	Page 1
1	REPORTER'S RECORD
	VOLUME 16 OF 53
2	Trial Court Cause No. 380-80047-01
3	THE STATE OF TEXAS * IN THE 380TH DISTRICT COURT
	*
4	v. *
	*
5	IVAN ABNER CANTU * OF COLLIN COUNTY, TEXAS
6	
7	
	REPORTER'S RECORD
8	VOLUME 16 - VOIR DIRE
	CAPITAL MURDER JURY TRIAL
9	
10.	COPY
11	On the 6th day of September, 2001, from 9:00 a.m. to
	5:30 p.m. the Voir Dire proceedings came on to be heard
12	outside the presence of a jury, in the above-entitled and
	-numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before
13	the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in
	McKinney, Collin County, Texas:
14	
	Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype
15	Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted
	Transcription.
16	
17	LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534
	Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court
18	210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069
	(972) 548-4661
19	
20	
21	
22	2003 Jan. 1 (2017) 1 (2017)
23	
24	
25	City Cliff To Comment

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES 2 ATTORNEYS FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS 3 MR. BILL SCHULTZ SPOT NO. 17841800	Page 4 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (Open court, jury not present.) 3 THE COURT: Back on the record in Cause Number
SBOT NO. 17841800 4 MS. GAIL T. FALCO SBOT NO. 00787450 5 MS. JAMI LOWRY SBOT NO. 24012724	 4 380-80047. The Defendant is present, as is Mr. Goeller, 5 Mr. Schultz, Ms. Falco and Ms. Lowry. Is there anything to 6 take up before we start?
6 7 Assistant Criminal District Attorneys Collin County Courthouse 8 210 S. McDonald, Suite 324 McKinney, Texas 75069 9 Telephone: (972) 548-4323 10 11 ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT 12 MR. MATTHEW GOELLER SBOT NO. 08059260 13 MR. DON N. HIGH SBOT NO. 09605050 14 15 GRUBBS, HIGH, GOELLER & ASSOCIATES 400 Chisholm Place, Suite 400 16 Plano, Texas 75075 Telephone: (972) 423-4518 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	7 MR. GOELLER: I think we're reviewing some of 8 these questionnaires, and I think we have some agreements. 9 THE COURT: Do you want a little time? 10 MR. GOELLER: I think we can get started. We 11 have some announcements on jurors later on in the day. 12 THE COURT: We can do it now, whatever you 13 want. 14 MR. SCHULTZ: It will be right after the first 15 one. 16 THE COURT: Do you want to do it now while 17 we've got a break? 18 MR. GOELLER: Okay. Judge, I think we've 19 agreed to strike Juror Number 58, that would be Mr. Gary 20 Spence, and Juror Number 57, Kim Lien Tran. 21 MR. SCHULTZ: That's correct. And that's a 22 negotiated agreement. Kind of our view on all this is we take 23 one we don't like, and they take one they don't like, but all 24 these agreements are always contingent upon the approval of 25 the Defendant and not just his attorneys.
Page 3 CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX September 6, 2001 Voir Dire Volume 16 VENIREPERSONS Name/Examination By: STATE DEFENSE PAGE V. SPENCE, GARY 14 Excused by Agreement 4 TRAN, KIM-LIEN 14 Excused by Agreement 4 STONE, KELLY 5 56 14 State's Challenge for Cause granted 66 TRUE, CATHY 14 Excused by Agreement 67 ROUNTREE, JAMES 14 Excused by Agreement 67 BLACKWELL, DANIEL 69, 128 120 14 Defendant's Challenge for Cause denied 135 Defendant's Peremptory strike 136 NODDIN, FRED 137 166 14 Excused by Agreement 178 Reporter's Certificate 178 PAGE Reporter's Certificate 180 End of Volume 16.	Page 5 1 THE COURT: So I guess I should ask the 2 Defendant, is that your desire to strike Number 57 and 3 Number 58? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: All right. The agreements are 6 approved. 7 Let's bring in the next one, Billy. 8 THE BAILIFF: Yes, Your Honor. 9 (Venireperson enters the courtroom.) 10 THE COURT: Ma'am, are you Kelly Stone? 11 VENIREPERSON: I am. 12 THE COURT: And you're Number 56. I just want 13 to remind you that I put everybody under oath, and you are to 14 give true answer to the questions for both sides and to me, 15 and I just want to remind you you're still under that oath. 16 VENIREPERSON: Okay. 17 THE COURT: Please be seated. 18 Mr. Schultz. 19 DIRECT QUESTIONS 20 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 21 Q. Good morning, ma'am. 22 A. Hi. 23 Q. My name is Bill Schultz. I'm one of the Assistant 24 District Attorneys representing the State of Texas, and you 25 may recall it's been a matter of weeks now but I gave

And I also say that -- you might recall a couple of 1 the general presentation for the State at the opening of these 1 2 weeks ago when I asked the jury -- this was after you filled 2 proceedings. out the questionnaire. But I asked the jury to take a moment, To my left at this table is Ms. Gail Falco, a chief 3 look at the Defendant -- which, by the way, you can do all you 4 felony prosecutor assigned to another district court but on 5 want here. It's perfect -- it's not like something you're not loan to this court for the duration of this prosecution. To supposed to be watching him, or something. That's all fine, her left at the end of our table is Ms. Jami Lowry, an but you don't have to. Find your own comfort level up there. 7 Assistant District Attorney ordinarily assigned to this court. 8 But I asked the jury to take a moment and look at And I only tell you that, we assign prosecutors to particular 9 the Defendant and realize that it was our position that we courts to service the State's business in those courts, and 10 that could be anywhere from announcements to motions to would prove to the jury beyond a reasonable doubt that the 11 anything that might occur in the courtroom, and this is Defendant is guilty of capital murder in one of the varieties 11 12 certainly, in terms of complexity, probably the most that we've alleged in the indictment. And we further propose 13 to prove to the jury that the special issues should be complicated type of work that we ever do. 14 At the defense table is the Defendant, Ivan Cantu. answered in such a way that a death sentence upon the 15 A. Hi. 15 Defendant would result from this legal process that we have. 16 And I talked a little bit -- I talked actually very 16 Q. And to his left, your right, is Mr. Matt Goeller, a 17 very fine --17 graphically about how it would be, and the fact that this was MR. GOELLER: Good morning, ma'am. 18 not going to be a voluntary execution perhaps; nevertheless, 19 it would be carried out in accordance with our law. And I'm 19 Q. -- practicing attorney in Plano, Texas. He has hoping your take on that was not that I was trying to be another attorney that you may or may not recall seeing, 21 Mr. Don High. And one of the advantages of having more than entertaining or that it was somehow shock-value, being graphic or something like that. I hope what you understood was that I 22 one attorney on each side, other than just there's a lot of 23 material to master and to keep control of, is that people can think both sides owe it to any juror that's going to sit on 24 be in and out from time to time and doing other things related 24 this case to force those jurors to get really in touch with 25 to this case or otherwise, and we still keep moving along. 25 how they feel on this issue, and I think there's several Page 9 Page 7 1 reasons that's important. That's our approach, and perhaps in A few observations before we begin. I have asked a different way it's the Defense's approach, too. 2 this question of all jurors we've examined so far, and it's 3 I think we owe, first of all -- first and foremost interesting, there's some questions you almost for sure know 3 the answer to, but yet you still need to ask it. And my to the jurors because this isn't easy stuff. This isn't like whether you give somebody a certain amount of damages because question is this, when you woke up this morning and realized this is the day you're coming up here to be examined to see 6 their SUV rolled over and they got hurt. While that's whether or not you would serve on a death penalty jury, did important to them, and they may deserve to be compensated, 7 you find yourself thrilled at the prospect somehow that you 8 it's not the same level of human experience, I think, as is could be involved in possibly doing work that would result in death penalty stuff. I mean, it's still money. It's not the 10 somebody's death? Were you excited about that? same as human life, so I think that's important. And I want 11 to make sure that jurors really understand what they're about 11 Q. Okay. And nor should, I hope, anybody take delight 12 to get into. 12 13 13 in that or pleasure. I mean, I can tell you, quite frankly, We do things in our lives, and I don't know about 14 this is not something that I wake up in the morning and say, 14 you, but I know looking back on mine and people I know, we do 15 things sometimes in our lives where we say we're for 15 you know, one step closer toward the result that I may believe 16 will fall from the evidence. 16 something, and yet, deep in our heart, maybe we're not, or we 17 I think most people, when they think of capital 17 say we're against something and deep in our heart maybe we're 18 punishment -- most people of conscience, view it as perhaps a 18 not. And it's almost, like, down the road we wonder, why 19 didn't I stand up and say something about that then? Why 19 regrettable necessity for society. I can't imagine any human being not wishing we had a Utopia where we never needed a 20 didn't I say this isn't how it ought to be? That can be a lot death penalty, or we didn't even need prisons. What a great 21 of things. I mean, people go in the military, and they wonder place it would be if we didn't have crime and have to deal 22 why did I volunteer for this? I knew it wasn't me when I did with that problem, just like other things in our society we 23 that. I knew I didn't belong in the -- you know, why did I do

Page 8

24 that? People get married that way. How in the world did I 25 get here? They wake up one moring thinking how did this

Page 6

24 wish we didn't have, and we do the best we can trying to make

25 it better for those of us who don't break the law.

- 1 happen? I knew better when I did it. People take jobs they
- don't want. They move places sometimes they just know, and l 2
- 3 don't want somebody on a jury not having some good idea about
- how he or she feels about the death penalty because you've got
- a long life ahead of you, and you've got to be able to look
- back on this, not with pleasure, but with self-respect.
- 6
- Twelve people got to come out of here, whatever happens, 7
- respecting the fact that they did a hard job. Does that make
- 9 sense to you?

10

A. Uh-huh.

- Q. All right. You seem to be a person that's done 11
- 12 some thinking about this in your life because I noted on your
- questionnaire that you indicated you might have had different 13
- opinions at a younger age about the death penalty, and 14
- probably that's true for many of us. 15
- Many times I think we start out being more 16
- idealistic than we get as we go through life and have our 17
- disillusionment or have our surprises or understand people 18
- 19 better than we do when we're 17, for example. But you
- indicated that when you were younger you were opposed to the
- death penalty; is that correct? 21
- A. Uh-buh. 22
- Q. Tell me, first of all, if you would, how did you 23
- 24 find yourself even thinking about that issue enough to have an
- 25 opinion on it one way or another?

A. When I was younger? 1

- 2 Q. Uh-huh.
- 3 A. I think it had to do with the religion that I was.
- I was raised Church of Christ, and that is just completely 4
- against death penalty, and so I fell in line with that 5
- thinking and just did not believe in it under any 6
- circumstance. 7
- O. You know, there are people that are for the death 8
- penalty. There are people that are kind of in the middle, and 9
- they don't -- I guess it's okay, but I never really thought of 10
- it, and there are people that are against it. And I guess
- there are -- at those extremes there are people at both
- ends -- ends of all that. 13
- 14 But I think the religious aspect is the one that we
- 15 hear most frequently for people who are opposed to it. I
- think when you were younger, I think you're right in line. I 16
- was not aware that the Church of Christ had a position on 17
- that, but I can see how that happened. I know other faiths 18
- do. I know the Roman Catholic Church is, if not doctrinally 19
- opposed to the death penalty per se, certainly takes a pretty 20
- strong position on the subject. And I know that the Society
- 21 of Friends, Quakers, take an official position against the
- death penalty, and I didn't know about the Church of Christ, 23
- 24 and I suspect there are other religions.

25

I have a sense, without knowing, that there are some

Page 12

- eastern religions that probably do. I have a sense without
- knowing, for example, that the Buddhist Church is officially
- against, to the extent there is an official church in
- Buddhism.
- 5 But be that as it may, in our society it is
- possible for our church to be against something, and yet
- either we disagree with the church and still find ourselves
- within that church, or in the alternative, we just disregard
- it and go ahead, anyway. Do you feel like it would be
- possible for you to be a member of the church and the church
- 11 have a position on a certain thing, and yet still you would
- make the decision that either you didn't agree with the
- church, or I agree with the church in principle but that
- doesn't quite work in my situation, and I have to do what I
- believe. Are you that kind of person?

16 A. Uh-huh. Yes.

- 17 Q. Let me explain something to you. You might notice
- 18 and you might hear that there's a person right next to you
- who's typing, or taking all this information down. Virtually
- every word that's said in this trial from the "good morning,
- 21 everybody" by the Judge, to the "we're going to recess for the
- 22 afternoon or the evening," virtually every word is taken down
- and has to be. So sometimes even though you and I are
- 24 perfectly communicating if you were to nod your head yes or
- 25 no, please don't think I'm being demanding if I say, well, I

Page 13

- need to get an answer from you, because it's very hard for the
- 2 court reporter to take down a nod of the head. It's
- interesting. Some will. If they can understand that it's an
- affirmative nod, they will note in the record "nods
- affirmatively." And other reporters will take the position,
- 6 if it's not spoken, it doesn't exist. And so, without having
- to worry about that or whether the nod was noticed by the
- reporter, I'll just say I need an answer yes or no. It's not
- rudeness, and I'm not trying to be difficult. It's just

- everything we do is highly regulated in this trial.
- And why I ask you those questions about could you
- 12 disregard church teachings, the Roman Catholic Church
- certainly has official positions, for example, on a number of
- things that may seem incompatible with American life. I don't
- know how much you pay attention to that or if it's of interest
- to you, but the death penalty is certainly one that a majority
- of the American people seem to favor having a death penalty.
- And yet, the Roman Catholic Church at least seems to disfavor, although I don't know -- I'm not a Catholic, so I don't know
- exactly what that means and what that might mean for a Roman
- Catholic to disregard that from jury service.
- 22 I know that most churches take the position that
- what we're doing in a courtroom is not religious work. I
- mean, almost the idea of separation of church and state, and
- 25 it would be a very unusual faith that would somehow say that

1	it's you're supposed to disregard the laws of the state of) '	they say, wen, that means I can't carry a riffe and go to
2	the nation in favor of the religion, because there are many	2	combat, and that's how we get conscientious objectors and
3	things in Scripture that say just the opposite. There are two	3	that sort of thing, passivists, the kind of people that they
4	things going on; there's God's law and there's man's law and	4	say it doesn't say otherwise.
5	people's law, I guess. And you got to do it differently.	5	And most of us think it's okay to kill in
6	Do you still attend a church?	6	self-defense if you've got to. You're home tonight and
7	A. No.	7	minding your own business, and the next thing you know here
8	Q. And I apologize for even asking this. Ordinarily,	8	comes an intruder coming in to do you harm or death or
9	it's none of my business, and but only because of how much	9	something like that. Most of us feel that it is moral and
10	religion interplays with the death penalty and that whole	10	acceptable to not give up our life to avoid taking somebody
11		11	else's, when we're minding our own business and he's not. And
12	you a little bit about where you are in that and how that	12	yet, it doesn't say that in the Bible.
l	occurred, because it sounds like religion played a part in	13	It just says as a matter of fact, it's worse than
14	your earlier views on the death penalty.	14	that. It says not only does it not say you can't kill in
15		15	self-defense, I mean some people say that turn the other cheek
•	involvement with the church?	16	concept kind of means you've got to let him kill you. I mean,
17		17	it's almost like a guy slaps me in the face. Most of us think
18		18	we're supposed to slap him back, you know? But maybe the
19		19	Bible says let him hit you again kind of thing until he gets
20		1	tired.
	military, something like that.	21	And it doesn't say anything in there about
22		22	
	a sense that maybe you viewed the Church of Christ in many	23	
	ways as a little too controlling or sometimes when you were	24	
	growing up in it, or am I right about that?	25	been hurt, and you end up making a mistake and you kill him,
	6-1 6-1		
			_
	Page 15	1	Page 17
1	Page 15 A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they	1	Page 17 like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt
		1	
2	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they	2	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt
2	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of	2 3	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder
2	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of	2 3	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill.
2 3 4 5	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of	2 3 4 5	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder
2 3 4 5 6	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own,	2 3 4 5 6	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's
2 3 4 5 6	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to	2 3 4 5 6	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit.	2 3 4 5 6 7	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit. Q. That's sort of an example of what I'm talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look at all that differently.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit. Q. That's sort of an example of what I'm talking about. It sounds like you ended up having to make a personal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look at all that differently. And other people, they say, well, that's all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit. Q. That's sort of an example of what I'm talking about. It sounds like you ended up having to make a personal choice to split from the teachings, at least from that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look at all that differently. And other people, they say, well, that's all historical, because they had executions back when the Ten
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit. Q. That's sort of an example of what I'm talking about. It sounds like you ended up having to make a personal choice to split from the teachings, at least from that particular church, if not the entire Church of Christ —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look at all that differently. And other people, they say, well, that's all historical, because they had executions back when the Ten Commandments were revealed and read that Old Testament stuff.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit. Q. That's sort of an example of what I'm talking about. It sounds like you ended up having to make a personal choice to split from the teachings, at least from that particular church, if not the entire Church of Christ— A. Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look at all that differently. And other people, they say, well, that's all historical, because they had executions back when the Ten Commandments were revealed and read that Old Testament stuff. They're always talking about killing somebody for this or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit. Q. That's sort of an example of what I'm talking about. It sounds like you ended up having to make a personal choice to split from the teachings, at least from that particular church, if not the entire Church of Christ A. Uh-huh. Q organization.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look at all that differently. And other people, they say, well, that's all historical, because they had executions back when the Ten Commandments were revealed and read that Old Testament stuff. They're always talking about killing somebody for this or that, and you stone them to death if they do this, or you crush them or you burn them. There's all that stuff in the Bible. So, it's all pretty confusing, and I guess it ends up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit. Q. That's sort of an example of what I'm talking about. It sounds like you ended up having to make a personal choice to split from the teachings, at least from that particular church, if not the entire Church of Christ— A. Uh-huh. Q. — organization. If you read — if you read the Bible, I guess	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look at all that differently. And other people, they say, well, that's all historical, because they had executions back when the Ten Commandments were revealed and read that Old Testament stuff. They're always talking about killing somebody for this or that, and you stone them to death if they do this, or you crush them or you burn them. There's all that stuff in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit. Q. That's sort of an example of what I'm talking about. It sounds like you ended up having to make a personal choice to split from the teachings, at least from that particular church, if not the entire Church of Christ— A. Uh-huh. Q. — organization. If you read — if you read the Bible, I guess it's — I guess it's clear, at least clear as mud, that we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look at all that differently. And other people, they say, well, that's all historical, because they had executions back when the Ten Commandments were revealed and read that Old Testament stuff. They're always talking about killing somebody for this or that, and you stone them to death if they do this, or you crush them or you burn them. There's all that stuff in the Bible. So, it's all pretty confusing, and I guess it ends up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit. Q. That's sort of an example of what I'm talking about. It sounds like you ended up having to make a personal choice to split from the teachings, at least from that particular church, if not the entire Church of Christ— A. Uh-huh. Q organization. If you read if you read the Bible, I guess it's I guess it's clear, at least clear as mud, that we're not supposed to be killing as human beings because and it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look at all that differently. And other people, they say, well, that's all historical, because they had executions back when the Ten Commandments were revealed and read that Old Testament stuff. They're always talking about killing somebody for this or that, and you stone them to death if they do this, or you crush them or you burn them. There's all that stuff in the Bible. So, it's all pretty confusing, and I guess it ends up to many people the Biblical teaching might just depend on who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit. Q. That's sort of an example of what I'm talking about. It sounds like you ended up having to make a personal choice to split from the teachings, at least from that particular church, if not the entire Church of Christ A. Uh-huh. Q organization. If you read if you read the Bible, I guess it's I guess it's clear, at least clear as mud, that we're not supposed to be killing as human beings because and it's kind of funny because there's nothing in there's nothing in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look at all that differently. And other people, they say, well, that's all historical, because they had executions back when the Ten Commandments were revealed and read that Old Testament stuff. They're always talking about killing somebody for this or that, and you stone them to death if they do this, or you crush them or you burn them. There's all that stuff in the Bible. So, it's all pretty confusing, and I guess it ends up to many people the Biblical teaching might just depend on who you're listening to or what you're reading sometimes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit. Q. That's sort of an example of what I'm talking about. It sounds like you ended up having to make a personal choice to split from the teachings, at least from that particular church, if not the entire Church of Christ A. Uh-huh. Q organization. If you read if you read the Bible, I guess it's I guess it's clear, at least clear as mud, that we're not supposed to be killing as human beings because and it's kind of funny because there's nothing in there's nothing in there that has any exceptions, even though we seem, as people,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look at all that differently. And other people, they say, well, that's all historical, because they had executions back when the Ten Commandments were revealed and read that Old Testament stuff. They're always talking about killing somebody for this or that, and you stone them to death if they do this, or you crush them or you burn them. There's all that stuff in the Bible. So, it's all pretty confusing, and I guess it ends up to many people the Biblical teaching might just depend on who you're listening to or what you're reading sometimes. You've indicated now, however, that you are not in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit. Q. That's sort of an example of what I'm talking about. It sounds like you ended up having to make a personal choice to split from the teachings, at least from that particular church, if not the entire Church of Christ A. Uh-huh. Q organization. If you read if you read the Bible, I guess it's I guess it's clear, at least clear as mud, that we're not supposed to be killing as human beings because and it's kind of funny because there's nothing in there's nothing in there that has any exceptions, even though we seem, as people, to recognize certain exceptions to that rule. An example is we know they were having wars back when the Ten Commandments were revealed. We know that as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look at all that differently. And other people, they say, well, that's all historical, because they had executions back when the Ten Commandments were revealed and read that Old Testament stuff. They're always talking about killing somebody for this or that, and you stone them to death if they do this, or you crush them or you burn them. There's all that stuff in the Bible. So, it's all pretty confusing, and I guess it ends up to many people the Biblical teaching might just depend on who you're listening to or what you're reading sometimes. You've indicated now, however, that you are not in favor of the death penalty. At least on your questionnaire you circled, when given the choice of yes or no, you circled cannot say for ceratin that I would not that I would or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit. Q. That's sort of an example of what I'm talking about. It sounds like you ended up having to make a personal choice to split from the teachings, at least from that particular church, if not the entire Church of Christ A. Uh-huh. Q organization. If you read if you read the Bible, I guess it's I guess it's clear, at least clear as mud, that we're not supposed to be killing as human beings because and it's kind of funny because there's nothing in there's nothing in there that has any exceptions, even though we seem, as people, to recognize certain exceptions to that rule. An example is we know they were having wars back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look at all that differently. And other people, they say, well, that's all historical, because they had executions back when the Ten Commandments were revealed and read that Old Testament stuff. They're always talking about killing somebody for this or that, and you stone them to death if they do this, or you crush them or you burn them. There's all that stuff in the Bible. So, it's all pretty confusing, and I guess it ends up to many people the Biblical teaching might just depend on who you're listening to or what you're reading sometimes. You've indicated now, however, that you are not in favor of the death penalty. At least on your questionnaire you circled, when given the choice of yes or no, you circled cannot say for ceratin that I would not that I would or would not be in favor. It depends on the circumstances, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit. Q. That's sort of an example of what I'm talking about. It sounds like you ended up having to make a personal choice to split from the teachings, at least from that particular church, if not the entire Church of Christ— A. Uh-huh. Q organization. If you read if you read the Bible, I guess it's I guess it's clear, at least clear as mud, that we're not supposed to be killing as human beings because and it's kind of funny because there's nothing in there's nothing in there that has any exceptions, even though we seem, as people, to recognize certain exceptions to that rule. An example is we know they were having wars back when the Ten Commandments were revealed. We know that as a matter of fact, that was about half the war going on at the time with Moses and all these people, so we know that. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look at all that differently. And other people, they say, well, that's all historical, because they had executions back when the Ten Commandments were revealed and read that Old Testament stuff. They're always talking about killing somebody for this or that, and you stone them to death if they do this, or you crush them or you burn them. There's all that stuff in the Bible. So, it's all pretty confusing, and I guess it ends up to many people the Biblical teaching might just depend on who you're listening to or what you're reading sometimes. You've indicated now, however, that you are not in favor of the death penalty. At least on your questionnaire you circled, when given the choice of yes or no, you circled cannot say for ceratin that I would not that I would or would not be in favor. It depends on the circumstances, and I learn more I lean more towards not being in favor of it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit. Q. That's sort of an example of what I'm talking about. It sounds like you ended up having to make a personal choice to split from the teachings, at least from that particular church, if not the entire Church of Christ A. Uh-huh. Q organization. If you read if you read the Bible, I guess it's I guess it's clear, at least clear as mud, that we're not supposed to be killing as human beings because and it's kind of funny because there's nothing in there's nothing in there that has any exceptions, even though we seem, as people, to recognize certain exceptions to that rule. An example is we know they were having wars back when the Ten Commandments were revealed. We know that as a matter of fact, that was about half the war going on at the time with Moses and all these people, so we know that. And yet, it doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except in wartime.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look at all that differently. And other people, they say, well, that's all historical, because they had executions back when the Ten Commandments were revealed and read that Old Testament stuff. They're always talking about killing somebody for this or that, and you stone them to death if they do this, or you crush them or you burn them. There's all that stuff in the Bible. So, it's all pretty confusing, and I guess it ends up to many people the Biblical teaching might just depend on who you're listening to or what you're reading sometimes. You've indicated now, however, that you are not in favor of the death penalty. At least on your questionnaire you circled, when given the choice of yes or no, you circled cannot say for ceratin that I would not that I would or would not be in favor. It depends on the circumstances, and I learn more I lean more towards not being in favor of it. And that's perfectly reasonable. First of all,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. No. I still believe in the teachings that they teach. I chose to stop going because it was an incident that I had during a divorce that was a Biblical divorce that they did not support me in. So at that point, I kind of re-examined. Maybe I need to figure things out on my own, instead of just going by what they're teaching me. I need to figure it out on my own, so that's why I quit. Q. That's sort of an example of what I'm talking about. It sounds like you ended up having to make a personal choice to split from the teachings, at least from that particular church, if not the entire Church of Christ— A. Uh-huh. Q organization. If you read if you read the Bible, I guess it's I guess it's clear, at least clear as mud, that we're not supposed to be killing as human beings because and it's kind of funny because there's nothing in there's nothing in there that has any exceptions, even though we seem, as people, to recognize certain exceptions to that rule. An example is we know they were having wars back when the Ten Commandments were revealed. We know that as a matter of fact, that was about half the war going on at the time with Moses and all these people, so we know that. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	like happens sometimes regrettably. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill, except accidentally. I mean, it doesn't say any of those kinds of things. It simply says thou shalt not kill. It doesn't say thou shalt not kill except in a capital murder case. There's nothing that says it's okay to kill if it's part of the legal process with trials and lawyers and defendants and that sort of thing. So, different people look at all that differently. And other people, they say, well, that's all historical, because they had executions back when the Ten Commandments were revealed and read that Old Testament stuff. They're always talking about killing somebody for this or that, and you stone them to death if they do this, or you crush them or you burn them. There's all that stuff in the Bible. So, it's all pretty confusing, and I guess it ends up to many people the Biblical teaching might just depend on who you're listening to or what you're reading sometimes. You've indicated now, however, that you are not in favor of the death penalty. At least on your questionnaire you circled, when given the choice of yes or no, you circled cannot say for ceratin that I would not that I would or would not be in favor. It depends on the circumstances, and I learn more I lean more towards not being in favor of it.

Page 14 1 it's -- you're supposed to disregard the laws of the state or Page 16

1 they say, well, that means I can't carry a rifle and go to

Page 18 1 Texas or what the rules are. When you answered that, that was 1 we don't care about fellow human beings, we don't want to go 2 kind of -- I look at this survey as just almost like a what 2 to jail and have to pay fines and have our jobs messed up and 3 are your attitudes on all kinds of things? How do you feel all that sort of thing. And so they say, well, the reasons 4 about, maybe, gun control? How do you feel about the criminal 5 justice system in general? What do you think about 6 prosecutors? What do you think about defense attorneys? Do 7 people have too many rights? It's never a question of will 8 you follow the law if you're put on the jury? It's just a what-do-you-think kind of question. 10 And I use that as an example because some people 11 were asked, do you think criminals have too many rights in our 12 society? And they say, yeah, I think so. I think they get --12 13 I think sometimes we spend so much time wringing our hands 14 over these trial proceedings that we end up almost looking

4 for DWIs, which doesn't require intent. The driving while 5 intoxicated defendant probably doesn't get in his car and say who can I go out and hurt tonight? They're not thinking. 7 They're not paying attention. They've got to drink all the 8 time, and sometimes they've got to drive before they do their 9 drinking, and then they figure they're okay or the odds are 10 fine, or they're in denial about how much they're drinking, 11 all those kinds of things. They drive home. Some jurors say it's absolutely wrong to put 13 somebody like that in prison because it's not a regular kind 14 of crime. They're not looking to hurt anybody. They're sick. 15 like we lose our resolve. And then if they end up being 15 They've got a sickness, alcoholism, and it's almost like 16 convicted, they get to watch TV, and they get movies and they 16 putting somebody in jail because they have tuberculosis, or --17 get, you know, this and that when they're in prison. And many 17 I don't know -- some kind of disease that nobody knows quite 18 how they caught and that disease has a lot of symptoms. It's 18 people say, yeah, I think -- since you asked me, I think 19 criminals have too many rights in our society. I work hard to 19 kind of like that. And if you ask them, well, since you think 20 prison isn't the right way our society is going, could you sit 20 do right, and then I got to support criminals, too. 21 on a jury where you have to decide punishment that could And that's okay. That doesn't make you an unfair 22 juror as long as what you believe in your -- as an attitudes 22 result in prison for somebody driving while intoxicated? 23 doesn't prevent you from fairly measuring the evidence later 23 They'll say, well, yeah, I could. Just because I don't think 24 on. Does that make sense to you? 24 the law is exactly the right way, I don't have such a passion 25 for it that's it's going to interfere with my ability to A. Uh-huh, yes. Page 19 Page 21

- Q. And the same thing with having -- not being --
- 2 leaning against the death penalty, or not being in favor of
- 3 the death penalty. It seems, at first, that you'd say, well,
- 4 how could I be a qualified juror if I'm up here telling
- 5 everybody that I'm leaning against the death penalty because
- 6 it sounds like then I'm not a fair juror. This is America.
- 7 You can have your opinions and attitudes about anything, and
- 8 if people don't respect them, they don't have a right. They
- don't respect the freedom to have attitudes, however you want
- 10 them, they're missing the whole point.
- What a juror needs to have is a willingness and an 11
- 12 ability to measure the evidence and apply the law that the
- 13 judge says relates to that evidence, and that means even if
- 14 you don't like all the law that you still are able to apply it
- 15 correctly. Are you with me on that?
- 16 A. Uh-huh.

21

25

1

- 17 Q. I like to use the example of driving while
- 18 intoxicated cases. Not always, but many times when people
- 19 have DWIs, if you look at their life with scrutiny, they've
- probably got a drinking problem. Some people say they have
- 21 got a driving problem. The drinking isn't it, but the core of
- 22 people that get DWIs are probably alcoholics. Are you with me
- 23 on that?
- 24 A. Uh-huh.
- 25 Q. Because you and I know better to do that. Even if

- 1 measure -- to measure evidence.
- 2 Do you see yourself as being that kind of person in
- 3 a death penalty case, that you have the ability to measure the

- evidence, and even if you lean against the death penalty what
- will control how you answer the question is how you see the
- evidence?
- 7 A. I think that I need to be really honest and tell you
- 8 that I did a lot of thinking about this.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. And I think I'm a very fair person. I really don't
- 11 preconceive things. I'm a very fair person, and I think that
- 12 I could be very fair in determining guilt or innocence,
- 13 regardless.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. I don't believe I could actually give someone the
- 16 death penalty.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. I don't believe I could do it.
- 19 Q. Well, we'll talk about that. We'll talk about
- 20 that. I guess we can kind of move into it right now.
- 21 When I said before that we expect jurors who can -
- 22 I don't want to use the term "professional," because it almost
- 23 sounds like you've chosen to be a juror and people don't do
- 24 that. But it's kind of -- we expect people on a jury to be
- 25 able to function in their role as jurors, and that's

_	1 age 22	
1	essentially find evidence and base results upon the evidence,	
2	and we do that in any kind of case.	
3	I mean, if it's a civil case and you've got some	
4	poor, old homeless person that never had two dimes to rub up	
5	against each other in his pocket, and he's a plaintiff suing	
6	the City of Dallas because they don't put heaters down under	
7	the underpass where he's living, I mean, it may be that you've	
8	got tremendous compassion for a homeless person, and maybe you	
	don't like the City of Dallas because you don't like how	
	they you see them on TV fighting down at city chambers all	
11	the time over utter nonsense.	
12	Still, we expect jurors to be able to say this guy	
	doesn't have a cause of action. He may be poor and we may	
	feel sorry for him, but it's not right to take the City's	
	money just to it's not a Robin Hood kind of thing. The	
	evidence isn't there, and the City doesn't have any duty to	
	put a heater down there for him. Do you follow what I'm	
	saying?	
19	A. Uh-huh.	
20	Q. And it's the same thing in any other kind of case.	
	If you have a compassion for alcoholics, jurors are still	
	expecting the State proves beyond a reasonable doubt that he	
	was driving while intoxicated, that you find him guilty, and	
	you give him the punishment that's appropriate under the	
23	circumstances of what you have to work with. And that works	
		┸
	Page 23	
ı	Page 23 okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being	
	G	
	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate	
2	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate	
2	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about that they're going to	
2 3 4	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're	
2 3 4 5	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way.	
2 3 4 5 6	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death,	
2 3 4 5 6 7	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society that's very compassionate, and I think that's a strength.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society that's very compassionate, and I think that's a strength. That's not a weakness. Maybe I grumble about it sometimes,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society that's very compassionate, and I think that's a strength. That's not a weakness. Maybe I grumble about it sometimes, but it's still a strength. Somebody can be lost overboard on	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society that's very compassionate, and I think that's a strength. That's not a weakness. Maybe I grumble about it sometimes, but it's still a strength. Somebody can be lost overboard on a ship, and we'll spend how many millions of dollars on fuel	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society that's very compassionate, and I think that's a strength. That's not a weakness. Maybe I grumble about it sometimes, but it's still a strength. Somebody can be lost overboard on a ship, and we'll spend how many millions of dollars on fuel just searching for what we know is going to be a body because	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society that's very compassionate, and I think that's a strength. That's not a weakness. Maybe I grumble about it sometimes, but it's still a strength. Somebody can be lost overboard on a ship, and we'll spend how many millions of dollars on fuel just searching for what we know is going to be a body because it's too cold to live out there, and it's just because we're	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about — that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society that's very compassionate, and I think that's a strength. That's not a weakness. Maybe I grumble about it sometimes, but it's still a strength. Somebody can be lost overboard on a ship, and we'll spend how many millions of dollars on fuel just searching for what we know is going to be a body because it's too cold to live out there, and it's just because we're that kind of a society. Nobody complains about that.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about — that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society that's very compassionate, and I think that's a strength. That's not a weakness. Maybe I grumble about it sometimes, but it's still a strength. Somebody can be lost overboard on a ship, and we'll spend how many millions of dollars on fuel just searching for what we know is going to be a body because it's too cold to live out there, and it's just because we're that kind of a society. Nobody complains about that. Remember when John Kennedy, Jr.'s plane went down?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society that's very compassionate, and I think that's a strength. That's not a weakness. Maybe I grumble about it sometimes, but it's still a strength. Somebody can be lost overboard on a ship, and we'll spend how many millions of dollars on fuel just searching for what we know is going to be a body because it's too cold to live out there, and it's just because we're that kind of a society. Nobody complains about that. Remember when John Kennedy, Jr.'s plane went down? Everybody knew he had to be dead. We're out there searching.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about — that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society that's very compassionate, and I think that's a strength. That's not a weakness. Maybe I grumble about it sometimes, but it's still a strength. Somebody can be lost overboard on a ship, and we'll spend how many millions of dollars on fuel just searching for what we know is going to be a body because it's too cold to live out there, and it's just because we're that kind of a society. Nobody complains about that. Remember when John Kennedy, Jr.'s plane went down? Everybody knew he had to be dead. We're out there searching. We've got rescue units out there. Not just find-the-body	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about — that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society that's very compassionate, and I think that's a strength. That's not a weakness. Maybe I grumble about it sometimes, but it's still a strength. Somebody can be lost overboard on a ship, and we'll spend how many millions of dollars on fuel just searching for what we know is going to be a body because it's too cold to live out there, and it's just because we're that kind of a society. Nobody complains about that. Remember when John Kennedy, Jr.'s plane went down? Everybody knew he had to be dead. We're out there searching. We've got rescue units out there. Not just find-the-body units, but rescue units out there for days. And everybody	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society that's very compassionate, and I think that's a strength. That's not a weakness. Maybe I grumble about it sometimes, but it's still a strength. Somebody can be lost overboard on a ship, and we'll spend how many millions of dollars on fuel just searching for what we know is going to be a body because it's too cold to live out there, and it's just because we're that kind of a society. Nobody complains about that. Remember when John Kennedy, Jr.'s plane went down? Everybody knew he had to be dead. We're out there searching. We've got rescue units out there. Not just find-the-body units, but rescue units out there for days. And everybody said, well, it's 52 degrees in that water. Humans can't	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society that's very compassionate, and I think that's a strength. That's not a weakness. Maybe I grumble about it sometimes, but it's still a strength. Somebody can be lost overboard on a ship, and we'll spend how many millions of dollars on fuel just searching for what we know is going to be a body because it's too cold to live out there, and it's just because we're that kind of a society. Nobody complains about that. Remember when John Kennedy, Jr.'s plane went down? Everybody knew he had to be dead. We're out there searching. We've got rescue units out there. Not just find-the-body units, but rescue units out there for days. And everybody said, well, it's 52 degrees in that water. Humans can't survive more than a couple of hours in that.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about — that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society that's very compassionate, and I think that's a strength. That's not a weakness. Maybe I grumble about it sometimes, but it's still a strength. Somebody can be lost overboard on a ship, and we'll spend how many millions of dollars on fuel just searching for what we know is going to be a body because it's too cold to live out there, and it's just because we're that kind of a society. Nobody complains about that. Remember when John Kennedy, Jr.'s plane went down? Everybody knew he had to be dead. We're out there searching. We've got rescue units out there. Not just find-the-body units, but rescue units out there for days. And everybody said, well, it's 52 degrees in that water. Humans can't survive more than a couple of hours in that. A. Uh-huh.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society that's very compassionate, and I think that's a strength. That's not a weakness. Maybe I grumble about it sometimes, but it's still a strength. Somebody can be lost overboard on a ship, and we'll spend how many millions of dollars on fuel just searching for what we know is going to be a body because it's too cold to live out there, and it's just because we're that kind of a society. Nobody complains about that. Remember when John Kennedy, Jr.'s plane went down? Everybody knew he had to be dead. We're out there searching. We've got rescue units out there. Not just find-the-body units, but rescue units out there for days. And everybody said, well, it's 52 degrees in that water. Humans can't survive more than a couple of hours in that. A. Uh-huh. Q. But we do that because we're a compassionate	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	okay in most kind of cases. It's a very unusual human being that, like I said before, that's going to be so passionate that they're going to worry about that they're going to have any trouble with a homeless guy, for example. They're not going to be that way. But when we talk about human life and human death, that's real different for almost all of us. We are a society that's very compassionate, and I think that's a strength. That's not a weakness. Maybe I grumble about it sometimes, but it's still a strength. Somebody can be lost overboard on a ship, and we'll spend how many millions of dollars on fuel just searching for what we know is going to be a body because it's too cold to live out there, and it's just because we're that kind of a society. Nobody complains about that. Remember when John Kennedy, Jr.'s plane went down? Everybody knew he had to be dead. We're out there searching. We've got rescue units out there. Not just find-the-body units, but rescue units out there for days. And everybody said, well, it's 52 degrees in that water. Humans can't survive more than a couple of hours in that. A. Uh-huh. Q. But we do that because we're a compassionate society. Capital murder cases, we don't just convene a firing	

your time up here is not wasted. It's important to you, to him, to the State. It's real important, but all that being said, it's not enough to just say, well, ma'am, it's the law. Can you follow the law? Because for many people the answer is, yeah, I can follow the law, but that result can occur, anyway. 10 And I go back to the military a lot of times with this because I think there's some real -- I think there's some 12 teaching in that. There are some people that are willing to get in harm's way. The issue for them is not am I willing to go into a hot zone where bullets are flying, and I may be dead 15 from some rifle that was so far away I couldn't even see it, 16 but he had a scope and I didn't have a scope. Am I willing to do that in defense of my country? And the answer is, yeah, 18 I'm willing to do that, but I'm not willing to kill, so let me 19 be a medic, or let me -- you know, let me carry ammunition. I will not do the killing, but I will support -- I will support our people. And I can fix a guy up who's bleeding to death. 22 I can patch up his wounds and get him back knowing that he's 23 going to get well enough to go back and maybe kill some more 24 people. I can do all that. I can't do it myself, but I can 25 respect other people who can. And I can say they're not bad Page 25 I people because they can do that. The way I'm made, I can't do 2 that. Does that make sense to you how that can be in the 3 military? A. Yes. 5 Q. Now, I could try to be clever with you, and I could 6 say, well, you know, the way we have this process established, you're not really killing anybody. You're not doing it. I 8 might, for example, say they don't let jurors down into the execution chamber. And I'd say, therefore, the only person 10 that's ever going to execute somebody is going to be the 11 person that plunges the syringe that has the lethal substance 12 in it. 13 And I think I understand how it's done down there. 14 They've got three guys with three thumbs, not each, but thumbs 15 on the syringe, and the idea is none of those three guys knows which one has the inert stuff and has the hot stuff. Are you with me? And so, you've only got a one-in-three chance that 18 you're even the person, and probably very few people ever know 19 who actually did it. Maybe just the warden or the doctor that 20 puts all that. So, we can say to those people, well, odds 21 are, you know, only one in three that you're the killer. It's 22 probably the other guy. It's the other thumb that's doing 23 it. 24 And yet, the truth is they're involved in the 25 process, too, and who actually pushes the lethal substance, if

Page 24

Some jurors think we spend too much time in this, but they're just not thinking if they think that. You know,

say have done atrocious, awful crimes, you know?

1

Page 26 Page 28 1 society in control at the time. I you're part of it -- if you're actually part of the process, 2 2 doesn't mean you're not part of the killing? Do you agree A. (Nods head.) with that? Q. And this isn't like that because every single 4 defendant in Texas that has a death sentence has had a trial 4 A. No. 5 and has had appeals and had review and had lots of scrutiny. 5 You don't agree with that? Q A. (Shakes head.) 6 Now, some people complain and say it's not -- the trials 6 7 You think that makes a difference? 7 weren't good enough, or there was this or that problem, but even that stuff gets looked at and gets reviewed. It's very 8 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. Then you wouldn't see yourself as being lengthy before that happens. 9 10 And yet, still the bottom line to this is jurors 10 involved in killing the Defendant if you were on the jury? A. I would see myself as being involved in the killing 11 have voted in a way that causes a death sentence, and they 11 12 if I was on a jury that sentenced him to death. 12 know it. It's not even like the trainmen in Nazi, Germany Q. Okay. But you didn't push the syringe? 13 because they could at least say I've never been to Auschwitz. 13 14 I don't know what goes on there. We tell jurors what the 14 A. Irrelevant. 15 results of answers to those questions are. 15 Q. Okay. You know, and I look back at Nazi, Germany. 16 A. (Nods head.) 16 I look at that whole (inaudible), but I look back -- I look back and I think to myself, do you remember how they loaded 17 Q. Now, I told you before and I meant what I said: It 18 is not only okay for you to have any view that you want to all those people on trains and shipped them off to the death 19 have. No quarrel with me, but it's even okay for you to be a camps? They can claim they didn't know what was happening, 19 20 juror in a case if you have a view one way or the other on the those guys in the train stations, but, come on, those kinds of 21 death penalty that's off of center that's -- I'm real strong secrets don't get kept when it's that big. People talk. I mean, people probably made jokes about it, and everybody on 22 for it and I'm real strong against it. That's okay as long as 23 you can assure both sides that either answer could result to those trains knew when they were loading men and women and 24 the question presented to you depending upon the evidence, and children on those trains knew they weren't coming back. 25 not in a sense a desire to make a little bit of law for this 25 Everybody knew what that was. Page 27 Page 29 one particular case. Are we communicating what I'm talking 1 And, yet, they could say, you know, I'm just working at the train station. I'm just -- all I do is load people on 2 about? 2 3 A. Yes. 3 trains. I don't kill anybody so I'm absolved. I'm not morally responsible for it. Do you agree that they wouldn't 4 Q. So, I want to talk to you then about the special be, those people, who are loading people on the trains to send 5 issue. A. Yes. them to death camps? Do you think they're not responsible 6 7 Q. But first, let me follow up on what you were telling 7 because they weren't doing it at the other end? A. I think they are just as responsible because they 8 us about guilt-innocence phase. You indicated to me that you 8 9 consider yourself to be a fair person? 9 knew what was going to happen. 10 Q. Okay. And it's not even -- and they might say, 10 A. (Nods head.) well, all I was doing was -- I was law-abiding because our law 11 O. And you believe you could fairly decide whether or 11 12 says it's okay to do that. I mean, Hitler was in charge, and 12 not the Defendant is guilty of capital murder? 13 he said do this and so that was the law of Germany. And they 13 A. (Nods head.) could say, I'm just obeying the law. And yet, sometimes 14 Q. Am I -people come into a Pelagian with the law where they've got 15 A. Yes. 16 to -- maybe not religion, but just Pelagian to themselves in 16 Q. -- right? 17 the law where they've got to say that's not good enough to say 17 A. Yes. 18 18 I'm following the law. In my own little way I'm going to take Q. And why I say that is there are some people who are 19 a stand, and I have to. Does that make sense to you? so opposed to capital murder that they would say, you know, 20 A. Yes. I'm not wanting to be on this jury. I'm being absolutely O. And that's the last analogy I ever make to Nazi, honest with everybody about how I am, and I don't feel that 21 22 Germany because this isn't that way. Those people were sent one side or the other is going to get a fair trial from me because of my strong views for or against the death penalty. 23 to the death camp without a trial. Their only crime in life 24 was being Jewish or being gypsies or being homosexuals or That will interfere -- that will substantially interfere with 25 my ability to answer those questions fairly. 25 being retarded or being somehow disfavored by the Arian

(Don High enters the courtroom.) And they might say, you know, I am so strongly 1 1 2 opposed to the death penalty that I will tend to look for a Q. Let me explain kind of how this process works. 3 way to acquit the Defendant of capital murder, or at least You're going -- as you and I proceed in this, you'll think, well, why didn't he get what I'm saying? I've already said I find him guilty of less than capital murder somehow in order to guarantee that I don't even have to face those death can't be fair, and you're going to think, well, why does he 5 penalty issues because, you see, if he's not found guilty of keep on doing this way? Any feelings like that? capital murder, the jury never has to worry about the death A. No, no. 8 sentence. It no longer applies. It no longer fits. And that Q. Okay. 9 9 means if you find a defendant guilty of capital murder, you A. Not yet. 10 don't worry about punishment because he walks free. If you 10 Q. You might give me another half hour to fall in, and 11 if I ever found any entertainment in hearing myself talking, 11 find him guilty of something less than capital murder, then it went away a couple of weeks ago. I'm not liking that 12 you just give him a term of years in the penitentiary. You 13 never look at the death sentence. anymore. I like to hear you talk more than me probably, but I've done enough of this. It's not fun in any regard. Some jurors say I'm so strongly unable to give the 14 15 15 death penalty that I can't see myself even being fair on the But where we're coming on, I want to explain to you guilt-innocence portion because I will be wanting to find a 16 how this whole process works. If a juror comes in and says, I don't like criminals. I think criminals are treated too 17 way to not come back with a verdict of guilty of capital lightly, and I'm the kind of juror that's going to be way over 18 murder, and then I don't have to worry about any of this stuff to the extreme end on the harsh side of punishment, but I can later on. I'm done with that issue, and I'm through. But 20 that doesn't sound like where you are to me. It doesn't sound follow all of the law and I can consider anything that I might need to consider. That juror is qualified. 21 like you're thinking that way about guilt-innocence; is that 21 22 correct? 22 Now, common sense tells us that that's not the kind 23 of juror that the defense would want actually on the jury, but 23 A. No. I see them as two separate issues. O. And that's what the law says, and that's what the the juror is qualified. In other words, then you've got to 24 25 law really contemplates. 25 figure what you're going to do with that juror. And the way Page 31 Page 33 1 Now, we get to the death issues -- what I call the we work that is very simple. It's called a peremptory 2 death issues, and that's probably a wrong term because they're challenge. It's a strike that both sides have 15 of, and we punishment issues, but the answers to those result in death, may say that he's a nice man, Your Honor, but I don't want him 3 or result in life depending upon how you do it. And you may on my jury. Perhaps I could do that with you. Maybe I would 5 remember we talked a couple of weeks ago that the first say, well, she's a nice lady. She's honest, but that's not somebody that the State thinks is advantageous to our case. I question you're going to be given at the punishment phase of mean, I know you agree with that, from what you're telling me the trial is one that asks whether there is a probability that 8 the Defendant -for sure? 9 9 A. Yes. MR. SCHULTZ: Could you excuse me just a 10 moment, Judge, about 30 seconds? 10 Q. But there's another kind of challenge, also, and 11 (Brief pause in proceedings.) 11 that is what's called a challenge for cause, and it's not an 12 insult to the juror that you couldn't -- that you're a bad O. BY MR. SCHULTZ: That question asks you the question 12 13 about the personality perhaps of the Defendant. What it asks person or couldn't be fair. It's just a recognition that, 14 is, do you find that he will probably be a danger to our 14 here's an honest person who can't fairly do all of the things 15 society in the future? Is he probably going to commit required of him or her in this kind of case, and that happens 16 criminal acts of violence that will constitute a continuing all the time. I mean, this is not a rarity. This happens day 17 threat to society? Now, if we weren't talking about a death after day in our trial work. It often happens with things 18 penalty case, does that seem to you to be the kind of question like probation. When you ask a juror, could you give 19 you have the ability to answer? Let's pretend it's a burglary probation in a child molestation case because the law requires 20 case, let's say. Could you answer a question like that? a juror that can consider if certain things apply. And a lot 20 21 A. No. I really can't tell you that I could ever 21 of jurors say, how could I ever give a child molester 22 answer that question, ever. probation, you know, knowing what I know and knowing how awful O. Does it even have anything to do with a death 23 it is? And those people, great people, they can't be 23 24 penalty case? 24 qualified because they can't follow the law. They're not A. I don't think it does. 25 25 balking. The Judge doesn't get mad at them. Nobody gets mad.

Page 32

Page 34 1 It's just how they are. And so what's going to happen? I'm going to tell 3 you that you're going to feel -- you're going to see it. Pretty soon you may feel like you're being bounced around, and 5 decide that. one side is trying to get you to say certain things, and then the other side will try to get you to say certain things and You know, I might give you extreme cases and help you do it. The other side -- the defense might give you extreme cases and how we should do it. But the bottom line is 11 question? you're going to know the true answer to the question anyway, 12 and on something this important you don't want to change your 12 A. Huh-uh. position, not only because you're honest and under oath. But 13 imagine if you end up on the jury kind of thing, and the only 14 A. No. 15 reason you said the things you did was just because you didn't 15 want to offend anybody or take too strong a stand or whatever.

17 So, that's where we're going. There's no fight involved 18 here. 19 Are you telling me that you don't think you could ever answer that question even if it wasn't a death penalty case. Is that something that you think is an impossible task 21 22 for you? 23 A. I think that I have a different opinion now of 24 people's ability to change, and that is asking me to not have 25 any faith that this person could actually change and is going

Page 35

1	to continue doing the same thing they've been doing, and I
2	have a different view on that now than I used to.
^	O 337-11 also considere de conte de concesión in ell

Q. Well, the question doesn't - the question, in all 3 fairness to everybody, the question doesn't say, do you have 5 to find that there's no ability to change because nobody 6 knows -- nobody knows how anybody could end up. I don't know about you, but I don't know how I'm going to be in ten years. 8 I may have some clues. I mean, I figured how I've been the 9 last 50 years might relate to how I'm going to be in the next 10 10, but I might be different. 11 The same with you. You know, you're -- you know,

12 the past 40 years of your life, for example, might be a good 13 clue into how the next ten years are going to be, but I'll bet 14 you've changed a lot in the last ten years in many ways, 15 haven't you? 16

A. Yes.

2

7

9

all that's true.

Q. I mean, we all have. So you're absolutely right, 17 18 there's no way to predict the future. But that question 19 doesn't require prediction in the sense of guarantee. It rather requires a prediction in the sense of probabilities. 21 Does that make any difference to your ability to answer that 22 question? 23 A. I tend to think of probability as being a

mathematical equation. If you're just going to take what he's 25 done in the past and equate it all out, and there's a

Page 36 mathematical answer, then you wouldn't be asking a jury to 2 decide that. I think that's an opinion that you have that this person may or may not continue being a threat to society, and I just don't know that I could ever, in good conscience, Q. Okay. Let's move along a little bit. So, what you're saying is even if this were a nothing -- nothing to do with capital punishment. If this were, say, a theft case, and 9 for some reason somebody asked you that question about the 10 Defendant, you don't think you'd be able to answer that Q. Okay, all right. Q. Other juries -- every capital murder jury that has 16 ever been in Texas that has found a defendant guilty of 17 capital murder, all 12 of every one of those cases -- all 12 18 of the jurors in every single one of those cases we've ever 19 had has had that question posed to them. They've been, just 20 like with you, they've sat there by themselves, and both sides 21 get to talk with them, and then both sides ultimately accept 22 them as jurors, and then they listen to evidence. And then 23 they have to come to that question, if they find the defendant 24 guilty of capital murder. 25 And that means every one of those jurors would have Page 37 1 to have been able to say, yes, I can answer that question yes 2 or no depending on what evidence is presented. And that doesn't mean that that -- you know, that doesn't mean that that's right or wrong. It's just that people like you who say 5 that is an impossible question to be answered, it's not that 6 people -- it's not that you're the only one that ever has that

7 view. It's just that nobody with your view ever ends up on a 8 jury. Are you with me on that? Because if your view is, that question can't be answered, then that probably is unfair to 10 somebody. 11 I'd have to think about it for a minute. It's 12 probably unfair to the State because if we can't get a yes

13 answer from 12 jurors, a death sentence could never result, 14 all right? And that's what you're saying, there's no -- if 15 you're put on this jury, you would never answer that question 16 yes, no matter what? 17 A. I think it would be - I don't think I could

18 say - I don't think I could say yes to that. 19 O. We'll hold on to that for a little bit. I know you 20 don't think you could, but let's go back to a death penalty 21 case now. Let's talk about it.

22 If you answer that question no -- if the jury 23 answers that question no, do you know what results from 24 answering that question no, he is not a continuing threat to 25 society?

Page 38 A. No. There is a probability that will he not be a 1 continuing threat to society. 2 3 Q. Exactly. If you answer no -- no, we do not find, or 4 we have a reasonable doubt of that -- we do not find that he is probably going to be a continuing threat to society, what 5 is the result of a no answer to that question by the jury? A. That he would get life. 7 8 Q. Exactly, and that's automatic. That's -- that's --9 you know, the moment the "no" goes on to that verdict form by 10 10 or more jurors, the moment that occurs and they come back 11 out and announce it in court, the jury does, the Defendant --12 everybody else goes home; judge, jury, lawyers. The Defendant 13 goes down to prison and begins serving a life sentence, which, 14 by in the way in Texas, is not until the end of his life. 15 It's a 40-year minimum, and after that it's not in anybody's 16 hands except the Board of Pardons and Paroles, anyway. So a no answer to that question automatically 17 18 results in a life sentence in capital murder cases. A yes 19 answer to that question obliges the jury to consider a second issue and that is -- can you see it okay from where you are? 20 21 A. Uh-huh. 22 O. It gets a little low there. Take a second, if you 23 would, and read that.

Page 39 A. Uh-huh. 1 Q. That question seems to tell the jury take another 2 look at the evidence. Now, what is the evidence that you took 4 another look at. 5 Certainly all the evidence you heard at the first part of the trial probably tells volumes about the Defendant's 7 character and background just because it would probably come out in the form of proving whether or not he was guilty of capital murder. So you will have heard a whole lot of evidence that that question seems to be asking you to consider. But you didn't consider it with the same -- with 12 the same scrutiny. It was a different purpose you were 13 considering it for guilt-innocence, because the judge in the guilt-innocence phase will tell you such things as don't 15 consider sympathy for or anger against the Defendant in 16 answering is he guilty or not. Just simply consider the 17 evidence. 18 A. (Nods head.) 19 Q. And that question then invites you to consider 20 sympathy for or anger against the Defendant in answering that 21 question because it goes both ways. When you look at the

22 Defendant's background you might say, you know, why would

23 anybody even consider a life sentence for somebody with that

24 kind of a background? Or you might say, how could anybody

25 give a guy a death sentence with that kind of background?

A. (Complies.)

Q. Okay?

25

1 It goes both ways. But, it tells you to relook at the evidence that you've heard, and there may be some other evidence that you get to hear at the punishment phase that was not either admissible or not thought really appropriate in the first part of the trial by either side, and they don't have to offer evidence on the punishment part of the trial, but neither does State. It's conceivable you get no evidence at all at the 9 second part of the trial, except relook at the crime itself 10 and decide. That means relook at the crime and say, you know, anybody who could commit a terrible crime like I found this 12 Defendant guilty of will always probably be dangerous. The 13 law lets you do that based on the evidence of the crime. It 14 doesn't mean that you have to, but the law lets a jury do 15 that. And then you look at that mitigation question, and 17 it tells you to take into consideration all the evidence including circumstances of the crime, background of the Defendant, the character of the Defendant and the Defendant's 20 moral culpability, whatever that means. Nobody can tell 21 you -- it's hard to understand how anybody could have no 22 normal culpability if they've committed capital murder, but something about that to look at. I don't know what that would

8

16

17

Page 40

23 there must be some situation I guess where -- there must be 25 be. I don't know what moral culpability would be. Page 41 You take all that into consideration and then 1 decide, is there sufficient mitigating evidence, from everything that you've seen and heard as a juror, to make a life sentence the right thing to do as opposed to a death sentence, which is at least considered because of the conviction in that first special issue. Make sense to you what -- the way it works, does it make -- am I explaining it 8 to you in a way that makes sense? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Now, how you do -- how you weigh the evidence at 11 that second phase of the trial is kind of up to you. For 12 example, you might hear evidence that a capital murderer had the most tragic life you've ever heard of in your whole life. 13 You didn't -- you thought you'd heard it all, but you hear a

crying it's so bad. And you might say, boy, that's the most 18 awful story I've ever heard in my life, and I believe every 20 word of it, and I feel for the Defendant as he was growing 21 up. And you might say, that's not sufficient to justify a 22 life sentence. That's enormous. That's the worst there's 23 ever been. 24 But we're talking about a capital murder that was 25 done brutally, and all of this growing up misery is not

whole lot more than you even dreamed could ever befall

somebody growing up, let's say. I mean, there's a river of

tears sitting over in that jury box because everybody is

Page 42 Page 44 1 would do it if it was the right thing, but they know it will 1 sufficient to change what should be a death sentence. Do you 2 never happen. They know in their hearts. understand the way the question works? 2 3 An example I like to use and everybody is probably 3 A. Yes. sick of it, but it works for me. We've got a roof up on top O. Because --MR. GOELLER: Judge, I'm sorry. I've got to of this building that you can get onto and walk around and is 5 6 object at that point. I object to his -- Mr. Schultz's 6 strong enough to support people. You get up on that on the 7 sixth floor. And I guess if I were to say to you, ma'am, if 7 comment, do you understand how the question works based on 8 you and I went up to the roof of this building, and you were that specific fact situation he gave the juror right 9 convinced that jumping off it was the right thing to do and beforehand. I don't want this juror thinking that's what the question is. I don't know how else to phrase my objection, 10 landed on your head because you decided that was the right but the way he linked it to, that's not what the question is. 11 thing that you should do, would you do it? I guess the answer 12 to the question is, well, yeah, if I thought it was the right It's an attempt to qualify her, or get her to understand the 13 thing to do to jump off the building and land on my head, I question on a specific fact situation. 14 guess I would do that. 14 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. But you and I both know that there's no way ever O. BY MR. SCHULTZ: So you understand that's how the 15 16 that you would ever think that's the right thing to do. Do question works? 16 17 you follow what I'm saying? 17 A. Yes. A. Yes. O. Now, the fact is that neither side has a burden of 18 18 19 Q. So, to tell somebody in a case like this, yes, I proof, and the only way that question works fairly is if 19 20 could do something if I thought it was the right thing to do people are open to being able to answer that either way, depending upon the evidence. And why I say that is because 21 is okay unless there's no way you're going to find it's the without a burden of proof, we can talk about sufficient 22 right thing to do no matter what. Are you with me on that? mitigating evidence, but really the measurement is almost what 23 A. Yes. 24 the jury feels. I'm not saying disregard the way the question 24 Q. Now, what I'm deriving from what you've said is, 25 first of all, you already told me, and you've already said in 25 is worded, but what I am saying is if you feel like a death Page 45 Page 43 1 sentence should not result, the way the human mind probably 1 the questionnaire that you're not in favor of the death 2 penalty, and you're leaning against it in theory; is that 2 works is that would be sufficient. Those reasons would be 3 right? Not in this case, but in terms of the theory itself of sufficient for you. Do you know what I'm saying? 4 having a death penalty, you're -- you're not 100 percent one 4 A. Yes. 5 O. But the idea is still that the Defense is entitled 5 way or the other, but you're leaning against not even thinking 6 it's good to have a death penalty in this case? to jurors who could fairly consider mitigating circumstances. 6 It doesn't mean they've got to guarantee to do it. It doesn't A. No. I believe in the death penalty in extreme 8 cases. I do believe that there are times when the death mean that it's going to happen very often, but they've got to 9 penalty is warranted. have a jury that can fairly consider mitigating evidence, whatever that is, and be open to the idea that if they found 10 Q. Okay. 11 sufficient mitigating circumstances that they would, in fact, A. I do believe that. I just know that I could not sit 12 on a jury and be the person that handed down that judgment. 12 vote in favor of mitigation, right? 13 Q. Even in those extreme cases? 13 A. Yes. 14 A. Even in those extreme cases. O. And the State's entitled to people who can say, yes, 15 Q. Okay, because that's important. 15 I will consider mitigating evidence. And if I don't find 16 Now, you don't know anything about this case, and I sufficient mitigating evidence, I'll answer that question no, which results in a death sentence. That's what the State is 17 may think I know about what I think the jury is going to know 18 down the road, but I wasn't there, either, and neither was the entitled to from a juror. After that, it's up to either side 19 judge, neither were the attorneys, or the bailiffs or court to decide how we -- kind of who we seat after that from that 20 reporter or spectators, if we have any, which we don't seem to qualified pool. And it's got to be a fair -- it's got to be a 20 21 fair answer. 21 now. None of us were there. And this -- for all we know, It's got to be a willingness to fairly approach 22 this could be an extreme case, but it's not going to be an 22

those questions because if people could say, yeah, I could

consider that, and it's almost like a wink and a nod kind of

25 thing because they might say, yeah, I can consider it, and I

23

23 extreme case like, perhaps, you're thinking of, because

24 there's one case that stands out in everybody's mind as the

25 extreme case that's out of our recent history, and that's the

Page 46 Page 48 1 Oklahoma City Bombing case? 1 evidence and how you measure that evidence, and you're telling 2 me there's no way -- first of all, we never get to that A. (Nods head.) question with you, right? Q. There are worse things -- whoever planted that bomb 3 4 on that Pan American plane that blew up. More people were A. Right. 5 killed, but it's the same thing. It's just as bad; women, 5 Q. Because your answer would always be no to that first 6 question? 6 children, strangers, spectators, people in there doing 7 A. Yes. 7 business in the Federal Courthouse, all those people got 8 indiscriminately slaughtered by somebody who thought it was a 8 Q. No matter what -- and it's not me. It's nothing 9 personal to me or Ms. Falco, right? 9 political statement being made. And for whatever it's worth, 10 A. Right. 10 apparently he didn't even have any remorse for it, although I 11 don't think that would have mattered to anybody. If he had 11 Q. We could go get two new prosecutors. We could get 12 the world's handsomest prosecutor and the world's most 12 been blubbering and in tears over what have I done, what have 13 I done, I don't think anybody would care about that given what articulate one and wore the prettiest clothing. It wouldn't 14 matter, right? 14 he did. Do you agree with me? 15 A. It wouldn't matter. 15 A. Yes, yes. Q. So you certainly, in that type of case, believe in a 16 Q. And I assume that's not me, by the way. 16 17 That question is automatically going to be answered 17 death penalty, but you're saying even in that kind of case you 18 no by you, no matter what the evidence is? 18 could never vote for it? 19 A. Yes, that's correct. 19 A. No, I couldn't. 20 Q. Okay. So we never even get to that -- it's funny 20 Q. And it's kind of like me talking about being up on 21 the roof and jumping off. I could tell you -- or I could ask 21 because we only deal with that mitigation question if we've 22 you, if you thought it was the right thing to do after having 22 gotten a yes answer so I feel kind of awkward. It's almost 23 found somebody guilty of capital murder, to vote yes on future 23 like, what am I doing talking with her about the mitigation 24 danger, which you've told me probably could never happen 24 question because she's already told me there's no way she ever 25 because you don't believe in the question --25 gets to that. Page 49 Page 47 1 A. Right. 1 A. I could never get to this. There's specific reasons 2 Q. - if you thought it was the right thing, would you 2 why I could never answer yes to the first question. 3 vote yes? I guess the answer would be, well, yeah, if I Q. I understand. 4 thought it was the right thing, I'd vote yes. But like 4 Now, let's say somehow you got to that question --5 jumping off the roof, you're telling me you would never think or let's do it this way. Let's say the first question you got asked, not the second, but the first one. Let's say instead 6 it's the right thing to do ever? of asking you this future danger question we asked you this 7 A. I would never think answering yes to that question 8 one first --8 is something I could do. 9 O. Even if the evidence were overwhelming? A. Okay. 10

- 10 A. Yes. For specific reasons, I don't think I could
- ll ever answer that yes.
- 12 Q. Okay, fair enough.
- And apart from that question, which is interesting, 13
- 14 because that first question, some people just say it's
- 15 impossible to predict the future. When you look at -- you've
- 16 studied the Bible, and you look at somebody like Saul who
- 17 became Paul, and you look at somebody like him, you've got to
- 18 say, there's a guy that I can predict is going to turn out
- 19 pretty bad. He was awful in terms of his behavior, and, yet,
- 20 look at him toward the end, that kind of idea.
- 21 But in a death penalty case you see that special
- 22 issue, that second one on mitigation that I've got up there
- 23 now. That's the one that says take a second look at all the
- 24 evidence, and tell me whether or not you could be free to
- 25 return a yes or no answer to that question based on the

- Q. -- let's just say.
- You know that a no answer to that question will 11
- 12 result in a death sentence depending on what you do with the
- second question, which we kind of know about that -- what will
- 14 become the second question. A no answer to that question
- results in a death sentence. Even apart from your objection
- 16 to that predicting the future question and your inability to
- do that, you will always vote in answering questions in a way
- 18 to make sure that life happens rather than death; is that what
- 19 you're telling me or not?

