1 REPORTER'S RECORD VOLUME 7 OF 53 2 Trial Court Cause No. 380-80047-01		Page 1
Trial Court Cause No. 380-80047-01 THE STATE OF TEXAS * IN THE 380TH DISTRICT COURT TO A STATE OF TEXAS * IN THE 380TH DISTRICT COURT TO A STATE OF TEXAS * IN THE 380TH DISTRICT COURT TO A STATE OF TEXAS * IN THE 380TH DISTRICT COURT TO A STATE OF COLLIN COUNTY, TEXAS TO A STATE OF COLLIN COUNTY, TEXAS REPORTER'S RECORD - VOLUME 7 INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE CAPITAL MURDER JURY TRIAL On the 23rd day of August, 2001, the Individual Voir Dire came on to be heard outside the presence of a jury, in the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661	1	·
* IN THE 380TH DISTRICT COURT * 4 V. * 5 IVAN ABNER CANTU * OF COLLIN COUNTY, TEXAS 6 7 8 REPORTER'S RECORD - VOLUME 7 INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE 9 CAPITAL MURDER JURY TRIAL 10 11 12 On the 23rd day of August, 2001, the Individual Voir Dire came on to be heard outside the presence of a jury, in 13 the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, 14 Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: 15 Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted 16 Transcription. 17 LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661		VOLUME 7 OF 53
* V. * IVAN ABNER CANTU * OF COLLIN COUNTY, TEXAS REPORTER'S RECORD - VOLUME 7 INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE CAPITAL MURDER JURY TRIAL On the 23rd day of August, 2001, the Individual Voir Dire came on to be heard outside the presence of a jury, in the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661	2	Trial Court Cause No. 380-80047-01
* OF COLLIN COUNTY, TEXAS * ON THE ADDITIONAL MANUAL PROPERTY OF TEXAS * ON THE ADDITIONAL PROPERTY OF TEXAS * OF COLLIN COUNTY, TEXAS * OF COLUN COUNTY, TEXAS * OF COLUN COUNTY, TEXAS * OF COLIN COUNTY, TEXAS * OF COLUN COUNTY, TEXAS * OF COLUN COUNTY, TE	3	THE STATE OF TEXAS * IN THE 380TH DISTRICT COURT
TINDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE On the 23rd day of August, 2001, the Individual Voir Dire came on to be heard outside the presence of a jury, in the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661		*
* OF COLLIN COUNTY, TEXAS REPORTER'S RECORD - VOLUME 7 INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE On the 23rd day of August, 2001, the Individual Voir Dire came on to be heard outside the presence of a jury, in the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661	4	V. *
REPORTER'S RECORD - VOLUME 7 INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE CAPITAL MURDER JURY TRIAL On the 23rd day of August, 2001, the Individual Voir Dire came on to be heard outside the presence of a jury, in the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661		*
REPORTER'S RECORD - VOLUME 7 INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE CAPITAL MURDER JURY TRIAL On the 23rd day of August, 2001, the Individual Voir Dire came on to be heard outside the presence of a jury, in the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661	5	IVAN ABNER CANTU * OF COLLIN COUNTY, TEXAS
REPORTER'S RECORD - VOLUME 7 INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE CAPITAL MURDER JURY TRIAL On the 23rd day of August, 2001, the Individual Voir Dire came on to be heard outside the presence of a jury, in the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661		
REPORTER'S RECORD - VOLUME 7 INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE CAPITAL MURDER JURY TRIAL On the 23rd day of August, 2001, the Individual Voir Dire came on to be heard outside the presence of a jury, in the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661		
INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE 9 CAPITAL MURDER JURY TRIAL 10 11 12 On the 23rd day of August, 2001, the Individual Voir Dire came on to be heard outside the presence of a jury, in the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: 15 Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. 16 LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 17 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661	_	DEDODMEDIC DECORD MOLIME 7
CAPITAL MURDER JURY TRIAL On the 23rd day of August, 2001, the Individual Voir Dire came on to be heard outside the presence of a jury, in the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661	Ö	
On the 23rd day of August, 2001, the Individual Voir Dire came on to be heard outside the presence of a jury, in the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661	Ω	
On the 23rd day of August, 2001, the Individual Voir Dire came on to be heard outside the presence of a jury, in the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661	_	
On the 23rd day of August, 2001, the Individual Voir Dire came on to be heard outside the presence of a jury, in the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661		COPY
Dire came on to be heard outside the presence of a jury, in the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661		On the 23rd day of August 2001 the Individual Voir
the above-entitled and -numbered cause; and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661	12	
proceedings were had before the Honorable Charles F. Sandoval, Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661	13	
Judge Presiding, held in McKinney, Collin County, Texas: Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Öfficial Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661	10	
Proceedings reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661	14	
Machine; Reporter's Record produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription. LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661 9 20 21 22 23 24		
16 Transcription. 17 LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Öfficial Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 18 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661 19 20 21 22 23 24	10	
17 LISA M. RENFRO, Texas CSR #4534 Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 18 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661 19 20 21 22 23 24	16	
Official Court Reporter - 380th Judicial District Court 210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661 19 20 21 22 23 24		•
210 S. McDonald Street, McKinney, Texas 75069 (972) 548-4661 19 20 21 22 23 24	Ι,	·
(972) 548-4661 19 20 21 22 23 24	1.8	
19 20 21 22 23 24	10	
20 21 22 23 24	10	(3/2) 340 4001
21 22 23 24		
22 23 24		
23 24		
$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$		
or the second of		
		Will a survey of the second
1 FMT FWW.	2	willy Ething

Page 2 1	Page 4 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (Open court, jury not present.) 3 THE COURT: This is Cause Number 8-double 0-47. 4 Let the record reflect the defendant and the attorneys for the 5 defendant and the attorneys for the State are present in this 6 case. We've got four jurors waiting to be questioned 7 individually. Does either side have anything for me to bring 8 up? 9 MS. FALCO: Yes, Your Honor. Before we bring 10 the jurors in regarding the criminal histories of jurors, I 11 was going to go ahead and put on the record through the first 12 16, who don't have any criminal history based on our running 13 of TCIC/NCIC. 14 With regard to Juror Number 1, Clarence Harrison, he 15 had a DWI in 1984 out of Dallas. Juror Number 12, Daniel 16 Grath, he has and I don't know the finality of it, but he 17 has passing a bad check, a misdemeanor, out of Georgia. That 18 was 1996. 19 And then Juror Number 14, Mr. Johnson, he was 20 arrested in 1985 in San Antonio for a misdemeanor theft and 21 received deferred adjudication. I don't have any follow-up to 22 know whether he completed that deferred or whether he was ever 23 revoked. In 1987 he was arrested in San Antonio for burglary 24 of a hab with intent to commit theft, and I don't know the 25 disposition of that. And in 1988
Page 3 1 CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX 2 August 23, 2001 Volume 7 3 Voir Dire 4 PAGE 5 Criminal histories of the first 16 venirepersons 6 VENIREPERSONS 7 Name/Examination By: STATE DEFENSE PAGE V. 8 HARRISON, CLARENCE 8, 91 59 6 Defendant's Peremptory Strike 105 9 RAIL, PETER 105, 191 147 6 10 Defendant's Peremptory strike 201 11 STRAIN, SANDRA 204 6 Excused by agreement 204 12 RATSAVONG, KAPHET 205 6 13 Excused by agreement 209 14 CALHOUN, THOMAS 210 244 6	Page 5 1 MR. HIGH: I'm sorry, you're going too fast. 2 MS. FALCO: I'm sorry. 1987, San Antonio 3 arrested for burglary of hab; disposition unknown. And in 4 1988 he was arrested in San Antonio for criminal trespass, but 5 that was dismissed. And that's all I have through Number 16. 6 MR. GOELLER: I believe I got (inaudible). 7 THE COURT: Have we got anything else from 8 either side? 9 MR. GOELLER: Your Honor, before we start the 10 individual voir dire, I had filed a Motion to Quash the 11 Indictment. I was asking this court to declare the Texas 12 death penalty unconstitutional for 22 reasons stated in my 13 motion, and the court was going to defer ruling on that motion 14 at some time. And I thought I might ask the court if I could 15 get a ruling on each of the paragraphs in my motion before we 16 start individual voir dire.

PAGE

Accepted by both sides

18 End of Volume 7.

17 Reporter's Certificate 281

15 get a ruling on each of the paragraphs in my motion before we
16 start individual voir dire.
17 THE COURT: It's overruled in it's entirety.
18 MR. GOELLER: Thank you, sir. Will the Court
19 reconsider declaring the Texas death penalty unconstitutional?
20 THE COURT: Well, I'm terribly open minded,
21 but I don't think that I would.
22 MR. GOELLER: Thank you.
23 THE COURT: But you can raise it again if you
24 want.
25 MR. GOELLER: Yes, sir.

Page 6 Page 8 1 understand the reasons for that. Those have been explained to 1 THE COURT: I tell you what, the jurors have 2 you. 2 all been sworn with regard to the questions they're going to 3 be asked. Is there anything else I need to say when they come 3 Now, I have read the questionnaire and many times it 4 in, as far as y'all are concerned? 4 seems -- although you did a very good job on the death penalty 5 MR. SCHULTZ: The State can't think of 5 questions. Sometimes people not understanding exactly how the 6 death penalty scheme works in Texas will answer questions that 6 anything, Judge. 7 THE COURT: All right. Let's bring in our 7 may seem to mean one thing, but they really don't. For 8 jurist. 8 example, you might ask a juror the open-ended question, do you 9 (Discussion off the record.) believe a person in a capital murder case, if convicted, 10 MR. GOELLER: I'd ask the court to remind them 10 should receive the death penalty? And if they put yes, that 11 they are still under oath. 11 might mean that they think it ought to be automatic, and I 12 think Mr. Goeller clearly talked to everybody yesterday about 12 THE COURT: All right. Sounds good. 13 the fact there is no automatic death penalty in Texas. It has 13 MR. SCHULTZ: I agree with your position. 14 to do with what the jury finds in the second part of the 14 THE COURT: We've got some common ground here. 15 trial. 15 Sir, are you Clarence Harrison? 16 But it could also simply mean that in a juror's mind VENIREPERSON: Yes. 16 17 THE COURT: Sir, I want to remind you that 17 not familiar with our language and our -- exactly how the laws 18 you're still under oath. 18 work, it just seems, well, if you're convicted of capital 19 VENIREPERSON: Yes. 19 murder that must mean a death sentence follows, and I think if THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Schultz. 20 a death sentence is ordered one ouight to be carried out. Do 20 21 you follow what I'm saying? DIRECT QUESTIONS 21 22 A. Yes, sir. 22 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 23 Q. I don't get a sense of that problem from your Q. Mr. Harrison, my name is Bill Schultz. I don't 23 24 questionnaire because it looks to me like you're directly on, 24 guess we met yesterday, but we actually had a couple of words 25 and as I read your observations about the death penalty they 25 spoken then. I am an Assistant District Attorney representing Page 7 Page 9 1 all seem to fit what you indicated initially when you said 1 the State of Texas in the capital prosecution of Ivan Cantu. 2 The lady to my immediate left is Gail Falco, who is the chief 2 that you were not in favor of the death penalty because you 3 felony prosecutor assigned to the 199th, on loan to this court 3 believe someone who has to serve the rest of their life in . 4 for this trial. And to her left is Ms. Jami Lowry, who is a prison is enough. And I'm sure that's still your position 5 felony prosecutor actually assigned to this court. Moving 5 because we're only talking about a couple of days since you 6 over to this table, you've already previously been introduced wrote that. Am I right on that? 6 7 to the defendant, Ivan Cantu, and then to his immediate left A. I want to say yes. Naturally, I didn't know when I 8 is Mr. Don High, a board certified criminal law specialist 8 came the other day what kind of case this was going to be 9 9 practicing law in Plano, Texas and a true gentleman, and to naturally. 10 his left is Mr. Matt Goeller, who is, I'm thinking, probably 10 Q. Sure. A. So I've had a little time to think about it a little 11 the lead attorney on this case. Also, a Plano practitioner 11 12 and also board certified and also a very fine gentleman. 12 more. 13 And I do this introduction to you again just because 13 Q. Okay. Do you remember when I did what I did about 14 as we go along with this process here today, I would 14 the death penalty -- it was early on -- when I asked everybody 15 anticipate it would be friendly and laid back and the 15 to look at the defendant? Did you understand the point I was 16 interchange should just be fun because I think the lawyers 16 trying to illustrate with that? Did that make sense to you 17 want to explain to you what your service might entail. I 17 what I was doing? 18 A. Yes. 18 know you would want to explain to us something about yourself as it would relate to this case and your fairness to serve in 19 Q. And again, the reason I did that is not because I 20 this particular type of a case. And I already understand you 20 think it's funny. Believe me, I don't get any thrill in 21 don't know any of us, and that's probably fine. 21 talking about these issues, and I don't take this lightly or 22 I also know you understand what I said yesterday 22 it's not funny just because it's -- I respect the defendant's 23 about we're not unfriendly, but once we finish talking with 23 life. I believe the evidence is going to require that it be 24 taken in it an orderly fashion, but it doesn't mean that human 24 you here today if you are selected, you'll probably think 25 we're shying away from you, and we probably are, but you 25 life is trivial to me. But I want everybody to understand

Page 10	Page 12
this is the real thing.	l out. That's one body of thought, okay?
It's almost like you were in Vietnam. I don't	2 A. Right.
get the impression you were actually in combat; am I right?	3 Q. Another body of thought is simply perhaps that it's
A. I was for about two years.	4 wrong of us as a society to take life because there's
O. You were actually in combat. Did you see action?	5 something almost inconsistent with the notion that, on the o

)	Ų.	You were actually in combat. Did you see action?
6	A.	Yes.

7	→Q. Then I think you'll understand what I'm saying.
8	It's very, very different, whether it's Vietnam or Bosnia or
^	No. of Dood Telescope of simultaneous contracts size

9	Normandy Beach.	It's very much simpler when we're sitting in
10	our living rooms th	ninking about what country we ought to go

			•	_	_
11	fight and what	areas should be bon	bed when it's	not us o	loing

13 A. Sure.

1 this is the real thing.

2

3

Q. And all the sudden when faced with the reality, no 14

15 matter what your view is, faced with the reality of having to 16 drop bombs, whatever happens, a lot changes. And I'd be

17 willing to bet with that -- without knowing, I'd be willing to

18 bet a lot of your view about war and responsibility of

19 soldiers and things might have been influenced by what you saw

20 in Vietnam that you wouldn't have understood if you stayed at

21 home and gone to college or had some deferment. Does that

22 make sense what I'm saying?

23 A. Absolutely.

Q. And so because when you get into the real thing, 24

25 because I know it changes people, that's why I did it. I want

sistent with the notion that, on the one

6 hand, we're willing to execute people for their murders, and

in a sense, it's almost like we're doing a murder ourself.

That's another view, and that doesn't mean those are the only

9 ones. 10 Can you, in your own words, tell me what you mean

when you say that you believe that someone who has to serve

the rest of their life in prison is enough? Can you tell me

what that means to you?

A. Well, like I said earlier I had -- I had time to 14

15 think a little more on the situation.

16 Q. Uh-huh.

17

A. And I feel I've always been a Christian.

18

19 A. I've had a lot of faith in God, and I feel that

20 someone does something wrong, naturally the good Lord is going

21 to take care of it, and that person has got to live within

22 theirself.

23 O. Okay.

A. So when I said someone is going to spend the rest of 24

25 their life in prison, then they're going to be - they're

Page 11

T you to really understand that if the State has it's way in

2 terms of what we believe to be the correct evidence and the

3 correct view of this case, if we have our way, he will

4 ultimately die for the conduct -- if the jury finds him guilty

5 of the conduct we allege?

6 A. Right.

Q. And I know I don't get a sense from you that that

8 bothers you. You may think I'm a bad person because I speak

frankly that way.

A. No. 10

Q. Even if you don't agree with the death penalty,

12 there's room in our land for people to disagree. That's what

13 makes America (inaudible). You've got one idea, and I've got

14 another, and maybe out of the middle comes new law or new ways

15 of thinking.

16 When someone says to me that they think a life

17 sentence is proper punishment for murder that -- through the

18 years I've learned that can mean a couple of things. There is

19 a body of thought that executions are perhaps too kind and too

20 humane and that almost the punishment of it all gets lost.

21 That's one view, and the thinking is, wouldn't it be better to

22 let some of these people just have their carcasses rot slowly

23 in some hole down in Huntsville, Texas, so they can watch

24 their flesh age and decay, and think the reason I'm doing it

25 in here is because of what I've done, and I'm never getting

Page 13

going to be living, but they're going to be living with that

thought hanging over their head for the rest of their life.

O. Okay.

A. So that's a pretty big penalty right there, I would 4

5 say.

Q. Okay. 6

A. But on the other hand, from knee thinking for the 7

last day or so about capital murder, someone that deliberately

does something intentionally, to take another life

deliberately, I think they should be - I think the death 10

penalty should come into view.

Q. And, you know, it's interesting because it's 12

possible for people to be opposed to the death penalty and

still function well within the system and do their duty in a

proper way. And oftne analogize it to military service, and I

know it's not the same, but in many ways it is because I

suspect most of the people that get into combat -- most of our

military personnel that actually see action, probably would

rather not be there, number one. And I know there are some

exceptions, but I don't think decent people of conscience get

a thrill out of killing. I don't care if you're 17 and all

excited. If you stop and back off for a second, I doubt

23 anybody gets a thrill out of killing another human being, no

24 matter what the reason. I would hope it would be rare,

25 because this is a good country.

Page 14 Page 16 But nevertheless it has to be done sometimes, and Q. So you could consider -- you'd still be able to 2 I'll bet you knew a lot of people over in southeast Asia that consider yourself true to your Christian teachings and vote 3 probably felt that way, and if given a choice would probably for a death penalty if we convince you that should be the way 4 prefer not to have been in a situation where they had to be the vote should be? 5 doing that. Is that a fair statement? A. Right. Q. Okay. By the way, we're not giving you a choice, 6 A. Yes, sir. Q. And yet, they did their duty in a way that they but if you had a choice, would you prefer to be on or off this jury, Mr. Harrison? What do you want to do, and it's okay 8 ought to be proud of and that society ought to be proud of, with us. 9 don't you think? 10 A. Yes, sir. 10 A. I would say off. 11 Q. When you're in the military, you get to vote, and 11 Q. Okay. 12 you can vote for candidates that change what we're doing over 12 A. And I could state my reason. 13 13 there if you want to, but if you believe in the system when Q. Okay. 14 called upon to serve, it's not the service always of your 14 A. I recently last year had a stroke. 15 choosing. And I think that's important particularly in death 15 16 penalty cases because I haven't met the juror yet who comes in 16 A. And my neurologist that I see said that a lot of 17 stress can cause a lot of problems in my situation, and 17 here under oath and says, you know, Mr. Schultz, I hope the 18 recently I had seen my neurologist. I had been having some 18 evidence is strong enough for me to be able to kill this 19 dizzy spells, and my heart was beating pretty fast at night 19 person by my vote because that to me seems exciting. I've not when I'd go to sleep. So, he sent me to a heart doctor where 20 met a juror like that yet, and I bet I never will. 21 I had a monitor put on me for a day, 24 hours. I haven't got 21 As a matter of fact, I've met jurors who would say, 22 the results back from that, but I just feel that the intensity 22 I will do my duty, but I sure hope that the evidence doesn't 23 of this type of case, a capital murder case, and the duration 23 make me go that way, because if I had a choice between coming 24 out of here and keeping somebody alive or not, in my heart I 24 of time that it would take, that the stress - I wouldn't be 25 able to - I wouldn't be able to hold up to what y'all are 25 think I'd feel a little better about voting the life.way. Do Page 17 Page 15 l looking for. 1 you know what I'm saying? 2 2 A. Yes, sir. Q. Did you consider talking with Judge Sandoval about 3 that a couple of days ago? Did you think about that then? 3 Q. So, I don't get that sense from you, either. Let me A. Well, I did, but I didn't know when would be a good 4 ask you this: Do you think being able to serve in a capital 5 time to - you know, to mention it. 5 case and vote in a way that causes the death penalty to be Q. Okay. Let's assume for a second that that doesn't 6 imposed, do you consider that to be compatible with your get you off. Let's just assume for a second. And that's not 7 Christian values? my call, anyway. That's someone else's call. But let's 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Because some people -- people can go both ways on assume that does not get you excused from jury service. 10 Do you have a job now? 10 that, and I'm the last person on earth to be talking much 11 A. Yes, sir. 11 about this, but it relates to your service and you brought it 12 up is the only reason I'm going to talk about it. Some people 12 Q. What do you do for a living now? 13 take literally the concept that thou shall not kill to apply 13 A. I'm a technician with Raytheon. 14 to almost all killings, because it doesn't have any 14 Q. And where do you work, in McKinney? 15 A. Yes, sir, in McKinney. 15 exceptions. It doesn't say, though shall not kill, except in Q. All right. Is that a stressful job? 16 self-defense; thou shall not kill except in time of war, or 16 A. To an extent, but not that bad. 17 17 thou shall not kill as an executioner or a jury on an Q. Have you been on any kind of disability leave or 18 execution case. It just says thou shall not kill. Some 18 19 anything like that from your job? 19 people take it real seriously. You had medics in Vietnam that A. No, sir. 20 took it seriously in the war context, for example. 20 21 21 Q. And you're not missing work, and you're able to --Other people say, well, it's okay because it's the 22 what time do you get to work each day? 22 law of the land, and my values teach me that obeying the law 23 A. I get to work at 6:30 every morning. 23 of the land is part of Christianity because it's talked about

24

24 in scripture. Do you know what I'm saying?

25

A. Yes.

Q. That's pretty stressful to me just getting up that

25 time of morning. What time do you get off?

Page 18 A. Usually 3. Sometimes I'll work an hour over. ì 2 Have you ever had any problems there at work? 3 4 Q. If you are asked to serve on this jury, are you 5 going to feel like you've been put upon or that people aren't 6 sympathetic to your situation? 7 A. Well, I mean I just told the truth of how -8 Q. I know you did. 9 A. - I really couldn't answer that. I don't know. Q. Well, even if you did I guess the more important 10 11 question is, are you going to take that out on anybody, 12 either the State or the defendant, the fact that you're here 13 and you don't want to be? Are you going to punish somebody 14 over that? 15 A. No. 16 20 that, would you? A. No.

21 22 Q. We're getting ready to explain to you the questions 23 in a little bit more detail. I'm going to bring them up 24 closer to you so you can see them in a second. The first part 25 of the trial entails you listening to evidence as a juror and

Q. I mean, you wouldn't want, for example, to allow a 17 dangerous person to go free because you were frustrated, and 18 you wouldn't want to have someone executed that didn't need to 19 be executed because you were frustrated? You wouldn't be like Page 19 1 determining whether or not the State, beyond a reasonable 2 doubt, has proved the defendant's guilt of capital murder. If 3 the State fails to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that he's 4 guilty of capital murder, for sure he can't be convicted of 18 Q. I didn't ask you if you are the kind of man that 19 wants to do that because I understand that part. But you're 20 able do that? 21 A. Right.

		games or capital market, for sale he can't be convicted or
	5	that crime because we failed to prove it.
	6	It may be that he's guilty of something less than
	7	capital murder, like maybe regular murder. Perhaps for some
	8	reason we weren't able to demonstrate two people were
-	9	killed. Perhaps we weren't able to satisfy to your
. 1	10	satisfaction a burglary or a robbery. For some reason, part
1	11	of it's there, but not all. Does that make sense to you?
i	12	A. Yes.
	13	Q. If part of it's there, but not all of it, then you'd
	14	consider the lesser-included offense, whether that be
	15	burglary, robbery or murder of one person. And there may be
	16	some other possibilities that I won't go into. I think them
	17	unlikely. You won't have any problem with that in following
	18	instructions to convict the defendant of what he's guilty of
	19	and nothing else. That wouldn't be a problem, would it?
	20	A. No.
	21	Q. Some people might say, well, you know, if I give him
	22	a lesser-included included offense, I can't give him the death
	23	penalty. They kind of put the cart before the horse, and they
	24	say, what this man did was so awful, he ought to die for, and
	25	then they try to adjust what they find him guilty of to
į	80.00 M	

7	ancady know that's not a right thing to do, but it he to	IG
5	you not to do that, you could follow that instruction,	
6	couldn't you?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. The same thing is also true, if in your heart you	u
9	listen to this evidence and say, gosh, I don't want to ki	111
10	this guy, it's not right for you to adjust the crime down	ı to
11	something less than capital murder so that doesn't hav	e to be
12	an issue for you. Do you know what I'm saying?	
13	A. Right.	
14	Q. Are you a baseball fan by any chance?	
15	A. Not really.	
16	Q. How about football?	
17	A. A little bit.	
18	Q. What's your favorite sport?	
19	A. Golf.	
20	Q. I was hoping it wouldn't be something like Lac	rosse.
21	You understand the concept of baseball. Many	times
22	umpires are out there, and they've got to call balls or	
23	strikes in ways that are fair. And they're human beings	s
24	like everybody else. You know, if you think about it,	if
25	you're the umpire, and Nolan Ryan is about the pitch h	is
	Page 21	
1	seventh no hitter. And you've done so much for him that	you
2	want that next pitch to be that third strike so that he gets	
3	his no-hitter. Who cares about the sport. All anybody ca	
4	about, home team or visiting team, they just everybody	
5	wants to see him get his no-hitter. And there's tremendou	
6	pressure probably on the umpire hoping it will be that wa	у,
7	but they've still got to call a ball if it's a ball; do you	
8	know what I'm saying.	
9	And we have (inaudible) jurors, too, because there	thar
	may be times where you want it to go one way or the ano	
	but if you're true to your oath, you've got to vote according	ıg
	to that, right?	
13	A. Yes, sir.	do
14	Q. And you think you're the kind of man who could on the same of t	10
15	that?	
16	A. Yes.	
17	A. I'm sure I could.	

Q. Now, a couple of questions, and this could be areas 23 where I think maybe you didn't understand the question because 24 everybody was raising a lot. You indicated that you trust the 25 criminal justice system in Collin County. Is there anything

Page 20

Q. And the judge told you that's not right. You

4 already know that's not a right thing to do, but if he told

1 facilitate that. Does that make sense?

2

3

A. Yes.

Page 22 l in particular that you think you of or just seems to you like 2 it's going all right up here? Is there some special situation 3 where you indicate that you strongly agree that you trust the criminal justice system? Is there something we do especially good up here that you like, or you just have a sense we do good work up here? 7 A. I feel you are doing a good job and that you will 8 continue to improve. 9 Q. Okay. Absolutely, we all can. And you believe 10 that criminal laws treat criminal defendants too harshly, and 11 you disagree with that? 12 A. Too harshly? 13 Q. The question was, "Criminal laws, including 14 sentences and punishment, treat criminal defendants too 15 harshly." And you disagree with that statement, which I guess 16 means that you don't that they're treated too harshly? 17 A. No. I think they're right on what they should be. 18 Q. So, that part seems to make sense to you. We're not 19 too easy on them? 20 A. Right. 21 Q. We're not too cruel to them. 22 And here you put if someone is accused of capital 23 murder he should have to prove his innocence. And you Page 23 THE COURT: Yes.

24 strongly agree with that, according to what you circled. 25 MR. SCHULTZ: May I approach the juror, Judge? 2 BY MR. SCHULTZ: I apologize for the yellow 3 highlighting. Those are things that we noticed that we wanted 4 to talk about, and we just did that so you'll know what we're 5 talking about. See that question right there? Take a second 6 if you want to go ahead and read it and get your glasses out. 7 A. All right. Q. Now, absolutely there's no quarrel with anybody 9 like Mr. Goeller said yesterday. Whatever your ideas are, we 10 know they're fine and we want to know them. Who do you 11 understand to have the burden of proof in a criminal trial? 12 Who is that on, the burden of proving guilt or innocence? A. Well, I really don't know a whole lot about trials 14 naturally, but the prosecutor is the person that's doing the 15 proving, or bring out all of the facts, and the defense 16 attorneys, of course, are going to try to say what they think 17 happened or whatever. But when you get down to the bottom 18 line, the proof of what happened will decide, to me, whether a 19 person is guilty or innocent. 20 Q. Okay. Who do you think should have -- and you're --21 that statement, I think we'd all agree with what you're 22 saying. Who do you think should have to do the proving before 23 there can be a conviction? 24 A. Well, the prosecutor. 25 Q. Okay. If you stop and think about it for just a

Page 24

- 1 second, does that make sense to you that we're the ones that
- 2 have to do the proving?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. It seems to make sense for a couple of reasons.
- 5 Number one, the reason we're here in court, I suppose you
- 6 could say, is the conduct of the defendant perhaps. But the
- true reason we're here in court is we did -- we did the
- 3 accusing, and so if we're going to do the charging, it's kind
- 9 of like they've got the right to say prove it. We say no, you
- 10 prove it. They've got that right, and they can either say no
- 11 by themselves. They can do it through their lawyer. Their
- 12 lawyer can say on behalf of my client I say prove it. They
- 13 don't have to say a word. They can just stand up there, and
- 14 respectfully refuse to answer the judge's question how do you
- 15 plead? They can stand there and won't answer the man, and
- 16 that's okay. He wouldn't get mad. He could enter a plea of
- 17 not guilty. Are you with me?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. We've got to do the proving of almost everything in
- 20 this trial, and almost all of it we have to prove beyond a
- 21 reasonable doubt. That's the law. That's my responsibility.
- 22 I gladly accept that because that protects you and me if we're
- 23 sitting over there some day, but that's our job. They don't
- 24 have to prove anything if they don't want to. There may be a
- 25 couple of areas where they've got some burdens to prove, and I

- 1 don't think they apply in this case.
- For example, they have a burden on the insanity
- 3 defense. There's been no indication that's going to be
- 4 raised. There's been no notice of that. I'm assuming that's
- 5 the case. But if there were an insanity defense, the defense
- 6 has to prove that. Entrapment. There are certain thing that
- 7 are what we call affirmative defenses, and they have to be
- 8 proved. But mostly they have the right to just sit there and
- 9 not ask any questions and not bring out anything. They can if
- 10 they want to. The defendant doesn't have to testify. He can
- 11 if he wants to, but he can just sit there and quietly observe,
- 12 and the lawyers don't have to ask any questions at all. And
- 13 still we have the burden of proof. Nothing ever changes that.
- 14 Does that make sense to you?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. If you would, go ahead and read that question
- 17 again. I hope you didn't put your glasses too far away. Read
- 18 that one I circled.
- A. If someone is accused of a capital murder he should 20 have to prove his innocence.
- 21 Q. After talking with me, is that your -- is that still
- 22 your thought after we've thought about it for a while?
- 23 A. Absolutely.
- Q. Well, if we have the burden of proof to prove he's
- 25 guilty, how can you say he should have to prove his innocence?

Page 26 A. I see where you're coming from now. If you have the 2 prove then -Q. And by the way, it's okay -- if that's your 4 opinion -- if you think that's how our society should be, the

5	defendants have to come in and prove their innocence if we
	charge them, you may keep that opinion and you could still be
7	qualified as a juror as long as you can set your personal

opinion aside and follow what the law is, all right?

And you're the only one that knows this, but tell 10 me, if the judge tells you that we have -- State has to prove 11 his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt and he has no burden at 12 all, he doesn't have to prove a single thing, will you follow 13 the law of Judge Sandoval, which is the law of the State of

14 Texas, or will you follow Mr. Harrison's law, which might be 15 in conflict with that?

16 A. I'd follow the law of the State of Texas. 17 Q. You wouldn't feel put upon, or you wouldn't feel 18 like anybody is being mean to you if they say you've got to do 19 it our way if you're going to serve as a juror? 20 A. Right.

21 Q. If you stop and think about it, doesn't that seem to 22 make sense? If we come up here and arrest you today and say 23 we're charging you with robbing the First National Bank of 24 Denton. We'd haul you into trial and we say, now, go ahead 25 and show us your innocent. Doesn't that seem kind of unfair?

Page 28

1 trial that will determine life or death. Does that make sense 2 to you?

3 A. Yes, sir.

Q. And you probably read about that or seen it on TV, the juries deliberating on death sentences, and the jury came

back with a life sentence or a death sentence. I mean, you've

seen that on TV before, right?

A. Right.

Q. I don't know if it's better or worse how we do it,

10 and they didn't ask me anyway, you could argue that it's just

as ease to ask the jury, what should this man get; life or

death? And then in many ways it's the same as the way we do

it, especially when we get to this third special issue because that's almost what that deals with, anyway. But we don't do

it that way. Instead, we ask the jury questions, which it's

hoped that that makes juries look at what the law says is the

important stuff, all right? And whether it does or not, I

guess might be in the eye of the beholder. I don't know.

19 But here's our first, what we call, special issue,

20 or special question.

21 MR. SCHULTZ: Can you see it over there?

22 MR. GOELLER: Yes, sir.

23 Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Can you see it, also, Mr. Harrison?

24 A. Yes, sir.

25 Q. Take a moment first and just to read it to yourself.

Page 27

1 How do you prove you're innocent of something? You don't do

2 that. You try to fight the State's proof you're guilty,

3 that's how the system works. Does that make sense to you?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Can you assure everybody in the courtroom that 6 you'll hold the State to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that 7 Mr. Cantu, the defendant, is guilty of capital murder, and if 8 we don't do that, can you assure us you won't find him guilty

9 of that?

1

3

10 A. Right.

O. Maybe a lesser offense if we prove that, or if we 11 12 don't prove anything you've got to find him not guilty. Are

13 you the kind of man that could do that?

14 A. I guess.

15 Q. You'll hold to us our proof, is what I'm asking?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Fair enough.

18 Let's assume now -- let's assume that you heard all 19 the evidence of the case, and you found the defendant guilty

20 of capital murder just like he is charged in the indictment.

21 You and 11 other people have voted guilty, so he's now a

22 convicted capital murderer, right, we're assuming that. You

23 know that doesn't mean automatic life -- that doesn't mean

24 automatic death or automatic life; you understand that? What

25 it means is we go to the next part of the trial, which is the

Page 29

A. Okay.

Q. I guaranty you that if you're selected on this case

and you become a juror, you would be better without any legal.

training, you would be better able to develop a question that

would hit at what needs to be hit at than what our Legislature

has done. And I guess I'm apologizing on their behalf, and I think everybody would agree this is very confusing, and you're

going to get some evidence how confusing it is because I

suspect Mr. Goeller or Mr. High will have a different

interpretation of what I'm saying. And, frankly, nobody can

say either of us is wrong in how we want to interpret it.

12 In this question it will be asked to you, beyond a

13 reasonable doubt -- because it will be phrased in terms of do

you find beyond a reasonable dout -- that there is a

probability -- that's the first area that's a little bit

16 difficult. Now, you work in a technical field, I understand,

and so you're probably more mathematical and kind of, shall we

say, measurement oriented than maybe somebody who painted

19 landscapes for a living, for example. Does that seem

20 reasonable?

21 A. Yes.

Q. Does your work ever entail actually using that term

23 probability? Is that anything -- part of what you do for

24 Raytheon?

25 A. In a way. I mean, you know, things can change.

Page 30 Q. Okay. Do you hold a particular security 2 classification for your work because I know as a defense 3 contractor --A. No. Q. I'm assuming whatever kinds of things you deal with they probably have failure rates? 7 A. Right. Q. And everybody talks about failure rates --9 A. Right. 10 Q. And the world's greatest ship is going to have a 11 certain percentage failure rate? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. And I guess in a sense that's a probability kind of 14 thing because you've got to figure what's the probability this 15 thing is going to fail? Probability may mean a number of 16 things for different people. I mentioned the weather 17 forecast. Some people talk about a 20 probability of rain. I guess that's a probability. Many people will say probability 19 is more likely than not. People will say that probability is 20 a real distinct possibility, because a possibility is also a 21 probability. And that's not defined for you. Mr. Goeller may 22 have one idea what a probability means. I may have another. 23 I'd be very surprised if my ideas and perhaps lower than his 24 idea, but I can be wrong. I just guessing. But there's no 25 definition of probability. Could you use that word and try to Page 31

- 1 fashion a common-sense understanding if you're in the jury 2 room about what probability means for purposes in a criminal 3 trial? Could you do that?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And you do that with the help of 11 other people,
- 6 and that's one of your rights as a juror, okay? Whether
- 7 there's a probability that the defendant, that means
- 8 Mr. Cantu, would commit criminal acts of violence. Now, let's
- 9 think for a minute. And I'll set this down for a second.
- 10 That term, criminal acts of violence, that doesn't 11 get defined, either. So I want to -- when somebody says the
- 12 term "criminal acts of violence," what comes into your mind
- 13 just as you hear that term?
- 14 A. Someone just wanting to take over someone else.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. In other words just --
- 17 Q. Okay. For example, how about, like, beating up on
- 18 wives. Does that seem like a criminal act of violence?
- 19 A. Yeah, definitely.
- Q. Now, what are some other -- what are some other 20
- 21 things that you think would be criminal acts of violence? What
- 22 are some acts that come to your mind that seem like they would
- 23 be violent?
- 24 A. Someone robbing a store.
- 25 Q. Robbing. Maybe breaking -- what about violence to

Page 32

- 1 property? Like, if I want to come into your house and I bust
- your patio door so I can get in, is that an act of violence?
 - A. Yes.

3

7

- Q. Maybe you're not home, so it's not an act of
- 5 violence on a person, but could that be an act of violence on
- property?
 - A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What about something like drug dealing, because we
- talked about that before. Do you believe that selling
- somebody drugs is an act of violence, or can be?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. How could you see that being an act of violence?
- 13 A. It's giving someone something that - it's going to
- 14 make that person not be theirself; therefore they're doing
- something illegal.
- 16 Q. Okay. And maybe --
- 17 A. And they know it's illegal.
- 18 Q. By the way on the subject of drugs, do you believe
- 19 that committing crimes while you're hopped up on drugs tends to
- 20 excuse what you've done?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. I mean, the reason I ask that is because I think we
- 23 might all agree that if you're drunk on alcohol or high on
- 24 drugs, you're not in your right mind and your control is not
- 25 the same. Does that seem fair to you to say that?

Page 33

- 1 A. Would you repeat that again?
- 2 Q. If a person is real drunk, for example, on alcohol
- 3 or real high on drugs, their behavior may be very, very
- 4 dangerous; is that true?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. And maybe they're not exactly thinking about being
- dangerous. They're just operating in that haze from the
- alcohol or the drugs; do you know what I'm saying?
 - A. Right.
- 10 Q. Does that, in your mind, excuse it at all?
- 11 A. No.

5

9

- 12 Q. If you had a loved one that had been murdered --
- 13 it's kind of like I asked that other juror a couple of days
- ago -- you came home, and they say, I'm sorry your loved one
- 15 had been murdered, Mr. Harrison. It's not so bad because the
- 16 guy was on cocaine, or even on methamphetamine at the time.
- 17 He didn't do it stone-cold sober. Does that make any
- 18 difference to you?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Now, the next thing we want to talk about is this
- 21 term "continuing threat to society," because it's probable
- 22 that the defendant would commit criminal acts of violence. We
- 23 talked about what those might be; murders, robberies, rapes,
- 24 burglaries, dope dealing, wife beating.
- 25 What about desertion from the military, by the way.

- 1 Would you consider that to be an act of violence -- criminal
- 2 act of violence?
- A. In a way. It's different.
- Q. How could you see that might be a criminal act of
- 5 violence to be a coward and a deserter with a yellow streak
- 6 down somebody's back?
- A. I'd like to change my mind on that because desertion
- 8 is maybe that person is just scared, and in other words,
- they're really not they're committing a crime to the
- 10 country by leaving, but yet they're not harming anyone.
- 11 Q. Right. That makes sense to me. That's good --
- 12 well, maybe or maybe not. They may be harming somebody.
- 13 A. Well, who knows.
- 14 Q. It might depend on whether they're in action or not,
- 15 whether their position is necessary or not?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. You wouldn't want a sentry deserting in an area, for
- 18 example, right?
- 19 A. Right.

- 20 O. Now, these criminal acts of violence would
- 21 constitute a continuing threat to society. And I want to
- 22 first talk about the idea of continuing threat. There's no
- 23 definition for that, either. Do you see why I'm saying that's
- 24 such a terrible question for anybody. There's no definition
- 25 of continuing threat. I suppose you're free to say he has to

Page 35

- 1 be doing one every day, or twice or three times a day, or
- 2 maybe you say every three months, when he needs money or when
- 3 he feels that way. Whatever you think is continuing threat is
- 4 kind of up to you. The idea is that we always have to be
- 5 worried about it. That maybe not today, maybe not tomorrow,
- 6 but it's always out there, right.
- 7 And then finally the last word that's confusing, and
- 8 there will be disagreement on, is this word "society," all
- 9 right. And I'll explain to you, as we go along, why that can
- 10 be confusing. Now, you don't get to that question unless you
- 11 have first found the defendant guilty of capital murder. If
- 12 you find him guilty of burglary or robbery or simple murder,
- 13 you're never going to see that question. You move into just
- 14 the question involving what his punishment is going to be.
- 15 Does that make sense to you?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. But if you find somebody guilty of capital murder,
- 18 if you, the jury, found him guilty of capital murder, what are
- 19 the -- what are the possible punishments he will get having
- 20 been convicted of capital murder? Tell me that.
- 21 A. Well, death.
- 22 Q. That's one.
- 23 A. Or life.
- Q. Here's -- and here's why that question poses such an
- 25 interesting challenge to try to make sense out of it. You

Page 36

- 1 know he that he's at least going to get a life sentence at
- 2 most, depending on how you view a life sentence. And
 - you read that question and see that term society, one rea
- of that could be it must mean prison society. One reading
- this question here might simply be to convert this whole
- 6 question into the question of can they keep him safely in
- 7 penitentiary? Is he going to hurt anybody if he's down i
- 8 pen? Do you see how you could look at the society, and
- 9 decided, well, I guess only the society we're talking about
- 10 prison society. Do you see how you could do that?
- 11 A. Sure.
- 12 Q. But this question doesn't have to be read that way
- 13 because it doesn't say anything about prison society. W
- 14 this question may be asking the jury is to look at the
- 15 defendant's character as it exists, and say this a person w
- 16 would be dangerous under circumstances that allow that
- 17 to take place, whatever that might be. Do you understar
- 18 I'm saying?
- 19 A. Sure.
- 20 Q. In other words, it could be our society, too.
- 21 There's no limitation in that question. It is just as fair to
- 22 say, and one could certainly argue to you what that mea
- 23 could they safely hold him in the penitentiary as long as
- 24 there? They can do that. You can read it that way, or ye
- 25 can say is this guy good enough to go walking down our

Page 37

- right now in our society? It's not limited to prison soc
- 2 It just says society. Does that make sense to you?
 - A. Sure.

3

9

- Q. In other words, you could say, if I'm walking d
- 5 the street and I see the defendant I just convicted of ca
- 6 murder on the corner smoking a cigarette, do I cross to
- 7 other side of the street knowing what I know about his
- 8 that make sense to you?
 - A. Right.
- 10 Q. And I apologize on behalf of the Legislature,
- 11 because they don't help anybody. You're free to consi
- 12 of that.
- The one thing that the Legislature has focused of
- 14 as the purpose behind capital punishment is protection
- 15 society, because that's what the question is aimed at.
- 16 aimed at causing the execution of people who are goi
- 17 danger to us in the future, and it -- there's no -- there's
- 18 area in our law that says we need to execute people ju
- 19 retaliation for what they've done, like an eye for an ey
- 20 There's no question that deals with that. There's not ϵ
- 21 deterrence question. There's no question that is going
- 22 say, Mr. Harrison, do you find beyond a reasonable d
- 23 killing the defendant will deter others from committing
- 24 similar crimes.
- 25 Because to the Legislature, that's a nonissue.

- 1 There may be a reason we like capital punishment in this
- 2 state, but they don't worry about deterrence. They don't
- 3 worry about retribution, eye for an eye. They don't worry
- 4 about that. The only thing the Legislature worries about in
- 5 terms of executions, as the reason for executions, is to
- 6 protect us as a society.
- Now, all that having been said, how do you think you
- 8 go about answering a question like this? What kind of things
- 9 do you think you would fairly want to know in order to answer
- 10 that first question?
- A. Well, of course, I think it's all leading to how 11
- 12 violent that person is; in other words, will they do this
- 13 again?
- 14 Q. The first thing, of course, you already know about
- 15 is what crime -- you found him guilty of capital murder so you
- 16 are going to know all the facts that are presented to you
- 17 about that and that will give you some clue, don't you think?
- 18 A. Some.
- 19 Q. Do you think it's possible that you could look at
- 20 some crimes, that because of one single crime that a person
- 21 did, that crime could be so horrible and so extreme and so
- 22 brutal that you, as a juror, could say to yourself anybody who
- 23 is capable of doing this horrible thing that this person has
- 24 done that I found him guilty of, anybody who does that will
- 25 always be a continuing threat to society?

Page 39

A. Yes, I could.

- 2 Q. Some people think that -- the one that always comes
- 3 to mind, the one is everybody thinking on that subject is
- 4 perhaps is the Oklahoma City Courthouse bombing -- federal
- 5 courthouse up there, because it doesn't matter what kind of a
- 6 saint the bomber might have been other than that, when that
- 7 makes sense to you, when that's how you value human life, to
- 8 just to that to make some political statement, most people
- 9 would say, you know, anybody who could do that doesn't have
- 10 any kind of controls that we ought to be ever able to trust.
- 11 Does that make sense to you?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Secondly, in addition to that you said maybe/maybe
- 14 not, you want to know other stuff, like background stuff; is
- 15 that true? You want to know things other than the crime
- 16 itself, maybe things about him?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. And that might mean it's grown-up stuff, and
- 19 it may well be that if the defendant's choose, they might want
- 20 to bring you that kind of evidence. They might want to bring
- 21 you stuff about what he was like growing up, what happened in
- 22 his life. You might hear stuff like that, okay. And maybe
- 23 that's important to you, maybe it isn't. That's kind of up to
- 24 you, and you may want to hear more about things like, well, is
- 25 this an isolated event; has he ever done this before, or has

Page 40

- I he done other things like it leading up to this? Would that
- 2 be important to you?
- 3 A. In a way.
- 4 Q. Would you want to know about other acts of violence,
- other than the capital murder you found him guilty of?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Do you understand sometimes it's possible that the
- only crime that we can present to you is the capital murder,
- either because that's where it all started, or I mean,
- 10 sometimes that's all there is. There may not be any other
- 11 crimes of any kind; do you understand that?
 - A. Yes, sir.

12

- 13 Q. And then it's up to you to decide whether the crime
- 14 is enormous enough to answer that question or not. The answer
- 15 may be no. It's not that the crime itself is not enough to
- 16 vote yes on that. Does that make sense?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Okay. It's frequent in our -- in our criminal
- 19 practice to use psychiatric testimony, to bring in
- 20 psychiatrists on one side or the other to talk about the
- 21 personality traits of the accused, or by that time the
- 22 convicted person.
- 23 I'm sure you've probably seen on TV or heard on the
- 24 radio about psychiatrists testifying for this person, or the
- 25 State. Does that seem like that would be important to you?

- 1 Just the way you're wired, is that something that would be
- 2 important evidence to you?
- 3
- 4 Q. Do you think -- do you believe psychiatry to be a
- 5 precise science? Does it seem to have the same precision
- 6 as -- I don't know -- some of the propulsion formulas that
- they use on this Raytheon business, for example? Does it seem
- 8 that precise to you?
- 9 A. Not quite that precise.
- 10 Q. Do you believe that a defendant, for example -- and
- 11 I'm not picking on this defendant. Do you think a defendant
- 12 could always find some psychiatrist to come in and say helpful
- 13 things about him?
- 14 A. It's possible.
- 15 Q. And do you think the State could go out and find a
- 16 psychiatrist to come in and say just the opposite of what
- 17 theirs did?
- 18 A. Could be.
- 19 Q. If they get three to say one thing, and we got three
- 20 to say another (inaudible). Does that make sense to you?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Are you still willing to listen to that evidence and
- 23 see how much it helps you and how much light it sheds?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. If you answer that first question no, your

- 1 work as a jury is over, and the reason it's over is because a
- 2 no answer to that question guarantees that a life sentence
- 3 will be the punishment imposed. And that means if you do it
- 4 because you've got a reasonable doubt or because you think
- 5 he's a safe fellow or society -- whatever the reason. If you
- 6 vote no, end of story; defendant gets a life sentence. With
- 7 me?

8 A. (Nods head.)

- 9 Q. If you vote yes, then we've got another question for
- 10 you. And that is perhaps, and I've got to talk about that
- 11 now. If you find the defendant did the capital murder by
- 12 himself, then this question really doesn't have any
- 13 significance to you. In other words, if it's like one person
- 14 that did it, if you find he was with somebody else -- do you
- 15 remember me talking a couple of have days ago, well, what if I
- 16 think you're in there -- you and I need some money, and I say
- 17 I'll drive the car. You go in there and steal some money out
- 18 of the safe, and the next thing I know you pull out a gun and
- 19 kill somebody. I didn't even know you had a gun. Remember, I
- 20 explained to you that I can't be executed for what you've
- 21 done?
- 22 A. Right.

- Q. On the other hand, this just has to do with the
- 24 fact -- it might be I said, here's a gun, Mr. Harrison, and if
- 25 anybody moves in there you kill them, because if anybody sees

Page 43

- 1 you, we don't want any witnesses. You kill them. Then, I
- 2 would be guilty, and I could be executed because I'm thinking
- 3 just like you. I didn't pull the trigger, but I'm with you.
- 4 We're in it together, and I know exactly what you're going to
- 5 do. So this one here just simply says if you're guilty as a
- 6 party to the offense, that means, like, me being outside, I'd
- 7 be guilty of capital murder.
- 8 Then, you'd have to ask the question whether I
- 9 actually caused the death of the deceased, or if I did not
- 10 actually cause the death of the deceased, but I intended to
- 11 kill the deceased, or another -- or anticipated that a human
- 12 life would be taken, then the answer to that question would be
- 13 yes.
- Now, I don't anticipate -- and I don't know. I
- 15 don't anticipate that's going to be huge in terms of the
- 16 evidence in this case, but you never know, and I'd rather talk
- 17 about it now than have it be a surprise and think I didn't do
- 18 my job.
- Remember what I told you a few minutes ago when I
- 20 said we might just as well be asking the jury should a guy get
- 21 life or death and get rid of all this special issue stuff
- 22 because this next question really does that, and I'm not
- 23 critical of it. It's probably a pretty neat thing, this
- 24 option be available. But I'm going to ask you take a moment
- 25 and read this one to yourself, and let me know when you're

Page 44

1 finished.

