of Maplewood's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint Rosetta WATSON, Plaintiff, v. CITY OF MAPLEWOOD, MISSOURI, et al., Defendants. 2018 WL 1638796, *1, E.D.Mo. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Jan. 10, 2018	Motion		—
Cited by	143. Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant City of Maplewood's Motion to Dismiss Her Complaint Rosetta WATSON, Plaintiff, v. CITY OF MAPLEWOOD, MISSOURI, et al., Defendants. 2017 WL 6817207, *1, E.D.Mo. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	July 12, 2017	Motion		—
Cited by	144. United States of America's Opposition to Defendants' Motions to Dismiss the Indictment UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v. William E. BARONI, JR. and Bridget Anne Kelly. 2016 WL 1380882, *1+, D.N.J. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Mar. 11, 2016	Motion		—


Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	145. Memorandum of Plaintiffs' in Opposition to Federal Defendants' Motion to Dismiss ¶¶ Kelsey Cascadia Rose JULIANA; Xiuhtezcatl Tonatiuh M., through his Guardian Tamara Roske-Martinez; et al., Plaintiffs, v. THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA... 2016 WL 11663204, *1+ , D.Or. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Jan. 06, 2016	Motion		—
Cited by	146. Reply Memorandum in Support of Defendants' FRCP 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss Marlin ANDERSON, Mary Bailey, Matthew Chase, Jack Golden, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF PORTLAND; ... 2009 WL 4896893, *4896893+ , D.Or. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Apr. 29, 2009	Motion		—
Cited by	147. Plaintiffs' Response to Defendant City of Memphis' Motion for Summary Judgment Lakendus COLE and Leon Edmond, Individually and as Representatives of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF MEMPHIS, and Robert Forber... 2014 WL 10505558, *1 , W.D.Tenn. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Nov. 24, 2014	Motion		—
Cited by	148. Plaintiffs' Response to Defendant City of Memphis' Motion for Summary Judgment Lakendus COLE and Leon Edmond, Individually and As Representatives of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF MEMPHIS, and Robert Forber... 2014 WL 11099206, *1 , W.D.Tenn. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Nov. 24, 2014	Motion		—
Cited by	149. Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Lakendus COLE and Leon Edmond, Individually and as Representatives of all Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF MEMPHIS, and Robert Forber... 2014 WL 10505553, *1 , W.D.Tenn. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Oct. 27, 2014	Motion		—
Cited by	150. Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a claim ¶¶ BIG HART MINISTRIES, et al., Plaintiffs, v. THE CITY OF DALLAS, Defendant. 2009 WL 5129724, *5129724+ , N.D.Tex. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	July 09, 2009	Motion		—
Cited by	151. Brief in Support of Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and/or Preliminary Injunction ¶¶ Saadiq LONG, et. al, Plaintiffs, v. Loretta LYNCH, Attorney General of the United States; in her official capacity, only, James B. COMEY, Director of ... 2015 WL 10000298, *1+ , E.D.Va. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Dec. 11, 2015	Motion		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Cited by	152. Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Gulet MOHAMED, Plaintiff, v. Eric H. HOLDER, Jr., in his official capacity as Attorney General of the United States; Robert S. Mueller, III, in his of... 2011 WL 13267165, *1+ , E.D.Va. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Apr. 04, 2011	Motion		—
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	153. People v. Barnes 2020 WL 64677, *9 , Cal.App. 4 Dist. Autumn Marie Barnes received fraudulent checks in amounts totaling more than \$950, and subsequently pleaded guilty to one count of grand theft of personal property in violation of...	Jan. 07, 2020	Case		—
Distinguished by NEGATIVE	154. People v. Barnes 2017 WL 4639896, *7 , Cal.App. 4 Dist. Autumn Marie Barnes received fraudulent checks in amounts totaling more than \$950, and subsequently pleaded guilty to one count of grand theft of personal property in violation of...	Oct. 17, 2017	Case		—
Mentioned by	155. United Bldg. and Const. Trades Council of Camden County and Vicinity v. Mayor and Council of City of Camden 104 S.Ct. 1020, 1031 , U.S.N.J. Association of labor organizations representing private employees in building and construction trades filed appeal challenging New Jersey Treasury Department's approval of...	Feb. 21, 1984	Case		—
Mentioned by	156. Evansville-Vanderburgh Airport Authority Dist. v. Delta Airlines, Inc. 92 S.Ct. 1349, 1359 , U.S.Ind. Actions by airlines challenging constitutionality of charges of one dollar levied by a state and by a municipality on persons enplaning a scheduled commercial airliner to help...	Apr. 19, 1972	Case		—
Mentioned by	157. U.S. v. Williams 71 S.Ct. 581, 585+ , U.S.Fla. Jay G. Williams and others, were convicted in the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of Florida, John W. Holland, J., of conspiracy to deprive certain...	Apr. 23, 1951	Case		—
Mentioned by	158. Hague v. Committee for Indus. Organization 59 S.Ct. 954, 962 , U.S.N.J. On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. Suit by the Committee for Industrial Organization and others against Frank Hague,...	June 05, 1939	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Mentioned by	 159. Colgate v. Harvey 56 S.Ct. 252, 259 , U.S.Vt. Mr. Justice STONE, Mr. Justice BRANDEIS, and Mr. Justice CARDOZO, dissenting in part. Appeal from the Supreme Court of the State of Vermont. Proceeding by James C. Colgate against...	Dec. 16, 1935	Case		—
Mentioned by	 160. U.S. v. Gaggi 811 F.2d 47, 55 , 2nd Cir.(N.Y.) In a prosecution for car theft conspiracy, defendant sought mistrial after one defendant had been murdered. The United States District Court for the Southern District of New...	Jan. 21, 1987	Case		—
Mentioned by	161. Cole v. City of Memphis 839 F.3d 530, 535 , 6th Cir.(Tenn.) CIVIL RIGHTS — Due Process. Street-sweep policy for city's entertainment district was subject to intermediate scrutiny for violation of right to intrastate travel.	Oct. 17, 2016	Case		—