- 20 A. I would not answer the first question just because I
- 21 don't want to get to the death penalty. That question, on its
- 22 own merits regardless of what the case is, I could not answer.
- 24 Q. I'm with you on that part.
- 25 A. Okay. And on this one, if I'm just looking at the

	Page 50		Page 52
1	question - I am a person that believes that a lot of what	1	to vote in such a way that would cause a death, or the same
2	happens in your life dictates the choices that you make and	2	situation, there's no way a death sentence can ever come from
3	how you see right from wrong.	3	your vote?
4	Q. Okay. So	4	A. I think if you look at all the special issues, what
5	A. I don't think that I would purposefully answer	5	the questions are, because of the way I believe I don't
6	questions so that I made sure that someone didn't get the	6	think I would just never get to the conclusion that would
7	death penalty.	7	send someone to a death penalty. I do believe there's always
8	Q. Okay, okay. That's what I	8	issues in someone's life that dictates their behavior.
9	A. But I never - like you said, I'd never get to this	9	Q. Sure.
10	one from the first one, and this one I believe that there	10	A. And I do believe everyone can be rehabilitated or
	are - I believe there are situations when you grow up and the	11	changed. So for that reason personally, I could not live with
	way you learn right from wrong that impact your		not giving that person an opportunity to do that.
	decision-making ability.	13	
14	Q. Okay, okay. But what you told me earlier, and I	14	you that you really were able to answer that question, which I
	want to make sure that I heard you right and that I'm	l	know I can do. We could do this for days, and I couldn't
	communicating correctly with you, I think you told me that	ļ	convince you to change your mind about answering that
17		17	
	It may be something different, but certainly that Oklahoma	i	convince you that you could answer that question, or even if I
	City case has to be way up there?	ł	could convince both sides let's come up with another question
20	A. Yes.	ı	instead and replace it because this juror doesn't want to work
21	Q. And you told me that's an extreme case where you	21	
22			It's a death penalty case, and my problem in a death penalty
23	A. Yes.	i	case is no matter what I do or how hard I try or how
24	Q. You're telling me that if you had been on that jury,	l	straightforward I would be, there's no way to ever get answers
25	you couldn't have done what they did?	23	to those questions that would result in death of a defendant.
	Page 51		Page 53
1	A. I could not have done what they did.	1 1	7 41 4 1 1 10
•	•		Is that where we are?
2	Q. Okay.	2	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I
		2	
2	Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing	2	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I
2	Q. Okay.A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing	2 3 4	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think I don't think there's a way I could
2 3 4	Q. Okay.A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did	2 3 4	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be
2 3 4 5	 Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. 	2 3 4 5	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think I don't think there's a way I could
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. Q. And just like jumping off the building, I mean, you 	2 3 4 5 6	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think — I don't think there's a way I could ever answer all those special issues knowing that if I
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. Q. And just like jumping off the building, I mean, you would have done it if it would have been the right thing, but you know it would have never been the right thing? A. For me. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think — I don't think there's a way I could ever answer all those special issues knowing that if I answered them a certain way it would send someone to their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. Q. And just like jumping off the building, I mean, you would have done it if it would have been the right thing, but you know it would have never been the right thing? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think I don't think there's a way I could ever answer all those special issues knowing that if I answered them a certain way it would send someone to their death.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. Q. And just like jumping off the building, I mean, you would have done it if it would have been the right thing, but you know it would have never been the right thing? A. For me. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think — I don't think there's a way I could ever answer all those special issues knowing that if I answered them a certain way it would send someone to their death. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. Q. And just like jumping off the building, I mean, you would have done it if it would have been the right thing, but you know it would have never been the right thing? A. For me. Q. Then even if you didn't even if you didn't have a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think — I don't think there's a way I could ever answer all those special issues knowing that if I answered them a certain way it would send someone to their death. Q. Okay. A. I don't think I could be fair in that part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. Q. And just like jumping off the building, I mean, you would have done it if it would have been the right thing, but you know it would have never been the right thing? A. For me. Q. Then even if you didn't even if you didn't have a problem with that first special issue, and I know you do, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think I don't think there's a way I could ever answer all those special issues knowing that if I answered them a certain way it would send someone to their death. Q. Okay. A. I don't think I could be fair in that part of the process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. Q. And just like jumping off the building, I mean, you would have done it if it would have been the right thing, but you know it would have never been the right thing? A. For me. Q. Then even if you didn't even if you didn't have a problem with that first special issue, and I know you do, and this is a little the interesting part of this job is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think I don't think there's a way I could ever answer all those special issues knowing that if I answered them a certain way it would send someone to their death. Q. Okay. A. I don't think I could be fair in that part of the process. Q. So I have two problems. One problem is that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. Q. And just like jumping off the building, I mean, you would have done it if it would have been the right thing, but you know it would have never been the right thing? A. For me. Q. Then even if you didn't even if you didn't have a problem with that first special issue, and I know you do, and this is a little the interesting part of this job is that we're all so different that we hear just the things you say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think — I don't think there's a way I could ever answer all those special issues knowing that if I answered them a certain way it would send someone to their death. Q. Okay. A. I don't think I could be fair in that part of the process. Q. So I have two problems. One problem is that you reject the notion of answering that special issue on future
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. Q. And just like jumping off the building, I mean, you would have done it if it would have been the right thing, but you know it would have never been the right thing? A. For me. Q. Then even if you didn't even if you didn't have a problem with that first special issue, and I know you do, and this is a little the interesting part of this job is that we're all so different that we hear just the things you say here other people have said, but maybe other things other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think I don't think there's a way I could ever answer all those special issues knowing that if I answered them a certain way it would send someone to their death. Q. Okay. A. I don't think I could be fair in that part of the process. Q. So I have two problems. One problem is that you reject the notion of answering that special issue on future danger?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. Q. And just like jumping off the building, I mean, you would have done it if it would have been the right thing, but you know it would have never been the right thing? A. For me. Q. Then even if you didn't even if you didn't have a problem with that first special issue, and I know you do, and this is a little the interesting part of this job is that we're all so different that we hear just the things you say here other people have said, but maybe other things other people have said you don't have a problem with. We're just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think — I don't think there's a way I could ever answer all those special issues knowing that if I answered them a certain way it would send someone to their death. Q. Okay. A. I don't think I could be fair in that part of the process. Q. So I have two problems. One problem is that you reject the notion of answering that special issue on future danger? A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. Q. And just like jumping off the building, I mean, you would have done it if it would have been the right thing, but you know it would have never been the right thing? A. For me. Q. Then even if you didn't even if you didn't have a problem with that first special issue, and I know you do, and this is a little the interesting part of this job is that we're all so different that we hear just the things you say here other people have said, but maybe other things other people have said you don't have a problem with. We're just different. We're all human beings with different backgrounds.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think — I don't think there's a way I could ever answer all those special issues knowing that if I answered them a certain way it would send someone to their death. Q. Okay. A. I don't think I could be fair in that part of the process. Q. So I have two problems. One problem is that you reject the notion of answering that special issue on future danger? A. Right. Q. Absolutely not just because of the death penalty,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. Q. And just like jumping off the building, I mean, you would have done it if it would have been the right thing, but you know it would have never been the right thing? A. For me. Q. Then even if you didn't even if you didn't have a problem with that first special issue, and I know you do, and this is a little the interesting part of this job is that we're all so different that we hear just the things you say here other people have said, but maybe other things other people have said you don't have a problem with. We're just different. We're all human beings with different backgrounds. What if what if for example, what if we didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think — I don't think there's a way I could ever answer all those special issues knowing that if I answered them a certain way it would send someone to their death. Q. Okay. A. I don't think I could be fair in that part of the process. Q. So I have two problems. One problem is that you reject the notion of answering that special issue on future danger? A. Right. Q. Absolutely not just because of the death penalty, but you don't believe in that question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. Q. And just like jumping off the building, I mean, you would have done it if it would have been the right thing, but you know it would have never been the right thing? A. For me. Q. Then even if you didn't even if you didn't have a problem with that first special issue, and I know you do, and this is a little the interesting part of this job is that we're all so different that we hear just the things you say here other people have said, but maybe other things other people have said you don't have a problem with. We're just different. We're all human beings with different backgrounds. What if what if for example, what if we didn't ask that question at all? What if we were in a state that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think — I don't think there's a way I could ever answer all those special issues knowing that if I answered them a certain way it would send someone to their death. Q. Okay. A. I don't think I could be fair in that part of the process. Q. So I have two problems. One problem is that you reject the notion of answering that special issue on future danger? A. Right. Q. Absolutely not just because of the death penalty, but you don't believe in that question? A. I don't believe in that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. Q. And just like jumping off the building, I mean, you would have done it if it would have been the right thing, but you know it would have never been the right thing? A. For me. Q. Then even if you didn't even if you didn't have a problem with that first special issue, and I know you do, and this is a little the interesting part of this job is that we're all so different that we hear just the things you say here other people have said, but maybe other things other people have said you don't have a problem with. We're just different. We're all human beings with different backgrounds. What if what if for example, what if we didn't ask that question at all? What if we were in a state that didn't have that that's just Texas law, that future danger	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think — I don't think there's a way I could ever answer all those special issues knowing that if I answered them a certain way it would send someone to their death. Q. Okay. A. I don't think I could be fair in that part of the process. Q. So I have two problems. One problem is that you reject the notion of answering that special issue on future danger? A. Right. Q. Absolutely not just because of the death penalty, but you don't believe in that question? A. I don't believe in that. Q. And my second problem is, even if you did, I'm still
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. Q. And just like jumping off the building, I mean, you would have done it if it would have been the right thing, but you know it would have never been the right thing? A. For me. Q. Then even if you didn't even if you didn't have a problem with that first special issue, and I know you do, and this is a little the interesting part of this job is that we're all so different that we hear just the things you say here other people have said, but maybe other things other people have said you don't have a problem with. We're just different. We're all human beings with different backgrounds. What if what if for example, what if we didn't ask that question at all? What if we were in a state that didn't have that that's just Texas law, that future danger question. What if that question were replaced by some other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think — I don't think there's a way I could ever answer all those special issues knowing that if I answered them a certain way it would send someone to their death. Q. Okay. A. I don't think I could be fair in that part of the process. Q. So I have two problems. One problem is that you reject the notion of answering that special issue on future danger? A. Right. Q. Absolutely not just because of the death penalty, but you don't believe in that question? A. I don't believe in that. Q. And my second problem is, even if you did, I'm still not going to get answers that would result in a death
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. A. Not and live with it personally. Not knowing myself, being able to live with myself that I actually did that. I can't see that for me, no. Q. And just like jumping off the building, I mean, you would have done it if it would have been the right thing, but you know it would have never been the right thing? A. For me. Q. Then even if you didn't even if you didn't have a problem with that first special issue, and I know you do, and this is a little the interesting part of this job is that we're all so different that we hear just the things you say here other people have said, but maybe other things other people have said you don't have a problem with. We're just different. We're all human beings with different backgrounds. What if what if for example, what if we didn't ask that question at all? What if we were in a state that didn't have that that's just Texas law, that future danger question. What if that question were replaced by some other question that you didn't have a specific problem with on its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I have to be honest to say that because of the way I feel, because I think I would just be completely having to live with that decision the rest of my life. I have to be honest to say I think — I don't think there's a way I could ever answer all those special issues knowing that if I answered them a certain way it would send someone to their death. Q. Okay. A. I don't think I could be fair in that part of the process. Q. So I have two problems. One problem is that you reject the notion of answering that special issue on future danger? A. Right. Q. Absolutely not just because of the death penalty, but you don't believe in that question? A. I don't believe in that. Q. And my second problem is, even if you did, I'm still not going to get answers that would result in a death sentence?

24 in the end regardless of what the question was, I don't think

25 I could answer it and send someone to their death.

24 were able to answer, that it wasn't any problem answering it

25 intellectually with you. In such a state, would you be able

	Page 54	Page 56
1	Q. Okay.	I (No omissions.) 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	A. I've really thought about this and really struggled	3 BY MR. GOELLER:
3		
4	Q. Okay. I know you have.	4 Q. Good morning, Ms. Stone.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Schultz, I'll give you about a	5 A. Hi.
6	minute and a half to wrap up.	6 Q. How are you?
7	MR. SCHULTZ: Yes, sir.	7 A. Good.
8	Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: And I could say, well, if you	8 Q. I know you've been up there a while.
9	thought it was the right thing to do based on the evidence	9 Can you tell me what kind of thoughts you've had
10		10 since you filled out your questionnaire? What kind of things
!	the roof. Sure, but it would never be the right thing to do?	11 have you been thinking about in regards to this case or
12	A. Not for me.	12 capital murder, capital punishment, all those kind of things?
13	Q. Okay.	13 A. I've thought a lot about circumstances and what
14	A. And at that point I'd be selfish, and I would make a	14 would cause someone to commit capital murder, or any murder.
15	"me" decision.	15 I've thought a lot about if I sent someone to their death
16	Q. Okay, I understand.	16 would I be able to live with that a year down the road, two
17	A. One I can live with.	17 weeks down the road, and I have a lot of different feelings
18	Q. I appreciate the honesty.	18 now than I used to because I have someone very close to me
19	And even if you could, in theory, do it I mean,	19 that's serving a jail sentence now for murder. So, that has
20	we could go to extreme cases and say, well, what if it was	20 caused me to think differently about the death sentence, a lot
21	Adolf Hitler and he's getting ready to go out and kill some	21 of soul searching about that.
22	more people, depending on how you voted. I mean, even if we	22 THE COURT: Could you repeat that last little
23	came up with an extreme case and got you to say, well, maybe I	23 bit?
24	could consider it in that circumstance, your objection your	24 VENIREPERSON: Lot of soul searching about
25	personal objections to you personally inflicting the death	25 that.
1	Page 55	Page 57
1 2	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent	1 THE COURT: Thank you.
2	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue,	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of
2	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge?	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and
2 3 4	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second.	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and 4 challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told
2 3 4 5	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.)	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and 4 challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told 5 you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty
2 3 4 5 6	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz.	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and 4 challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told 5 you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty 6 very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions
2 3 4 5 6 7	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and 4 challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told 5 you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty 6 very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions 7 and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and 4 challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told 5 you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty 6 very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions 7 and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't 8 be the kind of juror the State would want, okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would result in a death sentence and I think you said it would	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and 4 challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told 5 you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty 6 very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions 7 and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't 8 be the kind of juror the State would want, okay? 9 A. (Nods heads.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would result in a death sentence and I think you said it would prevent you it would substantially impair your ability to	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and 4 challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told 5 you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty 6 very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions 7 and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't 8 be the kind of juror the State would want, okay? 9 A. (Nods heads.) 10 Q. And maybe with you the opposite is true for me. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would result in a death sentence and I think you said it would prevent you it would substantially impair your ability to even give fair consideration, even if it weren't absolutely	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and 4 challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told 5 you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty 6 very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions 7 and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't 8 be the kind of juror the State would want, okay? 9 A. (Nods heads.) 10 Q. And maybe with you the opposite is true for me. You 11 said you believe in the death penalty in certain cases, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would result in a death sentence and I think you said it would prevent you it would substantially impair your ability to even give fair consideration, even if it weren't absolutely impossible, which I think you're saying it is?	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and 4 challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told 5 you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty 6 very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions 7 and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't 8 be the kind of juror the State would want, okay? 9 A. (Nods heads.) 10 Q. And maybe with you the opposite is true for me. You 11 said you believe in the death penalty in certain cases, but 12 you struggle with it. You struggle with the prospects of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would result in a death sentence and I think you said it would prevent you it would substantially impair your ability to even give fair consideration, even if it weren't absolutely impossible, which I think you're saying it is? A. Yes.	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and 4 challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told 5 you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty 6 very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions 7 and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't 8 be the kind of juror the State would want, okay? 9 A. (Nods heads.) 10 Q. And maybe with you the opposite is true for me. You 11 said you believe in the death penalty in certain cases, but 12 you struggle with it. You struggle with the prospects of 13 serving as a juror in a capital murder case. You're exactly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would result in a death sentence and I think you said it would prevent you it would substantially impair your ability to even give fair consideration, even if it weren't absolutely impossible, which I think you're saying it is? A. Yes. Q. It would be so nearly impossible it would	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and 4 challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told 5 you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty 6 very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions 7 and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't 8 be the kind of juror the State would want, okay? 9 A. (Nods heads.) 10 Q. And maybe with you the opposite is true for me. You 11 said you believe in the death penalty in certain cases, but 12 you struggle with it. You struggle with the prospects of 13 serving as a juror in a capital murder case. You're exactly 14 the kind of juror I would want; do you see what I'm saying?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would result in a death sentence and I think you said it would prevent you it would substantially impair your ability to even give fair consideration, even if it weren't absolutely impossible, which I think you're saying it is? A. Yes. Q. It would be so nearly impossible it would substantially impair your ability to answer those things	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and 4 challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told 5 you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty 6 very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions 7 and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't 8 be the kind of juror the State would want, okay? 9 A. (Nods heads.) 10 Q. And maybe with you the opposite is true for me. You 11 said you believe in the death penalty in certain cases, but 12 you struggle with it. You struggle with the prospects of 13 serving as a juror in a capital murder case. You're exactly 14 the kind of juror I would want; do you see what I'm saying? 15 A. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would result in a death sentence and I think you said it would prevent you it would substantially impair your ability to even give fair consideration, even if it weren't absolutely impossible, which I think you're saying it is? A. Yes. Q. It would be so nearly impossible it would substantially impair your ability to answer those things freely?	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and 4 challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told 5 you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty 6 very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions 7 and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't 8 be the kind of juror the State would want, okay? 9 A. (Nods heads.) 10 Q. And maybe with you the opposite is true for me. You 11 said you believe in the death penalty in certain cases, but 12 you struggle with it. You struggle with the prospects of 13 serving as a juror in a capital murder case. You're exactly 14 the kind of juror I would want; do you see what I'm saying? 15 A. Yeah. 16 Q. And Mr. Schultz was right, anybody every person
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would result in a death sentence and I think you said it would prevent you it would substantially impair your ability to even give fair consideration, even if it weren't absolutely impossible, which I think you're saying it is? A. Yes. Q. It would be so nearly impossible it would substantially impair your ability to answer those things freely? A. Ultimately, yes.	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and 4 challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told 5 you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty 6 very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions 7 and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't 8 be the kind of juror the State would want, okay? 9 A. (Nods heads.) 10 Q. And maybe with you the opposite is true for me. You 11 said you believe in the death penalty in certain cases, but 12 you struggle with it. You struggle with the prospects of 13 serving as a juror in a capital murder case. You're exactly 14 the kind of juror I would want; do you see what I'm saying? 15 A. Yeah. 16 Q. And Mr. Schultz was right, anybody every person 17 who serves on this jury has to tell the Judge ultimately that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would result in a death sentence and I think you said it would prevent you it would substantially impair your ability to even give fair consideration, even if it weren't absolutely impossible, which I think you're saying it is? A. Yes. Q. It would be so nearly impossible it would substantially impair your ability to answer those things freely? A. Ultimately, yes. MR. SCHULTZ: How much time do I have, Judge?	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and 4 challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told 5 you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty 6 very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions 7 and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't 8 be the kind of juror the State would want, okay? 9 A. (Nods heads.) 10 Q. And maybe with you the opposite is true for me. You 11 said you believe in the death penalty in certain cases, but 12 you struggle with it. You struggle with the prospects of 13 serving as a juror in a capital murder case. You're exactly 14 the kind of juror I would want; do you see what I'm saying? 15 A. Yeah. 16 Q. And Mr. Schultz was right, anybody every person 17 who serves on this jury has to tell the Judge ultimately that 18 they could vote in such a way if the evidence was there and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would result in a death sentence and I think you said it would prevent you it would substantially impair your ability to even give fair consideration, even if it weren't absolutely impossible, which I think you're saying it is? A. Yes. Q. It would be so nearly impossible it would substantially impair your ability to answer those things freely? A. Ultimately, yes. MR. SCHULTZ: How much time do I have, Judge? THE COURT: About 30 seconds.	1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of 3 about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and 4 challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told 5 you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty 6 very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions 7 and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't 8 be the kind of juror the State would want, okay? 9 A. (Nods heads.) 10 Q. And maybe with you the opposite is true for me. You 11 said you believe in the death penalty in certain cases, but 12 you struggle with it. You struggle with the prospects of 13 serving as a juror in a capital murder case. You're exactly 14 the kind of juror I would want; do you see what I'm saying? 15 A. Yeah. 16 Q. And Mr. Schultz was right, anybody every person 17 who serves on this jury has to tell the Judge ultimately that 18 they could vote in such a way if the evidence was there and 19 they were convinced beyond a reasonable doubt on all the first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would result in a death sentence and I think you said it would prevent you it would substantially impair your ability to even give fair consideration, even if it weren't absolutely impossible, which I think you're saying it is? A. Yes. Q. It would be so nearly impossible it would substantially impair your ability to answer those things freely? A. Ultimately, yes. MR. SCHULTZ: How much time do I have, Judge? THE COURT: About 30 seconds. MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. I'll give some of it	THE COURT: Thank you. Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't be the kind of juror the State would want, okay? A. (Nods heads.) Q. And maybe with you the opposite is true for me. You said you believe in the death penalty in certain cases, but you struggle with it. You struggle with the prospects of serving as a juror in a capital murder case. You're exactly the kind of juror I would want; do you see what I'm saying? A. Yeah. Q. And Mr. Schultz was right, anybody every person who serves on this jury has to tell the Judge ultimately that they could vote in such a way if the evidence was there and they were convinced beyond a reasonable doubt on all the first phase of the trial and that special issue number one. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would result in a death sentence and I think you said it would prevent you it would substantially impair your ability to even give fair consideration, even if it weren't absolutely impossible, which I think you're saying it is? A. Yes. Q. It would be so nearly impossible it would substantially impair your ability to answer those things freely? A. Ultimately, yes. MR. SCHULTZ: How much time do I have, Judge? THE COURT: About 30 seconds. MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. I'll give some of it back to you.	THE COURT: Thank you. Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty every much so but could answer vote to answer the questions and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't be the kind of juror the State would want, okay? A. (Nods heads.) Q. And maybe with you the opposite is true for me. You said you believe in the death penalty in certain cases, but you struggle with it. You struggle with the prospects of serving as a juror in a capital murder case. You're exactly the kind of juror I would want; do you see what I'm saying? A. Yeah. Q. And Mr. Schultz was right, anybody every person who serves on this jury has to tell the Judge ultimately that they could vote in such a way if the evidence was there and they were convinced beyond a reasonable doubt on all the first phase of the trial and that special issue number one. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would result in a death sentence and I think you said it would prevent you it would substantially impair your ability to even give fair consideration, even if it weren't absolutely impossible, which I think you're saying it is? A. Yes. Q. It would be so nearly impossible it would substantially impair your ability to answer those things freely? A. Ultimately, yes. MR. SCHULTZ: How much time do I have, Judge? THE COURT: About 30 seconds. MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. I'll give some of it back to you. We respectfully challenge this juror for cause	THE COURT: Thank you. Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't be the kind of juror the State would want, okay? A. (Nods heads.) Q. And maybe with you the opposite is true for me. You said you believe in the death penalty in certain cases, but you struggle with it. You struggle with the prospects of serving as a juror in a capital murder case. You're exactly the kind of juror I would want; do you see what I'm saying? A. Yeah. Q. And Mr. Schultz was right, anybody every person who serves on this jury has to tell the Judge ultimately that they could vote in such a way if the evidence was there and they were convinced beyond a reasonable doubt on all the first phase of the trial and that special issue number one. And however they answer the third special issue, that ultimately in the proper case, in the right kind of case, they could vote
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would result in a death sentence and I think you said it would prevent you it would substantially impair your ability to even give fair consideration, even if it weren't absolutely impossible, which I think you're saying it is? A. Yes. Q. It would be so nearly impossible it would substantially impair your ability to answer those things freely? A. Ultimately, yes. MR. SCHULTZ: How much time do I have, Judge? THE COURT: About 30 seconds. MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. I'll give some of it back to you. We respectfully challenge this juror for cause based upon her answers.	THE COURT: Thank you. Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't be the kind of juror the State would want, okay? A. (Nods heads.) Q. And maybe with you the opposite is true for me. You said you believe in the death penalty in certain cases, but you struggle with it. You struggle with the prospects of serving as a juror in a capital murder case. You're exactly the kind of juror I would want; do you see what I'm saying? A. Yeah. Q. And Mr. Schultz was right, anybody every person who serves on this jury has to tell the Judge ultimately that they could vote in such a way if the evidence was there and they were convinced beyond a reasonable doubt on all the first phase of the trial and that special issue number one. And however they answer the third special issue, that ultimately in the proper case, in the right kind of case, they could vote in such a way where the death penalty would be imposed. Now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	penalty, if they wouldn't prevent MR. SCHULTZ: Do you want me to continue, Judge? THE COURT: Hold on just a second. (Brief pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Please go ahead, Mr. Schultz. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Even if somehow theoretically it wouldn't prevent you from returning a verdict that would result in a death sentence and I think you said it would prevent you it would substantially impair your ability to even give fair consideration, even if it weren't absolutely impossible, which I think you're saying it is? A. Yes. Q. It would be so nearly impossible it would substantially impair your ability to answer those things freely? A. Ultimately, yes. MR. SCHULTZ: How much time do I have, Judge? THE COURT: About 30 seconds. MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. I'll give some of it back to you. We respectfully challenge this juror for cause	THE COURT: Thank you. Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Schultz talked to you kind of about a little bit about strategy; peremptory strikes and challenges for cause and all that kind of thing. And he told you that somebody that was very much against the death penalty very much so but could answer vote to answer the questions and he was quite honest. He said, you know, probably wouldn't be the kind of juror the State would want, okay? A. (Nods heads.) Q. And maybe with you the opposite is true for me. You said you believe in the death penalty in certain cases, but you struggle with it. You struggle with the prospects of serving as a juror in a capital murder case. You're exactly the kind of juror I would want; do you see what I'm saying? A. Yeah. Q. And Mr. Schultz was right, anybody every person who serves on this jury has to tell the Judge ultimately that they could vote in such a way if the evidence was there and they were convinced beyond a reasonable doubt on all the first phase of the trial and that special issue number one. And however they answer the third special issue, that ultimately in the proper case, in the right kind of case, they could vote

1 death penalty may result, okay.

Obviously a defendant wants people who may be opposed to the death penalty, but could follow the law and the instructions from the Judge and kind of let the chips fall

where they may. In our law -- and that's why Mr. Schultz was

6 asking would it substantially impair your ability. Our law

7 says that you can be against the death penalty and still be on

8 this jury. But what the law contemplates and, you know, quite

9 frankly, I would hope more people would come to this

10 courthouse with your attitude, you know? This is the real

11 deal. That's a real live human being sitting there. This is

12 a -- this weighs on my mind heavily. Unfortunately we have

13 maybe too many people that don't give it a second flip, but I

14 like what I'm hearing from you. But the test is --

MR. GOELLER: And if I could approach those,

16 Judge.

17 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

18 O. BY MR. GOELLER: The ultimate test is -- and mind

19 you, you were answering all the questions up to this point,

20 and you haven't heard one shred of evidence. Does that make

21 it a little difficult to answer those questions?

22 A. Not the special issues.

23 Q. Okay, okay. I seem to - I'm of the opinion that

24 most of your difficulty with this -- with being a prospective

25 juror comes with this first special issue, correct?

Page 59

1 A. Yes.

Q. Now, we know that if somebody -- by the time you get

3 to those questions, a jury will have found beyond a reasonable

4 doubt that somebody is guilty of capital murder. And in the

5 case at hand, that's either going to be a murder committed in

6 the course of a burglary, or a murder committed in the

7 course -- furtherance of a robbery, or a double homicide, two

8 people are dead. The indictment alleges two people were

9 killed.

So, to get to those questions in this case, the jury

will had to have found beyond a reasonable doubt a lot of good

12 evidence. That's what the State's got to bring you. I don't

13 know if they'll -- I don't know what all their evidence will

14 be. I haven't heard the witnesses. We haven't had a trial

15 yet, so we don't even know if we'll get there. Maybe he'll be

6 acquitted. Maybe he'll be found guilty of something else

17 other than capital murder. Who knows?

But for the sake of this individual voir dire, we'll

19 assume, because we have to talk about these special issues,

20 that the jury has found him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

21 Don't get me wrong. I'm not conceding that, but I have to --

22 kind of have to have that frame of mind when we talk about the

23 special issues, otherwise they don't make much sense.

So, a jury has had to have found in this case, to

25 get to those special issues, killed two people, killed in the

Page 60

1 course of burglary, killed in course of robbery, any, all --

2 any or all, okay?

3 A. (Nods head.)

Q. So assume that happens, then we know the only two

5 punishments are life or death, right?

6 A. (Nods head.)

O. Mr. Schultz has correctly told you a life sentence

8 in Texas is at least 40 calendar hard years; no parole, no

9 probation, any of that kind of thing. You just start clicking

10 off 40 years. Some people are going to die in the joint

11 because of their age. We really -- depending on your age, we

12 really do have life without parole. If you're 40 years old,

13 you know, could you live to be 80 in a Texas joint? I don't

14 know. Who knows.

15 The youngest person that could be sentenced to life

16 is 17. So you add 40 to 17, and the earliest that person

17 could get out -- you know, they would be almost 60 years old,

8 so it almost is life without parole, I guess.

19 But, anyhow when you look at that question, maybe --

20 can you see how that question may be asking, or the argument

21 could be made, will they kill in the penitentiary? Will they

22 do bad, bad things to other people in the penitentiary because

23 that society we know definitely includes the penitentiary,

24 prison society. And if that's the first question in the

25 decision to be made for life or death, can you see how that

Page 61

1 question may be asking along those lines about other inmates,

2 guards, preachers, dentists, doctors, nursing staff, music

3 teachers, GED teachers, all the people that kind of make up

4 prison society?

5 And it's okay to have -- and I agree with you.

6 Nobody can predict the future with any certainty, and

7 everybody has the possibility of changing in this world,

8 right?

9 A. (Nods head.)

10 Q. But, if we're going to have a death penalty, I

11 suppose the Legislature needed some question to separate those

12 that would probably commit criminal acts of violence, and that

13 could be, you know, raping another inmate or murder, or

14 hurting a guard or trying to kill a guard. You know, take

15 that George Rivas. They escaped from the penitentiary. I

16 don't know if they really hurt anybody escaping, but they

17 certainly murdered that police officer, from what I've read,

18 over there in Arlington or Irving, wherever it was.

But that question maybe focuses in on can they be 20 held in the penitentiary safely, okay?

Now, there's all sorts of ways that you can answer

22 that question. You can answer that question based on the

23 evidence you heard in the guilt-innocence phase of the trial.

24 The State is entitled to bring you, if there are such things,

25 other criminal acts, other patterns of behavior. They can

1 A. I would answer it the same way. I bring you, if they choose, maybe an expert witness, a Q. Okay, okay. All right. You told Mr. Schultz there 2 psychiatrist or psychologist that might shed some insight in 3 were specific reasons, and I wanted to ask you what those 3 that. It is an odd question because they have to prove it "yes," beyond a reasonable doubt, okay? 4 were? 5 5 Now, beyond a reasonable doubt -- you know, we know A. Because my - I have a good - a dear friend that's 6 in prison now, and I don't think I really thought about it or 6 that's more than 50 percent of the evidence. We know it's 7 understood that what he did before I got to know him and the 7 probably more than 75. I could make the argument, based on 8 circumstances and really looked at life on the other side, I 8 how I look mathematically at all our burdens of proof, like in 9 would have been able to answer those questions. But now that a civil case, in a termination of parental rights case and a 10 criminal case, it's up there. The State won't disagree with 10 I know him personally and know what he's been through, I 11 me. It's not 100 percent. Judge won't say the State has to 11 understand that being sent to prison for 40 years or for ten 12 years is a life-changing event. 12 prove this case beyond any doubt whatsoever. He'll tell you Q. Okay. 13 the State has got to prove it beyond a reasonable doubt. 13 14 That's up there. That's a lot. They recognize that, and they 14 A. And I can't predict whether it's going to be life 15 know that. 15 changing for a person or not. It's just like asking me, 16 what's the probability of a good person doing something bad? 16 So, they've got to prove that by the same burden of 17 proof in the guilt-innocence phase that there's a probability. 17 I don't know. 18 I think everybody is going to agree probability means more 18 Q. I never thought of it that way. That makes sense. than 50 percent, or certainly more likely than not. It's more 19 Mr. Schultz stated the burden correctly. There's 20 20 kind of two questions. He used the substantial interest than a possibility. We know that. 21 The Defense, if they so choose, can bring you 21 question. There's another question called would you balk? 22 Would you balk, or return untruthful answers, violate your 22 evidence, too. Maybe an expert witness, maybe other people 23 talk about, you know -- maybe this type of thing is out of 23 oath on those questions. So, I guess probably one of my last 24 questions to you is no matter what the evidence -- well, let 24 character, or maybe the Defendant could be rehabilitated in 25 me ask you. Are you going to balk at answering those 25 prison. And we say rehabilitated on a life sentence, I guess Page 63 Page 65 1 we're not talking about classic rehabilitation where maybe you 1 questions, or answer them knowing going in you may have to go to the penitentiary for a short time and then get out and 2 answer those questions untruthfully such as to violate your conscience? Do you see what I'm saying? 3 on parole, or you get probation, or shock probation, all those 4 kind of things. Because many times in Mr. Schultz's questioning of 5 Rehabilitation in a life sentence in a capital 5 you, you said -- you used the words "I don't think I can," "I 6 might not be able to," but if you're telling the Judge that murder really means can you -- can you live peacefully in the 6 penitentiary for probably almost all the rest of your life? your views -- your personal views would substantially impair 8 Rehabilitation would really be an inner thing, you know, a your ability to answer those questions, even if the evidence 9 life sentence in capital murder because you're not doing it to is there, then that's -- that would probably bring my 10 get out. You're probably not. You're either going to die or 10 questioning to a conclusion. 11 A. I'm saying that in guilt or innocence --11 be an old man when you get out. But can you see how that 12 12 question can focus on can they be held in the penitentiary? Q. Not a problem? 13 13 A. - not a problem. A. Yes. 14 Q. Now, with all the evidence that you would have 14 Q. Okay. 15 15 available to you from the first phase of the trial, and A. But when it comes to this particular issue, and when whatever evidence either side decided to bring you, and it we met before and I knew what the issues were and you guys

Page 64

went over them, this one right here stopped me, and I've done

A. I cannot ever see a situation when I could answer

MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me just a moment, Judge.

nothing but think about this special issue.

THE COURT: All right.

22 threat to society.

25 differently to that.

23

24

20 For record purposes, would you let the record reflect she's21 indicated the first special issue dealing with continuing

Page 62

17 would be rare -- I would say it's -- it would be impossible

18 for a jury to find a defendant guilty of capital murder in the19 punishment phase. The State says we don't have anything else

20 to say and the Defense says we don't have anything else to

Do you think that -- with that explanation of how

24 you may go about answering that question, do you think you

say. That's not going to happen. You're going to get

22 evidence.

25 could answer that question now?

	Page 66		Page 68
1	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Okay.	. 1	second panel that we've examined, that being James T.
2	A. Because I just don't know.	2	Rountree. And we do this, of course, conditioned upon the
3	Q. Okay, Ms. Stone. I won't sometimes we'll	3	Defendant's consent.
4	ping-pong you back and forth and back and forth, but I think	4	THE COURT: All right. What says the Defense?
5	at this point I'm doing you a disservice. I'm wasting your	5	MR. GOELLER: Judge, that is accurate, what
6	time and the Court's time to ask you any more questions	6	Mr. Schultz said. And I would just ask my client, Ivan, is
7	because I think it's pretty clear. So, I thank you for your	7	that agreeable to you?
8	service. I thank you for your honesty. That's even though	8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
9	it didn't go my way, really; do you know what I'm saying?	9	THE COURT: All right. Then Number 64 and
10	A. The best service I can do in this trial is be	10	Number 71 well, Number 64, you can tell her she's finally
11	honest.	11	excused.
12	Q. You bet. You're correct.	12	THE BAILIFF: Yes, Your Honor.
13	A. So that's where I feel good about it.	13	THE COURT: And let's tell Janie to get ahold
14	THE COURT: Ma'am, the challenge for cause is	14	of Number 71 and tell him not to come in.
15	granted, and you are finally excused.	15	So at 1:00 we'll start up with Daniel Blackwell,
16	VENIREPERSON: Thank you.	16	
17	THE COURT: Let's take a ten-minute recess and	17	MR. GOELLER: Yes, sir.
18	come back.	18	THE COURT: And see you-all at that time.
19	THE BAILIFF: All rise.	19	MR. GOELLER: Be excused until then, Judge?
20	(Recess taken.)	20	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: The next witness is Cathy True.	21	THE BAILIFF: All rise.
22	MR. SCHULTZ: She's a professed 1. If I	22	(Off the record at 11:00 a.m.)
23	understand that procedure, Mr. Goeller will go first for 20	23	(Lunch recess.)
24	minutes?	24	THE COURT: I suppose Daniel Blackwell is
25	THE COURT: Is that your desire?	25	next, Number 59.
	The state of the s		
	Page 67		Page 69
1	Page 67 MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that.	1	Page 69 (Venireperson enters the courtroom.)
1 2		1 2	
	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that.		(Venireperson enters the courtroom.)
2	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right.	2	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell?
2	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come	2 3 4	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am.
2 3 4 5	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come	2 3 4 5	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over
2 3 4 5	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could	2 3 4 5	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could	2 3 4 5 6	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd	2 3 4 5 6 7	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd be surprised if the Defense had that questionnaire because we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath. VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd be surprised if the Defense had that questionnaire because we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath. VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Please be seated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd be surprised if the Defense had that questionnaire because we don't, either. What I'd like to do is give us a couple of minutes and see if we want to agree on a 5 to match out with this 1 and concentrate on somebody else.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath. VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Please be seated. VENIREPERSON: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd be surprised if the Defense had that questionnaire because we don't, either. What I'd like to do is give us a couple of minutes and see if we want to agree on a 5 to match out with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath. VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Please be seated. VENIREPERSON: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Schultz, are you ready?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd be surprised if the Defense had that questionnaire because we don't, either. What I'd like to do is give us a couple of minutes and see if we want to agree on a 5 to match out with this 1 and concentrate on somebody else.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath. VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Please be seated. VENIREPERSON: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Schultz, are you ready? MR. SCHULTZ: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd be surprised if the Defense had that questionnaire because we don't, either. What I'd like to do is give us a couple of minutes and see if we want to agree on a 5 to match out with this 1 and concentrate on somebody else. THE COURT: Sure. Do you want me to step down	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath. VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Please be seated. VENIREPERSON: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Schultz, are you ready? MR. SCHULTZ: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. This is Daniel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd be surprised if the Defense had that questionnaire because we don't, either. What I'd like to do is give us a couple of minutes and see if we want to agree on a 5 to match out with this 1 and concentrate on somebody else. THE COURT: Sure. Do you want me to step down for a few minutes? MR. SCHULTZ: Well, it's up to you. I mean, I don't know how long it takes them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath. VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Please be seated. VENIREPERSON: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Schultz, are you ready? MR. SCHULTZ: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. This is Daniel Blackwell.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd be surprised if the Defense had that questionnaire because we don't, either. What I'd like to do is give us a couple of minutes and see if we want to agree on a 5 to match out with this 1 and concentrate on somebody else. THE COURT: Sure. Do you want me to step down for a few minutes? MR. SCHULTZ: Well, it's up to you. I mean, I don't know how long it takes them. THE COURT: I tell you what, let me step down	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath. VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Please be seated. VENIREPERSON: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Schultz, are you ready? MR. SCHULTZ: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. This is Daniel Blackwell. DIRECT QUESTIONS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd be surprised if the Defense had that questionnaire because we don't, either. What I'd like to do is give us a couple of minutes and see if we want to agree on a 5 to match out with this 1 and concentrate on somebody else. THE COURT: Sure. Do you want me to step down for a few minutes? MR. SCHULTZ: Well, it's up to you. I mean, I don't know how long it takes them. THE COURT: I tell you what, let me step down for a few minutes and call me back.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath. VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Please be seated. VENIREPERSON: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Schultz, are you ready? MR. SCHULTZ: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. This is Daniel Blackwell. DIRECT QUESTIONS BY MR. SCHULTZ:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd be surprised if the Defense had that questionnaire because we don't, either. What I'd like to do is give us a couple of minutes and see if we want to agree on a 5 to match out with this 1 and concentrate on somebody else. THE COURT: Sure. Do you want me to step down for a few minutes? MR. SCHULTZ: Well, it's up to you. I mean, I don't know how long it takes them. THE COURT: I tell you what, let me step down for a few minutes and call me back. THE BAILIFF: All rise.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath. VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Please be seated. VENIREPERSON: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Schultz, are you ready? MR. SCHULTZ: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. This is Daniel Blackwell. DIRECT QUESTIONS BY MR. SCHULTZ: Q. How are you, Mr. Blackwell?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd be surprised if the Defense had that questionnaire because we don't, either. What I'd like to do is give us a couple of minutes and see if we want to agree on a 5 to match out with this 1 and concentrate on somebody else. THE COURT: Sure. Do you want me to step down for a few minutes? MR. SCHULTZ: Well, it's up to you. I mean, I don't know how long it takes them. THE COURT: I tell you what, let me step down for a few minutes and call me back. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath. VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Please be seated. VENIREPERSON: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Schultz, are you ready? MR. SCHULTZ: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. This is Daniel Blackwell. DIRECT QUESTIONS BY MR. SCHULTZ: Q. How are you, Mr. Blackwell? A. Fine, Mr. Schultz. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd be surprised if the Defense had that questionnaire because we don't, either. What I'd like to do is give us a couple of minutes and see if we want to agree on a 5 to match out with this 1 and concentrate on somebody else. THE COURT: Sure. Do you want me to step down for a few minutes? MR. SCHULTZ: Well, it's up to you. I mean, I don't know how long it takes them. THE COURT: I tell you what, let me step down for a few minutes and call me back. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath. VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Please be seated. VENIREPERSON: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Schultz, are you ready? MR. SCHULTZ: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. This is Daniel Blackwell. DIRECT QUESTIONS BY MR. SCHULTZ: Q. How are you, Mr. Blackwell? A. Fine, Mr. Schultz. Thank you. Q. Did you ever get to Houston for that RICO thing you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd be surprised if the Defense had that questionnaire because we don't, either. What I'd like to do is give us a couple of minutes and see if we want to agree on a 5 to match out with this 1 and concentrate on somebody else. THE COURT: Sure. Do you want me to step down for a few minutes? MR. SCHULTZ: Well, it's up to you. I mean, I don't know how long it takes them. THE COURT: I tell you what, let me step down for a few minutes and call me back. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz? MR. SCHULTZ: Not consenting to the Court's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath. VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Please be seated. VENIREPERSON: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Schultz, are you ready? MR. SCHULTZ: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. This is Daniel Blackwell. DIRECT QUESTIONS BY MR. SCHULTZ: Q. How are you, Mr. Blackwell? A. Fine, Mr. Schultz. Thank you. Q. Did you ever get to Houston for that RICO thing you were working on?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd be surprised if the Defense had that questionnaire because we don't, either. What I'd like to do is give us a couple of minutes and see if we want to agree on a 5 to match out with this 1 and concentrate on somebody else. THE COURT: Sure. Do you want me to step down for a few minutes? MR. SCHULTZ: Well, it's up to you. I mean, I don't know how long it takes them. THE COURT: I tell you what, let me step down for a few minutes and call me back. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz? MR. SCHULTZ: Not consenting to the Court's view on 1s and 5s, but nevertheless, we have negotiated a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath. VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Please be seated. VENIREPERSON: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Schultz, are you ready? MR. SCHULTZ: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. This is Daniel Blackwell. DIRECT QUESTIONS BY MR. SCHULTZ: Q. How are you, Mr. Blackwell? A. Fine, Mr. Schultz. Thank you. Q. Did you ever get to Houston for that RICO thing you were working on? A. I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd be surprised if the Defense had that questionnaire because we don't, either. What I'd like to do is give us a couple of minutes and see if we want to agree on a 5 to match out with this 1 and concentrate on somebody else. THE COURT: Sure. Do you want me to step down for a few minutes? MR. SCHULTZ: Well, it's up to you. I mean, I don't know how long it takes them. THE COURT: I tell you what, let me step down for a few minutes and call me back. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz? MR. SCHULTZ: Not consenting to the Court's view on 1s and 5s, but nevertheless, we have negotiated a trade of Juror Number 59, who is I'm sorry 64, who is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath. VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Please be seated. VENIREPERSON: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Schultz, are you ready? MR. SCHULTZ: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. This is Daniel Blackwell. DIRECT QUESTIONS BY MR. SCHULTZ: Q. How are you, Mr. Blackwell? A. Fine, Mr. Schultz. Thank you. Q. Did you ever get to Houston for that RICO thing you were working on? A. I did. Q. Tell me about that a little.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GOELLER: I would like to do that. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: But before we do that, what I'm thinking is statistically speaking, neither one of us has come even close to saving a 1 or a 5, wherever the polarity is, and somehow it may pop up. We got to looking to see if we could find a 5 down the stack just to avoid the "old college try," and we found one, but we had to go down to Number 71, and I'd be surprised if the Defense had that questionnaire because we don't, either. What I'd like to do is give us a couple of minutes and see if we want to agree on a 5 to match out with this 1 and concentrate on somebody else. THE COURT: Sure. Do you want me to step down for a few minutes? MR. SCHULTZ: Well, it's up to you. I mean, I don't know how long it takes them. THE COURT: I tell you what, let me step down for a few minutes and call me back. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz? MR. SCHULTZ: Not consenting to the Court's view on 1s and 5s, but nevertheless, we have negotiated a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You're Daniel Blackwell? THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: I want to remind you a little over two weeks I put everybody under oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. VENIREPERSON: Okay. THE COURT: So I want to remind you that you are still under that oath. VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Please be seated. VENIREPERSON: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Schultz, are you ready? MR. SCHULTZ: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. This is Daniel Blackwell. DIRECT QUESTIONS BY MR. SCHULTZ: Q. How are you, Mr. Blackwell? A. Fine, Mr. Schultz. Thank you. Q. Did you ever get to Houston for that RICO thing you were working on? A. I did.

25

A. Right.

A. I don't know that I would use the term "unfair." I

Page 74 Page 76 sexual abuse of children. That's just about as bad as they Q. And it's ironic to say that because before people 1 were police officers, they were just people like anybody come. You get a large portion of the population that say you 3 else. It's not like there's some -- a bunch of smoke and fire ought to be executed for doing that, even though no death that comes up when they pin a badge on. They're the same occurred. 5 So we oftentimes find ourselves getting ready for a folks they were when they were in junior high school, high trial in a sexual abuse of a child case, and we're qualifying 6 school, college, if they went to college, all that sort of the jury on their responsiveness to punishment. 7 thing? A. Right. 8 A. Correct. Q. And the questions go like this: You understand that O. The same thing with the social worker. There's 9 the Legislature gives a broad range of punishment options to nothing transforming about becoming a social worker that I can the jury if a defendant is found guilty of sexual abuse of a 11 see, but the professions people choose might give some insight 12 child. And you understand that -- explain that range, into how they see the world, I guess is what I'm thinking. 12 13 depending on exactly what's alleged and whether it's 13 A. Okav. 14 aggravated or not, could be all the way up to life or 99 14 O. And even if I'm right -- or as long as I think I'm 15 right, I guess I'll act on it, that doesn't mean that the 15 years, and you almost never see a human being that will say I social worker would not be a qualified juror just because I 16 could not consider giving someone 99 years in prison for doing 17 that because all of us could. Are you with me? might believe that this person would have, perhaps, more 18 A. (Nods head.) sympathy and more reliance on how people grew up as an 18 19 Q. But then you go to the other end of the extreme --19 explanation for their criminality than maybe someone else would. I still would examine each one, and they both might be the other end of the punishment range, and you say, well, what perfectly qualified to be part of that eligible pool of about five years? And jurors start thinking about that. They jurors. Do you follow what I'm saying? 22 really stop and think, yeah, how could I ever -- if somebody has done such a thing, how could five years in prison ever be 23 A. Yes, sir. 24 appropriate? And they oftentimes will say, I don't think I 24 Q. So that's kind of how I look at jurors. It's sort 25 can do that. 25 of a two-step process. I look and first say, is this person Page 77 Page 75 A. Right. eligible to even be considered for a jury? How a person would not be eligible to even be considered to be on a jury would be Q. There's no way I could. That's just too low. If if he had been convicted previously of some form of theft, you take enough time, and they're regular people and explain to them that the Legislature has created this punishment range almost of any degree. That's just one of the statutory for a reason to include all possible varieties and that our disqualifications for some reason. 5 Legislature has said there are some cases, depending upon 6 A. Right. O. If they were on the Grand Jury that returned the their circumstances, where five years might be warranted, and 7 actually get the juror to thinking about it, most of us would indictment -- if you were on the Collin County Grand Jury that say, well, I think it would be rare. It would have to be an indicted this Defendant, you're statutorily disqualified. If 9 you were not a resident of the county, for example. Somehow 10 unusual fact circumstance before I could ever go that low. or other you got summoned in, but you live in Rockwall County, Q. But, number one, I'll give the benefit to (sic) the and so on. Those kinds of things that the Judge actually went 12 13 doubt of the Legislature. There must be such cases if they over at an earlier time, so those are the statutory things. 13 create a punishment range that it could go that low. And, 14 And the next thing that would make a juror secondly, my job as a juror is to weigh all the evidence and 15 disqualified would be is if he or she could not follow and give fair expression to all of the laws that might be required come back with what's right, and I need to have an open mind as to all of the law, and I need to be able to follow all the 17 to be considered by the juror. law because I take an oath that will I do that, and I just A. Okay. 18 19 O. And they can been varied. There can be different need to put what I think ought to be the law aside for a while and just work within the law. Do you follow what I'm saying? cases. Let's talk, not about a capital murder case, let's 20 21 talk about another kind of case for a second. I think if you 21 A. Yes, sir.

22

Q. And then it gets more involved. And that's -- and

23 most people when they stop and think about it, what they're24 saying is it would be an unusual circumstance, but I am

25 open-minded, and the thing I'm most open-minded to is doing

22 were to talk to jurors in general, and say what's the most

24 exclude from that capital murders and you take that class of

25 crimes away, I think you'd get a huge density of people saying

awful conduct you could ever imagine anybody doing, and if you

- 1 the right thing and that's considering the evidence and
- 2 following the law the judge gives me. And if they're the kind
- 3 of people that would rarely ever give five years, they can
- 4 still be qualified as long as they would consider it and would
- 5 be able to vote for it when they saw such a case.

6 A. Okay.

- 7 Q. And it gets even more complicated. Sometimes just
- 8 in our regular cases, not our capital murder cases, because
- 9 under our law in Texas you are eligible for probation on any
- 10 sentence in which the jury gives ten years or less. Doesn't
- 11 mean you're worthy of it. Doesn't mean that you are going to
- 12 get it, but you're eligible, and if you're eligible, a person
- 13 sitting on such a jury has to be fairly able to follow the law
- 14 and give consideration to the concept of probation even in a
- 15 sexual abuse of a child case.

16 A. Agreed.

- 17 Q. Yeah, the same thing. I mean, it's just the
- 18 situations seem like they get more extreme. You start asking
- 19 people of conscience could you give a child molester five
- 20 years probation depending upon the evidence? Their first
- 21 reaction is how could you? How could that make sense?
- 22 But once again, if it's -- if it's the -- if it's
- 23 the intelligent juror who has a commitment to not making law
- 24 from the jury box, but rather to giving effect to the law that
- 25 we have right now, and they actually stop and think about it,

Page 79

- 1 they realize, well, yeah, it would be a rare case but my mind
- 2 is opened -- my mind is open to all the evidence that's
- 3 presented, and I'll fairly -- I will fairly give expression to
- 4 our law according to how I see it. And if there's somebody
- 5 that's only going to give probation once in 300 times, that's
- 6 fine. And if it's a social worker that believe everybody on
- 7 Earth deserves a second chance so they almost always give
- 8 probation, so? That's fine, too, as long as they're open to
- 9 going the other direction according to the evidence.

10 A. Okay.

- 11 Q. As you sit there right now, do you see yourself as
- 12 an open-minded kind of man that would be able to resist the
- 13 temptation and make law yourself in that kind of case and give
- 14 fair consideration to all the things that the Legislature
- 15 wants you to consider and that the judges instruct you to
- 16 consider?
- 17 A. I can. I believe I'm very open-minded. In my
- 18 position and in my profession, I have to be open-minded
- 19 examining all the evidence that is -- that surrounds whatever
- 20 case there is, and then make the determination as to how
- 21 you're going to pursue that and proceed from there.
- Q. You seem like that kind of individual to me, sir.
- 23 A. Thank you.
- 24 Q. Now, moving into the death penalty question. I'm
- 25 not sure that we have any more people that are resistant to

Page 80

- 1 the notion of a death sentence as a possible outcome of a
- 2 criminal case than probation on a child molestation, or sexual
- 3 abuse of a child case. I don't know. I'm just -- we have
- 4 some people that express concern about it, and -- but it's the
- 5 same kind of process. Once you go through the notion that the
- 5 jury is here to give effect to our law and to fairly evaluate
- 7 evidence and do that measurement sort of thing --
- A. Okay.
- 9 Q. -- most intelligent jurors realize that all that's
- 10 asking people to do is another variety of following the law
- II and keep the open mind and be able to not have any automatic
- 12 answers to anything. I guess if you sum it all up, I think
- 13 the thing that the law requires is that jurors not have
- 14 anything about what they'll do that would be automatic.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. For example, if you're the kind of man that's
- 17 sitting there right now and you've looked over at the
- 18 Defendant, and you've said to yourself, I can look in his eyes
- 19 and tell he's guilty of what they say, you've created for
- 20 yourself a situation where you've got an automatic verdict
- 21 then. Do you follow what I'm saying?
- 22 A. (Nods head.)
- Q. Instead, what the law obliges all jurors to do is --
- 24 whether it's this Defendant or any defendant in any criminal
- 25 case, to be able to say I presume that person innocent because

Page 81

- 1 the law starts us all that way.
 - A. That's right.

- 3 Q. No evidence has been presented and unless and until
- 4 the State proves he's guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, my
- 5 verdict would always be not guilty. It's like now, if the
- 6 Judge hands you a verdict form and says, sir, you're
- 7 instructed to determine whether the Defendant is guilty or not
- 8 guilty based upon the evidence you've heard, having heard no
- 9 evidence, the only thing you could ever fill in is not guilty?
- 10 A. That's right.
- 11 Q. Does that make sense to you?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. And it's a procedural starting point, and it's a
- 14 good thing because it's there for you and me and our kids and
- 15 people that we love, and it's also there for all criminal
- 16 defendants.
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. And we have to prove that the Defendant is guilty of
- 9 capital murder beyond a reasonable doubt or else some other
- 20 verdict results; either not guilty altogether or maybe guilty
- 21 of some lesser crime than capital murder. That's our burden
- 22 of proof. It's never upon the Defendant -- there are very few
- 23 burdens of proof a defendant has in the guilt-innocence phase
- 23 burdens of proof a defendant has in the guint-innocence p
- 24 of a trial, and I don't know any of them apply here.
- 25 Defendants have burdens of proof on things like entrapment.

- 1 They have burdens of proof on things like insanity. They have
- 2 burdens of proof on things like necessity, but most things
- 3 they don't.
- 4 Most things, even if it's a defensive matter
- raised, for example, we've got to disprove it if it's raised.
- Self-defense is a classic example. If evidence is offered in
- a murder case that the killing occurred and, yes, the
- defendant did it, but the reason it occurred was he was
- defending his life. We've got to prove that isn't the case,
- if that isn't being raised. That's just the rules. That's
- the way -- the rules of engagement in a criminal trial.
 - Do all of those seem like they're okay to you?
 - A. Yes, sir.

12

13

- 14 Q. And even if you find something in the law, are you
- 15 the kind of person you think, well, that's not a good rule. I
- don't like insanity. I think it's an excuse to get people off
- of terrible crimes. Are you still the kind of man that could
- fairly give effect to that law since our Legislature has
- created the defense of insanity?
- A. Again, I would have to say yes. I think you have to 20
- 21 examine all the evidence and look at all circumstances
- 22 surrounding that case.
- 23 Q. Okay. Now, for the most part, how you look at
- 24 witnesses is your individual business, you know, based upon --
- based upon your life on this planet. For example, you may

Page 83

- 1 have, because of your experience, learned more or think you've
- 2 learned more about how to tell when somebody is lying or
- somebody isn't. As a police officer, let's face it, you get
- 4 lied to all the time. This is my car when it's not. I've got
- 5 insurance when I don't. I'm not Johnny; I'm Fred. You know,
- that's a way of life for police officers, and so, you probably
- 7 in many ways, have developed certain instincts about that, and
- you kind of -- maybe you're more cynical than other people
- about who's telling the truth and not. But that's okay. How
- you view credibility is your business.
- 11 What's important is, as a juror that you be able to
- 12 resist telling war stories to other jurors. Because if you're
- seated on this jury, you're going to know stuff about the
- criminal justice system that the rest of them will not know
- because it might not be presented as evidence about how jails
- work, or who keeps logs of this and that in the jails. You'll
- 17 understand that; they won't. And while it's okay for you to
- 18 come in with whatever knowledge you might have, it's improper
- for you to share other stuff because it almost becomes like 19
- evidence you're giving the rest of the jury, and they don't
- have a chance to cross-examine it, and nobody can object
- 22 because they don't know what's happening, that kind of thing?
- 23 A. That's correct.

25

- 24 Q. You can do that, right?
 - A. Right. I understand the instruction from the Judge.

Page 84

- Q. Okay. Now, we probably all, in our society, have 1
- 2 people that we are more impressed with just because they have
- common interests with us than others. Let me give you an
- example. If I were an avid fisherman, and I had a juror that
- seemed like he was also an avid fisherman, I might feel like I
- 6 had some type of bond or understanding of that person, and I
- might have a more favorable response to that person than I
- would if there weren't that fishing bond. Are you with me?
- 9
- 10 Q. If the Judge will permit me, if the Judge were
- 11 sitting on a jury sometime he might have more connection with
- 12 a judge, for example, than another juror might have. He may
- 13 know about judicial conferences, he may know about the
- 14 training that's required, the burdens and the sacrifices that
- 15 you have to make to become a judge instead of a lawyer that
- 16 would certainly have a more lucrative career in many ways. So
- 17 we all respond to people that we seem to have common interests
- 18 or bonds with.
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And I say that because it occurs to me that you're
- 21 going to be listening to evidence that's going to come from
- 22 police officers. And although you're not a police officer
- 23 now, you have been in the past. You've not told me this, but
- 24 I'll bet you, in general, respect law enforcement and think
- 25 it's important, correct?

Page 85

A. I respect the position.

- 2 Q. So a question could be asked of you this way. It
- 3 could say, well, sir, would you automatically give more
- credibility to a police officer testifying than somebody else
- 5 testifying? And before you answer that, there are a couple of
- ways that could be approached. If I were sitting where you
- are, and somebody said, well, would you give more credibility
- to a police officer than somebody else, my answer would be, I
- think I would, and that doesn't make me a disqualified juror.
- 10 How I go about gauging credibility of an individual
- 11 witness would be my business. In other words, if I think
- 12 being a police officer is an important thing, an important
- 13 indication of credibility, maybe I'm thinking they've been
- 14 screened. They get fired for crimes, but if I'm thinking in
- 15 that way, nothing wrong with that question, and nothing wrong
- 16 with my answer. I'm still qualified, and I can say that.
- If somebody says would you give more credence to a
- 18 priest testifying than the average person, I think I would. I
- 19 mean, I would tend to look at the vows a priest has taken, and
- 20 the choices he's made -- it would have to be he. It wouldn't
- 21 be he or she -- the choices he's made in his life --
- 22 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- and I would see that as important, and all other
- 24 things being equal, I would give more credibility; that is,
- 25 believability to the honesty of that witness than probably the

Page 88 Page 86 1 average person. If I'm an atheist, maybe I would go the other 1 just means do you think police officers are magically truthful compared to other citizens? And most people say, no, they are 2 way. Maybe I would say, gosh, no, they're a priest. They're against my religion. I might go the other way. All of which citizens just like everybody else. There's good and there's 4 is okay, because, remember what I said, the problem is if we had 5 The reliability question might depend on what they 5 have automatic -- automatic responses that control how we view 6 evidence. were testifying about. You've got a police officer testifying about a crash scene, and when he's done some measurements and 7 A. Okay. skid marks and stuff, that person may be more reliable than Q. Now, it may be instead that your answer to that 9 question wouldn't even be, yes, I would give more credibility some guy that was, you know, just walking down the street when it happened, just kind of looked around, never had any 10 to police officers, because let's face it, if you and I were 11 talking -- well, we talk publicly about the matter. You've training. So, there's -- there are those issues. 12 12 known some police officers, either in your Amarillo work or I guess my real question is could you fairly consider what a police officer had to say and be willing to 13 otherwise, that aren't as honest, right? 14 14 recognize that it could be untruthful on purpose or untruthful A. That's correct. 15 because the officer is incorrect? 15 O. You've seen them do dishonest things. You've A. Yes. I could do that. 16 probably seen them write reports. You were there, too, and 16 17 the things they put in the reports aren't the way you remember 17 Q. And you could do that with any other witness? 18 A. I can do that with anybody. Like I said, my 18 it, and we all understand that can happen? A. Correct. profession calls on me to examine the evidence, which that's 19 19 20 O. Those are people that have been -- just people in what I base my profession on what the evidence presents. Q. Okay. Now, if we prove to you beyond a reasonable 21 the community beforehand. And so, yes, if you would give more 22 credence to a police officer than somebody else, if that's all 22 doubt the Defendant's guilty of capital murder, the proper 23 there was, so what? It's like me thinking a police officer is verdict, the only acceptable verdict, if we do that, is 24 a better juror than a social worker. The notion, though, is 24 guilty. 25 to be able to look at the person, look at the evidence, and be 25 A. Correct. Page 87 Page 89 1 capable of saying I see that police officer, and, yes, I used Q. And I need to be able to absolutely count on 12 2 to be a cop myself and I like police, but I believe he's people who, if I do my job will do their job, and that is vote 3 lying, and have the ability to say that guy is lying. And guilty for capital murder. If I do my job properly by 4 you've got that ability? convincing and producing enough evidence beyond a reasonable 5 doubt. 5 A. Yes, sir. Q. Same thing with a priest. I mean, yeah, that priest 6 Mr. Goeller and Mr. High, the defense attorneys, 7 may look better, but there have been some significant need the same thing. They need to know that if I don't do my 8 misbehavior by some priests, a very small minority -- but job, or if, through their skill, they're able to somehow 9 maybe every barrel has a bad apple in it, and -- you know, undermine how I've done my job by exposing my witnesses to 10 five bushels of good apples and maybe one bad apple, but you ridicule or suspicion, for example, or by making my evidence 11 still recognize there can be bad ones or dishonest ones? look to be silly or weak or not what it is or by anything else that they may do in the practice of their admirable craft. 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. And that's really a question of credibility because then I need to know that -- and they need to know that you'll 14 credibility just has to do with whether somebody is telling 14 do what's 15 the truth or not. Then we've got the reliability question, 15 required, and that is vote according to the evidence, and --16 because you call me to testify about some guy that's had a A. That's correct. 16 17 heart attack and whether the doctor did a good job or not. If 17 Q. -- you would do that? 18 you start asking me questions, I'll give truthful answers, but 18 A. Yes. 19 I don't know anything. I don't understand hearts. I don't 19 Q. And on the subject of which, I want to make one 20 understand x-rays or EKGs. I'd be just as truthful as I could 20 quick statement. I do this with a lot of jurors. I think

21 defense attorneys catch a lot of ill-will from some parts of

24 attorneys the scapegoats for all that goes wrong in criminal

25 justice. It's always how could you do that? How could you

22 the public. Probably not you. I don't get that sense from 23 you, but a lot of the public seems like they make defense

21 be, but my evidence wouldn't be worth anything because I'm not

Q. And so when we say, would you automatically give

25 credibility to a person because he's a police officer, that

22 a doctor. Are you with me?

A. Yes, sir.

23

- 1 represent somebody charged with that? How could McVeigh have
- 2 any lawyer represent him when he blows up a courthouse? How
- 3 could Manson ever have anybody sit at the table with him and
- 4 represent him?
- 5 And I always say I think it's real unfair. Nobody
- 6 ever asks the doctor, you know, how could you treat McVeigh if
- 7 he has appendicitis and he's hurting? Nobody ever asks the
- 8 dentist, how could you fix his tooth if it was aching? Every
- 9 other professional gets to do their job, and nobody comes down
- 10 on them. I think sometimes people lose sight of the fact that
- 11 lawyers are practicing a profession that our society says
- 12 everybody is entitled to have.
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And, you know, maybe -- I don't know your
- 15 background. You say you don't have any ill-will towards
- 16 defense attorneys. Do you understand what I'm saying? They
- 17 don't deserve any more criticism than the doctors or the
- 18 priests or anybody else who are professionals ministering to
- 19 the needs of people charged with crimes. If you don't agree
- 20 with me, at least I hope you see that as a reasonable way to
- 21 look at it.
- 22 A. No, I agree with you. I think everybody is entitled
- 23 to their own opinion and to pursue their objectives.
- 24 Q. Okay. And you don't have any problem with the
- 25 defendant not testifying. You understand that's his

Page 91

- 1 Constitutional right?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And if he doesn't testify, you can't hold it against
- 4 him, or ask yourself what's he hiding over there because
- 5 that's forbidden. That's like a forbidden thought even to
- 6 have. Are you okay with that?
- 7 A. Fine, no problem with that.
- Q. And they don't have to do anything over there at
- 9 all except behave. I mean, they can't. They've got to be
- 10 here, and the Defendant has to be here for the trial, but as
- 11 long as they're orderly, they can -- if they choose to, they
- 12 can ignore what we're doing here.
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 O. That's absolutely their right, and you can't hold
- 15 that against them because that doesn't change the burden of
- 16 proof. The State has got to prove it beyond a reasonable
- 17 doubt?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. You all right with that?
- 20 A. I'm fine with that.
- 21 Q. Maybe they have got to make objections and maybe
- 22 they have to get the jury excused to do what their profession
- 23 teaches them they need to do, and that's okay. Are you all
- 24 right with that?
- 25 A. I'm fine with all that.

Page 92

- I Q. And it's possible, not often, but sometimes in the
- 2 heat of this kind of business, which is extremely
- 3 high-pressured, one side or the other can behave a little less
- 4 admirably perhaps than we wish we would, and I'm talking about
- 5 lawyers. I've done it, and I don't know, but I'm sure
- 6 probably every lawyer in this courtroom has done it at some
- 7 point. It's high-pressure stuff. You lose perspective,
- 8 adrenaline starts pumping, and you end up saying things or
- 9 treating a witness sometimes badly.
- 10 Then you -- then for rest of the trial you worry,
- 11 did I treat him so badly that the jury will take it out on me
- 12 and not look at the evidence because they want to punish me
- 13 for my breach of decorum.
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. Are you the kind of man that if I do something or
- 16 Ms. Falco does something that you think is not professional or
- 17 unfair to a witness or treat somebody bad, you can overlook
- 18 that and realize we're not the ones on trial? And if it
- 19 becomes a trial of how Mr. Goeller or I are behaving,
- 20 that's -- you know, we're not the ones on trial. It's the
- 21 Defendant and the State that are on trial here.
- 22 A. I have three daughters at home, so I've seen my
- 23 share of battles, and I understand that in the heat of the
- 24 moment other people say things, and it's in the pursuit of
- 25 what they're doing, not directed personally.

- O. Okay. People say -- when they say they favor the
- 2 death penalty, they do it for a variety of reasons, and it's
- 3 interesting because I don't meet many people that say that
- 4 they favor the death penalty because they think it's
- 5 wonderful, or because they're thrilled with it or they have
- 6 some ghoulish fascination with being able to cause someone's
- 7 death.
- 8 People express their support for the death penalty
- 9 in a number of ways. Some have that Biblical concept of
- 10 society's measured response; the eye-for-an-eye concept. If
- 11 you do a little crime, you get a little punishment; if you do
- 12 a huge crime, you get huge punishment; and if you do a crime
- 13 that's so far over the line that society says that's too much,
- 14 you pay the ultimate price, which is with your life?
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. Other people say, well, that's okay, but as long as
- 17 we're going to get religious about it all, I'm a New Testament
- 18 kind of person and I -- and if you start reading it, that's a
- 19 lot more forgiving and overlooking. If all you're looking at
- 20 is the Bible, the New Testament calls for you to turn the
- 21 other check and forgiveness and offer mercy and all that kind
- 22 of stuff. I'm not coming from a Biblical stance. I'm coming
- 23 from society's right to self-defense, and I'm thinking that we
- 24 need the death penalty in this society, number one, to protect
- 25 us from people who are dangerous. We don't ever need them in

2

1 our society. Your three daughters don't need dangerous

Page 94

- 2 capital murderers ever possibly being around them in our
- 3 society, and further, I can protect society by making an
- 4 example of this Defendant that if you do certain, awful
- 5 crimes, this is what happens to you, and that's kind of a
- 6 notion of deterrence.
- A. Okay. 7
- Q. Do either of those concepts have importance to you
- 9 as you view the death penalty and support it?
- A. I think they hold I support both. I believe 10
- 11 there is a Biblical standard there, but I believe if you take
- 12 that Biblical standard of an eye-for-an-eye, some of that has
- 13 been taken out of context, and there are much deeper matters.
- 14 That Scripture that read some death is not punishable by
- 15 death, or causing of death is not punishable by death,
- 16 depending on the circumstances. And if you look at it as a
- 17 deterrent, I don't know if somebody is so far gone in capital
- 18 murder, or so unstable that they would commit further murders,
- 19 then I don't know that even the death penalty would work as a
- 20 deterrence. So, I support both sides of that. But again, my
- 21 basis is that you know, let's review the evidence, and
- 22 let's hear both sides and make a determination based on that.
- O. And then finally another -- understand that does
- 24 not -- the unusual notion of what punishment is for obviously
- 25 doesn't fit the death penalty, and that's rehabilitation.

Page 95

- 1 Whatever else you say about the death penalty, it's not
- 2 designed to rehabilitate offenders?
- 3 A. Correct.
- Q. I guess it rehabilitates them in the sense that it 4
- 5 stops their criminality. They won't -- but they haven't
- 6 learned a lesson that they've just been (sic) done is the way
- 7 it's happened. And yet, the concept of rehabilitation will
- 8 work its way into how you answer these questions even though
- 9 there's nothing in the statute that talks about
- 10 rehabilitation. I don't know how any juror could look at
- 11 either of those two special issues and not consider
- 12 rehabilitation and the possibility of it as part of answering
- 13 those questions. Let me show you what I mean.
- 14 The rest of the time that I'm going to be speaking
- 15 with you, you're going to assume the Defendant has been found
- 16 guilty of capital murder by a jury. They have not conceded
- 17 it. We still have to prove it beyond a reasonable doubt.
- 18 A. I understand.
- 19 Q. But I think you'll find, and Mr. Goeller will tell
- 20 you, that they're not conceding that issue at all. But what
- 21 we're here for is to talk about how to handle punishment
- 22 questions and what that would mean?
- 23 A. Okav.
- 24 Q. Let's presume that we produced sufficient evidence,
- 25 and you considered all of it, and the jury found he's guilty.

A. Okay.

- Q. We should probably ask you this question along with
- your verdict. There could be two questions at the
- guilt-innocence phase. The first question is whether or not
- the Defendant is guilty of capital murder, and if you found
- that he was, whether or not beyond a reasonable doubt there's
- a probability that he would commit acts that would
- constitute -- criminal acts that would constitute a threat to
- society. And probably many times that question could be
- answered yes or no with the same evidence that you used in
- 11 finding the Defendant guilty of capital murder.
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. Because the notion is that looking at what a person
- 14 has done is perhaps the best predictor of what that person
- 15 will probably do in the future.
- 16 A. History repeats itself.
- 17 Q. Right. That's the thinking. That's the thinking.
- 18 And so it is recognized that a jury may -- doesn't have to --
- but a jury may base the answer to that question, yes, solely
- on the basis of the facts of the crime itself; that that could
- 21 be enough for a jury. Doesn't have to be.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. In the real world, I don't -- I don't know if
- 24 there's ever been a capital murder trial in Texas where there
- 25 hasn't been evidence offered at the second phase of the trial.

