-	Page 1
1	REPORTER'S RECORD
	VOLUME 46 OF 53
2	Trial Court Cause No. 380-80047-01  THE STATE OF TEXAS * IN THE 380TH DISTRICT COURT
3	THE STATE OF TEXAS * IN THE 380TH DISTRICT COURT *
4	v. *
4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5	IVAN ABNER CANTU * OF COLLIN COUNTY, TEXAS
J	
6	
7	
,	REPORTER'S RECORD
8	VOLUME 46 - GUILT/INNOCENCE
	CAPITAL MURDER JURY TRIAL
9	
10	GOPY -
11	On the 24th day of October, 2001, from 9:20 a.m. to
	5:00 p.m. the Capital Murder - Punishment Phase of the
12	proceedings came on to be heard in the presence of a jury, in
	the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following
13	proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval,
	Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas:
14	
	Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype
15	Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted
	Transcription.
16	
17	LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534
4.0	Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court
18	210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069
1.0	(972) 548-4661
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
23	
25	Reference Control of the Control of
۷.	i july w C

21 about saying this guy is somehow distinctive from that group

Q. So our jump sergeant is up there with us, and you

24 say who packed our chutes? And he says, oh, don't worry about

25 it. I'm not worried about it, and that's okay with you?

22 rate.

23

21 seems to want to get AIDS in our society?

Q. And let's assume that from the statistical study it

24 was relatively infrequent, and I have no idea what that rate

25 might be. But let's assume it's a very low percentage rate of

A. That's correct.

22

- 1 they're released immediately and -- or they continue in the
- 2 community, and say there are circumstances about this guy's
- 3 particular offense that might make him somewhat less likely,
- 4 might individualize that some, but I would still try to use
- 5 those group statistics about somebody who's committing murder
- 6 in the community.
- You understand another approach is simply look at
- 8 that and just use human understanding, and say, this guy is
- 9 not going to be dangerous in the future. This is situational,
- 10 and not only do I have a reasonable doubt about his future
- 11 danger, I don't even think it at all. Do you understand
- 12 that's a reasonable approach, too?
- 13 A. Somebody might take that approach. Again, it is
- 14 disturbing that he doesn't just kill the perpetrator, but that
- 15 he kills somebody else and he takes this on himself.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. Many people have some inner justification for
- 18 committing murder. It isn't just a wholly irrational act.
- 19 That inner justification is still a problem though.
- 20 O. Maybe he finds two guards that are roommates and he
- 21 kills both of them. That's a capital murder?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. They're both equally understandable as targets of
- 24 this murder, not justifiable, but understandable; don't you
- 25 agree?

# Page 15

- A. Potentially. I don't think people should take the
- 2 law into their own hands, and there's certainly mechanisms for
- 3 bringing those people to justice that don't require him to
- 4 intercede himself. The fact that he grew up in a
- 5 concentration camp would certainly be something that we would
- 6 consider to be mitigating, even though he makes a choice to
- 7 murder someone. We would take in that history as being
- 8 something that helped shape and form that choice. So what
- 9 you're saying is a good example of that.
- 10 Q. Do you think motive is important in assaying the
- 11 individual danger of capital murder? Do you think this motive
- 12 in committing a crime is important?
- 13 A. It seems not to be as we look at capital offenders,
- 14 and we break out, did they kill somebody in the course of a
- 15 robbery? Did they kill a policeman? Did they kill a child?
- 16 Did they rape the person when they killed them? Did they kill
- 17 them with a gun or a knife or a club? None of those factors
- 18 seem to have any relevance to or any predictive benefit
- 19 when we look at their outcomes in prison. So from that
- 20 standpoint, the specific motive that's involved doesn't seem
- 21 to inform things very well when we're looking at their
- 22 likelihood of violence in prison.
- Now, it might be relevant to the follow-up of what
- 24 would happen in the community if they were free right now.
- 25 But in terms of their behavior in prison, it seems not to

# Page 16

- 1 translate well, based on the research we have.
- Q. Of course what you're telling us is that there's
- 3 only about a 20 percent chance of even the death row inmates
- 4 committing violent acts; isn't that right? Is that what you
- 5 told us?
- 6 A. Committing violent acts in the general prison
- 7 population, a 20 to 30 percent likelihood.
- 8 Q. But you said it was relative. It was correlative
- 9 even in the death row sense?
- O A. Yes, sir. When you look at a follow-up of death row
- 11 inmates, my recollection is about 20 percent of those guys
- 12 were violent on death row.
- 13 Q. And once again, that means 80 percent of the time
- 14 they weren't violent?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. And nevertheless, juries of conscience and
- 17 commitment found probability of violence, despite what you say
- 18 the statistics show; is that right?
- 9 A. I don't know that it was despite that. I don't know
- 20 that they had benefit of that statistical knowledge as they
- 21 made that determination. But they identified that there was a
- 22 probability and so sentenced the person to death.
- 23 Q. Is it your proposition that 80 percent of the time
- 24 that was an erroneous answer by the jury then?
- 25 A. Based on the follow-up of those individuals, it

- 1 would it appear that the juries were incorrect in their
- 2 assessment eight out of ten times.
- Q. Which is why they need either you or someone like
- 4 you to bring information that you bring?
  - A. Why they need the data. The data may be helpful to
- 6 them, and I think is essential in trying to identify what the
- 7 relatively likelihood is and who they're dealing with, and
- 8 what mechanisms of prevention are available.
- Q. Just so we're clear, not only this Defendant, but
- 10 you're saying virtually every capital defendant is a danger to
- 11 society at large?
- 12 A. If released immediately.
- 13 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- Now, do you remember my questions yesterday about
- 15 whether or not much of what you say is common sense, and you
- 16 indicated you didn't think that it was?
- 17 A. No, sir. It wasn't common sense to me before I
- 18 began to study it, and as I talked to people about it they
- 19 seemed surprised by those numbers.
- 20 Q. Would you do me a favor and go to those risk
- 21 assessment factors and those DOJ lists that you have.
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I'm not -- I can't identify -- I can't identify
- 24 the particular slide, but if you get me in that area I'll know
- 25 where I want to be.

# Page 22 1 physicians and childcare and Boys and Girl Clubs and things 2 that could constructively intervene. 3 Q. I don't mean punitively. I mean vigilantly just be 4 on the lookout because here's some problem in about 13 or 14 5 years. 6 A. I think that would be a waste of resources. The

- 7 issue that the Justice Department is talking about is not to 8 be on the lookout so they can lock the kid up. The issue is 9 to begin delivering services that interrupt the processes that 10 cause the violence.
- Q. Okay. Let's talk about family history of criminal behavior and substance abuse. What's that mean to you?

  A. Well, that means that the parents, or people in the family system either are engaging in illegal activities themselves, or they have substance and alcohol dependence problems.
- Q. Isn't that common sense? If your parents are out sommitting crimes and doing dope, they're not going to be taking the time to be good parents, and doesn't everybody understand that?
- A. They might. But on the other hand, when we look at something like alcoholism they might not identified that your parent being an alcoholic is increasing your likelihood of
- being chronically delinquent or violent in early adulthood.
  In fact, they might say, gee, lots of people's fathers drank

# Page 23

- l and what does that have to do with them deciding to commit
- 2 some act of violence in the community? So the Justice
- 3 Department is, in fact, not trying to just say what are we
- 4 speculating about, but what factors have been demonstrated in
- 5 the research as increasing the risk.
- Q. Johnny, where is your dad? He's in jail. Why is hein jail? He's dealing drugs. You don't think people without
- 8 your credentials can understand how that would affect somebody
- 9 and their criminality? Isn't that obvious?
- 10 A. You would think so, but I have heard arguments in 11 courts just like this where they say lots of people have
- 12 parents who end up in jail, and they don't go on to acts of
- 13 serious violence. And, in fact, have actively disputed what
- 14 the Justice Department is saying there's research to15 demonstrate.
- 16 Q. So this jury needs you to explain that that's the
- 17 case; that if you've got a dad in prison or in jail because
- 18 he's dealing drugs, that affects your values growing up? They
- 19 couldn't figure that out without you?
- 20 A. Oh, no, sir. They don't need me. I think that as
- 21 they try to give weight to the developmental factors, the risk
- 22 factors that may be present in Ivan's life, or any Defendant's
- 23 life, that to the extent that's illuminated by the best
- 24 research from the best sources that they're going to make a
- 25 more reliable determination of that.

# Page 24

- Q. Family management problems. You checked that as
- 2 applying to this Defendant. What does that mean?
- 3 A. Well, that means that there is not a good mechanism
- 4 of supervision. You know, when you have an eight-year-old
- 5 providing care for a three-year-old, that's not good family
- 6 management. If mom is working two jobs, and the kid is left
- 7 unattended and is unsupervised a good part of the time, that's
- 8 not good family management. You don't have appropriate
- 9 supervision and guidance and adult care for a child.
- 10 Q. You think we need you to explain if you've got a
- 11 child younger than the age of six, and you're working two
- 12 jobs, and he's left alone that that's not good parenting?
- 13 There are parents on this jury. You think they can't figure
- 14 that out without you?
- 15 A. Well, they might be able to, but when it's
- 16 represented to them that he had a loving mother and a loving
- 17 father, the implication is somehow that because they loved him
- 18 that then took away the impact of not being there to supervise
- 19 him and guide him and be on site functionally. So they might
- 20 well be distracted from what they know about family management
- 21 as they say, well, gee, his parents loved him, so I guess it
- 22 didn't matter whether or not they were there to actually guide
- 23 him and supervise him.
- Q. You're basing that on watching the witnesses testify
- 25 about that, right?

# Page 25

- 1 A. Yes, sir, and your own cross-examination.
- Q. I understand. That's a yes or no, isn't it?
- 3 A. I'm not sure if it is or not. I don't know that I
- 4 remember the question.
- 5 Q. Do you understand the jury was sitting there, too?
- 6 Do you think they're not able to evaluate witnesses without
- 7 your help? You think they couldn't figure --
- 8 MR. GOELLER: Objection to the form of the
- 9 question. Now he's attempting to get the witness and the jury
- 10 into a battle of sorts. It's improper for Mr. Schultz to bait
- 11 the jury.

13

- 12 THE COURT: Sustained.
  - Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Well, you understand that you might
- 14 have been here in one role. Other people could have been
- 15 watching those same witnesses testify and draw whatever
- 16 conclusions they wanted to, just like you have.
- 17 A. Well, certainly they can draw whatever conclusions
- 18 they want to. The conclusions that I have pointed to are
- simply to say there is research that shows an associationbetween these developmental events and criminal violence in
- 21 adolescence and early adulthood. And that's not a matter of
- 22 speculation. That's been demonstrated by research from the
- 23 Justice Department, and I'm simply providing that illumination
- 24 as the jury gives weight to the testimony they heard.
- 25 Q. But you figure they wouldn't have known such things

- l so there are competing views in our society about whether
- 2 availability of firearms is related to likelihood of violence
- 3 or not.
- 4 Q. Now, they claim the media portrayals of violence
- 5 increases the likelihood of violence and delinquency in the
- 6 community; is that right?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Well, haven't we always had movies that have
- 9 violence in them? Even the old gangster movies, haven't we
- 10 always had them?
- 11 A. The concentration of violence in the media is
- 12 increasing, and with video games and that sort of thing, kind
- 13 of pseudo killing as you're looking over the barrel of a
- 14 shotgun in a video game, the violence pervasiveness that young
- 15 people are exposed to is increasing, and it's identified as a
- 16 risk factor, particularly if the child has some other
- 17 vulnerabilities.
- 18 Q. I'm curious, since you never took the opportunity
- 19 to talk with the Defendant, where do you get the idea that you
- 20 want to check media portrayals and violence? Where does that
- 21 come from?
- 22 A. That applies to virtually every child who has grown
- 23 up in the United States across the last 20 years, unless
- 24 they're isolated and home-schooled and no TV in the house and
- 25 that kind of thing.

# Page 31

- 1 Q. So you just go check that because you figure he
- 2 probably was?
- 3 A. Typically that's present in almost every case.
- 4 Q. And yet, you know, if there are only 500 people on
- 5 death row and we've got millions and millions of people in
- 6 this country, apparently most of them do just fine, even
- 7 though they've all been exposed to violence?
- 8 A. Yes, sir. That's why this is not common sense, just
- 9 for that argument that someone would say, gee, lots of people
- 10 get exposed to this. Very few people kill somebody. Obviously
- 11 this doesn't have any relationship. And yet, statistically
- 12 when you look at it, it is a risk factor, and this is saying,
- 13 not that it compels somebody to do criminal acts of violence,
- 14 but it increases the risk. And unless you know this research
- 15 or are exposed to it, then you fall pray to the notion that
- 16 because it doesn't affect everybody in a homicidal way,
- 17 therefore it has no relevance at all.
- 18 Q. The next three that you've checked are actually the
- 19 same as were checked as age -- from conception until six, and
- 20 that is family management, family conflict, parental attitude
- 21 (inaudible) towards involvement in crime and substance abuse,
- 22 right?
- 23 A. Yes, sir. They're continuing to have that kind of
- 24 problematic effect even after age six.
- O. And that's still not common sense? Even for people

# Page 32

- 1 age six through adolescence, that's still not common sense for
- 2 us to figure that out?
- 3 A. Not that they know it's associated with criminal
- 4 violence in young adulthood. I think people might not
- 5 automatically make that connection.
- 6 Q. Now, where did you get the conclusion that this
- 7 Defendant's parental attitudes were favorable toward crime and
- 8 substance abuse?
- 9 A. Well, the substance abuse; that his father was
- 10 described as being an alcoholic, was described as abusing
- 11 drugs on occasion, as I recall. That also represents criminal
- 2 activity. His mother was going to engage in a fraud for child
- 13 support. That represents a criminal activity. So there are
- 14 some facts of parental behaviors that are consistent with this
- 15 kind of risk factor.
- 16 Q. Of course, we don't know if he knew about that fraud
- 17 or not, do we? We don't have any idea about that part?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. Okay. Moreover, we've got his aunt telling him to
- 20 stop hanging around drug dealers and go get help. We've got
- 21 that for him, right?
- 22 A. Yes, sir. She's not a parent, but she is a
- 23 relative.
- Q. Well, she claimed to be like a parent because she
- 25 was raising him. Do you remember that part of her testimony?

# Page 33

- A. Yes, sir. There are individuals in his background
- 2 who do not have favorable attitudes. There are some in his
- 3 background who do have favorable attitudes toward these
- 4 things.
- Q. All those things that you didn't check for this
- 6 person, those next four that had blue dots by them, early and
- 7 persistent antisocial behavior. You decided he didn't have
- 8 any of that?
- 9 A. I have no information to tell me that he had early
- 10 and persistent antisocial behavior.
- 11 Q. You have no information that he didn't either,
- 12 though?
- 13 A. No, sir. In the absence of information, that
- 4 assumption that that risk factor is not present. So I don't
- 15 have school reports that describe extensive misbehavior and
- 16 extensive juvenile record. There's simply not data to support
- 17 that there was early and persistent antisocial behavior.
- 18 Q. What about academic failure and lack of commitment
- 19 to school, you got no data on that?
- 20 A. There is some indication of academic failure. He
- 21 failed economics. As I looked at his transcripts, there were
- 22 periodic failing grades. There was not pervasive academic
- 23 failure, and as I went over this slide yesterday, I described
- 24 that this might be a factor that could be checked. It's kind
- 25 of a marginal one because he did fail some, but there

- 1 A. I don't know that I can answer it yes or no. That's 2 maybe it's common sense.
- 3 Q. If you can't it answer yes or no, just tell me.
- 4 That's all I want to know.
- 5 A. Yes, sir.

6

- Q. Fair enough? All right.
- 7 Early initiation of violent behavior. Isn't that
- 8 common sense that that's a risk factor that increases a
- 9 person's danger later?
- 10 A. I might not know there was a six-fold increase in 11 risk based on that.
- 12 Q. I understand. But isn't the phenomenon common
- 13 sense; if you start violence early, that's worse?
- 14 A. Yes, sir. People might have a sense of that.
- 15 Q. Involved in other forms of antisocial behavior, and
- 16 I assume that means other forms other than the capital murder.
- 17 Is that what that means?
- 18 A. Well, these are looking at behaviors as the kid is
- 19 growing up. Is he involved in multiple different kinds of
- 20 antisocial conduct.
- Q. Isn't that -- isn't it common sense that if a person
- 22 does not only capital murders, but also other things that are
- 23 antisocial, even small things in and of themselves, that's not
- 24 a -- that's a more risky person. Isn't that common sense?
- 25 A. Well, that's not what this is saying. This is not

# Page 39

- l talking about a capital murder. This is talking about risk
- 2 factors before the person ever becomes seriously violent in
- 3 the community. So as Ivan is abusing drugs and hanging out
- 4 with delinquent sorts of folks, then he is moving in harm's
- 5 way.

10

- 6 O. Beliefs and attitudes favorable to deviant or
- 7 antisocial behavior. Is that not common sense that somebody
- 8 that believes that antisocial behavior is good, that that
- 9 person is more likely to be a risk?
  - A. Yes, sir. I think there's common sense with that.
- 11 Q. Why did you check that for the Defendant that he's
- 12 favorable to deviant or antisocial behavior?
  - A. Well, as I described earlier, he's in kind of a gray
- 14 zone there. I checked it because of his involvement in drug
- 15 and alcohol abuse, and then in the kind of late adolescent,
- 16 early adulthood range, going to topless bars and is -- leaves
- 17 the Navy, and those kinds of things. Now, some of these --
- 18 some of those behaviors are kind of an early adulthood.
- 19 They're almost beyond this late adolescent developmental zone.
- 20 So it's kind of a -- I checked that one because I was trying
- 21 to not just identify factors that were completely outside of
- 22 him, but to try to say, gee, there may be some things that are
- 23 part of him, or his background as a child that are here, too.
- 24 So it was kind of in an abundance of caution I checked that.
- Q. Do you know about him going around telling people he

# Page 40

- 1 was connected to the Gambino family and he could get people
- 2 killed when he wanted to?
- 3 A. I've heard that, yes, sir.
- Q. Would that be fair to say that's an attitude
- 5 favorable to antisocial behavior?
- 6 A. Yeah, that kind of bragging is an attitude that's
- 7 favorable to that.
- 8 Q. And that's not -- you do think that is common sense;
- 9 is that right?
- 10 A. Yeah. I think there's some common sense element to
- 11 that.
- 12 Q. Parental criminality. Isn't it common sense that if
- 13 your parents are criminals, that you're likely to be a
- 14 criminal, too? Isn't that common sense?
- 15 A. Potentially. Although again, the argument might be
- 16 made most people who's parents are criminal don't become
- 17 criminals themselves, so because it's not 100 percent it has
- 18 nothing to do with it. And this is identifying that, in fact,
- 19 it is a risk factor. Some studies don't report any increase
- 7 It is a risk factor. Some studies don't report any mercast
- 20 in the -- as a factor. Others report it increases the risk
- 21 almost four times.

1

- 22 Q. But even if you hadn't offered this testimony and
- 23 these statistics, wouldn't a jury be able -- if they thought
- 24 it were important in their decision, would they, in common
- 25 sense, know such things?

- A. Not necessarily. It's one thing to know something
- 2 is common sense. It's something else to, in fact, know there
- 3 is research that supports this notion, so that as I'm trying
- 4 to give weight to it, or as I'm discussing it with other
- 5 jurors, it's not just, gee, that's kind of what I think. But,
- 6 in fact, that's what the Justice Department said was a risk
- 7 factor. So I think it's important for people to kind of know
- 8 what supports what they believe, or what, in fact, provides
- 9 information that's new to them.
- 10 Q. Okay. Child maltreatment, and by the way, you
- 11 didn't check that, right?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. You don't think that's common sense that if somebody
- 14 is mistreated as a child that might increase the formation and
- 15 values of them being dangerous later on?
- 16 A. If they really think it through, although again I've
- 17 heard arguments that say lots of kids are abused, and they
- 18 don't go on to kill somebody. So it can be important to know
- 19 that the research about maltreatment says different.
- Now, let me add this could have been checked because
- 21 of the neglect that he's experiencing as he's left to care for
- 22 a three-year-old when he's eight or nine. That's a form
- 23 of child maltreatment. I didn't check that, though, because
- 24 he was not actively physically abused or tortured or that sort
- 25 of thing. But it's a factor that potentially could have been

- 1 Q. People have been drowned as a result of the behavior
- 2 of that family before, haven't they?
- 3 A. That, apparently, has not been determined in a court
- 4 of law, to my understanding. There are certainly some popular
- 5 notions about what Ted Kennedy's role was in the
- 6 Chappaquiddick issue. I'm not familiar with other drownings
- 7 that have occurred in the family.
- 8 Q. But my point is, by many accounts that's a real fine
- 9 functioning family, certainly a high-achieving family, right?
- 10 A. Many of its members are high achieving. The
- 11 functionality of it, again I haven't looked at them real
- 12 carefully to identify just how functional the family as a
- 13 whole is.
- 14 Q. And yet despite the fillers of an approving media,
- 15 there's still a whole bunch of stuff that comes out of that
- 16 family, and they don't do a lot of moving around, that kind of
- 17 thing, right?
- 18 A. There have been problems in that family. Again, I
- 19 wouldn't characterize it as a whole bunch I wouldn't do a
- 20 character assassination on the Kennedy family in this
- 21 courtroom when I'm not really familiar with tracking the whole
- 22 family tree. There have been really isolated instances of
- 23 serious problems that have gotten a lot of attention out of
- 24 that family group.
- 25 Q. And yet probably if you looked through those risk

# Page 47

- 1 factors, a bunch of those probably don't apply to them at all.
- 2 They certainly didn't grow up in a bad neighborhood, right?
- 3 A. They didn't grow up in a bad neighborhood. There
- 4 has been a -- there have been problems with alcoholism within
- 5 that family group, and it may well be that the problem
- 6 behaviors that have occurred have been associated with
- 7 substance abuse or alcohol abuse in the children within that
- 8 family.
- 9 Q. Of course, the school factors, there's some
- 10 problems with Teddy because he got kicked out of law school
- 11 for cheating, right, so he could probably make some of those?
- 12 MR. GOELLER: I don't know if we're going to go
- 13 to Jimmy Carter next or whatever, but I'm going to object to
- 14 relevance to the specifics of the Kennedy clan.
- 15 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- 16 MR. GOELLER: Thank you, sir.
- 17 Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: My point is you could have good
- 18 people coming out of bad families, and bad people coming out
- 19 of good families?
- 20 A. Yes, sir. This is just a function of the risk -
- 21 Q. I understand that.
- 22 A. and the percentages of coming out good or coming
- 23 out bad are vastly different depending on how the risk factors
- 24 and protective factors stack up.
- 25 Q. I understand. Gang membership, isn't that common

# Page 48

- 1 sense if you're part of a street violent gang, that you're
- 2 going to be more likely to grow up more violent; isn't that
- 3 kind of common sense?

6

9

- 4 A. Yes, sir, but when we get ready to commit millions
- 5 of tax dollars, we want to have some research to back it up.
  - Q. Doctor, you know you just have to answer that yes or
- 7 no, or I can't answer it, right?
- 8 A. Oh, yes, sir. I'll try to do that.
  - Q. Thank you. Community neighborhood factors. You've
- 10 already said -- we understand if you grow up in poverty that
- 11 might increase your risk. That's common sense?
- 12 A. There's some common sense to that.
- 13 Q. Community disorganization, crime, drug selling,
- 14 gangs, poor housing. We can understand how that would
- 15 increase risk of criminality, right?
- 16 A. Yes, sir, there's some common sense with that.
- 17 Q. Thank you. Availability of drugs and firearms. If
- 18 you can get drugs and guns any time you want them, that --
- 19 common sense tells us that relates, doesn't it?
- A. No, sir. I addressed that earlier that we have some
- 21 pretty mixed feelings about availability of firearms in our
- 22 culture.

1

- Q. So we need expert assistance to figure that out?
- 24 A. I think it's helpful to have the research data, and
- 25 that may be delivered by an expert.

- Q. And they may or may not agree because reasonable
- 2 people could say, it's individual choice that makes guns
- 3 dangerous, not their mere availability. You could also --
- 4 A. Somebody can have that belief. Here's what the
- 5 research says out of the Justice Department. Best research we
- 6 have. They may decide to have an opinion that's discrepant
- 7 from that research, but here's the research.
- 8 Q. Neighborhood adults involved in crime and exposure
- 9 to violence and racial prejudice. That's common sense?
- 10 A. That's not a neighborhood I'd want my kids in.
- 11 There's some common sense to that.
- 12 Q. Thank you. Next slide. Keep on, if you would.
- Now, do you not think it would be common sense that
- 14 alcohol and drugs make people more dangerous and violent?
- 15 Isn't that common sense?
- 16 A. Not that it's associated with homicide. I think
- 17 people might not make that connection. I think they have some
- 18 sense that -- and maybe they wouldn't even identify that
- 19 domestic violence is more likely in that, but people do have
- 20 some sense that folks get drunk in bars and get in fights.
- 21 But that it's associated with this high a frequency of
- 22 homicide offenders, that I think they might not be aware of.
- 23 Q. So, 52 percent of inmates convicted of homicide
- 24 were under the influence of alcohol or drugs, so it wasn't
- 25 their fault?

# Page 54 1 I'll give you a chance to change it if you want. 1 weapons and using large doses of amphetamine or cocaine is 2 also dangerous. Now, do you think we need an expert to figure 2 A. In an abundance of caution, I guess I would call 3 that -- if it clarifies it to say observational. I'm 4 comfortable with clinical descriptions, but I'll change it to A. Yes, sir, you might. You might not realize that 5 observational if that makes it a clearer reference. 5 amphetamines and cocaine are increasing aggressive potential Q. That's up to you, Doctor. 6 that much, and I mean, obviously you wouldn't want to give a 6 handgun to somebody you knew was a drug abuser. You might not Okay, next slide. That has to do with people doing 7 8 bingeing and then crashing, and that sort of thing? realize the extent of which cocaine and amphetamines jack up A. Yes, sir, typical pattern of abuse with cocaine and homicidal violence capability. 9 Q. That's all I need of the slides. Go ahead and have 10 amphetamines. 11 your seat, if you will, Doctor. 11 Q. Next slide. 12 A. Yes, sir. Drugs affect you mentally and physically, don't 12 13 Q. I may have missed it in the slides, and correct me 13 they? 14 if I'm wrong, I didn't see anything in there about willingness 14 A. Yes, sir, particularly cocaine and methamphetamines. 15 to engage in vicious behavior as somehow a risk factor. O. Common sense, isn't it? Maybe not specifically 15 16 how, but isn't it common sense that they will affect your mind A. No, sir. The Justice Department doesn't talk about 17 choice, and they don't talk about willingness to engage in 17 and affect your body? 18 vicious behavior. Essentially their perspective is that the A. I think it's common sense that they're going to have 18 19 an effect. The nature of these effects are not well-known to combination of these risk factors or protective factors result 20 people who aren't involved in drug prevention or assessment of 20 in somebody who then engages in vicious behavior or makes 21 criminally violent choices. So it's not that the problem is 21 drug abusers, or that kind of thing. 22 somebody who's just inherently a bad chooser or a bad seed, 22 Q. Of course, you don't know if that applies to the 23 Defendant or not? You're just guessing at most? 23 but instead, with enough exposure to these risk factors, if 24 you would go on this developmental trajectory, that you end up 24 A. Well, I have good reason to believe there is a heavy 25 some place that is terribly destructive to all of us. 25 drug abuse pattern present in his life. Page 55 Q. But those responses, those results, those Q. But it seems like, and maybe I just don't 1 2 consequences, you don't know if those apply or not? A. Well, as he engages in domestic violence against the 4 women he's involved with, that has this mood fluctuation issue think they do? 5 of becoming extremely hostile, and it has this irritability A. They describe what shapes choice. Choice isn't 6 component to it, and so that kind of behavior, as well as the

7 nature of this offense, that has an impulsive - a reactive 8 quality to it. Those things would be consistent with the kind

Q. Why did he leave the Navy?

12 in his basic training and then he left.

10

13 14

18 left. 19

21

20 hidden?

24 down in most cases.

9 of changes that are observed in chronic cocaine or meth abuse.

A. That's not clear. I mean, he was there until late

Q. You don't have any idea -- you don't have a clue?

15 wasn't because he was kicked out because he was not

17 again. I don't have any indications of that, only that he

Q. And he managed to stay gone for a while, stay

A. They typically are not hunting for you real hard

23 warrant, but it's not as if they're actively trying to run you

Q. Next slide. Combination of carrying concealed

22 when you go AWOL from the military. They may put out a bench

A. Well, he seems to have been successful with it. It

16 performing, or they were going to send him back through basic

2 understand, but it seems like those risk factors ignore 3 individual choice in getting yourself into trouble; don't you

Page 57

Page 56

something that happens in a vacuum, and we don't all arrive in adulthood on the same level playing field. The Justice

8 Department is describing when you get enough of these risk

9 factors present, the likelihood that you're going to make a

10 bad choice is becoming overwhelming in nature.

Q. I understand, Doctor, but what my point is, all of

12 those risk factors up there are things that appear to not even

13 involve any choice on the part of the offender at all, don't

14 you agree?

A. Well, most of them. There are some of them, like

16 the school factors, whether you are truant, that involves some

17 choice to leave school; whether you initiate violent behavior,

18 that involves some choice to do that. But many of them, bad

19 family management practices, perinatal problems, many of those 20 are things that happen to the kid that he didn't choose.

Q. Well, but things like whether your mom had a

22 difficult pregnancy, that's not a matter of choice for a

23 person?

24 A. Oh, no, sir, that's something that happens to him.

25 Q. If you're born with deformed ears, that's not a

- 1 or simmering, and that there was still some awareness of
- 2 social consequences. Now, that doesn't mean that the person
- 3 was completely unaffected and was making a choice in a cold,
- 4 stone sober sort of way. It just says their brain wasn't
- 5 totally put to sleep. The brakes were damaged, the paranoia
- 6 is increased, but it isn't like it's just made them totally
- 7 stupid.
- 8 Q. Smart enough to take her somewhere where nobody
- 9 else would be watching what he was doing. The brain was
- 10 working that well?
- 11 A. Still some awareness. Yeah, it's still a stupid
- 12 thing to do with somebody that you care about that's going to
- 13 have a destructive effect on the relationship.
- 14 Q. Or mean? May be not stupid, it may be mean, don't
- 15 you think?
- 16 A. Well, it's a mean thing to bounce somebody's head on
- 17 the concrete.
- 18 Q. I think so.
- 19 A. Yeah. It may not say that the character of
- 20 this person is mean. People do mean things who are
- 21 intoxicated, particularly with these kind of drugs.
- Q. What about taking a shot at his girlfriend and
- 23 missing her head by about a foot, is that something that he
- 24 had choice over?
- 25 A. Yes, sir. The kind again, not a choice that's

# Page 63

- 1 made like you and I would have, but it's the choice at the end
- 2 of this pipeline. Drug and alcohol dependent kind of issues.
- 3 Yeah, at that point he's got a choice. It's an impaired
- 4 choice, but it's a choice.
- 5 Q. At least that's your assessment, although you never
- 6 met him to really know. You're making that assessment about
- 7 him that he's an addict, right?
- 8 A. Yes, sir. Based on the -- and certainly I couldn't
- 9 make a definitive diagnosis of him without interviewing him.
- 10 Q. Right.
- 11 A. But as the evidence I've reviewed supports that
- 12 hypothesis.
- 13 Q. How about when he was smashing his girlfriend's
- 14 hand in the door; you know about that, don't you?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Was that a matter of choice? I mean, when you get
- 17 right gown do it, that takes a certain amount of focus to be
- 18 able to smash somebody's hand inside a doorjamb, doesn't it?
- 19 A. Oh, yes, sir. Any behavior that's not driven by a
- 20 seizure represents the operation of some command in control
- 21 and some choice.
- 22 Q. Uh-huh.
- 23 A. The command in control center may be impaired in
- 24 some way. It may be a faulty choice, a bad choice.
- 25 Q. A mean choice?

# Page 64

- A. A destructive choice, sure.
- 2 Q. Or mean, just plain-old mean?
- A. The behavior is obviously mean when you're
- 4 physically damaging somebody, but mean infers they must have a
- 5 mean character, or they must have an inherently hostile
- 6 character, and that's not necessarily the case. People that
- 7 are drug and alcohol dependent do very mean things. Doesn't
- 8 necessarily mean they're just inherently a mean person when
- 9 they're sober and recovered.
- 0 Q. What about shooting somebody in bed right beside of
- 11 their head when they're laying down in bed, is that a mean
- 12 thing to do?

1

- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. How about shooting the girlfriend that wasn't even
- 15 threatening you, just slaughtering her, shooting her several
- 16 times? Is that a mean thing to do?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. How about shooting her in the breast, is that a mean
- 19 thing to do?
- 20 A. Yes, sir. Particularly if it was a deliberate thing
- 21 that you were shooting her in the breast. As she is shot in
- 22 the breast in the course of being shot -- clearly shooting
- 23 somebody at all is a mean thing. Deliberately setting the
- 24 person up to shoot them through the breast, that is a much
- 25 more hostile kind of action.

- Q. What about kicking them after they're dead?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. Is that pretty mean?
- 4 A. That would be a mean act.
- Q. How about saying I need to shoot them some more
- 6 after they've been dead because I can't find what I'm looking
- 7 for? Is that pretty mean?
- A. Yes, sir, and irrational as if somebody again,
- 9 that goes to support the notion that somebody is under the
- 10 influence; that their frustration is such that when they can't
- 11 find something they want to shoot a body that's already dead.
- 12 That's, again, consistent with this hypothesis.
- 3 Q. You say it's irrational because it doesn't match
- 14 our values -- our conventional values. That's why we think
- 15 it's irrational, but might it be rational to somebody that
- 16 thinks differently, don't you think?
- 17 A. No, sir. It's not a rational thing to shoot a dead
- 18 body that's already dead. That serves no purpose, so to take
- 19 your frustrations out on somebody who's already dead is an
- 20 irrational thing to do. It's not a function of kind of
- 21 personal values. It's a stupid thing to do.
- 22 O. It's not rational to shoot innocent people if
- 23 they're alive, though, is it?
- 24 A. Not in my circumstances.
- 25 Q. Tell me why did he kill them. What was the reason

### Page 72 Page 70 1 by reason of insanity. If he was criminally responsible and 1 many opinions about the reliability and integrity with which 2 he'd have been found guilty and a death penalty would 2 an adjudication of that should be -- how it should be 3 automatically be applied. This phase is not about criminal 3 conducted. But in terms of an advocacy position about the responsibility. It's about violence risk assessment and moral 4 death penalty, I don't have that. culpable or mitigation. Separate from -O. So you don't have any personal interest in whether 5 Q. And danger to the community? 6 this Defendant is executed or not? A. Well, that's what risk assessment is, is his A. That's correct. 8 likelihood of risk in whatever context we're assessing. Q. You don't even have a position on that because 8 Q. Free world, jail world, right? 9 you've never met him? A. Free world right now, TDC 40 years, free world in 40 A. Well, I don't have a position on it because I don't 10 10 11 have a firm position on the death penalty. I see arguments on 11 years, if they cut him loose then. 12 Q. Right. Are you of the opinion -- is it your opinion 12 both sides of it, and I've not heard all the evidence the jury 13 that he was drug intoxicated at the time he slaughtered those 13 has heard. I wouldn't assume to sit in their place with this 14 two people? Is that your opinion? 14 decision. Q. When he ran off from the Navy was he exercising a A. I don't have information about whether he was 15 16 intoxicated or coming down. There is indications that he -16 choice? 17 this happened during a period of time in his life when he was 17 A. Yeah, it abusing cocaine and methamphetamine heavily. But exactly MR. GOELLER: Objection as to asked and 18 where he was on that binge-crash cycle that I described, that, 19 answered, Your Honor. 20 I don't know. 20 MR. SCHULTZ: Never asked him that. O. Even if he were high on drugs, does that take away 21 MR. GOELLER: Beg to differ. 21 22 his ability to be able to function regularly in your opinion? THE COURT: Overrule the objection. 22 A. It impairs ability. It doesn't take it away. It's A. Well, sure any behavior that wasn't caused by a 23 24 not a bright line. It's not "yes, it does," or "no, it 24 seizure happening in his sleep, he had a choice about. It's 25 doesn't." It's to what extent is it affected, so I don't 25 choice that, again, was at the end of a developmental Page 73 Page 71 1 want somebody doing surgery on me who is in the throws of a 1 trajectory by somebody who may well have been drug or alcohol 2 cocaine-methamphetamine dependence period of their life. 2 dependent before he went into the Navy. But, yeah, certainly 3 he decided to walk out the door in the face of whatever forces I don't want them flying the airplane I'm on. Now, obviously 4 they can find the airport, find the cockpit, and they can take 4 were on him. 5 the plane off, but I'm still thinking they're impaired in what Q. And you're not taking the position he was under the 5 6 they can bring to bear when I'm a passenger. 6 throws of addiction at the time he ran off from the Navy? Q. They can still make choices? A. The evidence of his drug and alcohol abuse predates A. Oh, yes, sir. It's a drug addict's choice, but it's 8 his time in the Navy, and I don't think he had gone through a 9 a choice. And I don't see his impaired flying to be a notion period of recovery where he was actively involved in AA or NA 10 that he's just willfully, erratically driving the plane. This 10 and doing a 12-step program. So while he may not have been 11 actively drinking and drugging while he was in the Navy, he 11 is an impaired guy. Q. Well, maybe, but at the same time he's -- I'm sure 12 was also not in recovery. 13 you're aware that he was constantly driving his car all during Q. Is it then your position that he was such an addict 13 14 this period of time, driving fast (inaudible) everyone else. 14 that he's not responsible for what he did? 15 Apparently, he didn't have any accidents during this period of A. Oh, no, sir. This is not a question of criminal 15 16 time? 16 responsibility. Q. That's not your position. He's responsible? A. That's right. It doesn't appear that these drugs 17 17 A. Criminally responsible, yes, sir. That's why he was 18 inhibit your ability to function with motor smoothness. 18 19 You're likely to be somewhat hyperactive. You may be speeding 19 adjudicated for getting out of the Navy, and that's why he's 20 and doing some things that are impulsive, but you can operate 20 been found guilty here. There's criminal responsibility. 21 That's different than what's being considered at sentencing. 21 mechanical equipment and be an impaired 22 cocaine-methamphetamine addict. 22 Q. Maybe, maybe not? Q. And you can, if you want to, slow your car down if A. Well, no, sir. If this was an issue of criminal 23

24 you perceive that's a risk?