5

- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. Had you ever heard that term mitigation before a
- couple of days ago? Is that a term you're familiar with?
 - A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. Okay. When you first read that question, let me
- first of all tell you, just like anything else, we've got no
- control over jurors except their conscience. That's the or
- 9 thing we can do with -- I mean, y'all can vote any way ye
- 10 want to. This question isn't an authorization just to avoid
- 1 death sentence because you wish it weren't so. It's not of
- 12 of those, do you want to do it, because I'm not sure -- I'm
- 3 not sure that we'd find 12 people that particularly want to
- 14 kill somebody?
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. You don't want to kill him. That's not something
- 17 your heart you're just itching to do?
 - A. No.

18

- 19 Q. But like this other question, what it's asking you
- 20 to do is take into consideration all the evidence, includin
- 21 the circumstances of the offense, take into evidence the
- 22 defendant's character that's good or bad, by the way, and
- 23 personal moral culpability of the defendant. Now, I don'
- 24 quite know what that means, and maybe you'll figure it c
- 24 quite know what that means, and maybe you if figure it
- 25 you're a juror, the personal moral culpability.

- I don't know how you would intentionally commit a
- capital murder and not have personal moral culpability. I
- 3 don't understand why they put that in there, because if you
- 4 didn't have moral culpability, it seems like you would have
- 5 been intentionally doing it. But they want you to take into
- 6 effect his personal moral culpability. And then ask yoursel
- 7 this question: Is there sufficient mitigating circustance or
- 8 circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonme
- 9 rather than a death sentence be imposed.
- So what does that mean? What I think it means
- 11 probably doesn't matter anyway because you're going to be
- 12 deciding it. It talks in terms of sufficient mitigating
- 13 circumstance, there must be something quantitative. There
- 14 must have to be a bunch of it. For example, suppose some
- 15 did a capital murder, and they said, you know, I've been up
- 16 all night, and I was just real tired, and I was kind of
- 17 grouchy because when I don't sleep I get grouchy. That's
- 18 come I did it. Most people would not think that's a
- 19 sufficient mitigating circumstance to excuse killing some
- 20 people. Do you follow what I'm saying?
- 21 A. Right.
- Q. I guess you could. There's nothing you couldn't
- 23 say, gee, I've been sleepy myself, and I know how you car
- 24 around killing -- no way to stop it. I'm just saying most
- 25 people would not say that's sufficient mitigating

Page 46 Page 48 1 circumstances. Make sense? 1 but that question really doesn't direct your attention to the 2 A. Right. character of the victim. Do you notice that? It wants you to 3 Q. And then we talk about things like accident or consider defendant's character because that's what it says. 4 self-defense or stuff like that, and while, I guess that would 4 How important in your mind is the character of the 5 be mitigating, you'd have never gotten to a capital murder. victim in a capital murder case? Once you found that it was 6 Accidentally killing somebody may not be a crime at all. If an intentional double homicide or intentional burglary murder, 7 it's any crime, it's certainly not capital murder. So, if whatever it might be, as you sit there, how important do you think the character of the victim is? it's not an accident, self-defense is no crime, if it's true because you've got the right to kill or be killed is the law A. It's really not that important. We all have to 10 of the land kind of thing. 10 speak for ourselves, and what you or I did growing up that 11 So, probably that background stuff is pretty 11 really doesn't have any bearing on what we're doing now. So, 12 that's how I look at that. 12 important. I mean, they kind of focus you on that, and then 13 they ask you is that sufficient? Is that sufficient 13 Q. You know, we got places for drug dealers. We have prisons. If we catch a drug dealer, we put them in prison. 14 mitigating evidence? 15 Remember I talked yesterday when I said, you come We don't kill them for being a drug dealer. That's not our 16 home and your loved one has been murdered, and the officer 16 law. That's not what we do with people. And I guess my question is, does it make a real difference to you if somebody 17 says it's not so bad because he had an unhappy childhood. I intentionally kills -- just sits down and says, I want to kill 18 don't remember exactly what your answer was or what your that person? He forms that intent that, I want to kill that 19 thought was about that, but do you think when a person reaches 20 that old age the fact that maybe he didn't have everything person, and I can pull out a gun and kill that person after 21 growing up that we think he should, do you think that has much having thought about it, and then I got away. Does it make a 22 big difference who the victim was, whether I kill the saint or 22 effect on --23 A. No. 23 whether I kill the sinner? A. No. 24 Q. -- holding him accountable? 24 25 Q. Why doesn't -- as you think about it -- because I 25 A. No. Dogg 47 Page 49

	Page 47
1	Q. What about drugs, because some people say we
2	talked about it a little bit. Some people say, well, drugs
3	are awful, they're everywhere, they affect people. Some
4	people might say drugs are mitigating; that if a crime is
5	committed on drugs that makes it less serious and, therefore,
6	it may be mitigating than if it wasn't committed on drugs? Do
7	you understand how that could be? Some people might think
8	that. Other people might say, you're worse than a regular
9	capital murderer because you're doing drugs, too. They might
10	think that's aggravating. It makes it all the worse; do you
11	know what I'm saying?
12	A. Yeah.
13	Q. So you could do both. The same evidence might, in
14	your mind, be mitigating and the fellow next to you might

and, be mitigating and the fellow next to you might 15 think that's aggravating and there's room for both. We talked yesterday, and I want you to think about 17 this some more, and why I did what I did yesterday and talked 18 about the victims, do you see anything in here that talks 19 about taking into considering the character of the victim? 20 Is there anything in that question that seems to deal with 21 that from what you can see? A. Well, that's saying the defendant's character. Q. Right. And the reason I say that is because I 24 guess you could say the circumstances of the offense, I

25 suppose, who he kills kind of factors in there a little bit,

16

22

23

does not accept the drug dealer. But that's a person, also. A life's a life. O. Okay. Just as there's no automatic death sentence, 9 there can be no automatic responses by jurors. They have to be open to being able to apply all aspects of the law. It doesn't mean that all jurors would be exactly the same in 12 terms of how they approached certain things. It merely means that they're -- that they are open to anything that the law 14 requires, and that is the law from Judge Sandoval. For example, you may be the kind of a man who, if he served on a 16 hundred capital murder juries, might only return a death sentence one time out of a hundred. And the lady sitting right next to you in the jury box may be the kind of person 19 that's probably going to view the evidence in capital cases so 20 that she comes back 75 times out of a hundred with a death sentence. You both belong to the jury. There's not any 22 difference. That's just how y'all are, and that's all right, 23 because she would be able to say, I can vote for a life 24 sentence when I see it. Maybe not as often as Mr. Harrison 25 would, but 25 times out of a hundred. I do vote for a life

1 can tell you've done a lot of thinking about all this and what 2 both sides talked to you about. Why doesn't that make a

A. We're all humans. Just because this person was a 5 nun or this other person is a drug dealer, sure, our society

difference to you?

4

Page 50 sentence so I can do it. And you'd be the same way. You know, I can vote for a death sentence when I see it. It's just 99 times they 4 didn't show it to me, and so I voted for a life sentence.

5 Does that make sense to you? A. Yes. Q. There's nothing wrong that. One side or the other -- perhaps I would rather have the lady than you because 9 I think, well, she's -- I got better odds with her. Maybe I wouldn't because maybe I'd be comfortable with you doing the 11 right thing and that would be fine, also. Same with the 12 defense, they might rather have you statistically, but maybe

13 not -- maybe they're rather work with you because they're 14 communicating better with you. Do you know that kind of idea? 15 A. Sure.

16 Q. The only people who aren't qualified to serve on the 17 jury are those people that say, I don't really care what the

18 law says. I am unable to follow certain portions of it. And 19 if they say that, they can't serve. And, you know, it's like

20 if you tell me, for example, I don't care what Judge Sandoval

21 tells me about mitigation, if I find somebody guilty of 22 capital murder and I find he's dangerous, nuts to -- you know,

23 I'll laugh at that whole concept. There's nothing on this 24 earth that would every mitigate that. It doesn't matter what

25 the circumstances are. I refuse to accept the idea that there

Page 51

- are some cases where the death sentence would be mitigated,
- and a life sentence would be appropriate. If you are that
- 3 kind of an individual, which you certainly don't seem to be,
- and you said, I don't care. I've decided that I don't like 4
- this mitigation law, so I'm not going to follow it, then you
- see why you couldn't serve on the jury because you'd be 7 unfair.
- 8 Just like if somebody comes in and says, I don't care what you show me, I'll never vote for a death sentence,
- 10 no matter who it is. You know, Hitler gets life from me.
- 11 Timothy McVeigh gets life from me. They can't serve because
- 12 they can't recognize there are some cases where it's right.
- 13 Okay?

~ . <u>~ d</u>

2

3

- 14 A. (Inaudible).
- 15 Q. I need to get you to answer out loud because --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You and I are communicating, but it needs to find
- 18 its way in the record so people know what you are saying.
- 19 A. All right.
- 20 Q. The law says because of that question that there are
- 21 cases where, even though it's a capital murder done by a
- 22 dangerous fellow, it's still -- a life sentence is still the
- 23 right thing to do. That's what the law says. There are
- certain situations where that question should be answered in
- 25 order to grant a life sentence. It has to be important stuff,

Page 52

- we assume, because it talks about sufficient circumstar
- 2 As you sit there now, do you think that's a good
- thing in our society to give the jury that knows this cas
- better than anybody else the chance to do the right thin
- without mechanically following the questions down the
- A. Yes.
- Q. I mean, nobody makes you mitigate the death
- sentence, but wouldn't it be awful if you're sitting there
- thinking this guy doesn't to die, but I voted yes because
- 10 my oath and walk out of this courtroom saying, gee, I f
 - the law, but the result was wrong. That doesn't make a
- 12 sense to anybody, does it?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. Okay. So, even if you're not thinking of a
- 15 situation of mitigation, does it seem to you to be a good
- 16 thing, not only to the defendant, but to our whole socie
- that jurors have that option?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And if you found such a situation, if you found
- something in the defendant's character, background -- I
- defendant, but I'm talking about a hypothetical case. Y
- found something in the defendant's background and pe
- and circumstances that made you feel that he shouldn't
- 24 death sentence or she shouldn't get a death sentence, w
- 25 is on trial, you could vote in a way that wouldn't happe

- couldn't you? You're able to do that --
- A. Right.
- Q. -- by answering that mitigation question?
- A. Right.
- O. And you'd feel like you did the right thing?
- A. Right.
- Q. Do you have an open mind to this case as you :
- A. Well, I'm a little nervous. I guess I've never
- involved in anything like this.
- 11 Q. Well, what I'm asking is, you don't know any c
- 12 evidence in the case?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. And it may seem to you like I'm trying to tell y 14
- something about the case or something -- even if I am
- whatever I've said isn't evidence, anyway. I wasn't the
- any more than you were. The lawyers over there, they
- there. None of us really know what happened and wh
- 19 Right now, do you presume the defendant's inno
- 20 or guilty, as you sit there right now?
- 21 A. I don't know.
- 22 Q. I know you don't know, but when you hear abo
- 23 presumption of innocence, have you ever heard that te
- 24 before? Presumption of innocence?
- 25 A. Something, I guess, in that nature. Somethi

Page 54 Page 56 1 know that this -- that seems to be -- that seems to be l proven guilty until whatever. 2 inconsistent with the presumption of innocence, but I want to 2 Q. Innocent until proven guilty? 3 A. Until proven guilty. make sure we clear that up. 4 Q. That's something the law requires. That's like the 4 A. Okay. 5 burden of proof. It's the same thing. We did the accusing. 5 Q. The way the question is answered it -- it's, 6 We have to prove it, and until and unless we do that, he essentially, to the effect that if evidence points to somebody, then they have to prove their innocence? always stays innocent, because he's innocent right now under 7 8 the law. You got a problem with that idea? 8 A. (Nods heads). 9 9 Q. You understand -- maybe what you're saying is A. No. 10 perfectly right. In other words -- in other words, if the 10 Q. Just like you would be, or your kids or -- you know, 11 your mom or dad, or whoever might be over there, they'd be 11 State proves beyond a reasonable doubt that the person is 12 guilty, you've heard all the State's case and you're presumed innocent until the State could prove otherwise? 13 satisfied, maybe it's okay to say, well, if anything is going 13 A. Right. 14 to change my mind, it's going to come from the defendant or 14 Q. Can you do that and hold us to that burden? 15 A. Yes. 15 the defendant's side because I've been convinced beyond a O. If he doesn't testify and doesn't offer any 16 reasonable doubt from what the State's produced, and if that's 16 17 evidence, you can't hold that against him, and Judge Sandoval what you mean, that's okay. I mean, because once you're 18 will tell you that. You can't say, well, he must be hiding 18 convinced beyond a reasonable dout, something has got to 19 something since he didn't present any evidence because that's change it, and if the State's done and nothing else would 20 not the way our system works? change it. But you understand still, the burden of proof is 21 A. Right. 21 never on the defendant except in those areas that we talked 22 22 about? Q. Can you just ignore that? 23 23 A. Right. A. Well, I mean whatever the court, you know -24 Q. In other words, they're not obliged to produce 24 Q. It doesn't help him. I mean, you can't go figuring 25 what good stuff he might have offered if he chose to offer 25 anything else. It's just if they want to roll the dice with Page 57 Page 55 evidence, but you can't hold any of it against him? 1 what's there now, then you've still got to look at all the 2 A. No. 2 evidence and say is he guilty beyond a reasonable doubt? 3 Q. And you understand, all defendants, just like any 3 A. Right. 4 other witness, can testify if they want to, but they're 4 O. Okay. 5 a special kind of witness because they don't have to because 5 MR. SCHULTZ: We pass the juror, Your Honor. 6 of the Constitution, and that's a good thing. 6 THE COURT: All right. 7 7 **CROSS-QUESTIONS** A. Right. 8 8 BY MR. GOELLER: Q. Do you think jury service is an important thing for 9 our citizens to be doing? 9 Q. Good morning, Mr. Harrison. 10 A. Yes. 10 A. Morning. MR. SCHULTZ: May I approach the juror just to Q. Nice to see you again. Are you okay? Do you need a 11 11 12 break? You've been up there a little over an hour. 12 get my questionnaire back? 13 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 13 A. I'm all right. Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: I've done all the questioning, and 14 O. Can you hang in there for a little while longer with 15 I know it hasn't been a whole lot of fun. Do you got any 15 me? A. Right. 16 questions of me about any of the things that we talked about 16 17 so far? Anything I need to go over again? 17 Q. Semper Fi? 18 A. That's it. 18 A. I guess not. 19 19 Q. I was lucky I guess to have served in the Corps MR. SCHULTZ: Give me just a moment, Your 20 during peace time. You went in at --20 Honor, please. 21 THE COURT: All right. 21 A. '66, 1966. I was 15 years old. 22 MR. SCHULTZ: Approach one more time, Judge, 22 Q. San Diego or Paris Island? 23 and then I'm done. 23 A. San Diego. 24 Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: I think we've probably already 24 Q. When did you get in country, Vietnam? 25 25 talked about this, but take a look at your answer there, and I A. That same year in the summer of '66.

2	A.	I was with the Third Marine Division.	2
3	Q.	I appreciate you coming back up here today. As we	3
4	talked	about a couple of days ago, Mr. Harrison, no right or	4
5	wrong	answers, like Mr. Schultz told you as well. Just trying	3
6	to ob	viously from our standpoint, a potential death penalty	1
7	case, o	r a case where the States has announced they will seek	7
8	the dea	th penalty, and this is unusual, individual voir dire.	8
9	We on	ly do one-on-one's in capital murder cases just because,	9
10	I suppo	ose, it's such an important case where a human life may	10
11	be on t	he line.	1
12	5	So, with that in mind, where are you originally	12
13	from?		1.
14	A.	Dallas.	14
15	Q.	Born in Dallas. How many total years did you have	1:
16	in the (Corps?	10
17	A.	I was in for - signed up for four years, and I got	1
18	out on	cut, so I was discharged a year earlier, three years.	18
19	Q.	You spent a lot of time in Vietnam?	19
20	A.	29 months.	20
21	Q.	In country?	2
22	A.	Yes.	22
23	Q.	You understand when the State said I think	2.3
24	Mr. Sc	hultz told you back on Tuesday that the State has	24
		iced that they will seek the death penalty?	2.5
			t
			i
	_	Page 59	
1	Α.	Page 59 Right.	1
1 2		•	1 2
		Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that	ł
2	Q. decisio	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that	2
2	Q. decisio	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on?	3
2 3 4	Q. decision A. Q.	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury.	3
2 3 4 5	Q. decision A. Q. A.	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty?	2 3 4 5
2 3 4 5 6	Q. decision A. Q. A.	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty? The State.	2 3 4 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. decision A. Q. A. Q. A.	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty? The State. And what does the State mean to you?	2 3 4 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. decision A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty? The State. And what does the State mean to you? The State of Texas.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. decision A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. District	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty? The State. And what does the State mean to you? The State of Texas. Do you understand what it really means is the local	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. decision A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. District	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty? The State. And what does the State mean to you? The State of Texas. Do you understand what it really means is the local taxoney?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. decision A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. District A. Q.	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty? The State. And what does the State mean to you? The State of Texas. Do you understand what it really means is the local that Attorney? Okay. I didn't know that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. decision A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. District A. Q.	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty? The State. And what does the State mean to you? The State of Texas. Do you understand what it really means is the local to Attorney? Okay. I didn't know that. Have you ever heard of a guy named Tom O'Connell?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. decision A. Q. A. Q. District A. Q. A. Q. Q. A. Q. Q. A. Q. Q. Q.	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty? The State. And what does the State mean to you? The State of Texas. Do you understand what it really means is the local that Attorney? Okay. I didn't know that. Have you ever heard of a guy named Tom O'Connell? No, I don't think so.	22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. decision A. Q. A. Q. District A. Q. A. Q. has ma	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty? The State. And what does the State mean to you? The State of Texas. Do you understand what it really means is the local that Attorney? Okay. I didn't know that. Have you ever heard of a guy named Tom O'Connell? No, I don't think so. He's the Collin County the local prosecutor. He	22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 10 11 12 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. decision A. Q. A. Q. District A. Q. A. Q. has ma	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty? The State. And what does the State mean to you? The State of Texas. Do you understand what it really means is the local taxtorney? Okay. I didn't know that. Have you ever heard of a guy named Tom O'Connell? No, I don't think so. He's the Collin County the local prosecutor. He de that decision. One person has made that decision.	22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. decision A. Q. A. Q. District A. Q. A. Q. has ma A. Q.	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty? The State. And what does the State mean to you? The State of Texas. Do you understand what it really means is the local tattorney? Okay. I didn't know that. Have you ever heard of a guy named Tom O'Connell? No, I don't think so. He's the Collin County the local prosecutor. He de that decision. One person has made that decision. Yes, sir.	22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. decision A. Q. A. Q. District A. Q. A. Q. has ma A. Q. office to	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty? The State. And what does the State mean to you? The State of Texas. Do you understand what it really means is the local at Attorney? Okay. I didn't know that. Have you ever heard of a guy named Tom O'Connell? No, I don't think so. He's the Collin County the local prosecutor. He de that decision. One person has made that decision. Yes, sir. And even though they represent the State the DA's	22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. decision A. Q. A. Q. District A. Q. A. Q. has ma A. Q. office to	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty? The State. And what does the State mean to you? The State of Texas. Do you understand what it really means is the local to Attorney? Okay. I didn't know that. Have you ever heard of a guy named Tom O'Connell? No, I don't think so. He's the Collin County the local prosecutor. He de that decision. One person has made that decision. Yes, sir. And even though they represent the State the DA's represents the State, the State of Texas hasn't made	22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 10 11 12 12 14 15 16 17 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. decision A. Q. A. Q. District A. Q. has ma A. Q. office a the decical.	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty? The State. And what does the State mean to you? The State of Texas. Do you understand what it really means is the local at Attorney? Okay. I didn't know that. Have you ever heard of a guy named Tom O'Connell? No, I don't think so. He's the Collin County the local prosecutor. He de that decision. One person has made that decision. Yes, sir. And even though they represent the State the DA's represents the State, the State of Texas hasn't made disions. Just one person in one county has made that	22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. decision A. Q. A. Q. District A. Q. has ma A. Q. office a the decical.	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty? The State. And what does the State mean to you? The State of Texas. Do you understand what it really means is the local at Attorney? Okay. I didn't know that. Have you ever heard of a guy named Tom O'Connell? No, I don't think so. He's the Collin County the local prosecutor. He de that decision. One person has made that decision. Yes, sir. And even though they represent the State the DA's represents the State, the State of Texas hasn't made disions. Just one person in one county has made that	22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. decision A. Q. A. Q. Districe A. Q. has ma A. Q. office of the decision A. Q.	Right. Do you know what that means, who makes that on? Evidently the jury. No. I mean, the decision to seek the death penalty? The State. And what does the State mean to you? The State of Texas. Do you understand what it really means is the local at Attorney? Okay. I didn't know that. Have you ever heard of a guy named Tom O'Connell? No, I don't think so. He's the Collin County the local prosecutor. He de that decision. One person has made that decision. Yes, sir. And even though they represent the State the DA's represents the State, the State of Texas hasn't made disions. Just one person in one county has made that	22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 19 20 20 21

24 questionnaire, Mr. Harrison, there's a question that was

25 asked, what makes a person dangerous? And I think your

Page 58

Q. What unit were you in?

Page 60 1 response was when someone is out of control and somet

- 2 do not know why. Can you explain that or go into a littl
- more detail for me?
- A. Some people, I guess their tolerance, or their
- 5 mental capability, they're not in our society, they'r
- 6 capable of handling theirself.
- Q. Right.
- A. So, therefore, they're one person one minute,
- 9 then another person another minute.
- Q. Okay. Ever thought -- given any thought as to he
- 1 those people end up like that?
- 2 A. It could have something to do with the way the
- 3 raised.
- 4 Q. Do you ever know many -- have you ever had an
- 15 family or friends or maybe coworkers whose kids have b
- 16 involved with drugs?
- 17 A. No.
- 8 Q. Pretty lucky, you're a lucky man. You have a pre
- 9 good idea, or I guess you just read the newspaper and fig
- 20 out what drugs can do to people?
- 21 A. Oh, yes.
- Q. Mr. Schultz was asking you about, along the line
- 23 premeditation or sitting down or plotting out a killing. I
- 24 we take that kind of person, someone that just plots out
- 25 plans and clear-thinking and really, I guess, an assassin

- of thing, versus somebody who may be drunk, on drugs,
- 2 a spur-of-the-moment reaction kind of thing, and they en
- 3 killing somebody, do you think there's a difference as fa
- 4 being dangerous between those two people; the cold
- 5 calculating, sit down, clear-headed, I'm going to plan --
- 6 going to plan out a murder step by step because this is v
- 7 want to do versus somebody who may be high, drunk --
- A. I believe on that, sure, drugs and alcohol does
- 9 change a person to some extent, but also they they
- 10 in a way, they they've still got their senses.
- 11 Q. Right.
- A. Is what I'm trying to say.
- Q. Right, right.
- 4 A. Even though they're on something, they still |
- 15 this is right and this is wrong.
- 16 Q. Absolutely. Okay. Have you ever -- do you ever
- 17 drink alcohol?
- 18 A. Yes, occasionally.
- 19 Q. Do you ever -- I do, too. If you're like me,
- 20 sometimes I drink what I should drink or less, or somet
- 21 drink more than I should. Sometimes -- maybe my wif
- 22 say I do or say things that I wouldn't normally do when
- 23 got a snout full. Do you ever get that way yourself?
- 25 got a shout full. Do you ever get that way yours
- 24 A. Sure.
- Q. Do you ever know people like that?

Page 62 Page 64 Q. You go for the top stuff? 1 A. Yes. 1 2 Q. Any kind of substance, alcohol I mean, we all know 2 A. Right. Q. Because, you know, I shoot pool, and I play golf, 3 3 some people drink, come home and have a drink, kind of take 4 and I don't do either very well I'll confess. But I can't 4 the edge off. It's a social thing. You know, I'd say most of remember a time I've shot pool where I probably wasn't 5 the parties I go to, there's alcohol served. How people act drinking? 6 at the beginning of the evening and how they act the end of 6 7 A. Right. 7 the evening can be different? 8 Q. You get guys together, and you know how that goes A. Sure. 9 Q. Because they've been drinking, and alcohol, as we 10 all know, will alter your mind. And it's basically why we 10 I noticed in your questionnaire, do you attend a 11 drink it, I suppose. 11 church? A. My wife and I have been looking for a church, and But, have you ever known somebody that did something 12 12 13 we're, I guess you might say, procrastinating, like a lot of 13 while maybe intoxicated or on drugs -- and I'm not going to 14 people. We get up on Sunday morning and say we're going to 14 the degree right now of a murderer or something really, really 15 go, and our weeks been long, we're tired. After we read the 15 bad -- but maybe said something to you, behaved in your home 16 or something like that where you said to yourself, either he's 16 paper, we don't end up going. So, we feel bad about that, but 17 we do plan to find a church in Plano, is where we live. So, I 17 drunk or he probably wouldn't have said that, but, you know, 18 know there's plenty of them. 18 he's drunk or he's intoxicated? 19 Q. Are you a Christian? 19 A. Sure, sure. A. Yes. Q. And do you -- have you ever continued to have a 20 Q. Well, the important thing is, I suppose, if you're a 21 relationship or be friendly or see them in another social 21 22 occasion or something like that after that? 22 Christian is not whether you get into the church, but how you 23 23 think about it and your relationship with God. What do you A. Yes. 24 think about Christianity in general and the death penalty? 24 Q. Are -- are you able to -- well, let me just cut 25 It's a wide-open question; no right or wrong answer. I just 25 right to the chase. What allows you to maintain a friendship Page 65 Page 63 1 or a social relation with people who at one time you thought 1 would love to hear what you have to say. 2 A. Well, I know that we have to have laws to abide by, 2 maybe misbehaved because of a snout full or something? 3 and if we didn't have that, we would be in terrible shape. A. I, again, probably like yourself and a lot 4 other people, I associate with friends and family that 4 Q. Absolutely. 5 occasionally have a drink. Sometimes one of our friends or 5 A. But like I said earlier, I've always believed that the good Lord, he knows what each of us do, or have done. And 6 something might, like you said, if it's a holiday or 7 someone that's committed murder, they're going to have to live 7 something, they might get a little blitzed. But if that with that theirself. 8 person was like that every time I saw them, then naturally I 9 Q. Uh-huh. 9 wouldn't associate with them anymore. A. And I just feel that God will punish them. Now, of 10 10 Q. Right, right. 11 A. But knowing that it was a special occasion, or 11 course, we have the death penalty in this State, and there's a 12 reason behind that. It was voted in, so, you know... 12 whatever that, you know, I can accept that. Q. What are your thoughts about people that try to Q. Yeah. Do you think people who get themselves in a 13 14 turn their way of thinking around, maybe a conversion to 14 situation where maybe they're doing too much drinking, they're 15 doing drugs, do you think they can ever change their life? 15 Christianity, try to embrace God and that kind of thing? A. You say turn theirself around? A. I think it's possible. Sometimes that person can't 16 17 Q. Yeah, at least spiritually. 17 do it theirself, naturally. They're going to have to have 18 help from a professional person. 18 A. I think that's between them and God. 19 Q. Okay. Do you think that can be a sincere thing? 19 Q. Right, I agree. I noticed we have a couple of 20 A. Yes. 20 things in common. You shoot pool? Q. Okay. In those special issues that Mr. Schultz was 21 21 A. I have my own pool table, so, yes. 22 going over with you, do you think any --22 Q. You got your own? 23 A. Yeah. 23 MR. GOELLER: In fact, can I approach the 24 Q. Brunswick or AMF? 24 witness, Judge? 25 25 THE COURT: Yes, sir. A. It's a Brunswick.

Page 66 1 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: This one -- this special issue, 2 Mr. Harrison, again is if -- if you find somebody guilty of 3 capital murder, then we know that's the first question you 4 have to answer, and then this will probably be the last 5 question you have to answer. Taking into consideration all of 6 the evidence, including the circumstances of the offense, the 7 defendant's character and background, do you think that 8 someone's, maybe, conversion to Christianity or embracing God 9 in a way that they can, do you think that might figure into a 10 defendant's character? 11 A. I would say so. 12 Q. Okay. This special issue here is very, very 13 different from all the other questions that you would be 14 asked. As Mr. Schultz told you, the State will have the

Q. Okay. This special issue here is very, very different from all the other questions that you would be asked. As Mr. Schultz told you, the State will have the burden of proof, and they've got to prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt all the elements of the offense. And if it's not capital murder, they have same the burden of proof on

- 18 murder or robbery or burglary or anything like that. They've
- 19 always got to prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt. In 20 other words, if you had a doubt about the evidence or the
- 21 elements of the offense based on reason, the judge would tell 22 you you'd have to find somebody not guilty.
- But, when we're talking about burdens of proof, and 24 we get to this special issue here, the probability the
- 25 defendant would commit criminal acts of violence, the State

Page 67

- 1 has to prove that one beyond a reasonable doubt as well and,
- 2 of course, that has to be a unanimous verdict to answer that
- 3 question yes, okay? So, they have to prove to you beyond a
- 4 reasonable doubt -- what does that mean to you, by the way?
- 5 That's another one of those legal terms that Judge Sandoval
- 6 will give that term to you in his charge of the court. But7 our present state of the law really doesn't define it.
- What does that mean to you, "beyond a reasonable doubt"?
- 10 A. In other words that they found this proof, and as
 11 far as they can find there's nothing else -- you know, that's
 12 the proof they've found.
- 13 Q. Okay.

-

- 14 A. It's solid, in other words, is what I'm trying to 15 say.
- 16 Q. I know in your questionnaire, I don't think you've 17 ever been involved in a lawsuit?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Have you ever had anybody come out to your house, a
- 20 roofer or plumber, or anybody do work on your house?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Have you ever been less than satisfied with some of
- 23 the work?
- 24 A. Absolutely.
- 25 Q. What sticks out in your mind?

Page 68

- 1 A. What am I going to do next?
 - Q. Tell me about this type of repairman. Who was
- 3 or what kind of business were they in?
- 4 A. They was independent, Jack-of-all-trades, in o
- 5 words, type of deal.

2

- 6 Q. What were you having them do?
- A. I was having them I have a swimming pool,
- 8 was having some brickwork repaired on the wall beh
 - and brickwork along the border all the way around r
- 10 Q. Uh-huh.
- 11 A. And -
- 12 Q. Bad job?
- 3 A. Well, the guy came out and gave us an estimat
- 14 seemed like it wasn't going to be a problem to do the
- 15 that he was going to be able to do it pretty fast, and w
- 16 were satisfied with the figure and how long it was goi
- 17 take him. Well, the time got to be longer than what v
- 18 thought, and it was like I was begging him to please f
- 19 you know. So, I don't know whether he was going to
- 20 not eventually, I guess, you might say.
- 21 Q. What kind of job did he do?
- 22 A. Myself?
- 23 Q. No, the brick guy.
- 24 A. Oh, he did a good job. He did a good job in th
- 25 end.

- 1 Q. Let's say for a minute he did a bad job. The 1
- was -- he didn't use enough water or too much lime,
- 3 much -- whatever --
 - A. Calk?
 - Q. -- you got bad mud. The bricks are coming a
- 6 The mortar joints are falling out, but you paid this g
- 7 bunch of money, and you got a bad job. He won't pa
- 8 money, or he won't give you any money back or wo
- 9 and make it right, so maybe you sue him. You know
- 10 really take it from him so I suppose you've got to go
- 11 court of law.
- 12 And you would have a burden of proof in that
- 13 You've got to prove to a judge or a jury that he did l
- 14 and your burden of proof is what we call by a prepo
- 15 the evidence. You've got to tip the scales in your fa
- 16 to 49. Maybe you'd prove a lot more anyhow. And
- 17 theoretically if you do that, you should be awarded
- 18 should win that lawsuit. You proved 51. Your side
- 10 Should will did lawsuit. Tou provou 51: 1021 510
- 19 scales tipped more in your favor than his, so that a
- 20 would probably order that brick-layer or repairman
- 21 money. That's what we call by a preponderance of
- 22 evidence; 51 to 49. We'll call it that.
- We basically have three kinds of evidence in
- 24 law, or burdens of proof. Have you ever heard abc
- 25 parents who maybe starve their kids. Remember tl

- 1 that's been in the media, the couple that locked that kid in
- 2 the closet for --
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 Q. -- I don't know if it was months or years. Well,
- 5 the State obviously should move in and terminate those
- 6 parental rights. I mean, those people should not parent that
- 7 child anymore. So, the State would file a lawsuit to
- & terminate the parental rights, and they've got to prove more
- 9 than that 51/49 that preponderance. They've got to prove
- 10 what's called by clear and convincing evidence. And we do
- 11 define that, that's beyond a moral certainty, okay?
- 12 And what does that mean to you, a moral certainty?
- 13 A. Well, we all have morals, standards to live by.
- 14 Q. That's a pretty --
- 15 A. Broad statement?
- 16 Q. Right.
- But if the proof has to be of such a nature that it
- 18 really rises to a moral certainty, that's a lot of proof?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. That's a high burden, because the State wants to
- 21 take someone's children away forever.
- And we finally get to the burden of proof we're
- 23 going to use in this case and that's beyond a reasonable
- 24 doubt. We know, although it's not really defined, we know
- 25 that it's higher than that clear -- we have preponderance of

Page 72

- I defect with whatever.
- Q. Okay. Do you think -- when you say 30 percent,
- 3 somewhere around there. Obviously, it's less than 50 percent
- 4 is how you think of that. Well, when this says when there is
- 5 probability that the defendant would commit criminal acts of
- 6 violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society,
- 7 are you saying -- I'm trying to read you when you say 30
- 8 percent.
- A. Oh, okay. I see what you -- I guess it depends on
- 10 the circumstance. I was using my work as an example, just due
- Il to every-day things that occur.
- 12 Q. So this may not fit in too well with hard sciences
- 13 and mathematicians and physicists and things like that. I
- 14 don't know if the Legislature was thinking about that or not.
- 15 A. On that particular statement, I would say 50
- 16 percent. I'd raise it up to 50 percent because --
- 17 Q. Maybe more probable than not?
- 18 A. Yes, absolutely.
- 19 O. Did you know what the weather forecast was going to
- 20 be for today?
- 21 A. I heard yesterday it's going to be in the high 90s.
- 22 Q. Seems like that every day.
- 23 Do you ever use an umbrella?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. When do you use your umbrella? Let's say you get up

Page 71

- 1 the evidence. Then we have clear and convincing evidence in
- 2-those other kinds of civil cases, and finally we have beyond a
- 3 reasonable doubt.
- 4 Are you getting a feel for how good the proof has to
- 5 be?
- 6 A. Sure.
- 7 Q. It's a pretty high burden to meet.
- 8 A. Right.
- 9 Q. That's what we're kind of going to be dealing with.
- Now, getting back to that first special issue.
- 11 Mr. Schultz asked you about probability, and I don't know if
- 12 you said -- I can't remember. Did you say you used that word,
- 13 or you come across that word in your work?
- 14 A. He mentioned about -- I guess you could say that in
- 15 all positions you're going to have probability.
- 16 Q. Yeah.
- 17 A. And mine included, so...
- 18 Q. I think Bill mentioned that you probably -- and I'm
- 19 sure you are -- I know you're better at math than I am. What
- 20 does that mean, if you were going to use a scale of zero to
- 21 100; 0 percent to 100 percent certainty, where does
- 22 probability fit in there for you, Mr. Harrison?
- 23 A. Probably 30 percent.
- 24 Q. 30 percent?
- A. Being it's something might not work, or could be a

- 1 in the morning and you're fixing to go over to Raytheon, but
- 2 it's not raining. The sun is out, like a lot of mornings.
- 3 When does that -- when that weather forecaster tells you, you
- 4 know, chance of rain today, X-percent chance of rain. When do
- 5 you key in to maybe grab the umbrella and put it in your car?
- 6 A. Usually when it's about 50 percent. 40 to 50
- 7 percent, I'd say.
- 8 Q. Okay. If we talk about that word "society," and
- 9 Mr. Schultz told you we'll have a difference of opinion on it.
- 10 Do you understand that if you get to this question, we already
- 11 know it's either going to be life or death?
- 12 A. Right
- 13 Q. No doubt about it if you get to these questions.
- What do you think society means then? If you know
- 15 if you're answering this question it's either life or death,
- 16 what does society really mean to you?
- 17 A. Well, I know that person is not going to be out.
- 18 They're going to be in the prison system.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. So, again, it's the society then is dealing
- 21 strictly with the prison system.
- 22 Q. Okay. Do you think the prison system does a pretty
- 23 good job of controlling people?
- 24 A. I feel like they do.
- Q. Okay. Let's say in our business sometimes, as

- 1 Mr. Schultz said, sometimes people have to go to the
- 2 penitentiary for the crimes they commit. I'm trying to think
- 3 of a recent case. I had to represent a guy that had way too
- 4 many DWls. It was his 4th or 5th one. He had to go to the
- 5 penitentiary.
- 6 Do you think the penitentiary -- and that man's
- 7 problem was -- it wasn't even beer. It was hard liquor, but
- 8 his problem was liquor, alcohol, and the judge felt that he
- 9 had a break the chain. The probations weren't working. The
- 10 AAs weren't working, so he went to the penitentiary. Do you
- 11 think the penitentiary would take -- solve some of his
- 12 problem?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 O. Because he what?
- 15 A. Drank.
- 16 Q. Can't drink, can't get alcohol --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- right?
- 19 I've seen movies where they make bathtub gin, but
- 20 generally speaking in the penitentiary you're not going to go
- 21 buy a bottle of Vodka, and you're not going to be able to
- 22 drink every day. Do you think that's kind of true of people
- 23 that use drugs, too?
- 24 A. Yes.

.....

Q. They can't go get cocaine. They can't just buy it.

Page 75

- 1 They can't be high all the time. Do you think the
- 2 penitentiary is good in preventing or helping people not get
- 3 access to the things that may ruin their life?
- A. Right.
- 5 Q. On -- there's one question.
- 6 MR. GOELLER: Can I approach the witness?
- 7 THE COURT: Yes.
- 8 O. BY MR. GOELLER: On, I think it's page 9,
- 9 Mr. Harrison. My copy may have been -- they just photocopied
- 10 these things. This one question I don't know I don't think
- 11 you circled one. You may have thought it was --
- 12 A. Oh, okay.
- 13 Q. It's not that important. I just thought I might
- 14 touch on it with you.
- 15 A. The one that you have here?
- 16 Q. Yeah, this one here. They're wanting you to circle
- 17 one of those.
- 18 A. Oh, okay.
- 19 Q. Not this first line or this second. But I guess you
- 20 read those four lines and circle which one applies to you.
- 21 A. Okay.
- Q. Which one? When I make up my mind, I rarely change
- 23 it. All right.
- 24 If you were a juror in this case, I think the
- 25 judge -- the judge will instruct everybody that's a juror

Page 76

- I that, you know, you can't make up your mind; in other
- 2 you can't vote or really decide until you've heard everyt
- Do you know what I'm saying?

A. Right.

- Q. And if we get into the punishment phase of the
- 6 trial, then we're talking about these -- really these two
- 7 issues here. Are you -- do you work at Raytheon -- do
- 8 work really by yourself or are you in a group or team?
 - A. Okay. I am in a group of about eight people,
- 10 work -- they are downstairs, and I work upstairs in
- 11 office-type environment.
 - Q. Okay. When you tell me -- when you circled the
- 3 answer. I guess I circled it for you, when you make up
- 14 mind, you rarely change it, are you able, though, to sit
- 15 down -- let's say you were a juror in this case, and you'
- 16 going to have 11 other people back in that jury deliberar
- 17 room with you. Would you listen to other views, opinio
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Is it possible someone could change your mind?
- 20 know after you hear them out and they hear you out, an
- 21 compromise and exchange ideas, that kind of thing?
- 22 A. I would say this here, that, again, I've never t
- 23 involved in anything like this, but --
- 24 Q. And hopefully you never will again.
- 25 A. What I was going to say is I on something (

- l nature, if it's -- were work-related or whatever, you know
- 2 listen to what others have to say. But this, I don't know
- 3 would change my mind from hearing the evidence of hear
- 4 some other person said. I don't know.
- 5 O. Just going to have to wait to cross that bridge when
- 6 you get to it?
- 7 A. (Nods head.)
- 8 Q. That last special issue, if you were a juror that
- 9 you might be dealing with, when we say taking into
- 10 consideration all of the evidence, including circumstances of
- 11 the offense. If a killing indeed took place you would
- 12 probably want to know the why. Would that be important to
- 13 you?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And I know we Mr. Schultz discussed with you, ar
- 16 your answers were that all people, you know, human life -- i
- 17 I heard you right, human life is sacred. It doesn't matter
- 18 whether it's the nun or the drug dealer?
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 O. I don't think anybody would -- I certainly hope
- 21 nobody would disagree with you that a human life is sacred.
- 22 But, the deceased, if you are dealing with the character of
- 23 the deceased, do you think that might come into play in this
- 24 special issue -- you know, the circumstances of the offense.
- 25 What the law says is you take into consideration all of the

Page 80 Page 78 1 THE COURT: Yes, sir. Throughout the voir dire 1 evidence, including circumstances of the offense. both sides can approach without saying. 2 Do you see -- let me ask you this. You read the 3 MR. GOELLER: I apologize for walking up, not 3 newspaper and you watch television. Lots and lots of people out there lose their lives every day. People are murdered. 4 having asked before. 5 THE COURT: That's all right. People get in car accidents. Mr. Schultz mentioned Timothy 6 McVeigh. I think you wrote you followed that trial a little Q. BY MR. GOELLER: They kind of gave you five choices. I believe the death penalty should be imposed in all capital 7_bit? A. Right. murder cases. It's appropriate in some, and I could give it, 8 although I do not belive the death penalty should ever be 9 Q. Of course, he sat down and over many months plotted 10 imposed as long as the law provides for it, I could assess it 10 and schemed to get those fertilizers and timing devices and 11 ignition devices, loaded up the truck, parked in front of a under the proper circumstances. Kind of in the middle of the 12 road out of five, and you chose three. Is that how you feel 12 federal courthouse where, apparently, he knew children were in 13 today? 13 there, and we know what happened. 14 A. Like I said earlier, I'm not one that would have the 14 A. Right. 15 enjoyment of seeing one - someone put to death. I saw so 15 Q. 168 people dead. 16 much when I was in the war myself. But for someone to 16 That's a good example of, really, premeditation. 17 deliberately do something, to take a life deliberately it's 17 Not just intentionally and knowingly doing it, but lots and 18 different, and I don't really accept that. I would vote for 18 lots of thought and planning, hundreds of miles and spending 19 the death penalty. 19 hundreds of dollars, reading manuals to try to figure out how Q. Because I -- I guess I want you to know that if we 20 to get that device to do that kind of damage that it did. 20 21 get -- if we get to these special issues, in order to answer 21 That's a good example. Maybe other kinds of murders 22 these special issues, do you -- it will be -- you will have 22 are, maybe between drug dealers or somebody that gets killed 23 is a drug dealer himself, and they may get into an argument. 23 already found that somebody intentionally took another 24 person's life, okay? Because that's -- you have to find that 24 Something may go wrong. Do you see a difference in the 25 before you even get to these. The guilt-innocence phase of 25 mentality or the premeditation, so to speak, between those two Page 81 Page 79 1_kinds of people? the trial -- the first phase, you may be asked the question -well, do you find that this person intentionally killed this A. Sure. other person or persons in the course of either committing the Q. Do you think that could figure into -- you know, and robbery, burglary, or killing two people, something like that. 4 I think Mr. Schultz alluded to it, a guy like Timothy McVeigh, 5 And the judge would tell you that you have to 5 when you're answering that first special issue, there may be a find -- to find them guilty, you'd have to find that the 6 case like that where you could answer that question yes just 7 killing was done intentionally or knowingly, okay? And we use 7 based on the kind of thought process that had to go into 8 blowing up that courthouse. Do you know what I'm saying? that word intentionally. I think you know what that means. 9 A. Yeah. A. Right. Q. Do you think you could think about it differently, Q. The judge would tell you it's your intent, your 11 specific desire to engage in the conduct that caused the 11 though, where maybe something was off the cuff, somebody was 12 result? 12 on drugs, the deceased was kind of a bad actor himself, drug 13 dealer and maybe they had a relationship, who knows? But do 13 A. Right. Q. So we've already found somebody intentionally killed 14 you kind of see a difference between those two kinds of 14 15 somebody. So, then we get -- so they've been found guilty of 15 people? A. Yes, I can. 16 capital murder. Then we move into guilt -- the punishment 16 17 phase; life or death. Are you telling me that if -- and I may 17 Q. And do you think that might figure into both these 18 be reading you wrong, but it's really important I understand 18 special issues? this. From what you just told me a minute ago, are you going 19 A. It could, yes. 20 Q. Okay, okay. 20 to vote to impose the death penalty because you have already 21 found that they intentionally killed somebody? Do you see 21 On the very first page of the questionnaire, 22 Mr. Harrison, regarding your views of capital punishment, they 22 what I'm saying? 23 kind of gave you five choices. 23 A. I'd be very strongly for that. 24 Q. Okay. MR. GOELLER: Judge, may I have continuing 25 A. Just from my beliefs of life itself, I'd say to 25 permission to approach?

Q. Okay. And your feelings about this whole issue

24 opinion that if somebody intentionally and knowingly committed25 capital murder, they should pay the ultimate price with the

23 really don't consider the special issues. You're of the

10 A. I'd have to go with my beliefs. I guess you cou 11 just say I'm a very strong person on -- everyone -- all 12 has made mistakes in our lives -13 Q. Right. 14 A. - including myself, and - but taking another 15 person's life deliberately, I have a hard time with tha 16 Q. Okay. 17 A. And, you know, being punished is one thing, b 18 just don't believe in it. 19 Q. Your personal beliefs in this area, having found 20 somebody guilty of capital murder, and you used the wo "deliberately." I believe the word the judge would use is 22 intentionally. 23 A. Right. 24 Q. They mean about the same thing to you? 25 A. Yes. Page 85 Q. Okay. Your personal beliefs would substantia 2 impair your ability to fairly consider those special issu 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Okay, all right. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Harrison. Do you have any qu 5 for me, by the way, about anything? 7 A. No. 8 O. Okay. 9 MR. GOELLER: Thank you for coming do 10 today, sir. 11 THE COURT: Anything else? 12 MR. SCHULTZ: Depends on what they do 13 there's a challenge, then I'd probably be able to rehab the juror. If there's not a challenge, then we don't hav 15 anything else. 16 THE COURT: Do you want to step down a 17 minute? We'll see you in a minute. 18 VENIREPERSON: I've got one question to 19 THE COURT: Sure, absolutely. VENIREPERSON: I noticed on there -- or 20

21 said from the beginning of the first day, if you have h 22 felony, and I stated on there that I did have a DWI ba

VENIREPERSON: I was fined, never was

THE COURT: Right.

23 1983.

24

25

Page 84

Q. And that the special issues for you personally dor

figure into it? You really can't follow the law regarding

mitigation and that probability of criminal acts of violence

don't need those special issues because your beliefs are the

because what you've told me is your mind is already mad
 you have found them guilty of capital murder already. Y

I death penalty?

A. Yes.

should get the death penalty?