- But if there ever has been, it would be very rare, and both
- sides have a right to offer evidence at the second phase of
- the trial. Neither side has to, and we still have the burden
- 4 of proof. We, the State of Texas, have the burden of proof on
- 5 that first special issue to prove to you the answer should be
- yes beyond a reasonable doubt. We have to prove beyond a
- reasonable doubt that the question should be answered yes.
- 8 Now, why I say that question could be answered at
- the guilt-innocence phase of the trial is because our law does
- 10 authorize a jury, if it's convinced from it, to base a yes
- 11 answer solely on the facts of the crime, or, of course, to
- 12 base a no answer solely on the facts of the crime.
- 13 Now, some jurors express the view that if somebody
- 14 could do a capital murder -- like, let's take our case. A
- 15 burglary murder, a robbery murder, or a double murder because
- 16 it's all the same event that we're alleging. We just have
- 17 different ways of charging. It's almost kind of -- you know
- 18 how they do in the federal system, how they have 58 counts of
- 19 stuff, and it's all pretty much the same kind of stuff. But
- 20 it provides the insurance -- it provides the flexibility that
- 21 if for some reason there's a problem with one juror, five
- 22 jurors, whoever, on one you've still got lots of other
- 23 paragraphs.
- 24 Unlike the federal system where you might get 58
- 25 convictions for 58 counts, you get 58 guilties. In Texas, you

- 1 end up with one verdict form, and it's if you 0 find this or
- 2 you find this, or you find this, then your answer is guilty.
- 3 If you fail to find any of those, it's something else.

4 A. Okay.

- 5 O. But some jurors say, well, how could anybody who
- 6 could do such a crime, a crime of double murder, let's say,
- 7 how could anybody ever not be a continuing threat to society
- 8 who could do that. And that's kind of where that willingness
- 9 to recognize their other situations come in.
- 10 Everybody knows who Dr. Jack Kevorkian is?
- 11 Everybody knows that name?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. The so-called suicide assisting doctor, the Doctor
- 14 of Death.
- 15 Let's assume, for example, that someone calls
- 16 Dr. Kevorkian -- he's in the pen now because he won't stop.
- 17 Let's assume someone calls Dr. Kevorkian, and says I'm
- 18 elderly. This is going to be my last phone call because I'm
- 19 in the throws of a terrible neurological disorder. My hand is
- 20 shaking now so much I can't hold the phone. Tomorrow it's
- 21 going to be even worse, so can you get down here,
- 22 Dr. Kevorkian, and put me away. It's what I want I'm saying,
- 23 and I want your help because I don't want to go out wired to
- 24 some machines. That's not how I want it.
- 25 He says fine, I'll be there, and then you say, you

Page 99

- 1 can't come to the front door because they know you. They
- 2 won't let you in. They know what your kind of medicine is,
- 3 and they won't let you in the nursing home so you've got to
- 4 sneak in. He says, okay, I'll do that. He comes down there
- 5 and cracks a window in one of the upper floors and breaks into
- 6 the hospital for the purpose of coming in there and putting
- 7 you to death, okay?
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. That's burglary. He's entered that building. It
- 10 doesn't have to be a habitation, and I guess maybe that is a
- 11 habitation, maybe. He's entered this building for purposes of
- 12 committing a felony; that is, murder. He comes in there, and
- 13 he kills you. And even though you want to die, that's still
- 14 murder in Texas.
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. In most jurisdictions, if not all of them. If
- 17 that's the case, if it's a burglary plus a murder, that is a
- 18 capital murder.
- And maybe you're the kind of juror that says, you
- 20 know, if I were making the laws, I'd say that ought to be
- 21 legal. If a guy wants to die, and it's legal to kill himself,
- 22 why can't he hire somebody to do it for him? But you've taken
- 23 an oath that, I'm going to put my personal views about that
- 24 aside. I think that ought to be the law, but it's not so I
- 25 can find Dr. Kevorkian guilty of capital murder and prove

Page 100

- 1 it -- and the State proves it. I mean, that's not a hard case
- 2 to prove anyway.
- 3 And then you get to that special issue. It may be
- 4 that although he's been found guilty of capital murder, you'd
- 5 look at this and you'd say, I don't find that's true. I don't
- 6 find that's a criminal act of violence if it's consensual with
- 7 a person. It may be murder, but I don't -- I view violence as
- 8 doing something to somebody that they don't want done to
- 9 them. That's how I define criminal acts of violence. You
- 10 might say, no, near as I can tell, Dr. Kevorkian has never
- 11 committed any criminal acts of violence. He's committed
- 12 murders, but his special variety of murder don't meet that
- 13 statue. They're not murders of violence. They're murders of
- 14 compassion, and a hundred years from now people look back on
- 15 us like they look back on slavery and say, how could they put
- 16 a guy like Kevorkian in prison for doing that. Do you see
- 17 what I say? It's not an automatic just because you've been
- 18 convicted of capital murder.
- 19 A. I agree with that.
- Q. I mean, gosh, you might have committed the world's
- 21 most atrocious murder, and the police officers get in a
- 22 shoot-out with you, and you're trying to kill them because
- 23 you're such a savage, such a beast, that you're trying to kill
- 24 the policemen after you've done a capital murder. They shoot
- 25 you first, and they paralyze you from the forehead down

Page 101

- 1 because they put a bullet in your spine. And as a result,
- 2 you're not going to be dangerous to anybody ever again.
- 3 I mean, the only thing you're going to be able to do is take
- 4 liquids through a straw kind of thing, if you're lucky.
- 5 A. Right.
- 6 Q. Intravenous, maybe. I don't know if you can even
- 7 drink through a straw if you're paralyzed from the forehead --
- 8 I'm not a doctor, so I don't know.
- 9 You know how to answer that question. Even though
- 10 this guy would have been the world's most dangerous person,
- 11 but because of circumstances he's not dangerous anymore?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. So you see how the jury can answer that question yes
- 14 or no, depending on the evidence presented?
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. Again, not automatic.
- 17 And here's where rehab comes in, rehabilitation.
- 18 While we may all be cynical of rehabilitation of people that
- 19 begins after they've gotten arrested for a serious crime, I
- 20 know you're the kind of man who would agree with me that it
- 21 can happen. People can have a metamorphosis even after
- 22 they've done a terrible thing?
- A. I agree with that.

- Q. Okay. And while you and I might be the cynical guys
- 25 looking at the jailhouse conversions saying this is a show for

- 1 somebody and it's not really true, if we back off our high
- 2 horse for a minute and think about it, it might be rare, but
- 3 it could happen. We're not prepared to say that about every
- 4 human being. There were people in the Bible that were awful
- in terms of their behavior and they got changed --
- A. That's true.
 - O. -- just an overnight kind of thing, right?
- 8 A. Right.
- Q Q. And it may be -- depending on how you look at it,
- 10 you may be the kind of person that would say, well, if a
- 11 person has genuinely been cleansed by the saving grace
- 12 available to him and it's genuine, you might be the kind of
- 13 person who would equate nondanger to that acceptance -- to
- 14 that acceptance of Christian teaching, and you might not be.
- 15 I'm just saying, the argument could be made if a person has
- 16 become a Christian, that person wouldn't be dangerous, that
- 17 argument can be made because the person wouldn't do criminal
- 18 acts of violence to our society. That person may genuinely be
- 19 a Christian. Other people might say they may be two different
- 20 things. You can be a Christian and still do some pretty bad
- 21 things. I think some of those Nazis, for example, were
- 22 probably Christians, or at least claim to be, and those things
- 23 are bad.
- 24 But there are some people who could say that it's
- 25 genuine, and that's -- in their minds that can be

Page 103

- 1 rehabilitation. And other people would say, no, that's not
- 2 rehabilitation. That's just a spiritual conversion. How do
- 3 you feel about that concept, if it's a genuine Christian
- 4 conversion?
- A. It's a pretty broad spectrum. I believe that people 5
- 6 can change. I believe that there are people that are
- 7 remorseful for their acts of crimes, or their acts of violence
- 8 and crimes they've committed. I believe there are people that
- 9 have actual conversions where Christianity changes their
- 10 entire outlook and their life, but doesn't pardon them from
- 11 the crime that they committed, but it's not a guarantee that
- 12 they're not going to commit another crime, either.
- 13 I think it was Mr. Goeller that said in the previous
- 14 visit that I was out here, that the threat to society, at that
- 15 point looking at life in prison versus the death penalty,
- 16 society would be the prison inmates to that person at that
- 17 point. So, in taking what you've said and looking at the word
- 18 violence and threat to society and conversion and no further
- 19 threat, et cetera, you're asking me to say a yes or a no to a
- 20 very wide --
- 21 Q. Absolutely.
- A. spectrum there. I think all those things are
- 23 possible. But then on the other side, that's not for me to
- 24 determine if they if that individual will or will not
- 25 commit a crime further. It's up to me to make a determination

Page 104

- l based on the history and the projection and the evidence based
- on that particular crime if my opinion is that individual will
- continue to be a threat to society or that they are
- rehabilitable.
- 5 Q. Quickly, on the vagueness. One of the reasons - I
- mean, I'm not deliberately vague, but I can't give you
- specifics in this case. It would almost make me be like a
- witness. I can't say, well, if I proved to you the Defendant
- did A, B, C, D and E, how would you vote, because that's
- trying to commit a juror to a fact situation. I might be
- saying it wrong, or it may -- it's not fair. So, we have got
- 12 to go through this convoluted process of talking abstractly.
- A. I understand.
- 14 Q. I'm not sure I wish it would be a different way
- 15 because I think it's probably a better way to understand you
- 16 to keep it hypothetical and keep the evidence from you until
- 17 the proper time.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. I guess you recognize there are circumstances where
- 20 a person could commit a capital murder, and the fair answer to
- 21 that question would be no, for a number of reasons?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Certainly the motive for the capital murder would be
- 24 important to you, wouldn't it, in trying to figure that out
- 25 and decide is this person -- if the motive is so unusual that

Page 105

- 1 the motive might never happen, and plus the motive is
- something that you can understand could never happen, that
- 3 might be the kind of thing that would bear on answering that
- 4 question no.

A. That's correct.

- Q. The example I like to use a lot is the parent that
- 7 sees the killers of his children get out of court laughing
- 8 because of a technicality, and they go get a gun and kill
- 9 those people. It's capital murder. It's as wanton and
- 10 intentional as could be. You might stall them for a week
- 11 until you catch up with them.
- 12 And, yet, you might answer that question, this guy
- 13 has been fine all his life. He's never done anything wrong,
- 14 and but for those two killers, he'd be working at his job and
- going to his church and live the rest of his life just fine.
- 16 And while I can't excuse what he did, I can't say I don't
- 17 understand what he did because I've got kids that I love. I
- 18 can't say I don't understand what he did, and I can't say that
- 19 that odd fact situation convinces me beyond a reasonable doubt
- 20 that he's going to be a danger to anybody. Do you know what I
- 21 mean?
- 22 A. I do.
- 23 Q. So you're not an "I go along with the death penalty"
- 24 so that you're always going to answer those questions so you
- 25 make sure this Defendant gets it, are you?

Page 106 Q. Can you walk out of this courtroom feeling -- can you walk out of this courtroom with self-respect for yourself knowing, whatever the verdict is in this case -- can you do

- A. Yes, I can.
- 7 Q. And that means if you acquit this Defendant and let him walk out with you, and you don't necessarily like that
- result, but you weren't convinced beyond a reasonable doubt,
- you'd still have your self-respect for doing that, right? 10
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

A. No.

that -- I mean --

- Q. And if you vote for a death sentence, and you know 12
- 13 some day he's going to die if you vote in a way that will
- result in death, you'd have your self-respect?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And if you vote in a way that's going to cause a 16
- 17 life sentence, same thing?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- O. If you answer that question no, if you fail to find 19
- 20 beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant is going to be a
- continuing threat to society, then what's the result of that
- 22 answer, if the jury's answer is no?
- 23 A. If I find that the answer to the acts of violence is
- 24 no?
- 25 Q. Uh-huh.

Page 107

- 1 A. Then assuming that he's guilty?
- Q. Right. You found him guilty. You don't get to that 2
- 3 question --
- 4 A. We're in the second phase here?
- 5 O. Uh-huh.
- A. Assuming my answer based -- assuming on what we've 6
- 7 already said, then it would probably be a life sentence.
- Q. And you're absolutely right. It's a life sentence. 8
- 9 That's automatic. Everybody but the Defendant goes home, and
- 10 the Defendant goes to the Texas Department of Criminal Justice
- 11 to begin serving a life sentence, which in Texas is not until
- 12 he's dead, but it's a minimum of 40 years. And even
- 13 thereafter until such time as the Board of Pardons and Paroles
- 14 acts upon -- acts upon the requested parole, it's certainly a
- 15 long time. It's not forever, and certainly there are other
- 16 considerations to be made.
- Mr. Goeller is correct. You certainly may consider 17
- 18 prison as a society, but it's also correct to say that that
- 19 question does not limit itself to prison society --
- 20 A. That's also -
- 21 Q. -- for a lot of reasons. I'm not talking about this
- 22 case. Let's face it, try as we might, we see examples of it
- 23 frequently. People do escape. We can't quite engage in the
- 24 willing suspension of disbelief that they could ever be in our
- 25 society because we know that can happen?

Page 108

- A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. Doesn't mean you speculate on whether a particular
- 3 defendant's going to escape or not, but it does mean that
- makes them look at all of our society all the more reasonable
- because it could be that way?
- 6 A. Right. That the chance does exist he'll get back
- 7 out?

1

- Q. Yeah, in a number of ways. It may be remote. He 8
- could get pardoned by the Governor. Any defendant could get
- 10 pardoned of his capital murder life sentence by the Governor.
- 11 All kinds of things could happen. Wouldn't even have to be an
- 12 escape. There could be -- there might be opportunities to be
- 13 around inmates. There might be families of inmates there that
- 14 are civilians. There are guards. There are civilian workers.
- There's are all kinds of opportunities.
- 16 If the question meant to limit itself only to prison
- 17 society, obviously it wouldn't be phrased that way. It would
- 18 say, do you find beyond a reasonable doubt the defendant could
- 19 not be safely held in prison?
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. The question seems to actually be asking you to
- 22 measure this person's danger at the present time and see
- 23 whether or not there's a probability of committing criminal
- 24 acts of violence in the future. You consider what he did in
- 25 answering that question. You can consider other crimes that

- 1 he may have committed, any defendant -- any hypothetical
- defendant you can consider that. You can consider non -- you
- can consider nonviolent crimes, if it helps you in
- understanding the character of a person, and that's maybe
- what's going to control whether he commits future acts of
- violence or not.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Like thefts, some of those internal thefts that you
- work on in your business that are certainly not crimes of
- violence. I mean, invoice fraud is not a crime of violence,
- 11 but that would maybe perhaps give people a lot of insight into
- the character of somebody charged with capital murder in terms
- of what's this person willing to do to help him or herself or
- 14 to advantage him or herself.
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Does that make sense to you?
- 17 A. It does.
- 18 Q. I mean, there could be other things that go both
- ways. Military gallantry, for example, could be extremely
- 20 important. You can have a Navy Cross with Three Oak clusters
- or something like that, and that might be a demonstration that
- a person might be good for our society, might not be such a
- 23 threat. You can have -- a person can be a cowardly deserter,
- 24 and that might be some evidence of what personality that
- 25 person has. What's this person willing to do for society for

Page 110 1 his own base purposes, I guess, is kind of the notion? 2 A. Right. 3 Q. Does that all make sense to you? 4 A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you view wife beating as a crime of violence? 6 A. Do I view wife beating as a crime of violence? Yes, 7 I do. Q. The reason I say that is because that's come more 8 9 to the forefront in recent years, and most people now kind of 10 realize that that's been an overlooked kind of criminal 11 activity that we've kind of taken hands off, that domestic 12 violence stuff. You didn't -- I bet a bunch of your time as a patrol officer involved that very thing when you were doing 14 that in Amarillo, didn't it? 15 A. That's correct. Q. And yet, you probably remember that the attitudes 16 17 might have been somewhat different then than they are now --18 or were? A. It was probably a little more nonchalant. 19 20 O. And yet, you believe that's a serious thing? 21 A. I do, yes. 22 Q. Now, if the question is answered yes beyond a 23 reasonable doubt, years ago that would have been the end of 24 the inquiry, but it is not now, and it's a good thing. It's 25 not a bad thing. Now, the jury will be asked an additional Page 111 1 question, but only if it's first answered that -- that first 2 special issue yes, and that's the so-called mitigation 3 question. And that's really, I believe, even more than the future danger, that's where religious conviction -- that's 5 where --6 THE COURT: I think there's a screw on the 7 right side or some sort of latch of some kind. 8 (Discussion off the record.) 9 Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: That's the mitigation question. I 10 always say it's for the benefit of the jury, and it 11 incidentally benefits the Defendant by a last-look or a --12 kind of a different view on the evidence than has been taken 13 before. Have you read it? 14 A. Yes, sir. Q. It's kind of in line with what I've been telling you 15 16 all along. The jurors are supposed to have no automatic 17 answers, and what this question really means is it's not an 18 automatic death sentence for a defendant who's been convicted 18 of capital murder and been found to be a danger to our society 20 beyond a reasonable doubt. 21 A. Okay. O. And it's the notion that there could be 23 circumstances -- we'll call it that for the moment because 24 that's the statutory wording -- there could be circumstances, 25 which when weighed by the jury and fairly evaluated by the 25

Page 112

- jury could be mitigating, could be lessening. 1
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. And I bet you every human being on this earth has
- things in his or her background, which we would all agree
- 5 are -- to some degree are mitigating.
 - A. Right.

6

- Q. I mean, not all of us are of equal heath. Not all
- of us are of equal intelligence. Not all of us are of equal
- good looks or equal wealth. There are many things that the
- inequities of our situation may resolve. There are many
- circumstances in which these inequities may result in
- misfortune for us. I don't even need to ask you to know that
- you can look back on your life, if you were of the mind to,
- and you can think of things that didn't go the way they should
- 15 have, or that you got some unfair treatment by somebody or
- something. Something serious might not have gone right in
- 17 your life. We're all that way.
- 18 I mean, the person that grew up -- this is going to
- 19 sound sort of funny, the person that grew up with a perfect
- 20 life, if there was such a thing, oftentimes isn't even
- prepared for what happens in adulthood. I mean, they get the
- 22 hammer dropped on them the first time something goes wrong
- because they were so protected. And I think you would agree
- with me, Mr. Blackwell, that how a person grows up, the kind
- 25 of family structure they have and the kind of situation has

- much to do with the kind of people that they become. Don't
- you agree with that?
 - A. I agree with that, to a degree.
- 4 Q. Okay. I mean, if you took a hundred people and
- 5 divided them into two groups and 50 of them got put into
- families as children that drank and partied and were going to
- the pen all the time and beating each other up and illiterate
- and always getting evicted because they didn't pay their rent
- because they didn't work. And you took the other 50 and put
- them in a home that had a spiritual basis and a couple of
- parents that believed that a big part of their role in life
- was to make a new generation better than the one they came
- from and make our society better and kinder and more
- 14 enlightened.
- 15 I guess we'd all expect those 50 kids in the nice
- environment would generally do better than the 50 kids in the
- 17 sty; don't you agree with me?
 - A. Overall, yes.
- 19 Q. And yet, at the same time, there could be people
- 20 that came out of that awful environment, the one with
- 21 everybody going to jail and doing drugs and fornicating
- 22 everybody that comes walking through the door and all that
- sort of thing, nevertheless those people -- some of them could
- 24 emerge and do very, very well in our society?
 - A. Correct.

Page 114 Page 116 Q. And you could take some of those other people that 1 sad. If you stop and think about it for a minute, Hitler 2 had the perfect lifestyle, and they'd turn out to be bad and 2 seems like the kind of guy that probably grew up about half a do bad things? 3 loser as a kid, if you just stop for a minute and think about 3 4 it, and he was. He couldn't play soccer. He couldn't do A. I agree with that. 5 O. Have you ever done any thinking about what might be 5 anything right. He was sick half the time. He was frail. 6 Other kids teased him all the time and made fun of him. He the reason that people emerge from their environment in ways different than what we expect? Why do you think that is? 7 had a dad that was some petty bureaucrat with the German A. Based on what I do for a living, yes, I've thought Government that made fun of him for being weak and about 8 half-small, sissy, and that kind of stuff. about that in a number of cases, and I've seen a number of And I guess, not helping this image of being a cases close to what you dissimilated a minute ago where bad 10 11 sissy, he ends up in art school and goes and tries to do that people turn good and good people turn bad. I think a lot of 12 for a while, not that there's anything sissy about that, but it is choices that we make, circumstances at the time. There's a lot of different reasons why people do what they 13 some people look down on that and say that's not real manly 14 for a German and that kind of a thing. The next thing you do. I don't know that that answers your question, but I think a lot of it is circumstances, and what we choose to do with it 15 know, they kick him out of art school and say, Hitler, you're at that particular time, an individual choice. 16 not even good enough to be here, and he gets the boot. And he Q. Okay. Does that mean you have no compassion for 17 seemed to have all kinds of trouble with relationships with 17 people who have grown up in really bad circumstances as kids? 18 people, women included. They say he said some kind of a 19 Does that mean you just say, well, that's how it goes? Are 19 condition -- some psychological condition, he couldn't let 20 anybody touch him. If you touched him, he'd get all upset and you that way? 21 withdraw because he was all -- he was about half-nuts, if not 21 A. No. I actually have a lot of compassion for people 22 all nuts, he was about half-nuts. 22 that are less fortunate, or in those circumstances. 23 MR. SCHULTZ: May I have just a moment, Judge? And the truth is, in some context most of us could 23 24 (Brief pause in proceedings.) 24 have compassion for Hitler. I mean, if things weren't bad 25 O. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Now, it's not for me to say 25 enough for the man, somebody gives him syphilis years later Page 115 Page 117 1 and that destroys whatever rest of his brain he has got left. 1 how a juror would think, but it occurs to me that when we use the term "mitigation," things like a really messed-up family And so many of us could have compassion for him. You know, in 3 the abstract, we could say that was an awful life. His dad unit with no support and people so self-absorbed with their own misery, not having time for you, I think most of us would 4 was a mean, stern fellow, and they shouldn't have kicked him say, well, that -- if mitigating is something that evokes out of art school. We might feel that way. 6 sympathy in us or compassion, I think we'd say that's But you get to this part. This is the measurement 7 mitigating. That's some mitigating evidence. Do you follow part of the question, Mr. Blackwell, and it says whether there is sufficient mitigating circumstance, or circumstances to 8 what I'm saying? 9 A. Yeah. warrant that a sentence of life in prison rather than death be 10 imposed. So you go back to the rest of the question. Taking 10 Q. And so my -- our burden -- we are not required in 11 into consideration all the evidence, including the 11 order for there to be a no answer to that question to prove to 12 circumstance of the offense. He killed six million people for the jury that there is no mitigating evidence on the 13 their faith. 13 background of a defendant. Do you follow what I'm saying? A. Right. 14 A. Right. 14 15 Q. The Defendant's character. Well, maybe he had a 15 O. Because you've got mitigating evidence. I submit I do. Adolf Hitler, he had all kinds of mitigating stuff. They good character; maybe not. If you read Mein Kampf, that's 17 kind of some soul searching he's doing, and that doesn't look 17 made fun of --18 like very good character. You look at his background and 18 THE COURT: Say, excuse me, Ms. Falco, I'm that's tragic and mitigating, absolutely. And his personal 19 going to ask you not to do that during court. 20 MS. FALCO: I'm sorry. moral culpability, maybe you could say, well, they shouldn't

21 have kicked him out of art school. You know, that's how it22 happens. But you look at all of those things, and you add up

23 all the mitigating evidence, and the simple answer to that is

24 that's not sufficient --

A. Right.

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: That's all right.

MR. GOELLER: No, that's Mr. Schultz.

Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: He had some stuff that was pretty

MR. SCHULTZ: Yes, sir.

Go ahead, Adolf Hitler.

Page 120 Page 118 A. Right. Q. -- to warrant a life sentence. Are you with me? 1 2 Q. And secondly, you may not know, as you sit there 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. That kind of evidence might be sufficient in some right now, exactly what sufficient mitigation evidence would be at any time. If I said, well, how much proof is beyond a 4 other fact situation, or it might not. All that Hitler stuff reasonable doubt? You don't know. You couldn't tell me how 5 that I've talked about, in another kind of capital case might much proof you need to find him guilty. All you know is it be good evidence. If it's Dr. Kevorkian's capital murder, and would have to be a bunch, and you'd know it when you see it you heard that's how Kevorkian turned into the blood thirsty kind of a thing? ghoul that he's become, you might say, well, I understand 9 A. That's probably correct. that, and that is sufficient mitigating because he wasn't 10 Q. I guess my real question to you -- and I'm about 10 really killing people without their consent, anyway. So, it 11 might depend on the fact situation whether something might be ready to pass you to the other side is -- are you the kind of 12 sufficient or not. Does that make sense? man who realizes that the Legislature has said there are cases A. I believe the key word is sufficient, yes. 13 in which mitigation is sufficient to deprive the State of its 13 14 Q. Exactly. That implies some type of measurement. quest for a death sentence? 15 A. Yes. 15 What it does not imply is I just don't want to kill anybody, 16 so I'll just call it sufficient because once again, then we're 16 Q. And you are the kind of man who could have enough 17 not measuring. We're almost making law unto ourselves. 17 self-respect to vote against the State if you found sufficient 18 mitigating evidence? A. Right. 19 Q. Are you the kind of man that recognizes, first of 19 A. If in my mind I was satisfied that was sufficient, 20 all, why that is a good thing for a jury of decent human 20 yes. 21 beings to have available to them? 21 Q. And even if you can't think of one right now, you do 22 A. Yes. 22 recognize there could be such cases for you as a juror? 23 23 Q. Because wouldn't it be awful for a jury to find A. I believe so, yes. 24 somebody guilty of capital murder and find that the person was 24 Q. Do you have any questions of me, sir? 25 A. Not at this time. 25 probably going to be a continuing threat to society, and yet Page 119 Page 121 1 go walking out of here, looking at each other, and saying, we 1 MR. SCHULTZ: I sincerely appreciate your 2 did the wrong thing. We answered the questions truthfully, courtesy. Thank you very much. I'll pass the juror now. 3 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Schultz. Is 3 but that's a wrong, wicked, evil thing that's occurred. And 4 that is this person, because of his circumstances, should not 4 Mr. High going to take this witness? 5 have died. MR. GOELLER: I'll ask the questions. A. Right. 6 THE COURT: Are you? Okay. Q. And that gives the jury that opportunity to give 7 **CROSS-QUESTIONS** 8 effect to that situation, and it benefits the defendant -- but 8 BY MR. GOELLER: 9 that's fine. If it benefits the defendant, it benefits the Q. Mr. Blackwell, I think from your questionnaire you 10 work for Petco Company? 10 jury. Now, if somebody were to ask the question of a 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 12 juror, what in your mind would be sufficient mitigating Q. And what is that again? 13 evidence to knock out a death sentence to make it a life 13 A. It's an animal supply company. 14 sentence, you couldn't answer that question anyway. That's 14 Q. Okay. Actually, I may have just a very few 15 one of those hypothetical things. First of all, you'd have to 15 questions for you. Where were you in 1997-1998? A. '97-'98? 16 say, well, I need to know what the crime is before I can 16 Q. Yeah. 17 answer that question, because if it's Hitler's crimes, I might 17 18 have a different answer than if it's the parent who lost two 18 A. Here in Dallas. 19 children to the jackals that go laughing out of the court. Do 19 Where were you living then? 20 you follow what I'm saying? 20 The same place I'm living now. 21 You live on what, Canoe? A. Yes, sir. Q. So first of all, if somebody said what would be 22 A. Canoe Street -- Canoe Road.

23

24

25

Q. How long have you lived there?

Q. Do you recall going to a Holigan Home model in '97

A. Since '95, '96.

6

7

11

21

22

23 sufficient mitigating evidence, the question is unfair. You'd

24 have to know, well, what's the capital murder before I can

25 know whether it's sufficient or not.

	<u>.</u>	
	Page 122	Page 124
1	or '98?	l any of that. But I don't recall when we built our house
2		2 asking anybody for any special
3		3 Q. You don't recall, or you just didn't do it?
4	,	4 A. I don't recall doing it.
5		5 Q. Okay. So you ended up going with Country Wide?
6	·	6 A. Yes.
7	Q. Was his name Mr. Larry Murphy?	7 Q. Mr. Murphy was not with Country Wide, correct?
8		8 A. That's correct.
9		9 Q. And how did you end up with Country Wide?
10	-	10 A. My wife worked for Country Wide.
11		11 Q. Okay. Did you how far did you get in school,
12		12 Mr. Blackwell?
13	•	13 A. I went two years actually, I've gone to school.
14		14 I did not graduate from college. I attended a couple of
15		15 different schools after high school.
16	· ·	16 Q. Okay. I notice you put on your questionnaire, you
17		17 said grade 13?
18	•	18 A. Right.
19	• ,	19 Q. I didn't know what that meant.
20		20 A. Well, 13 years is what I was referencing.
21	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: I'm sorry, another female, Rusty	21 Q. You've been involved in law well, I guess you've
22		22 been involved in law enforcement, in one sense or another,
23		23 either loss prevention or patrol officer, for about how many
24		24 years now six, seven, eight? Were you in loss prevention over
25	A. I don't remember dealing with Davis, either.	25 at Office Depot?
	•	
	Page 123	Page 125
1	Page 123 Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98?	Page 125 1 A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work.
1 2	S .	,
_	Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98?	1 A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work.
2	Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No.	1 A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. 2 Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of
2	Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98?A. No.Q. Who were you working for?	1 A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. 2 Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of 3 capital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you
2 3 4	 Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. 	1 A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. 2 Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of 3 capital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you 4 circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to
2 3 4 5	 Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? 	1 A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. 2 Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of 3 capital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you 4 circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to 5 tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in 	1 A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. 2 Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of 3 capital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you 4 circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to 5 tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very 6 carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. 	1 A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. 2 Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of 3 capital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you 4 circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to 5 tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very 6 carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if 7 that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. Q. Around the time you were in this Holigan home, did 	1 A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. 2 Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of 3 capital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you 4 circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to 5 tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very 6 carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if 7 that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've 8 got to believe you told the truth when you circled that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. Q. Around the time you were in this Holigan home, did you end up buying a home from them? 	1 A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. 2 Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of 3 capital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you 4 circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to 5 tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very 6 carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if 7 that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've 8 got to believe you told the truth when you circled that, 9 right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. Q. Around the time you were in this Holigan home, did you end up buying a home from them? A. Yeah. 	A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of acapital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've got to believe you told the truth when you circled that, right? A. I believe I told the truth, yes. Q. Okay. Did you tell the truth, or do you believe you told the truth?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. Q. Around the time you were in this Holigan home, did you end up buying a home from them? A. Yeah. Q. And who did you secure the lending with? A. We originally went with — I think it was Country Wide. 	1 A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. 2 Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of 3 capital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you 4 circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to 5 tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very 6 carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if 7 that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've 8 got to believe you told the truth when you circled that, 9 right? 10 A. I believe I told the truth, yes. 11 Q. Okay. Did you tell the truth, or do you believe you 12 told the truth? 13 A. I told the truth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. Q. Around the time you were in this Holigan home, did you end up buying a home from them? A. Yeah. Q. And who did you secure the lending with? A. We originally went with — I think it was Country Wide. Q. Okay. Do you recall discussions you had about you 	A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of a capital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've got to believe you told the truth when you circled that, right? A. I believe I told the truth, yes. Q. Okay. Did you tell the truth, or do you believe you told the truth? A. I told the truth. Q. So you do believe if someone is accused of capital
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. Q. Around the time you were in this Holigan home, did you end up buying a home from them? A. Yeah. Q. And who did you secure the lending with? A. We originally went with — I think it was Country Wide. Q. Okay. Do you recall discussions you had about you wanted a lower rate?	A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of acapital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've got to believe you told the truth when you circled that, right? A. I believe I told the truth, yes. Q. Okay. Did you tell the truth, or do you believe you told the truth? A. I told the truth. Q. So you do believe if someone is accused of capital murder, he should have to prove his innocence?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. Q. Around the time you were in this Holigan home, did you end up buying a home from them? A. Yeah. Q. And who did you secure the lending with? A. We originally went with I think it was Country Wide. Q. Okay. Do you recall discussions you had about you wanted a lower rate? A. I really don't recall that, no. Because I bought my	A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of acapital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've got to believe you told the truth when you circled that, right? A. I believe I told the truth, yes. Q. Okay. Did you tell the truth, or do you believe you told the truth? A. I told the truth. Q. So you do believe if someone is accused of capital murder, he should have to prove his innocence? A. Well, I think the context in which I understood the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. Q. Around the time you were in this Holigan home, did you end up buying a home from them? A. Yeah. Q. And who did you secure the lending with? A. We originally went with — I think it was Country Wide. Q. Okay. Do you recall discussions you had about you wanted a lower rate? A. I really don't recall that, no. Because I bought my house in — when I dealt with Larry Murphy it was in '94,	A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of acapital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've got to believe you told the truth when you circled that, right? A. I believe I told the truth, yes. Q. Okay. Did you tell the truth, or do you believe you told the truth? A. I told the truth. Q. So you do believe if someone is accused of capital murder, he should have to prove his innocence? A. Well, I think the context in which I understood the question may be different than what you're trying to interpret
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. Q. Around the time you were in this Holigan home, did you end up buying a home from them? A. Yeah. Q. And who did you secure the lending with? A. We originally went with — I think it was Country Wide. Q. Okay. Do you recall discussions you had about you wanted a lower rate? A. I really don't recall that, no. Because I bought my house in — when I dealt with Larry Murphy it was in '94, whenever we got moved back from Los Angeles.	A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of acapital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've got to believe you told the truth when you circled that, right? A. I believe I told the truth, yes. Q. Okay. Did you tell the truth, or do you believe you told the truth? A. I told the truth. Q. So you do believe if someone is accused of capital murder, he should have to prove his innocence? A. Well, I think the context in which I understood the question may be different than what you're trying to interpret
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. Q. Around the time you were in this Holigan home, did you end up buying a home from them? A. Yeah. Q. And who did you secure the lending with? A. We originally went with — I think it was Country Wide. Q. Okay. Do you recall discussions you had about you wanted a lower rate? A. I really don't recall that, no. Because I bought my house in — when I dealt with Larry Murphy it was in '94, whenever we got moved back from Los Angeles. Q. And it may have been '94.	A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of acapital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've got to believe you told the truth when you circled that, right? A. I believe I told the truth, yes. Q. Okay. Did you tell the truth, or do you believe you told the truth? A. I told the truth. Q. So you do believe if someone is accused of capital murder, he should have to prove his innocence? A. Well, I think the context in which I understood the question may be different than what you're trying to interpret it Q. I'm not trying to interpret it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. Q. Around the time you were in this Holigan home, did you end up buying a home from them? A. Yeah. Q. And who did you secure the lending with? A. We originally went with — I think it was Country Wide. Q. Okay. Do you recall discussions you had about you wanted a lower rate? A. I really don't recall that, no. Because I bought my house in — when I dealt with Larry Murphy it was in '94, whenever we got moved back from Los Angeles. Q. And it may have been '94. Do you recall wanting kind of a special loan, a loan	A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of acapital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've got to believe you told the truth when you circled that, right? A. I believe I told the truth, yes. Q. Okay. Did you tell the truth, or do you believe you told the truth? A. I told the truth. Q. So you do believe if someone is accused of capital murder, he should have to prove his innocence? A. Well, I think the context in which I understood the question may be different than what you're trying to interpret it Q. I'm not trying to interpret it. A or interpret my answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. Q. Around the time you were in this Holigan home, did you end up buying a home from them? A. Yeah. Q. And who did you secure the lending with? A. We originally went with — I think it was Country Wide. Q. Okay. Do you recall discussions you had about you wanted a lower rate? A. I really don't recall that, no. Because I bought my house in — when I dealt with Larry Murphy it was in '94, whenever we got moved back from Los Angeles. Q. And it may have been '94. Do you recall wanting kind of a special loan, a loan that may have given you some points off, lower APR, something	A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of acapital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've got to believe you told the truth when you circled that, right? A. I believe I told the truth, or do you believe you told the truth? A. I told the truth. Q. So you do believe if someone is accused of capital murder, he should have to prove his innocence? A. Well, I think the context in which I understood the question may be different than what you're trying to interpret it Q. I'm not trying to interpret it. A. — or interpret my answer. Q. I'll read it to you exactly as it's stated. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. Q. Around the time you were in this Holigan home, did you end up buying a home from them? A. Yeah. Q. And who did you secure the lending with? A. We originally went with — I think it was Country Wide. Q. Okay. Do you recall discussions you had about you wanted a lower rate? A. I really don't recall that, no. Because I bought my house in — when I dealt with Larry Murphy it was in '94, whenever we got moved back from Los Angeles. Q. And it may have been '94. Do you recall wanting kind of a special loan, a loan that may have given you some points off, lower APR, something like that with Mr maybe Mr. Murphy and others?	A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of acapital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've got to believe you told the truth when you circled that, right? A. I believe I told the truth, yes. Q. Okay. Did you tell the truth, or do you believe you told the truth? A. I told the truth. Q. So you do believe if someone is accused of capital murder, he should have to prove his innocence? A. Well, I think the context in which I understood the question may be different than what you're trying to interpret it Q. I'm not trying to interpret it. A or interpret my answer. Q. I'll read it to you exactly as it's stated. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. Q. Around the time you were in this Holigan home, did you end up buying a home from them? A. Yeah. Q. And who did you secure the lending with? A. We originally went with — I think it was Country Wide. Q. Okay. Do you recall discussions you had about you wanted a lower rate? A. I really don't recall that, no. Because I bought my house in — when I dealt with Larry Murphy it was in '94, whenever we got moved back from Los Angeles. Q. And it may have been '94. Do you recall wanting kind of a special loan, a loan that may have given you some points off, lower APR, something like that with Mr. — maybe Mr. Murphy and others? A. The only time that we made any changes on that was	A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of acapital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've got to believe you told the truth when you circled that, right? A. I believe I told the truth, yes. Q. Okay. Did you tell the truth, or do you believe you told the truth? A. I told the truth. Q. So you do believe if someone is accused of capital murder, he should have to prove his innocence? A. Well, I think the context in which I understood the question may be different than what you're trying to interpret it Q. I'm not trying to interpret it. A or interpret my answer. Q. I'll read it to you exactly as it's stated. If someone is A. No, sir. I said you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. Q. Around the time you were in this Holigan home, did you end up buying a home from them? A. Yeah. Q. And who did you secure the lending with? A. We originally went with — I think it was Country Wide. Q. Okay. Do you recall discussions you had about you wanted a lower rate? A. I really don't recall that, no. Because I bought my house in — when I dealt with Larry Murphy it was in '94, whenever we got moved back from Los Angeles. Q. And it may have been '94. Do you recall wanting kind of a special loan, a loan that may have given you some points off, lower APR, something like that with Mr. — maybe Mr. Murphy and others? A. The only time that we made any changes on that was whenever the foundation split, and they were going to rebuild	A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of a capital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've got to believe you told the truth when you circled that, right? A. I believe I told the truth, yes. Q. Okay. Did you tell the truth, or do you believe you told the truth? A. I told the truth. Q. So you do believe if someone is accused of capital murder, he should have to prove his innocence? A. Well, I think the context in which I understood the question may be different than what you're trying to interpret it Q. I'm not trying to interpret it. A or interpret my answer. Q. I'll read it to you exactly as it's stated. If someone is A. No, sir. I said you're 24 Q accused of capital murder
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Okay. Were you self-employed in '97 or '98? A. No. Q. Who were you working for? A. Office Depot. Q. Office Depot? A. No, wait a minute, '97? No, I was with Petco in '97. Q. Around the time you were in this Holigan home, did you end up buying a home from them? A. Yeah. Q. And who did you secure the lending with? A. We originally went with — I think it was Country Wide. Q. Okay. Do you recall discussions you had about you wanted a lower rate? A. I really don't recall that, no. Because I bought my house in — when I dealt with Larry Murphy it was in '94, whenever we got moved back from Los Angeles. Q. And it may have been '94. Do you recall wanting kind of a special loan, a loan that may have given you some points off, lower APR, something like that with Mr. — maybe Mr. Murphy and others? A. The only time that we made any changes on that was	A. Yes. I've done this since I got out of police work. Q. Okay. You wrote down if someone is accused of acapital murder he should have to prove his innocence, and you circled agree. And I know you took an oath, and you swore to tell the truth, and I'm sure you listened to the Judge very carefully before you filled out this questionnaire, and if that's your honest opinion, then I'll live with it. And I've got to believe you told the truth when you circled that, right? A. I believe I told the truth, yes. Q. Okay. Did you tell the truth, or do you believe you told the truth? A. I told the truth. Q. So you do believe if someone is accused of capital murder, he should have to prove his innocence? A. Well, I think the context in which I understood the question may be different than what you're trying to interpret it Q. I'm not trying to interpret it. A or interpret my answer. Q. I'll read it to you exactly as it's stated. If someone is A. No, sir. I said you're

	<u>-</u>	
	Page 126	Page 128
1	Q. Let me finish my question, Mr. Blackwell.	1 MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, Judge. I'm going to
2	If someone is accused of capital murder, he should	2 object to him arguing with the juror. That wasn't even a
3	have to prove his innocence. Now, how did you interpret that	3 question. He's just making an assertion of what he said.
4	question?	4 He's just arguing with him.
5	A. I interpret that that everybody has that same right,	5 THE COURT: Sustain. If you would, just ask
6	as the State has the burden of proof to prove it, he has that	6 the question.
7	same proof to prove his innocence, by presenting evidence that	7 MR. GOELLER: I don't have anything else for
8	he was not there - or, you know, the mitigating	8 him, Judge.
9	circumstances, or whatever case. I think he has that same	9 THE COURT: Anything else from the State?
10	burden of proof.	10 MR. SCHULTZ: Yes, sir.
11	Q. So you're sitting there telling me based on that	11 THE COURT: All right.
12	statement, if someone is accused of capital murder, he should	12 REDIRECT QUESTIONS
13	have to prove his innocence, and that's how you interpret it,	13 BY MR. SCHULTZ:
14	whatever you just put in that record? That's how you thought	14 Q. You understand that question was asked to you
15	that question read?	15 before anybody explained the law to you; that the
16	A. Yes.	16 questionnaire nobody had talked to y'all yet about your
17	Q. Just so the record is clear, when you say he should	17 service. You're doing the questionnaire first?
18	have to prove his innocence, you equate that with him being	18 A. That's correct.
19	able to if a defendant chose to, to do what? Say that	19 Q. It seemed like when you and I were talking you
20	again. I still don't understand.	20 understood the burden of proof stays on the State?
21	A. The State has my understanding is the State	21 A. It does.
22	has the burden of proof to prove guilt or innocence	22 Q. And when you say that you interpret that question,
23	Q. Okay.	23 do they have the however you interpret the question, they
	A based on suidones	24 1
24	A based on evidence.	24 have the right to present evidence. Of course, they do if
24 25	Q. Yeah.	25 they want to?
	Q. Yeah.	25 they want to?
25	Q. Yeah. Page 127	25 they want to? Page 129
25	Q. Yeah. Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that	25 they want to? Page 129 1 A. That's correct.
25 1 2	Q. Yeah. Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree
25 1 2 3	Q. Yeah. Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go
25 1 2 3	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well.	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to
1 2 3 4 5	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh.	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced.
25 1 2 3	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh. So, tell me how you got that out of he should have	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced. 6 A. That's correct.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh. So, tell me how you got that out of he should have to prove his innocence? He should have to prove his	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced. 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And they don't have to do anything, but they can; is
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh. So, tell me how you got that out of he should have to prove his innocence? He should have to prove his innocence. Still not making your connect.	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced. 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And they don't have to do anything, but they can; is 8 that what you're saying?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh. So, tell me how you got that out of he should have to prove his innocence? He should have to prove his innocence. Still not making your connect. A. All right. Maybe I am misinterpreting that	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced. 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And they don't have to do anything, but they can; is 8 that what you're saying? 9 A. Right. And what I was trying to explain is it's my
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh. So, tell me how you got that out of he should have to prove his innocence? He should have to prove his innocence. Still not making your connect. A. All right. Maybe I am misinterpreting that sentence, or that — I believe that everyone has the right to	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced. 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And they don't have to do anything, but they can; is 8 that what you're saying? 9 A. Right. And what I was trying to explain is it's my 10 understanding that he has that same right to prove that —
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh. So, tell me how you got that out of he should have to prove his innocence? He should have to prove his innocence. Still not making your connect. A. All right. Maybe I am misinterpreting that sentence, or that — I believe that everyone has the right to prove their guilt or their innocence.	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced. 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And they don't have to do anything, but they can; is 8 that what you're saying? 9 A. Right. And what I was trying to explain is it's my 10 understanding that he has that same right to prove that— 11 prove his innocence.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh. So, tell me how you got that out of he should have to prove his innocence? He should have to prove his innocence. Still not making your connect. A. All right. Maybe I am misinterpreting that sentence, or that — I believe that everyone has the right to prove their guilt or their innocence. Q. What did you say, everybody has the right to prove	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced. 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And they don't have to do anything, but they can; is 8 that what you're saying? 9 A. Right. And what I was trying to explain is it's my 10 understanding that he has that same right to prove that— 11 prove his innocence. 12 Q. Right.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh. So, tell me how you got that out of he should have to prove his innocence? He should have to prove his innocence. Still not making your connect. A. All right. Maybe I am misinterpreting that sentence, or that — I believe that everyone has the right to prove their guilt or their innocence. Q. What did you say, everybody has the right to prove their guilt or innocence?	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced. 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And they don't have to do anything, but they can; is 8 that what you're saying? 9 A. Right. And what I was trying to explain is it's my 10 understanding that he has that same right to prove that— 11 prove his innocence. 12 Q. Right. 13 A. If that's not correct, then I stand corrected. But,
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh. So, tell me how you got that out of he should have to prove his innocence? He should have to prove his innocence. Still not making your connect. A. All right. Maybe I am misinterpreting that sentence, or that — I believe that everyone has the right to prove their guilt or their innocence. Q. What did you say, everybody has the right to prove their guilt or innocence? A. That's correct.	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced. 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And they don't have to do anything, but they can; is 8 that what you're saying? 9 A. Right. And what I was trying to explain is it's my 10 understanding that he has that same right to prove that— 11 prove his innocence. 12 Q. Right. 13 A. If that's not correct, then I stand corrected. But, 14 if somebody is accusing me of something, I believe I have the
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh. So, tell me how you got that out of he should have to prove his innocence? He should have to prove his innocence. Still not making your connect. A. All right. Maybe I am misinterpreting that sentence, or that — I believe that everyone has the right to prove their guilt or their innocence. Q. What did you say, everybody has the right to prove their guilt or innocence? A. That's correct. Q. Explain that one to me. That's a new one. I've	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced. 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And they don't have to do anything, but they can; is 8 that what you're saying? 9 A. Right. And what I was trying to explain is it's my 10 understanding that he has that same right to prove that— 11 prove his innocence. 12 Q. Right. 13 A. If that's not correct, then I stand corrected. But, 14 if somebody is accusing me of something, I believe I have the 15 right to defend that and to present evidence that shows that
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh. So, tell me how you got that out of he should have to prove his innocence? He should have to prove his innocence. Still not making your connect. A. All right. Maybe I am misinterpreting that sentence, or that — I believe that everyone has the right to prove their guilt or their innocence. Q. What did you say, everybody has the right to prove their guilt or innocence? A. That's correct. Q. Explain that one to me. That's a new one. I've never heard that one.	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced. 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And they don't have to do anything, but they can; is 8 that what you're saying? 9 A. Right. And what I was trying to explain is it's my 10 understanding that he has that same right to prove that— 11 prove his innocence. 12 Q. Right. 13 A. If that's not correct, then I stand corrected. But, 14 if somebody is accusing me of something, I believe I have the 15 right to defend that and to present evidence that shows that 16 I'm not guilty.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh. So, tell me how you got that out of he should have to prove his innocence? He should have to prove his innocence. Still not making your connect. A. All right. Maybe I am misinterpreting that sentence, or that — I believe that everyone has the right to prove their guilt or their innocence. Q. What did you say, everybody has the right to prove their guilt or innocence? A. That's correct. Q. Explain that one to me. That's a new one. I've never heard that one. A. You don't believe that your client has the right to	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced. 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And they don't have to do anything, but they can; is 8 that what you're saying? 9 A. Right. And what I was trying to explain is it's my 10 understanding that he has that same right to prove that— 11 prove his innocence. 12 Q. Right. 13 A. If that's not correct, then I stand corrected. But, 14 if somebody is accusing me of something, I believe I have the 15 right to defend that and to present evidence that shows that 16 I'm not guilty. 17 Q. Okay.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh. So, tell me how you got that out of he should have to prove his innocence? He should have to prove his innocence. Still not making your connect. A. All right. Maybe I am misinterpreting that sentence, or that — I believe that everyone has the right to prove their guilt or their innocence. Q. What did you say, everybody has the right to prove their guilt or innocence? A. That's correct. Q. Explain that one to me. That's a new one. I've never heard that one. A. You don't believe that your client has the right to prove that he's innocent?	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced. 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And they don't have to do anything, but they can; is 8 that what you're saying? 9 A. Right. And what I was trying to explain is it's my 10 understanding that he has that same right to prove that— 11 prove his innocence. 12 Q. Right. 13 A. If that's not correct, then I stand corrected. But, 14 if somebody is accusing me of something, I believe I have the 15 right to defend that and to present evidence that shows that 16 I'm not guilty. 17 Q. Okay. 18 A. I have—so I agree with that statement that I
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh. So, tell me how you got that out of he should have to prove his innocence? He should have to prove his innocence. Still not making your connect. A. All right. Maybe I am misinterpreting that sentence, or that — I believe that everyone has the right to prove their guilt or their innocence. Q. What did you say, everybody has the right to prove their guilt or innocence? A. That's correct. Q. Explain that one to me. That's a new one. I've never heard that one. A. You don't believe that your client has the right to prove that he's innocent? Q. But I'll ask the questions. Explain what you meant	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced. 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And they don't have to do anything, but they can; is 8 that what you're saying? 9 A. Right. And what I was trying to explain is it's my 10 understanding that he has that same right to prove that— 11 prove his innocence. 12 Q. Right. 13 A. If that's not correct, then I stand corrected. But, 14 if somebody is accusing me of something, I believe I have the 15 right to defend that and to present evidence that shows that 16 I'm not guilty. 17 Q. Okay. 18 A. I have—so I agree with that statement that I 19 have that right to prove that I'm not guilty.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh. So, tell me how you got that out of he should have to prove his innocence? He should have to prove his innocence. Still not making your connect. A. All right. Maybe I am misinterpreting that sentence, or that — I believe that everyone has the right to prove their guilt or their innocence. Q. What did you say, everybody has the right to prove their guilt or innocence? A. That's correct. Q. Explain that one to me. That's a new one. I've never heard that one. A. You don't believe that your client has the right to prove that he's innocent? Q. But I'll ask the questions. Explain what you meant he has the right to prove his guilt or innocence.	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced. 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And they don't have to do anything, but they can; is 8 that what you're saying? 9 A. Right. And what I was trying to explain is it's my 10 understanding that he has that same right to prove that— 11 prove his innocence. 12 Q. Right. 13 A. If that's not correct, then I stand corrected. But, 14 if somebody is accusing me of something, I believe I have the 15 right to defend that and to present evidence that shows that 16 I'm not guilty. 17 Q. Okay. 18 A. I have—so I agree with that statement that I 19 have that right to prove that I'm not guilty.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 127 A. At the same time, I believe the Defendant has that same right to prove his innocence by presenting whatever evidence he has to support his claim that he wasn't there or he's not guilty, and I believe that's his right as well. Q. Oh, uh-huh. So, tell me how you got that out of he should have to prove his innocence? He should have to prove his innocence. Still not making your connect. A. All right. Maybe I am misinterpreting that sentence, or that — I believe that everyone has the right to prove their guilt or their innocence. Q. What did you say, everybody has the right to prove their guilt or innocence? A. That's correct. Q. Explain that one to me. That's a new one. I've never heard that one. A. You don't believe that your client has the right to prove that he's innocent? Q. But I'll ask the questions. Explain what you meant	Page 129 1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. But are you still in the same place and you agree 3 that if they don't produce evidence, that failure doesn't go 4 on the scales of justice in any regard. The only thing to 5 measure then is what the State has produced. 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And they don't have to do anything, but they can; is 8 that what you're saying? 9 A. Right. And what I was trying to explain is it's my 10 understanding that he has that same right to prove that— 11 prove his innocence. 12 Q. Right. 13 A. If that's not correct, then I stand corrected. But, 14 if somebody is accusing me of something, I believe I have the 15 right to defend that and to present evidence that shows that 16 I'm not guilty. 17 Q. Okay. 18 A. I have—so I agree with that statement that I 19 have that right to prove that I'm not guilty. 20 Q. Right. And they have—see, the State has an

25

23 just as the State has that right to prove his guilt.

25 prove his guilt or innocence.

Q. You didn't say that. You said he has the right to

23 at the Judge after eight weeks of this stuff, and he says put

MR. GOELLER: I'd be there for that.

24 on your evidence, and I say we don't have any.

	Page 130	١,	Page 132 wrong, she's paraphrased that they intend to prove several
I	(Laughter.)	1	home mortgage lending improprieties or scams help me, Gail.
2	Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: I can't imagine that happening, but	3	Tell me where it's at.
	he can't make me. I mean, he might make me wish I had some	4	MS. FALCO: Scams.
	evidence, but he can't make me put on any evidence. But, if	1	
	that's the case, then the verdict has to be not guilty because	5	MR. GOELLER: Scams.
6	there's no evidence.	6	MS. FALCO: Or fraud fraudulent
7	A. Correct.	7	transactions.
8	Q. The presumption of innocence remains.	8	MR. GOELLER: Fraudulent transactions.
9	So, really, practically speaking, I do have an	9	I don't know how to do this. I guess, I'm an
10	obligation to put on evidence, unless l just want to	10	•
11	A. Throw the case.	11	knows this person.
12	Q. Yeah, and have all the political and other kinds of	12	MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, just a moment. I know
13	consequences, I guess.	13	he's an officer of the Court, but unless he has personal
14	But, the Defense, while they have the right to put	14	
15	on evidence, doesn't have the obligation.	í	him, I'd object to you considering that as evidence. If he's
16	A. I understand that.	16	got some extrensic evidence he wants to offer somehow related
17	Q. And you wouldn't you're not creating some law	17	,
18	that's putting an obligation on them that our law says they	18	5 5
19	don't have. That's not what you meant by your answer. You	19	the form of his evidence is not competent unless he has
20	didn't mean that you're expecting them to prove something, or	20	personal knowledge of it.
21	they better prove something. You're not like that?	21	In other words, I guess what I'm saying is if the
22	A. No. And that's what I was trying to explain to	22	Defendant has something to say about his involvement with this
23	Mr. Goeller that his interpretation of my answer was not what	23	juror, the only person that can do that talking is the
24	my answer was, but that's fine.	24	Defendant. I can't we can't have the attorney offering
25	Q. But in fairness to Mr. Goeller, you can understand	25	substantive evidence of what the Defendant would or would not,
1	Page 131	1	Page 133 or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case
	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to	1 2	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case.
2	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You	2	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he
2	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer?	2 3	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for
2 3 4	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes.	2 3 4	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about.
2 3 4 5	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller	2 3 4 5	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled.
2 3 4 5 6	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation?	2 3 4 5 6	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters
2 3 4 5 6 7	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody.	2 3 4 5 6 7	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the Defendant?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me. MR. GOELLER: That's correct. I would state to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the Defendant? MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me. MR. GOELLER: That's correct. I would state to the Court that I have very good reason to believe granted I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the Defendant? MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you step down for a few	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me. MR. GOELLER: That's correct. I would state to the Court that I have very good reason to believe granted I don't have personal knowledge, but I think everybody can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the Defendant? MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you step down for a few minutes, and we'll invite you back in just a minute.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me. MR. GOELLER: That's correct. I would state to the Court that I have very good reason to believe granted I don't have personal knowledge, but I think everybody can figure where it's coming from. My client worked in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the Defendant? MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you step down for a few minutes, and we'll invite you back in just a minute. Sir, I will also advise you, there are other jurors	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me. MR. GOELLER: That's correct. I would state to the Court that I have very good reason to believe granted I don't have personal knowledge, but I think everybody can figure where it's coming from. My client worked in the mortgage lending business, that's undisputed. The State has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the Defendant? MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you step down for a few minutes, and we'll invite you back in just a minute. Sir, I will also advise you, there are other jurors in there, I'm sure. If you would, don't say anything to them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 155	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me. MR. GOELLER: That's correct. I would state to the Court that I have very good reason to believe granted I don't have personal knowledge, but I think everybody can figure where it's coming from. My client worked in the mortgage lending business, that's undisputed. The State has notified us of 404(B) improprieties in those loans. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the Defendant? MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you step down for a few minutes, and we'll invite you back in just a minute. Sir, I will also advise you, there are other jurors in there, I'm sure. If you would, don't say anything to them about anything that's been asked of you or anything that	2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 7	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me. MR. GOELLER: That's correct. I would state to the Court that I have very good reason to believe granted I don't have personal knowledge, but I think everybody can figure where it's coming from. My client worked in the mortgage lending business, that's undisputed. The State has notified us of 404(B) improprieties in those loans. And I have good faith reason to believe that my client and this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the Defendant? MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you step down for a few minutes, and we'll invite you back in just a minute. Sir, I will also advise you, there are other jurors in there, I'm sure. If you would, don't say anything to them about anything that's been asked of you or anything that you've answered.	2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 100 111 122 13 144 155 166 177	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me. MR. GOELLER: That's correct. I would state to the Court that I have very good reason to believe granted I don't have personal knowledge, but I think everybody can figure where it's coming from. My client worked in the mortgage lending business, that's undisputed. The State has notified us of 404(B) improprieties in those loans. And I have good faith reason to believe that my client and this person had a one-on-one regarding a loan, and there was some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the Defendant? MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you step down for a few minutes, and we'll invite you back in just a minute. Sir, I will also advise you, there are other jurors in there, I'm sure. If you would, don't say anything to them about anything that's been asked of you or anything that you've answered. THE DEFENDANT: I understand.	2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 111 12 13 13 14 15 16 17 18	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me. MR. GOELLER: That's correct. I would state to the Court that I have very good reason to believe granted I don't have personal knowledge, but I think everybody can figure where it's coming from. My client worked in the mortgage lending business, that's undisputed. The State has notified us of 404(B) improprieties in those loans. And I have good faith reason to believe that my client and this person had a one-on-one regarding a loan, and there was some dissatisfaction on the part of this prospective juror that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the Defendant? MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you step down for a few minutes, and we'll invite you back in just a minute. Sir, I will also advise you, there are other jurors in there, I'm sure. If you would, don't say anything to them about anything that's been asked of you or anything that you've answered. THE DEFENDANT: I understand. THE COURT: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 19	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me. MR. GOELLER: That's correct. I would state to the Court that I have very good reason to believe granted I don't have personal knowledge, but I think everybody can figure where it's coming from. My client worked in the mortgage lending business, that's undisputed. The State has notified us of 404(B) improprieties in those loans. And I have good faith reason to believe that my client and this person had a one-on-one regarding a loan, and there was some dissatisfaction on the part of this prospective juror that the client could get him the right kind of loan he was looking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the Defendant? MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you step down for a few minutes, and we'll invite you back in just a minute. Sir, I will also advise you, there are other jurors in there, I'm sure. If you would, don't say anything to them about anything that's been asked of you or anything that you've answered. THE DEFENDANT: I understand. THE COURT: All right. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.)	2 3 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me. MR. GOELLER: That's correct. I would state to the Court that I have very good reason to believe granted I don't have personal knowledge, but I think everybody can figure where it's coming from. My client worked in the mortgage lending business, that's undisputed. The State has notified us of 404(B) improprieties in those loans. And I have good faith reason to believe that my client and this person had a one-on-one regarding a loan, and there was some dissatisfaction on the part of this prospective juror that the client could get him the right kind of loan he was looking for, and then he got passed off to the very person he named
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the Defendant? MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you step down for a few minutes, and we'll invite you back in just a minute. Sir, I will also advise you, there are other jurors in there, I'm sure. If you would, don't say anything to them about anything that's been asked of you or anything that you've answered. THE DEFENDANT: I understand. THE COURT: All right. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.) THE COURT: Let's see here, all right.	2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 19 20 21	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me. MR. GOELLER: That's correct. I would state to the Court that I have very good reason to believe granted I don't have personal knowledge, but I think everybody can figure where it's coming from. My client worked in the mortgage lending business, that's undisputed. The State has notified us of 404(B) improprieties in those loans. And I have good faith reason to believe that my client and this person had a one-on-one regarding a loan, and there was some dissatisfaction on the part of this prospective juror that the client could get him the right kind of loan he was looking for, and then he got passed off to the very person he named next, which was Mr. Murphy and Ms. Davis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the Defendant? MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you step down for a few minutes, and we'll invite you back in just a minute. Sir, I will also advise you, there are other jurors in there, I'm sure. If you would, don't say anything to them about anything that's been asked of you or anything that you've answered. THE DEFENDANT: I understand. THE COURT: All right. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.) THE COURT: Let's see here, all right. MR. GOELLER: Judge, I'm in a position I have	2 3 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me. MR. GOELLER: That's correct. I would state to the Court that I have very good reason to believe granted I don't have personal knowledge, but I think everybody can figure where it's coming from. My client worked in the mortgage lending business, that's undisputed. The State has notified us of 404(B) improprieties in those loans. And I have good faith reason to believe that my client and this person had a one-on-one regarding a loan, and there was some dissatisfaction on the part of this prospective juror that the client could get him the right kind of loan he was looking for, and then he got passed off to the very person he named next, which was Mr. Murphy and Ms. Davis. Anyhow, the basis for my challenge for cause at this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the Defendant? MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you step down for a few minutes, and we'll invite you back in just a minute. Sir, I will also advise you, there are other jurors in there, I'm sure. If you would, don't say anything to them about anything that's been asked of you or anything that you've answered. THE DEFENDANT: I understand. THE COURT: All right. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.) THE COURT: Let's see here, all right. MR. GOELLER: Judge, I'm in a position I have to make a challenge for cause for this witness. The State has	2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me. MR. GOELLER: That's correct. I would state to the Court that I have very good reason to believe granted I don't have personal knowledge, but I think everybody can figure where it's coming from. My client worked in the mortgage lending business, that's undisputed. The State has notified us of 404(B) improprieties in those loans. And I have good faith reason to believe that my client and this person had a one-on-one regarding a loan, and there was some dissatisfaction on the part of this prospective juror that the client could get him the right kind of loan he was looking for, and then he got passed off to the very person he named next, which was Mr. Murphy and Ms. Davis. Anyhow, the basis for my challenge for cause at this point is that he's a prospective witness in this case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the Defendant? MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you step down for a few minutes, and we'll invite you back in just a minute. Sir, I will also advise you, there are other jurors in there, I'm sure. If you would, don't say anything to them about anything that's been asked of you or anything that you've answered. THE DEFENDANT: I understand. THE COURT: All right. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.) THE COURT: Let's see here, all right. MR. GOELLER: Judge, I'm in a position I have to make a challenge for cause for this witness. The State has provided me with several pages of their 404(B) punishment	2 3 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 222 233 244	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me. MR. GOELLER: That's correct. I would state to the Court that I have very good reason to believe granted I don't have personal knowledge, but I think everybody can figure where it's coming from. My client worked in the mortgage lending business, that's undisputed. The State has notified us of 404(B) improprieties in those loans. And I have good faith reason to believe that my client and this person had a one-on-one regarding a loan, and there was some dissatisfaction on the part of this prospective juror that the client could get him the right kind of loan he was looking for, and then he got passed off to the very person he named next, which was Mr. Murphy and Ms. Davis. Anyhow, the basis for my challenge for cause at this point is that he's a prospective witness in this case. THE COURT: The juror?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	why he could have looked at it and said, gee, you're going to make me have to produce evidence that my guy is innocent. You can see how he would have thought that maybe from the answer? A. I can see that, yes. Q. And you don't have any hard feeling with Mr. Goeller for that interpretation? A. I have no hard feelings with anybody. MR. SCHULTZ: That's all we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the Defendant? MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you step down for a few minutes, and we'll invite you back in just a minute. Sir, I will also advise you, there are other jurors in there, I'm sure. If you would, don't say anything to them about anything that's been asked of you or anything that you've answered. THE DEFENDANT: I understand. THE COURT: All right. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.) THE COURT: Let's see here, all right. MR. GOELLER: Judge, I'm in a position I have to make a challenge for cause for this witness. The State has	2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 222 233	or might be going to say that could be evidence in this case. I'm not trying to inhibit his ability to offer any evidence he wants to, but in my judgment, respectfully, it's improper for him to be offering evidence he knows nothing about. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOELLER: I think on preliminary matters like this under the Rules of Evidence THE COURT: Listen, anything you're telling me isn't evidence. Tell me whatever you want to tell me. MR. GOELLER: That's correct. I would state to the Court that I have very good reason to believe granted I don't have personal knowledge, but I think everybody can figure where it's coming from. My client worked in the mortgage lending business, that's undisputed. The State has notified us of 404(B) improprieties in those loans. And I have good faith reason to believe that my client and this person had a one-on-one regarding a loan, and there was some dissatisfaction on the part of this prospective juror that the client could get him the right kind of loan he was looking for, and then he got passed off to the very person he named next, which was Mr. Murphy and Ms. Davis. Anyhow, the basis for my challenge for cause at this point is that he's a prospective witness in this case.