A. Oh, yes, sir. You're operating a motor vehicle.

24 responsibility and that was the consideration, then if he was

25 not criminally responsible he would have been found not guilty

Page 78  1 BY MR. GOELLER:	Page 80  1 MR. GOELLER: Pass the witness.
2 Q. Dr. Cunningham, when we talk about this special	2 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
3 issue before we get to this, you've testified, and I think	3 BY MR. SCHULTZ:
4 it's probably the opinion of everyone, that anybody that's	4 Q. Well, it may not. You've already told me there are
5 been convicted of capital murder, you can go to murder; you	5 some situations where the answer to that question would
6 can go to aggravated assault; you could do just about any	6 reasonably be no, even with capital murderers.
7 assaultive offense. All of those people are a danger if	7 A. Not any reasonable situations. You talked about
8 released out in the free society tomorrow, correct?	8 somebody who had grown up in a Nazi concentration camp, and I
9 MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, Judge, two objections.	9 talked about somebody whose made a quadriplegic or is brain
10 First of all, he's leading his witness, and secondly, that	10 damaged, made comatose in the offense. Those are not
11 question is irrelevant as it relates to any offense other than	11 reasonable situations, and if those are the only ones this
12 capital murder because the special issue he's presenting is	12 issue applies to, it is functionally meaningless.
13 not used in any other context than capital murder.	13 Q. Well, of course, the narrowing that you talk about
14 THE COURT: Overruled.	14 may well be in the mitigation question because that's the
15 A. Yes, sir. Any serious violent felon who has	
-	
16 recently done this act and they're on trial for it, if you cut	16 circumstances of the case, is a death sentence or life
17 them loose to society right now, there is a markedly increased	
18 risk of them being violent out there again.	18 narrowing we're talking about.
19 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: And that opinion has got to be held	· ·
20 across the board by everybody in mental health professions,	20 drafted by the Legislature in 1973, that mitigation clause
21 law enforcement to people on the street?	21 that currently exists was not part of it. In fact, this was
A. Yes, sir. That's common sense.	22 narrowing based on future dangerousness without a good
23 Q. That's common sense?	23 consideration of the mitigation. That's what's come about
24 A. Yes, sir.	24 through the Penry decisions.
Q. If that's the case and that's reality and we look at	25 Q. You're talking about the special issue that
Page 79	Page 81
Page 79 1 that special issue, then we might as well do away with all	Page 81  1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the
<del>-</del>	· ·
1 that special issue, then we might as well do away with all	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the
<ul><li>1 that special issue, then we might as well do away with all</li><li>2 these special issues because the only answer, if that's the</li></ul>	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous
<ul> <li>1 that special issue, then we might as well do away with all</li> <li>2 these special issues because the only answer, if that's the</li> <li>3 way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?</li> </ul>	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation
<ol> <li>that special issue, then we might as well do away with all</li> <li>these special issues because the only answer, if that's the</li> <li>way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a</li> </ol>	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously
<ol> <li>that special issue, then we might as well do away with all</li> <li>these special issues because the only answer, if that's the</li> <li>way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a</li> <li>quadriplegic —</li> </ol>	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes."
<ul> <li>1 that special issue, then we might as well do away with all</li> <li>2 these special issues because the only answer, if that's the</li> <li>3 way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?</li> <li>4 A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a</li> <li>5 quadriplegic –</li> <li>6 MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a</li> </ul>	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes." 6 A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it
<ol> <li>that special issue, then we might as well do away with all</li> <li>these special issues because the only answer, if that's the</li> <li>way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a</li> <li>quadriplegic</li> <li>MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a</li> <li>nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.</li> </ol>	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes." 6 A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it 7 Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to
<ol> <li>that special issue, then we might as well do away with all</li> <li>these special issues because the only answer, if that's the</li> <li>way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a</li> <li>quadriplegic —</li> <li>MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a</li> <li>nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Sustained.</li> </ol>	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes." 6 A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it 7 Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to 8 the mitigation question unless, first, the jury answers this
<ol> <li>that special issue, then we might as well do away with all</li> <li>these special issues because the only answer, if that's the</li> <li>way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a</li> <li>quadriplegic —</li> <li>MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a</li> <li>nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Sustained.</li> <li>Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Explain.</li> </ol>	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes." 6 A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it 7 Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to 8 the mitigation question unless, first, the jury answers this 9 question "yes"?
<ol> <li>that special issue, then we might as well do away with all</li> <li>these special issues because the only answer, if that's the</li> <li>way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a</li> <li>quadriplegic —</li> <li>MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a</li> <li>nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Sustained.</li> <li>Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Explain.</li> <li>A. Because all violent felons are going to be — are</li> </ol>	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes." 6 A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it 7 Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to 8 the mitigation question unless, first, the jury answers this 9 question "yes"? 10 A. That's correct.
<ul> <li>that special issue, then we might as well do away with all</li> <li>these special issues because the only answer, if that's the</li> <li>way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a</li> <li>quadriplegic —</li> <li>MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a</li> <li>nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Sustained.</li> <li>Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Explain.</li> <li>A. Because all violent felons are going to be — are</li> <li>going to be likely to be violent immediately in the</li> </ul>	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes." 6 A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it 7 Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to 8 the mitigation question unless, first, the jury answers this 9 question "yes"? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. Then the mitigation question what you talk about 12 this narrowing, that has a narrowing effect because even 13 people who are dangerous capital murderers who will probably
<ul> <li>that special issue, then we might as well do away with all</li> <li>these special issues because the only answer, if that's the</li> <li>way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a</li> <li>quadriplegic —</li> <li>MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a</li> <li>nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Sustained.</li> <li>Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Explain.</li> <li>A. Because all violent felons are going to be — are</li> <li>going to be likely to be violent immediately in the</li> <li>community. If that's what that means — if that's what that</li> </ul>	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes." 6 A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it 7 Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to 8 the mitigation question unless, first, the jury answers this 9 question "yes"? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. Then the mitigation question what you talk about 12 this narrowing, that has a narrowing effect because even 13 people who are dangerous capital murderers who will probably 14 be a danger in the future may still be spared the death
that special issue, then we might as well do away with all these special issues because the only answer, if that's the way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?  A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a quadriplegic —  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.  THE COURT: Sustained.  Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Explain.  A. Because all violent felons are going to be — are going to be likely to be violent immediately in the community. If that's what that means — if that's what that special issue means, then it applies to all capital offenders who aren't made a paraplegic or quadriplegic in the offense.  It is then a meaningless issue because it applies to all of	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes." 6 A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it 7 Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to 8 the mitigation question unless, first, the jury answers this 9 question "yes"? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. Then the mitigation question what you talk about 12 this narrowing, that has a narrowing effect because even 13 people who are dangerous capital murderers who will probably
that special issue, then we might as well do away with all these special issues because the only answer, if that's the way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?  A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a quadriplegic —  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.  THE COURT: Sustained.  Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Explain.  A. Because all violent felons are going to be — are going to be likely to be violent immediately in the community. If that's what that means — if that's what that special issue means, then it applies to all capital offenders who aren't made a paraplegic or quadriplegic in the offense. It is then a meaningless issue because it applies to all of them. The automatic answer is yes. It has no effect of	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes." 6 A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it 7 Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to 8 the mitigation question unless, first, the jury answers this 9 question "yes"? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. Then the mitigation question what you talk about 12 this narrowing, that has a narrowing effect because even 13 people who are dangerous capital murderers who will probably 14 be a danger in the future may still be spared the death 15 penalty based upon a sufficient amount of mitigation found by 16 the jury; is that right?
that special issue, then we might as well do away with all these special issues because the only answer, if that's the way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?  A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a quadriplegic —  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.  THE COURT: Sustained.  Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Explain.  A. Because all violent felons are going to be — are going to be likely to be violent immediately in the community. If that's what that means — if that's what that special issue means, then it applies to all capital offenders who aren't made a paraplegic or quadriplegic in the offense. It is then a meaningless issue because it applies to all of them. The automatic answer is yes. It has no effect of limiting and narrowing and looking individually at who —	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes." 6 A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it 7 Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to 8 the mitigation question unless, first, the jury answers this 9 question "yes"? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. Then the mitigation question what you talk about 12 this narrowing, that has a narrowing effect because even 13 people who are dangerous capital murderers who will probably 14 be a danger in the future may still be spared the death 15 penalty based upon a sufficient amount of mitigation found by
that special issue, then we might as well do away with all these special issues because the only answer, if that's the way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?  A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a quadriplegic —  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.  THE COURT: Sustained.  Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Explain.  A. Because all violent felons are going to be — are going to be likely to be violent immediately in the community. If that's what that means — if that's what that special issue means, then it applies to all capital offenders who aren't made a paraplegic or quadriplegic in the offense. It is then a meaningless issue because it applies to all of them. The automatic answer is yes. It has no effect of limiting and narrowing and looking individually at who — who's assessed this penalty. It's not enough to have done	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes." 6 A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it 7 Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to 8 the mitigation question unless, first, the jury answers this 9 question "yes"? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. Then the mitigation question what you talk about 12 this narrowing, that has a narrowing effect because even 13 people who are dangerous capital murderers who will probably 14 be a danger in the future may still be spared the death 15 penalty based upon a sufficient amount of mitigation found by 16 the jury; is that right? 17 A. I'm not sure I understand the question. You're 18 saying the first issue is meaningless, and it's only the
that special issue, then we might as well do away with all these special issues because the only answer, if that's the way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?  A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a quadriplegic —  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.  THE COURT: Sustained.  Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Explain.  A. Because all violent felons are going to be — are going to be likely to be violent immediately in the community. If that's what that means — if that's what that special issue means, then it applies to all capital offenders who aren't made a paraplegic or quadriplegic in the offense. It is then a meaningless issue because it applies to all of them. The automatic answer is yes. It has no effect of limiting and narrowing and looking individually at who — who's assessed this penalty. It's not enough to have done this terrible capital murder in the community or in prison, or	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes." 6 A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it 7 Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to 8 the mitigation question unless, first, the jury answers this 9 question "yes"? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. Then the mitigation question what you talk about 12 this narrowing, that has a narrowing effect because even 13 people who are dangerous capital murderers who will probably 14 be a danger in the future may still be spared the death 15 penalty based upon a sufficient amount of mitigation found by 16 the jury; is that right? 17 A. I'm not sure I understand the question. You're
that special issue, then we might as well do away with all these special issues because the only answer, if that's the way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?  A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a quadriplegic —  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.  THE COURT: Sustained.  Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Explain.  A. Because all violent felons are going to be — are going to be likely to be violent immediately in the community. If that's what that means — if that's what that special issue means, then it applies to all capital offenders who aren't made a paraplegic or quadriplegic in the offense. It is then a meaningless issue because it applies to all of them. The automatic answer is yes. It has no effect of limiting and narrowing and looking individually at who — who's assessed this penalty. It's not enough to have done this terrible capital murder in the community or in prison, or	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes." 6 A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it 7 Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to 8 the mitigation question unless, first, the jury answers this 9 question "yes"? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. Then the mitigation question what you talk about 12 this narrowing, that has a narrowing effect because even 13 people who are dangerous capital murderers who will probably 14 be a danger in the future may still be spared the death 15 penalty based upon a sufficient amount of mitigation found by 16 the jury; is that right? 17 A. I'm not sure I understand the question. You're 18 saying the first issue is meaningless, and it's only the
that special issue, then we might as well do away with all these special issues because the only answer, if that's the way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?  A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a quadriplegic —  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.  THE COURT: Sustained.  Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Explain.  A. Because all violent felons are going to be — are going to be likely to be violent immediately in the community. If that's what that means — if that's what that special issue means, then it applies to all capital offenders who aren't made a paraplegic or quadriplegic in the offense. It is then a meaningless issue because it applies to all of them. The automatic answer is yes. It has no effect of limiting and narrowing and looking individually at who — who's assessed this penalty. It's not enough to have done this terrible capital murder in the community or in prison, or wherever it happened.  There also has to be a determination of these other	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes." 6 A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it 7 Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to 8 the mitigation question unless, first, the jury answers this 9 question "yes"? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. Then the mitigation question what you talk about 12 this narrowing, that has a narrowing effect because even 13 people who are dangerous capital murderers who will probably 14 be a danger in the future may still be spared the death 15 penalty based upon a sufficient amount of mitigation found by 16 the jury; is that right? 17 A. I'm not sure I understand the question. You're 18 saying the first issue is meaningless, and it's only the 19 second issue that has a meaningful, narrowing quality to it.
that special issue, then we might as well do away with all these special issues because the only answer, if that's the way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?  A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a quadriplegic —  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.  THE COURT: Sustained.  Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Explain.  A. Because all violent felons are going to be — are going to be likely to be violent immediately in the community. If that's what that means — if that's what that special issue means, then it applies to all capital offenders who aren't made a paraplegic or quadriplegic in the offense. It is then a meaningless issue because it applies to all of them. The automatic answer is yes. It has no effect of limiting and narrowing and looking individually at who — who's assessed this penalty. It's not enough to have done this terrible capital murder in the community or in prison, or	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes." 6 A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it 7 Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to 8 the mitigation question unless, first, the jury answers this 9 question "yes"? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. Then the mitigation question what you talk about 12 this narrowing, that has a narrowing effect because even 13 people who are dangerous capital murderers who will probably 14 be a danger in the future may still be spared the death 15 penalty based upon a sufficient amount of mitigation found by 16 the jury; is that right? 17 A. I'm not sure I understand the question. You're 18 saying the first issue is meaningless, and it's only the 19 second issue that has a meaningful, narrowing quality to it. 20 The first one is just window dressing. That's what I
that special issue, then we might as well do away with all these special issues because the only answer, if that's the way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?  A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a quadriplegic —  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.  THE COURT: Sustained.  Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Explain.  A. Because all violent felons are going to be — are going to be likely to be violent immediately in the community. If that's what that means — if that's what that special issue means, then it applies to all capital offenders who aren't made a paraplegic or quadriplegic in the offense. It is then a meaningless issue because it applies to all of them. The automatic answer is yes. It has no effect of limiting and narrowing and looking individually at who — who's assessed this penalty. It's not enough to have done this terrible capital murder in the community or in prison, or wherever it happened.  There also has to be a determination of these other	l directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation question unless this question is first answered unanimously "yes."  A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to the mitigation question unless, first, the jury answers this question "yes"?  A. That's correct.  Q. Then the mitigation question what you talk about this narrowing, that has a narrowing effect because even people who are dangerous capital murderers who will probably be a danger in the future may still be spared the death penalty based upon a sufficient amount of mitigation found by the jury; is that right?  A. I'm not sure I understand the question. You're saying the first issue is meaningless, and it's only the second issue that has a meaningful, narrowing quality to it. The first one is just window dressing. That's what I thought
that special issue, then we might as well do away with all these special issues because the only answer, if that's the way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?  A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a quadriplegic —  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.  THE COURT: Sustained.  Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Explain.  A. Because all violent felons are going to be — are going to be likely to be violent immediately in the community. If that's what that means — if that's what that special issue means, then it applies to all capital offenders who aren't made a paraplegic or quadriplegic in the offense. It is then a meaningless issue because it applies to all of them. The automatic answer is yes. It has no effect of limiting and narrowing and looking individually at who — who's assessed this penalty. It's not enough to have done this terrible capital murder in the community or in prison, or wherever it happened.  There also has to be a determination of these other factors that narrow. Even among those individuals, we're narrowing the field to identify certain persons out of that, and if this applies to release them now into the community, it	I directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation question unless this question is first answered unanimously "yes."  A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to the mitigation question unless, first, the jury answers this question "yes"?  A. That's correct.  Q. Then the mitigation question what you talk about this narrowing, that has a narrowing effect because even people who are dangerous capital murderers who will probably be a danger in the future may still be spared the death penalty based upon a sufficient amount of mitigation found by the jury; is that right?  A. I'm not sure I understand the question. You're saying the first issue is meaningless, and it's only the second issue that has a meaningful, narrowing quality to it. The first one is just window dressing. That's what I thought Q. Is that what you think I said?  A. Well, yes, sir, because it sounded like you were saying this okay, they go through this one. It's the
that special issue, then we might as well do away with all these special issues because the only answer, if that's the way we look at it, line them up and kill them all?  A. Yes, sir. Then every capital offender who is not a quadriplegic  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. This is a nonresponsive answer. It's just yes or no.  THE COURT: Sustained.  Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Explain.  A. Because all violent felons are going to be are going to be likely to be violent immediately in the community. If that's what that means if that's what that special issue means, then it applies to all capital offenders who aren't made a paraplegic or quadriplegic in the offense. It is then a meaningless issue because it applies to all of them. The automatic answer is yes. It has no effect of limiting and narrowing and looking individually at who who's assessed this penalty. It's not enough to have done this terrible capital murder in the community or in prison, or wherever it happened.  There also has to be a determination of these other factors that narrow. Even among those individuals, we're narrowing the field to identify certain persons out of that,	1 directly focuses the jury's attention on the propriety, the 2 right to assessing a death penalty, even against a dangerous 3 capital murderer. Because you don't get to the mitigation 4 question unless this question is first answered unanimously 5 "yes." 6 A. Each of the questions has narrowing focus of it 7 Q. Excuse me, Doctor. My question is, you don't get to 8 the mitigation question unless, first, the jury answers this 9 question "yes"? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. Then the mitigation question what you talk about 12 this narrowing, that has a narrowing effect because even 13 people who are dangerous capital murderers who will probably 14 be a danger in the future may still be spared the death 15 penalty based upon a sufficient amount of mitigation found by 16 the jury; is that right? 17 A. I'm not sure I understand the question. You're 18 saying the first issue is meaningless, and it's only the 19 second issue that has a meaningful, narrowing quality to it. 20 The first one is just window dressing. That's what I 21 thought 22 Q. Is that what you think I said? 23 A. Well, yes, sir, because it sounded like you were

1	Page 86	Page 88  1 Q. By the way, he knew James's family, didn't he?	
1	THE COURT: Let's go in a different direction.	1 Q. By the way, he knew James's family, didn't he? 2 A. Oh, yes, sir.	
2	Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Other people might say that	3 Q. And he knew Amy's family?	
3	question can go either way, even dealing with a person's present propensity for violence in the free world. Do you	4 A. Amy's family, I don't have knowledge of that,	
4			
5	understand that's another way to consider it?	5 whether he knew her family or not. 6 Q. He was still willing to put people that he knew	
6	A. Oh, yes, sir. Anything is a possibility.	l	•
7	Q. And just because they don't share your view, doesn't		U
i	make it an unreasonable view, or do you think it is? If a	8 have go through. He's willing to do all that?	
	jury doesn't agree with you, that's unreasonable?	9 A. Obviously, by his behavior, yes. He's likely not 10 looking down the road that far, but clearly, yes.	
10	A. It's not a function of agreeing with me. I think it is unreasonable to believe that you can cut a capital murderer	11 MR. SCHULTZ: Pass the witness.	
1	loose today, and he's fine in the community. I think that's	12 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
	• •	13 BY MR. GOELLER:	
i	not a reasonable proposition.	14 Q. If somebody is convicted of walking into a liquor	
14	Q. That's your opinion?	15 store, taking out a piece and executing the clerk, that's	
15	A. Yes, sir, someone could come to something different.  Q. Would they be foolish or not in tune like you are?	16 capital murder?	
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17 A. Yes, sir.	
17	A. I don't know if they would be not in tune like me.  I think that would not be a reasonable conclusion to reach.	18 Q. Would you think most people would think, ah,	
		19 probably ought not to put them back on the street after	
19	Q. Nevertheless, the mitigation question deals with		
20	<u> </u>	20 finding them guilty of capital murder?  21 A. Oh, no, sir.	
21	· ·	22 Q. That's ridiculous?	
22	A. Yes, sir.  Q. You got any clue how the Defendant's mind was	23 A. Yes, sir.	
	working when he was slaughtering those people?	24 Q. If I walk up to a police officer that's an even	
25		25 more ridiculous example.	
23	A. No, sir.	25 more redictions example.	
			_
	Page 87	Page 89	
1	Page 87 Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were	Page 89 1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a	
1 2		1	
	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were	I If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a	
2	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up,	
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?</li><li>A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or</li></ul>	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police	
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?</li> <li>A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact,</li> </ul>	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good	
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right?	
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir.	ut
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even when they're in the down cycle of that. If that's his	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir. 7 Q. Okay. So again, it's as a professional, those	ut
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even when they're in the down cycle of that. If that's his experience, then as we look at his mental processes, he's	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir. 7 Q. Okay. So again, it's as a professional, those 8 are not only held by you and the people in your business, bu 9 you think that's just that's just common sense; you don't 10 put those people back out on the street?	ut
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even when they're in the down cycle of that. If that's his experience, then as we look at his mental processes, he's going to be extraordinarily impulsive, suspicious, paranoid, over-reactive, aggressive and distorting and angry, and so those kind of features — now, exactly what thought processes	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir. 7 Q. Okay. So again, it's as a professional, those 8 are not only held by you and the people in your business, bu 9 you think that's just that's just common sense; you don't 10 put those people back out on the street? 11 A. Yes, sir.	ut
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even when they're in the down cycle of that. If that's his experience, then as we look at his mental processes, he's going to be extraordinarily impulsive, suspicious, paranoid, over-reactive, aggressive and distorting and angry, and so	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir. 7 Q. Okay. So again, it's as a professional, those 8 are not only held by you and the people in your business, bu 9 you think that's just that's just common sense; you don't 10 put those people back out on the street? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. All right.	ut
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even when they're in the down cycle of that. If that's his experience, then as we look at his mental processes, he's going to be extraordinarily impulsive, suspicious, paranoid, over-reactive, aggressive and distorting and angry, and so those kind of features — now, exactly what thought processes were going through his mind, that I think is — I can't reconstruct from the data.	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir. 7 Q. Okay. So again, it's as a professional, those 8 are not only held by you and the people in your business, bu 9 you think that's just that's just common sense; you don't 10 put those people back out on the street? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. All right. 13 MR. GOELLER: That's all I have, Judge.	ut
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even when they're in the down cycle of that. If that's his experience, then as we look at his mental processes, he's going to be extraordinarily impulsive, suspicious, paranoid, over-reactive, aggressive and distorting and angry, and so those kind of features — now, exactly what thought processes were going through his mind, that I think is — I can't reconstruct from the data.  Q. He was certainly jealous of James's success, wasn't	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir. 7 Q. Okay. So again, it's as a professional, those 8 are not only held by you and the people in your business, bu 9 you think that's just that's just common sense; you don't 10 put those people back out on the street? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. All right. 13 MR. GOELLER: That's all I have, Judge. 14 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION	ut
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even when they're in the down cycle of that. If that's his experience, then as we look at his mental processes, he's going to be extraordinarily impulsive, suspicious, paranoid, over-reactive, aggressive and distorting and angry, and so those kind of features — now, exactly what thought processes were going through his mind, that I think is — I can't reconstruct from the data.	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir. 7 Q. Okay. So again, it's as a professional, those 8 are not only held by you and the people in your business, bu 9 you think that's just that's just common sense; you don't 10 put those people back out on the street? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. All right. 13 MR. GOELLER: That's all I have, Judge. 14 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. SCHULTZ:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even when they're in the down cycle of that. If that's his experience, then as we look at his mental processes, he's going to be extraordinarily impulsive, suspicious, paranoid, over-reactive, aggressive and distorting and angry, and so those kind of features — now, exactly what thought processes were going through his mind, that I think is — I can't reconstruct from the data.  Q. He was certainly jealous of James's success, wasn't he?  A. I don't know that.	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir. 7 Q. Okay. So again, it's as a professional, those 8 are not only held by you and the people in your business, but you think that's just that's just common sense; you don't 10 put those people back out on the street? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. All right. 13 MR. GOELLER: That's all I have, Judge. 14 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 16 Q. But there may or may not be a probability of danger	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even when they're in the down cycle of that. If that's his experience, then as we look at his mental processes, he's going to be extraordinarily impulsive, suspicious, paranoid, over-reactive, aggressive and distorting and angry, and so those kind of features — now, exactly what thought processes were going through his mind, that I think is — I can't reconstruct from the data.  Q. He was certainly jealous of James's success, wasn't he?  A. I don't know that.  Q. If you had — maybe other people would know that if	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir. 7 Q. Okay. So again, it's as a professional, those 8 are not only held by you and the people in your business, bu 9 you think that's just that's just common sense; you don't 10 put those people back out on the street? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. All right. 13 MR. GOELLER: That's all I have, Judge. 14 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 16 Q. But there may or may not be a probability of danger 17 if we don't put them back out on the streets because they ha	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even when they're in the down cycle of that. If that's his experience, then as we look at his mental processes, he's going to be extraordinarily impulsive, suspicious, paranoid, over-reactive, aggressive and distorting and angry, and so those kind of features — now, exactly what thought processes were going through his mind, that I think is — I can't reconstruct from the data.  Q. He was certainly jealous of James's success, wasn't he?  A. I don't know that.  Q. If you had — maybe other people would know that if they heard evidence of that. You don't know that?	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir. 7 Q. Okay. So again, it's as a professional, those 8 are not only held by you and the people in your business, bu 9 you think that's just that's just common sense; you don't 10 put those people back out on the street? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. All right. 13 MR. GOELLER: That's all I have, Judge. 14 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 16 Q. But there may or may not be a probability of danger 17 if we don't put them back out on the streets because they ha 18 to be punished for their crime somehow, right?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even when they're in the down cycle of that. If that's his experience, then as we look at his mental processes, he's going to be extraordinarily impulsive, suspicious, paranoid, over-reactive, aggressive and distorting and angry, and so those kind of features — now, exactly what thought processes were going through his mind, that I think is — I can't reconstruct from the data.  Q. He was certainly jealous of James's success, wasn't he?  A. I don't know that.  Q. If you had maybe other people would know that if they heard evidence of that. You don't know that?  A. I don't know that, no.	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir. 7 Q. Okay. So again, it's as a professional, those 8 are not only held by you and the people in your business, but you think that's just that's just common sense; you don't 10 put those people back out on the street? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. All right. 13 MR. GOELLER: That's all I have, Judge. 14 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 16 Q. But there may or may not be a probability of danger 17 if we don't put them back out on the streets because they ha 18 to be punished for their crime somehow, right? 19 A. You've lost me. I'm sorry.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even when they're in the down cycle of that. If that's his experience, then as we look at his mental processes, he's going to be extraordinarily impulsive, suspicious, paranoid, over-reactive, aggressive and distorting and angry, and so those kind of features — now, exactly what thought processes were going through his mind, that I think is — I can't reconstruct from the data.  Q. He was certainly jealous of James's success, wasn't he?  A. I don't know that.  Q. If you had maybe other people would know that if they heard evidence of that. You don't know that?  A. I don't know that, no.  Q. And he didn't like Amy, the female victim, and he	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir. 7 Q. Okay. So again, it's as a professional, those 8 are not only held by you and the people in your business, bu 9 you think that's just that's just common sense; you don't 10 put those people back out on the street? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. All right. 13 MR. GOELLER: That's all I have, Judge. 14 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 16 Q. But there may or may not be a probability of danger 17 if we don't put them back out on the streets because they ha 18 to be punished for their crime somehow, right? 19 A. You've lost me. I'm sorry. 20 MR. SCHULTZ: Never mind. I'll withdraw it.	
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even when they're in the down cycle of that. If that's his experience, then as we look at his mental processes, he's going to be extraordinarily impulsive, suspicious, paranoid, over-reactive, aggressive and distorting and angry, and so those kind of features — now, exactly what thought processes were going through his mind, that I think is — I can't reconstruct from the data.  Q. He was certainly jealous of James's success, wasn't he?  A. I don't know that.  Q. If you had — maybe other people would know that if they heard evidence of that. You don't know that?  A. I don't know that, no.  Q. And he didn't like Amy, the female victim, and he was resentful of her influence with James?	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir. 7 Q. Okay. So again, it's as a professional, those 8 are not only held by you and the people in your business, bu 9 you think that's just that's just common sense; you don't 10 put those people back out on the street? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. All right. 13 MR. GOELLER: That's all I have, Judge. 14 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 16 Q. But there may or may not be a probability of danger 17 if we don't put them back out on the streets because they ha 18 to be punished for their crime somehow, right? 19 A. You've lost me. I'm sorry. 20 MR. SCHULTZ: Never mind. I'll withdraw it. 21 THE COURT: All right. Is he excused by both	
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even when they're in the down cycle of that. If that's his experience, then as we look at his mental processes, he's going to be extraordinarily impulsive, suspicious, paranoid, over-reactive, aggressive and distorting and angry, and so those kind of features — now, exactly what thought processes were going through his mind, that I think is — I can't reconstruct from the data.  Q. He was certainly jealous of James's success, wasn't he?  A. I don't know that.  Q. If you had — maybe other people would know that if they heard evidence of that. You don't know that?  A. I don't know that, no.  Q. And he didn't like Amy, the female victim, and he was resentful of her influence with James?  A. I'm not familiar with the motivations or the nature	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir. 7 Q. Okay. So again, it's as a professional, those 8 are not only held by you and the people in your business, bu 9 you think that's just that's just common sense; you don't 10 put those people back out on the street? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. All right. 13 MR. GOELLER: That's all I have, Judge. 14 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 16 Q. But there may or may not be a probability of danger 17 if we don't put them back out on the streets because they ha 18 to be punished for their crime somehow, right? 19 A. You've lost me. I'm sorry. 20 MR. SCHULTZ: Never mind. I'll withdraw it. 21 THE COURT: All right. Is he excused by both 22 sides?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even when they're in the down cycle of that. If that's his experience, then as we look at his mental processes, he's going to be extraordinarily impulsive, suspicious, paranoid, over-reactive, aggressive and distorting and angry, and so those kind of features — now, exactly what thought processes were going through his mind, that I think is — I can't reconstruct from the data.  Q. He was certainly jealous of James's success, wasn't he?  A. I don't know that.  Q. If you had — maybe other people would know that if they heard evidence of that. You don't know that?  A. I don't know that, no.  Q. And he didn't like Amy, the female victim, and he was resentful of her influence with James?  A. I'm not familiar with the motivations or the nature of the relationship between these individuals.	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up. 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir. 7 Q. Okay. So again, it's as a professional, those 8 are not only held by you and the people in your business, bu 9 you think that's just that's just common sense; you don't 10 put those people back out on the street? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. All right. 13 MR. GOELLER: That's all I have, Judge. 14 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 16 Q. But there may or may not be a probability of danger 17 if we don't put them back out on the streets because they ha 18 to be punished for their crime somehow, right? 19 A. You've lost me. I'm sorry. 20 MR. SCHULTZ: Never mind. I'll withdraw it. 21 THE COURT: All right. Is he excused by both 22 sides? 23 MR. GOELLER: Yes, sir.	
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Could you help us understand what his thoughts were and going through there when he did that?  A. Well, if he's under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, or if he's part of that cycle, and, in fact, the paranoia, disturbed thinking are not just present when the person is intoxicated. That pervades their experience even when they're in the down cycle of that. If that's his experience, then as we look at his mental processes, he's going to be extraordinarily impulsive, suspicious, paranoid, over-reactive, aggressive and distorting and angry, and so those kind of features — now, exactly what thought processes were going through his mind, that I think is — I can't reconstruct from the data.  Q. He was certainly jealous of James's success, wasn't he?  A. I don't know that.  Q. If you had — maybe other people would know that if they heard evidence of that. You don't know that?  A. I don't know that, no.  Q. And he didn't like Amy, the female victim, and he was resentful of her influence with James?  A. I'm not familiar with the motivations or the nature	1 If I kill a police officer, okay, I'm wanted. I'm a 2 fugitive out of California. Highway patrolman pulls up, 3 license and registration, bang, just execute that police 4 officer, convicted of capital murder. Probably not a good 5 idea to just go out on the street, right? 6 A. Oh, no, sir. 7 Q. Okay. So again, it's as a professional, those 8 are not only held by you and the people in your business, bu 9 you think that's just that's just common sense; you don't 10 put those people back out on the street? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. All right. 13 MR. GOELLER: That's all I have, Judge. 14 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 16 Q. But there may or may not be a probability of danger 17 if we don't put them back out on the streets because they ha 18 to be punished for their crime somehow, right? 19 A. You've lost me. I'm sorry. 20 MR. SCHULTZ: Never mind. I'll withdraw it. 21 THE COURT: All right. Is he excused by both 22 sides?	

- 1 recognitions, achievements, honors that you've accomplished
- 2 while you've been pastor of Cornerstone Church in Nashville,
- 3 Tennessee?
- A. I've done the Policeman's Memorial Service for the
- 5 Nashville Metro Police Officers of the city. I am the
- 6 chaplain today for the State Senate, and I regularly do
- devotions for the State Senate and State Legislature. I am a
- member of the Chamber of Commerce of two of the rural of
- the suburban communities around Nashville itself. I host a
- national television program from our church on the Inspiration
- 11 Network, and I pastor a church.
- 12 Q. Do you know the Lieutenant Governor?
- 13 A. Lieutenant Governor Wilder, yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Personal friend of his?
- 15 A. I don't know about a personal friend. I'm a regular
- 16 acquaintance.
- Q. Quite often you will see him when you go over to 17
- 18 speak before the Legislature?
- 19 A. Yes, sir, almost every time.
- 20 Q. And your church, Cornerstone Church, how does it fit
- 21 in terms of size within the State of Tennessee?
- 22 A. We have the largest sanctuary in the City of
- 23 Nashville and that surrounding area, and we are the largest
- 24 Assembly of God Church in the State of Tennessee.
- 25 Q. So you're the one. You're the flagship Assembly of

# Page 95

- 1 God Church in the State of Tennessee?
- 2 A. Yeah, I guess so, for our fellowship.
- Q. And what about your commitment to missions? Tell 3
- 4 the jury about that.
- A. In the year 2000, at Cornerstone Church in the 5
- 6 Assemblies of God, we have a little over 13,000 churches in
- 7 the United States, and we were number 37 in the nations in
- 8 reaching people in foreign countries with aid and stuff. We
- 9 have taken the nation of Kenya, and we've built 62 churches in
- 10 the last five years, two Bible schools and an orphanage.
- 11 Q. Sounds like you're busy?
- 12 A. Wonderfully.
- 13 Q. Let me ask you, Pastor Davis, have you ever been to
- 14 prison?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- O. What for? 16
- 17 A. Murder.
- 18 Q. When did you go to prison?
- A. I was incarcerated in January of 1975 and went to 19
- 20 prison in the summer of that year.
- 21 Q. How old were you?
- 22 A. Eighteen years of age.
- Q. Tell the jury about your offense. And I realize 23
- 24 this is not something you like to think about, but why don't
- 25 you give us some insight into what it is you did.

# Page 96

- A. I had been become a drug addict, and over a
- 2 period of years, and in January of 1975, a friend of mine and
- 3 I were burglarizing homes. And on the day that we committed
- 4 the crime or I committed the crime, we were knocking on
- 5 homes that had For Sale signs in the front yard, and if no one
- 6 was home, we burglarized the home. If someone was home, we
- just looked through the home and left.
- And there was a home that we went to. Nobody was
- 9 there, but when we looked in the windows there were no -- no
- 10 furniture in the house. The house was empty, and so we were
- 11 leaving the home, and the lady came from either across the
- 12 street or beside the home somewhere in that area.
- 13 Q. Did you know this lady?
- 14 A. No, sir.
- 15 Q. Ever seen her before?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- Q. What happened then? 17
- 18 A. She said she had a key to the home if we wanted to
- 19 look at it, and so we went through the same method that we had
- 20 done before and just looked through the home. And we were
- 21 beside the -- we had gone through the whole house and we were
- 22 on the way out, and there was a closet of some kind, to the
- 23 best of my memory, and it had a furnace in it, like a gas
- 24 furnace or something that was inside the house. And there was
- 25 a bucket of paint there, and I told her we needed to move the

- 1 paint so it wouldn't catch on fire.
- Q. Now, Pastor Davis, I want to stop just a minute.
- 3 Were you high at the time?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Strung out?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. On what? 7
- A. Amphetamines and alcohol.
- 9 O. And alcohol?
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. Did you regularly use amphetamine and alcohol?
- 12 A. Daily.
- 13 Q. And do you recall what your state of mind was at the
- 14 time?
- 15 A. No, not really.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember what you did? 16
- 17 A. I remember parts of it. There were many parts of it
- 18 brought out in the trial by the testimony of the man that was
- 19 with me and stuff that I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. So what happened next? You're strung out on
- 21 amphetamines, and you're in this house.
- A. She decides she wants to get the paint instead of me
- 23 get the paint. She reaches for the bucket of paint, and she
- 24 spills the bucket of paint on me, and I just exploded, and I
- 25 struck the woman. And she began to scream and it scared me.

Page 102	Page 104
1 with my apartment roommate and myself.	1 Q. 600 North Commerce?
2 Q. Had dinner with your girlfriend?	2 A. I don't know.
3 A. Yes, sir.	3 Q. Okay.
4 Q. What did you do after that?	4 A. That's real foggy in my mind. It was the old jail.
5 A. I took her home late that evening.	5 Q. 1975?
6 Q. Did you tell her about the murder?	6 A. Yes, sir. 7 O. And I take it you took up residence there in the
7 A. No, sir.	
8 Q. What did you do after that?	8 Dallas County Jail? 9 A. Yes, sir.
<ul> <li>9 A. I fell asleep at her house on the couch.</li> <li>10 Q. Okay. You fell asleep. Were you still doing some</li> </ul>	10 Q. And how long were you incarcerated in the Dallas
11 drugs?	11 County Jail awaiting trial?
12 A. Yes, sir.	12 A. Until I was sentenced in May, and then transferred
13 Q. You were doing drugs?	13 to the Texas Department of Corrections, first part of the
14 A. And alcohol. I drank a lot that evening. The	14 summer.
15 amphetamines keep you from sleeping. Sometimes if you drank	15 Q. And tell the jury, when you first arrived in the
16 enough, you'd override that effect and put yourself to sleep.	16 Dallas County Jail, what was your state of mind?
17 Q. I see. So used it as a sedative?	17 A. Lost, confused, my mental condition at that point,
18 A. Yes.	18 because of the drug uses and alcohol and the other things that
19 Q. What did you do the next day?	19 had gone on in my life, was probably irrational, unrealistic.
A. When I got up in the morning, I just woke up, and it	20 I don't know. That's the best way I can describe it.
21 surprised me that I had fallen asleep. And I took - because	21 Q. Okay. So you were still strung out?
22 I had taken her home, her car was at the apartment. I told	22 A. Oh, yes, sir.
23 her that I'm going to go back, clean up, get up, I'll take you	23 Q. But you couldn't get drugs or alcohol; is that fair
24 to work and at lunch we'll swap cars. And as I drove out of	24 to say?
25 her house, is when I ran into the Irving Police Department	25 A. Huh-uh.
	I .
Page 103	Page 105
1 They had come to arrest me.	1 Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing?
<ul><li>1 They had come to arrest me.</li><li>2 Q. Because you had been discovered?</li></ul>	1 Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing? 2 A. Yes, sir.
<ol> <li>They had come to arrest me.</li> <li>Q. Because you had been discovered?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. Tell the jury about that.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>They had come to arrest me.</li> <li>Q. Because you had been discovered?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the</li> <li>clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had</li> </ol>	1 Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Tell the jury about that. 4 A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical,
<ol> <li>They had come to arrest me.</li> <li>Q. Because you had been discovered?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the</li> <li>clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had</li> </ol>	1 Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Tell the jury about that. 4 A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical,
<ol> <li>They had come to arrest me.</li> <li>Q. Because you had been discovered?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the</li> <li>clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had</li> <li>gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate,</li> </ol>	1 Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Tell the jury about that. 4 A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical, 5 and beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or
1 They had come to arrest me. 2 Q. Because you had been discovered? 3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the 4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had 5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate, 6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They	1 Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Tell the jury about that. 4 A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical, 5 and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or 6 whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body
1 They had come to arrest me. 2 Q. Because you had been discovered? 3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the 4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had 5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate, 6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They 7 knew where I was.	1 Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Tell the jury about that. 4 A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical, 5 and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or 6 whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body 7 has withdrawal symptoms, and it produces some sweats, some
1 They had come to arrest me. 2 Q. Because you had been discovered? 3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the 4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had 5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate, 6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They 7 knew where I was. 8 Q. And they arrested you?	1 Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Tell the jury about that. 4 A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical, 5 and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or 6 whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body 7 has withdrawal symptoms, and it produces some sweats, some 8 nightmares, some feverish moments. I maybe was not, as an
1 They had come to arrest me.  2 Q. Because you had been discovered?  3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the  4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had  5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate,  6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They  7 knew where I was.  Q. And they arrested you?  9 A. Yes, sir.	1 Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Tell the jury about that. 4 A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical, 5 and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or 6 whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body 7 has withdrawal symptoms, and it produces some sweats, some 8 nightmares, some feverish moments. I maybe was not, as an 9 alcoholic, somebody that had been a street drunk or something
1 They had come to arrest me.  2 Q. Because you had been discovered?  3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the  4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had  5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate,  6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They  7 knew where I was.  Q. And they arrested you?  9 A. Yes, sir.  10 Q. And put you in jail?	Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Tell the jury about that.  A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical,  and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or  whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body  has withdrawal symptoms, and it produces some sweats, some  nightmares, some feverish moments. I maybe was not, as an  alcoholic, somebody that had been a street drunk or something  to of that nature, but it was pretty rough.
1 They had come to arrest me.  2 Q. Because you had been discovered?  3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the  4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had  5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate,  6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They  7 knew where I was.  Q. And they arrested you?  9 A. Yes, sir.  10 Q. And put you in jail?  11 A. Yes, sir.	1 Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Tell the jury about that. 4 A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical, 5 and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or 6 whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body 7 has withdrawal symptoms, and it produces some sweats, some 8 nightmares, some feverish moments. I maybe was not, as an 9 alcoholic, somebody that had been a street drunk or something 10 of that nature, but it was pretty rough. 11 Q. How long did that go on?
1 They had come to arrest me.  2 Q. Because you had been discovered?  3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the  4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had  5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate,  6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They  7 knew where I was.  Q. And they arrested you?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And put you in jail?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Which jail?	1 Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Tell the jury about that. 4 A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical, 5 and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or 6 whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body 7 has withdrawal symptoms, and it produces some sweats, some 8 nightmares, some feverish moments. I maybe was not, as an 9 alcoholic, somebody that had been a street drunk or something 10 of that nature, but it was pretty rough. 11 Q. How long did that go on? 12 A. Off and on, for a month.
1 They had come to arrest me.  2 Q. Because you had been discovered?  3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the  4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had  5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate,  6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They  7 knew where I was.  8 Q. And they arrested you?  9 A. Yes, sir.  10 Q. And put you in jail?  11 A. Yes, sir.  12 Q. Which jail?  13 A. Irving City Jail.	1 Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Tell the jury about that. 4 A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical, 5 and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or 6 whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body 7 has withdrawal symptoms, and it produces some sweats, some 8 nightmares, some feverish moments. I maybe was not, as an 9 alcoholic, somebody that had been a street drunk or something 10 of that nature, but it was pretty rough. 11 Q. How long did that go on? 12 A. Off and on, for a month. 13 Q. Was that difficult?
1 They had come to arrest me.  2 Q. Because you had been discovered?  3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the  4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had  5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate,  6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They  7 knew where I was.  8 Q. And they arrested you?  9 A. Yes, sir.  10 Q. And put you in jail?  11 A. Yes, sir.  12 Q. Which jail?  13 A. Irving City Jail.  14 Q. Charged you with what?	1 Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Tell the jury about that. 4 A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical, 5 and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or 6 whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body 7 has withdrawal symptoms, and it produces some sweats, some 8 nightmares, some feverish moments. I maybe was not, as an 9 alcoholic, somebody that had been a street drunk or something 10 of that nature, but it was pretty rough. 11 Q. How long did that go on? 12 A. Off and on, for a month. 13 Q. Was that difficult? 14 A. Yes, sir.
1 They had come to arrest me.  2 Q. Because you had been discovered?  3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the  4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had  5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate,  6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They  7 knew where I was.  8 Q. And they arrested you?  9 A. Yes, sir.  10 Q. And put you in jail?  11 A. Yes, sir.  12 Q. Which jail?  13 A. Irving City Jail.  14 Q. Charged you with what?  15 A. First-degree murder.  16 Q. Okay. And eventually transferred down to Lew  17 Sterret?	Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Tell the jury about that.  A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical,  and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or  whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body  has withdrawal symptoms, and it produces some sweats, some  nightmares, some feverish moments. I maybe was not, as an  alcoholic, somebody that had been a street drunk or something  of that nature, but it was pretty rough.  Q. How long did that go on?  A. Off and on, for a month.  Q. Was that difficult?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And also, I'm sure your roommates weren't too  accommodating, were they?  A. No, it's — no. It's not a very accommodating
1 They had come to arrest me.  2 Q. Because you had been discovered?  3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the  4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had  5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate,  6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They  7 knew where I was.  8 Q. And they arrested you?  9 A. Yes, sir.  10 Q. And put you in jail?  11 A. Yes, sir.  12 Q. Which jail?  13 A. Irving City Jail.  14 Q. Charged you with what?  15 A. First-degree murder.  16 Q. Okay. And eventually transferred down to Lew  17 Sterret?  18 A. I was at the Dallas County Jail, which I think was	1 Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Tell the jury about that. 4 A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical, 5 and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or 6 whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body 7 has withdrawal symptoms, and it produces some sweats, some 8 nightmares, some feverish moments. I maybe was not, as an 9 alcoholic, somebody that had been a street drunk or something 10 of that nature, but it was pretty rough. 11 Q. How long did that go on? 12 A. Off and on, for a month. 13 Q. Was that difficult? 14 A. Yes, sir. 15 Q. Okay. And also, I'm sure your roommates weren't too 16 accommodating, were they? 17 A. No, it's — no. It's not a very accommodating 18 place.
1 They had come to arrest me.  2 Q. Because you had been discovered?  3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the  4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had  5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate,  6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They  7 knew where I was.  8 Q. And they arrested you?  9 A. Yes, sir.  10 Q. And put you in jail?  11 A. Yes, sir.  12 Q. Which jail?  13 A. Irving City Jail.  14 Q. Charged you with what?  15 A. First-degree murder.  16 Q. Okay. And eventually transferred down to Lew  17 Sterret?  18 A. I was at the Dallas County Jail, which I think was  19 before the Lew Sterret Center.	1 Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Tell the jury about that. 4 A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical, 5 and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or 6 whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body 7 has withdrawal symptoms, and it produces some sweats, some 8 nightmares, some feverish moments. I maybe was not, as an 9 alcoholic, somebody that had been a street drunk or something 10 of that nature, but it was pretty rough. 11 Q. How long did that go on? 12 A. Off and on, for a month. 13 Q. Was that difficult? 14 A. Yes, sir. 15 Q. Okay. And also, I'm sure your roommates weren't too 16 accommodating, were they? 17 A. No, it's — no. It's not a very accommodating 18 place. 19 Q. Now, again, did you realize what was happening to
1 They had come to arrest me. 2 Q. Because you had been discovered? 3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the 4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had 5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate, 6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They 7 knew where I was. 8 Q. And they arrested you? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. And put you in jail? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. Which jail? 13 A. Irving City Jail. 14 Q. Charged you with what? 15 A. First-degree murder. 16 Q. Okay. And eventually transferred down to Lew 17 Sterret? 18 A. I was at the Dallas County Jail, which I think was 19 before the Lew Sterret Center. 20 Q. That's right. You would have been in the old white	Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Tell the jury about that.  A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical,  and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or  whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body  has withdrawal symptoms, and it produces some sweats, some  nightmares, some feverish moments. I maybe was not, as an  alcoholic, somebody that had been a street drunk or something  of that nature, but it was pretty rough.  Q. How long did that go on?  A. Off and on, for a month.  Q. Was that difficult?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And also, I'm sure your roommates weren't too  accommodating, were they?  A. No, it's — no. It's not a very accommodating  place.  Q. Now, again, did you realize what was happening to  20 you?
1 They had come to arrest me.  2 Q. Because you had been discovered?  3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the  4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had  5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate,  6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They  7 knew where I was.  8 Q. And they arrested you?  9 A. Yes, sir.  10 Q. And put you in jail?  11 A. Yes, sir.  12 Q. Which jail?  13 A. Irving City Jail.  14 Q. Charged you with what?  15 A. First-degree murder.  16 Q. Okay. And eventually transferred down to Lew  17 Sterret?  18 A. I was at the Dallas County Jail, which I think was  19 before the Lew Sterret Center.  20 Q. That's right. You would have been in the old white  21 building, what they call the "new courthouse"?	Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Tell the jury about that.  A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical,  and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or  whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body  has withdrawal symptoms, and it produces some sweats, some  nightmares, some feverish moments. I maybe was not, as an  alcoholic, somebody that had been a street drunk or something  of that nature, but it was pretty rough.  Q. How long did that go on?  A. Off and on, for a month.  Q. Was that difficult?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And also, I'm sure your roommates weren't too  accommodating, were they?  A. No, it's — no. It's not a very accommodating  place.  Q. Now, again, did you realize what was happening to  you?  A. There was an awareness that I —
1 They had come to arrest me. 2 Q. Because you had been discovered? 3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the 4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had 5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate, 6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They 7 knew where I was. 8 Q. And they arrested you? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. And put you in jail? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. Which jail? 13 A. Irving City Jail. 14 Q. Charged you with what? 15 A. First-degree murder. 16 Q. Okay. And eventually transferred down to Lew 17 Sterret? 18 A. I was at the Dallas County Jail, which I think was 19 before the Lew Sterret Center. 20 Q. That's right. You would have been in the old white 21 building, what they call the "new courthouse"? 22 A. I don't remember.	Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Tell the jury about that.  A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical,  and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or  whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body  has withdrawal symptoms, and it produces some sweats, some  nightmares, some feverish moments. I maybe was not, as an  alcoholic, somebody that had been a street drunk or something  of that nature, but it was pretty rough.  Q. How long did that go on?  A. Off and on, for a month.  Q. Was that difficult?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And also, I'm sure your roommates weren't too  accommodating, were they?  A. No, it's — no. It's not a very accommodating  place.  Q. Now, again, did you realize what was happening to  you?  A. There was an awareness that I —  22 Q. It's yes or no.
1 They had come to arrest me.  2 Q. Because you had been discovered?  3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the  4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had  5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate,  6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They  7 knew where I was.  8 Q. And they arrested you?  9 A. Yes, sir.  10 Q. And put you in jail?  11 A. Yes, sir.  12 Q. Which jail?  13 A. Irving City Jail.  14 Q. Charged you with what?  15 A. First-degree murder.  16 Q. Okay. And eventually transferred down to Lew  17 Sterret?  18 A. I was at the Dallas County Jail, which I think was  19 before the Lew Sterret Center.  20 Q. That's right. You would have been in the old white  21 building, what they call the "new courthouse"?  22 A. I don't remember.  23 Q. The George Allen Building?	Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing?  A. Yes, sir. Q. Tell the jury about that.  A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical, and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body has withdrawal symptoms, and it produces some sweats, some nightmares, some feverish moments. I maybe was not, as an alcoholic, somebody that had been a street drunk or something of that nature, but it was pretty rough.  Q. How long did that go on?  A. Off and on, for a month.  Q. Was that difficult?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And also, I'm sure your roommates weren't too accommodating, were they?  A. No, it's — no. It's not a very accommodating place.  Q. Now, again, did you realize what was happening to you?  A. There was an awareness that I —  Q. It's yes or no.  A. Yes.
1 They had come to arrest me. 2 Q. Because you had been discovered? 3 A. Yes, sir. They had run enough trails from the 4 clothes at the cleaners and stuff, apparently, that they had 5 gone to my apartment. They had gone and arrested my roommate, 6 and he told them where I was. They came and got me. They 7 knew where I was. 8 Q. And they arrested you? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. And put you in jail? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. Which jail? 13 A. Irving City Jail. 14 Q. Charged you with what? 15 A. First-degree murder. 16 Q. Okay. And eventually transferred down to Lew 17 Sterret? 18 A. I was at the Dallas County Jail, which I think was 19 before the Lew Sterret Center. 20 Q. That's right. You would have been in the old white 21 building, what they call the "new courthouse"? 22 A. I don't remember.	Q. So are you familiar with DT's, or detoxing?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Tell the jury about that.  A. It's when you've addicted your body to a chemical,  and — beyond the emotional need that you have for a drug, or  whatever put you in that position to use something. Your body  has withdrawal symptoms, and it produces some sweats, some  nightmares, some feverish moments. I maybe was not, as an  alcoholic, somebody that had been a street drunk or something  of that nature, but it was pretty rough.  Q. How long did that go on?  A. Off and on, for a month.  Q. Was that difficult?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And also, I'm sure your roommates weren't too  accommodating, were they?  A. No, it's — no. It's not a very accommodating  place.  Q. Now, again, did you realize what was happening to  you?  A. There was an awareness that I —  22 Q. It's yes or no.