2

3

	Page 86	Page 88 1 follow your instructions even in other contexts if he
	a jury or anything like that. I did my probation, learned my	1
2	lesson and paid my dues.	2 didn't agree with them. For example, he apparently thinks the
3	THE COURT: In fact, I think you told us on	3 burden or apparently did think the burden of proof was on
4	Tuesday, didn't you?	4 the defendant to show his innocence, but when couched in terms
5	VENIREPERSON: I don't believe I did.	5 of what if he had an instruction the other way, he seemed
6	THE COURT: You didn't?	6 willing to do it. We certainly have a right to rehabilitate
7	VENIREPERSON: No, sir.	7 the juror.
8.4		8 THE COURT: You want to give it a shot?
1	had.	9 MR. SCHULTZ: (Nods head).
10	VENIREPERSON: I don't know if that	10 THE COURT: All right. Let's bring him back
11	disqualifies me.	11 in.
12	THE COURT: No. Where was the DWI?	12 (Brief pause in proceedings.)
13	VENIREPERSON: In Dallas.	13 THE COURT: All right. The juror is still
14	THE COURT: And you got probation?	14 outside.
15	VENIREPERSON: That's all I had, and I paid a	15 MR. GOELLER: I guess this is partly my fault
16	fine and was on probation I think, like, six months or	16 because I didn't talk about it with Bill and you beforehand,
17	something like that.	17 but I want to avoid the situation where maybe I should have
18	THE COURT: I appreciate that.	18 spoken up. I wasn't didn't know what I was going to do
19	VENIREPERSON: And I learned my lesson from	19 next.
20	that.	20 Mr. Schultz asked the court if he's going to be
21	THE COURT: I appreciate that.	21 challenged, we want to rehabilitate, and I think the juror
22	VENIREPERSON: Anyway	22 heard that, and I want to kind of avoid that in the future.
23	THE COURT: We might have you back in a minute.	23 I'm not pointing to him. It's probably my fault, but if we
24	VENIREPERSON: Oh, okay.	24 could have a I think we need to discuss exactly how we're
25	THE COURT: All right. The juror is outside.	25 going to do this so jurors don't hear that again.
-		
	Page 87	Page 89
1 1	MR GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor, the defense	1 THE COURT: And I think there's not a
1 2	MR. GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor, the defense	
2-	submits this juror as a challenge for cause. This juror is	2 problem
3	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can
3 4	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused.
3 4 5	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused. 5 THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence.
3 4 5 6	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused. 5 THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. 6 Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but
3 4 5 6 7	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my	 2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused. 5 THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. 6 Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but 7 let's bring him back.
3 4 5 6 7 8	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused. 5 THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. 6 Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but 7 let's bring him back. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say.
2- 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused. 5 THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. 6 Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but 7 let's bring him back. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. 9 MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or not likely to change it.	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused. 5 THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. 6 Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but 7 let's bring him back. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. 9 MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it. 10 MR. SCHULTZ: But he's got more to say.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or not likely to change it. The juror is challenged for cause under Morgan	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused. 5 THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. 6 Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but 7 let's bring him back. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. 9 MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it. 10 MR. SCHULTZ: But he's got more to say. 11 MR. GOELLER: He does?
2= 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or not likely to change it. The juror is challenged for cause under Morgan versus Illinois and having that juror on the panel or	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused. 5 THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. 6 Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but 7 let's bring him back. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. 9 MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it. 10 MR. SCHULTZ: But he's got more to say. 11 MR. GOELLER: He does? 12 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz.
2= 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or not likely to change it. The juror is challenged for cause under Morgan versus Illinois and having that juror on the panel or excuse me. Not granting that challenge for cause violates my	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused. 5 THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. 6 Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but 7 let's bring him back. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. 9 MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it. 10 MR. SCHULTZ: But he's got more to say. 11 MR. GOELLER: He does? 12 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz. 13 REDIRECT QUESTIONS
2- 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or not likely to change it. The juror is challenged for cause under Morgan versus Illinois and having that juror on the panel or excuse me. Not granting that challenge for cause violates my client's Eighth Amendment rights and his 14th Amendment rights	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused. 5 THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. 6 Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but 7 let's bring him back. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. 9 MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it. 10 MR. SCHULTZ: But he's got more to say. 11 MR. GOELLER: He does? 12 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz. 13 REDIRECT QUESTIONS 14 BY MR. SCHULTZ:
2= 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or not likely to change it. The juror is challenged for cause under Morgan versus Illinois and having that juror on the panel or excuse me. Not granting that challenge for cause violates my client's Eighth Amendment rights and his 14th Amendment rights of the United States Constitution.	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused. 5 THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. 6 Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but 7 let's bring him back. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. 9 MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it. 10 MR. SCHULTZ: But he's got more to say. 11 MR. GOELLER: He does? 12 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz. 13 REDIRECT QUESTIONS 14 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 15 Q. Mr. Harrison, I want to make sure that what you said
2= 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or not likely to change it. The juror is challenged for cause under Morgan versus Illinois and having that juror on the panel or excuse me. Not granting that challenge for cause violates my client's Eighth Amendment rights and his 14th Amendment rights of the United States Constitution. Thank you, judge.	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused. 5 THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. 6 Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but 7 let's bring him back. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. 9 MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it. 10 MR. SCHULTZ: But he's got more to say. 11 MR. GOELLER: He does? 12 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz. 13 REDIRECT QUESTIONS 14 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 15 Q. Mr. Harrison, I want to make sure that what you said 16 in response to some of Mr. Goeller's questions where how you
2- 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or not likely to change it. The juror is challenged for cause under Morgan versus Illinois and having that juror on the panel or excuse me. Not granting that challenge for cause violates my client's Eighth Amendment rights and his 14th Amendment rights of the United States Constitution. Thank you, judge. THE COURT: Anything from the other side?	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused. 5 THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. 6 Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but 7 let's bring him back. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. 9 MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it. 10 MR. SCHULTZ: But he's got more to say. 11 MR. GOELLER: He does? 12 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz. 13 REDIRECT QUESTIONS 14 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 15 Q. Mr. Harrison, I want to make sure that what you said 16 in response to some of Mr. Goeller's questions where how you 17 really feel, and if they were, we've got no problems.
2= 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or not likely to change it. The juror is challenged for cause under Morgan versus Illinois and having that juror on the panel or excuse me. Not granting that challenge for cause violates my client's Eighth Amendment rights and his 14th Amendment rights of the United States Constitution. Thank you, judge. THE COURT: Anything from the other side? MR. SCHULTZ: We'd like to talk to the juror	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused. 5 THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. 6 Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but 7 let's bring him back. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. 9 MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it. 10 MR. SCHULTZ: But he's got more to say. 11 MR. GOELLER: He does? 12 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz. 13 REDIRECT QUESTIONS 14 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 15 Q. Mr. Harrison, I want to make sure that what you said 16 in response to some of Mr. Goeller's questions where how you 17 really feel, and if they were, we've got no problems. 18 Everything is fine.
2= 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or not likely to change it. The juror is challenged for cause under Morgan versus Illinois and having that juror on the panel or excuse me. Not granting that challenge for cause violates my client's Eighth Amendment rights and his 14th Amendment rights of the United States Constitution. Thank you, judge. THE COURT: Anything from the other side? MR. SCHULTZ: We'd like to talk to the juror now on those issues.	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused. 5 THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. 6 Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but 7 let's bring him back. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. 9 MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it. 10 MR. SCHULTZ: But he's got more to say. 11 MR. GOELLER: He does? 12 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz. 13 REDIRECT QUESTIONS 14 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 15 Q. Mr. Harrison, I want to make sure that what you said 16 in response to some of Mr. Goeller's questions where how you 17 really feel, and if they were, we've got no problems. 18 Everything is fine. 19 I know this process, sometimes you come out of here
2- 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or not likely to change it. The juror is challenged for cause under Morgan versus Illinois and having that juror on the panel or excuse me. Not granting that challenge for cause violates my client's Eighth Amendment rights and his 14th Amendment rights of the United States Constitution. Thank you, judge. THE COURT: Anything from the other side? MR. SCHULTZ: We'd like to talk to the juror now on those issues. If that's what he thinks, then that's fine. I	2 problem 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can 4 be excused. 5 THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. 6 Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but 7 let's bring him back. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. 9 MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it. 10 MR. SCHULTZ: But he's got more to say. 11 MR. GOELLER: He does? 12 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz. 13 REDIRECT QUESTIONS 14 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 15 Q. Mr. Harrison, I want to make sure that what you said 16 in response to some of Mr. Goeller's questions where how you 17 really feel, and if they were, we've got no problems. 18 Everything is fine. 19 I know this process, sometimes you come out of here 20 almost feeling that people put words in your mouth. That
2= 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or not likely to change it. The juror is challenged for cause under Morgan versus Illinois and having that juror on the panel or excuse me. Not granting that challenge for cause violates my client's Eighth Amendment rights and his 14th Amendment rights of the United States Constitution. Thank you, judge. THE COURT: Anything from the other side? MR. SCHULTZ: We'd like to talk to the juror now on those issues. If that's what he thinks, then that's fine. I don't have any quarrel with it, but I think you saw the entire	MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can be excused. THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but let's bring him back. MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it. MR. SCHULTZ: But he's got more to say. MR. GOELLER: He does? THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz. REDIRECT QUESTIONS HY MR. SCHULTZ: O. Mr. Harrison, I want to make sure that what you said in response to some of Mr. Goeller's questions where how you really feel, and if they were, we've got no problems. Everything is fine. I know this process, sometimes you come out of here almost feeling that people put words in your mouth. That could be me, could be Mr. Goeller. I'm not picking on
2= 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or not likely to change it. The juror is challenged for cause under Morgan versus Illinois and having that juror on the panel or excuse me. Not granting that challenge for cause violates my client's Eighth Amendment rights and his 14th Amendment rights of the United States Constitution. Thank you, judge. THE COURT: Anything from the other side? MR. SCHULTZ: We'd like to talk to the juror now on those issues. If that's what he thinks, then that's fine. I don't have any quarrel with it, but I think you saw the entire proceeding, and I'm not sure at that point what his answers	MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can be excused. THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but let's bring him back. MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it. MR. SCHULTZ: But he's got more to say. MR. GOELLER: He does? THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz. REDIRECT QUESTIONS MR. SCHULTZ: Q. Mr. Harrison, I want to make sure that what you said in response to some of Mr. Goeller's questions where how you really feel, and if they were, we've got no problems. Everything is fine. I know this process, sometimes you come out of here almost feeling that people put words in your mouth. That could be me, could be Mr. Goeller. I'm not picking on anybody. We do a lot of talking, and sometimes it's almost
2= 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or not likely to change it. The juror is challenged for cause under Morgan versus Illinois and having that juror on the panel or excuse me. Not granting that challenge for cause violates my client's Eighth Amendment rights and his 14th Amendment rights of the United States Constitution. Thank you, judge. THE COURT: Anything from the other side? MR. SCHULTZ: We'd like to talk to the juror now on those issues. If that's what he thinks, then that's fine. I don't have any quarrel with it, but I think you saw the entire proceeding, and I'm not sure at that point what his answers are to those, and they were never couched in terms of you	MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can be excused. THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but let's bring him back. MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it. MR. SCHULTZ: But he's got more to say. MR. GOELLER: He does? THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz. REDIRECT QUESTIONS HYMR. SCHULTZ: Q. Mr. Harrison, I want to make sure that what you said in response to some of Mr. Goeller's questions where how you really feel, and if they were, we've got no problems. Everything is fine. I know this process, sometimes you come out of here almost feeling that people put words in your mouth. That could be me, could be Mr. Goeller. I'm not picking on anybody. We do a lot of talking, and sometimes it's almost easier to go along than it is to especially when you're
2= 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or not likely to change it. The juror is challenged for cause under Morgan versus Illinois and having that juror on the panel or excuse me. Not granting that challenge for cause violates my client's Eighth Amendment rights and his 14th Amendment rights of the United States Constitution. Thank you, judge. THE COURT: Anything from the other side? MR. SCHULTZ: We'd like to talk to the juror now on those issues. If that's what he thinks, then that's fine. I don't have any quarrel with it, but I think you saw the entire proceeding, and I'm not sure at that point what his answers are to those, and they were never couched in terms of you giving instructions to these effect (inaudible). As a	MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can be excused. THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but let's bring him back. MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it. MR. SCHULTZ: But he's got more to say. MR. GOELLER: He does? THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz. REDIRECT QUESTIONS HYMR. SCHULTZ: Q. Mr. Harrison, I want to make sure that what you said in response to some of Mr. Goeller's questions where how you really feel, and if they were, we've got no problems. Everything is fine. I know this process, sometimes you come out of here almost feeling that people put words in your mouth. That could be me, could be Mr. Goeller. I'm not picking on anybody. We do a lot of talking, and sometimes it's almost assier to go along than it is to especially when you're tired. Do you know what I'm saying?
2= 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	classic, what we call, (inaudible) response juror. He's told the court he will not give fair consideration to the special issues based on his personal views in favor of the death penalty. I approached the juror because his questionnaire was incomplete, and low and behold he circles the answer once my mind is made up he didn't circle it. He stated it. It's on the record, once my mind is made up, I'm not changing, or not likely to change it. The juror is challenged for cause under Morgan versus Illinois and having that juror on the panel or excuse me. Not granting that challenge for cause violates my client's Eighth Amendment rights and his 14th Amendment rights of the United States Constitution. Thank you, judge. THE COURT: Anything from the other side? MR. SCHULTZ: We'd like to talk to the juror now on those issues. If that's what he thinks, then that's fine. I don't have any quarrel with it, but I think you saw the entire proceeding, and I'm not sure at that point what his answers are to those, and they were never couched in terms of you	MR. SCHULTZ: Maybe just ask if the juror can be excused. THE COURT: And we hadn't discussed sequence. Not looking too good for this juror staying on the panel, but let's bring him back. MR. SCHULTZ: Let's hear what he's got to say. MR. GOELLER: Oh, I think he said it. MR. SCHULTZ: But he's got more to say. MR. GOELLER: He does? THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz. REDIRECT QUESTIONS HYMR. SCHULTZ: Q. Mr. Harrison, I want to make sure that what you said in response to some of Mr. Goeller's questions where how you really feel, and if they were, we've got no problems. Everything is fine. I know this process, sometimes you come out of here almost feeling that people put words in your mouth. That could be me, could be Mr. Goeller. I'm not picking on anybody. We do a lot of talking, and sometimes it's almost easier to go along than it is to especially when you're

- 1 Q. Everthing I heard from you indicated to me that
- 2 you're a man that believes in following the law?

3 A. Absolutely.

- 4 Q. Okay. I don't know what your views were when you
- 5 went to Vietnam, and I'm not going to ask you. They're
- 6 probably different now than they were then for all I know, but
- 7 I suspect you were the kind of man that believed -- if you
- 8 were over there with Third Marine Division, your duty was
- 9 pretty clear what you had to do?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. And maybe if somebody made you general for a day,
- 12 you'd have a different view than what the general's were
- 13 doing. But nevertheless you followed the rules because that's
- 14 the kind of person that you are. Is that a pretty fair
- 15 statement?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. When you're on a jury -- when you come up as a
- 18 prospective juror it's pretty -- it's pretty regulated what
- 19 everybody can do. In other words, there are a lot of rules
- 20 that govern all of our conduct here, right? I don't know if
- 21 it would be illegal for me to come out tonight and have a
- 21 It would be megal for me to come out tonight and have t
- 22 beer with you or not if you were on the juror. It would be 23 real bad form, and I wouldn't want to have to face the judge
- 24 or the grievance committee the next day with having done such
- 25 a thing. Doesn't mean I don't like you or that, you know, I

Page 91

- 1 wouldn't like your company. You can't do stuff like that
- 2 because of the trial; do you understand that?
 - A. Right.

3

- 4 Q. And the judge -- you're not going to see much
- 5 arguing. The lawyers aren't going to get out of control.
- 6 He's not going to let the lawyers misbehave or fight or fuss
- 7 or treat people badly. There are rules that govern all that.
- 8 That's kind of how -- those are the rules that govern the
- 9 lawyers, and Mr. Goeller and I, and Mr. High and Ms. Falco and
- 10 Ms. Lowry, we all understand that. We follow those rules that
- 11 govern us.
- 12 It may not seem like it, but Judge Sandoval has
- 13 rules he has to follow. He has to answer to appellate courts.
- 14 He has to answer to oversight, kind of the divisions of the
- 15 judiciary and commission, so we all have to follow rules to
- 16 the best of our ability. And the judge can't just do what the
- 17 judge wants. I can't just do what I want. The court reporter
- 18 can't get tired taking down notes, and say, well, I'm tired
- 19 of this. I don't care if there's a record or not, even though
- 20 the law says I've got to be doing this. My fingers are tired;
- 21 I want a break.
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Q. The bailiffs can't just leave the courtroom and
- 24 leave the courtroom unprotected. Everybody has got to follow
- 25 the rules.

Page 92

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. As a juror your duties are to follow the law the
- 3 judge gives you in answering questions, even if you d
- 4 agree with them. And you understand that idea?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. You may be the kind of man in a trial that doe
- 7 like the insanity defense and thinks it has no place in
 - law, but if the judge tells you you've got to consider the
- insanity defense, to be a good juror you have to give
- 10 consideration to that.
- Now, if you're the kind of juror that just says I
- 12 can't do that. I hate the insanity defense so much. I'v
- 3 just got such strong feelings, and no disrespect to the
- 14 but I'm not going to follow his instructions. Then, that
- 15 fine. That's not a problem if that's how you feel, but I
- 6 don't get the feeling that's what we're really talking ab
- 17 here, that you would disregard the law or disregard th
- 18 instructions because that doesn't seem like it's you to
- 19 A. No, it's not.
- Q. Well, if I understood the way you were answe
- 21 Mr. Goeller's questions, I understood his question to y
- 22 be that you already found somebody guilty of capital
 - A. Right.

23

- 24 Q. -- at the first phase of the trial, which under or
- 25 law includes there has to be an intentional killing?

Page 93

- A. Yes.
- Q. I believe his question to you was, in effect, are
- you pretty much an automatic death penalty person after
- 4 as a juror?

5

- A. Right.
- 6 Q. I'm certainly paring down what he said, and the
- are not his words, but that's the sense that I got from his
- 8 that because of how you view the world, you're pretty
- 9 going to automatically give the guy the death sentence
- 10 though the law says you have to consider punishment
- 11 and the punishment issues. And I thought I heard you
- 12 to that being, yeah, that's right. Even though the law s
- 13 otherwise, I'm the kind of a man that believes if you de
- 14 capital murder, you're going to get death because that's
- 16 A. Yes, it was.
- 17 Q. And is that how you feel?

15 am. Is that what you were saying?

- 18 A. I'm very strong on that. Like I said I I
- 19 would -- you know, I would hear -- in other words,
- 20 going to say that if I was on the jury I would go in t
- 21 because this person did capital murder they're I'
- 22 give them the death penalty.
- 23 Q. Okay. That's what I thought. Remember what
- 24 that you might be -- you might be the one in a hundred
- 25 person. Your neighbor might be one in 50; you both t

- 1 Just because you might be harder or softer on punishment, as
- 2 long as you could go both ways according to the evidence.
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 Q. Are you telling me you couldn't go both ways
- 5 according to the evidence of the punishment phase?
 - A. I could go both ways.
- 7 Q. Okay. Then let's talk about it so we're clear on
- 8 these issues, because I thought that's what you were saying.
- 9 Let's say we've proved to you the person was a
- 10 capital murderer. He intentionally caused the death of two
- 11 people, or he intentionally caused the death of somebody while
- 12 he was robbing a place, all right? But what if we -- what if
- 13 the person is not dangerous now? What if the evidence is
- 14 clear that that individual is not a threat to society, what
- 15 would the answer to that question be, if he's not dangerous?
- 16 Is the answer no?
- 17 A. He killed a person, right.
- 18 Q. He killed somebody, but -
- 19 A. And they're saying he's not a threat to society.
- 20 Q. Well, you don't believe it. You're the juror and
- 21 you don't believe it. Let me give you an example --
- 22 A. It had to be proven to me why he would not be a
- 23 threat to society.
- Q. Well, you understand there's a situation where that
- 25 would be the case, where a person wouldn't be dangerous even

Page 96

- l because that might be how you think. You might think that if
- 2 you can do the conduct that amounts to capital murder, mostly
- 3 you're going to be a dangerous person because who else could
- 4 do that sort of thing? But you have to fairly recognize --
- 5 and I don't mean just agree with me. You have to fairly
- 6 recognize that there are situations where even somebody who
- 7 has committed a capital murder will no longer be dangerous, or
- 8 is not dangerous, except for that situation. And if you're
- 9 telling me -- if you're telling me you don't recognize that or
- 10 you can't do that, then I'm not quarreling with you. That's
- 11 kind of where we are.
- 12 A. I see.
- 13 Q. You're the one that knows. If somebody is found
- 14 guilty of capital murder are you going to just -- is the
- 15 State's job finished, and are we going to get a death sentence
- 6 out of you? Once you find him guilty, are you going to shut
- 17 down on the rest of the evidence?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Why not?
- 20 A. I'd say that I'm a fair person.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. That I would want to hear all the evidence.
- 23 Q. Okay.

25

5

- 24 A. And then I would base my opinion on that.
 - Q. Okay. These questions we're asking you,

Page 95

- 1 though he did an awful crime?
- 2 A. Rights.
- O. What if, when the police arrested him, they shot him
- 4 in the spine and he's paralyzed from the eyebrows down, for
- 5 example? Can't be dangerous then, right?
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 O. What if he had a massive stroke when he was in the
- 8 jailhouse awaiting his trial, and he's paralyzed completely,
- 9 right?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. There are situations where somebody would not be
- 12 dangerous.
- What if the reason for the killing was one you
- 14 understood and made sense to you. Remember that example of
- 15 the guy that killed the killer of the child because the
- 16 criminal system let him down. Do you remember that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. That might be a capital murder, depending on how he
- 19 did it. That might exactly be a capital murder, but maybe
- 20 that person is not dangerous to society. Maybe he's been a
- 21 great guy all his life and will be again. Do you follow what
- 22 I'm saying?
- 23 A. Yeah, I do.
- Q. The question is not whether or not you believe that
- 25 most capital murderers are probably going to be dangerous

- 1 Mr. Harrison, does it seem to you like we're just asking
- 2 what's your opinion? Just tell us what you think without
- 3 giving you any guidance on how the law works? Do you have
- 4 that feeling?
 - A. No. No, I don't.
- 6 Q. Because the judge is going to tell you certain
- 7 things, and truthfully if you can fairly follow all the
- 8 instructions the Court gives you, you're a qualified juror.
- 9 You might not be the kind of juror the State would want on the
- 10 jury, but you're qualified. Then we have to do something else
- 11 with you because you're qualified. You might not be the kind
- 12 of juror the defense would want on the jury, but if you can
- 13 fairly follow all of the instructions of the Court, you are
- 14 entitled, as a citizen, to bring your strong views on
- 15 punishment or your strong views on rehabilitation or your
- 16 mercy or vengeance, or whatever you have, you can bring all
- 17 that here, but you have to be able to follow the Court's
- 18 instructions which deal with looking at the evidence and
- 19 applying the law to that evidence, all right?
- 20 A. Can I say something?
- 21 O. You bet.
- 22 A. And I said this earlier, I don't know if it has any
- 23 bearing. Anything where there's a lot of stress involved I
- 24 have a hard time with under my situation.
- 25 O. I understand.

A. I don't know how I would hold up for the duration of You're sure of that? A. Yes. time that we're looking at on this to be a very good juror, Q. And maybe you would find a capital murderer and I'm being honest about that. I can't really say how, you 10 know, stressful-wise - I just look at the way that I feel on 10 dangerous with more frequency than somebody else just be 11 that. 11 of what they've done, right? 12 I believe in abiding by the law, and like I said, A. Right. Q. But could you also find that they weren't dangerous 13 sure, I'd want to hear all the evidence and everything else, 13 14. but I'm a strong believer that, you know, taking someone's 14 depending on the circumstances? A. I have a hard time with that. With that question 15 life deliberately, I just have a hard time with -16 have a hard time with that. 16 Q. I hope we all do. O. All right. 17 A. - of, you know ... A. See, I'm just -- I guess what you might say is I 18 So I understand everything that all of you have gone 18 19 have a hard time with someone taking someone's life 19 over. 20 deliberately. You know, I'm taking it that that's what 20 Q. Okay. Well, which is it then? Are you going -- are 21 you going to fairly consider the punishment evidence or are 21 capital murder is. So, I favor more that - I don't know 22 life in prison would -- I don't know. I guess I'm mixed 22 you not, because you've said both? And I'm not fussing with Q. Okay. What we got to know is whether or not you 23 you. I'm just saying that's our difficulty because you tell 24 could make the State prove the Defendant is a continuing 24 me --25 threat to society; that is, going to be dangerous in the A. I think, to be honest with you, I'm more in favor of 25 Page 99 Page 101 1 future? Could you require us to prove it beyond a reasonal I the death penalty. 2 doubt? Would you require that of us? Q. Okay. A. Yes. A. If the evidence points towards that they 3 O. And that doesn't mean give me a wing and say you' 4 deliberately -- deliberately --5 in, as long as we find him guilty, you've got me. That's no O. All right. A. - took someone's life. what that means. You've got to give fair consideration to that issue; do you understand that? Q. And that may be (inaudible) intentionally. It used 8 to be; I don't know if it still is, but that may be different. A. Right. Q. And the fact that -- the fact that most of the time 9 But whether you're -- whether you're more in favor of it, or 10 you're going to view a capital murderer as a dangerous per 10 not, isn't the question. The question -- because you can be 11 because of what they've done -more in favor of it or less in favor of it. You can be the 12 kind of person that would almost never do it and still be 12 A. Right. 13 qualified. Are you going to follow the Court's instructions, Q. -- is -- there's nothing wrong with that unless you 14 say it's automatic. Do you follow what I'm saying? 14 or are you going to just say he did a capital murder so he's 15 A. Yes. 15 going to get death? Q. Because the person right next to you might say, bo 16 A. No. I'd follow the Court's instructions. 16 17 anybody can make a mistake, even a big one, and that doe 17 Q. All right. Is what you're saying you just think 18 you're the kind of person that's going to be more likely to prove anything, and they can be okay, too. They can below 19 the jury even though the results are going to be real 19 give the death penalty than maybe somebody else? Is that what 20 different most of the time between the two of you. 20 you're saying?

21

22

23

24

A. I see.

A. Yeah, sure.

Q. Are we communicating?

So you've got that obligation to be fair to both

25 sides, and that means to give fair consideration and be

1

2

3

A. My arm and my whole side tightens up. But, I thank

2 God I'm not as bad off as I could be, and I understand that.

4 person, or I wouldn't be working where I'm working. But I

3 But, being that it's this type of case - and I'm a sound

don't know, to be honest - I'm being honest.

O. I know you are.

21

25

24 life sentence?

A. Yes.

A. Could be, to a certain extent, yes.

Q. Would you consider the evidence in mitigation and

23 see if you find sufficient mitigation evidence to warrant a

Page 100

Q. And maybe you don't do it as much as the next guy

4 but because of how you are, you don't do it as frequently.

But you could do it, if it's the right kind of case?

O. Would you do that?

A. Yes.

A. Yes.

Page 104 Page 102 1 willing to consider both sides of all these issues. But it A. Yes, correct. O. You've never served on a jury before, at least in a 2 doesn't mean you just have to be right down the middle in 3 order to be qualified. I mean, you might -- I think you've 3 criminal case; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. 4 been honest, and what you're saying is that doing a capital Q. Now, we read your questionnaire, and a lot I won't 5 murder is a whole, big part of the evidence moving along 6 repeat, obviously, but some of them I need to repeat for you. 6 towards being a dangerous person --When we talked yester -- I'm sorry, when we talked, A. Right. 8 I guess on Tuesday, a couple of days ago, you will recall that 8--Q. -- right? But you know it's not automatic? 9 I took some time during the course of the explanation and A. Right. 9 10 asked everybody to take a look at the Defendant for a second Q. And there are some situations where you wouldn't 11 and actually realize what I was talking about, and what we 11 vote that the person was a dangerous person, even though you 12 actually proposed in a very real sense, rather than maybe 12 found capital murders? 13 the -- kind of the theoretical way that we think about these 13 A. Right. 14 issues in our living room, or when we see some bad crime on MR. SCHULTZ: State resists this challenge, 14 15 television or something like that. 15 Judge. 16 First of all, did your feelings - were you in any 16 THE COURT: There's nothing from the other 17 way affected, or did you feel a mood change within the room 17 side, is there, or is there? 18 when we talked about that that way? Did you notice any 18 MR. GOELLER: No, sir. 19 difference, as far as you're concerned? 19 THE COURT: All right, sir. You may step down. 20 THE COURT: Say, I tell you what I think we'll 20 A. Do you mean my personal feelings now? 21 do, not only will we not indicate there was a challenge, but 21 Q. Uh-huh. 22 A. I suppose that it does bring a certain reality to 22 we won't indicate resisting. 23 it, rather than making it more abstract. Having the Defendant 23 MR. SCHULTZ: I didn't even think of that. I 24 in the room, I think, makes it more real to the audience. 24 apologize. I didn't even think of that. Excuse me, Matt. Q. And you understood I wasn't trying to do that to be 25 MR. GOELLER: I know you didn't do it Page 105 Page 103 1 entertaining or amusing? You understood how serious this all intentionally. 2 is to everybody involved in this? MR. SCHULTZ: Or deliberately. THE COURT: Mr. Harrison is stricken for cause. 3 A. Yes, absolutely. All right. Let's bring the next person in, and if Q. Okay. Have you always -- looking back on your life 5 and as you've thought about it, have you always favored the you would tell Mr. Harrison that he's finally excused, and if 6 death penalty? you'd bring the next person in. 7 7 A. Yes, sir. (Recess taken.) Q. As you were growing up, were their family members DIRECT QUESTIONS 9 that you believed had positions on the death penalty? Did you 9 BY MR. SCHULTZ: 10 Q. Mr. Rail, how are you? 10 discuss it with your mom or dad or uncle or somebody? A. I'm trying to recall. I can't recall any specific 11 A. Fine, thanks. Q. My name is Bill Schultz. You remember me I'm sure 12 conversations. My impression is that my father would be pro, 12 13 and my mother would be more undecided. 13 from a couple of days ago. I did the group discussion with Q. Everybody has different views of the death penalty. 14 your panel. The lady to my immediate left is Ms. Gail Falco, 15 If you had to pick one reason, and there may be many, but if 15 who is the chief felony prosecutor assigned to the 199th 16 you had to pick the main reason that you favor the death 16 judicial district court, and she's on loan to this court for 17 this trial. And to her immediate left is Ms. Jami Lowry, who 17 penalty, what's the main reason you're in favor of it? A. I feel like some crimes there has to be an ultimate 18 is a felony prosecutor, also assigned the 380th District 19 punishment. I think that - and I feel like it needs to be a 19 Court. The Defendant, moving to your right, is the gentleman 20 narrow set of crimes, of course. But I feel like that is the 20 in the beige-colored shirt. To his -- to your right from the 21 ultimate, so, I guess that's kind of the way my mind works 21 Defendant, Mr. Don High, a refined private practitioner of law 22 in Plano, Texas, and at the far end of the table is Mr. Matt 22 around these issues. Q. Okay. People advance several reasons that we have 23 Goeller, another fine practitioner of law, also working in 24 for punishment in the criminal system for actual infliction of 24 Plano, Texas and its environment. I don't believe you know 25 some type of punishment, capital or not. One of those 25 any of us, including the Defendant; am I correct on that?

Page 106 1 purposes is retribution, or perhaps society's measured 2 response to the infractions against it. You know, if you -3 if you overpark, you get probably a little fine for that. I

- 4 don't know what -- maybe 20 dollars, whatever parking tickets
- 5 cost now. The greater your crime, the greater the infraction,
- 6 the greater the penalty, kind of in a progressive fashion.
- 7 Until at some point, the crime becomes so enormous and so
- 8 unacceptable that to do so -- to do such an act is something
- 9 that should cost you your life. It's kind of society's
- 10 measured response to the offenders. Does that make sense to
- 11 you?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. I believe on your questionnaire, and if I've got you
- 14 wrong, I apologize. I read it a while ago. I believe you
- 15 indicated that perhaps other crimes, other than murder, in
- 16 your judgment might be good candidates for capital punishment.
- 17 And I believe you indicated torture, and I guess that's almost
- 18 self-explanatory, and treason.
- 19 A. Correct.

- 20 Q. Am I right?
- 21 A. That's what I wrote.
- 22 O. And treason certainly -- I mean, it hasn't been
- 23 tested for forever, but it's still assumed that treason is
- 24 still a capital crime. On the books it is, and the Supreme
- 25 Court hasn't had to do with issue of anything other than

Page 107

- 1 murder for many, many years.
- 2 Torture probably clearly would not be
- 3 constitutional, except I'll just tell you, even though you
- 4 didn't ask. If it didn't result in death, maybe it ought to
- 5 be, but it wouldn't. I mean, you can boil somebody in oil,
- 6 but if they don't die it could not be a capital -- it could
- 7 not be a death penalty offense. The Supreme Court is limited
- 8 when asked to actually cause somebody's death, so ...
- 9 If somebody said, like, say, maybe a child asked
- 10 you, you know, Dad, some people like the death penalty and
- 11 some people don't. Why do people not like the death penalty?
- 12 What's their opposition to it? What would you explain to the
- 13 child as probably the best reason why people in good
- 14
- 14 conscience oppose the death penalty?
- 15 A. It seems like the best argument is mistaken identity
- 16 argument.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. Where a person is falsely accused, and a justice
- 19 system has to build up confidence that they've taken all the
- 20 steps necessary. For instance, interviewing 189 people is
- 21 one example to make sure they're very thorough and very
- 22 serious.
- Q. Just parenthetically, do you think we're being
- 24 excessive with this process as you've been observing it so
- 25 far?

Page 108

- A. You know, I don't know an awful lot about it, b
- 2 impression is no. I was pleasantly surprised because I
- expected them to go right down the list and choose 12 j
- right on Tuesday, so I was presently surprised at the
- throughness, and that people would really interview se
- all the prospective jurors. So and I would expect tha
- 7 charge like this is not something that's given lightly. Se
- 8 that's the balance that I'm talking about.
- Q. Okay. Some people have other -- have voiced other
- 10 objections to the death penalty. I'm just courious your
- 1 reaction to that. Some people say that, apart from the
- defendant's life, it's not a good thing for our society to be
- 13 in the process of doing killings ourself, and even though
- 14 they're different because we give somebody a trial and fine
- 15 lawyers and resources and notice and all that sort of thing,
- 6 and most of these murders, you don't give somebody -- be
- 7 you murder them, you don't give somebody a lawyer, give
- 8 somebody a trial. You just go kill them, that kind of thing
- 19 Even so, some people say it's not good of society to
- 20 be doing that. What do you think of that? It's just ugly of
- 21 us or it's --
- 22 A. It doesn't seem consistent, I think, is the
- 23 argument. You know, how can the State kill itself for s
- 24 who killed. And I agree, it's a difficult -- it's just a real
- 25 debate, but I think on balance I just come up on the ot

Page 109

- 1 side, but I want to restrict it to very specific crimes.
- Q. Okay. The ones that I talked about yesterday, th
- being murder in the course of burglaries and robberies a
- 4 multiple homocides, do those seem reasonable to you, l
- 5 those are good candidates for the death penalty --
 - A. Yes.

6

- 7 O. -- as a class of offenses?
- A. Right, the capital. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Another concern that's frequently voiced
- 10 our society is the fact that it appears that the death pena
- 1 falls disproportionately on certain classes of our citizen
- 12 often ethnic or racial. And the argument goes if you lo
- 13 numerically at the people that are on death row you find
- much higher frequency of black people, Hispanic peoples males than their representation in the population. And
- 16 assume that's accurate, that's really so, and that there is
- 17 that disproportionate representation on death row. In y
- 18 mind, does that mean that the death penalty has either
- 16 mind, does that mean that the death penalty has end
- 19 purposefully or inadvertently targeted minorities or ma
- 20 A. I don't think so. I think that there's a danger
- 21 confusing causation with a correlation because I thi
- 22 because -- just because of I'm not a statistician, bu
- 23 just seems like there may be more violent crime in l
- 24 income areas that are -- you know, it may be all con
- 25 certain ethnic groups, but I don't think that that ne

Page 110 1 proves the causation part, which is that we're only 2 prosecuting crimes in the ethnic communities. I don't buy 3 that necessarily. However, there are exceptions that can be pointed to by, you know, rich people maybe get better 5 representation and get a better shake. You know, that's the 6 impression that people might have. But, I think that's anecdotal. I don't know that's truly statistical. 8 😔 Q. And I guess more importantly than all the people that are really on death row now, I guess really more important for our consideration is you, yourself, as a prospective juror, do you see yourself as the kind of person 12 that would get caught up in what gender somebody is or what 13 race or what nationality or what religion somebody is in 14 deciding, first of all, whether they're guilty of capital 15 murder. Secondly, whether they're probably going to be 16 dangerous in the future and are amenable to the death penalty.

17 Do you see yourself as that kind of person that would consider

A. No. I don't see that factoring in. I would just be

Q. I don't know how -- some jurors have more interest

22 in the criminal justice system than others. My usual

23 experience, you seem like somebody that works hard and

24 probably doesn't have near enough free time for things that

25 are really important to you in the family, and so I doubt you

3 great, it would be nice if we could give the talk and then give the questionnaires out, but then that sort of almost ends 5 up being a test on what the lawyers have been saying. A. I would have the judge give the talk. That's how I would do it, because if either side gives the talk then I 7 8 think that people immediately wonder, well, what angle does the prosecution have telling me this. So, I would - that's 10 how I would have - I mean, that's just a procedural thing, 11 but it all works out. 12 Q. And it causes lots of confusion, and it causes the 13 jurors lots of confusion because it's like saying, you don't 14 know, and it almost looks like you're against the law somehow, 15 but all it is you just don't know how the law works, and 16 that's how you answered the questions, so your point is 17 well-taken. 18 Under our law, the first phase of the trial is the

Page 112

Q. Quite the contrary, in our case, and your point is

1

2

A. That's okay.

21 question is, are you guilty and if so, of what crime? And we22 shouldn't call it capital murder. We ought to have a

19 guilt-innocence phase, and we do that in any kind of case,

20 whether it be a misdemeanor DWI or capital murder. The first

23 different term for it, probably because then people wouldn't
 24 have the same confusions. I would call it aggravated murder

25 or murder plus or something, and then they wouldn't have the

Page 111

just spend lots of time reading about all of this stuff, or 2 you'd have probably decided you want to be a lawyer if you 3 want to read about all this stuff all the time.

Before you came in and started talking with us on

Tuesday, did you understand that in capital murder cases, even
if somebody is found guilty of capital murder, it's not an
automatic death sentence just because they've been found
guilty of that crime?

A. I think so. I think I knew life in prison was an option, but one thing about your process that I wanted to bring up now that you reminded me was that I felt like the questionnaires were given out before people knew what capital murder was — the difference between capital murder and murder. I wasn't clear on it until you gave your presentation on the murder plus. I knew capital murder was if you killed a police officer, for instance. I knew that much, but I wasn't sure on all the distinctions.

So, when you're evaluating the questionnaires, I
think that's an important thing to remember. I don't know if
that's just by design or not, or if it's just an assumption on
your part that people are going to know more, but I consider

22 myself pretty average in that and I wasn't sure --

23 Q. Contrary --

25

18 things like that?

20 interested in the evidence I think.

19

21

24 A. — of all the distinctions.

Q. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to be talking.

Page 113

confusion of how can you find somebody guilty of capital
 murder but not give capital punishment? But we don't do that,

3 and they didn't ask me about that.
4 The first phase is, is he guilty of capital murder

5 as alleged in the indictment, and that, for our purposes, 6 includes murder in the course of a burglary, murder in the

7 course of a robbery, or murder of two or more people in the

 $8 \hspace{0.1in}$ same criminal episode or transaction. And a lot of times you

9 might wonder, why do we charge all different varieties, why do

 $10\,$ we have all three if it's the same people dead? And the

11 simple answer to that is it gives flexibility to the

12 prosecution in presenting it's case, and if, for some reason,

13 some defect arises under one theory, you've still got the same

14 dead people. You have the flexibility of being able to

15 approach it with another variety that will also meet the

16 proof. So I hesitate to use the term "insurance" because that

17 seems -- it almost seems like it's trivializing what we do,

18 but that's probably a fair representation.

19 If something -- I don't know. If it turns out the 20 habitation that he entered was one he owned. Let's say, he's 21 the landlord somehow, and he goes in there, and he does a

i the fandiord sometion, and he goes in there, and he does a

22 murder -- he being hypothetical Defendant. Maybe you don't

23 have a burglary anymore if he was the owner or something. So,

24 we've got these other theories, also. You only convict him

25 one time. It wouldn't be three separate capital murder

- 1 convictions. It would be submitted as A, B, or C theories is
- 2 how that works.
- But if the Defendant is found guilty of capital
- 4 murder, and that means you have to find all the elements
- 5 beyond a reasonable doubt -- and the judge will tell you all
- 6 that in your instructions -- then we move into the second
- 7 phase of the trial.
- Real briefly, in the first phase, we have to prove
- beyond a reasonable doubt, which is undefined in term, but we
- 10 would all agree -- everybody in this room would agree, it's a
- 11 substantial, substantial amount of evidence that gives you
- 12 comfort in believing that the offense was really done by the
- 13 defendant as it's charged.
- 14 Then we get to the second phase of the trial in
- 15 which probably different evidence may be admitted by either
- 16 side than would be at the first stage because the issues are a
- 17 little bit different. Same jury, same obligation for
- 18 unanimity because to answer these questions yes, it has to be
- 19 unanimous, just like the first part of the trial. To answer
- 20 them no, there has to be ten or more people that vote no on
- 21 one of those questions.
- 22 But more evidence -- evidence that's admissible are
- 23 things like character evidence, going both ways. The
- 24 Defendant is entitled, if he wishes, to admit good character
- 25 evidence, things that are good in his life. Or he's also

Page 115

- entitled to admit background stuff that will help the jury
- 2 fairly in answering these questions. I mean, the trials and
- 3 tribulations of growing up; physical, mental problems,
- 4 whatever there may be. He's entitled to do that, if he wants
- 5 to.

- We're entitled to do the same thing. We can offer
- evidence about character, and we can offer evidence of bad
- 8 things that have occurred, if we do, if they exist. And then
- 9 ultimately, the jury then is retired and asked to answer
- 10 certain questions based upon the evidence presented to it, and
- 11 it's not just the evidence of the second phase of the trial.
- 12 You can also consider everything you heard in the first phase,
- 13 also, in answering those questions, which makes sense.
- 14 If you're going to try to figure if somebody is
- 15 dangerous, you kind of want to be able to consider the crime
- 16 he got convicted of as part of that evidence, right?

17 A. (Nods heads).

- 18 Q. Some other stuff that may occur, and I think you
- 19 touched on it in your questionnaire, psychiatric evidence is
- 20 admissible at that second phase of the trial, and I believe
- 21 I'm right. I won't say it was a cynical view, but it was
- 22 certainly an enlightened view of psychiatric evidence in your
- 23 questionnaire. Am I right about that?
- 24 A. Yes. I remember what I wrote.
- 25 Q. Okay. As I recall, it was something -- I can't

Page 116

- see -- it was something to the effect of, I guess that bc
- 2 sides can hire psychiatric assistants, and what's that m
- anyway? They can hire three, and we can hire three.
- 4 two more; we get two more. Is that kind of a fair asse
- 5 of what you were saying?

6 A. Yes. I think that they would just cancel each

- 7 out as far as credibility goes.
 8 Q. And that may be the case and how you do it, but
- 9 you'd still listen to it. You've got to be there anyway.
- 10 You'd listen to all the evidence, wouldn't you?

A. Of course.

11

- 12 Q. Okay. And then, you know, other evidence cor
- 13 possibly -- all kinds of possible evidence can come in t
- 14 relevant, and I've got to say in a capital murder case,
- 15 there's very little that gets excluded for either side, and
- 16 that's probably fair. The jury gets to hear about (inaud
- 17 and (inaudible) in a capital murder case.
- 18 And then ultimately what happens is you get ask
- 19 answer questions, the results of which you know are go
- 20 affect whether the defendant gets life or death. And let
- 21 say that this question -- we don't put it on there -- but th
- 22 question begins with, do you find beyond a reasonable
- 23 and then we move into this portion here. Whether there
- 24 probability that the defendant will commit criminal acts
- 25 violence that would constitute a continuing threat to

- 1 society.
- Now, I don't know how anybody could have created a
- 3 more vague kind of question for somebody to understand tha
- 4 that, but they didn't ask you, and they didn't ask me. This
- 5 is what we deal with. Juries across the state somehow manag
- 6 to get through this okay, so maybe -- it's certainly workable.
- When you see the term the "probability" -- I know
- 8 you said you're not a statistician -- as you see that term,
- 9 what's that mean to you, probability?
- A. Well, in that context I feel like it's intentionally
- 11 ambiguous because I'm looking for some modifier, like, is
- 12 there any probability or is there a high probability that,
- 13 which makes me think, by default, is there greater than a
- 14 percent probability. That's what I have to distill it down
- 15 to, and that's the guideline I would use in that.
 - Q. And that's certainly reasonable.
- 17 A. I think that there are intelligent people that
- 18 formulated this, and they would have had the same obser-
- 19 So, I think they're making it somewhat flexible for a jury
- 20 discuss. They don't want it to be, you know, look the answ
- 21 up in a book. You must discuss this. So, I just think when
- 22 don't see a modifier in front of that, I'm thinking 50 perce
- 23 higher than 50 percent.
- Q. Nothing wrong with that. Nobody can quarrel with
- 25 that. Other people might say more. They might want it to be

Page 118 Page 120 I that. I think it's a serious offense, but I wouldn't consider 1 a higher standard; they might want it less. I mean, I hear on 2 the radio all the time there's a 20 percent chance of rain 2 it violent. I think that it indirectly can lead to violence, 3 tomorrow, that kind of thing, or 20 percent probability is 3 violent encounters like you illustrated on Tuesday. 4 what you often hear. And I guess if it can happen, there's a Q. How about a person that you transfer or deliver the 5 probability, you know -- so everybody can -- everybody is free 5 drugs to takes them? Is that going to do violence to that to define that? person in your judgment? 7 7 A. I guess I have a more narrow definition of violence. I think we'd all agree it has to be more than theoretical. I mean, lightening could strike the same place 8 That isn't really violence to me. 9 twice. That's theoretically possible. I think we'd say Q. Do you think drug dealing is -- do you think even 10 that's not probable from what we know. 10 if a crime or an act is not an act of violence itself, do you A. See, my mind would work this way. If they indeed 11 think that could be probative evidence -- important evidence 11 12 wanted it to be any probability at all, then they would say 12 in deciding whether that person is probably going to be 13 violent in the future? Do you understand my question? "any," you know, to get that level of precision out to the A. Yes. I don't - you're asking if there could be a 14 audience, because this isn't the first sentence they've 15 nonviolent drug dealer, and I would say yes, that would be 15 written, so I tend to think it's intentionally ambiguous. Q. I mean, you're absolutely free to do that, but the 16 possible. I think the environment they're in, it's probably 17 not probable, but if it's a hypothetical then... 17 person sitting next to you may have a different view of it, Q. Right. If you're looking -- if your question is who 18 and that's --19 19 is a dangerous person, I guess one of the things you would A. Yeah, that's --20 probably look for is to see how well they obey law in 20 Q. -- that's America, and it all works. That part will 21 work fine. 21 general. Would that be important in deciding whether somebody 22 The second thing that's probably troubling in this 22 is going to be dangerous in a situation? A. Right. The difference between dangerous and violent 23 is criminal acts of violence. Is there a probability the 24 are two different words. It says violent, I assume, for a 24 Defendant would commit criminal acts of violence? I guess we 25 reason. If it was dangerous, then on the drug dealer question 25 all know what some of those kinds of things would be. I Page 119 Page 121 1_think, like, murdering people is clearly a criminal act of 1 I'd change my answer because, of course, that's dangerous. Q. Okay. Is it possible that you could do a crime that 2 violence, right? 3 would be nonviolent, but hearing about that behavior would 3 A. Yes. 4 tend to make you think that the person would be violent. Q. Rape, or what we now call sexual assault, is clearly 5 because of the behavior he was engaged in? 6 What about acts of violence to property, like A. I guess I'd need a better example. I guess, I can 7 think of just -- it's almost like violence by association kind 7 busting in somebody's patio glass door to go inside and steal 8 of thing, where you'd say, because you had these friends that 8 stuff. Do you see that as an act of violence, that's not 9 directed at a --9 were violent, therefore, you're probably violent, and I don't 10 A. No. I would consider that a property crime. 10 know that that always holds up. I think there may be a 11 Violence, to me, is against a person. 11 probability, but I think I would necessarily draw that 12 Q. You understand other people might take a different 12 conclusion. 13 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I wanted to let you 13 view. They might say, well, violence means doing force 14 against that which you shouldn't be doing force against. And 14 finish your answer. 15 VENIREPERSON: I'm done. 15 somebody else might say property damage could be an act of THE COURT: I hate to do this, because let me 16 violence? 17 tell you continuity and flow mean so much in these matters, 17 A. Okay. 18 18 but we're going to take a break for lunch. Q. I'm not quarreling with you. I'm just saying, 19 Mr. Rail about 10 minutes ago I sent the other 19 there's play of the joints of that issue for different people 20 to look at it. And your way is fine, and so might someone 20 jurors to lunch, and the reason I did that is I kind of want 21 else's way. 21 to insulate you from them. It would be better if you didn't 22 22 talk to them. So, if you happen to run into them, I'll ask Q. What about someone like drug dealers? Do you see

23 any way that dealing strong, illicit narcotics would be a

A. Not in a strict sense. I wouldn't classify it like

24 crime of violence?

23 you not to say anything that's been said so far.

VENIREPERSON: No. I've been very careful so

24

25 far.

_	the state with have a chance to commad once again. This choc	i '
4	again, I apologize for the interruption, but it's something	
5	that I think we should do, and the Defense, after the State	
6	finishes, will have a chance to ask you a few questions. But	
7	let's be in recess for one hour.	
8	VENIREPERSON: Okay.	
9	THE BAILIFF: All rise.	} '
10	(Lunch recess taken.)	1
11	THE COURT: All right, sir. We're back on the	1
12	record in the State of Texas versus Ivan Abner Cantu, and the	1
13	attorneys for State are here, and the attorneys for the	1
14	defendant and the Defendant is present. And we had gone to	1
15	lunch right in the middle of the questioning of Mr. Rail, so	1
16	if we could, let's bring him back in, and, Mr. Schultz, you	1
17	can continuing asking him questions or continue with voir	1
18	dire.	1
19	THE BAILIFF: Yes, Your Honor.	1
20	THE COURT: Mr. Rail, as you know, you're	2
21	still under oath.	2
22	VENIREPERSON: Okay.	2
23	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz.	2
24	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you, Judge.	2
25	Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Did you get lunch, Mr. Rail?	2
		L_
	Page 123	
1	A. Oh, just had a snack.	
2	Q. As I read your questionnaire, you just try I	
3	approach it a little bit differently just trying to get kind	
4	of an idea of how each of the prospective jurors think.	'
5	I think it is I believe I'm clear that you	'
6	strongly favor the death penality as a remedy in our society	'
7	for serious crimes. Would that be a fair thing to say, you	
8	strongly favor it?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. As you sit there now, can you think about anything	1
11	that would make you less than a fair and impartial juror in	1
12	deciding whether or not the defendant is guilty of capital	1
13	murder in the first part of the trial?	1
14	A. I don't think so, no.	1
15	Q. And here's what I'm getting at, and I don't believe	1
16	it applies to you. Obviously you're a thoughtful man and	1
17	intelligent man, and I don't think this applies, but it is	1
18	possible for people to be keeping in their minds the	1
19	punishment phase of the trial and the issues they're going to	1
20	have to deal with there in deciding whether or not the	2
21	defendant is guilty. Here's how that would work for some	2
22	people. Let's say, for example, that a person had a lot of	2
23	discomfort with the death penalty as a juror, but maybe not to	2
24	the extent that they were disqualified because there's room on	2
25	juries for strong proponents and weak proponents as long as	2
		1

2 back promptly at 1 o'clock and we'll continue questioning, and

3 the State will have a chance to continue once again. And once

THE COURT: So what we'll do is, I want to come

Page 124 1 each juror could go either way on the death penalty, dep 2 on the evidence. And they could say that -- if it's sincer they're both qualified, even though you might give it mo often than somebody else might give it in the same situa Does that make sense to you? 6 A. Yes. Q. But sometimes what happens is people hear the evidence, they'll be looking at it, and they will be so appalled by the conduct of the Defendant that they migh 0 to themselves, you know, I'm not sure about one aspect 1 case. Maybe I'm not quite sure it's a burglary, but if I 12 don't find the murder was in the course of a burglary, it 13 not be a capital murder. If I don't find capital murder, 14 there will not be the opportunity to vote in favor of a de penalty for the defendant in the second phase, and as I'n 16 juror sitting here looking at this evidence, if anybody ne the death penalty, this guy needs it. That visceral feelin that you get as you listen to all the evidence. And the notion is that people as jurors have the 9

absolute obligation to hold the State to its burden of pro nothing more, just what our burden of proof is. And the according to the evidence, and if it's capital murder, it's

capital murder. If it's not; it's not, and they should not beconcerning themselves by trying to either make the deat

25 penalty available as an option to themselves or avoid th

death penalty as an option at the second phase of the tri

Page 125

Does that make sense to you? Do you know what I'm s
 In other words, you seem to me clearly to be the
 kind of person that could give the proper verdict of
 guilt/innocence even if the down-the-road result might

6 different than what you sort of feel like ought to happen

7 in an overall justice kind of way. Are you that kind of

8 person that could do that?