			
	Page 134	١.	Page 136
1	don't think the State has subpoenaed. Probably nobody knows	1	
2	, .	3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
3	witness not witness in the sense that he's called to the witness stand to testify, but he now has personal knowledge		
4	•	5	
5	•	1	
6	that could go, but I think he's I think he should be	6	THE COURT: The only evidence I heard was from
7	stricken for cause at this point.	7	
8	THE COURT: Anything else?	8	MR. SCHULTZ: From the juror.
9	MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Your	9	THE COURT: from the juror who said he had
10		1	no recollection. And also that his dealings with regard to
11	THE COURT: All right.	11	the mortgage were, I took the evidence to be, minimal. So, at
12	, ,	12	, ,
13	•	13	What says the State?
14		14	
15	•	15	THE COURT: What says the Defendant?
16	sudden he says he were to say to himself, you know what, I	16	` 5 ,
17	do remember Ivan Cantu. I think there's indicia of a problem	17	THE COURT: Was that a silly question from the
18	right now. He's told the Court, yeah, Holigan Homes.	18	Court?
19	Yeah, I dealt with Larry Davis. I can't call the State as a	19	MR. GOELLER: Never silly from the Court, but
20	witness, but they probably know Ivan worked there and was	20	it was just kind of funny the way you said it.
21	doing loans at that time.	21	Obviously, we'd use our Peremptory Number One on
22	Again, this is one of those situations that 35.16 in	22	him, Judge.
23	the 35 series, who could have thought of such a thing coming	23	THE COURT: Excuse me?
24	up? And that's why I cut right to the chase with him because	24	MS. FALCO: Seven.
25	I don't think he's a qualified juror. I think in the interest	25	MR. GOELLER: I'm sorry, was it seven?
1	Page 135	1	Page 137 MS FALCO: Vec
_	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because	1 2	MS. FALCO: Yes.
2	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows,	2	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what,
2	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too.	2 3	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would
2 3 4	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced	2 3 4	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally
2 3 4 5	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm	2 3 4 5	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want
2 3 4 5 6	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's	2 3 4 5 6	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time.
2 3 4 5 6 7	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment and all that, I'd be forced to use a peremptory strike on a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Sir, are you Fred Noddin?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment and all that, I'd be forced to use a peremptory strike on a guy who could very well be a witness a witness to some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Sir, are you Fred Noddin? VENIREPERSON: Yes, I am, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment and all that, I'd be forced to use a peremptory strike on a guy who could very well be a witness a witness to some evidence they may produce, and he's sitting in the jury box,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Sir, are you Fred Noddin? VENIREPERSON: Yes, I am, sir. THE COURT: Perhaps you recall, I placed all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment and all that, I'd be forced to use a peremptory strike on a guy who could very well be a witness a witness to some evidence they may produce, and he's sitting in the jury box, (snaps fingers), that's right, I was there, or I know how that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Sir, are you Fred Noddin? VENIREPERSON: Yes, I am, sir. THE COURT: Perhaps you recall, I placed all the jurors under an oath, and the oath was to give truthful
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment and all that, I'd be forced to use a peremptory strike on a guy who could very well be a witness a witness to some evidence they may produce, and he's sitting in the jury box, (snaps fingers), that's right, I was there, or I know how that went. And maybe that's why he said this to me, or if he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Sir, are you Fred Noddin? VENIREPERSON: Yes, I am, sir. THE COURT: Perhaps you recall, I placed all the jurors under an oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. And I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment and all that, I'd be forced to use a peremptory strike on a guy who could very well be a witness a witness to some evidence they may produce, and he's sitting in the jury box, (snaps fingers), that's right, I was there, or I know how that went. And maybe that's why he said this to me, or if he recalls even seeing him. I don't know if this Larry Davis or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Sir, are you Fred Noddin? VENIREPERSON: Yes, I am, sir. THE COURT: Perhaps you recall, I placed all the jurors under an oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. And I just want to remind you to give truthful answers. Please be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment and all that, I'd be forced to use a peremptory strike on a guy who could very well be a witness a witness to some evidence they may produce, and he's sitting in the jury box, (snaps fingers), that's right, I was there, or I know how that went. And maybe that's why he said this to me, or if he recalls even seeing him. I don't know if this Larry Davis or Larry Murphy is going to come into play. I don't know. So I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Sir, are you Fred Noddin? VENIREPERSON: Yes, I am, sir. THE COURT: Perhaps you recall, I placed all the jurors under an oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. And I just want to remind you to give truthful answers. Please be seated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment and all that, I'd be forced to use a peremptory strike on a guy who could very well be a witness a witness to some evidence they may produce, and he's sitting in the jury box, (snaps fingers), that's right, I was there, or I know how that went. And maybe that's why he said this to me, or if he recalls even seeing him. I don't know if this Larry Davis or Larry Murphy is going to come into play. I don't know. So I think if anything, it's a problem. It's a problem, Judge, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Sir, are you Fred Noddin? VENIREPERSON: Yes, I am, sir. THE COURT: Perhaps you recall, I placed all the jurors under an oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. And I just want to remind you to give truthful answers. Please be seated. Ms. Falco?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment and all that, I'd be forced to use a peremptory strike on a guy who could very well be a witness a witness to some evidence they may produce, and he's sitting in the jury box, (snaps fingers), that's right, I was there, or I know how that went. And maybe that's why he said this to me, or if he recalls even seeing him. I don't know if this Larry Davis or Larry Murphy is going to come into play. I don't know. So I think if anything, it's a problem. It's a problem, Judge, and I'd ask you to grant the challenge for cause.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Sir, are you Fred Noddin? VENIREPERSON: Yes, I am, sir. THE COURT: Perhaps you recall, I placed all the jurors under an oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. And I just want to remind you to give truthful answers. Please be seated. Ms. Falco? MS. FALCO: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment and all that, I'd be forced to use a peremptory strike on a guy who could very well be a witness a witness to some evidence they may produce, and he's sitting in the jury box, (snaps fingers), that's right, I was there, or I know how that went. And maybe that's why he said this to me, or if he recalls even seeing him. I don't know if this Larry Davis or Larry Murphy is going to come into play. I don't know. So I think if anything, it's a problem. It's a problem, Judge, and I'd ask you to grant the challenge for cause. THE COURT: Deny the challenge, and also state	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Sir, are you Fred Noddin? VENIREPERSON: Yes, I am, sir. THE COURT: Perhaps you recall, I placed all the jurors under an oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. And I just want to remind you to give truthful answers. Please be seated. Ms. Falco?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment and all that, I'd be forced to use a peremptory strike on a guy who could very well be a witness a witness to some evidence they may produce, and he's sitting in the jury box, (snaps fingers), that's right, I was there, or I know how that went. And maybe that's why he said this to me, or if he recalls even seeing him. I don't know if this Larry Davis or Larry Murphy is going to come into play. I don't know. So I think if anything, it's a problem. It's a problem, Judge, and I'd ask you to grant the challenge for cause. THE COURT: Deny the challenge, and also state that I suppose that's always a possibility with any juror	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Sir, are you Fred Noddin? VENIREPERSON: Yes, I am, sir. THE COURT: Perhaps you recall, I placed all the jurors under an oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. And I just want to remind you to give truthful answers. Please be seated. Ms. Falco? MS. FALCO: Thank you, Your Honor. DIRECT QUESTIONS BY MS. FALCO:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment and all that, I'd be forced to use a peremptory strike on a guy who could very well be a witness a witness to some evidence they may produce, and he's sitting in the jury box, (snaps fingers), that's right, I was there, or I know how that went. And maybe that's why he said this to me, or if he recalls even seeing him. I don't know if this Larry Davis or Larry Murphy is going to come into play. I don't know. So I think if anything, it's a problem. It's a problem, Judge, and I'd ask you to grant the challenge for cause. THE COURT: Deny the challenge, and also state that I suppose that's always a possibility with any juror that, you know, some event may occur during the trial where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Sir, are you Fred Noddin? VENIREPERSON: Yes, I am, sir. THE COURT: Perhaps you recall, I placed all the jurors under an oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. And I just want to remind you to give truthful answers. Please be seated. Ms. Falco? Ms. FALCO: Thank you, Your Honor. DIRECT QUESTIONS BY MS. FALCO: Q. My name is Gail Falco, and I'm an Assistant District
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment and all that, I'd be forced to use a peremptory strike on a guy who could very well be a witness a witness to some evidence they may produce, and he's sitting in the jury box, (snaps fingers), that's right, I was there, or I know how that went. And maybe that's why he said this to me, or if he recalls even seeing him. I don't know if this Larry Davis or Larry Murphy is going to come into play. I don't know. So I think if anything, it's a problem. It's a problem, Judge, and I'd ask you to grant the challenge for cause. THE COURT: Deny the challenge, and also state that I suppose that's always a possibility with any juror that, you know, some event may occur during the trial where they look back to 20 years ago, and say, oh, yes, now I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Sir, are you Fred Noddin? VENIREPERSON: Yes, I am, sir. THE COURT: Perhaps you recall, I placed all the jurors under an oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. And I just want to remind you to give truthful answers. Please be seated. Ms. Falco? MS. FALCO: Thank you, Your Honor. DIRECT QUESTIONS BY MS. FALCO: Q. My name is Gail Falco, and I'm an Assistant District Attorney here in Collin County. And probably, as I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment and all that, I'd be forced to use a peremptory strike on a guy who could very well be a witness a witness to some evidence they may produce, and he's sitting in the jury box, (snaps fingers), that's right, I was there, or I know how that went. And maybe that's why he said this to me, or if he recalls even seeing him. I don't know if this Larry Davis or Larry Murphy is going to come into play. I don't know. So I think if anything, it's a problem. It's a problem, Judge, and I'd ask you to grant the challenge for cause. THE COURT: Deny the challenge, and also state that I suppose that's always a possibility with any juror that, you know, some event may occur during the trial where they look back to 20 years ago, and say, oh, yes, now I remember that I had dealings with Mr. High, and I just thought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Sir, are you Fred Noddin? VENIREPERSON: Yes, I am, sir. THE COURT: Perhaps you recall, I placed all the jurors under an oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. And I just want to remind you to give truthful answers. Please be seated. Ms. Falco? MS. FALCO: Thank you, Your Honor. DIRECT QUESTIONS BY MS. FALCO: Q. My name is Gail Falco, and I'm an Assistant District Attorney here in Collin County. And probably, as I'm speaking, the man that will be sitting next to me on my right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment and all that, I'd be forced to use a peremptory strike on a guy who could very well be a witness a witness to some evidence they may produce, and he's sitting in the jury box, (snaps fingers), that's right, I was there, or I know how that went. And maybe that's why he said this to me, or if he recalls even seeing him. I don't know if this Larry Davis or Larry Murphy is going to come into play. I don't know. So I think if anything, it's a problem. It's a problem, Judge, and I'd ask you to grant the challenge for cause. THE COURT: Deny the challenge, and also state that I suppose that's always a possibility with any juror that, you know, some event may occur during the trial where they look back to 20 years ago, and say, oh, yes, now I remember that I had dealings with Mr. High, and I just thought he was marvelous, but I didn't remember it before.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Sir, are you Fred Noddin? VENIREPERSON: Yes, I am, sir. THE COURT: Perhaps you recall, I placed all the jurors under an oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. And I just want to remind you to give truthful answers. Please be seated. Ms. Falco? Ms. FALCO: Thank you, Your Honor. DIRECT QUESTIONS BY MS. FALCO: Q. My name is Gail Falco, and I'm an Assistant District Attorney here in Collin County. And probably, as I'm speaking, the man that will be sitting next to me on my right would be Mr. Bill Schultz. He's the man that spoke to you two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of justice, a challenge for cause ought to be struck because this juror is a potential disaster on this jury. Who knows, it could be against the State, too. But in any event, I don't think I should be forced to use a peremptory strike on something like this where I'm not able to sit down with my client and say, okay, let's you decide whether to use a strike based on whether or not let's face it, in this case his views on capital punishment and all that, I'd be forced to use a peremptory strike on a guy who could very well be a witness a witness to some evidence they may produce, and he's sitting in the jury box, (snaps fingers), that's right, I was there, or I know how that went. And maybe that's why he said this to me, or if he recalls even seeing him. I don't know if this Larry Davis or Larry Murphy is going to come into play. I don't know. So I think if anything, it's a problem. It's a problem, Judge, and I'd ask you to grant the challenge for cause. THE COURT: Deny the challenge, and also state that I suppose that's always a possibility with any juror that, you know, some event may occur during the trial where they look back to 20 years ago, and say, oh, yes, now I remember that I had dealings with Mr. High, and I just thought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. FALCO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Well, I tell you what, I don't think I'll bring Mr. Blackwell back in. If you would just thank him for his service and tell him he's finally excused. And we've got one other witness, so if you-all want to, we can take a ten-minute break at this time. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Sir, are you Fred Noddin? VENIREPERSON: Yes, I am, sir. THE COURT: Perhaps you recall, I placed all the jurors under an oath, and the oath was to give truthful answers to the questions that are asked. And I just want to remind you to give truthful answers. Please be seated. Ms. Falco? MS. FALCO: Thank you, Your Honor. DIRECT QUESTIONS BY MS. FALCO: Q. My name is Gail Falco, and I'm an Assistant District Attorney here in Collin County. And probably, as I'm speaking, the man that will be sitting next to me on my right

Page 140 Page 138 1 process that results in somebody's death. 1 Ms. Jami Lowry. She's also an Assistant District Attorney 2 Have you had an opportunity in these past two weeks 2 here in Collin County. 3 to do some thinking about this? Seated at the other table closest to me is the 3 4 A. Yes. defendant, Ivan Cantu. O. Okay. And looking at your questionnaire that -- you THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon. 5 5 6 answered yes, you're in favor of the death penalty, and in MS. FALCO: Seated next to him is his lawyer, 6 7 Mr. Don High. He's a local private practitioner here in some cases you could return a verdict resulting in death if it 8 Collin County, and probably coming in while I'll be talking is was the proper case. Is that still your opinion? 9 Mr. Matt Goeller, the man that spoke to you a couple of weeks 9 A. Yes. O. And over the past two weeks, what have your thoughts 10 ago. He's also a private practitioner here in Collin County. 10 11 been regarding this type of case? I take it from two weeks ago that you do not know 11 A. I think my thoughts have been that if I was selected 12 12 any of us; is that correct? 13 as a juror, I'd like to think that we wouldn't have to return 13 A. That's correct. Q. Mr. Noddin, I want to talk to you a little bit about 14 a death penalty. 14 15 O. Okay. And that's probably a pretty common notion. 15 this process. Obviously, this is, I guess, at least your 16 I think we would all like to be able to turn on our TV and 16 third time to be here, maybe at least your second time, and 17 not hear about any murders and like to live in a world where 17 understanding that probably the number one answer put down on 18 a questionnaire when asked what's the biggest problem with the 18 we didn't have to use the death penalty because those type of 19 crimes didn't occur. And I think you're exactly right. I 19 criminal justice system? They said the process is too slow, 20 or it takes too long. But understand, the only time we do 20 think most jurors probably would hope the evidence would show 21 this ought to be a life sentence instead of a death sentence 21 this individual voir dire is when the State is seeking the 22 death penalty, and for obvious reasons, the consequences are 22 because nobody wants to do that. And nobody -- I can tell you 23 nobody at this table and nobody at that other table enjoys 23 life or death for this Defendant. What do you think of the process so far? Do you 24 25 think we're being too careful, too cautious, it's too time Page 141 Page 139 1 consuming, or what are your thoughts on that? A. No. I think - you know, the little bit I know 2 3 you're probably in the norm. 3 about the process, you're doing fine. 4 4 O. Okay. When you first showed up a couple of weeks 5 ago, obviously you probably didn't know what you were being 5 death penalty. 6 called for initially, but obviously at some point in time you 7 realized this was a capital murder case. What were your 8 thoughts when you realized this was a capital murder?

A. It's a lot more serious than the last time I was 10 called here and that was settled before we even got called as 11 a jury. So, I mean obviously, it's something very

O. Now, I know when you filled out the questionnaires, 13 14 you were given those questionnaires before anybody had -- any 15 of the lawyers talked to you about the law, and you were also

16 having to fill out those questionnaires without really a lot 17 of time for reflection. It pretty much said, what's your name

18 and what do you think about the death penalty. I know you've

19 had some time since you were here two weeks ago to think about

20 your position, and we also understand that it's one thing to 21 talk about the death penalty if you're sitting in your living

22 room and something comes on TV, and you're with your family

23 and talk about how you feel about it. And so, obviously it's

24 a completely different ball game to ask, can you personally be

25 involved in a system that results in somebody's death, or a

24 being here, and if we had our choice, we would all like to 25 live in that world where we didn't have to seek the death 1 penalty because those type of crimes didn't occur. So, I 2 think you're right on with those type of thoughts, and I think Given all that, tell me why you are in favor of the A. I - I think at some level there has to be a penalty 7 that an individual involves himself in certain crimes have to 8 pay to convince others not to be involved to that level of 9 criminal activity. I mean, it's, I guess you could say, the 10 ultimate penalty, and there are more than likely certain cases 11 where that will stop some people from doing things that would 12 result in death. It won't in all cases, but I just feel that 13 there's certain people, certain crimes that that is the only 14 recourse. Q. Okay. And given that, in this jury selection 15 16 process both sides are looking for 12 jurors who can be fair 17 and impartial, who can look at the evidence with an open mind 18 and return a sentence of life, if that's what the evidence 19 shows, or return a sentence of death, if that's what the 20 evidence shows, and bottom line be able to take the oath that 21 they take when they're sworn in as a juror and follow the law

22 and swear to return a verdict that's in accordance with the

25 far as involving yourself in a process that could result in a

With regard to what you've been thinking about as

23 facts and the law.

- 1 death sentence and understanding that you would like it to be
- 2 in a way that resulted in a life just because that's an easier
- 3 situation, could you involve yourself in a process that
- 4 resulted in the death of a defendant?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I want to go ahead and take you step by step 6
- 7 through the trial assuming you were picked as a juror.
- 8 Obviously, we have a bifurcated system in Texas. The first
- phase of the trial is the guilt-innocence phase, and with 9
- 10 regard to the guilt-innocence phase, the burden of proof is on
- 11 the State, and we have to prove to you beyond a reasonable
- 12 doubt that a defendant committed the offense of capital
- 13 murder.
- 14 As Mr. Schultz explained to you, as far as our
- 15 purposes are concerned, there's three situations in which
- 16 murder becomes capital murder in which the death penalty is an
- 17 option. And the first one of those is murder in the course of
- 18 a burglary. Do you remember him talking about what burglary
- 19 is and murder in the course of that? Understand that that's
- 20 the law and that the death penalty is an option in that
- 21 situation. Do you agree with that type of a crime the death
- 22 penalty should at least be an option?
- 23 A. As an option, yes.
- 24 O. And that's what I'm saying. It's not automatic or
- 25 not a guarantee, but at least be an option depending on what

Page 143

- 1 the facts may show?
- 2 A. (Nods head.)
- 3 O. And the same with regard to murder in the course of
- a robbery, do you think that is a situation -- a crime in
- 5 which the death penalty should at least be an option?
- 6 A. An option, yes.
- 7 Q. And the same with a double homicide, do you think
- 8 that's the type of crime in which the death penalty should at
- 9 least be an option?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, assuming that the State proves their case, and 11
- 12 they prove it to you beyond a reasonable doubt, and you
- 13 understand that during the guilt-innocence phase the burden
- 14 never shifts; it's always on the State? The Defense has no
- 15 burden at all, has no duty at all, except for to show up. As
- 16 long as they show up, that's all the law requires. As far as
- 17 the Fifth Amendment, a defendant does not have to testify.
- 18 They can testify if they want to, they can put evidence on if
- 19 they want to, but they have no duty or no burden to do that.
- 20 And the if judge told you that's the law, and you're not to
- 21 hold it against them if they don't testify, could you do that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Let's assume that the jury as a whole finds the
- 24 State proved their case beyond a reasonable doubt. They find
- 25 the Defendant guilty of capital murder. They move on to the

Page 144

- punishment phase, and like you were told, it's not a situation
- where you just say life or death. First you have to answer a
- series of questions, and depending on how you answer those
- questions it automatic -- it results in a death sentence, or a
- life sentence depending on how you answer the questions.
- 6 With regard to the first question, and I'm sure you
- 7 recall it from two weeks ago. It's what we call the future
- 8 dangerousness question; do you remember that?
 - A. Yes.

9

- Q. Now, obviously the Legislature envisioned certain 10
- 11 situations that a person might not be a future danger. In
- other words, the death penalty is not an automatic situation,
- and that just because a jury could find a defendant guilty of
- capital murder doesn't automatically mean that they're a
- future danger. Mr. Schultz kind of covered some of those
- situations, such as the parent whose child is killed, and then
- 17 the killers get off and as they're walking out of the
- 18 courtroom they laugh at the parents, and one of the parents
- 19 hunts them down and kills them.
- 20 Or maybe you have a situation with a man who goes in
- 21 to rob a liquor store. He goes in, robs the liquor store,
- 22 kills the clerk, but on his way out the police are there. He
- gets in a shoot-out with the police, and he gets in a
- shoot-out, and he's paralyzed from the neck down, physically
- 25 can't move, physically he can't do any danger to anybody

- 1 anymore.
- 2 Or maybe even the Dr. Kevorkian situation. Maybe
- 3 it's an elderly couple who decides that they don't want -- one
- doesn't want to live without the other, and they want to be
- able to leave this world at the same time, and they get --
- their health gets to such a point where they can't care for
- themselves, and they can't care for one another so they
- solicit the help of Dr. Kevorkian, and he comes in and helps
- 9 them both to die. In Texas that's murder; because it's two of
- 10 them, it's capital murder. Maybe that's a situation where you
- might say, well, they're the ones that asked for it. It
- 12 wasn't like Dr. Kevorkian came in and killed them without
- 13 their consent. Maybe he's not a future danger there.
- 14 But anyway, the Legislature has contemplated there's
- got to be situations that the jury could find that person
- guilty of capital murder, but then turn around and look at
- 17 this question and look at the evidence and say, but we don't
- 18 think he's a future danger. And in understanding that when
- 19 you get to this question, you understand it's not automatic
- that you find this question yes, he's a future danger? It's
- kind of asking the jury to relook at the evidence. Do you
- 22 understand that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Now, it may be that the jury does relook at the
- 25 evidence and looks at the facts of the crime and just the

- 1 crime alone, and say just based on that alone, I think he's
- 2 going to be a future danger. And that's okay, too. They can
- 3 base their answers to this question solely on the facts of the
- case. And obviously you can take into consideration all the
- evidence you hear during the punishment phase as well.
- Now, with regard to this first question, again the 6
 - burden of proof is going to be on the State, and we're going
- to have prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt there's a
- probability the Defendant will commit criminal acts of 10 violence in the future. A couple of things about this
- 11 question. First of all, it doesn't say whether there's a
- 12 certainty. It doesn't say will the Defendant commit criminal
- 13 acts of violence? It also doesn't say is there a possibility.
- 14 So, I guess you would agree with me anything is possible. It
- 15 could snow tonight if a cold front came through.

A. Anything is possible. 16

7

- Q. Anything is possible. So, it's obviously something 17
- 18 more than a possibility; something less than a certainty.
- With regard to the word "probability," it's a word 19
- 20 that is undefined. When you get the Court's charge at the end
- of the case, and the Court's charge contains all the law that
- 22 you need to know to decide the case. When you get the Court's
- 23 charge, there will be no definition of probability. It's
- going to be up to you as a juror to decide what that means and
- 25 if the State proved it.

Page 147

- 1 Some people may look at that word and say, well,
- 2 being more mathematically minded, to me that's a percentage.
- 3 Some percentage, it's a probability. Other people may look at
- 4 that and say, well, to me that means more likely than not.
- What do you think of that word "probability?" What does that
- 6 mean to you?

A. I'd tend to say more likely than not. 7

- 8 Q. And as you move along in that sentence, the next
- phrase that you get to that, again, is not going to be defined
- 10 for you, but again frequently debated as to what it might mean
- 11 or include, is criminal acts of violence. I think all of us
- 12 would agree that violence to a person, such as murder,
- 13 aggravated sexual assault, that's a criminal act of violence.
- 14 I'm assuming you'd agree with me that beating up your wife is
- 15 a criminal act of violence. Would you agree with me?
- 16 A. I would agree with you.
- 17 Q. It gets a little fuzzier when you start talking
- 18 about property. If I were to go outside right now with a
- baseball bat and just start smashing windshields in the
- parking lot because I was mad and just started tearing them up
- with that baseball bat, some people would say, well, that's a
- criminal act of violence because you're angry and that's rage,
- 23 and you're taking that out on a car and that violence.
- 24 Other people may take the position, well, that's
- property. It doesn't really matter one way or another. I

Page 148

- 1 don't see it as violent. Where do you fall in that continuum?
- A. In the context of deciding whether or not to have
- 3 the State kill somebody, I think that I'd fall into violence
- against people, not violence against property.
- 5 Q. Okay. And with regard to that, if you were to hear
- 6 evidence that you may not think is a criminal act of violence,
- 7 like smashing up a car, or even things that are clearly not
- 8 violent, like theft, somebody that just steals or running from
- 9 the police, lack of authority -- or lack of regard for any
- 10 type of authority, do you think you could take those incidents
- 11 and apply that to this question by saying, well, that tells me
- 12 about their character? It gives me some insight into their
- 13 character and gives me some insight as to whether or not
- 14 there's a probability they'll commit criminal acts of
- 15 violence. Would that apply to you?
- A. I don't know. Do you want to ask the question a

17 different way?

- 18 Q. Okay. And even though you might say that damaging
- 19 property or taking a baseball bat to a car is not a criminal
- 20 act of violence in your opinion with regard to that question,
- 21 do you think it might help you understand a defendant's
- 22 character? It might help you answer that question as to
- 23 whether or not in the future they might commit a criminal act
- 24 of violence against a person?
- 25 A. It would help define their character, yes.

- Q. It gets a little fuzzier still if you start talking
- 2 about drugs, and whether you're talking about delivery of
- 3 drugs or just a person taking drugs. I'm talking about
- 4 illegal drugs, such as cocaine, methamphetamine. Some people
- 5 may say, well, if you take drugs into your body, that's
- 6 obviously going to do violence to your body. It's going to do
- 7 bad things. If you take those drugs, it could lead to violent
- 8 results because you're on those drugs, and so, therefor,
- that's a criminal act of violence to me.
- 10 Somebody else may say, no, it really should involve
- 11 that person and their own body, and what they do to themselves
- 12 is of no consequence, and it's not threatening anybody, so
- 13 it's not. Where do you fall on that continuum?
 - A. Once again, if the question is in regards to
- 15 deciding to have the State put an individual to death, if it's
- 16 a question of their use of drugs themselves, my view is that
- 17 that's violence to themselves. It's not violence to society.
- Q. Okay. And I guess, again going back to kind of,
- 19 like, smashing up the cars, if you saw somebody selling drugs
- 20 or doing drugs, do you think that might give you some insight
- 21 into character which might help you decide?
- 22 A. Yes, it would.
- 23 Q. And the last word that we get to in that sentence
- 24 that's, again, undefined, but will be frequently debated and
- 25 that's the word "society." This question obviously does not

Page 150 Page 152 1 limit itself to prison society. It doesn't have that word I a future danger, do you think the State could turn right prison in that sentence. It doesn't ask can the defendant 2 around and find a psychiatrist to say just the opposite? 3 safely be locked up in prison. It just says will he be a 4 continuing threat to society. That society could include the Q. Do you see how that could just end up being a battle society you and I live in, the everyday world of the person 5 of the experts? 6 driving the school bus, the person selling ice cream in the A. Yes. 7 ice cream store. It can include the outside world as well. Q. With regard to that question regarding future dangerousness, like I told you, the burden of proof is on the 8 Do you understand that? 9 A. Yes. State. If all 12 jurors say, yes, I think that there's a probability he'll be a future danger, you are still in the 10 Q. Okay. With regard to this question -- well, you 11 tell me what type of things would you be looking at to process of assessing a death sentence. If ten or more jurors 12 determine whether or not there was a probability someone would say, no, we don't think there's that probability, then the 13 commit a criminal act of violence? trial is over. It's an automatic life sentence at that point. 14 A. I think what things I'd look for would be - and I'm 14 So let's assume that you've answered that question 15 making an assumption here - that some of that individual's 15 yes. You would then move on -- and you probably remember 16 Mr. Schultz talked about there was another question regarding 16 past history is shared. If they have a history of violence, 17 of beating people up, getting arrested for violent acts, that the law of parties, and whether or not if someone was the getaway driver as opposed to a shooter. Do you remember him 18 kind of information would influence me. 19 O. Okay. And this would be the punishment phase, so talking about that? Well, that question may or may not apply. 20 all good things, bad things about a defendant would be offered Since we don't think it would apply, we're not going to spend 21 at that point, so you would have those type of things to look much time on it. We're going to move on to the next question. 22 If you answer this probability question yes, you will have to 22 at. 23. You also may hear some evidence, and you may have answer this question, what we call the mitigation question. 24 read this or heard this before, but in these type of cases 24 If you want to take just a moment and read back over that and 25 where either the State or the Defense calls a psychiatrist to 25 refresh your memory. Page 151 Page 153 1 testify. And assuming they're not testifying about a brain 1 A. (Complies.) 2 2 disease or a brain tumor or some physical manifestation of the Q. Do you remember that question? 3 body, assuming they're just talking about, well, I looked at 3 A. Yes, I do. 4 this pattern of behavior, and this pattern of behavior tells 4 Q. Okay. Again, like I said, it's what we call the 5 me this person will be a future danger. On the flip side, I 5 mitigation question, which, I guess, the common usage of the looked at this pattern of behavior and that tells me this word mitigation means to reduce, or lessen, to reduce the 7 person will not be a future danger. How important do you defendant's moral blameworthiness, or guiltiness. think that type of testimony is? With regard to this question, there's no burden of proof on either side. The State doesn't have to prove to you 9 A. I honestly don't know. A more full answer would be 10 that I've grown up. I've watched television shows. You've there's no mitigating evidence. The Defense doesn't have to 11 seen all these things, and I'd have to admit, like my question 11 prove to you that there is any mitigation. Basically what 12 is, are these psychiatrists so brilliant that they actually 12 this question is asking the jury to do is weigh all the 13 effectively can predict that? I mean, if they were, I sort of evidence, and it's up to you as a juror to assign whatever 14 have the feeling that we'd have a few different management weight you want to, to the evidence. You can give the crime 15 controls in place in society today. I mean, I believe that itself whatever weight you want to. You can give any evidence 16 there are some psychiatrists that are very capable, very you hear regarding the Defendant's character and background, 17 competent and very good, and to a large extent they -- because good or bad, you can give that whatever weight you want to. 18 of the fact that they've studied human behavior all of their You can give whatever weight to the personal moral culpability 19 life, they do have better ability to predict something than of the Defendant. You can give whatever weight you want to 20 the normal person does. But the question always, from my that, and you weigh it all. And in the end, you have to 21 point of view, is that individual really the one that's done 21 decide is there sufficient mitigating evidence to warrant a 22 all that study? 22 life sentence to lessen anything else that you gave great

23 weight to; do you understand that?

Q. Okay. And with regard to this question -- as far as

A. Yes, I do.

24

25

Q. Do you think that if the Defense called a

24 psychiatrist to take the stand and say, well, I've looked at

25 all this evidence, and in my opinion I don't think he would be

- 1 mitigating evidence, again you're not going to be given any
- 2 kind of a list saying, here's mitigating evidence for you to
- 3 consider. It's going to be up to you as a jury to decide
- 4 what's mitigating.
- 5 And with regard to that, it gets back to -- we'll
- 6 use drugs again. Drugs is a perfect example of what somebody
- 7 might say is mitigating, another person might say is
- 8 aggravating. Somebody might say, well, the Defendant only
- 9 did this crime because he was on drugs. He got hooked on
- 10 drugs. He was in a downward spiral, he was a complete addict,
- 11 and it changed his personality completely and he was on drugs
- 12 when he committed the offense, and because that's not the way
- 13 he normally is, that's mitigating to me.
- 14 Another juror may look at the same evidence and say,
- 15 I disagree. I think it's aggravating because we're told as a
- 16 society don't do drugs, and the reason we're told don't do
- 17 drugs is because they're bad for you, they could lead to bad
- 18 results, and so you knew what you were doing wrong, and not
- 19 only were you doing drugs, but you committed this horrific
- 20 crime. That's aggravating to me. And it's up to you as a
- 21 jury to decide what you think is mitigating and what you think
- 22 is aggravating.
- 23 And with regard to that, with regard to drugs, where
- 24 do you fall on that continuum? If somebody said, you know, I
- 25 did this because I was on drugs, but I don't do drugs anymore.

Page 155

- 1 I quit, and I'm not that person I was. What kind of weight
- 2 does that carry with you?
- 3 A. Well, I guess the way I'd look at it is the
- 4 individual got into the situation because they were on drugs,
- 5 and for them now to say, well, you know, I don't do that
- 6 anymore, it's like but you did do that. So, I'd more than
- 7 likely tend, to come down with your example of mitigating and
- 8 aggravating, I'd more than likely be viewing that as an
- 9 aggravating trait.
- 10 Q. Okay. And probably if any one of us were on trial
- 11 for our life or just for a crime that we might commit, we
- 12 could all probably think of a circumstance in our life that we
- 13 could call mitigating. We probably all have something sad or
- 14 sympathetic that has happened to us along the way. I mean,
- 15 some kind of health issue or family background, something we
- 16 could call mitigating. So it's not a matter of is there any
- 17 mitigating evidence? It's weighing it with everything else,
- 18 is it sufficient to warrant a life sentence. Do you
- 19 understand the difference?
- 20 A. Okay. Yes, I do.
- 21 Q. Now, let's talk a little bit -- you have children,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And let's assume that -- if your children were of an
- 25 adult age and committed a crime, I mean, you love your child

Page 156

- 1 and probably -- love your children and support them,
- 2 regardless. And let's assume that they end up on trial, and
- 3 I'm sure being a parent and a caring parent, you would love
- 4 and support your child and testify if necessary. You would
- 5 say I love my child, I support my child and try to help your
- 6 child out.
- 7 A. Yes, I would.
- 8 Q. And would you naturally expect the same thing from
- 9 a person who might be on trial for capital murder?
- 10 A. Yes, I would.
- 11 Q. That they would have a parent or a family member
- 12 that says I love my child, I support my child, please don't
- 13 execute my child?
- 14 A. Yes, I would.
- 15 Q. How would that argument sit with you if there was a
- 16 mother up there and obviously upset, and just basically
- 17 saying, I love my child, please don't kill my child? How
- 18 would that argument sit with you?
- 19 A. I don't think that would necessarily influence my
- 20 decision. It's what I'd expect a parent to do.
- 21 Q. Okay. In looking at this question regarding
- 22 mitigation, other than the phrase "circumstances of the
- 23 offense," there's really nowhere else to factor in a victim,
- 24 or the victim's character or anything about the victim. The
- 25 focus of that question appears to be on the defendant; the

- 1 defendant's character, the defendant's background, the
- 2 defendant's moral culpability.
- 3 Do you remember Mr. Schultz talking about the
- 4 difference between the nun in the church praying --
- 5 A. Yes, I do.
- 6 Q. -- versus a drug dealer on the street? Does that
- 7 make a difference to you, the victim's character?
- A. I think what would make more difference is the
- 9 circumstances the victim was in at the time, rather than the
- 10 character.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. Okay. If, for instance, they were involved in a
- 13 drug deal and they all had their guns out, and they decided to
- 14 shoot each other, yeah, that would make a difference to me.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. But if somebody's at home, they're sleeping, they're
- 17 burglarized, they wake up, they go to fight, somebody gets
- 18 killed, that's different -
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. if they're a drug dealer or not.
- 21 Q. Let me extend that a little bit further. Let's
- 22 assume I just want to live the good life, and I don't want to
- 23 have to work for it, so I just decided to rob a 7-Eleven.
- 24 And you're probably laughing because you'd never do that.
- 25 But let's just assume for my hypothetical that I

Page 158 Page 160 1 decide that, and I decide that I just -- I'm going to pick out 1 A. The individual still committed the crime. It's as 2 a 7-Eleven on my way home without regard to who's working 2 simple as that. 3 there. I don't know those people working there, and I walk in 3 Q. What about youth? If a person appears young, but 4 and I hold the place up and I take all the money, and when I'm assuming they're old enough to know better. They're of an 5 done, I kill the clerks. I don't want any witnesses. And I adult age to be prosecuted for a crime, but they're in their 6 don't know that clerk, and I don't know if he has a family or 20s, does youth make a difference to you? 7 not. I don't know anything about him. A. In regards to this question? Compare that to, let's say, I grew up here, and I'm 8 Q. In regards to the mitigation question? 8 going to pick the 7-Eleven that's in my neighborhood where I 9 A. I don't think so. grew up, and it's a family that I'm close friends with. And I 10 Q. And I asked you at the beginning, Mr. Noddin, let's 11 know that family and that family has fed me and they've 11 assume that you sat on the jury, and you find the Defendant 12 sheltered me, and they've helped me out when I needed help. guilty of capital murder, and you answered that first question, that probability question yes, because the State 13 But, because I know the people that work there, I know how 14 much money they keep there, and I know whose working when and proved to you beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant 15 when I can get the most money. That's who I decide to pick. would likely commit criminal acts of violence in the future. 16 And I go in that 7-Eleven, and again I take all the money You get to this second question. First of all, could you keep an open mind? The law says you've got to keep an open mind. 17 because I know how much my friend keeps there and then I kill 18 my friend. Does that make a difference to you; the stranger 18 You've got to -- you know, to follow the law you've got to versus killing people that you know is going to impact the 19 fairly answer each question, depending on how the evidence 20 family, you know that family? 20 plays out. When you get to this mitigation question, could A. I think in the example you give, to me it would 21 21 you do that? Could you keep an open mind? 22 indicate an individual who's a lot colder, has less regard for 22 A. I believe I could keep an open mind. 23 people than an individual that at least goes off to do the 23 Q. And if the facts warrant that a life sentence -- the 24 same crime with somebody he doesn't know. 24 mitigating evidence is sufficient that a life sentence should 25 Q. Okay. Let's talk a little bit while we're still on 25 result, you could do that? Page 159 Page 161 1 this question. This question, obviously it asks you to take 1 A. Yes, I could. 2 into consideration all the evidence, so it can include a 2 Q. And if you find that there's not sufficient 3 plethora of things. Have you ever heard the phrase "there's 3 mitigating evidence, you could answer that question no, 4 no atheists in fox holes?" 4 knowing that a death sentence could result? 5 A. Yes. 5 A. Yes, I could. 6 Q. What does that phrase mean to you? 6 Q. Let me take a moment just to go through your A. When it comes down to the situation where everybody 7 questionnaire here. 8 has the opportunity to be killed, all the sudden it's an awful 8 (Discussion off the record.) pleasing thought to believe there's somebody larger than you, 9 Q. BY MS. FALCO: Not to interrupt, Mr. Noddin, do you 10 that there is a God, that there is life after death, that for 10 remember what you might have been in here for? 11 that to be the end of it is rather cold. 11 A. Yes, I do. 12 Q. And you're exactly right. And do you see how that 12 Q. Okay. Not to be personal or embarrassing, but if I phrase, or that thinking might carry over to somebody on trial 13 could ask to (sic) what reason that might have been? 14 for capital murder? 14 A. My son was involved with another playmate, he set a 15 A. Yes, I can. 15 school on fire, and after a year's worth of back and forth with lawyers, we finally got to sit down in front of a judge, 16 Q. And let's assume in our hypothetical if you're on 17 and the judge says, why are you guys here? The children are 17 the jury, you hear evidence that somebody says, well, since 18 I've been arrested, I've found God and I've developed this 18 under 11 years old. There's no case. I believe that was this 19 relationship with God. And I guess ultimately whether that's 19 room. 20 real or not is not for us to decide, but if you heard evidence 20 THE COURT: I tell you what, all these -21 about that, about, well, now -- don't kill me now because I'm 21 well, there are how many? Six of these courtrooms, so could 22 a believer in God and I can do good things. How does that 22 have been --23 argument -- does that make a difference to you? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Could have been --24 A. No. 24 THE COURT: -- any number of them. I thought 25 Q. Okay. Why not? 25 that Ashford might have gone to school with my bov.

	Page 162		Page 164
1	Q. BY MS. FALCO: Anything about that situation with	1	case only, or if there was actually, like, a juvenile
2	your son that would keep you from being fair and impartial to	2	prosecutor that was handling
3	the State?	3	A. No. It was a civil case only. The school district
4	A. I don't think anything that would keep me from being	4	was after us for reparation.
5	fair and impartial.	5	Q. What brought you from San Jose, California to
6	Q. Do you have bad feelings, I guess, toward the State	6	Dallas?
7	because of what happened to your son?	7	A. Northern Telecom. I've wondered many times why I'm
8	A. No.	8	not still there.
9	Q. Anything, I guess, that would keep you from being	9	Q. Why are you still here?
10	just fair and impartial juror on this case, or could you set	10	A. However, Texas grows on you, right?
11	your son's case aside and look at the facts of this case and	11	Q. It does. It does.
12	base your answers on the facts of this case alone?	12	THE COURT: Say, was it Nathan White
13	A. I would like to think that I could. My son has	13	representing you in that case, by any chance?
14	nothing to do with this case.	14	VENIREPERSON: I honestly don't remember. It
15	Q. Right. And you understand the difference and you	15	was a lawyer out of Plano.
16	can set this	16	THE COURT: Nathan White seemed to have done
17	A. Yes, I do.	17	some of that sort of stuff, a fellow I know pretty well.
18	Q. Mr. Noddin, in your question it asked you, best	18	VENIREPERSON: The other family involved also
19	argument in favor of the death penalty and best argument in	19	had counsel. And I mean, we wanted to settle with the school
20	opposition of the death penalty. And when you had best	20	district. I mean, damage was done, needed to be reparations,
21	argument in opposition of the death penalty, you said "a	21	and the other family was like, no way! And her legal counsel
22	person may not be guilty." Can I just assume that means a	22	says, A-ha, if you go, guess what, you owe it all. Anyway, so
23	person may be wrongfully put to death?	23	excuse me. But side issue, you know, my one experience with
24	A. Yes.	24	the legal process wasn't all that encouraging. It's - it
25	Q. And you understand that obviously the State has to	25	summarized up as to why did it take us ninth months to find
		-	
	Page 163	١,	Page 165 out that the judge really knows the law, and neither of these
1	•	2	lawyers do, let alone the prosecution. You know, the facts
2	you move on to the punishment phase? A. I understand that.	3	are pretty much simple facts, right?
3	Q. I definitely agree with your statement about	4	THE COURT: 1 suppose.
4	prosecutors are overworked. J agree with that.	5	Q. BY MS. FALCO: Mr. Noddin, I notice on your
5	And you did this is the number one answer. The	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6	biggest problem in the criminal justice system is the slowness	7	be very involved with your church, but I'm unfamiliar with
7	of the system. I guarantee nine people out of ten put that	8	your church. What's that
8 9	down.	9	A. Baruch Hashem.
10	And with regard to your son, you don't recall who	10	
11	the attorney might have been, either the defense attorney that	11	A. It's a messianic
12		12	·
	WOINCE		- 1
1.5	A. No.	13	A Jewish congregation.
13 14	A. No. O. Mr. Goeller doesn't look familiar to you? Mr. High	13 14	A Jewish congregation. THE COURT: Mr. Noddin, I notice that one of
14	Q. Mr. Goeller doesn't look familiar to you? Mr. High		THE COURT: Mr. Noddin, I notice that one of
14 15	Q. Mr. Goeller doesn't look familiar to you? Mr. High doesn't look familiar?	14	THE COURT: Mr. Noddin, I notice that one of
14 15 16	Q. Mr. Goeller doesn't look familiar to you? Mr. High doesn't look familiar?A. No.	14 15	THE COURT: Mr. Noddin, I notice that one of your hobbies is carpentry. VENIREPERSON: Yes.
14 15 16 17	 Q. Mr. Goeller doesn't look familiar to you? Mr. High doesn't look familiar? A. No. Q. And I say that because Mr. Goeller has done a lot of 	14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Mr. Noddin, I notice that one of your hobbies is carpentry. VENIREPERSON: Yes. THE COURT: I've got to ask you, have you ever
14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Mr. Goeller doesn't look familiar to you? Mr. High doesn't look familiar? A. No. Q. And I say that because Mr. Goeller has done a lot of juvenile 	14 15 16	THE COURT: Mr. Noddin, I notice that one of your hobbies is carpentry. VENIREPERSON: Yes. THE COURT: I've got to ask you, have you ever seen these bumper stickers that say, "My boss is a Jewish
14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Mr. Goeller doesn't look familiar to you? Mr. High doesn't look familiar? A. No. Q. And I say that because Mr. Goeller has done a lot of juvenile A. No. The only I can't even remember our lawyer 	14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Mr. Noddin, I notice that one of your hobbies is carpentry. VENIREPERSON: Yes. THE COURT: I've got to ask you, have you ever
14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Mr. Goeller doesn't look familiar to you? Mr. High doesn't look familiar? A. No. Q. And I say that because Mr. Goeller has done a lot of juvenile A. No. The only I can't even remember our lawyer anymore, other than why did it take us nine months of this 	14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: Mr. Noddin, I notice that one of your hobbies is carpentry. VENIREPERSON: Yes. THE COURT: I've got to ask you, have you ever seen these bumper stickers that say, "My boss is a Jewish carpenter?"
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Mr. Goeller doesn't look familiar to you? Mr. High doesn't look familiar? A. No. Q. And I say that because Mr. Goeller has done a lot of juvenile A. No. The only I can't even remember our lawyer 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Mr. Noddin, I notice that one of your hobbies is carpentry. VENIREPERSON: Yes. THE COURT: I've got to ask you, have you ever seen these bumper stickers that say, "My boss is a Jewish carpenter?" VENIREPERSON: Yes, I have.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Mr. Goeller doesn't look familiar to you? Mr. High doesn't look familiar? A. No. Q. And I say that because Mr. Goeller has done a lot of juvenile A. No. The only I can't even remember our lawyer anymore, other than why did it take us nine months of this process only than to find out there wasn't a case.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Mr. Noddin, I notice that one of your hobbies is carpentry. VENIREPERSON: Yes. THE COURT: I've got to ask you, have you ever seen these bumper stickers that say, "My boss is a Jewish carpenter?" VENIREPERSON: Yes, I have. (Laughter.)

A. However, he did get a good fee.

Q. BY MS. FALCO: Do you know if it was just a civil

24

25

24 the bumper sticker comes from. Yes, there's a little bit of

25 humor in it.

1	Page 166 MS. FALCO: Your Honor, may I have one moment,	Page 168 1 want to get involved in the rough ones.
2	please?	2 Q. I never did skate well enough to play hockey, so
3	THE COURT: Yes.	3 A. My brother said I didn't, either.
4	(Brief pause in proceedings.)	4 Q. Mr. Goeller has played some hockey. I think he
5	MS. FALCO: Thank you, Mr. Noddin. Pass this	5 spent some time up in that area, and that's interesting.
6	juror.	6 Do you also do you speak French, by any chance?
7	THE COURT: Mr. High, go ahead.	7 A. Nope. However, my French teacher in California
8	MR. HIGH: Yes, sir, Judge.	8 thought that because I came from Canada I must speak French,
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION	9 so she gave me As. It was good for a year.
10	BY MR. HIGH:	10 (Laughter.)
	Q. Hi, Mr. Noddin. My name is Don High, and I	11 MR. GOELLER: He's not from Quebec?
11		12 VENIREPERSON: Not from Quebec.
12	•	13 MR. GOELLER: He's not a Quebecwan (phonetic).
13	•	
14	A. Okay.	
15	Q. Tell me where Bath, New Brunswick, Canada is?	
16	A. You know where Main is?	16 concerned. The United States, unless something has changed,
17	•	17 doesn't recognize dual citizenship. So, no, I'm an American.
18	A. So if you go across the Canadian border from Main,	18 Q. And I wasn't clear, did you go to college in
19		19 California or college in Pennsylvania?
20	Q. Okay.	20 A. Pennsylvania.
21	A. That's the providence residing on it. Bath is	21 Q. Did you go to Penn?
22	about, if you can find Holton, Main on a map, Bath is more	22 A. Carnegie.
23	than likely about 75 miles north-westerly from Holton.	23 Q. What did you study there?
24	Q. And you were born there. How long did you live	24 A. Electrical engineering.
25	there?	25 Q. We've had a bunch of those guys on our panel.
	2 1/2	D 160
1	Page 167 A I don't think I lived in Bath at all.	Page 169
1 2	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all.	1 You're probably number five, five or six.
2	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all.Q. Oh, you didn't?	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering?
_	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all.Q. Oh, you didn't?A. No. That was just the hospital.	 You're probably number five, five or six. How far did you go in electrical engineering? A. Year and a half in the program.
2 3 4	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all.Q. Oh, you didn't?A. No. That was just the hospital.Q. Okay.	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree?
2 3 4 5	 A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't 	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't.
2 3 4 5 6	 A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from 	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back 	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to California.	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to 10 Ontario?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to California. Q. All right. So you were 13 when you moved from 	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to 10 Ontario? 11 A. My father had died, which is why I left school, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to California. Q. All right. So you were 13 when you moved from Canada the first time?	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to 10 Ontario? 11 A. My father had died, which is why I left school, and 12 my mother was in Ontario. So, at that point in time I went
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to California. Q. All right. So you were 13 when you moved from Canada the first time? A. Yeah.	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to 10 Ontario? 11 A. My father had died, which is why I left school, and 12 my mother was in Ontario. So, at that point in time I went 13 back and didn't have any funding for Carnegie.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to California. Q. All right. So you were 13 when you moved from Canada the first time? A. Yeah. Q. Spent your childhood in Canada?	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to 10 Ontario? 11 A. My father had died, which is why I left school, and 12 my mother was in Ontario. So, at that point in time I went 13 back and didn't have any funding for Carnegie. 14 Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to California. Q. All right. So you were 13 when you moved from Canada the first time? A. Yeah. Q. Spent your childhood in Canada? A. Yeah.	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to 10 Ontario? 11 A. My father had died, which is why I left school, and 12 my mother was in Ontario. So, at that point in time I went 13 back and didn't have any funding for Carnegie. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So they invited me up, and I went up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to California. Q. All right. So you were 13 when you moved from Canada the first time? A. Yeah. Q. Spent your childhood in Canada? A. Yeah. Q. Are you a hockey player?	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to 10 Ontario? 11 A. My father had died, which is why I left school, and 12 my mother was in Ontario. So, at that point in time I went 13 back and didn't have any funding for Carnegie. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So they invited me up, and I went up. 16 Q. All right. Did you have any military service?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to California. Q. All right. So you were 13 when you moved from Canada the first time? A. Yeah. Q. Spent your childhood in Canada? A. Yeah. Q. Are you a hockey player? A. Oh, I used to play hockey. When I went back up, I	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to 10 Ontario? 11 A. My father had died, which is why I left school, and 12 my mother was in Ontario. So, at that point in time I went 13 back and didn't have any funding for Carnegie. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So they invited me up, and I went up. 16 Q. All right. Did you have any military service? 17 A. No. I was in — I was in ROTC when I was in CMU,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to California. Q. All right. So you were 13 when you moved from Canada the first time? A. Yeah. Q. Spent your childhood in Canada? A. Yeah. Q. Are you a hockey player? A. Oh, I used to play hockey. When I went back up, I played hockey, too. I got a number of cuts and decided that	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to 10 Ontario? 11 A. My father had died, which is why I left school, and 12 my mother was in Ontario. So, at that point in time I went 13 back and didn't have any funding for Carnegie. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So they invited me up, and I went up. 16 Q. All right. Did you have any military service? 17 A. No. I was in I was in ROTC when I was in CMU, 18 but I wouldn't call that military service.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to California. Q. All right. So you were 13 when you moved from Canada the first time? A. Yeah. Q. Spent your childhood in Canada? A. Yeah. Q. Are you a hockey player? A. Oh, I used to play hockey. When I went back up, I played hockey, too. I got a number of cuts and decided that wasn't a fun game. Hockey sticks in the eye aren't fun. But	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to 10 Ontario? 11 A. My father had died, which is why I left school, and 12 my mother was in Ontario. So, at that point in time I went 13 back and didn't have any funding for Carnegie. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So they invited me up, and I went up. 16 Q. All right. Did you have any military service? 17 A. No. I was in I was in ROTC when I was in CMU, 18 but I wouldn't call that military service. 19 Q. All right. You put down that criminal defense
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to California. Q. All right. So you were 13 when you moved from Canada the first time? A. Yeah. Q. Spent your childhood in Canada? A. Yeah. Q. Are you a hockey player? A. Oh, I used to play hockey. When I went back up, I played hockey, too. I got a number of cuts and decided that wasn't a fun game. Hockey sticks in the eye aren't fun. But that was a friendly league.	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to 10 Ontario? 11 A. My father had died, which is why I left school, and 12 my mother was in Ontario. So, at that point in time I went 13 back and didn't have any funding for Carnegie. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So they invited me up, and I went up. 16 Q. All right. Did you have any military service? 17 A. No. I was in - I was in ROTC when I was in CMU, 18 but I wouldn't call that military service. 19 Q. All right. You put down that criminal defense 20 attorneys are expensive.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to California. Q. All right. So you were 13 when you moved from Canada the first time? A. Yeah. Q. Spent your childhood in Canada? A. Yeah. Q. Are you a hockey player? A. Oh, I used to play hockey. When I went back up, I played hockey, too. I got a number of cuts and decided that wasn't a fun game. Hockey sticks in the eye aren't fun. But that was a friendly league?	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to 10 Ontario? 11 A. My father had died, which is why I left school, and 12 my mother was in Ontario. So, at that point in time I went 13 back and didn't have any funding for Carnegie. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So they invited me up, and I went up. 16 Q. All right. Did you have any military service? 17 A. No. I was in I was in ROTC when I was in CMU, 18 but I wouldn't call that military service. 19 Q. All right. You put down that criminal defense 20 attorneys are expensive. 21 A. Well, I don't know about criminal, but civil, at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to California. Q. All right. So you were 13 when you moved from Canada the first time? A. Yeah. Q. Spent your childhood in Canada? A. Yeah. Q. Are you a hockey player? A. Oh, I used to play hockey. When I went back up, I played hockey, too. I got a number of cuts and decided that wasn't a fun game. Hockey sticks in the eye aren't fun. But that was a friendly league. Q. That was a friendly league, yes.	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to 10 Ontario? 11 A. My father had died, which is why I left school, and 12 my mother was in Ontario. So, at that point in time I went 13 back and didn't have any funding for Carnegie. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So they invited me up, and I went up. 16 Q. All right. Did you have any military service? 17 A. No. I was in I was in ROTC when I was in CMU, 18 but I wouldn't call that military service. 19 Q. All right. You put down that criminal defense 20 attorneys are expensive. 21 A. Well, I don't know about criminal, but civil, at 22 least, are expensive.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to California. Q. All right. So you were 13 when you moved from Canada the first time? A. Yeah. Q. Spent your childhood in Canada? A. Yeah. Q. Are you a hockey player? A. Oh, I used to play hockey. When I went back up, I played hockey, too. I got a number of cuts and decided that wasn't a fun game. Hockey sticks in the eye aren't fun. But that was a friendly league? A. That was a friendly league, yes. Q. I don't see how there could be a friendly hockey	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to 10 Ontario? 11 A. My father had died, which is why I left school, and 12 my mother was in Ontario. So, at that point in time I went 13 back and didn't have any funding for Carnegie. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So they invited me up, and I went up. 16 Q. All right. Did you have any military service? 17 A. No. I was in - I was in ROTC when I was in CMU, 18 but I wouldn't call that military service. 19 Q. All right. You put down that criminal defense 20 attorneys are expensive. 21 A. Well, I don't know about criminal, but civil, at 22 least, are expensive. 23 MR. GOELLER: Yeah, those guys are expensive.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to California. Q. All right. So you were 13 when you moved from Canada the first time? A. Yeah. Q. Spent your childhood in Canada? A. Yeah. Q. Are you a hockey player? A. Oh, I used to play hockey. When I went back up, I played hockey, too. I got a number of cuts and decided that wasn't a fun game. Hockey sticks in the eye aren't fun. But that was a friendly league. Q. That was a friendly league? A. That was a friendly league, yes. Q. I don't see how there could be a friendly hockey league.	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to 10 Ontario? 11 A. My father had died, which is why I left school, and 12 my mother was in Ontario. So, at that point in time I went 13 back and didn't have any funding for Carnegie. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So they invited me up, and I went up. 16 Q. All right. Did you have any military service? 17 A. No. I was in I was in ROTC when I was in CMU, 18 but I wouldn't call that military service. 19 Q. All right. You put down that criminal defense 20 attorneys are expensive. 21 A. Well, I don't know about criminal, but civil, at 22 least, are expensive. 23 MR. GOELLER: Yeah, those guys are expensive. 24 Q. BY MR. HIGH: I wish that was true across the board.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't think I lived in Bath at all. Q. Oh, you didn't? A. No. That was just the hospital. Q. Okay. A. Maybe answering more than you asked, and I shouldn't do that. I lived in New Brunswick as a Canadian citizen for 13 years, and then we moved to California and moved from California in school to Pennsylvania. In 1969, I moved back up to Ontario, Canada for ten years, and then moved back up to California. Q. All right. So you were 13 when you moved from Canada the first time? A. Yeah. Q. Spent your childhood in Canada? A. Yeah. Q. Are you a hockey player? A. Oh, I used to play hockey. When I went back up, I played hockey, too. I got a number of cuts and decided that wasn't a fun game. Hockey sticks in the eye aren't fun. But that was a friendly league? A. That was a friendly league, yes. Q. I don't see how there could be a friendly hockey	1 You're probably number five, five or six. 2 How far did you go in electrical engineering? 3 A. Year and a half in the program. 4 Q. Okay. So, did you get a college degree? 5 A. No, I didn't. 6 Q. All right. And did you go to the service at that 7 point, or 8 A. No. That's when I went back to Canada. 9 Q. What took you back to Canada the second time, to 10 Ontario? 11 A. My father had died, which is why I left school, and 12 my mother was in Ontario. So, at that point in time I went 13 back and didn't have any funding for Carnegie. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So they invited me up, and I went up. 16 Q. All right. Did you have any military service? 17 A. No. I was in - I was in ROTC when I was in CMU, 18 but I wouldn't call that military service. 19 Q. All right. You put down that criminal defense 20 attorneys are expensive. 21 A. Well, I don't know about criminal, but civil, at 22 least, are expensive. 23 MR. GOELLER: Yeah, those guys are expensive.

Page 170	Page 172
l counsel, criminal appointed counsel?	A. No. He lives with us, but he's on his own payroll.
2 A. I understand that if a defendant can't afford	. 2 Q. Okay. That's good.
3 counsel, that's appointed, yes.	3 So we've got a couple of incidents; we've got the
4 Q. Okay. Well, we're appointed in this case, okay. So	4 fire situation and the assault. Did you have to hire an
5 that may or may not be true. That's yet to be determined	5 attorney on the assault case?
6 whether or not we're expensive. But you understand that's	6 A. No.
7 you look at a criminal defense attorney, and that's just not	7 Q. That just somehow resolved itself where he didn't
8 all that's just not always the case. Sometimes	8 get charged or something? How did that resolve itself?
9 A. Generalizations never are.	9 A. Well, I talked to the District Attorney involved a
10 Q we as taxpayers have to pay for it; do you	10 couple of times. Asher had some other people that were
11 understand that?	11 involved there write some letters to the District Attorney to
12 A. Yes, I do.	12 explain the situation, and the outcome is two years later he
13 Q. Okay. And also, if the attorney's ethical and	13 still hasn't been charged, so I don't know what the situation
14 honest, he's going to work as hard on his appointed cases as	14 is.
15 his highly retained cases, at least we hope so. It's not	15 Q. Where was that?
16 always the case, but I guess in an ideal world, that's what	16 A. At our home.
17 we'd expect from an attorney. But you'd agree with that,	17 Q. Where, what city?
18 wouldn't you?	18 A. Oh, Plano.
19 A. I'd agree with that.	19 Q. In Plano?
Q. And you mentioned that your son was charged with an	20 A. Uh-huh.
21 assault case but never prosecuted?	21 Q. So it would be this District Attorney's office
22 A. That's correct.	22 A. Uh-huh.
Q. And is this the same son that was involved in this	23 Q that would be doing the prosecution?
24 other situation that you talked about?	24 A. Yeah.
25 A. Yes, it is.	25 Q. Okay. And I take it you're glad that he hadn't been
Page 171	Page 173
Page 171 Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is?	Page 173 1 prosecuted yet?
<u> </u>	
1 Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is?	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that?
 Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. 	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes.
 Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? 	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that?
 Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) 	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be.
 Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? 	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for
 Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. 	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for 8 prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would
 Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler 	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the
 Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler Telecom? 	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for 8 prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the 10 same folks that would be prosecuting your son in the event he
 Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler Telecom? A. Well, Butler headquarters are out of St. Louis. 	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for 8 prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the 10 same folks that would be prosecuting your son in the event he 11 was charged? I don't suppose that would affect you, or how
 Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler Telecom? A. Well, Butler headquarters are out of St. Louis. Their office is out of Irving, and basically what they are is 	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for 8 prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the 10 same folks that would be prosecuting your son in the event he 11 was charged? I don't suppose that would affect you, or how 12 you view the evidence or how you view this case? And I know
 Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler Telecom? A. Well, Butler headquarters are out of St. Louis. Their office is out of Irving, and basically what they are is a body shop contractor to, you know name it; Southwestern 	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for 8 prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the 10 same folks that would be prosecuting your son in the event he 11 was charged? I don't suppose that would affect you, or how 12 you view the evidence or how you view this case? And I know 13 you're thinking, gee, this guy is really probing me.
Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler Telecom? A. Well, Butler headquarters are out of St. Louis. Their office is out of Irving, and basically what they are is a body shop contractor to, you know name it; Southwestern Bell, Verizon, whoever.	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for 8 prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the 10 same folks that would be prosecuting your son in the event he 11 was charged? I don't suppose that would affect you, or how 12 you view the evidence or how you view this case? And I know 13 you're thinking, gee, this guy is really probing me. 14 And here's what I mean by this: Would you feel
 Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler Telecom? A. Well, Butler headquarters are out of St. Louis. Their office is out of Irving, and basically what they are is a body shop contractor to, you know name it; Southwestern Bell, Verizon, whoever. Q. Sure, okay. And so is he an independent contractor, 	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for 8 prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the 10 same folks that would be prosecuting your son in the event he 11 was charged? I don't suppose that would affect you, or how 12 you view the evidence or how you view this case? And I know 13 you're thinking, gee, this guy is really probing me. 14 And here's what I mean by this: Would you feel 15 compelled to give them the verdict that they want in the hopes
Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler Telecom? A. Well, Butler headquarters are out of St. Louis. Their office is out of Irving, and basically what they are is a body shop contractor to, you know name it; Southwestern Bell, Verizon, whoever. Q. Sure, okay. And so is he an independent contractor, sort of? I mean, he	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for 8 prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the 10 same folks that would be prosecuting your son in the event he 11 was charged? I don't suppose that would affect you, or how 12 you view the evidence or how you view this case? And I know 13 you're thinking, gee, this guy is really probing me. 14 And here's what I mean by this: Would you feel 15 compelled to give them the verdict that they want in the hopes 16 that maybe if they had a decision to make as to whether or not
Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler Telecom? A. Well, Butler headquarters are out of St. Louis. Their office is out of Irving, and basically what they are is a body shop contractor to, you know name it; Southwestern Bell, Verizon, whoever. Q. Sure, okay. And so is he an independent contractor, sort of? I mean, he A. Well, he's not an independent contractor in terms of	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for 8 prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the 10 same folks that would be prosecuting your son in the event he 11 was charged? I don't suppose that would affect you, or how 12 you view the evidence or how you view this case? And I know 13 you're thinking, gee, this guy is really probing me. 14 And here's what I mean by this: Would you feel 15 compelled to give them the verdict that they want in the hopes 16 that maybe if they had a decision to make as to whether or not 17 to prosecute your son that maybe they would choose not to
Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler Telecom? A. Well, Butler headquarters are out of St. Louis. Their office is out of Irving, and basically what they are is a body shop contractor to, you know name it; Southwestern Bell, Verizon, whoever. Q. Sure, okay. And so is he an independent contractor, sort of? I mean, he A. Well, he's not an independent contractor in terms of	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for 8 prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the 10 same folks that would be prosecuting your son in the event he 11 was charged? I don't suppose that would affect you, or how 12 you view the evidence or how you view this case? And I know 13 you're thinking, gee, this guy is really probing me. 14 And here's what I mean by this: Would you feel 15 compelled to give them the verdict that they want in the hopes 16 that maybe if they had a decision to make as to whether or not 17 to prosecute your son that maybe they would choose not to 18 prosecute your son? I mean, I'm not trying to impugn your
Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler Telecom? A. Well, Butler headquarters are out of St. Louis. Their office is out of Irving, and basically what they are is a body shop contractor to, you know name it; Southwestern Bell, Verizon, whoever. Q. Sure, okay. And so is he an independent contractor, sort of? I mean, he A. Well, he's not an independent contractor in terms of he's salaried by Butler. Close to an independent contractor. Q. Okay.	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the 10 same folks that would be prosecuting your son in the event he 11 was charged? I don't suppose that would affect you, or how 12 you view the evidence or how you view this case? And I know 13 you're thinking, gee, this guy is really probing me. 14 And here's what I mean by this: Would you feel 15 compelled to give them the verdict that they want in the hopes 16 that maybe if they had a decision to make as to whether or not 17 to prosecute your son? I mean, I'm not trying to impugn your 19 integrity, but I'm also trying to get to the heart of matter.
Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler Telecom? A. Well, Butler headquarters are out of St. Louis. Their office is out of Irving, and basically what they are is a body shop contractor to, you know name it; Southwestern Bell, Verizon, whoever. Q. Sure, okay. And so is he an independent contractor, sort of? I mean, he A. Well, he's not an independent contractor in terms of he's salaried by Butler. Close to an independent contractor. Q. Okay. A. That's the way I'd describe it from a benefits	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for 8 prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the 10 same folks that would be prosecuting your son in the event he 11 was charged? I don't suppose that would affect you, or how 12 you view the evidence or how you view this case? And I know 13 you're thinking, gee, this guy is really probing me. 14 And here's what I mean by this: Would you feel 15 compelled to give them the verdict that they want in the hopes 16 that maybe if they had a decision to make as to whether or not 17 to prosecute your son that maybe they would choose not to 18 prosecute your son? I mean, I'm not trying to impugn your 19 integrity, but I'm also trying to get to the heart of matter. 20 I mean, you certainly don't want to see your son prosecuted in
Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler Telecom? A. Well, Butler headquarters are out of St. Louis. Their office is out of Irving, and basically what they are is a body shop contractor to, you know name it; Southwestern Bell, Verizon, whoever. Q. Sure, okay. And so is he an independent contractor, sort of? I mean, he A. Well, he's not an independent contractor in terms of he's salaried by Butler. Close to an independent contractor. Q. Okay. A. That's the way I'd describe it from a benefits situation.	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for 8 prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the 10 same folks that would be prosecuting your son in the event he 11 was charged? I don't suppose that would affect you, or how 12 you view the evidence or how you view this case? And I know 13 you're thinking, gee, this guy is really probing me. 14 And here's what I mean by this: Would you feel 15 compelled to give them the verdict that they want in the hopes 16 that maybe if they had a decision to make as to whether or not 17 to prosecute your son that maybe they would choose not to 18 prosecute your son? I mean, I'm not trying to impugn your 19 integrity, but I'm also trying to get to the heart of matter. 20 I mean, you certainly don't want to see your son prosecuted in 21 the future. Is that ever going to affect your decision-making
Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler Telecom? A. Well, Butler headquarters are out of St. Louis. Their office is out of Irving, and basically what they are is a body shop contractor to, you know name it; Southwestern Bell, Verizon, whoever. Q. Sure, okay. And so is he an independent contractor, sort of? I mean, he A. Well, he's not an independent contractor in terms of he's salaried by Butler. Close to an independent contractor. Q. Okay. A. That's the way I'd describe it from a benefits situation. Q. And he's 21 years old?	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for 8 prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the 10 same folks that would be prosecuting your son in the event he 11 was charged? I don't suppose that would affect you, or how 12 you view the evidence or how you view this case? And I know 13 you're thinking, gee, this guy is really probing me. 14 And here's what I mean by this: Would you feel 15 compelled to give them the verdict that they want in the hopes 16 that maybe if they had a decision to make as to whether or not 17 to prosecute your son that maybe they would choose not to 18 prosecute your son? I mean, I'm not trying to impugn your 19 integrity, but I'm also trying to get to the heart of matter. 20 I mean, you certainly don't want to see your son prosecuted in 21 the future. Is that ever going to affect your decision-making 22 ability in this case, or could it? Could it in any way?
Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler Telecom? A. Well, Butler headquarters are out of St. Louis. Their office is out of Irving, and basically what they are is a body shop contractor to, you know name it; Southwestern Bell, Verizon, whoever. Q. Sure, okay. And so is he an independent contractor, sort of? I mean, he A. Well, he's not an independent contractor in terms of he's salaried by Butler. Close to an independent contractor. Q. Okay. A. That's the way I'd describe it from a benefits situation. Q. And he's 21 years old? A. Yes, he is.	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for 8 prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the 10 same folks that would be prosecuting your son in the event he 11 was charged? I don't suppose that would affect you, or how 12 you view the evidence or how you view this case? And I know 13 you're thinking, gee, this guy is really probing me. 14 And here's what I mean by this: Would you feel 15 compelled to give them the verdict that they want in the hopes 16 that maybe if they had a decision to make as to whether or not 17 to prosecute your son that maybe they would choose not to 18 prosecute your son? I mean, I'm not trying to impugn your 19 integrity, but I'm also trying to get to the heart of matter. 20 I mean, you certainly don't want to see your son prosecuted in 21 the future. Is that ever going to affect your decision-making 22 ability in this case, or could it? Could it in any way? 23 A. I don't believe that it could. I haven't thought
Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler Telecom? A. Well, Butler headquarters are out of St. Louis. Their office is out of Irving, and basically what they are is a body shop contractor to, you know name it; Southwestern Bell, Verizon, whoever. Q. Sure, okay. And so is he an independent contractor, sort of? I mean, he A. Well, he's not an independent contractor in terms of he's salaried by Butler. Close to an independent contractor. Q. Okay. A. That's the way I'd describe it from a benefits situation. Q. And he's 21 years old? A. Yes, he is. Q. And is he still on your payroll, or does he live on	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for 8 prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the 10 same folks that would be prosecuting your son in the event he 11 was charged? I don't suppose that would affect you, or how 12 you view the evidence or how you view this case? And I know 13 you're thinking, gee, this guy is really probing me. 14 And here's what I mean by this: Would you feel 15 compelled to give them the verdict that they want in the hopes 16 that maybe if they had a decision to make as to whether or not 17 to prosecute your son that maybe they would choose not to 18 prosecute your son? I mean, I'm not trying to impugn your 19 integrity, but I'm also trying to get to the heart of matter. 20 I mean, you certainly don't want to see your son prosecuted in 21 the future. Is that ever going to affect your decision-making 22 ability in this case, or could it? Could it in any way? 23 A. I don't believe that it could. I haven't thought 24 about that in terms — I haven't thought that anybody is going
Q. And I'm not real sure what his name is? A. Asher. Q. Is it Asher? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And he's now a telecom installer? A. (Nods head.) Q. Who does he work with? A. Butler Telecom. Q. That doesn't mean anything to me. Where is Butler Telecom? A. Well, Butler headquarters are out of St. Louis. Their office is out of Irving, and basically what they are is a body shop contractor to, you know name it; Southwestern Bell, Verizon, whoever. Q. Sure, okay. And so is he an independent contractor, sort of? I mean, he A. Well, he's not an independent contractor in terms of he's salaried by Butler. Close to an independent contractor. Q. Okay. A. That's the way I'd describe it from a benefits situation. Q. And he's 21 years old? A. Yes, he is.	1 prosecuted yet? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Obviously, you're glad about that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you're hoping he won't be? 6 A. I'm hoping he won't be. 7 Q. Okay. Not anything about that, the potential for 8 prosecution, that would affect your jury service, would 9 there? I mean, you understand that these people would be the 10 same folks that would be prosecuting your son in the event he 11 was charged? I don't suppose that would affect you, or how 12 you view the evidence or how you view this case? And I know 13 you're thinking, gee, this guy is really probing me. 14 And here's what I mean by this: Would you feel 15 compelled to give them the verdict that they want in the hopes 16 that maybe if they had a decision to make as to whether or not 17 to prosecute your son that maybe they would choose not to 18 prosecute your son? I mean, I'm not trying to impugn your 19 integrity, but I'm also trying to get to the heart of matter. 20 I mean, you certainly don't want to see your son prosecuted in 21 the future. Is that ever going to affect your decision-making 22 ability in this case, or could it? Could it in any way? 23 A. I don't believe that it could. I haven't thought

Page 174 Page 176 Q. I understand that. I'm not saying they will. 1 1 Q. Sure, okay. 2 A. Okay. 2 A. Unlike an awful lot of other people that aren't. I 3 Q. I'm not trying to accuse anybody of anything. I'm 3 mean, you know, at this time Northern has laid off 15,000. 4 20,000 people. 4 just trying to talk about human nature basically. 5 A. Uh-huh. 5 Q. So you own a bunch of Northern Telecom stock? O. Now that you have thought about it, would that be in 6 A. Uh-huh. 7 the back of your mind? Would that be a consideration for 7 Q. It's gone in the tank, hasn't it, about six dollars 8 you, a concern or --8 a share? 9 A. No. (Venireperson laughs.) 10 Q. -- would you be able to set that completely out of 10 A. You obviously got too much time to look at the stock 11 your mind and vote your conscience, regardless of what 11 market, Guy. 12 happened to your son? 12 Q. Well, I own some of it, too, and I don't like it. 13 A. I believe that I could. 13 A. Well, let's see, yesterday it was like 5.30. It's 14 Q. Okay. I apologize I had to get so close in there on 14 not good. 15 you, but you understand I've got a man's life that we're 15 Q. Okay. Is there any possibility of you going back to 16 work for them? 16 looking out for here? 17 A. Yes, I do. 17 A. If they called at some point in time and said come 18 on back to work, I'd go back to work for them. They're a good 18 Q. We've got to ask some touchy -- we've got to ask 19 some hard questions at times. 19 company. I mean, I didn't stay there for 32 years because I 20 thought they were a slock (sic) outfit. 20 Who was he arrested by? A. Plano Police. Q. And tell me exactly -- give me an idea what you did 21 Q. And is his bond money, is it -- did you ever get the 22 for them. What was your work? 22 A. Well, my last job was on Worldcom Account Team, 23 23 bond money back? 24 which is basically a sales team basically responsible for 24 A. (Shakes head.) 25 moving all the products through to a particular customer. In 25 Q. You posted bond? Page 177 Page 175 l our particular case it was Worldcom. My job was, number one, A. Posted bond. 1 2 to sell services, okay? All the equipment that you can 2 Q. So the bond is still up and still posted somewhere? 3 imagine that we've got out there - we've done business with 3 A. I guess. O. Did you post the bond? 4 Worldcom for 15, 20 years -- my job was to sell services for 4 5 maintenance, operation and support of that equipment. That 5 A. How do you mean? Q. Okay. I know you don't live in my world. Did you 6 was the primary job. The secondary job was developing an e-commerce 7 go and bond him out, bail him out of jail? 8 relationship between the account team, Northern Telecom, and A. Yeah. 8 9 Worldcom. Worldcom is installing a company that maybe owns 9 Q. Did you put up a cash bond, or did you get --10 stock in (inaudible) for B-2-B, business-to-business commerce 10 A. I had a bonds --11 system, and I was working with the technical, got catalogs and Q. A bondsman put it up? 11 12 stuff like that to get that system up and operative for them. 12 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And Worldcom was projected to have tremendous Q. Okay. You were laid off from your job? 13 14 growth, and their stock has kind of gone in the tank as well? 14 A. Uh-huh. A. Worldcom a year ago had tremendous growth with 15 Q. And you had spent 30 years with Northern Telecom? 16 Nortel. A. 32. 16 MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, Judge. Might we have O. Tell me about that. Tell me how that impacted you. 17 18 a very brief recess outside the juror's presence for a point 18 A. Well, so far -19 of law? 19 O. When were you laid off? THE COURT: Sure. Let me ask you to step down A. I was laid off effective, oh, the - I think it was 20 21 for about 2 or 3 minutes, and then we'll have you back in? 21 14th of July. They'd given me a year's paid vacation, so at 22 the moment it hasn't impacted me. At some point in time I'm 22 VENIREPERSON: All right. Thank you. 23 (Venireperson exits the courtroom.) 23 going to have to go out and get another job. 24 THE COURT: All right. The juror is outside. 24

25

A. I'm enjoying a vacation.

25

MR. SCHULTZ: We've heard enough. We agree.