١.	Page 110	Page 112 1 killing two perpetrators who brutally sexually assaulted and
ĺ	we'll see you about 1:20.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	2 murdered his daughter.
2		3 Furthermore, on cross-examination of the Defense's
3	(Jury exits the courtroom at 11:50 a.m.)	
4	MR. HIGH: All right. Judge, if the objection	
1	relevance Texas Rule of Evidence 401, relevance is "the	5 examples were discussed before the jury, not individually as
	tendency to make the existence of a fact more or less probable	6 in voir dire, but now as a collective group, thereby
7		7 referencing the impression made or reinforcing the
8	The leading case on that is Montgomery versus State, which is	8 impression made that the at the voir dire stage of this
9	cited at 810 S.W. 2d, 372 at page 376.	9 trial. In other words, they talked about it individually with
10	THE COURT: You're not writing on a clean slate	10 every person on this jury. Now they've talked about it again
11		11 collectively as a group in this trial.
l	need to know why this testimony is relevant.	12 In addition, the State discussed other examples
13	MR. HIGH: If you will bear with me, Judge,	13 whereby one may not be a future danger. Again, while crossing
l	I've got three theories of relevance. I'm just about there.	14 Dr. Cunningham, the State referred to persons placed in
15	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.	15 cast-iron suits and fed through an opening, persons placed on
16	MR. HIGH: Under the Code of Criminal Procedure	16 an island and not available to the public, persons with
i	37.071, Section 2 (b) (1), the Special Issue Number One is	17 dynamite strapped to them to see if they would sit still,
1	whether or not this Defendant, Mr. Cantu, would commit	18 rendering a person comatose or a person that's suspended in
19		19 the air from a derrick at a construction site, okay? Those
1	constitute a continuing threat to society, emphasis on the	20 were all illustrations whereby
21	word "would" because that's what the special issue says and	21 THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Suppose I
22		22 find his testimony is relevant. How much time do you need to
23	Our first theory of relevance is that the State's	23 finish up with him?
ł	voir dire	24 MR. HIGH: I probably need about another 20
25	THE COURT: Wait. Let me ask you this, and I	25 minutes or so.
i		
	Page 111	Page 113
1	Page 111 hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I	Page 113 1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that
l		1
l	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that
2	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God,	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure
2 3	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a
2 3 4 5	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say.	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said,
2 3 4 5	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say.  THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sic) to do, I'll let
2 3 4 5 6	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say.  THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sic) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say.  THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?  MR. HIGH: That's correct.	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sic) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it. 7 MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge. There is also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say.  THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?  MR. HIGH: That's correct.  THE COURT: Is there much beyond that?	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sic) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it. 7 MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge. There is also 8 some other relevance as well. 9 THE COURT: Tell me what it is. 10 MR. HIGH: Okay. This individual is going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say.  THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?  MR. HIGH: That's correct.  THE COURT: Is there much beyond that?  MR. HIGH: There's a little beyond that.  THE COURT: Tell me the little bit beyond that.  MR. HIGH: He was determined to be a future	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sic) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it. 7 MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge. There is also 8 some other relevance as well. 9 THE COURT: Tell me what it is. 10 MR. HIGH: Okay. This individual is going to 11 talk about his experiences in prison, his life there, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say.  THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?  MR. HIGH: That's correct.  THE COURT: Is there much beyond that?  MR. HIGH: There's a little beyond that.  THE COURT: Tell me the little bit beyond that.  MR. HIGH: He was determined to be a future	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sic) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it. 7 MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge. There is also 8 some other relevance as well. 9 THE COURT: Tell me what it is. 10 MR. HIGH: Okay. This individual is going to 11 talk about his experiences in prison, his life there, the 12 contribution it has made to his Christian faith, and if the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say.  THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?  MR. HIGH: That's correct.  THE COURT: Is there much beyond that?  MR. HIGH: There's a little beyond that.  THE COURT: Tell me the little bit beyond that.  MR. HIGH: He was determined to be a future	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sic) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it. 7 MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge. There is also 8 some other relevance as well. 9 THE COURT: Tell me what it is. 10 MR. HIGH: Okay. This individual is going to 11 talk about his experiences in prison, his life there, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say.  THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?  MR. HIGH: That's correct.  THE COURT: Is there much beyond that?  MR. HIGH: There's a little beyond that.  THE COURT: Tell me the little bit beyond that.  MR. HIGH: He was determined to be a future danger, and he is not a future danger.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. HIGH: That's basically it.	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sic) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it. 7 MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge. There is also 8 some other relevance as well. 9 THE COURT: Tell me what it is. 10 MR. HIGH: Okay. This individual is going to 11 talk about his experiences in prison, his life there, the 12 contribution it has made to his Christian faith, and if the 13 Court would bear with me, because I want to articulate my 14 other theories of relevance. Judge, this is well thought out,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say. THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?  MR. HIGH: That's correct. THE COURT: Is there much beyond that? MR. HIGH: There's a little beyond that. THE COURT: Tell me the little bit beyond that. MR. HIGH: He was determined to be a future danger, and he is not a future danger. THE COURT: Anything else?	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sic) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it. 7 MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge. There is also 8 some other relevance as well. 9 THE COURT: Tell me what it is. 10 MR. HIGH: Okay. This individual is going to 11 talk about his experiences in prison, his life there, the 12 contribution it has made to his Christian faith, and if the 13 Court would bear with me, because I want to articulate my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say.  THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?  MR. HIGH: That's correct.  THE COURT: Is there much beyond that?  MR. HIGH: There's a little beyond that.  THE COURT: Tell me the little bit beyond that.  MR. HIGH: He was determined to be a future danger, and he is not a future danger.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. HIGH: That's basically it.	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sic) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it. 7 MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge. There is also 8 some other relevance as well. 9 THE COURT: Tell me what it is. 10 MR. HIGH: Okay. This individual is going to 11 talk about his experiences in prison, his life there, the 12 contribution it has made to his Christian faith, and if the 13 Court would bear with me, because I want to articulate my 14 other theories of relevance. Judge, this is well thought out,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say.  THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?  MR. HIGH: That's correct.  THE COURT: Is there much beyond that?  MR. HIGH: There's a little beyond that.  THE COURT: Tell me the little bit beyond that.  MR. HIGH: He was determined to be a future danger, and he is not a future danger.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. HIGH: That's basically it.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sic) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it. 7 MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge. There is also 8 some other relevance as well. 9 THE COURT: Tell me what it is. 10 MR. HIGH: Okay. This individual is going to 11 talk about his experiences in prison, his life there, the 12 contribution it has made to his Christian faith, and if the 13 Court would bear with me, because I want to articulate my 14 other theories of relevance. Judge, this is well thought out, 15 and I'd like to have the opportunity to express it on the
2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 16 17 18	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say.  THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?  MR. HIGH: That's correct.  THE COURT: Is there much beyond that?  MR. HIGH: There's a little beyond that.  THE COURT: Tell me the little bit beyond that.  MR. HIGH: He was determined to be a future danger, and he is not a future danger.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. HIGH: That's basically it.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  MR. HIGH: May I continue?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Well, Judge, as the Court recalls in	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sie) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it. 7 MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge. There is also 8 some other relevance as well. 9 THE COURT: Tell me what it is. 10 MR. HIGH: Okay. This individual is going to 11 talk about his experiences in prison, his life there, the 12 contribution it has made to his Christian faith, and if the 13 Court would bear with me, because I want to articulate my 14 other theories of relevance. Judge, this is well thought out, 15 and I'd like to have the opportunity to express it on the 16 record. 17 THE COURT: Let me tell you something, you can 18 go ahead and express it, but it's probably not going to be
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say. THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?  MR. HIGH: That's correct. THE COURT: Is there much beyond that? MR. HIGH: There's a little beyond that. THE COURT: Tell me the little bit beyond that. MR. HIGH: He was determined to be a future danger, and he is not a future danger.  THE COURT: Anything else? MR. HIGH: That's basically it. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. MR. HIGH: May I continue? THE COURT: Yes. MR. HIGH: Well, Judge, as the Court recalls in our six weeks of voir dire, the State delineated two	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sic) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it. 7 MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge. There is also 8 some other relevance as well. 9 THE COURT: Tell me what it is. 10 MR. HIGH: Okay. This individual is going to 11 talk about his experiences in prison, his life there, the 12 contribution it has made to his Christian faith, and if the 13 Court would bear with me, because I want to articulate my 14 other theories of relevance. Judge, this is well thought out, 15 and I'd like to have the opportunity to express it on the 16 record. 17 THE COURT: Let me tell you something, you can 18 go ahead and express it, but it's probably not going to be 19 anything that I haven't figured out in the last 20 or 30
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say.  THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?  MR. HIGH: That's correct.  THE COURT: Is there much beyond that?  MR. HIGH: There's a little beyond that.  THE COURT: Tell me the little bit beyond that.  MR. HIGH: He was determined to be a future danger, and he is not a future danger.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. HIGH: That's basically it.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  MR. HIGH: May I continue?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Well, Judge, as the Court recalls in our six weeks of voir dire, the State delineated two illustrations or hypotheticals whereby a capital defendant may	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sic) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it. 7 MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge. There is also 8 some other relevance as well. 9 THE COURT: Tell me what it is. 10 MR. HIGH: Okay. This individual is going to 11 talk about his experiences in prison, his life there, the 12 contribution it has made to his Christian faith, and if the 13 Court would bear with me, because I want to articulate my 14 other theories of relevance. Judge, this is well thought out, 15 and I'd like to have the opportunity to express it on the 16 record. 17 THE COURT: Let me tell you something, you can 18 go ahead and express it, but it's probably not going to be 19 anything that I haven't figured out in the last 20 or 30 20 years. But if you want to do it for the record, sure. But I
2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say.  THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?  MR. HIGH: That's correct.  THE COURT: Is there much beyond that?  MR. HIGH: There's a little beyond that.  THE COURT: Tell me the little bit beyond that.  MR. HIGH: He was determined to be a future danger, and he is not a future danger.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. HIGH: That's basically it.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  MR. HIGH: May I continue?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Well, Judge, as the Court recalls in our six weeks of voir dire, the State delineated two illustrations or hypotheticals whereby a capital defendant may not be a future danger. And the illustration was that a	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sie) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it. 7 MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge. There is also 8 some other relevance as well. 9 THE COURT: Tell me what it is. 10 MR. HIGH: Okay. This individual is going to 11 talk about his experiences in prison, his life there, the 12 contribution it has made to his Christian faith, and if the 13 Court would bear with me, because I want to articulate my 14 other theories of relevance. Judge, this is well thought out, 15 and I'd like to have the opportunity to express it on the 16 record. 17 THE COURT: Let me tell you something, you can 18 go ahead and express it, but it's probably not going to be 19 anything that I haven't figured out in the last 20 or 30 20 years. But if you want to do it for the record, sure. But I 21 don't think any appellate court is going to have much problem
2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say. THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?  MR. HIGH: That's correct. THE COURT: Is there much beyond that? MR. HIGH: There's a little beyond that. THE COURT: Tell me the little bit beyond that. MR. HIGH: He was determined to be a future danger, and he is not a future danger.  THE COURT: Anything else? MR. HIGH: That's basically it. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. MR. HIGH: May I continue? THE COURT: Yes. MR. HIGH: Well, Judge, as the Court recalls in our six weeks of voir dire, the State delineated two illustrations or hypotheticals whereby a capital defendant may not be a future danger. And the illustration was that a person paralyzed from the neck, chin or eyebrows down during a	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sic) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it. 7 MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge. There is also 8 some other relevance as well. 9 THE COURT: Tell me what it is. 10 MR. HIGH: Okay. This individual is going to 11 talk about his experiences in prison, his life there, the 12 contribution it has made to his Christian faith, and if the 13 Court would bear with me, because I want to articulate my 14 other theories of relevance. Judge, this is well thought out, 15 and I'd like to have the opportunity to express it on the 16 record. 17 THE COURT: Let me tell you something, you can 18 go ahead and express it, but it's probably not going to be 19 anything that I haven't figured out in the last 20 or 30 20 years. But if you want to do it for the record, sure. But I 21 don't think any appellate court is going to have much problem 22 grabbing the issue of relevance or not, so why are we going
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say. THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?  MR. HIGH: That's correct. THE COURT: Is there much beyond that? MR. HIGH: There's a little beyond that. THE COURT: Tell me the little bit beyond that. MR. HIGH: He was determined to be a future danger, and he is not a future danger.  THE COURT: Anything else? MR. HIGH: That's basically it. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. MR. HIGH: May I continue? THE COURT: Yes. MR. HIGH: Well, Judge, as the Court recalls in our six weeks of voir dire, the State delineated two illustrations or hypotheticals whereby a capital defendant may not be a future danger. And the illustration was that a person paralyzed from the neck, chin or eyebrows down during a police shootout at the time of arrest, that person would not	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sic) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it. 7 MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge. There is also 8 some other relevance as well. 9 THE COURT: Tell me what it is. 10 MR. HIGH: Okay. This individual is going to 11 talk about his experiences in prison, his life there, the 12 contribution it has made to his Christian faith, and if the 13 Court would bear with me, because I want to articulate my 14 other theories of relevance. Judge, this is well thought out, 15 and I'd like to have the opportunity to express it on the 16 record. 17 THE COURT: Let me tell you something, you can 18 go ahead and express it, but it's probably not going to be 19 anything that I haven't figured out in the last 20 or 30 20 years. But if you want to do it for the record, sure. But I 21 don't think any appellate court is going to have much problem 22 grabbing the issue of relevance or not, so why are we going 23 there?
2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 20 21 22 23 24	hate to interrupt you. I assume he's going to say, I committed the murder, I went to the penitentiary, I found God, and now I'm really productive.  MR. HIGH: That's basically what he will say. THE COURT: And I've been saved and I'm productive. Okay. So that's the gist of his testimony?  MR. HIGH: That's correct. THE COURT: Is there much beyond that? MR. HIGH: There's a little beyond that. THE COURT: Tell me the little bit beyond that. MR. HIGH: He was determined to be a future danger, and he is not a future danger.  THE COURT: Anything else? MR. HIGH: That's basically it. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. MR. HIGH: May I continue? THE COURT: Yes. MR. HIGH: Well, Judge, as the Court recalls in our six weeks of voir dire, the State delineated two illustrations or hypotheticals whereby a capital defendant may not be a future danger. And the illustration was that a person paralyzed from the neck, chin or eyebrows down during a	1 THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that 2 because one thing that I do have the authority to do is figure 3 out the timing in this thing. And if you want to take a 4 couple of more minutes to get to the heart of what I said, 5 which it only took me about 30 minutes (sic) to do, I'll let 6 you have it, but that's about it. 7 MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge. There is also 8 some other relevance as well. 9 THE COURT: Tell me what it is. 10 MR. HIGH: Okay. This individual is going to 11 talk about his experiences in prison, his life there, the 12 contribution it has made to his Christian faith, and if the 13 Court would bear with me, because I want to articulate my 14 other theories of relevance. Judge, this is well thought out, 15 and I'd like to have the opportunity to express it on the 16 record. 17 THE COURT: Let me tell you something, you can 18 go ahead and express it, but it's probably not going to be 19 anything that I haven't figured out in the last 20 or 30 20 years. But if you want to do it for the record, sure. But I 21 don't think any appellate court is going to have much problem 22 grabbing the issue of relevance or not, so why are we going

,	Page 118		Page 120
1 2	A. And so I know this man is a man that is lost that has now made a confession of his life to Christ, from what the	1 2	MR. HIGH: I just want to make the record clear, too.
3	attorney just said, and so I do know him as a Brother in	3	THE COURT: That's good.
4	Christ based on his own confession.	4	MR. HIGH: But I also want to point out, Judge,
5	Q. Let me stop you a second if I could. What's the	5	that the State has made him relevant. The State has made him
6	difference between them calling you, and me finding some guy	6	relevant by the questions they've asked, by the witnesses they
7	that's on death row and bringing him up here and say, yeah, I	7	plan to call, and the way they've attempted to paint my
8	killed while I was on drugs, and I wish I could get off of	8	client. He is fully relevant, and if the Court grants the
9	death row so I could go out and kill again, because I liked	9	relevancy objection, I need to make an offer of proof with the
10		10	•
11	in you coming in and telling your story that relates to you,	11	THE COURT: No. I tell you what. I'm not
12		12	
		13	
14	A. Well, the guy that you're talking about has not made		it. Now, if the State wants to take 30 minutes with him, then
15			I'll give you some more time.
16		16	MR. HIGH: All right. Judge, I respectfully
17		17	
	transformed so they would not be.	18	THE COURT: That's fine. Go ahead and object.
19	Q. I'm not trying to equate you to the fellow that	19	MR. HIGH: Could I have about ten minutes to
20		20	
21	A. Okay.	21	THE COURT: Here's what I'm saying. What
22	Q. My point is, how would that be relevant to this		you're trying to tell the jury about this, I think is of
23	Defendant that I find some other beast that will come in and		limited utility, of limited relevancy, but you started, and
	say, I want to go out and satisfy my blood-thirsty lust for		we'll let you finish up the thought and the idea. But let me
	more victims? How is that any more relevant to this		tell you something, I tell you why he's not relevant, that's
23	more victims: Tow is that any more relevant to ans	23	ten you something, I ten you why he's not relevant, mat's
	Page 119		Page 121
1	Page 119 Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about	1	Page 121 him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.
1 2		1 2	
	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about		him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.
2	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know	2	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge,
2	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?	2	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.
2 3 4	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see	2 3 4	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.	2 3 4 5	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what,	2 3 4 5	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy	2 3 4 5 6 7	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy objection right now and not have a problem, but you started,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to come in here and say he's a future danger? What's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy objection right now and not have a problem, but you started, and I'll let you finish up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to come in here and say he's a future danger? What's the difference? There is no difference.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy objection right now and not have a problem, but you started, and I'll let you finish up.  MR. HIGH: Judge, I'm not offering him as an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to come in here and say he's a future danger? What's the difference? There is no difference.  THE COURT: Now, you want to talk about an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy objection right now and not have a problem, but you started, and I'll let you finish up.  MR. HIGH: Judge, I'm not offering him as an expert.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to come in here and say he's a future danger? What's the difference? There is no difference.  THE COURT: Now, you want to talk about an expert, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy objection right now and not have a problem, but you started, and I'll let you finish up.  MR. HIGH: Judge, I'm not offering him as an expert.  THE COURT: I know that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to come in here and say he's a future danger? What's the difference? There is no difference.  THE COURT: Now, you want to talk about an expert, right?  MR. HIGH: I'm sorry?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy objection right now and not have a problem, but you started, and I'll let you finish up.  MR. HIGH: Judge, I'm not offering him as an expert.  THE COURT: I know that.  MR. HIGH: I want the Court to understand that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to come in here and say he's a future danger? What's the difference? There is no difference.  THE COURT: Now, you want to talk about an expert, right?  MR. HIGH: I'm sorry?  THE COURT: Now, you're telling me about an expert witness.  MR. GOELLER: Those aren't our words.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy objection right now and not have a problem, but you started, and I'll let you finish up.  MR. HIGH: Judge, I'm not offering him as an expert.  THE COURT: I know that.  MR. HIGH: I want the Court to understand that.  THE COURT: You thought that I thought he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to come in here and say he's a future danger? What's the difference? There is no difference.  THE COURT: Now, you want to talk about an expert, right?  MR. HIGH: I'm sorry?  THE COURT: Now, you're telling me about an expert witness.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy objection right now and not have a problem, but you started, and I'll let you finish up.  MR. HIGH: Judge, I'm not offering him as an expert.  THE COURT: I know that.  MR. HIGH: I want the Court to understand that.  THE COURT: You thought that I thought he might be an expert?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to come in here and say he's a future danger? What's the difference? There is no difference.  THE COURT: Now, you want to talk about an expert, right?  MR. HIGH: I'm sorry?  THE COURT: Now, you're telling me about an expert witness.  MR. GOELLER: Those aren't our words.  THE COURT: But any rate, here's what we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy objection right now and not have a problem, but you started, and I'll let you finish up.  MR. HIGH: Judge, I'm not offering him as an expert.  THE COURT: I know that.  MR. HIGH: I want the Court to understand that.  THE COURT: You thought that I thought he might be an expert?  MR. HIGH: Well, I just I just want to make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to come in here and say he's a future danger? What's the difference? There is no difference.  THE COURT: Now, you want to talk about an expert, right?  MR. HIGH: I'm sorry?  THE COURT: Now, you're telling me about an expert witness.  MR. GOELLER: Those aren't our words. THE COURT: But any rate, here's what we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy objection right now and not have a problem, but you started, and I'll let you finish up.  MR. HIGH: Judge, I'm not offering him as an expert.  THE COURT: I know that.  MR. HIGH: I want the Court to understand that.  THE COURT: You thought that I thought he might be an expert?  MR. HIGH: Well, I just I just want to make sure that the record is clear.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to come in here and say he's a future danger? What's the difference? There is no difference.  THE COURT: Now, you want to talk about an expert, right?  MR. HIGH: I'm sorry?  THE COURT: Now, you're telling me about an expert witness.  MR. GOELLER: Those aren't our words.  THE COURT: But any rate, here's what we'll do. I'll give you another five or ten minutes, and that's it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy objection right now and not have a problem, but you started, and I'll let you finish up.  MR. HIGH: Judge, I'm not offering him as an expert.  THE COURT: I know that.  MR. HIGH: I want the Court to understand that.  THE COURT: You thought that I thought he might be an expert?  MR. HIGH: Well, I just I just want to make sure that the record is clear.  THE COURT: Tell me what you thought I might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to come in here and say he's a future danger? What's the difference? There is no difference.  THE COURT: Now, you want to talk about an expert, right?  MR. HIGH: I'm sorry?  THE COURT: Now, you're telling me about an expert witness.  MR. GOELLER: Those aren't our words.  THE COURT: But any rate, here's what we'll do. I'll give you another five or ten minutes, and that's it because that's all you need to finish up your thought about,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy objection right now and not have a problem, but you started, and I'll let you finish up.  MR. HIGH: Judge, I'm not offering him as an expert.  THE COURT: I know that.  MR. HIGH: I want the Court to understand that.  THE COURT: You thought that I thought he might be an expert?  MR. HIGH: Well, I just I just want to make sure that the record is clear.  THE COURT: Tell me what you thought I might consider him an expert to be on? How did you think I might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to come in here and say he's a future danger? What's the difference? There is no difference.  THE COURT: Now, you want to talk about an expert, right?  MR. HIGH: I'm sorry?  THE COURT: Now, you're telling me about an expert witness.  MR. GOELLER: Those aren't our words.  THE COURT: But any rate, here's what we'll do. I'll give you another five or ten minutes, and that's it because that's all you need to finish up your thought about, hey, look, I was convicted of murder. I committed a horrible murder, I've been saved. You know, there's probably people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy objection right now and not have a problem, but you started, and I'll let you finish up.  MR. HIGH: Judge, I'm not offering him as an expert.  THE COURT: I know that.  MR. HIGH: I want the Court to understand that.  THE COURT: You thought that I thought he might be an expert?  MR. HIGH: Well, I just I just want to make sure that the record is clear.  THE COURT: Tell me what you thought I might consider him an expert to be on? How did you think I might perceive him as an expert?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to come in here and say he's a future danger? What's the difference? There is no difference.  THE COURT: Now, you want to talk about an expert, right?  MR. HIGH: I'm sorry?  THE COURT: Now, you're telling me about an expert witness.  MR. GOELLER: Those aren't our words.  THE COURT: But any rate, here's what we'll do. I'll give you another five or ten minutes, and that's it because that's all you need to finish up your thought about, hey, look, I was convicted of murder. I committed a horrible murder, I've been saved. You know, there's probably people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy objection right now and not have a problem, but you started, and I'll let you finish up.  MR. HIGH: Judge, I'm not offering him as an expert.  THE COURT: I know that.  MR. HIGH: I want the Court to understand that.  THE COURT: You thought that I thought he might be an expert?  MR. HIGH: Well, I just I just want to make sure that the record is clear.  THE COURT: Tell me what you thought I might consider him an expert to be on? How did you think I might perceive him as an expert?  MR. HIGH: I don't know, Judge.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to come in here and say he's a future danger? What's the difference? There is no difference.  THE COURT: Now, you want to talk about an expert, right?  MR. HIGH: I'm sorry?  THE COURT: Now, you're telling me about an expert witness.  MR. GOELLER: Those aren't our words.  THE COURT: But any rate, here's what we'll do. I'll give you another five or ten minutes, and that's it because that's all you need to finish up your thought about, hey, look, I was convicted of murder. I committed a horrible murder, I've been saved. You know, there's probably people out there that never got caught that changed their way of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy objection right now and not have a problem, but you started, and I'll let you finish up.  MR. HIGH: Judge, I'm not offering him as an expert.  THE COURT: I know that.  MR. HIGH: I want the Court to understand that.  THE COURT: You thought that I thought he might be an expert?  MR. HIGH: Well, I just I just want to make sure that the record is clear.  THE COURT: Tell me what you thought I might consider him an expert to be on? How did you think I might perceive him as an expert?  MR. HIGH: I don't know, Judge.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to come in here and say he's a future danger? What's the difference? There is no difference.  THE COURT: Now, you want to talk about an expert, right?  MR. HIGH: I'm sorry?  THE COURT: Now, you're telling me about an expert witness.  MR. GOELLER: Those aren't our words.  THE COURT: But any rate, here's what we'll do. I'll give you another five or ten minutes, and that's it because that's all you need to finish up your thought about, hey, look, I was convicted of murder. I committed a horrible murder, I've been saved. You know, there's probably people out there that never got caught that changed their way of life, all right. And so, so what? You're also capable of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Defendant, if he doesn't know this Defendant or anything about him, than you are with this Defendant if you don't know anything about him?  MR. HIGH: Excuse me, Judge, I don't see anything from that, than just cross-examination.  THE COURT: Oh, no. Listen, I tell you what, here's what I think, I think I could grant the relevancy objection right now and not have a problem, but you started, and I'll let you finish up.  MR. HIGH: Judge, I'm not offering him as an expert.  THE COURT: I know that.  MR. HIGH: I want the Court to understand that.  THE COURT: You thought that I thought he might be an expert?  MR. HIGH: Well, I just I just want to make sure that the record is clear.  THE COURT: Tell me what you thought I might consider him an expert to be on? How did you think I might perceive him as an expert?  MR. HIGH: I don't know, Judge.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  MR. HIGH: Possibly drugs, alcohol, prison.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	him. What he's talking about is him, and that's fine.  MR. HIGH: Well, with all due respect, Judge, he's the finished product of him.  THE COURT: No, he's not. That's him, and your client is himself.  MR. HIGH: What's the difference from him and Dr. Griggson who's never even met my client, who's going to come in here and say he's a future danger? What's the difference? There is no difference.  THE COURT: Now, you want to talk about an expert, right?  MR. HIGH: I'm sorry?  THE COURT: Now, you're telling me about an expert witness.  MR. GOELLER: Those aren't our words.  THE COURT: But any rate, here's what we'll do. I'll give you another five or ten minutes, and that's it because that's all you need to finish up your thought about, hey, look, I was convicted of murder. I committed a horrible murder, I've been saved. You know, there's probably people out there that never got caught that changed their way of life, all right. And so, so what? You're also capable of doing another one, right?

	Page 126	Page 128
1	Q. Okay. So you realized you were in trouble?	1 the time. And all of that stuff was new to me because I had
2	A. Yes, sir.	2 never been around it.
3	Q. Okay. And who were you visiting with?	3 Q. Have you heard the term "being a babe in Christ"?
4	A. Pastor Don George, who was here this morning, was	4 A. Yes, sir, a baby in Christ. Mike Murdock says, "God
5	coming to visit me. My attorney, who had become a member of	5 makes men right, not bright." That's kind of where I was at
6	his church, was talking to me about God, and then there was an	6 that moment. I got right with God, but I was just not bright
7	inmate in there with me that was also talking to me about God	7 Q. Did you go to trial on your case?
8	at that time.	8 A. Yes, I did.
9	Q. Okay. And were you a Christian or not a Christian?	9 Q. Were you convicted?
10	A. No, sir. I'd never been in church in my life.	10 A. I was convicted and sentenced to 20 years.
11	Q. And when did you become a Christian, or did you?	11 Q. Convicted of murder?
12	A. About a month and a half after that. So four and a	12 A. Yes, sir.
13	half months into it, I made a commitment of my life to Christ	13 Q. When did you go down?
14	and changed the destiny of my life.	14 A. I went to prison in the summer of 1975 and began to
15	Q. What effect did that have on you, Pastor Davis?	15 do farm labor at the Ferguson Unit of the Texas Department
16	A. It changed my heart, and when my heart changed,	16 of Corrections.
17	there was an emotional moment - emotional moment - emotional	17 Q. And how many years did you serve on that 20 years?
18	period after that of conscience, and I shared that with you	18 A. I served eight and a half years.
19	earlier that I had not had any remorse because I really didn't	19 Q. When you were inside the prison, tell us about
20	think right. I was messed up. And when I became a Christian,	20 prison life.
21	all of the sudden the value of human life became incredible to	21 A. Prison, in many ways, is a very disciplined life.
22	me. Well, then I took a life, and so the guilt of that became	22 Tell you what time to get up, what time to go to lunch. There
23	a burden unlike anything I'd ever had.	23 are a number of things going on in prison. I was under -
24	Q. And the shame and remorse?	24 MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me just a moment, Pastor.
25	A. All of that, the guilt, the shame, the remorse.	25 Judge, we're going to object to this response. It has nothing
	Dags 127	Page 120
1	Page 127  It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process.	Page 129  1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been
	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process,	1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been
	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the	1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been 2 to death row. He has never been in the same types of units 3 related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and
2 3 4	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.	1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been 2 to death row. He has never been in the same types of units 3 related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and 4 has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison,
2 3 4 5	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?	1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been 2 to death row. He has never been in the same types of units 3 related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and 4 has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, 5 particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of
2 3 4	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.	1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been 2 to death row. He has never been in the same types of units 3 related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and 4 has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, 5 particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of 6 the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit
2 3 4 5 6	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?	1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been 2 to death row. He has never been in the same types of units 3 related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and 4 has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, 5 particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of
2 3 4 5 6 7	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?  A. Yes, sir.	1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been 2 to death row. He has never been in the same types of units 3 related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and 4 has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, 5 particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of 6 the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit 7 it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to 8 this Defendant and his fate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?	1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been 2 to death row. He has never been in the same types of units 3 related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and 4 has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, 5 particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of 6 the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit 7 it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to 8 this Defendant and his fate. 9 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you knew you'd become a Christian?  A. The moment	1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been 2 to death row. He has never been in the same types of units 3 related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and 4 has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, 5 particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of 6 the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit 7 it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to 8 this Defendant and his fate. 9 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule 10 the objection. You have about five minutes remaining.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you knew you'd become a Christian?	1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been 2 to death row. He has never been in the same types of units 3 related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and 4 has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, 5 particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of 6 the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit 7 it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to 8 this Defendant and his fate. 9 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule 10 the objection. You have about five minutes remaining.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you knew you'd become a Christian?  A. The moment  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me	1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been 2 to death row. He has never been in the same types of units 3 related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and 4 has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, 5 particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of 6 the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit 7 it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to 8 this Defendant and his fate. 9 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule 10 the objection. You have about five minutes remaining. 11 MR. HIGH: Does that include the objections
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you knew you'd become a Christian?  A. The moment  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me  A. — it happened.	1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been 2 to death row. He has never been in the same types of units 3 related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and 4 has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, 5 particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of 6 the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit 7 it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to 8 this Defendant and his fate. 9 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule 10 the objection. You have about five minutes remaining. 11 MR. HIGH: Does that include the objections 12 that have been made by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you knew you'd become a Christian?  A. The moment  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me  A. — it happened.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, just a moment,	1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been 2 to death row. He has never been in the same types of units 3 related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and 4 has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, 5 particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of 6 the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit 7 it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to 8 this Defendant and his fate. 9 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule 10 the objection. You have about five minutes remaining. 11 MR. HIGH: Does that include the objections 12 that have been made by 13 THE COURT: That includes everything.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you knew you'd become a Christian?  A. The moment  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me  A. — it happened.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, just a moment, Pastor. We'd object to Mr. High continuing to lead the	1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been 2 to death row. He has never been in the same types of units 3 related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and 4 has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, 5 particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of 6 the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit 7 it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to 8 this Defendant and his fate. 9 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule 10 the objection. You have about five minutes remaining. 11 MR. HIGH: Does that include the objections 12 that have been made by 13 THE COURT: That includes everything. 14 MR. HIGH: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you knew you'd become a Christian?  A. The moment  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me  A. — it happened.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, just a moment, Pastor. We'd object to Mr. High continuing to lead the witness and suggest answers to him.	1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been 2 to death row. He has never been in the same types of units 3 related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and 4 has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, 5 particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of 6 the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit 7 it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to 8 this Defendant and his fate. 9 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule 10 the objection. You have about five minutes remaining. 11 MR. HIGH: Does that include the objections 12 that have been made by 13 THE COURT: That includes everything. 14 MR. HIGH: All right. 15 Q. BY MR. HIGH: Did you have access to drugs in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you knew you'd become a Christian?  A. The moment  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me  A. — it happened.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, just a moment,  Pastor. We'd object to Mr. High continuing to lead the witness and suggest answers to him.  THE COURT: All right, sustained.	to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been to death row. He has never been in the same types of units related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to this Defendant and his fate.  THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule the objection. You have about five minutes remaining.  MR. HIGH: Does that include the objections  THE COURT: That includes everything.  MR. HIGH: All right.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Did you have access to drugs in prison?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you knew you'd become a Christian?  A. The moment  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me  A. — it happened.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, just a moment,  Pastor. We'd object to Mr. High continuing to lead the witness and suggest answers to him.  THE COURT: All right, sustained.  MR. HIGH: I'll rephrase.	to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been to death row. He has never been in the same types of units related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to this Defendant and his fate.  THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule the objection. You have about five minutes remaining.  MR. HIGH: Does that include the objections that have been made by THE COURT: That includes everything.  MR. HIGH: All right.  O. BY MR. HIGH: Did you have access to drugs in prison?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you knew you'd become a Christian?  A. The moment  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me  A. — it happened.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, just a moment,  Pastor. We'd object to Mr. High continuing to lead the witness and suggest answers to him.  THE COURT: All right, sustained.  MR. HIGH: I'll rephrase.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: You talked about your heart. What	to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been to death row. He has never been in the same types of units related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to this Defendant and his fate.  THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule the objection. You have about five minutes remaining.  MR. HIGH: Does that include the objections that have been made by THE COURT: That includes everything.  MR. HIGH: All right.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Did you have access to drugs in prison?  A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you knew you'd become a Christian?  A. The moment  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me  A it happened.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, just a moment,  Pastor. We'd object to Mr. High continuing to lead the witness and suggest answers to him.  THE COURT: All right, sustained.  MR. HIGH: I'll rephrase.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: You talked about your heart. What about your head?	to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been to death row. He has never been in the same types of units related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to this Defendant and his fate.  THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule the objection. You have about five minutes remaining.  MR. HIGH: Does that include the objections  that have been made by  THE COURT: That includes everything.  MR. HIGH: All right.  MR. HIGH: Did you have access to drugs in prison?  A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you knew you'd become a Christian?  A. The moment  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me  A it happened.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, just a moment,  Pastor. We'd object to Mr. High continuing to lead the witness and suggest answers to him.  THE COURT: All right, sustained.  MR. HIGH: I'll rephrase.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: You talked about your heart. What about your head?  A. My head was still messed up, not in the sinful	to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been to death row. He has never been in the same types of units related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to this Defendant and his fate.  THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule the objection. You have about five minutes remaining.  MR. HIGH: Does that include the objections that have been made by THE COURT: That includes everything.  MR. HIGH: All right.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Did you have access to drugs in fprison?  A. No.  Q. Did you have access to alcohol in prison?  A. No.  Q. Did you have access to women in prison?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you knew you'd become a Christian?  A. The moment  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me  A. — it happened.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, just a moment,  Pastor. We'd object to Mr. High continuing to lead the witness and suggest answers to him.  THE COURT: All right, sustained.  MR. HIGH: I'll rephrase.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: You talked about your heart. What about your head?  A. My head was still messed up, not in the sinful thoughts, but in Biblical ignorance and morality and wisdom.	to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been to death row. He has never been in the same types of units related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to this Defendant and his fate.  THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule the objection. You have about five minutes remaining.  MR. HIGH: Does that include the objections that have been made by THE COURT: That includes everything.  MR. HIGH: All right.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Did you have access to drugs in prison?  A. No.  Q. Did you have access to alcohol in prison?  A. No.  Q. Did you have access to women in prison?  A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you knew you'd become a Christian?  A. The moment  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me  A. — it happened.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, just a moment, Pastor. We'd object to Mr. High continuing to lead the witness and suggest answers to him.  THE COURT: All right, sustained.  MR. HIGH: I'll rephrase.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: You talked about your heart. What about your head?  A. My head was still messed up, not in the sinful thoughts, but in Biblical ignorance and morality and wisdom. I didn't understand a lot about the Bible. And just a good	to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been to death row. He has never been in the same types of units related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to this Defendant and his fate.  THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule the objection. You have about five minutes remaining.  MR. HIGH: Does that include the objections that have been made by  THE COURT: That includes everything.  MR. HIGH: All right.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Did you have access to drugs in frison?  A. No.  Q. Did you have access to alcohol in prison?  A. No.  Q. Did you have access to women in prison?  A. No.  Q. Did you have access to women in prison?  A. No.  Q. Did you have access to women in prison?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	It's just an overwhelming emotion that you have to process, and the ministers talked to me about the grace of God and God's ability to put the past in the past and give you the opportunity to go on, and that's how I've had to deal with it.  Q. Did you become a Christian?  A. Oh, yes, sir.  Q. You prayed to receive God's grace?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you knew you'd become a Christian?  A. The moment  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me  A. — it happened.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, just a moment, Pastor. We'd object to Mr. High continuing to lead the witness and suggest answers to him.  THE COURT: All right, sustained.  MR. HIGH: I'll rephrase.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: You talked about your heart. What about your head?  A. My head was still messed up, not in the sinful thoughts, but in Biblical ignorance and morality and wisdom. I didn't understand a lot about the Bible. And just a good example, I'd never read the Bible, so when I read the book of	1 to do with the Defendant's situation. This man has never been 2 to death row. He has never been in the same types of units 3 related to this Defendant. He doesn't know the Defendant and 4 has no connection, and to talk in generic terms about prison, 5 particularly in view of the length of time, the remoteness of 6 the information that he had would be misleading, and we submit 7 it's not relevant to any issue before this case related to 8 this Defendant and his fate. 9 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule 10 the objection. You have about five minutes remaining. 11 MR. HIGH: Does that include the objections 12 that have been made by 13 THE COURT: That includes everything. 14 MR. HIGH: All right. 15 Q. BY MR. HIGH: Did you have access to drugs in 16 prison? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Did you have access to alcohol in prison? 19 A. No. 20 Q. Did you have access to women in prison? 21 A. No. 22 Q. What about Sunday school program at the