Mentioned by	162. Coppedge v. Clinton 72 F.2d 531, 534 , C.C.A.10 (Okla.) Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma; F. E. Kennamer, Judge. Suit by Wilson Clinton, incompetent, and Creekmore Wallace,...	July 28, 1934	Case		—
Mentioned by	 163. Hutchins by Owens v. District of Columbia 144 F.3d 798, 807+ , D.C.Cir. Minors, parents, and private business brought action against District of Columbia to challenge constitutionality of District's Juvenile Curfew Act. The United States District...	May 22, 1998	Case		—
Mentioned by	 164. Hardyman v. Collins 80 F.Supp. 501, 513 , S.D.Cal. Action by Hugh Hardyman and others against Orville Collins and others for damages for an invasion of plaintiffs' civil rights. On defendants' motion to dismiss. Motion granted.	Oct. 04, 1948	Case		—
Mentioned by	165. U.S. v. Trierweiler 52 F.Supp. 4, 5 , E.D.Ill. John Trierweiler and others were indicted for conspiracy to violate 18 U.S.C.A. § 52, and they demur to the indictment. Demurrer overruled.	Oct. 18, 1943	Case		—
Mentioned by	166. Deluca v. Merner 322 F.Supp.3d 201, 205 , D.Mass. CIVIL RIGHTS — Immunity. It was not objectively unreasonable for a reasonable police officer to believe that ordering a person to keep moving was lawful.	June 14, 2018	Case		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Mentioned by	167. Henderson v. U.S. 63 F.Supp. 906, 913 , D.Md. Suit by Elmer W. Henderson against the United States of America and the Interstate Commerce Commission to set aside an order of the commission with respect to dining car service on...	Dec. 17, 1945	Case		—
Mentioned by	168. Fruitts v. Union County 2015 WL 5232722, *6 , D.Or. The Court again considers plaintiff JR Fruitts's claims arising from a Feb. 28, 2012 car accident that resulted in the death of a Union County road worker. U.S. District Court...	Aug. 17, 2015	Case		—
Mentioned by	169. U.S. v. Bailes 120 F.Supp. 614, 626 , S.D.W.Va. Defendants were indicted for conspiring to unlawfully injure, oppress, threaten and intimidate named citizens in the exercise of their rights in violation of civil rights...	Apr. 12, 1954	Case		—
Mentioned by	170. Tobe v. City of Santa Ana 40 Cal.Rptr.2d 402, 418 , Cal. Camping. Ordinance banning camping and storage of personal property in public areas did not impermissibly restrict right to travel.	Apr. 24, 1995	Case		—
Mentioned by	171. Davidson v. Henry L. Doherty & Co. 241 N.W. 700, 707 , Iowa Appeal from Municipal Court, of Des Moines; H. H. Sawyer, Judge. Action by William S. Davidson against Henry L. Doherty & Co., which is the trade-name of Henry L. Doherty an...	Mar. 08, 1932	Case		—
Mentioned by	172. Thiede v. Town of Scandia Valley 14 N.W.2d 400, 405 , Minn. Appeal from District Court, Morrison County; D. M. Cameron, Judge. Action by Louise Thiede against the Town of Scandia Valley and Frank Cameron, and others, as officials of such...	Apr. 21, 1944	Case		—
Mentioned by	173. Lucht v. Bell 8 N.W.2d 26, 28 , Minn. Appeal from District Court, Cottonwood County; Albert H. Enersen, Judge. Suit by Ruth Lucht and others, by Carl Lucht, guardian ad litem, and others, against N. J. Bell as sheriff...	Feb. 19, 1943	Case		—
Mentioned by	174. Allen v. City of Bordentown 524 A.2d 478, 483 , N.J.Super.L. Parents sued to enjoin city's enforcement of curfew ordinance. The Superior Court, Burlington County, Haines, A.J.S.C., held that: (1) curfew ordinance, prohibiting minor from...	Feb. 13, 1987	Case		—
Mentioned by	175. Complaint Anel MANCERO-RAMIREZ, et al., etc., Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF HOOVER, Alabama, Anthony Petelos, Gary M. Ivey, Trey D. Lott, Gene Smith, Mari Morrison, Ja... 2005 WL 4774347, *4774347 , N.D.Ala. (Trial Pleading)	Dec. 29, 2005	Petition		—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
Mentioned by	176. Complaint, Injunction, and Writ of Quo Warranto With a Trial by Jury under the Seventh Amendment According to the Course of the Common Law Leon Gene CLUGSTON, Plaintiff, v. Eric SMITH, individual capacity (personal capacity); and Kevin D. Williams, individually (personal capacity); and, R... 2006 WL 2178180, *2178180, D.Alaska (Trial Pleading)	June 09, 2006	Petition		—
Mentioned by	177. Affirmation in Support of Motion for Reconsideration District Court of Magistrate by the Judge's Ruling with Stay Kendra MUSGRAVE, Plaintiff, v. Lawrence P. WOLF, Esq., Defendant. 2003 WL 25658153, *25658153, E.D.N.Y. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Nov. 03, 2003	Motion		—
Mentioned by	178. Response, of Plaintiff on Motion to Dismiss Filed on Behalf of Defendant Hamilton County Clerk of Courts and and Hamilton County. William POULOS, Plaintiff, v. HAMILTON COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, et.al., Defendants. 2004 WL 2156582, *2156582, S.D.Ohio (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Feb. 20, 2004	Motion		—
Mentioned by	179. Post-Trial Memorandum in Support of Plaintiffs' Request for Declaratory, Injunctive, and Equitable Relief Lakendus COLE and Leon Edmond, Individually and As Representatives of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF MEMPHIS, and Robert Forber... 2015 WL 10321371, *1, W.D.Tenn. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Feb. 17, 2015	Motion		—
Mentioned by	180. Petitioners' Trial Brief Bill T SWEET, et al., Petitioners, v. Maryanne HINZMAN, et al., Respondents. 2009 WL 4464850, *1, W.D.Wash. (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit)	Feb. 06, 2009	Motion		—
—	181. ARE STATE WATERCRAFT INSPECTIONS CONSTITUTIONALLY PERMISSIBLE SEARCHES? 3 Ariz. J. Env'tl. L. & Pol'y 105, 123 In response to the discovery of quagga and zebra mussels in the region, many Western states have developed and implemented watercraft inspection programs to prevent the transport...	2013	Law Review	—	—
—	182. Validity and construction of statutes making conspiracy to deprive or deprivation of constitutional right a Federal offense 162 A.L.R. 1373 The reported case for this annotation is Screws v. U.S., 325 U.S. 91, 65 S. Ct. 1031, 89 L. Ed. 1495, 162 A.L.R. 1330 (1945).	1946	ALR	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	 183. Selective Training and Service Acts 129 A.L.R. 1171 The Selective Training and Service Act of 1940 has been so recently enacted that there are, of course, no decisions directly passing upon its validity, construction, and effect...	1940	ALR	—	—