Page 178 Page 180 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 MR. GOELLER: I think he falls -- I was going 2 THE STATE OF TEXAS * 2 to make a motion, I told Mr. Schultz, I think he falls in with COUNTY OF COLLIN * 3 Mr. Bolin. He's got a family member, pending case with the 4 DA's office in Collin County. That was going to be my spin. I, Lisa M. Renfro, Official Court Reporter in and for the 380th District Court of Collin County, State of Texas, 5 MR. SCHULTZ: We don't agree with that do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true assertion. We just agree we don't need him on the jury. 6 and correct transcription of all portions of evidence and other proceedings requested in writing by counsel for the THE COURT: And that's your impression? 7 parties to be included in this volume of the Reporter's MR. GOELLER: No, it is. But he has to agree 8 Record, in the above-styled and -numbered cause, all of which occurred in open court or in chambers and were reported by me. 9 to what I agree to -- no. We agree to excuse that juror. MR. SCHULTZ: That's assuming it's okay with I further certify that this Reporter's Record of the 10 proceedings truly and correctly reflects the exhibits, if any, 11 the Defendant, Your Honor. offered by the respective parties. 12 THE COURT: Have you spoken to your client? I further certify that the total cost for the MR. GOELLER: Yes. Ivan, would you tell the 13 12 preparation of this Reporter's Record is contained in Volume 53 and was paid by Collin County. 14 Judge whether or not you agree to excuse that juror? 13 15 THE DEFENDANT: You can excuse him. 14 15 WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND this the day of THE COURT: That's your desire? 16 16 January, 2003. 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 17 18 THE COURT: All right. Would you tell 18 Lisa M. Renfro, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter, 380th District Court 19 Mr. Noddin he is finally excused. We appreciate his jury 19 Collin County, Texas 20 service. 20 Collin County Courthouse 21 All right. Then we're striking Mr. Noddin by 210 S. McDonald Street 21 McKinney, Texas 75069 22 agreement. Is there anything else from either side? Tel. Number: 972/424-1460, ext. 4661 Anything else? 23 CSR Cert. No. 4534 MR. GOELLER: I'm thinking, Judge. 24 Expires: December 31, 2002 24 25 25 MS. FALCO: No, Your Honor. Page 179 MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. Then everybody is 3 finally excused, and I hope you-all have a nice, long 4 weekend. 5 (End of Volume 16.) 6 7 8 9

	107:12	34:24 35:5,21	141:24 142:18	actual 103:9	ago 8:231:5
0		1		l .	
0 98:1	40-year 38:15	50:13 55:10,15	146:10 147:18	actually 8:16	32:12 110:23
00787450 2:4	400 2:15,15	58:6 65:8 87:3,4	148:12 149:2,2	21:15 25:19,25	114:10 135:21
08059260 2:12	404(b) 131:24	133:2 151:19	149:3 150:20	26:1 32:23	137:24 138:10
09605050 2:13	133:15 134:5	173:22	151:1,3 152:16	34:25 51:4	138:11 139:5,19
07003030 2.13	423-4518 2:16	able 10:5 19:14	152:19 156:24	70:21 71:5	144:7 177:15
	4534 1:17 180:18	21:25 22:12	157:3 158:7	75:12 77:8	agree 12:12,13
11	180:23	36:10 37:1	159:21,21 160:3	78:25 108:21	26:2,5 27:4 33:7
1 66:22 67:5,12			162:1 163:4	114:21 121:14	46:14 61:5
1:00 68:15	4661 180:21	42:20 51:4,24			
10 35:10 38:10		51:25 52:14	166:22,23	122:19 124:13	62:18 67:11
100 45:4 62:11	5	56:16 64:9 65:6	169:21,25	151:12 164:1	73:20 90:19,22
11 161:18	5 3:7 67:5,7,11	77:17 78:5,13	170:24 173:3,7	add 60:16 117:22	100:19 101:20
11:00 68:22	5.30 176:13	79:12 80:11,25	173:24 174:4,6	134:13	101:23 112:4,23
12 36:17,17 37:13	5:30 1:11	83:11 86:25	175:17 176:7	addict 154:10	113:2,3,17
89:1 141:16	50 1:1 35:9 62:6	89:1,8 93:6	177:21	additional 70:3	114:4 125:4
152:9	62:19 113:5,9	101:3 126:19	above 180:6	110:25	129:2,18 142:21
	113:15,16	135:6 140:16	above-entitled	admirable 89:12	146:14 147:12
120 3:11	180:12	141:20 145:5	1:12	admirably 92:4	147:14,15,16
128 3:11		174:10	above-styled	admissible 40:4	163:4,5 170:17
13 124:17,20	52 23:19	ABNER 1:5	180:8	admit 151:11	170:19 177:25
167:7,11	548-4323 2:9		absolutely 20:12		
135 3:12	548-4661 1:18	about 7:10 8:17		Adolf 54:21	178:5,6,8,9,9,14
136 3:12	56 3:7 5:12	9:11,13,19 10:3	29:20 35:17	115:16,22	agreeable 68:7
137 3:13	57 4:20 5:2	10:4,12,14,24	53:16 55:11	adrenaline 92:8	agreed 4:19 78:16
14 1:1,8 3:4,5,7,8	58 4:19 5:3 97:18	11:23 13:7,11	89:1 91:14	adult 155:25	agreement 3:4,6
3:10,11,13,17	97:24,25,25	14:12,25 15:9	103:21 107:8	160:5	3:9,10,14 4:22
179:4	59 67:24 68:16,25	15:22 16:21	117:19	adulthood 112:21	178:22
179.4 14th 175:21	5s 67:23	17:12,25 18:4,4	absolved 27:3	advantage 109:14	agreements 4:8
	25 3.122	18:5,6 19:7 20:1	abstract 117:3	advantageous	4:24 5:5
15 33:2 177:4	6	20:10 21:8,19	abstractly 104:12	33:6	ahead 10:5 12:9
15,000 176:3	60 60:17	21:19 23:3,6,9	abuse 76:1,6,11	advantages 6:21	55:6 115:22
166 3:13		23:14 26:22	78:15 80:3	advise 131:14	142:6 166:7
17 10:19 60:16,16	64 67:24 68:9,10	29:2,4,8,21 30:7	accept 36:21	affect 173:8,11,21	ahold 68:13
178. 3:14	66 3:7	30:10,18,21	acceptable 16:10	affirmative 13:4	alcoholics 19:22
17841800 2:3	67 3:9,10	31:10,13,17	88:23 136:14	affirmatively	22:21
180 3:16	69 3:11	35:7 36:9 37:11	acceptance	13:5	alcoholism 20:15
1969 167:8	6th 1:11		102:13,14	afford 170:2	
1997-1998 121:15		37:21 39:6			alleged 8:12
1997-1998 121:15 1s 67:23	7	40:24 41:24	accidental 16:22	after 4:14 8:2	76:13
	7-Eleven 157:23	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15	76:13 alleges 59:8
	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16
1s 67:23	7-Eleven 157:23	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18
1s 67:23	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2
2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16 . 8 80 60:13 70:19	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16 . 8 80 60:13 70:19 9 9:00 1:11	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 3 177:21	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16. 8 80 60:13 70:19 9 9:00 1:11 94 123:17,19	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16. 8 80 60:13 70:19 9 9:00 1:11 94 123:17,19 95 121:24	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16. 8 80 60:13 70:19 9 9:00 1:11 94 123:17,19 95 121:24 96 121:24	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15 300 79:5	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16. 8 80 60:13 70:19 9 9:00 1:11 94 123:17,19 95 121:24 96 121:24 97 121:16,25	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16 88:6,7 92:4	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6 147:13,15,22	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5 28:22 29:23	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12 already 32:4
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15 300 79:5 31 180:23	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16. 8 80 60:13 70:19 9 9:00 1:11 94 123:17,19 95 121:24 96 121:24 97 121:16,25 123:1,6,7	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16 88:6,7 92:4 93:17 95:1,9,21	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6 147:13,15,22 148:6,20,23	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5 28:22 29:23 39:15,20 45:2,5	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12 already 32:4 44:25,25 48:24
1s 67:23 2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15 300 79:5 31 180:23 32 175:16 176:19	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16 88:6,7 92:4 93:17 95:1,9,21 99:23 102:2,3	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6 147:13,15,22 148:6,20,23 149:9 150:13	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5 28:22 29:23 39:15,20 45:2,5 57:5,24 58:7	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12 already 32:4 44:25,25 48:24 107:7
2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15 300 79:5 31 180:23 32 175:16 176:19 324 2:8	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16 88:6,7 92:4 93:17 95:1,9,21 99:23 102:2,3 103:3 107:21	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6 147:13,15,22 148:6,20,23 149:9 150:13 action 22:13	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5 28:22 29:23 39:15,20 45:2,5 57:5,24 58:7 86:3 91:3,15	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12 already 32:4 44:25,25 48:24 107:7 alternative 12:8
2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15 300 79:5 31 180:23 32 175:16 176:19 324 2:8 35 134:23	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16 88:6,7 92:4 93:17 95:1,9,21 99:23 102:2,3	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6 147:13,15,22 148:6,20,23 149:9 150:13	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5 28:22 29:23 39:15,20 45:2,5 57:5,24 58:7	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12 already 32:4 44:25,25 48:24 107:7
2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15 300 79:5 31 180:23 32 175:16 176:19 324 2:8 35 134:23 35.16 134:22	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16 88:6,7 92:4 93:17 95:1,9,21 99:23 102:2,3 103:3 107:21	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6 147:13,15,22 148:6,20,23 149:9 150:13 action 22:13	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5 28:22 29:23 39:15,20 45:2,5 57:5,24 58:7 86:3 91:3,15	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12 already 32:4 44:25,25 48:24 107:7 alternative 12:8
2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15 300 79:5 31 180:23 32 175:16 176:19 324 2:8 35 134:23 35.16 134:22 380-80047 4:4	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16 88:6,7 92:4 93:17 95:1,9,21 99:23 102:2,3 103:3 107:21 114:5,9 116:1,2	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6 147:13,15,22 148:6,20,23 149:9 150:13 action 22:13 activity 110:11	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5 28:22 29:23 39:15,20 45:2,5 57:5,24 58:7 86:3 91:3,15 120:17 135:3 143:21 148:4,4 148:24	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12 already 32:4 44:25,25 48:24 107:7 alternative 12:8 although 13:19
2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15 300 79:5 31 180:23 32 175:16 176:19 324 2:8 35 134:23 35.16 134:22 380-80047 4:4 380-80047-01 1:2	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16 88:6,7 92:4 93:17 95:1,9,21 99:23 102:2,3 103:3 107:21 114:5,9 116:1,2 116:3,8,12,21	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6 147:13,15,22 148:6,20,23 149:9 150:13 action 22:13 activity 110:11	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5 28:22 29:23 39:15,20 45:2,5 57:5,24 58:7 86:3 91:3,15 120:17 135:3 143:21 148:4,4 148:24	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12 already 32:4 44:25,25 48:24 107:7 alternative 12:8 although 13:19 46:10 84:22 100:4 altogether 81:20
2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15 300 79:5 31 180:23 32 175:16 176:19 324 2:8 35 134:23 35.16 134:22 380-80047 4:4 380-80047-01 1:2 380th 1:3,17	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16 88:6,7 92:4 93:17 95:1,9,21 99:23 102:2,3 103:3 107:21 114:5,9 116:1,2 116:3,8,12,21 116:22 118:5	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6 147:13,15,22 148:6,20,23 149:9 150:13 action 22:13 activity 110:11 141:9 acts 31:16 61:12	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5 28:22 29:23 39:15,20 45:2,5 57:5,24 58:7 86:3 91:3,15 120:17 135:3 143:21 148:4,4	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12 already 32:4 44:25,25 48:24 107:7 alternative 12:8 although 13:19 46:10 84:22 100:4 altogether 81:20
2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15 300 79:5 31 180:23 32 175:16 176:19 324 2:8 35 134:23 35.16 134:22 380-80047 4:4 380-80047-01 1:2	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16 88:6,7 92:4 93:17 95:1,9,21 99:23 102:2,3 103:3 107:21 114:5,9 116:1,2 116:22 118:5 120:10 122:5,11 122:17 123:14	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6 147:13,15,22 148:6,20,23 149:9 150:13 action 22:13 activity 110:11 141:9 acts 31:16 61:12 61:25 96:7,8	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5 28:22 29:23 39:15,20 45:2,5 57:5,24 58:7 86:3 91:3,15 120:17 135:3 143:21 148:4,4 148:24 age 10:14 60:11	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12 already 32:4 44:25,25 48:24 107:7 alternative 12:8 although 13:19 46:10 84:22 100:4 altogether 81:20 always 4:24 17:12
2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15 300 79:5 31 180:23 32 175:16 176:19 324 2:8 35 134:23 35.16 134:22 380-80047 4:4 380-80047-01 1:2 380th 1:3,17 180:5,19	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16 88:6,7 92:4 93:17 95:1,9,21 99:23 102:2,3 103:3 107:21 114:5,9 116:1,2 116:22 118:5 120:10 122:5,11 122:17 123:14 124:23 128:16	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6 147:13,15,22 148:6,20,23 149:9 150:13 action 22:13 activity 110:11 141:9 acts 31:16 61:12 61:25 96:7,8 100:9,11 102:18 103:7,7 106:23	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5 28:22 29:23 39:15,20 45:2,5 57:5,24 58:7 86:3 91:3,15 120:17 135:3 143:21 148:4,4 148:24 age 10:14 60:11 60:11 155:25 160:5	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12 already 32:4 44:25,25 48:24 107:7 alternative 12:8 although 13:19 46:10 84:22 100:4 altogether 81:20 always 4:24 17:12 19:18 40:12
2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15 300 79:5 31 180:23 32 175:16 176:19 324 2:8 35 134:23 35.16 134:22 380-80047 4:4 380-80047-01 1:2 380th 1:3,17 180:5,19	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16 88:6,7 92:4 93:17 95:1,9,21 99:23 102:2,3 103:3 107:21 114:5,9 116:1,2 116:22 118:5 120:10 122:5,11 122:17 123:14	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6 147:13,15,22 148:6,20,23 149:9 150:13 action 22:13 activity 110:11 141:9 acts 31:16 61:12 61:25 96:7,8 100:9,11 102:18	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5 28:22 29:23 39:15,20 45:2,5 57:5,24 58:7 86:3 91:3,15 120:17 135:3 143:21 148:4,4 148:24 age 10:14 60:11 60:11 155:25	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12 already 32:4 44:25,25 48:24 107:7 alternative 12:8 although 13:19 46:10 84:22 100:4 altogether 81:20 always 4:24 17:12 19:18 40:12 48:5 49:17 52:7
2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15 300 79:5 31 180:23 32 175:16 176:19 324 2:8 35 134:23 35.16 134:22 380-80047 4:4 380-80047-01 1:2 380th 1:3,17 180:5,19 4 4 3:4,6	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16 88:6,7 92:4 93:17 95:1,9,21 99:23 102:2,3 103:3 107:21 114:5,9 116:1,2 116:22 118:5 120:10 122:5,11 122:17 123:14 124:23 128:16 131:16 132:22 133:4 134:2	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6 147:13,15,22 148:6,20,23 149:9 150:13 action 22:13 activity 110:11 141:9 acts 31:16 61:12 61:25 96:7,8 100:9,11 102:18 103:7,7 106:23 107:14,14 108:24 109:5	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5 28:22 29:23 39:15,20 45:2,5 57:5,24 58:7 86:3 91:3,15 120:17 135:3 143:21 148:4,4 148:24 age 10:14 60:11 60:11 155:25 160:5 aggravated 76:14 147:13	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12 already 32:4 44:25,25 48:24 107:7 alternative 12:8 although 13:19 46:10 84:22 100:4 altogether 81:20 always 4:24 17:12 19:18 40:12 48:5 49:17 52:7 70:20 79:7 81:5
2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15 300 79:5 31 180:23 32 175:16 176:19 324 2:8 35 134:23 35.16 134:22 380-80047 4:4 380-80047-01 1:2 380th 1:3,17 180:5,19	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16 88:6,7 92:4 93:17 95:1,9,21 99:23 102:2,3 103:3 107:21 114:5,9 116:1,2 116:3,8,12,21 116:2,118:5 120:10 122:5,11 122:17 123:14 124:23 128:16 131:16 132:22 133:4 134:2 138:14 139:3,15	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6 147:13,15,22 148:6,20,23 149:9 150:13 action 22:13 activity 110:11 141:9 acts 31:16 61:12 61:25 96:7,8 100:9,11 102:18 103:7,7 106:23 107:14,14 108:24 109:5 146:9,13 147:11	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5 28:22 29:23 39:15,20 45:2,5 57:5,24 58:7 86:3 91:3,15 120:17 135:3 143:21 148:4,4 148:24 age 10:14 60:11 60:11 155:25 160:5 aggravated 76:14 147:13 aggravating	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12 already 32:4 44:25,25 48:24 107:7 alternative 12:8 although 13:19 46:10 84:22 100:4 altogether 81:20 always 4:24 17:12 19:18 40:12 48:5 49:17 52:7 70:20 79:7 81:5 89:25 90:5
2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15 300 79:5 31 180:23 32 175:16 176:19 324 2:8 35 134:23 35.16 134:22 380-80047 4:4 380-80047-01 1:2 380th 1:3,17 180:5,19 4 4 3:4,6	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16 88:6,7 92:4 93:17 95:1,9,21 99:23 102:2,3 103:3 107:21 114:5,9 116:1,2 116:22 118:5 120:10 122:5,11 122:17 123:14 124:23 128:16 131:16 132:22 133:4 134:2 138:14 139:3,15 139:18,19,21,23	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6 147:13,15,22 148:6,20,23 149:9 150:13 action 22:13 activity 110:11 141:9 acts 31:16 61:12 61:25 96:7,8 100:9,11 102:18 103:7,7 106:23 107:14,14 108:24 109:5 146:9,13 147:11 148:14 150:17	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5 28:22 29:23 39:15,20 45:2,5 57:5,24 58:7 86:3 91:3,15 120:17 135:3 143:21 148:4,4 148:24 age 10:14 60:11 60:11 155:25 160:5 aggravated 76:14 147:13 aggravating 154:8,15,20,22	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12 already 32:4 44:25,25 48:24 107:7 alternative 12:8 although 13:19 46:10 84:22 100:4 altogether 81:20 always 4:24 17:12 19:18 40:12 48:5 49:17 52:7 70:20 79:7 81:5 89:25 90:5 105:24 111:10
2 2 177:21 20 66:23 135:21 177:4 20,000 176:4 2001 1:11 2002 180:16,23 20s 160:6 21 171:22 210 1:18 2:8 180:20 24012724 2:5 3 177:21 30 31:10 55:19 175:15 300 79:5 31 180:23 32 175:16 176:19 324 2:8 35 134:23 35.16 134:22 380-80047 4:4 380-80047-01 1:2 380th 1:3,17 180:5,19 4 4 3:4,6 40 35:12 60:8,10	7-Eleven 157:23 158:2,9,16 71 67:8,25 68:10 68:14 74 70:19 75 62:7 166:23 75069 1:18 2:8 180:21 75075 2:16	40:24 41:24 42:22 45:16,17 46:13,20 48:23 49:13 52:16 54:2,5 55:19 56:11,13,15,20 56:21,24 57:3,3 59:19,22 61:1 62:23 63:1,24 64:6 65:18 66:13 69:24,25 70:13,18,20 73:17,24 74:10 75:20,21 76:1 76:21,21 77:8 77:23 78:25 80:4,14 83:2,7,9 83:13,15 84:13 84:13 85:10 86:11 87:16 88:6,7 92:4 93:17 95:1,9,21 99:23 102:2,3 103:3 107:21 114:5,9 116:1,2 116:3,8,12,21 116:2,118:5 120:10 122:5,11 122:17 123:14 124:23 128:16 131:16 132:22 133:4 134:2 138:14 139:3,15	accidental 16:22 accidentally 17:2 accordance 8:19 141:22 according 79:4,9 89:15 account 176:23 177:8 accurate 68:5 72:14,15 accuse 174:3 accused 125:2,14 125:24 126:2,12 accusing 129:14 aching 90:8 acquit 30:3 106:7 acquitted 59:16 across 166:18 169:24 act 74:15 100:6 147:13,15,22 148:6,20,23 149:9 150:13 action 22:13 activity 110:11 141:9 acts 31:16 61:12 61:25 96:7,8 100:9,11 102:18 103:7,7 106:23 107:14,14 108:24 109:5 146:9,13 147:11	after 4:14 8:2 33:17 38:15 43:18,19 46:22 100:24 101:19 101:21 124:15 129:23 159:10 161:15 164:4 afternoon 12:22 138:5 again 16:19 78:22 82:20 94:20 101:2,16 118:16 121:12 126:20 134:22 146:6 147:9,10 149:14 149:18,24 153:4 154:1,6 158:16 against 9:17 11:5 11:11,22 12:3,6 19:2,5 21:4 22:5 28:22 29:23 39:15,20 45:2,5 57:5,24 58:7 86:3 91:3,15 120:17 135:3 143:21 148:4,4 148:24 age 10:14 60:11 60:11 155:25 160:5 aggravated 76:14 147:13 aggravating	76:13 alleges 59:8 alleging 97:16 almost 7:3 9:18 13:24 16:17 18:2,14 20:15 21:22 23:7 42:23 43:24 48:22 60:17,18 63:7 70:21 73:5 75:4 76:15 79:7 83:19 97:17 104:7 118:17 alone 146:1,1 162:12 165:2 along 6:25 36:6 61:1 73:8 96:2 105:23 111:16 147:8 155:14 166:12 already 32:4 44:25,25 48:24 107:7 alternative 12:8 although 13:19 46:10 84:22 100:4 altogether 81:20 always 4:24 17:12 19:18 40:12 48:5 49:17 52:7 70:20 79:7 81:5 89:25 90:5

1/2.1/151.20	10.1 27.5 0		ge 2 124:2 145:21	145:19 152:13	20.13.31.137.7
143:14 151:20	18:1 37:5,9	apologize 14:8		1	68:12,21 137:7
170:8,16	48:17 53:7,22	174:14	153:12 173:25	automatically	bailiffs 45:19
Amarillo 70:17	96:10 97:7,8	apparently 46:10	asks 31:7,12,13	38:17 48:17	balk 64:21,22,25
70:20,23,24	110:22 111:1	appeals 28:5	90:6,7 159:1	85:3 87:24	balking 33:25
71:5,8 86:12	119:2 131:17	appear 165:6	aspect 11:14	144:14	ball 139:24
110:14	140:6 152:14	appears 156:25	assault 147:13	available 63:15	barrel 87:9
Amendment	160:12	160:3	170:21 172:4,5	102:12 118:21	Baruch 165:9
143:17	answering 37:24	appendicitis 90:7	assertion 128:3	average 85:18	base 22:1 88:20
America 19:6	39:16,20 47:7	apple 87:9,10	178:6	86:1	96:19 97:10,12
American 13:14	49:17 51:24	apples 87:10	assessing 152:11	avid 84:4,5	110:1 146:3
13:17 46:4	52:16 53:13	applies 30:8	assign 6:8 153:13	avoid 16:10 67:7	162:12
168:17	58:19 63:24	apply 19:12,14	assigned 6:4,7	aware 11:17	baseball 147:19
ammunition	64:25 95:12	33:20 81:24	Assistant 2:7 5:23	away 24:15 32:12	147:21 148:19
24:19	105:3 108:25	148:11,15	6:7 137:20,24	75:25 98:22	based 40:13 42:7
amount 9:5	167:5	152:19,20	138:1	awful 24:1 33:22	47:25 54:9
analogy 27:21	answers 28:15	appointed 169:25	assisting 98:13	41:19 47:19	55:23 61:22
Angeles 123:18	31:3 37:23	170:1,3,4,14	ASSOCIATES	75:23 94:4	62:7 81:8 82:24
anger 39:15,20	52:24 53:20	appreciate 54:18	2:15	102:4 113:20	82:25 94:22
angry 147:22	55:23 64:22	121:1 178:19	assume 48:16	117:3 118:23	104:1,1 107:6
animal 121:13	69:6 80:12	approach 9:1,2	59:19 60:4	159:8 176:2	
	87:18 111:17	43:22 58:15	72:18 95:15	awkward 48:22	114:8 126:11,24
announce 38:11				awkwaiu 40.22	135:7 146:1
announcements	114:14 137:13	approached 85:6	98:15,17 143:23		basically 153:11
4:11 6:10	137:14 146:3	appropriate	152:14 155:24	<u>B</u>	156:16 171:12
another 6:4,20	162:12	22:24 40:4	156:2 157:22,25	B 104:9	174:4 176:24,24
10:25 32:10	anybody 7:12	76:24	159:16 160:11	B-2-B 177:10	basis 94:21 96:20
33:10 39:2,4	20:14 25:7 27:3	approval 4:24	162:22	back 4:3 9:14	113:10 133:22
51:23 52:19,21	34:16 35:6	approved 5:6	assuming 71:7,11	10:6 15:20	bat 147:19,21
61:13 64:21	39:23,24 40:11	APR 123:21	107:1,6,6 142:7	16:18 17:10	148:19
75:21 80:10	40:21 46:11,13	area 72:13 168:5	143:11 147:14	24:10,22,23	Bath 166:15,21
84:12 94:23	57:16 61:16	aren't 86:13,17	151:1,3 160:4	26:15,16,17,24	166:22 167:1
103:12 118:5	74:2 75:23	167:19 176:2	178:10	30:17 37:20	battle 152:4
122:18,21	88:18 90:3,18	arguing 128:2,4	assumption	38:10 54:10	battles 92:23
124:22 145:7	98:5,7 101:2	argument 60:20	150:15	55:21 66:4,4,18	bear 105:3
147:25 152:16	105:20 116:20	62:7 102:15,17	assure 28:23	67:18 77:16	beast 100:23
154:7,14 161:14	118:15 124:2	156:15,18	atheist 86:1	100:14,15 102:1	beating 110:5,6
175:23	128:15 131:7	159:23 162:19	atheists 159:4	108:6 112:13	113:7 147:14
answer 5:14 7:4	139:14 144:25	162:19,21	atrocious 24:1	117:10 123:18	150:17
13:1,8 21:5 24:7	149:12 173:24	Arian 27:25	100:21	131:13 135:21	became 47:17
24:17 28:23	174:3	Arlington 61:18	attack 87:17	137:3 149:18	become 49:14
29:25 31:19,20	anybody's 38:15	around 34:4 44:5	attempt 42:12	152:24 154:5	84:15 102:16
31:22 34:11,20	anyhow 60:19	88:10 94:2	attend 14:6	161:15 167:8,9	113:1 118:8
35:21 36:1,10	133:22	108:13 123:8	attended 124:14	167:17 169:8,9	becomes 83:19
37:1,13,15,22	anymore 32:13	145:16 152:2	attention 13:15	169:13 174:7,23	92:19 142:16
38:3,6,17,19	101:11 145:1	arrest 71:3	20:7	176:15,18,18	becoming 74:10
42:20 43:16,21	154:25 155:6	arrested 101:19	attitude 58:10	177:21	befall 41:15
44:11 47:3,11	163:20	150:17 159:18	attitudes 18:3,22	background 39:7	before 1:12 4:6
47:25 48:5,22	anything 4:5 6:11	174:20	19:7,9 110:16	39:22,24,25	7:1 20:8 21:21
49:2,11,14,20	16:21 19:7	arrestee 71:3	attorney 6:7,19	40:18 70:14	23:2 28:9,17
49:22 50:5	31:23 32:20	art 116:11,15	6:20,22 132:24	90:15 112:4	64:7 65:16 67:3
51:24 52:14,18	45:16 63:19,20	117:5,21	137:21,25 138:1	115:13 117:18	70:7,11 74:1
53:6,25 55:15	80:12,14 87:19	articulate 48:13	163:11,11 170:7	153:16 155:15	77:10 85:5
57:6,6,21 58:21	87:21 89:11	Asher 171:2,3	170:17 172:5,9	157:1	111:13 119:16
61:21,22 63:25	91:8 105:13	172:10	172:11	backgrounds	119:24 125:6
64:1,9 65:1,2,8	116:5,12 128:7	Ashford 161:25	attorney's 170:13	51:16	128:15 135:23
65:24 85:5,8,16	128:9 129:7	aside 77:19 99:24	172:21	bad 24:25 33:12	139:10,14
86:8 95:8 96:19	131:9,15,16,16	162:11	attorneys 2:2,7	41:18 46:5	150:24 163:1
97:5,11,12 98:2	133:8 134:8	asked 7:1 8:2,3,8	2:11 4:25 5:24	47:19 60:22,22	beforehand 42:9
101:9,13 104:20	135:16 136:3	18:11,18 36:9	18:6 45:19	64:16 76:1 87:9	86:21
105:12,24	146:14,16,17	49:6,7 51:23	71:19 89:6,21	87:10,11 88:4	begin 7:1 107:11
106:19,22,22,23	153:22 156:24	69:6 85:2	89:24 90:16	92:17 102:20,23	beginning 160:10
107:6 115:11	158:7 162:1,4,9	110:25 128:14	169:20		begins 38:13
117:23 119:14	169:25 171:9	131:16 137:13	Auschwitz 28:13	110:25 114:2,3	101:19
117.23 119.14	173:7 174:3	138:18 145:11	authority 148:9	114:10,11,18	16
125:20 130:19	173.7 174.3	160:10 162:18	148:10	116:24 134:14	behave 91:9 92:3
130:23,24 131:3	anyway 12:9 24:9	167:5	authorize 97:10	149:7 150:20	behaving 92:19
	34:11 38:16	asking 14:8 34:24	automatic 38:8	153:17 154:17	behavior 47:19
138:17 144:2,3 144:5 148:22	100:2 118:10	36:1 39:10 49:7	80:11,14,20	154:17 162:6	52:8 61:25
	119:14 135:24	58:6 60:20 61:1	86:5,5 100:17	badge 74:4	102:5 151:4,4,6
151:9 152:22,23				badly 92:9,11	151:18 hoing 7:20 8:21
160:19 161:3	145:14 164:22	64:15 78:18 80:10 87:18	101:16 107:9	bail 175:7	being 7:20 8:21
163:6	anywhere 6:10		111:16,18	BAILIFF 5:8	10:16 12:25
answered 8:14	apart 47:13 49:15	103:19 108:21	142:24 144:4,12	66:19 67:19	17:23 18:15
provident language and the second		Anna Carlotta Carlott		The second secon	and the second s

			га	ge 3		
	19:1,2 21:2 23:1	81:19 88:21	145:9	177:10	145:16 156:9	105:11
	24:5 26:9.11	89:4 91:16	bottom 28:10	Butler 171:8,9,11	159:14 160:12	Catholic 11:19
	27:24,24,24,25	95:17 96:6 97:6	34:10 141:20	171:18	car 20:5 83:4	13:12,18,19,21
				-	147:23 148:7,19	
	27:25 29:20	97:6 105:19	bought 123:16	buying 123:9		cathy 3:8 66:21
	30:15 34:4	106:9,20 108:18	bounced 34:4		care 20:1 46:13	67:25
	35:23 36:3	110:22 111:20	box 41:17 78:24	C	145:6,7	caught 20:18
	42:20 46:9,20	120:4 142:11	135:11	C 1:1 2:1 4:1	career 84:16	cause 1:2,12 3:7
	51:4 58:11,24	143:12,24 146:8	boy 41:18 161:25	104:9	careful 23:24	3:12 4:3 22:13
	64:11 68:1	160:14 163:1	brain 117:1 151:1	calendar 60:8	138:25	33:11 52:1
		Bible 15:14 16:12	151:2		carefully 125:6	55:22 56:14
	76:15 82:10		breach 92:13	California 164:5		
	85:12,24 93:6	16:19 17:15	1	167:7,8,10	caring 156:3	57:4 66:14 93:6
	94:2 102:4	47:16 93:20	break 4:17 7:25	168:7,19	Carnegie 168:22	106:16 131:23
	112:3 116:8,10	102:4	137:6	call 31:1 67:18	169:13	133:22 134:7
	126:18 138:25	Biblical 15:3	breaks 99:5	87:16 98:18	carpenter 165:19	135:1,17 180:8
	139:5 140:24	17:16 93:9,22	brief 31:11 55:5	111:23 118:16	carpentry 165:15	caused 56:20
	147:2 152:4	94:11,12	114:24 166:4	134:19 144:7	carried 8:19	causes 28:11
	156:3 162:2,4,9	bifurcated 142:8	177:18		carry 16:1 24:19	causing 94:15
			1	152:23 153:4		
	beings 15:16 20:1	big 26:21 73:5	brilliant 151:12	155:13,16	155:2 159:13	cautious 138:25
	51:16 118:21	113:11	bring 5:7 59:12	169:18	cars 149:19	cease 14:15
	believability	biggest 138:18	61:24 62:1,21	called 33:1,11	case 6:25 8:24	center 28:21
	85:25	163:7	63:16 65:9	64:21 70:8,10	17:5 21:3 22:2,3	ceratin 17:21
	believe 7:15 11:6	bill 2:3 5:23	137:3	134:3 139:6,10	22:20 28:20	Cert 180:23
	12:15 15:1	137:23	broad 76:10	139:10 151:23	29:1 31:18,20	certain 9:5 12:11
	18:22 21:15,18	Billy 5:7	103:5	176:17	31:24 33:6,15	15:19 33:20
	29:11 41:19	bit 8:16 14:12	brother 168:3	calls 88:19 93:20	33:19 34:21	34:5,6 53:7
	45:7,8,11 46:16	28:25 36:6	brought 164:5	98:15,17 150:25	36:8 37:21	57:11 83:7 94:4
	46:25 50:10,11	37:19 56:23	Brunswick	came 1:11 54:23	44:19 45:3,6,16	141:7,10,13,13
	52:5,7,10 53:17	57:3 138:14	166:15,19 167:6	113:12,20	45:22,23,24,25	144:10
	53:18 57:11	139:2 155:21	brutally 41:25	145:12 146:15	46:1,16,17	certainly 6:12
	70:14,25 72:1	157:21 158:25	Buddhism 12:4	168:8	47:21 49:22	11:20 13:13,16
	74:17 79:6,17	165:24	Buddhist 12:2	camp 27:23	50:17,19,21	14:18,18 39:5
	87;2 94:10,11	blackwell 3:11	building 44:5,8	camps 26:19 27:6	52:22,23 54:23	46:16 50:18
	103:5,6,8	68:15,24 69:2	44:13 51:6 99:9	Canada 166:15	56:11 57:13,22	61:17 62:19
	110:20 111:3	69:16,19 112:24	99:11	1	57:22 59:5,10	72:10 84:16
	1		built 122:2 124:1	167:9,12,14		
	118:13 120:23	117:7 121:9		168:8,15 169:8	59:24 62:9,9,10	104:23 107:14
;	125:8,10,11,14	124:12 126:1	bullet 101:1	169:9	62:12 70:2,11	107:15,17 109:9
1	127:1,4,10,17	137:3	bullets 24:14	Canadian 166:18	73:13 75:20,21	173:20
	129:14 132:18	blameworthiness	bumper 165:18	167:6	76:6 78:5,15	certainty 61:6
	133:11,16 136:4	153:7	165:24	Canoe 121:21,22	79:1,13,20 80:2	146:12,18
	151:15 159:9	bleeding 24:21	bunch 74:3	121:22	80:3,25 82:7.9	certificate 3:16
	160:22 161:18		110:12 120:7	cantu 1:5 6:14	82:22 97:14	180:1
		blew 46:4	1 110.12.120.7			
		blew 46:4				
	173:23 174:13	blood 118:7	168:25 176:5	134:17 138:4	99:17 100:1	certify 180:6,9,11
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11	blood 118:7 blows 90:2	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22	134:17 138:4 166:12	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24 78:8 81:19,21	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12 112:3	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10 bondsman 175:11	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17 burglary 31:19	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1 23:23 34:8,10	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6 change 34:12,24
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24 78:8 81:19,21	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12 112:3	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10 bondsman 175:11	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17 burglary 31:19	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24 78:8 81:19,21 88:22 89:3 94:2 94:17 95:16	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1 23:23 34:8,10	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6 change 34:12,24
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12 112:3 better 7:25 10:1	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10 bondsman 175:11 boot 116:16	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17 burglary 31:19 59:6 60:1 97:15	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24 78:8 81:19,21 88:22 89:3 94:2 94:17 95:16 96:5,11,24	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1 23:23 34:8,10 36:17,18 38:18	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6 change 34:12,24 34:25 35:5 42:1
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12 112:3 better 7:25 10:1 10:19 19:25	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10 bondsman 175:11 boot 116:16 border 166:18 born 166:24	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17 burglary 31:19 59:6 60:1 97:15 99:9,17 142:18 142:18	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24 78:8 81:19,21 88:22 89:3 94:2 94:17 95:16 96:5,11,24 97:14 99:18,25	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1 23:23 34:8,10 36:17,18 38:18 45:8,13,14 54:20 57:11	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6 change 34:12,24 34:25 35:5 42:1 52:16 91:15 103:6
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12 112:3 better 7:25 10:1 10:19 19:25 86:24 87:7 104:15 113:12	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10 bondsman 175:11 boot 116:16 border 166:18 born 166:24 boss 137:24	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17 burglary 31:19 59:6 60:1 97:15 99:9,17 142:18 142:18 burn 17:14	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24 78:8 81:19,21 88:22 89:3 94:2 94:17 95:16 96:5,11,24 97:14 99:18,25 100:4,18,24	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1 23:23 34:8,10 36:17,18 38:18 45:8,13,14 54:20 57:11 75:20 77:6,13	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6 change 34:12,24 34:25 35:5 42:1 52:16 91:15 103:6 changed 35:14
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12 112:3 better 7:25 10:1 10:19 19:25 86:24 87:7 104:15 113:12 113:13,16	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10 bondsman 175:11 boot 116:16 border 166:18 born 166:24 boss 137:24 165:18	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17 burglary 31:19 59:6 60:1 97:15 99:9,17 142:18 142:18 burn 17:14 bus 150:6	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24 78:8 81:19,21 88:22 89:3 94:2 94:17 95:16 96:5,11,24 97:14 99:18,25 100:4,18,24 104:20,23 105:9	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1 23:23 34:8,10 36:17,18 38:18 45:8,13,14 54:20 57:11 75:20 77:6,13 78:8,8 114:9,10	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6 change 34:12,24 34:25 35:5 42:1 52:16 91:15 103:6 changed 35:14 52:11 102:5
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12 112:3 better 7:25 10:1 10:19 19:25 86:24 87:7 104:15 113:12 113:13,16 130:21 151:19	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:12,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10 bondsman 175:11 boot 116:16 border 166:18 born 166:24 boss 137:24 165:18 both 5:14 8:23	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17 burglary 31:19 59:6 60:1 97:15 99:9,17 142:18 142:18 burn 17:14 bus 150:6 bushels 87:10	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24 78:8 81:19,21 88:22 89:3 94:2 94:17 95:16 96:5,11,24 97:14 99:18,25 100:4,18,24 104:20,23 105:9 108:10 109:12	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1 23:23 34:8,10 36:17,18 38:18 45:8,13,14 54:20 57:11 75:20 77:6,13 78:8,8 114:9,10 120:12,22 140:7	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6 change 34:12,24 34:25 35:5 42:1 52:16 91:15 103:6 changed 35:14 52:11 102:5 154:11 168:16
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12 112:3 better 7:25 10:1 10:19 19:25 86:24 87:7 104:15 113:12 113:13,16 130:21 151:19 160:4	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10 bondsman 175:11 boot 116:16 border 166:18 born 166:24 boss 137:24 165:18 both 5:14 8:23 11:12 28:23	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17 burglary 31:19 59:6 60:1 97:15 99:9,17 142:18 142:18 burn 17:14 bus 150:6 bushels 87:10 business 6:9 14:9	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24 78:8 81:19,21 88:22 89:3 94:2 94:17 95:16 96:5,11,24 97:14 99:18,25 100:4,18,24 104:20,23 105:9 108:10 109:12 111:19 118:5,6	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1 23:23 34:8,10 36:17,18 38:18 45:8,13,14 54:20 57:11 75:20 77:6,13 78:8,8 114:9,10 120:12,22 140:7 141:10,12	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6 change 34:12,24 34:25 35:5 42:1 52:16 91:15 103:6 changed 35:14 52:11 102:5 154:11 168:16 changes 103:9
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12 112:3 better 7:25 10:1 10:19 19:25 86:24 87:7 104:15 113:12 113:13,16 130:21 151:19 160:4 between 73:16	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10 bondsman 175:11 boot 116:16 border 166:18 born 166:24 boss 137:24 165:18 both 5:14 8:23 11:12 28:23 33:2 36:20,21	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17 burglary 31:19 59:6 60:1 97:15 99:9,17 142:18 142:18 burn 17:14 bus 150:6 bushels 87:10 business 6:9 14:9 16:7,11 46:7	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24 78:8 81:19,21 88:22 89:3 94:2 94:17 95:16 96:5,11,24 97:14 99:18,25 100:4,18,24 104:20,23 105:9 108:10 109:12 111:19 118:5,6 118:24 119:24	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1 23:23 34:8,10 36:17,18 38:18 45:8,13,14 54:20 57:11 75:20 77:6,13 78:8,8 114:9,10 120:12,22 140:7 141:10,12 150:24 170:14	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6 change 34:12,24 34:25 35:5 42:1 52:16 91:15 103:6 changed 35:14 52:11 102:5 154:11 168:16 changes 103:9 123:23
Parameter and the second secon	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12 112:3 better 7:25 10:1 10:19 19:25 86:24 87:7 104:15 113:12 113:13,16 130:21 151:19 160:4 between 73:16 157:4 177:8	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10 bondsman 175:11 boot 116:16 border 166:18 born 166:24 boss 137:24 165:18 both 5:14 8:23 11:12 28:23 33:2 36:20,21 39:21 40:1	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17 burglary 31:19 59:6 60:1 97:15 99:9,17 142:18 142:18 burn 17:14 bus 150:6 bushels 87:10 business 6:9 14:9 16:7,11 46:7 82:24 83:10	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24 78:8 81:19,21 88:22 89:3 94:2 94:17 95:16 96:5,11,24 97:14 99:18,25 100:4,18,24 104:20,23 105:9 108:10 109:12 111:19 118:5,6 118:24 119:24 125:3,14,24	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1 23:23 34:8,10 36:17,18 38:18 45:8,13,14 54:20 57:11 75:20 77:6,13 78:8,8 114:9,10 120:12,22 140:7 141:10,12 150:24 170:14	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6 change 34:12,24 34:25 35:5 42:1 52:16 91:15 103:6 changed 35:14 52:11 102:5 154:11 168:16 changes 103:9 123:23 changing 61:7
in the second se	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12 112:3 better 7:25 10:1 10:19 19:25 86:24 87:7 104:15 113:12 113:13,16 130:21 151:19 160:4 between 73:16 157:4 177:8 beyond 8:10	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10 bondsman 175:11 boot 116:16 border 166:18 born 166:24 boss 137:24 165:18 both 5:14 8:23 11:12 28:23 33:2 36:20,21 39:21 40:1 44:15 52:19	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17 burglary 31:19 59:6 60:1 97:15 99:9,17 142:18 142:18 burn 17:14 bus 150:6 bushels 87:10 business 6:9 14:9 16:7,11 46:7 82:24 83:10 85:11 92:2	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24 78:8 81:19,21 88:22 89:3 94:2 94:17 95:16 96:5,11,24 97:14 99:18,25 100:4,18,24 104:20,23 105:9 108:10 109:12 111:19 118:5,6 118:24 119:24 125:3,14,24 126:2,12 135:8	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1 23:23 34:8,10 36:17,18 38:18 45:8,13,14 54:20 57:11 75:20 77:6,13 78:8,8 114:9,10 120:12,22 140:7 141:10,12 150:24 170:14 170:15 cash 175:9	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6 change 34:12,24 34:25 35:5 42:1 52:16 91:15 103:6 changed 35:14 52:11 102:5 154:11 168:16 changes 103:9 123:23 changing 61:7 64:15
in the second se	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12 112:3 better 7:25 10:1 10:19 19:25 86:24 87:7 104:15 113:12 113:13,16 130:21 151:19 160:4 between 73:16 157:4 177:8 beyond 8:10 22:22 57:19	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10 bondsman 175:11 boot 116:16 border 166:18 born 166:24 boss 137:24 165:18 both 5:14 8:23 11:12 28:23 33:2 36:20,21 39:21 40:1 44:15 52:19 74:20 94:10,20	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17 burglary 31:19 59:6 60:1 97:15 99:9,17 142:18 142:18 burn 17:14 bus 150:6 bushels 87:10 business 6:9 14:9 16:7,11 46:7 82:24 83:10 85:11 92:2 109:9 133:14	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24 78:8 81:19,21 88:22 89:3 94:2 94:17 95:16 96:5,11,24 97:14 99:18,25 100:4,18,24 104:20,23 105:9 108:10 109:12 111:19 118:5,6 118:24 119:24 125:3,14,24 126:2,12 135:8 139:7,8 142:12	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1 23:23 34:8,10 36:17,18 38:18 45:8,13,14 54:20 57:11 75:20 77:6,13 78:8,8 114:9,10 120:12,22 140:7 141:10,12 150:24 170:14 170:15 cash 175:9 cast 71:23	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6 change 34:12,24 34:25 35:5 42:1 52:16 91:15 103:6 changed 35:14 52:11 102:5 154:11 168:16 changes 103:9 123:23 changing 61:7 64:15 character 39:7
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12 112:3 better 7:25 10:1 10:19 19:25 86:24 87:7 104:15 113:12 113:13,16 130:21 151:19 160:4 between 73:16 157:4 177:8 beyond 8:10 22:22 57:19 59:3,11,20 62:4	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10 bondsman 175:11 boot 116:16 border 166:18 born 166:24 boss 137:24 165:18 both 5:14 8:23 11:12 28:23 33:2 36:20,21 39:21 40:1 44:15 52:19 74:20 94:10,20 94:22 97:1	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17 burglary 31:19 59:6 60:1 97:15 99:9,17 142:18 142:18 burn 17:14 bus 150:6 bushels 87:10 business 6:9 14:9 16:7,11 46:7 82:24 83:10 85:11 92:2 109:9 133:14 177:3	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24 78:8 81:19,21 88:22 89:3 94:2 94:17 95:16 96:5,11,24 97:14 99:18,25 100:4,18,24 104:20,23 105:9 108:10 109:12 111:19 118:5,6 118:24 119:24 125:3,14,24 126:2,12 135:8	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1 23:23 34:8,10 36:17,18 38:18 45:8,13,14 54:20 57:11 75:20 77:6,13 78:8,8 114:9,10 120:12,22 140:7 141:10,12 150:24 170:14 170:15 cash 175:9 cast 71:23 catalogs 177:11	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6 change 34:12,24 34:25 35:5 42:1 52:16 91:15 103:6 changed 35:14 52:11 102:5 154:11 168:16 changes 103:9 123:23 changing 61:7 64:15 character 39:7 40:19 62:24
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12 112:3 better 7:25 10:1 10:19 19:25 86:24 87:7 104:15 113:12 113:13,16 130:21 151:19 160:4 between 73:16 157:4 177:8 beyond 8:10 22:22 57:19	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10 bondsman 175:11 boot 116:16 border 166:18 born 166:24 boss 137:24 165:18 both 5:14 8:23 11:12 28:23 33:2 36:20,21 39:21 40:1 44:15 52:19 74:20 94:10,20	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17 burglary 31:19 59:6 60:1 97:15 99:9,17 142:18 142:18 burn 17:14 bus 150:6 bushels 87:10 business 6:9 14:9 16:7,11 46:7 82:24 83:10 85:11 92:2 109:9 133:14	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24 78:8 81:19,21 88:22 89:3 94:2 94:17 95:16 96:5,11,24 97:14 99:18,25 100:4,18,24 104:20,23 105:9 108:10 109:12 111:19 118:5,6 118:24 119:24 125:3,14,24 126:2,12 135:8 139:7,8 142:12	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1 23:23 34:8,10 36:17,18 38:18 45:8,13,14 54:20 57:11 75:20 77:6,13 78:8,8 114:9,10 120:12,22 140:7 141:10,12 150:24 170:14 170:15 cash 175:9 cast 71:23	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6 change 34:12,24 34:25 35:5 42:1 52:16 91:15 103:6 changed 35:14 52:11 102:5 154:11 168:16 changes 103:9 123:23 changing 61:7 64:15 character 39:7
	173:23 174:13 believed 113:11 believer 159:22 believes 50:1 Bell 171:14 belong 9:23 benefit 77:12 111:10 benefits 111:11 119:8,9,9 171:20 best 7:24 66:10 96:14 162:18,19 162:20 bet 35:13 66:12 84:24 110:12 112:3 better 7:25 10:1 10:19 19:25 86:24 87:7 104:15 113:12 113:13,16 130:21 151:19 160:4 between 73:16 157:4 177:8 beyond 8:10 22:22 57:19 59:3,11,20 62:4	blood 118:7 blows 90:2 blubbering 46:12 board 38:16 107:13 169:24 body 23:12 149:5 149:6,11 151:3 171:13 Bolin 178:3 bomb 46:3 Bombing 46:1 bond 84:6,8 174:22,23,25 175:1,2,4,7,9 bonds 84:18 175:10 bondsman 175:11 boot 116:16 border 166:18 born 166:24 boss 137:24 165:18 both 5:14 8:23 11:12 28:23 33:2 36:20,21 39:21 40:1 44:15 52:19 74:20 94:10,20 94:22 97:1	168:25 176:5 burden 42:18,22 62:16 64:19 81:21 91:15 97:3,4 115:10 126:6,10,22 128:20 142:10 143:13,15,19 146:7 152:8 153:8 burdens 62:8 81:23,25 82:1,2 84:14 bureaucrat 116:7 burglarized 157:17 burglary 31:19 59:6 60:1 97:15 99:9,17 142:18 142:18 burn 17:14 bus 150:6 bushels 87:10 business 6:9 14:9 16:7,11 46:7 82:24 83:10 85:11 92:2 109:9 133:14 177:3	134:17 138:4 166:12 capable 87:1 151:16 capital 1:8 7:17 8:11 17:4 23:23 29:12,19 30:3,4 30:7,9,11,17 36:8,15,17,24 38:18 39:9 40:22 41:12,24 46:23 56:12,12 56:14 57:13 59:4,17 63:5,9 63:18 75:20,24 78:8 81:19,21 88:22 89:3 94:2 94:17 95:16 96:5,11,24 97:14 99:18,25 100:4,18,24 104:20,23 105:9 108:10 109:12 111:19 118:5,6 118:24 119:24 125:3,14,24 126:2,12 135:8 139:7,8 142:12 142:16 143:25	99:17 100:1 104:7 106:4 107:22 118:5 126:9 130:5,11 133:1,23 134:15 135:8 139:7 140:8,11 143:11 143:24 146:4,21 146:22 161:18 162:10,11,11,12 162:14 163:21 164:1,3,13 170:4,8,16,21 172:5 173:12,22 177:1 178:3 cases 19:18 23:1 23:23 34:8,10 36:17,18 38:18 45:8,13,14 54:20 57:11 75:20 77:6,13 78:8,8 114:9,10 120:12,22 140:7 141:10,12 150:24 170:14 170:15 cash 175:9 cast 71:23 catalogs 177:11	certify 180:6,9,11 cetera 103:19 challenge 3:7,12 33:2,10,11 55:22 66:14 131:23 133:22 135:1,17,18 136:1,12 challenges 57:4 chamber 25:9 chambers 22:10 180:8 chance 25:17 79:7 83:21 108:6 135:25 164:13 168:6 change 34:12,24 34:25 35:5 42:1 52:16 91:15 103:6 changed 35:14 52:11 102:5 154:11 168:16 changes 103:9 123:23 changing 61:7 64:15 character 39:7 40:19 62:24

			ge 4		
117:16,18	104:19 111:23	comes 16:8,24	Computer-Assisted		106:18 107:17
148:12,13,22,25	111:24 112:11	32:16 58:25	1:15	91:1	107:18 108:1
149:21 153:16	114:12,15,18,22	65:15 74:4 90:9	Computerized	consuming 139:1	109:15 110:15
156:24 157:1,7	117:8 119:4	99:4,12 101:17	1:14	contained 180:12	113:25 120:9
157:10	126:9 156:22	113:22 139:22	computers 73:5	contains 146:21	124:7,8 127:14
charge 27:12	157:9	145:8 159:7	conceded 95:16	180:6	128:18 129:1,6
	citizen 167:6				
146:20,21,23		165:24	conceding 59:21	contemplated	129:13 130:7
charged 90:1,19	citizens 88:2,3	comfort 8:7	95:20	145:14	131:25 133:10
109:12 170:20	citizenship	coming 7:6 16:8	conceivable 40:8	contemplates	138:12,13
172:8,13 173:11	168:14,15,17	26:24 32:15	concentrate	30:25 58:8	155:22 170:22
charging 97:17	city 22:6,9,10,16	93:22,22 99:6	67:12	context 94:13	180:6
Charles 1:13	46:1 50:19	133:13 134:23	concept 14:11	116:23 125:16	corrected 129:13
chase 134:24	70:20 172:17	138:8	16:16 78:14	148:2	correctly 19:15
check 93:21	City's 22:14	Commandments	93:9,10 95:7	contingent 4:24	50:16 60:7
cheek 16:15	civil 22:3 62:9	15:21 17:11	103:3	continue 35:1	64:19 180:10
chief 6:3	163:25 164:3	comment 42:7	concepts 94:8	36:3 55:2 104:3	
					cost 180:11
child 33:19,21	169:21	commerce 177:10	concern 80:4	continuing 31:16	counsel 164:19,21
76:6,12 78:15	civilian 108:14	commit 31:15	174:8	37:24 38:2,5	170:1,1,3 180:7
78:19 80:2,3	civilians 108:14	40:11 56:14	concerned 142:15	65:21 98:7	count 89:1
144:16 155:25	claim 26:19	61:12 94:18	168:16	106:21 118:25	counties 70:23
156:4,5,5,6,12	102:22 127:3	96:7 103:12,25	conclusion 52:6	150:4	country 24:17
156:12,13,17,17	class 75:24	104:10,20 146:9	65:10	continuum 148:1	123:12 124:5,7
childhood 167:14	classic 63:1 82:6	146:12 148:14	condition 116:19	149:13 154:24	124:9,10
children 26:24	cleansed 102:11	148:23 150:13	116:19	contractor	counts 97:18,25
46:6 76:1 105:7	clear 15:15,15	155:11 160:15	conditioned 68:2		
1	66:7 126:17	*		171:13,15,17,18	county 1:5,13 2:7
113:6 119:19	l ·	commitment	conduct 75:23	control 6:23 18:4	70:24 71:2,6
155:21,24 156:1	168:18	78:23	conferences	21:5 28:1 86:5	75:8,10,11
161:17	clearly 148:7	commits 109:5	84:13	109:5	137:21,25 138:2
chips 58:4	clerk 144:22	committed 40:22	confusing 17:15	controlling 14:24	138:8,10 178:4
Chisholm 2:15	158:6	59:5,6 100:11	congregation	controls 151:15	180:3,5,12,19
choice 15:10	clerks 158:5	100:11,20 103:8	165:13	convene 23:23	180:20
17:20 73:16	clever 25:5	103:11 109:1	connect 127:8	conversation	couple 8:1 23:20
114:16 140:24	clicking 60:9	142:12 154:12	connection 84:11	123:25	31:5 32:12
choices 50:2	client 68:6 127:17	154:19 155:25	conscience 7:18	conversion 103:2	67:10 70:8,13
85:20,21 114:12	132:10 133:13	160:1	36:4 65:3 78:19	103:4,18	85:5 113:10
choose 62:1,21	133:16,19 135:6	committing 99:12	174:11	conversions	124:14 138:9
74:11 91:11	178:12	108:23	conscientious	101:25 103:9	139:4 145:3
114:15 173:17	close 56:18 67:5	common 32:22	16:2	convicted 18:16	146:10 172:3,10
chose 15:2 126:19	114:10 158:10	84:3,17 140:15	consensual 100:6	75:3 100:18	course 59:6,7
chosen 21:23	171:18 174:14	153:5	consent 68:3	111:18	
					60:1,1 68:2
Christ 11:4,17,23	closely 72:13	communicating	118:10 145:13	conviction 41:6	97:11 128:24
14:23 15:11	closer 7:15	12:24 29:1	consenting 67:22	111:4	142:17,19 143:3
Christian 102:14	closest 138:3	50:16	consequence	convictions 97:25	court 1:2,3,17,17
102:16,19,20	clothing 48:13	community 86:21	149:12	convince 52:13	4:2,3,9,12,16
103:3	clue 35:13	company 121:10	consequences	52:16,18,19	5:1,5,10,12,17
Christianity	clues 35:8	121:13 176:19	130:13 138:22	141:8	6:4,5,7 13:2
103:9	clusters 109:20	177:9	consider 29:9	convinced 44:9	38:11 42:14
Christians 102:22	CMU 169:17	Compare 158:8	32:20,21 33:20	57:19 97:10	45:19 54:5 55:4
CHRONOLOGI	cocaine 149:4	compared 88:2	38:19 39:11,11	106:9	55:6,19,24
3:1	cold 23:13 146:15	compassion 22:8	39:15,16,19,23	convinces 105:19	56:22 57:1
church 11:4,17	159:11	22:21 100:14	43:6,9,15,24,25	convincing 89:4	58:17 65:23
11:19,23 12:2,3	colder 158:22	114:17,21 115:6	54:24 76:16	convoluted	66:14,17,21,25
12:6,7,8,10,10	college 14:20 67:7	116:24 117:2	78:4 79:15,16	104:12	67:2,13,17,21
12:13,13 13:12	74:6,6 124:14	compassionate	88:13 95:11	cop 87:2	
			107:17 108:24	core 19:21	68:4,9,13,18,20
13:12,18,24	168:18,19 169:4	23:8,22			68:24 69:2,4,8
14:6,16,23	collin 1:5,13 2:7	compelled 173:15	108:25 109:2,2	corporate 73:1,11	69:11,13,15
15:11,11 105:15	75:8 137:21,25	compensated 9:7	109:3 154:3	corporation	71:11 105:7
157:4 165:7,8	138:2,8,10	competent 132:19	consideration	72:21,23 73:5	111:6 115:18,19
churches 13:22	178:4 180:3,5	151:17	40:17 41:1	correct 4:21	115:21 119:19
circled 17:20,20	180:12,19,20	complain 28:6	55:11 78:14	10:21 30:22	121:3,6 128:5,9
125:4,8	combat 16:2	71:15	79:14 117:11	48:19 58:25	128:11 131:9,12
circumstance	come 10:7 26:20	complains 23:14	146:4 159:2	66:12 70:15	131:19,21
11:7 54:24	27:15 30:17	complete 154:10	174:7	71:17 72:7 73:7	132:10,10,13,18
77:10,24 117:8	36:23 38:10	completely 11:4	considerations	74:8 81:17	133:5,8,11,24
117:12 155:12	39:7 52:2,19	53:3 139:24	107:16	83:23 84:19,25	134:8,11,18
circumstances	58:9 66:18 67:4	154:11 174:10	considered 41:5	86:14,19 87:12	135:18 136:6,9
17:22 22:25	68:14 76:2	complexity 6:12	75:1,2,17 95:25	88:25 89:16	136:15,17,18,19
40:18 43:6,11	77:16 83:18	complicated 6:13	considering 39:13	90:13 91:2,13	
					136:23 137:2,9
56:13 64:8 72:5	84:21 98:9 99:1	70:22 78:7 Complies 38:24	78:1 132:15 constitute 31:16	91:18 95:3 98:12 101:12	137:11 161:20 161:24 164:12
77.7 07.71				~~ · / · · · · /	101 /4 104 1 / B
77:7 82:21	110:8 135:15				
77:7 82:21 94:16 101:11	155:7 176:17	153:1	96:8,8	104:22 105:5	164:16 165:4,14