		I
,	Page 134	Page 136
1	Q. And what have been the consequences of that	1 that I did not pass the witness, but the Court required me to
	redemption?	2 pass the witness. Is that correct, Your Honor?
3	A. I think that I've been able to touch lives that	3 THE COURT: That's correct.
4	maybe other people couldn't touch, and maybe I have a little	4 MR. HIGH: Just so the record is clear.
5	deeper passion to make the life that I have count, because I	5 THE COURT: All right. Time is 1:47.
6	feel like I did so badly at the early part of my life that	6 CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	maybe there's that need to make up for the bad, but redemption	7 BY MR. SCHULTZ:
8	has given me the ability not to be who I was.	8 Q. What do you mean that's what you're told?
9	Q. And, Pastor Davis, can we ever really know if a	9 A. I talked to my attorney last night. Dennis Brewer
10	person is converted?	10 was my attorney and —
11	A. Yes.	MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, Judge. We're going to
12	Q. And how?	12 again renew our objection to that. It's a hearsay statement.
13	A. It takes time. You have to observe their life over	13 It's even worse than that. He doesn't have any personal
14	a period of time to see if they bear fruit.	14 knowledge of the hearsay. Somebody told him what somebody
15	Q. Do you know a Dr. Griggson?	15 else said in some proceeding.
16	A. Yes, sir.	16 A. No, sir, I was there in the courtroom.
17	Q. Did he testify at your trial in 1975?	17 Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Do you remember it or not?
18	A. Yes, sir.	18 A. I remember him saying that he thought I would commit
19	Q. What did he say about you?	19 crimes the rest of my life, and that I was the worst inmate he
20	A. He said that I was the most likely person	20 had ever analyzed. I asked my attorney last night if he could
21	MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, just a moment, Pastor.	21 get the transcripts, or the verbiage from files, and he said
22	Judge, we're going to object. First of all, it calls for a	22 what he said was you were the worst, and so I would just -
23	hearsay answer. Secondly, we object because what someone else	23 Q. Okay. Just a couple of questions, if I could. You
24	would have said about him at his trial is not relevant to this	24 were not charged with capital murder; is that correct?
25	Defendant, and this Defendant's situation. And, number three,	25 A. No, sir.
	Page 135	Page 137
_	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave	l Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with
2	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only	Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with     regular murder? Was your crime murder?
2	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder.
2	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a
2 3 4 5	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life?
2 3 4 5 6	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these — do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I 10 don't remember. They were asking for the maximum.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.  A. He said that I was the most likely inmate that he	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I 10 don't remember. They were asking for the maximum. 11 Q. And that's you know, we can fault, and we can say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.  A. He said that I was the most likely inmate that he had analyzed or interviewed to commit further violent crimes.	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I 10 don't remember. They were asking for the maximum. 11 Q. And that's you know, we can fault, and we can say 12 the jury system doesn't work, or juries don't have intuition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.  A. He said that I was the most likely inmate that he had analyzed or interviewed to commit further violent crimes.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Okay. More than any other inmate he	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I 10 don't remember. They were asking for the maximum. 11 Q. And that's you know, we can fault, and we can say 12 the jury system doesn't work, or juries don't have intuition. 13 And yet, instead of giving you the maximum that the law
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.  A. He said that I was the most likely inmate that he had analyzed or interviewed to commit further violent crimes.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Okay. More than any other inmate he had ever seen?	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I 10 don't remember. They were asking for the maximum. 11 Q. And that's you know, we can fault, and we can say 12 the jury system doesn't work, or juries don't have intuition. 13 And yet, instead of giving you the maximum that the law 14 allowed, that trial jury, having heard all the evidence in its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.  A. He said that I was the most likely inmate that he had analyzed or interviewed to commit further violent crimes.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Okay. More than any other inmate he had ever seen?  A. That's what I'm telling you.	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I 10 don't remember. They were asking for the maximum. 11 Q. And that's you know, we can fault, and we can say 12 the jury system doesn't work, or juries don't have intuition. 13 And yet, instead of giving you the maximum that the law 14 allowed, that trial jury, having heard all the evidence in its 15 wisdom, thought the appropriate sentence for you was 20 years,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.  A. He said that I was the most likely inmate that he had analyzed or interviewed to commit further violent crimes.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Okay. More than any other inmate he had ever seen?  A. That's what I'm telling you.  THE COURT: All right. That's two questions.	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I 10 don't remember. They were asking for the maximum. 11 Q. And that's you know, we can fault, and we can say 12 the jury system doesn't work, or juries don't have intuition. 13 And yet, instead of giving you the maximum that the law 14 allowed, that trial jury, having heard all the evidence in its 15 wisdom, thought the appropriate sentence for you was 20 years, 16 right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.  A. He said that I was the most likely inmate that he had analyzed or interviewed to commit further violent crimes.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Okay. More than any other inmate he had ever seen?  A. That's what I'm telling you.  THE COURT: All right. That's two questions.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. What was that answer?	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I 10 don't remember. They were asking for the maximum. 11 Q. And that's you know, we can fault, and we can say 12 the jury system doesn't work, or juries don't have intuition. 13 And yet, instead of giving you the maximum that the law 14 allowed, that trial jury, having heard all the evidence in its 15 wisdom, thought the appropriate sentence for you was 20 years, 16 right? 17 A. It was 11 to 1 in favor of life, and the jury
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.  A. He said that I was the most likely inmate that he had analyzed or interviewed to commit further violent crimes.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Okay. More than any other inmate he had ever seen?  A. That's what I'm telling you.  THE COURT: All right. That's two questions.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. What was that answer?  A. That's what I'm told.	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I 10 don't remember. They were asking for the maximum. 11 Q. And that's you know, we can fault, and we can say 12 the jury system doesn't work, or juries don't have intuition. 13 And yet, instead of giving you the maximum that the law 14 allowed, that trial jury, having heard all the evidence in its 15 wisdom, thought the appropriate sentence for you was 20 years, 16 right? 17 A. It was 11 to 1 in favor of life, and the jury 18 foreman, who was an ex-highway patrolman, would not give me a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.  A. He said that I was the most likely inmate that he had analyzed or interviewed to commit further violent crimes.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Okay. More than any other inmate he had ever seen?  A. That's what I'm telling you.  THE COURT: All right. That's two questions.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. What was that answer?	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these — do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I 10 don't remember. They were asking for the maximum. 11 Q. And that's — you know, we can fault, and we can say 12 the jury system doesn't work, or juries don't have intuition. 13 And yet, instead of giving you the maximum that the law 14 allowed, that trial jury, having heard all the evidence in its 15 wisdom, thought the appropriate sentence for you was 20 years, 16 right? 17 A. It was 11 to 1 in favor of life, and the jury 18 foreman, who was an ex-highway patrolman, would not give me a 19 life sentence, and he convinced the jury to come to 20 years.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.  A. He said that I was the most likely inmate that he had analyzed or interviewed to commit further violent crimes.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Okay. More than any other inmate he had ever seen?  A. That's what I'm telling you.  THE COURT: All right. That's two questions.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. What was that answer?  A. That's what I'm told.  MR. SCHULTZ: What do you mean what you're told?	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I 10 don't remember. They were asking for the maximum. 11 Q. And that's you know, we can fault, and we can say 12 the jury system doesn't work, or juries don't have intuition. 13 And yet, instead of giving you the maximum that the law 14 allowed, that trial jury, having heard all the evidence in its 15 wisdom, thought the appropriate sentence for you was 20 years, 16 right? 17 A. It was 11 to 1 in favor of life, and the jury 18 foreman, who was an ex-highway patrolman, would not give me a 19 life sentence, and he convinced the jury to come to 20 years. 20 Q. Okay. Once again, I guess the answer is "yes," they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.  A. He said that I was the most likely inmate that he had analyzed or interviewed to commit further violent crimes.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Okay. More than any other inmate he had ever seen?  A. That's what I'm telling you.  THE COURT: All right. That's two questions.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. What was that answer?  A. That's what I'm told.  MR. SCHULTZ: What do you mean what you're	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I 10 don't remember. They were asking for the maximum. 11 Q. And that's you know, we can fault, and we can say 12 the jury system doesn't work, or juries don't have intuition. 13 And yet, instead of giving you the maximum that the law 14 allowed, that trial jury, having heard all the evidence in its 15 wisdom, thought the appropriate sentence for you was 20 years, 16 right? 17 A. It was I1 to 1 in favor of life, and the jury 18 foreman, who was an ex-highway patrolman, would not give me a 19 life sentence, and he convinced the jury to come to 20 years. 20 Q. Okay. Once again, I guess the answer is "yes," they 21 gave you much less than the law would have allowed them to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.  A. He said that I was the most likely inmate that he had analyzed or interviewed to commit further violent crimes.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Okay. More than any other inmate he had ever seen?  A. That's what I'm telling you.  THE COURT: All right. That's two questions.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. What was that answer?  A. That's what I'm told.  MR. SCHULTZ: What do you mean what you're told?	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I 10 don't remember. They were asking for the maximum. 11 Q. And that's you know, we can fault, and we can say 12 the jury system doesn't work, or juries don't have intuition. 13 And yet, instead of giving you the maximum that the law 14 allowed, that trial jury, having heard all the evidence in its 15 wisdom, thought the appropriate sentence for you was 20 years, 16 right? 17 A. It was 11 to 1 in favor of life, and the jury 18 foreman, who was an ex-highway patrolman, would not give me a 19 life sentence, and he convinced the jury to come to 20 years. 20 Q. Okay. Once again, I guess the answer is "yes," they 21 gave you much less than the law would have allowed them to 22 give you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.  A. He said that I was the most likely inmate that he had analyzed or interviewed to commit further violent crimes.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Okay. More than any other inmate he had ever seen?  A. That's what I'm telling you.  THE COURT: All right. That's two questions.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. What was that answer?  A. That's what I'm told.  MR. SCHULTZ: What do you mean what you're told?  THE COURT: I tell you what	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I 10 don't remember. They were asking for the maximum. 11 Q. And that's you know, we can fault, and we can say 12 the jury system doesn't work, or juries don't have intuition. 13 And yet, instead of giving you the maximum that the law 14 allowed, that trial jury, having heard all the evidence in its 15 wisdom, thought the appropriate sentence for you was 20 years, 16 right? 17 A. It was 11 to 1 in favor of life, and the jury 18 foreman, who was an ex-highway patrolman, would not give me a 19 life sentence, and he convinced the jury to come to 20 years. 20 Q. Okay. Once again, I guess the answer is "yes," they 21 gave you much less than the law would have allowed them to 22 give you? 23 A. I believe they decided to do that rather than be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.  A. He said that I was the most likely inmate that he had analyzed or interviewed to commit further violent crimes.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Okay. More than any other inmate he had ever seen?  A. That's what I'm telling you.  THE COURT: All right. That's two questions.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. What was that answer?  A. That's what I'm told.  MR. SCHULTZ: What do you mean what you're told?  THE COURT: I tell you what  MR. SCHULTZ: I thought I had him.  THE COURT: the witness has been passed, you can ask him yourself. Go ahead.	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I 10 don't remember. They were asking for the maximum. 11 Q. And that's you know, we can fault, and we can say 12 the jury system doesn't work, or juries don't have intuition. 13 And yet, instead of giving you the maximum that the law 14 allowed, that trial jury, having heard all the evidence in its 15 wisdom, thought the appropriate sentence for you was 20 years, 16 right? 17 A. It was 11 to 1 in favor of life, and the jury 18 foreman, who was an ex-highway patrolman, would not give me a 19 life sentence, and he convinced the jury to come to 20 years. 20 Q. Okay. Once again, I guess the answer is "yes," they 21 gave you much less than the law would have allowed them to 22 give you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	by my watch they've gone more than the three minutes you gave them, and we believe this is, once again, irrelevant, not only for all the other reasons, including that he knows nothing about this Defendant, but also it's an undue waste of the Court's time in view of the great latitude you've allowed in this area of testimony already.  THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection, and I'll allow him to answer this question and one more question.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.  A. He said that I was the most likely inmate that he had analyzed or interviewed to commit further violent crimes.  Q. BY MR. HIGH: Okay. More than any other inmate he had ever seen?  A. That's what I'm telling you.  THE COURT: All right. That's two questions.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. What was that answer?  A. That's what I'm told.  MR. SCHULTZ: What do you mean what you're told?  THE COURT: I tell you what  MR. SCHULTZ: I thought I had him.  THE COURT: the witness has been passed, you	1 Q. Okay. And does that mean you were charged with 2 regular murder? Was your crime murder? 3 A. First-degree murder. 4 Q. Which did not carry a death penalty, but carried a 5 punishment range of 5 years to 99 years, or life? 6 A. I think that's the case, sir. 7 Q. Now, did these do you remember how much time the 8 State was asking for when they argued to the jury? 9 A. They were asking for a life sentence, or 99 years. I 10 don't remember. They were asking for the maximum. 11 Q. And that's you know, we can fault, and we can say 12 the jury system doesn't work, or juries don't have intuition. 13 And yet, instead of giving you the maximum that the law 14 allowed, that trial jury, having heard all the evidence in its 15 wisdom, thought the appropriate sentence for you was 20 years, 16 right? 17 A. It was 11 to 1 in favor of life, and the jury 18 foreman, who was an ex-highway patrolman, would not give me a 19 life sentence, and he convinced the jury to come to 20 years. 20 Q. Okay. Once again, I guess the answer is "yes," they 21 gave you much less than the law would have allowed them to 22 give you? 23 A. I believe they decided to do that rather than be

- 1 today, they don't get to go to Heaven because they are
- 2 professing one thing, but living another. But a conversion of
- 3 the heart changes the person, and they would not do those
- 4 things.
- 5 Q. Certainly the Ku Klux Klan had a Christian
- 6 underpinning as part of its fabric, and yet, most of the
- 7 things that organization has done are not things we look upon
- 8 and say that's not violent?
- 9 A. That's bigotry hiding behind religion.
- 10 Q. Certainly it's fair to look at history and say, many
- 11 of the people who profess to be Christians in this nation, at
- 12 the same time, held slaves?
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. And they would take those slaves, and they would
- 15 sell somebody's child to somebody else that needed a young
- 16 slave and have no regard or compassion for that family unit,
- 17 or the pain of that mom or the pain of that dad or the pain of
- 18 that child, right?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. They claimed to be Christians?
- 21 A. Just like in Kenya we deal with that, and that's why
- 22 we're there, trying to help those men understand they can't
- 23 sell their wives. They claim to be Christians, and they
- 24 really are born-again. But there's a point to where a person
- 25 comes to Christ, and coming to Christ with their heart doesn't

# Page 143

- 1 help their mind or their memory of how things work. It takes
- 2 a while to bring that up. And so they don't understand that
- 3 your wife is God's daughter, and you have to treat her with
- 4 respect and dignity, and so it takes a while.
- Now, in the case of slave owners, they had a culture
- 6 that was prejudicial and racist, and there were different
- 7 preachers in that generation. Some said, all men are created
- 8 equal, all men are human beings and every man should have the
- 9 right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. The
- 10 other side of the coin is there were preachers saying, the
- 11 black man came from a cursed race, is not all the way human,
- 12 he's not equal to the white man, and so racism was preached
- 13 from pulpits. But if you go to the spirit of Lord, Jesus
- 14 never looked at preachers and lawyers, that he didn't love
- 14 never looked at preachers and lawyers, that he didn't lov
- 15 them.
- 16 MR. GOELLER: I object to that.
- 17 (Laughter.)
- 18 MR. GOELLER: Say it's not so.
- 19 Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: My point is, professing
- 20 Christianity, in your judgment, isn't the same as being
- 21 Christian, right?
- 22 A. That's true.
- Q. Okay. Surely you're not telling us you have some
- 24 special ability to look into somebody's eyes and tell whether
- 25 or not it's genuine, or whether it's utilitarian, right?

# Page 144

- A. No, sir. That's why I said I believe the only way
- 2 to know that is time, and you have to observe somebody's life
- 3 in time. My pastor and I'll just pick him as an example.
- 4 He's ministered to me for eight and a half years in prison,
- 5 walked with me. We took a month's sabbatical motorcycle ride
- 6 around the continental borders of the United States. After my
- 7 first five years in ministry, he said you're stressed out,
- 8 come here. And whether he's in the pulpit, on a motorcycle,
- 9 traveling as an evangelist or taking a family vacation, which
- 10 he took me on so I'd learn how a Christian man treats his wife
- 11 and his kids, he's the same guy.

1

- 2 And so I would say if you said, your pastor is two
- 13 different people. I've seen him. I've seen him tired, I've
- 14 seen him emotionally drained, I've seen him under financial
- 14 Seen min emotionary arameta, 1 ve seen min ander imaneta.
- 15 duress. I've seen him when one of the kids was driving him
- 16 crazy. He's trying to raise a teenage boy. It's not where he
- 17 needs to be at that point in his life, and he's just a great
- 18 guy. He is who he is sitting there behind the scenes, and so
- 19 time is how you tell whether there's the fruit of
- 20 Christianity. If there was really a root put down in God,
- 21 then there will be fruit.
- Q. Well, you're not saying only Christians can be
- 23 nonviolent? You wouldn't take that position, would you?
- 24 A. No, sir. There are great people, good people, moral
- 25 people that have never darkened a church door, read the Bible

# Page 145

- 1 or anything.
- Q. You may have issues with whether or not they'll find
- 3 their way to heaven, but not whether or not they would be good
- 4 neighbors or somebody that would help you when you were in
- 5 need, or somebody that loves their kids. They can do all that
- 6 in your mind, couldn't they?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. And the same thing with other faiths. I mean,
- 9 you're not telling us that the only faith you believe is an
- 10 important faith is Christianity. You're not saying that other
- 11 faiths aren't important, are you? I'm not talking about
- 12 getting into Heaven. I'm just saying, are you saying other
- 13 faiths aren't important?
- 4 A. I think anything that's built on deception is
- 15 harmful to man, and because I believe in the Bible as the
- 16 inspired word of God, and Jesus said, if you come to the
- 17 Father any other way but by me, you're the same as a thief and
- 18 robber. They're important to the people that believe them. I
- 19 don't believe they're of eternal value.
- Q. So you don't think, for example, people that follow
- 21 the Islam, or the teachings of Muhammad, you don't believe
- 22 that's an important following?
- 23 A. No. I believe it's detrimental. That's -- Muhammad
- 24 had a nine-year-old wife, and so the Spirit of Islam is the

25 spirit of control and lust.

	Page 150		. Page 152
1	the lawmaker you'd change the law? You actually believe that	1	MR. HIGH: Okay.
2	what the State is attempting to do here, you believe that to	2	THE COURT: Mr. High, your time has just about
3	be evil?	3	expired.
4	A. Yes, sir.	4	MR. HIGH: Judge, I believe there was an
5	Q. And you would believe that	5	objection in there. Can I have a couple of more questions?
6	A. And I believe it to be harmful.	6	I realize the State has talked with the Court and persuaded
7	Q. We're not quarreling.	7	the Court to limit me on my questions with
8	A. Yes, sir.	8	THE COURT: Oh, no.
9	Q. And it wouldn't matter who the defendant were. It	9	MR. HIGH: Judge, that's a statement
10	could be Adolf Hitler. It could be Timothy McVeigh. It could	10	THE COURT: They never asked me to limit you.
11	be Osama Bin Laden. It wouldn't matter who it is that we're	11	I don't recall your asking me to limit him.
12	trying for whatever crime, you'd still say that was in your	12	MR. HIGH: Well, I believe that discussion
13	opinion that's an evil thing that we were trying to do to kill	13	occurred on the record about two hours ago, and I know that
14	that person?	14	the State has persuaded the Court to limit me on my direct of
15	A. Yes, sir.	15	this witness. I'm just asking for a couple of more questions.
16	MR. SCHULTZ: I appreciate your courtesy.	16	THE COURT: I understand. No, I'm not going to
17	We'll pass the witness.	17	give you any more questions.
18	THE COURT: I'll give you couple of minutes to	18	MR. HIGH: So the Court is denying me the
19	wrap up.	19	opportunity to further examine this witness?
20	MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.	20	THE COURT: Yes, that's right.
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	21	MR. HIGH: Thank you, Judge.
22	BY MR. HIGH:	22	And I take it you're making that ruling on the
23	Q. Did you with respect to Adolf Hitler, Osama Bin	23	record, denying me the opportunity to examine this witness?
24	Laden and Timothy McVeigh, do you know whether or not they had	24	THE COURT: See the court reporter over here?
25	ever converted to Christianity?	25	MR. HIGH: Yes, sir. Just want to make sure
	Page 151	,	Page 153
1	A. No.	1	the record is clear.
2	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. You're not aware of that, are you?</li></ul>	2	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.
2	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. You're not aware of that, are you?</li><li>A. No, sir.</li></ul>	2 3	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You're not aware of that, are you?</li> <li>A. No, sir.</li> <li>Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was</li> </ul>	2 3 4	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You're not aware of that, are you?</li> <li>A. No, sir.</li> <li>Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You're not aware of that, are you?</li> <li>A. No, sir.</li> <li>Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You're not aware of that, are you?</li> <li>A. No, sir.</li> <li>Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You're not aware of that, are you?</li> <li>A. No, sir.</li> <li>Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You're not aware of that, are you?</li> <li>A. No, sir.</li> <li>Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I don't know that Mr. High telling him that makes any</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)  THE COURT: If you would stick around for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You're not aware of that, are you?</li> <li>A. No, sir.</li> <li>Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I don't know that Mr. High telling him that makes any difference.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)  THE COURT: If you would stick around for a little while. Perhaps we'll have you back later.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You're not aware of that, are you?</li> <li>A. No, sir.</li> <li>Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic?</li> <li>A. I don't know.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I don't know that Mr. High telling him that makes any difference.  THE COURT: Sustained.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)  THE COURT: If you would stick around for a little while. Perhaps we'll have you back later.  THE WITNESS: Great.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. No. Q. You're not aware of that, are you? A. No, sir. Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic? A. I don't know.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I don't know that Mr. High telling him that makes any difference.  THE COURT: Sustained. Q. BY MR. HIGH: Let me rephrase my question. Do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)  THE COURT: If you would stick around for a little while. Perhaps we'll have you back later.  THE WITNESS: Great.  THE COURT: All right. Good attitude.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. Q. You're not aware of that, are you? A. No, sir. Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic? A. I don't know.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I don't know that Mr. High telling him that makes any difference.  THE COURT: Sustained. Q. BY MR. HIGH: Let me rephrase my question. Do you know whether or not Timothy McVeigh	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)  THE COURT: If you would stick around for a little while. Perhaps we'll have you back later.  THE WITNESS: Great.  THE COURT: All right. Good attitude.  Call your next witness, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. Q. You're not aware of that, are you? A. No, sir. Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic? A. I don't know.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I don't know that Mr. High telling him that makes any difference.  THE COURT: Sustained. Q. BY MR. HIGH: Let me rephrase my question. Do you know whether or not Timothy McVeigh A. I don't know anything about any of them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)  THE COURT: If you would stick around for a little while. Perhaps we'll have you back later.  THE WITNESS: Great.  THE COURT: All right. Good attitude.  Call your next witness, please.  MR. GOELLER: Your Honor, we'll call Dr. Walter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. Q. You're not aware of that, are you? A. No, sir. Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic? A. I don't know.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I don't know that Mr. High telling him that makes any difference.  THE COURT: Sustained. Q. BY MR. HIGH: Let me rephrase my question. Do you know whether or not Timothy McVeigh A. I don't know anything about any of them. Q. Okay. And with respect to testifying in other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)  THE COURT: If you would stick around for a little while. Perhaps we'll have you back later.  THE WITNESS: Great.  THE COURT: All right. Good attitude.  Call your next witness, please.  MR. GOELLER: Your Honor, we'll call Dr. Walter  Quijano.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. Q. You're not aware of that, are you? A. No, sir. Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic? A. I don't know.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I don't know that Mr. High telling him that makes any difference.  THE COURT: Sustained. Q. BY MR. HIGH: Let me rephrase my question. Do you know whether or not Timothy McVeigh A. I don't know anything about any of them. Q. Okay. And with respect to testifying in other trials, have you ever testified in other trials?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)  THE COURT: If you would stick around for a little while. Perhaps we'll have you back later.  THE WITNESS: Great.  THE COURT: All right. Good attitude.  Call your next witness, please.  MR. GOELLER: Your Honor, we'll call Dr. Walter  Quijano.  THE COURT: Let me ask the attorneys to step
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. Q. You're not aware of that, are you? A. No, sir. Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic? A. I don't know.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I don't know that Mr. High telling him that makes any difference.  THE COURT: Sustained. Q. BY MR. HIGH: Let me rephrase my question. Do you know whether or not Timothy McVeigh A. I don't know anything about any of them. Q. Okay. And with respect to testifying in other trials, have you ever testified in other trials? A. No, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)  THE COURT: If you would stick around for a little while. Perhaps we'll have you back later.  THE WITNESS: Great.  THE COURT: All right. Good attitude.  Call your next witness, please.  MR. GOELLER: Your Honor, we'll call Dr. Walter Quijano.  THE COURT: Let me ask the attorneys to step up here for a second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. You're not aware of that, are you? A. No, sir. Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic? A. I don't know.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I don't know that Mr. High telling him that makes any difference.  THE COURT: Sustained. Q. BY MR. HIGH: Let me rephrase my question. Do you know whether or not Timothy McVeigh A. I don't know anything about any of them. Q. Okay. And with respect to testifying in other trials, have you ever testified in other trials? A. No, sir. Q. This is the only one?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)  THE COURT: If you would stick around for a little while. Perhaps we'll have you back later.  THE WITNESS: Great.  THE COURT: All right. Good attitude.  Call your next witness, please.  MR. GOELLER: Your Honor, we'll call Dr. Walter  Quijano.  THE COURT: Let me ask the attorneys to step up here for a second.  (Discussion had outside the hearing of the court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No. Q. You're not aware of that, are you? A. No, sir. Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic? A. I don't know.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I don't know that Mr. High telling him that makes any difference.  THE COURT: Sustained. Q. BY MR. HIGH: Let me rephrase my question. Do you know whether or not Timothy McVeigh A. I don't know anything about any of them. Q. Okay. And with respect to testifying in other trials, have you ever testified in other trials? A. No, sir. Q. This is the only one? A. A trial like this, yes, sir. I've testified for in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)  THE COURT: If you would stick around for a little while. Perhaps we'll have you back later.  THE WITNESS: Great.  THE COURT: All right. Good attitude.  Call your next witness, please.  MR. GOELLER: Your Honor, we'll call Dr. Walter  Quijano.  THE COURT: Let me ask the attorneys to step up here for a second.  (Discussion had outside the hearing of the court reporter and the jury.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Q. You're not aware of that, are you? A. No, sir. Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic? A. I don't know.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I don't know that Mr. High telling him that makes any difference.  THE COURT: Sustained. Q. BY MR. HIGH: Let me rephrase my question. Do you know whether or not Timothy McVeigh A. I don't know anything about any of them. Q. Okay. And with respect to testifying in other trials, have you ever testified in other trials? A. No, sir. Q. This is the only one? A. A trial like this, yes, sir. I've testified for in custody trials for people in my church adopting babies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)  THE COURT: If you would stick around for a little while. Perhaps we'll have you back later.  THE WITNESS: Great.  THE COURT: All right. Good attitude.  Call your next witness, please.  MR. GOELLER: Your Honor, we'll call Dr. Walter Quijano.  THE COURT: Let me ask the attorneys to step up here for a second.  (Discussion had outside the hearing of the court reporter and the jury.)  THE COURT: Please come up to the bench, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. You're not aware of that, are you? A. No, sir. Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic? A. I don't know.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I don't know that Mr. High telling him that makes any difference.  THE COURT: Sustained. Q. BY MR. HIGH: Let me rephrase my question. Do you know whether or not Timothy McVeigh A. I don't know anything about any of them. Q. Okay. And with respect to testifying in other trials, have you ever testified in other trials? A. No, sir. Q. This is the only one? A. A trial like this, yes, sir. I've testified for in custody trials for people in my church adopting babies. Q. And with respect to telling you if he was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)  THE COURT: If you would stick around for a little while. Perhaps we'll have you back later.  THE WITNESS: Great.  THE COURT: All right. Good attitude.  Call your next witness, please.  MR. GOELLER: Your Honor, we'll call Dr. Walter Quijano.  THE COURT: Let me ask the attorneys to step up here for a second.  (Discussion had outside the hearing of the court reporter and the jury.)  THE COURT: Please come up to the bench, and I'll swear you in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. You're not aware of that, are you? A. No, sir. Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic? A. I don't know.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I don't know that Mr. High telling him that makes any difference.  THE COURT: Sustained. Q. BY MR. HIGH: Let me rephrase my question. Do you know whether or not Timothy McVeigh A. I don't know anything about any of them. Q. Okay. And with respect to testifying in other trials, have you ever testified in other trials? A. No, sir. Q. This is the only one? A. A trial like this, yes, sir. I've testified for in custody trials for people in my church adopting babies. Q. And with respect to telling you if he was a Christian, have you also listened to a cassette tape of him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)  THE COURT: If you would stick around for a little while. Perhaps we'll have you back later.  THE WITNESS: Great.  THE COURT: All right. Good attitude.  Call your next witness, please.  MR. GOELLER: Your Honor, we'll call Dr. Walter Quijano.  THE COURT: Let me ask the attorneys to step up here for a second.  (Discussion had outside the hearing of the court reporter and the jury.)  THE COURT: Please come up to the bench, and I'll swear you in.  (Witness sworn by the court.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. You're not aware of that, are you? A. No, sir. Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic? A. I don't know.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I don't know that Mr. High telling him that makes any difference.  THE COURT: Sustained. Q. BY MR. HIGH: Let me rephrase my question. Do you know whether or not Timothy McVeigh A. I don't know anything about any of them. Q. Okay. And with respect to testifying in other trials, have you ever testified in other trials? A. No, sir. Q. This is the only one? A. A trial like this, yes, sir. I've testified for in custody trials for people in my church adopting babies. Q. And with respect to telling you if he was a Christian, have you also listened to a cassette tape of him talking?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)  THE COURT: If you would stick around for a little while. Perhaps we'll have you back later.  THE WITNESS: Great.  THE COURT: All right. Good attitude.  Call your next witness, please.  MR. GOELLER: Your Honor, we'll call Dr. Walter Quijano.  THE COURT: Let me ask the attorneys to step up here for a second.  (Discussion had outside the hearing of the court reporter and the jury.)  THE COURT: Please come up to the bench, and I'll swear you in.  (Witness sworn by the court.)  THE COURT: Put your hand down and have a seat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. No. Q. You're not aware of that, are you? A. No, sir. Q. In fact, Bin Laden is Islamic and McVeigh was atheist or agnostic? A. I don't know.  MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, unless Mr. High wants to be testifying, this witness says he doesn't know, and I don't know that Mr. High telling him that makes any difference.  THE COURT: Sustained. Q. BY MR. HIGH: Let me rephrase my question. Do you know whether or not Timothy McVeigh A. I don't know anything about any of them. Q. Okay. And with respect to testifying in other trials, have you ever testified in other trials? A. No, sir. Q. This is the only one? A. A trial like this, yes, sir. I've testified for in custody trials for people in my church adopting babies. Q. And with respect to telling you if he was a Christian, have you also listened to a cassette tape of him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the record is clear.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. HIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir.  THE COURT: All right. Let's see now, we want him to stick around a little bit, don't we?  MR. HIGH: (Nods head.)  THE COURT: If you would stick around for a little while. Perhaps we'll have you back later.  THE WITNESS: Great.  THE COURT: All right. Good attitude.  Call your next witness, please.  MR. GOELLER: Your Honor, we'll call Dr. Walter Quijano.  THE COURT: Let me ask the attorneys to step up here for a second.  (Discussion had outside the hearing of the court reporter and the jury.)  THE COURT: Please come up to the bench, and I'll swear you in.  (Witness sworn by the court.)

## Page 158 Page 160 l which is published in Texas Psychological Foundation. 1 also responsible for reviewing the day-to-day behaviors of the 2 2 inmates and adjusting their security levels accordingly, There is that -- my work as editor for that textbook by 3 the Institute of Justice, and I review articles for 3 upwards or downwards. publication for the prison journal - prison - Journal of Q. Okay. What is the goal -- the TDC goal of 5 Prison Health. 5 diagnostics and classifications? What is the end result we're Q. And that -- what is that publication about? 6 trying to achieve, or the prison is trying to achieve? A. That is a publication on how, by and for mental A. The primary goal, of course, of the prison system is 8 health and medical, dental, professionals that work in prisons 8 to house inmates and to advise the various courts in a safe 9 and jails. manner; safe for fellow inmates as well as for the staff. 10 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the Texas prison 10 That is the first goal. Then, after that you have secondary 11 system regarding diagnostics and classifications? 11 goals of providing services so that there is an opportunity 12 A. Yes. 12 for change and rehabilitation, but the primary goal is Q. Could you tell the jury a little bit what those two 13 detention in a safe manner. 13 Q. Okay. Were you part of the videotaping of one of 14 terms mean? We'll start off with diagnostics. 15 the units in the penitentiary that we're going to show the 15 A. Diagnostic started - the term diagnostic was used 16 jury here? 16 because we have a prison unit called the Diagnostic Unit in 17 Huntsville. It is the entry point of main prisoners. There 17 A. Yes. is a process there -- a two-prong process. What is called the 18 Q. Okay. Can you talk to the jury a little bit about 19 levels of security? We hear the terms "administrative 19 Diagnostic One Process is a process by which each incoming 20 segregation," "general population," can you give the jury a inmate is reviewed by a multidisciplinary team of security 21 quick overview of what they might expect on this tape? 21 experts, psychological people, medical, dental, educational 22 and even work people. They review this person and decide as a A. The best way, and I think the simplest way, to 23 team where in the prison system he can be housed the best, 23 understand the security levels in TDC is to look at its 24 housing scheme. TDC housing ranges from, like, a 50-bed 24 considering his security needs and his personal needs. 25 Those who are identified as high-risk at the initial 25 dormitory to a single cell with one person in what is called Page 161 Page 159 1 screening are sent to what is called the Diagnostic Two 1 "super segregation, or high security units. In the dormitory 2 it's an open-type setting where there are 50 beds in one 2 process where there is more intensive psychological testing, evaluations, a more gathering of free world information. From 3 location. It goes on to the next level where you have cells 4 there, they are then assigned to the various TDC units. In 4 with bars with two inmates in one cell. It's called double 5 TDC lingo they're called "farms." So it's a screening process 5 celling. Then you have cells with bars with one inmate called 6 by which the best estimation TDC has for the security need of single celling. Then you have cells with solid doors with two 7 that person, as well as the personal need if the person is 7 inmates, cells with solid doors with one inmate, all in what 8 evaluated and his assignment is decided on that basis. is called a general population. 9 Q. Okay. And classifications is that term. We've There are specialized units - housing called 10 heard that term used. Can you give us a little insight on 10 administrative segregation. Administrative segregation is 11 classifications specifically? 11 housing problematic people. The A type is the assaultive 12 A. The classification is the system by which the 12 type. People who continue to be assaultive in the prison are 13 diagnostic process places people in various security levels 13 sent to administrative segregation to restrain them. These 14 and other personal needs. It's a very sophisticated system. 14 are cells with solid doors, and the window, or the food slot, 15 It's maybe one of the best in the country. Many prison units, 15 that is the opening is there for food and other deliveries and for handcuffing purposes. There is a window that is covered 16 or prison systems come to look at it, and base their 17 renovations on it. It decides on the security level, medical 17 by a plexi - Lexan, or screen. 18 needs, psychological needs and other needs, and that is done 18 Another type of administrative segregation housing is exactly the same, but is for people who are there for 19 by a team in the diagnostic unit called the State 20 Classification Committee. That decision is then forwarded to 20 protection, who are potential victims. So if you segregate

21 the specific prison unit where the inmate goes, and that 22 individual prison unit has a unit classification committee

24 can be made at that level.

25

23 that reviews the work of the State level people. Any changes

That State -- that unit classification committee is

25 A third group of people there are what we call

24 the iron screens.

21 them, the dangerousness of the whole prison system is reduced

23 cells, but the openings don't have these Lexans and don't have

22 because the victims are segregated. They are in the single

	Page 166	Page 168
1	A. Yes.	1 Q. Ad seg, they label them?
2	Q. Those are the windows?	2 A. Yes, so –
3	A. Yes. Those are – the new standards require that	3 Q. Everybody knows they're
4	each prison cell has direct sunshine, so they place these	4 A. They belong to ad seg, yes.
_	small windows in the top of the cells, the upper end towards	5 See, that door is controlled by – electronically by
6	the ceiling, to provide them the sunshine.	6 a picket officer so that this officer doesn't have a key and 7 less reason to assault him.
7	Q. These there are the windows?	
8	A. Those are the windows, and later on you can see the	8 Q. That's how they take the cuffs off. Once he's
9	windows from inside the cell.  O. That's an inmate?	9 behind locked doors then they  10 A. The cuffs are removed after he gets inside the cell
10		11 and the door is closed.
11	A. That's an inmate standing on something peering out the window.	
		7 5 - 3
13	Q. Looks like he's wanting to maybe communicate	13 A. This section of ad seg is the better kind. These 14 are status inmates. They're there for being gang members and
.14	something. What's he doing, Dr. Quijano? What is that?	15 not for being assaultive. Notice, it's clean and relatively
15	A. I am told he is giving a gang signal, but I thought	
	he was just hooking (sic) them horns. Longhorns, yeah.	16 quiet.  17 O. This is the better side, so to speak, the least
17	(Laughter.)  A. I'm told that could be a gang signal.	17 Q. This is the better side, so to speak, the least 18 restrictive side, or the least restrictive side lesser
18 19	That is the entrance into the administrative	19 restrictive side?
20	segregation pod.	20 A. Lesser restrictive side of ad seg.
21	Q. Who was that that just walked in?	21 This cage here is a room that is considered the
22	A. That was me.	22 recreation area. They are entitled to one hour a day of
23	Q. That's you?	23 recreation, and so they are brought to this room one at a time
24	A. That's my cameo appearance.	24 and they stay there for an hour, and then they go back to
25	Q. Your what?	25 their cell. Following the same procedure, like going to a
23	Q. Tour what.	25 then com 1 onowing the same procedure, like going to a
	Page 167	Page 169
I	Page 167  A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see	Page 169 I medical appointment, they are searched before going back to
	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see	l medical appointment, they are searched before going back to
2 3 4	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to	<ol> <li>medical appointment, they are searched before going back to</li> <li>their rooms.</li> </ol>
2 3 4	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me. This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates	<ol> <li>medical appointment, they are searched before going back to</li> <li>their rooms.</li> <li>Q. What's that?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to	<ol> <li>medical appointment, they are searched before going back to</li> <li>their rooms.</li> <li>Q. What's that?</li> <li>A. That is the opening - window that I talked about</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the	<ol> <li>medical appointment, they are searched before going back to</li> <li>their rooms.</li> <li>Q. What's that?</li> <li>A. That is the opening - window that I talked about</li> <li>with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.	<ol> <li>medical appointment, they are searched before going back to</li> <li>their rooms.</li> <li>Q. What's that?</li> <li>A. That is the opening - window that I talked about</li> <li>with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke</li> <li>your fingers through it.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.  Q. This cage here?  A. Yes.  Q. What's this scene?	<ol> <li>medical appointment, they are searched before going back to</li> <li>their rooms.</li> <li>Q. What's that?</li> <li>A. That is the opening — window that I talked about</li> <li>with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke</li> <li>your fingers through it.</li> <li>Q. Would that be these screens right here, or, no, is</li> <li>that something else?</li> <li>A. Yes, those are the windows.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.  Q. This cage here?  A. Yes.  Q. What's this scene?  A. This illustrates how inmates in administrative	<ol> <li>medical appointment, they are searched before going back to</li> <li>their rooms.</li> <li>Q. What's that?</li> <li>A. That is the opening — window that I talked about</li> <li>with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke</li> <li>your fingers through it.</li> <li>Q. Would that be these screens right here, or, no, is</li> <li>that something else?</li> <li>A. Yes, those are the windows.</li> <li>Q. That's the rec cage?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.  Q. This cage here?  A. Yes.  Q. What's this scene?  A. This illustrates how inmates in administrative segregation are seen by medical staff. Rather than being	<ol> <li>medical appointment, they are searched before going back to</li> <li>their rooms.</li> <li>Q. What's that?</li> <li>A. That is the opening — window that I talked about</li> <li>with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke</li> <li>your fingers through it.</li> <li>Q. Would that be these screens right here, or, no, is</li> <li>that something else?</li> <li>A. Yes, those are the windows.</li> <li>Q. That's the rec cage?</li> <li>A. That's the recreation cage. Inside recreation.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.  Q. This cage here?  A. Yes.  Q. What's this scene?  A. This illustrates how inmates in administrative segregation are seen by medical staff. Rather than being walked into the infirmary, the nurses come to the ad seg area,	<ol> <li>medical appointment, they are searched before going back to their rooms.</li> <li>Q. What's that?</li> <li>A. That is the opening — window that I talked about with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke your fingers through it.</li> <li>Q. Would that be these screens right here, or, no, is that something else?</li> <li>A. Yes, those are the windows.</li> <li>Q. That's the recreation cage. Inside recreation.</li> <li>There's a similar cage outside. It's called outdoor</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.  Q. This cage here?  A. Yes.  Q. What's this scene?  A. This illustrates how inmates in administrative segregation are seen by medical staff. Rather than being walked into the infirmary, the nurses come to the ad seg area, and they are seen here following the protocol, which you will	1 medical appointment, they are searched before going back to 2 their rooms. 3 Q. What's that? 4 A. That is the opening — window that I talked about 5 with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke 6 your fingers through it. 7 Q. Would that be these screens right here, or, no, is 8 that something else? 9 A. Yes, those are the windows. 10 Q. That's the rec cage? 11 A. That's the recreation cage. Inside recreation. 12 There's a similar cage outside. It's called outdoor 13 recreation. The difference being access to sunshine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.  Q. This cage here?  A. Yes.  Q. What's this scene?  A. This illustrates how inmates in administrative segregation are seen by medical staff. Rather than being walked into the infirmary, the nurses come to the ad seg area, and they are seen here following the protocol, which you will see very shortly.	1 medical appointment, they are searched before going back to 2 their rooms. 3 Q. What's that? 4 A. That is the opening — window that I talked about 5 with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke 6 your fingers through it. 7 Q. Would that be these screens right here, or, no, is 8 that something else? 9 A. Yes, those are the windows. 10 Q. That's the rec cage? 11 A. That's the recreation cage. Inside recreation. 12 There's a similar cage outside. It's called outdoor 13 recreation. The difference being access to sunshine. 14 Q. Will the jury notice quite a noise difference
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.  Q. This cage here?  A. Yes.  Q. What's this scene?  A. This illustrates how inmates in administrative segregation are seen by medical staff. Rather than being walked into the infirmary, the nurses come to the ad seg area, and they are seen here following the protocol, which you will see very shortly.  Q. Does a guard have to be there?	1 medical appointment, they are searched before going back to 2 their rooms. 3 Q. What's that? 4 A. That is the opening — window that I talked about 5 with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke 6 your fingers through it. 7 Q. Would that be these screens right here, or, no, is 8 that something else? 9 A. Yes, those are the windows. 10 Q. That's the rec cage? 11 A. That's the recreation cage. Inside recreation. 12 There's a similar cage outside. It's called outdoor 13 recreation. The difference being access to sunshine. 14 Q. Will the jury notice quite a noise difference 15 between kind of the good side here and —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.  Q. This cage here?  A. Yes.  Q. What's this scene?  A. This illustrates how inmates in administrative segregation are seen by medical staff. Rather than being walked into the infirmary, the nurses come to the ad seg area, and they are seen here following the protocol, which you will see very shortly.  Q. Does a guard have to be there?  A. Yes. You will see very quickly that each inmate has	1 medical appointment, they are searched before going back to 2 their rooms. 3 Q. What's that? 4 A. That is the opening — window that I talked about 5 with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke 6 your fingers through it. 7 Q. Would that be these screens right here, or, no, is 8 that something else? 9 A. Yes, those are the windows. 10 Q. That's the rec cage? 11 A. That's the recreation cage. Inside recreation. 12 There's a similar cage outside. It's called outdoor 13 recreation. The difference being access to sunshine. 14 Q. Will the jury notice quite a noise difference 15 between kind of the good side here and — 16 A. The violent side, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.  Q. This cage here?  A. Yes.  Q. What's this scene?  A. This illustrates how inmates in administrative segregation are seen by medical staff. Rather than being walked into the infirmary, the nurses come to the ad seg area, and they are seen here following the protocol, which you will see very shortly.  Q. Does a guard have to be there?  A. Yes. You will see very quickly that each inmate has to have one guard, and the guard has to keep holding the	1 medical appointment, they are searched before going back to 2 their rooms. 3 Q. What's that? 4 A. That is the opening — window that I talked about 5 with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke 6 your fingers through it. 7 Q. Would that be these screens right here, or, no, is 8 that something else? 9 A. Yes, those are the windows. 10 Q. That's the rec cage? 11 A. That's the recreation cage. Inside recreation. 12 There's a similar cage outside. It's called outdoor 13 recreation. The difference being access to sunshine. 14 Q. Will the jury notice quite a noise difference 15 between kind of the good side here and — 16 A. The violent side, yes. 17 Q. — the violent side?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.  Q. This cage here?  A. Yes.  Q. What's this scene?  A. This illustrates how inmates in administrative segregation are seen by medical staff. Rather than being walked into the infirmary, the nurses come to the ad seg area, and they are seen here following the protocol, which you will see very shortly.  Q. Does a guard have to be there?  A. Yes. You will see very quickly that each inmate has to have one guard, and the guard has to keep holding the inmate until he goes to see the nurse. While with the nurse,	1 medical appointment, they are searched before going back to 2 their rooms. 3 Q. What's that? 4 A. That is the opening — window that I talked about 5 with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke 6 your fingers through it. 7 Q. Would that be these screens right here, or, no, is 8 that something else? 9 A. Yes, those are the windows. 10 Q. That's the rec cage? 11 A. That's the recreation cage. Inside recreation. 12 There's a similar cage outside. It's called outdoor 13 recreation. The difference being access to sunshine. 14 Q. Will the jury notice quite a noise difference 15 between kind of the good side here and — 16 A. The violent side, yes. 17 Q. — the violent side? 18 A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.  Q. This cage here?  A. Yes.  Q. What's this scene?  A. This illustrates how inmates in administrative segregation are seen by medical staff. Rather than being walked into the infirmary, the nurses come to the ad seg area, and they are seen here following the protocol, which you will see very shortly.  Q. Does a guard have to be there?  A. Yes. You will see very quickly that each inmate has to have one guard, and the guard has to keep holding the inmate until he goes to see the nurse. While with the nurse, his handcuffs are not removed. That inmate is awaiting his	1 medical appointment, they are searched before going back to 2 their rooms. 3 Q. What's that? 4 A. That is the opening — window that I talked about 5 with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke 6 your fingers through it. 7 Q. Would that be these screens right here, or, no, is 8 that something else? 9 A. Yes, those are the windows. 10 Q. That's the rec cage? 11 A. That's the recreation cage. Inside recreation. 12 There's a similar cage outside. It's called outdoor 13 recreation. The difference being access to sunshine. 14 Q. Will the jury notice quite a noise difference 15 between kind of the good side here and — 16 A. The violent side, yes. 17 Q. — the violent side? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. How big are these cells on the inside?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.  Q. This cage here?  A. Yes.  Q. What's this scene?  A. This illustrates how inmates in administrative segregation are seen by medical staff. Rather than being walked into the infirmary, the nurses come to the ad seg area, and they are seen here following the protocol, which you will see very shortly.  Q. Does a guard have to be there?  A. Yes. You will see very quickly that each inmate has to have one guard, and the guard has to keep holding the inmate until he goes to see the nurse. While with the nurse, his handcuffs are not removed. That inmate is awaiting his turn, so he is handcuffed by his hands in the back, and a	1 medical appointment, they are searched before going back to 2 their rooms. 3 Q. What's that? 4 A. That is the opening — window that I talked about 5 with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke 6 your fingers through it. 7 Q. Would that be these screens right here, or, no, is 8 that something else? 9 A. Yes, those are the windows. 10 Q. That's the rec cage? 11 A. That's the recreation cage. Inside recreation. 12 There's a similar cage outside. It's called outdoor 13 recreation. The difference being access to sunshine. 14 Q. Will the jury notice quite a noise difference 15 between kind of the good side here and — 16 A. The violent side, yes. 17 Q. — the violent side? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. How big are these cells on the inside? 20 A. All new cells are now 10 by 6.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.  Q. This cage here?  A. Yes.  Q. What's this scene?  A. This illustrates how inmates in administrative segregation are seen by medical staff. Rather than being walked into the infirmary, the nurses come to the ad seg area, and they are seen here following the protocol, which you will see very shortly.  Q. Does a guard have to be there?  A. Yes. You will see very quickly that each inmate has to have one guard, and the guard has to keep holding the inmate until he goes to see the nurse. While with the nurse, his handcuffs are not removed. That inmate is awaiting his turn, so he is handcuffed by his hands in the back, and a guard is with him.	1 medical appointment, they are searched before going back to 2 their rooms. 3 Q. What's that? 4 A. That is the opening — window that I talked about 5 with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke 6 your fingers through it. 7 Q. Would that be these screens right here, or, no, is 8 that something else? 9 A. Yes, those are the windows. 10 Q. That's the rec cage? 11 A. That's the recreation cage. Inside recreation. 12 There's a similar cage outside. It's called outdoor 13 recreation. The difference being access to sunshine. 14 Q. Will the jury notice quite a noise difference 15 between kind of the good side here and — 16 A. The violent side, yes. 17 Q. — the violent side? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. How big are these cells on the inside? 20 A. All new cells are now 10 by 6. 21 Q. 10 by 6?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.  Q. This cage here?  A. Yes.  Q. What's this scene?  A. This illustrates how inmates in administrative segregation are seen by medical staff. Rather than being walked into the infirmary, the nurses come to the ad seg area, and they are seen here following the protocol, which you will see very shortly.  Q. Does a guard have to be there?  A. Yes. You will see very quickly that each inmate has to have one guard, and the guard has to keep holding the inmate until he goes to see the nurse. While with the nurse, his handcuffs are not removed. That inmate is awaiting his turn, so he is handcuffed by his hands in the back, and a guard is with him.  Okay. This will illustrate how he is escorted back	1 medical appointment, they are searched before going back to 2 their rooms. 3 Q. What's that? 4 A. That is the opening — window that I talked about 5 with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke 6 your fingers through it. 7 Q. Would that be these screens right here, or, no, is 8 that something else? 9 A. Yes, those are the windows. 10 Q. That's the rec cage? 11 A. That's the recreation cage. Inside recreation. 12 There's a similar cage outside. It's called outdoor 13 recreation. The difference being access to sunshine. 14 Q. Will the jury notice quite a noise difference 15 between kind of the good side here and — 16 A. The violent side, yes. 17 Q. — the violent side? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. How big are these cells on the inside? 20 A. All new cells are now 10 by 6. 21 Q. 10 by 6? 22 A. Okay. This is the procedure that is followed once
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.  Q. This cage here?  A. Yes.  Q. What's this scene?  A. This illustrates how inmates in administrative segregation are seen by medical staff. Rather than being walked into the infirmary, the nurses come to the ad seg area, and they are seen here following the protocol, which you will see very shortly.  Q. Does a guard have to be there?  A. Yes. You will see very quickly that each inmate has to have one guard, and the guard has to keep holding the inmate until he goes to see the nurse. While with the nurse, his handcuffs are not removed. That inmate is awaiting his turn, so he is handcuffed by his hands in the back, and a guard is with him.  Okay. This will illustrate how he is escorted back to his cell.	1 medical appointment, they are searched before going back to 2 their rooms. 3 Q. What's that? 4 A. That is the opening — window that I talked about 5 with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke 6 your fingers through it. 7 Q. Would that be these screens right here, or, no, is 8 that something else? 9 A. Yes, those are the windows. 10 Q. That's the rec cage? 11 A. That's the recreation cage. Inside recreation. 12 There's a similar cage outside. It's called outdoor 13 recreation. The difference being access to sunshine. 14 Q. Will the jury notice quite a noise difference 15 between kind of the good side here and — 16 A. The violent side, yes. 17 Q. — the violent side? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. How big are these cells on the inside? 20 A. All new cells are now 10 by 6. 21 Q. 10 by 6? 22 A. Okay. This is the procedure that is followed once 23 recreation is over and he's brought back to his cell.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. My appearance. That's the only time I think you see me.  This is a cage in the waiting area where the inmates are kept if they are waiting transportation or are waiting to be moved to another place. They cannot just stand around the reception area. They have to be inside that cage.  Q. This cage here?  A. Yes.  Q. What's this scene?  A. This illustrates how inmates in administrative segregation are seen by medical staff. Rather than being walked into the infirmary, the nurses come to the ad seg area, and they are seen here following the protocol, which you will see very shortly.  Q. Does a guard have to be there?  A. Yes. You will see very quickly that each inmate has to have one guard, and the guard has to keep holding the inmate until he goes to see the nurse. While with the nurse, his handcuffs are not removed. That inmate is awaiting his turn, so he is handcuffed by his hands in the back, and a guard is with him.  Okay. This will illustrate how he is escorted back	1 medical appointment, they are searched before going back to 2 their rooms. 3 Q. What's that? 4 A. That is the opening — window that I talked about 5 with a screen, but that has no plastic covering. You can poke 6 your fingers through it. 7 Q. Would that be these screens right here, or, no, is 8 that something else? 9 A. Yes, those are the windows. 10 Q. That's the rec cage? 11 A. That's the recreation cage. Inside recreation. 12 There's a similar cage outside. It's called outdoor 13 recreation. The difference being access to sunshine. 14 Q. Will the jury notice quite a noise difference 15 between kind of the good side here and — 16 A. The violent side, yes. 17 Q. — the violent side? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. How big are these cells on the inside? 20 A. All new cells are now 10 by 6. 21 Q. 10 by 6? 22 A. Okay. This is the procedure that is followed once

### Page 174 Page 176 1 they can come get it. The serving the food is not the hard 1 side of ad seg. 2 part. The hard part is figuring out what you're eating. 2 Q. Okay. 3 (Laughter.) 3 A. This illustrates that administrative segregation 4 inmates don't have free access to the hallways. These are Q. Good stuff, huh? 5 trustees that deliver food and janitors. 5 A. They are really nutritious in the sense 6 of nutritious value, but taste is a different matter. But Q. A lot of yelling? 7 they are designed by a nutritionist so they have a balanced 7 A. This is the portion of ad seg that houses the 8 violent inmates who continue to be violent, even in ad seg. meal. 9 Q. That handle device doesn't look like a key. What is 9 Q. Is that someone pounding? 10 10 that? Is that how they open the bean hole? A. Pounding on their cells, shaking their doors, 11 A. It's like a - it's like a jack, you know. It pries 11 yelling screaming, urinating so that the urine seeps through 12 it open. 12 the doors and stinks the whole place. Very brutish, animalish 13 behavior. 13 Q. What's this, Dr. Quijano? A. That is the picket -- inside picket I talked to you 14 Q. And as long as they act like this, they're going to 14 15 about. These cells are electronically closed and opened. In 15 stay there? 16 the older prisons, you have these huge keys that guards use to 16 A. They're stuck there. 17 close and open the various cells. Like, in the movie they are 17 See, now those - that is the types of doors they 18 have. It has the screen - metal screen and Lexan glass so 18 objects of attack because they want the keys. In this, you 19 deprive them of that because it's controlled by somebody 19 they cannot throw objects or liquids at the officers. 20 inside the picket controlling it electronically. 20 Q. Doesn't appear to be a happy individual. 21 Now, these are batons. The only weapons guards have A. This particular one is psychotic. He's talking 21 22 is a batons, a shield and chemical agents. There are no guns 22 about poison in his food. Q. If an inmate refuses to take his medication, what do 23 allowed inside the prison. 23 24 Q. Where we saw the food being served to those inmates, 24 you do with them? 25 was that still the good side of the bad house? 25 A. If it's medication that - medication you can Page 177 Page 175 1 refuse. Treatment you can refuse, but if the medication is A. Yes, we are still there. You can tell. 1 2 intended to restrain you from hurting yourself or other 2 O. It's quiet? people, they can be forced to do that. 3 A. When we are in the bad side, you can tell. 4 O. Okay. THE COURT: Matt, any idea how much longer? 5 MR. GOELLER: Doctor, what do you think? A. When they open the door of the cells, only one 5 THE WITNESS: This portion is almost done. 6 inmate is allowed at a time. You will not see two inmates Then the captain talks, which we will not watch. And then 7 walking around at the same time. there is a ten-minute portion in the general population, which Q. Is there somebody always in this picket area? 8 9 shows the general dining room and how a pod in general A. Oh, yes. 10 population looks like. 10 Q. 24 hours, 7 days a week? A. 24 hours, 7 days a week. And the staffing in the ad 11 MR. GOELLER: I guess maybe a total of 15 11 12 seg section is richer than in general population. 12 minutes left? THE WITNESS: More than that. Are you talking 13 That person is still in the indoor recreation. The 13 14 about a break, Judge? 14 intent there is to allow them to use their large muscles 15 because if they don't get out of their cells and use their 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 large muscles, they deteriorate and it leads to very expensive 16 THE WITNESS: Maybe after this and before the 17 general population we should take a break. 17 medical conditions. These pickets also have holes that enter into the 18 THE COURT: That makes sense. Go ahead and --18 19 THE WITNESS: Anyway, we have to skip the 19 general area where they can introduce chemical agents in case 20 captain talking. 20 they have to control a riot. 21 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Let me know when you think that's 21 Q. Like CS gas, that kind of stuff? 22 about to hit. Is that in this section here? 22 A. Yes. 23 23 Q. What will be the next thing that the tape goes Q. Is that noise in the bad section of bad, is it 24 24 into, Doctor?