—	184. Legal Rights of Children s 11:6, § 11:6. Curfews A number of localities have enacted curfews restricting the rights of young people to be on the streets or in public places between certain hours. These curfew laws are typically...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	—
—	185. 18 Mass. Prac. Series s 1.12, § 1.12. Massachusetts Constitution-Generally Mass. Prac. Series A constitutional amendment should be interpreted in the light of the conditions under which it was framed, the ends which it was designed to accomplish, the benefits which it was...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	—
—	186. Modern Constitutional Law s 35:85, § 35:85. Congressional power to protect federal rights, privileges, and immunities Justice Kennedy's opinion for the Court in City of Boerne v. Flores implied that the enforcement role of Congress provided for by Section Five of the Fourteenth Amendment did not...	2018	Other Secondary Source	—	—
—	187. Am. Jur. 2d Constitutional Law s 793, § 793. Proper defendants; government entities that must respect privileges and immunities Am. Jur. 2d Constitutional Law The United States Supreme Court has stated the inclusive principle that all the powers possessed by a state must be exercised consistently with the privileges and immunities...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	—
—	188. Am. Jur. 2d Constitutional Law s 795, § 795. Effect of Article IV, §2 Am. Jur. 2d Constitutional Law U.S. Const. Art. IV, § 2, by giving the citizens of each state the privileges and immunities of the citizens of the several states, places the citizens of each state upon the same...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	—
—	189. Am. Jur. 2d Constitutional Law s 800, § 800. Fundamental rights of state citizenship; activities basic to national livelihood Am. Jur. 2d Constitutional Law Article IV, § 2 protects activities that are "basic to the livelihood of the nation" and that bear upon the vitality of the nation as a single entity. The privileges and immunities...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	—
—	190. CJS Constitutional Law s 1205, § 1205. Article IV CJS Constitutional Law The privileges and immunities guaranty contained in Article IV of the Federal Constitution as originally adopted limits the power of a state to exclude citizens of other states...	2019	Other Secondary Source	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	<p>191. ANOTHER CASUALTY OF THE WAR...VAGRANCY LAWS TARGET THE FOURTH AMENDMENT 26 Akron L. Rev. 493 , 523</p> <p>THE TIME: World War II THE PLACE: Casablanca, Morocco Casablanca is teeming with European refugees desperate for passage to neutral Lisbon -- from there, to America. SCENE ONE: Two...</p>	1993	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>192. NO PLACE FOR CHILDREN: ADDRESSING URBAN BLIGHT AND ITS IMPACT ON CHILDREN THROUGH CHILD PROTECTION LAW, DOMESTIC RELATIONS LAW, AND "ADULT-ONLY" RESIDENTIAL ZONING 62 Ala. L. Rev. 887 , 959</p> <p>Introduction. 888 I. Identifying Unfit Communities. 896 II. Indifference to Community Unfitness in Current Legal Rules. 899 A. Government Control of How Private Parties Use...</p>	2011	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>193. COMBATING THE DRUNK DRIVER MENACE: CONDITIONING THE USE OF PUBLIC HIGHWAYS ON CONSENT TO SOBRIETY CHECKPOINT SEIZURES - THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF A MODEL CONSENT SEIZURE STATUTE 59 Alb. L. Rev. 599 , 670</p> <p>I. Introduction. 601 II. Historical Overview of Sobriety Checkpoint Seizures. 603 A. Purpose of Sobriety Checkpoints. 606 B. Configuration and Operation. 611 III. Obstacles to...</p>	1995	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>194. TRIBAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION BEYOND CITIZENSHIP AND BLOOD 39 Am. Indian L. Rev. 337 , 359+</p> <p>Introduction. 338 I. A Patchwork of Rules. 348 A. Jurisdiction Ends at the "Indian". 352 B. Jurisdiction Extends to "Nonmember Indians". 360 1. Duro v. Reina. 361 ...</p>	2015	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>195. ALL POLITICS IS LOCAL: STATE PREEMPTION AND MUNICIPAL SEX OFFENDER RESIDENCY RESTRICTIONS IN NEW YORK STATE 91 B.U. L. Rev. 1569 , 1596+</p> <p>Introduction. 1569 I. Municipal Home Rule in State Constitutions. 1571 II. A Brief History of Sex Offender Regulation. 1573 A. The Jacob Wetterling Act & Its Progeny. 1574 ...</p>	2011	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>196. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT AT A STANDSTILL? TOWARD THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT TO INTRASTATE TRAVEL 90 B.U. L. Rev. 2461 , 2495+</p> <p>Introduction. 2461 I. The Right to Interstate Travel. 2464 A. History & Precedent of the Right to Interstate Travel. 2465 B. Constitutional Sources of the Interstate Travel...</p>	2010	Law Review	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	<p>197. DUSK 'TIL DAWN: CHILDREN'S RIGHTS AND THE EFFECTIVENESS OF JUVENILE CURFEW ORDINANCES 79 B.U. L. Rev. 415 , 492</p> <p>Between the dark and the daylight, When the night is beginning to lower, Comes a pause in the day's occupations, That is known as the Children's Hour. 'Tis now the very witching...</p>	1999	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>198. CAR CRUISING: ONE GENERATION'S INNOCENT FUN BECOMES THE NEXT GENERATION'S CRIME 41 Brandeis L.J. 1 , 31</p> <p>"Well she got her daddy's car And she's cruising 'through the hamburger stand now Seems she forgot all about the library Like she told her old man now And with the radio blasting...</p>	2002	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>199. REFLECTIONS ON CONSTITUTIONAL INTERPRETATION 1997 B.Y.U. L. Rev. 517 , 536+</p> <p>Interpretation of the federal Constitution must proceed from three axioms; two were forcefully stated by Chief Justice Marshall: "[A]ll must admit, that the powers of the...