A. I think so, yes.

Q. You know, it's like being the umpire. Some guing a perfect game going, and he's running a 3/2 count, and a perfect game going, and he's running a 3/2 count, and call it a ball, his perfect game is gone because a guy resist base. If you call it a strike, he gets the perfect game. Probably every umpire would want him to get to

15 strike because it's so exciting and a thrilling situation.

16 But the umpire -- to be a good umpire, it's a good one 17 calling it a ball, if it's a ball, kind of thing. That's kind

18 of what we ask of the jurors, same thing. Not look at t

19 result of the call, just make the call. Are you the kind

20 person that could do that in your mind?

A. Yes. There's a lot of baseball in law, isn't th (Laughter.)

Q. I'll try Lacrosse if you prefer.

Once that occurs, and it is very possible as you

25 listen to that evidence, although you shouldn't be conc

- l with the result, it is quite possible and I suppose even
- 2 probable for many people, that the conduct would so appall you
- 3 and shock you that you would be greatly affected, and you
- would carry that -- those feelings into the punishment phase
- of the trial, all which is okay because you're entitled to
- have emotions as a juror as long as you're making the
- 7 dispassionate decisions that you need to make.
- -8-But then when you get to the punishment phase of the
- 9 trial, and we get into these special issues the idea is that
- 10 you be able to answer them, like calling balls and strikes,
- 11 without regard to the ultimate outcome, even though you know
- 12 what the outcome is. You know if you answer this question no,
- 13 that's then end of the trial. He automatically gets -- then
- 14 he automatically gets life because there's been a no answer to
- 15 special issue. If you answer that question yes, then we move
- 16 on to at least one other question and possibly two other
- 17 questions.
- 18 And so the notion still is, if you answer those
- 19 questions fairly according to the evidence, even if the
- 20 truthful answer to those questions might give a result that
- 21 doesn't quite feel right to you under the circumstances. Does
- 22 that make sense to you what I'm saying?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. When you see that term in that special issue -- I
- 25 should have just stayed up here. When you see this term

Page 127

- 1 "society," what's that mean to you, a continuing threat to
- 2 society? When you see that word "society," what do you think
- 3 of?
- 4 A. Well, from your briefing on Tuesday, if it's at this
- 5 point, then it's in prison that becomes the society. That
- 6 is --
- 7 Q. That could be a society.
- 8 A. That isn't the first thing that I think of when I
- read that, but either one or both of you pointed that out.
- 10 Q. Sure.
- 11 A. Which I don't think people were thinking that way,
- 12 because that's not typically how you think of society. You
- 13 think of all of society, not just prison society.
- 14 Q. One way, I suppose, of reading that, and once again
- 15 you're probably more charitable to the Legislature than I
- 16 suppose I would be. I'm not sure how much thinking about it's
- 17 practical application they really did when they created that
- 18 question. But one way of approaching that would be as
- 19 follows: I found him guilty of capital murder, along with 11
- 20 other people. He's going -- actually, he's going to one place
- 21 only and that's the pen, and the only question is does he go
- 22 to the life side of the pen or to the death row side of the
- 23 pen, but either way he's going down to prison. So, if you
- 24 make the assumption that what that question is asking is
- 25 prison society, since that's where he's going to go. That's

Page 128

- where he's been before the trial for some period of time
- 2 awaiting his trial, you almost can look at that question and
- say, well, what they're asking is can this guy be safely held
- in prison.
- 5 Because if -- if you make the determination that the
- society they're referring to must mean prison society since
- that's where he's got to be going, I suppose a person could
- interpret that question to be prison society, ergot can he be
- safely held in prison? Do you see how that is a construction
- 10 of that, at least, that is reasonable if not what you think it
- 11 is?
- 12 A. I understand that perspective. I guess if it's
- 13 prison society, I would think of, would this person be a
- danger to other inmates? That would be the way I would
- abbreviate it.
- O. Kind of in line with that earlier observation that
- you made that, frankly, is one I never even thought of, and
- that is when they don't define probability. Remember we
- talked about that, that they obviously knew they could have
- chosen to define probability if they wanted, but chose not to.
- And if what they had meant was a particular percentage, that's
- 22 what they were looking at, a particular percentage they'd have
- 23 just said so, right?

25

- 24 A. Correct, yes.
 - O. Do you think that same logic applies when they talk

- 1 about society; that if what they had meant was can he be held
- safely in prison for a life sentence, that they would have put
- that in there?
- A. I think that their choice of words might not be what
- they intended when they say society. I think they're talking
- about a general definition, but I think that attorneys are
- clever, and that in the general case that is a society, that
- is a kind of society. So, you can cast that statement in the
- form of the society of prison, and it becomes perfectly valid.
- But if I had to guess at the Legislature's intention, I
- wouldn't think that they have that that level of
- sophistication to do that. Maybe I'm wrong, but I think that
- is a valid interpretation. I don't think that was their
- intent. But I do think they were intentionally ambiguous on 15 probability.
- 16 Q. I mean -- you know, legislative history, a lot of
- 17 times in Texas, is vague because it's not reported in CFRs and
- 18 stuff like that, a lot of federal legislation.
- 19 Also you could approach this question as really a
- 20 search for his present character towards violence, kind of
- 21 almost irrespective of what society we're talking about.
- 22 A. I would think that this kind of statement would be 23 more relevant if a person was eligible for parole.
- 24 Q. Well, for example, there are a lot of ways -- if you
- 25 limit -- if you limit the consideration of that to prison

- 1 only, that ignores a lot of -- not only does it not say it,
- 2 but it ignores the possibility he'll be loose instead of being
- 3 in prison?
- 4 A. Right.
- Q. For example, maybe he gets pardoned. Maybe this
- 6 hypothetical defendant escapes. Everybody knows that
- 7 happens. Maybe at some point, although you can't speculate on
- how it would apply in a particular case, you mentioned parole,
- 9 changes in laws, gubernatorial pardons, a whole host of
- 10 opportunities that you really -- you really can't deal with.
- 11 Do you see how you could also look at that question and
- 12 consider the free-world society and say, is this the kind of
- 13 person who's safe in the free world? You could approach it
- 14 that way?
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- 16 Q. Does that make sense to you, that you could do that,
- 17 also?
- 18 A. I think that's more sophisticated than what they're
- 19 after, but I think that the normal -- or the usual person is
- 20 just going to go with the they're not going to focus in on
- 21 a particular kind of society. They're just going to think of
- 22 life in Texas, for instance.
- Q. Do you think that's an answer that ordinary people
- 24 in the community are able to make if given evidence? Do you
- 25 think that's within the average citizen's ability to answer

Page 131

- 1 that question one way or the other?
- 2 A. Yes.

- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. I can -
- 5 Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- A. I was just going to say that I think that it's -
- 7 it's not specific so there's room for discussion and different
- 8 valid different valid opinions and so on. I would think
- 9 they could come to a decision on that.
- 10 Q. In order for us to satisfy you beyond a reasonable
- 11 doubt that the answer to this question is yes, would you
- 12 require -- in answering that question, do you believe it's our
- 13 obligation in answering that question to prove there's no way
- 14 that prisons could ever safely hold a particular defendant?
- 15 Do you think that question requires us to prove that to you;
- 16 that the person is so wanton and so animal-like that no prison
- 17 could every safely hold him?
- 18 A. No
- 19 Q. Until a few years ago -- a few. Now it has probably
- 20 been over ten, but it's still relatively few. Once a
- 21 determination was made that the crime was a deliberate one,
- 22 and then once further the determination was made that the
- 23 defendant would probably be violent in the future, would be a
- 24 continuing threat to society because of his violent
- 25 activities, that was the end of the -- that was end of the

Page 132

- 1 analysis. And if the answer to that were yes, having be
- 2 convicted of capital murder, there was a death sentence
- 3 was imposed, and if the answer to that was no, then a l
- sentence was imposed, and I guess, depending on your
- view -- but the law changed in an effort to avoid the
- 6 mechanical assessment of a death sentence by trial juri
- o mechanical assessment of a death sentence by that j
- 7 answering special issues in a certain way, and I think i
- 8 like this. Wouldn't it be awful if a jury is just led down
 9 the lane of answering questions based on evidence, and
- 10 answers to the questions are yes because they did that
- 11 truthfully? And then you say thanks very much for yo
- 12 service. This means a death sentence and discharged t
- 13 Wouldn't it be a tragedy if the thinking is that the jury
- 14 would not have a way to express their view, but
- 5 notwithstanding that answer, a death penalty was still
- 16 inappropriate. It was almost like a jury veto of the
- 7 mechanical effect of the special issues. And that went
- 18 U.S. Supreme Court, and they came up with this conce
- 19 mitigation, and we talked about it briefly on Tuesday.
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- 21 Q. The notion being notwithstanding everything e
- 22 seeming to point to death penalty by yes answers to the
- 23 appropriate questions. I say yes answers, we used to h
- 24 special issues that always applied, and one that occasion
- 25 applied. Now we really, normally only have two. The

Page 133

- one is the one that's answered yes or no beyond a reas
- 2 doubt.

9

- 3 The thinking was that there may be something
- would mitigate, make less than appropriate a death se
- 5 taking certain things into account, primarily about the
- 6 Defendant and his conduct, and here's that question.
- 7 it on power point on Tuesday. Take a second if you
- 8 read it, and let me know when you're done. Okay?
 - A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. Whether taking into consideration all the evi-
- 11 which means the first part of the trial and the second
- 12 the trial -- what they offer is perhaps good evidence
- 13 choose to do that. What we offer is bad evidence, if
- 14 choose to do that -- including the circumstances of t
- 15 offense, the Defendant's character and background a
- 16 personal, moral culpability of the Defendant, whate
- 17 means. I mean, I don't know how you could be an
- 18 capital murderer without personal, moral culpabilit
- 19 assume that could somehow be because it's in the q
- 20 There is a sufficient mitigating circumstance or cir-
- 21 to warrant the sentence of life imprisonment, rathe
- 22 death sentence.
- Now, it's funny. You're probably not interes
- 24 the history of the capital murder statute. The reason
- 25 into these questions in the first place was because

- 1 complained that it was so opened-ended that juries sort of
- 2 just did what they wanted. If you just say to the jury life
- or death, that invited very different results, depending on
- 4 who the defendant was or how the jury was made up. So, they
- 5 came up with these questions. Hopefully, then 12 people in
- 6 this case would find the same way 12 people would somewhere
- 7 else with the same evidence because it's, like, a narrow --
- 8 it's a narrow one.
- 9 But then with this mitigation concept, we're almost
- 10 back again, and we're almost back the point of asking the jury
- 11 life or death. Do you see how that is, really? When you look
- 12 for mitigating circumstances, if the jury finds mitigating
- 13 circumstances that are sufficient then they impose a life
- 14 sentence, if they want to. And if they reject it, then it
- 15 stays as a death sentence because the answer to that question
- 16 would be no there aren't sufficient mitigating circumstances;
- 17 do you understand what I'm saying?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You never get to that mitigation question unless you
- 20 have first answered that violent question yes, because if you
- 21 answer it no, you don't worry about is there any mitigation
- 22 because it's an automatic life sentence because it's a no
- 23 answer.
- 24 A. I see.
- 25 Q. There are many terms for that, and none of them

Page 135

- 1 that I consider disparaging. Some people call that mitigation
- 2 question the humanitarian question. Some people call it the
- 3 one-last look for the Defendant. Some call it the -- the jury
- equity question, none of which do I say critically. I mean, I
- 5 think it might make sense in something like this. Does it
- 6 make sense to you that a jury have that option to correct an
- 7 injustice if they think it's an injustice that's being done
- mechanically by the answer to a special issue? Does that make
- 9 sense to you?
- A. Yes. I think it's pretty thoughtful. I can think 10
- 11 of cases where if the jury was convinced that the defendant
- 12 had inept counsel, and they felt like he was getting a raw
- 13 deal, Could see them trying to use this clause as kind of a
- 14 way to say, he got a raw deal; he shouldn't get death for
- 15 this.
- 16 Q. That might be so. I hope that isn't so because that
- 17 could be like a defense tactic to be real inept.
- 18 A. I know. I was just trying to think of
- 19 circumstances because the language isn't clear to me what
- 20 examples would really -
- 21 O. It sure isn't.
- 22 A. - a lot of the questions on the questionnaires had
- 23 to do with upbringing and environment and things like that,
- 24 and I think that those can be -- could be mitigating factors
- 25 to some.

Page 136

- Q. Absolutely. 1
- 2 A. But I'm not sure what exactly they're getting at,
- but they're -
- 4 Q. And you know, further the -- what might be
- mitigating to one person could be aggravating to somebody
- 6
- A. Right, right. When you were giving the statistics,
- this is 10 people out of 12; you could have 2 that vote yes
- for this, and it's valid. Remember the statistics you were
- 10 talking --
- 11 Q. No, no. What I said was, if 12 or more people -- if
- 12 12 people agree -- if 12 people unanimously agree that the
- 13 answer to that question is yes, then that verdict is accepted.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. If 10 or more people vote no, then that answer is
- 16 acceptable. Now, 12 people can vote no if they want to. I
- 17 mean, it doesn't have to be less than unanimous. Does that
- 18 make sense?
- 19 A. Yeah, I guess it would be clear if you were to say
- 20 if 10 people voted for life, and 12 have to vote for death;
- 21 is that what you were saying?
- 22 Q. No. I was actually talking about the first special
- 23 issue.
- 24 A. Oh, I see.
- 25 Q. 12 yes notes or 10 no votes are an answer to the

- 1 question.
- A. I see, okay. I'm clear.
- 3 Q. And the same thing with the mitigation question.
- The mitigation question is a little bit different because
- there's no -- nobody has the burden of proof, but it's the
- same obligation on the voting.
- 7 Nobody can tell you what a mitigating circumstance
- is because that's strictly up to you. You might be the kind
- of person that might find less mitigation than another person.
- To some people, an unhappy childhood might mitigate against
- the death sentence. Perhaps in your mind it would not. It's
- not a question; it's just an observation. Some people would
- say drug addiction that resulted in criminal activity would be
- mitigating. Other people might say mitigating, heck, that's
- aggravating. It's bad enough that you do these crimes, and
- going out and doing drugs and involved in that and everybody
- knows what that causes, that's aggravating. That's even
- worse because that's a choice -- that's like a choice to
- become dangerous by drugs. It's almost like you made that
- 20 conscious choice.
- 21 Some people might say poverty could be mitigating.
- 22 You know, you grow up poor and never had the advantages of
- 23 life that a lot of us enjoy, maybe in Collin County and that
- 24 somehow that could be mitigating. Some people could say
- 25 absent fathers, and the whole host goes on. I mean, whatever

- 1 kinds of things people want to point. The bottom line is,
- 2 it's up to the jury to say what is or what is not mitigating
- 3 evidence, and then it's just is there any mitigating evidence.
- 4 It has to be evidence of a sufficient quantify -- sufficient
- 5 mitigating circumstance or circumstances that warrant, you
- 6 know, life imprisonment rather than death. Do you feel like
- you could do that? Are you the kind of juror who could
- consider that mitigating evidence, and if you found it, you
- 9 would vote in favor of a life sentence?
- 10 A. Well, yeah, I would imagine. I'm not clear on what
- 11 that evidence would be, but I would like to hear the evidence
- 12 before I decide on that. I wouldn't say I couldn't possibly
- 13 say absolutely not. I mean, that wouldn't.
- 14 Q. Sure. I mean, nobody -- nobody would expect you to
- 15 commit to something you don't know anything about. We can't
- 16 commit you to anything about this case, anyway. It's not even
- 17 possible.
- 18 Are you -- are you accepting of the concept to
- 19 consider things that might mitigate against what would
- 20 otherwise be a death sentence? Are you accepting of that
- 21 idea; that that's an okay thing to do?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Do you think drug addiction is any excuse at all
- 24 for the criminal activity that is at least -- results
- 25 indirectly from it if not directly? Do you think that's an

Page 139

- 1 excuse?
- 2 A. No. I think it's a cause, but I don't think it's an
- Q. I ask you this because some people would say if I
- 5 had two people, and I'm trying to compare their culpability.
- 6 One is high on drugs and does capital murder, and the other is
- 7 just cold, stone sober and does capital murder, different
- 8 people have different views on which one of them is worse.
- 9 I'm not going to ask you that. Maybe one is worse than the
- 10 other. I don't know. But I guess I'm wondering, do you think
- 11 the guy that is high on drugs is in any way excused of his
- 12 conduct, or that his conduct is any less because he's high on
- 13 drugs?
- 14 A. No. I wouldn't say so.
- 15 Q. Do you think there's some people who can commit a
- 16 murder and have no more concern about that than you or I might
- 17 have about a flat tire or something like that? Do you think
- 18 there's some people in our society that are like that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you think there's some people that probably value
- 21 some people of property, like a tire, just as much as they
- 22 value human life, for example?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. As a matter of fact, sometimes people get killed for
- 25 their tires?

Page 140

A. Oh, sure.

1

- 2 Q. At what age do you think people learn that murder
- is wrong? Just tell me what do you think. How young do y 3
- learn such a thing?
- 5 A. Well, I suppose it varies, but I would say under t
- 6 age of 13. 7
- Q. Now, you've indicated on your questionnaire that
- you thought one of the purposes of punishment, and obviou
- we're not talking about capital punishment because that
- doesn't apply. But I believe you indicated that high on you
- list, if I understand it right, was the concept of
- 12 rehabilitation?
- 13 A. No. I did not indicate that.
- 14 Q. Huh?
- 15 A. We numbered one, two and three, and rehabilita
- 16 I'm sure was my third.
- 17 Q. Okay. I see what you did. It's the first question.
- 18 That's the lowest on your list. Deterrence is number one an
- 19 punishment is number two. Those sound right to you?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. There's nothing in those special issues that deals 21
- 22 directly with the concept of rehabilitation. I suppose we
- could say that the mitigation question deals with the idea of
- rehabilitation because maybe that plugs in somehow into a
- defendant's background and personal, moral culpability. M

- somehow the idea that he can be rehabilitated might plug into
- that. It may plug into the future danger aspect. Maybe,
- maybe not, because if a person can be rehabilitated, and we
- thought he or she would be, then that might mean they're not
- 5 likely to be violent in the future. Do you know what I'm
- saying? If you can be rehabilitated that must mean you
- wouldn't be violent if you can be rehabilitated, right?
- 9 Q. So that gets some effect that that notion of -- that
- 10 notion of rehabilitation, there's nothing that gives effect to
- 11 your idea of deterrence. By that, I mean you won't get a
- question saying if we execute this defendant will that deter
- 13 others. We'll watch what happens with him, and say, I better
- 14 not go kill people because I could be executed, too. There's
- 15 no place for that actually to translate into answers to the
- 16 question. Doesn't mean you can't have it. Doesn't mean I
- can't get up there and argue in favor of a death sentence
- 18 saying it will deter crime. It's just that you don't get a
- 19 question on that one, on deterrence.
- 20 A. Deterrence is sort of a double -- has a double
- 21 meaning in this case because it could mean deterring the -- it
- 22 could mean deterring the Defendant from doing the you k
- a crime again. Or it could mean making an example for soci
- as a deterrent. So again, it's an unclear question, so I -
- Q. But what I'm saying is, whether your idea is deter

Page 142 I the defendant by killing him because he can't hurt anybody 2 else. You can kill him, or whether you mean, let's make an 3 example of him to everybody else. All I'm saying is there's nothing in the questions that asks you about deterrence -- we don't have to prove it would be a deterrent is what I'm saying. 7 A. Right. Q. And the defense doesn't have to prove that it would be a deterrent. There's not any place in the questions that 10 deals with deterrence; do you follow what I'm saying? 11 A. (Nods head.) O. The thing that's most -- and there's really nothing 12 13 in there about the retribution, the idea of pure punishment. 14 That's my word, but I think you made that, like, number two, 15 the idea of punishment? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. There's nothing that says in there dire 18 death sentence what the defendant ought to g 19 him a good lesson, like the punishment aspec asked is punishment something that ought to 21 out on the defendant just simply for the sake 22 Instead you're asked the question -- it's design 23 society, about the future danger aspect of him 24 A. Uh-huh, that's part of it, yeah. 25 Q. Okay. If you had a choice, and you d Page 143 1 don't have a choice. But if you had a choice, wou 2 choose to be on or off of this jury? 3 A. Well, I have some mixed feelings about 4 would choose to be off just because, you know,

10	7. 10.	1
17	Q. There's nothing that says in there directly, is the	
18	death sentence what the defendant ought to get just to teach	
19	him a good lesson, like the punishment aspect? You're not	
20	asked is punishment something that ought to be meated (sic)	l
21	out on the defendant just simply for the sake of punishment?	
22	Instead you're asked the question it's designed to protect	
23	society, about the future danger aspect of him?	
24	A. Uh-huh, that's part of it, yeah.	
25	Q. Okay. If you had a choice, and you don't. You	
	Page 143	ļ
1	don't have a choice. But if you had a choice, would you	
2	choose to be on or off of this jury?	
3	A. Well, I have some mixed feelings about it, but I	
4	would choose to be off just because, you know, work pressures	
5	mostly. But that's the, you know, same song you hear from	
6	lots of people. I'm no different.	
7	Q. Sure. I have an impression just because we've	
8	worked with them so many times and it's so dense in Collin	
9	County, I have the impression that EDS is extremely supportive	
10	of jury service of its employees. Do you consider that	
11	accurate?	
12	A. You know, I really don't have anything to compare it	;
13	to.	
14	Q. Would this be your first time on a jury if you're	İ
15	selected?	
16	A. Right, right. I mean, as far as how supportive	
17	other corporations with their employees, I couldn't really	
18	tell.	
19	Q. I can't imagine why anybody would want to be on a	
20	jury like this. I mean, for no other reason, just the reasons	
	that you've indicated. If selected, will you serve cheerfully	
22	and do the best you can?	
22	4 01 6	

10 of jury service of its employees. Do you consider 11 accurate? 12 A. You know, I really don't have anything 13 to. 14 Q. Would this be your first time on a jury if y 15 selected? 16 A. Right, right. I mean, as far as how supp 17 other corporations with their employees, I cou 18 tell. 19 Q. I can't imagine why anybody would want 20 jury like this. I mean, for no other reason, just the 21 that you've indicated. If selected, will you serve of 22 and do the best you can? 23 A. Oh, of course. Q. And you do realize it's an important function of 25 citizenship?

Page 144 A. Yes. I'm very serious about it. 1 2 Q. You indicated that you had followed the O J. Simpson 3 trial on TV, and that might have been just little news clips? A. Sure. 5 Q. I wouldn't assume that you watched it televised each 6 day and watched all of it? 7 A. No. I think my wife was more interested than I was. O. What impressions from that trial occur to you, just as you sit there? What were some of your impressions of it? A. I guess the cameras pretty much corrupted the 11 process in my opinion, and it dragged on much too long. And 12 it got to be kind of a -- kind of a freak show, I think, after 13 a while. It was just a ridiculous mockery. Q. Do you think substantial justice was done in the 14 15 trial as far as you can tell? 16 A. You know, not having heard everything, I can't say 17 for sure. But my impression is that, no, justice was not 18 served. 19 Q. How much chess do you think you play a week? A. Oh, maybe a dozen games. 20 Q. Did you ever play that fast chess like they do on 21 22 the streets of New York? Have you ever done that sort of 23 thing? 24 A. Yeah, that's why I can play a dozen games a week, 25 Blitz. Page 145 MR. SCHULTZ: Pass the juror, Judge. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 MR. GOELLER: Thank you, Your Honor. **CROSS-QUESTIONS** 4 5 BY MR. GOELLER: Q. Good afternoon, again, Mr. Rail. You probably 6 7 remember, my name is Matthew Goeller and Don High, and we 8 represent Ivan here. Thanks for coming down here. I know you've just about put in almost two full days now on this 10 case, and I want you to know we appreciate that. I don't know whether you will wind up on this jury or not. I know if I had 12 to just take two days out of my schedule I wasn't planning on 13 totally unrelated to what I do for a living, it would throw a 14 monkey wrench in the works, so you do have our thanks. 15 We got copies of your questionnaire. The clerk 16 staff made copies so we could have this. In one of your 17 comments or answers to one of the questions, you talked a 18 little bit about the role of the participants in this trial, so to speak, and you made comments about what you thought 20 about prosecutors and defense lawyers and people like that. 21 What is your impression of a criminal defense lawyer? Speak 22 right from the heart because I promise you, whether it's good 23 or bad, it wouldn't hurt my feelings. A. I guess it depends. I'm sure it varies. I think

25 it's a difficult job, and I think the substance of my comment,

- 1 if I recall, is something about the guilty feelings someone
- 2 could feel when they have to represent someone that they know
- 3 is, you know, a violent threat to society and just because of
- 4 the nature of the system. I think I was trying to put myself
- 5 in that position as how I would feel having that job, and I
- 6 think it's a difficult position.
- Q. Do you think that Don and I think Ivan is violent
- 8 or a threat to society?
- 9 A. No. I don't think it as specifically about you. I
- 10 don't know about you.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. It has to do with a composite of, you know, the
- 13 impression I have of defense attorneys, which has to be mostly
- 14 from television.
- 15 Q. Yeah.
- 16 A. And we know how far off that might be.
- 17 Q. Right.
- 18 A. But it's just a it's just a question. I felt
- 19 like a lot of questions were hypothetical.
- 20 Q. They are.
- 21 A. And it's just looking at my impression, but I wasn't
- 22 thinking about any specific person. I was just trying to
- 23 answer truthfully.
- Q. If you would, just think to yourself a person, any
- 25 person that you love very dearly, you care about who's been

Page 147

- I accused of a very serious crime. Would you want them to have
- 2_good representation?
- 3 A. Of course, yes.
- 4 Q. Why?
- 5 A. Well, just because a person committed a crime or
- 6 whether they're alleged to commit a crime, doesn't mean that
- 7 your feelings about them change. I can, depending on how
- 8 heinous the crime might be. But just in the hypothetical, I
- 9 would think that you still care about this person, and you
- 10 want them to get the best result for them. That's sort of a
- 11 selfish, personal view over society's view.
- 12 Q. Right.
- 13. A. But when you personalize it, obviously my impression
- 14 can change.
- 15 Q. Throughout a trial -- and let me back up just a
- 16 second. Your degree is in business and --
- 17 A. Computers.
- 18 Q. -- computers. Do you consider yourself more of a --
- 19 kind of a hard science person than a soft science maybe? And
- 20 I tell you why I say that. I look at what you do, who you
- 21 work for, your educational background. One of the comments
- 22 you made about testifying psychological experts.
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Just speak freely. Tell me where Peter Rail sits as
- 25 far as looking at science versus maybe more of a

Page 148

- 1 humanity-type, maybe not hard science?
- 2 A. You know, that's a difficult question because I feel
- 3 like I'm pretty well balanced. I have an interest in science
- 4 and hard stuff, but I'm interested in music and humanities,
- too. So maybe a more specific question, if you can come up
- 6 with it, will give you what you want. I'm not sure.
- 7 Q. I think you just gave it to me. You have
- 8 appreciation of the fine arts?
- 9 A. Sure.
- 10 Q. Music, art, and all of it's various forms. I think
- 11 I noticed from your questionnaire, are you Episcopalian?
- 12 A. I was raised that way. I don't really have any
- 13 particular affiliation now.
- 14 Q. Do you consider yourself -- are you Christian?
- 15 Tell me a little bit about your religious beliefs, and what
- 16 you kind of follow on that. Normally -- again, I keep
- 17 forgetting to say this. The questions I ask you are very
- 18 personal. I know that. Do you see why I ask you these kinds
- 19 of questions?
- 20 A. I think so. I think you would be trying to get at
- 21 whether, on religious grounds, I would oppose the death
- 22 penalty perhaps.
- Q. Just personal questions in general.
- 24 A. I wouldn't consider myself religious at all. In
- 25 fact, I'm more scientific when it comes to things like that

Page 149

- I mostly because of the corruption I see in the Catholic church,
- 2 for instance because I'm much more on the science side when it
- 3 comes to that. Much more Darwin than God stuff.
- 4 Q. What kind of corruption in the church? Were you
- 5 ever Catholic?

8

- 6 A. No, but I grew up in Albuquerque, and it's a huge
- 7 Hispanic population there, a lot of Catholic people.
 - Q. Right. Financial corruption?
- 9 A. Yeah, I think all kinds. And then a lot of the
- 10 child molestation cases, and the violations of trust there, I
- ll think, bother me a lot.
- 12 Q. Yeah. Obviously in any normal case, a regular
- 13 felony case, a DWI felony case, a speeding ticket case.
- 14 Somebody broke into somebody's car and somebody took their
- 15 radar detector, something like that, we never do this
- 16 individual voir dire. The whole thing from the time the jury
- 17 hits the courthouse from the time they end up in the box is
- 18 maybe a couple of hours when we get 12 jurors. I have had in
- 19 the past jurors that I felt were offended by some of the
- 20 personal questions I asked them. I want you to know the only
- 21 reason I ask, I've got to try to figure out the kind of jury
- 22 we're going to get, and I have to make decisions with the
- 23 judge and Mr. Schultz over what may boil down to truly a life
- 24 or death situation. So, I want you to appreciate the why as
- 25 to all the personal questions I ask you. Do you understand

Page 150 at? A. Sure. I don't mind. I'm not offended. It's just

- A. Sure. I don't mind. I'm not offended. It's just some of the questions aren't things that I think about very
- some of the questions aren't things that I think about very much.
- Q. Who would think about this kind of stuff normally?
- 6 A. Yeah.

1 that?

- 7 Q. Do you consider yourself -- I know I asked you this
- 8 already. I'm trying to keep up with thinking about questions
- 9 I'm going to ask you and thinking about your answers. Do you
- 10 consider yourself a Christian?
- 11 A. I wouldn't say so. I think I was raised that way,
- 12 but lapsed Christian, say.
- 13 Q. Okay. Are you more of an Old Testament kind of
- 14 person or New Testament? The only reason I ask that is the
- 15 eye for an eye?
- 16 A. Right. When it's asking what's the best argument
- 17 for the death penalty, and I don't know if that's necessarily
- 18 my best argument for the death penalty, but that's one that I
- 19 hear periodically. So I you know, it was 17 pages.
- 20 Q. I noticed -- I remember you because I remember where
- 21 you were sitting. I think you were sitting right in front of
- 22 me.
- 23 A. Number two.
- Q. And I noticed you were -- you spent a lot of time on
- 25 the questionnaire, and I think out of 200 people, you were the

Page 152

- A. I guess there are certain hypotheticals that might
- 2 be difficult. For instance, the idea of someone's
- 3 participation a group of people involved, and they charge
- 4 them all.
- O. Yeah.
- A. And just because -- I guess the example that he gave
- 7 was you wait outside while your buddy robs a liquor store,
- 8 did you know he had a gun, that sort of situation, and should
- 9 those people be held to the same level? So, there's some gray
- 10 area where I think -
 - Q. Outside of that where there may be multiple persons
- 12 charged, I think we'll spend -- I'll spend most of my time
- 13 with you on, let's just assume none of that's there.
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. I don't know if the judge ultimately will give a
- 16 charge on that or not based on the evidence. In your
- 17 questionnaire, and I think I know the reason why you wrote
- 18 this down. It says are you in favor of the death penalty?
- 19 Yes. Explain. It's the appropriate penalty for murder.
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- 21 Q. What did you mean when you wrote that down?
- 22 A. I meant it's the appropriate penalty I probably
- 23 should have been more precise. Not being briefed on what
- 24 capital murder was, I was thinking premeditated.
- 5 Q. Do you think it's -- the death penalty is

Page 151

- 1 196th to leave, which that gives everybody, I think, a good
- 2 feeling because there were some people I think went through
- 3 that questionnaire, get out of there and get to lunch. And if
- 4 somebody goes through a 17-page questionnaire on a death
- 5 penalty case and they're out of there in 20 minutes, I wonder.
- 6 It could be just telepathic; they already knew what the
- 7 questions were. But you spent a lot of time on your
- 8 questionnaire, and just from the time you spent and the
- 9 answers that you wrote down, everybody can tell that you put a
- 10 lot of thought into it.
- Prior to showing up on Tuesday morning at 8:30,
- 12 whatever time y'all were told to be there, what were your
- 13 feelings about capital punishment before you ever got into any
- 14 of this?
- 15 A. I guess I felt like for certain crimes, it's
- 16 appropriate.
- 17 Q. What kind of things are you thinking about?
- 18 A. I was thinking about people who kill cops.
- 19 Q. Okay. That's certainly one of the criteria in Texas
- 20 for capital, you bet.
- 21 Mr. Schultz gave a very thorough rendition of our
- 22 penal code as far as what capital murder is. Have your
- 23 feelings about capital murder changed at all as you sit there
- 24 right now considering everything you've heard from the judge
- 25 from Bill -- Mr. Schultz and myself?

- 1 appropriate for any -- let me back up just a little bit.
- 2 Mr. Schultz may have gotten into this with you -- I don't
- 3 recall -- before the lunch break. We don't really use the
- 4 word "premeditation" in Texas. We use another word called
- 5 intentionally. I don't know what the difference between the
- 6 two is. I don't think our courts ever knew what the
- 7 difference between the two were. We used to use a term called
- 8 deliberately and all this kind of thing, but I think, suffice
- 9 it to say, hair splitting to get a bunch of -- you'd have to
- 10 get some lexicographers and some experts in the English
- 11 language to maybe sit down and really hack out the difference
- 12 between intentionally, deliberately, premedicated. I think
- 13 for sake of argument, they're really all the same as far as a
- 14 jury goes and probably as far as lawyers go. I don't know
- 15 what the difference is. But if we could use that word
- 16 intentionally and premeditated synonymously.
- 17 A murder -- a noncapital murder -- you know, again,
- 18 I'm like Mr. Schultz, I hate to use that word simple or plain
- 19 vanilla murder, but when we talk about capital being murder
- 20 plus --
- 21 A. Right
- Q. -- policeman or the course of a burglary, a
- 23 robbery --
- 24 A. I remember.
- 25 Q. -- or two or more people?

- 1 A. Right.
- 2 Q. And the indictment in this case, I think as you
- 3 were told by Judge Sandoval on Tuesday, alleges murder in the
- course of a robbery, murder in the course of a burglary and
- murder of two people, okay? If I -- I'll kill my partner here
- 6 for a minute. Him and I just get into it. We get a big
- 7 disagreement, whatever it's over. I'm not robbing him. I'm
- 8 not breaking into his house or anything. I just decide to
- 9 kill my partner here and gun him down in my parking lot,
- 10 that's murder. I can't get the death penalty for that,
- 11 although I could get life.
- 12 A. Sure.
- Q. When you say the death penalty is appropriate for 13
- 14 murder --
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- 16 Q. -- with the things I just told you in mind, are you
- 17 of the opinion that the death penalty is appropriate for cases
- 18 of less than capital and regular murder?
- 19 A. My personal opinion? I feel like I'm more educated
- 20 now after just the five-minute briefing. I probably would
- 21 have to say no.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- A. This is why I think it's so important to give your 23
- 24 presentation to introduce the concepts before the
- 25 questionnaire because I just used the shorthand murder because

Page 156

- 1 You probably recall, you know, I think it was planned out for
- 2 months, maybe since he got out of the Army. I don't know. I
- guess that had been over a year. But months of planning,
- casing out the building, buying tons of that fertilizer
- farmers use and getting fuse devices and timing devices and
- renting Ryder trucks, all that went into it. Months and
- months of meticulous, detailed planning. You have that kind
- of killer. And then maybe somebody who's high on drugs, gets
- into a fight, say, a drug dealer in a drug deal gone bad,
- 10 something happens. There's a personal relationship between
- 11 the deceased and the accused, something of that nature. Do
- 12 you see a difference in the mental status, the mental state of
- 13 those -- the McVeigh versus maybe a drug deal gone bad?
- A. Well, yes, I would say there's a difference.
- O. Talk to me a little bit about that difference as you 15
- 16 see it, in your own words. What significance would that have
- 17 with you?
- A. Well, it seems like in the first case there would be 18
- someone who's who's warped and plans for months, and in the
- 20 second case it might be someone was acting impulsively. And,
- 21 you know, it may have been an intentional murder, but it
- 22 wouldn't be what I would call premedicated murder. That would
- 23 be the way I would split those hairs.
- 24 Q. Okay. And I think you're right on point there. I
- 25 think you're rare in that you can see maybe the difference

Page 155

- 1 I wasn't as versed in the nuances. But there were some good
- 2 hypotheticals that the prosecution came up with about mercy
- 3 killing and things like that. And, of course, you can always
- 4 come up with one where someone is going to say, yeah, you're
- 5 right. But when you ask the question and what comes to mind,
- 6 you know, you write your answer. You can't reflect on it or
- O. Right. If, for example, the jury finds the
- 9 Defendant guilty of capital murder, and either he killed two
- 10 people or killed in the course of a robbery or killed in the
- 11 course of a burglary, are you pretty comfortable in knowing
- 12 what the options are at that point as far as punishment goes?
- 13 A. Yes. I understand that's when you go into the -
- 14 you know, the two questions phase, correct?
- 15 Q. That's right, that's right. To get to that point,
- 16 if you find somebody guilty of capital murder, if the jury
- 17 were to find -- we, the jury, find the Defendant guilty of
- 18 capital murder, if you have found necessarily that they
- 19 did an intentional killing?
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- 21 Q. Intentional can take into consideration all sorts of
- 22 factors, I suppose. In other words, whenever we start
- 23 splitting hairs again, do you see a difference between, as far
- 24 as the mental state goes, the mind working? Take a guy like
- 25 Timothy McVeigh. I think he was mentioned on Tuesday, okay?

- 1 between those two terms. I mean, you can fill up this room
- with case law about, in the past, appellate courts and the
- 3 U.S. Supreme Court and everybody trying to figure out
- premeditated versus intentional, but I think -- I've never
- heard anybody get it so quick because I couldn't, and I'm a 5
- 6 lawyer.
- 7 I noticed in your questionnaire, do you recognize
- there may be situations where a person's mind is impaired?
- And I'll cut right to the chase. You put down you have a
- 10 family member who is bipolar. You have some friends or
- somebody's friend who is severely depressed and required
- 12 antidepressants or psychoactive meds?
- 13 A. Sure.
- 14 Q. A lot of people that I come into contact will not
- 15 recognize mental impairment, either through something organic
- 16 or through the use of drugs; cocaine, methamphetamine,
- 17 ecstasy, crack, pot, you name it.
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. But I think -- I don't think you fall in that 19
- 20 category. Do you recognize mental illness and things that can
- 21 happen to the human brain due to substances we put in our
- 22 bodies either legally or illegally?
- 23 A. Sure, that's reasonable.
- 24 Q. Does that -- can that tie back into the topic we
- 25 were talking about before, kind of the intentionally versus

- 1 premeditated and all that kind of thing, too? Do you kind of
- 2 see maybe a connection, too?
- 3 A. You're saying, you know, your uncle is violent when
- 4 he drinks, that sort of thing?
- O. Yes.
- 6 A. Change personality?
- 7 O. Yes.
- 8 A. Yes. I can see that one causes the other, but
- 9 again it's not necessarily an excuse.
- 10 Q. Oh, no.
- 11 A. And I think it's sometimes difficult to prove the
- 12 extent that, you know, a mental disorder has, and I think that
- 13 in some cases it can be slight and just being used as a shot
- 14 at mitigation.
- 15 Q. Right.
- 16 A. And I think that, as a juror, I would be very
- 17 suspicious of that card being played. It would have to be
- 18 very strong evidence.
- 19 Q. Right. I think there's a high likelihood in this
- 20 case if you're selected as juror you would hear from mental
- 21 health experts, psychiatrists or psychologists, and both sides
- 22 may call mental health experts. Am I -- with the comment that
- 23 you made, and again, remember I told you on Tuesday not going
- 24 to -- won't argue with you at all.
- 25 A. Uh-huh.

Page 159

- 1 Q. And you understand what I've got to do in this case
- 2 and what my job is. Am I doing that kid at the end there a
- 3 disservice if you were to be on -- if I let you to be on this
- 4 jury? I don't mean "let." It's not really my call, but --
- 5 and I call mental health experts?
- 6 MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, Judge. I would
- 7 object to him trying to commit the juror to his reaction to a
- 8 particular event or factor strategy in this case. It's
- 9 improper. It's like me asking if I bring on a police officer
- 10 from some department, how will you react to him? He can't do
- 11 that.
- 12 THE COURT: Why don't you ask the question a
- 13 little differently.
- 14 MR. GOELLER: Okay.
- 15 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: With your comments that -- the
- 16 comment that you made in the jury questionnaire regarding
- 17 psychiatrists or mental health experts, how do you -- how
- 18 would you receive such?
- 19 A. I think, as I said earlier, I think that I think
- 20 that if one side does it, the other side will feel compelled
- 21 to do the same thing, and the effect is that, on the average,
- 22 I think it would cancel -- one side would cancel out the
- 23 other.
- Q. Tell me why you think one side would cancel out the
- 25 other.

Page 160

- A. Well, if you had two supposed experts opposing each
- 2 other and their viewpoints of a defendant saying he was
- 3 impaired and the other one said he was not impaired, I think
- 4 that it becomes a wash, unless one of the experts is, you
- that it becomes a wash, unless one of the experts is, you
- 5 know, clearly inferior to the other one. I don't think it
- 6 has I think it might have a different affect on the jury -
- 7 on some jurors if they cared about if they were looking for
- 8 something to help with their justifying to themselves their
- 9 mitigation. If they get to a point in the trial where they
- 10 feel like I want to hear something like that, I think that the
- 11 medical experts can help. But I just think that it's if
- 12 one side does it and the other side doesn't, then I think that
- 13 says something.
- 14 Q. What?
- 15 A. I think that they're they're not providing an
- 16 expert to refute the other expert. So, I think that it nets
- 17 to zero.
- 18 Q. Do you think that the calling of the an expert is
- 19 strictly to refute the other side?
- 20 A. I guess I think more of the strategy of the
- 21 courtroom, and that's what I think it would be. In some cases
- 22 I think it is really germane to the case, and in other cases,
- 23 and this would be one, where mitigation is an option. I think
- 24 that's a strong card that the defense can try to play.
- 25 Q. In a trial in Texas, a criminal trial, we always --

- 1 the Defense, we always have to go last if we choose to go.
- 2 Theoretically the Defendant has the option to put on no
- 3 evidence, no witnesses, nothing. But do you see my concern
- 4 when you say "refute"? I don't want you to think that I
- 5 always -- since I always have to go last --
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. -- and they have the burden of proof, and the reason
- 8 why our law is set up that way, we have no burden, no burden
- 9 whatsoever of any phase on anything in this trial.
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. But if we decide to put on evidence, I'm always
- 12 going second, and I'm always going to go last.
- 13 A. Sure, I understand.
- 14 Q. So my concern is when you say "refute," could you
- 15 see a situation where I may put on an expert or a witness?
- 16 I'm not trying to refute. I'm maybe trying to bring you some
- 17 evidence.
- 18 A. Well, it could be additional evidence, sure. But I
- 19 was just trying to visualize what would typically happen is
- 20 one expert might say judging by the drug levels in a certain
- 21 person this should not have impaired him to this level.
- 22 Q. Right.
- 23 A. And the other one would say, well, I have a diploma
- 24 from Columbia, and I say it's enough. And then it's up to the
- 25 jury to decide which medical expert do they trust, and that's

<u></u>	
	Page 162
1	what I meant by refuting. I was trying to visualize what
2	might happen.
3	Q. In most cases, those kinds of battles would usually
4	be fought if somebody is not competent, or they're going to be
5	claiming an insanity defense, or something like that. I don't
6	anticipate anything like that in this case.
17	Normally if a juror hears from expert witnesses,
8	mental health expert witnesses, it's usually in the punishment
9	phase, those special issues.
10	A. Sure.
11	Q. Probably maybe both special issues. So, it's not
12	really and I want to tie this back in. I've heard you use
13	the word excuse a couple of times. If we get to the
14	punishment phase in this case, as you already know it's life
15	or death. That's it if he's convicted of capital murder. And
16	when we look at those special issues when I think of
17	excuse, I think of I guess under our law we have maybe
18	justifications or some kind of excuse, yes, I killed these
19	people, or I killed during the course of a burglary or
20	robbery, but don't find me guilty because I have an excuse.
21	When you were using the word "excuse," what phase of the trial
22	were you thinking about, if you even were?
23	A. Well, I think it had to do with the prosecutors
24	asking if a person's background or whether they were on drugs
25	excused this behavior.
	Page 163
1	Q. 01.23
2	A. And that was the context I was thinking of.
3	Q. Yeah. I would guess Mr. Schultz was not and I
4	don't recall exactly what was said. I doubt that was his
5	point because excuse the behavior, there's whether
6	something excuse excusal of the behavior is a non-issue in
7	the punishment phase. Somebody has already been found that
8	they intentionally
9	A. Right.
10	Q are guilty of capital murder. So, I want to

Q. -- are guilty of capital murder. So, I want to 11 discuss with you, why don't we take them one at a time. This 12 special issue here, again getting -- what this question does 13 really is asks the jury to predict what? The future; would 14 you agree with me? A. Right, the likely --Q. Probability? A. - another violent -- violation. Q. Up until this question everything is a look-back. 19 You know, obviously they're going to bring forth evidence, or 20 we wouldn't be sitting here today. Everything is a look-back

21 to the date alleged in the indictment, for the most part. And

22 then if the jury comes back with a guilty verdict on capital

24 forward. It's asking the jury to predict the future, agree?

23 murder, this first special issue is really just a look

15

16 17

18

25

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Okay. You drive an automobile, right? 9 A. Yes. Q. Pay insurance? 10 A. Yes. 11. Q. Probably don't like paying that insurance, right? 12 13 A. Don't remind me. Q. Whatever you pay I think your youngest is three, 14 15 right? So, you've got a lot of years you don't have to worry 16 about. When did you get your driver's license, Mr. Rail, 16, 17 17? 18 A. Yeah, 16 probably. 19 Q. Do you remember what you used to pay back then up 20 until you were 21? 21 A. Not exactly, no. 22 Q. It was a lot? 23 A. Yeah. It was very high. Q. And as you've gotten older, your rates probably have 25 fallen, maybe not as much as you'd like them to but --Page 165 A. Yeah. Q. Do you think that 18-year old kids should pay more 3 in auto insurance than you should? Let's assume you're a good. 4 driver. You know, you haven't had a bunch of wrecks and DWI's 5 and that kind of stuff. A. Right, right. Yeah, I would say - we're getting 7 back to the statistics of the situation. Q. You bet. 9 A. And, yes, kids have less experience and they're 10 likely to have more accidents and drive unsafely. O. Who's your insurance company? 11 12 A. Farmer's Insurance. O. Farmer's Insurance. Would you agree with me that 13 14 they base your premiums on claims made for A, you personally, 15 and B, particular demographics that you fit in? A. I think it's partly that. I think it's more 17 complicated. I think it has to a lot more to do with profits 18 this quarter and hail storms in Texas than it has to do with 19 me specifically. But there are actuarial tables that they're 20 going to use, or whatever statistics, to try to figure out 21 based on traffic accidents, for instance, where the highest 22 risk lies. 23 Q. If we take out those kinds of other factors, 24 sometimes I think they throw in zip codes, too, but if we take 25 out hail storms and factors, and it's just what rate is

Page 164 Q. Then get into that word probability and acts of 2 violence, continuing threat. So just logically any -- nobody 3 would disagree this is a let's-look-down-the road. Does the 4 word excuse, how does that figure into this for you, or does

A. No, not that one. I think excuse is more in the mitigation question. I don't see excuse over here.

5 it? 6

- 1 Farmer's going to charge this 16 or 17-year old kid that just
- 2 got his driver's license versus Peter Rail, who's your age and
- 3 married, children. You're not driving some hopped-up Mustang
- 4 or Corvette, or something like that. I don't know if you are.
- 5 What do you drive?
- 6 A. I drive a Honda.
- 7 Q. Okay. All right. Do you think those actuarial
- 8 tables and statistics that they gather on claims made, do you
- 9 think that's a fair way of going about setting the premiums --
- 10 to set the premium of you versus the kid that just got his
- 11 driver's license and is driving a mom and daddy went out
- 12 and got him a brand-new Trans Am?
- 13 A. Yes. Short answer is yes. I guess, it's really
- 14 the only practical means that they have because they can't do
- 15 an interview like this -
- 16 Q. Right, absolutely.
- 17 A. with the kid to say, do you promise you seem
- 18 like the kind of kid who won't drive like that, but they all
- 19 drive like that.
- 20 Q. You live in Plano, right?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 Q. You see them.
- 23 Getting back to that special issue of kind of
- 24 predicting the future, is what it really is. How would you
- 25 go about answering that question, just off the top of your

Page 167

- 1 head?
- 2 A. Well, if I were evaluating a person to try to guess
- 3 their future behavior, I would have to look at their history.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. Do they have a violent history? Do they have a
- 6 violent juvenile record. I don't think you can necessarily do
- 7 it based on friends or the part of town they came from.
- 8 Q. Right.
- A. But I think that you have to do it that way. And I
- 10 know that just from what I've seen on television, often the
- 11 judge will limit what the jury sees because, oh, that's
- 12 irrelevant, what happened before. But in the mitigation
- 13 phase, is that something that does come in?
- 14 Q. It very well could. I think Mr. Schultz said
- 15 that -- I think it was with you. Typical in capital cases,
- 16 just about everything comes in.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. Just about everything.
 - Getting to this other special issue, of course,
- 20 this one here the State has the burden of proof to prove that
- 21 to you beyond a reasonable doubt?
- 22 A. Yes.