25 constantly?

A. I think we're going to the noisy side, the violent

25

1	Page 182 area.	Page 184  1 Q. They don't get like Playboy and
2		2 A. No.
3		3 Q important stuff?
4	A. Yes. It's the same food served throughout the	4 A. No.
5		5 Q. Like news, CNN?
6		6 A. No, just the basic channels.
7	it.	7 Q. ABC, NBC, CBS?
8	Q. Yummy.	8 A. Yes.
9	A. But it deprives them of one more weapon to use.	9 Q. Okay. It seems kind of quiet here. Is that
10		10 generally the way it is?
11		11 A. It's very nice, very quiet. People are
	don't mess their cells and the floors. It's called a "brown	12 well-behaved, and they protect that privilege. They can read
13		13 a paper, and they can play quiet games, Dominos.
	nutritious, technically.	14 O. Like this here?
15		15 A. Oh, right there, yes. That's the end of this tape.
16	•	16 Q. Okay.
17		17 THE COURT: Do you want to mark that as 42
		18 then?
18		19 MR. GOELLER: Yes, sir.
19		20 THE COURT: And I suppose it will be admitted
20		21 is it offered; is that the idea?
21	are able to make arts and crafts, and they can sell them so	22 MR. SCHULTZ: If offered, yes, sir, we don't
	they carn a little money through the commissary system.	23 object.
		24 THE COURT: All right. Then 42 for the
24		25 Defendant is admitted.
23	heavy industry?	23 Defendant is admitted.
	Page 193	Page 185
1	Page 183 A. No. It's belts, purses.	Page 185  1 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you
1 2	A. No. It's belts, purses.	·-
	<ul><li>A. No. It's belts, purses.</li><li>Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?</li></ul>	1 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you
2	<ul><li>A. No. It's belts, purses.</li><li>Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?</li><li>A. Trinkets, yes.</li></ul>	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you     just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we
2	<ul><li>A. No. It's belts, purses.</li><li>Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?</li><li>A. Trinkets, yes.</li><li>And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a</li></ul>	1 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you 2 just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we 3 use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. No. It's belts, purses.</li> <li>Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?</li> <li>A. Trinkets, yes.</li> <li>And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life</li> </ul>	1 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you 2 just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we 3 use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury 4 that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can 5 you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. No. It's belts, purses.</li> <li>Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?</li> <li>A. Trinkets, yes.</li> <li>And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.</li> </ul>	1 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you 2 just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we 3 use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury 4 that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. No. It's belts, purses.</li> <li>Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?</li> <li>A. Trinkets, yes.</li> <li>And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life</li> </ul>	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)  Q. Rec room?  A. That is the recreation — the indoor recreation I	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?  A. Manipulation has a negative connotation, but it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)  Q. Rec room?  A. That is the recreation — the indoor recreation I	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?  A. Manipulation has a negative connotation, but it's really a very good word in that it means that you are able to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)  Q. Rec room?  A. That is the recreation the indoor recreation I talked about for the general population. So they cluster together. They have a television, and they vote what channel	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?  A. Manipulation has a negative connotation, but it's really a very good word in that it means that you are able to rearrange contingencies that are available to you. A
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)  Q. Rec room?  A. That is the recreation the indoor recreation I talked about for the general population. So they cluster together. They have a television, and they vote what channel to watch. This is the cells in the general population. Look	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?  A. Manipulation has a negative connotation, but it's really a very good word in that it means that you are able to rearrange contingencies that are available to you. A
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)  Q. Rec room?  A. That is the recreation the indoor recreation I talked about for the general population. So they cluster together. They have a television, and they vote what channel to watch. This is the cells in the general population. Look at that window, the two windows, not covered by either the	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?  A. Manipulation has a negative connotation, but it's really a very good word in that it means that you are able to rearrange contingencies that are available to you. A manipulation can be a tool for control because you want the inmates to be able to manipulate what is available to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)  Q. Rec room?  A. That is the recreation the indoor recreation I talked about for the general population. So they cluster together. They have a television, and they vote what channel to watch. This is the cells in the general population. Look at that window, the two windows, not covered by either the screen or Lexan glass. There are no food slots, and they are	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?  A. Manipulation has a negative connotation, but it's really a very good word in that it means that you are able to rearrange contingencies that are available to you. A manipulation can be a tool for control because you want the inmates to be able to manipulate what is available to be manipulated; in other words, to use the system, to quit using
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)  Q. Rec room?  A. That is the recreation the indoor recreation I talked about for the general population. So they cluster together. They have a television, and they vote what channel to watch. This is the cells in the general population. Look at that window, the two windows, not covered by either the	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?  A. Manipulation has a negative connotation, but it's really a very good word in that it means that you are able to rearrange contingencies that are available to you. A manipulation can be a tool for control because you want the inmates to be able to manipulate what is available to be manipulated; in other words, to use the system, to quit using their previous methodologies and tricks, but to manipulate the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)  Q. Rec room?  A. That is the recreation — the indoor recreation I talked about for the general population. So they cluster together. They have a television, and they vote what channel to watch. This is the cells in the general population. Look at that window, the two windows, not covered by either the screen or Lexan glass. There are no food slots, and they are free to stay there or go into recreation, either way.	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?  A. Manipulation has a negative connotation, but it's really a very good word in that it means that you are able to rearrange contingencies that are available to you. A manipulation can be a tool for control because you want the inmates to be able to manipulate what is available to be manipulated; in other words, to use the system, to quit using their previous methodologies and tricks, but to manipulate the system properly to get what they want. Now, you may not get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)  Q. Rec room?  A. That is the recreation the indoor recreation I talked about for the general population. So they cluster together. They have a television, and they vote what channel to watch. This is the cells in the general population. Look at that window, the two windows, not covered by either the screen or Lexan glass. There are no food slots, and they are free to stay there or go into recreation, either way.  Q. So they could open that door right now?  A. No, no, no. Certain hours.	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?  A. Manipulation has a negative connotation, but it's really a very good word in that it means that you are able to rearrange contingencies that are available to you. A manipulation can be a tool for control because you want the inmates to be able to manipulate what is available to be manipulated; in other words, to use the system, to quit using their previous methodologies and tricks, but to manipulate the system properly to get what they want. Now, you may not get what you want as quickly, but you will eventually get what you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)  Q. Rec room?  A. That is the recreation the indoor recreation I talked about for the general population. So they cluster together. They have a television, and they vote what channel to watch. This is the cells in the general population. Look at that window, the two windows, not covered by either the screen or Lexan glass. There are no food slots, and they are free to stay there or go into recreation, either way.  Q. So they could open that door right now?  A. No, no, no. Certain hours.	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?  A. Manipulation has a negative connotation, but it's really a very good word in that it means that you are able to rearrange contingencies that are available to you. A manipulation can be a tool for control because you want the inmates to be able to manipulate what is available to be manipulated; in other words, to use the system, to quit using their previous methodologies and tricks, but to manipulate the system properly to get what they want. Now, you may not get what you want if you use the system well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)  Q. Rec room?  A. That is the recreation the indoor recreation I talked about for the general population. So they cluster together. They have a television, and they vote what channel to watch. This is the cells in the general population. Look at that window, the two windows, not covered by either the screen or Lexan glass. There are no food slots, and they are free to stay there or go into recreation, either way.  Q. So they could open that door right now?  A. No, no, no. Certain hours.  Q. Okay.  A. There is what they call "racking in" or "racking	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?  A. Manipulation has a negative connotation, but it's really a very good word in that it means that you are able to rearrange contingencies that are available to you. A manipulation can be a tool for control because you want the inmates to be able to manipulate what is available to be manipulated; in other words, to use the system, to quit using their previous methodologies and tricks, but to manipulate the system properly to get what they want. Now, you may not get what you want as quickly, but you will eventually get what you want if you use the system well.  Manipulation is something you would like to teach
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)  Q. Rec room?  A. That is the recreation the indoor recreation I talked about for the general population. So they cluster together. They have a television, and they vote what channel to watch. This is the cells in the general population. Look at that window, the two windows, not covered by either the screen or Lexan glass. There are no food slots, and they are free to stay there or go into recreation, either way.  Q. So they could open that door right now?  A. No, no, no. Certain hours.  Q. Okay.  A. There is what they call "racking in" or "racking	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?  A. Manipulation has a negative connotation, but it's really a very good word in that it means that you are able to rearrange contingencies that are available to you. A manipulation can be a tool for control because you want the inmates to be able to manipulate what is available to be manipulated; in other words, to use the system, to quit using their previous methodologies and tricks, but to manipulate the system properly to get what they want. Now, you may not get what you want as quickly, but you will eventually get what you want if you use the system well.  Manipulation is something you would like to teach the inmate. Now, manipulation to hurt other people or to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)  Q. Rec room?  A. That is the recreation the indoor recreation I talked about for the general population. So they cluster together. They have a television, and they vote what channel to watch. This is the cells in the general population. Look at that window, the two windows, not covered by either the screen or Lexan glass. There are no food slots, and they are free to stay there or go into recreation, either way.  Q. So they could open that door right now?  A. No, no, no. Certain hours.  Q. Okay.  A. There is what they call "racking in" or "racking out."  See, there are two inmates in that cell. This is	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?  A. Manipulation has a negative connotation, but it's really a very good word in that it means that you are able to rearrange contingencies that are available to you. A manipulation can be a tool for control because you want the inmates to be able to manipulate what is available to be manipulated; in other words, to use the system, to quit using their previous methodologies and tricks, but to manipulate the system properly to get what they want. Now, you may not get what you want as quickly, but you will eventually get what you want if you use the system well.  Manipulation is something you would like to teach the inmate. Now, manipulation to hurt other people or to violate their rights, is, of course, to be discouraged and to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)  Q. Rec room?  A. That is the recreation the indoor recreation I talked about for the general population. So they cluster together. They have a television, and they vote what channel to watch. This is the cells in the general population. Look at that window, the two windows, not covered by either the screen or Lexan glass. There are no food slots, and they are free to stay there or go into recreation, either way.  Q. So they could open that door right now?  A. No, no, no. Certain hours.  Q. Okay.  A. There is what they call "racking in" or "racking out."  See, there are two inmates in that cell. This is the overview of the pod. There are three stories in a	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?  A. Manipulation has a negative connotation, but it's really a very good word in that it means that you are able to rearrange contingencies that are available to you. A manipulation can be a tool for control because you want the inmates to be able to manipulate what is available to be manipulated; in other words, to use the system, to quit using their previous methodologies and tricks, but to manipulate the system properly to get what they want. Now, you may not get what you want as quickly, but you will eventually get what you want if you use the system well.  Manipulation is something you would like to teach the inmate. Now, manipulation to hurt other people or to violate their rights, is, of course, to be discouraged and to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)  Q. Rec room?  A. That is the recreation the indoor recreation I talked about for the general population. So they cluster together. They have a television, and they vote what channel to watch. This is the cells in the general population. Look at that window, the two windows, not covered by either the screen or Lexan glass. There are no food slots, and they are free to stay there or go into recreation, either way.  Q. So they could open that door right now?  A. No, no, no. Certain hours.  Q. Okay.  A. There is what they call "racking in" or "racking out."  See, there are two inmates in that cell. This is the overview of the pod. There are three stories in a	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?  A. Manipulation has a negative connotation, but it's really a very good word in that it means that you are able to rearrange contingencies that are available to you. A manipulation can be a tool for control because you want the inmates to be able to manipulate what is available to be manipulated; in other words, to use the system, to quit using their previous methodologies and tricks, but to manipulate the system properly to get what they want. Now, you may not get what you want as quickly, but you will eventually get what you want if you use the system well.  Manipulation is something you would like to teach the inmate. Now, manipulation to hurt other people or to violate their rights, is, of course, to be discouraged and to be punished. But manipulation in itself, once you get the inmate to use what is available to them, it's a good coping
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. It's belts, purses.  Q. Little trinkets, stuff like that?  A. Trinkets, yes.  And depending on which inmate you ask to make you a belt, you could have a lifetime warranty, if they serve a life sentence.  (Laughter.)  Q. Rec room?  A. That is the recreation the indoor recreation I talked about for the general population. So they cluster together. They have a television, and they vote what channel to watch. This is the cells in the general population. Look at that window, the two windows, not covered by either the screen or Lexan glass. There are no food slots, and they are free to stay there or go into recreation, either way.  Q. So they could open that door right now?  A. No, no, no. Certain hours.  Q. Okay.  A. There is what they call "racking in" or "racking out."  See, there are two inmates in that cell. This is the overview of the pod. There are three stories in a semi-circular configuration.	Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Dr. Quijano, I want to talk to you just very briefly about control in prisons, and the term we use "manipulation." There has been testimony before this jury that Ivan can be a manipulator, or can manipulate people. Can you give the jury a little insight as to manipulation and how that's perceived by the prison as far as maybe a tool in control?  A. Manipulation has a negative connotation, but it's really a very good word in that it means that you are able to rearrange contingencies that are available to you. A manipulation can be a tool for control because you want the inmates to be able to manipulate what is available to be manipulated; in other words, to use the system, to quit using their previous methodologies and tricks, but to manipulate the system properly to get what they want. Now, you may not get what you want as quickly, but you will eventually get what you want if you use the system well.  Manipulation is something you would like to teach the inmate. Now, manipulation to hurt other people or to violate their rights, is, of course, to be discouraged and to be punished. But manipulation in itself, once you get the inmate to use what is available to them, it's a good coping skill for them and a good control technique because they will

### Page 190 Page 192 O. I mean, if we want to assign two guards per every 1 A. (Nods head.) 1 Q. Are you familiar with what a life sentence means in inmate, whether that's general population or trustees or 2 violent gang members, if we want to assign those kinds of 3 Texas? A. The life sentence in Texas, capital life means he 4 resources we could just about eradicate any kind of violence 4 5 has to serve a minimum of 40 years before he's even considered 5 in prison, couldn't we? 6 for parole. 6 A. Yes. You could get to the point. 7 Q. Do you have -- did you have any experience with 7 Q. The problem is we probably don't make enough money 8 as a society to do such a thing; isn't that so? 8 40-year inmates from psychological services, or any connection 9 9 you had in the penitentiary? A. True. Q. See, here's -- you've testified in death penalty 10 A. No. We don't have such an inmate yet who has served 10 11 cases before on behalf both of the Defense and the State; is 11 40 years. 12 that correct? 12 Q. Okay. MR. GOELLER: I'll pass the witness, Your 13 A. Yes. 13 14 Honor. 14 Q. And those have been based not only upon 15 hypothetical-type situations, but also clinical evaluations? 15 THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you, Judge. 16 A. Yes. 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION Q. And would you agree, in general -- I'm not trying to 17 17 18 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 18 pin you down to a specific -- but do you consider the clinical 19 Q. Doctor, I've just got a few questions, if I might. evaluation of a capital murderer an important ingredient in When an inmate comes in, even with a conviction for 20 risk assessment? 20 21 capital murder, but it's a nondeath sentence, does that person 21 A. It's very important. 22 Q. Because some people would say don't confuse me with 22 automatically go into ad seg? 23 information about this person. I don't want to meet him and 23 A. No. Q. That person will go to general population? 24 know his personality. I want to assess him statistically. 24 25 A. Yes, unless he has points against him to go to ad 25 Are you familiar with that type of thought? Page 191 Page 193 1 seg. But the presumption is general population. 1 A. Yes. There is such a school of thought. 2 Q. What's your opinion on that school of thought? 2 Q. You mentioned gang membership. Does that have to be 3 in-the-system-gang membership or prior-to-entering-the-system 3 A. That it is deprived of rich clinical data, the 4 combination of statistical prediction, as well as personal 4 gang membership? A. In and prior to, a gang membership is considered a knowledge of the person is, of course, superior. 6 risk factor because the gangs love to kill each other, gang Q. Now, I promise I'm not trying to pin you down 7 members. And so if you are identified as a gang member, then statistically. I'm just going to ask you to generalize as your chances of going to ad seg increases. best as you can based upon your experience and your knowledge of TDC. Roughly, how many folks do you think we have on Texas 9 Q. Okay. In your judgment, is prison a dangerous place? 10 Death Row right now? 10 A. Yes. Q. The protection doesn't really depend upon the 11 A. About 400. 11 12 inmate's own conscience because they probably don't have those 12 Q. Okay. And obviously, they share certain things in 13 common, and that would be, number one, they've been convicted 13 skills. That's why they're in prison; is that right? 14 14 of capital murder? A. Yes. 1.5 A. Yes. Q. The protection really depends upon the ability of 15 16 the system itself to control them; is that right? 16 Q. Because no matter how dangerous you are or how 17 habitual a criminal you are, if you don't commit a capital 17 A. Yes. 18 murder you can't ever end up on death row; is that right? Q. And if there's a breakdown somewhere in the system, 18 19 that's where we have problems like escapes or knifings or 19 A. If you are not convicted, you cannot go to death 20 things like that; is that fair? 20 row. Q. Exactly. So they share the fact they've been found 21 A. Yes, the human factors. 21 22 guilty of capital murder? 22 Q. If we wanted to spend the money and take the time A. Yes. 23 and commit the resources, don't you think we would make prison 23 Q. And they all share the fact that Special Issue 24 almost totally safe if we want to spend that much time? 24

25

A. Yes.

25 Number One has been answered "yes" unanimously by the jury; is

		,
	Page 198	,
1	A. Yes. The answer to the question is danger — that in the person itself the answer is yes. The person is	1 2
2		3
3	dangerous, a future danger.	4
4	Q. Right.	5
5	A. The question is, where is he? Then, the answer can	6
6	vary.	7
7	Q. Okay. In other words, if you look at the outside	8
8 9	world, for example, the answer is clearly very dangerous?  A. Yes.	9
10		10
11	Q. If you look at a breakdown in the prison system, the answer is clearly very dangerous?	11
12	A. Correct.	12
	Q. If everything works just fine in prison and nobody	13
13		14
14	makes a mistake or accidentally unlocks a door or accidentally doesn't catch the toothbrush that's missing or the fork or the	15
16	knife, then perhaps that person could successfully live in	16
17		17
18	A. Yes.	18
19	Q. But they're still probably a danger to society, a	19
20		20
21	A. Yes, and all the precautions must be maintained.	21
22	MR. SCHULTZ: A moment, please, Judge.	22
23	THE COURT: All right.	23
24	MR. SCHULTZ: It's great seeing you again,	24
	Doctor. We'll pass the witness.	25
	•	
	Page 199	
1	MR. GOELLER: Just a couple of more questions,	I
2	Dr. Quijano.	2
3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	3
_	BY MR. GOELLER:	4
5	Q. When Mr. Schultz was asking you the question, I	5
6	assume and he'll correct me if I'm wrong, he was asking about	6
7	Special Issue Number One?	7
8	A. Yes.	8
9	Q. Okay. And as you know I know you've written	9
10	about this and you've testified before whether there is a	10
11	probability the Defendant would commit criminal acts of	11
	violence that constitute a continuing threat to society.	12
	Would you agree with me that most most people who have been	13
	convicted of capital murder probably more than most the	14
	vast majority of them, if set out in the free world, they're a	16
16 17		17
18	A. Yes.  Q. They're at risk to do bad things?	18
19	A. Yes.	19
20	Q. Okay. In regards to that special issue, obviously	20
21	when we're talking about and we don't get to that special	21
	and the desired and the desired and the second	
22	issue until somebody has been found guilty, so we know life or	22
22 23	issue until somebody has been found guilty, so we know life or at least 40 minimum. When we talk about future dangerousness	22 23

or the probability in the penitentiary system, what are yourthoughts on that? Can a person be a danger if they're just

	Page 200
1	cut loose? If somebody were convicted of capital murder, and
2	the judge said, well, just go home versus
3	A. Well, the person is dangerous by the acts he
4	committed, has been found to be dangerous by the jury. So
5	there is no need to predict whether this person would be
6	dangerous or not. He is dangerous. What you're trying to
7	predict is the acts of violence.
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. And that acts of violence depends on where the
10	person is. If he has opportunities, chances are he will
11	continue to do so. If he is in a very restrained setting, in
12	the words of Mr. Schultz, "when everything works properly,"
13	he'll be okay.
14	Q. Okay. In your years of forensic psychology and your
15	intimate knowledge of the penitentiary system and practicing
16	in your field in the penitentiary, have you ever seen
17	extrinsic factors and controls that maybe changed behavior and
18	personality? Do you know what I'm trying to say?
19	A. Yes. Not personality, but the the person can,
20	through the external controls, over a long period of time,
21	develop a new pattern of behavior and do very well. In fact,
	correctional experts will tell you that lifers are the best
23	inmates because they learn to use the system. They learn to
	live with the system. And so external controls over a long
23	period of time can lead to a change in a person's pattern of
	Page 201
1	
	Page 201
1	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.
1 2	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious. Those who don't, will be identified and then move on
1 2 3	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a
1 2 3 4	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.
1 2 3 4 5	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a person convicted of capital murder, you know, drugs, alcohol,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a person convicted of capital murder, you know, drugs, alcohol, you know substance abuse addictions, things of that nature,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a person convicted of capital murder, you know, drugs, alcohol, you know substance abuse addictions, things of that nature, how good a job does the penitentiary do in removing elements
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a person convicted of capital murder, you know, drugs, alcohol, you know substance abuse addictions, things of that nature, how good a job does the penitentiary do in removing elements that make people dangerous? And maybe that's not good, "make
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a person convicted of capital murder, you know, drugs, alcohol, you know substance abuse addictions, things of that nature, how good a job does the penitentiary do in removing elements that make people dangerous? And maybe that's not good, "make them." But certainly contribute to why somebody ends up
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a person convicted of capital murder, you know, drugs, alcohol, you know substance abuse addictions, things of that nature, how good a job does the penitentiary do in removing elements that make people dangerous? And maybe that's not good, "make them." But certainly contribute to why somebody ends up convicted of capital murder?
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a person convicted of capital murder, you know, drugs, alcohol, you know substance abuse addictions, things of that nature, how good a job does the penitentiary do in removing elements that make people dangerous? And maybe that's not good, "make them." But certainly contribute to why somebody ends up convicted of capital murder?  A. Uh-huh. In a capital murder and in any acts of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a person convicted of capital murder, you know, drugs, alcohol, you know substance abuse addictions, things of that nature, how good a job does the penitentiary do in removing elements that make people dangerous? And maybe that's not good, "make them." But certainly contribute to why somebody ends up convicted of capital murder?  A. Uh-huh. In a capital murder and in any acts of violence, there are what you call risk factors that enough
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a person convicted of capital murder, you know, drugs, alcohol, you know substance abuse addictions, things of that nature, how good a job does the penitentiary do in removing elements that make people dangerous? And maybe that's not good, "make them." But certainly contribute to why somebody ends up convicted of capital murder?  A. Uh-huh. In a capital murder and in any acts of violence, there are what you call risk factors that enough of those would put the person over the edge and commit the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a person convicted of capital murder, you know, drugs, alcohol, you know substance abuse addictions, things of that nature, how good a job does the penitentiary do in removing elements that make people dangerous? And maybe that's not good, "make them." But certainly contribute to why somebody ends up convicted of capital murder?  A. Uh-huh. In a capital murder and in any acts of violence, there are what you call risk factors that enough of those would put the person over the edge and commit the crime. One of those risk factors is, of course, drugs and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a person convicted of capital murder, you know, drugs, alcohol, you know substance abuse addictions, things of that nature, how good a job does the penitentiary do in removing elements that make people dangerous? And maybe that's not good, "make them." But certainly contribute to why somebody ends up convicted of capital murder?  A. Uh-huh. In a capital murder and in any acts of violence, there are what you call risk factors that enough of those would put the person over the edge and commit the crime. One of those risk factors is, of course, drugs and alcohol. If you remove that factor, whatever level of
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a person convicted of capital murder, you know, drugs, alcohol, you know substance abuse addictions, things of that nature, how good a job does the penitentiary do in removing elements that make people dangerous? And maybe that's not good, "make them." But certainly contribute to why somebody ends up convicted of capital murder?  A. Uh-huh. In a capital murder and in any acts of violence, there are what you call risk factors that enough of those would put the person over the edge and commit the crime. One of those risk factors is, of course, drugs and alcohol. If you remove that factor, whatever level of dangerousness the person has will decrease. And a prison is a
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 201 behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a person convicted of capital murder, you know, drugs, alcohol, you know substance abuse addictions, things of that nature, how good a job does the penitentiary do in removing elements that make people dangerous? And maybe that's not good, "make them." But certainly contribute to why somebody ends up convicted of capital murder?  A. Uh-huh. In a capital murder and in any acts of violence, there are what you call risk factors that enough of those would put the person over the edge and commit the crime. One of those risk factors is, of course, drugs and alcohol. If you remove that factor, whatever level of dangerousness the person has will decrease. And a prison is a place where that is controlled. The problem is, it is not
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 201  behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a person convicted of capital murder, you know, drugs, alcohol, you know substance abuse addictions, things of that nature, how good a job does the penitentiary do in removing elements that make people dangerous? And maybe that's not good, "make them." But certainly contribute to why somebody ends up convicted of capital murder?  A. Uh-huh. In a capital murder and in any acts of violence, there are what you call risk factors that enough of those would put the person over the edge and commit the crime. One of those risk factors is, of course, drugs and alcohol. If you remove that factor, whatever level of dangerousness the person has will decrease. And a prison is a place where that is controlled. The problem is, it is not controlled a hundred percent. There is still some alcohol and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 201  behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a person convicted of capital murder, you know, drugs, alcohol, you know substance abuse addictions, things of that nature, how good a job does the penitentiary do in removing elements that make people dangerous? And maybe that's not good, "make them." But certainly contribute to why somebody ends up convicted of capital murder?  A. Uh-huh. In a capital murder and in any acts of violence, there are what you call risk factors that enough of those would put the person over the edge and commit the crime. One of those risk factors is, of course, drugs and alcohol. If you remove that factor, whatever level of dangerousness the person has will decrease. And a prison is a place where that is controlled. The problem is, it is not controlled a hundred percent. There is still some alcohol and still some drugs in the prison but, it is pretty much under
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 201  behavior, but that is obvious.  Those who don't, will be identified and then move on to ad seg, and those who move on to ad seg and then learn a new pattern of behavior can be moved back to general population, and there are those who don't and will remain in ad seg.  Q. In the some of the things that may help get a person convicted of capital murder, you know, drugs, alcohol, you know substance abuse addictions, things of that nature, how good a job does the penitentiary do in removing elements that make people dangerous? And maybe that's not good, "make them." But certainly contribute to why somebody ends up convicted of capital murder?  A. Uh-huh. In a capital murder and in any acts of violence, there are what you call risk factors that enough of those would put the person over the edge and commit the crime. One of those risk factors is, of course, drugs and alcohol. If you remove that factor, whatever level of dangerousness the person has will decrease. And a prison is a place where that is controlled. The problem is, it is not controlled a hundred percent. There is still some alcohol and

25 that small chance that it can still happen.

	Page 206		Page 208
1	TDC was a Lutheran minister, George Sebido (phonetic), and	1	any of those, that is when you are sent to ad seg. So even
2	that is how spirituality was introduced to the prison system.	2	within the general population, there are limits.
3	Q. There's been evidence well, there's been	3	It's not what — I don't know why people pick
4	testimony I'm not allowed to say there's been evidence of	4	Holiday Inn, but it's not Holiday Inn type of maybe Holiday
5	an actual Christian conversion. There's been testimony	5	Inn Express, but it's not that kind.
6	there's been evidence it's debated whether or not it's a	6	(Laughter.)
7	true, bona fide Christian conversion. Some people may say	7	A. There are layers of supervision. But it's, of
8	that's a form of manipulation. I mean, you're going to trial	8	course, determined on what TDC thinks can safely hold you.
9	for capital murder. How do you look at that, whether it's	9	Q. Okay.
10	legit or not, in the context of manipulation and the context	10	MR. GOELLER: Thank you, sir. That's all I
11	of the penitentiary?	11	have.
12	A. Jailhouse conversions are, of course, often suspect	12	MR. SCHULTZ: Just got a few questions for you.
13	because of how it was generated. But a jailhouse conversion	13	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
14	is better than no jailhouse conversion. There are people who	14	BY MR. SCHULTZ:
15	are in jail who have committed serious crimes, who undergo	15	Q. Doctor, approximately how many death penalty cases
16	conversion or report, and those who just continue to be	16	do you believe you testified in? Again, I'm not trying to pin
17	belligerence (sic). Okay?	17	you down. Just give
18	Now, the value of that is, of course, very, very	18	A. Maybe I've been involved in about 150 or so.
19	subjective. But for those of us on the outside looking at	19	Q. Have you testified both for the State and for the
20	that, any conversion is good. Now, how genuine that is,	20	Defense in those cases?
21	that's between him and God. But from the outside, I would	21	A. Mostly for the Defense. About 60 percent for the
22	take a person who has converted rather than a person who	22	Defense; 40 for the State.
23	hasn't because that is at least one step bettering himself.	23	Q. Okay. So, I mean, you do both, and you're capable
24	But the genuineness of that is hard to - is hard to judge	24	of doing both; is that right? And you do that with frequency.
		ı	
25	because it is opportunistic.	25	Both sides hire you, is what I'm asking?
25	because it is opportunistic.	25	Both sides hire you, is what I'm asking?
	Page 207		Page 209
1	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I	1	Page 209 A. Yes.
1 2	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury	1 2	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us
1 2 3	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different	1 2 3	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular
1 2 3 4	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or	1 2 3 4	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your
1 2 3 4 5	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population?	1 2 3 4 5	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population? A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population?  A. Yes. Q. Could you briefly explain that? I may have left a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Because probably the world's most dangerous
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population?  A. Yes. Q. Could you briefly explain that? I may have left a false impression. There's general population and different	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Because probably the world's most dangerous person, the prison could protect itself from that person,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population? A. Yes. Q. Could you briefly explain that? I may have left a false impression. There's general population and different levels of ad seg and super seg. Can you tell them what	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Because probably the world's most dangerous person, the prison could protect itself from that person, right?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population?  A. Yes. Q. Could you briefly explain that? I may have left a false impression. There's general population and different levels of ad seg and super seg. Can you tell them what general population is?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Because probably the world's most dangerous person, the prison could protect itself from that person, right?  A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population?  A. Yes. Q. Could you briefly explain that? I may have left a false impression. There's general population and different levels of ad seg and super seg. Can you tell them what general population is?  A. There are layers in general population, too. The	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Because probably the world's most dangerous person, the prison could protect itself from that person, right?  A. Yes.  Q. I mean, I don't know who that would be. I don't
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population? A. Yes. Q. Could you briefly explain that? I may have left a false impression. There's general population and different levels of ad seg and super seg. Can you tell them what general population is? A. There are layers in general population, too. The best way to understand is the housing scheme I — is like a	1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Because probably the world's most dangerous person, the prison could protect itself from that person, right?  A. Yes.  Q. I mean, I don't know who that would be. I don't know if that would be Hitler or if it would be Stalin or if it
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population? A. Yes. Q. Could you briefly explain that? I may have left a false impression. There's general population and different levels of ad seg and super seg. Can you tell them what general population is? A. There are layers in general population, too. The best way to understand is the housing scheme I — is like a matrix. On one end you have the housing scheme, and then the	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Because probably the world's most dangerous person, the prison could protect itself from that person, right?  A. Yes.  Q. I mean, I don't know who that would be. I don't know if that would be Hitler or if it would be Stalin or if it would be McVeigh or somebody somebody in a terrorist
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population?  A. Yes. Q. Could you briefly explain that? I may have left a false impression. There's general population and different levels of ad seg and super seg. Can you tell them what general population is?  A. There are layers in general population, too. The best way to understand is the housing scheme I — is like a matrix. On one end you have the housing scheme, and then the other factor is the degree of supervision. So you have the	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Because probably the world's most dangerous person, the prison could protect itself from that person, right?  A. Yes.  Q. I mean, I don't know who that would be. I don't know if that would be Hitler or if it would be Stalin or if it would be McVeigh or somebody somebody in a terrorist organization. I don't know who that would be, but whoever
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population? A. Yes. Q. Could you briefly explain that? I may have left a false impression. There's general population and different levels of ad seg and super seg. Can you tell them what general population is? A. There are layers in general population, too. The best way to understand is the housing scheme I — is like a matrix. On one end you have the housing scheme, and then the other factor is the degree of supervision. So you have the housing scheme from dorm to super seg, and then the	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Because probably the world's most dangerous person, the prison could protect itself from that person, right?  A. Yes.  Q. I mean, I don't know who that would be. I don't know if that would be Hitler or if it would be Stalin or if it would be McVeigh or somebody somebody in a terrorist organization. I don't know who that would be, but whoever that would be, the prison has the ability to always protect
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population? A. Yes. Q. Could you briefly explain that? I may have left a false impression. There's general population and different levels of ad seg and super seg. Can you tell them what general population is? A. There are layers in general population, too. The best way to understand is the housing scheme I — is like a matrix. On one end you have the housing scheme, and then the other factor is the degree of supervision. So you have the housing scheme from dorm to super seg, and then the supervision would be people allowed inside their cell and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Because probably the world's most dangerous person, the prison could protect itself from that person, right?  A. Yes.  Q. I mean, I don't know who that would be. I don't know if that would be Hitler or if it would be Stalin or if it would be McVeigh or somebody somebody in a terrorist organization. I don't know who that would be, but whoever that would be, the prison has the ability to always protect itself from a person, no matter how dangerous; is that right?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population?  A. Yes. Q. Could you briefly explain that? I may have left a false impression. There's general population and different levels of ad seg and super seg. Can you tell them what general population is?  A. There are layers in general population, too. The best way to understand is the housing scheme I — is like a matrix. On one end you have the housing scheme, and then the other factor is the degree of supervision. So you have the housing scheme from dorm to super seg, and then the supervision would be people allowed inside their cell and never get out. There are people allowed outside their cell,	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Because probably the world's most dangerous person, the prison could protect itself from that person, right?  A. Yes.  Q. I mean, I don't know who that would be. I don't know if that would be Hitler or if it would be Stalin or if it would be McVeigh or somebody somebody in a terrorist organization. I don't know who that would be, but whoever that would be, the prison has the ability to always protect itself from a person, no matter how dangerous; is that right?  A. Yes, if he is kept properly.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population? A. Yes. Q. Could you briefly explain that? I may have left a false impression. There's general population and different levels of ad seg and super seg. Can you tell them what general population is? A. There are layers in general population, too. The best way to understand is the housing scheme I — is like a matrix. On one end you have the housing scheme, and then the other factor is the degree of supervision. So you have the housing scheme from dorm to super seg, and then the supervision would be people allowed inside their cell and never get out. There are people allowed outside the	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Because probably the world's most dangerous person, the prison could protect itself from that person, right?  A. Yes.  Q. I mean, I don't know who that would be. I don't know if that would be Hitler or if it would be Stalin or if it would be McVeigh or somebody somebody in a terrorist organization. I don't know who that would be, but whoever that would be, the prison has the ability to always protect itself from a person, no matter how dangerous; is that right?  A. Yes, if he is kept properly.  Q. As long as they do their job, and as long as nobody
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population?  A. Yes. Q. Could you briefly explain that? I may have left a false impression. There's general population and different levels of ad seg and super seg. Can you tell them what general population is?  A. There are layers in general population, too. The best way to understand is the housing scheme I — is like a matrix. On one end you have the housing scheme, and then the other factor is the degree of supervision. So you have the housing scheme from dorm to super seg, and then the supervision would be people allowed inside their cell and never get out. There are people allowed outside the cell, but inside a building. There are people allowed outside	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Because probably the world's most dangerous person, the prison could protect itself from that person, right?  A. Yes.  Q. I mean, I don't know who that would be. I don't know if that would be Hitler or if it would be Stalin or if it would be McVeigh or somebody somebody in a terrorist organization. I don't know who that would be, but whoever that would be, the prison has the ability to always protect itself from a person, no matter how dangerous; is that right?  A. Yes, if he is kept properly.  Q. As long as they do their job, and as long as nobody makes a mistake just like handling dynamite. If you handle
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population?  A. Yes. Q. Could you briefly explain that? I may have left a false impression. There's general population and different levels of ad seg and super seg. Can you tell them what general population is?  A. There are layers in general population, too. The best way to understand is the housing scheme I — is like a matrix. On one end you have the housing scheme, and then the other factor is the degree of supervision. So you have the housing scheme from dorm to super seg, and then the supervision would be people allowed inside their cell and never get out. There are people allowed outside the cell, but inside the tiers. There are people allowed outside the cell, but inside a building. There are people	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Because probably the world's most dangerous person, the prison could protect itself from that person, right?  A. Yes.  Q. I mean, I don't know who that would be. I don't know if that would be Hitler or if it would be Stalin or if it would be McVeigh or somebody somebody in a terrorist organization. I don't know who that would be, but whoever that would be, the prison has the ability to always protect itself from a person, no matter how dangerous; is that right?  A. Yes, if he is kept properly.  Q. As long as they do their job, and as long as nobody makes a mistake just like handling dynamite. If you handle it right, it won't do any harm, right?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 207  Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you briefly explain that? I may have left a false impression. There's general population and different levels of ad seg and super seg. Can you tell them what general population is?  A. There are layers in general population, too. The best way to understand is the housing scheme I — is like a matrix. On one end you have the housing scheme, and then the other factor is the degree of supervision. So you have the housing scheme from dorm to super seg, and then the supervision would be people allowed inside their cell and never get out. There are people allowed outside the cell, but inside a building. There are people allowed outside the building, but inside the fence. And there are people allowed outside the building and outside the fence, and then	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Because probably the world's most dangerous person, the prison could protect itself from that person, right?  A. Yes.  Q. I mean, I don't know who that would be. I don't know if that would be Hitler or if it would be Stalin or if it would be McVeigh or somebody somebody in a terrorist organization. I don't know who that would be, but whoever that would be, the prison has the ability to always protect itself from a person, no matter how dangerous; is that right?  A. Yes, if he is kept properly.  Q. As long as they do their job, and as long as nobody makes a mistake just like handling dynamite. If you handle it right, it won't do any harm, right?  A. Yes.
I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 207 Q. Right. My last question for you, Dr. Quijano, I want to clear something up, and I may have confused the jury when I spoke about general population. Are there different levels of general population? Do you know what I'm saying, or different units of general population?  A. Yes. Q. Could you briefly explain that? I may have left a false impression. There's general population and different levels of ad seg and super seg. Can you tell them what general population is?  A. There are layers in general population, too. The best way to understand is the housing scheme I — is like a matrix. On one end you have the housing scheme, and then the other factor is the degree of supervision. So you have the housing scheme from dorm to super seg, and then the supervision would be people allowed inside their cell and never get out. There are people allowed outside the cell, but inside the tiers. There are people allowed outside the cell, but inside a building. There are people	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 209  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In your judgment, does that question ask us can the penitentiary protect itself from the particular defendant? Is that what that question is asking, in your judgment?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Because probably the world's most dangerous person, the prison could protect itself from that person, right?  A. Yes.  Q. I mean, I don't know who that would be. I don't know if that would be Hitler or if it would be Stalin or if it would be McVeigh or somebody somebody in a terrorist organization. I don't know who that would be, but whoever that would be, the prison has the ability to always protect itself from a person, no matter how dangerous; is that right?  A. Yes, if he is kept properly.  Q. As long as they do their job, and as long as nobody makes a mistake just like handling dynamite. If you handle it right, it won't do any harm, right?