			age 5		
165:17,22 166:3	146:9,12 147:11	dead 23:16 24:14	158:1,15 159:20	89:23 90:16	159:18
166:7 177:20,24	147:13,15,22	59:8 107:12	decided 44:10	130:14 143:14	developing 177:7
178:7,12,16,18	148:6,14,19,23	deal 7:22 48:21	63:16 70:2	150:25 151:23	dictates 50:2 52:8
179:2 180:5,5,8	149:9 150:13	58:11 157:13	157:13,23	153:10 163:11	die 60:10 63:10
180:19,19	160:15 163:7	dealer 157:6,20	167:18	169:19 170:7	99:13,21 106:13
Court's 66:6	169:19,21 170:1	dealing 65:21	decides 145:3	Defense's 9:2	145:9
67:22 146:20,21	170:7	122:25	deciding 148:2	defensive 82:4	died 119:5 169:11
146:22	criminality 74:19	dealings 135:22	149:15	define 100:9	difference 26:7
courtesy 121:2	95:5	136:10	decision 12:12	148:25	35:21 155:19
courthouse 2:7	criminals 18:11	dealt 123:17	53:4 54:15	defined 147:9	
46:7 58:10 90:2	18:19,20 32:17	134:19	60:25 156:20		157:4,7,8,14
	32:17			definitely 60:23	158:18 159:23
180:20		dear 64:5	173:16	163:4	160:6 162:15
courtroom 5:9	criticism 90:17	death 7:7,10,21	decision-making	definition 146:23	different 9:2
6:11 13:23 32:1	Cross 109:20	8:14 9:9 10:4,14	50:13 173:21	degree 75:4 112:5	10:13 17:7 23:7
69:1 92:6 106:2	CROSS-EXAMI	10:21 11:5,8,20	decorum 92:13	113:3 169:4	34:23 35:2,10
106:3 131:20	56:2 166:9	11:23 13:16,17	deep 9:16,17	degrees 23:19	39:12 50:18
144:18 177:23	cross-examine	14:10,14 16:8	deeper 94:13	deliberately	51:13,16,16
courtrooms	83:21	17:13,19 19:2,3	defend 129:15	104:6	56:17 70:23
161:21	cross-examined	19:5 21:3,4,16	defendant 2:11	delight 7:12	72:9 75:19
courts 6:9,9	71:18	23:6 24:21	4:4,25 5:2,4	delivery 149:2	97:17 102:19
covered 144:15	CROSS-QUESTI		6:14 8:4,9,11,15	demanding 12:25	104:14 110:17
cowardly 109:23	121:7	27:23 28:4,11	20:5 26:10 28:4	demonstration	
cracks 99:5	crush 17:14	28:21 29:23			111:12 114:7,13
	2		29:12 30:3,9	109:21	119:18 124:15
craft 89:12	crying 41:18	30:2,5,7,13,15	31:8,13 36:10	denial 20:10	125:17 139:24
crash 88:7	CSR 1:17 180:18	31:1,2,3,17,23	36:16,23 38:11	denied 3:12	148:17 151:14
cream 150:6,7	180:23	34:20 37:13,20	38:12 39:15,20	density 75:25	157:18
create 23:24	culpability 40:20	39:25 41:4 42:1	40:12,19,19	dentist 90:8	differently 14:5
77:14	40:22,25 117:20	42:25 43:17	41:20 52:25	dentists 61:2	17:8 56:20
created 77:4	153:18 157:2	45:1,4,6,7,8	58:2 62:24	deny 135:18	65:25
80:19 82:19	customer 176:25	46:17 47:21	63:18 68:8 69:3	136:1,12	difficult 13:9
creating 72:24	cut 134:24	49:12,15,18,21	75:9 76:11	department	58:21 70:25
130:17	cuts 167:18	50:7 52:1,2,7,22	80:18,24,24	70:16,17 107:10	difficulty 58:24
credence 85:17	cynical 83:8	52:22,25 53:8	81:7,18,22,23	depend 17:16	
					dimes 22:4
86:22	101:18,24	53:16,20,23,25	82:8 90:25	88:5 118:11	dire 1:8,11 59:18
credibility 83:10		54:25 55:9	91:10 92:21	depended 71:2	138:21
85:4,7,10,13,24	<u>D</u>	56:15,20 57:5	94:4 95:15 96:5	depending 28:24	direct 5:19 69:17
86:9 87:13,14	D 1:1 4:1 104:9	57:11,23,24,25	96:11 104:8	31:4 37:2 42:21	72:11 137:18
87:25	DA 70:1	58:1,3,7 60:5,25	105:25 106:7,20	49:12 54:22	directed 92:25
crime 7:22 20:14	DA's 178:4	61:10 76:3	107:9,10 108:9	60:11 72:5	direction 79:9
27:23 40:9,10	dad 116:7 117:3	79:24 80:1 93:2	108:18 109:1,2	76:13 77:6	disagree 12:7
40:11,13,18	Dallas 22:6,9	93:4,7,8,24 94:9	111:11,18	78:20 94:16	62:10 154:15
81:21 93:11,12	121:18 164:6	94:14,15,15,15	115:13 119:8,9	101:14 102:9	disaster 135:2
93:12 96:20	damage 164:20	94:19,25 95:1	122:19 126:19	142:25 144:3,5	disbelief 107:24
97:11,12 98:6,6	damages 9:5	98:14 99:7	127:1 131:10,18	160:19	Discussion 111:8
101:19 103:11	damaging 148:18	103:15 105:23	132:22,24,25	depends 17:22	161:8
103:12,25 104:2	danger 31:14	106:12,14	136:15 138:4,5		
109:10 110:5,6				1 71:21	
	1 46.24 49.7	111:18 117:9		71:21 Depot 123:4.5	discussions
119:16 142:21	46:24 49:7	111:18 117:9 119:13 120:14	138:23 142:4,12	Depot 123:4,5	discussions 123:14
119:16 142:21 143:4.8 145:25	51:19 53:14	119:13 120:14	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25	Depot 123:4,5 124:25	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18
143:4,8 145:25	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22	119:13 120:14 138:22,23	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5 103:7,8 108:25	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1 101:2,10,11	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15 152:11 159:10	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22 40:19 68:3	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25 66:25 178:16	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19 disprove 82:5
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5 103:7,8 108:25 109:3,9 119:17	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15 152:11 159:10 161:4 162:19,20	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22 40:19 68:3 88:22 108:3	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5 103:7,8 108:25 109:3,9 119:17 140:19 141:1,7	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1 101:2,10,11	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15 152:11 159:10 161:4 162:19,20 162:21,23	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22 40:19 68:3	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25 66:25 178:16	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19 disprove 82:5
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5 103:7,8 108:25 109:3,9 119:17 140:19 141:1,7 141:13	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1 101:2,10,11 102:16	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15 152:11 159:10 161:4 162:19,20	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22 40:19 68:3 88:22 108:3	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25 66:25 178:16 destroys 117:1	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19 disprove 82:5 disqualifications 75:5
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5 103:7,8 108:25 109:3,9 119:17 140:19 141:1,7 141:13 criminal 2:7 18:4	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1 101:2,10,11 102:16 dangerousness 144:8 152:8	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15 152:11 159:10 161:4 162:19,20 162:21,23	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22 40:19 68:3 88:22 108:3 117:15 148:21	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25 66:25 178:16 destroys 117:1 detected 72:20	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19 disprove 82:5 disqualifications 75:5 disqualified 75:9
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5 103:7,8 108:25 109:3,9 119:17 140:19 141:1,7 141:13	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1 101:2,10,11 102:16 dangerousness 144:8 152:8 daniel 3:11 68:15	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15 152:11 159:10 161:4 162:19,20 162:21,23 debated 147:10 149:24	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22 40:19 68:3 88:22 108:3 117:15 148:21 153:7,16 157:1	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25 66:25 178:16 destroys 117:1 detected 72:20 determination 79:20 94:22	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19 disprove 82:5 disqualifications 75:5 disqualified 75:9 75:15 85:9
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5 103:7,8 108:25 109:3,9 119:17 140:19 141:1,7 141:13 criminal 2:7 18:4	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1 101:2,10,11 102:16 dangerousness 144:8 152:8 daniel 3:11 68:15 68:24 69:2,15	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15 152:11 159:10 161:4 162:19,20 162:21,23 debated 147:10	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22 40:19 68:3 88:22 108:3 117:15 148:21 153:7,16 157:1 157:1,2 163:1	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25 66:25 178:16 destroys 117:1 detected 72:20 determination 79:20 94:22 103:25	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19 disprove 82:5 disqualifications 75:5 disqualified 75:9 75:15 85:9 disregard 12:8
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5 103:7,8 108:25 109:3,9 119:17 140:19 141:1,7 141:13 criminal 2:7 18:4 31:16 61:12,25	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1 101:2,10,11 102:16 dangerousness 144:8 152:8 daniel 3:11 68:15 68:24 69:2,15 daughters 92:22	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15 152:11 159:10 161:4 162:19,20 162:21,23 debated 147:10 149:24 deceiving 132:18	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22 40:19 68:3 88:22 108:3 117:15 148:21 153:7,16 157:1 157:1,2 163:1 defendants 17:7	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25 66:25 178:16 destroys 117:1 detected 72:20 determination 79:20 94:22 103:25 determine 81:7	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19 disprove 82:5 disqualifications 75:5 disqualified 75:9 75:15 85:9 disregard 12:8 13:12,21 14:1
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5 103:7,8 108:25 109:3,9 119:17 140:19 141:1,7 141:13 criminal 2:7 18:4 31:16 61:12,25 62:10 70:10	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1 101:2,10,11 102:16 dangerousness 144:8 152:8 daniel 3:11 68:15 68:24 69:2,15 daughters 92:22 94:1	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15 152:11 159:10 161:4 162:19,20 162:21,23 debated 147:10 149:24 deceiving 132:18 December 180:23 decent 118:20	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22 40:19 68:3 88:22 108:3 117:15 148:21 153:7,16 157:1 157:1,2 163:1 defendants 17:7 81:16,25 defending 82:9	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25 66:25 178:16 destroys 117:1 detected 72:20 determination 79:20 94:22 103:25 determine 81:7 103:24 150:12	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19 disprove 82:5 disqualifications 75:5 disqualified 75:9 75:15 85:9 disregard 12:8 13:12,21 14:1 42:24
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5 103:7,8 108:25 109:3,9 119:17 140:19 141:1,7 141:13 criminal 2:7 18:4 31:16 61:12,25 62:10 70:10 72:20 73:13 80:2,24 81:15	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1 101:2,10,11 102:16 dangerousness 144:8 152:8 daniel 3:11 68:15 68:24 69:2,15 daughters 92:22 94:1 Davis 122:18,22	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15 152:11 159:10 161:4 162:19,20 162:21,23 debated 147:10 149:24 deceiving 132:18 December 180:23	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22 40:19 68:3 88:22 108:3 117:15 148:21 153:7,16 157:1 157:1,2 163:1 defendants 17:7 81:16,25 defending 82:9 defense 3:3 6:14	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25 66:25 178:16 destroys 117:1 detected 72:20 determination 79:20 94:22 103:25 determine 81:7 103:24 150:12 determined 170:5	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19 disprove 82:5 disqualifications 75:5 disqualified 75:9 75:15 85:9 disregard 12:8 13:12,21 14:1 42:24 dissatisfaction
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5 103:7,8 108:25 109:3,9 119:17 140:19 141:1,7 141:13 criminal 2:7 18:4 31:16 61:12,25 62:10 70:10 72:20 73:13 80:2,24 81:15 82:11 83:14	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1 101:2,10,11 102:16 dangerousness 144:8 152:8 daniel 3:11 68:15 68:24 69:2,15 daughters 92:22 94:1 Davis 122:18,22 122:25 133:21	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15 152:11 159:10 161:4 162:19,20 162:21,23 debated 147:10 149:24 deceiving 132:18 December 180:23 decent 118:20 decide 20:21 29:11 36:2,5	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22 40:19 68:3 88:22 108:3 117:15 148:21 153:7,16 157:1 157:1,2 163:1 defendants 17:7 81:16,25 defending 82:9 defense 3:3 6:14 18:6 24:17	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25 66:25 178:16 destroys 117:1 detected 72:20 determination 79:20 94:22 103:25 determine 81:7 103:24 150:12 determined 170:5 determining	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19 disprove 82:5 disqualifications 75:5 disqualified 75:9 75:15 85:9 disregard 12:8 13:12,21 14:1 42:24 dissatisfaction 133:18
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5 103:7,8 108:25 109:3,9 119:17 140:19 141:1,7 141:13 criminal 2:7 18:4 31:16 61:12,25 62:10 70:10 72:20 73:13 80:2,24 81:15 82:11 83:14 89:24 96:8	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1 101:2,10,11 102:16 dangerousness 144:8 152:8 daniel 3:11 68:15 68:24 69:2,15 daughters 92:22 94:1 Davis 122:18,22 122:25 133:21 134:19 135:14	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15 152:11 159:10 161:4 162:19,20 162:21,23 debated 147:10 149:24 deceiving 132:18 December 180:23 decent 118:20 decide 20:21 29:11 36:2,5 40:10 41:2	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22 40:19 68:3 88:22 108:3 117:15 148:21 153:7,16 157:1 157:1,2 163:1 defendants 17:7 81:16,25 defending 82:9 defense 3:3 6:14 18:6 24:17 32:23 34:9 43:5	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25 66:25 178:16 destroys 117:1 detected 72:20 determination 79:20 94:22 103:25 determine 81:7 103:24 150:12 determined 170:5 determining 21:12	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19 disprove 82:5 disqualifications 75:5 disqualified 75:9 75:15 85:9 disregard 12:8 13:12,21 14:1 42:24 dissatisfaction 133:18 disservice 66:5
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5 103:7,8 108:25 109:3,9 119:17 140:19 141:1,7 141:13 criminal 2:7 18:4 31:16 61:12,25 62:10 70:10 72:20 73:13 80:2,24 81:15 82:11 83:14 89:24 96:8 100:6,9,11	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1 101:2,10,11 102:16 dangerousness 144:8 152:8 daniel 3:11 68:15 68:24 69:2,15 daughters 92:22 94:1 Davis 122:18,22 122:25 133:21 134:19 135:14 day 1:11 4:11 7:6	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15 152:11 159:10 161:4 162:19,20 162:21,23 debated 147:10 149:24 deceiving 132:18 December 180:23 decent 118:20 decide 20:21 29:11 36:2,5 40:10 41:2 43:19 104:25	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22 40:19 68:3 88:22 108:3 117:15 148:21 153:7,16 157:1 157:1,2 163:1 defendants 17:7 81:16,25 defending 82:9 defense 3:3 6:14 18:6 24:17 32:23 34:9 43:5 62:21 63:20	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25 66:25 178:16 destroys 117:1 detected 72:20 determination 79:20 94:22 103:25 determine 81:7 103:24 150:12 determined 170:5 determining 21:12 deterrence 94:6	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19 disprove 82:5 disqualifications 75:5 disqualified 75:9 75:15 85:9 disregard 12:8 13:12,21 14:1 42:24 dissatisfaction 133:18 disservice 66:5 dissimilated
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5 103:7,8 108:25 109:3,9 119:17 140:19 141:1,7 141:13 criminal 2:7 18:4 31:16 61:12,25 62:10 70:10 72:20 73:13 80:2,24 81:15 82:11 83:14 89:24 96:8 100:6,9,11 102:17 107:10	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1 101:2,10,11 102:16 dangerousness 144:8 152:8 daniel 3:11 68:15 68:24 69:2,15 daughters 92:22 94:1 Davis 122:18,22 122:25 133:21 134:19 135:14 day 1:11 4:11 7:6 33:16,17 106:13	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15 152:11 159:10 161:4 162:19,20 162:21,23 debated 147:10 149:24 deceiving 132:18 December 180:23 decont 118:20 decide 20:21 29:11 36:2,5 40:10 41:2 43:19 104:25 135:7 146:22,24	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22 40:19 68:3 88:22 108:3 117:15 148:21 153:7,16 157:1 157:1,2 163:1 defendants 17:7 81:16,25 defending 82:9 defense 3:3 6:14 18:6 24:17 32:23 34:9 43:5 62:21 63:20 67:9 68:4 71:18	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25 66:25 178:16 destroys 117:1 detected 72:20 determination 79:20 94:22 103:25 determine 81:7 103:24 150:12 determined 170:5 determining 21:12 deterrence 94:6 94:20	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19 disprove 82:5 disqualifications 75:5 disqualified 75:9 75:15 85:9 disregard 12:8 13:12,21 14:1 42:24 dissatisfaction 133:18 disservice 66:5 dissimilated 114:10
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5 103:7,8 108:25 109:3,9 119:17 140:19 141:1,7 141:13 criminal 2:7 18:4 31:16 61:12,25 62:10 70:10 72:20 73:13 80:2,24 81:15 82:11 83:14 89:24 96:8 100:6,9,11 102:17 107:10 108:23 110:10	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1 101:2,10,11 102:16 dangerousness 144:8 152:8 daniel 3:11 68:15 68:24 69:2,15 daughters 92:22 94:1 Davis 122:18,22 122:25 133:21 134:19 135:14 day 1:11 4:11 7:6 33:16,17 106:13 180:15	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15 152:11 159:10 161:4 162:19,20 162:21,23 debated 147:10 149:24 deceiving 132:18 December 180:23 decont 118:20 decide 20:21 29:11 36:2,5 40:10 41:2 43:19 104:25 135:7 146:22,24 149:21 153:21	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22 40:19 68:3 88:22 108:3 117:15 148:21 153:7,16 157:1 157:1,2 163:1 defendants 17:7 81:16,25 defending 82:9 defense 3:3 6:14 18:6 24:17 32:23 34:9 43:5 62:21 63:20 67:9 68:4 71:18 72:3 73:13	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25 66:25 178:16 destroys 117:1 detected 72:20 determination 79:20 94:22 103:25 determine 81:7 103:24 150:12 determined 170:5 determining 21:12 deterrence 94:6 94:20 deterrent 94:17	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19 disprove 82:5 disqualifications 75:5 disqualified 75:9 75:15 85:9 disregard 12:8 13:12,21 14:1 42:24 dissatisfaction 133:18 disservice 66:5 dissimilated 114:10 district 1:3,17 2:7
143:4,8 145:25 146:1 153:14 154:9,20 155:11 155:25 158:24 160:1,5 crimes 24:1 75:25 82:17 85:14 90:19 94:5 103:7,8 108:25 109:3,9 119:17 140:19 141:1,7 141:13 criminal 2:7 18:4 31:16 61:12,25 62:10 70:10 72:20 73:13 80:2,24 81:15 82:11 83:14 89:24 96:8 100:6,9,11 102:17 107:10	51:19 53:14 105:20 108:22 111:4,19 144:11 144:15,25 145:13,18,20 146:2 151:5,7 152:1,10 dangerous 40:12 93:25 94:1 101:2,10,11 102:16 dangerousness 144:8 152:8 daniel 3:11 68:15 68:24 69:2,15 daughters 92:22 94:1 Davis 122:18,22 122:25 133:21 134:19 135:14 day 1:11 4:11 7:6 33:16,17 106:13	119:13 120:14 138:22,23 139:18,21,25 140:1,6,7,14,18 140:21,25 141:5 141:12,19 142:1 142:4,16,20,21 143:5,8 144:2,4 144:12 149:15 152:11 159:10 161:4 162:19,20 162:21,23 debated 147:10 149:24 deceiving 132:18 December 180:23 decont 118:20 decide 20:21 29:11 36:2,5 40:10 41:2 43:19 104:25 135:7 146:22,24	138:23 142:4,12 143:17,25 144:13 146:9,12 150:2,20 153:19 154:8 156:25 160:11,14 161:23 170:2 178:11,15,17 defendant's 3:12 3:12 39:6,22 40:19 68:3 88:22 108:3 117:15 148:21 153:7,16 157:1 157:1,2 163:1 defendants 17:7 81:16,25 defending 82:9 defense 3:3 6:14 18:6 24:17 32:23 34:9 43:5 62:21 63:20 67:9 68:4 71:18	Depot 123:4,5 124:25 deprive 120:13 deriving 44:24 describe 171:20 deserter 109:23 deserve 9:7 90:17 deserves 79:7 designed 95:2 desire 5:2 28:25 66:25 178:16 destroys 117:1 detected 72:20 determination 79:20 94:22 103:25 determine 81:7 103:24 150:12 determined 170:5 determining 21:12 deterrence 94:6 94:20	discussions 123:14 disease 20:17,18 151:2 disfavor 13:18 disfavored 27:25 dishonest 86:15 87:11 disillusionment 10:18 disorder 98:19 disprove 82:5 disqualifications 75:5 disqualified 75:9 75:15 85:9 disregard 12:8 13:12,21 14:1 42:24 dissatisfaction 133:18 disservice 66:5 dissimilated 114:10

C 127 20 24 120 1	100.25 117.12		1 00-13 33	70.3.01.0.00.3.4	137113717
137:20,24 138:1	100:25 116:13	71:5 72:19	90:12,22	78:3 81:9 90:3,6	135:11 136:4,5
164:3,20 172:9	125:2 131:12	81:20 86:12	entrapment	90:7 93:25 94:2	136:6,11 140:20
172:11,21 180:5	135:6 138:17	94:8 95:11	81:25	96:24 97:1 98:7	141:17,18,20
180:19	144:19,24 155:7	103:12 122:25	environment	101:2 107:24	143:18 145:17
divided 113:5	159:7 161:16	124:23 150:25	113:16,20 114:6	114:5 159:3	145:21,25 146:5
divorce 15:3,3	163:9 169:19	153:9 163:11	envisioned	165:17 173:21	148:6 150:23
doctor 25:19	177:20	168:3 178:22	144:10	174:22	151:25 153:10
87:17,22 90:6	downtown 71:6	EKGs 87:20	equal 85:24 112:7	every 12:20,22	153:13,14,15,21
98:13,13 101:8	downward	elaborate 23:24	112:8,8,9	28:3 36:15,17	154:1,2,14
doctors 61:2	154:10	elderly 98:18	equate 35:25	36:18,25 41:19	155:17 159:2,17
			102:13 126:18		
90:17	Dr 98:10,16,17,22	145:3	I .	57:16 73:5 87:9	159:20 160:19
doctrinally 11:19	99:25 100:10	electrical 168:24	equation 35:24	90:8 92:6 102:3	160:24 161:3
doing 6:24 7:9	118:6 145:2,8	169:2	equipment 177:2	112:3	173:12 180:6
13:23 25:7,22	145:12	eligible 74:21	177:5	everybody 5:13	evil 119:3
27:7,11 32:6	drank 113:6	75:1,2 78:9,12	escape 107:23	12:21 19:5	evokes 115:5
35:1,1 46:6	dreamed 41:15	78:12	108:3,12	23:16,18 26:22	exactly 13:20
	(1			
48:23 63:9	drink 20:7 101:7	else's 16:11	escaped 61:15	26:25 29:21	20:24 38:3,8
64:16 66:5	drinking 19:20	embarrassing	escaping 61:16	35:4 38:12	57:13 76:13
75:23 76:3,16	19:21 20:9,10	161:12	essentially 22:1	41:17 44:3 61:7	118:14 120:3
77:25 91:12	drive 20:8,11	emerge 113:24	established 25:6	62:18 69:5 79:6	125:21 140:19
92:25 100:8,16	driver 152:18	114:6	et 103:19	88:3 90:12,22	159:12 176:21
			ethical 170:13		
106:10 110:13	driving 19:17,21	emergency 16:23		98:10,11 107:9	examine 74:20
113:21 117:17	20:4,22 22:23	encouraging	evaluate 80:6	113:21,22 126:5	82:21 88:19
124:4 128:17	150:6	164:24	evaluated 111:25	127:12 133:12	examined 7:2,6
134:21 139:3	dropped 112:22	end 3:17 6:6	even 7:21 10:24	159:7 179:2	68:1
141:11 149:20	drug 157:6,13,20	16:25 18:14,15	12:23 14:8	everybody's	examining 70:3
154:18,19	drugs 113:21	27:7 32:19	15:18 19:13,25	45:24	79:19
					1
172:23	149:2,3,3,4,5,7	34:14 35:6	21:4 23:25	everyday 150:5	example 10:19
dollars 23:11	149:8,16,19,20	38:14 47:20	24:15 25:18	everyone 52:10	12:2 13:13 15:8
176:7	154:6,6,9,10,11	53:24 76:19,20	27:10 28:8,12	127:10	15:20 16:22
domestic 110:11	154:16,17,19,23	92:8 98:1	28:19 30:5,15	everything 13:10	18:10 19:17
don 2:13 6:21	154:25,25 155:4	110:23 123:9	31:23 34:20	41:3 155:17	23:4 25:8 35:12
32:1 138:7	dual 168:14,15,17	124:9 146:20	36:7 39:23	evicted 113:8	41:12 44:3
166:11	duration 6:5	152:4 153:20	41:15 45:5,13	evidence 7:16	51:17 73:15
done 10:11 24:1	during 15:3 71:8	156:2 159:11	45:14 46:10,17	18:23 19:12,13	75:10 80:16
25:13 30:19	115:19 135:20	179:4	47:9 48:20	21:1,4,6 22:1,1	82:5,6,25 84:4
32:14 35:25	143:13 146:5	ended 15:9 124:5	49:15 50:17	22:16 28:24	84:12 89:10
41:25 46:12,13	duty 22:16	ends 11:13,13	51:10,10 52:13	36:22 37:2 39:3	94:4 98:15
50:25 51:1,7	143:15,19	17:15 37:7	52:17,18 53:19	39:3,5,10,17	
					102:21 105:6
65:17 76:23	DWIs 19:19,22	116:11	54:19,22 55:7	40:2,3,6,8,13,17	109:19 154:6
88:7 89:9 92:5,6	20:4	enforcement	55:11,11 59:15	41:2,10,12	155:7 158:21
95:6 96:14	į	72:12,19 73:9	65:8 66:8 67:5	42:21,23 43:9	examples 107:22
100:8,24 101:22	E	73:13 84:24	72:11 73:21	43:15,16 47:9	except 15:24 17:2
105:13 114:5	E 1:1,1,1 2:1,1	124:22	74:14 75:1,2	47:24 48:1,1,18	17:4 38:16 40:9
125:1 151:21			76:3 78:7,14	54:9 57:18	
	4:1,1 104:9	engage 107:23			91:9 143:15
158:5 163:17	e-commerce `	engagement	82:4,14 86:9	58:20 59:12,13	exceptions 15:18
164:16,20 177:3	177:7	82:11	91:5 94:19 95:8	61:23 62:6,22	15:19
door 99:1 113:22	each 6:22 22:5	engineering	98:21 99:13	63:14,16,22	excited 7:10
double 59:7 97:15	25:14 72:1	168:24 169:2	101:6,9,21	64:24 65:8 70:3	exclude 75:24
98:6 143:7	74:20 113:7	enjoying 175:25	107:12 108:11	77:15 78:1,20	excuse 31:9 65:19
doubt 8:10 22:22	119:1 157:14	enjoys 140:23	111:3 112:12,20	79:2,9,19 80:7	82:16 105:16
38:4 57:19 59:4		enlightened	116:16 120:21	81:3,8,9 82:6,21	
	160:19	-			115:18 128:1
59:11,20 62:4,5	earlier 14:14	113:14	128:2 135:14	83:15,20 84:21	132:12 136:23
62:12,13 77:13	50:14 75:13	enormous 41:22	139:10 145:2	86:6,25 87:21	164:23 177:17
81:4,19 88:22	earliest 60:16	enough 10:24	148:7,18 163:19	88:19,20 89:4	178:9,14,15
89:5 91:17	earth 79:7 112:3	24:6,23 27:17	evening 12:22	89:10,15 92:12	excused 3:4,6,9
95:17 96:6 97:6	easier 142:2	28:7 32:14 44:6	event 64:12 97:16	94:21 95:24	3:10,14 66:15
97:7 105:19	eastern 12:1	47:12 77:3 89:4	135:4,20 173:10	96:10,25 97:2	68:11,19 91:22
I			ever 6:13 25:10		
106:9,20 108:18	easy 9:4	96:21 116:16,25		101:14 104:1,16	137:5 178:19
110:23 111:20	effect 78:24 80:6	120:16 160:4	25:18 27:21	109:24 111:12	179:3
120:5 142:12	82:18 119:8	168:2 177:25	31:21,22 32:11	115:7,12,15	execute 25:10
143:12,24 146:8	effective 175:20	entered 99:9,11	33:21 34:20	117:11,23 118:3	156:13
160:14 163:1	effectively 151:13	enters 5:9 32:1	36:4,16,18 37:6	118:6 119:13,23	executed 76:3
down 9:18 12:19	sight 124.24	69:1	37:7 41:13,15	120:3,18 126:7	execution 8:18
	eight 124:24				1
12:22 13:2 22:6	129:23	entertaining 8:21	41:19,23 44:15	126:24 127:3	25:9
22:10,17 23:15	either 12:7,12	entertainment	44:16 47:6,11	128:24 129:3,15	executions 17:10
25:8,13 38:13	28:23 40:4,5	32:11	48:24 52:2,24	129:21,24 130:4	exhibits 180:10
45:12,18 56:16	42:20 43:18	entire 15:11	53:6 65:24	130:4,6,10,15	exist 13:6 108:6
56:17 67:7,8,13	45:18 52:13,17	103:10	69:21 70:6,10	131:2,25 132:15	exits 131:20
67:17 88:9 90:9		entitled 43:5,14	71:18 75:23	132:16,19,25	177:23
	57:24 59:5				
98:21 99:4	63:10,16 67:10	43:18 61:24	76:22,23 77:10	133:1,2,4,7,9	expect 21:21,24
Control of the last water and the control of the co	AND THE PERSON OF THE PERSON O	and the second s	water water with the con-	And the same part of the same	

				age 7		
	22:12 73:10	30:15 32:5	103:3 117:5	39:5 40:5 41:6	59:3,11,16,20	167:19
	113:15 114:7	33:13 43:20,21	139:23 141:12	44:25 47:14	59:24 67:8	function 21:25
	156:8,20 170:17	47:12 53:10	173:14	48:2,5 49:2,5,6	76:11 95:15,25	72:16
	expecting 22:22	55:11 71:22	feeling 106:2	49:8,20 50:10	96:5 100:4	funding 169:13
	130:20	72:2,4 75:16	131:5 151:14	51:11 57:19	107:2 111:19	funny 15:17
				58:25 60:24		
	expensive 169:20	79:14 104:11,20	feelings 32:6		120:17 159:18	48:20 112:19
	169:22,23 170:6	141:16 162:2,5	56:17 131:7	63:15 65:21	foundation	136:20
	experience 9:8	162:10	162:6	66:23 74:25	123:24	further 8:12 94:3
	83:1 164:23	fairly 18:23 29:11	feels 10:4 42:24	78:20 96:4 97:5	fox 159:4	94:18 103:18,25
	expert 62:1,22	29:25 33:14	fell 11:5	100:25 111:1.1	frail 116:5	157:21 180:9,11
	experts 152:5	42:19 43:6,9,22	fellow 20:1 117:4	112:22 118:19	frame 59:22	furtherance 59:7
	Expires 180:23	78:13 79:3,3	164:17	119:15,22 122:4	frankly 7:13 58:9	
			felony 6:4 99:12	128:17 137:24		Furthermore
	explain 12:17	80:6 82:18		1	fraud 109:10	134:12
	32:2,15 76:12	88:12 111:25	female 122:19,21	139:4 142:8,17	132:6	future 31:15
	77:3 127:15,19	160:19	few 7:1 25:18	144:2,6 146:6	fraudulent 132:6	35:18 46:23
	129:9 130:22	fairness 35:4	67:14,18 81:22	146:11 160:12	132:8	47:15 49:7,16
	172:12	130:25	121:14 131:12	160:16 167:12	fred 3:13 83:5	51:19 53:13
	explained 128:15	faith 13:25 34:25	151:14 166:13	fisherman 84:4,5	137:9	61:6 96:15
	142:14	117:13 133:16	Fifth 143:17	fishing 84:8	free 30:10 47:24	108:24 109:5
					1	
	explaining 41:7	faiths 11:18	fight 34:17	fit 94:25	freedom 19:9	111:4 144:7,11
	127:21	falco 2:4 4:5 6:3	157:17	fitness 132:17	freely 55:16	144:15 145:13
	explanation	48:9 92:16	fighting 22:10	fits 30:8	French 168:6,7,8	145:18,20 146:2
	17:25 63:23	115:18,20	figure 15:5,7 20:9	five 76:21,23 77:7	frequently 11:15	146:10 148:23
	74:19	131:25 132:4,6	32:25 104:24	78:3,19 87:10	107:23 147:10	151:5,7 152:1,7
	exposing 89:9	136:24 137:1,16	133:13	97:21 169:1,1	149:24	152:10 160:15
	express 80:4 93:8	137:17,19,20	figured 35:8	fix 24:21 90:8	friend 64:5	173:21
	97:13	138:6 161:9	fill 81:9 139:16	flexibility 97:20		
		,	1		158:17,18	fuzzier 147:17
	expression 75:16	162:1 163:25	filled 8:2 56:10	flip 58:13 151:5	friendly 167:20	149:1
	79:3	165:5 166:1,5	125:6 139:13	floor 44:7	167:21,22,23,25	
	ext 180:21	178:25	finally 66:15	floors 99:5	friends 11:22	G
	extend 157:21	fall 7:16 32:10	68:10 94:23	flying 24:14	158:10	G 4:1
	extent 12:3	58:4 148:1,3	137:4 161:16	focus 63:12	from 1:11 6:10,24	gail 2:4 6:3
	151:17	149:13 154:24	178:19 179:3	156:25	7:16 8:15 12:20	131:25 132:2
	extreme 32:19	falls 178:1,2	find 7:8 8:7 10:24	focuses 61:19	13:1,21 14:22	137:20
	34:8,10 45:7,13	familiar 163:14	12:7 22:1,23	folks 74:5 173:10	15:10,10 18:23	
	45:14,22,23,25	163:15	30:4,9,11,16	follow 18:8 22:17		gallantry 109:19
			31:14 35:5		24:15 29:22	game 139:24
	50:17,21 54:20	familiarity 71:14		24:7,8 29:7	33:7 37:13,23	167:19
	54:23 76:19	families 108:13	36:23 38:3,4	32:20 33:24	38:20 41:2	gary 3:4 4:19
	78:18	113:6	43:15 44:21	44:17 58:3	43:18,19 44:24	gauge 134:14
	extremely 23:24	family 112:25	63:18 67:7 76:5	74:22 75:15	47:13 49:15	gauging 85:10
	92:2 109:19	115:2 139:22	82:14 95:19	77:17,20 78:13	50:3,10,12 52:2	gave 5:25 42:8
	extremes 11:12	155:15 156:11	98:1,2,2,3 99:25	80:21 115:7,13	55:8 58:4,14	153:22 168:9
	extrensic 132:16	158:6,10,11,11	100:5,6 106:19	119:20 141:21	61:15,17 63:15	GED 61:3
	eye 167:19	158:20,20	106:23 108:18	160:18	67:25 72:18	
	eye-for-an-eye	164:18,21 178:3	118:23,24 120:6	following 1:12		gee 131:1 173:13
					75:24 78:24	general 6:1 18:5
	93:10 94:12	far 7:2 24:15	143:24 144:13	27:18 73:9 78:2	79:21 83:25	71:22 75:22
	eyes 80:18	93:13 94:17	145:15,20 152:2	80:10	84:21 89:21,22	84:24
		124:11 138:24	160:11 161:2	forbidden 91:5,5	93:22,23,25	Generalizations
	F	141:25 142:14	163:21 164:25	force 8:24	97:10 100:14,25	170:9
	F 1:13	143:16 153:25	165:22 166:22	forced 135:4,9	101:7 103:10	generally 113:16
	face 16:17 30:5	168:15 169:2	find-the-body	forefront 110:9	104:16 113:13	generation
	83:3 86:10	175:18	23:17	foregoing 180:6	114:6 121:9	113:12
	107:22 135:8	fascination 93:6	finding 96:11	forehead 100:25	123:9,18 124:14	genuine 102:12
	fact 8:17 10:8	father 169:11	finds 143:23	101:7	128:9 131:3,9	102:25 103:3
	15:22 16:13	favor 13:17 14:2	fine 6:17 8:6	foremost 9:3	133:13 136:6,8	
		17:19,22,23	20:10 69:20	forever 107:15		genuinely 102:11
	42:8,13,18	19:2 43:12 45:1		,	136:9,17,19	102:18
	43:11 77:10		79:6,8 91:7,20	forgiveness 93:21	138:11 141:11	George 61:15
	90:10 104:10	93:1,4 140:6	91:25 98:25	forgiving 93:19	144:7,24 148:8	German 116:7,14
	105:19 118:4,11	141:4 162:19	105:13,15 119:9	form 38:9 39:8	151:20 156:8	Germany 26:15
	151:18	favorable 84:7	130:24 132:17	75:3 81:6 98:1	162:2,4,9 164:5	27:13,22 28:12
	factor 156:23	fed 158:11	139:3	132:19	165:24 166:18	getaway 152:18
	facts 96:20 97:11	federal 46:7	fines 20:2	former 70:14	166:23 167:7,11	gets 16:19 28:8,8
	97:12 141:23	72:19 97:18,24	fingers 135:12	72:11 73:10	168:8,11,12	33:25 38:22
	143:1 145:25	fee 163:24	finish 126:1	fornicating	170:17 171:20	48:25 77:22
	146:3 160:23	feel 8:25 12:9	fire 74:3 161:15	113:21	175:13 178:22	
	162:11,12 165:2	16:9 18:3,4	172:4	forth 66:4,4	front 99:1 146:15	78:7 90:9
		22:14 29:21				105:25 116:16
	165:3		fired 85:14	161:15	161:16	144:23,23 145:6
	fail 98:3 106:19	34:3,4 41:20	firing 23:23	fortunate 114:22	fuel 23:11	147:17 149:1
	failure 129:3	42:25 48:22	first 4:14 9:3,3	found 30:6 32:11	full 151:9	154:5 157:17
į	fair 19:6 21:10,11	53:3 66:13 72:9	10:23 17:24	36:16 40:11	fun 32:14 115:17	getting 54:21
	21:12 29:9,22	73:24 84:5	19:3 29:7 31:5	43:10 46:23	116:6,8 167:19	76:5 113:8
. '						CONTRACTOR MANAGEMENT OF STREET

150.17	129:25 130:23	109:22 110:24	ge 8 175:3	46:24 63:21	held 1:13 61:20
150:17	1	112:9 114:11,11	guilt 21:12 65:11	86:18 101:21	63:12 108:19
ghoul 118:8	130:25 131:5,11	116:16 117:16	126:22 127:11	102:3 105:1,2	help 34:8 98:23
ghoulish 93:6	131:22 132:5,8	117:18 118:6,20	127:13,20,23,25	107:25 108:11	109:13 132:2
give 5:14 9:5	133:6,10,25	122:15 133:11	guilt-innocence	happened 11:18	1
16:10 21:15	134:9,12 136:3	133:16 138:5			145:8 148:21,22
22:24 30:12,14	136:19,25 138:9		29:8 30:16,21 39:13,14 61:23	88:10 95:7	148:25 149:21
32:10 33:18,21	163:14,17,22	150:20 151:17		155:14 162:7 174:12	156:5 158:12
34:8,9 39:25	166:12 168:4,11	153:17 157:22	62:17 81:23		helped 158:12
54:5 55:11,20	168:13 169:23	159:22 163:24	96:4 97:9 142:9	happening 26:19 83:22 130:2	helping 116:10
58:13 67:10	178:1,8,13,24	168:9 172:2 176:14,18	142:10 143:13 guilties 97:25	happens 10:7	helps 109:3 145:8
69:5 73:15	179:1	gosh 86:2 100:20	guiltiness 153:7	17:1 28:9 33:15	her 6:6 33:15
74:11 75:16	goes 28:14 38:9 38:12,13 39:21	gotten 48:22	guilty 8:11 22:23	33:16,17 49:18	42:12,12 48:23 55:23 68:10
77:12 78:3,14		101:19	29:12 30:4,6,9	50:2 60:4 94:5	112:4 164:21
78:19 79:3,5,7	40:1 89:24 107:9,10 112:22	Government	30:11,17 36:16	112:21 117:22	herself 109:13,14
79:13 80:6	114:19 116:11	116:8	36:24 39:9,16	hard 10:8 13:1	Hi 5:22 6:15 56:5
82:18 84:3 85:3	144:20,21	Governor 108:9	40:12 46:23	18:19 40:21	166:11
85:7,17,24 86:9	158:23	108:10	59:4,16,20	52:23 60:8	hiding 91:4
86:21 87:18,24		grace 102:11	63:18 76:11	100:1 122:15	high 2:13,15 6:21
104:6 109:11	going 8:18,23 12:21 14:4 15:2	grade 124:17	80:19 81:4,5,7,8	131:5,7 170:14	32:1 74:5,5 89:6
119:7 137:12,14		graduate 124:14	81:9,18,20,20	174:19	102:1 121:4
149:20 153:14	15:6,22 20:20			harm 16:8	124:15 135:22
153:15,17,18,19	20:25 23:2,3,3,5	Grand 75:7,8 grant 135:17	88:22,24 89:3 95:16,25 96:5	harm's 24:13	138:7 163:14
158:21 173:15	23:12 24:23	grant 133:17 granted 3:7 66:15	96:11 98:2	harsh 32:19	1
176:21	25:10,10 27:9	133:11	99:25 100:4	Hashem 165:9	166:7,8,10,11 168:14 169:24
given 17:20 31:6	27:18 29:22	1			1
46:13 123:21	31:6,15 32:3,5	graphic 8:21	107:1,2 118:24 120:6 127:4	having 6:21 10:3 13:6,17 15:9,20	high-pressure 92:7
132:14 139:14	32:18,25 34:2,2	graphically 8:17	129:16,19 130:5	19:1 45:4 46:22	
141:4,15 154:1	34:3,3,11,17,25	great 7:21 33:23 153:22	143:25 144:13	53:3 81:8 115:4	high-pressured 92:3
175:21	35:7,9,13,24		145:16 160:12	122:11 139:16	
gives 76:10 78:2	38:5 43:8 44:21	grew 74:18	1		highly 13:10
78:10 116:25	45:17,22 47:18	112:18,19 116:2	162:22 163:1	he'll 59:15,16 62:12 108:6	170:15 him 8:6 16:16,18
119:7 148:12,13	48:17 53:20,23	158:8,10	gun 18:4 105:8	152:10	16:19,24,25
giving 52:12	59:5 60:10	groups 113:5	guns 157:13 guy 16:17,24	head 12:24 13:2	22:14,17,23,24
76:16 78:24	61:10 62:18	grow 50:11 growing 14:25	22:12 23:4	26:6 28:2,16	24:5,22 26:12
83:20	63:10,21,21 64:14,25 65:1	41:16,20,25	24:21 25:22	29:10,13 39:18	30:4,11,12 33:3
glad 172:25 173:3	70:2 78:11 79:5	grown 114:18	39:25 47:18	44:10,13 46:2	33:15 47:17,20
go 9:21 10:17	79:9,21 83:13	151:10	87:3,16 88:9	60:3,6 61:9	59:20 64:7,10
12:9 14:20,20	84:21,21 93:17	grows 112:24	99:21 100:16	76:18 80:22	68:14 90:2,3,4
16:1 20:1,6	95:14,15 98:18	164:10	101:10 105:12	143:2 171:6	91:4 92:11
24:10,14,23 37:20 48:11	98:21 99:23	growth 177:14,15	116:2 122:18	174:24	99:22 102:12
54:20,21 55:6	101:2,3 103:12	GRUBBS 2:15	131:2 135:10	headquarters	106:8 107:2
63:2,24 66:9,23	105:15,20,24	grumble 23:9	173:13 176:11	171:11	109:13,14 116:6
67:8 76:9,19	106:13,16,20	guarantee 30:5	guys 25:14,15	heads 57:9	116:6,8,15,20
77:10,14 80:5	108:3 109:5	35:19 43:7	26:20 65:16	health 145:6	116:20,25 117:2
85:10 86:1,3	112:18 113:6,21	103:11 142:25	101:24 161:17	155:15	117:4,21 120:6
98:23 104:12	115:19 118:25	163:8	168:25 169:23	hear 11:15 12:18	122:15,16,23
105:8,23 109:18	121:4,25 123:24	guard 61:14,14	gypsies 27:24	32:13 40:3	126:18 128:2,4
112:14 115:22	124:5 128:1	guards 61:2	Ov I	41:12,14 51:13	128:8 132:15
117:10 119:1,19	131:1 133:1	108:14	Н	94:22 140:17	133:4,19 134:24
129:3 134:6	135:15 146:2,7	guess 5:1 11:10	habitation 99:10	146:5 148:5	135:14 136:22
142:6 147:18	146:7,24 147:9	11:11 14:5	99:11	150:23 153:16	137:4,4 138:6
157:17 158:16	149:6,6,18	15:14,15 17:15	half 15:22 32:10	159:17	142:18 152:18
161:6 164:22	152:20,21 154:1	21:20 40:23	54:6 116:2,5	heard 1:11 39:5,9	158:7 175:7,7
166:7,18 168:18	154:3 158:1,9	44:7,11,14 47:3	169:3	40:2 41:3,13,14	178:6,15
168:21 169:2,6	158:19 170:14	60:18 62:25	half-nuts 116:21	41:19 50:15	himself 99:21
175:7,23 176:18	173:21,24	64:23 71:2	116:22	58:20 59:14	134:16 141:7
God 159:10,18,19	175:23 176:15	72:17,23 73:8	half-small 116:9	61:23 81:8,8	hire 99:22 172:4
159:22	178:1,4	73:20 74:12,15	hammer 112:22	118:7 127:16	historical 17:10
God's 14:4	gone 94:17	80:12 88:12	hand 59:5 98:19	136:6 150:24	history 45:25
goeller 2:12,15	112:16 124:13	95:4 99:10	180:15	159:3,20 177:25	96:16 104:1
4:4,7,10,18 6:16	161:25 176:7	104:19 110:1	handed 45:12	hearing 32:11	150:16,16
6:18 42:5 55:24	177:14	113:15 116:10	handle 95:21	58:14	hit 16:19 166:19
55:25 56:3 57:2	good 5:21 6:18	120:10 124:21	handling 164:2	heart 9:16,17	Hitler 27:12
58:15,18 66:1	10:3 12:20	129:21,22	hands 18:13	87:17 173:19	54:21 115:16,22
66:23 67:1 68:5	27:17 28:7	130:13 132:9,21	38:16 81:6	hearts 44:2 87:19	116:1,15,24
68:17,19 89:6	35:12 36:4 45:6	138:15 141:9	110:11	heat 92:2,23	118:4
92:19 95:19	56:4,7 59:11	146:14 149:18	handsomest	heater 22:17	Hitler's 119:17
103:13 107:17	64:5,16 66:13	153:5 155:3	48:12	heaters 22:6	hobbies 165:15
115:24 121:5,8	81:14 82:15	159:19 162:6,9	happen 10:1 27:9	heath 112:7	hockey 167:16,17
122:20,21 128:7	87:10,17 88:3	164:22 170:16	34:2 43:8 44:2	heavily 58:12	167:18,19,23
			(CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR		

			age 9		
168:2,4	151:18 174:4	172:3	84:15 86:8	30:19 38:20	July 175:21
hold 37:19 55:4	Humans 23:19	include 77:5	140:21	41:6 47:22	jump 44:13
91:3,14 94:10	humor 165:22,25	147:11 150:4,7	instincts 83:7	51:11 53:13	jumping 44:9
98:20 143:21	hundred 100:14	159:2	instruct 79:15	57:20,21 58:25	46:21 47:5 51:6
158:4	113:4	included 116:18	instructed 81:7	65:15,18,21	54:10
holes 159:4	hunts 144:19	180:7	instruction 83:25	95:20 97:5	junior 74:5
Holigan 121:25	hurt 9:6 16:25	includes 60:23	instructions 58:4	100:3 111:2	juries 36:15
122:16 123:8	20:6,14 61:16	including 40:18	insult 33:12	122:11 155:15	jurisdictions
134:18	hurting 61:14	71:15 117:11	insurance 83:5	164:23	70:22 99:16
Holton 166:22,23	90:7	incompatible	97:20	issues 8:13 30:6	juror 4:19,20
home 16:6 20:11	hypothetical	13:14	integrity 173:19	30:23 31:1,2,3	8:23 18:22 19:4
38:12 92:22	104:16 109:1	incorrect 88:15	intellectually	52:4,8 53:6	19:6,11 21:23
99:3 107:9	119:15 157:25	independent	51:25	58:22 59:19,23	28:20 32:16,18
113:10 121:25	159:16	171:15,17,18	intelligence 112:8	59:25 65:16	32:21,23,24,25
122:16 123:8,9		INDEX 3:1	intelligent 78:23	88:11 95:11	33:12,18,20
132:2 157:16 158:2 172:16	150 67	indicate 158:22	80:9	ivan 1:5 6:14 68:6	41:3 42:8,9
	ice 150:6,7	indicated 10:13	intend 132:1	122:15 134:17	43:18 52:20
homeless 22:4,8 23:4	idea 10:3 13:24	10:20 17:18 29:8 65:21	intent 20:4	134:20 138:4	55:22 57:8,13
Homes 134:18	25:15 43:5,10		intentional 105:10	166:12 178:13	57:14 58:25
homicide 59:7	47:20 73:8	indication 85:13 indicia 134:17	interest 13:15		67:24,25 74:16
143:7	176:21	indicted 75:9	64:20 134:25	J	75:14,17 77:8
homosexuals	ideal 170:16	indicted 73.9	interesting 7:3	Jack 98:10	77:15 78:23
27:24	idealistic 10:17	59:8 75:8	13:3 47:13	jackals 119:19	83:11 84:4,12
honest 21:7 29:21	ignore 91:12 ill-will 89:21	indiscriminately	51:12 93:3	jail 20:2,16 56:19	85:9 86:24
33:5,14 34:13	90:15	46:8	168:5	71:3 113:21	95:10 97:21
53:2,5 57:7	illegal 149:4	individual 59:18	interests 84:3,17	175:7 jailhouse 101:25	99:19 104:10 115:1 119:12
66:11 86:13	illiterate 113:7	72:2 79:22	interfere 20:25		120:22 121:2
125:7 170:14	image 116:10	82:24 85:10	29:24,24	jails 83:15,16 james 3:10 68:1	128:2 132:23
honestly 151:9	imaginable 50:17	103:24 104:2	internal 73:3	jami 2:5 6:6	133:18,24,25
164:14	imagine 7:19	114:16 138:21	109:8	138:1	134:2,15,25
honesty 54:18	34:14 75:23	141:7 149:15	interplays 14:10	Janie 68:13	135:2,19,25,25
66:8 85:25	129:22 130:2	151:21 155:4	interpret 125:17	Jewish 27:24	136:8,9 140:13
Honor 5:4,8 33:3	177:3	158:22,23 160:1	125:19,20,25	165:12,13,18	141:21 142:7
68:12 131:11	impact 50:12	individual's	126:3,5,13	job 10:8 51:12	146:24 153:13
134:10,12	158:19	150:15	128:22,23	77:15 87:17	154:14 162:10
137:17 166:1	impacted 175:17	inequities 112:10	interpretation	89:2,2,3,8,9	166:6 177:24
178:11,17,25	175:22	112:11	130:23 131:6	90:9 105:14	178:9,14
179:1	impair 55:10,15	inert 25:16	interrupt 161:9	175:13,23	juror's 132:17
Honorable 1:13	58:6 65:7	inflicting 54:25	interview 73:23	176:23 177:1,4	177:18
Hood 22:15	impartial 141:17	influence 150:18	intoxicated 19:18	177:6,7	jurors 4:11 7:2
hooked 122:9	162:2,5,10	156:19	20:5,22 22:23	jobs 10:1 20:2	8:24 9:4,11
154:9	implies 118:14	information	Intravenous	John 23:15	20:12 21:21,25
hope 7:12 8:22	imply 118:15	12:19 150:18	101:6	Johnny 83:5	22:12,21 24:2
58:9 90:20	importance 94:8	inhibit 133:2 initially 139:6	intruder 16:8	joint 60:10,13	25:8 28:10,14
140:20 170:15 179:3	important 9:1,7	inmate 61:13	invite 131:13 invited 169:15	jokes 26:22	30:14 33:21
hopes 173:15	9:10 24:4,5	inmates 61:1	invited 109.13	Jose 164:5	36:18,22,25
hoping 8:20	34:12 45:15	103:16 108:13	invoice 109:10	Jr 23:15	37:13 38:10
173:5,6	83:11 84:25 85:12,12,23	103:10 106:13	invoices 72:25	judge 1:13 4:18 12:21 19:13	43:6 74:22,24 75:22 76:21
horrific 154:19	104:24 109:20	inner 63:8	involve 72:18	31:10 33:25	80:9,13,23
horse 102:2	151:7	innocence 21:12	142:3 149:10	38:12 39:13	83:12 89:20
hospital 99:6	imposed 57:23	65:11 125:3,15	involved 7:9	42:5 45:19 55:3	97:13,22 98:5
167:3	117:10	126:3,7,13,18	25:24 26:10,11	55:18 57:17	111:16 131:14
hot 24:14 25:16	impossible 34:21	126:22 127:2,7	34:17 77:22	58:4,16 62:11	137:12 140:20
hour 32:10	37:5 47:15	127:8,11,13,20	110:13 124:21	65:6,19 68:5,19	141:16 152:9,11
hours 16:23	55:12,14 63:17	127:22,25	124:22 139:25	75:12 78:2 81:6	jury 1:8,12 4:2
23:20	impressed 84:2	129:11 130:8	141:8 157:12	83:25 84:10,10	7:7 8:2,3,8,10
house 122:2	impression 178:7	innocent 80:25	161:14 164:18	84:12,15 114:23	8:13 10:3 13:21
123:17,25 124:1	improper 83:18	127:18 131:2	165:7 168:1	125:5 128:1,8	18:8 20:21
Houston 69:21	133:3	inquiry 110:24	170:23 172:9,11	129:23 131:8,22	21:24 26:10,12
huge 75:25 93:12	improprieties	insanity 82:1,16	involvement	135:16 136:22	29:20 30:7
93:12 Huh-uh 36:12	132:2 133:15	82:19	14:16 132:22	143:20 161:16	32:23 33:4
Hum 167:25	impugn 173:18	insight 62:2 74:11 109:11 148:12	involves 141:7 involving 141:25	161:17 165:1	34:14 36:1,15 37:8 15 22 38:6
human 7:19 9:8	inability 49:16	148:13 149:20	ironic 74:1	166:8 177:17	37:8,15,22 38:6
9:10 15:16 20:1	inaudible 26:16 177:10	installer 171:5	Irrelevant 26:14	178:14,24	38:11,12,19 39:2 40:14
23:1,6,6,25 43:1	incident 15:2	installing 177:9	Irving 61:18	judges 79:15 judgment 45:12	41:17 42:24
51:16 58:11	incidentally	instance 157:12	171:12	133:3	43:9 45:12,17
76:15 102:4	111:11	instead 15:6 49:6	issue 8:25 10:24	judicial 1:17	50:22,24 57:17
112:3 118:20	incidents 148:10	52:20 80:23	24:13 29:5	84:13	58:8 59:3,10,20

E 60.04 / 2.3 0	122.08.127.3		ge 10	1100.6670	1 00 30 103 33
59:24 63:18	163:25 167:3	23:14 31:18	57:7 58:8,10	Larry 122:6,6,7,9	
70:7 75:1,2,7,8	170:7,8 172:7	32:2,18,22	59:2,13,13,15	122:17 123:17	138:15,16
76:7,11 78:10	174:4 178:6	33:10,15 34:14	60:4,13,14,17	134:19 135:14	142:22,25 143:5
78:13,24 80:6	justice 18:5 83:14	39:24,25 41:11	60:23 61:13,14	135:15	143:9 158:23
83:13,20 84:11	89:25 107:10	43:19,24 46:17	61:16 62:5,5,6	last 27:21 35:9,14	169:22 170:15
91:22 92:11	129:4 135:1	46:20 47:20	62:15,20,23	56:22 64:23	leave 145:5
95:16,25 96:18	138:19 163:7	48:22 49:13	63:8 64:7,10,10	98:18 139:9	left 6:3,6,16 117:1
96:19,21 97:10	justify 41:21	56:9,10,12 57:2	64:17 66:2,9	149:23 176:23	137:25 169:11
101:13 110:25	juvenile 163:18	57:4,8,14,22	67:16 71:21,25	last-look 111:11	legal 8:15 17:6
111:10,25 112:1	164:1	58:4 59:22 60:9	72:1,8 80:3	latch 111:7	23:24 99:21,21
115:12 118:20		61:3 63:4 64:20	81:24 82:24	late 134:15	164:21,24
118:23 119:7,10	K	70:20 72:25	83:5,13,14,22	later 4:11 18:23	Legislature 61:11
135:2,11 139:11	Kampf 117:16	73:8 74:24	84:13,13 87:9	30:19 116:25	76:10 77:4,6,13
141:15 143:23	keep 6:23,25 32:6	75:21 78:2	87:19 88:9 89:7	172:12	79:14 82:18
144:13 145:15	80:11 104:16,16	79:12,13,22	89:13,13 90:6	laugh 144:18	120:12 144:10
145:21,24	158:14 160:16	80:5,16 82:15	90:14,14 92:5	laughing 105:7	145:14
153:12 154:3,21	160:17,21,22	82:17 83:8,22	92:20 94:17,19	119:19 157:24	lending 123:11
159:17 160:11	162:2,4,9	88:10 92:2,15	94:21 95:10	laughs 176:9	132:2 133:14
173:8 178:6,19		93:18,21 94:5	96:23 97:17	Laughter 130:1	lengthy 28:9
jury's 106:22	keeps 83:16	97:17,19 98:8	99:1,2,20 101:6	136:16 163:23	less 30:4,11 78:10
just 4:25 5:12,15	158:17	99:2,19 101:4	101:8,9,20	165:21 168:10	92:3 114:22
	kelly 3:7 5:10	101:20 102:7,10	105:20 106:12	law 7:25 8:19	t :
6:22 7:23 10:2	Kennedy 23:15				146:18 158:22
11:4,6 12:8 13:8	kept 26:21	102:12 105:3	107:25 112:12	14:4,4,5 18:8	lessen 153:6,22
13:9 14:3,11	Kevorkian 98:10	110:1,9,10,11	114:14 116:15	19:12,14 20:24	lessening 112:1
15:6,25 16:13	98:16,17,22	111:7,12,15	117:2,21 119:16	24:6,7,8 27:11	lesser 81:21
17:16 18:2,8	99:25 100:10,16	112:24,25 113:1	119:24,25 120:2	27:13,14,15,17	lesson 95:6
20:23 22:15	118:7 145:2,8	116:2,9,14,18	120:5,6,7	27:18 28:25	let 12:17 16:16,19
23:12,13,17,23	145:12	117:17 118:3,5	122:14 124:19	30:24,25 32:20	24:18,19,19
24:3,6 25:19	Kevorkian's	118:19 120:8,11	125:4 126:8	33:19,24 40:13	25:8 29:7 32:2
27:1,2,8,14,16	118:6	120:16 123:20	132:9,12 134:5	40:14 51:19	58:4 64:24
30:12 31:9	key 118:13	133:19 136:20	134:16,20	58:3,5,6,8 72:12	65:20 67:17
33:13 34:1,15	kick 116:15	144:15 145:21	135:12,14,15,20	72:19 73:9,12	84:3 95:13 99:2
35:24 36:4,19	kicked 117:4,21	149:18 150:18	138:11 139:2,2	77:17,18,19,20	99:3 106:7
37:4,7 39:7,16	kid 116:3	154:2 155:1,15	139:5,13,18	78:2,9,13,23,24	116:19 126:1
45:11 46:5	kids 81:14 105:17	177:14	146:22 148:16	79:4,13 80:6,10	157:21 161:6
47:14 49:10,20	113:15,16	kinder 113:13	151:9 154:24	80:13,23 81:1	165:2 177:20
49:25 51:6,13	114:18 116:6	kinds 17:3 18:3	155:5 158:3,6,6	82:14,18 84:24	let's 5:7 31:19,20
51:15,19,23	kill 15:24,25 16:5	20:11 26:20	158:7,11,13,13	97:9 99:24	36:6 37:20,21
52:6 53:3,16	16:14,16,25	73:3 75:12	158:14,17,19,20	118:17 124:21	41:16 49:4,5,5,6
55:4 60:9 64:15	17:2,3,4,5 24:18	108:11,15	158:24 160:4,18	124:22 128:15	49:10 52:19
65:19 66:2 67:7	24:23 27:3	115:16 116:17	163:25 164:17	130:17,18	66:17 68:13
68:6 72:17 74:2	54:21 60:21	130:12	164:23 165:2	139:15 141:21	75:20,20 83:3
74:16 75:4 76:1	61:14 99:21	knew 9:22,23	166:16 169:21	141:23 142:20	86:10 94:21,22
77:2,18,20 78:7	100:22,23 105:8	10:1 23:16	169:25 171:13	143:16,20	95:24 97:14
78:17 80:3	118:15 148:3	26:23,24,25	172:13 173:12	146:21 152:17	98:6,15,17
82:10 84:2	156:17 158:5,17	27:9 53:22,22	175:6 176:3	160:17,18 165:1	107:22 131:21
86:20 87:14,20	159:21	65:16 154:18	knowing 11:25	177:19	135:6,8 143:23
88:1,3,9,10 95:6	killed 46:5 59:9	knock 119:13	12:2 24:22	law-abiding	152:14 155:21
97:16 100:17	59:25,25 60:1	know 7:3,15 9:13	33:22,22 51:3	27:11	155:24 156:2
102:7,15 103:2	117:12 144:16	9:14,14,23 10:2	53:6 65:1 73:17	laws 14:1 75:16	157:21,25 158:8
105:15 114:19	145:12 157:18	11:8,18,19,21	106:4 161:4	99:20	158:25 159:16
114:23 116:3	159:8	11:23 13:15,19	knowledge 83:18	lawyer 84:15 90:2	160:10 176:13
118:15,16	killer 25:21	13:19,22 15:20	132:14,14,20	92:6 138:6	lethal 25:11,25
121:14 122:10	killers 105:7,14	15:21,23 16:7	133:12 134:4	163:19 164:15	lets 40:13,14
124:3 126:14,17	144:17	16:18 18:17	known 86:12	lawyers 17:6	letters 172:11
127:23 128:3,4	killing 15:16	19:25 20:17	knows 20:17	38:12 90:11	level 8:7 9:8
128:5 130:10	16:22 17:12	23:12 24:1,3,19	25:15 35:6,6	92:5 139:15	141:6,8
131:13 132:10	24:20 25:7 26:2	25:6,18,21	59:17 60:14	161:16 165:2	lied 83:4
132:12,17 134:9	26:10,11 82:7	26:15,19 27:1	98:10,11 132:11	lead 149:7 154:17	Lien 4:20
134:13 135:22	118:10 158:19	28:12,14 29:19	133:4 134:1	league 167:20,21	life 9:10 10:5,12
136:2,20 137:4	kills 99:13 144:19	30:1 33:7,22,22	135:2 165:1	167:22,24,25	10:17 13:14
137:13 141:12	144:22	34:8,11 35:6,7		lean 17:23 21:4	14:15 16:10
142:2 144:2,13	Kim 4:20	35:11,11 36:4	L	leaning 19:2,5	19:19 23:6,25
145:25 146:1	KIM-LIEN 3:5	37:3,19,23 38:9	lack 148:9,9	45:2,5	27:23 31:4
147:19,20 148:8	kind 4:22 11:9	39:22 40:10,24	lady 33:5	learn 17:23 50:12	35:12 38:7,13
149:3 150:3	12:15 14:11,15	40:25 42:10	laid 175:13,19,20	learned 83:1,2	38:14,18 39:23
151:3 152:2,4	15:4,17 16:3,16	43:3 44:1,2,15	176:3	95:6	41:4,13,13,19
152:24 155:11	16:19 18:2,9	45:11,16,17,17	land 44:13	least 13:18 15:10	41:22 49:18
156:16 157:22	20:13,17,19	45:21 49:11,13	landed 44:10	15:15 17:19	50:2 52:8 53:4
157:23,25 158:1	21:2,20,24 22:2	51:8,11,22	large 76:2 151:17	28:13 30:3 41:5	60:5,7,12,15,18
161:6 162:10,22	22:15,20 23:1	52:15 54:4 56:8	larger 159:9	60:8 71:14 73:9	60:25 62:25
			B 10/1/		1

603-75,96-482,14				ge 11		
\$82.25 83.6	63:5,7,9 64:8,14	166:23	39:21 40:16,24	lowry 2:5 4:5 6:6	151:14	
8521 93:14 103:101.5 106:11 107.7 106:10 107.7 106:10 107.7 107.10 106:10 107.7 107.10 107.7 107.11 108:10 106:11 107.7 107.11 108:10 106:11 107.7 107.11 108:10 106:11 107.7 107.11 108:10 107.7 107.11 108:10 106:11 107.7 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 108.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 108.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 108.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 108.11 108:10 107.11 108:10 108					1	
108:13.15		1				
106:17 107:7,8						
106:17 107:7.8 106:11 141:20 113:11 117:30 118:64 12:12 118:11 117:33 118:64 12:13 118:64 12:13 118:64 12:13 118:64 12:13 118:64 12:13 118:64 12:13 118:64 12:13 118:64 12:13 13:13 12:13 13:13 12:13 13						1
12131,172,39				lying 83:2 87:3,3		
112:13.172.0 linked 42:11 liquids 101:4 100:15 102:9 168:14 112:13 138:23 140:21 liquids 101:4 100:15 102:9 168:4 112:13 138:23 140:21 liquids 101:4 100:15 102:9 168:4 112:13 138:21 140:21 list 134:2 list 136:22 138:8 list 137:13 list 136:22 list 136:23						
131:11173.9 Inked 42:11 95:10 100:5.04-9 103:10 102:103:103-103-103-103-103-103-103-103-103-103-						
118:119:13 138:23 140:21 liquids 101:4 100:15 102:9 108:4 112:13 141:18 142:2 118:21 119:13 161:13 117:17 171:18 152:13 153:22 118:4 152:13 135:22 118:4 152:23 135:22 118:4 152:23 135:22 118:4 152:23 135:22 135:23 135:33 135:23 135:23 135:33 135:23 135:33 135:23 135:33 135:33 135:23 135:33		1				
138:23 140:21 141:22 151:21 171:180:5,18 161:31 171:18 171:181:22 155:11:19 151:15:22 155:11:19 151:15:22 155:11:19 151:15:22 155:11:19 151:15:22 155:11:19 151:15:22 155:11:19 151:15:22 155:11:19 151:15:22 155:11:19 151:15:22 155:11:19 151:15:22 155:11:19 151:15:15 151:15:22 155:11:19 150:14:21 150:14			1		l .	
144:2.5 15:19.19 ist 154:2.2 13:19.10 isten 36:22 13:3.8 117:18.2 15:11.12.18 istened 125:5 115:11.12.18 istened 125:5 115:11.12.18 istened 125:5 116:13.17:17 14:17.14.13.15 14:12.24.27:18 14:12.24.27:18 14:12.24.27:18 14:12.24.27:18 14:12.24.27:18 14:12.24.27:18 14:12.24.27:18 14:12.24.27:18 14:12.24.27:18 14:12.24.27:18 14:12.24.27:18 14:12.24.27:18 14:12.24.27:18 14:12.24.27:18 15:17.20 14:12.24.27:18 14:12.24.27:18 15:17.20 14:12.24.27:18 14:12.24.27:18 15:17.20 16:21.15.25.22 16:25.25 16:23.21 16:23.21 16:23.21 16:23.21 16:23.21 16:23.21 16:23.21 16:23.21 16:23.21 16:23.21 16:23.21 16:23.21 16:23.21 16:23.21 16:23.21 16:23.22 16:23.22 16:23.22 16:23.22 16:23.22 16:23.22 16:23.22 16:23.23 16:23						
144:2,5 151:19 list 154:2 listened 125:5 141:17 145:16 145:17 147:1,3 160:23,24 141:12,42 47:18 162:14 155:3 164:12 282:53 6:6 162:14 163:14 155:3 18pht 71:23 511:25 6:22 18pht 32:18 57:3 58:21 69:4 176:10 155:22 181:14 155:3 182:29 42:1,11 110:19 151:25 181:14 155:3 182:29 42:1,11 110:19 151:3,624 161:17 17:18:2 152:115:21 152:24 182:14 159:20 162:21 162:24 182:24 123:22 162:11 162:24 182:2				1		
152:13 153:22 153:142 153:141 154:14 155:34 160:23,24 243:14 144:14 145:1			1			
155:11,12,18 listened 125:5 143:17 145:16 147:20 made 25:1 26:22 market 176:11 may 166:22 market 176:11 may 166:22 market 176:11 marks 88:8 marks 88:9 ma		listen 36:22 133:8	122:15 135:21		124:23 161:21	
1602.324 3421 17415 18the 49 816 15414 1553 6025 712 3825 366 1412.24 2718 66315 1707 102.17 107.16 18the 49 816 142.24 2718 66315 1707 102.17 107.16 18the 49.23 37.19 38.22 18thty 32:18 573 58.21 69.44 18the 49.24 93.3 85.22 9.418 39311.11 110.19 18the 49.23 37.19 38.22 18thty 32:18 573 58.21 69.44 18the 49.25 67.6 18the 49.23 27.5 85.22 9.418 39311.11 110.19 18the 49.21 13.15 18the 49.	155:11,12,18			147:20	1	
174:15	I			made 25:1 26:22		
Hife-changing 14:12,24,27:18 162:11 163:14 85:20,21 102:15 married 9:24 marvelous 135:23 1279:131:3 marvelous 135:23 1279:131:3 134:5 135:13 1279:131:3 134:5 135:13 1279:131:3 134:5 135:13 1279:131:3 134:5 135:13						
Get.12						
Ilifestyle 14:2 13:19 36:22 176:10 115:17 116:6,8 master 6:23 match 67:13 13:15			1			
light 71:23 51:12 56:22 50:24 58:16 131:						
Ilike 4;23;23 7:23 69:24 92:3 88:10 131:1 151:3,624 158:14 139:2 142:1159 16-9 147:17 149:1 151:3,624 181:4 20:14 181:4 20:14 181:4 20:14 181:4 20:14 181:4 20:14 181:19:17 (20:13,15 182:31 51:3.4 101:25 103:15 101:25 103:15 101:25 103:15 103:17 119:1 103:12:10:15 103:17 119:1 103:12:10:15 103:17 119:1 103:12:15:9 161:13 37:16 1						
18:52.2 94.18 93:11.11 110:19 151:36.24 10oking 91:14 18:12 19:16:17 17:1 18:2 147:17 149:1 155:21 157:21 155:21 157:24 155:22 157:24 18:15 19:6,14 19:17 20:13,15 10:22 37:22 22:99.23:2.28:3 54:17 56:16 122:4 129:22 13:20.32:6,13 31:20.32:6,13 31:23.31.8 10:15:15 12:12:1 13:25:11 141:16 150:11 32:17 33:18 34:4 36:20 37:4 125:7 140:17.25 166:24 177:26 147:26 147:26 147:26 147:26 147:25 147:26 147:26 147:26 147:26 147:26 147:26 147:25 147:26 147:27 147:26 147:26 147:26 147:26 147:26 147:26 147:27 147:26 147						
138:14 139:2 138:14 139:2 158:15 196:14 18:14 20:14 49:25 67:6 49:25 67						
14:21 15:9 16:9 147:17 149:1 149:1 149:1 15:22 15:22 15:22 15:22 15:22 15:22 15:23 10:23 17:23 13:20 23:6,13 13:319 14:16 15:05:11 13:23 13:319 14:16 15:05:11 13:23 13:23 14:16 15:05:11 13:23 13:23 14:16 15:05:11 13:23 13:23 14:16 15:05:11 13:23 13:23 13:23 14:16 15:05:11 13:23 14:15 15:23 15:34 13:23						
16:17 17:1 18:2 155:21 157:21 49:25 67:6 major 72:23 major 72:					I .	
18:15 19:6,14			49:25 67:6			McKinney 1:13
20:19 21:23	18:15 19:6,14	158:25 165:24	93:19 96:13		Matt 6:16 138:9	
22:9.9 23:2 28:3 54:17 56:16 122:4 129:22 18:21 24 25:2 44:22 48:8,14 13:20,24 16:15 13:21 23:21 30:20,21 32:17 33:18 105:15 121:21 156:21 174:16 156:21 174:16 156:21 174:16 14:225 145:4 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:25 145:24 150:5 145:25 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 171:24 175:6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,0 172:1 173:11 124:23,20 121:19,20 121:1						
28:12 30:20,21 58:11 60:13 133:19 140:5 27:19,21 28:25 48:15,18 52:23 16:16 17:222:3 32:17 33:18 105:15 121:21 156:21 174:16 106\text{sol} 129: 145:4 150:5 145:25 145:4 150:5 145:25 145:24 44:3,19 171:24 175:6 157:22 166:24 178:24 179:15:20 171:24 175:6 179:15 6 179:17:56 168:15 90:10 179:13,20 183:6 133:6 147:25 133:6 147:25 147:4,16,17 179:15 6 167:1,6 167:1,6 167:1,10 179:11 179:15 6 168:15 90:10 179:11 179:15 6 168:15 90:10 179:11 179:15 6 168:15 90:10 179:11 179:15 6 168:15 90:10 179:11 179:15 6 168:15 90:10 179:13,20 183:6 133:6 147:25 133:6 147:25 133:6 147:25 133:6 147:25 133:6 147:25 133:6 147:25 133:6 147:25 133:6 147:15 133:6 147:25 155:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 157:16 173:19 133:6 147:25 147:15 133:6 147:25 147:15 133:6 147:25 147:15 133:6 147:25 147:15 133:6 147:25 147:15 133:15 147:17 147:15 108:18 147:25 147:15 133:15 147:17 147:15 108:18 147:25 147:15 133:15 147:17 147:15 108:18 147:25 147:1						
33:20 32:6,13 63:6 75:11 141:16 150:11 35:21 174:16 49:18 50:2,15 86:11 147:25 27:12 33:7,16 145:25 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 145:24 150:5 157:22 166:24 171:24 175:6 180ex 13:18 10ex 13:18 10ex 13:18 10ex 13:18 10ex 13:18 10ex 13:18 167:1,6 168:14 150:1 172:1 172:1 172:1 172:1 172:1 172:1 172:1 173:11 124:23,24 103:25 104:7 172:23 74:2,3 121:19,20 130:23 101:19:18 10ex 23:10 119:18 10ex 23:10 119:13 130:3, 3 45:17 50:17, 18 10ex 23:10 119:18 10ex 23:10 119			ı			
32:17 33:18						
34:4 36:20 37:4			1			
40:11 42:25						
43:24 44:3,19 157:22 166:24 Los 123:18 70:2 75:14 78:21 79:13,20 133:6 54:19,22 67:15 48:23 50:9 51:6 lived 121:23 167:1,6 lives 9:13,15 172:1 loser 116:3 loses 72:16,20 73:11 124:23,24 lose 123:10 119:18 lot 62:29:20 73:11 124:23,24 lose 22:10 21 71:16 rog 72:1 71:16 rog 73:12 123:10 119:18 rog 72:13 74:2,3 121:19,20 rog 73:12 123:10 119:18 rog 72:13 74:2,3 rog 76:9 78:18 rog 78:18 rog 78:18 rog 78:18 rog 78:19 84:5,5 rog 78:18 rog 78:19 88:18 89:23 rog 78:19 88:18 89:23 rog 78:19 88:18 89:23 rog 78:19 1:10 rog 78:19 rog 78:19 rog 78:19 rog 78:19 rog 7					mattered 46:11	
48:23 50:9 51:6				70:2 75:14		
48:23 50:9 51:6 167:1,6 loser 116:3 loss 72:16,20 7:15 9:7 12:5 85:19 87:6 91:9 7:15 121:13 17:11 17:14 153:14 12:17 18:12 133:22 128:19 loss 12:5;16 loss 12:5;16 loss 12:5;16 loss 12:5;16 loss 12:5;16 loss 12:5;16 loss 12:15;10 loss 12:15 loss 12:16 loss 12:18 loss 12:19 loss 12:18 loss 12						
58:14 62:8						
64:15 67:1,10						
70:12,21 71:16						
T2:23 74:2,3 T6:978:18 T9:22 81:5,25 Load 27:2 24:10 33:20 24:10 33:20 119:31 130:3,3 45:17 50:17,18 109:10,18 112:7 13:19,29 130:41 131:2,23 58:1,2,5 60:20 112:18,21 113:4 16:66:23 87:2 88:3 87:2 88:3 27:5 Load 65:122:5,12 156:24 59:11 160:6 173:16 84:12,13 86:8 129:22 130:3,20 17:5 100:15,16 104:7 100:15,16 104:7 100:15,16 104:7 100:15,16 106:8 133:17,19 100:18,11 11:11 100:15,16 106:8 133:17,19 Local 138:7 Local 133:7 Local 138:7 Local 133:7 Local 138:7 Local 133:7 Local 138:7 Local 133:7 Local 133:16 Local 133:7 Local 133:16 Local 133:7 Local 133:16 Local 133:7 Local 133:16 Local 133:16 Local 133:16 Local 133:17 Local 133:16 Local 133:17 Local 133:16 Local 133:17 Local 133:16 Loc						100:20 101:3
76:9 78:18 139:21 20:18 21:8 114:12 118:12 35:8 36:3,3 40:2 106:5 108:2,3 82:1,2,12,16 load 27:2 24:10 33:20 119:13 130:3,3 45:17 50:17,18 109:10,18 112:7 83:19 84:5,5 loading 26:23 41:15 50:1 157:7,8,14 61:1 63:24 65:1 114:17,19 86:23 87:2 88:3 27:5 56:13,15,17,20 158:18 159:23 67:6 82:25 116:24 117:4 91:5 97:14 123:20,20 62:14 71:15,16 178:2 87:7 88:8 89:12 139:11 141:9 100:15,16 104:7 133:17,19 72:15 89:20,21 makes 26:7 41:8 96:18,19 100:3 144:14 147:6,10 109:8,21 115:2 133:15 134:21 105:6 107:21 making 16:25 102:9,10,18,19 123:22 128:19 locked 150:3 114:13,15,21 18:17 127:8 112:10,11 173:18,20 175:5 135:5 136:3 long 10:5 18:22 158:22 163:17 18:31 159:29 118:17 127:8 112:10,11 173:18,20 175:5 145:12 148:7,8 19:11 93:16 176:2 18:31 50:15 122:21 125:17 16:16 19:13 149:19 151:11 107:15						
79:22 81:5,25 load 27:2 24:10 33:20 119:13 130:3,3 45:17 50:17,18 109:10,18 112:7 82:1,2,1,2,16 loaded 26:17 35:14 39:9 130:4 131:2,23 58:1,2,5 60:20 112:18,21 113:4 86:23 87:2 88:3 27:5 56:13,15,17,20 158:18 159:23 67:6 82:25 116:24 117:4 88:18 89:23 loan 6:5 122:5,12 56:24 59:11 160:6 173:16 84:12,13 86:8 129:22 130:3,20 91:5 97:14 123:20,20 62:14 71:15,16 178:2 87:7 88:8 89:12 139:11 141:9 100:15,16 104:7 133:17,19 72:15 89:20,21 makes 26:7 41:8 96:18,19 100:3 144:14 147:6,10 109:8,21 115:2 133:15 134:21 105:6 107:21 makes 26:7 41:8 96:18,19 100:3 144:14 147:6,10 16:2 117:18 locked 150:3 10se 83:16 139:9,16 147:20 118:17 127:8 102:9,10,18,19 155:14,25 159:6 135:5 136:3 logs 83:16 139:9,16 147:20 118:17 127:8 112:10,11 173:18,20 175:5 135:5 136:3 logs 83:16 139:9,16 147:20 118:17 127:8 112:10,11 173:18,20 175:5						
83:19 84:5,5 86:23 87:2 88:3 27:5 56:13,15,17,20 56:14,11,15,16 178:2 139:11,141:9 141:14,141 153:15,15,15 163:24,141,141 164:14,141			,			
86:23 87:2 88:3 27:5 56:13,15,17,20 158:18 159:23 67:6 82:25 116:24 117:4 88:18 89:23 loan 6:5 122:5,12 56:24 59:11 160:6 173:16 84:12,13 86:8 129:22 130:3,20 91:5 97:14 123:20,20 62:14 71:15,16 178:2 87:7 88:8 89:12 139:11 141:9 100:15,16 104:7 133:17,19 72:15 89:20,21 makes 26:7 41:8 96:18,19 100:3 144:14 147:6,10 105:6 106:8 loas 122:5,17 89:23 93:19 64:18 108:4 100:7 101:18 151:13,15 109:8,21 115:2 133:15 134:21 105:6 107:21 making 16:25 102:9,10,18,19 155:14,25 159:6 116:2 117:18 local 138:7 109:11 114:11 making 16:25 102:9,10,18,19 155:14,25 159:6 130:21 133:7 logs 83:16 139:9,16 147:20 118:17 127:8 112:10,11 17:16 173:9,14 130:21 144:1 74:14 78:4 79:8 158:22 163:17 128:3 150:15 114:23 120:2 176:3,19 145:12 148:7,8 91:11 93:16 97:22 82:17 92:15 134:9 135:11,20 30:9 36:25 149:19 151:11						
88:18 89:23 91:5 97:14 100:15,16 104:7 105:6 106:8 109:8,21 115:2 116:2 117:18 100:15,16 104:7 105:6 106:8 109:8,21 115:2 116:2 117:18 100:1 133:7 100:1 133:1 100:1 100:1 100:1 133:1 100:1 110:1 100:1 133:1 100:1 130:1 100:						
91:5 97:14 123:20,20 62:14 71:15,16 72:15 89:20,21 105:6 106:8 105:6 106:8 109:8,21 115:2 133:15 134:21 105:6 107:21 106.2 138:7 135:5 136:3 140:13,16,17,24 142:1 144:1 142:1 144:1 152:2 152:2 163:17 152:8 153:4 138:20 143:16 152:8 153:4 156:21 152:8 153:4 164:1,21 176:12 164:1,21 176:						
100:15,16 104:7 133:17,19 loans 122:5,17 89:23 93:19 makes 26:7 41:8 96:18,19 100:3 144:14 147:6,10 151:13,15 109:8,21 115:2 133:15 134:21 105:6 107:21 109:11 114:11 123:22 128:19 locked 150:3 114:13,15,21 130:21 133:7 logs 83:16 139:9,16 147:20 118:17 127:8 112:10,11 173:18,20 175:5 135:5 136:3 long 10:5 18:22 158:22 163:17 140:13,16,17,24 28:22 67:16 142:1 144:1 74:14 78:4 79:8 145:12 148:7,8 91:11 93:16 97:22 128:19 153:8 153:4 138:20 143:16 152:8 153:4 138:20 143:16 155:25 156:1,3 156:5,12,17 155:6 162:13 166:24 166:24 155:25 156:1,3 166:24 176:13 177:12 longer 30:8,8 156:5,12,17 141:10 147:4,7 26:15,16,16,16 lower 122:12 lower 122					,	
105:6 106:8 109:8,21 115:2 133:15 134:21 105:6 107:21 100:11 114:11 105:6 107:21 100:11 114:11						E.
109:8,21 115:2 133:15 134:21 105:6 107:21 109:11 114:11 123:22 128:19 locked 150:3 114:13,15,21 139:9,16 147:20 138:7 140:13,16,17,24 142:1 144:1 74:14 78:4 79:8 145:12 148:7,8 91:11 93:16 145:12 148:7,8 145:12 148:7,8 119:15 155:16 162:13 155:16 162:13 166:24 155:6 162:13 166:24 164:1,21 176:12 loke 8:4,8 10:5 176:13 177:12 likely 62:19 141:10 147:4,7 26:15,16,16,16 164:19,20 171:9 104:11 107:17 164:19,20 171:9 104:11 107:17 164:19,20 171:9 104:11 107:17 164:19,20 171:9 108:8 109:1 171:16 173:9,14 173:18,20 175:5 188:17 127:8 112:10,11 173:18,20 175:5 128:3 150:15 114:23 120:2 176:3,19 means 13:20 16:1 176:24 123:21 125:17 16:16 19:13 30:9 36:25 103:19 120:12 143:1 145:24 40:10,20 62:18 18:19 120:12 147:1,3,24 63:6 88:1 106:7 111:17 146:24 143:10 147:4,7 26:15,16,16,16 10wer 122:12 174:15 162:23 166:1 108:16 124:19 108:16 124:19 108:16 124:19 108:16 124:19 108:16 124:19 108:16 124:19 108:16 124:19 108:16 124:19 109:10	105:6 106:8					
123:22 128:19 130:21 133:7 139:9,16 147:20 128:3 150:15			l	making 16:25		
130:21 133:7 10gs 83:16 139:9,16 147:20 118:17 127:8 112:10,11 173:18,20 175:5 135:5 136:3 140:13,16,17,24 142:1 144:1 145:12 148:7,8 149:19 151:11 152:8 153:4 155:6 162:13 164:1,21 176:12 176:13 177:12 likely 62:19 141:10 147:4,7 141:10 147:				1		164:19,20 171:9
135:5 136:3				ļ		
140:13,16,17,24 28:22 67:16 176:2 lots 14:19 28:5 97:22 lots 171:11 152:8 153:4 155:6 162:13 164:1,21 176:12 176:13 177:12 likely 62:19 141:10 147:4,7 26:15,16,16,16 161:19:19 141:10 147:4,7 26:15,16,16,16 lower 122:12 lower					,	
142:1 144:1 74:14 78:4 79:8 lots 14:19 28:5 79:12 80:16 123:21 125:17 16:16 19:13 145:12 148:7,8 91:11 93:16 97:22 82:17 92:15 134:9 135:11,20 30:9 36:25 149:19 151:11 107:15 121:23 Louis 171:11 101:20 116:25 143:1 145:24 40:10,20 62:18 155:6 162:13 166:24 155:25 156:1,3 156:5,12,17 120:16 137:22 148:6 149:5,10 111:17 146:24 176:13 177:12 look 8:4,8 10:5 156:5,12,17 137:23 138:9 150:23,23 147:4 153:6 16kely 62:19 17:7 18:2 19:19 77:14 man's 14:4 154:14 162:22 meant 28:17 141:10 147:4,7 26:15,16,16,16 lower 122:12 174:15 162:23 166:1 108:16 124:19						
145:12 148:7,8 91:11 93:16 97:22 82:17 92:15 134:9 135:11,20 30:9 36:25 149:19 151:11 107:15 121:23 Louis 171:11 101:20 116:25 143:1 145:24 40:10,20 62:18 155:6 162:13 166:24 155:25 156:1,3 156:5,12,17 120:16 137:22 148:6 149:5,10 111:17 146:24 176:13 177:12 100k 8:4,8 10:5 156:5,12,17 137:23 138:9 150:23,23 147:4 153:6 16kely 62:19 17:7 18:2 19:19 77:14 164:20 154:14 162:22 meant 28:17 141:10 147:4,7 26:15,16,16,16 lower 122:12 174:15 162:23 166:1 108:16 124:19			l l			
149:19 151:11					Ţ	The state of the s
155:6 162:13 166:24 155:25 156:1,3 120:16 137:22 148:6 149:5,10 111:17 146:24 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:12 176:13 177:13 17						
164:1,21 176:12				118:19 120:12		63:6 88:1 106:7
176:13 177:12						
likely 62:19						T:
141:10 147:4,7 26:15,16,16,16 lower 122:12 174:15 162:23 166:1 108:16 124:19						
155 m 0 1 C 0 1		:				
	The Co-Timber Line of the Continue Con-	The second secon	A STATE OF THE STA			on the second