19

- 23 Q. Did you already know that?
- A. Yes. I've heard it over and over, but I guess that
- 25 I understand the philosophy behind it, but then there's also a

Page 168

- 1 reality, you know, that if the prosecution puts on a case for
- 2 capital murder, and the defense puts on no case, I think that
- 3 would just be that would be inept, to get back to that word
- 4 because and I think one of the questions on the
- 5 questionnaire, when I was answering it I was thinking, oh,
- 6 they'll probably think this is inconsistent, because I
- 7 understand the principle that, you know, we've heard it over
- 8 and over, but there are realities that it is expected, and
- 9 especially -- maybe not in lesser cases, but we're talking
- 10 about a capital case where it's not frivolous. You know, it's
- 11 not --
- 12 Q. Of course, because the State puts on evidence, that
- 13 doesn't mean it's any good, right?
- 14 A. Not necessarily.
- 15 Q. It could be worthless?
- 16 A. Yes. I think in a hypothetical case -- I'd like to
- 17 think that between the police department and the prosecution
- 18 that I mean, you have to make certain assumptions because I
- 19 know nothing about the case, but it seems like in order to
- 20 make it to this point they feel like they have a strong case,
- 21 and they're not going to waste their time. These are
- 22 professional people. They're so, again, the reality sets
- 23 in, and I make, I guess, some shorthand assumptions about
- 24 what's really going on without knowing all the facts. But,
- 25 that's kind of how my mind works is that I feel without you

- 1 telling me, in order for them to go for a capital case, there
- 2 has to be a good chance that they have the evidence to support
- 3 that, and then reverse of that is that I expect that the
- 4 defense has to respond with, you know, counter-arguments
- 5 because I'm thinking I know that's just the reality of the
- 6 situation for me. But I understand the principle, and I think
- 7 I agree with the principle.
- Q. And I appreciate that because that's what I got to
- 9 get at is the reality with you because all the theory and all
- 10 the imprinciple and all that kind of thing really doesn't
- 11 make -- individual voir dire in a death penalty case, the only
- 12 thing that's important to me is at least is the reality with
- 13 you.
- 14 Do you think the defendant has some kind of burden
- 15 of proff, or the obligation to bring you evidence?
- 16 A. Legally, no; but realistically, yes.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. Because of what I just explained.
- 19 Q. Okay. Are you pretty firm in that opinion?
- 20 A. Well, yes. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. That second special issue -- oh, that's all
- 22 right. I'll just hold it.
- When we talk about the mitigation, taking into
- 24 consideration call the evidence, including the circumstances
- 25 of the offense --

- 2 Q. do you think that may take into consideration the
- 3 victim?
- 4 A. Well, certainly not consciously. I think this goes
- 5 back to the prosecutor's opening when he said does it matter
- 6 if it was a drug dealer killed or the nun in the parish. And,
- 7 no, that doesn't matter.
- Q. And I think that's a correct statement for the first
- 9 part of the trial.
- 10 A. Uh-hub.
- 11 Q. For capital murder whether the person -- the
- 12 character of the person as to whether or not the offense is
- 13 capital murder probably doesn't figure into it, either, even
- 14 in my book.
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- 16 Q. But when we get to this special issue, consideration
- 17 of all the evidence, including circumstances of the offense,
- 18 do you see where maybe that kind of evidence becomes somewhat
- 19 pertinent? You know, the nun praying in church who -- who's
- 20 killed for absolutely no reason, children that were in that
- 21 day-care in that Oklahoma federal courthouse, versus maybe a
- 22 drug dealer and a drug deal gone bad, do you see how that may
- 23 make a difference in this question?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. I think of -- again, it's some hypotheticals on the

- 4 -- I guess on extreme ends where he talks about the revenge
- 2 killing and the courthouse, for the murder of his kid. You
- 3 know, that's a perfect example, but I don't know that would
- 4 even get to capital murder, so it's hard to say.
- A. But there are hypothetical that I'm sure you could
- 6 construct that I would agree there are circumstances around
- 7 the offense that would affect the penalty phase.
- 8 Q. Back when you were using the term "excuse," tell me
- 9 what you think about that word, and everything you've told me
- 10 and how it fits into this special issue.
- 11 A. Well, I think it has to do with the background of
- 12 the defendant, not so much the character, which is sort of
- 13 comes from the background. I think in some cases the defense
- 14 might be this is an underprivileged kid who didn't know any
- 15 better. Look at where he grew up, and we'll say oh, yeah,
- 16 that was terrible, but is that an excuse? It could be a
- 17 correlation. Could be a lot of crime in that area, but I
- or correlation. Could be a for or crime in that area, but I
- 18 don't believe that caused it. So that would be where I see
- 19 it.
- 20 Q. Of course, again assume a person is found guilty of
- 21 capital murder, State's got to prove this beyond a reasonable
- 22 doubt with a unanimous verdict on this issue, and then we get
- 23 to that -- that look at everything, looking at all, anything
- 24 and everything?
- 25 A. Again there's no burden of proof that the

Page 172

- 1 prosecution doesn't have one?
- 2 A. The defense doesn't have one on this burden of
- 3 proof. It has to be answered unanimously no or 10 yes.
- 4 Q. I guess what I'm struggling with when I hear you
- 5 use the word excuse. By this phase of the trial there is no
- 6 excuse.

8

- 7 A. Uh-huh.
 - O. Somebody is found guilty of capital murder, period.
- 9 Could you -- you can see that if I just kind of lay it there.
- 10 Can you read that?
- 11 A. I think I memorized it by now.
- 12 Q. Yeah, I bet you have. I bet you have. In one of
- 13 the questions I believe that life confinement in prison is
- 14 never appropriate in any capital murder, and you crossed out
- 15 case or penalty, and I know -- I know what you were thinking
- 16 because obviously that has to do with punishment/penalty, and
- 17 I think that's why you wanted to clarify that, right?
- 18 A. Right. I don't think the question was really worded
- 19 the way I wanted it, so I just changed it.
- 20 Q. Well, I'll tell you you worded it better. Thank
- 21 you.
- 22 (Discussion off the record.)
- Q. That's what you believe and that's how you feel?
- 24 A. Well, again I think this is before I knew exactly
- 25 the parameters around capital murder, and this has to do --

- 1 hope you don't have to go through this with every panelist or
- 2 every juror. But I think my definition, when I'm reading
- 3 this, at the top of the questionnaire it says, "This is a
- 4 capital murder," and it gives me the context for the whole
- 5 thing, and I'm thinking, oh, you know, someone killed a police
- 6 officer here, and I'm going through with that thinking of
- 7 that. So, I may have been stronger than I would have been if
- 8 I would have had the briefing before the questionnaire.
- Q. Right. In the situation we have right now, if -- if
- 10 a jury were to find this defendant guilty of capital murder,
- 11 it will have had to have found that he intentionally killed
- 12 in the course of committing a burglary, a robbery or killed
- 13 two people.
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- 15 Q. One of those three things would have to be found
- 16 unanimously beyond a reasonable doubt by the juror -- jury to
- 17 get to this punishment phase. With that in mind, how would
- 18 you -- would your answers still be the same, "I believe that
- 19 life confinement in prison is never appropriate in any capital
- 20 murder penalty"?
- 21 A. I think I would -- I think I wouldn't have gone
- 22 that strong on that answer.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. But when I look at the answers to the questions, I
- 25 think that was one I struggled with because I was looking for

Page 176 Page 174 1 you're going to take from the court, and so at the close of l gradations, and there weren't really - I think knowing more 2 the trial I'll tell you what the law in the case is, and 2 about it now, I think any reasonable person has to hear the 3 you'll also pick it up as we go along. But I'll allow him to 3 evidence to hear if there are mitigating circumstances. Q. Okay. What are mitigating circumstances to you? ask the question. Q. BY MR. GOELLER: This is the law, I would submit to 5 When we look -- when we look at that and -- because I have to you the judge would give you, whether taking into 6 know for my own mind -- I'm struggling with your word 7 consideration all the evidence including circumstances, the "excuse," and if I'm hearing you right nothing -- nothing 8 defendant's character and background. 8 really excuses the conduct, the killing for example, correct? A. Sure. I think you can take that into account, but I 9 A. Nothing I can think of. Like I said, you guys went 10 think your question was did I -- could I think of a case where 10 to law school so I imagine there are hypotheticals you can 11 that would matter and - or would tip the balance. 11 give where I might change my mind, but --12 O. Uh-huh. Q. Well, that's part of the problem. We can't qualify 13 A. And I couldn't think of a case for that, but for 13 you, or tell the judge that you are -- you're legally 14 qualified getting you to commit to a particular hypothetical. 14 circumstances around the case itself then, you know, I 15 would - you know, without knowing more, it's really hard to 15 A. Well, I guess that -16 say exactly because I'm trying to think of an example that has Q. But I really don't need a hypothetical. I'm just 16 17 to do with character or background, and I don't see that. I 17 wondering when you look at that last special issue, the 18 don't think that factors in consciously. It might have a 18 mitigation special issue, could you ever envision a case where 19 subconscious affect on people, but I can't picture myself 19 there's mitigation evidence that may involve things about 20 giving that much weight. 20 character, background, the crime itself, circumstances 21 Q. Okay. 21 surrounding the crime? 22 THE COURT: I tell what you we're going to A. Yeah. The last one I could think of the case -23 take a five-minute recess at this time, and let me ask you to 23 should I say what that is? 24 step down. And once again, if you would, please don't say 24 Q. Sure, that's fine. 25 anything to the others. A. I could mitigating circumstances that had to do 25 Page 177 Page 175 l with the circumstances around the case that had to do with the 1 (Recess taken.) 2 THE COURT: Mr. Goeller, go ahead. 2 involvement of the accused. For instance -Q. The deceased or the accused? Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Mr. Rail, when we look at that third special issue the way it's phrased, character and 4 A. The accused. background, are they not going to figure into it for you? Q. Okay. A. You know, I can't really imagine how they would at A. For instance, if there was, say, a group of three 6 7 this point. Like I said circumstances would --7 people that were involved, I think that you would have to 8 Q. Tell me why. Expound on why they wouldn't figure 8 study whether the trigger man was the one who is the -- who 9 into it for you at this point? 9 gets death versus maybe some other people who were involved to A. You know, without having more details to draw on, 10 10 a lesser extent might be considered for life in prison. 11 I'm not real clear how I can answer that. I feel like the 11 O. What about when we don't have multiple defendants or 12 circumstances - for instance, during the break I was thinking 12 co-defendants? 13 about a circumstance where suppose there was a drug deal and a A. I can't - that was one that came to mind. I don't shoot-out ensued. To me that's -- that's a lot different 14 think I would - I don't think I would consider background or 15 circumstance than a premeditated killing. 15 character. I think I would -- it would be more tied up in 16 the details of the case itself. 16 O. Okay. A. That's more reactive, and I think that's the kind of 17 Q. Okay, okay. And that's -- that is absolutely 18 thing I'm thinking about when I'm talking about 18 perfectly your right to believe that. Now, that is probably circumstances. Now, whether that makes a difference between 19 in conflict with the law that the judge would give you, the death penalty or life in prison, I'm not sure. But that's an 20 special issue. 21 example of something I thought about that would be really MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me. Judge, I'm going to germane to this kind of question. 22 object to that representation. There's nothing that makes 22 23 Q. Of course, circumstances in that special issue deal 23 that in conflict with the law at all, and I'd object to that 24 with the actual offense I think? 24 assertion. THE COURT: Well, let me just say that the law 25 A. Correct, yeah. 25

Page 178 Page 180 Q. When we say character and background of the mitigating; that being one possible factor, right? So, we've talked about one factor, drugs, right, or haven't we? Did I 2 defendant we're not talking about -- we're really not talking miss something? 3 about the circumstances of the offense? MR. SCHULTZ: His question is what factors are A. I understand. mitigating to you, is what he's asking the juror. Q. And you've told me that wouldn't really figure into 5 THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's not ask it that 6 it for you, or you couldn't think of anything, and that's what 6 way. I understood the question to be what -- can you think of 7 I'm getting at. Can you explain to me why circumstances and 8 background -factors that were mitigating, but ask another question and 9 9 let's see if there's an objection. A. Circumstances count, but I don't think 10 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Can you think of any factors, 10 background --11 Q. Background and character. I misspoke. I apologize, 11 Mr. Rail, that may fall under the category mitigating? 12 Mr. Rail. It's getting long in the day for us. Background 12 A. Well, we've alluded to the drug -13 and character? 13 MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, just a moment --A. I guess if - I guess there could be a situation 14 14 A. - issue. 15 I'm thinking of where a person has always been - you know, 15 MR. SCHULTZ: -- Mr. Rail. Judge, that's 16 you have a retired police officer who has been in the 16 nonresponsive. The question calls for a yes or no. Can he think of factors that are mitigating, not what they are? They 17 community for years and has a track record of behaving a 18 certain way, and then once there's an incident where he - and gets us down to the same problem again. 19 THE COURT: Overrule the objection. 19 now he's charged for capital murder, I think that would be a 20 Q. BY MR. GOELLER: Go ahead. 20 case of someone with strong character, and that would 21 A. I don't think drugs are mitigating. I think 21 influence - you know, any reasonable juror would be 22 influenced by that. It would have to be very strong character 22 circumstances could be mitigating, and I thought that in the 23 like the example I gave. It wouldn't have to be, you know, case of character or background, very slightly it would have 24 to be someone of very strong character in order to influence 24 Johnny was in the Boy Scouts? It's like, so what. It's --25 the weight is much less to me than the circumstances. 25 me. Page 179 Page 181 Q. Would you please say that the bottom line is you Q. Can you articulate to me factors that you would really have a bias against that phase of the law, character 2 consider mitigating? 3 and background? From what I hear you saying, other than 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Excuse me, Judge. We'd object 4 someone just having a very strong, good character, things such 4 to that question. It calls for the juror to be committed to 5 as drug use and any other things you can think of really are particular -- it's improper to ask the juror what factors he not going to factor into it? would consider mitigating, any more than it would be proper 7 what -- how much evidence would you require to convict 7 8 somebody of a crime, or anything else. He's trying to commit

9 him to what are mitigating factors. MR. GOELLER: I'm not trying to get him to

10 commit to anything. In order to exercise --12 THE COURT: I thought the question was can you 13 think of any circumstances that would be mitigating? 14 MR. SCHULTZ: No. He asked him to list 15 mitigating factors. It's not the same thing. It's like me 16 asking him what would it take for you to find the Defendant 17 guilty? What facts would you require? He can't -- for 18 example -- that's the problem. He can't -- how can he ask him 19 to identify what factors are mitigating, any more than ask 20 what factors would you require to give somebody a life 21 sentence, for example, if we were trying a regular case. Or 22 what factors would you require for probation? 23 THE COURT: Well, I don't think he's asking him 24 what would he require. I believe the questions so far have

25 talked in terms of perhaps drugs to be both aggravating and

A. Well, I was trying to think of an example, but -Q. And I don't -- you know, you don't have to think of an example. I'm not allowed to sit here and force you to 10 think of an example. A. Yeah, I think that would help because it is somewhat 12 vague, you know, what you mean by character and background. I 13 guess it could go two ways. I was trying to think of someone 14 who had a particularly good background being put in a 15 difficult position and that would be sympathetic to a jury. 16 And the other kind is someone who had just a terrible 17 background and terrible upbringing, and someone would feel 18 sympathy or say - but, see, I don't really think in the

21 don't think it is any reason to get one penalty over another. Q. Okay. And do you feel that way generally about 22 23 mitigation or that third special issue? From what I hear you 24 say, and again I'm not trying to put words in your mouth. 25 This special issue is not really important to you. This is

second way. I don't feel that that - I can see how one 20 contributes to another having difficult upbringing, but I

Page 182 1 the important special issue in determining whether somebody 2 2 gets life or death? 3 3 A. I wouldn't say that. I think second one is. 4 about that. Q. Tell me why that's important to you in 5 determining --6 A. You focus on character and background, and I focus more on the circumstances of the offense. 7 8 Q. Okay. 9 A. That's where our weights are different. I'm trying 10 to think of cases where character would factor into my 11 decision. I tried to give one example, but circumstances is what's going to be most important to me in this phase. Q. So this won't -- this particular phrase, "the 13 14 Defendant's character and background," that's not going to 15 figure into the formula for you? You are going to -- from 15 whether to offense is what you would focus on, and what you really have is -- bias is a strong word. We tend to connect, 18 18 I guess today, bias is kind of a negative, but I have bias 19 against Ford products. It don't mean they're bad. But what you're telling me is that you have a bias against that type of 21 issue and the evidence that would go to it, and you would --22 you have bias for consideration of all the evidence, 23 23 considering the circumstances of the offense? 24 A. Right. I don't know if I'd phrase it exactly that 24 25 way, but I think that you understand how I'm thinking. Page 183 1 Q. Okay. 1 A. I think what would help me is if you were free to

- 3 give me some sort of example of what you're talking about when you say Defendants's character or background and how in the 5 world that would figure into this phase. Is it -- there's an 6 underprivilege background, therefore this person should just get life over death, or is that an example that you're thinking of?
- Q. I can't qualify you on specific examples. They are 10 right about that.
- A. I think that we would be much clearer about what 12 we're talking about, because I'm not sure if we're talking
- 13 about the same thing all the time. 14 Q. What do you think about a person who is a drug 15 addict and been on drugs a long time?
- 16 A. Well, I would need more detail to know how I feel 17 about that. I think that there are - there's a stereotypical 18 drug addict, and then there are drug addicts in the suburbs.
- 19 I'd have to know what you meant by that. Again, the 20 circumstances, I think, are really important to me. Q. In one of the answers in the questionnaire you put 22 down, Mr. Rail, if a person is brought to trial on murder
- 23 charges that person is probably guilty, and you wrote agree?
- 24 A. Uh-huh. Not strongly agreed.

25

Q. No. I didn't say you put down strongly agreed,

Page 184

- 1 because that was another choice.
- A. Right, because I remember the question.
- Q. But you agree. Tell me about that. Talk to me
- A. I guess it has do with the confidence in the
- 6 professionalism of the legal system more than any, you know --
- Q. The legal system or law enforcement?
- A. Well, they're -- to me they're sort of one and the
- 9 same, but I don't have that fine distinction maybe because
- 10 that from your perspective. But again, I was just thinking
- 11 from practical matters in order for a case to proceed to this
- 12 point, I feel the police and the prosecutor feel they have a
- 13 very good case. They're not going to waste their time.
- Q. I know. You've told us that before.
- A. And that's kind of the way my mind was thinking when
- 16 I was filling out that question. You know, could have circled
- 17 neutral, which I think is kind of the -
 - Q. Say your last word again.
 - A. I could have circled neutral. You know, I lingered
- 20 over that question, but then I thought, well, what I truly -
- 21 I was just trying to be honest. I truly think that because of
- 22 the way the process works.
- Q. That's all I want you to be, is just honest.
- A. It's just I thought that was the whole point, not to
- 25 try to give you the right answer.

- Q. Exactly.
- A. But you're asking me what I think, because I know
- 3 the right answer should be, you know, "neutral, the right
- 4 answer." But I think the way the system works, that was my
- 5 opinion, yeah.
- Q. Okay. Is that more so the kind of case we're
- 7 sitting here on? Let's say you got called to jury duty on, I
- 8 don't know, shoplifting, DWI, something like that.
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. And you were asked, do you think the defendant sits
- 11 there innocent -- presumed innocent until proven guilty?
- 12 Would you have a harder time -- would you have an easier time
- 13 saying, yes, on this Driving While Intoxicated or the
- 14 shoplifting case, I could say the defendant is innocent until
- 15 proven guilty? Could you say that easier in those kind of
- 16 cases than this kind of case?
- A. Yes. I think you're seeing the way I'm thinking. 17
- O. Okay. And I'm trying hard, because I've only known 18
- 19 you for a couple of minutes.
- 20 A. I don't know that I'm not unusual, but when I
- 21 hear --
- Q. You're not? 22
- A. the capital murder, I know that law enforcement
- 24 has been doing their homework. They would not go for that if
- 25 they didn't have a good case. So, again, the reality of it

l versus the principles that you've been talking about. 2 Q. And that's what I want to get at is the reality 3 because that's the only thing that really counts here. But 4 because of -- and do you believe because it's a capital murder case more resources were put into the case? A. You know, I don't know anything about their procedures, but I know that cases - I assume they try to settle cases for lesser charges, you know, to avoid all the effort. So, my assumption can only be that the prosecution 10 has very good evidence. 11 Q. Okay. Meaning? 12 A. More resources, yes, to answer your question. 13 Q. To get back to the actual question, the answer is 14 probably guilty, honestly? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. You know that -- I know we went over the 17 three ways that he's been charged; murder/burglary, 18 murder/robbery, double homicide. Let's take the double 19 homicide, for example. If you think he's - and this is kind 20 of, I guess, my ultimate question for you. If you were to 21 find somebody guilty of capital murder based on a double 22 homicide and coupled with your comment, and again, don't --23 you know, I'm not taking issue with this. I just have to get 24 through this, that he's probably guilty as he sits there, do 25 you see yourself giving any credence to that mitigation issue? Page 187 1 A. Well, yes, I would certainly consider mitigation. 2 O. Tell me how. 3 A. Well, I would have to understand all the 4 circumstances around the double murder. 5 Q. Okay. A. I would have to understand in more detail what 7 actually happened because without giving me any facts, it 8 would -- you know, it's difficult to think of circumstances 9 where you'd say, you know, I can think of mitigating 10 circumstances. But, in asking this question I assume that you 11 must have some you want to present, so, I feel like to be 12 responsible I'd want to hear those. It's hard to answer these 13 questions without enough information. 14 Q. I know. And that's the catch-22 we find ourselves 15 in. I can't tell you, and Mr. Schultz is not allowed to say 15 16 in this case, the facts are this because we're not -- we 17 weren't there. That's part of the problem. Number two, we 18 can't try the case until the judge swears the witnesses in, 19 that kind of thing. 20 A. Yeah. Q. But from what I hear you telling me, because of the 22 nature of the case, even though the judge -- and I think you 23 hit the nail right on the head, we have the theory and the

24 reality. In theory, the judge could tell you you must presume

25 him guilty until proven innocent. In theory, the judge will

Page 186

1 tell you the State has the burden of proof. They have to prove all the elements beyond a reasonable doubt before y can find him guilty. In theory you have to take the witnes or take the juror chair over in that jury box preassuming actual innocence, but the reality of it is for you based on

this kind of offense, as you sit there now, you have sworn

Page 188

- under oath he is probably guilty. A. Yeah, that's my reality.
- 9 Q. Okay, Okay, Mr. Rail, I thank you very much, sir. 10 And I noticed one other -- no matter how that's put
- 11 to you or anybody else asks you questions, you're not goin 12 change your mind about that? That's the reality for Peter
- 13 Rail at this point?

8

- 14 A. Sure, sure. I would say that.
- 15 Q. And you've marked when I make up my mind, I rai 16 change it?
- 17 A. Yeah, ask my wife.
- 18 Q. Okay, sir.
- 19 MR. GOELLER: Thank you, Judge. I think I ne
- 20 a sub rosa hearing.
- 21 MR. SCHULTZ: Before we do that, how about
- 22 letting me ask some questions, and then we could have tha
- 23 hearing. Would that save some time, do you think?
- 24 THE COURT: Seems like it would.
- 25 MR. GOELLER: It doesn't matter to me.

Page 189

- MR. SCHULTZ: I want to ask him one time or 1
- another, I guess is what I'm saying.
- 3 THE COURT: I don't know that you need to, b
- go ahead.

5

- REDIRECT QUESTIONS
- BY MR. SCHULTZ:
- Q. I want to make sure we're communicating, and as
- bright as you are, I think we are. I don't think that's the 9 problem at all.
- 10 You make the assumption, I guess statistically
- 11 speaking, that defendants are generally guilty by the time
- 12 comes to court; is that pretty much a fair statement?
- 13 A. Well, I'd like to narrow it some more to say in :
- 14 capital murder case, that's really my attitude.
 - O. Okay.
- 16 A. For lesser cases, like the DWI that - I don't thi
- 17 I would feel that way necessarily.
- 18 Q. Well, let's assume for a second that, first of all,
- you're correct. Let's assume, in general, capital murder
- 20 cases, most of the time capital defendants are guilty. Let's
- 21 assume that's correct, okay?
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. We're not talking about this case in particular. I
- 24 don't even think you're in a position to know anything about
- 25 the evidence in this case. The real question is not what yo

- 1 abstract opinions are in general, like, for example, whether
- police are good or bad, or whether defendants get their 2
- 3 rights. The real question is can you come in and fairly weigh
- evidence that's presented. That's the real question for your
- qualifications as a juror. And by that I mean, it may well be
- you'll come in on some case, and you'll think that the law is
- terrible that's applied in the case for whatever reason. It's
- too harsh; it's not harsh enough.
- The real question is, can you follow the law and the
- instructions given to you by the court? For example, you
- understand what we mean by presumption of innocence? 11

12 A. Of course.

- 13 Q. You understand that that is a -- it's really a
- 14 procedural device that commences the trial that obliges the
- State to put on large quantities of evidence, whatever the
- size of that evidence necessary to prove its case beyond a
- reasonable doubt. Are you with me on that?

A. Yes. I understand the principle, but -18

- 19 Q. And if we fail, the fairly treated defendant must
- 20 have a jury that would return an acquittal, return a not
- guilty verdict. That's required under our law. If we fail to
- prove case beyond a reasonable doubt, then the only verdict a
- 23 jury can return is not guilty. Do you understand that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. And I want to make sure that your ability to

Page 192

- 1 Mr. Goeller's concern might be, well, if I have got somebody
- 2 coming into this court that figures the odds are my client's
- 3 guilty, then I'm not going to get a fair shake from this
- juror. Do you see how he could interpret that?
- A. I can understand that, yeah. I don't think that's
- 6 true in my case. Like I've said over and over, I'm interested
- 7 in hearing the evidence, and I would only make up my mind once
- 8 I have more details.
- Q. So your belief in general about who's guilty and
- 10 who's not isn't going to give the State any edge in this
- 11 particular case at all. Is that what you're saying? That's
- 12 not going to help us any. We still have to prove the same
- 13 thing we always do?
- A. Well, of course, yeah. I don't think I meant that 14
- 15 at all. It's just in the question --
- 16 Q. It didn't seem like you, but I thought I better make
- 17 sure.
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Now, let's move along to these issues in
- 20 mitigation. First of all, I see nothing in the question that
- 21 says you have to independently be able to just say, well, the
- 22 defendant's character would be enough, or that the defendant's
- 23 background would be enough. It directs you to take into
- 24 consideration a whole number of things.
- 25 A. Right.

Page 191

- 1 do that is compatible with your earlier statement. If it is
- your view that since you figure most capital defendants are
- guilty, which you could be absolutely correct on that
- 4 statistically speaking, I don't see anything wrong with you
- 5 having that opinion as long as that doesn't somehow put some

A. You're asking if I would expect you to - I would

- weight on our scales of proof that don't belong there.
- 7 That's the problem. That's the question that we have.
- 9 put less burden on you to prove it -
- 10 Q. Yes. Since you figure --
- 11 A. - because I have these assumptions.
- 12 Q. v- probably he's guilty, are you still going to
- 13 require us prove him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?
- 14 A. No. I don't think that means that at all. I just
- 15 think in the absence of facts since you can't, for whatever
- 16 reason, tell us more, I try to fill in the gaps as to why
- 17 we're here. I know that a capital crime is not frivolous.
- 18 Law enforcement and the legal system isn't going to just do
- that. So when I fill in the gaps and then I answer that
- question, it makes me sound like, you know, when he said, oh,
- you know, you're ignorant if you don't understand innocent
- 22 before proven guilty, and it's not that at all. I mean, that
- 23 is a great principle. But then there's the reality of the
- 24 situation.
- 25 Q. Well, you understand what his concern might be?

- Q. And these are not the only ones you can consider.
- 2 They're just some of the things that you can consider,
- including the circumstances of the offense, the Defendant's
- 4 character and background, personal moral culpability of the
- 5 Defendant. First of all, on the issue of character, I mean,
- 6 you're right on when you talk about the Defendant being a
- 7 former police officer, medal of honor winner, missionary that
- 8 did great work, whatever great things, because I don't think
- 9 the law requires you to consider the Defendant's bad character
- 10 as mitigating. I mean, for example, I don't think a defense
- 11 lawyer can say, well, if the evidence shows he's been a
- 12 criminal all his life, would you consider that in mitigation?
- 13 Obviously, it's got to be good character that would be
- 14 mitigating; do you agree with that? It would never be bad
- 15 character.
- A. Well, I don't know that would I think I would go 16
- 17 a step further and say that in the case of the defense, they
- 18 might not just show good character, or they might just be --
- 19 not no character, but no bad character. In other words, the
- 20 position might be this person is so young that, you know, he's
- 21 never done anything bad. No previous offense doesn't mean
- 22 good character. It just means no previous offense. It means
- 23 not no character, but no character as a sense that you were
- 24 using it, like a medal of honor winner, et cetera.
- 25 Q. And it may be to some people that would be very

1	Page 194 important that nobody had done another crime before, and maybe	1	Page 196 mitigating circumstances that I might consider, so this is a
i	to you that's not important; is that what you're saying? You	2	
	may react to it differently than somebody else.	3	Q. But you're open to the idea. You just
4		4	A. Oh, of course. I think it's a natural evolution.
5		5	Maybe they were I can see how this could happen, that the
6		6	would add this additional mitigation later.
7		7	MR. SCHULTZ: That's all the question I have,
8	· ·	8	Judge.
9		9	THE COURT: Anything from the other side?
10		10	
	understand they don't have a legal obligation to do that if	11	THE COURT: All right. Would you step down for
	they don't want to? Now, I'm perfectly permitted to say, why	1	a moment, please?
	didn't they produce the mitigating evidence. They've got as	13	(Venireperson exits the courtroom.)
	much burden as we do on that issue. We have an equal burden,	14	THE COURT: All right. The juror is outside
	which is, I guess, almost no burden. I don't have to prove no	15	
	mitigation; they don't have to prove mitigation, but that's	16	MR. GOELLER: Your Honor may I proceed?
	just produced from whatever. That's the one question that has	17	THE COURT: Yes.
	no burden of proof on it. It's just out there.	18	MR. GOELLER: Your Honor, the defense would
19		19	
20			juror stated specifically that because of this kind of case,
21		1	in effect, the juror cannot presume my client innocent. I
	thing?	1	went through that whole thing with him, Judge, about if this
23	-		were shoplifting or DWI, he could presume and follow the
24	_	1	Court's instruction on the presumption of innocence.
	you can't think of a circumstance where the Defendant's	25	And what I'd ask the Court to key in on
			·
ert	Page 195		Page 197
_	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to	l _	Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words
2		$\frac{2}{2}$	"in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of
3		3	individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him,
4	A. No. You gave the example of the positive character,	4	but I think I did.
	the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That	5	He says my client is probably guilty. That is in
	would be a strong example that would be factored in, of	l _	direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even
7	,	7	asked him I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the
8	average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and	_	Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that
9	holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates	9	the State must prove each and every evidence all the
10		10	elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, taking the
11	Q. Whether you can think of it or not, are you open to	11	into consideration. But, the way you sit there right now, and
- 12	1	12	
13			is and even on his questionnaire, and also, Judge I'd ask
14	1	١	that Mr. Rail's questionnaire be made a part of the record, he
15	3,	15	stated, quote, he is probably and a person is brought to
16	us to have in our society in this capital litigation that we do?		trial on murder charges, that person is probably guilty. He
		17	
18 19	A. Yes.		and strongly agree strongly disagree. He circled agree.
	Q. And you're open you are open to the concept of	19	And then he went on to further state that the
20	3 3 8 . 7	20	politically he didn't say politically correct. I think he
21	would take an awful lot to mitigate against those kinds of	21	said the average person should probably come in and circle
22		22	uncertain because that's the answer that I think y'all want to
23	A. Generally, I think that's what I mean. I don't know		hear, or what we should give.
24	what you mean by "an awful lot." But, I think that if I knew	24	But he is an intelligent person, Judge. He

25 admitted, I am not going to give the Court, or at least the

25 more details I would know pretty quick if there were any

1 lawyers, the answer they want to hear. I'm going to give you 2 the reality, and that's why I did not circle uncertain. I 3 changed it to agree, he's probably guilty. So, therefore, I'd 4 ask the Court to sustain my challenge for cause on Mr. Rail. 5 Secondly, I'd submit to the Court, my second 6 cause reason for challenge for cause on Mr. Rail is he does 7 have a bias or prejudice against the phase of the law on which 8 we are entitled to rely. The way this special issue reads, 9 Judge, it says taking into consideration all of the evidence, 10 including circumstances, comma, the Defendant's character and 11 background, comma. That's mandatory language. That says 12 including. 13 Now, I'd site again to the Court that the reverse on 14 the Witherspoon case, it's that Morgan Illinois case, which is 15 really the (inaudible) case in this area, Justice White of the 16 U.S. Supreme Court wrote, Your Honor, any contrary when 17 they talk about sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute 18 talked about this word sufficent, and Justice White 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 5 contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a 5 anyone. 1 MR. SCHULTZ: Uh-huh. 1 (Venireperson enters the courtroom.) 1 THE COURT: And the defense? 1 Henor. 10 THE COURT: And the defense? 11 THE COURT: No, vour Honor. 11 the COURT: No, Your Honor. 12 the COU
3 changed it to agree, he's probably guilty. So, therefore, I'd 4 ask the Court to sustain my challenge for cause on Mr. Rail. 5 Secondly, I'd submit to the Court, my second 6 cause — reason for challenge for cause on Mr. Rail is he does 7 have a bias or prejudice against the phase of the law on which 8 we are entitled to rely. The way this special issue reads, 9 Judge, it says taking into consideration all of the evidence, 10 including circumstances, comma, the Defendant's character and 11 background, comma. That's mandatory language. That says 12 including. 13 Now, I'd site again to the Court that the reverse on 14 the Witherspoon case, it's that Morgan Illinois case, which is 15 really the (inaudible) case in this area, Justice White of the 16 U.S. Supreme Court wrote, Your Honor, and obviously the Illinois statute 17 they talk about sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute 18 talked about this word sufficent, and Justice White 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 26 merciless juror is not — although not a lawless one, he is in 3 (Venireperson enters the courtroom.) 4 THE COURT: Please be seated. All right. You 4 MR. SCHULTZ: This juror is acceptable, You 4 Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. You may step down, 11 Is there anything else from either side before we 12 release him? 13 MR. SCHULTZ: No, Your Honor. 14 MR. GOELLER: No, sir. 15 VENIREPERSON: So I can leave now? 16 THE COURT: Yes, sir, you may leave. 17 Please be seated, ma'm. 18 Ma'am, you wrote a memorandum and delivered it here was a former student of your current husban
4 ask the Court to sustain my challenge for cause on Mr. Rail. 5 Secondly, I'd submit to the Court, my second 6 cause – reason for challenge for cause on Mr. Rail is he does 7 have a bias or prejudice against the phase of the law on which 8 we are entitled to rely. The way this special issue reads, 9 Judge, it says taking into consideration all of the evidence, 10 including circumstances, comma, the Defendant's character and 11 background, comma. That's mandatory language. That says 12 including. 13 Now, I'd site again to the Court that the reverse on 14 the Witherspoon case, it's that Morgan Illinois case, which is 15 really the (inaudible) case in this area, Justice White 16 U.S. Supreme Court wrote, Your Honor, any contrary – when 17 they talk about sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute 18 talked about this word sufficent, and Justice White 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless jūror is not although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 4 THE COURT: Please be seated. All right. W 5 says the State? 6 MR. SCHULTZ: This juror is acceptable, You 7 Honor. 7 Honor. 7 Honor. 7 Honor. 8 THE COURT: All the defense? 9 MR. GOELLER: Submit the juror. 10 THE COURT: All the defense? 9 MR. GOELLER: No, sir. 11 Is there anything else from either side before we 12 release him? 13 MR. SCHULTZ: This juror is acceptable, You may step down, 14 Honor. 14 Honor. 15 the COURT: Please be seated. All right. Honor. 16 MR. SCHUL
5 Secondly, I'd submit to the Court, my second 6 cause reason for challenge for cause on Mr. Rail is he does 7 have a bias or prejudice against the phase of the law on which 8 we are entitled to rely. The way this special issue reads, 9 Judge, it says taking into consideration all of the evidence, 10 including circumstances, comma, the Defendant's character and 11 background, comma. That's mandatory language. That says 12 including. 13 Now, I'd site again to the Court that the reverse on 14 the Witherspoon case, it's that Morgan Illinois case, which is 15 really the (inaudible) case in this area, Justice White of the 16 U.S. Supreme Court wrote, Your Honor, any contrary - when 17 they talk about sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute 18 talked about this word sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless jūror is not although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 5 says the State? 6 MR. SCHULTZ: This juror is acceptable, You Honor. 7 Honor. 8 THE COURT: And the defense? 9 MR. GOELLER: Submit the juror. 10 Is there anything else from either side before we release him? 11 Is there anything else from either side before we release him? 12 VENIREPERSON: So I can leave now? 13 MR. SCHULTZ: No, Your Honor. 14 MR. GOELLER: No, sir. 15 WENIREPERSON: So I can leave now? 16 THE COURT: What did number of
6 cause — reason for challenge for cause on Mr. Rail is he does 7 have a bias or prejudice against the phase of the law on which 8 we are entitled to rely. The way this special issue reads, 9 Judge, it says taking into consideration all of the evidence, 10 including circumstances, comma, the Defendant's character and 11 background, comma. Thar's mandatory language. That says 12 including. 13 Now, I'd site again to the Court that the reverse on 14 the Witherspoon case, it's that Morgan Illinois case, which is 15 really the (inaudible) case in this area, Justice White of the 16 U.S. Supreme Court wrote, Your Honor, any contrary — when 17 they talk about sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute 18 talked about this word sufficent, and Justice White 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while 7 Honor. 8 THE COURT: And the defense? 9 MR. SCHULTZ: No, You may step down, 11 Is there anything else from either side before we release him? 13 MR. SCHULTZ: No, Your may step down, 14 the Witherspoon case, it's that Morgan Illinois case, which is 15 really the (inaudible) case in this area, Justice White of the 16 U.S. Supreme Court wrote, Your Honor, any contrary — when 16 talked about this word sufficient, and Justice White 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 tatute, which
7 have a bias or prejudice against the phase of the law on which 8 we are entitled to rely. The way this special issue reads, 9 Judge, it says taking into consideration all of the evidence, 10 including circumstances, comma, the Defendant's character and 11 background, comma. That's mandatory language. That says 12 including. 13 Now, I'd site again to the Court that the reverse on 14 the Witherspoon case, it's that Morgan Illinois case, which is 15 really the (inaudible) case in this area, Justice White of the 16 U.S. Supreme Court wrote, Your Honor, any contrary — when 17 they talk about sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute 18 talked about this word sufficient, and Justice White 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not — although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 4 Honor. THE COURT: And the defense? MR. GOELLER: Submit the juror. 10 THE COURT: All right. You may step down, Is there anything else from either side before we release him? 12 release him? 13 MR. SCHULTZ: No, Your Honor. 14 MR. GOELLER: Submit the juror elease him? 15 VENIREPERSON: So I can leave now? 16 THE COURT: Yes, sir, you may leave. 17 Please be seated, ma'am. 18 Ma'am, you wrote a memorandum and delivered it 22 knows the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was a former student of your current husband, and the Defendant here from late 1980s, and t
8 we are entitled to rely. The way this special issue reads, 9 Judge, it says taking into consideration all of the evidence, 10 including circumstances, comma, the Defendant's character and 11 background, comma. That's mandatory language. That says 12 including. 13 Now, I'd site again to the Court that the reverse on 14 the Witherspoon case, it's that Morgan Illinois case, which is 15 really the (inaudible) case in this area, Justice White of the 16 U.S. Supreme Court wrote, Your Honor, any contrary — when 17 they talk about sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute 18 talked about this word sufficent, and Justice White 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not — although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 4 THE COURT: And the defense? MR. GOELLER: Submit the juror. 10 THE COURT: And the defense? MR. GOELLER: No, yir. 12 release him? 13 MR. SCHULTZ: No, Your Honor. 14 MR. GOELLER: No, sir. 15 VENIREPERSON: So I can leave now? 16 THE COURT: Yes, sir, you may leave. 17 Please be seated, ma'am. 18 Ma'am, you wrote a memorandum and delivered it 20 husband's name is Glen Strain and that — that the Defend 21 here was a former student of your current husband, and the evidence getting back to that 22 knows the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was 23 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you 24 us to be aware of thi
9 Judge, it says taking into consideration all of the evidence, 10 including circumstances, comma, the Defendant's character and 11 background, comma. That's mandatory language. That says 12 including. 13 Now, I'd site again to the Court that the reverse on 14 the Witherspoon case, it's that Morgan Illinois case, which is 15 really the (inaudible) case in this area, Justice White of the 16 U.S. Supreme Court wrote, Your Honor, any contrary — when 17 they talk about sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute 18 talked about this word sufficent, and Justice White 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not — although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 9 MR. GOELLER: Submit the juror. 11 Is there anything else from either side before we release him? 12 Is there anything else from either side before we release him? 13 MR. SCHULTZ: No, Your Honor. 14 MR. GOELLER: No, sir. 15 VENIREPERSON: So I can leave now? 16 THE COURT: Weak along a fill in the juror. 16 MR. GOELLER: No, Sor any is the playen free anything else from either side before we release him? 18 MR. SCHULTZ: No, Your Honor. 19 MR. GOELLER: No, Sir. 19 THE COURT: Who may step down, Illinois atour release him? 19 MR. GOELLER: No, Sir. 10 MR. GOELLER: No, Sir. 11 Is there anything else from either side before we release him? 12 VENIREPERSON: So I can leave now? 14 MR. GOELLER: No, Your Honor. 15 MR. SCHULTZ: No, Your Honor. 16 MR. GOELLER: No, Sir. 1
10 including circumstances, comma, the Defendant's character and 11
11 Is there anything else from either side before we 12 release him? 12 including. 13 Now, I'd site again to the Court that the reverse on 14 the Witherspoon case, it's that Morgan Illinois case, which is 15 really the (inaudible) case in this area, Justice White of the 16 U.S. Supreme Court wrote, Your Honor, any contrary — when 17 they talk about sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute 18 talked about this word sufficient, and Justice White 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while 1980s, and there was 26 from side and the Defendant. And you 27 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 28 tatute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while 1980s are 1980s, and there was 29 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you 29 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 21 admonition yesterday about discussing the case with an 29 VENIREPERSON: Sure. 21 admonition yesterday about discussing the case with an 29 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 20 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 20 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 20 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 20 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 20 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 20 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 20 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 20 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 20 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 20 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 20 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 20 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 20 VENIREPERSON: I didn't
12 including. 13 Now, I'd site again to the Court that the reverse on 14 the Witherspoon case, it's that Morgan Illinois case, which is 15 really the (inaudible) case in this area, Justice White of the 16 U.S. Supreme Court wrote, Your Honor, any contrary — when 17 they talk about sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute 18 talked about this word sufficient, and Justice White 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not — although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 12 release him? 13 MR. SCHULTZ: No, Your Honor. 14 MR. GOELLER: No, sir. 15 VENIREPERSON: So I can leave now? 16 THE COURT: Yes, sir, you may leave. 17 Please be seated, ma'am. 18 Ma'am, you wrote a memorandum and delivered it 19 the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate your husband's name is Glen Strain and that — that the Defendant are from late 1980s, and there was a former student of your current husband, and the period and the Defendant. And your use to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 22 knows the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was a link between your husband and the Defendant. And your use to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 23 link between your husband and the Defendant. And your use to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 24 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 25 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember to a dominition yesterday about discussing the case with an admonition ye
13 Now, I'd site again to the Court that the reverse on 14 the Witherspoon case, it's that Morgan Illinois case, which is 15 really the (inaudible) case in this area, Justice White of the 16 U.S. Supreme Court wrote, Your Honor, any contrary — when 17 they talk about sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute 18 talked about this word sufficent, and Justice White 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not — although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 13 MR. SCHULTZ: No, Your Honor. 14 MR. GOELLER: No, sir. 15 VENIREPERSON: So I can leave now? 16 THE COURT: Yes, sir, you may leave. 17 Please be seated, ma'am. 18 Ma'am, you wrote a memorandum and delivered it 19 the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate your husband's name is Glen Strain and that — that the Defendant 21 here was a former student of your current husband, and the statute of your current husband, and the period in the evidence getting back to that 22 knows the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was link between your husband and the Defendant. And you us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 23 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you are between your husband and the Defendant had between your husband and the Defendant. And you are between your husband and the Defendant had between your husband and the Defendant had between your husband and the Defendant had between your husband and the Defendant h
14 the Witherspoon case, it's that Morgan Illinois case, which is 15 really the (inaudible) case in this area, Justice White of the 16 U.S. Supreme Court wrote, Your Honor, any contrary — when 17 they talk about sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute 18 talked about this word sufficent, and Justice White 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not — although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 14 MR. GOELLER: No, sir. 15 VENIREPERSON: So I can leave now? 16 THE COURT: Yes, sir, you may leave. 17 Please be seated, ma'am. 18 Ma'am, you wrote a memorandum and delivered it 19 the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate you husband's name is Glen Strain and that — that the Defendant 21 here was a former student of your current husband, and the 22 knows the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was link between your husband and the Defendant. And you 24 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 25 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember to admonition yesterday about discussing the case with an 2 VENIREPERSON: Sure. 3 THE COURT: What did I tell you? 4 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 2 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 3 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 3 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 3 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 3 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 3 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss t
15 really the (inaudible) case in this area, Justice White of the 16 U.S. Supreme Court wrote, Your Honor, any contrary — when 17 they talk about sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute 18 talked about this word sufficent, and Justice White 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless jüror is not — although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 15 VENIREPERSON: So I can leave now? 16 THE COURT: Yes, sir, you may leave. 17 Please be seated, ma'am. 18 Ma'am, you wrote a memorandum and delivered it 19 the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate you husband's name is Glen Strain and that — that the Defendant 20 here was a former student of your current husband, and the 22 knows the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was 23 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you 24 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 25 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember to 25 went of the indirect knowledge. 26 admonition yesterday about discussing the case with an 27 venireperson: Sure. 28 THE COURT: What did I tell you? 29 the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate you husband's name is Glen Strain and that — that the Defendant Planton of your current husband, and the 22 knows the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was 23 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you 24 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 26 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember in 28 venirep
16 U.S. Supreme Court wrote, Your Honor, any contrary — when 17 they talk about sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute 18 talked about this word sufficent, and Justice White 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while 10 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not — although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 11 THE COURT: Yes, sir, you may leave. 11 Please be seated, ma'am. 18 Ma'am, you wrote a memorandum and delivered it 19 the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate you husband's name is Glen Strain and that — that the Defendant 21 here was a former student of your current husband, and the 22 knows the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was 23 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you 24 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 25 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember 10 admonition yesterday about discussing the case with an 24 VENIREPERSON: Sure. 3 THE COURT: What did I tell you? 4 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 25 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 26 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 27 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 28 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 29 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 29 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 29 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 29 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 29 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 29 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discu
17 they talk about sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute 18 talked about this word sufficient, and Justice White 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 17 Please be seated, ma'am. 18 Ma'am, you wrote a memorandum and delivered it 19 the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate you husband's name is Glen Strain and that that the Defendant event and the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate you husband's name is Glen Strain and that that the Defendant event and the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate you husband's name is Glen Strain and that that the Defendant event and the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate you husband's name is Glen Strain and that that the Defendant event and the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate you husband's name is Glen Strain and that that the Defendant event and the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate you husband's name is Glen Strain and that that the Defendant event and the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate you husband's name is Glen Strain and that that the Defendant event and the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate you husband's name is Glen Strain and that that the Defendant event and the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate you husband event event and the court
talked about this word sufficent, and Justice White 19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 18 Ma'am, you wrote a memorandum and delivered it 19 the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate you husband's name is Glen Strain and that that the Defendant 20 husband's name is Glen Strain and that that the Defendant 21 here was a former student of your current husband, and the 22 knows the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was 23 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you 24 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 25 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember to admonition yesterday about discussing the case with an VENIREPERSON: Sure. 3 THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case were a memorandum and delivered it to have reached this 25 there was a former student of your current husband, and the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate you husband's name is Glen Strain and that that the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was 24 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 25 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember to admonition yesterday about discussing the case with an VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case were a former student of your current husband, and the court. I showed both sides. 26 has a former student of your current husband and
19 specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser 20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 19 the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate you husband's name is Glen Strain and that that the Defendant 20 husband's name is Glen Strain and that that the Defendant 21 here was a former student of your current husband, and the 22 knows the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was 23 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you 24 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 25 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember to 25 your statute 26 pendant here from late 1980s, and there was 27 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you 28 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 26 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember to 27 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you 29 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 27 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember to 28 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you 29 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 28 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember to 29 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you 29 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 29 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember to 29 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you 29 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 29 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember to 20 link between your husband and the
20 sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence 21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 20 husband's name is Glen Strain and that that the Defendant 21 here was a former student of your current husband, and the 22 knows the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was 23 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you 24 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 25 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember to admonition yesterday about discussing the case with an THE COURT: What did I tell you? 26 husband's name is Glen Strain and that that the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was a former student of your current husband, and the case with a plant of the properties of the Illinois and the page 1980s, and there was a former student of your current husband, and the case with an approximate page 1980s, and there was a former student of your current husband, and the case was a former student of your current husband, and the case was a former student of your current husband, and the case was a former student of your current husband, and the case was a former student of your current husband, and the case was a former student of your current husband, and the case was a former student of your current husband, and the case was a former student of your current husband, and the case was a former student of your current husband and the case was a former student of your current husband and the case was a former student of your current husband and the
21 exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death 22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 2 knows the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was a former student of your current husband, and the page 192 knows the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was a former student of your current husband, and the page 202 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 2 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember to admonition yesterday about discussing the case with an admonition yesterday about discussing t
22 penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this 23 decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that 24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 22 knows the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was 2 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you 24 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 25 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember to 2 admonition yesterday about discussing the case with an 2 VENIREPERSON: Sure. 3 THE COURT: What did I tell you? 4 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 2 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 2 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 3 THE COURT: What did I tell you?
decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 23 link between your husband and the Defendant. And you 24 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 25 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember to admonition yesterday about discussing the case with an 2 VENIREPERSON: Sure. 3 THE COURT: What did I tell you? 4 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case we
24 word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois 25 statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 24 us to be aware of this indirect knowledge. 25 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember to admonition yesterday about discussing the case with an VENIREPERSON: Sure. 3 THE COURT: What did I tell you? 4 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case were of this indirect knowledge. 26 Table 10 September 10 September 10 September 11 September 11 September 12 September 12 September 12 September 12 September 12 September 13 September 12 September 13 September 13 September 13 September 14 September 14 September 15 September 15 September 16 September 16 September 17 September 17 September 18 September
Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 2 Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember 1 admonition yesterday about discussing the case with an 2 VENIREPERSON: Sure. 3 THE COURT: What did I tell you? 4 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 2 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 3 THE COURT: What did I tell you?
Page 199 1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute Page 201 1 admonition yesterday about discussing the case with an 2 VENIREPERSON: Sure. 3 THE COURT: What did I tell you? 4 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 2 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an 3 THE COURT: What did I tell you?
1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 1 admonition yesterday about discussing the case with an 2 VENIREPERSON: Sure. 3 THE COURT: What did I tell you? 4 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an
1 Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, 2 merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 1 admonition yesterday about discussing the case with an 2 VENIREPERSON: Sure. 3 THE COURT: What did I tell you? 4 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with an
2 merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in 3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 2 VENIREPERSON: Sure. 3 THE COURT: What did I tell you? 4 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case we
3 error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the 4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 4 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case w
4 consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute 4 VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case w
·
5 contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a 5 anyone.
6 bias against that phase of that statute. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came
7 He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a 7 VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how
8 long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think 8 court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and
9 that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase 9 it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. H
10 of the law, Judge. 10 went to work the next day.
So, again, number one, we're already in the hole. 11 THE COURT: Which was yesterday?
12 My client is already guilty with him. I can't ever fight that 12 VENIREPERSON: Right. He called me from
13 battle with that juror. Number two, he has a bias against 13 and said, don't say a word, but I'm going to tell you 14 that there is a live So. Wheth the Court and the property of the live so will be property of the li
14 that phase of the law. So, I'd ask the Court to sustain my 15 shellware for some and that provided in the law of the
15 challenge for cause against that particular juror. 15 the Plano paper at our home, but at work he had it. And the solid is indeed the state of the cause the same of the cause the same of the sam
THE COURT: All right. Challenge is denied. 16 read the paper. And he said if indeed that is the case th
17 W
We need to, I suppose, what we tell the juror is 17 you were hearing you need to notify the court that I know that we'll be continued and the line provided such that I know that we'll be continued and the line provided such that I know that we'll be continued and the line provided such that I know that we'll be continued and the line provided such that I know that we'll be continued and the line provided such that I know that we'll be continued and the line provided such that I know that t
18 simply that we'll be getting back to him, period, right? 18 and that's all there was to it.
18 simply that we'll be getting back to him, period, right? 18 and that's all there was to it. 19 MR. SCHULTZ: No. We bring him back in, and 19 THE COURT: How long have you been marr
18 simply that we'll be getting back to him, period, right? 18 and that's all there was to it. 19 MR. SCHULTZ: No. We bring him back in, and 20 you ask the State what we say and we'll either accept him or 20 Mr. Strain?
18 simply that we'll be getting back to him, period, right? 19 MR. SCHULTZ: No. We bring him back in, and 20 you ask the State what we say and we'll either accept him or 21 use a peremptory challenge. And if we use a peremptory 18 and that's all there was to it. 19 THE COURT: How long have you been marranged. 20 Mr. Strain? 21 VENIREPERSON: Seventeen years.
18 simply that we'll be getting back to him, period, right? 19 MR. SCHULTZ: No. We bring him back in, and 20 you ask the State what we say and we'll either accept him or 21 use a peremptory challenge. And if we use a peremptory 22 challenge, he's gone, and if we accept him, then he's tendered 23 and that's all there was to it. 24 THE COURT: How long have you been marriaged. 25 Mr. Strain? 26 VENIREPERSON: Seventeen years. 27 THE COURT: All right. So you got married.
18 simply that we'll be getting back to him, period, right? 19 MR. SCHULTZ: No. We bring him back in, and 20 you ask the State what we say and we'll either accept him or 21 use a peremptory challenge. And if we use a peremptory 22 challenge, he's gone, and if we accept him, then he's tendered 23 to the defense for their decision. 18 and that's all there was to it. 19 THE COURT: How long have you been married 20 Mr. Strain? 21 VENIREPERSON: Seventeen years. 22 THE COURT: All right. So you got married 23 what, '88?
18 simply that we'll be getting back to him, period, right? 19 MR. SCHULTZ: No. We bring him back in, and 20 you ask the State what we say and we'll either accept him or 21 use a peremptory challenge. And if we use a peremptory 22 challenge, he's gone, and if we accept him, then he's tendered 23 and that's all there was to it. 24 THE COURT: How long have you been marriaged. 25 Mr. Strain? 26 VENIREPERSON: Seventeen years. 27 THE COURT: All right. So you got married.