24 what that question is asking, is it?

A. This particular question? No, it does not ask that

25

23 armed -- with unarmed supervision, and then outside the
24 building with armed supervision. So those are the layers of

25 supervision in the general population. If you don't fit in

- 1 me realize what I did, and then I can then minimize the
- 2 damage. This oh-my-God-what-have-I-done response would kick
- 3 in. If that doesn't kick in, then you have a blunting of the
- 4 conscience, and that can be explained in various ways, but
- 5 clearly a person whose conscience is blunted would be more
- 6 dangerous than a person whose conscience is not blunted.
- o dangerous than a person whose conscience is not be
- Q. Is there any clinical significance, in your
- 8 judgment, to an individual who, after committing an atrocious
- 9 and senseless and wanton capital murder, would then just want
- 10 to go out and party and have some fun? Is that of clinical
- 11 significance to you?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Tell me about that, please.
- 14 A. Well, it's again, this issue of, if I have committed
- 15 a serious crime and my conscience kicks in, that would pretty
- 16 much the conscience would work on me so that I I may not
- 17 even be able to eat. You lose your normal pleasures, ability
- 18 to and if that doesn't bother you, then you can, in effect,
- 19 party, the partying itself is not what is the question. It's
- 20 the lack of being bothered, the lack of conscience kicking in.
- 21 Now, that can be because of a person's personality, that can
- 22 be because of drugs and alcohol, or a combination of both.
- 23 Q. Doctor, in answering that question, trying to figure
- 24 out what would the Defendant do if he had the opportunity in
- 25 terms of future violence, do you have to limit yourself to

# Page 215

- 1 consideration only of serious violent acts on human beings, or
- 2 can other incidents of misbehavior be important in helping us
- 3 answer that question?
- 4 A. No. You have to consider any acts of violence, not
- 5 just serious acts of violence.
- 6 Q. Why I ask that question is, if someone is charged
- 7 with capital murder, which is the only way that person could
- 8 ever be executed, under our law, and someone has been found
- 9 guilty of capital murder, it may well be that evidence of
- 10 nonviolent crimes, or nonviolent activities would be
- 11 attributed to the Defendant. Are they important in deciding
- 12 whether or not this person would, if given the opportunity,
- 13 commit criminal acts of violence?
- 14 A. It's important if the acts of violence, although not
- 15 committed by the Defendant, is orchestrated by him.
- 16 Q. I apologize. It was a bad question, and we've just
- 17 been at this a while.
- 18 Are things like property crimes significant
- 19 clinically in answering that question? They're not crimes of
- 20 violence, but things like thefts, or things like mortgage
- 21 company scams, are those important in answering that question,
- 22 in your judgment?
- 23 A. They are indirectly important in that it shows a
- 24 pattern of antisocial behavior. They are not in themselves
- 25 violent acts like the questions ask, but it shows a pattern of

# Page 216

- 1 antisocial behavior. It also -- are risk factors. Theft
- 2 alone is not violent, but it can lead to violence. So it
- 3 doesn't really answer this question, but it indirectly
- 4 contributes to it.
- Q. What is your personal and professional opinions of
- 6 spousal abuse in terms of relative seriousness as a bad act in
- 7 our society?
- A. There are, of course, various kinds of spousal
- 9 abuse. There are abuses that are just plainly called mean.
- O There are abuses that derive from a sick relationship. There
- 11 are abuses that are derived from abuse of drugs and alcohol,
- 12 and there are abuses that are derived from psychiatric
- 13 disorders, such as explosive disorders and impulse control
- 14 disorders. So you have to really look at what type of abuse
- 15 it was
- 16 Q. All right. How about violence on spouses, is that
- 17 important in assaying a person's desire, if given the
- 18 opportunity, or propensity, if given the opportunity, to
- 19 commit future acts of violence?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And why is that important, because it almost seems
- 22 like we're punishing someone with a death sentence for spousal
- 23 abuse or theft if we use those in answering those questions?
- 24 Is that what we're doing?
- 25 A. Well, I hope we are not killing people because they

- 1 beat up their wives, although the wives may think that is the
- 2 right thing to do.
- 3 Q. Then why is it important on that question?
- A. It's important, again, because the pattern it's
- 5 the pattern of if I can be violent to you, a stranger, people
- 6 can understand that. But if I am violent to you, my wife, who
- 7 I supposedly love, you know, there are many violations in that
- 8 act of violence.
- 9 Q. Okay. Is there any clinical significance to
- 10 patterns of violence -- violence being on the ascending slope,
- 11 rather than a descending slope in your opinion?
- 2 A. There is a significance in that some people commit
- acts of violence, recognize it, and then turn their lives
- 14 around. And there are people who commit acts of violence and
- 15 just keep going. Those are two different types of people.
- 16 Q. Now, you hear the term -- and I know it has medical
- 17 significance. We all hear the term "depression" in just our
- 18 everyday language, don't we?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And I suppose that might mean one thing to a
- 21 layperson, might mean another to a clinician; is that fair?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. If somebody is just kind of bummed out from work or
- 24 listening to lawyers or something, they might consider
- 25 themselves depressed, but it would be different than the

	Page 222	Page 224
1	A. Yes. The plot thickens then.	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 THE STATE OF TEXAS *
2	(Laughter.)	*
3	Q. Yeah, okay.	3 COUNTY OF COLLIN *
4	MR. GOELLER: That's all I have.	5 I, Lisa M. Renfro, Official Court Reporter in and
5	FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION	for the 380th District Court of Collin County, State of Texas, 6 do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true
6	BY MR. SCHULTZ:	and correct transcription of all portions of evidence and
7	Q. And I guess if you take a shot at your live-in	7 other proceedings requested in writing by counsel for the parties to be included in this volume of the Reporter's
8	girlfriend and miss her head, that would be a family	8 Record, in the above-styled and -numbered cause, all of which
9	situation, right?	occurred in open court or in chambers and were reported by me.
10	A. Live-in girlfriend?	I further certify that this Reporter's Record of the
11	Q. Uh-huh. That's not exactly a family member, but	10 proceedings truly and correctly reflects the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
12	it's kind of like that?	11
13	A. Kind of, yes.	I further certify that the total cost for the 12 preparation of this Reporter's Record is contained in [1]
14	Q. But that's still real dangerous, taking a shot at a	Volume 53 and was paid by Collin County.
15	lady's head just because you want to. That's awful	$\begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ 14 \end{bmatrix}$
	dangerous, too, isn't it?	15 WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND this the / day of
17	A. Well, yes.	16 January, 2003
18	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. Pass the witness.	18
19	MR. GOELLER: Well, we could thicken blood all	Lisa M. Renfro, Texas CSR #4534 V 19 Official Court Reporter, 380th District Court
	day. I feel like I'm playing tennis over here. That's all I	Collin County, Texas
	have.	20 Collin County Courthouse 210 S. McDonald Street
22	THE COURT: Anything else?	21 McKinney, Texas 75069
23	MR. SCHULTZ: Nothing from the State on this	Tel. Number: 972/424-1460, ext. 4661 22
	witness.	23 CSR Cert. No. 4534
25	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and Gentlemen,	Expires: December 31, 2002
23	THE COOKT. Alt right. Ladies and Gentlemen,	25
		l l
	Page 223	
1	Page 223 you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among	
	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among	
2	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with	
2	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the	
2 3 4	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and	
2 3 4 5	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30	
2 3 4 5 6	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.	
2 3 4 5 6 7	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you are instructed that it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to form or express any opinion thereon until the cause is finally submitted to you. And let's get started, and perhaps even get finished. But let's get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning.  THE BAILIFF: All rise.	

Page 1						
0	212 3:8	62 95:9	20:9 22:4,7,11	216:16 220:11	94:1	
00787450 2:4	218 3:8		23:4 24:20,25	220:12,17	achieving 46:10	
03059260 2:12	220 3:8	7	29:5,7 30:1	above 35:8 45:11	acquaintance	
09605050 2:13	221 3:8	7 175:10,11	32:16,17 33:18	114:22 224:6	94:16	
	222 3:8	75 67:8,15	34:23 35:2 39:1	above-average	across 5:21 27:19	
1	224 3:10 approximate	75069 1:18 2:8	39:1,25 41:19	35:13	30:23 78:20	
1 110:17 115:8	24 3:2 175:10,11	224:21	42:23 46:5	above-entitled	96:11 203:7	
137:17	24012724 2:5	75075 2:16	48:21 51:8,21	1:12	205:21	
1,500 157:3	24th 1:11	77 3:5	52:9,24,25	above-styled	act 14:18 23:2	
1,600 211:7,8	<b>25</b> 27:16	79 3:5	53:10 56:14,16	224:8	65:4 78:16	
1:20 109:24 110:1	2d 110:9 115:21	İ	56:17 59:21	absence 33:13	140:16 176:14	
1:47 136:5		8	60:4 61:9,13,19	194:20	197:9 216:6	
1:56 124:15	3	80 16:13,23 67:9	61:22 62:12,22	absent 43:14,15	217:8	
10 109:20 124:9	3,000 211:11	67:15 68:7	62:23 63:6,13	43:17,17,18	action 64:25	
169:20,21	3,900 91:19	810 110:9	63:14 64:10,14	absolutely 36:22	actions 125:10	
10,000 10:6,21	3:17 180:5	83 155:25	64:18 65:1,5	60:10 130:17	active 205:22	
10:33 67:2	3:45 180:17	88 3:5	67:16,24 68:24	139:23 211:12	actively 23:13	
10:55 67:5	30 16:7 113:5,19	89 3:5	69:5,11,24 70:1	abundance 39:24	41:24 55:23	
100 37:8 40:17	120:14 162:17	0, 5.5	70:3,24 72:3,4	54:2	71:9,11 76:5	
11 137:17	178:11	9	72:15 74:22	abuse 20:21,21	activities 22:14	
	31 224:23	9:20 1:11 5:3	75:13,16 76:1	21:10 22:12	92:4 133:1	
11:50 110:3	324 2:8		76:10 77:12	26:2 28:11	215:10	
113 115:21	<b>37</b> 95:7	9:30 223:5 90 3:7,7 178:11	78:2,6 80:7,9,13	31:21 32:8,9	activity 10:2	
12 27:20 109:23	37.071 110:17		80:18,23,25	39:15 47:7,7	32:12,13 36:3	
12-step 71:10	372 110:9	93/93 4:4,4	81:11 83:6,25	50:12,17 51:25	44:18	
124 3:7	<b>376</b> 110:9	934 115:21	84:10 85:4	54:9,25 55:9	acts 16:4,6 21:20	
13 22:4 13,000 95:6	380-80047-01 1:2	972 1:18 2:9,16 972/424-1460	87:24 92:3,4	58:24 59:17	23:12 31:13	
136 3:7	380th 1:3,17	224:21	94:15 95:3,4,23	66:10,19 71:7	50:22 110:19	
14 22:4	224:5,19	99 137:5,9	95:24 101:6	77:5 107:8	115:6 195:12,12	
14,000 140:8,9		77 137.3,7	102:6 105:3	201:9 216:6,9	196:6,13 198:20	
15 109:20 124:9	4	A	106:14,21 107:2	216:11,14,23	199:11 200:3,7	
177:11 180:14	4:55 223:8	a.m 1:11 5:3 67:2	108:21 109:4,9	abused 41:17,24	200:9 201:14	
15-minute 180:2	40 72:10,10 91:21	67:5 110:3	109:20,23 110:1	abuser 56:7	210:12 213:1,6	
15-minutes 66:25	93:17,20 190:5	AA 71:9	110:14 112:9,10	abusers 34:14	213:9,10,18	
150 3:7 208:18	190:11 199:23	ABC 184:7	112:24 113:5,6	54:21 66:20	215:1,4,5,13,14	
150,000 211:9	204:19 208:22	abdication 149:3	113:11,25	abuses 141:10,11	215:25 216:19	
154 3:8	40-year 190:8	abducts 219:15	118:14 119:1,3	141:12 216:9,10	217:13,14	
16 211:7	400 2:15,15	abilities 20:12	120:13,13,19,22	216:11,12	actual 162:25	
17841800 2:3	193:11	131:6	121:1,10,13,18	abusing 32:10	163:5 165:24	
18 27:20 106:10	401 109:19 110:5	ability 61:22	122:9 123:2,2	39:3 72:18 76:3	206:5	
184/184 4:5	41 92:21,22 93:17	72:22,23 73:18	125:1,5,6,12,14	77:3	actually 8:23 10:1	
19 27:20	93:20	75:12 76:10	125:16,18,19,22	abusive 107:7	24:22 31:18	
19-20 27:15	42 92:20 163:16	106:3 115:14	126:6,7,12	108:10,12	52:18 74:25	
190 3:8	184:17,24	117:22 127:3	127:2,18,19,22	academic 33:18	82:8 150:1	
1973 80:20	423-4518 2:16	131:8 134:8	128:19 129:4,10	33:20,22	179:3 221:21	
1975 95:19 96:2	438 116:5	138:3 143:24	129:22 130:6	accept 18:11	ad 163:6 164:14	
104:5 128:14	4534 1:17 224:18	157:20 191:15	131:19 132:6	accepted 114:6	164:14 167:12	
134:17 155:9	224:23	195:23 209:15	133:7,9,23	114:13 155:25	168:1,4,13,20	
1988 156:2	46 1:1,8 3:3,11	212:11,12,14	134:19,24 135:4	access 12:16	171:5,14 173:9	
199 3:8	4661 224:21	214:17	140:8,13 145:11	129:15,18,20	175:11 176:1,7	
1991 91:12,15	476 115:8	able 11:24 24:15	147:25 148:8,13	169:13 170:5 176:4 201:24	176:8 178:10	
		25:6 40:23	148:14,19,21 149:5 151:14	accidentally	182:5 190:22,25 191:8 201:3,3,6	
2	5	59:22 63:18				
2 110:17	5 3:5 137:5	72:22 74:3	152:2,13 154:24	198:14,14 accidents 73:15	204:12 207:9	
2,500-bed 165:4	5:00 1:11	134:3 182:19,22	155:11 156:6,19		208:1	
20 16:3,7,11	50 1:1 161:2	185:9,12 195:5	157:3,18 158:6 160:18 162:15	accommodating 105:16,17	add 41:20 130:23 added 19:13	
27:20 30:23	195:14,15 196:5	195:18 214:17	162:17 163:3	accomplished	addict 51:7,20	
69:12 112:24	196:8 224:12	able-bodied	164:14,15 169:4	94:1	59:9 63:7 71:13	
113:19 122:22	50-bed 160:24	204:7	174:15 176:22	according 18:8	73:22 76:22	
128:10,17	500 31:4 103:24	ABNER 1:5	174.13 176.22	18:17,24 21:3	96:1	
137:15,19	130:6,7 164:15	abnormalities	177.14,22	accordingly	addict's 73:8	
20-year 138:1	52 49:23	18:18	183:10 185:2	160:2	76:13	
200 91:17	548-4323 2:9	about 5:19,22	186:25 187:1	accountable 60:9	addicted 105:4	
2000 95:5	548-4661 1:18	6:18,20 7:21,24	192:4,23 193:11	60:11	addiction 71:6	
2001 1:11 3:2	584 116:5	7:25 8:11,22	195:21 197:7	accounted 50:18	77:19	
2002 224:16,23		9:10 10:4,7,17	199:6,10,21,23	accounts 46:8	addictions 201:9	
208 3:8	6	10:20 11:4	204:14,21 205:5	accumulate 202:1	addicts 76:20	
210 1:18 2:8	6 169:20,21	12:11 13:17,25	207:3 208:18,21	accurate 7:13	addition 112:12	
224:20	60 208:21	14:2,5,10 16:3	210:10 211:7,7	achieve 160:6,6	133:2 213:10	
211 3:8	600 104:1	16:11 17:14,18	213:16 214:13	achievements	additional 19:12	
	ļ					

			ge 3		
Assemblies 95:6	2:11 153:16	106:21 115:6	178:7	189:18	bet 44:15
132:12,17 147:4	attracts 157:23	134:7 146:20	become 40:16	behind 142:9	better 5:15 6:22
147:7	attributed 215:11	171:1,3 173:7,8	58:25 96:1	144:18 168:9	
	1				82:23 99:21
Assembly 94:24	aunt 32:19	174:25 175:3	114:22 125:9	being 15:7 22:23	131:1 147:3
94:25	authority 113:2	177:24,24	126:5,11 127:5	22:24 24:18	148:8 168:13,17
assess 192:24	automatic 79:16	199:18 211:22	127:9 132:13	26:8 32:10	204:15 206:14
assessed 79:18	automatically	215:16 216:6	133:19 155:24	36:11 37:3	218:25
assessing 72:8	32:5 72:3 84:15	220:6 221:6	173:3 179:7	41:15 42:13	bettering 206:23
81:2	190:22	badgering 85:21	197:4	45:14 52:24	between 25:20
assessment 17:2	availability 30:2	badly 134:6	becomes 39:2	60:18 64:22	42:9 87:23
17:21 54:20	48:17,21 49:3	bag 29:1 182:11	133:20 140:1	71:21 74:23	109:14 118:6
63:5,6 72:4,7	available 17:8	182:13	171:21 218:5	77:11 78:18	146:12 169:15
192:20	29:21 112:16	BAILIFF 67:1,4	becoming 55:5	83:6 84:22	186:4 187:24
assessments 53:5	115:24 131:24	110:2 124:12,14	57:10	116:23 128:3	206:21
assign 192:1,3	185:10,12,22	163:24 180:4,16	bed 64:10,11	130:24 133:24	beyond 39:19
assigned 159:4	186:1 202:22	223:7	171:10,11	141:13 143:20	82:9 105:5
		bait 25:10	beds 161:2	148:2 162:3	1
assignment 159:8	average 35:8,8,12				111:8,9,10
assistance 48:23	178:10,15	baiting 42:22,25	205:10	167:11 168:14	180:10
assistant 2:7	avoid 75:12 76:21	85:20	before 1:13 7:17	168:15 169:13	bias 178:22
12:23	awaiting 104:11	bake 182:6	17:17 39:2 46:2	170:2,19 172:11	Bible 95:10
associate's 132:8	167:19	balanced 174:7	60:18 71:2 78:3	174:24 196:10	115:12,24
	t .				
associated 11:5	aware 28:4 42:12	ball 148:8 182:6	82:23 94:18	204:23 214:20	117:21 127:22
19:15 32:3 47:6	49:22 73:13	182:13,15	96:15,20 98:1	217:10	127:23 132:10
49:16,21 74:7	92:11 115:23	bang 89:3	103:19 112:5	beings 143:8	132:11 133:19
ASSOCIATES	125:9 132:18	bank 13:18	115:9,11,14	215:1	144:25 145:15
2:15	140:21,23 151:2	Baptist 147:8,20	129:7 131:17	belief 49:4 117:21	149:4,4,5
association 25:19	187:12	bar 170:14	169:1 170:20	Beliefs 39:6	Biblical 127:21
		1		1	
34:2 155:4	awareness 62:1	barbaric 188:8	177:16 185:3	believe 11:19	big 91:18 169:19
assume 7:1 9:15	62:11 105:21	Barilean 132:10	190:5 192:11	18:11 41:8	bigger 205:8
9:18,23,25	187:16	barrel 30:13	199:10 212:11	54:24 83:17	bigotry 142:9
38:16 70:13	away 12:13,14	bars 39:16 49:20	212:17	86:11 92:18	bill 2:3 122:13
					l .
77:14 111:1	24:18 72:21,23	161:4,5 179:18	Beg 70:21	99:17 116:6,11	Billy 140:6,9
139:25 146:6	79:1 108:18	base 13:25 29:6,6	began 17:18	122:25 133:2,3	163:22
148:5,9 172:12	133:20 204:8	34:6 159:16	97:25 98:3	133:20 135:2	Bin 150:11,23
199:6	awful 189:9	based 10:22 16:1	128:14	137:23 138:10	151:4
assuming 60:23	222:15	16:25 38:11	begging 114:23	138:20 139:25	binge-crash
75:24 77:10	AWOL 55:22	53:10 63:8	114:25	140:15,18	72:19
	AWOL 33.22		1		
assumption 33:14		76:24 80:22	begin 20:2 21:24	141:17 144:1	bingeing 54:8
51:19	B	81:15 118:4	22:9 68:7 125:4	145:9,15,18,19	birth 18:5,19
at-risk 20:2	<b>b</b> 110:17	192:14 193:8	125:8,8	145:21,23 147:3	19:10,11
atheist 151:5	babe 128:3	196:18	begun 115:12	148:24 149:1,2	bit 29:17 111:10
atomic 35:20	babies 151:20	basic 55:12,16	behalf 192:11	149:5,23 150:1	153:7 154:23
atrocious 141:5		183:25 184:6	behave 13:21	150:2,5,6 152:4	
l	baby 19:2 128:4				155:11 156:18
213:20 214:8	Bachelor's	196:21	behaves 196:20	152:12 163:16	157:18 158:13
attach 219:13	154:25	basically 111:4	196:21,21	208:16	160:18 186:24
attachment 28:8	back 7:17 27:2	111:14 146:5	behaving 52:3	believes 39:8	187:1 218:20
107:17	34:1 42:21 48:5	basing 24:24	behavior 15:25	84:15	black 143:11
attack 174:18	53:18 55:16	34:10	22:12 33:7,10	belligerence	blades 165:13,16
attempt 67:21		basis 10:24	33:17 38:7,15	206:17	bled 98:16
	61:12 66:25				
68:14	68:7,21 74:9,10	109:16 159:8	39:7,8,12 40:5	belong 168:4	blend 182:5,6
attempted 68:11	88:19 89:10,17	162:14	45:20,21 46:1	below 189:3	blinks 178:2
68:11 120:7	102:23 109:24	batons 174:21,22	55:6 56:15,18	belt 183:5	block 74:2 168:12
attempting 25:9	124:11 125:23	battle 25:10	56:20 57:17	belts 183:1	188:20
150:2	133:17 153:10	Baytown 132:9	63:19 64:3	bench 55:22	blocked 172:9
1	155:21 167:20		70:23 74:3.7		
attempts 19:5	1 3 3 3 7 L LD / 2/11	bean 173:13	,	153:20,25	blood 98:18,24
attend 205:17		1 174.10	INTERPORT	beneficial 189:16	99:16 100 <b>:</b> 9
	167:22 168:24	174:10	76:1 77:1 88:9	1	
attention 11:7		bear 19:20 20:4	115:4,5,9,16	benefit 15:18	133:13 149:9
	167:22 168:24 169:1,23 170:10			1	133:13 149:9
attention 11:7 19:4 35:22	167:22 168:24 169:1,23 170:10 173:4,14 180:3	bear 19:20 20:4 21:22 51:8	115:4,5,9,16 162:14 176:13	benefit 15:18 16:20	133:13 149:9 220:6 221:6,8
attention 11:7 19:4 35:22 45:15,17 46:23	167:22 168:24 169:1,23 170:10 173:4,14 180:3 201:4 203:19	bear 19:20 20:4 21:22 51:8 68:14,22 73:6	115:4,5,9,16 162:14 176:13 178:13 189:17	benefit 15:18 16:20 benefits 29:2	133:13 149:9 220:6 221:6,8 222:19
attention 11:7 19:4 35:22 45:15,17 46:23 81:1 157:24,24	167:22 168:24 169:1,23 170:10 173:4,14 180:3 201:4 203:19 background 33:1	bear 19:20 20:4 21:22 51:8 68:14,22 73:6 110:13 113:13	115:4,5,9,16 162:14 176:13 178:13 189:17 196:24 197:5	benefit 15:18 16:20 benefits 29:2 beside 64:10	133:13 149:9 220:6 221:6,8 222:19 blood-thirsty
attention 11:7 19:4 35:22 45:15,17 46:23 81:1 157:24,24 attitude 31:20	167:22 168:24 169:1,23 170:10 173:4,14 180:3 201:4 203:19 background 33:1 33:3 39:23	bear 19:20 20:4 21:22 51:8 68:14,22 73:6 110:13 113:13 113:25 134:14	115:4,5,9,16 162:14 176:13 178:13 189:17 196:24 197:5 200:17,21 201:1	benefit 15:18 16:20 benefits 29:2 beside 64:10 96:12,21	133:13 149:9 220:6 221:6,8 222:19 blood-thirsty 118:24
attention 11:7 19:4 35:22 45:15,17 46:23 81:1 157:24,24 attitude 31:20 40:4.6 153:12	167:22 168:24 169:1,23 170:10 173:4,14 180:3 201:4 203:19 background 33:1	bear 19:20 20:4 21:22 51:8 68:14,22 73:6 110:13 113:13 113:25 134:14 beast 118:23	115:4,5,9,16 162:14 176:13 178:13 189:17 196:24 197:5 200:17,21 201:1 201:4 202:14,18	benefit 15:18 16:20 benefits 29:2 beside 64:10 96:12,21 best 23:23,24	133:13 149:9 220:6 221:6,8 222:19 blood-thirsty 118:24 bloody 74:9
attention 11:7 19:4 35:22 45:15,17 46:23 81:1 157:24,24 attitude 31:20	167:22 168:24 169:1,23 170:10 173:4,14 180:3 201:4 203:19 background 33:1 33:3 39:23	bear 19:20 20:4 21:22 51:8 68:14,22 73:6 110:13 113:13 113:25 134:14	115:4,5,9,16 162:14 176:13 178:13 189:17 196:24 197:5 200:17,21 201:1	benefit 15:18 16:20 benefits 29:2 beside 64:10 96:12,21	133:13 149:9 220:6 221:6,8 222:19 blood-thirsty 118:24
attention 11:7 19:4 35:22 45:15,17 46:23 81:1 157:24,24 attitude 31:20 40:4.6 153:12 218:7	167:22 168:24 169:1,23 170:10 173:4,14 180:3 201:4 203:19 background 33:1 33:3 39:23 60:19,25 107:2 154:24 210:6	bear 19:20 20:4 21:22 51:8 68:14,22 73:6 110:13 113:13 113:25 134:14 beast 118:23 beat 61:14,15	115:4,5,9,16 162:14 176:13 178:13 189:17 196:24 197:5 200:17,21 201:1 201:4 202:14,18 209:23 215:24	benefit 15:18 16:20 benefits 29:2 beside 64:10 96:12,21 best 23:23,24 43:15 49:5 85:9	133:13 149:9 220:6 221:6,8 222:19 blood-thirsty 118:24 bloody 74:9 blue 33:6 181:18
attention 11:7 19:4 35:22 45:15,17 46:23 81:1 157:24,24 attitude 31:20 40:4.6 153:12 218:7 attitudes 26:1	167:22 168:24 169:1,23 170:10 173:4,14 180:3 201:4 203:19 background 33:1 33:3 39:23 60:19,25 107:2 154:24 210:6 backgrounds	bear 19:20 20:4 21:22 51:8 68:14,22 73:6 110:13 113:13 113:25 134:14 beast 118:23 beat 61:14,15 76:6 188:19	115:4,5,9,16 162:14 176:13 178:13 189:17 196:24 197:5 200:17,21 201:1 201:4 202:14,18 209:23 215:24 216:1	benefit 15:18 16:20 benefits 29:2 beside 64:10 96:12,21 best 23:23,24 43:15 49:5 85:9 85:10 96:23	133:13 149:9 220:6 221:6,8 222:19 blood-thirsty 118:24 bloody 74:9 blue 33:6 181:18 blunted 214:5,6
attention 11:7 19:4 35:22 45:15,17 46:23 81:1 157:24,24 attitude 31:20 40:4.6 153:12 218:7 attitudes 26:1 32:7 33:2,3	167:22 168:24 169:1,23 170:10 173:4,14 180:3 201:4 203:19 background 33:1 33:3 39:23 60:19,25 107:2 154:24 210:6 backgrounds 59:7	bear 19:20 20:4 21:22 51:8 68:14,22 73:6 110:13 113:13 113:25 134:14 beast 118:23 beat 61:14,15 76:6 188:19 217:1	115:4,5,9,16 162:14 176:13 178:13 189:17 196:24 197:5 200:17,21 201:1 201:4 202:14,18 209:23 215:24 216:1 behavioral 53:12	benefit 15:18 16:20 benefits 29:2 beside 64:10 96:12,21 best 23:23,24 43:15 49:5 85:9 85:10 96:23 104:20 107:24	133:13 149:9 220:6 221:6,8 222:19 blood-thirsty 118:24 bloody 74:9 blue 33:6 181:18 blunted 214:5,6 blunting 214:3
attention 11:7 19:4 35:22 45:15,17 46:23 81:1 157:24,24 attitude 31:20 40:4.6 153:12 218:7 attitudes 26:1 32:7 33:2,3 34:18,23 35:1	167:22 168:24 169:1,23 170:10 173:4,14 180:3 201:4 203:19 background 33:1 33:3 39:23 60:19,25 107:2 154:24 210:6 backgrounds 59:7 bad 10:12 20:22	bear 19:20 20:4 21:22 51:8 68:14,22 73:6 110:13 113:13 113:25 134:14 beast 118:23 beat 61:14,15 76:6 188:19 217:1 beating 61:3 66:6	115:4,5,9,16 162:14 176:13 178:13 189:17 196:24 197:5 200:17,21 201:1 201:4 202:14,18 209:23 215:24 216:1 behavioral 53:12 74:24	benefit 15:18 16:20 benefits 29:2 beside 64:10 96:12,21 best 23:23,24 43:15 49:5 85:9 85:10 96:23 104:20 107:24 123:21 158:23	133:13 149:9 220:6 221:6,8 222:19 blood-thirsty 118:24 bloody 74:9 blue 33:6 181:18 blunted 214:5,6 blunting 214:3 board 8:15 9:5
attention 11:7 19:4 35:22 45:15,17 46:23 81:1 157:24,24 attitude 31:20 40:4.6 153:12 218:7 attitudes 26:1 32:7 33:2,3 34:18,23 35:1 39:6	167:22 168:24 169:1,23 170:10 173:4,14 180:3 201:4 203:19 background 33:1 33:3 39:23 60:19,25 107:2 154:24 210:6 backgrounds 59:7 bad 10:12 20:22 28:9,15 45:11	bear 19:20 20:4 21:22 51:8 68:14,22 73:6 110:13 113:13 113:25 134:14 beast 118:23 beat 61:14,15 76:6 188:19 217:1 beating 61:3 66:6 77:17	115:4,5,9,16 162:14 176:13 178:13 189:17 196:24 197:5 200:17,21 201:1 201:4 202:14,18 209:23 215:24 216:1 behavioral 53:12 74:24 behaviors 32:14	benefit 15:18 16:20 benefits 29:2 beside 64:10 96:12,21 best 23:23,24 43:15 49:5 85:9 85:10 96:23 104:20 107:24 123:21 158:23 159:6,15 160:22	133:13 149:9 220:6 221:6,8 222:19 blood-thirsty 118:24 bloody 74:9 blue 33:6 181:18 blunted 214:5,6 blunting 214:3 board 8:15 9:5 78:20 155:5
attention 11:7 19:4 35:22 45:15,17 46:23 81:1 157:24,24 attitude 31:20 40:4.6 153:12 218:7 attitudes 26:1 32:7 33:2,3 34:18,23 35:1	167:22 168:24 169:1,23 170:10 173:4,14 180:3 201:4 203:19 background 33:1 33:3 39:23 60:19,25 107:2 154:24 210:6 backgrounds 59:7 bad 10:12 20:22 28:9,15 45:11	bear 19:20 20:4 21:22 51:8 68:14,22 73:6 110:13 113:13 113:25 134:14 beast 118:23 beat 61:14,15 76:6 188:19 217:1 beating 61:3 66:6	115:4,5,9,16 162:14 176:13 178:13 189:17 196:24 197:5 200:17,21 201:1 201:4 202:14,18 209:23 215:24 216:1 behavioral 53:12 74:24	benefit 15:18 16:20 benefits 29:2 beside 64:10 96:12,21 best 23:23,24 43:15 49:5 85:9 85:10 96:23 104:20 107:24 123:21 158:23 159:6,15 160:22 182:21 193:8	133:13 149:9 220:6 221:6,8 222:19 blood-thirsty 118:24 bloody 74:9 blue 33:6 181:18 blunted 214:5,6 blunting 214:3 board 8:15 9:5 78:20 155:5 body 7:16 54:17
attention 11:7 19:4 35:22 45:15,17 46:23 81:1 157:24,24 attitude 31:20 40:4.6 153:12 218:7 attitudes 26:1 32:7 33:2,3 34:18,23 35:1 39:6 attorney 27:1	167:22 168:24 169:1,23 170:10 173:4,14 180:3 201:4 203:19 background 33:1 33:3 39:23 60:19,25 107:2 154:24 210:6 backgrounds 59:7 bad 10:12 20:22 28:9,15 45:11 47:2,3,18,18,23	bear 19:20 20:4 21:22 51:8 68:14,22 73:6 110:13 113:13 113:25 134:14 beast 118:23 beat 61:14,15 76:6 188:19 217:1 beating 61:3 66:6 77:17 became 77:15	115:4,5,9,16 162:14 176:13 178:13 189:17 196:24 197:5 200:17,21 201:1 201:4 202:14,18 209:23 215:24 216:1 behavioral 53:12 74:24 behaviors 32:14 34:19 35:2	benefit 15:18 16:20 benefits 29:2 beside 64:10 96:12,21 best 23:23,24 43:15 49:5 85:9 85:10 96:23 104:20 107:24 123:21 158:23 159:6,15 160:22 182:21 193:8	133:13 149:9 220:6 221:6,8 222:19 blood-thirsty 118:24 bloody 74:9 blue 33:6 181:18 blunted 214:5,6 blunting 214:3 board 8:15 9:5 78:20 155:5 body 7:16 54:17
attention 11:7 19:4 35:22 45:15,17 46:23 81:1 157:24,24 attitude 31:20 40:4.6 153:12 218:7 attitudes 26:1 32:7 33:2,3 34:18,23 35:1 39:6 attorney 27:1 118:3 126:5	167:22 168:24 169:1,23 170:10 173:4,14 180:3 201:4 203:19 background 33:1 33:3 39:23 60:19,25 107:2 154:24 210:6 backgrounds 59:7 bad 10:12 20:22 28:9,15 45:11 47:2,3,18,18,23 50:3 56:22,22	bear 19:20 20:4 21:22 51:8 68:14,22 73:6 110:13 113:13 113:25 134:14 beast 118:23 beat 61:14,15 76:6 188:19 217:1 beating 61:3 66:6 77:17 became 77:15 126:20,21,22	115:4,5,9,16 162:14 176:13 178:13 189:17 196:24 197:5 200:17,21 201:1 201:4 202:14,18 209:23 215:24 216:1 behavioral 53:12 74:24 behaviors 32:14 34:19 35:2 38:18 39:18	benefit 15:18 16:20 benefits 29:2 beside 64:10 96:12,21 best 23:23,24 43:15 49:5 85:9 85:10 96:23 104:20 107:24 123:21 158:23 159:6,15 160:22 182:21 193:8 195:17 200:22	133:13 149:9 220:6 221:6,8 222:19 blood-thirsty 118:24 bloody 74:9 blue 33:6 181:18 blunted 214:5,6 blunting 214:3 board 8:15 9:5 78:20 155:5 body 7:16 54:17 65:11,18 105:4
attention 11:7 19:4 35:22 45:15,17 46:23 81:1 157:24,24 attitude 31:20 40:4.6 153:12 218:7 attitudes 26:1 32:7 33:2,3 34:18,23 35:1 39:6 attorney 27:1	167:22 168:24 169:1,23 170:10 173:4,14 180:3 201:4 203:19 background 33:1 33:3 39:23 60:19,25 107:2 154:24 210:6 backgrounds 59:7 bad 10:12 20:22 28:9,15 45:11 47:2,3,18,18,23	bear 19:20 20:4 21:22 51:8 68:14,22 73:6 110:13 113:13 113:25 134:14 beast 118:23 beat 61:14,15 76:6 188:19 217:1 beating 61:3 66:6 77:17 became 77:15	115:4,5,9,16 162:14 176:13 178:13 189:17 196:24 197:5 200:17,21 201:1 201:4 202:14,18 209:23 215:24 216:1 behavioral 53:12 74:24 behaviors 32:14 34:19 35:2	benefit 15:18 16:20 benefits 29:2 beside 64:10 96:12,21 best 23:23,24 43:15 49:5 85:9 85:10 96:23 104:20 107:24 123:21 158:23 159:6,15 160:22 182:21 193:8	133:13 149:9 220:6 221:6,8 222:19 blood-thirsty 118:24 bloody 74:9 blue 33:6 181:18 blunted 214:5,6 blunting 214:3 board 8:15 9:5 78:20 155:5 body 7:16 54:17

			ige 5		
144:20 145:10	clean 99:19	112:18 114:14	committing 12:10	205:19 213:3	consequence
146:3,24 147:2	102:23 110:10	combination 52:5	14:5,18 15:12	comprehensive	188:11
147:16 150:25	168:15	55:25 56:19	16:4,6 22:18	156:23	consequences
Christians 141:25		193:4 214:22 come 20:19 30:21	100:22 210:19 214:8	computer 20:10	55:2 62:2 106:4
142:11,20,23 144:22	cleaners 99:18,19 99:23 103:4	42:15 51:14	common 13:18	Computer-Assisted	106:5,6 125:9 125:25 134:1
Christie 157:16	clear 17:9 55:11	58:14 59:7	17:15,17 22:17	Computerized	186:22
Christmasses	67:24 119:17	67:20,21 68:14	26:13,14 27:7,8	1:14	consider 15:6
107:14	120:2 136:4	69:20 80:23	28:15,17 29:20	concealed 55:25	50:9 60:18,20
chronic 55:9	153:1 207:2	86:15 103:1	29:23 31:8,25	Conceivably	83:8 86:5 107:8
66:19	clearer 54:5	107:15 109:24	32:1 34:3,7,8	29:19	119:19 123:11
chronically 22:24	clearly 64:22	114:25 116:9	36:5 37:13,16	concentration	187:6 192:18
CHRONOLOGI		118:23 121:8	37:21 38:2,8,12	12:13 15:5	215:4 217:24
3:1 4:1	88:10 109:13	124:11 125:9	38:21,24 39:7	30:11 80:8	consideration
chunk 85:25	198:8,11 214:5	137:19 144:8	39:10 40:8,10	concept 132:18	71:24 80:23
church 91:7,8,15	clerk 88:15	145:16 148:18	40:12,14,24	132:21 133:9	210:8 215:1
91:25 92:3,6,7 93:11,14 94:2	clerks 219:1 clicker 163:21	148:21 153:20 159:16 167:12	41:2,13 42:3,6,7 44:10,13 45:2,6	conception 31:19 concern 11:14,17	considerations 133:4
94:10,11,20,20	client 120:8 121:5	173:23 174:1	47:25 48:3,11	21:2	considered 50:6
94:24 95:1,5	121:7 148:17	180:3,8 203:18	48:12,16,19	concerned 10:20	71:21 82:25
126:6,10 130:4	clinical 52:21,23	comes 21:6 46:15	49:9,11,13,15	13:17	168:21 190:5
131:12,16	52:25 53:1,2,4,6	58:12 74:10	54:15,16,18	conclusion 32:6	191:5
140:18 141:24	53:8,12,14,20	125:23 142:25	78:22,23 83:20	51:14 67:20,21	considering
141:24 144:25	53:25 54:4	190:20	89:9 193:13	68:11 86:18	158:24 211:5
147:19,20,20	154:17 155:1,2	comfort 10:13	common-sense	conclusions 25:16	considers 12:18
151:20	156:11 192:15	comfortable 54:4	37:17	25:17,18	consistent 32:14
churches 95:6,9	192:18 193:3	123:12,15	commotion	concrete 61:3,11	52:21 55:8
Churchill 35:14	214:7,10 217:9	comforting 51:21	204:13	62:17	65:12 77:3 84:2
35:16	218:1,3 219:13	coming 45:21	communicate   166:13 179:18	condition 104:17	197:2
chute 7:5 8:2,3 chutes 7:11,24	clinically 215:19 clinician 217:21	47:18,18,22,22 72:16 118:11	communities 94:9	conditioned 197:8	Constant 178:1 Constantino
circumstance	clinicians 53:11	126:5 142:25	community 6:6	conditions 175:17	165:12
12:24 140:17	close 6:23 141:12	147:21 163:3	11:15,21 12:3	conduct 38:20	constantly 73:13
circumstances	174:17	170:12	14:2,6 15:24	146:14	177:25
11:25 12:9 14:2	closed 61:16	comma 156:15	18:7,13 19:16	conducted 70:3	constitute 110:20
45:11 60:19	168:11 174:15	command 63:20	23:2 28:7 30:6	confession 118:2	199:12
65:24 80:16	closely 218:10	63:23	37:20 39:3	118:4	constitutes 11:20
82:22 83:13	closet 96:22	Commerce 94:8	44:18 48:9,13	confidence 146:7	12:4
86:21 109:1	clothes 99:17,17	104:1	50:24 52:1 53:3	configuration	Constitution
114:22	99:18,21,22 103:4	commissary	72:6 75:6,10	18:21 165:6,8	189:1
citation 110:11 cited 110:9	clothing 74:10	171:17 182:23 188:9 189:7	79:12,19,24 82:12 84:11	179:17 183:23 conflict 31:20	construction 83:9 112:19 165:4
cites 115:20,21	club 15:17 19:19	219:6	86:12 107:5	conform 116:2	constructively
citizen 116:12	Clubs 22:1	commit 23:1 48:4	147:19 187:11	conforming 116:4	22:2
212:8	clue 55:13 86:23	50:22 110:18	187:25	confuse 192:22	consulted 157:8
citizens 84:20	cluster 183:10	135:12 136:18	company 215:21	confused 104:17	contagious
city 6:7 94:5,22	CNN 184:5	140:16 191:23	compared 157:21	207:2	188:22
103:13	coaches 37:14	193:17 195:12	compassion	congenital 18:15	contained 92:12
civilian 178:20	cocaine 51:24	195:12,19 196:6	142:16	connect 37:23	224:12
civilization 189:3	52:22 54:9,14	199:11 201:16	compelling 6:20	44:18	contains 224:6
claim 30:4 69:21 142:23	55:9 56:1,5,8	210:12,16	7:17 66:3	connected 40:1	context 44:6 72:8
claimed 32:24	59:1,8 66:19 72:18 75:4 87:3	212:21,25 213:6 213:9,18 215:13	compels 31:13 competency	45:10 223:2 connection 32:5	76:4 78:13 116:3 206:10,10
142:20	cocaine-metham	216:19 217:12	156:7	42:8 49:17	221:4
claiming 146:3	73:2,22	217:14	competing 30:1	107:16 108:25	continental 144:6
claims 148:20	cockpit 73:4	commitment	competition	109:14 129:4	contingencies
clan 47:14	Code 110:16	16:17 33:18	202:2	130:17 132:24	185:10 186:18
clarifies 54:3	coffee 172:2,11	95:3 118:15	complaint 83:3,5	132:25 190:8	continue 14:1
clarify 82:1	coin 143:10	126:13 133:21	complementary	connects 37:24	51:3 66:25
clarity 125:24	cold 62:3	commits 12:2,24	82:20	conning 75:15	111:16 115:14
class 130:5	collective 112:6	213:20	complete 120:10	connotation	118:16 161:12
classes 77:6 classification	collectively 112:11	committed 11:10 83:18 84:21	122:17 completed 155:2	185:8 Conroe 156:5,8	176:8 180:3,19
159:12,20,22,25	college 132:8,8	96:3,4 105:25	completely 39:21	156:10	186:16,20,20,20 187:19 195:14
211:15,21	203:14,17,18	111:2 121:19	62:3 188:3	conscience 16:16	197:8,19 200:11
classifications	colleges 203:16	133:15,15	compliance 189:8	42:11 101:15,15	203:14 205:7
158:11 159:9,11	collin 1:5,13 2:7	196:13 200:4	complication	106:23,24 107:1	206:16
160:5	224:3,5,12,19	206:15 210:7	8:18	126:18 189:5	continued 5:10
classified 187:13	224:20	214:14 215:15	complications	191:12 213:25	115:24 205:5
classify 188:20	Colony 164:7	committee 159:20	18:4	214:4,5,6,15,16	continues 20:22
clause 80:20	comatose 80:10	159:22,25	component 55:6	214:20	27:16

			ige 7		
decision 40:24	42:9 44:12,15	descending	39:19 56:24	discouraged	155:16,19,20
70:14 159:20	44:17,20 45:2	217:11	59:10 60:20	185:20	156:7 166:14
194:10 196:15	delinquent 22:24 26:8 34:18 35:2	describe 9:10 33:15 57:5 99:3	61:1 70:25 deviant 39:6,12	discovered 7:2	186:22 188:7
196:16,19 decision-making	39:4 45:5,6	104:20 106:24	device 174:9	discrepant 49:6	197:2 208:24 216:24
213:13	deliver 176:5	described 32:10	devotions 94:7	discriminated	DOJ 17:21
decisions 20:13	delivered 48:25	32:10 33:23	diabetes 197:3	21:9	dollars 48:5
80:24 196:18	deliveries 161:15	34:11 39:13	diagnosed 204:22	discuss 109:25	domestic 49:19
decoration	delivering 22:9	72:19 98:23	diagnosis 63:9	discussed 112:5	52:14 55:3
172:16	delivers 18:25	138:5	diagnostic 158:15	112:12	<b>Dominos</b> 184:13
decrease 201:19	delusional 36:25	describing 57:8	158:15,16,19	discussing 41:4	don 2:13 126:4
decreases 202:17	demonstrate	84:4	159:1,13,19	discussion 12:8	131:12
deeper 134:5	23:15 114:25	Description 4:3	205:5	152:12 153:18	donald 90:5,11
defects 18:19,21	demonstrated	descriptions 52:2	diagnostics	154:2 discussions	90:17
19:10 defendant 2:11	23:4 25:22 demonstrates	52:3,21,23 54:4 77:1	158:11,14 160:5 211:15,21	151:25	done 74:18 78:16 79:18 82:8 94:4
17:9,10 21:3	28:24	descriptive 53:10	dice 10:5	disease 188:22	96:20 98:1
24:2 30:19	demonstrative	deserve 185:25	died 141:25	disgruntled	101:1,12 106:4
39:11 54:23	42:8	designation	differ 51:16 70:21	111:25	125:10,25 139:8
60:19 70:6	denied 204:8	178:13	difference 118:6	Disorder 35:22	140:25 141:4,10
84:24 109:1,15	Dennis 136:9	designed 165:19	118:10,13 121:6	disorders 216:13	141:13 142:7
110:18 111:20	denominational	174:7	121:9,9 151:10	216:13,14	159:18 169:25
117:8,11,12	147:11	desire 146:15	169:13,14	disorganization	171:1,3 177:6
118:23 119:1,1	denominations	210:14 212:24	different 6:21	28:7 48:13	179:20
119:2 125:18 129:3,3,8	205:21	212:25 213:3,17	10:21 18:22,23	disorganized 75:22	door 61:16 63:14
129.3,3,8	dental 158:8,21 denying 152:18	216:17 desk 171:10	29:11 38:19 41:19 44:7,25	disputed 23:13	71:3 115:1 144:25 168:5,11
132:25 134:25	152:23	despite 16:17,19	47:23 51:9	disrespect 85:2	170:20 175:5
135:4 147:25	department 18:8	46:14 195:17	68:13 71:21	distance 165:10	183:16 198:14
149:15 150:9	18:17 19:16	destination 181:6	86:1,15 143:6	165:18,20	doorjamb 63:18
184:25 199:11	21:14 22:7 23:3	181:6	144:13 174:6	187:21	doors 161:6,7,14
209:4 210:3,6	23:14 25:23	destiny 126:14	187:15,20,25	distinction 186:4	168:9 176:10,12
212:25 214:24	26:15 41:6 49:5	146:12	207:3,5,8	distinctive 7:21	176:17 179:21
215:11,15	56:16 57:8	destructive 56:25	217:15,25	distorting 87:10	dope 22:18 26:4,6
218:13	102:25 104:13	62:13 64:1	differently 65:16	distracted 24:20	34:15
defendant's 3:4 4:2 23:22 32:7	128:15 155:17 156:13,15 157:3	77:20 detail 120:10	difficult 13:19 18:14 19:22	distributed 132:7	dorm 207:15
86:23 91:21	205:9,13	detain 187:4	21:15 57:22	district 1:3,17 2:7 203:2 224:5,19	dormitory 160:25
92:20 93:16	Department's	188:6	99:2 105:13	disturbed 87:5	doses 56:1
109:16 115:7	18:12	detained 178:23	107:5 189:19	disturbing 13:14	dots 33:6
129:1 134:25	departments	204:11	difficulties 18:3	13:14 14:14	double 161:4
defendants 68:4	156:10	detected 74:4	dignity 143:4	disturbs 13:10	dcubt 14:10 82:9
defending 123:15	depend 191:11	detection 74:8,13	dining 177:9	divers 7:1	141:25
Defense 27:2	dependence	74:20 76:21	181:24	Division 156:16	down 5:15 55:24
51:22 90:12	22:15 51:10	detention 160:13	dinner 101:25	divorce 20:20,24	64:11 72:16
114:20 154:7 192:11 208:20	66:10 73:2 75:5 dependency	deteriorate 175:16	102:2 diploma 202:25	44:2 divorced 108:8	73:23 74:1 87:7
208:21,22	155:16	determination	dire 3:4 12:7	doctor 8:11,13,22	88:10 90:7 98:2 103:16 111:22
Defense's 112:3	dependent 52:6	16:21 18:12	110:24 111:19	8:23 9:3,9,13	115:20 122:13
defiant 186:6	52:20,22 59:1	23:25 79:21	112:6,8 116:15	18:25 43:12	123:23 128:13
189:10	63:2 64:7 71:2	133:5 196:10	116:17	48:6 54:6 56:11	144:20 153:23
Deficit 35:22	depending 28:4	determine 7:6	direct 3:4 90:14	57:11 67:8 81:7	173:4 178:14
deficits 29:2	47:23 183:4	60:25 116:11	152:14 154:9	90:1 164:25	187:3 192:18
defining 27:12	194:5	123:9 195:22	166:4	175:24 177:5	193:6 195:10,16
definitive 63:9 deformed 57:25	depends 6:3,6 191:15 200:9	determined 46:3 111:11 208:8	direction 59:1 86:1	190:19 198:25 208:15 213:22	204:15 208:17
degree 42:5 132:8	depravation	determines	directly 52:9 81:1	214:23 220:7	downwards 160:3 Dr 5:6 42:22,25
154:25 155:1,2	27:22 28:13	146:12	170:8,10	doctor's 10:11	78:2 112:4,14
207:14 218:8	179:7	detoxing 105:1	director 155:20	doctoral 155:2	116:22 121:7
deletion 163:18	depressed 217:25	detrimental	155:24 156:1	doctors 8:15	134:15 153:14
deliberate 64:20	218:4	145:23	205:25	156:12	154:23 155:8
deliberately	depression	develop 36:25	disagree 51:16	doctrinally 147:5	157:5 162:15
64:23 67:21	217:17 218:1,3	200:21 204:6	82:14 139:18	147:14	163:20 164:3,17
deliberating 42:24	218:9,10,12	development	149:25	doing 22:18 43:4	165:18 166:14
delightful 10:10	deprive 174:19 deprived 193:3	18:24 19:11 27:19 156:22	discards 74:9 discipline 107:9	54:7 62:9 66:20 67:25 71:10	171:7 173:8 174:13 179:2
delineated 111:19	deprived 193.3 deprives 182:9	203:9	disciplined	73:1,20 74:11	180:25 182:3
delinquency 18:6	derive 216:10	developmental	128:21	75:14 76:16	185:1 199:2
18:13,16 26:3	derived 216:11	19:14 20:25	disconnected	92:16 101:9	202:22 207:1
27:25 28:17	216:12	23:21 25:20	107:22	102:10,13	218:19
29:22 30:5 34:3	derrick 112:19	36:13 37:9	discontinue 205:7	121:23 123:18	drafted 80:20