</p>	1997	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>200. BRUCE ACKERMAN ON INTERPRETATION: A CRITIQUE 1992 B.Y.U. L. Rev. 1035 , 1054+</p> <p>The too familiar vice of the present age is to obtrude as manifest truths, mere fancies, born of conjecture and superficial reasoning, altogether unsupported by the testimony of...</p>	1992	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>201. IT'S NOT BLACK AND WHITE: SPENCER v. CASAVILLA AND THE USE OF THE RIGHT OF INTRASTATE TRAVEL IN SECTION 1985(3) 57 Brook. L. Rev. 473 , 524+</p> <p>The Second Circuit's decision in Spencer v. Casavilla recognized the right of intrastate travel and allowed it to be used for the first time in the context of a civil rights claim...</p>	1991	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>202. INTRASTATE BANISHMENT: AN EXAMINATION AND ARGUMENT FOR STRICT SCRUTINY OF JUDICIALLY AND EXECUTIVELY IMPOSED BANISHMENT ORDERS 57 Case W. Res. L. Rev. 895 , 926+</p> <p>On November 26, 2002, seventy-two year old Marjorie Benner was found naked and dead in the bedroom of her Grays Harbor County, Washington home. The post-mortem medical examination...</p>	2007	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>203. AGAINST AN ACTIVIST COURT 31 Cath. U. L. Rev. 173 , 180</p> <p>'The real issue,' Professor Willard Hurst stated in 1954, 'is who [is to make] the policy choices in the twentieth century: judges or the combination of legislature and electorate...</p>	1982	Law Review	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	<p>204. A SEARCH FOR CONSTITUTIONAL STANDARDS: JUDICIAL REVIEW OF JUVENILE CURFEW ORDINANCES 24 Colum. J.L. & Soc. Probs. 381 , 388+</p> <p>On May 24, 1989, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia invalidated Washington, D.C. Mayor Barry's juvenile curfew ordinance in <i>Waters v. Barry</i>. Mayor Barry...</p>	1991	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>205. A CONSTITUTIONAL FORECAST: DEMISE OF THE "STATE ACTION" LIMIT ON THE EQUAL PROTECTION GUARANTEE 66 Colum. L. Rev. 855 , 872</p> <p>Twenty years appears to be the normal gestation period for a major constitutional re-evaluation. About two decades of internal debate preceded the Supreme Court's application of...</p>	1966	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>206. SOME BASIC CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF ECONOMIC SIGNIFICANCE 51 Colum. L. Rev. 271 , 292+</p> <p>The Constitution cannot guarantee absolute liberty to every individual. We are all restricted in our actions by numerous legal obligations imposed upon us by law and enforced by...</p>	1951	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>207. THE "CENTRAL MEANING" AND PATH DEPENDENCE: THE MADISON-MEIKLEJOHN-BRENNAN NEXUS 20 Comm. L. & Pol'y 117 , 148</p> <p>Justice William J. Brennan's opinion in <i>New York Times Co. v. Sullivan</i> is widely recognized for many reasons, including, as articulated by Professor Harry Kalven, that it put "the...</p>	2015	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>208. DOCTRINAL RECONSTRUCTION: RECONCILING CONFLICTING STANDARDS IN ADJUDICATING JUVENILE CURFEW CHALLENGES 85 Cornell L. Rev. 518 , 585+</p> <p>Introduction. 519 I. History and Development of Curfew Laws. 523 A. Curfew Development in the United States. 523 B. The U.S. Supreme Court Denies Certiorari: <i>Bykofsky v....</i></p>	2000	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>209. OUT OF SIGHT, OUT OF MIND: STATE ATTEMPTS TO BANISH SEX OFFENDERS 53 Drake L. Rev. 711 , 779+</p> <p>We express a desire for rehabilitation of the individual, while simultaneously we do everything to prevent it. . . . We tell him to return to the norm of behavior, yet we brand him...</p>	2005	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>210. JUVENILE CURFEW LAWS: IS THERE A STANDARD? 45 Drake L. Rev. 749 , 765</p> <p>I. Introduction. 749 II. Juvenile Rights. 751 A. States' Interests. 751 B. Bellotti Criteria. 752 III. Constitutionality of Curfew Laws. 753 A. Supreme Court. 753 1. Denial of...</p>	1997	Law Review	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	<p>211. THE CONSTITUTION IN THE SUPREME COURT: 1910-1921 1985 Duke L.J. 1111 , 1162</p> <p>The unprecedented promotion of Associate Justice Edward Douglass White to succeed Melville Fuller as Chief Justice in 1910 marked a significant divide in the membership of the...</p>	1985	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>212. ON THE ROAD AGAIN: HOW MUCH MILEAGE IS LEFT ON THE PRIVILEGES OR IMMUNITIES CLAUSE AND HOW FAR WILL IT TRAVEL? 70 Fordham L. Rev. 187 , 253</p> <p>In 1999, the Supreme Court dusted off an old, neglected constitutional clause, kicked its tires, revved its engine and drove it onto the constitutional highway for the first time...</p>	2001	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>213. NOT IN ANYONE'S BACKYARD: ENDING THE "CONTEST OF NONRESPONSIBILITY" AND IMPLEMENTING LONG-TERM SOLUTIONS TO HOMELESSNESS 2 Geo. J. on Fighting Poverty 163 , 208+</p> <p>Over twenty-five years ago, Connecticut attempted to force indigents to relocate to other states by enacting legislation that denied the poor any welfare benefits during their...</p>	1995	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>214. INCORPORATION OF THE BILL OF RIGHTS: A RESPONSE TO MICHAEL ZUCKERT 26 Ga. L. Rev. 1 , 26+</p> <p>"It is impossible to build sound constitutional doctrine upon a mistaken understanding of constitutional history." —Wallace v. Jaffree, 472 U.S. 38, 92 (1985) Justice Rehnquist,...</p>	1991	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>215. OUT IN THE STREET: JUVENILE CRIME, JUVENILE CURFEWS, AND THE CONSTITUTION 34 Gonz. L. Rev. 267 , 327</p> <p>I would there were no age between ten and three-and-twenty, or that youth would sleep out the rest; for there is nothing in the between but getting wenches with child, wronging the...</p>	1999	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>216. NEXT-GENERATION SEX OFFENDER STATUTES: CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGES TO RESIDENCY, WORK, AND LOITERING RESTRICTIONS 42 Harv. C.R.-C.L. L. Rev. 483 , 499</p> <p>On April 26, 2006, Georgia Governor Sonny Perdue signed the most restrictive sex offender law in the country. Commonly called HB 1059, the measure prohibits all current and future...