) F and Lighter or

no chimewood

Acceptation

		*
1	Page 194 important that nobody had done another crime before, and maybe	Page 196
1	to you that's not important; is that what you're saying? You	1 mitigating circumstances that I might consider, so this is a 2 hard process.
į	may react to it differently than somebody else.	3 Q. But you're open to the idea. You just
4	A. Yeah. I would think that you don't get any pluses	
١ ـ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>
5	• •	5 Maybe they were I can see how this could happen, that they
l _	at this point of knowing about juvenile history, you know,	6 would add this additional mitigation later.
7	,	7 MR. SCHULTZ: That's all the question I have,
8		8 Judge.
9		9 THE COURT: Anything from the other side?
i .	produce some of that mitigating evidence. First of all, you	10 MR. GOELLER: No, sir.
	understand they don't have a legal obligation to do that if	11 THE COURT: All right. Would you step down for
1	they don't want to? Now, I'm perfectly permitted to say, why	12 a moment, please?
Į.	didn't they produce the mitigating evidence. They've got as	13 (Venireperson exits the courtroom.)
14	much burden as we do on that issue. We have an equal burden,	14 THE COURT: All right. The juror is outside
15	which is, I guess, almost no burden. I don't have to prove no	15 the courtroom.
16	mitigation; they don't have to prove mitigation, but that's	16 MR. GOELLER: Your Honor may I proceed?
17	just produced from whatever. That's the one question that has	17 THE COURT: Yes.
18	no burden of proof on it. It's just out there.	18 MR. GOELLER: Your Honor, the defense would
19	A. It seems like the jurists might surmise some	19 subject submit the juror to a challenge for cause. The
20	mitigating circumstances from hearing the evidence.	20 juror stated specifically that because of this kind of case,
21	Q. And that's from the facts of the offense, for one	21 in effect, the juror cannot presume my client innocent. I
22	thing?	22 went through that whole thing with him, Judge, about if this
23	A. Right.	23 were shoplifting or DWI, he could presume and follow the
24	Q. Are you saying that there is not are you saying	24 Court's instruction on the presumption of innocence.
25	you can't think of a circumstance where the Defendant's	25 And what I'd ask the Court to key in on
	Page 195	Page 197
	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words
2	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of
3	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating?	 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him,
2 3 4	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character,	 1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words 2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of 3 individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, 4 but I think I did.
2 3 4 5	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That	 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, but I think I did. He says my client is probably guilty. That is in
2 3 4 5 6	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of	 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, but I think I did. He says my client is probably guilty. That is in direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even
2 3 4 5 6 7	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any many, and just the	 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, but I think I did. He says my client is probably guilty. That is in direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even asked him - I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and	 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, but I think I did. He says my client is probably guilty. That is in direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even asked him — I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates	 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, but I think I did. He says my client is probably guilty. That is in direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even asked him I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that the State must prove each and every evidence all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates particularly good character that would even be considered.	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words 2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of 3 individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, 4 but I think I did. 5 He says my client is probably guilty. That is in 6 direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even 7 asked him — I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the 8 Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that 9 the State must prove each and every evidence — all the 10 elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, taking that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any — many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates particularly good character that would even be considered. Q. Whether you can think of it or not, are you open to	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words 2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of 3 individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, 4 but I think I did. 5 He says my client is probably guilty. That is in 6 direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even 7 asked him — I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the 8 Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that 9 the State must prove each and every evidence — all the 10 elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, taking that 11 into consideration. But, the way you sit there right now, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any — many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates particularly good character that would even be considered. Q. Whether you can think of it or not, are you open to the whole concept of mitigation? Earlier when I talked with	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words 2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of 3 individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, 4 but I think I did. 5 He says my client is probably guilty. That is in 6 direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even 7 asked him I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the 8 Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that 9 the State must prove each and every evidence all the 10 elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, taking that 11 into consideration. But, the way you sit there right now, and 12 he said yes in principle, that's one thing, but the reality
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates particularly good character that would even be considered. Q. Whether you can think of it or not, are you open to the whole concept of mitigation? Earlier when I talked with you, you said that might be a good device for the jury to have	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words 2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of 3 individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, 4 but I think I did. 5 He says my client is probably guilty. That is in 6 direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even 7 asked him — I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the 8 Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that 9 the State must prove each and every evidence — all the 10 elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, taking that 11 into consideration. But, the way you sit there right now, and 12 he said yes — in principle, that's one thing, but the reality 13 is — and even on his questionnaire, and also, Judge I'd ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates particularly good character that would even be considered. Q. Whether you can think of it or not, are you open to the whole concept of mitigation? Earlier when I talked with you, you said that might be a good device for the jury to have that as an option to be able to consider. Do you still feel	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words 2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of 3 individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, 4 but I think I did. 5 He says my client is probably guilty. That is in 6 direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even 7 asked him I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the 8 Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that 9 the State must prove each and every evidence all the 10 elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, taking that 11 into consideration. But, the way you sit there right now, and 12 he said yes in principle, that's one thing, but the reality 13 is and even on his questionnaire, and also, Judge I'd ask 14 that Mr. Rail's questionnaire be made a part of the record, he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any — many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates particularly good character that would even be considered. Q. Whether you can think of it or not, are you open to the whole concept of mitigation? Earlier when I talked with you, you said that might be a good device for the jury to have that as an option to be able to consider. Do you still feel that way; that the mitigation question is a decent thing for	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words 2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of 3 individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, 4 but I think I did. 5 He says my client is probably guilty. That is in 6 direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even 7 asked him — I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the 8 Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that 9 the State must prove each and every evidence — all the 10 elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, taking that 11 into consideration. But, the way you sit there right now, and 12 he said yes — in principle, that's one thing, but the reality 13 is — and even on his questionnaire, and also, Judge I'd ask 14 that Mr. Rail's questionnaire be made a part of the record, he 15 stated, quote, he is probably and — a person is brought to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates particularly good character that would even be considered. Q. Whether you can think of it or not, are you open to the whole concept of mitigation? Earlier when I talked with you, you said that might be a good device for the jury to have that as an option to be able to consider. Do you still feel that way; that the mitigation question is a decent thing for us to have in our society in this capital litigation that we	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words 2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of 3 individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, 4 but I think I did. 5 He says my client is probably guilty. That is in 6 direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even 7 asked him — I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the 8 Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that 9 the State must prove each and every evidence — all the 10 elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, taking that 11 into consideration. But, the way you sit there right now, and 12 he said yes — in principle, that's one thing, but the reality 13 is — and even on his questionnaire, and also, Judge I'd ask 14 that Mr. Rail's questionnaire be made a part of the record, he 15 stated, quote, he is probably and — a person is brought to 16 trial on murder charges, that person is probably guilty. He
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates particularly good character that would even be considered. Q. Whether you can think of it or not, are you open to the whole concept of mitigation? Earlier when I talked with you, you said that might be a good device for the jury to have that as an option to be able to consider. Do you still feel that way; that the mitigation question is a decent thing for us to have in our society in this capital litigation that we do?	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words 2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of 3 individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, 4 but I think I did. 5 He says my client is probably guilty. That is in 6 direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even 7 asked him — I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the 8 Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that 9 the State must prove each and every evidence — all the 10 elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, taking that 11 into consideration. But, the way you sit there right now, and 12 he said yes — in principle, that's one thing, but the reality 13 is — and even on his questionnaire, and also, Judge I'd ask 14 that Mr. Rail's questionnaire be made a part of the record, he 15 stated, quote, he is probably and — a person is brought to 16 trial on murder charges, that person is probably guilty. He 17 had five options; strongly agree, agree on certain, disagree,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any — many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates particularly good character that would even be considered. Q. Whether you can think of it or not, are you open to the whole concept of mitigation? Earlier when I talked with you, you said that might be a good device for the jury to have that as an option to be able to consider. Do you still feel that way; that the mitigation question is a decent thing for us to have in our society in this capital litigation that we do? A. Yes.	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words 2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of 3 individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, 4 but I think I did. 5 He says my client is probably guilty. That is in 6 direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even 7 asked him — I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the 8 Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that 9 the State must prove each and every evidence — all the 10 elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, taking that 11 into consideration. But, the way you sit there right now, and 12 he said yes — in principle, that's one thing, but the reality 13 is — and even on his questionnaire, and also, Judge I'd ask 14 that Mr. Rail's questionnaire be made a part of the record, he 15 stated, quote, he is probably and — a person is brought to 16 trial on murder charges, that person is probably guilty. He
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates particularly good character that would even be considered. Q. Whether you can think of it or not, are you open to the whole concept of mitigation? Earlier when I talked with you, you said that might be a good device for the jury to have that as an option to be able to consider. Do you still feel that way; that the mitigation question is a decent thing for us to have in our society in this capital litigation that we do?	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words 2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of 3 individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, 4 but I think I did. 5 He says my client is probably guilty. That is in 6 direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even 7 asked him — I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the 8 Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that 9 the State must prove each and every evidence — all the 10 elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, taking that 11 into consideration. But, the way you sit there right now, and 12 he said yes — in principle, that's one thing, but the reality 13 is — and even on his questionnaire, and also, Judge I'd ask 14 that Mr. Rail's questionnaire be made a part of the record, he 15 stated, quote, he is probably and — a person is brought to 16 trial on murder charges, that person is probably guilty. He 17 had five options; strongly agree, agree on certain, disagree,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates particularly good character that would even be considered. Q. Whether you can think of it or not, are you open to the whole concept of mitigation? Earlier when I talked with you, you said that might be a good device for the jury to have that as an option to be able to consider. Do you still feel that way; that the mitigation question is a decent thing for us to have in our society in this capital litigation that we do? A. Yes. Q. And you're open you are open to the concept of mitigation. You're just saying that you, in your mind, it	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words 2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of 3 individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, 4 but I think I did. 5 He says my client is probably guilty. That is in 6 direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even 7 asked him — I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the 8 Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that 9 the State must prove each and every evidence — all the 10 elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, taking that 11 into consideration. But, the way you sit there right now, and 12 he said yes — in principle, that's one thing, but the reality 13 is — and even on his questionnaire, and also, Judge I'd ask 14 that Mr. Rail's questionnaire be made a part of the record, he 15 stated, quote, he is probably and — a person is brought to 16 trial on murder charges, that person is probably guilty. He 17 had five options; strongly agree, agree on certain, disagree, 18 and strongly agree — strongly disagree. He circled agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates particularly good character that would even be considered. Q. Whether you can think of it or not, are you open to the whole concept of mitigation? Earlier when I talked with you, you said that might be a good device for the jury to have that as an option to be able to consider. Do you still feel that way; that the mitigation question is a decent thing for us to have in our society in this capital litigation that we do? A. Yes. Q. And you're open you are open to the concept of	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words 2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of 3 individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, 4 but I think I did. 5 He says my client is probably guilty. That is in 6 direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even 7 asked him I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the 8 Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that 9 the State must prove each and every evidence all the 10 elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, taking that 11 into consideration. But, the way you sit there right now, and 12 he said yes in principle, that's one thing, but the reality 13 is and even on his questionnaire, and also, Judge I'd ask 14 that Mr. Rail's questionnaire be made a part of the record, he 15 stated, quote, he is probably and a person is brought to 16 trial on murder charges, that person is probably guilty. He 17 had five options; strongly agree, agree on certain, disagree, 18 and strongly agree strongly disagree. He circled agree. 19 And then he went on to further state that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates particularly good character that would even be considered. Q. Whether you can think of it or not, are you open to the whole concept of mitigation? Earlier when I talked with you, you said that might be a good device for the jury to have that as an option to be able to consider. Do you still feel that way; that the mitigation question is a decent thing for us to have in our society in this capital litigation that we do? A. Yes. Q. And you're open you are open to the concept of mitigation. You're just saying that you, in your mind, it	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words 2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of 3 individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, 4 but I think I did. 5 He says my client is probably guilty. That is in 6 direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even 7 asked him — I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the 8 Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that 9 the State must prove each and every evidence — all the 10 elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, taking that 11 into consideration. But, the way you sit there right now, and 12 he said yes — in principle, that's one thing, but the reality 13 is — and even on his questionnaire, and also, Judge I'd ask 14 that Mr. Rail's questionnaire be made a part of the record, he 15 stated, quote, he is probably and — a person is brought to 16 trial on murder charges, that person is probably guilty. He 17 had five options; strongly agree, agree on certain, disagree, 18 and strongly agree — strongly disagree. He circled agree. 19 And then he went on to further state that the 20 politically — he didn't say politically correct. I think he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates particularly good character that would even be considered. Q. Whether you can think of it or not, are you open to the whole concept of mitigation? Earlier when I talked with you, you said that might be a good device for the jury to have that as an option to be able to consider. Do you still feel that way; that the mitigation question is a decent thing for us to have in our society in this capital litigation that we do? A. Yes. Q. And you're open you are open to the concept of mitigation. You're just saying that you, in your mind, it would take an awful lot to mitigate against those kinds of	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words 2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of 3 individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, 4 but I think I did. 5 He says my client is probably guilty. That is in 6 direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even 7 asked him — I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the 8 Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that 9 the State must prove each and every evidence — all the 10 elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, taking that 11 into consideration. But, the way you sit there right now, and 12 he said yes — in principle, that's one thing, but the reality 13 is — and even on his questionnaire, and also, Judge I'd ask 14 that Mr. Rail's questionnaire be made a part of the record, he 15 stated, quote, he is probably and — a person is brought to 16 trial on murder charges, that person is probably guilty. He 17 had five options; strongly agree, agree on certain, disagree, 18 and strongly agree — strongly disagree. He circled agree. 19 And then he went on to further state that the 20 politically — he didn't say politically correct. I think he 21 said the average person should probably come in and circle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	background would mitigate a sentence or would be important to you, or are you saying there is no such thing; that there could never be anything that would mitigating? A. No. You gave the example of the positive character, the medal of honor winner, the former police officer. That would be a strong example that would be factored in, of course. But, I couldn't think of any many, and just the average citizen, you know, just paying his bills on time and holding down a job, I don't think that necessarily indicates particularly good character that would even be considered. Q. Whether you can think of it or not, are you open to the whole concept of mitigation? Earlier when I talked with you, you said that might be a good device for the jury to have that as an option to be able to consider. Do you still feel that way; that the mitigation question is a decent thing for us to have in our society in this capital litigation that we do? A. Yes. Q. And you're open you are open to the concept of mitigation. You're just saying that you, in your mind, it would take an awful lot to mitigate against those kinds of facts. Is that a fair statement?	1 Mr. Rail's testimony, he is very specific. He used the words 2 "in principle, in theory, but the reality," and the type of 3 individual he is, it's hard to dig that reality out of him, 4 but I think I did. 5 He says my client is probably guilty. That is in 6 direct contradiction of the presumption of innocence. I even 7 asked him — I said, if the Court gave an instruction that the 8 Defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty, and that 9 the State must prove each and every evidence — all the 10 elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, taking that 11 into consideration. But, the way you sit there right now, and 12 he said yes — in principle, that's one thing, but the reality 13 is — and even on his questionnaire, and also, Judge I'd ask 14 that Mr. Rail's questionnaire be made a part of the record, he 15 stated, quote, he is probably and — a person is brought to 16 trial on murder charges, that person is probably guilty. He 17 had five options; strongly agree, agree on certain, disagree, 18 and strongly agree — strongly disagree. He circled agree. 19 And then he went on to further state that the 20 politically — he didn't say politically correct. I think he 21 said the average person should probably come in and circle 22 uncertain because that's the answer that I think y'all want to

25 admitted, I am not going to give the Court, or at least the

25 more details I would know pretty quick if there were any

	Page 198	١.	Page 200
1		1	MR. SCHULTZ: Uh-huh.
2	the reality, and that's why I did not circle uncertain. I	2	THE COURT: Okay. Let's bring him back in.
3	changed it to agree, he's probably guilty. So, therefore, I'd	3	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.)
4	ask the Court to sustain my challenge for cause on Mr. Rail.	4	THE COURT: Please be seated. All right. What
5	Secondly, I'd submit to the Court, my second	5	says the State?
6	cause reason for challenge for cause on Mr. Rail is he does	6	MR. SCHULTZ: This juror is acceptable, Your
7		7	Honor.
8	we are entitled to rely. The way this special issue reads,	8	THE COURT: And the defense?
9	Judge, it says taking into consideration all of the evidence,	9	MR. GOELLER: Submit the juror.
10		10	THE COURT: All right. You may step down, sir.
11	background, comma. That's mandatory language. That says	11	Is there anything else from either side before we
12	including.	_	release him?
13	Now, I'd site again to the Court that the reverse on	· 13	MR. SCHULTZ: No, Your Honor.
14	the Witherspoon case, it's that Morgan Illinois case, which is	14	MR. GOELLER: No, sir.
15	really the (inaudible) case in this area, Justice White of the	15	VENIREPERSON: So I can leave now?
16	U.S. Supreme Court wrote, Your Honor, any contrary when	16	THE COURT: Yes, sir, you may leave.
17	they talk about sufficient, and obviously the Illinois statute	17	Please be seated, ma'am.
18	talked about this word sufficent, and Justice White	.18	Ma'am, you wrote a memorandum and delivered it to
19	specifically said, "Statute plainly indicates that a lesser	19	the court. I showed both sides. Basically you indicate your
20	sentence is available in every case where mitigating evidence	20	husband's name is Glen Strain and that that the Defendant
21	exists; thus, any juror who would invariably impose the death	21	here was a former student of your current husband, and that he
22	penalty upon conviction cannot be said to have reached this	22	knows the Defendant here from late 1980s, and there was a past
23	decision, but based on all the evidence getting back to that	23	link between your husband and the Defendant. And you wanted
24	word sufficient being biased against the phase of the Illinois	24	us to be aware of this indirect knowledge.
25	statute, which is pretty similar. And he wrote on, while	25	Here's what I need to ask you: Do you remember my
1	Page 199	1	Page 201
1	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote,	1	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody?
2	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in	2	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure.
2	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the	2 3	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you?
2 3 4	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute	2 3 4	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with
2 3 4 5	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a	2 3 4 5	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone.
2 3 4 5 6	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute.	2 3 4 5 6	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a	2 3 4 5 6 7	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. He
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase of the law, Judge.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. He went to work the next day.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase of the law, Judge. So, again, number one, we're already in the hole.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. He went to work the next day. THE COURT: Which was yesterday?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase of the law, Judge. So, again, number one, we're already in the hole. My client is already guilty with him. I can't ever fight that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. He went to work the next day. THE COURT: Which was yesterday? VENIREPERSON: Right. He called me from work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase of the law, Judge. So, again, number one, we're already in the hole. My client is already guilty with him. I can't ever fight that battle with that juror. Number two, he has a bias against	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. He went to work the next day. THE COURT: Which was yesterday? VENIREPERSON: Right. He called me from work and said, don't say a word, but I'm going to tell you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase of the law, Judge. So, again, number one, we're already in the hole. My client is already guilty with him. I can't ever fight that battle with that juror. Number two, he has a bias against that phase of the law. So, I'd ask the Court to sustain my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. He went to work the next day. THE COURT: Which was yesterday? VENIREPERSON: Right. He called me from work and said, don't say a word, but I'm going to tell you something. I just read the Plano paper. We don't even take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase of the law, Judge. So, again, number one, we're already in the hole. My client is already guilty with him. I can't ever fight that battle with that juror. Number two, he has a bias against that phase of the law. So, I'd ask the Court to sustain my challenge for cause against that particular juror.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. He went to work the next day. THE COURT: Which was yesterday? VENIREPERSON: Right. He called me from work and said, don't say a word, but I'm going to tell you something. I just read the Plano paper. We don't even take the Plano paper at our home, but at work he had it. And he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase of the law, Judge. So, again, number one, we're already in the hole. My client is already guilty with him. I can't ever fight that battle with that juror. Number two, he has a bias against that phase of the law. So, I'd ask the Court to sustain my challenge for cause against that particular juror. THE COURT: All right. Challenge is denied.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. He went to work the next day. THE COURT: Which was yesterday? VENIREPERSON: Right. He called me from work and said, don't say a word, but I'm going to tell you something. I just read the Plano paper. We don't even take the Plano paper at our home, but at work he had it. And he read the paper. And he said if indeed that is the case that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase of the law, Judge. So, again, number one, we're already in the hole. My client is already guilty with him. I can't ever fight that battle with that juror. Number two, he has a bias against that phase of the law. So, I'd ask the Court to sustain my challenge for cause against that particular juror. THE COURT: All right. Challenge is denied. We need to, I suppose, what we tell the juror is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. He went to work the next day. THE COURT: Which was yesterday? VENIREPERSON: Right. He called me from work and said, don't say a word, but I'm going to tell you something. I just read the Plano paper. We don't even take the Plano paper at our home, but at work he had it. And he read the paper. And he said if indeed that is the case that you were hearing you need to notify the court that I know him,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase of the law, Judge. So, again, number one, we're already in the hole. My client is already guilty with him. I can't ever fight that battle with that juror. Number two, he has a bias against that phase of the law. So, I'd ask the Court to sustain my challenge for cause against that particular juror. THE COURT: All right. Challenge is denied. We need to, I suppose, what we tell the juror is simply that we'll be getting back to him, period, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. He went to work the next day. THE COURT: Which was yesterday? VENIREPERSON: Right. He called me from work and said, don't say a word, but I'm going to tell you something. I just read the Plano paper. We don't even take the Plano paper at our home, but at work he had it. And he read the paper. And he said if indeed that is the case that you were hearing you need to notify the court that I know him, and that's all there was to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase of the law, Judge. So, again, number one, we're already in the hole. My client is already guilty with him. I can't ever fight that battle with that juror. Number two, he has a bias against that phase of the law. So, I'd ask the Court to sustain my challenge for cause against that particular juror. THE COURT: All right. Challenge is denied. We need to, I suppose, what we tell the juror is simply that we'll be getting back to him, period, right? MR. SCHULTZ: No. We bring him back in, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. He went to work the next day. THE COURT: Which was yesterday? VENIREPERSON: Right. He called me from work and said, don't say a word, but I'm going to tell you something. I just read the Plano paper. We don't even take the Plano paper at our home, but at work he had it. And he read the paper. And he said if indeed that is the case that you were hearing you need to notify the court that I know him, and that's all there was to it. THE COURT: How long have you been married to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase of the law, Judge. So, again, number one, we're already in the hole. My client is already guilty with him. I can't ever fight that battle with that juror. Number two, he has a bias against that phase of the law. So, I'd ask the Court to sustain my challenge for cause against that particular juror. THE COURT: All right. Challenge is denied. We need to, I suppose, what we tell the juror is simply that we'll be getting back to him, period, right? MR. SCHULTZ: No. We bring him back in, and you ask the State what we say and we'll either accept him or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. He went to work the next day. THE COURT: Which was yesterday? VENIREPERSON: Right. He called me from work and said, don't say a word, but I'm going to tell you something. I just read the Plano paper. We don't even take the Plano paper at our home, but at work he had it. And he read the paper. And he said if indeed that is the case that you were hearing you need to notify the court that I know him, and that's all there was to it. THE COURT: How long have you been married to Mr. Strain?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase of the law, Judge. So, again, number one, we're already in the hole. My client is already guilty with him. I can't ever fight that battle with that juror. Number two, he has a bias against that phase of the law. So, I'd ask the Court to sustain my challenge for cause against that particular juror. THE COURT: All right. Challenge is denied. We need to, I suppose, what we tell the juror is simply that we'll be getting back to him, period, right? MR. SCHULTZ: No. We bring him back in, and you ask the State what we say and we'll either accept him or use a peremptory challenge. And if we use a peremptory	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. He went to work the next day. THE COURT: Which was yesterday? VENIREPERSON: Right. He called me from work and said, don't say a word, but I'm going to tell you something. I just read the Plano paper. We don't even take the Plano paper at our home, but at work he had it. And he read the paper. And he said if indeed that is the case that you were hearing you need to notify the court that I know him, and that's all there was to it. THE COURT: How long have you been married to Mr. Strain? VENIREPERSON: Seventeen years.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase of the law, Judge. So, again, number one, we're already in the hole. My client is already guilty with him. I can't ever fight that battle with that juror. Number two, he has a bias against that phase of the law. So, I'd ask the Court to sustain my challenge for cause against that particular juror. THE COURT: All right. Challenge is denied. We need to, I suppose, what we tell the juror is simply that we'll be getting back to him, period, right? MR. SCHULTZ: No. We bring him back in, and you ask the State what we say and we'll either accept him or use a peremptory challenge. And if we use a peremptory challenge, he's gone, and if we accept him, then he's tendered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. He went to work the next day. THE COURT: Which was yesterday? VENIREPERSON: Right. He called me from work and said, don't say a word, but I'm going to tell you something. I just read the Plano paper. We don't even take the Plano paper at our home, but at work he had it. And he read the paper. And he said if indeed that is the case that you were hearing you need to notify the court that I know him, and that's all there was to it. THE COURT: How long have you been married to Mr. Strain? VENIREPERSON: Seventeen years. THE COURT: All right. So you got married in,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase of the law, Judge. So, again, number one, we're already in the hole. My client is already guilty with him. I can't ever fight that battle with that juror. Number two, he has a bias against that phase of the law. So, I'd ask the Court to sustain my challenge for cause against that particular juror. THE COURT: All right. Challenge is denied. We need to, I suppose, what we tell the juror is simply that we'll be getting back to him, period, right? MR. SCHULTZ: No. We bring him back in, and you ask the State what we say and we'll either accept him or use a peremptory challenge. And if we use a peremptory challenge, he's gone, and if we accept him, then he's tendered to the defense for their decision.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. He went to work the next day. THE COURT: Which was yesterday? VENIREPERSON: Right. He called me from work and said, don't say a word, but I'm going to tell you something. I just read the Plano paper. We don't even take the Plano paper at our home, but at work he had it. And he read the paper. And he said if indeed that is the case that you were hearing you need to notify the court that I know him, and that's all there was to it. THE COURT: How long have you been married to Mr. Strain? VENIREPERSON: Seventeen years. THE COURT: All right. So you got married in, what, '88?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Justice Scolia chooses to argue that such a, quote, unquote, merciless juror is not although not a lawless one, he is in error, for such a juror will not give mitigating evidence the consideration that the statute contemplates. Our statute contemplates character and background, and he obviously has a bias against that phase of that statute. He tried to rehabilitate himself by saying a long-time retired police officer maybe, but I don't think that's sufficient. He clearly has a bias against that phase of the law, Judge. So, again, number one, we're already in the hole. My client is already guilty with him. I can't ever fight that battle with that juror. Number two, he has a bias against that phase of the law. So, I'd ask the Court to sustain my challenge for cause against that particular juror. THE COURT: All right. Challenge is denied. We need to, I suppose, what we tell the juror is simply that we'll be getting back to him, period, right? MR. SCHULTZ: No. We bring him back in, and you ask the State what we say and we'll either accept him or use a peremptory challenge. And if we use a peremptory challenge, he's gone, and if we accept him, then he's tendered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Admonition yesterday about discussing the case with anybody? VENIREPERSON: Sure. THE COURT: What did I tell you? VENIREPERSON: I didn't discuss the case with anyone. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how this came up. VENIREPERSON: Okay. My husband, how was court? And I said well, it was I explained my day and said it was a capital punishment case, and that's all I said. He went to work the next day. THE COURT: Which was yesterday? VENIREPERSON: Right. He called me from work and said, don't say a word, but I'm going to tell you something. I just read the Plano paper. We don't even take the Plano paper at our home, but at work he had it. And he read the paper. And he said if indeed that is the case that you were hearing you need to notify the court that I know him, and that's all there was to it. THE COURT: How long have you been married to Mr. Strain? VENIREPERSON: Seventeen years. THE COURT: All right. So you got married in,

1	Page 206 running through my mind. It's just —	Page 208
2	Q. Okay. So, a man's on trial for his life, and you	2 VENIREPERSON: Yes.
3	couldn't give that full attention because you'd be thinking	3 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schultz, go ahead.
4	about your vacation; is that kind of where we are with that?	4 MR. SCHULTZ: Thanks, Judge.
5	A. No. This is a duty that I would serve, but at the	5 DIRECT QUESTIONS
6	same time, I'm also a family man, too.	6 BY MR. SCHULTZ:
7	Q. Sure, I understand.	7 Q. Mr. Calhoun, my name is Bill Schultz. To my left –
8	A. That I'm not a single person anymore and that I	
9	have to consider the other side, even though, you know, this	
10		10 District Attorneys representing the State in its capital
11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11 prosecution of the defendant, Ivan Cantu, who's seated at the
ļ	know?	12 defense table in the beige shirt. The man immediately to his
13	Q. Okay. Well, then where do you work now?	13 right is Mr. Don High, and then at the far right of that
14	A. I'm with Genuity (phonetic).	14 table, that being your far right, is Mr. Matt Goeller. Both
15	Q. Huh?	15 of those gentlemen are fine practitioners of law in Plano,
16	A. I'm with a telecom company, Genuity, in Irving.	16 Texas. They're both board certified criminal law specialists
17	Q. If something happened, and they had some phone	17 and all-around good people.
18	problem and they had to cancel cancel vacation. They said,	18 You don't know any of us personally; is that
19	we've got to have you here; there's no choice.	19 correct?
20	A. Well, that wouldn't be part of my package. My	20 A. No, I don't.
21	vacation is approved, and I'm all set to go.	21 Q. When I talked on Tuesday pretty graphically about
22	Q. Well, they don't have authority to cancel it if they	22 what we proposed to do, the portion of my talk when I asked
23	need to?	23 you to look at the defendant for a moment. Just take a moment
24	A. No, no.	24 to consider what we were doing and realize that it is our
25	Q. Okay.	25 purpose and our commitment to present the sufficient evidence
,	Page 207	Page 209
1	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand
2	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't	that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to
2	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here?
2 3 4	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame.	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no.
2 3 4 5	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge?	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the
2 3 4 5 6	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement.	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client concurs, as I understand?	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that? 10 A. The point I'm not sure if I the point in time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client concurs, as I understand? MR. GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor.	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that? 10 A. The point I'm not sure if I the point in time 11 that you're referring to wherever you asked folks to raise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client concurs, as I understand? MR. GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ratsavong, you are	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that? 10 A. The point I'm not sure if I the point in time 11 that you're referring to wherever you asked folks to raise 12 their hands if they were in favor of the death penalty, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client concurs, as I understand? MR. GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ratsavong, you are finally excused.	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that? 10 A. The point I'm not sure if I the point in time 11 that you're referring to wherever you asked folks to raise 12 their hands if they were in favor of the death penalty, I 13 think that's when I recognized the change that you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client concurs, as I understand? MR. GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ratsavong, you are finally excused. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.)	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that? 10 A. The point I'm not sure if I the point in time 11 that you're referring to wherever you asked folks to raise 12 their hands if they were in favor of the death penalty, I 13 think that's when I recognized the change that you're 14 referring to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client concurs, as I understand? MR. GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ratsavong, you are finally excused. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.)	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that? 10 A. The point I'm not sure if I the point in time 11 that you're referring to wherever you asked folks to raise 12 their hands if they were in favor of the death penalty, I 13 think that's when I recognized the change that you're 14 referring to. 15 Q. Okay. Now, it would seem to me I don't know; I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client concurs, as I understand? MR. GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ratsavong, you are finally excused. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.) (Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: All right, sir. Are you Thomas	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that? 10 A. The point I'm not sure if I the point in time 11 that you're referring to wherever you asked folks to raise 12 their hands if they were in favor of the death penalty, I 13 think that's when I recognized the change that you're 14 referring to. 15 Q. Okay. Now, it would seem to me I don't know; I 16 can't speak for everybody else but it would seem to me, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client concurs, as I understand? MR. GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ratsavong, you are finally excused. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.)	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that? 10 A. The point I'm not sure if I the point in time 11 that you're referring to wherever you asked folks to raise 12 their hands if they were in favor of the death penalty, I 13 think that's when I recognized the change that you're 14 referring to. 15 Q. Okay. Now, it would seem to me I don't know; I 16 can't speak for everybody else but it would seem to me, if 17 I were sitting where you were, knowing that my decision was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client concurs, as I understand? MR. GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ratsavong, you are finally excused. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.) (Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: All right, sir. Are you Thomas Calhoun? VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir.	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that? 10 A. The point I'm not sure if I the point in time 11 that you're referring to wherever you asked folks to raise 12 their hands if they were in favor of the death penalty, I 13 think that's when I recognized the change that you're 14 referring to. 15 Q. Okay. Now, it would seem to me I don't know; I 16 can't speak for everybody else but it would seem to me, if 17 I were sitting where you were, knowing that my decision was 18 assuming I find the person guilty of capital murder, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client concurs, as I understand? MR. GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ratsavong, you are finally excused. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.) (Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: All right, sir. Are you Thomas Calhoun?	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that? 10 A. The point I'm not sure if I the point in time 11 that you're referring to wherever you asked folks to raise 12 their hands if they were in favor of the death penalty, I 13 think that's when I recognized the change that you're 14 referring to. 15 Q. Okay. Now, it would seem to me I don't know; I 16 can't speak for everybody else but it would seem to me, if 17 I were sitting where you were, knowing that my decision was 18 assuming I find the person guilty of capital murder, because 19 if he's not found guilty, we don't worry about anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client concurs, as I understand? MR. GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ratsavong, you are finally excused. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.) (Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: All right, sir. Are you Thomas Calhoun? VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir.	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that? 10 A. The point I'm not sure if I the point in time 11 that you're referring to wherever you asked folks to raise 12 their hands if they were in favor of the death penalty, I 13 think that's when I recognized the change that you're 14 referring to. 15 Q. Okay. Now, it would seem to me I don't know; I 16 can't speak for everybody else but it would seem to me, if 17 I were sitting where you were, knowing that my decision was 18 assuming I find the person guilty of capital murder, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client concurs, as I understand? MR. GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ratsavong, you are finally excused. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.) (Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: All right, sir. Are you Thomas Calhoun? VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And I understand you go by Jeff; is	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that? 10 A. The point I'm not sure if I the point in time 11 that you're referring to wherever you asked folks to raise 12 their hands if they were in favor of the death penalty, I 13 think that's when I recognized the change that you're 14 referring to. 15 Q. Okay. Now, it would seem to me I don't know; I 16 can't speak for everybody else but it would seem to me, if 17 I were sitting where you were, knowing that my decision was 18 assuming I find the person guilty of capital murder, because 19 if he's not found guilty, we don't worry about anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client concurs, as I understand? MR. GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ratsavong, you are finally excused. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.) (Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: All right, sir. Are you Thomas Calhoun? VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And I understand you go by Jeff; is that correct?	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that? 10 A. The point I'm not sure if I the point in time 11 that you're referring to wherever you asked folks to raise 12 their hands if they were in favor of the death penalty, I 13 think that's when I recognized the change that you're 14 referring to. 15 Q. Okay. Now, it would seem to me I don't know; I 16 can't speak for everybody else but it would seem to me, if 17 I were sitting where you were, knowing that my decision was 18 assuming I find the person guilty of capital murder, because 19 if he's not found guilty, we don't worry about anything 20 because he walks out of the courtroom because he's not guilty.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client concurs, as I understand? MR. GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ratsavong, you are finally excused. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.) (Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: All right, sir. Are you Thomas Calhoun? VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And I understand you go by Jeff; is that correct? VENIREPERSON: Yes, that's correct.	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that? 10 A. The point I'm not sure if I the point in time 11 that you're referring to wherever you asked folks to raise 12 their hands if they were in favor of the death penalty, I 13 think that's when I recognized the change that you're 14 referring to. 15 Q. Okay. Now, it would seem to me I don't know; I 16 can't speak for everybody else but it would seem to me, if 17 I were sitting where you were, knowing that my decision was 18 assuming I find the person guilty of capital murder, because 19 if he's not found guilty, we don't worry about anything 20 because he walks out of the courtroom because he's not guilty. 21 You with me on that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client concurs, as I understand? MR. GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ratsavong, you are finally excused. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.) (Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: All right, sir. Are you Thomas Calhoun? VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And I understand you go by Jeff; is that correct? VENIREPERSON: Yes, that's correct. THE COURT: And you remember that you were	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that? 10 A. The point I'm not sure if I the point in time 11 that you're referring to wherever you asked folks to raise 12 their hands if they were in favor of the death penalty, I 13 think that's when I recognized the change that you're 14 referring to. 15 Q. Okay. Now, it would seem to me I don't know; I 16 can't speak for everybody else but it would seem to me, if 17 I were sitting where you were, knowing that my decision was 18 assuming I find the person guilty of capital murder, because 19 if he's not found guilty, we don't worry about anything 20 because he walks out of the courtroom because he's not guilty. 21 You with me on that? 22 A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. All I'm saying is, I want you guys to pick the right person and that under circumstances I feel like I can't give my 100 percent, but in this case here it just unfortunately falls into this time frame. MR. GOELLER: May I have just a second, Judge? MR. SCHULTZ: Judge, I think both sides need somebody with higher values than this juror, so we have an agreement. THE COURT: All right. And your client concurs, as I understand? MR. GOELLER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ratsavong, you are finally excused. (Venireperson exits the courtroom.) (Venireperson enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: All right, sir. Are you Thomas Calhoun? VENIREPERSON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And I understand you go by Jeff; is that correct? VENIREPERSON: Yes, that's correct. THE COURT: And you remember that you were sworn with regard to the responses that you made?	1 that will ultimately result in his death. Did you understand 2 I wasn't trying to be entertaining, or I wouldn't do that to 3 be amusing in any regard about what we're doing here? 4 A. I didn't take that as an amusing statement, no. 5 Q. Did you notice did you notice anything about the 6 rest of the jury when we did that, did you find a different 7 kind of tone or something like that? Did you notice a change 8 in just the whole atmosphere when we talked that way? Do you 9 feel that? 10 A. The point I'm not sure if I the point in time 11 that you're referring to wherever you asked folks to raise 12 their hands if they were in favor of the death penalty, I 13 think that's when I recognized the change that you're 14 referring to. 15 Q. Okay. Now, it would seem to me I don't know; I 16 can't speak for everybody else but it would seem to me, if 17 I were sitting where you were, knowing that my decision was 18 assuming I find the person guilty of capital murder, because 19 if he's not found guilty, we don't worry about anything 20 because he walks out of the courtroom because he's not guilty. 21 You with me on that? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. And you've had prior jury service?

Page 210 Page 212 1 through his attorneys, to present any evidence at all in this 2 case? 3 A. Yes. Q. And if he chooses and they choose not to present any Q. Okay. But assuming he's found guilty of capital

7

6 there are only two choices, and that's life or death, and that 7 should be decided according to the evidence, really, that's presented.

5 murder, everybody that's going to be on that jury will know

1

2

3

A. No.

Q. Civil case?

A. Civil case.

9 My question to you is, would you feel better coming 10 out of the end of a trial like that finding the evidence that 11 pointed toward a life sentence or a death sentence, or would 12 it matter to you?

A. At this point I couldn't answer that question 13 14 because I know nothing of the evidence.

Q. I guess what I'm thinking is if I were sitting where 16 you were; I mean I'm -- regardless of my position on the death 17 penalty, I think I would wish the evidence would be such that 18 it wouldn't require voting in a way that caused the death 19 penalty, because I think we're probably, generally, a pretty 20 caring people? 21 A. Absolutely.

22 Q. Would you agree with me? 23 A. I hate that anyone has to be subject to it.

24 Q. Me, for example, if I a choice between this being a 25 capital murder or a regular murder that I was trying, I think

Page 211

1 I would like it if I thought the facts were such that a death 2 has resulted (sic). We're all human. We prize human life. 3 Do you understand what I'm saying about that? Do you feel 4 that way?

A. Yes. I feel that way. I wish there weren't any 6 deaths happening that weren't natural.

7 Q. Okay. So you've never been divorced then? 8 A. No. No. I haven't.

Q. In a serious vein, when given evidence in a capital

10 case the jury only has choices of how it's going to vote on 11 special issues. It doesn't answer the ultimate question of 12 life or death, okay?

13 A. Uh-huh.

14 Q. It doesn't say we assess life; we assess death.

15 What you do is answer questions. The results of the answers

16 is going to determine what happens in the case, and I kind of

17 went over that on Tuesday, and I'm going to do a little bit

18 more of it pretty soon. But, before I do that let me ask you, 19 first of all, do you presume that the Defendant is innocent at

20 this point since no evidence has been presented?

21 A. Sure.

22 Q. Can you follow that preassumption of innocence?

23

24 Q. And you understand, not only is he presumed

25 innocent, but he doesn't have an obligation, either himself or

evidence at any portion of this case, you can't hold against

them that failure to produce any evidence?

A. Uh-huh.

8 Q. Now, at the same time you don't give them any

9 benefit for that. I mean, you can't say, well, I'll bet they

10 had some good evidence. They just chose not to put on, and

11 you can't speculate what they might have put on if they wanted

12 to. You kind of just don't consider it. It's like -- it's

13 almost like you don't put anything on the scales because the

14 judge says that doesn't go on the scales of justice, their

15 failure to produce evidence. Can you do that okay?

A. I'll judge whatever evidence is presented. 16

17 Q. And the burden of proof is always upon the State to

18 prove the Defendant's guilty of capital murder in one of those

19 varieties that we talked about, and we have to do that beyond

20 a reasonable doubt. And if we don't do that, then it's the

21 jury's duty to acquit the Defendant. And you're okay with

22 that?

1

23 A. Uh-huh.

24 Q. Right?

25 A. Yes.

> Page 213 Q. And there's not a definition of beyond a reasonable

2 doubt. I mean, we would all agree it's substantial, large

3 amount of evidence, but there's not a specific definition

you're ever going to get that's going to tell you how much

evidence has to be beyond a reasonable doubt. That's

6 something you would just define yourself as a juror. You will

7 decide what that is, okay?

8 A. All right.

9 Q. Any problem with that?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Sometimes -- I'm not saying this will be one of

12 those cases. But sometimes there's situations in which the

13 State proves part of the case, but not all of it. For

14 example, let's say it's a dope case, and let's say we have

15 alleged more than five pounds of marijuana was possessed by a

16 defendant. And let's say that when the evidence comes in,

17 because of how the lab did its analysis or what percentage of

18 the marijuana was perhaps inert or something like that, it

19 turns out that the jury believes we didn't prove it was more

20 than five pounds; we proved it was three pounds, for example,

21 okay?