113:11 125:13   82:18 87:4   47:18,19   fellon 78:15   ferse 13:15:19   fellon 78:15   fellon
A   1:21   expert 26:6,10   193:21,24   22:14   22:15,8   22:0   29:5,8   79:10   28:12   77:15   78:10   81:4,8,18   194:9   200:14   191:11,15   191:19,20   121:11,14   157:15   194:12   157:9   165:19,12,12   46:18,20,22,24   46:2,7,9,9,12,16   46:18,20,22,24   46:2,7,9,9,12,16   46:18,20,22,24   46:2,7,9,9,12,16   46:18,20,22,24   46:2,7,9,9,12,16   46:38,20,22,24   46:2,7,9,9,12,16   46:38,20,22,24   46:2,7,9,9,12,16   46:38,20,22,24   46:38,20,22,24   48:25:18   10:23   129:24   60rem 158:825:8   66:10   10:23   129:24   60rem 158:22   10:23   129:24   60rem 158:22   10:23   129:24   60rem 158:25:8   10:23   129:24   60rem 158:25:8   60:30,20,22   10:30,15   10:30,20,23   10:30,20,20,20   10:30,20,2
expert 26:6,10         193:21,24         24:20 29:5,8         79:10         28:12 77:15         forensic 155:19           48:23,25 56:2         200:21 218:4,10         31:20,20 36:7         felt 12:25 127:24         78:10 81:4,8,18         19:20 20:21           112:4 119:11,15         19:19:19,20         fact-finding         45:16,17,21,24         46:18,20,22,24         46:18,20,22,24         18:20 11:12         104:13,15         fork 198:15         fork 198:15<
Asign   Asig
112:4   119:11,15   119:19,20   129:11,14   157:9   157:15   194:12   28:21   30:16   46:18,20,22,24   46:2,7,9,12,16   46:18,20,22,24   46:2,7,9,12,16   47:5,8   57:19   165:20,23   165:5,202:10,14   47:5,8   48:5   142:16   165:20,23   165:5,202:10,14   47:2,3   20:56   21:15   20:20   20:56   21:15   20:20   20:56   21:15   20:21   20:20   20:56   21:15   20:21   20:20   20:18   20:18   20:18   20:18   20:18   20:18   20:18   20:18   20:18   20:18   20:18   20:18   20:18   20:18   20:19   20:18   20:18   20:19   20:18   20:19   20:
19:19,20
121:11,14
157:15 194:12
212:24   expertise 125:14   31:12 32:15   58:3,21 88:1,3,4   165:9,10,11,22   157:19 160:10   43:8 44:17   85:19 147:2,3   158:21 200:22   205:6 211:15   38:8 40:19,20   172:17 219:23   Expires 224:23   56:15 58:11,12   220:11,13,16,17   220:18 207:14   220:11,13,16,17   220:18 207:14   220:18 207:14   220:11   220:11,13,16,17   220:18 207:14   220:11   220:11,13,16,17   220:18 207:14   220:11   220:11,13,16,17   220:18 207:14   220:11   220:11,13,16,17   220:18 207:14   220:11   220:11,13,16,17   220:18 207:14   220:11   220:
experts 116:8   13:14,24 34:4   147:22   147:15 2100:22   205:6 211:15   205:6 211:15   205:6 211:15   205:6 211:15   205:6 211:15   205:6 211:15   205:6 211:15   205:6 211:15   205:6 211:15   205:6 211:15   205:6 211:15   205:6 211:15   205:22   205:22   205:22 205:25   205:22 205:2
158:21 200:22   36:12,15 37:8   144:9 147:22   172:17 219:23   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:25   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:22   172:17 219:24   172:17 219:22   172:17 219:22   172:17 219:1
205:6 211:15   38:8 40:19,20   172:17 219:23   219:23,24   129:24   129:24   129:24   129:24   129:24   129:24   129:24   129:24   129:24   129:24   137:3
expired 152:3         41:7,25 50:18         219:23,24         1\overline{2}:23         first-class 221:24         formed 60:2 former 93:7           explain 23:16 24:10 26:7 79:9 107:20 207:7 explained 214:4 exploded 97:24 exploded 97:24 exploration 44:1 explosive 216:13 31:7,10,15         219:20 factors 11:5,7 12:1 family-type 220:5 far 10:28:10 23:4,21,22 98:10 124:3 stposure 49:8 47:1,9,23,24 fast 73:14 exposures 21:9 exposure 49:8 49:52:5 56:19 express 113:15,18 208:5 223:3 exposures 21:9 express 113:15,18 208:5 223:3 extends 179:18 extends 179:23 fail 20:15 33:25 fathers 22:25 focus 63:17 81:6 formed 60:2 fromer 93:7 formed 60:2 first class 221:24 fetal 21:8 feverish 105:8 fev
Expires 224:23 explain 23:16
explain 23:16 24:10 26:7 79:9 107:20 207:7 explained 214:4 exploded 97:24 exploration 44:1 explosive 216:13 exposed 30:15 31:7,10,15 exposing 10:7 exposure 49:8 exposure 49:8 exposures 21:9 exposures 21:9 exposures 21:9 exposures 21:1 exposures 21:10 exposures 21:10 exposures 21:10 exposures 21:10 exposures 21:10 exposures 21:10 exposure 21:10 exposure 21:10 exposure 21:10 exposure 21:10 exposure 21:10 exposure 21:10 exposure 31:15,18 extends 179:18 extends 179:18 fait 20:15 33:25 fait 20:15 33:25         105:15,16 extends 179:18 fait 20:15 33:25         feverish 105:8 fax 179:15,19 fit 13:8 94:20 fited 206:7 fited 206:7 fited 206:7 fited 206:7 fited 206:7 fited 206:7 fited 206:7 fited 206:7 fited 105:12,25 fited 206:7 fited 206:7 fited 206:7 fited 206:7 fited 105:12,25 fited 206:7 fited 206:7 fited 206:7 fited 206:7 fited 105:12,25 fited 206:7 fited 105:18 15:15 16:17 flagship 94:25 flip 12:12,20 floors 182:12 floors 182:12 floors 182:12 floors 182:12 floors 182:12 floors 63:17 81:6         four 3:6 40:21 15:24 50:24
24:10 26:7 79:9   107:20 207:7   explained 214:4   219:20   factors 11:5,7   221:10   family-type 220:5   221:10   157:15,19   207:25   forward 114:25   221:10   162:24 190:19   207:25   forwarded 114:25   221:10   162:24 190:19   207:25   forwarded 114:25   159:20   162:24 190:19   207:25   forwarded 114:25   159:20   162:24 190:19   207:25   forwarded 114:25   159:20   162:24   190:19   207:25   forwarded 114:25   159:20   162:24   190:19   207:25   forwarded 114:25   159:20   162:24   190:19   207:25   forwarded 114:25   159:20   162:24   164:20   162:24   162:24   107:25   164:20   162:25   164:20   16
107:20 207:7   explained 214:4   exploded 97:24   factors 11:5,7   15:17 17:21   fan 172:20,23,25   far 17
explained 214:4 exploded 97:24 exploded 97:24 exploration 44:1         factors 11:5,7 15:17 17:21 fan 172:20,23,25 fide 206:7 fide 20
exploded 97:24 exploration 44:1 20:19 21:5,11 20:19 21:5,11 explosive 216:13 23:4,21,22 98:10 124:3 exposed 30:15 27:18 35:25 185:6 far 10:2 88:10 98:10 124:3 185:6 filed 57:7 79:23 95:10 120:13 16:17 60:11 13:17 200:16 filed 57:7 79:23 95:10 120:13 16:17 60:11 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:10 13:15 12:17 120:20 13:15 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:16 13:17 200:17 20:13 20
explosive 216:13 exposed 30:15
exposed 30:15         27:18 35:25         185:6         field 57:7 79:23         95:10 120:13         16:17 60:11           31:7,10,15         36:13 39:2,21         farm 128:15         farm 128:15         131:17 200:16         121:17 123:20         71:20,25 72:2           exposing 10:7         42:2 44:10 45:5         farms 159:5         fifth 5:22         123:23 129:10         81:15 82:9           exposure 49:8         47:1,9,23,24         fashion 52:4         fight 219:8,12         144:7 157:16         111:2 148:20           56:23         48:9 52:5 56:19         fast 73:14         Fast-forward         fights 125:17         flagship 94:25         149:10 189:24           exposures 21:9         56:19,23 57:2,9         fast 73:14         fights 49:20         floor 20:11 98:2         193:21 199:22           express 113:15,18         57:12,16 58:10         180:11         fate 129:8         172:2         floors 182:12         Foundation 158:           ext 224:21         59:20 79:22         father 24:17 32:9         31:1 32:2 48:23         56:2 60:11,15         157:11         91:2 126:12           extends 179:18         201:15,17 216:1         43:14,15,25,25         56:2 60:11,15         157:11         157:15           extensive 33:15         facts 32:14         196:18         111:25 145:17 <td< td=""></td<>
31:7,10,15       36:13 39:2,21       farm 128:15       131:17 200:16       121:17 123:20       71:20,25 72:2         exposing 10:7       42:2 44:10 45:5       farms 159:5       fifth 5:22       123:23 129:10       81:15 82:9         exposure 49:8       47:1,9,23,24       75:22       fashion 52:4       fight 219:8,12       144:7 157:16       111:2 148:20         56:23       48:9 52:5 56:19       56:19,23 57:2,9       fast 73:14       Fast-forward       fights 49:20       floor 20:11 98:2       193:21 199:22         express 113:15,18       57:12,16 58:10       180:11       fate 129:8       figure 23:19       172:2       Foundation 158:         ext 224:21       59:20 79:22       father 24:17 32:9       43:14,15,25,25       31:1 32:2 48:23       floors 182:12       Foundation 158:         extends 179:18       201:15,17 216:1       43:14,15,25,25       56:2 60:11,15       157:11       157:15         extensive 33:15       facts 32:14       196:18       111:25 145:17       211:16,19       flying 73:3,9       free 11:12,21         extent 19:7 23:23       fail 20:15 33:25       fathers 22:25       214:23       floors 63:17 81:6       free 11:12,21
exposing 10:7 exposure 49:8
exposure 49:8
56:23       48:9 52:5 56:19       75:22       fighting 125:17       flagship 94:25       149:10 189:24         exposures 21:9       56:19,23 57:2,9       fast 73:14       fights 49:20       floor 20:11 98:2       193:21 199:22         express 113:15,18       57:12,16 58:10       180:11       fights 49:20       floor 20:11 98:2       200:4 215:8         ext 224:21       59:20 79:22       fate 129:8       father 24:17 32:9       31:1 32:2 48:23       floors 182:12       four 33:6 40:21         extends 179:18       201:15,17 216:1       43:14,15,25,25       56:2 60:11,15       157:11       157:15         extensive 33:15       facts 32:14       196:18       111:25 145:17       211:16,19       flying 73:3,9       free 11:12,21         extent 19:7 23:23       fail 20:15 33:25       fathers 22:25       214:23       focus 63:17 81:6       15:24 50:24
exposures 21:9 express 113:15,18 208:5 223:3       56:19,23 57:2,9 57:12,16 58:10 58:14 59:15,18 180:11 180:1
express 113:15,18 208:5 223:3 ext 224:21 extend 221:7 extends 179:18 extensive 33:15 33:16 extent 19:7 23:23       57:12,16 58:10 180:11 facts 32:14 196:18 extent 19:7 23:23       Fast-forward 180:11 facts 32:14 extend 180:11 facts 32:24       fights 49:20 figure 23:19 24:13 25:7,25 31:1 32:2 48:23 56:2 60:11,15 99:20 113:2 21:16,19 21:16,19 focus 63:17 81:6       Foundation 158: four 33:6 40:21 four 33:6 40:21 four 33:6 40:21 four 33:6 40:21 figure 23:19 24:13 25:7,25 31:1 32:2 48:23 56:2 60:11,15 four 33:6 40:21 four 33:6 40:21 four 33:6 40:21 figure 23:19 four 33:6 40:21 four 33:6 four 33:
208:5 223:3       58:14 59:15,18 ext 224:21       180:11 fate 129:8 fate 129:8       6xt 224:21 syling fate 129:8 father 24:17 32:9 extends 179:18 extensive 33:15 and 196:18 fate 19:7 23:23       191:21 200:17 father 24:17 32:9 focus 63:17 81:6       172:2 floors 182:12 floor
extend 221:7 extends 179:18 extends 179:18 extensive 33:15 33:16 extent 19:7 23:23 fail 20:15 33:25 father 24:17 32:9 43:14,15,25,25 107:3,13 108:6 111:25 145:17 extent 19:7 23:23 fail 20:15 33:25 fathers 22:25 fathers 22:25 fathers 24:17 32:9 31:1 32:2 48:23 56:2 60:11,15 56:2 60:11,15 99:20 113:2 157:11 fluctuation 55:4 fraud 32:12,16 free 11:12,21 focus 63:17 81:6 free 11:12,21 15:24 50:24
extends 179:18 extensive 33:15       201:15,17 216:1 facts 32:14       43:14,15,25,25 107:3,13 108:6 107:3,13 108:6 111:25 145:17 extent 19:7 23:23       56:2 60:11,15 fluctuation 55:4 fluctuation 55:4 fraud 32:12,16 free 11:12,21 11:16,19 21:16,19 21:23       157:11 fluctuation 55:4 fraud 32:12,16 free 11:12,21 11:25 14:23
extensive 33:15   facts 32:14   107:3,13 108:6   99:20 113:2   fluctuation 55:4   fraud 32:12,16   33:16   extent 19:7 23:23   fail 20:15 33:25   fathers 22:25   214:23   focus 63:17 81:6   15:24 50:24
33:16   196:18   111:25 145:17   211:16,19   flying 73:3,9   free 11:12,21   extent 19:7 23:23   fail 20:15 33:25   fathers 22:25   214:23   focus 63:17 81:6   15:24 50:24
extent 19:7 23:23   fail 20:15 33:25   fathers 22:25   214:23   focus 63:17 81:6   15:24 50:24
1 0000 0100   10000   1
72:25   failing 33:22   137:11 138:3   figuring 174:2   focuses 81:1   83:1,19 84:11
external 200:20   failure 7:2,4 8:8   faulty 58:21   files 136:21   fog 125:4   84:16 86:4
200:24 20:15 33:18,20 63:24 <b>Filipino</b> 157:20 <b>foggy</b> 104:4 132:14 159:3
extraordinarily 33:23 favor 17:20 fillers 46:14 folks 39:4 49:20 162:5 176:4
75:7 87:9   failures 7:11   137:17 212:7,18   film 186:24   93:23 107:17   183:15 185:24
extraordinary fair 12:8,18,19 favorable 26:1 finally 90:1 116:3 162:23 193:9 187:11,25
13:7 82:21
83:13   34:9 38:6 40:4   34:18,24 35:1   financial 144:14   follow 10:15   free-standing extreme 27:21   67:13 104:23   39:6,12 40:5,7   202:1   145:20 170:11   162:9
28:13 84:10   125:2 131:23   favors 219:7   find 8:11 11:1   197:25 220:4   frequency 6:13
extremely 29:16   138:8,15 139:4   fear 5:13   13:4 53:20 65:6   follow-up 15:23   49:21 208:24
55:5 66:17   139:12,22 141:6   features 6:20   65:11 73:4,4   16:10,25 156:20   frequent 44:21
139:6,11,20   142:10 147:25   8:20 10:16   76:17 112:22   followed 169:22   friend 94:14,15
extricate 77:21   191:20 217:21   87:11   118:23 123:18   following 1:12   96:2 100:6
extrinsic 200:17   fairness 123:14   February 91:12   145:2 195:18   145:22 167:13   friends 20:22   eyeballing 11:3   faith 113:12   fed 112:15   finding 75:13   168:25   37:14
eyebrows 111:22   115:13,25 116:2   federal 155:14   88:20 118:6,12   follows 90:13   from 1:11 6:7,21
eyes 143:24   117:18 141:9,11   157:8,9,10,12   finds 12:16 14:20   154:8 196:21,23   7:16,18,19,21
141:12,17 145:9 157:15 fine 31:646:8 food 161:14,15 8:20 9:23 10:1
F 145:10 149:3   feed 173:9   86:12 117:16   173:12,13,13,15   11:18 15:19
F 1:13   faiths 145:8,11,13   feel 60:4 106:21   120:18 121:1   173:16,18,19,24   21:6,11,12
fabric 142:6 FALCO 2:4 123:11 134:6 122:24 125:13 173:25 174:1,24 23:24 24:20
face 8:22 10:11   fall 31:15 189:3   222:20   198:13   176:5,22 182:2   25:22 29:6   180:10 71:3   fallon 102:21   faelings 48:21   fingers 169:6   182:4 183:14   30:21 31:10
59:19 71:3   fallen 102:21   feelings 48:21   fingers 169:6   182:4 183:14   30:21 31:19   facility 162:9   falling-down 58:8   98:25,25   finish 112:23   203:7   36:12 37:15
facility 162:9     falling-down 58:8     98:25,25     finish 112:23     203:7     36:12 37:15       179:11,12     false 207:8     feels 107:25     114:1 119:9     foolhardy 74:12     43:16 44:2
fact 8:1,14 15:4   familiar 35:16   fell 102:9,10   120:13,20,24   foolish 86:16   45:13 49:7 51:9
18:12 22:25   46:6,21 87:22   fellow 118:19   121:18 122:17   foot 62:23   52:19 53:22
23:3,13 27:16 93:10 105:1 160:9 203:14,18 footage 162:20 55:22 59:7,8,25
35:5 40:18 41:2   158:10 189:20   fellow's 12:21   finished 121:3   forbid 213:24   70:15 71:6 72:5
41:6,8 42:8 190:2 192:25   fellowship 95:2   132:8 223:5   force 188:24   74:11 77:21
61:19 67:20 202:19 115:25 fire 97:1 forced 177:3 87:13 94:10
74:7 80:21   families 28:10   fellowshipping   firearms 29:21   forces 71:3   96:11 100:21

219:15	190:1 211:5	222:8	ge 11   134:24 135:8,22	hospital 9:16 17	Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
guy's 14:2 68:20	222:8,15	hey 121:19	135:24 136:14	hospital 8:16,17 8:18 155:18,20	66:2 158:25
		hidden 55:20			191:7 201:2
guys 16:11 68:7	heads 179:25		136:18 139:16	hospitals 19:21	identify 11:24
	health 78:20	hiding 142:9	144:3,13,13,14	203:8	17:6,23,23
H	155:6 158:5,8	high 2:13,15 7:6	144:14,15,15	host 94:9	19:18 21:23
habitual 193:17	188:25 205:2,8	34:24 35:3,6	147:6 148:5	hostile 55:5 64:5	36:11 39:21
half 91:10 108:20	205:9,10	44:20 45:2	151:9,22,24	64:25	46:12 49:18
122:22 126:12	healthy 114:12	46:10 49:21	152:11 153:7	hot 171:16 172:7	50:2 60:21
126:13 128:18	188:12	58:16 72:21	167:21 168:7	172:21	79:23 84:21
144:4 156:6	hear 43:19,22	90:4,8,9,15	188:18,18,19,19	hour 122:22,23	210:3
hallways 176:4	115:16 122:23	93:16,22 97:3	188:19 189:23	168:22,24	identifying 40:18
hand 22:21 63:14	124:11 160:19	109:4,8,19	189:25 190:25	hours 100:24	75:23
63:18 90:7	164:24 217:16	110:4,13,16	192:23,24	152:13 175:10	ignorance 127:21
153:23 181:19	217:17	111:4,7,9,11,14	206:21 210:19	175:11 183:17	ignore 57:2
224:15	heard 1:12 13:8	111:16,18	215:15	house 12:21,21	186:20
handcuff 170:21	23:10 25:24	112:24 113:7,10	himself 14:15	30:24 85:24	ill 52:4 156:24
handcuffed	40:3 41:17	113:24 114:3	15:4 35:11	96:10,10,21,24	179:11 188:17
167:20 170:19	70:12,13 76:23	119:4,10,13,16	116:10 121:5	97:21 102:9,25	illegal 22:14
170:20	84:3 87:18	119:21,23 120:1	123:2 125:16	108:16 160:8	50:21
handcuffing	128:3 137:14	120:4,16,19	206:23 221:23	172:18,19	illicit 186:15,16
161:16	159:10 186:2	121:2,6,12	Hinduism 146:8	174:25	illness 204:24
handcuffs 167:19	hearing 153:18	122:12,16	146:24	housed 114:17	illuminate 68:13
handed 115:20	hearsay 134:23	124:17,18,19	hire 208:25	158:23	illuminated 23:23
handgun 56:7	136:12,14	125:21 127:14	historically	houses 176:7	51:11
75:6	heart 8:7 113:4	127:17,18	140:25	housing 48:14	illuminates 50:5
handle 108:21	126:16,16	129:11,14,15	history 15:7	160:24,24 161:9	illumination
174:9 209:19	127:18 140:6	130:10,12 131:3	22:11 142:10	161:11,18 162:7	25:23
	141:22 142:3,25	132:4 133:8,9	210:6 213:13	162:13 204:12	illustrate 167:22
handling 209:19	172:16	135:10,13,25	hit 98:2 172:10	207:12,13,15	171:8 173:9
hands 15:2	hearts 138:4	136:4 150:20,22	177:22	Houston 156:5	illustrated 111:24
111:25 167:20 179:18	141:13	151:7,9,12	Hitler 68:24 69:1	157:16 203:15	illustrates 167:10
	heaven 142:1	152:1,2,4,9,12	150:10,23	Hoyle 18:24	176:3
hanging 32:20	145:3,12	152:18,21,25	209:12	huge 157:3	illustration
34:11 39:3 52:2	heavily 44:6	153:3,8 161:1	HIV 10:1,13,19	174:16 194:13	111:21
happen 15:24	72:18	162:7 202:24,25	hoe 203:23,24	205:10	
57:20 115:1	heavy 54:24 75:4	203:3,14	hold 60:9 208:8	huh 174:4	illustrations
201:25	182:25		holding 167:17	Huh-uh 104:25	111:20 112:20
happened 10:14		high-achieving 46:9	hole 19:10 174:10	ł .	image 12:11
58:13 59:9	held 1:13 34:1 60:10 78:19	1		146:19	immediate
72:17 79:20		high-risk 158:25	holes 175:18	human 14:8	109:11
96:17 97:20	89:8 91:9	162:4 171:6	Holiday 208:4,4,4	77:11 126:21	immediately
101:23 127:12	142:12 155:11 162:6	high-tech 162:10	home 43:14 96:6	143:8,11 191:21	11:15 14:1
140:20		higher 5:23 6:11	96:6,6,7,8,11,12	215:1	17:12 79:11
happening 58:5	help 19:17,20	highly 36:24	96:18,20 99:14	humanity 189:4	178:22
70:24 76:3	25:7 32:20 37:9	Highway 89:2	102:5,22 106:13	hundred 201:21	impact 24:18
105:19	37:9,15 87:1	him 6:20,21 7:15	107:5,11 108:13	hung 137:24	impacted 20:13
happens 57:6,24	118:17 142:22	9:10 12:22,22	108:14 200:2	hunting 55:21	impaired 63:3,23
133:21	143:1 145:4	13:14 14:3 15:3	home-schooled	Huntsville 155:17	73:5,9,11,21
happiness 143:9	201:7	24:17,19,19,21	30:24	158:17 203:16	74:18 75:23,24
happy 154:22	helped 15:8	24:23,23 32:19	homes 96:3,5	hurt 107:21	76:5
176:20	130:14,22 131:5	32:21,25 34:25	107:12	185:19 187:22	impairment
hard 42:12 55:21	helpful 17:5	35:12 39:22,23	homicidal 31:16	hurting 177:2	74:21,24
107:8 174:1,2	48:24	39:25 50:12,17	56:9	hyperactive	impairs 72:23
206:24,24	helping 188:14	50:21 52:9,18	homicide 6:2	73:19	impart 122:20
hard-core 77:11	215:2	52:21.24 53:10	49:16,22,23	Hyperactivity	implementation
hardy 59:22	helplessness	55:16 57:24	honestly 146:9	35:22	156:23
harm 209:20	218:6	63:6,7,9,9 68:22	Honor 69:7 70:19	Hypnotize 157:20	implication 24:17
harm's 39:4	helps 20:21	70:9,20 71:4	85:14 90:4,9	hypothesis 43:3	114:5
harmful 145:15	her 8:23 32:25	72:11 74:10	136:2 153:3,14	63:12 65:12	implies 210:14
150:6	43:22 61:14,15	75:1 76:6,22	154:3 163:24	hypothetical-type	implying 125:17
harmony 146:15	62:8,23 64:15	77:4,12 94:17	180:20 190:14	192:15	important 7:5,8
hate 111:1 123:8	64:15,18,21	107:7 108:11,18	Honorable 1:13	hypotheticals	9:11 15:10,12
having 67:7 123:8	75:15,15 76:6,7	112:23 114:25	honoring 92:24	111:20	19:5 40:24 41:7
137:14 151:24	84:24 85:3,3	115:2 117:12	honors 94:1		41:18 42:13
204:23 213:6,8	87:21 88:5	118:3,7 119:2,3	hooking 166:16	<u> </u>	50:11 115:16
he'll 27:3 123:22	96:15,25 98:2,2	119:10,19,20	hope 28:18	idea 9:24 30:19	145:10,11,13,18
199:6 200:13	98:3,4,4,8,12,14	120:5,5,13,14	216:25	32:17 55:13	145:22 184:3
head 61:3,15	99:9 100:21,25	120:20 121:1,1	hopefully 213:25	89:5 120:24	192:19,21
62:16,23 64:11	101:3,17 102:5	121:3,4,6	hopped 221:19	177:4 184:21	205:19 213:11
68:8,23 69:20	102:6,9,22,22	123:10,12,22	horns 166:16	188:24	213:17,21,23
101:4 127:19,20	102:23,25	125:17 127:15	horrible 121:19	identified 16:21	215:2,11,14,21
		125:17 127:15 130:8 133:17	horrible 121:19 horrific 20:23	identified 16:21 22:22 30:15	215:2,11,14,21 215:23 216:17

			ge 13		
155:10 156:18	15:3 18:8,11,17	19:20 27:15,15	103:24 104:2,20	38:9 41:15	178:10
157:18 158:13	19:16 22:7 23:2	27:20,20 28:11	106:19 110:7,12	44:12 130:19	lengths 13:18
160:16,18,20	23:14 25:23	29:7 30:12,25	117:8,11 118:1	153:10 166:8	76:20
169:14 180:5,6	26:15 41:6 49:5	31:23 32:15	118:3 119:1,2	latitude 135:5	lengthy 151:25
180:17 185:3,5	56:16 57:7	33:24 34:1,12	119:12,21	laughing 85:1	less 14:3 36:2,3
193:25 194:15	123:13 149:12	34:16 39:13,15	121:20 123:2	Laughter 85:23	110:6 124:1
200:4 207:2	156:7,15 157:13	39:18,20,24	124:2 129:3	124:6 143:17	137:21 138:14
223:8	158:3 162:5	40:6 41:5,7	131:23 134:9,15	166:17 170:1	146:1,23 162:11
			137:11 139:11	174:3 183:7	
jury's 68:15,17	justifiable 14:24	46:16 48:3			162:11 168:7
81:1	justification	50:21 54:21	139:12,15,19	208:6 222:2	201:23 202:24
just 5:6,23 6:20	14:17,19	55:6,8 59:24	140:12,22 144:2	law 15:2 21:21,22	lessen 221:3
8:10 9:12 10:5	juvenile 33:16	61:8 62:21,25	147:25 149:14	37:4 46:4 47:10	lesser 168:18,20
11:3 14:8,14,18	156:9	63:2 64:25	149:17,17	60:14 78:21	178:10
17:9 21:10 22:3	juveniles 218:11	65:20 74:25	150:24 151:6,8	111:25 113:24	let 5:12 41:20
23:3,11 25:16		75:1 83:22	151:9,13,14	115:4 137:13,21	67:8 95:13
26:19,20,23	<u>K</u>	87:11 91:5	152:13 163:3	149:6,8 150:1	108:6 110:25
27:4 31:1,6,8	keep 27:1 29:17	96:22 108:1,25	174:11 177:21	215:8	112:21 113:5,17
34:7 37:25 38:3	49:12 76:16	128:5 155:11	181:2 186:3,7,8	lawmaker 150:1	118:5 119:9,24
39:21 41:5 43:3		164:10 168:13	186:9,18 187:2	lawsuits 157:12	120:24,24
	102:15 123:22	1	188:1 189:23,23	lawyers 143:14	122:15,20,24
43:6,14 46:12	167:17 187:20	169:15 175:21			
47:20 48:6	217:15 221:24	179:12 181:2	192:24 194:18	148:2 217:24	135:25 139:24
51:18 52:11,11	keeping 116:1	183:24 184:9	194:18,18 197:7	lay 220:6	151:12 153:16
52:15 53:5	211:25	186:12 192:4	199:9,9,22	layer 207:22	177:21 181:2
54:23 56:22	keeps 74:10	202:12 204:9,23	200:18 201:8,9	layers 165:12	188:9 196:1
57:1 58:10	Kennedy 45:12	208:5 217:23	202:11 207:4	207:11,24 208:7	211:17
59:19,24 60:5	45:13 46:20	219:17 222:12	208:3 209:11,12	laying 64:11	let's 6:25 8:22
60:12 61:25	47:14	222:13	209:14 217:7,16	layperson 217:21	9:15,17,23,25
62:4,6 64:2,8,15	Kennedy's 46:5	kinds 38:19 39:17	218:13,19	lead 127:14 130:9	12:11 22:11
67:24 68:17,22	Kenya 95:9	42:10 59:13	219:16	200:25 216:2	43:5 61:9 66:25
68:25 69:19	142:21	66:10 117:23	knowledge 16:20	218:8,9	86:1 109:22,23
73:10 75:21	kept 101:3 167:4	172:10 192:3	52:8 88:4 92:11	leaders 37:14	109:24 124:10
78:6 79:7 81:20	178:14 209:17	216:8	117:12 136:14	leading 78:10	148:5,9 153:6
84:2,5 85:16	key 96:18 168:6	King 140:8	193:5,8 200:15	110:8 132:1	180:2 223:4,5
86:7 87:5 89:3,5	174:9	Klan 142:5	known 25:25	leads 20:20,22	Lets 7:1
89:9,9 96:7,20	keys 174:16,18	Klux 142:5	knows 26:13	175:16	letter 36:21 93:4
97:2,24 99:1,9	kick 213:25 214:2	knew 26:14 32:16	125:18,19	learn 144:10	level 57:7 155:6
101:1,6 102:20	214:3	56:7 88:1,3,5,6	132:25 135:3	186:2,14,19	159:17,23,24
106:13 109:8	kicked 47:10	99:24 100:2	168:3 219:18	200:23,23 201:3	161:3 163:5
110:11,14 114:1	55:15	103:7 105:25,25	Ku 142:5	learned 132:6	171:17 189:2,2
115:5 116:15,25		107:21 127:9	1Ku 172.3	least 35:8 63:5	189:4 201:18
117:23 118:3	kicking 65:1	131:6 146:4	L	146:14 168:17	202:3 204:22
117.23 118.3	214:20	knife 15:17 98:5		168:18 199:23	
	kicks 214:15	98:6 100:6	label 163:15	206:23	levels 159:13
120:1,20 123:3	kid 19:9 22:8		168:1		160:2,19,23
123:6,13 127:1	24:6 38:18	198:16	labor 128:15	leather 182:18,24	207:4,9
127:13,22 128:6	57:20 107:15	knifings 191:19	lack 20:20 33:18	leave 55:10 57:17	Lew 103:16,19
128:24 132:22	kids 13:20 19:20	knocked 98:2	36:11 146:6	114:5,9 163:14	Lexan 161:17
134:21 135:25	20:2,5,7 26:2	knocking 96:4	213:15 214:20	173:12	172:9 176:18
136:4,22,23	29:12 34:15	know 7:5 8:22	214:20	leaves 39:16	183:14
138:10 142:21	41:17 43:22	10:4,10,13 11:7	ladder 178:14	leaving 29:14	Lexans 161:23
144:3,17 145:12	49:10 144:11,15	13:25 16:19,19	Laden 150:11,24	96:11	liberty 143:9
152:2,15,25	145:5	17:24 20:3 24:4	151:4	led 50:2 219:25	license 89:3
156:5,11 162:9	kill 12:22 14:14	24:20 25:3	ladies 66:24	220:19	licensed 132:13
163:2,14 164:2	15:14,15,15,16	27:19 31:4,14	154:11 222:25	Lee 132:8	132:16,20 155:5
164:9,10 166:16	29:25,25 31:10	32:3,16 34:25	lady 96:11,13	left 24:6,12 41:21	Lieutenant 94:12
166:21 167:5	36:10 41:18	37:2 38:1,4,10	lady's 222:15	55:12,18 96:7	94:13
170:3 178:3,4	65:25 79:3 89:1	39:25 40:25	land 165:23	99:1,9,17	life 13:15 19:10
179:15,22,24	98:4,4 118:9,10	41:1,2,7,18 42:8	203:24	101:18 107:3	20:12 23:22,23
184:6 185:2	118:12,20	44:25 45:22	language 217:18	114:10 177:12	44:2 54:25 60:4
186:7 188:8	150:13 191:6	47:12 48:6	large 5:23 6:9	188:3 197:7	72:17 73:2
189:3 190:19	213:24	50:13,15 52:7	17:11 56:1	207:7	77:20 80:16
192:4 193:7	killed 12:17 13:10	52:17,19 54:22	91:15 175:14,16	left-hand 93:5	98:1 104:19
196:2 198:13	15:16 40:2	55:2 60:14 63:6	largely 60:2	legal 138:21	106:3,5,10
199:1,25 200:2	69:12 118:8	63:14 66:2,4,5,6	largest 94:22,23	139:2	107:10,12
206:16 208:12	221:8	66:7 68:3,5	Larry 140:7	Legislature 80:20	109:15 113:11
208:17 209:19	killing 30:13	72:20 75:16,25	last 30:23 95:10	94:7,18 210:9	117:20,22 118:2
212:4 214:9	112:1 114:13	77:4,15 85:25	113:19 136:9,20	210:12	118:15,17
215:5,16 216:9	118:10 216:25	86:17 87:16,17	154:14 207:1	legit 206:10	121:22 126:10
217:15,17,23	219:18 220:18	87:18,19,24	late 34:12 39:15	legitimately	126:13,14,21,22
220:15 221:23		92:12,13,16	39:19 55:11	185:25	128:20,21
222:15	kills 14:15,21 219:16	94:12,15 96:13	102:5	Leland 114:20	130:13,17
justice 12:18,19	kind 18:19,21	98:13 101:24	later 12:16 19:24	length 129:5	133:18,22 134:5
Justice 12.16,19		COLUMN TO THE STATE OF THE STAT	14tti 12.10 17.24	length 127.5	133.10,22.131.3

			ge 15		
McDonald 1:18	146:11	41:14 42:7	116:20	110:6 113:4	124:4,5 137:7
2:8 211:18	meet 52:18	44:11,13,17	misshapen 21:14	118:10,25,25	137:21 146:1
224:20	192:23	45:3,8 48:11	missing 18:20	120:15 123:11	170:16 177:4
McKinney 1:13	meets 8:14	49:17,22 56:4,4	62:23 148:7	123:25 135:1,9	189:12 191:24
1:18 2:8 224:21	member 94:8	56:7 65:15	198:15	135:13 139:3	201:22 202:8
McVeigh 150:10	126:5 170:24,25	67:18 76:15,15	missions 95:3	147:16 149:25	203:7,24 214:16
150:24 151:4,13	171:2,5 191:7	76:16 79:1	157:9	152:5,15,17	Muhammad
209:13	220:16 221:3	82:14 83:11,14	mistake 198:14	156:18 159:2,3	145:21,23
meal 174:8	222:11 mambana 36:7	84:9 86:2 116:20 119:15	209:19 mistreated 41:14	162:10,10 165:8 172:17 177:13	multidisciplinary 158:20
mean 11:24 13:4	members 36:7 46:10 91:19	119:18,19	misunderstood	179:22,24 182:2	multiple 38:19
22:3,3,12 24:2 28:8 35:7 36:8	140:19 162:3,4	160:21 190:19	82:4 118:20	182:9 188:8	108:8,8
42:21 45:12,13	168:14 181:9	210:16 217:20	mitigating 15:6	199:1,14 201:24	murder 1:8,11
45:15 51:3,4	191:7 192:3	217:21,24	60:21,23 61:1,2	205:9 207:22	5:20,20 11:10
53:2 55:11 56:6	membership	Mike 128:4	116:4	214:5 219:20	12:2,20 14:5,18
62:2,14,14,16	47:25 171:6	military 29:2,14	mitigation 50:6,7	220:3,4	14:21,24 15:7
62:20,20 63:16	191:2,3,4,5	55:22	72:5 80:14,20	Moreover 32:19	15:11 38:16
63:25 64:2,2,3,4	Memorial 91:25	million 69:12	80:23 81:3,8,11	133:1	39:1 59:25
64:5,7,8,8,11,16	92:23 93:1,15	millions 31:5,5	81:15 86:19	morning 60:13	74:19 78:5,5,12
64:18,23 65:3,4	94:4	48:4	194:6,11,14,21	76:8 92:4	78:13 79:19
65:7 66:16	memory 96:23	mind 27:1 54:16	194:24	102:20 126:4	82:9 84:21
99:24 120:20	143:1	68:7 86:23	mix 58:25 187:10	131:13 223:6	88:16,20 89:4
122:5 135:19	men 128:5 130:23	87:12 89:20	mixed 29:1 48:21	mortality 8:9	95:17 100:11,22
136:8 137:1	142:22 143:7,8	97:13 99:6,20	164:12,13	mortgage 215:20	101:1 102:6
141:3,12 145:8	146:4	100:10 104:4,16	mobility 28:20,23	most 7:13 31:6	103:15 105:25
158:14 192:1	mental 78:20	119:24 123:18	model 218:23	40:16 54:23	106:8 111:2
196:8,14,21,22	87:8 104:17	125:23 143:1	models 37:11	55:24 57:15	114:16 116:25
197:14,22 202:9	146:10 158:7	145:6 146:11	modern 9:16	68:16 82:21	117:3 121:19,20
203:12 206:8	204:23,24 205:2	188:3 197:15	modification	88:18 93:23	128:11 136:24
208:23 209:11	205:8,9,10	mine 96:2 100:6	189:18	107:12 134:20	137:2,2,3
209:22 213:15	mentally 54:12	minimize 214:1	mom 12:12 21:15	135:11 142:6	148:17 189:24
216:9 217:20,21	156:24,25	minimized 74:20	24:6 57:21	147:5 157:24	190:21 193:14
221:5	179:11 188:17	minimum 190:5	100:25 108:13	188:1 195:11	193:18,22
meaner 130:18	mentioned	199:23 204:20	108:21 142:17	199:13,13,14	199:14 200:1
meaning 28:23	157:17 191:2	minister 91:6,7	moment 106:3	209:7 210:16	201:8,13,14
meaningful 81:19	mentored 131:14 mere 49:3	132:13,16,17,20 206:1	114:1 120:20 126:17,17	212:13	204:16 206:9
meaningless 79:15,25 80:12	mess 182:12	ministered 130:7	127:10,13 128:6	mostly 186:22 208:21 218:25	211:20 213:11 214:9 215:7,9
81:18	219:10,10	138:10 144:4	128:24 130:15	mother 19:22	218:21 220:5
means 9:16 16:13	messed 126:20	ministering	132:22 133:13	24:16 32:12	221:5
22:13 24:3 28:9	127:20	130:24	134:21 141:13	100:16,17,18	murdered 5:13
38:16,17 53:6,8	met 63:6 70:9	ministers 37:14	198:22	101:17 107:3,4	5:14,16 12:12
68:20 79:12,13	117:18 121:7	127:2	moments 101:7	109:9 151:25	58:17 59:4
122:4 185:9	125:18	ministry 132:5	105:8	motivations	106:15 112:2
186:15,21 190:2	metal 171:12	144:7	moms 19:7 20:6	87:22	murderer 11:20
190:4 195:17	176:18	minor 18:18,19	money 182:23	motive 12:9 15:10	81:3 84:16
212:24 219:6,21	meth 52:22 55:9	18:19,20,22	191:22 192:7	15:11,20 66:1,2	86:11 114:24
measure 165:20	59:9	minute 97:2	220:24,24,25	66:5,7 114:13	133:24 192:19
170:17	methamphetamine		221:9	221:12	219:1,7
measures 171:19	66:20 72:18	112:25 113:4,5	Montgomery	motor 73:18,25	murderers 5:22
mechanical 73:21	75:4 87:4	120:13,14,19	110:8	motorcycle 144:5	6:21 7:19 13:25
mechanism 20:1	methamphetami	121:17 122:21	month 105:12	144:8	50:14,16 67:9
24:3	54:14	122:22 123:21	126:12	move 96:25	80:6 81:13 82:8
mechanisms 15:2	method 96:19	123:23 124:9	month's 144:5	109:10 178:9	82:25 205:17
17:8 media 30:4,11,20	methodologies	129:10 133:7	months 125:5,22	201:2,3 204:8	218:25 219:25
45:15 46:14	185:14 methods 186:16	135:1 150:18 162:17 177:12	126:13 203:23 mood 55:4	204:11 moved 44:24	murders 38:22
medical 53:15,17	186:21	180:14	moral 72:4	167:5 178:13	83:18 212:22 219:23,25
53:19,22,24	Metro 94:5	mirrors 171:12	144:24	201:4	Murdock 128:4
158:8,21 159:17	Michael 164:5	179:18,20	morality 127:21	movement 162:11	muscles 175:14
167:11 169:1	Michelle 75:14	misbehavior	more 5:25 6:3,5	moves 29:14 58:3	175:16
175:17 187:2	middle 194:5	33:15 215:2	10:4 11:2 12:11	movie 174:17	must 18:9 45:10
205:4,11 217:16	might 6:13 9:25	misery 88:7	19:18,18 20:14	movies 30:8,9	64:4,5 140:18
medication	12:24 14:3,4,13	mishapen 18:22	20:14,15 21:18	moving 29:2,7,17	140:20 198:21
176:23,25,25	15:23 18:18	mislead 140:4	23:25 26:6	39:4 46:16	mute 163:7,23,24
177:1 188:7	21:23 22:21,22	misleading 27:3	29:14 38:24	much 10:13 11:14	164:1,2 180:7
204:24,25	22:25 24:15,19	125:15 129:6	39:9 48:2,2	17:15 29:14,15	myself 8:4 10:7
medications	25:13 28:1	misperceived	49:14,19 50:13	56:6 64:24	102:1 107:22
205:7	29:21 32:4	85:5	50:17 64:25	100:22 111:8	123:2 127:25
medicine 132:7	33:24 37:22,23	miss 222:8	65:5 75:1 84:10	112:22 113:21	
meditation	38:10,14 40:15	missed 56:13	88:25 100:24	117:17 124:2,3	N
L	1				The same of the same same of the same