measure 19:12	14:21 24:10	72:25 158:4,14	ge 12 57:6 59:23	nature 174:4	35:5,6 37:7
21:1,1,3 48:1	25:3 109:19	158:15,16	93:13 94:13	Navy 109:20	40:20 61:6
108:22 129:5	169:16,18	174:22,23	97:19 98:20	Nazi 26:15 27:21	83:21 90:5,7,9
measured 93:10	million 117:12	months 163:20	113:1 120:4,6	28:12	128:16 134:1
measurement	millions 23:11	164:25	121:2 139:17	Nazis 102:21	
}		1			140:22,22,23,23
42:23 80:7	mind 43:1 45:24	moral 16:9 40:20	152:21 158:14	near 100:10	nod 12:24 13:2,4
117:6 118:14	52:16 58:12,18	40:25 117:20	158:17 165:3	nearly 55:14	13:7 43:24
measurements	59:22 77:16	153:7,18 157:2	176:10	necessarily 106:8	noddin 3:13
88:7	79:1,2 80:11	morally 27:4	mud 15:15	156:19	137:9 138:14
measuring 18:23	112:13 119:12	more 6:21 10:16	murder 1:8 8:11	necessary 156:4	160:10 161:9
118:17	120:19 141:17	17:23,23 23:20	17:4 23:23	necessity 7:19	162:18 165:5,14
medic 24:19	160:17,17,21,22	24:23 32:13	29:12,19 30:3,4	82:2	166:5,11 178:19
medicine 99:2	174:7,11	38:10 41:15	30:7,9,11,18	neck 144:24	178:21
meet 93:3 100:12	minded 147:2	46:4 54:22 58:9	36:15,17,24	need 7:4,21 13:1	nods 13:4 28:2,16
Mein 117:16	minding 16:7,11	62:6,7,18,19,19	38:18 39:9	13:8 15:5,6 21:7	29:10,13 39:18
member 12:10	minds 102:25	66:6 70:25	40:22 41:24	32:21 77:16,17	46:2 57:9 60:3,6
156:11 178:3	mine 9:14	73:12,17 74:17	46:23 56:12,14	77:19 89:1,7,7	61:9 76:18
memory 152:25	minimal 136:11	74:18 77:22	56:14,19 57:13	89:13,13 91:23	80:22 143:2
men 26:23	minimum 38:15	78:7,18 79:25	59:4,5,6,17	93:24,25 94:1	171:6
mentioned	107:12	83:1,2,8 84:2,7	61:13 63:6,9,18	112:12 119:16	non 109:2
170:20	ministering 90:18	84:11,16 85:3,7	75:20 78:8	120:6 146:22	nonchalant
mercy 93:21	minority 87:8	85:17,24 86:9	81:19,21 82:7	178:6	110:19
merits 49:22	minute 37:11	86:21 88:8	88:22 89:3	needed 7:20	nondanger
51:22	54:6 102:2	90:17 93:19	94:18 95:16	61:11 158:12	102:13
messed 20:2	114:10 116:1,3	108:4 110:8,19	96:5,11,24	164:20	none 14:9 25:15
messed-up 115:2	123:6 131:13	111:3 113:13	97:14,15,15,15	needs 19:11 90:19	45:21
messianic 165:11	minutes 66:24	135:24 139:9	98:6 99:12,14	negotiated 4:22	nonsense 22:11
met 65:16	67:11,14,18	141:10 146:18	99:17,18,25	67:23	nonviolent 109:3
metamorphosis	131:13 177:21	147:2,4,7 151:9	100:4,7,12,18	neighborhood	Nope 168:7
101:21	misbehavior 87:8	152:11 155:6,8	100:21,24	158:9	norm 141:3
methamphetamine		157:8 166:22	104:20,23 105:9	neither 40:7	normal 40:22
149:4	115:4	167:5	108:10 109:12	42:18 45:18,19	71:1 151:20
mid-20s 14:17	misfortune	moring 9:25	111:19 118:6,24	67:4 97:3 165:1	normally 154:13
middle 11:9	112:12	morning 5:21	119:24 125:3,15	neurological	Nortel 177:16
might 6:11 8:1	misinterpreting	6:18 7:5,14	125:24 126:2,12	98:19	north-westerly
10:13 12:17,18	127:9	12:20 56:4	139:7,8 142:13	never 7:20 11:10	166:23
13:20 17:16	missing 19:10	mortgage 122:17	142:16,16,17,19	18:7 22:4 28:13	Northern 164:7
25:8 27:10 30:1	mistake 16:25	132:2 133:14	143:3,25 144:14	30:7,13 37:13	175:15 176:3,5
32:10,20 34:8,9	mitigating 41:2	136:11	145:9,10,16	37:15 44:2	177:8
35:9,10,12	42:23 43:6,9,11	Moses 15:23	147:12 156:9	46:18,24 47:5,7	note 13:4
39:22,24 41:12	43:15,16 112:1	most 6:12 7:17,18	159:14 160:12	48:2,20 49:1,2	noted 10:12
41:18,21 43:25	112:5 115:5,7,7	11:15 13:22	murdered 61:17	50:9,9 51:8 52:6	nothing 15:17,17
62:2 65:6 70:21	115:12,15,16	16:5,9,17 23:1	murderer 41:12	54:11 64:18	17:5 36:7,7 48:8
72:9 74:11,17	117:8,19,23	41:13,18 48:12	murderers 94:2	70:8,12 76:15	65:18 74:10
74:20 75:16	118:9 119:12,23	50:17 58:24	murders 75:24	81:22 88:10	85:15,15 95:9
77:7 83:15,18	120:18 126:8	71:5 73:10,14	94:18 100:12,13	100:10 105:1,2	133:4 162:14
84:5,7,11,12	153:10,21 154:1	73:22 75:22	100:13 140:17	105:13 127:16	notice 12:17
86:3 88:5 97:24	154:2,4,7,13,21	77:8,23,25 80:9	Murphy 122:7,9	136:19 143:14	124:16 165:5,14
100:10,20	155:7,13,16,17	82:2,4,23 88:2	122:17 123:17	157:24 168:2	noticed 13:7
101:24 102:2,12	160:24 161:3	99:16 100:21	123:22 124:7	170:9,21	notified 133:15
102:14,19	mitigation 40:16	101:10 110:9	133:21 135:15	nevertheless 8:18	notion 53:13
104:10 105:1,3	43:12 47:22	115:4 116:23	music 61:2	67:23 113:23	73:10 80:1,5
105:10,12	48:21,23 111:2	140:20 158:15	must 40:23,23	new 48:11 93:17	86:24 94:6,24
107:22 108:12	111:9 115:2	mother 156:16	77:13 168:8	93:20 113:12	96:13 110:1
108:13 109:21	120:3,13 152:23	169:12	myself 24:24	127:15 166:15	111:22 140:15
109:22,22,24	153:5,6,11	motion 178:2	26:11,17 30:15	166:19 167:6	nowhere 156:23
110:17 112:16	156:22 160:8,20	motions 6:10	32:11 51:4,4	next 5:7 12:18	number 4:3,19,20
114:5 117:5	model 121:25	motive 104:23,25	72:9 87:2	16:7 35:9,13	5:2,3,12 13:13
118:3,4,5,8,11	122:17	105:1,1		66:21 68:25	57:20 67:8,24
118:11 119:17	molestation 33:19	move 10:2 21:20	N	75:14 116:14	67:25 68:9,10
130:3 133:1	80:2	36:6 143:25	N 2:1,13 4:1	133:21 137:22	68:10,14,16,25
144:11 145:11	molester 33:21	144:25 147:8	name 5:23 98:11	138:6 147:8	77:12 93:9,24
147:10 148:18	78:19	152:15,21 163:2	122:7,18 137:20	152:21	104:21 108:8
148:21,22,23	moment 8:3,8	moved 123:18	139:17 166:11	nice 33:3,5	114:9,9 136:21
149:20,21 154:7	31:10 38:9,10	167:7,7,8,9,11	171:1,13	113:15 166:12	138:17 161:24
154:7,8 155:11	65:19 92:24	movies 18:16	Name/Examinati	nice,long 179:3	163:6 167:18
156:9 159:13	111:23 114:23	moving 6:25	3:3	nine 69:25 163:8	169:1 177:1
161:10,13,25	132:12 152:24	79:24 176:25	named 133:20	163:20	180:21
163:11 177:17	161:6 166:1	much 13:15 14:9	Nathan 164:12,16	ninth 164:25	numbered 1:12
miles 166:23	175:22	18:13 20:10	nation 14:2	nobody 20:17	180:8
military 9:21	money 9:9 22:15	24:2 55:18 57:5	naturally 156:8	23:14 33:25	nun 157:4
			-		

The content of the			Pa	ge 13		
The color of the	nursing 61:2 99:3	offered 82:6	129:17 135:6	28:18 34:13,14	72:23 75:11	69:4 72:3 75:13
180.10	nuts 116:22	96:25 150:20	139:4 140:5,15	37:6 42:19	76:19,20 79:9	80:17 91:4,8
O O O O O O O O O		180:10	141:15 142:6	48:21 60:4 72:8	81:19 83:8,12	
O i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0	offering 132:24	146:2 148:5,18	79:5 81:9 88:23		140:10 152:13
Oak 109:20 cath 5:13.15 office 123:45 150:19 153:42.25 111:1123:23:25 90:99:23:24 90:everal 113:23 34:13 64:23 172:21 178:4 155:10,20 123:25 129:4 98:9 102:19 98:90:2119 99:23:24 90:everoredard 2: overlooked 2: 0 verlooked 2: 0 verlooked 2: 10:20 98:9 102:19 99:90:23:24 90:everlooked 2: 0 verlooked 2: 0 ve	O 1:1.1 4:1	133:4	149:18 150:10	101:3 108:16		
adth 5:13.15		office 123:4,5				
34:13 64:23 172:21 178:4 156:21 157:11 132:23 136:6 98:9 102:19 overlook 92: overlooke 9	r					
699.23 152.14 791.142.37 173.11,16 167.29 138.20 141.120 73.19 83.13 66.20 161.12 166.14 166.21 184.20 73.19 83.12 282.23 87.12 83.21 128.22 87.25 88.61,3 170.41,31 170.41,31 170.41 170						
99.23 125.4 70.114.23 71.7.8 158.25 159.25 154.8, 1.9 163.19 108.25 100.18 110.10 113.7.9 114.12 10.0 113.7.9 114.12 114.12 10.0 114.17 11.0 1						
137:12.12 73:11 73:11,16 161:12 166:14 163:21 164:1,3 113:7,9 114:1 116:6 118-4 116:118-17:22 116:118-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-						
14120						1
obeying 27:14						
bobject 42:6.6 86:22,23 87:1 170:4,13 171:5 onto 44:5 130:12 131:14 Overrule 42:10 132:13 15:25 objection 42:10 132:13 171:15,19 172:2 day-10:16 132:13 15:25 objections 54:25 91:21 official 1:17 official 1:						l .
Signature Sign			1			
132:15						
abjection 42:10 124:23 133:10 174:2,14 175:6 79:2,8 80:11 140:23 144:12 47:3,24 155:2 155:13 176:1.15 150:116:117:2,123 178:10 160:17,2,122 156:22 157:14 163:5 160:17,2,122 156:22 157:14 163:5 160:17,2,122 156:22 157:14 163:5 160:17,2,122 156:22 157:14 163:5 160:17,2,122 160:17,2,122 160:17,2,122 160:17,2,122 160:17,2,122 160:17,2,122 160:17,2,122 160:17,2,122 160:17,2,122 178:00 160:17,2,122 178:00 160:17,2,122 178:00 160:17,2,122 160:17,2,122 178:00 179:17,18						
\$\frac{4}{54:24 136:3} \\ \frac{3}{50ipections 54:25} \\ \frac{9}{91:21} \\ \frac{9}{100:21} \\ \frac{1}{60ipections 54:25} \\ \frac{9}{86:10,12 88:1} \\ \frac{1}{100:21} \\ \frac{1}{60ipections 54:25} \\ \frac{1}{60ipections 54:25} \\ \frac{1}{60ipections 16:2} \\ \frac{1}{60iligation 10:22:1} \\ \frac{1}{130:11 15:21} \\ \frac{1}{130:11 15:21} \\ \frac{1}{60iligation 10:22:1} \\ \frac{1}{130:11 15:21} \\ \frac{1}{60iligation 10:22:1} \\ \frac{1}{60ili				1	1	
54:24 136:3 officers 72:19 objectives 90:23					•	1
Doligetions 54:25 74:2 83:6 84:22 Oklahoma 46:1 50:18						1
Solicitors 90:23 Objectors 90:23 Objectors 16:22 Obj						
Doligation 100-21 Official 1:17 Official 1:12 1:23 1:31 380:5,15 Official 1:17			1			
Deligation 129:21						
obliges 38:19 s0:10,15,18 13:13 180:5,15 16:18 171:22 opening 6:1 operation 177:5 16:4 19:18 operation 177:5 operation 1						own 8:7 15:5,7
13:10,15,18 13:13 180:5,15 161:18 171:22 opening 6:1 123:22 141:8 operation 177:5 operative 177:12 opening 6:1 opening 6:1 operation 177:5 operative 177:12 opening 6:1 opening 6:1 operation 177:5 operative 177:12 opening 6:1 opening 6:1 operation 177:5 operative 177:12 opening 6:1 openin						16:7,11 27:18
bbliges 38:19 80:23 observations 7:1						
86:23 observations 7:1 70:13 obvious 138:22 often 33:17 43:8 149:14 149:14 139:5,6,11,23 175:20 13:16 25:16,21 149:14 16:5 17:5 135:21 176:21 12:10 16:22 13:16 16:4 59:23 177:19 99:20,24 108:17 136:21 138:15 167:2,17 172:18 10:25 11:14 15:15 139:16,21 139:5,6,11,23 175:20 13:16 25:16,21 16:22 13:16 16:2 13:	130:10,15,18				123:22 141:8	90:23 110:1
Observations 7:1 Often 33:17 43:8 80:5 118:16 149:14 149:14 Oftentimes 76:5 76:24 112:20 76:24 112:20 76:24 112:20 76:24 112:20 76:24 112:20 76:24 112:20 76:24 112:21 76:24	obliges 38:19			operation 177:5	otherwise 6:25	115:4 149:11
Obvious 138:22 obviously 58:2 94:24 108:17 oftentimes 76:5 76:24 112:20 149:14 one 4:15;23,23 52:2,22 104:21 22:3 34:23 36:22 135:1 140:21 77:19 99:20,24 135:1 140:21 77:19 99:20,24 135:1 140:21 77:19 99:20,24 135:1 140:21 77:19 99:20,24 135:1 140:21 77:19 136:21 138:15 167:2,17 172:18 139:5,6,11,23 175:20 146:4,17 149:6 149:25 156:16 149:11 16:5 17:5 36:18,25 37:6 149:12 159:1 162:25 16:16 149:14 12:10 28:22 02 99:1,22 165:23 173:3 21:14,17 23:1 47:23 48:13 176:10 26:9,13,15 26:9,13,15 26:9,13,15 26:9,13,15 27:10,12 28:18 135:20 140:19 28:19,22 32:8 135:20 140:19 28:19,22 32:8 54:17 57:20 1115:20 00ccur 6:11 24:8 28:19,22 32:8 54:17 57:20 1115:20 07:3 83:10 38:25 44:21 38:25 44:21 38:25 44:21 38:20 99:23 30:24 14:58:3 152:18 38:20 84:20 115:1 00ccur 6:10 24:19:3 88:25 44:21 38:20 99:23 30:24 14:58:3 152:18 38:20 84:20 115:1 00ccur 6:10 60:2 6:15,20 119:15 120:21 84:20 49:9,25 77:12 87:10 00ds 20:9 25:20 62:4 64:2,2,13 69:17 67:4,8 139:20 42:17 55:16 62:16 66:1,3 12:25 13:3 3:9 84:20 115:1 00ccur 6:10 13:20 14:2 13:20 14:2 13:20 14:2 13:20 14:2 13:20 14:2 13:20 14:2 13:20 14:2 13:20 14:2 13:20 14:2 13:20 14:2 13:20 14:2 13:20 14:2 13:20 14:2 13:20 14:2 13:20 14:2 13:20 14:15:10 13:20 14:15:13 3:20 86:78 88:21 44:18 83:17 84:1 86:4 13:22 13:20 13:20 13:20 13:20 13:20 14:15:3 3:20 86:78 88:21 10:11 11:18 83:17 84:1 86:4 13:22 13:20 13:20 13:20 13:20 13:20 14:15:3 3:20 13:20 13:20 13:20 17:30 16:11 17:21 17:21 17:31 18:11 17:21 17:31 18:11 17:22 17:31 16:11 17:21 17:31 18:11 17:20 17:31 18:11 17:20 17:31 18:11 17:20 17:31 18:11 17:21 17:31 18:11 17:21 17:31 18:11 17:21 17:31 18:11 17:21 17:31 18:11 17:21 17:31 18:11 17:21 17:31 18:11 17:21 17:31 18:31 17:31 13:32 11:33:20 86:78 88:21 16:12 18:31 13:20 18:32 13:3	80:23	officially 12:2	once 78:22 79:5	operative 177:12	16:4 59:23	171:25 172:1
The properties The	observations 7:1	often 33:17 43:8	80:5 118:16	opinion 10:25	86:13	176:5,12
Debvious 138:22 Oftentimes 76:5 76:24 112:20 76:24 108:17 136:21 138:15 167:2,17 172:18 102:51 11:12 167:2,17 172:18 102:51 11:14 136:2,1 138:15 167:2,17 172:18 102:51 11:14 136:4,17 149:6 149:25 156:16 149:25 156:16 149:25 156:16 149:25 156:16 149:25 156:16 149:25 156:16 149:25 156:16 137:33 21:14,17 23:1 26:91,3,15 26:91,3,15 26:91,3,15 26:91,3,15 160:10 141:11 160:17 141:11		92:1	149:14	34:23 36:2	ought 9:20 76:3	
Dobviously 58:2 76:24 112:20 5:7, 23 6:21, 22 104:2 125:7 136:21 138:15 167:2, 17 172:18 167:2, 17 172:18 175:20 138:15 175:20 138:16 175:20 138:16 175:20 138:16 175:20 138:16 175:20 138:16 18:21 20:9 21:9 14:11 16:5 17:5 36:18, 25 37:6 149:21 15:25 165:23 173:3 176:10 26:9, 13, 15 47:23 48:13 176:10 26:9, 13, 15 49:6, 8, 25 50:10 14:11 16:5 17:5 47:23 48:13 176:10 26:9, 13, 15 49:6, 8, 25 50:10 14:11 16:5 17:5 48:24 20:42 18:21 20:9 21:9 14:11 36:6, 13 38:20 38:25 44:21 38:25 44:21 38:25 44:21 45:10, 15 47:12 45:10, 15 47:12 45:10, 15 47:12 45:10, 15 47:12 45:10, 15 47:12 45:10, 15 47:12 45:10, 15 47:12 45:10, 15 47:12 45:10, 15 47:12 45:10, 15 47:13 48:20 49:9, 25 50:4, 8, 8, 14, 14 48:20 115:1 54:10 60:10 60:26 60:17 60:40 60:17 60:40 60:17 60:40 60:10 60	obvious 138:22	oftentimes 76:5	one 4:15,23,23	58:23 90:23		
94:24 108:17 167:21,7172:18 167:21,7172:18 10:25 11:14 139:55,611,23 142:8 144:10 146:4,17 149:6 149:125 156:16 149:125 156:16 149:125 156:16 159:1 162:25 18:21 20:9 21:9 45:4,24 47:22 165:23 173:3 21:14,17 23:1 49:6,8,25 50:10 26:9,13,15 28:10 22:18 27:10,12 28:18 50:10,10 53:12 28:10 20:19 21:14,17 23:1 49:6,8,25 50:10 140:2 159:8 54:21 56:10 60:10 63:10 63:10 63:10 63:10 63:20 115:10 60:20 61:3 63:10 63:20 115:10 60:20 61:3 63:10 63:20 115:10 60:20 61:3 63:10 63:20 61:20 60:2 61:5,20 60:2 61:5,20 60:2 61:5,20 60:2 61:5,20 60:2 61:5,20 60:2 61:5,20 60:2 61:5,20 60:2 61:5,20 60:2 61:5,20 60:2 61:5,20 60:2 61:5,20 60:2 61:5,20 60:2 61:5,20 60:2 61:5,20 60:2 61:5,20 60:2 61:5,20 60:2 61:3,20 60:2 61:5,20 60:10 60:2 60:2 61:5 61:10 60:20 60:2 61:2 60:2 60:2 61:10 60:2		76:24 112:20	5:7,23 6:21,22	104:2 125:7		P
136:21 138:15 167:2,17 172:18 10:25 11:14 13:16 25:16,21 13:16 25:16,21 13:16 25:16,21 13:16 25:16,21 13:16 25:16,21 13:17 opinions 10:14 13		oh 127:5 135:21		140:8 148:20		
139:5,6,11,23		167:2,17 172:18	10:25 11:14			
142:8 144:10 142:8 144:10 142:8 144:10 142:8 144:10 142:8 144:10 142:8 144:10 143:8 144:10 143:8 143:10 144:24 143:8 148:13 143:12 143:13 144:13 155:13 144:13 155:13 144:13 155:13 166:13 175:13 192:14 144:17 158:23 166:12,22 143:13 143:13 144:18 145:3,7 144:18 145:3,7 144:18 145:3,7 144:18 145:3,7 144:18 145:3,7 144:18 145:3,7 144:18 145:3,7 144:18 145:3,7 144:19 155:10 163:6 175:13 175:13 192:14 144:17 158:23 166:12,22 183:13 123:13						
146:4,17 149:6		okav 4:18 5:16				
149:25 156:16			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ł		
159:1 162:25 18:21 20:9 21:9 45:4,24 47:22 47:23 48:13 21:14,17 23:1 47:23 48:13 49:68,25 50:10 49:68,25 50:10 49:68,25 50:10 49:68,25 50:10 49:68,25 50:10 50:10,10 53:12 50:10,10 53:1		14:11 16:5 17:5				
165:23 173:3 21:14,17 23:1 47:23 48:13 74:23 48:13 75:212 119:7 74:24,25 47:18 74:25 48:13 75:20 140:19 26:19,23 23:8 75:10 10,10 53:12 75:10 10,10 53:12 75:10 10,10 53:12 75:10 10,10 53:12 75:10 10,10 53:12 75:10 10,10 53:12 75:10 10,10 53:12 75:10 10,10 53:12 75:10 10,10 53:12 75:10 10,10 53:12 75:10 10,10 53:12 75:10 10,10 53:12 75:10 10,10 53:12 75:10 10,1		18:21 20:9 21:9				
176:10						
occur 6:11 24:8 27:10,12 28:18 50:10,10 53:12 opposed 10:20 60:17 62:23 paragraphs 9 paralyze 100:paralyze 100:par						
135:20 140:19						
141:1 36:6,13 38:20 58:20 64:23 30:2 41:4 58:3 67:11 70:1 paralyzed 101						, ,
occurred 14:13 38:25 44:21 65:17 67:4,8 152:18 92:11 94:13 144:24 paraphrased 144:24 paraphrased 132:1						
72:20 76:4 82:7						
82:8 119:3 180:8 48:20 49:9,25 50:4,8,8,14,14 51:2 52:13 53:9 84:20 115:1 77:12 87:10 89:19 92:3 93:24 97:21,22 98:1 99:5 104:5 162:21 104:24 105:7 106:2,3,8 108:7 113:20 114:2 116:15 117:5,21 113:20 114:2 116:15 117:5,21 113:20 114:2 116:15 117:5,21 113:20 114:2 116:15 117:5,21 113:20 114:2 116:15 117:5,21 113:20 114:2 116:15 117:5,21 113:20 114:2 116:15 117:5,21 116:15 117:5,21 117:13,19 127:15,16 127:11,12 127:15,16 127:11,12 128:10 129:14 14:15,13 129:14 14:18,18 127:15,13 127:15,16 127:13 158:1,12 128:10 129:14 14:15,13 129:14 14:18,18 129:14 14:18,18 127:15,13 127:15,16 127:13 158:1,12 127:15,16 127:13 158:1,12 128:10 127:13 158:1,12 129:14 14:13 17: 127:12 12 127:15,16 127:13 158:1,12 127:15,16 127:13 158:1,12 127:15,16 127:13 158:1,12 127:15,16 127:13 158:1,12 127:15,16 127:13 158:1,12 128:14 14:17,22 129:14 14:18,18 129:14 14:18,18 129:14 14:18 14:18 129:14 14:18,18 129:14 14:18,18 129:14 14:18,18 129:14 14:18,18 129:14 14:18,18 129:14 14:18,18 129:14 14:18,18						
180:8 occurs 50:4,8,8,14,14 51:2 52:13 53:9 84:20 115:1 50:4,8,8,14,14 51:2 52:13 53:9 93:24 97:21,22 98:1 99:5 104:5 106:21 113:20 114:2 116:15 117:5,21 113:20 114:2 116:15 117:5,21 113:20 114:2 116:15 117:5,21 113:20 114:2 116:15 117:5,21 113:20 114:2 116:15 117:5,21 113:10 114:2 115:13 16:15 117:5,21 113:13:10 114:2 115:13 16:15 117:5,21 113:13:10 114:2 115:13 16:15 117:5,21 113:13:10 114:2 115:13 16:15 117:5,21 113:13:10 114:2 115:13 16:15 117:5,21 113:13:10 114:2 115:13 16:15 117:5,21 113:13:10 114:2 115:13 16:15 117:5,21 113:13:10 114:2 115:13 16:15 117:5,21 113:13:10 114:2 115:13 16:15 117:5,21 113:13:10 114:2 115:13 16:15 117:5,21 113:13:10 114:2 115:13 16:15 117:5,21 113:13:10 114:2 115:13 16:15 117:5,21 113:13:10 114:2 115:13 16:15 117:5,21 113:13:10 114:2 115:13 16:15 117:5,21 113:13:10 114:2 115:13 16:15 117:5,21 113:13:10 114:2 115:13 16:15 117:13 158:1,12 115:13 16:15 117:13 158:1,12 115:13 158:1,12 115:13 158:1,12 115:13 158:1,12 115:13 158:1,12 115:13 158:1,12 115:13 158:1,12 115:13 158:1,12 115:13 158:1,12 115:13 16:15 16:15 17:13 158:1,12 115:13 16:15 17:13 158:1,12 115:13 16:15 17:13 158:1,12 115:13 16:15 17:13	,			57·10 152·2		
occurs 38:10 51:2 52:13 53:9 93:24 97:21,22 162:21 113:20 114:2 pardoned 108 84:20 115:1 54:1,4,13,16 98:1 99:5 104:5 option 142:17,20 116:15 117:5,21 108:10 October 180:16 57:8 58:1,23,23 113:12,20 142:22,23,25 119:1,13,19 108:10 Odd 62:3 105:19 60:2 61:5,20 119:15 120:21 143:5,6,9 125:1,6 127:6 107:13 Off 14:20 26:18 65:14 66:1,3 127:15,16 127:15,16 139:13,16 144:17,22 28:21 44:9,13 69:7 70:13 72:8 134:22 136:21 139:20 142:17 14:8 157:13 158:1,12 156:3,3,11,20 54:10 60:10 75:18 78:6 139:20 142:17 14:8 16:20 163:8,21 14:8 16:15 17:9 14:8 16:15 17:9 16:15 17:7 14:11,12 <						
84:20 115:1						
October 180:16 odd 62:3 105:19 odds 20:9 25:20 57:8 58:1,23,23 dodd 62:3 105:19 off 14:20 26:18 dotd 62:3 105:19 off 14:20 26:18 dotd 62:3 105:19 off 14:20 26:18 dotd 62:3 105:14 dotd 62:3 105:14 dotd 70:13 dotd 70:13 72:8 dotd 70:13 formula for first 70:13 for			,			
odd 62:3 105:19 60:2 61:5,20 119:15 120:21 143:5,6,9 125:1,6 127:6 107:13 off 14:20 26:18 65:14 66:1,3 127:15,16 127:15,16 127:15,16 127:15,16 127:15,16 127:15,16 127:15,16 127:13 158:1,12 144:17;22 144:17;22 144:17;22 144:17;22 144:17;22 144:17;22 156:3,3,11,20 157:13 158:1,12 156:3,3,11,20 156:3,3,11,20 156:3,3,11,20 156:3,3,11,20 157:13 158:1,12 156:3,3,11,20 157:13 158:1,12 156:3,3,11,20 157:13 158:1,12 157:13 158:1,12 157:13 158:1,12 157:13 158:1,12 157:13 158:1,12 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
odds 20:9 25:20 62:4 64:2,2,13 124:22 127:15 options 76:10 139:13,16 parent 105:6 off 14:20 26:18 65:14 66:1,3 127:15,16 order 30:4 115:11 144:17,22 144:17,22 119:18 144:1 28:21 44:9,13 69:7 70:13 72:8 134:22 136:21 orderly 91:11 147:23 156:6 156:3,3,11,20 54:10 60:10 75:18 78:6 79:10 80:8,15 139:20 142:17 14:8 organization 15:13 164:15 165:1 parent 105:6 82:16 102:1 82:12,23 83:9 147:25 151:21 15:13 originally 123:12 171:11,12 parent 105:6 10:11 111:8 83:17 84:1 86:4 166:1 177:1 166:1 177:1 originally 123:12 174:10,16 175:7 parent 105:6 10:13 13:1 10:11 11:8 13:21 133:20 14:8 originally 123:12 174:10,16 175:7 parent 105:6 10:13 13:20 14:13 17:1 15:13 174:10,16 175:7 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 63:3 107:14 175:13 158:1,12 175:13:15 175:13:15 175:13:15 175:13:15 175:13:15 175:13:15 175:13:15 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
off 14:20 26:18 65:14 66:1,3 127:15,16 order 30:4 115:11 144:17,22 119:18 144:1 28:21 44:9,13 69:7 70:13 72:8 134:22 136:21 137:5 138:17 147:23 156:6 156:3,3,11,20 46:21 47:5 51:6 72:17,17 74:13 137:5 138:17 139:20 142:17 14:8 157:13 158:1,12 166:20 163:8,21 166:20 163:8,21 144:18 145:3,7 14:8 155:13 158:1,12 164:15 165:1 144:18,18 144:18,18 155:10 163:6 155:10 163:6 155:13 111.13 171:11,12 174:10,16 175:7 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:13 158:1,12 175:7,23 177:3 175:13 158:1,12 175:7,23 177:3 175:13 158:1,12 175:13 158:1,12 175:13 158:1,12 177:11,11 175:13 158:1,12 177:11,11 177:11,11 177:11 175:13 158:1,12 177:11,11 177:11 177:11 175:7,23 177:3 177:13 177:13 177:13 177:13 177:13 177:13 177:13 177:13 177:13 177:13 177:13 177:13 177:13 177:13 177:13 177:13 <						
28:21 44:9,13				order 30.4 115.11		
46:21 47:5 51:6 72:17,17 74:13 137:5 138:17 ordinarily 6:7 157:13 158:1,12 parental 62:9 54:10 60:10 75:18 78:6 139:20 142:17 14:8 160:20 163:8,21 164:15 165:1 144:18,18 82:16 102:1 82:12,23 83:9 147:25 151:21 155:10 163:6 15:13 171:11,12 174:10,16 175:7 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:13 158:1,12 155:10 163:6 15:13 15:13 174:10,16 175:7 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:13 158:1,12 174:10,16 175:7 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:13 158:1,12 175:13 158:1,12 174:10,16 175:7 175:13 158:1,12 174:10,16 175:7 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:13 158:1,12 175:13 158:1,12 174:10,16 175:7 175:13 158:1,12 174:10,16 175:7 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:13 158:1,12 175:13 158:1,12 175:13 158:1,12 175:13 158:1,12 175:13 158:1,12 175:13 158:1,12 175:13 158:1,12 175:13 158:						
54:10 60:10 75:18 78:6 139:20 142:17 14:8 160:20 163:8,21 parents 13:11 68:22 70:19 82:10 80:8,15 14:18 145:3,7 14:18 145:3,7 164:15 165:1 144:18 145:3,7 15:13 171:11,12 144:10,16 175:7 144:18 145:3,7 155:10 163:6 155:10 163:6 160:20 163:8,21 164:15 165:1 144:18 145:3,7 164:15 165:1 171:11,12 171:11,12 174:10,16 175:7 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:7,23 177:3 175:18,14 175:13 175:13 175:13 175:12:12 175:13 175:13 175:12:12 175:13 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
68:22 70:19 79:10 80:8,15 144:18 145:3,7 organization 164:15 165:1 144:18,18 82:16 102:1 82:12,23 83:9 147:25 151:21 15:13 171:11,12 parking 147:2 110:11 111:8 83:17 84:1 86:4 155:10 163:6 organization 15:13 174:10,16 175:7 parking 147:2 123:21 133:20 86:7 88:21 164:23 165:14 166:1 177:1 organization 175:7,23 177:3 organization 174:10,16 175:7 parking 147:2						
82:16 102:1 82:12,23 83:9 147:25 151:21 15:13 171:11,12 parking 147:2 110:11 111:8 83:17 84:1 86:4 155:10 163:6 originally 123:12 174:10,16 175:7 parking 147:2 123:21 133:20 86:7 88:21 164:23 165:14 166:1 177:1 7:23 11:18,24 outcome 80:1 63:3 107:14 161:8 175:13,19 92:14 93:1,16 one-in-three 13:5 16:15 17:9 172:12 107:13 175:20 176:3 94:7 95:23 96:1 25:17 22:5,20 24:25 outfit 176:20 part 14:13 17:0 offend 34:16 96:12,22 98:4 one-on-one 25:22,22 27:7 outlook 103:10 26:1,1,2 39:6						
110:11 111:8 83:17 84:1 86:4 155:10 163:6 123:21 133:20 86:7 88:21 90:24 91:6,23 90:24 91:6,23 90:24 91:6,23 92:14 93:1,16 175:20 176:3 094:7 95:23 96:1 96:10,22 98:4 96:						
123:21 133:20					1/1:11,12	
144:17 158:23 90:24 91:6,23 166:1 177:1 7:23 11:18,24 outcome 80:1 Paroles 38:16 161:8 175:13,19 92:14 93:1,16 one-in-three 13:5 16:15 17:9 172:12 107:13 offend 34:16 96:12,22 98:4 one-on-one 25:22,22 27:7 outlow 80:1 paroles 38:16 107:13 22:5,20 24:25 outlow 103:10 part 14:13 17: 26:1,1,2 39:6						parole 60:8,12,18
161:8 175:13,19 92:14 93:1,16 one-in-three 13:5 16:15 17:9 172:12 107:13 part 14:13 17: 0ffend 34:16 96:12,22 98:4 one-on-one 25:22,22 27:7 one-on-one 25:23,20 24:25 outfit 176:20 outlook 103:10 26:1,1,2 39:6						
175:20 176:3 94:7 95:23 96:1 25:17 22:5,20 24:25 outfit 176:20 part 14:13 17:0 offend 34:16 96:12,22 98:4 one-on-one 25:22,22 27:7 outlook 103:10 part 14:13 17:0 39:0 20:0 20:0 20:0 20:0 20:0 20:0 20:0 2						
offend 34:16 96:12,22 98:4 one-on-one 25:22,22 27:7 outlook 103:10 26:1,1,2 39:6						
20.1,1,2 37.0						part 14:13 17:6
Attendage UN-7						26:1,1,2 39:6
10.0,0,0,0	offenders 95:2	99:4,7,8 101:24	133:17	28:20 29:22	outside 1:12	40:5,6,9 49:24
offense 117:12 104:18 109:7 ones 87:11,11 32:24 34:6,9 147:18 150:7 51:12 53:10						
142:12 154:12 111:21 113:4 92:18,20 145:11 36:15 40:2 45:5 177:18,24 71:8 73:1 74:				T. C.		71:8 73:1 74:21
156:23 114:17 121:6,14 168:1 51:14,14,14,20 over 9:6 18:14 82:23 95:12			1			
offer 40:6 93:21 122:24 123:1,14 only 6:8 14:9 59:17 60:22 22:11 32:18 113:11 117:6						113:11 117:6,7
97:2 132:16 124:5,11,16 16:14 25:9,17 61:1,25,25 41:17 46:12 133:18						
133:2 173:25 125:2,11 126:23 25:21 27:23 62:22 64:8 70:3 61:18 65:17 particular 6:8	133:2 173:25	125:2,11 126:23	25:21 27:23	62:22 64:8 70:3	61:18 65:17	

15:11:20.1	7.10 0.14 21 24		ge 14	nontions 190.6	72.14 15 07.10
15:11 29:1	7:18 9:14,21,24	74:17 92:4	phrased 108:17	portions 180:6	72:14,15 97:19
65:15 104:2	10:1,7,18 11:8,9	96:14 109:11	physical 151:2	posed 36:19	102:20 103:5
108:2 114:16	11:11,12,15	137:11	physically 144:24	position 8:9 11:17	115:25 139:17
176:25 177:1	13:17 15:18,23	permit 84:10	144:25	11:21,22 12:11	140:15 164:17
partied 113:6	15:25 16:3,15	person 10:11	pick 158:1,9,15	13:5,22 34:13	165:3
parties 152:17	17:7,9,16 18:7	12:15,18 21:2	picked 142:7	79:18 85:1	prevent 18:23
180:7,10	18:10,18 19:8	21:10,11 22:4,8	pin 74:4	131:22 134:13	55:1,8,10
parts 89:21	19:18,20,22	25:9,11,18 29:9	ping-pong 66:4	139:20 147:24	prevention 72:16
pass 120:11 121:2	21:23,24 23:25	33:13,14 34:25	place 2:15 7:22	positions 13:13	73:11 124:23,24
166:5	24:7,12,21,24	36:3 45:12 50:1	121:20 129:2	possibility 61:7	previous 103:13
passed 133:20	24:25 25:1,18	52:12 57:16	151:15 158:4	62:20 95:12	previously 75:3
passion 20:24	25:20 26:18,21	60:15,16 64:15	placed 137:11	135:19 146:13	price 93:14
passionate 23:2	26:22 27:2,5,5	64:16 73:11	places 10:2	146:18 176:15	priest 85:18,19
passivists 16:3	27:15,22 28:6	74:17,25 75:1	plaintiff 22:5	possible 12:6,10	86:2 87:6,6
past 35:12,25	29:18 33:23,23	78:12 80:25	plane 23:15 46:4	77:5 80:1 92:1	priests 87:8 90:18
84:23 140:2,10	37:4,6 42:20	82:15 84:6,7	planet 82:25	103:23 146:14	primary 177:6
150:16	43:14,23 44:6	85:18 86:1,25	Plano 2:16 6:19	146:16,17	principle 12:13
patch 24:22	46:4,6,7 47:14	87:25 88:8	164:15 172:18	possibly 7:9 94:2	prison 18:17
patrol 71:8	51:14,15 54:22	93:18 96:13,14	172:19 174:21	133:25	20:13,20,22
110:13 124:23	57:24 58:2,9,13	100:7 101:10	planted 46:3	post 175:4	38:13 60:24
pattern 151:4,4,6	59:8,8,25 60:10	102:10,11,13,15	play 116:4 135:15	posted 174:25	61:4 62:25 64:6
patterns 61:25	60:22 61:3	102:16,17,18	167:17 168:2	175:1,2	64:11 76:16,23
Paul 47:17	62:22 71:15	103:16 104:20	played 14:13	potential 135:2	100:16 103:15
pause 31:11 55:5	72:10,24 73:10	104:25 109:4,13	167:18 168:4	173:7	103:16 107:18
114:24 166:4	73:14,22,23	109:22,23,25,25	player 167:16	Potter 70:24 71:6	107:19 108:16
		112:18,19,24	playmate 161:14	practically 130:9	107.19 108.10
pay 13:15 20:2	74:1,2,11,18	118:24 119:4	plays 160:20	practice 89:12	
93:14 113:8	75:25 77:3,23 78:3,19 79:25	132:11,23	please 5:17 12:25	practice 89:12 practicing 6:19	150:1,2,3 prisons 7:21
141:8 170:10	1	1	55:6 69:11	90:11	1 • .
paying 20:7 72:25	80:4,10 81:15	133:17,20		1	private 138:7,10
payroll 171:24	82:16 83:8 84:2	144:11 145:15	137:14 156:12 156:17 166:2	practitioner	pro 57:24
172:1	84:17 86:20,20	147:12 148:24	T .	138:7,10	probabilities
peacefully 63:6	88:2 89:2 90:10	149:3,11 150:5	pleasing 159:9	praying 157:4	35:20
Pelagian 27:15,16	90:19 92:24	150:6 151:5,7	pleasure 7:13	preachers 61:2	probability 31:7
pen 98:16 113:7	93:1,3,8,16,25	151:20 154:7	10:6	preconceive	35:23 38:1
penalty 7:7,21	100:14 101:18	155:1 156:9	plethora 159:3	21:11	52:17 62:17,18
9:9 10:4,14,21	101:21 102:4,19	160:3 162:22,23	plunges 25:11	predict 35:18	64:16 96:7
11:5,9,20,23	102:24 103:1,5	person's 108:22	plus 99:17 105:1	47:15,18 61:6	108:23 146:9,19
13:16,17 14:10	103:6,8 105:9	personal 15:9	pocket 22:5	64:14 151:13,19	146:23 147:3,5
14:14 17:19	107:23 109:11	48:9 54:25 65:7	point 15:4 19:10	predicting 49:16	148:14 150:12
19:2,3,5 21:3,4	110:9 113:1,4	99:23 117:19	42:6 54:14	prediction 35:19	152:10,12,22
21:16 28:21	113:19,23 114:1	132:13,20	58:19 66:5	35:20	160:13
29:23 30:2,6,15	114:6,11,11,13	133:12 134:4	81:13 92:7	predictor 96:14	probably 6:12
31:18,24 34:20	114:18,21 115:3	153:18 161:12	103:15,17	prefer 73:18	10:15 12:1
37:20 45:2,4,6,7	116:13,18	personality 31:13	133:23 134:7	preliminary	14:17 19:20,22
45:9 46:17	117:12 118:10	109:24 154:11	139:6 145:6	133:6	20:5 25:18,22
47:21 49:21	141:11,13 147:1	personally 51:3	150:21 151:21	preparation	26:22 31:2,14
50:7 52:7,22,22	147:3,21,24	52:11 54:25	152:13 169:7,12	180:12	31:15 32:13
53:16 55:1 57:5	148:4 149:4	64:10 92:25	175:22 176:17	prepared 102:3	37:9,12 38:5
57:11,23,24,25	150:17 158:3,13	139:24	177:18	112:21	39:6,7 40:12
58:1,3,7 61:10	158:19,23 163:8	perspective 92:7	points 123:21	presence 1:12	43:1 44:3 46:24
79:24 93:2,4,8	172:10 173:9	Petco 121:10	polarity 67:5	177:18	57:7 61:12 62:7
93:24 94:9,19	176:2,4	123:6	police 61:17	present 4:2,4	63:7,10 64:23
94:25 95:1	people's 14:5	petty 116:7	70:14,17 71:7	108:22 128:24	65:9 71:14
103:15 105:23	34:24	phase 29:8 31:6	72:11 73:10,16	129:15	72:12,24 73:3
138:22 139:18	per 11:20 72:12	39:14 40:3	73:18 74:2 83:3	presentation 6:1	73:12,18,21
139:21 140:6,14	percent 45:4 62:6	41:11 57:20	83:6 84:22,22	presented 28:24	83:6 84:1 85:25
140:18 141:1,5	62:11,19	61:23 62:17	85:4,8,12 86:10	37:2 79:3 81:3	86:16 89:22
141:6,10 142:16	percentage	63:15,19 81:23	86:12,22,23	83:15 101:14	92:6 96:2,9,15
142:20,22 143:5	122:12 147:2,3	96:4,25 97:2,9	87:1,2,25 88:1,6	presenting 126:7	102:22 104:15
143:8 144:12	perception 72:1	107:4 142:9,9	88:13 100:21	127:2	107:7 110:16,19
162:19,20,21	peremptory 3:12	142:10 143:13	125:1 144:22,23	presents 88:20	116:2 118:25
pending 178:3	33:1 57:3 135:5	144:1 146:5	148:9 174:21	Presiding 1:13	120:9 134:1,20
penitentiary	135:9 136:21	150:19 163:2	policemen 100:24	presume 80:25	137:21 138:8,17
30:12 60:21,22	perfect 8:5	philosophically	political 46:9	95:24	139:5 140:15,20
60:23 61:15,20	112:19 114:2	73:12	130:12	presumption	141:3 152:15
63:2,7,12	154:6	phone 98:18,20	pool 43:20 74:21	130:8	155:10,12,13
Penn 168:21	perfectly 12:24	phonetic 168:13	poor 22:4,13	pretend 31:19	156:1 157:24
Pennsylvania	17:24 74:21	phony 72:24	pop 67:6	prettiest 48:13	169:1
167:8 168:19,20	perhaps 7:18	phrase 42:10	population 76:2	pretty 11:20	probation 33:18
Pentecostal 165:6	8:18 9:1 31:13	147:9 156:22	portion 30:16	17:15 34:4	33:19,22 60:9
people 6:23 7:17	33:4 45:23	159:3,6,13	76:2	47:19 66:7	63:3,3 78:9,14
			The second secon	The second secon	

			ge 15		
78:20 79:5,8	prosecuted 160:5	163:2	61:11,19,22,22	20:17 57:7 58:8	133:11,16
80:2	170:21 173:1,20	punishments 60:5	62:3 63:12,24	107:23	136:12 154:16
probing 173:13	prosecuting	purpose 39:12	63:25 64:21,21		161:13
problem 7:23	173:10	88:14 99:6	79:24 85:2,15	R	reasonable 8:10
	1				
19:20,21 28:7	prosecution 6:5	purposefully 50:5	86:9 87:13,15	R 1:1,1,1,1,1 2:1	17:24 22:22
51:11,15,21,24	165:2 172:23	purposes 65:20	88:5,12 96:2,4,9	4:1	38:4 57:19 59:3
52:21,22 53:12	173:8	99:11 110:1	96:19 97:7,8	rage 147:22	59:11,20 62:4,5
53:19 65:12,13	prosecutor 6:4	142:15	101:9,13 104:21	raised 11:4 82:5,5	62:13 81:4,19
86:4 90:24 91:7	48:12 73:15	pursue 79:21	105:4,12 106:19	82:10 165:6	88:21 89:4
97:21 134:2,17	164:2	90:23	107:3,19 108:16)
		1		range 14:19	90:20 91:16
135:16,16	prosecutors 6:8	pursuit 92:24	108:21,25	76:10,12,20	95:17 96:6 97:6
138:18 163:7	18:6 48:11	push 26:13	110:22 111:1,3	77:4,14	97:7 105:19
problems 53:12	163:5	pushes 25:25	111:9,17 114:14	raping 61:13	106:9,20 108:4
73:1	prospect 7:8	put 5:13 18:8	115:11 117:7,10	rare 63:17 77:9	108:18 110:23
procedural 81:13	prospective 58:24	20:12 22:6,17	119:11,14,17,23	79:1 97:1 102:2	111:20 120:5
			120:10 125:17		l .
procedure 66:23	133:18,23	37:15 69:5		rarely 78:3	142:11 143:12
proceed 32:3	prospects 57:12	77:19 86:17	126:1,4,15	rarity 33:16	143:24 146:8
79:21	protect 23:25	98:22 99:23	127:21 128:3,6	rate 122:12	160:14 163:1
proceedings 1:11	93:24 94:3	100:15 101:1	128:14,22,23	123:15 136:12	reasons 9:1 20:3
1:12,14 6:2	protected 112:23	113:5,9 124:16	136:17 144:6,8	rather 35:20	43:2 47:10 49:1
18:14 31:11	prove 8:10,13	126:14 129:21	145:17,19,20	49:18 72:17	64:3 93:2 104:5
		1			
55:5 114:24	62:3,12,13,16	129:23 130:4,10	146:3,6,11	78:24 117:9	104:21 107:21
166:4 180:7,10	81:18 82:9	130:14 138:17	148:11,16,20,22	157:9 159:11	114:13 138:22
process 8:15 17:6	88:21 91:16	143:18 149:15	149:14,16,25	re-examined 15:5	rebuild 123:24
23:25 25:6,25	95:17 97:5,6	162:23 163:8	150:10 151:11	reaction 78:21	recall 5:25 6:20
26:1 32:2,16	99:25 100:2	169:19 175:9,11	151:20 152:7,14	read 15:14,14,25	8:1 70:6,10,12
53:11 71:15	115:11 125:3,15	puts 25:20	152:16,19,21,22		121:25 122:3,4
l I				17:11 38:23	
74:25 80:5	126:3,6,7,13,18	putting 20:16	152:23,23 153:2	61:17 73:23	122:5,11,13,22
104:12 138:15	126:22 127:2,7	99:6 130:18	153:5,8,12,25	94:14 111:13	123:14,16,20,25
138:19,24 139:3	127:7,11,12,18		156:21,25 159:1	117:16 125:21	124:1,3,4
140:1 141:16,25	127:20,22,23,25	Q	159:1 160:7,8	126:15 150:24	137:11 144:7
142:3 152:11	129:10,11,19	Quakers 11:22	160:13,13,16,19	152:24	163:10
163:21 164:24	130:20,21 132:1		160:20 161:3		recalls 135:14
		qualified 19:4		reading 17:17	
produce 129:3	142:11 143:12	32:21,24 33:24	162:18	93:18	recent 45:25
131:2 135:11	146:8 153:9,11	43:20 74:16,21	questioning 65:4	ready 54:21	110:9
produced 1:15	163:1	78:4 85:16	65:10	69:13 76:5	recess 12:21
95:24 129:5	proved 104:8	134:25	questionnaire 8:3	120:11	66:17,20 67:20
producing 89:4	143:24 146:25	qualify 42:12	10:13 17:19	real 23:7 24:5,11	68:23 137:8
products 176:25	160:14	qualifying 76:6	45:1 56:10 67:9	28:21,22 58:10	177:18
professed 66:22	proves 22:22 81:4		70:6 121:9		
		quarrel 28:19		58:11 88:12	recognition 33:13
profession 73:17	100:1 143:11	quasi 73:9	124:16 125:6	90:5 96:23	recognize 15:19
79:18 88:19,20	provided 131:24	Quebec 168:11	128:16,17	116:13 120:10	62:14 87:11
90:11 91:22	providence	168:12	138:18 140:5	159:20 171:1	88:14 98:9
professional	166:21	Quebecwan	161:7 165:6	realize 8:9 79:1	104:19 120:22
21:22 90:9	provides 97:20,20	168:13	questionnaires	80:9 92:18	122:15,23
92:16	proving 39:8		4:8 73:24		168:17
		quest 120:14	139:13,14,16	110:10	
professionals	psychiatrist 62:2	question 7:2,5		realized 7:5 139:7	recognized 96:18
90:18	150:25 151:24	18:7,9 21:5	questions 5:14,19	139:8	136:4
professions 73:18	152:2	28:24 31:6,12	7:3 13:11 28:15	realizes 120:12	recognizes 118:19
74:11	psychiatrists	31:12,18,20,22	29:25 43:23	really 8:24 9:11	recollection
program 169:3	151:12,16	34:11,20 35:3,3	49:17 50:6 52:5	11:10 21:7,10	136:10
projected 177:13	psychological	35:4,18,22 36:9	52:25 57:6	25:7 30:25	record 1:7,15 4:3
projection 104:1	116:19	36:11,19,23	58:19,21 59:3	31:21 40:4	13:4 65:20,20
proof 42:19,22	psychologist 62:2	37:1,5,9,15,22	59:10 64:9,20	42:23 52:14	68:22 111:8
62:8,17 81:22	public 89:22,23		64:23,24 65:1,2		
		37:23,24 38:6		54:2,2 60:11,12	126:14,17 161:8
81:23,25 82:1,2	publicly 86:11	38:17,19 39:2	65:8 66:6 69:6	61:16 63:6,8	180:8,9,12
91:16 97:4,4	pumping 92:8	39:10,19,21	69:17 71:22	64:6,8 66:9	recourse 141:14
120:4,6 126:6,7	punish 92:12	40:16 42:2,7,10	72:4 76:9 87:18	70:24 72:15	REDIRECT
[126:10,22 [punishable 94:14	42:11,13,16,19	95:8,13,22 96:3	73:24 76:22	128:12
128:20 142:10	94:15	42:24 43:16	105:24 119:2	87:13 102:1	reduce 153:6,6
146:7 152:8	punishment 7:18	44:12 46:25	120:24 121:5,15	111:3,17 114:18	referencing
153:9	20:21 22:24		127:19 128:12		124:20
1	The state of the s	47:7,13,14,25	1	115:2 118:10	
proper 57:22	30:10 31:3,6	48:3,6,17,21,24	137:13,18 144:3	123:16 130:9	reflect 65:20
			144:4,5 166:13	139:16 147:25	reflection 139:17
88:22 104:17	32:19 36:8 40:3	49:2,4,5,7,11,13	17110		
140:8	32:19 36:8 40:3 40:6 56:12	49:2,4,5,7,11,13	174:19	149:10 151:21	reflects 180:10
140:8 properly 89:3	32:19 36:8 40:3		174:19 quick 89:20		reflects 180:10 refresh 152:25
140:8 properly 89:3	32:19 36:8 40:3 40:6 56:12	49:14,14,16,20 49:21 50:1	quick 89:20	156:23 165:1	refresh 152:25
140:8 properly 89:3 property 147:18	32:19 36:8 40:3 40:6 56:12 63:19 76:7,10 76:20 77:4,14	49:14,14,16,20 49:21 50:1 51:18,20,20,21	quick 89:20 Quickly 104:5	156:23 165:1 173:13	refresh 152:25 regard 32:14
140:8 properly 89:3 property 147:18 147:25 148:4,19	32:19 36:8 40:3 40:6 56:12 63:19 76:7,10 76:20 77:4,14 93:11,12 94:24	49:14,14,16,20 49:21 50:1 51:18,20,20,21 51:22,23 52:14	quick 89:20 Quickly 104:5 quid-pro-quo	156:23 165:1 173:13 reason 34:15 36:9	refresh 152:25 regard 32:14 129:4 135:25
140:8 properly 89:3 property 147:18 147:25 148:4,19 propose 8:12	32:19 36:8 40:3 40:6 56:12 63:19 76:7,10 76:20 77:4,14 93:11,12 94:24 95:21 131:24	49:14,14,16,20 49:21 50:1 51:18,20,20,21 51:22,23 52:14 52:18,19,21	quick 89:20 Quickly 104:5 quid-pro-quo 173:25	156:23 165:1 173:13 reason 34:15 36:9 52:11 75:5 77:5	refresh 152:25 regard 32:14 129:4 135:25 136:10 141:24
140:8 properly 89:3 property 147:18 147:25 148:4,19 propose 8:12 prosecute 173:17	32:19 36:8 40:3 40:6 56:12 63:19 76:7,10 76:20 77:4,14 93:11,12 94:24 95:21 131:24 135:8 144:1	49:14,14,16,20 49:21 50:1 51:18,20,20,21 51:22,23 52:14 52:18,19,21 53:17,24 60:19	quick 89:20 Quickly 104:5 quid-pro-quo 173:25 quit 15:7 155:1	156:23 165:1 173:13 reason 34:15 36:9 52:11 75:5 77:5 82:8 97:21	refresh 152:25 regard 32:14 129:4 135:25 136:10 141:24 142:10 143:3
140:8 properly 89:3 property 147:18 147:25 148:4,19 propose 8:12	32:19 36:8 40:3 40:6 56:12 63:19 76:7,10 76:20 77:4,14 93:11,12 94:24 95:21 131:24	49:14,14,16,20 49:21 50:1 51:18,20,20,21 51:22,23 52:14 52:18,19,21	quick 89:20 Quickly 104:5 quid-pro-quo 173:25	156:23 165:1 173:13 reason 34:15 36:9 52:11 75:5 77:5	refresh 152:25 regard 32:14 129:4 135:25 136:10 141:24