22 A. Okay.

23 Q. There are lesser-grade offense according to the

24 lesser quantities; in other words, we wouldn't, perhaps, have

25 a second-degree felony. We might have some kind of a state

- 1 jail felony, or something even less than that, depending on
- 2 how much we proved. Do you follow what I'm saying? It's
- 3 called a lesser-included offense?
- 4 A. I'm not familiar with the degrees of felonies, but I 5 do follow you.
- Q. And you've probably seen it, like in homicide
- 7 cases, as you're watching TV show. Maybe somebody starts out
- charged with murder, and the next thing you know they get 8
- 9 found guilty of some kind of manslaughter, which is something
- 10 lesser. Have you ever seen that on TV?
- A. No. Most likely just a cheap shot when someone is
- 12 tackled or blocked is about the closest I come to that,
- 13 because I watch football.
- Q. Okay. I don't know quite what to say to that one. 14
- 15 Let me think for a minute.
- 16 If we prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the
- 17 defendant's guilty of capital murder, and we go into the
- punishment phase of the trial where some new evidence can be
- 19 offered that probably wasn't appropriate at the first part of
- 20 the trial. For example, character evidence, both good and bad
- 21 is admissible, where it might not be admissible at the earlier
- 22 phase of the trial. In other words, we can put on evidence in
- 23 a capital case of a defendant's bad character, some of the
- 24 other bad things he's done in his life, if there are such a
- 25 thing. The Defendant, if he chooses, can put on evidence of

Page 215

- 1 good things that he's done or good circumstances. We can
- 2 learn about his background, both good and bad. They're free
- 3 to put on evidence how he was growing up, what his high school
- 4 years were like, who his mom and dad were, where they lived
- 5 and that kind of thing. We can do similar things, and in
- 6 reality, almost anything relating to the Defendant and his
- 7 situation is admissible. I mean, that's a shorthand way, but
- almost everything gets brought in at the second phase of that
- 9 trial that either sides wants to bring in. That's how it is,
- 10 and then the jury decides how that works and how important
- II that all is.
- 12 It may be that you get psychiatric evidence
- 13 presented by one side or the other. And I'll ask you,
- 14 Mr. Calhoun, as you sit there now, what do you think about
- 15 psychiatric evidence that's presented in criminal trials? Do
- 16 you have an opinion about that one way or the other?
- 17 A. It's a pretty broad thing. As far as -- like in the 18 questionnaire, there was a question similar to that. You may
- 19 be looking at it now. I don't remember how I answered it,
- 20 but I think the field of psychiatry is valid, and I think it's
- 21 applicable in certain cases, and perhaps used more loosely in
- 22 others. It would depend on the circumstances.
- 23 Q. I know you don't work in this field and probably
- 24 have no desire to. Do you believe that if a defendant, for
- 25 example, wanted to go find a psychiatrist to say something

Page 216

- I favorable about him, do you think that would be a difficult
- 2 thing to find, generally speaking?
- A. Say that again, please.
- Q. Do you think, for example, if a defendant wanted to
- 5 higher a psychiatrist to say favorable things about him or his
- personal or background, do you think it would be difficult to
- find a psychiatrist to come in and testify about that if they
- wanted to?
- A. Just to say they thought he was a good guy? 9
- 10 Q. Uh-huh. He had a good personality, was amenable to
- 11 treatment, whatever might be used during the trial?
 - A. I suppose that's possible.
- 13 Q. And in fairness, do you think the State would
- 14 probably find another psychiatrist who would say just the
- 15 opposite, and we bring that psychiatrist into this?
- 16 A. I think that's possible as well.
- 17 Q. They get two; we get two, that kind of idea?
- A. Not that it would be any kind of conspiracy, but I 18
- 19 think that's possible.
- 20 Q. Right, right. Especially when you're dealing with
- 21 experts -- what kind of a civil case were you the foreman on?
- 22 A. It was a traffic accident.
- 23 Q. Was anybody hurt in it?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Were the injuries serious; do you recall?

- A. The injuries were presented as being serious,
- presented as being perhaps a little more serious than what
- they were.
- Q. Do you recall whether the plaintiff, that's the guy
- claiming the injuries, did he or she have some kind of expert
- come in and talk about the injuries?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Probably a medical doctor?
- A. And psychiatry, yes.
- Q. And then did the other side get a psychiatrist and
- 11 some medical doctors to come in and say other things; do you
- 12 remember?
- A. I don't remember anything pertaining to psychiatry,
- 14 but I really don't remember any of that kind of evidence from
- the other side, no.
- Q. Okay. And I'm guessing you probably ruled for the
- 17 plaintiff, but probably for less money than they were
- 18 asking --
- 19 A. Yes. That's real similar. It was a two-phase
- 20 claim, and one was actually zero, which should have been one
- 21 dollar as the judge told us afterwards. But the second one,
- 22 there was some reward, yeah.
- 23 Q. Did you enjoy that experience?
- 24 A. It was enjoyable.
- 25 O. About how long did trial last?

- A. I believe it was three days total. I believe two
- 2 days worth of trial, and then we came back the next day to
- 3 deliberate, and it was pretty brief. I think maybe four or
- 4 five hours we discussed it, and then we reached a verdict.
- 5 Q. If somebody were to ask you -- do you have kids?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. What are their ages?
- 8 A. My son is 20, and my daughter is 14.
- 9 Q. Okay. If your daughter came to you and said, Daddy,
- 10 what do you think of the death penalty? And you'd say, well,
- 11 I'm in favor of it. And she'd say, why are you in favor of
- 12 it? How would you explain to her what you think the main
- 13 purpose of the death penalty is?
- 14 A. That's a tough question, and it's a tough issue. I
- 15 think really it's just the backbone, the foundation for all
- 16 the things that I believe in. It would take me a long time to
- 17 explain all those, but I think that -- just my personal
- 18 beliefs as far as spiritual beliefs, my beliefs on the
- 19 validity of the world in the Bible, and what I've learned
- 20 throughout my life all lends itself to support that in in a
- 20 thi oughout my me an ienus itsen to support that in in a
- 21 reasonable way. It's a very serious thing, and it's something
- 22 that I, actually, never thought I'd have to deal with.
- Q. You know, it's interesting because as I've talked to
- 24 people who go through this, it's easy in your armchair to say
- 25 I'm in favor of capital punishment, and it looks real good,

Page 219

- 1 too, when we see these atrocious crimes committed. But,
- 2 there's just something different about being involved in it
- 3 that maybe doesn't change your mind, but it's a different
- 4 feel; do you think?
- 5 A. It is a different feeling, yes.
- 6 Q. It's like soldiers. I mean, it's easy to run around
- 7 the living room to talk about where we ought to bomb or what
- 8 country we ought to invade. It's a lot different if you or
- 9 somebody you love is over there doing it. You may still
- 10 believe in it, but it's got a different feel to it than just
- 11 that abstract, I think.
- 12 Q. Suppose you're further having a conversation with
- 13 your daughter, and she said, well, Daddy, there's some good
- 14 people, people that seem to be people of good will and
- 15 conscious and faith, and they're against the death penalty.
- 16 Why would they be against the death penalty? What would that
- 17 be? What do you think are some of the legitimate, reasonable
- 18 arguments against the death penalty in your mind?
- 19 A. Well, the death penalty could be and is considered
- 20 by some folks -- you know, in high school and college this
- 21 issue came up a lot. People view it some people view it as
- 22 murder, rather than a form of punishment, taking God's role in
- 23 their own hands. I would suppose that those would be probably
- 24 the two things that I've heard the most.
- Q. Now, I believe I understand that you are a strong

Page 220

- 1 supporter of the death penalty. Do you have it in your
- e personality, do you have respect for people that disagree with
- 3 you on that?

5

7

- A. Sure, I do.
- Q. They could still be your friends, and you wouldn't
- 6 say they're dumb or foolish or anything like that?
 - A. No, absolutely not. No.
- 8 O. Okay. Now, before you came to court and had the
- 9 explanation primarily from Judge Sandoval, and probably
- 10 Mr. Goeller touched on it more than I did, did you think that
- 11 any time someone was convicted of capital murder that a death
- 12 sentence would result if they were convicted of that?
- 13 A. No. No, I didn't.
- 14 Q. So you understood that even -- that capital murder
- 15 is just another kind of crime, and then you still have to have
- 16 the punishment phase to see whether it's going to be life or 17 death?
- 18 A. I did. The only thing that I knew about capital
- 19 murder is that it is murder plus another crime. That's the
- 20 extent of my knowledge walking in the day before yesterday.
- Q. And it's funny, because years and years ago, we
- 22 used to ask jurors who had found somebody guilty of capital
- 23 murder, what's his sentencing? It's blank for life and then
- 24 blank for death, and that's how they did it.
- 25 And then we got more complicated maybe that the idea

- 1 was to be simple, and we started, instead of doing that, we
- 2 asked questions of the jurors; yes or no kind of questions
- 3 based on the evidence. Part of the thinking was that jurors
- 4 would find them a little more comfortable if they just
- 5 answered questions rather than saying those words "life or
- 6 death." Part of the reason was, it was thought if you asked
- 7 jurors to consider certain questions there would be more
- 8 uniformity of results. In other words, it wouldn't depend on
- 9 which jury you got in a particular fact situation, whether you
- 10 got the death penalty or not. The thinking was it ought to be
- 11 about the same. In fact, if different juries are considering
- 12 the same factors, then the same kinds of crimes ought to get
- 13 the same kinds of results, and that was considered to be
- 14 enlightened.
- And in many ways we have now come back very close to
- 16 juries having a chance to say life or death by operation of
- 17 one of these questions that we deal with. Let me first show
- 18 you the first -- what we call the first question and first
- 19 special issue, and this is only going to be true if you found
- 20 the Defendant -- if he's guilty of capital murder, and you go
- 21 into the second phase. Then you deal with this question here,
- 22 and that is whether there is a probability that the Defendant
- 23 would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a
- 24 continuing threat to society. And by the way, we've got to
- 25 prove that the answer to that question is beyond a reasonable

Page 222 Page 224 A. I don't know that I could properly answer that. I 1 doubt. We've shortened it a little bit. Is there a 2 don't know -- never been around anyone who's committed capital 2 probability that the defendant will be a continuing threat to murder. 3 society. Q. Right. 4 Now, when we use the term "probability," we will not A. And I just, I guess, the facts speak for themselves. define it for you because the law doesn't let us define that. 6 I just don't know. You obviously know the term probability. It's in our Q. Do you think there are some crimes are so awful -7 language. It's a part of what we talk about from time to some fact situations that are so awful that that would prove time. What does probability mean to you as it might apply to 9 to you the probability of danger of the Defendant all by that question? 10 themselves? A. Well, possibility is one level, probability is 10 A. I do. And the questionnaire that you have there, I 11 another level, and propensity is another level, and the 12 made reference to the Oklahoma City bombing. 12 possibility may apply to all of us. The probability would 13 Q. Sure. 13 apply to someone who's more apt to do that. 14 A. I had - had Timothy McVeigh lived, I would never Q. Now, there are a number of things that you may 14 15 let my daughter ride with him to Dairy Queen. 15 consider in answering that question. When I say you, I mean 16 the jury, may consider in answering that question. What kinds 16 Q. And that's not the only -- that's not the only 17 fact -- you've heard of other horrible crimes. That's not the 17 of things would you -- what kinds of things -- let's do it 18 only one you could ever think of where that would prove it all 18 this way. If I were to -- there's one of our prosecutors 19 by itself, right? Aren't there some other kinds of cases? 19 sitting back there in the white shirt. If I were to say, A. Sure. 20 Mr. Calhoun, that fellow back there in the white shirt, is he 20 Q. When we are use term "society" in this question, 21 probably going to commit future acts of violence against our 22 society? You don't know him. You've never seen before in 22 this -- this isn't something we created here in Collin County. 23 This is state law, and I've got to say, it's very poorly done 23 your life? 24 in my judgment. Juries get through this all the time, so 24 A. No. 25 they're able to work with it. It's -- every capital murder 25 Q. What kinds of questions would you want to ask to be Page 223 Page 225 1 case that you ever hear about in Texas, the jurors are talked 1 able to tell me whether he's probably going to be violent in to just like we are with you, and they deal with this question 2 the future or not? What would you want to know? the same way. But we've got this term here, "continuing A. Well, I'd want to know what he's done in the past. threat to society." Do you see that term society. Now, when Q. Okay. 5 A. I'd want to know a little bit about his you think of society what comes to mind as it would relate to a capital murder? We're trying to figure, will this capital disposition murderer be a continuing threat to society? What do you think 7 Q. Okay. of when you say society? 8 A. -- right now. A. Well, you covered this day before yesterday; society Q. Right. 10 A. Determine whether he is by nature a violent person. 10 being that environment by which we live. If this young man ended up in prison, that would be his society, and he would be 11 O. Okay. 12 12 subject to those laws in his society, which are different than A. And that's where the psychology comes into play. 13 laws in our society now. 13 Q. Okay. Now, unless he had a committed a capital Q. I think we would -- would you agree with me that 14 14 murder, this would just be like an academic discussion. prison could be considered a society? 15 A. Uh-huh. 16 Q. If he had committed a capital murder, do you think A. Sure, it is. 16 17 the facts of that case would be really important to you in 17 Q. Now, why that question is troubling is because it 18 doesn't ask the question, can he be safely held in prison? It deciding whether he was probably going to be a danger in the 19 doesn't say, will he be a continuing threat to prison 19 future or not? 20 society? It doesn't limit itself to prison society. So, 20 A. The facts of the capital murder would be, yes.

Kind of the thinking goes like this: If somebody

22 could do -- if somebody could do such a thing as a capital

24 be anything but probably violent if the controls had broken

25 down that much, that kind of thing, or were nonexistent?

23 murder under these circumstances, how could that person ever

21

21 would you agree that question could conclude society that we

A. That's pretty well the way I explained it, yes.

Q. Now, sure, we know having been found guilty of

25 capital murder, we know he's going to prison somewhere,

22 live in, also?

23

24

- 1 assuming we get him there. He's either going to go to the
- 2 life side or the death row side of prison. Those are the only
- 3 two options. But you can read that question as what's his
- 4 character right now. Is he -- is he a threat -- is he a
- 5 threat to society, for example, if he walks out the door, or
- 6 if the Governor pardons him or he escapes, or they
- 7 accidentally lose him on way to the penitentiary, those kinds
- 8 of situations?
- 9 A. Ub-huh.
- 10 Q. Because it doesn't say prison society; does that
- II make sense to you?
- 12 A. It does.
- 13 Q. And it might be that he would be dangerous to one
- 14 part of society and maybe dangerous to another part of
- 15 society, but maybe less in some circumstances. But, that
- 16 question doesn't say do you find that there's no way that they
- 17 could hold him safely in the penitentiary. It just simply
- 18 says is he probably going to be a continuing threat to
- 19 society. Do you think you could work with that question and
- 20 hold us to our burden of proof and decide whether that
- 20 note as to our ourden of proof and decide whether that
- 21 question should be answered yes or no. I think so. I think
- 22 ultimately the question is will he kill again if he's been
- 23 proven to kill to begin with.
- Q. If not killed, it doesn't have to be limited simply
- 25 to killing again. It could be other crimes of violence. I

Page 227

- I mean, getting beat up bad might be better than being killed,
- 2 but, for example, that's still a crime of violence?
- 3 A. Beatting up bad?
- 4 Q. Yeah.
- 5 A. That's violence, yes.
- 6 Q. If somebody were to tell you -- do you remember my
- 7 example when I said you come home and a loved one has been
- 8 murdered, and the officer said it's not as bad. And I think
- 9 the example I used then -- and I wasn't trying to be funny or
- 10 amusing then. It was to be contextual about this. What if
- 11 the officer said, it's not as bad as it seems, Mr. Calhoun,
- 12 because the killer was a drug addict. Would that -- would
- 13 that make any difference to you? Would that seem like it
- 14 wasn't quite as awful a thing that happened to your loved one
- 15 maybe?
- 16 A. I think initially out of -- out of being in this
- 17 environment sitting at home, it's easy to say that if bad
- 18 people kill each other, that's okay.
- 19 O. Right.
- 20 A. But I think when it comes down to determining what
- 21 really should be done about it, a human life should be worth
- 22 the same regardless of what choices they've made in my
- 23 estimation.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. Because I'm not one -- I'm not the one to judge the

Page 228

- i value of the soul.
- Q. And maybe somebody else would come along, and
- 3 they're not going to like what you are. Maybe they're going
- 4 to say this Mr. Calhoun's a bad guy and according to their
- 5 values, and we can't have our society -- everybody doing that,
- 6 can we? We can't have people being killed because other
- 7 people just don't think they're good people or not worth while
- 8 or something?
- 9 A. I'm not sure I understand.
- 10 Q. Well, most of us would agree that drug dealers
- 11 aren't very wonderful people. I think most --
- 12 A. I agree with you on that.
- 13 Q. I mean, I -- in our heart of hearts, I mean, if we
- 14 see on TV a drug dealer got run over by a train, I doubt we'd
- 15 get the same amount of concern for that as, you know, some Boy
- 16 Scout leader or something like that, for example, that's
- 17 trying to do something good for society. Does that seem fair
- 18 to you?

24

- 19 A. Yes, in that context.
- Q. It might not be up to you or me. There might be
- 21 somebody else that needs to judge the relative worth of us
- 22 all, and it probably shouldn't be you or me, but we still feel
- 23 that way just as people living on this planet?
 - A. Right. I think most people do.
- Q. I guess my question, though, is do you see why we

Page 229

- 1 have to look at the Defendant for responsibility more than who
- 2 he killed. He may have reasons he killed a person, but if
- 3 it's a murder, if we get all caught up in whether he murdered
- 4 somebody good or bad, that's missing the mark of what we have
- 5 to do for responsibility in our society. Is that kind of how
- 6 you feel?

7

17

- A. I think so.
- O. Do you think being a drug addict is an excuse for
- 9 the crimes you commit when you're doing those drugs?
- 10 A. No. We have to -- no one is exempt to the law
- ll unless they're placed in another society, in my opinion. If
- 12 there's someone who's not mentally competent to live freely
- 13 enough in this society, then they should be limited in this
- 14 society so that perhaps the rest of the people would be
- 15 protected. But for someone to voluntarily adulterate their
- 16 minds does not make one exempt to the laws of our society.
 - Q. If you found a defendant guilty of capital murder,
- 18 you move into the second part of the trial. We already know
- 19 that you know it's not an automatic death sentence. It's
- 20 going to depend on the evidence. It's going to depend on what
- 21 you think of the evidence and the crime, number one, because
- 22 you get to consider that, and any other evidence that might be
- 23 presented to you, number two. And then you decide, first of
- 24 all, is the person going to -- likely to be a continuing
- 25 threat to society? And let's say you decide yes. Let's say

- 1 you and 11 other people vote yes for that beyond a reasonable
- 2 doubt. If you don't vote yes, we don't worry about the death
- 3 sentence anymore because if it's a no answer to that question,
- 4 then it's an automatic life sentence, and we don't worry about
- 5 anything else. No means life.
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. But if you answer yes, like I said, we're almost
- 8 back to asking the jury the life or death question. We get
- 9 this second, this mitigation question, and if you would, just
- 10 take a moment just to read it so you're familiar with it again
- 11 for me?
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. What the question seems to be asking is even though
- 14 it's a capital murder and even though the answer is this
- 15 person is probably going to be a future danger, probably going
- 16 to commit acts of violence in the future, even with all of
- 17 that, is there something, taking everything into account,
- 18 about his background, his character, the circumstances of the
- 19 crime, his moral culpability, whatever that means, looking at
- 20 all of that, however you look at it, is there something about
- 21 the evidence that makes you think death isn't the right thing
- 22 for this defendant in this case? That's what the question is
- 23 really aimed at. And it has it's critics, but I've got to say
- 24 that I think it would be real weird for a jury to mechanically
- 25 vote yes on that future danger question, and then go walking

Page 231

- 1 into that jury room and saying, you know, even though we voted
- 2 yes and voted capital murder, that's an awful thing. That
- 3 person doesn't need to be killed in our opinion. We've been
- 4 watching this case better than anybody else around. We don't
- 5 think it should be a death sentence. And that question is
- 6 almost like a humanitarian question that gives the jury the
- 7 opportunity in it's collective wisdom and conscience to spare
- 8 a life against the possibility of that mechanical allocation
- 9 of the death penalty. Am I making sense to you, what that
- 10 does?
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. I don't know how you feel about it. To me it seems
- 13 like that's a good thing, not a bad thing. What do you think
- 14 about the jury having that option to do the right thing
- 15 depending on how much mitigating or explanatory evidence it
- 16 believes?
- 17 A. The ability to reduce the sentence --
- 18 Q. Uh-huh.
- 19 A. to life in prison.
- Well, I think that's what a jury is all about.
- 21 Twelve people concluding to what really should be done, and I
- 22 think once all the evidence has been seen in a case, like in
- 23 the civil case that I was involved in, then I was just like I
- 24 am now. I don't know anybody in here. I didn't know who he
- 25 was whenever we walked in the room day before yesterday. I

Page 232

- 1 had no reason to believe anybody who was in the room. But, I
- 2 guess I don't know. I'm losing track of where I'm going
- 3 with this.
- 4 Q. I don't doubt. It's been a long, long day for us
- 5 all.

8

- 6 A. You've had a longer day than I have. I've been
- 7 reading Texas Monthly.
 - (Laughter.)
- 9 A. I don't know anything about any of these people
- 10 going in. I have to weigh the evidence and determine what the
- 11 background is and what kind of threat I feel, and then I think
- 12 it's good that 12 people have the possibility of doing that.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now, you may be the kind of person that would
- 14 almost never find that somebody who had been convicted of
- 15 capital murder and was probably going to be violent in the
- 16 future, the chance of you voting for a life sentence using
- 17 that question might be pretty rare, and it might not, because
- 18 I don't know you, and you probably don't even know yourself in
- 19 this context because it's not what you do for a living. It's
- 20 not your life. And that's okay, and maybe the person sitting
- 21 next to you in the jury box would be the kind of person that
- 22 would often do it. Maybe that person would say, oh, that's
- 23 sad, you know, his dad wasn't around to teach his lessons,
- 24 that's, and mitigating. He caught up in drugs when he was
- 25 little, and that's mitigating. And there's room for

- 1 everybody's opinion in the jury as long as each juror can
- 2 assure both sides that you would consider that question, and
- 3 according to your best conscience, decide whether or not ...
- 4 whatever evidence was presented was enough to mitigate against
- 5 the application of a death sentence. Does that make sense to
- 6 you?
- 7 A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Like I said, I don't know you. You might be someone
- 9 who would often find mitigation. You might be someone who
- 10 would rarely find mitigation. But you tell me you're somebody
- 11 whose mind is open to the concept that there may be mitigating
- 12 circumstances; is that right?
- 13 A. I believe so. I'm walking in here blind. I know
- 14 nothing about this. I haven't watched a courtroom drama in
- 15 years. I trust judicial system and the reason for it being
- 16 there.
- 17 Q. Okay. Now, what you think -- what one person might
- 18 think is mitigating, another person might think is not
- 19 mitigating at all. In fact, another person might think it's
- 20 aggravating. Let me give you an example of what I mean.
- 21 Suppose it was presented to you in the trial that the
- 22 Defendant was, say, a high school dropout?
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Well, everybody in our society knows that your
- 25 chances of succeeding and going a good life are probably

- 1 better if you stay in school and get your education. We all
- 2 know that, right?
- 3 A. Right.
- Q. If you don't do that, that means you probably are
- 5 going to have less advantage unless you -- I guess, if you're
- 6 a rock star or something, you probably don't need to go to
- 7 high school. But other than something like that, your chances
- 8 are going to be less if you drop out of school, right?
- 9 A. I agree.
- 10 Q. Some people might say, well, he's a high school
- 11 dropout. He never could make any money, and they might think
- 12 that's mitigating. They might think that somehow that
- 13 lessens -- maybe not all by itself, but they might put that on
- 14 the mitigating scale and say, well, that's something for him.
- 15 And other people might just take the opposite view. They
- 16 might say, you know, there wasn't any reason for him to drop
- 17 out. His parents worked for a living. He could have stayed
- 18 in school, but he didn't want to. He wanted to do whatever he
- 19 wanted to do. And they might think that's -- the fact that he
- 20 dropped out of school could be aggravating, make it even
- 20 dropped out of outloor tours of aggravating, make it
- 21 worse. Do you follow what I'm saying?
- 22 A. I do.
- Q. That's up them. Neither one of them is wrong. The
- 24 one that thinks it's mitigating, that person is not wrong.
- 25 The one that thinks it's aggravating, the same thing.

Page 235

- That's true of drugs. Some people might think being
- 2 a drug addict is mitigating. Other people might say that's
- 3 extra bad. You know, you deliberately take something that you
- 4 know is liable to make you mean, how could it be worse than
- 5 that? It's almost like premeditated if you're going to take
- 6 something that makes you mean. They might say that's
- 7 aggravating. Do you understand, everybody could see things
- 8 differently in whether it's mitigating or not?
- 9 A. I see opposing views every day.
- 10 Q. Absolutely. And the question is do you realize that
- 11 there could be some cases where you would vote in favor of a
- 12 life sentence, depending upon what mitigating evidence was
- 13 presented to you?
- 14 A. Would I challenge those people who were in favor of
- 15 the mitigating circumstances; is that what you're asking?
- 16 Q. Right. Or could you join them? Depending on the
- 17 facts of the case, would you be capable of maybe saying I
- 18 agree with them on this particular case; it's mitigating?
- 19 A. Yes, I guess. All this is so hypothetical it's
- 20 difficult to determine. I could walk in front of a truck in a
- 21 minute. That certainly could happen, too. I just don't know.
- 22 Q. You're absolutely right. We can't talk specifics
- 23 about this case. That's why we have to do it the way we're
- 24 doing, because if we start talking about this case, then we'd
- 25 start asking questions like, do you think he's guilty, or do

Page 236

- 1 you think he's innocent? And it's just the way the system
- 2 works. We can't do that.
- 3 A. Yeah, I understand. I understand that people have
- 4 opposing point of views and people can mitigate -
- 5 Q. Could you do that? Could you vote in favor of
- 6 mitigation, depending on what evidence was presented?
- 7 A. Yes, I guess I could. Yeah.
- Q. Okay.
- 9 A. If there's circumstances that lend themselves in
- 10 special you know, especially to I deal with that in
- 11 business all the time. If the shipment didn't get there
- 12 because the truck had a wreck, there's not much way we could
- 13 have gotten the shipment there on time. So, the truck wreck
- 14 would be a mitigating circumstance.
- 15 Q. What kind of business are you in?
- 16 A. Well, we make dog and cat food, and I go out and
- 17 make sure the economy is well-stimulated by that.
- 18 Q. I asked this before, and you didn't say anything
- 19 then. I don't have any reason to think you will now. There's
- 20 nothing in your background that makes you think you need to
- 21 tell us something that would make you unfair to either side in
- 22 this case, right?
- 23 A. Not really. I've lived a pretty boring life as far
- 24 as that's concerned. Not that I'm volunteering or anything.
- 25 Don't misread me.

Page 237

- Q. No, I didn't get that from you.
- 2 A. Good

1

- 3 Q. But in fairness, you would certainly serve proudly
- 4 if you're selected; is that right?
- 5 A. Well, I'm a citizen of the United States, and I'm a
- patriot and I'll do what I need to do.
- 7 MR. SCHULTZ: Moment please, Judge?
- 8 THE COURT: Yes.
- 9 Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: You indicated that you had a
- 10 relative involved in some type of rehabilitation program for
- 11 people charged with a crime. You circled yes for that?
- 12 A. I did.
- 13 Q. Can you tell us what that is?
- 14 A. Yeah. When I was a teen-ager my mom worked at the
- 15 Girl's State School in Brownwood.
- 16 Q. Uh-huh.
- 17 A. And that's where I grew up. It's a place for, I
- 18 guess, juvenile delinquents that committed a crime that -
- 19 where they had to be incarcerated to a small degree, and they
- 20 were sent to this school. That's the way I understood it. I 21 just remember not getting dinner sometimes over it.
- Q. How long did your mom do that?
- 23 A. I think two or three years.
- Q. From what you can remember, did she seem to like
- 25 that kind of work?

Page 238 Page 240 1 A. No, she didn't. 1 questionnaire? 2 Q. Well, what did she seem to object to about it? Q. What's that? 3 A. I think she objected to work in general. But the -A. Yes. 4 Q. Don't you think that tells something about people's Q. A lot of that going around. 5 A. I'm leaning in that direction. She - it was 5 personalities? 6 stressful to her because the girls were - as I recall, which A. Not in this case. 7 is vague, she had discipline problems that she had to deal 7 Q. You don't like Fidel Castro? with, and I can remember she brought them home - a few of A. Not partial to Fidel, no. 9 them home one time, and I met them. I just saw them. Really Q. Or Sadam Hussein? 10 we went to a Hawaiian luau. Everything went well. That's 10 A. No, or Ted Turner. I couldn't think of anybody. 11 really all I remember; a pig with an apple in its mouth. 11 So, there's a part where you can ask that that be stricken 12 That's about it. I hope that's enough for you. from your record. That's what I'd like. 13 Q. She's laughing, but I don't know why. 13 THE COURT: Sorry. You were under oath. A. I didn't eat the apple. 14 14 VENIREPERSON: But I was low in blood sugar. 15 15 THE COURT: All right. You were not (Laughter.) 16 Q. Any relatives in law enforcement, other than your 16 functioning, all right. 17 Q. BY MR. SCHULTZ: Anything else you can think of that 17 mom in that context? 18 A. No. And she wasn't involved in law enforcement. you think might just be relevant to our decision whether to 19 She was just kind of a dorm mother, I believe, is what they seat you on this jury or not that I haven't asked you? 20 called her. 20 A. Well, I have probably the same selfish reasons that 21 Q. Now, your son was recently robbed during his sleep. everyone else does. I travel all the time. I'm responsible 22 Tell me about that. 22 for a lot of money and this would - this would hurt. 23 23 Q. I understand. You know, I was probably -- I was A. No. He was burglarized. We made that clear day probably a little too flippant in what I said about too bad. 24 before yesterday. Q. I should have talked with you before the 25 I don't really mean that. I guess what happens in this Page 239 Page 241 I questionnaire. business, this is big stuff. I mean, it's not just big stuff 2 A. Should have been a little more educated before we to my side. It's big stuff to that side of the courtroom, 3 were given the test, yes. also, of course. And I suspect that you'd have to admit that what we're asking you to do if you're selected isn't anything. 4 Q. He wasn't robbed; he was burglarized? 5 A. He was at South Padre Island. He went to sleep, compared to the people that have died for this exact same 6 and he woke up without a watch and a wallet and his cell 6 thing we're dealing with now. That was kind of my point, and 7 7 I probably said it wrong. phone. 8 8 Q. And his story is what? A. Well, yeah. 9 A. His story - that was his story. Q. And I'm not being generous with you losing money. I 10 mean, that's not where I'm at, but you understand that. This (Laughter.) 11 Q. All right. 11 is -- if you had -- if you had somebody you cared about 12 sitting over there charged with a capital crime, you'd -- I 12 A. Yeah. I don't know - I didn't see the police 13 report. I'm not going to question him. He's a college 13 think your priorities would be just where everybody else's are 14 student. 14 on this. I'm sure they would be. 15 15 A. Well, I'll be dead serious about this. Q. Just take what he gives you. 16 A. That's good enough for me. Good Dad, right, yeah. 16 Q. All right. 17 17 Now, when you get to the part where I gave my A. I've never been called to anything like this 18 favorite role models for men and women, I'd like for you to 18 before. I don't know what the possibility of me further 19 skip over that, please. I was hypoglycemic and low in blood 19 participating in this are. But this is a very serious issue, 20 sugar. Let's go ahead point that out so we can call our 20 and I take it very seriously. 21 21 attention to it. Q. I know you do. 22 22 A. And three weeks of my life optimistically isn't a Can I ask you a question? 23 Q. Yeah. It's going to be up to the judge whether I 23 great percentage. And anybody can live through this, even the 24 guy who's self-employed. And I would hate to think that 24 can answer it, but you can sure ask it.

25 anyone would not have a fair trial, especially something to

25

A. Why would you put such a ludicrous thing on the

	Page 242	Page 244
1	this degree.	1 District Attorney he has the authority and the
2	MR. SCHULTZ: I appreciate that. We'll pass	2 responsibility, if you will, to decide when and if to seek the
3	the juror, Judge.	3 death penalty?
4	THE COURT: All right.	4 A. Right.
5	MR. HIGH: Judge, I'm going to do this one.	5 Q. That's not uncommon. I mean, there's district
6	THE COURT: All right.	6 attorneys in Dallas and Houston and San Antonio, and they're
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION	7 confronted with a case, and they've got make the decision. We
8	BY MR. HIGH:	8 hear it on radio and TV, the State will seek the death
9	Q. Mr. Calhoun, pleasure to meet you. My name is Don	9 penalty. And it's because, you know, the man where the buck
l l	High, and it's just like it sounds, like up high in the air,	10 stops, he's the one that has to make that decision. So, I
1	H-I-G-H.	11 just wanted you to understand that. We're not the ones that
12		12 decided this, not us, not the judge. It's not really anybody
]	•	13 in this courtroom. It's the elected district attorney; is
13	Q. You and I are about the same age, and I want to	14 that clear?
1	introduce myself because we've been asking you a bunch of	i .
1	questions. We sure don't mean to pry or get too personal, but	15 A. Uh-huh. I had a haphazard understanding of that.
}	we've got to get somewhat personal with you. I hope you	16 Q. I noticed you're originally from Brownwood. How
}	don't mind that, but that's part of our job.	17 long did you live in Brownwood?
18	•	18 A. Oh, gosh. If you want me to tell you every place
19	Q. And we're also trained to ask questions. That's	19 I've lived, it will take us well past 5:00.
20		20 Q. Okay.
)	few questions, but I think I'll have you out of here by 5. I	21 A. I was born in Brownwood, and I lived there the first
1	can do that. Is that fair enough?	22 2 or 3 years of my life and moved back in grade school, and
23	A. 4:45 would be more fair. If we're setting the	23 was there until in I was a junior in high school.
24	scale, let's get it established.	24 Q. Okay. So, a large part of your life was spent in
25	(Laughter.)	25 West Texas?
-		
١.	Page 243	Page 245 1 A. The biggest part of my life was spent in Brownwood.
- {	Q. Maybe we can do that. We'll see.	}
2	You understand that it's the State of Texas that's	2 Q. And those are some of the best people on earth,
3	. 5 , 5	3 aren't they, people in West Texas?
4	A. I do.	4 A. They were, yeah. I'm assuming they still are.
5	Q Ivan Cantu.	5 Q. I'm originally from Midland.
6	Do you understand who the State of Texas is?	6 A. Okay.
7	A. Yes.	7 Q. And I have a lot of family out there.
8	Q. How do you understand that; what do I mean by that?	8 A. I made that assumption after that last statement.
9	A. Testing me. The State of Texas representing the	9 Q. We know that. We understand that because we've
1	District Attorney.	10 experienced it.
11	Q. Absolutely, and it's not a trick question. The	11 I'm going to go through your questionnaire here. On
12	District Attorney's office generally prosecutes criminal cases	12 page 2, you indicate that with respect to life confinement in
13	on behalf of the State of Texas, and there are district	13 prison, I believe that life confinement in prison is
14	attorneys all over the state. The one in our area is assigned	14 appropriate in some capital murder cases, and I could return a
15	to Collin County, and his name is Tom O'Connell. Do you know	15 verdict resulting in life confinement in a proper case.
16	Tom O'Connell?	16 Now, you've had a couple of days to think about
17	A. No.	17 capital murder, the death penalty, and you've had some time
18	Q. Okay. His name was mentioned in your questionnaire,	18 today, and you've had some time in the courtroom this
19	and you checked no. He's an elected official. He's an	19 afternoon. Is that still your position, or would you change
20	elected district attorney, and it wasn't the State of Texas	20 that? Is that still the way you think on it?
21	that decided to seek the death penalty in this case. It was	21 A. I've had other thoughts pass through my mind besides
22	Mr. O'Connell. Are you aware of that?	22 this. If I'm chosen as a juror, I'm sure those thoughts will
23	A. No. I didn't know that it was Mr. O'Connell who	23 accelerate. But I don't think, no, my opinion on that hasn't
24	made that decision to go that route.	24 changed, no.
25	Q. Okay. Well, he's you know, as the elected	25 Q. So it's fair to say that in the right case, in the
A 646		

Page 242

- 1 proper case, life confinement would be a satisfactory result
- 2 for you?
- 3 A. Again, another vague situation, yeah. A very
- 4 hypothetical, gray area.
- Q. I understand. One of -- these questions are very
- 6 interesting on page 3 that deal with the criminal justice
- 7 system. I was interested in your answer on the bottom of page
- 8 3 that there's a question here that says, what makes a person
- 9 dangerous? And your answer is, lack of self-control under
- J daily order of the control and the control a
- 10 many circumstances. So I wanted to ask you if you could
- 11 elaborate on that for me a little bit, and then I want to
- 12 discuss it with you.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. So elaborate first, and then we'll discuss.
- 15 A. Well, people can be provoked into doing things.
- 16 Q. Fair enough.
- 17 A. Okay. Depending on the severity -- tell me again
- 18 what the question how exactly it read.
- 19 Q. It says what makes a person dangerous?
- 20 A. Right, dangerous. And there's different degrees of
- 21 danger. If I walk out of here, and somebody punches me in the
- 22 nose, that's a dangerous person. But if I had said something
- 23 to aggravate him to the point of punching me in the nose, l
- 24 probably deserved it. But there's a point where you draw the
- 25 line, because it's still acceptable to punch people in the

Page 247

- 1 nose. That's okay. Does that answer your question at all?
- Q. To some extent.
- 3 A. I know you're going to come back with --
- 4 Q. I think we talked about in that situation, maybe
- 5 this guy has got a bone to pick with you. Maybe he carries a
- 6 grudge. Maybe he's mad about something. Maybe he asked you
- 7 too many questions up here today. Temper plays a part, the
- 8 relationship of the parties plays a part, obviously.
- 9 A. Uh-huh.
- 10 Q. Maybe if that gentleman hadn't had anything to eat,
- 11 and he was hypoglycemic and low on blood sugar, and maybe you
- 12 looked at him wrong and he punched you in the nose?
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. That's a possibility. Maybe he was doing drugs.
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- 16 Q. You know, and when he saw you coming, he saw you
- 17 plus something else, a hallucination of some sort. Who
- 18 knows? Maybe that person is paranoid schizophrenic, and they
- 19 hit everybody in the nose. They think everybody is out to get
- 20 them. Is that kind of what you're talking about, you know, a
- 20 them. Is that kind of what you're taking about, you know, a
- 21 lack of self control, there's many circumstances why a person
- 22 could be dangerous?
- 23 A. No. That's not exactly what I was talking about. I
- 24 was not considering any kind of mental condition --
- 25 Q. Okay.

Page 248

- A. at the time that I answered that question.
- 2 Q. Okay. Do you think a person could be dangerous at
- 3 one point in time because they punch you in the nose, but when
- 4 they get some food in their stomach and they get back rational
- 5 again, they could then become safe? They could then not be
- 6 dangerous anymore? I guess what I'm saying is, once brought
- 7 back under control, I mean your answer assumes lack of
- 8 self-control.
- 9 A. Uh-huh.
- 10 Q. Once they're brought back under control again, could
- 11 they no longer be dangerous?
- 12 A. The question is after they're brought back under
- 13 control, do they still have a problem with lack of
- 14 self-control? Because when you're out of control, you were in
- 15 control at one point.
- 16 Q. That's right.
- 17 Okay. Now, I want to jump to something real quick.
- 18 I'm going somewhere with this. One of those special issues
- 19 that you're going to have to consider is future dangerousness.
- 20 Did you get enough chance to look at this earlier?
- 21 A. Yeah. I've got to see that several times.
- 22 Q. Whether there's a probability that the Defendant
- 23 would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a
- 24 continuing threat to society. Obviously that's requiring you,
- 25 as a juror, to look in the future, right?

Page 249

- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And evaluate the Defendant and see, based on the
- 3 evidence that you hear in this courtroom, if he's going to be
- 4 a continuing threat to society?
 - A. Right.

5

- 6 Q. Now, if you heard evidence that the defendant had
- 7 taken measures to bring himself back under control; in other
- 8 words, if you heard evidence in this trial that there was a
- 9 lack of self control, but you also hear evidence that, you
- 10 know, he's taken measures to bring him back under control,
- 11 would you consider that in answering that special issue? Let
- 12 me be more specific. I know I'm sounding real vague here, but
- 13 let's say that he was a drug user at the time these murders
- 14 occurred and then he stopped using drugs?
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- 16 Q. Okay. Let's just use that as an example. Would you
- 17 consider that if you were answering this special issue?
- 18 A. Would I consider drug use as a mitigating
- 19 circumstance in case where --
- 20 Q. That's not really my question. My question is --
- 21 and we're not really talking about mitigation here. What
- 22 we're talking about is future dangerousness.
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. And I'm assuming -- I'm trying to anticipate what
- 25 you meant when you answered the question about what makes a

A. No, no. Yeah. Yeah, I do want you to rephrase
that.
Q. Okay. In other words, it's your opinion that a

person is dangerous if he lacks self-control under many
 circumstances. And I assume you'd agree with me that if a

9 person is on drugs, doing drugs, doing alcohol, they're

10 lacking self-control?

A. I'd agree with that.

12 Q. And that probably makes them dangerous?

13 A. Right.

14 Q. Now, with respect to answering that question about

15 what they're going to do in the future --

16 A. Uh-huh.

17 Q. -- and you heard evidence in this courtroom that

18 they stopped using drugs, or they stopped using alcohol.

19 They've made an affirmative attempt or effort to stop that,

20 would you consider that kind of evidence in answering that

21 special issue

A. I would consider it. I would consider it, but if a

23 person was violent under the use of drugs and not

24 under -- and not violent when they're not using drugs, it's --

25 I think it's a safe assumption to think that if they ever used

Page 252

1 choice, we can remove that from the equation. It's less

2 likely -- and you know that -- you've heard evidence that he's

3 tried to kick his drug problem. He's affirmatively tried to

4 lick it, and he's not going to have access to drugs in the

5 penitentiary. Would you be able to factor all those pieces of

6 evidence if you were a juror and you had to consider that

7 question in rendering your answer to that question? Do you

8 get where I'm going with this?

9 A. I do. All the evidence should be considered, and 10 however the evidence applies itself to the situation is going

11 to determine it's validity.

12 Q. Sure, okay. I want to go to page 5 of your

13 questionnaire, right in the middle, and I'm going to read this

14 to you. The law in the State of Texas says that a person

15 convicted of capital murder can receive the death penalty

16 solely because of the facts and circumstances of the crime,

17 even if he has committed no other crimes. Do you agree with

18 this law? Yes, please explain. Murder stands alone.

19 And can you elaborate on that? I'm not sure I fully

20 understand that answer.

21 A. Sure. Let me think how I could. Well, I don't

22 think that being a criminal is a building process. I don't

23 think that you have to earn a degree to be a hard-earned

24 criminal. I think you can do that in a matter of seconds.

25 It doesn't matter to me whether a person drove too fast or

Page 251

1 drugs again they would become violent again, and I don't think

2 it's a fair assumption that they would never use drugs again,

3 unless there was some pretty substantial evidence.

4 Q. Okay.

5 A. Does that answer your question?

Q. Yeah, absolutely. And I'm not trying to be tricky

7 or cute with you. Do you understand that if and when we get

8 to this first special issue, there's already been a conviction

9 for capital murder. And you also understand, I think

10 Mr. Schultz went through it with you, that if there's a

11 conviction for capital murder, we're talking life in the

12 penitentiary or death?

13 A. Right, I understand.

14 Q. Okay. And I guess it's a fair assumption if the

15 Defendant is going to spend life in the penitentiary, it's

16 unlikely he's going to have access to the drug of his choice?

17 A. I would hope so.

18 Q. We can't guaranty that, but it's a pretty good

19 assumption, wouldn't you say?

20 A. I guess, yeah.

21 Q. All right. So let's kind of add that into the mix.

22 Let's say that -- let's say that we have a defendant convicted

23 of capital murder. You know he's going to the joint, at least

24 for life, unless he gets the death penalty. And so we know

25 that the chances of him having ready access to the drug of his

Page 253

1 robbed a bank, or what have you. If someone commits a

2 serious, violent crime, they're subject to the same laws as

3 somebody who drives too fast, or somebody who has robbed a

4 bank, regardless of what they've done in the past and

5 regardless of their position.

6 Q. The fact that they've committed no other crimes in

7 the past and this is their first brush with the law, would

8 that be of any consequence to you?

9 A. I wouldn't consider murder a brush with the law.

10 Q. Well, I mean -- you know, I understand what you're

11 saying. Murder is very, very serious. But, you know, a

12 person that has lived their life without committing a serious

13 crime, and right off the bat they're charged and convicted of

14 murder, I mean would their background and the way they've

15 lived up to that point be of any consequence to you?

16 A. Let me back up. If they're charged with murder,

17 doesn't mean they comitted murder.

18 Q. That's right.

19 A. If they've been found guilty of murder, then, no, it

20 doesn't matter. I never really considered how serious murder

21 is until I found myself here faced with the possibility of

22 determining whether someone dies for a crime that they've

23 committed because either way it's taking a life.

Q. That leads me to my next issue that's the second

25 special issue. In the event he's committed -- convicted of

- 1 capital murder, and you-all answer this question yes --
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. okay, then you would get to this question. And
- 4 have you had enough time to look at this? This is pretty long
- 5 and drawn out.
- 6 A. That's the one I read before.
- Q. That's right.
- 8 A. Uh-huh.
- 9 Q. Whether taking into consideration all of the
- 10 evidence, including the circumstance of the offense, the
- 11 defendant's character and background, and the personal moral
- 12 culpability of the defendant, there is sufficient mitigating
- 13 circumstance or circumstances to warrant that a sentence of
- 14 life imprisonment, rather than a death sentence, be imposed.
- Now, it specifically points out the Defendant's
- 16 character and background. And I've given you the situation
- 17 where a person hasn't been in trouble before, you know, and
- 18 they've now committed a heinous crime. They've now committed
- 19 murder, and they stand before you. You've answered this first
- 20 special issue yes, and now it's time to decide if there's
- 21 evidence of mitigation that would mitigate against imposition
- 22 of the death penalty.
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- 24 Q. The law says you're to consider his character and
- 25 his background. Can you do that? I mean, the fact that he

Page 255

- l hasn't been in trouble before, or are you saying you can't do
- 2 that?
- 3 A. I don't remember before when you were asking me
- 4 about committing crimes prior to this.
- 5 Q. Right.
- 6 A. I don't remember you referring to capital punishment
- 7 at that point.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. You weren't referring to capital punishment. Am I
- 10 correct?
- 11 Q. Okay. Maybe I wasn't. I'm thinking about this,
- 12 obviously. Maybe I wasn't.
- 13 A. Two separate things.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. Okav.
- 16 Q. Okay. Can you -- could you consider the fact that
- 17 a person hadn't been in trouble, his character and his
- 18 background, when deciding this special issue. I guess that's
- 19 what I'm getting at?
- 20 A. I think it's my obligation to do that.
- 21 Q. Okay. And you can?
- 22 A. Sure.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- A. That's why -- that's why it's provided, right?
- Q. Well, it's provided and it's required, and you've

Page 256

- 1 got to be able to do it before you're proper to sit on this
- 2 jury, and that's why we're getting real direct with you.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- 4 Q. And you're telling me you could do it?
- A. I am, yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. I'm going to go to page 11 of your
- 7 questionnaire, and you reference the Stonebriar Church of
- 8 Frisco. Is that Charles Swindal's church?
- 9 A. It is.

3

- 10 Q. How long have you been a member there?
- 11 A. Not a member there. We've been visiting there for
- 12 about a year now. We haven't joined.
- 13 Q. Did you see me visiting there one Sunday?
- 14 A. No. I don't recognize you.
- 15 Q. We probably sat next to each other. I've visited
- 16 there once or twice.
- 17 A. Well, you and I and 600 other people.
- 18 Q. That's right. He's got quite a following. He's
- 19 quite talented and capable. And I believe he -- isn't he a
- 20 seminary professor at TDS?
- 21 A. He was. I don't think he is anymore. He was for
- 22 quite some time.
- Q. It's a fairly fundamental bible believing church;
- 24 is that fair to say?
- 25 A. I think so, yeah.

- 1 Q. I haven't attended there, but I listen to him on
- 2 the radio, and he teaches forgiveness and deep spirituality, a
- 3 lot of things taught in the gospel?
- 4 A. He's very deep and philosophical, studies it very
- 5 deeply.
- 6 Q. Prior to attending this church, you were a Baptist?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did you grow up a Baptist?
- 9 A. Yes. Didn't grow up in a lot of church. But, yeah,
- 10 I was a Baptist and pretty active in the Baptist church before
- 11 we came here.
- 12 Q. Is that Southern Baptist?
- 13 A. Yes. I'm not a Baptist anymore.
- 14 Q. Are you a born-again Christian?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And do you -- if you'ré a born-again Christian then
- 17 you place a special emphasis on the fact of conversion, do you
- 18 not?
- 19 A. Explain conversion.
- 20 Q. Okay. If all things are past away, behold all
- 21 things become new. You're a new creation in Christ Jesus?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And I can go on and on, but I --
- A. Just wanted to make sure we're on the same page.
- 25 Q. Pretty much we are.

Page 260 Page 258 A. Okay. 1 Q. Maybe I'm not being very clear today, but let me 2 try it again another way. I know what you're talking about, 2 Q. Because I still am a Baptist. I haven't made the and I know you know what you're talking about, but when you 3 transition yet. A. I don't know that's a scale. Whatever. 4 hear somebody else say, look, I've become a Christian. I've 5 become converted. I believe in Jesus. I mean, you have an 5 Q. Those of us who believe in Christianity and being 6 born again, we believe in the brand of sinner's prayer and 6 idea of what that's about, and you can listen to them, and you 7 can understand -- you can see if it's real. You have a vague accepting by faith, salvation, and we believe that He comes in 8 understanding probably of -- or you may have a better and makes a new person. understanding of whether it's real or not. Wouldn't that be 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 Q. We become new; is that right? 10 fair to say? A. I don't know. I'd like to say that if someone told 11 A. Right, right. 11 Q. Okay. And we believe in the concept of grace, do we 12 me that, I'd like to say that people aren't blasphemic, but 12 13 not? It's not by anything that we've done to earn salvation. 13 some people are. And I don't know that I could judge the 14 difference between that lie or any other lie. 14 A. God's grace? 15 Q. Okay. 15 Q. That's right. A. Because I don't think there's going to be a 16 16 A. Yeah. 17 spiritual bolt of lightning to hit me to tell me whether that 17 Q. Because He gives it to us freely? 18 is the truth or a lie as compared to whether somebody ran into 18 A. Right. 19 my car and didn't tell me about it. 19 Q. Do you believe that there are good people that 20 accept grace and are saved? By the same token, there are also 20 Q. Have you ever heard the phrase "jailhouse 21 some people that are bad, or have done bad things that accept 21 conversion"? 22 A. I think so, yeah. I've heard there's no atheist in 22 God's grace and are saved? 23 23 fox holes, too. A. No, I don't believe that. I believe everyone who 24 Q. That's right. 24 accepts God's grace has been bad. A. Kind of the same deal. Q. That's better said. In other words, we're all 25 Page 261 Page 259 Q. Are you particularly skeptical of a jailhouse 1 sinners? 1 2 A. Correct. 2 conversion?