</p>	2007	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>217. THE TENTH AMENDMENT AMONG THE SHADOWS: ON READING THE CONSTITUTION IN PLATO'S CAVE 23 Harv. J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 551 , 579</p> <p>In Plato's Allegory of the Cave, he describes a cavernous chamber in which men are imprisoned. Although a large fire lights the cave, the prisoners cannot see the light source. ...</p>	2000	Law Review	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	<p>218. MEMBERSHIP HAS ITS PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES: CONGRESSIONAL POWER TO DEFINE AND ENFORCE THE RIGHTS OF NATIONAL CITIZENSHIP 102 Harv. L. Rev. 1925 , 1947</p> <p>The belief that certain rights are necessary attributes of an individual's membership in a political community is deeply rooted in our political tradition. 'The power of...</p>	1989	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>219. ASSESSING THE SCOPE OF MINORS' FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS: JUVENILE CURFEWS AND THE CONSTITUTION 97 Harv. L. Rev. 1163 , 1181</p> <p>Although there is no longer any doubt that children are 'persons' under our Constitution' who possess 'fundamental rights which the State must respect,' it is also clear that the...</p>	1984	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>220. CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - PERSONAL RIGHTS: CIVIL - FEDERAL STATUTE SUPPORTS DAMAGE SUIT AGAINST INDIVIDUAL INTERFERING WITH RIGHT TO PETITION NATIONAL GOVERNMENT. 64 Harv. L. Rev. 329 , 331</p> <p>Plaintiffs' political club scheduled a public meeting to discuss a resolution opposing the Marshall Plan and to forward the resolution, if passed, to the President of the United...</p>	1950	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>221. DEPRESSION MIGRANTS AND THE STATES 53 Harv. L. Rev. 1031 , 1039+</p> <p>Population mobility has always been an American characteristic. And in the last ten years a combination of circumstances, prominent among which have been economic depression and...</p>	1940	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>222. THE "PRIVILEGES OR IMMUNITIES" CLAUSE OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT: COLGATE V. HARVEY 49 Harv. L. Rev. 935 , 941+</p> <p>The recent decision of the Supreme Court in Colgate v. Harvey presents the unprecedented phenomenon of a violation of the privileges or immunities clause of the Fourteenth...</p>	1936	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>223. AFTER MIDNIGHT: THE CONSTITUTIONAL STATUS OF JUVENILE CURFEW ORDINANCES IN CALIFORNIA 24 Hastings Const. L.Q. 219 , 246</p> <p>I. Introduction. 220 II. Juvenile Curfew Law in the United States. 221 A. The Origin and Evolution of Curfews. 221 B. The Scope of Juveniles' Constitutional Rights. 224 C. Three...</p>	1996	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>224. AN EXTENDED PRESENCE, INTERSTATE STYLE: FIRST NOTES ON A THEME FROM SAENZ 30 Hofstra L. Rev. 1133 , 1241+</p> <p>"[P]roperty will [not] be subjected to double or treble taxation. Each state will tax only the capital really employed in it" Contents I. Founder-State Trusts. 1134 II....</p>	2002	Law Review	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	<p>225. 17-06 Immigration Briefings 1, MEXICAN-AMERICANS AND THE SOUTHERN BORDER: SO YOU THINK YOU WERE BORN HERE? PROVE IT!!</p> <p>The uneasy tension between the social and economic strands that have driven immigration policies has been greatly exacerbated after 9/11 by the increased role of national security...</p>	2017	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>226. JUVENILE CURFEW: LEGAL PERSPECTIVES AND BEYOND</p> <p>14 In Pub. Int. 39 , 82</p> <p>The ever increasing problem of crime in America dominates today's political platforms. Politicians are scrambling to show voters that they are aware of, and concerned with, this...</p>	1995	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>227. NUNEZ AND BEYOND: AN EXAMINATION OF NUNEZ V. CITY OF SAN DIEGO AND THE FUTURE OF NOCTURNAL JUVENILE CURFEW ORDINANCES</p> <p>19 J. Juv. L. 84 , 122+</p> <p>The violent criminal behavior of a small proportion of juvenile offenders has created a public perception that serious violent crime by juveniles has reached epidemic proportions...</p>	1998	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>228. CONSTITUTIONAL INTERPRETATION AND ACTIVIST FANTASIES</p> <p>82 Ky. L.J. 1 , 28+</p> <p>The great tragedy of Science--the slaying of a beautiful hypothesis by an ugly fact. Thomas H. Huxley Activist "scholarship" increasingly is divorced from historical fact. A...</p>	1994	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>229. WISCONSIN, A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO INTRASTATE TRAVEL, AND ANTI-CRUISE ORDINANCES</p> <p>78 Marq. L. Rev. 735 , 756+</p> <p>Too many high school kids, it seems, are piling into their parents' cars (or their own cars, in cases of extraordinary good fortune) and driving up and down Main Street all...</p>	1995	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>230. "BUT WHOEVER TREASURES FREEDOM...": THE RIGHT TO TRAVEL AND EXTRATERRITORIAL ABORTIONS</p> <p>91 Mich. L. Rev. 907 , 938+</p> <p>My thinking on the subject of extraterritorial regulation of abortions was sparked originally by two events that occurred about a year ago. The first was the Supreme Court's grant...</p>	1993	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>231. BLESSED BE THE TIES THAT BIND: THE NEXUS BETWEEN NATIONALITY AND TERRITORY</p> <p>56 Miss. L.J. 447 , 477+</p> <p>People are, and remain, a cardinal base of power of national elites. In former times, they have been kept together by invocation and enforcement of group myths such as common...</p>	1986	Law Review	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	<p>232. MANDATORY DETENTION: THE FOURTH CIRCUIT UPHOLDS CHARLOTTESVILLE'S JUVENILE CURFEW ORDINANCE 17 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Hum. Rts. 835 , 867</p> <p>Recently public concern over juvenile crime has escalated. Some studies show juvenile crime has increased while others suggest the opposite. Either way, more and more communities...