148:5,9,20	renfro 1:17 180:5	141:12,25	ge 16 129:20,21	106:17 113:19	66:22 67:3,15
150:10 152:7	180:18	160:25 161:4	130:14 131:9,19	121:20 126:5,7	67:21,22 68:6
153:8,25 154:5	rent 113:8	resulted 142:2,4	131:21 133:19	126:9 127:1,2	69:13,14,18,20
154:23,23 158:2	reparation 164:4	resulting 140:7	134:11,13,18,24	129:2,10 143:3	111:9 114:23,25
158:22 163:10	reparation	results 22:1 28:15	135:12 137:2,22	143:7 145:5	115:23,24,25
regarding 133:17	164:20	37:23 38:18	140:19 141:2	154:14 156:8	121:1,3 128:1
140:11 152:7,16	repeat 56:22	43:17 49:15	147:18 152:1	158:24 170:23	128:10,13 130:2
153:16 156:21	repeats 96:16	81:20 139:25	159:12 162:15	173:10	131:8 132:12
regardless 21:13	replace 52:20	140:1 144:4	164:10 165:3	San 164:5	136:2,8,14
49:22 53:24	replaced 51:20	149:8 154:18	167:11 169:6,16	Sandoval 1:13	137:23 142:14
156:2 174:11	reported 1:14	retained 170:15	169:19 177:22	sat 36:20 160:11	144:15 152:16
regards 56:11	180:8	retarded 27:25	177:24 178:18	satisfied 120:19	157:3 177:17,25
149:14 160:7,8	reporter 1:17	return 47:25	178:21 179:2	Saul 47:16	178:2,5,10
regrettable 7:19	13:2,8 45:20	64:22 140:7,13	rights 18:7,11,19	savage 100:23	Schultz's 42:6
regrettably 17:1	180:5,19	141:18,19,22	62:9	saving 67:5	65:4
regular 20:13	reporter's 1:7,15	returned 75:7	rise 66:19 67:19	102:11	scope 24:16,16
77:3 78:8	3:16 180:1,7,9	returning 55:8	68:21 137:7	saw 78:5 149:19	screened 85:14
regulated 13:10	180:12	revealed 15:21	risk 134:14	saying 22:18 32:4	screw 111:6
rehab 101:17	reporters 13:5	17:11	Rivas 61:15	36:7 37:14	Scripture 14:3
rehabilitable	reports 86:16,17	review 28:5 94:21	river 41:16	42:24,25 43:3	94:14
104:4	represent 90:1,2	reviewed 28:8	road 9:18 45:18	44:17 46:17	scrutiny 19:19
rehabilitate 95:2	90:4 166:12	reviewing 4:7	56:16,17 121:22	55:12 57:14	28:5 39:12
rehabilitated	representing 5:24	RICO 69:21 70:2	rob 144:21	65:3,11 66:9	se 11:20 72:12
52:10 62:24,25	164:13	ridicule 89:10	157:23	74:22 75:25	searching 23:12
rehabilitates 95:4	requested 107:14	rifle 16:1 24:15	robbery 59:7	77:20,24 80:21	23:16 56:21,24
rehabilitation	180:7	right 4:14 5:5	60:1 97:15	87:1 90:16 92:8	117:17
63:1,5,8 94:25	require 20:4	6:16 10:11	143:4	98:22 101:25	seat 43:19
95:7,10,12	35:19	11:16 12:18	Robin 22:15	102:15 104:11	seated 5:17 69:11
101:17,18 103:1	required 33:15	14:25 18:20	robs 144:21	115:8,13 119:1	83:13 134:15
103:2	75:16 84:14	19:8 20:20,24	Rockwall 75:11	119:20 129:8	137:15 138:3,6
reject 53:13	89:15 115:10	21:20 22:14	role 21:25 72:10	132:18,21	second 38:19,22
relate 35:9	requires 33:19	29:16 35:17	113:11	142:24 148:11	40:9 41:11
related 6:24	35:20 80:13	36:13 37:4,14	rolled 9:6	154:2 156:17	47:22,23 49:6
132:16	143:16	41:4 42:8 43:12	Roman 11:19	174:1	49:13,14 53:19
relates 19:13	rescue 23:17,18	44:1,9,10,12,16	13:12,18,20	says 15:25 16:13	55:4 58:13 68:1
relating 132:14 relationship	resettings 71:16 resident 75:10	44:20,22 45:3 46:22 47:1,2,4,6	roof 44:4,8 46:21 47:5 54:11	16:14,19 17:3,5 19:13 27:12	75:21 79:7 96:25 97:2
159:19 177:8	resident 75.10	48:3,4,9,10,14	room 16:23	30:24 32:16	107:4 134:9
relationships	resist 79:12 83:12	50:3,12,15,22	139:22 161:19	47:23 58:7	138:16 160:16
116:17	resistant 79:25	51:7,8 53:15	ROTC 169:17	63:19,20 68:4	169:9
reliability 87:15	resolve 18:15	54:9,11 55:24	rough 168:1	81:6 85:17	secondary 177:7
88:5	112:10 172:8	57:16,22 60:5	roughly 14:15	90:11 93:13	secondly 77:15
reliable 88:8	resolved 172:7	61:8 64:2 65:17	rountree 3:10	98:17,25 99:4	120:2
reliance 74:18	respect 19:8,9	65:23 67:2,21	68:2	99:19 117:7	seconds 31:10
religion 11:3 14:2	24:25 84:24	68:4,9 69:15	rub 22:4	129:23 130:18	55:19
14:10,13 27:16	85:1	70:1 71:3 72:6	rudeness 13:9	134:16 136:13	secrets 26:21
86:3	respectfully	73:6,21,25	rule 15:19 82:15	136:15 150:3	secure 123:11
religions 11:24	55:22 133:3	74:14,15 75:6	rules 18:1 82:10	156:12 159:17	security 72:13,16
12:1	136:2	76:8 77:1,16	82:11 133:7	160:17 161:17	see 7:6 11:18 21:2
religious 11:14	respecting 10:8	78:1,25 79:11	run 134:14	164:22 SPOT 2:2 4 5 12	21:5 22:10
13:23 93:17	respective 180:10	80:17 81:2,10	running 148:8	SBOT 2:3,4,5,12	24:15 26:9,11
111:4	respond 84:17	83:24,25 86:13	Rusty 122:18,19	2:13	30:6,15,23 34:3
relook 40:1,9,10	response 84:7 93:10	91:1,14,19,24	122:21	scales 129:4 scams 132:2,4,5	38:20 47:21 50:3 51:5 57:14
145:21,24 remains 130:8	responses 86:5	93:15,23 96:17 97:2 99:15	<u> </u>	scapegoats 89:24	50:3 51:5 57:14 60:20,25 63:11
remember 23:15	responses 80.3	101:5,15 102:7	s 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1	scene 88:7	65:3,24 67:6,11
26:17 31:5 86:4	27:6,8 176:24	102:8 106:10	23:15 180:20	school 74:5,6	68:18 74:11,12
86:17 110:16	responsiveness	107:2,8 108:6	sacrifices 84:14	116:11,15 117:5	76:15 79:4,11
122:16,25	76:7	108:20 110:2	sad 116:1 155:13	117:21 124:11	85:23 87:1
134:17 135:22	rest 53:4 63:7	111:7 112:2,6	safely 61:20	124:13,15 150:6	90:20 100:16
135:23 142:18	83:14,20 92:10	112:16 115:14	108:19 150:3	161:15,25 164:3	101:13 107:22
144:8 152:15,18	95:14 105:15	115:21 116:5	sake 59:18	164:19 167:8	108:22 120:7
153:2 157:3	117:1,10	117:14,25	salaried 171:18	169:11	129:20 131:3,4
161:10 163:19	result 7:9,15 8:15	118:18 119:6	sales 176:24	schools 124:15	131:21 148:1
164:14	20:22 24:8	120:1,3,21	same 9:8,10 19:1	schultz 2:3 4:5,14	152:4 159:12
remind 5:13,15	28:23 31:3,4	124:18 125:9	22:20 35:1,11	4:21 5:18,20,23	167:23 173:20
69:4,8 137:14	37:13 38:6 43:1	126:5 127:2,4,9	39:11,12 46:5	31:9,12 42:15	176:13
remorse 46:10	49:12 52:25	127:10,12,17,20	52:1 62:16 64:1	54:5,7,8 55:2,6	seeing 6:20 72:10
remorseful 103:7	53:20 55:9 58:1	127:22,23,24	74:4,9 78:17	55:7,18,20 57:2	135:14
remote 108:8	101:1 106:9,14	128:11,24 129:9	80:5 87:6 89:7	57:16 58:5 60:7	seek 140:25
renew 136:3	106:21 112:11	129:10,12,15,19	96:10 97:16,19	64:2,19 65:19	seeking 138:21
200-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-					to the second of

		Pag	ge 17		
seem 10:11 13:14	sentenced 26:12	sickness 20:15	78:18 98:9	72:20 74:3.11	125:2,14,22
13:17 15:18	60:15	side 6:22 29:22	134:22 142:15	75:3,5 77:6 80:4	126:2,12 150:12
31:18 45:20	separate 30:23	32:19 34:5,6,9	144:11,16	81:19,21 84:6	152:17
					1
58:23 78:18	61:11	40:5 42:18	145:15	86:12 87:7,8,16	someone's 52:8
79:22 82:12	separation 13:24	43:18 63:16	six 117:12 124:24	88:7,9 89:21	93:6
84:17	September 1:11	64:8 92:3 97:3	161:21 169:1	92:6 93:6,9	something 7:14
seemed 84:5	series 134:23	103:23 111:7	176:7	94:12,14 97:13	8:5,6,22 9:16,17
116:17 128:19	144:3	120:11 151:5	sixth 44:7	97:21 98:5,24	9:19 12:6,17
164:16	serious 101:19	153:9 164:23	skate 168:2	102:20,21,24	
					14:21 16:9
seems 13:18 19:3	110:20 112:16	178:22	skid 88:8	106:13 109:8,24	30:11 34:12,21
39:2,10 89:23	139:9	sides 5:14 8:23	skill 89:8	111:7,7 112:5	40:24 44:20
108:21 116:2	serve 7:7 70:22	28:23 33:2	slap 16:18	112:15 113:23	47:8 50:18
seen 41:3 86:15	served 70:9	36:20,21 52:19	slaps 16:17	114:1 115:7,25	59:16 64:16
86:16 92:22	serves 57:17	94:20,22 97:2	slaughtered 46:8	116:7,13,18,19	82:14 92:15,16
114:9 151:11	service 6:9 13:21	141:16	slavery 100:15	116:23 117:17	98:3 100:8
					105.2 100.0
165:18	66:8,10 70:7	sight 90:10	sleeping 157:16	118:3,14 122:3	105:2 109:21
sees 105:7	128:17 137:4	significant 87:7	slock 176:20	123:21 130:3,17	112:16,16,22
selected 70:12	169:6,16,18	139:12	slow 71:15 138:19	132:16 133:17	115:5 118:11
140:12	173:8 178:20	silly 89:11 136:17	slowness 163:7	134:5 135:10,20	123:21 129:14
selection 141:15	services 177:2,4	136:19	small 87:8	139:6,19 140:3	130:20,21
self-absorbed	serving 38:13	simple 33:1	smashing 147:19	140:7 141:6,11	132:22 135:5
1				1	
115:3	56:19 57:13	117:23 160:2	148:7 149:19	144:15 147:1,3	139:11,22
self-defense 16:6	107:11	165:3	smoke 74:3	147:21 148:12	[146:17,18]
16:15 82:6	set 161:14 162:10	simply 17:3 39:16	snaps 135:12	148:13 149:4,20	151:19 155:13
93:23	162:16 174:10	since 18:18 20:19	sneak 99:4	150:15,23 151:2	155:15 168:16
self-employed	setting 73:11	56:10 70:5	snow 146:15	151:16 155:15	172:8
123:1	settle 164:19	82:18 121:24	so-called 98:13	164:17 168:4,5	sometime 84:11
	1	125:1 139:19	111:2		
self-respect 10:6	settled 139:10	1		172:10,11	sometimes 9:15
106:3,10,14	seven 124:24	152:20 159:17	soccer 116:4	174:18,19	10:2 12:23
120:17	136:24,25	sincerely 121:1	social 73:16 74:9	175:22 176:12	14:20,24 17:1
selfish 54:14	several 8:25	single 28:3 36:18	74:10,16 79:6	176:17	17:17 18:13
sell 177:2,4	131.24 132:1	sir 54:7 58:17	86:24	somebody 9:5	20:8 23:9 27:14
selling 149:19	sexual 76:1,6,11	68:17,20 69:10	society 7:19,23	10:3 16:10	66:3 78:7 90:10
			11:21 12:5		
150:6	78:15 80:2	69:14 71:10,13	ſ	17:12 20:13,16	92:1,9 170:8
send 27:5 52:7	147:13	71:20 74:23	18:12,19 20:20	20:22 23:10	somewhat 72:12
53:7,23,25	Shakes 26:6	77:21 79:22	23:7,14,23 28:1	25:10 33:6 36:9	110:17
sense 10:9 11:25	174:24	81:6,12 82:13	31:15,17 36:3	37:10 39:23	somewhere 175:2
12:1 14:22,23	shaking 98:20	84:9 85:3 87:5	37:25 38:2,5	41:16 44:19	son 161:14 162:2
18:24 25:2	shalt 15:24,25	87:23 110:4	52:17 60:23,24	46:8,23 47:16	162:7,13 163:10
27:19 28:25	17:1,3,4	111:14 115:23	61:4 65:22 84:1	47:17 57:5 59:2	170:20,23
32:22 35:19,20	share 83:19 92:23	118:2 119:21	90:11 93:13,24	I .	
				59:4 67:12	173:10,17,18,20
41:6,8 59:23	176:8	120:24 121:11	94:1,3,3 96:9	76:22 79:4 83:2	174:12
64:18 78:21	shared 150:16	125:23 128:10	98:7 102:18	83:3 85:4,7,8,17	son's 162:11
81:11 89:22	shed 62:2	131:14 137:9,10	103:14,16,18	86:22 87:14	soon 34:4
95:4 109:16	sheltered 158:12	166:8	104:3 106:21	90:1 92:17	sorry 22:14 42:5
110:3 118:12	Shhh 122:20	sissy 116:9,11,12	107:18,19,25	94:17 97:13	67:24 115:20
124:22 134:3	shifts 143:14	sit 8:23 20:20	108:4,17 109:22	99:22 100:8	122:21 136:25
		45:11 79:11			
sent 27:22 56:15	ship 23:11		109:25 111:19	102:1 109:12	sort 14:19 15:8
64:11	shipped 26:18	90:3 120:2	113:13,24	112:15 116:25	16:3 17:7 20:3
sentence 8:14	shock 63:3	135:6 156:15,18	118:25 149:17	118:24 119:11	74:6,24 80:7
28:4,11 30:8,13	shock-value 8:21	161:16	149:25 150:1,4	119:22 122:6	111:7 112:19
37:13 38:13,18	shoot 100:24	sitting 41:17	150:4,5 151:15	129:14 132:14	113:23 151:13
39:23,25 41:4,5	157:14	58:11 70:1 72:3	154:16	148:3,8 149:10	164:17 171:16
41:22 42:1 43:1	shoot-out 100:22	78:13 80:17	society's 93:10,23	149:19 154:6,8	sorts 61:21
43:17 49:12,15	144:23,24	84:11 85:6	solely 96:19 97:11	154:24 157:17	soul 56:21.24
52:2 53:21 55:9	shooter 152:18	126:11 135:11	97:12 146:3	158:24 159:9,13	
			(i		117:17
56:19,20 60:7	shop 171:13	137:22 139:21	solicit 145:8	159:17	sound 30:20,20
62:25 63:5,9	short 63:2	situation 12:14	some 4:7,8,11 7:3	somebody's 7:10	112:19
78:10 80:1	show 95:13	40:23 42:8,13	10:3,12 11:25	139:25 140:1	sounds 14:13
106:12,17 107:7	101:25 140:20	52:2 65:24 72:6	13:3 15:25	157:16	15:9 19:6 21:23
107:8,11 108:10	143:1,15,16	80:20 104:10	16:15,24 18:10	somehow 7:8	Southwestern
111:18 117:9	showed 139:4	105:19 112:10	19:20 20:12,17	8:21 13:25	171:13
118:1 119:13,14	shows 129:15	112:25 118:4,11	22:3 24:2,11,11	27:25 30:4 49:4	speak 72:8 168:6
120:14 127:10	141:19,20	119:8 142:3,21	24:12,15,23	55:7 67:6 75:10	168:8
				_	
140:21,21	151:10	143:4 144:1,12	28:6 29:18	89:8 132:16	speaking 67:4
141:18,19 142:1	shred 58:20	144:20 145:2,10	30:14 35:8 36:9	172:7	95:14 130:9
144:4,5 147:8	shuffles 73:4	155:4 159:7	40:2,23 47:14	someone 21:15	137:22
149:23 150:2	sic 77:12 95:6	162:1 170:24	51:20 54:21	50:6 52:7 53:7	special 8:13 29:4
152:11,13	161:13 176:20	171:21 172:4,12	55:20 60:10	53:23,25 56:14	41:6 47:21
153:22 155:18	sick 20:14 44:4	172:13	61:11 62:2 70:3	56:15,18 74:19	51:11 52:4 53:6
160:23,24 161:4	116:5	situations 50:11	70:3 71:14	76:16 98:15,17	53:13 57:20,21
100.23,21101.7		J-14410110 JU.11		, 0.10 /0.10,1/	33.13 37.20,41
2 300 day - 7 300 day - 5 300 days - 5	and the same of th		and the second s		The second second of the second secon

Section 2-12-23-23-23-33-34-34-13-13-23-33-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34	50.33.35.50.10	142.14.24.24.24.2		gc 10	149.10.149.53	
6621 95:11 149:15 150:25 157:29 159:39 151:29 159:39 1	58:22,25 59:19	143:14,24 146:7	strangers 46:6	127:3 156:1,4,5	148:10 149:5,7	Telephone 2:9,16
975 100-3,12 152-1,9 153-9 street 1:18 88-9 66:24 153:19 160:25 1520-20 39:14 40:20 57:20 53:14 32:29 39:14 40:20 57:20 53:14 32:39 47:10 49:1 57:20 53:14 39:12 57:20 53:14 57:20 5	59:23,25 65:18	146:25 148:3	strategy 57:3	156:12 177:5	151:24 152:24	television 151:10
975 100-3,12 152-1,9 153-9 street 1:18 88-9 66:24 153:19 160:25 1520-20 39:14 40:20 57:20 53:14 32:29 39:14 40:20 57:20 53:14 32:39 47:10 49:1 57:20 53:14 39:12 57:20 53:14 57:20 5	65:21 95:11	149:15 150:25	straw 101:4.7	suppose 61:11	158:4.16 159:1	tell 6:8 7:13 10:23
1112 1212-0 160-13 162-3.6 121-22 157-6 165-44 151-16 165-44 165-44 165-44 165-44 165-44 165-44 165-44 165-44 165-44 165						
16225 180-25 580-26 580-		1		1		
specific 428,13 47:1049:1 43:1459:12 47:1049:1			1		1	
43:14.59:12 statement 46:19 state 64:19 statement 46:9 statement		162:25 180:2,5		supposed 8:6		39:14 40:20
43:14 59:12 statement 46:19 stricken 134:7 stricken 134:7 specifies 104:7 statement 46:9 statement 46:9 stricken 134:0 stricken 136:0 stric	specific 42:8,13	State's 3:7 6:9	strength 23:8,10	14:1 15:16	67:20 85:19	44:19 46:21
Size 164:3 State 164:19 Strike 3:12 4:19 Strikes 5:23 3:13:15:15 State 18:16:16 Strikes 5:23 striking 178:21 Striking 1					94-13 99-22	
specifies 104:7 specifies 104:7 specifies 104:7 specifies 104:7 specifies 104:7 specifies 104:7 state 16:9 stations 20:20 statistically 674 stations 26:20 statistically 674 stations 275 stations 27		l .	l .	1		
Fight Section 1989 1999 199	specifics 104:/					
Figure Section Secti	spectators 45:20	statement 46:9		52:9 54:11	takes 11:20 67:16	68:14 69:24
		89:20 126:12	strikes 57:3	67:13 79:25	138:20	70:16 80:19
States 68:16 strong 11:21 strong 12:21 strong 12:22 strong 12:21 stro		129.18 163.4	striking 178:21	92:5 104:14	taking 12:19	
specialite 72-9 station 27-2 specialite 72-9 specialite 72-9 specialite 72-9 specialite 72-9 specialite 72-9 statistically 67-4 statistica				!		f
168:2 stations 26:20 spence 3:4:4:20 statically 67:4 strongly 30:1,14 175:20 statutorily 7:5:9 structure 112:25 struggle 5:12,12 structure 112:25 struggle 5:12,12 struggle 5:14:4 spin 176:10 stays 128:20 spin 178:4 spin 178:4 spin 178:4 spin 18:10 stays 128:20 state 18:10 spin 18:10 stays 128:20 state 18:10 spin 18:10 stays 128:20 state 18:10 stays 128:20 state 18:10 stays 128:20 state 18:10 spin 18:10 stays 128:20 state 18:10 stays 128:20 state 19:10 spin 18:10 stays 128:20 state 19:10 stays 128:20 state 19:10 spin 18:10 stays 128:20 state 19:10 stay 18:10		1 .			1	
spend 34:420 statistically 67:4 strongly 30:1,14 175:24 176:1 statistically 67:4 struck 135:1 177:20 statistically 67:4 struck 135:1 177:20 statistically 67:4 struck 135:1 st						
spent 167:14 statuter 195:9 structure 112:25 strucgled 542:14:3 structure 112:25 stru	108:2		34:16 44:6	156:3 171:1,15	148:19 149:3	132:3,10 133:9
spent 167:14 statuter 195:9 structure 112:25 strucgled 542:14:3 structure 112:25 stru	spence 3:4 4:20	statistically 67:4	strongly 30:1,14	175:24 176:1	talk 21:19,19	133:9 137:2.4
23:11 24:2		1				
152:20 statutorily 75:94 struggled 57:12,12 surprised 67:9 59:19,22 62:23 15:17:17:62:1 15:18 59:19,22 62:23 15:17:17:62:1 15:17:62:1 15:18 15:18 15:18 15:18 15:18 15:19 15:19 15:19 15:19 15:10 15:19 15:10 15:19						
spent 167:14 stay 176:19 stay 176:19 stay 176:19 studied 47:16 spin 101:1 stay 176:19 studied 47:16 spin 101:1 stay 176:19 studied 47:16 spin 101:1 stay 176:19 study 151:12 spin 103:2 stealing 73:4 study 151:22 spoke 137:23 67:17 131:12 138:9 142:6,6 177:20 spit 151:0 123:24 spoke 137:23 67:17 131:12 138:9 142:6,6 177:20 spin 105:10 stack 67:7 stiff 61:2 sticks 167:19 stack 67:7 stiff 61:2 sticks 167:19 stake 167:19 stay 181:15 sticks 167:19 stay 181:15 sticks 167:19 stay 181:15 sticks 167:19 stay 181:15 star 4:10 star 4:1			l .			
168:5 175:15 111:24 studied 47:16 151:18 82:22 75:22 85:10.12 151:19 151:18 82:22 138:14 139:12 131:10 139:115:10 123:24 139:12 139:1						
spin 178-4 spin 101:1 stay 176:19 spin 101:1 spi	spent 167:14	statutory 75:4,13	struggled 54:2	surprises 10:18	59:19,22 62:23	175:17 176:21
spin 178-4 spin 101:1 stay 176:19 spin 101:1 spi		111:24	studied 47:16			
spinal 154:10 spiritual 103:2 stealing 73:4 stealing 73:5 stealing 73:4 stealing 73:4 stealing 73:4 stealing 7			I .			
spiritual 103-2 stealing 73-4 sterotype 1:14 Stenotype 1:10:15 Stenotype 1:14 Stenotype 1:15 Stenotype 1:15 Stenotype 1:15 S						
spirit 13:10 Stentype 1:14 step 7:15 67:13					Ł	
Til. Stenotype 1:14 split 15:10 123:24 spoke 137:23 138:9 stepoken 13:6 stepoken 13:5 stepok						
Stenotype 1:14 Spile 15:10 123:24 Spoke 137:23 138:9 138:9 136:23 138:9 136:23 138:9 136:23 138:9 136:23 138:9 136:23 138:9 136:23 138:9 136:23 138:9 136:23 138:9 138:9 136:23 138:9 136:23 138:9 136:23 138:9 136:23 138:9 138	spiritual 103:2	steals 148:8		survive 23:20	155:21 158:25	50:24 53:22
split 15:10 123:24 step 7:15 67:13 28:8 30:18 suspension talked 8:16,16 87:14 11:15 87:14 11:15 107:24 107:24 11:3:8 107:24 111:15 128:16 139:15 128:16 139:15 128:16 139:15 128:16 139:15 128:16 139:15 128:16 139:15 128:16 139:15 128:16 139:15 128:11 13:18 129:17 128:17 128:11 119:18 129:29 128:29 128:29 128:29 128:29 128:29 128:29 128:29 128:39 129:17 129:11 138:29		Stenotype 1:14		suspect 11:24		
Spoke 137:23 42:16.2 57:17 131:12 133:8 138:19 136:19 136:14 136				} - .		
142:66, 177:20 storn 117:4 stoker 165:24 sticker 165:25 still 5:15 6:25 7:4 staff 61:2 99 12:7,11 14:6 stall 105:10 15:1 19:14 stance 93:22 stand 9:19 27:19 28:10 43:5 53:19 54:10 134:41 151:24 stance 93:22 stand 9:19 27:19 stance 93:22 stand 9:19 27:19 stance 93:22 stand 9:19 27:19 28:10 43:5 53:19 54:10 134:41 151:24 stance 93:22 stand 9:19 27:19 69:25 70:1 substance 25:11 start 4:10 147:20 start 4:10 147:10 158:25 150:11 starting 81:13 startes 81:1 92:8 129:2 140:8 132:22 33:5 52:4 177:10,14 startes 81:1 92:8 177:10,14 startes 8			I			
spoken 13:6 17:4 17:14 17:42 17:14 17:15 17:16 17:14 17:14 17:15 17:16 17:14 17:15 17:16		1				
115:16,25 116:9 117:12 stack of 2:7 still 5:15 6:25 7:4 staff 61:2 99 12:7,11 14:6 stall 105:10 15:1 19:14 stance 93:22 stand 9:19 27:19 28:10 43:5 53:19 54:10 134:1 15:12 53:19 54:10 134:1 15:12 54:10 15:1 19:14 54:10 15:12 53:19 54:10 134:1 15:6 53:19 54:10 134:1 15:6 53:19 54:10 134:1 15:6 134:1 134:1 13:19 13:18 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:17 13:11 13:19 13:13 13:17 13:11 13:19 13:13 13:17 13:11 13:19 13:17 13:11 13:11 13:11 13:10 13:17 13:11						tells 32:22 39:6
115:16,25 116:9 117:12 stack of 2:7 still 5:15 6:25 7:4 staff 61:2 99 12:7,11 14:6 stall 105:10 15:1 19:14 stance 93:22 stand 9:19 27:19 28:10 43:5 53:19 54:10 134:1 15:12 53:19 54:10 134:1 15:12 54:10 15:1 19:14 54:10 15:12 53:19 54:10 134:1 15:6 53:19 54:10 134:1 15:6 53:19 54:10 134:1 15:6 134:1 134:1 13:19 13:18 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:17 13:11 13:19 13:13 13:17 13:11 13:19 13:13 13:17 13:11 13:19 13:17 13:11 13:11 13:11 13:10 13:17 13:11	spoken 13:6	stern 117:4	97:19,19 110:12	Sustain 128:5	152:16 170:24	40:1,17 148:11
squad 23:24 stickers 165:18 sticks 167:19 sticks 167:19 sticks 167:19 sticks 167:19 sticks 167:19 swear 141:22 swore 125:4 swore 125:4 swore 125:4 still 51:32 swore 125:4 swore 1		sticker 165:24	115:16.25 116:9	SUV 9:6	172:9	
Si 171:11 sticks 167:19 still 5:15 6:25 7:4 starf 61:2 stall 105:10 15:1 19:14 stance 93:22 stand 9:19 27:19 28:10 43:5 submit 115:15 submit 115:15 submit 115:15 submit 115:15 sympathetic 15:1 19:14 48:23 63:1 152:11 16:38 sympathy 39:15 submit 115:15 sympathy 39:15 sym						
stack 67:7 still 5:15 6:25 7:4 sty 113:17 sworn 141:21 31:17 32:11 35:7,13,14 35:19						
staff 61:2 9:9 12:7,11 14:6 subject 11:21 sympathetic 41:24 46:20 64:11 78:10 15:11 9:14 48:23 63:1 15:11 0:16 65:11 9:24 66:11 9:24 167:9 167:23 17:13 17:13 17:13 17:13 17:13 17:13 17:13 17:13 17:13 17:13 17:13 17:13 17:13 17:13 17:13	•			l .		
stall 105:10 stance 93:22 22:12,21 23:10 submit 115:15 sympathy 39:15 39:20 74:18 104:12 107:21 137:6 134:1 134:1 133:19 135:3,18 152:11 136:8 152:11 136:8 152:11 136:8 152:11 136:8 152:11 136:8 134:1 136:13 135:14 135:14 133:19 135:3,18 135:14 135:16 135:14 135:15 139:20 135:14 135:16 135:14 135:15 139:20 135:11 135:15 139:20 135:14 135:15 139:20 135:14 135:15 139:20 135:14 135:15 139:15					1	
stance 93:22 22:12,21 23:10 submit 115:15 subpoenaed 134:16 129:13 34:16 129:13 34:4 151:24 69:25 70:1 74:20 78:4 substantial 64:20 substa	staff 61:2	9:9 12:7,11 14:6	subject 11:21		41:24 46:20	64:11 78:10
stance 93:22 stand 91:19 27:19 28:10 43:5 33:19 54:10 34:16 129:13 34:4 151:24 69:25 70:1 94:12 standard 94:11 90:25 70:1 94:12 stard 46:10:16 60:9 68:15 76:21 78:18 102:20 106:10 132:25 163:78 177:19 149:1 152:10 147:20 started 4:10 149:1 152:10 147:20 started 8:11 32:23 state 13:2:23 stoke 176:5,10 177:10,14 started 8:11 32:22 33:3 5:24 6:1 13:24 state 13:2:23 stone 3:7 5:10 177:10,14 started 13:22 24:5 33:6 3:7:12 40:7 43:17 51:18; 25 57:8 61:4 62:210,11,13 63:19 72:19 14:11 stope 65:17 50:7 50:9 5:5 78:6124 62:10,11,13 63:19 72:19 14:11 stope 65:17 50:7 50:10 13:21 150:7 170:14 13:21 150:7 170:10 14:21 170:11 12:13:2 170:11 13:24 170:11 13:24 170:11 13:24 170:11 13:24 170:11 13:24 170:11 13:24 170:11 13:24 170:11 13:24 170:11 13:24 170:11 13:24 170:11 13:24 170:11 13:24 170:11 13:24 170:11 13:24 170:11 13:24 170:11 13:24 170:11 17	stall 105:10	15:1 19:14	89:19	155:14	48:23 63:1	152:11 163:8
stand 9:19 27:19 34:16 129:13 134:1 4151:24 standard 94:11 94:12 stands 45:24 stards 45:24 start 4:6 10:16 60:9 68:15 76:21 78:18 100:20 106:10 147:20 147:17 19 149:1 152:10 147:20 149:1 152:10 147:20 149:1 152:10 147:20 153:23 131:23 133:19 14:11 1 starting 81:13 started 4:10 14:12 12:22 24:5 33:6 37:12 40:7 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:7 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:7 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:7 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:7 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:7 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:7 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:7 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:7 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:7 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:7 43:18 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:7 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:3 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:3 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:3 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:3 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:3 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:3 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:3 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:3 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:3 43:17 51:18 (36:36 37:12 40:3 43:13 51:14 (36:36 37:12 40:3 43:14 51:14 (36:36 37:12 40:3 43:14 51:14 (36:36 37:12 40:3 43:14 51:14 (36:36 37:14 40:3 43:14 51:14 (36:36 37:14 40:3 43:14 51:14 (36:36 37:14 40:3 43:14 51:14 (36:36 37:14 40:3 43:14 51:14 (36:36 37:14 40:3 43:14 51:14 (36:36 37:14 40:3 43:14 51:14 (36:36 37:14 40:3 43:14 51:14 (36:36 37:14 40:3 43:14 51:14 (36:36 37:14 40:3 43:14 51:14 (36:36 37:14 40:3 43:14 51:14 (36:36 37:14 40:3 40:3 40:3 40:3 40:3 40:3 40:3 40			submit 115:15		_	
34:16 129:13 53:19 54:10 134:1 115:6 symptoms 20:18 122:3,5,5,16,17 128:19 132:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:8 142:18 136:23 138:19 157:7 136:46 65:7 132:25 138:25 147:8 136:23 138:3 147:17 149:1 136:46 65:3 177:10,14 118:9,12,13,16 136:23 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 142:33 138:3 138:3 142:33 13						i i
134:4 151:24 57:25 58:7 69:9 substance 25:11 25:25 25:25 symptoms 20:18 sympt		I.				
standard 94:11 69:25 70:1 74:20 78:4 substantial 64:20 substantially 29:24 55:10,15 60:9 68:15 97:3,22 99:13 76:21 78:18 102:20 106:10 87:18 93:18 126:20 127:8 147:17,19 149:1 152:10 147:20 158:25 160:1 147:20 158:25 160:1 147:20 158:25 160:1 147:22 starting 81:13 51:23 stock 176:5,10 177:10,14 141:12:22 22 42:5 stock 176:5,10 177:13 56:4 66:3 119:12,23,25 stock 176:5,10 177:13 160:24 161:2 119:12,23,25 160:24 161:2 150:10 177:10,11 160:24 161:2 150:10 177:12 177:14 teacher 168:7 teacher 161:3 teacher 168:7 teacher 168:7 teacher 168:7 teacher 169						
94:12 stands 45:24 82:17 85:16 82:17 85:16 82:17 85:16 87:11 95:17 29:24 55:10,15 58:6 65:7 58:6 65:7 58:6 65:7 58:6 65:7 58:6 65:7 58:6 65:7 58:6 65:7 58:6 65:7 58:6 65:7 58:6 65:7 58:6 65:7 58:6 65:7 58:6 65:7 58:25 160:1 58:25 160:1 58:25 160:1 58:25 160:1 58:25 160:1 58:25 160:1 58:25 160:1 58:25 160:1 58:25 160:1 59:8 52:4 6:1 13:24 172:13 175:2,2 43:11,16 95:24 14:1 22:22 24:5 33:6 37:12 40:7 43:17 51:18,25 57:8 61:24 77:23 78:25 57:8 61:24 77:23 78:25 57:8 61:24 77:23 78:25 57:8 61:24 77:23 78:25 77:23	134:4 151:24	57:25 58:7 69:9	substance 25:11	symptoms 20:18	128:19 132:23	tend 30:2 35:23
stands 45:24 start 4:6 10:16 started 4:10 147:20 146:18 started 4:10 147:20 15tarting 81:13 started 4:10 147:20 15tarting 81:13 started 1:3 2:2 3:3 5:24 6:1 13:24 13:19 2:8 state 1:3 2:2 2:34 5:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:13 15:24 6:1 13:22 24:5 13:2 3:15:18 119:15:18 119:15:16 119:12:2.2 24:5 13:6 6:17 17:13 56:4 6:3 119:12:2 24:1 17:13 56:4 6:3 119:12:2 25:19 17:3 175:118:25 16:14 17:13 56:4 6:3 119:12:2 19:14 11:11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	standard 94:11	69:25 70:1	25:25	syphilis 116:25	138:8 142:18	85:19 14 7 :7
stands 45:24 start 4:6 10:16 started 4:10 147:20 146:18 started 4:10 147:20 15tarting 81:13 started 4:10 147:20 15tarting 81:13 started 1:3 2:2 3:3 5:24 6:1 13:24 13:19 2:8 state 1:3 2:2 2:34 5:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:10:14 17:13 15:24 6:1 13:22 24:5 13:2 3:15:18 119:15:18 119:15:16 119:12:2.2 24:5 13:6 6:17 17:13 56:4 6:3 119:12:2 24:1 17:13 56:4 6:3 119:12:2 25:19 17:3 175:118:25 16:14 17:13 56:4 6:3 119:12:2 19:14 11:11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	94:12	74:20 78:4	substantial 64:20	syringe 25:11.15	147:17 149:1.2	155.7
start 4:6	1	!				l la
60:9 68:15		l				
This is a contract of the co						
87:18 93:18 126:20 127:8 132:25 sudden 134:16 177:12 task 34:21 task 34:22 17:16 24:12 task 34:22 task 53:3 task 54 (6.22,23 17:16 24:12 task 34:22 tas						
147:17,19 149:1 129:2 140:8 149:1 152:10 159:8 sufficient 41:2,21 starting 81:13 164:8,9 171:24 42:1,22 43:2,3 starts 81:1 92:8 172:13 175:2,2 43:11,16 95:24 table 6:3,6,14 72:4 90:3 138:3 teacher 168:7 teachers 61:3,3 teaching 15:6 101:22 testified 71:11 teaching 15:6 101:22 testified 71:12 testified 71:12 testified 71:12 testified 71:12 testified 71:12 testified 71:13	76:21 78:18	102:20 106:10	substantive	139:25 142:8	tank 176:7	115:2
147:17,19 149:1 129:2 140:8 149:1 152:10 159:8 sufficient 41:2,21 starting 81:13 164:8,9 171:24 42:1,22 43:2,3 starts 81:1 92:8 172:13 175:2,2 43:11,16 95:24 table 6:3,6,14 72:4 90:3 138:3 teacher 168:7 teachers 61:3,3 teaching 15:6 101:22 testified 71:11 teaching 15:6 101:22 testified 71:12 testified 71:12 testified 71:12 testified 71:12 testified 71:12 testified 71:13	87:18 93:18	126:20 127:8		163:7,8 177:11	177:14	termination 62:9
started 4:10						
147:20				- · · · · • -		
starting 81:13 164:8,9 171:24 42:1,22 43:2,3 T 1:1 2:4 68:1 teacher 168:7 173:24 starts 81:1 92:8 172:13 175:2,2 43:11,16 95:24 117:8,24 118:3 12:4 90:3 138:3 teachers 61:3,3 teachers 61:3,3 teachers 61:3,3 teachers 91:23 teachers 91:23 teachers 91:23 teacher 168:7 teacher 168:7 teacher 91:23 teacher 91:23 teacher 168:7 teacher 168:7 teacher 91:23 teacher 91:23 teacher 91:23 teacher 91:23 teacher 168:7 teacher 91:23 teache						
starts 81:1 92:8 172:13 175:2,2 43:11,16 95:24 table 6:3,6,14 teachers 61:3,3 teachers 91:23 teaching 15:6 17:16 24:12 17:18 24:12 17:18 24:12 17:18 24:12 17:18 24:12					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
state 1:3 2:2 3:3 stock 176:5,10 117:8,24 118:3 72:4 90:3 138:3 teaches 91:23 82:17 98:19 14:1 22:22 24:5 13:40:23,23 14:12 2:22 24:5 17:13 56:4 66:3 120:3,13,17,19 120:14 120:						
state 1:3 2:2 3:3 stock 176:5,10 117:8,24 118:3 72:4 90:3 138:3 teaches 91:23 82:17 98:19 14:1 22:22 24:5 stone 3:7 5:10 119:12,23,25 take 4:6,22,23 17:16 24:12 test 58:14,18 33:6 37:12 40:7 17:13 56:4 66:3 120:3,13,17,19 120:3,13,17,19 10:1 11:22 13:2 102:14 Testament 17:11 43:17 51:18,25 stop 15:2 76:22 153:21 155:18 10:1 11:22 13:2 15:1,10 testified 71:12 57:8 61:24 77:23 78:25 160:24 161:2 35:24 38:22 15:1,10 testified 71:12 62:10,11,13 98:16 116:1,3 suing 22:5 35:24 38:22 177:8 testified 71:12 81:4 91:16 stopped 65:17 Suite 2:8,15 39:2 40:17 41:1 tear 176:23,24 143:21 151:1 92:21 97:4 stops 95:5 sum 80:12 47:23 61:14 tear 41:17 46:12 156:4 129:5,20 131:23 stories 83:12 summoned 75:11 94:11 97:14 technical 177:11 testifying 85:4,5 133:10,14 134:1 story 41:19 supply 121:13 101:3 114:1 171:5,8,10 17:15,8,10 </td <td>starts 81:1 92:8</td> <td>172:13 175:2,2</td> <td>43:11,16 95:24</td> <td>table 6:3,6,14</td> <td></td> <td>terrible 40:11</td>	starts 81:1 92:8	172:13 175:2,2	43:11,16 95:24	table 6:3,6,14		terrible 40:11
5:24 6:1 13:24 177:10,14 118:9,12,13,16 140:23,23 teaching 15:6 101:22 test 58:14,18 14:1 22:22 24:5 33:6 37:12 40:7 17:13 56:4 66:3 17:13 56:4 66:3 120:3,13,17,19 128:3,8,20 102:14 <t< td=""><td>state 1:3 2:2 3:3</td><td>stock 176:5.10</td><td>117:8,24 118:3</td><td></td><td>teaches 91:23</td><td>82:17 98:19</td></t<>	state 1:3 2:2 3:3	stock 176:5.10	117:8,24 118:3		teaches 91:23	82:17 98:19
14:1 22:22 24:5 stone 3:7 5:10 119:12,23,25 take 4:6,22,23 17:16 24:12 test 58:14,18 33:6 37:12 40:7 43:17 51:18,25 stop 15:2 76:22 153:21 155:18 10:1 11:22 13:2 10:1 11:22 13:2 15:1,10 testified 71:12 57:8 61:24 77:23 78:25 160:24 161:2 13:5,22 22:14 15:1,10 testified 71:12 testified 71:12 62:10,11,13 98:16 116:1,3 suicide 98:13 27:18 34:16 177:8 177:8 134:4 143:17,18 81:4 91:16 stopped 65:17 Suite 2:8,15 39:2 40:17 41:1 team 176:23,24 14:20 testified 71:12 testify 87:16 91:3 92:21 97:4 stops 95:5 sum 80:12 39:2 40:17 41:1 tears 41:17 46:12 156:4 100:1 120:13,17 150:7 164:25 77:3,18 92:11 technical 177:11 156:4 127:23 128:9,20 159:5,20 131:23 164:25 94:11 97:14 technicality 105:8 85:18 88:6,6 13:10,14 134:1 stories 83:12 support 15:4 121:4 122:14,15 171:5,8,10 121:4 122:14,15 134:19 135:3,18 136:13 138:21 52:24 44:6 93:8 94:9 137:6 138:11 171:5,8,10						
33:6 37:12 40:7 17:13 56:4 66:3 120:3,13,17,19 7:12 8:3,8,20 102:14 Testament 17:11 43:17 51:18,25 5top 15:2 76:22 153:21 155:18 10:1 11:22 13:2 15:1,10 teachings 13:12 193:17,20 57:8 61:24 77:23 78:25 160:24 161:2 13:5,22 22:14 15:1,10 testified 71:12 testified 71:12 62:10,11,13 98:16 116:1,3 suicide 98:13 27:18 34:16 team 176:23,24 testified 71:12 81:4 91:16 stopped 65:17 Suite 2:8,15 39:2 40:17 41:1 tearing 147:20 143:21 151:1 92:21 97:4 stops 95:5 sum 80:12 47:23 61:14 tears 41:17 46:12 156:4 120:6,21,21 150:7 164:25 77:3,18 92:11 technical 177:11 85:18 88:6,6 129:5,20 131:23 stories 83:12 summoned 75:11 94:11 97:14 technicality 105:8 90:25 151:1 134:19 135:3,18 story 41:19 support 15:4 121:4 122:14,15 171:5,8,10 texas 1:3,5,13,17 136:13 138:21 52:24 44:6 93:8 94:9 141:20,21 142:6 175:15 176:5 1:18 2:2,8,16						
43:17 51:18,25 stop 15:2 76:22 153:21 155:18 10:1 11:22 13:2 teachings 13:12 93:17,20 57:8 61:24 77:23 78:25 160:24 161:2 13:5,22 22:14 15:1,10 testified 71:12 62:10,11,13 98:16 116:1,3 suicide 98:13 27:18 34:16 team 176:23,24 testify 87:16 91:3 81:4 91:16 stopped 65:17 suing 22:5 35:24 38:22 177:8 134:4 143:17,18 92:21 97:4 stops 95:5 sum 80:12 47:23 61:14 tears 41:17 46:12 156:4 100:1 120:13,17 150:7 164:25 77:3,18 92:11 technical 177:11 85:18 88:6,6 127:23 128:9,20 stories 83:12 summoned 75:11 94:11 97:14 technical 177:11 85:18 88:6,6 133:10,14 134:1 story 41:19 support 15:4 121:4 122:14,15 171:5,8,10 Texarkana 70:21 134:19 135:3,18 straightforward 18:20 24:20,20 137:6 138:11 171:5,8,10 texas 1:3,5,13,17 136:13 138:21 52:24 44:6 93:8 94:9 141:20,21 142:6 175:15 176:5 1:18 2:2,8,16		_				
57:8 61:24 77:23 78:25 160:24 161:2 13:5,22 22:14 15:1,10 testified 71:12						
62:10,11,13 98:16 116:1,3 141:11 suing 22:5 35:24 38:22 177:8 134:4 143:17,18 134:4 143:						
62:10,11,13 98:16 116:1,3 141:11 suing 22:5 35:24 38:22 177:8 134:4 143:17,18 134:4 143:				13:5,22 22:14		
63:19 72:19 141:11 sting 22:5 35:24 38:22 177:8 134:4 143:17,18 81:4 91:16 stopped 65:17 stops 95:5 sum 80:12 47:23 61:14 tearing 147:20 143:21 151:1 126:6,21,21 150:7 store 144:21,21 150:7 66:17 75:24 teased 116:6 testifying 85:4,5 127:23 128:9,20 storeooms 70:4 stories 83:12 summoned 75:11 94:11 97:14 technical 177:11 85:18 88:6,6 133:10,14 134:1 story 41:19 supply 121:13 101:3 114:1 Tel 180:21 testimony 151:8 134:19 135:3,18 straightforward 18:20 24:20,20 137:6 138:11 171:5,8,10 texas 1:3,5,13,17 136:13 138:21 52:24 44:6 93:8 94:9 141:20,21 142:6 175:15 176:5 1:18 2:2,8,16	62:10,11,13	98:16 116:1.3	suicide 98:13		team 176:23.24	testify 87:16 91:3
81:4 91:16 stopped 65:17 Suite 2:8,15 39:2 40:17 41:1 tearing 147:20 143:21 151:1 92:21 97:4 stops 95:5 sum 80:12 47:23 61:14 tears 41:17 46:12 156:4 100:1 120:13,17 150:7 150:7 66:17 75:24 teased 116:6 testifying 85:4,5 127:23 128:9,20 storerooms 70:4 stories 83:12 summoned 75:11 94:11 97:14 technical 177:11 85:18 88:6,6 133:10,14 134:1 story 41:19 supply 121:13 101:3 114:1 Tel 180:21 testimony 151:8 134:19 135:3,18 straightforward 18:20 24:20,20 137:6 138:11 171:5,8,10 texas 1:3,5,13,17 136:13 138:21 52:24 44:6 93:8 94:9 141:20,21 142:6 175:15 176:5 1:18 2:2,8,16						
92:21 97:4 stops 95:5 sum 80:12 47:23 61:14 tears 41:17 46:12 156:4 100:1 120:13,17 126:6,21,21 150:7 164:25 77:3,18 92:11 technical 177:11 85:18 88:6,6 129:5,20 131:23 stories 83:12 summoned 75:11 94:11 97:14 technical 177:11 90:25 151:1 133:10,14 134:1 story 41:19 support 15:4 121:4 122:14,15 121:4 122:14,15 171:5,8,10 Texarkana 70:21 136:13 138:21 52:24 44:6 93:8 94:9 141:20,21 142:6 175:15 176:5 1:18 2:2,8,16					i i	
100:1 120:13,17 126:6,21,21 126:6,21,21 127:23 128:9,20 127:23 128:9,20 131:23 133:10,14 134:1 134:19 135:3,18 136:13 138:21 store 144:21,21 164:25 164:25 77:3,18 92:11 177:11 164:25 summoned 75:11 supply 121:13 supply 121:13 supply 121:13 supply 121:13 support 15:4 18:20 24:20,20 44:6 93:8 94:9 94:11 97:14 technical 177:11 technicality 105:8 90:25 151:1 testimony 151:8 Tel 180:21 telecom 164:7 171:5,8,10 texas 1:3,5,13,17 175:15 176:5						
126:6,21,21 150:7 164:25 77:3,18 92:11 technical 177:11 85:18 88:6,6 127:23 128:9,20 storerooms 70:4 summoned 75:11 94:11 97:14 technical 177:11 85:18 88:6,6 129:5,20 131:23 stories 83:12 supply 121:13 101:3 114:1 Tel 180:21 testimony 151:8 133:10,14 134:1 story 41:19 straightforward 18:20 24:20,20 137:6 138:11 171:5,8,10 texas 1:3,5,13,17 136:13 138:21 52:24 44:6 93:8 94:9 141:20,21 142:6 175:15 176:5 1:18 2:2,8,16						£×.
127:23 128:9,20 storerooms 70:4 summoned 75:11 94:11 97:14 technicality 105:8 90:25 151:1 129:5,20 131:23 stories 83:12 supply 121:13 101:3 114:1 Tel 180:21 testimony 151:8 133:10,14 134:1 story 41:19 support 15:4 121:4 122:14,15 telecom 164:7 Texarkana 70:21 134:19 135:3,18 straightforward 18:20 24:20,20 137:6 138:11 171:5,8,10 texas 1:3,5,13,17 136:13 138:21 52:24 44:6 93:8 94:9 141:20,21 142:6 175:15 176:5 1:18 2:2,8,16	1			1		
127:23 128:9,20 storerooms 70:4 summoned 75:11 94:11 97:14 technicality 105:8 90:25 151:1 129:5,20 131:23 stories 83:12 supply 121:13 101:3 114:1 Tel 180:21 testimony 151:8 133:10,14 134:1 story 41:19 support 15:4 121:4 122:14,15 telecom 164:7 Texarkana 70:21 136:13 138:21 52:24 44:6 93:8 94:9 141:20,21 142:6 175:15 176:5 1:18 2:2,8,16	126:6,21,21			77:3,18 92:11	technical 177:11	
129:5,20 131:23 stories 83:12 supply 121:13 101:3 114:1 Tel 180:21 testimony 151:8 133:10,14 134:1 story 41:19 support 15:4 121:4 122:14,15 telecom 164:7 Texarkana 70:21 134:19 135:3,18 straightforward 18:20 24:20,20 137:6 138:11 171:5,8,10 texas 1:3,5,13,17 136:13 138:21 52:24 44:6 93:8 94:9 141:20,21 142:6 175:15 176:5 1:18 2:2,8,16	127:23 128:9,20	storerooms 70:4	summoned 75:11		technicality 105:8	90:25 151:1
133:10,14 134:1 story 41:19 support 15:4 121:4 122:14,15 telecom 164:7 Texarkana 70:21 134:19 135:3,18 straightforward 18:20 24:20,20 137:6 138:11 171:5,8,10 texas 1:3,5,13,17 136:13 138:21 52:24 44:6 93:8 94:9 141:20,21 142:6 175:15 176:5 1:18 2:2,8,16						
134:19 135:3,18 straightforward 18:20 24:20,20 137:6 138:11 171:5,8,10 texas 1:3,5,13,17 136:13 138:21 52:24 44:6 93:8 94:9 141:20,21 142:6 175:15 176:5 1:18 2:2,8,16						
136:13 138:21 52:24 44:6 93:8 94:9 141:20.21 142:6 175:15 176:5 1:18 2:2,8,16						
			, ,			
142:11 143:11 stranger 158:18 94:10,20 115:3 146:4 147:24 177:8 5:24 6:19 18:1						
	142:11 143:11	stranger 158:18	94:10,20 115:3	146:4 147:24	177:8	5:24 6:19 18:1

			ge 19		
28:4 36:16	112:20 113:23	111:6 112:14,23	109:23 118:25	toward 7:15	104:10,24
38:14 51:19	116:14,14	114:7,11,14	150:4	47:20 162:6	125:17,19,25
60:8,13 78:9	118:20 119:2.3	115:1,4,6 116:1	threatening	towards 17:23	129:9 130:22
96:24 97:4,25	120:8 129:4	116:3 120:21	149:12	90:15	133:2 173:18,19
	134:23 139:20	121:9 123:12	three 25:14,14,15	trade 67:24	174:3,4
99:14 107:10,11			25 21 22 22		
142:8 145:9	156:8	125:16 126:9	25:21 92:22	trading 67:25	tuberculosis
164:10 180:2,5	things 6:24 7:23	133:6,12 134:1	94:1 109:20	tragic 41:13	20:16
180:18,19,21	9:13,15,21	134:6,6,17,25	142:15	117:19	tumor 151:2
thank 55:20,25	13:14 14:3,4	134:25 135:4,16	thrilled 7:8 93:5	train 26:20 27:2	turn 16:15 47:18
57:1 66:7,8,16	15:5 17:3 18:3	135:24 137:3	through 10:17	training 84:14	93:20 114:2,11
	20:11 21:11	138:24,25 139:2	30:19 64:10	88:11	114:11 140:16
69:12,20 79:23					
121:2,3 137:4	33:14,17,20	139:18,19	80:5 89:8 101:4	trainmen 28:12	145:16 152:1
137:17 166:5	34:5,6,15 39:14	140:12,13,16,19	101:7 104:12	trains 26:18,23	turned 118:7
177:22 179:1	46:3 51:13,14	140:20 141:2,2	113:22 142:7	26:24 27:3,5	TV 18:16 22:10
theft 36:8 75:3	55:15 56:10,12	141:6 143:4,7	146:15 161:6	trait 155:9	139:22 140:16
148:8	60:22 61:24	145:18 146:1	176:25	tran 3:5 4:20	Twelve 10:7
thefts 109:8,8	63:4 71:16 73:4	147:5,11 148:3	Throw 130:11	transactions	two 14:3 22:4
	73:5 75:12,13	148:6,10,21	throws 98:19	132:7,8	30:23 48:11
their 9:6 19:19					
20:8 21:25	79:14 81:25	149:20 150:14	thumb 25:22	transcription	53:12 56:16
27:23 44:2 52:8	82:1,2,2,4 85:24	151:8,23,25	thumbs 25:14,14	1:15 180:6	59:7,8,25 60:4
53:7,23,25	86:15,17 92:8	152:1,9,12,20	time 4:9 6:24,24	transforming	64:20 69:5
56:15 59:13	92:24 102:20,21	154:15,21,21	14:18,19 15:23	74:10	70:21,23 95:11
60:11 73:17,23	102:22 103:22	155:12 156:19	18:13 20:8	treat 90:6 92:11	96:3 102:19
74:19 76:7 77:7	102:22 103:22	157:8 158:21	22:11 24:2,4	92:17	105:14 113:5
			28:1 33:16	treated 32:17	
78:20 89:2,8,12	112:4,9,14	160:9 162:4,13			119:18 124:13
90:9,23,23	114:3 115:2	167:1 168:4	55:18 59:2 63:2	treating 92:9	137:23 138:11
91:14,22 93:8	116:24 117:22	175:20 178:1,2	66:6,6 68:18	treatment 112:15	139:19 140:2,10
95:5 98:9 102:5	119:15 141:11	thinking 9:25	72:18,18 75:13	tremendous 22:8	144:7 145:9
102:25 103:7,7	146:10 148:7	10:12,24 11:6	77:3 83:4 95:14	177:13,15	172:12
103:9,10 113:8	149:7 150:11,14	20:6 21:8 24:3	104:17 107:13	trial 1:2,8 12:20	two-step 74:25
113:11 114:6	150:20,20,21	30:21 42:9 45:5	107:15 108:22	13:10 18:14	type 6:13 46:16
		45:23 56:11	110:12 112:22	27:23 28:4	62:23 72:20
115:3 117:13	151:11 159:3,22	•			
118:10 127:11	think 4:7,8,10,18	67:4 74:12	113:7,19 114:12	29:22 31:7	84:6 118:14
127:11,13	7:17,17 8:23,25	76:21 77:8	114:16 115:4	33:17 39:6 40:5	140:11,18 141:1
131:24 134:5	9:3,8,10 10:16	85:13,14 86:23	116:5,6 120:4	40:6,9 41:11	141:2 142:21
143:11,24 145:6	11:3,14,16,16	93:23 96:17,17	120:25 123:8,23	57:20 59:14	143:8 148:10
145:13 146:3	12:25 16:5,17	114:5 140:3	127:1 134:21	61:23 63:15	150:11,21,24
148:12,12,25	18:5,6,11,12,12	141:24 159:13	137:6 138:16,16	66:10 76:6	151:8
	18:13,18,18	173:13 178:24	138:20,25 139:6	81:24 82:11	typing 12:19
149:11,16					typing 12.19
151:18 157:13	20:19,23 21:7	thinks 33:6	139:9,17,19	91:10 92:10,18	
160:5 171:12	21:10,11 23:8	third 57:21	145:5 152:21	92:19,20,21	U
177:14	24:2,3,11,11	138:16	157:9 167:12	96:24,25 97:3,9	uh-huh 10:10,22
themselves 27:16	25:13 26:7,17	thirsty 118:7	168:5 169:9,12	135:20 142:7,9	11:2 12:16
36:20 145:7	27:6,8 31:25	thou 15:24,25	175:22 176:3,10	152:13 155:10	15:12 18:25
149:11,16,17	32:3,5,17 34:19	17:1,3,4	176:17	156:2,9 159:13	19:16,24 22:19
theoretically 55:7	34:21,23 35:23	though 12:23	times 10:16 19:18	trials 17:6 28:6	
		15:18 66:8 76:3	24:10 45:8 65:4	tries 116:11	23:21 38:21
theory 45:2,3	36:2,10 37:11				39:1 77:11
54:19	37:17,17,18,20	86:24 95:8	70:8 71:11 79:5	trouble 23:4	85:22 106:25
therefor 149:8	44:16 45:17,17	99:13 101:9	96:9 164:7	72:24 116:17	107:5 127:5
they'd 114:2	46:11,13 47:5,7	148:18	172:10 174:19	true 3:8 5:14	172:20,22 174:5
175:21	47:10 50:5,16	thought 11:10	tired 16:20	10:15 34:7,11	175:14 176:6
thing 12:11 14:19	50:22 52:4,6	40:4 41:14	today 151:15	57:10 66:21	ultimate 58:18
16:3,7,19 17:7	53:3,5,5,10,24	44:12,20 46:8	166:13	67:25 100:5	93:14 141:10
19:1 20:3 22:15	55:9,12 56:20	46:22 47:2,4	told 28:17 44:25	102:1,6 169:24	ultimately 36:21
22:20 34:14	62:18 63:23,24	54:2,9 56:13,15	46:24 48:24	170:5 180:6	55:17 57:17,21
35:1 41:4 43:25	64:6 65:5,18	64:6,18 72:4	50:14,16,21		
				truly 180:10	159:19
44:1,9,11,13,16	66:4,7 71:23	91:5 114:8	57:4 60:7 64:2	truth 25:24 83:9	unable 30:14
44:20,22 46:5	73:10,14,22	126:14 131:3	84:23 125:8,10	87:15 116:23	undefined 146:20
46:22 47:2,4,6	74:14 75:21,25	134:23 135:22	125:12,13	125:5,8,10,11	149:24
50:22 51:7,8	76:22,24 77:9	159:9 161:24	134:18 143:20	125:12,13	under 5:13,15
54:9,11 57:4	77:19,23 78:25	168:8 173:23,24	144:1 152:8	truthful 69:6	11:6 22:6,24
60:9 62:23 63:8	80:12 82:15,16	174:6 176:20	154:15,16 178:2	87:18,20 88:1	34:13 69:5,9
69:21 74:7,9	82:20 83:1	thoughts 56:9	Tomorrow 98:20	137:12,14	72:4 78:9 133:7
75:14 76:23	84:24 85:9,11	139:1,8 140:10	tonight 16:6 20:6	truthfully 119:2	
					137:12 161:18
77:25 78:1,17	85:18 88:1	140:12 141:2	146:15	try 23:25 25:5	undermine 89:9
80:7,13 81:9,14	89:20 90:5,10	threat 31:17 36:3	tooth 90:8	34:6 52:13,23	underpass 22:7
83:22 85:12	90:22 92:16	37:24 38:2,5	top 44:4	67:7 73:23	understand 9:11
87:6 89:7 101:3	93:4 94:10	52:17 65:22	total 180:11	107:22 156:5	10:18 13:3
101:4,22 102:7	95:19 99:24	96:8 98:7	touch 8:24 116:20	trying 7:24 8:20	25:13 40:21
105:3 106:17	102:2,21 103:13	103:14,18,19	touched 116:20	13:9 34:5 61:14	42:2,7,12,15
110:13,20,24,25	103:22 104:15	104:3 106:21	touchy 174:18	100:22,23	49:3 54:16
					1712 27110
The second secon					

64:11 66:23	utter 22:11	100:11,13	122:11 123:15	59:15,18 66:3	122:3 124:20,21
	utter 22.11	102:18 103:7,18	l .		
72:10 76:9,12			164:19	68:15 111:23	125:16 127:4
83:17,25 86:18	V	106:23 108:24	wanting 29:20	131:13 154:5	135:10 137:2
87:19,20 90:16	V 1:4 3:3	109:6,10,10	30:16 123:20	177:21	145:11 146:5
90:25 92:23	vacation 175:21	110:5,6,12	wanton 105:9	we're 4:7 9:15,16	147:1,4,21,24
94:23 95:18	175:25	146:10,13	wants 58:2 79:15	9:17,17 10:19	148:11 149:5
104:13,15 105:2	vague 104:6	147:11,12,13,15	99:21 132:16	12:21 13:23	150:7,10 151:3
105:17,18 118:8	vagueness 104:5	147:22,23 148:3	133:3 140:22	15:15 16:11,18	151:24 152:19
126:20 128:14	varied 75:19	148:4,6,15,20	war 15:22 83:12	23:13,16,22	154:8 155:3,5
130:16,25	varieties 8:11	148:24 149:6,9	warden 25:19	32:15 34:17	159:17,21
131:18 138:20	77:5	149:17,17	warrant 117:9	41:24 51:13,15	161:21 164:17
139:20 142:19	variety 80:10	150:13,16	118:1 153:21	51:16 54:10	166:13 167:25
143:13 145:19	93:2 100:12	160:15	155:18 160:23	61:10 63:1	168:2 169:21
145:22 148:21	venireperson 5:9	violent 148:1,8	warranted 45:9	69:25 76:6	170:4 171:11,17
150:8 153:23	5:11,16 56:24	149:7 150:17	77:7	91:12 92:18,20	172:9 175:18
155:19 162:15	66:16 69:1,7,10	virtually 12:19,22	warrants 69:25	93:17 95:21	176:12,13,23
162:25 163:3	69:12 131:20	visit 103:14	wars 15:20	97:16 102:3	177:14
165:23 170:2,6	137:10 164:14	voir 1:8,11 59:18	wartime 15:24	107:4 112:17	went 23:15 32:12
170:11 173:9	164:18 165:16	138:21	wasn't 9:22 17:25	118:16,17	44:8 65:17 71:3
174:1,15	165:20,23	volume 1:1,8 3:17	34:20 45:18	134:13 138:25	71:6,11 74:6
understanding	168:12 176:9	179:4 180:7,12	51:24 118:9	146:7 152:20,21	75:12 122:4,16
84:6 109:4	177:22,23	volumes 39:6	127:3 128:2	154:15,16	123:12 124:13
126:21 127:22	VENIREPERSO	voluntary 8:18	145:12 163:21	158:25 170:4,6	135:13 167:17
120.21 127.22	3:2	voluntary 3.13	164:24 167:19	174:15 178:21	169:8,12,15
142:1 145:18		vote 43:12 46:18	168:18	we've 4:17,18 7:2	were 1:12 7:10
understood 8:22	verdict 30:17	46:23 47:3,4	wasted 24:4	8:12 23:17	10:20,20 11:16
	38:9 55:8 80:20				
64:7 125:16	81:5,6,20 88:23	49:17 52:1,3	wasting 66:5	36:18 44:4	12:24 14:24
128:20 136:4	88:23 96:3 98:1	54:10 57:6,18	watch 18:16	48:21 68:1	15:20,21 17:11
undisputed	106:4 130:5	57:22,25 78:5	watched 151:10	69:25 82:5,9	18:11 26:10,23
133:14	140:7 141:22	89:2,15 104:9	watching 8:6	87:15 107:6	27:22 29:7 36:7
unfair 18:21 37:9	173:15	106:12,13,16	water 23:19	110:11 137:5	36:8 39:12 44:7
37:12 71:23,25	Verizon 171:14	120:17 174:11	way 8:4,14 9:2,24	168:25 172:3,3	44:8 45:19,21
72:2 90:5 92:17	versus 103:15	voted 28:11 54:22	10:25 20:20,24	174:18,18 177:3	46:4 47:9 51:18
112:15 119:23	157:6 158:19	vows 85:19	23:5 24:13 25:1	177:3,25	51:20,23,24
unfamiliar 165:7	very 6:17 8:16		25:6 27:18,22	weak 89:11 116:8	52:14 57:19
Unfortunately	13:1,25 21:10	W	28:11,20 30:3	weakness 23:9	58:19 59:8 64:3
58:12 169:25	21:11,12 23:1,8	wait 123:6	30:17,21 32:6	wealth 112:9	64:4 65:16
unit 115:3	25:18 28:8 33:1	waiting 69:25	32:18,25 35:18	week 105:10	69:22 70:10,14
United 168:16	43:8 56:18 57:5	wake 7:14 9:25	38:14 41:7,8	weekend 179:3	71:8,22 72:4
units 23:17,18,18	57:6,24 72:4	157:17	42:2,11,19,20	weeks 5:25 8:2	74:2,2,5,5 75:7
unless 44:21 81:3	79:17 81:22	walk 44:5 72:5	42:24 43:1	31:5 32:12	75:8,10,22 84:4
130:10 132:13	87:8 97:1	106:2,3,8 158:3	44:15,21 45:5	56:17 69:5	84:10 85:6
132:19 168:16	103:20 110:13	walked 122:10	48:2,16,24 49:5	129:23 137:24	86:10,16 88:6
Unlike 97:24	113:24,24	walking 88:9	49:17 50:12,19	138:9,11 139:4	99:20 102:4,4
176:2	117:18 121:2,14	113:22 119:1	52:1,2,5,24 53:2	139:19 140:2,10	102:21 110:13
unstable 94:18	125:5 133:11,20	144:17	53:5,7 54:10	144:7	110:18 112:13
until 16:19 38:14	134:14 135:10	walks 30:10	57:18,23,25	weigh 41:10	112:23 113:6
68:19 81:3	139:11 151:16	want 4:9,13,16	64:1,18 66:9	77:15 153:12,20	119:11.121:15
104:16 105:11	151:16,17 165:7	5:12,15 8:5 9:10	76:14 77:2 81:1	weighed 111:25	121:19 123:1,3
107:11,13	177:18	10:2,3 19:9 20:1	82:11 83:6 85:2	weighing 155:17	123:8,24 124:24
untruthful 64:22	victim 156:23,24	21:22 28:18	85:15 86:2,3,17	weighs 58:12	128:19 134:14
88:14,14	157:9	29:4 32:15,23	90:20 95:6,8	weight 153:14,15	134:16 136:11
untruthfully 65:2	victim's 156:24	33:3 34:12,16	104:14,15	153:17,18,19,23	139:5,7,14,15
unusual 13:25	157:7	42:9 49:21	106:13,16 108:5	155:1	139:19 142:7
23:1 77:10,24	view 4:22 7:18	50:15 52:20	108:17 112:14	well 12:25 16:1	144:1 147:18
94:24 104:25	28:18,20 35:2	55:2 57:8,14	112:17 114:20	17:9 19:3 20:3	148:5 151:13
upper 99:5	37:7,7,8 67:23	67:11,13 69:4,8	117:5 134:14	20:19,23 21:19	154:18,19 155:4
upset 116:20	71:24 83:10	89:19 92:12	136:20 142:2	23:19 24:6,23	155:10,24
156:16	86:5 94:9 97:13	98:22,23,23,24	144:22 147:25	25:6,20 27:11	157:12 165:6
usage 153:5	100:7 110:5,6	99:13 100:8	148:17 154:12	32:4,5 33:5 35:3	166:24 167:11
use 18:10 19:17	111:12 149:16	118:15 128:25	155:3,14 158:2	44:12 47:3 54:8	172:10 175:13
21:22 44:3	151:21 173:12	129:22 130:10	164:21 171:20	54:20,23 64:24	175:19 176:20
71:25 105:6	173:12	133:9 137:5,14	173:22	67:15 68:10	180:8
115:1 135:5,7,9	viewed 14:23	138:14 142:6	ways 14:24 35:14	76:20 77:9 79:1	weren't 26:24
136:21 140:18	viewing 155:8	143:18,19 145:3	39:21 40:1	82:15 85:3,7	27:7 28:7 31:17
149:16 154:6	views 14:14 29:23	145:4,4 148:16	61:21 83:7	86:11 93:16	55:11 84:8
used 35:2 56:18	65:7,7 99:23	152:24 153:14	84:16 85:6 93:9	98:5 102:10	106:9 116:24
64:20 65:5 87:1	135:8	153:15,17,19	97:17 108:8	104:8 113:24	what-do-you-think
96:10 167:17	violate 64:22 65:2	157:22,22 158:5	109:19 114:6	114:19 115:5	18:9
usual 73:3	violence 31:16	168:1 173:15,20	we'll 21:19,19	117:15,20 118:8	whatsoever 62:12
Utopia 7:20	61:12 100:6,7,9	wanted 64:3	23:11 37:19	119:16,24 120:4	while 4:16 9:6
ELECTRIC CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON OF THE PER			The second secon		

Page	21
1 450	

		Pa	age 21		
19:17 20:4,22	94:19 95:8	Y			
22:23 56:8 70:5	109:9 113:9	y'all 128:16	-	į	
72:11 77:19	121:10 125:1		1	ľ	
83:17 101:18,24	157:23 158:13	yeah 18:12,18			
105:16 116:12	170:14 171:7	20:23 24:8,17			
	1	43:23,25 44:12			
130:14 138:8	176:16,18,18,22	47:3 57:15			
158:25	worked 70:17	76:22 78:17			i
White 164:12,16	124:10 133:13	79:1 87:6 108:8	ł		1
whole 14:10	134:20 163:12	115:9 121:17			ì
19:10 26:16	worker 73:16	122:2,8 123:10			
32:16 39:9	74:9,10,16 79:6	126:25 130:12			
41:13,15 143:23	86:24				
wicked 119:3	workers 108:14	134:18,19			ļ
wide 103:20	working 16:23,24	157:14 166:17		İ	•
123:13 124:5,7	27:1 69:22	167:13,15		1	
		169:23 172:24		1	
124:9,10	105:14 123:3	175:8			
wife 110:5,6	158:2,3,14	year 56:16 168:9			
124:10 147:14	177:11	169:3 177:15		1	
willing 24:12,13	works 22:25 32:2	year's 161:15		ĺ	
24:16,18,18	32:16 41:7 42:2	175:21	ļ	!	
88:13 107:24	42:7,16,19 43:2	years 30:12 35:7	1		
109:13,25	44:4	35:9,12,13,14			
willingness 19:11	world 9:24 61:7	60:8,10,12,17			
43:22 98:8	74:12 96:23				
window 99:5	140:17,25 145:5	64:11,12 70:18			
windshields	150:5,7 170:16	76:15,16,21,23			
147:19	175:6	77:7 78:3,10,20			
wink 43:24	world's 48:12,12	100:14 107:12	ļ		
wired 98:23	100:20 101:10	110:9,23 116:25		ŀ	
wish 7:24 92:4	Worldcom	124:13,20,24			
104:14 130:3		135:21 161:18			
169:24	176:23 177:1,4	167:7,9 171:22]
	177:9,9,13,15	172:12 175:15			
wishing 7:20	worry 13:7 23:3	176:19 177:4		•	
withdraw 116:21	30:7,10,18	yesterday 176:13			
witness 62:1,22	92:10	you-all 68:18			
66:21 85:11,25	worse 16:13 46:3	137:5 179:3			
88:17 92:9,17	98:21	young 160:3			Į.
104:8 121:4	worst 41:22	younger 10:14,20		1	
131:23 133:23	worth 46:9 87:21	11:1,16			;
134:3,3,4,20	161:15	youngest 60:15			
135:10,10 136:7	worthy 78:11	youth 160:3,6			
137:5 180:15	wouldn't 26:9	youth 100.5,0			
witnesses 59:14	27:4 36:1 48:13	Z			
82:24 89:9	48:15 55:1,8				
158:5	57:7 85:20 86:9	zone 24:14		<u> </u>	
woke 7:5	87:21 102:16,17		1		
women 26:23	104:24 108:11				
46:5 116:18	108:17 118:23		1		
wonder 9:18,21	130:17 140:13		1		
wondered 70:20	169:18 170:18				
164:7	wounds 24:22				
wonderful 93:5	wrap 54:6				
word 12:20,22	wringing 18:13				
41:20 103:17	write 86:16				
118:13 146:19	172:11				
146:19 147:1,5	writing 180:7				
149:23,25 150:1	wrong 14:22			·	
153:6	20:12 31:2 37:4				
worded 42:25	50:3,12 59:21				
wording 111:24	85:15,15 89:24			·	
words 32:24 65:5	104:11 105:13				
85:11 132:21	112:22 119:2,3				
144:12	132:1 154:18				
wore 48:13	wrongfully				
work 6:13 7:9	162:23		[
12:14 13:23	wrote 125:2				
18:19 22:25					
33:1,17 52:20	X				
72:12,12,13,16	x-rays 87:20 ·				
73:1,8 77:20					
83:16 86:12				ļ	
			The state of the s	The state of the s	