			ge 17		
179:15	oppositional	48:23 49:5 52:2	6:20	8:24 14:3 17:24	pen 210:19
ones 10:18 80:11	186:6 189:10	55:15,22 56:3	own 8:20 15:2	36:25 93:11	penalty 69:22,24
140:12 146:8	options 131:24	58:24 60:11,15	25:1 36:11	109:1 141:9,17	70:4,11 72:2
212:4 218:23	132:4	65:19 68:21	106:5 111:25	147:2 148:24	74:15 79:18
only 14:10 16:3	orchestrated	71:3,19 74:11	118:4 186:21	171:14 176:21	81:2,15 84:20
17:9 21:20 31:4	215:15	75:13,22 76:7	191:12 203:8	209:3,25	114:9,11 117:5
38:22 44:21	ordained 132:16	76:10 78:8,18	owners 143:5	particularized	137:4 138:17
51:2 55:17 79:2	132:17	79:23 88:15		13:13	139:3 192:10
80:11 81:18	order 12:17	89:2,5,10,17	P	particularly 28:1	208:15 212:7,16
83:9 89:8	202:14,16	96:22 97:5,18	P 1:1 2:1,1 5:1	30:16 34:24	212:19
108:12 113:5	organization	97:20 98:6,16	p.m 1:11 124:15	54:14 59:22	penitentiaries
114:8 117:23	142:7 147:18	99:21 102:24	180:5,17 223:8	62:21 64:20	157:6
125:15 133:17	209:14	104:21 108:15	packed 7:11,24	129:5	penitentiary
135:2 144:1,22	organized 205:25	109:21 113:3,14	8:2,3	parties 125:25	111:2 157:2
145:9 147:24	orientation 59:21	113:19 118:9,24	packer 7:12,14	224:7,10	160:15 162:20
149:21 151:18	orphanage 95:10	120:4 121:21	8:2,4	Partly 68:6	163:1 178:19
167:1 174:21	Osama 150:11,23	123:13 131:1,2	packers 7:11,13	partner 10:5	190:9 199:24
175:5 189:24	other 6:21,22,25	131:10 140:10	7:16,19	partners 10:19,23	200:15,16
192:14 212:17	7:16,18,19,20	140:11 144:7	packing 7:5,10	11:1	201:10 202:3,11
215:1,7	8:14 10:24	163:7,15,22	page 3:9 110:9	parts 29:11 97:17	205:16 206:11
open 164:16	19:13 21:5,12	166:11 170:8,20	pages 109:4	97:17	209:3 211:3,23
174:10,12,17	22:21 25:14	171:12 174:2	paid 133:14	party 61:10,22	212:11,12
175:5 183:16	30:16 34:13,15	175:15 180:6,9	203:10 224:12	76:2 214:10,19	Penny 114:20
224:8	37:9 38:15,16	183:20 189:23	pain 142:17,17,17	partying 214:19	Penry 80:24
open-type 161:2	38:16,22 41:4	194:10 199:15	paint 96:25 97:1	pass 77:23 80:1	194:10,12,24
opened 115:1	42:2 46:6 53:23	207:17 211:9,16	97:22,23,23,24	88:11 136:1,2	people 7:7 10:1
155:22 174:15	61:12,15,20	211:17,18,19	120:7	150:17 181:18	14:17 15:1,3
opening 112:15	66:20 67:10	214:10,24	Palestine 164:9	181:20 190:13	17:18 22:13
161:15 169:4	69:24 76:23	217:23 219:8,15	paper 181:20,21	198:25 210:23	23:7,11 25:14
openings 161:23	78:11,13 79:21	outcome 59:2	184:13	218:16 221:16	28:1 29:25,25
operate 73:20	82:14,14 83:11	85:11	parachute 7:10	222:18	29:25 30:15
operating 73:25	86:2 87:17	outcomes 15:19	7:11,16,18	passed 133:20	31:4,5,9,10,25
75:5	93:25 104:18	outdoor 169:12	parachutes 7:3	135:23	32:4 34:5 36:20
operation 63:20	106:5,6 107:6	181:3	paragon 18:10	passenger 73:6	38:14 39:25
operative 202:6	109:15 112:9,12	outpatient 77:9	45:14	passes 181:9,17	40:1,16 41:7
opinion 49:6	113:8,14 114:4	outside 6:5 39:21	paralyzed 111:22	passion 134:5	42:11 44:13
72:12,12,14,22	115:13 118:23	100:18 153:18	paranoia 62:5	past 127:3,3	45:11,14 46:1
78:4,19 86:14		155:23 156:5	87:5	213:10	47:18,18 49:2
141:18 147:5	134:4 135:3,13	164:9 165:25	paranoid 87:9	pastor 91:11 92:7	49:14,17,19
150:13 193:2 210:4 211:3	139:7 143:10	169:12 181:8	paraplegic 79:14	93:7,22,24,25	51:14,16 54:7 54:20 58:18
217:11 221:1	145:8,10,12,17 146:22 147:3	197:12,17 198:7 202:3 206:19,21	parent 20:3 22:23	94:2,11 95:13 97:2 107:1	
223:3	151:15,16 156:8	207:17,18,19,21	32:22,24	116:19 125:11	59:5,12 60:1,5
opinions 70:1	156:12 159:14	207:21,22,23	parent-child	126:4,15 127:14	61:20 62:20
216:5	159:18 161:15	207.21,22,23	42:13		64:6 65:22
opponent 117:5,7	177:2 179:20	over 30:13 33:23	parental 26:1,1	128:24 130:13	66:19 69:13,17
138:17	185:13,19	62:24 69:2	31:20 32:7,14	131:12,16,23	72:14 76:23
opportunistic	186:10,11 187:8	91:10,17 94:17	40:12 58:23	132:23 133:23 134:9,21 144:3	77:17 78:7,21 81:13 82:8,14
206:25 219:22	188:3,18 189:6	95:6 96:1 98:20	parenting 24:12	144:12	
220:5	191:6 195:15	101:25 106:11	42:15 parents 12:17	path 155:12	82:14 83:11,12 83:14,17 84:10
opportunities	197:5 198:7	134:13 140:14	13:20,22 22:13	patients 205:9	86:2,24 87:17
115:23 195:19	203:16 204:15	152:24 169:23	22:17,19 23:12	patrolman 89:2	88:6,18 89:8,10
200.10 202:19	207:14 211:8,15	200:20,24	24:13,21 40:13	137:18	91:17 95:8
203:4,17 205:15	215:2 219:14	201:16 222:20	40:16	pattern 34:17	106:5,6 107:21
205:16	221:6 224:7	over-reactive	parole 190:6	52:10,15,20	107:22 108:5
opportunity	others 40:20	87:10	part 6:3 20:18,24	54:9,25 58:14	114:4 117:23,23
30:18 113:15	58:12 194:4	overall 211:5,9	24:7 28:12	200:21,25 201:4	117:24 118:17
116:2 122:13	otherwise 74:21	override 102:16	32:17,25 39:23	215:24,25 217:4	121:20 125:14
127:4 130:1	173:15	overriding 5:13	48:1 57:13 68:6	217:5	131:8 133:16
152:19,23	ought 19:1 88:19	overrule 70:22	74:14,20,23	patterns 217:10	134:4 138:11,25
160:11 195:6	127:24	85:15 129:9	77:5,7,18 80:21	Paul 69:5,8	139:6,21 140:8
203:9 204:2,6	out 5:25 6:5 8:11	130:21 133:6	87:4 104:13	pause 93:18	140:9,13 141:24
205:24 213:6,8	10:6,23 11:1	135:7	109:9 125:17	paying 11:7	142:11 144:13
213:12 214:24	15:14 17:2 21:9	overruled 78:14	134:6 142:6	peace 13:1	144:24,24,25
215:12 216:18	22:17 23:19	123:7 130:11	157:10 160:14	peaceful 146:11	145:18,20 147:7
216:18	24:14 26:6 32:2	132:2	174:2,2 178:25	peacefully 186:10	147:10 149:2,18
opposed 29:7,18	34:11 39:3	overview 155:12	182:5 203:5	Pedro 155:15	151:20 158:21
53:5 61:21	43:14 44:11	160:21 183:22	213:13	Peer-related 45:5	158:22 159:13
210:10,15	45:8,21 46:15	overwhelming	participating	peering 166:11	159:23 161:11
opposite 35:5	46:23 47:10,18	57:10 127:1	77:6	peers 20:16 34:2	161:12,19,25
211:22	47:18,22,23	overwhelmingly	particular 8:10	45:6	162:1,4 164:15
			Lui indiaini 0.10		,

Page 19	
171:3,4,6,19 181:12 191:19 56:19 59:15 punitively 21:21 136:23 15	
172:6 173:10 202:5 protects 76:18 22:3 152:15,17	
174:23 182:5   procedure 110:16   protest 170:16   purchased 133:17   190:19 19 185:6 187:6,18   168:25 169:22   Protestant   pure 147:5   208:12 21	
185:6 187:6,18	15:25 85:17 123:14 137:23 167:11
191:9,13,23 proceed 154:3 protesting 178:4 65:18 188:6 quick 155:	
192:5 195:15,23 proceeding protocol 167:13 purposes 161:16 160:21 21	
196:13,20   136:15   prototype 165:4   180:21   quickly 16	
197:11,16,20 proceedings 1:12 prove 219:5 purses 183:1 185:16	65:22
198:10,13,17   1:13,14 93:18   proven 178:20,21   pursuit 143:9   quiet 108:2	,
201:19,22 202:6 224:7,10 provide 37:9 pursuits 205:17 168:16 17	
202:23 203:1,4   process 13:15   166:6   put 35:12 42:16   175:2 178	3:25 reach 86:18
203:5,6,18,22 18:5 127:1 provider 155:6 55:22 58:11 184:9,11,	
204:1,13 205:19   158:18,18,19,19   provides 41:8   62:5 88:6,19   quijano 3:8	
205:20,22,24 159:2,5,13 providing 19:12 89:10,17 90:7 153:15 15	'
206:2 209:8,15	,— -
209:23 211:6,6 219:8 160:11 102:16 103:10 157:5 162	
212:17,20,21 processes 22:9 pseudo 30:13 105:6 123:8,9 163:20 16 219:5 87:8.11 psyches 59:22 123:21 127:3 165:18 16	
219:5   87:8,11   psyches 59:22   123:21 127:3   165:18 16 prisoners 158:17   produce 92:6   psychiatric   144:20 153:23   171:7 173	
prisons 158:8   146:14 203:7   155:24 156:2,23   163:15 182:11   174:13 17	
164:13 174:16 produced 1:15 157:4 205:4,10 186:7 201:16 180:22,25	
185:2 187:8,25 produces 105:7 205:14 216:12 210:7 211:15 185:1 199	
private 154:17   108:4   psychiatrists   219:13   202:22 20	
155:20,22 156:5   product 121:3   188:13   puts 10:20 146:21   218:19	104:4 123:15
privilege 171:17   productive 111:3   Psychiatry   147:22   quit 147:11	
171:24,25 172:4 111:6 116:12 205:14 putting 123:15 185:13 19	97:4   189:1 213:4
172:23 182:19 203:5 psychoactive quite 19:1,	
182:21 184:12   profess 142:11   204:24   Q   94:17 169	9:14 realistically 99:8
privileges 171:15 professes 141:16 psychological Q-U-I-J-A-N-O	reality 78:25
172:1 186:23 professing 142:2 19:19 155:4 154:15 R	125:9
204:8,9,10 143:19 157:13 158:1,21 quadriplegic R 1:1,1,1,1	
probability 11:21   professional   159:2,18 187:2   11:11 79:5,14   5:1	56:8 95:23 99:2
12:5,10 16:17   26:19 53:4 89:7   188:7 190:8   80:9   race 143:11   16:22 89:16   216:5   psychologist   qualify 82:25   races 146:2	
The state of the s	realized 126:1
170:4 199:11,24 professionals 154:17 155:6,14 140:3 racial 49:9 212:25 157:1 158:8 155:16,18,24 quality 51:7 55:8 racism 143	
probable 110:6 205:6 156:1 157:23,25 81:19 racist 143:6	
196:16 professions 78:20 psychologists quarrel 139:15 racists 146.	
probably 13:3 profoundly 51:8 155:5 188:13 139:16 racking 18:	
31:2 46:25 47:1 prognosis 186:13 psychology quarreling 150:7 183:19	80:17 97:15
47:11 66:9,24   program 71:10   154:25 155:1,2   question 6:14   radio 171:2	23,24   101:21 108:12
78:4 81:13   93:10 94:10   155:8,19 194:9   25:4,9 26:10,20   172:2	111:3 115:12
88:19 89:4   129:23 155:20   200:14   26:23,23 43:8   radios 171:	
104:19 112:24   156:23 203:22   psychotic 36:23   67:15,17 68:15   raise 45:11	126:19 134:9
113:18 121:20 programming 176:21 179:7 71:15 78:11 144:16 122:8 138:25 60:3,7 public 107:23.24 80:5.14.15 81:4 raised 60:7	140:12 142:24
7	
192:7 194:13   promise 193:6   170:16   82:5 83:4,12,13   32:25 42: 196:11 198:19   195:10   publication 158:4   85:20 86:3,19   rambling 1	
199:14 209:7 proof 120:9   158:6,7   114:21,23 124:8   ran 12:14 7	
probation 76:18   122:14   publications   125:15 130:10   71:6 100:	
77:5,7 83:22 propensity 86:4 157:18,19,22 135:8,9 139:1 102:25 10	
84:19 156:10   216:18   publicity 45:18   149:22 151:12   random 18	
202:24   properly 185:15   published 157:17   156:20 188:3   202:17 21	
problem 14:19   200:12 209:17   158:1   194:6,11,14,15   randomly 2	29:7   72:1 106:4
18:15 19:3   property 215:18   pull 163:15   195:1,4 197:14   220:4	113:24 116:7
20:17.18 21:4   proponent 117:6   pulled 98:6   197:23 198:1,5   range 27:15	
22:4 37:2 47:5   proposition 16:23   pulls 89:2   199:5 207:1   35:3 39:10	
56:21 61:10 86:13 pulpit 144:8 209:2,4,22,24 137:5 162	
68:6 113:21 propounded pulpits 143:13 209:25 210:1,2 ranges 160:	
119:8 123:1   123:19   punish 187:4   210:8 212:11,24   ranking 21:181:11 192:7   propriety 81:1   188:6 189:16   213:4 214:19.23   rape 15:16	
	14:12 49:1 80:7 80:11 82:9
	00.4404.00
problematic   37:7,15 184:12   89:18 185:21   215:21 216:3   rare 194:21   20:16 31:24   209:3,8,15   204:5   217:3   rate 7:2,4,1	,
161:11 protection 161:20 punishing 216:22 questioned 85:3 8:9,9,18 9	
problems 22:16   191:11,15   punishment 1:11   questioning 43:25   9:25 45:2	10.50
24:1 29:9,12   protective 35:25   3:2 50:8 137:5   questions 17:14   121:16 12	
24:1 29:9,12   protective 35:25   3:2 50:8 137:5   questions 17:14   121:16 12   45:25 46:18,23   36:12,15,23   138:14 148:25   27:4 81:6 85:17   124:10   124:10   120:6 135:16   rates 6:9.9.	220:22,23 rebel 186:6

			ge 21		
187:20,23	145:10,12,12	scientific 85:9	74:21 124:5	senseless 214:9	shapes 57:5
193:10,18,20	148:9 196:16	scientist 35:10,17	184:9 216:21	sent 129:25 159:1	shaping 58:6
194:14,19,23	207:4	35:20,20	seen 96:15 135:14	161:13 178:8	189:14
195:2,3,6,8,12	says 7:24 10:12	scream 97:25	144:13,13,14,14	196:10 208:1	share 86:7 193:12
195:18 196:5,10	12:25 26:4	98:3	144:15 167:11	sentence 74:15	193:21,24
203:12 212:22	41:19 49:5	screaming 176:11	167:13 200:16	80:16,17 83:22	shared 126:18
rule 109:19 110:5	59:25 60:14	screen 161:17	seeps 176:11	137:9,15,19	sharing 115:13
218:24 219:3	61:24 62:4	169:5 176:18,18	seg 164:14,14	138:1 183:6	1 4
		183:14	167:12 168:1,4		115:25
rules 186:8,9	92:12 110:21	t .		189:25,25 190:2	sharp 165:12
189:8 196:22,23	115:8 128:4	screening 159:1,5	168:13,20 171:5	190:4,21 204:16	sharpened 173:3
ruling 152:22	133:19 149:6,8	screens 161:24	171:14 173:10	205:16 216:22	sheds 116:1
run 55:23 103:3	151:8	169:7	175:12 176:1,7	sentenced 16:22	sheer 179:7
205:11	SBOT 2:3,4,5,12	searched 169:1	176:8 178:11	104:12 128:10	shield 174:22
running 188:16	2:13	seared 106:25	182:5 190:22	sentences 218:21	187:21
rural 94:8	scams 215:21	107:1	191:1,8 201:3,3	sentencing 71:21	shipped 114:17
	scared 97:25	seat 56:11 90:7	201:6 204:12	115:10 189:20	shoot 64:24 65:5
S	scene 74:9 167:9	153:23	207:9,9,15	separate 21:12	65:11,17,22
S 1:1,18 2:1,8 5:1	scenes 144:18	seated 5:4 124:16	208:1	72:5	173:13
224:20	scheme 160:24	180:18	segregate 161:20	separated 133:12	shooting 64:10,14
S.W 110:9 115:21	189:21 207:12	Sebido 206:1	segregated	188:23	64:15,18,21,22
sabbatical 144:5	207:13,15	second 12:22 69:2	161:22	separately 21:10	shootout 111:23
I .	schizophrenic	81:19,25 114:19	segregation	separates 7:18	shop 100:5
safe 160:8,9,13	36:24,24 37:3	118:5 153:17	160:20 161:1,10	separating 7:15	182:17,24
188:16 191:24		,			
safely 208:8	school 20:14,15	163:5 165:23	161:10,13,18	separation 42:13 43:23 44:4	shopping 100:19 100:20
safer 188:12	33:15,19 34:24	secondary 160:10	162:1,9,10	1	1
safety 171:19	35:3,9,15 37:4	secondly 78:10	166:20 167:11	sergeant 7:23	short 109:5
195:23	44:10,21 45:3	111:24 134:23	171:9 176:3	serious 19:6	shortcuts 186:16
Sale 96:5	47:9,10 57:16	148:20	178:12	23:13 42:9	shortly 167:14
Sam 203:15	57:17 107:23,24	section 110:17	segs 163:6	45:24 46:23	173:9
same 7:8 13:14	129:22,23 130:3	168:13 175:12	seizure 63:20	75:8 78:15	shot 10:25 62:22
25:15 29:6,9	130:5 132:10	177:22,24	70:24	206:15 214:15	64:21,22 123:21
31:19 51:2 57:7	181:15 189:7	security 129:24	selfish 220:22,23	215:1,5 218:9	162:20 222:7,14
59:6,16 66:21	193:1,2 202:24	158:20,24 159:6	220:25	seriously 39:2	shotgun 30:14
69:7,13 73:12	202:25 203:2,3	159:13,17 160:2	selfishness 221:6	seriousness 106:5	shove 173:16
74:25,25 75:12	203:6,14	160:19,23 161:1	sell 142:15,23	216:6	show 16:18 28:24
96:19 124:20	schooling 187:3	162:8 164:12	182:22	serve 91:13	91:20 92:19
129:2 130:24	schools 29:10	165:20 170:17	selling 48:13	128:17 183:5	107:13 114:15
142:12 143:20	44:20,25 95:10	178:14	semi-circle 165:8	190:5	160:15
	131:20 132:11	sedative 102:17	semi-circular	served 128:18	shower 99:16
144:11 145:8,17	203:6	see 5:12 51:11	183:23	174:24 181:25	162:11
146:6 147:21,21	schultz 2:3 5:5,9	56:14 70:11	Senate 94:6,7	182:4 190:10	shows 25:19
147:22 148:13					
161:19 168:25	5:11 25:10,13	73:9 77:20	send 21:13 55:16	serves 65:18 service 92:4,24	28:23 115:9
170:11 175:7	26:12,18 27:7	94:17 99:19	106:8 109:23		138:8 165:9
179:12,23	42:21 43:2,12	102:17 106:12	211:5	92:25 94:4	177:9 215:23,25
180:22 182:4	47:17 67:6,7	108:11 110:1	sending 36:20	155:6 188:25	shrinks 51:12
187:10,11 195:1	69:5,10,15	112:17 116:3	sensation 98:22	services 19:8,12	shuts 122:12
195:21	70:20 77:23	119:4 134:14	98:23,23	19:19 20:4	siblings 45:6
same-sex 11:1	78:9 79:6 80:3	138:14 152:24	sense 16:9 17:15	21:23,25 22:9	sic 113:5 116:20
sample 50:19	84:9 85:16 86:2	153:6 166:8	17:17 20:14	132:5 155:25	157:22 166:16
San 155:15,21,23	88:11 89:15,20	167:1,14,16,18	22:17 26:13,14	156:2,23 157:4	202:13 206:17
sanctuary 94:22	89:24 93:19	168:5 170:2	27:7,8 28:15,17	160:11 188:7,10	211:10
Sandoval 1:13	108:24 109:6,11	172:1,3,14	29:21,23 31:8	190:8 205:18	sick 216:10
satisfied 8:5	116:14,18 123:4	173:18,19 175:6	31:25 32:1 34:3	serving 174:1	side 7:6,7 51:22
satisfy 118:24	124:2 125:11	176:17 180:14	34:6,7,8 36:5	203:25 218:21	93:5 143:10
sauce 171:16	127:11,13	181:5,18 183:21	37:13,16,21	set 20:18 199:15	147:10 168:17
saved 111:5	128:24 130:8,15	192:10 202:9	38:2,8,13,14,21	204:9	168:18,18,19,20
121:20 140:9	132:1,22 134:21	205:6 220:15	38:24 39:7,10	setting 29:5 42:15	169:15,16,17
saving 149:18	135:17,19,22	seed 56:22	40:8,10,12,14	64:23 125:23	173:6,7,7,8,8
Saving 147:10	136:7,11,17	seeing 170:22	40:25 41:2,13	161:2 200:11	174:25 175:3,25
saw 174:24	143:19 150:16	198:24	42:3,6,7 44:10	settled 195:16	176:1 178:5,8
	151:7 153:5,25	seek 114:8,11	44:13 45:2,6	several 64:15	205:12,13
saying 7:21 10:5	163:11,17	seeking 148:18	48:1,3,11,12,16	severity 50:8	sidebar 84:7
10:13.15 11:19	184:22 190:16	seeks 211:4	48:19 49:9,11	sex 211:22	85:13 154:2
13:2 15:9 17:10	1				
23:14 29:2	190:18 198:22	seem 15:18,20	49:13,15,18,20	sexual 10:19	sides 70:12 89:22
31:12 36:7	198:24 199:5	35:11 36:10	54:15,16,18	219:6	208:25
38:25 43:24	200:12 208:12	76:4 141:1	78:22,23 83:20	sexually 112:1	signal 166:15,18
50:25 65:5	208:14 210:23	seemed 17:19	89:9 117:2	shaking 176:10	173:23
81:18,24 82:19	212:4,6 218:16	85:3,4	123:7,13 174:5	shame 126:24,25	significance
120:21 123:17	220:10 221:16	seems 9:21 13:13	177:18 187:16	shape 15:8	214:7,11 217:9
136:18 139:17	222:6,18,23	15:13,25 55:14	196:12 201:23	172:16 189:8,18	217:12,17
143:10 144:22	science 26:16	57:1,2 61:5	202:13,17 219:9	shapen 19:23	219:14
· · · <del></del> -					

_			ge 23		
158:15 223:4,5	stepping 123:23	stupid 62:7,11,14	20:6 21:24 25:3	take 10:13 14:13	targets 14:23
starting 210:3 starts 19:10	Sterret 103:17,19	65:21 75:21 76:15	45:12 53:18 64:1 70:23	15:1,7 26:16 27:2 51:3 62:8	tasked 60:20,24
state 1:3 2:2	stewing 61:25 stick 153:7,9	style 11:4	73:12 81:17	65:18 66:24,25	taste 174:6 taught 107:23,24
67:10 74:11	186:9	subculture 29:6	84:3 99:7	72:21,23 73:4	tax 48:5
90:16 94:6,7,7	sticking 45:14	subject 223:2	105:15 108:17	91:21 99:20	TDC 72:10
94:21,24 95:1	still 5:7 13:17	subjective 206:19	113:20 117:14	102:23 104:7	155:23 156:13
97:13 104:16	14:4,19 29:9,11	submit 129:6	119:17 125:2	109:20 113:3	156:19 159:4,5
110:8 111:19	31:25 32:1 46:15 50:1 51:4	130:19 178:18 submitted 223:4	152:25 163:13 218:19 221:7	114:24 120:14 142:14 144:23	159:6 160:4,23 160:24 165:5
114:8,10,20	53:25 62:1,11	subsequent 28:22	Surely 143:23	152:22 165:21	171:19 173:14
115:1,6,19,21	62:11 69:22	substance 21:10	surgeries 8:16,17	168:8 173:11	181:7 186:4
120:5,5,14	73:5,7 77:21	22:12,15 26:2	8:19	176:23 177:17	187:1 193:9
137:8 150:2	81:14 88:6	31:21 32:8,9	surgery 8:8,10,12	180:2 187:14	196:7 205:6,8
152:6,14 155:4	101:9 102:10	47:7 66:10 77:4	73:1	188:23 191:22	206:1 208:8
155:18 156:3 157:6 159:19,23	104:21 112:17 117:19 127:20	201:9 substances 50:21	surprised 17:19 102:21	206:22 219:14 220:25 222:7	TDCJ 195:18 tea 173:20
159:25 178:11	150:12 173:6	substantially	surrenders 12:25	taken 52:18 67:3	teach 131:8
192:11 194:12	174:25 175:1,13	51:12 66:11	surrounding	95:9 102:22	185:18
202:23 203:7,8	179:9 181:4	suburban 94:9	94:23 156:10	170:7 180:15	teacher 130:4
203:16 205:12	195:18 197:4,6	success 87:14	surveyed 156:4	204:8	teachers 29:10
208:19,22	198:19 201:21	successful 55:14	157:11	takes 13:22 14:15	37:14
222:23 224:2,5 State's 110:23	201:22,25 211:10 222:14	114:23 131:9 successfully	survival 107:25 susceptibility	58:20 63:17 74:8 111:25	teaching 77:12 107:11 188:15
148:18 156:2	stimulation 179:7	198:16	59:17	133:18 134:13	teachings 145:21
163:10	Stinger 188:9	sudden 126:21	suspect 206:12	140:11 143:1,4	team 156:3
Stated 114:10	stinks 176:12	sufficient 81:15	suspended 112:18	180:13 187:21	157:10 158:20
statement 11:9	stone 62:4	suggest 18:23	suspicious 87:9	taking 22:19	158:23 159:19
67:13 136:12 139:4,13,22	stoned 61:8 149:7 stop 32:20 97:2	127:15 suit 114:14,17	sustain 26:11 123:20	62:22 71:5 77:11 88:15	Tech 205:13
141:6 147:6	118:5 164:2	suit 114.14,17	sustained 25:12	139:7 144:9	technically 182:14
149:1 152:9	179:22 210:19	Suite 2:8,15	26:22 43:9	210:8 222:14	technique 185:23
States 6:9 30:23	store 88:15	suits 112:15	47:15 69:4,9,14	talk 22:11 30:19	Ted 37:4 46:5
92:24 95:7	stories 165:7	summer 95:20	79:8 84:8	51:21 56:16,17	Teddy 47:10
144:6 189:2	183:22	104:14 128:14	125:20 127:16 151:11	61:9 76:1 78:2 80:13 81:11	teenage 144:16
station 100:5	story 118:11 124:11 148:19	172:21,22 Sunday 91:12	swap 102:24	113:11 121:10	teens 27:15 Tel 224:21
statistical 6:13,23	straight 165:7	129:22,23 130:3	swear 153:21	123:2,2 125:12	telephone 2:9,16
8:6,19 9:23	stranger 217:5	130:5	sweats 105:7	125:14,16 129:4	165:6 179:17
10:24 11:6	219:15	sunshine 166:4,6	sworn 90:6,12	140:13 148:13	television 94:10
16:20 193:4	strangles 219:16	169:13 172:15	124:23 153:22	148:21 160:18	170:15,17
statistically 10:14	strapped 112:17 street 1:18 48:1	super 161:1 207:9 207:15	154:7 180:22 symptoms 105:7	179:3,19 185:1 186:25 199:23	183:11 tell 26:4 33:9 38:3
192:24 193:7	78:21 88:19	superior 193:5	218:4	210:10 220:11	43:7 61:19,22
195:10	89:5,10 96:12	supervise 24:18	system 12:19	talked 17:18 76:7	65:25 66:23
statistics 5:18,22	103:24 105:9	24:23 58:22	20:12 22:14	80:7,9 112:9,10	68:5 69:15 95:3
6:18 7:7 14:5	211:19 224:20	supervision 20:20	37:1 45:24	127:2,18 136:9	95:23 100:25
16:18 26:3 40:23	streets 89:17 stressed 144:7	24:4,9 207:14 207:16,23,24,25	67:10 75:1,3 76:17,17 137:12	148:14 152:6 169:4 174:14	102:6 104:15 105:3 107:2
stats 68:21	stressors 188:14	208:7	156:24 157:11	183:10 186:24	109:3,18,22
status 162:1,14	202:1,4 211:21	supplier 221:20	157:23 158:11	204:14 205:5	111:10 113:9,17
168:14	stripped 170:2	supply 76:21	158:23 159:12	talking 6:17 22:7	119:6,18 120:11
statute 79:25	strong 61:1	supplying 221:24	159:14 160:7	29:4 39:1,1	120:22,25,25
80:19 83:8 Stavely's 00:18	struck 97:25 101:12	support 32:13 33:16 65:9	161:21 162:5 171:20 182:23	52:24,25 80:18 80:25 83:6	122:20 125:6,21 128:19,22
Stavely's 99:18 stav 6:23 55:19	structured 29:14	115:19	185:13,15,17	118:14 121:1	130:12 133:6,9
55:19 147:13	struggling 157:11	supported 115:4	186:15,20	122:9 124:25	135:21 143:24
168:24 176:15	strung 97:5,20	supports 41:3,8	188:10,22,24,25	126:6,7 145:11	144:19 148:19
178:9,10 181:4	104:21	63:11	189:17 191:16	151:23 176:21	149:21 154:11
181:8 183:15	stuck 77:7 98:8	suppose 8:7 9:17	191:18 198:10	177:13,20	154:23 155:10
staying 5:16 179:9	176:16 studies 40:19	19:9 51:21 112:21 141:3	199:24 200:15 200:23,24 203:1	195:21 199:21 213:16 220:12	156:18 157:17 158:13 175:1,3
stays 29:6	studies 40.19 study 9:23 17:18	184:20 217:20	203:4,6 205:8	220:17	200:22 207:9
steal 26:6 221:9	115:12,24	supposed 77:8	205:11,20,22,24	talks 92:3,4	214:13
Stenotype 1:14	149:12	154:21	206:2 211:6,12	113:25 177:7	telling 5:14,17
step 153:16	stuff 21:6 46:15	supposedly 217:7	211:13	tape 151:22,24	16:2 32:19
206:23 stepchildren	52:13 95:8 97:19 103:4	suppressed 211:10	systems 59:22 157:14 159:16	160:21 162:19 163:10 164:16	39:25 82:24 118:11 121:13
107:6	128:1 147:12	Supreme 189:4	10/.17 107.10	175:23 180:19	135:15 139:10
stepdad 108:14	174:4 175:21	sure 8:3,14,25 9:5	T	184:15	139:11 143:23
stepfather 107:4	183:2 184:3	9:5 13:6,16 19:6	T 1:1 2:4	targeting 19:16	145:9 148:10
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Control of the Contro	

			ge 25		
transportation	192:17 193:6	80:15 86:20	151:7 190:25	verbalized 118:15	12:5 15:22
167:4	195:10 200:6,18	87:3 90:13	unlike 126:23	verbiage 136:21	16:17 18:6,13
travel 181:10	208:16 214:23	109:19 110:16	unlocks 198:14	verify 8:1	19:6 20:23
traveling 131:18	221:9	128:23 130:4	unrealistic	version 109:5,5	22:10 23:2,13
144:9	tune 86:16,17	140:16 144:14	104:19	versus 110:8	25:20 27:10,25
tray 173:12,13,16	turn 164:20	154:8 157:1,4	unreasonable	115:7,19,21	28:6,16 29:22
173:25 182:6,10	167:20 181:2	197:8 201:22	86:8,9,11	116:4 200:2	30:2,4,5,9,11,14
182:11,13	217:13	215:8	unrelated 130:19	211:20 219:17	30:20 31:7,13
trays 173:18	turned 13:2	undergo 206:15	unsupervised 24:7	very 9:25 11:14	32:4 34:3 36:4,8
treat 143:3 treated 188:23	turning 117:20 TV 30:24 131:21	underpinning 142:6 213:2	until 26:4 31:19	13:13 15:21 31:10 42:12,13	36:14 37:20,22 38:13 42:9
treatment 155:21	TVs 183:24	understand 14:7	55:11 101:21,24	44:5,6 58:16	44:19 49:9,19
156:9 177:1	Twelve 91:14	14:11 22:20	104:12 108:18	64:7 105:17	50:15,22 52:14
205:2	twice 108:11	23:8 25:2,5,13	156:2 167:18	107:5,8 108:23	55:3 56:9 72:4
treatments 205:4	two 14:20 24:6,11	34:22 38:12	178:20,21	109:13 116:12	75:8 76:25 86:4
treats 144:10	43:6 72:14	42:11 47:21,25	199:22 205:5	128:21 139:17	110:19 140:2,16
tree 46:22	75:15 78:9 94:8	48:10,14 57:2	223:3	141:1,12 159:14	171:22 187:17
trial 1:2,8 78:16	95:10 111:19	57:11 61:14,16	unusual 82:20	162:8 167:14,16	192:4 195:6,19
82:11 84:3	112:1 117:23	61:17 67:9	upper 166:5	169:25 170:4	199:12 200:7,9
97:18 104:11	122:21 132:15	81:17 82:5 84:4	upwards 160:3	171:21 172:21	201:15 210:13
112:9,11 128:7	135:16 144:12	85:2 86:5 87:1	urinating 176:11	173:9 175:16	212:21 213:1,7
134:17,24	146:9 152:13	107:20 119:13	urine 76:11	176:12 182:13	213:9,14,18
137:14 148:12	158:13 159:1	123:10,16	176:11	182:15 184:11	214:25 215:4,5
151:19 194:20	161:4,6 165:11	127:22 130:22	use 14:4,8 52:10	184:11 185:2,9	215:13,14,20
196:9 206:8	165:15,22 175:6	131:5 142:22	53:14,25 97:11	187:19 188:25	216:2,16,19
223:3	183:13,21	143:2 152:16	105:6 171:13	192:21 194:21	217:8,10,10,13
trials 151:16,16	189:25 192:1	160:23 180:7	174:16 175:14	198:8,11 200:11	217:14 218:4
151:20	194:4 197:2	195:9 207:12	175:15 182:9,20	200:21 203:5	219:23
trick 76:17	217:15	217:6	185:3,13,17,22	205:21 206:18	violent 6:22 7:20
189:18	two-man 173:21	understandable	185:24 186:12	206:18 211:23	16:4,6,12,14
tricks 185:14	two-prong	14:23,24	186:21 200:23	218:10 219:24	19:24 21:19
tried 68:12 99:16 116:23	158:18	understanding	205:23 216:23 221:23	veterans 92:24	22:24 34:18
trinkets 183:2,3	type 10:1 82:20 146:7 161:11,12	14:8 34:14 46:4 51:10 61:5 85:9	used 43:13 45:9	vicious 56:15,18 56:20	35:2 36:2 38:7 39:2 48:1,2
triplets 131:16	161:18 162:10	85:10 125:24	78:13 82:23	victim 87:20	49:14 50:13
trouble 20:14	192:25 208:4	132:20,24	92:23 93:11	186:25 187:17	56:21 57:17
21:1 37:3 52:1	216:14 218:1	147:16 212:10	102:17 158:15	219:19,20,21,22	59:2 74:3 77:12
57:3.83:16	219:23	undue 133:3	159:10 170:15	220:2	77:16 78:15,18
126:1	types 129:2 141:8	135:4	182:20	victimization	79:10,11 135:12
troublemakers	176:17 187:15	unfair 27:3	useful 172:20	219:22	140:25 141:18
186:5,21	217:15	unfortunate	user 10:24	victimized 219:6	142:8 169:16,17
truancy 44:11,17	typewriter 172:3	170:16	uses 104:18 210:9	victimizer 187:7	175:25 176:8,8
truant 57:16	typical 54:9	Unibomber 36:17	using 53:7 56:1	victimizers	178:7 181:13,16
true 18:9 69:22	156:11	36:23	185:13	186:25 187:7,13	182:5 187:19,23
139:6,14 143:22	typically 29:4	uniforms 203:8	usually 194:5	187:24 188:1	192:3 195:12,12
147:13,24 148:1	31:3 55:21	unilaterally	utilitarian 143:25	victimizers/victim	196:2,6,13
148:9 149:19	164:24	115:12	utility 120:23	187:10	197:2,8 198:20
192:9 206:7		unimpaired	UTMB 205:11	victims 37:7	209:23 213:10
224:6	U	74:19		118:25 161:20	215:1,25 216:2
truly 140:13	U.S 115:8 116:5	unit 128:15	V V	161:22 162:2	217:5,6 218:5
224:10 trustees 176:5	Uh-huh 11:16	129:24,24 132:7 142:16 158:16	V 1:4 4:3	186:25 187:8,12 187:12,24 188:2	violently 197:9 virtually 11:24
192:2	63:22 67:19	158:16 159:19	vacation 144:9	188:21 219:14	17:10 30:22
truth 90:13	97:10 101:11 142:13 187:6	159:21,22,22,25	vacuum 57:6 value 12:19 60:21	220:4,4	virtue 43:17,18
139:24 154:8	197:18 201:14	164:4,5,10,12	75:1,3 126:21	video 30:12,14	virus 10:1
try 14:4 19:17	205:1 210:18	164:13 165:2,3	130:23 145:19	videos 162:10	visit 126:5 179:6
20:6 23:21	222:11	165:4,6,9,10	146:10,10,16,18	videotape 4:5	visitation 43:18
39:22 48:8	unable 11:11	178:10 205:20	174:6 206:18	162:16	visited 101:17
60:20 67:20	77:21	United 6:8 30:23	values 23:18	videotaping	157:10,14
69:19 76:21	unaffected 62:3	92:24 95:7	41:15 42:4	160:14	visiting 126:3
100:10 211:19	unanimously	144:6 189:2	65:14,14,21	view 51:13 60:8	vocational 203:4
trying 9:10 17:6	81:4 137:25	units 129:2 159:4	107:12	82:15 84:9 86:7	203:9
23:3 39:20 41:3	193:25	159:15 160:15	various 156:8	86:8 129:5	voir 3:4 12:7
42:25 50:2,12	unarmed 207:23	161:1,9 165:5	157:14 159:4,13	135:5 138:21,22	110:24 111:19
55:23 60:11	unattended 24:7	207:5	160:8 174:17	139:7,20	112:6,8 116:14
67:24 82:1 84:2	unavailable 36:9	University 205:11	205:21 214:4	viewed 51:1	116:17
84:3 85:8 106:8	Uncle 53:5	unless 6:19 7:17	216:8 219:6	views 30:1	volume 1:1,8 3:3
118:17,19	under 5:7 49:24	30:23 31:14	vary 198:6	vigilantly 22:3	3:11 164:20
120:22 142:22	50:14,24 52:13	68:19 81:4,8	vast 84:20 199:15	violate 185:20 violations 217:7	224:7,12 vote 183:11
144:16 150:12 150:13 160:6,6	61:6 65:9 66:14	108:25 109:13 125:13 148:7	vastly 47:23 vehicle 73:25	violence 11:18	vulnerabilities
130.13 100.0,0	71:5 76:25	143.13 170./	venicie /3.23	TOTAL TITLE	Tunciaumico

Page	. 27

		Pa	ge 27		
147:9 196:9,12 196:16 199:6					
wrong-doer					
178:19 Wyndham 203:2					
Wynn 132:7					
<u> </u>	·				
yard 96:5					Si sa
yeah 7:9 37:1 40:6,10 62:11					
62:19 63:3					
70:17 71:2 95:2 107:18,20 108:4			}		
118:7 130:11					
166:16 180:9 220:16 222:3					archia.
year 93:1 95:5,20					2)(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
108:12,20 115:20 131:14			1		
year-to-year					
211:7 years 12:16 22:5					
30:23 72:10,11					<u> </u>
91:10 95:10,22 96:2 113:20					
128:10,17,17,18					
131:19 132:15 137:5,5,9,15,19		}			
144:4,7 156:14				4	
178:11,15 190:5 190:11 200:14		}			
yelling 176:6,11					
yellow 181:7,7 yesses 68:5				1	
yesterday 11:9			}	<u> </u>	
17:14 33:23 69:3 83:21 84:1		Ì			
84:19 112:4					
114:20 young 30:14 32:4					
75:6 142:15					
younger 24:11 108:19					
youth 37:14,15					
37:20 131:16 Yummy 182:8					100 mg
<b>Z</b> zone 39:14,19					
,,,,,,					
					2.25
		·			
					The second
					-
				İ	**: 17 July 10
			,	į	
			İ		Branca.
					S. Charles
	į				