</p>	2000	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>233. DOES A COMMUTER'S CHOICE OF WHERE TO RESIDE IMPLICATE THE DORMANT COMMERCE CLAUSE? 49 N.Y.L. Sch. L. Rev. 943 , 966</p> <p>Until relatively recently, there was little doubt that nonresident commuters must look to the Privileges and Immunities Clause of the United States Constitution for protection...</p>	2005	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>234. WHY CRIMINALIZE CHILDREN? LOOKING BEYOND THE EXPRESS POLICIES DRIVING JUVENILE CURFEW LEGISLATION 4 N.Y.U. J. Legis. & Pub. Pol'y 175 , 203</p> <p>Juvenile curfew laws are popular throughout the United States. Their popularity exploded in the 1990s when legislatures enacted more than one thousand new juvenile curfew laws and...</p>	2001	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>235. THE LAW OF CHOICE AND CHOICE OF LAW: ABORTION, THE RIGHT TO TRAVEL, AND EXTRATERRITORIAL REGULATION IN AMERICAN FEDERALISM 67 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 451 , 519+</p> <p>In American federalism, states differ among themselves in regulating morally contested issues such as abortion, sexual activity, and the right to die. Because of these...</p>	1992	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>236. THE "ORIGINAL INTENT"-ASPERCEIVED BY MICHAEL MCCONNELL 91 Nw. U. L. Rev. 242 , 277+</p> <p>"[W]e must correct the judgment of posterity by that of the time" Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes Michael McConnell boldly asserts that the scholarly "consensus that Brown v....</p>	1996	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>237. THE NINTH AMENDMENT, AS PERCEIVED BY RANDY BARNETT 88 Nw. U. L. Rev. 1508 , 1536+</p> <p>Randy Barnett laments that the Ninth Amendment "has been so tragically neglected by the Supreme Court over the past two centuries." Not for him vainly to repine; a cure has been...</p>	1994	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>238. TOWARD A CONSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS OF THE RIGHT TO INTRASTATE TRAVEL 86 Nw. U. L. Rev. 820 , 857</p> <p>The right to travel enjoys a long jurisprudential history. Indeed, the American experience is replete with examples of the importance of travel to the establishment of Western...</p>	1992	Law Review	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	239. ACTIVIST CENSURES OF ROBERT BORK 85 Nw. U. L. Rev. 993 , 1040+ Advocacy of judicial activism rules the roost in the cloisters of academe. But ascendancy does not connote immutability. Indeed, intellectual fashions come and go; as a long-time,...	1991	Law Review	—	—
—	240. ROBERT BORK'S CONTRIBUTION TO ORIGINAL INTENTION 84 Nw. U. L. Rev. 1167 , 1189+ Robert Bork's new opus should exert considerable influence on the debate respecting resort to the intention of the framers and ratifiers of the Constitution. It might well have...	1990	Law Review	—	—
—	241. REGULATING FOR SUSTAINABILITY: THE LEGALITY OF CARRYING CAPACITY-BASED ENVIRONMENTAL AND LAND USE PERMITTING DECISIONS 35 Nova L. Rev. 711 , 776 I. Introduction. 713 II. Legal Framework in Florida Law for Ecological and Fiscal Sustainability. 715 A. The Florida Constitution. 717 B. Private Property Rights. 717 C....	2011	Law Review	—	—
—	242. INCORPORATION OF THE BILL OF RIGHTS: A REPLY TO MICHAEL CURTIS' RESPONSE 44 Ohio St. L.J. 1 , 19 Mr. Michael Curtis has performed a service to scholarship by pointing out that my attribution of certain remarks to Senator William Fessenden was wrong, that they were uttered by...	1983	Law Review	—	—
—	243. THE RIGHT TO TRAVEL: BREAKING DOWN THE THOUSAND PETTY FORTRESSES OF STATE SELF-DEPORTATION LAWS 34 Pace L. Rev. 814 , 893+ The vanishing began Wednesday night, the most frightened families packing up their cars as soon as they heard the news. They left behind mobile homes, sold fully furnished for a...	2014	Law Review	—	—
—	244. TERRITORIALITY AND MORAL DISSENSUS: THOUGHTS ON ABORTION, SLAVERY, GAY MARRIAGE AND FAMILY VALUES 16 QLR 161 , 161+ A house divided can sometimes claim virtues and I am on record praising those claims. Five years ago, as a supporter of women's right to choose abortion, I contemplated the...	1996	Law Review	—	—
—	245. CARPETBAGGER BATTLE CRY: SCRUTINIZING DURATIONAL RESIDENCY REQUIREMENTS FOR STATE AND LOCAL OFFICES 13 Rutgers J. L. & Pub. Pol'y 242 , 265+ Senator Ted Kennedy was more Massachusetts than clam chowder. Robert La Follette inspired more Wisconsin pride than Packers football. These favorite sons, and countless others like...	2016	Law Review	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	<p>246. LIBERTY FOR ALL? JUVENILE CURFEWS: ALWAYS AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND INEFFECTIVE SOLUTION 4 Rutgers J. L. & Pub. Pol'y 546 , 575+</p> <p>Imagine the scene: you are sitting in your living room at 11:05 pm on a Wednesday night peacefully reading a magazine. Suddenly, you hear police sirens blaze and a voice muffled...</p>	2007	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>247. A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO LOCALIZED INTRASTATE TRAVEL 43 Seton Hall Legis. J. 363 , 368+</p> <p>I. Background and Case Law. 365 II. Analysis. 377 III. Conclusion. 379</p>	2019	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>248. INDIAN CITIZENSHIP AND THE PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSES OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION: AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE PROBLEMS OF THE FULL FAITH AND CREDIT AND COMITY? 31 S. Ill. U. L.J. 31 , 67</p> <p>Throughout the last century, Indians undoubtedly have often considered themselves to be second class citizens of the United States. This status has been the basis for much concern...</p>	2006	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>249. MR. JUSTICE HOLMES: A DISSENTING OPINION 15 Stan. L. Rev. 254 , 296+</p> <p>This is the concluding half of one Article of a series which will attempt to prove that Mr. Justice Holmes was not, as is widely believed, uniquely responsive to claims of civil...</p>	1963	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>250. DISCRIMINATION IN STATE UNIVERSITY HOUSING PROGRAMS-POLICY AND CONSTITUTIONAL CONSIDERATION 13 Stan. L. Rev. 60 , 78</p> <p>In nearly all major state universities today, substantial numbers of students are obliged to live off-campus in private apartments or rooming houses. Rather than cast these...</p>	1960	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>251. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE MATTERS: THE CASE FOR APPOINTED COUNSEL IN PROTECTIVE ORDER PROCEEDINGS 15 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 557 , 602</p> <p>A conventional reading of United States Supreme Court rulings on the right to counsel in civil cases would lead to the conclusion that petitioners in protective order proceedings...</p>	2006	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>252. CONSTITUTIONAL LAW-PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES-POWER OF THE STATES TO PREVENT ENTRY OF INDIGENT PERSONS 20 Tex. L. Rev. 615 , 619+</p> <p>Defendant, an American citizen and California resident, came to Texas for the purpose of taking back with him his brother-in-law Duncan, a resident of Texas and a citizen of the...</p>	1942	Law Review	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	<p>253. BORDERLINE AMERICANS: RACIAL DIVISION AND LABOR WAR IN THE ARIZONA BORDERLANDS BY KATHERINE BENTON-COHEN HARVARD UNIVERSITY PRESS, CAMBRIDGE, MA, 2009. 367 PAGES, \$29.95. SHADOWS AT DAWN: A BORDERLANDS MASSACRE AND THE V</p> <p>57-DEC Fed. Law. 64 , 65</p> <p>These three books illustrate that, when it comes to controversial policies toward immigration, labor, and crime and punishment, there is nothing new under the Arizona sun....</p>	2010	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>254. HUNTINGTON, NEW YORK'S SEX OFFENDER POLICY AND THE INTRASTATE RIGHT TO TRAVEL</p> <p>26 Touro L. Rev. 145 , 173</p> <p>"The strictest law sometimes becomes the severest injustice." Benjamin Franklin Sex offenders are among the most loathed and detested members of our society. Over the past...</p>	2010	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>255. TOWNS WITHOUT PITY: A CONSTITUTIONAL AND HISTORICAL ANALYSIS OF OFFICIAL EFFORTS TO DRIVE HOMELESS PERSONS FROM AMERICAN CITIES</p> <p>66 Tul. L. Rev. 631 , 676+</p> <p>I. Introduction. 632 II. Historical Background. 635 III. Contemporary Efforts to Expel the Homeless. 645 IV. Constitutional Challenges to Homeless Arrests. 648 A. The Right to...</p>	1992	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>256. INTERNATIONAL LAW AND THE EXPULSION OF INDIVIDUALS WITH MORE THAN ONE NATIONALITY</p> <p>14 UCLA J. Int'l L. & Foreign Aff. 423 , 501+</p> <p>International law does not prohibit a state from denationalizing and expelling one of its own nationals when the individual has an additional nationality. This legal reality allows...</p>	2009	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>257. A TEASING ILLUSION? HOMELESSNESS AND THE RIGHT TO INTERSTATE TRAVEL</p> <p>28 U. Fla. J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 401 , 406+</p> <p>I. Origins and Development of the Right to Travel. 402 II. Pottinger and Tobe. 416 III. Re-Evaluation of Pottinger Under Saenz. 419 IV. Conclusion. 428</p>	2017	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>258. EXTRATERRITORIALITY AND POLITICAL HETEROGENEITY IN AMERICAN FEDERALISM</p> <p>150 U. Pa. L. Rev. 855 , 964</p> <p>Introduction. 856 I. The Presumptive Power of Home States to Regulate Their Citizens' Out-of-State Conduct. 863 A. Early Caselaw. 864 B. Scholarly Restatements. 869 C. Due...</p>	2002	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>259. ENSLAVED CONSTITUTION: OBSTRUCTING THE FREEDOM TO TRAVEL</p> <p>70 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 233 , 275+</p> <p>Does the Constitution protect a citizen's intra-state travel (within a state) from unjustified state prohibition? To date, the Supreme Court has not ruled directly on the issue,...</p>	2008	Law Review	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	<p>260. CITIZENSHIP, POVERTY, AND FEDERALISM: 1787-1882 60 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 421 , 577</p> <p>I. Introduction. 422 II. The Founding. 427 A. Citizenship and Federalism: National Subjectship and Local Citizenship. 429 B. Egalitarianism and Citizenship. 436 C....</p>	1999	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>261. A RETURN TO FIRST PRINCIPLES? SAENZ V. ROE AND THE PRIVILEGES OR IMMUNITIES CLAUSE 2000 Utah L. Rev. 305 , 358</p> <p>For over one hundred years, the Supreme Court consistently has shunned the Privileges or Immunities Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment as a source of substantive protection for the...</p>	2000	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>262. CONSTITUTIONAL IMPLICATIONS OF ACQUISITION-VALUE REAL PROPERTY TAXATION: ASSESSING THE BURDENS ON TRAVEL AND COMMERCE 1994 Utah L. Rev. 1027 , 1105+</p> <p>This Article addresses constitutional issues raised by systems of real property taxation that base a property owner's tax assessment not on the current value of the property but on...</p>	1994	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>263. THE RIGHT TO MIGRATE AND WELFARE REFORM: TIME FOR SHAPIRO V. THOMPSON TO TAKE A HIKE 31 Val. U. L. Rev. 893 , 950+</p> <p>This Article presents two basic arguments regarding the legacy of the Supreme Court's 1969 decision, Shapiro v. Thompson. The first argument is that modern "right to travel"...</p>	1997	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>264. TAKING LIBERTIES WITH THE FIRST AMENDMENT: CONGRESS, SECTION 5, AND THE RELIGIOUS FREEDOM RESTORATION ACT 48 Vand. L. Rev. 1539 , 1633</p> <p>I. Introduction. 1542 II. The First Amendment and the Bill of Rights: Text and the Founding. 1546 A. The Structure of Constitutional Powers: Of Powers, Immunities, and...</p>	1995	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>265. CONSTITUTIONAL LAW--CRUISING FOR A BRUISING--AN ATTACK ON THE RIGHT TO INTERSTATE TRAVEL 36 Vill. L. Rev. 997 , 1017</p> <p>The United States Supreme Court has consistently held that there is a constitutionally protected fundamental right to interstate travel, but has never decided whether this right...</p>	1991	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>266. ON BEING OVERLY DISCRETE AND INSULAR: INVOLUNTARY GROUPS AND THE ANGLO-AMERICAN JUDICIAL TRADITION 48 Wash. & Lee L. Rev. 381 , 418</p> <p>This history goes forward and goes backward, as occasion calls. Nimble center, circumference elastic you must have. Herman Melville '[C]ollectively' . . . is the smudgiest word in...</p>	1991	Law Review	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	<p>267. THE ACTIVIST LEGACY OF THE NEW DEAL COURT 59 Wash. L. Rev. 751 , 793</p> <p>However the Court may interpret the provisions of the Constitution, it is still the Constitution which is the law, not the decisions of the Court. Charles Warren The activist...</p>	1984	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>268. SHIFTING AUTOMOTIVE LANDSCAPES: PRIVACY AND THE RIGHT TO TRAVEL IN THE ERA OF AUTONOMOUS MOTOR VEHICLES 50 Wash. U. J.L. & Pol'y 147 , 169</p> <p>"Travel, in the younger sort, is a part of education; in the elder, a part of experience." Time has proven these words, penned by noted English attorney and philosopher Francis...</p>	2016	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>269. NOCTURNAL JUVENILE CURFEW ORDINANCES: THE FIFTH CIRCUIT "NARROWLY TAILORS" A DALLAS ORDINANCE, BUT WILL SIMILAR ORDINANCES ENCOUNTER THE SAME INTERPRETATION? 73 Wash. U. L.Q. 1711 , 1745+</p> <p>On the evening of September 27, 1994, Terrell Collins, a fourteen-year-old straight 'A' student who had earned a four year scholarship to a Catholic high school, was gunned down in...</p>	1995	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>270. EBOLAMANIA AND EQUAL PROTECTION OF HEALTH CARE WORKERS UNDER RATIONAL BASIS WITH BITE REVIEW 120 W. Va. L. Rev. 575 , 627</p> <p>I. Introduction. 576 II. The Constitutional Sources of Quarantine Power. 577 III. Quarantine's Discriminatory Past and Present. 579 A. America's Historic Quarantine...</p>	2017	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>271. JUVENILE CURFEWS: POLITICAL PANDERING AT THE EXPENSE OF A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT 109 W. Va. L. Rev. 459 , 491+</p> <p>I. Introduction. 460 II. Background. 462 A. History of Curfew Laws. 462 B. Constitutional Challenges. 463 C. Circuit Split Summary Regarding the Fundamental Right to Free...</p>	2007	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>272. HOMELESSNESS: CRITICAL SOLUTIONS TO A DIRE PROBLEM; ESCAPING PUNITIVE APPROACHES BY USING A HUMAN RIGHTS FOUNDATION IN THE CONSTRUCTION AND ENACTMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE LEGISLATION 35 W. St. U. L. Rev. 407 , 432+</p> <p>I. Introduction. 407 II. Homelessness: A Historical Perspective. 412 A. Pre-Colonial England. 413 B. Early America's Experience. 414 C. Post Civil War: Emergence of Modern...</p>	2008	Law Review	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	273. THE JUVENILE CURFEW: UNCONSTITUTIONAL IMPRISONMENT 4 Wm. & Mary Bill Rts. J. 949 , 1003 Faced with rising crime rates, many municipalities in recent years have enacted juvenile curfews. Professor Tona Trollinger uses an ordinance enacted in Dal las, Texas, as a...	1996	Law Review	—	—
—	274. FANTASIZING ABOUT THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT: A REVIEW ESSAY THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT: FROM POLITICAL PRINCIPLE TO JUDICIAL DOCTRINE. BY WILLIAM E. NELSON. CAMBRIDGE: HARVARD UNIVERSITY PRESS, 1988. PP. IX & 253 1990 Wis. L. Rev. 1043 , 1069+ In this look at Professor William Nelson's The Fourteenth Amendment, Professor Raoul Berger takes issue with Professor Nelson's historiographic methodology and questions Nelson's...	1990	Law Review	—	—
—	275. DOWNWARD SPIRAL: HOMELESSNESS AND ITS CRIMINALIZATION 14 Yale L. & Pol'y Rev. 1 , 63+ A city council recently developed a policy that homeless residents "are no longer welcome in the City." City memoranda describe a plan "continually [to] remov[e] [homeless...	1996	Law Review	—	—
—	276. "IF YOU AIN'T GOT THE DO, RE, MI": THE COMMERCE CLAUSE AND STATE RESIDENCE RESTRICTIONS ON WELFARE 11 Yale L. & Pol'y Rev. 147 , 202 'Cross the desert sands they rode, Gettin' out of that old dust bowl, Thinkin' they're goin' to a sugar bowl, But here is what they find: The police at the port of entry say,...	1993	Law Review	—	—
—	277. THE WAGES OF CRYING WOLF: A COMMENT ON ROE V. Wade 82 Yale L.J. 920 , 949 The interests of the mother and the fetus are opposed. On which side should the State throw its weight? The issue is volatile; and it is resolved by the moral code which an...	1973	Law Review	—	—
—	278. VAGRANCY AND ARREST ON SUSPICION 70 Yale L.J. 1 , 14 I often think that a disproportionate part of the energies of our profession is devoted to the semantics of the law. The formulation of doctrine, the writing of briefs, the...	1960	Law Review	—	—
—	279. UNCONSTITUTIONAL DISCRIMINATION IN THE CONFLICT OF LAWS: PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES 69 Yale L.J. 1323 , 1391 Paradoxically, a significant virtue—or apparent virtue—of the law of conflict of laws is a by-product of the worst features of the system. When universal choice-of-law rules are...	1960	Law Review	—	—

Treatment	Title	Date	Type	Depth	Headnote(s)
—	<p>280. LOYALTY AND PRIVATE EMPLOYMENT: THE RIGHT OF EMPLOYERS TO DISCHARGE SUSPECTED SUBVERSIVES 62 Yale L.J. 954 , 984</p> <p>Many private employers have followed the lead of governmental employers, federal, state, and local, and have instituted formal programs for screening their employees' loyalty to...</p>	1953	Law Review	—	—
—	<p>281. EMPLOYMENT-PRACTICES 33 EPD P 34,151, UNITED BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION TRADES COUNCIL OF CAMDEN COUNTY AND VICINITY, APPELLANT V. MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CAMDEN ET AL., APPELLEES., (FEB. 21, 1984) Employment Practices Guide</p>	1984	Other Secondary Source	—	—
—	<p>282. P 68,347 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PLAINTIFF, V. CHELSIE L. BAILES, ET AL., DEFENDANTS. Labor & Employment Law</p> <p>United States of America, Plaintiff, v. Chelsie L. Bailes, et al., Defendants. 25 LC ¶ 68,347. U.S. District Court, S.D. West Virginia. No. 562. April 12, 1954. Duncan W....</p>	1954	Other Secondary Source	—	—

Filings

There are no Filings for this citation.