- 3 O. We've all fallen short?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. But some people are comparatively worse than
- 6 others, though, right?
- 7 A. In our eyes.
- Q. In our eyes. Do you believe that someone who has
- 9 been charged with a capital murder offense could have accepted
- 10 God's grace and become a Christian?
- 11 A. I hope they all do.
- Q. If you were to hear evidence of it in a criminal
- 13 trial, I'm sure you're being a born-again Christian, you would
- 14 look at that very closely, would you not? I mean, make sure
- 15 that the conversion experience is real?
- 16 A. It's not my call. That's another courtroom that
- 17 we're not in.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. No. If I understand where you're going with this,
- 20 I -- we have no control over where the soul goes, and that's
- 21 what really matters. The decisions that I make in my life,
- 22 and the decisions we all make in our lives will take our
- 23 bodies wherever we take our bodies.
- 24 O. Absolutely.
- 25 A. The soul is judged by a higher power.

- A. No. I have no reason -- I'm not skeptical to any of 3
- these things that you're pondering here, because again, it's 4
- not for me to judge, and I wouldn't dare do it.
- Q. I understand. Are you at least open to the idea 6
- 7 that a person could have a conversion experience, and they've
- changed the way they think and look at the world, and they've
- changed the way they think and look at God?
- A. Sure. People could be doing it right now for all I 10
- 11 know in this room.
- 12 Q. Absolutely.
- 13 A. Who knows.
- 14 O. With respect to the third -- the second special
- 15 issue, the defendant's character and background and personal
- 16 moral culpability of the defendant, there is a sufficient
- 17 mitigating circumstance to warrant a sentence of life rather
- 18 than death be imposed. Let's say that you've heard evidence
- 19 that there's a life-changing conversion that went on. I don't
- 20 know that that's particularly delineated in that special
- 21 issuing, and I don't know that it's even addressed in case
- 22 law.
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Okay. But you and I both know the change that it 24
- 25 can make in your life. As a juror would you be -- would you

1	Page 262 be open to that kind of evidence and consider that as	Page 264 I effective assistance of counsel to make those kind of
2		2 decisions.
3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3 MR. SCHULTZ: And I say they don't need to know
4		4 that, anymore than I need to know what evidence he needs to
5	•	5 convict a man. I can't say. I mean, I can say I need to
6		
7		6 know what kinds of proof he needs to find a man guilty so I
		7 know whether to use a peremptory challenge on him or not.
8	1	8 But, that doesn't authorize me to ask him how he would vote
9		9 with a particular fact situation, or is that enough evidence,
10	•	10 or what he would do. And that's what they're trying to do.
11	<i>3-</i> ,,	11 They're trying to get him to say what would be or what would
12	2 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 4 , 4 , 4 , 4 , 4 , 4 , 4 , 4	12 not be mitigating in his mind, and there's no authority for
13	5 Jan	13 doing that because they're committing him to a fact situation.
1	fact-finding responsibilities.	14 THE COURT: Do me a favor. Tell me the
15	1	15 question one more time.
16	he, and I think that I think asking it as a possibility is	16 MR. HIGH: I think I recall it. Could he
17	71 1 ,	17 consider the fact of a conversion experience to be mitigating
i	would or that he wouldn't, I believe. That's not your take on	18 against the death penalty within the context of special issue
19	it?	19 number two?
20	MR. SCHULTZ: No, sir. I think if you ask him	20 MR. GOELLER: For example, youth? Youth in
21	could he consider that as mitigating, I think to say that is	21 and of itself is mitigating. It's been error for a court to
22	5 5 1	22 refuse to allow counsel to ask a juror their feelings about
23	would that be evidence that you would find somebody guilty. I	23 youth. Could they consider youth, and do they consider youth
24	think it's attempting to commit the juror to a particular fact	24 a mitigating circumstance? There's nothing wrong with asking
25	situation on an issue which he ultimately has to vote on.	25 a juror if they believe certain types of evidence is
1	Page 263 MR GOFI LER: There have been some statements	Page 265
1 2	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements	l mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if
2	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it
2	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the
2 3 4	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing,	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not
2 3 4 5	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it,
2 3 4 5 6	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No.	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are certainly entitled to do.	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a 10 mitigating circumstance?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are certainly entitled to do. MR. SCHULTZ: They can ask the same question:	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a 10 mitigating circumstance? 11 MR. HIGH: Can I go with my next question,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are certainly entitled to do. MR. SCHULTZ: They can ask the same question: Do you see how some people can consider that as mitigating? I	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a 10 mitigating circumstance? 11 MR. HIGH: Can I go with my next question, 12 Judge?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are certainly entitled to do. MR. SCHULTZ: They can ask the same question: Do you see how some people can consider that as mitigating? I don't have a problem with that. It's trying to commit this	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a 10 mitigating circumstance? 11 MR. HIGH: Can I go with my next question, 12 Judge? 13 THE COURT: But the question is could you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are certainly entitled to do. MR. SCHULTZ: They can ask the same question: Do you see how some people can consider that as mitigating? I don't have a problem with that. It's trying to commit this juror and what he thinks a particular bit of evidence is	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a 10 mitigating circumstance? 11 MR. HIGH: Can I go with my next question, 12 Judge? 13 THE COURT: But the question is could you 14 consider a conversion experience to be a mitigating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are certainly entitled to do. MR. SCHULTZ: They can ask the same question: Do you see how some people can consider that as mitigating? I don't have a problem with that. It's trying to commit this juror and what he thinks a particular bit of evidence is mitigating, and that's not the same thing.	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a 10 mitigating circumstance? 11 MR. HIGH: Can I go with my next question, 12 Judge? 13 THE COURT: But the question is could you 14 consider a conversion experience to be a mitigating 15 circumstance?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are certainly entitled to do. MR. SCHULTZ: They can ask the same question: Do you see how some people can consider that as mitigating? I don't have a problem with that. It's trying to commit this juror and what he thinks a particular bit of evidence is mitigating, and that's not the same thing. THE COURT: So to you, if he said, can you see	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a 10 mitigating circumstance? 11 MR. HIGH: Can I go with my next question, 12 Judge? 13 THE COURT: But the question is could you 14 consider a conversion experience to be a mitigating 15 circumstance? 16 MR. HIGH: That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are certainly entitled to do. MR. SCHULTZ: They can ask the same question: Do you see how some people can consider that as mitigating? I don't have a problem with that. It's trying to commit this juror and what he thinks a particular bit of evidence is mitigating, and that's not the same thing. THE COURT: So to you, if he said, can you see how some people would	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a 10 mitigating circumstance? 11 MR. HIGH: Can I go with my next question, 12 Judge? 13 THE COURT: But the question is could you 14 consider a conversion experience to be a mitigating 15 circumstance? 16 MR. HIGH: That's right. 17 THE COURT: Then go on, and let's hear your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are certainly entitled to do. MR. SCHULTZ: They can ask the same question: Do you see how some people can consider that as mitigating? I don't have a problem with that. It's trying to commit this juror and what he thinks a particular bit of evidence is mitigating, and that's not the same thing. THE COURT: So to you, if he said, can you see how some people would MR. SCHULTZ: Sure, that's fine.	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a 10 mitigating circumstance? 11 MR. HIGH: Can I go with my next question, 12 Judge? 13 THE COURT: But the question is could you 14 consider a conversion experience to be a mitigating 15 circumstance? 16 MR. HIGH: That's right. 17 THE COURT: Then go on, and let's hear your 18 cite, and we'll take up the case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are certainly entitled to do. MR. SCHULTZ: They can ask the same question: Do you see how some people can consider that as mitigating? I don't have a problem with that. It's trying to commit this juror and what he thinks a particular bit of evidence is mitigating, and that's not the same thing. THE COURT: So to you, if he said, can you see how some people would MR. SCHULTZ: Sure, that's fine. THE COURT: that would be appropriate.	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a 10 mitigating circumstance? 11 MR. HIGH: Can I go with my next question, 12 Judge? 13 THE COURT: But the question is could you 14 consider a conversion experience to be a mitigating 15 circumstance? 16 MR. HIGH: That's right. 17 THE COURT: Then go on, and let's hear your 18 cite, and we'll take up the case. 19 MR. HIGH: Because I'm almost done.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are certainly entitled to do. MR. SCHULTZ: They can ask the same question: Do you see how some people can consider that as mitigating? I don't have a problem with that. It's trying to commit this juror and what he thinks a particular bit of evidence is mitigating, and that's not the same thing. THE COURT: So to you, if he said, can you see how some people would MR. SCHULTZ: Sure, that's fine. THE COURT: that would be appropriate. MR. GOELLER: But I'd submit to the court, in	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a 10 mitigating circumstance? 11 MR. HIGH: Can I go with my next question, 12 Judge? 13 THE COURT: But the question is could you 14 consider a conversion experience to be a mitigating 15 circumstance? 16 MR. HIGH: That's right. 17 THE COURT: Then go on, and let's hear your 18 cite, and we'll take up the case. 19 MR. HIGH: Because I'm almost done. 20 VENIREPERSON: You're more than done.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are certainly entitled to do. MR. SCHULTZ: They can ask the same question: Do you see how some people can consider that as mitigating? I don't have a problem with that. It's trying to commit this juror and what he thinks a particular bit of evidence is mitigating, and that's not the same thing. THE COURT: So to you, if he said, can you see how some people would MR. SCHULTZ: Sure, that's fine. THE COURT: that would be appropriate. MR. GOELLER: But I'd submit to the court, in exercising peremptory it's really irrelevant and useless to	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a 10 mitigating circumstance? 11 MR. HIGH: Can I go with my next question, 12 Judge? 13 THE COURT: But the question is could you 14 consider a conversion experience to be a mitigating 15 circumstance? 16 MR. HIGH: That's right. 17 THE COURT: Then go on, and let's hear your 18 cite, and we'll take up the case. 19 MR. HIGH: Because I'm almost done. 20 VENIREPERSON: You're more than done. 21 (Laughter.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are certainly entitled to do. MR. SCHULTZ: They can ask the same question: Do you see how some people can consider that as mitigating? I don't have a problem with that. It's trying to commit this juror and what he thinks a particular bit of evidence is mitigating, and that's not the same thing. THE COURT: So to you, if he said, can you see how some people would MR. SCHULTZ: Sure, that's fine. THE COURT: that would be appropriate. MR. GOELLER: But I'd submit to the court, in exercising peremptory it's really irrelevant and useless to have jurors talking about what some other jurors might. We	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a 10 mitigating circumstance? 11 MR. HIGH: Can I go with my next question, 12 Judge? 13 THE COURT: But the question is could you 14 consider a conversion experience to be a mitigating 15 circumstance? 16 MR. HIGH: That's right. 17 THE COURT: Then go on, and let's hear your 18 cite, and we'll take up the case. 19 MR. HIGH: Because I'm almost done. 20 VENIREPERSON: You're more than done.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are certainly entitled to do. MR. SCHULTZ: They can ask the same question: Do you see how some people can consider that as mitigating? I don't have a problem with that. It's trying to commit this juror and what he thinks a particular bit of evidence is mitigating, and that's not the same thing. THE COURT: So to you, if he said, can you see how some people would MR. SCHULTZ: Sure, that's fine. THE COURT: that would be appropriate. MR. GOELLER: But I'd submit to the court, in exercising peremptory it's really irrelevant and useless to have jurors talking about what some other jurors might. We have to know how this juror feels. That's the only way we can	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a 10 mitigating circumstance? 11 MR. HIGH: Can I go with my next question, 12 Judge? 13 THE COURT: But the question is could you 14 consider a conversion experience to be a mitigating 15 circumstance? 16 MR. HIGH: That's right. 17 THE COURT: Then go on, and let's hear your 18 cite, and we'll take up the case. 19 MR. HIGH: Because I'm almost done. 20 VENIREPERSON: You're more than done. 21 (Laughter.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are certainly entitled to do. MR. SCHULTZ: They can ask the same question: Do you see how some people can consider that as mitigating? I don't have a problem with that. It's trying to commit this juror and what he thinks a particular bit of evidence is mitigating, and that's not the same thing. THE COURT: So to you, if he said, can you see how some people would MR. SCHULTZ: Sure, that's fine. THE COURT: that would be appropriate. MR. GOELLER: But I'd submit to the court, in exercising peremptory it's really irrelevant and useless to have jurors talking about what some other jurors might. We have to know how this juror feels. That's the only way we can intelligently exercise the peremps, and if we don't know his	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a 10 mitigating circumstance? 11 MR. HIGH: Can I go with my next question, 12 Judge? 13 THE COURT: But the question is could you 14 consider a conversion experience to be a mitigating 15 circumstance? 16 MR. HIGH: That's right. 17 THE COURT: Then go on, and let's hear your 18 cite, and we'll take up the case. 19 MR. HIGH: Because I'm almost done. 20 VENIREPERSON: You're more than done. 21 (Laughter.) 22 Q. BY MR. HIGH: Now, I'm going to do something you 23 asked me not to do. These people that you listed on 24 A. I thought I asked for that to be stricken from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GOELLER: There have been some statements to jurors: You could see how drugs could be mitigating; do you agree? People could think that's mitigating. Oh, yes, do you see how it could be aggravating? This is the same thing, Judge. This is the exact same thing. Mr. High is not attempting to commit the juror get him to say I would consider this in that special issue as evidence. No. Mr. High is probing at the prospective juror's thoughts about particular types of evidence, which we are certainly entitled to do. MR. SCHULTZ: They can ask the same question: Do you see how some people can consider that as mitigating? I don't have a problem with that. It's trying to commit this juror and what he thinks a particular bit of evidence is mitigating, and that's not the same thing. THE COURT: So to you, if he said, can you see how some people would MR. SCHULTZ: Sure, that's fine. THE COURT: that would be appropriate. MR. GOELLER: But I'd submit to the court, in exercising peremptory it's really irrelevant and useless to have jurors talking about what some other jurors might. We have to know how this juror feels. That's the only way we can	1 mitigating. Now, it would be I'd agree with Mr. Schultz if 2 Mr. High was saying, if we prove this, would you give it 3 weight and what kind of weight. The character of the 4 mitigation evidence is not what's important. Mr. High is not 5 allowed to box him in and say, what weight would you give it, 6 and would you answer that a certain way. I admit that would 7 be improper. That's not what Mr. High is doing. 8 MR. SCHULTZ: Do we have a cite on the case 9 that says they're entitled to ask a juror if youth is a 10 mitigating circumstance? 11 MR. HIGH: Can I go with my next question, 12 Judge? 13 THE COURT: But the question is could you 14 consider a conversion experience to be a mitigating 15 circumstance? 16 MR. HIGH: That's right. 17 THE COURT: Then go on, and let's hear your 18 cite, and we'll take up the case. 19 MR. HIGH: Because I'm almost done. 20 VENIREPERSON: You're more than done. 21 (Laughter.) 22 Q. BY MR. HIGH: Now, I'm going to do something you 23 asked me not to do. These people that you listed on

1 THE COURT: I can't rule on that.	I know where each side is going with this question,
2 A. Are we past all that other stuff now, or are we	2 but I don't know how to address it or even if I should.
3 going back?	3 Q. That's fine. You don't have to?
4 Q. BY MR. HIGH: We may have to come back to that one	4 A. I've got a clear opinion, but whatever.
5 question.	5 MR. HIGH: Judge, I believe I'm through with
6 A. I have – okay, sorry.	6 my questions, except for the one we're researching.
7 Q. I notice that you list Jane Fonda now, that you most	7 THE COURT: I tell you what, I'll allow you to
8 respect her, and you list Jane Fonda before; that you least	8 ask the question. Could you consider a conversion experience
9 respect her. And I'm assuming you're talking about a	9 to be a mitigating experience, and that's the question, right?
10 conversion experience, are you?	10 MR. HIGH: Yes, sir.
11 A. No, I'm not. A conversion experience was part of	11 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and answer the
12 what she did. What I'm talking about is really needing to go	12 question.
13 to Schlotzsky's. In fact, I may have been the one that he	13 A. In the context of initiating a penalty for something
14 looked at when he said some of you completed this in 20	14 done as a human being on the earth, no. I believe that we
15 minutes. I was blank. I just couldn't come up any names.	15 have to be responsible for our actions. I've always been held
16 So, I tried to come up with some kind of contrast to make it	16 responsible for mine. I've never earned anything. I've
17 easy.	17 never been given anything. I've had to bleed or sweat for
Yeah, I do respect her because she had a position.	18 everything I've gotten. I think we have to pay our debts. I
19 She changed her mind, and she had the guts to present it to	19 believe there's a higher power, I believe that life is
20 the public. People change their minds all the time, but the	20 eternal, and I believe we're given an opportunity to live a
21 way that she did it was admirable to me. I still can't get	21 good, eternal life, but I believe we have to pay our debts.
22 over the old Jane Fonda. But it did come to mind. I don't	22 Is that fair enough?
23 know why. I'm surprised anything came to mind.	23 Now, what those debts are, I'm not predetermined at
24 Q. But you lost me, though. Does it have anything to	24 this point, because I don't know. You know, there's a lot
25 do with her conversion experience? I mean, Ted Turner	25 going on here. I don't know anything about this case.
- s do with not convenient enperions, i mean, real runner	zo going on not a zon that any time growth into the con-
Page 267	Page 269
•	
l A. It does. It does, yeah.	1 Q. So you're saying that a conversion experience would
<u> </u>	1 Q. So you're saying that a conversion experience would 2 not be mitigating evidence?
1 A. It does. It does, yeah.	
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very	2 not be mitigating evidence?
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs?	2 not be mitigating evidence? 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the	2 not be mitigating evidence? 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that,
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the 5 question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most —	2 not be mitigating evidence? 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, 5 which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether 6 he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to 7 mitigation-wise.
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the 5 question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most — 6 Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. 7 It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies 8 and her sports, right?	2 not be mitigating evidence? 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, 5 which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether 6 he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to 7 mitigation-wise. 8 THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the 5 question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most— 6 Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. 7 It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies 8 and her sports, right? 9 A. I think she's a pretty cool gal.	2 not be mitigating evidence? 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, 5 which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether 6 he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to 7 mitigation-wise. 8 THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is 9 mitigating and what is not is in the eye of beholder, right?
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the 5 question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most— 6 Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. 7 It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies 8 and her sports, right? 9 A. I think she's a pretty cool gal. 10 Q. She is cool. And then what about Oprah Winfrey,	2 not be mitigating evidence? 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, 5 which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether 6 he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to 7 mitigation-wise. 8 THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the 5 question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most — 6 Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. 7 It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies 8 and her sports, right? 9 A. I think she's a pretty cool gal. 10 Q. She is cool. And then what about Oprah Winfrey, 11 what's the deal with that? You least respect her.	2 not be mitigating evidence? 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, 5 which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether 6 he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to 7 mitigation-wise. 8 THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is 9 mitigating and what is not is in the eye of beholder, right? 10 MR. SCHULTZ: In just about every context, 11 yeah.
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the 5 question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most— 6 Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. 7 It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies 8 and her sports, right? 9 A. I think she's a pretty cool gal. 10 Q. She is cool. And then what about Oprah Winfrey, 11 what's the deal with that? You least respect her. 12 A. I've got to be honest on that—I mean, I will be	2 not be mitigating evidence? 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, 5 which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether 6 he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to 7 mitigation-wise. 8 THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is 9 mitigating and what is not is in the eye of beholder, right? 10 MR. SCHULTZ: In just about every context, 11 yeah. 12 THE COURT: And I suppose in a sense
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the 5 question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most — 6 Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. 7 It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies 8 and her sports, right? 9 A. I think she's a pretty cool gal. 10 Q. She is cool. And then what about Oprah Winfrey, 11 what's the deal with that? You least respect her.	2 not be mitigating evidence? 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, 5 which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether 6 he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to 7 mitigation-wise. 8 THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is 9 mitigating and what is not is in the eye of beholder, right? 10 MR. SCHULTZ: In just about every context, 11 yeah.
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the 5 question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most— 6 Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. 7 It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies 8 and her sports, right? 9 A. I think she's a pretty cool gal. 10 Q. She is cool. And then what about Oprah Winfrey, 11 what's the deal with that? You least respect her. 12 A. I've got to be honest on that—I mean, I will be	2 not be mitigating evidence? 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, 5 which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether 6 he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to 7 mitigation-wise. 8 THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is 9 mitigating and what is not is in the eye of beholder, right? 10 MR. SCHULTZ: In just about every context, 11 yeah. 12 THE COURT: And I suppose in a sense
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the 5 question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most— 6 Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. 7 It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies 8 and her sports, right? 9 A. I think she's a pretty cool gal. 10 Q. She is cool. And then what about Oprah Winfrey, 11 what's the deal with that? You least respect her. 12 A. I've got to be honest on that—I mean, I will be 13 honest. I'm honest on everything here. I couldn't think of	2 not be mitigating evidence? 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, 5 which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether 6 he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to 7 mitigation-wise. 8 THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is 9 mitigating and what is not is in the eye of beholder, right? 10 MR. SCHULTZ: In just about every context, 11 yeah. 12 THE COURT: And I suppose in a sense 13 everything can be mitigating?
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the 5 question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most — 6 Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. 7 It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies 8 and her sports, right? 9 A. I think she's a pretty cool gal. 10 Q. She is cool. And then what about Oprah Winfrey, 11 what's the deal with that? You least respect her. 12 A. I've got to be honest on that — I mean, I will be 13 honest. I'm honest on everything here. I couldn't think of 14 anybody, and I — the lady next to me had her as her most	2 not be mitigating evidence? 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, 5 which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether 6 he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to 7 mitigation-wise. 8 THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is 9 mitigating and what is not is in the eye of beholder, right? 10 MR. SCHULTZ: In just about every context, 11 yeah. 12 THE COURT: And I suppose in a sense 13 everything can be mitigating? 14 MR. HIGH: I believe so.
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the 5 question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most— 6 Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. 7 It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies 8 and her sports, right? 9 A. I think she's a pretty cool gal. 10 Q. She is cool. And then what about Oprah Winfrey, 11 what's the deal with that? You least respect her. 12 A. I've got to be honest on that—I mean, I will be 13 honest. I'm honest on everything here. I couldn't think of 14 anybody, and I—the lady next to me had her as her most 15 respected, and I thought, you know—	2 not be mitigating evidence? 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, 5 which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether 6 he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to 7 mitigation-wise. 8 THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is 9 mitigating and what is not is in the eye of beholder, right? 10 MR. SCHULTZ: In just about every context, 11 yeah. 12 THE COURT: And I suppose in a sense 13 everything can be mitigating? 14 MR. HIGH: I believe so. 15 THE COURT: All right.
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the 5 question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most — 6 Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. 7 It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies 8 and her sports, right? 9 A. I think she's a pretty cool gal. 10 Q. She is cool. And then what about Oprah Winfrey, 11 what's the deal with that? You least respect her. 12 A. I've got to be honest on that — I mean, I will be 13 honest. I'm honest on everything here. I couldn't think of 14 anybody, and I — the lady next to me had her as her most 15 respected, and I thought, you know — 16 THE COURT: You were cheating on the exam?	2 not be mitigating evidence? 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, 5 which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether 6 he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to 7 mitigation-wise. 8 THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is 9 mitigating and what is not is in the eye of beholder, right? 10 MR. SCHULTZ: In just about every context, 11 yeah. 12 THE COURT: And I suppose in a sense 13 everything can be mitigating? 14 MR. HIGH: I believe so. 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 MR. SCHULTZ: Threats to do it again, I guess,
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the 5 question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most — 6 Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. 7 It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies 8 and her sports, right? 9 A. I think she's a pretty cool gal. 10 Q. She is cool. And then what about Oprah Winfrey, 11 what's the deal with that? You least respect her. 12 A. I've got to be honest on that — I mean, I will be 13 honest. I'm honest on everything here. I couldn't think of 14 anybody, and I — the lady next to me had her as her most 15 respected, and I thought, you know — 16 THE COURT: You were cheating on the exam? 17 (Laughter.)	2 not be mitigating evidence? 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, 5 which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether 6 he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to 7 mitigation-wise. 8 THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is 9 mitigating and what is not is in the eye of beholder, right? 10 MR. SCHULTZ: In just about every context, 11 yeah. 12 THE COURT: And I suppose in a sense 13 everything can be mitigating? 14 MR. HIGH: I believe so. 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 MR. SCHULTZ: Threats to do it again, I guess, 17 could be mitigating if the juror thinks it is. I don't know.
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the 5 question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most— 6 Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. 7 It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies 8 and her sports, right? 9 A. I think she's a pretty cool gal. 10 Q. She is cool. And then what about Oprah Winfrey, 11 what's the deal with that? You least respect her. 12 A. I've got to be honest on that—I mean, I will be 13 honest. I'm honest on everything here. I couldn't think of 14 anybody, and I—the lady next to me had her as her most 15 respected, and I thought, you know— 16 THE COURT: You were cheating on the exam? 17 (Laughter.) 18 A. It was plagiarism, but I did it in an opposing	2 not be mitigating evidence? 3 MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, 5 which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether 6 he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to 7 mitigation-wise. 8 THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is 9 mitigating and what is not is in the eye of beholder, right? 10 MR. SCHULTZ: In just about every context, 11 yeah. 12 THE COURT: And I suppose in a sense 13 everything can be mitigating? 14 MR. HIGH: I believe so. 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 MR. SCHULTZ: Threats to do it again, I guess, 17 could be mitigating if the juror thinks it is. I don't know. 18 Right? Give me a life sentence so I can escape and kill some
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the 5 question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most— 6 Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. 7 It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies 8 and her sports, right? 9 A. I think she's a pretty cool gal. 10 Q. She is cool. And then what about Oprah Winfrey, 11 what's the deal with that? You least respect her. 12 A. I've got to be honest on that—I mean, I will be 13 honest. I'm honest on everything here. I couldn't think of 14 anybody, and I—the lady next to me had her as her most 15 respected, and I thought, you know— 16 THE COURT: You were cheating on the exam? 17 (Laughter.) 18 A. It was plagiarism, but I did it in an opposing 19 manner so that makes it okay, right? I don't like Oprah. I	MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, 5 which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether 6 he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to 7 mitigation-wise. 8 THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is 9 mitigating and what is not is in the eye of beholder, right? 10 MR. SCHULTZ: In just about every context, 11 yeah. 12 THE COURT: And I suppose in a sense 13 everything can be mitigating? 14 MR. HIGH: I believe so. 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 MR. SCHULTZ: Threats to do it again, I guess, 17 could be mitigating if the juror thinks it is. I don't know. 18 Right? Give me a life sentence so I can escape and kill some 19 more people. I guess somebody could say it's mitigating. I
1 A. It does. It does, yeah. 2 Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very 3 public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? 4 A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the 5 question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most— 6 Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. 7 It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies 8 and her sports, right? 9 A. I think she's a pretty cool gal. 10 Q. She is cool. And then what about Oprah Winfrey, 11 what's the deal with that? You least respect her. 12 A. I've got to be honest on that—I mean, I will be 13 honest. I'm honest on everything here. I couldn't think of 14 anybody, and I—the lady next to me had her as her most 15 respected, and I thought, you know— 16 THE COURT: You were cheating on the exam? 17 (Laughter.) 18 A. It was plagiarism, but I did it in an opposing 19 manner so that makes it okay, right? I don't like Oprah. I 20 just don't. Maybe it's her weight fluctuating like it does.	MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can definition consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to mitigation-wise. THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is mitigating and what is not is in the eye of beholder, right? MR. SCHULTZ: In just about every context, yeah. THE COURT: And I suppose in a sense reverything can be mitigating? MR. HIGH: I believe so. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: Threats to do it again, I guess, could be mitigating if the juror thinks it is. I don't know. Right? Give me a life sentence so I can escape and kill some more people. I guess somebody could say it's mitigating. I don't know how I don't guess we get any instruction.
A. It does. It does, yeah. Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most— Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies and her sports, right? A. I think she's a pretty cool gal. Q. She is cool. And then what about Oprah Winfrey, what's the deal with that? You least respect her. A. I've got to be honest on that—I mean, I will be honest. I'm honest on everything here. I couldn't think of anybody, and I—the lady next to me had her as her most respected, and I thought, you know— THE COURT: You were cheating on the exam? (Laughter.) A. It was plagiarism, but I did it in an opposing manner so that makes it okay, right? I don't like Oprah. I just don't. Maybe it's her weight fluctuating like it does.	MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can deconsider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to mitigation-wise. THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is mitigating and what is not is in the eye of beholder, right? MR. SCHULTZ: In just about every context, yeah. THE COURT: And I suppose in a sense reverything can be mitigating? MR. HIGH: I believe so. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: Threats to do it again, I guess, could be mitigating if the juror thinks it is. I don't know. Right? Give me a life sentence so I can escape and kill some more people. I guess somebody could say it's mitigating. I don't know how I don't guess we get any instruction. MR. HIGH: Or, Judge, he may want escape and
A. It does. It does, yeah. Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most — Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies and her sports, right? A. I think she's a pretty cool gal. Q. She is cool. And then what about Oprah Winfrey, what's the deal with that? You least respect her. A. I've got to be honest on that — I mean, I will be honest. I'm honest on everything here. I couldn't think of anybody, and I — the lady next to me had her as her most respected, and I thought, you know — THE COURT: You were cheating on the exam? (Laughter.) A. It was plagiarism, but I did it in an opposing manner so that makes it okay, right? I don't like Oprah. I just don't. Maybe it's her weight fluctuating like it does. I just have no idea what it is. I just don't like Oprah. Q. Back to Jane Fonda, is it fair to say, at least in	MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can 4 consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, 5 which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether 6 he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to 7 mitigation-wise. 8 THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is 9 mitigating and what is not is in the eye of beholder, right? 10 MR. SCHULTZ: In just about every context, 11 yeah. 12 THE COURT: And I suppose in a sense 13 everything can be mitigating? 14 MR. HIGH: I believe so. 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 MR. SCHULTZ: Threats to do it again, I guess, 17 could be mitigating if the juror thinks it is. I don't know. 18 Right? Give me a life sentence so I can escape and kill some 19 more people. I guess somebody could say it's mitigating. I 20 don't know how I don't guess we get any instruction. 21 MR. HIGH: Or, Judge, he may want escape and 22 save some souls because he's had a conversion experience.
A. It does. It does, yeah. Q. And now the new Jane Fonda, she's come out very public about her Christianity and her Christian beliefs? A. Yes. And I admire her for that. Wasn't that the question, admirable? I thought it said admirable, most — Q. It says list two men and women you most respect. It says Lynn Russell. I guess that's because of her hobbies and her sports, right? A. I think she's a pretty cool gal. Q. She is cool. And then what about Oprah Winfrey, what's the deal with that? You least respect her. A. I've got to be honest on that — I mean, I will be honest. I'm honest on everything here. I couldn't think of anybody, and I — the lady next to me had her as her most respected, and I thought, you know — THE COURT: You were cheating on the exam? (Laughter.) A. It was plagiarism, but I did it in an opposing manner so that makes it okay, right? I don't like Oprah. I just don't. Maybe it's her weight fluctuating like it does. I just have no idea what it is. I just don't like Oprah. Q. Back to Jane Fonda, is it fair to say, at least in your opinion, that Jane Fonda is a better person now than she	MR. SCHULTZ: Now it's not whether he can consider it. He's obviously trying to pin him down on that, which, frankly, doesn't even matter anyway because whether he says yes or no. So, he can do what he wants to mitigation-wise. THE COURT: Let me ask, I suppose what is mitigating and what is not is in the eye of beholder, right? MR. SCHULTZ: In just about every context, yeah. THE COURT: And I suppose in a sense everything can be mitigating? MR. HIGH: I believe so. THE COURT: All right. MR. SCHULTZ: Threats to do it again, I guess, could be mitigating if the juror thinks it is. I don't know. Right? Give me a life sentence so I can escape and kill some more people. I guess somebody could say it's mitigating. I don't know how I don't guess we get any instruction. MR. HIGH: Or, Judge, he may want escape and save some souls because he's had a conversion experience. MR. SCHULTZ: And that might be aggravating.

	Page 270	Page	272
I	preacher and save the world.	right. To this juror it's mitigati	on; to that one it's not.
2	MR. SCHULTZ: But the point is trying to pin	MR. HIGH: Sure.	
3	him down on what he says is mitigating is what our renewed	THE COURT: And the	his one is no more qualified
4	objection, and that's our continuing objection.	than that one, given any issue.	Am I right on that? Both
5	MR. HIGH: And our objection would be that	sides agree with that.	
6	MR. SCHULTZ: Could I please finish?	MR. GOELLER: I ag	gree with that.
7	MR. HIGH: Well, I'm going to have a chance to	MR. SCHULTZ: But	it's like guilt/innocence.
8	rebut that.	They have got discretion on ho	w they find the evidence, but we
9	MR. SCHULTZ: When he asks you, could you is	can't ask them, do you find a tra	ained police officer
10	this mitigating, are you telling us that would not be	testifying about his opinion wo	uld you support a guilty
11	mitigating is asking a juror to commit to his vote to a	verdict and then do something	with it. We can't commit them
12	particular fact situation, and that's improper.	to stuff like that.	
13	THE COURT: Yes, go ahead. You wanted to	That's what they're attem	pting to do by asking him,
14	respond.	would this or that be a mitigating	ng circumstance.
15	MR. HIGH: Judge, I believe he's repeatedly and	THE COURT: I tell y	you what I'm going to find.
16	redundantly talked about drugs and how they aggravate on the	I'm going to find that the questi	on was asked and answered.
17		So let's ask the question.	
18	hyped-up, hopped-up person on drugs, whether it's mitigating	MR. HIGH: All right	
19	and whether it's aggravating. And we're just simply talking	Q. BY MR. HIGH: The ne	ext question is this then:
20	about a change in a person's life. And that, I think, bears	Obviously there's a difference v	why Jane Fonda moved from the
21	directly on mitigating evidence against a life sentence I	person you least respected to a	person you most respected, and
22	mean, against a death sentence, whether it would mitigate a	that was I think the fact of the	change in her life had a lot
23	death sentence and change in a person's life. What could be	to do with that, is that correct, i	n the conversion?
24	more mitigating than that? So, that's all I'm trying to ask,	A. Well, yeah. Again, I h	ope you don't put a whole lot
25	is if this juror would consider a spiritual change. Would he	of weight in that because I wa	s really searching for something
•	Page 271	Page	273
1	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death	to put on there.	273
2	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all l'm asking.	to put on there. Q. Okay.	
2	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps re	flect something about me,
2 3 4	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps re which is obviously what you g	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do
2 3 4 5	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking	Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps re which is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she
2 3 4	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps re which is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and pres	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she cented herself to the public eye
2 3 4 5 6 7	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps re which is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of herse	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she tented herself to the public eye elf. I guess it's the fact that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here?	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps re which is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of hersels she wasn't ashamed of hersels.	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she ented herself to the public eye left. I guess it's the fact that I respect the most.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here? MR. HIGH: I just want to make sure I	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps rewhich is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of hersels he wasn't ashamed of hersels. MR. HIGH: Just a m	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she sented herself to the public eye left. I guess it's the fact that I respect the most. oment, Judge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here? MR. HIGH: I just want to make sure I understand his answer. That's all I'm trying to do.	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps re which is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of hersels that wasn't ashamed of hersels. MR. HIGH: Just a m VENIREPERSON: I	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she ented herself to the public eye left. I guess it's the fact that I respect the most.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here? MR. HIGH: I just want to make sure I understand his answer. That's all I'm trying to do. MR. SCHULTZ: And I'd like a ruling on the	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps re which is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of hersels that was a manner of hersels. MR. HIGH: Just a manner of the washing about Jane Fonda.	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she tented herself to the public eye left. I guess it's the fact that f that I respect the most. oment, Judge. can't believe I'm sitting here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here? MR. HIGH: I just want to make sure I understand his answer. That's all I'm trying to do. MR. SCHULTZ: And I'd like a ruling on the objection. I never asked a juror do you think drugs are	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps rewhich is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of hersels MR. HIGH: Just a m VENIREPERSON: Italking about Jane Fonda. MR. HIGH: Judge, words.	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she tented herself to the public eye left. I guess it's the fact that f that I respect the most. oment, Judge. can't believe I'm sitting here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking — THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here? MR. HIGH: I just want to make sure I understand his answer. That's all I'm trying to do. MR. SCHULTZ: And I'd like a ruling on the objection. I never asked a juror do you think drugs are aggravating or mitigating? I never asked about poverty being	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps rewhich is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of herself MR. HIGH: Just a m VENIREPERSON: Italking about Jane Fonda. MR. HIGH: Judge, wijuror.	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do not she did change and that she ented herself to the public eye left. I guess it's the fact that if that I respect the most. The coment, Judge. can't believe I'm sitting here we're going to pass the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here? MR. HIGH: I just want to make sure I understand his answer. That's all I'm trying to do. MR. SCHULTZ: And I'd like a ruling on the objection. I never asked a juror do you think drugs are aggravating or mitigating? I never asked about poverty being aggravating or mitigating. I used that as examples of how	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps rewhich is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of herself MR. HIGH: Just a m VENIREPERSON: Italking about Jane Fonda. MR. HIGH: Judge, wijuror.	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she tented herself to the public eye left. I guess it's the fact that f that I respect the most. oment, Judge. can't believe I'm sitting here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here? MR. HIGH: I just want to make sure I understand his answer. That's all I'm trying to do. MR. SCHULTZ: And I'd like a ruling on the objection. I never asked a juror do you think drugs are aggravating or mitigating? I never asked about poverty being aggravating or mitigating. I used that as examples of how some people could see, and that's been my I've never asked	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps rewhich is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of hersels MR. HIGH: Just a m VENIREPERSON: It talking about Jane Fonda. MR. HIGH: Judge, with	guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she ented herself to the public eye elf. I guess it's the fact that f that I respect the most. oment, Judge. can't believe I'm sitting here e're going to pass the ou have any other questions at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here? MR. HIGH: I just want to make sure I understand his answer. That's all I'm trying to do. MR. SCHULTZ: And I'd like a ruling on the objection. I never asked a juror do you think drugs are aggravating or mitigating? I never asked about poverty being aggravating or mitigating. I used that as examples of how some people could see, and that's been my I've never asked a juror do you believe that being a drug addict is a	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps rewhich is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of herself MR. HIGH: Just a m VENIREPERSON: It talking about Jane Fonda. MR. HIGH: Judge, will juror. THE COURT: Do you this time? MR. SCHULTZ: No.	guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she ented herself to the public eye elf. I guess it's the fact that f that I respect the most. oment, Judge. can't believe I'm sitting here e're going to pass the ou have any other questions at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here? MR. HIGH: I just want to make sure I understand his answer. That's all I'm trying to do. MR. SCHULTZ: And I'd like a ruling on the objection. I never asked a juror do you think drugs are aggravating or mitigating? I never asked about poverty being aggravating or mitigating. I used that as examples of how some people could see, and that's been my I've never asked a juror do you believe that being a drug addict is a mitigating factor or aggravating?	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps rewhich is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of herself MR. HIGH: Just a m VENIREPERSON: It talking about Jane Fonda. MR. HIGH: Judge, will juror. THE COURT: Do you this time? MR. SCHULTZ: No.	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do not she did change and that she ented herself to the public eye left. I guess it's the fact that if that I respect the most. The coment, Judge. can't believe I'm sitting here we're going to pass the ou have any other questions at the sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here? MR. HIGH: I just want to make sure I understand his answer. That's all I'm trying to do. MR. SCHULTZ: And I'd like a ruling on the objection. I never asked a juror do you think drugs are aggravating or mitigating? I never asked about poverty being aggravating or mitigating. I used that as examples of how some people could see, and that's been my I've never asked a juror do you believe that being a drug addict is a mitigating factor or aggravating? By the way, I can't imagine the establishment clause	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps rewhich is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of hersels. MR. HIGH: Just am VENIREPERSON: Italking about Jane Fonda. MR. HIGH: Judge, with J	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she tented herself to the public eye left. I guess it's the fact that I respect the most. oment, Judge. can't believe I'm sitting here we're going to pass the ou have any other questions at a sir. e ask you to step down for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here? MR. HIGH: I just want to make sure I understand his answer. That's all I'm trying to do. MR. SCHULTZ: And I'd like a ruling on the objection. I never asked a juror do you think drugs are aggravating or mitigating? I never asked about poverty being aggravating or mitigating. I used that as examples of how some people could see, and that's been my I've never asked a juror do you believe that being a drug addict is a mitigating factor or aggravating? By the way, I can't imagine the establishment clause of our Constitution in allowing the defendant to challenge a	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps rewhich is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of herself MR. HIGH: Just a m VENIREPERSON: It talking about Jane Fonda. MR. HIGH: Judge, will juror. THE COURT: Do you this time? MR. SCHULTZ: No THE COURT: Let m just a minute, please. (Venireperson exits the court.)	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she tented herself to the public eye left. I guess it's the fact that I respect the most. oment, Judge. can't believe I'm sitting here we're going to pass the ou have any other questions at a sir. e ask you to step down for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here? MR. HIGH: I just want to make sure I understand his answer. That's all I'm trying to do. MR. SCHULTZ: And I'd like a ruling on the objection. I never asked a juror do you think drugs are aggravating or mitigating? I never asked about poverty being aggravating or mitigating. I used that as examples of how some people could see, and that's been my I've never asked a juror do you believe that being a drug addict is a mitigating factor or aggravating? By the way, I can't imagine the establishment clause of our Constitution in allowing the defendant to challenge a juror because he couldn't give effect to some Christian	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps rewhich is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of herself MR. HIGH: Just a m VENIREPERSON: It talking about Jane Fonda. MR. HIGH: Judge, will juror. THE COURT: Do you this time? MR. SCHULTZ: No THE COURT: Let m just a minute, please. (Venireperson exits the court.)	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she ented herself to the public eye left. I guess it's the fact that if that I respect the most. oment, Judge. can't believe I'm sitting here we're going to pass the ou have any other questions at a sir. e ask you to step down for courtroom.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here? MR. HIGH: I just want to make sure I understand his answer. That's all I'm trying to do. MR. SCHULTZ: And I'd like a ruling on the objection. I never asked a juror do you think drugs are aggravating or mitigating? I never asked about poverty being aggravating or mitigating. I used that as examples of how some people could see, and that's been my I've never asked a juror do you believe that being a drug addict is a mitigating factor or aggravating? By the way, I can't imagine the establishment clause of our Constitution in allowing the defendant to challenge a juror because he couldn't give effect to some Christian teachings that that effectively he's asking you to	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps rewhich is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of hersels. MR. HIGH: Just a movenire about Jane Fonda. MR. HIGH: Judge, word juror. THE COURT: Do you this time? MR. SCHULTZ: Note THE COURT: Let move just a minute, please. (Venireperson exits the country that says the State?	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she ented herself to the public eye left. I guess it's the fact that if that I respect the most. oment, Judge. can't believe I'm sitting here we're going to pass the ou have any other questions at a sir. e ask you to step down for courtroom.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here? MR. HIGH: I just want to make sure I understand his answer. That's all I'm trying to do. MR. SCHULTZ: And I'd like a ruling on the objection. I never asked a juror do you think drugs are aggravating or mitigating? I never asked about poverty being aggravating or mitigating. I used that as examples of how some people could see, and that's been my I've never asked a juror do you believe that being a drug addict is a mitigating factor or aggravating? By the way, I can't imagine the establishment clause of our Constitution in allowing the defendant to challenge a juror because he couldn't give effect to some Christian teachings that that effectively he's asking you to establish by virtue of your ruling.	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps rewhich is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of hersels. MR. HIGH: Just a movenire about Jane Fonda. MR. HIGH: Judge, word juror. THE COURT: Do you this time? MR. SCHULTZ: Note THE COURT: Let move just a minute, please. (Venireperson exits the country that says the State?	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she tented herself to the public eye left. I guess it's the fact that if that I respect the most. oment, Judge. can't believe I'm sitting here we're going to pass the ou have any other questions at a sir. e ask you to step down for courtroom.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here? MR. HIGH: I just want to make sure I understand his answer. That's all I'm trying to do. MR. SCHULTZ: And I'd like a ruling on the objection. I never asked a juror do you think drugs are aggravating or mitigating? I never asked about poverty being aggravating or mitigating. I used that as examples of how some people could see, and that's been my I've never asked a juror do you believe that being a drug addict is a mitigating factor or aggravating? By the way, I can't imagine the establishment clause of our Constitution in allowing the defendant to challenge a juror because he couldn't give effect to some Christian teachings that that effectively he's asking you to establish by virtue of your ruling. THE COURT: Say, I would imagine a juror has	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps rewhich is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of hersels. MR. HIGH: Just a management of the she wasn't ashamed of hersels. MR. HIGH: Just a management of the she wasn't ashamed of hersels. MR. HIGH: Judge, was juror. THE COURT: Do you this time? MR. SCHULTZ: No. THE COURT: Let management of the court of the court. THE COURT: This is what says the State? MR. SCHULTZ: We the juror back?	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she tented herself to the public eye left. I guess it's the fact that if that I respect the most. oment, Judge. can't believe I'm sitting here we're going to pass the ou have any other questions at a sir. e ask you to step down for courtroom.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	give that legal affect towards mitigation against the death penalty? That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking him about a particular case. I'm not asking him with respect to this defendant, and I'm not asking within the context of this particular trial. I'm asking THE COURT: Actually, I believe the question was asked and answered, though, but my recollection of the answer was no. So, where do we go from here? MR. HIGH: I just want to make sure I understand his answer. That's all I'm trying to do. MR. SCHULTZ: And I'd like a ruling on the objection. I never asked a juror do you think drugs are aggravating or mitigating? I never asked about poverty being aggravating or mitigating. I used that as examples of how some people could see, and that's been my I've never asked a juror do you believe that being a drug addict is a mitigating factor or aggravating? By the way, I can't imagine the establishment clause of our Constitution in allowing the defendant to challenge a juror because he couldn't give effect to some Christian teachings that that effectively he's asking you to establish by virtue of your ruling. THE COURT: Say, I would imagine a juror has	to put on there. Q. Okay. A. But it does perhaps rewhich is obviously what you grespect that she had the — that was open about that and presand was not ashamed of hersels. MR. HIGH: Just a movenire and was not ashamed of hersels. MR. HIGH: Just a movenire about Jane Fonda. MR. HIGH: Judge, word juror. THE COURT: Do you this time? MR. SCHULTZ: Note that The COURT: Let move a minute, please. (Venireperson exits the country that says the State? MR. SCHULTZ: We the juror back? THE COURT: Oh, you	flect something about me, guys are looking for. I do at she did change and that she tented herself to the public eye left. I guess it's the fact that if that I respect the most. oment, Judge. can't believe I'm sitting here we're going to pass the ou have any other questions at sir. le ask you to step down for courtroom.) s Number 21, Mr. Calhoun, and le need him back could we have

1	Page 274 we can make our decision whether to exercise a peremptory	Page 276 1 would not be qualified. Additionally, we'd agree to excuse
2	challenge, and then if we accept him, then we tender him to	2 her for that reason.
3	the defense.	3 MR. GOELLER: Gail, did you say your appellate
4	THE COURT: All right, sorry.	4 attorney said one way or the other.
5	(Venireperson enters the courtroom.)	5 MS. FALCO: John Stroud. Jami talked to him
6	MR. SCHULTZ: This juror is acceptable to the	6 this morning, and he said there wasn't
7	State, Your Honor.	7 MR. GOELLER: There's no case law?
8	MR. HIGH: Your Honor, it's acceptable to the	8 MS. FALCO: Right. He just briefly looked, and
9	defense.	9 he didn't find case law.
10	THE COURT: All right. All right. Then you	10 MR. GOELLER: Is he any good?
11	may step down, sir. We'll be getting back with you. I	11 MS. FALCO: John?
12		12 MR. GOELLER: Yeah, I don't know him.
13	(Venireperson exits the courtroom.)	MS. FALCO: He was in the appellate division of
14		14 Tarrant County for 12 years before he came here.
15		15 THE COURT: He's sharp as a tack I can tell
	Mr. Hand and Mr. Biggs coming in the morning.	16 you. But anyway take a look and see if you agree. If you
17		17 agree, then it doesn't matter.
18		MR. GOELLER: I guess you've been affirmed on
19		19 everything he's done his briefs on, huh, Judge? Is that why
20		20 he's sharp as a tack?
21	and Stanley Johnwell and Dierdra Williams and Samuel Gragg	21 (Laughter.)
22	-	22 (Discussion off the record.)
23	Okay. So and here's the twist. We've got some	23 THE COURT: Anyway, if you agree, then it
24	jurors on standby. They are Nancy Ballard, Gregory Johnson,	24 doesn't matter, I suppose. But if you don't agree, then I
	Diane Kerr and Hilda Laurielo. All right. That's one of the	25 need to decide. And we've got a few days, but it is coming
	Page 275	Page 277
1		l up. 2 MR. GOELLER: Yeah. We'll certainly talk about
2	also got Bruce Tisdale for tomorrow. I don't think I gave you	3 that, Judge.
3	that one.	4 THE COURT: Okay. Let me tell y'all something
4	But here's something I want y'all to think about, and I don't know the answer to this so you-all either tell me	5 else. As you know we've got another right now at least,
5	what it is or research it and tell me what is it. Number 25	6 another 56 people schedule to come in on September 4th, and
7		7 there are some people who want to reschedule that meeting.
7	is a woman named Valerie Tacy. She was a resident of Collin	8 And I'm assuming that that is not a possibility, but I wanted
8	County and is a resident at this time. She's moving to Denton	9 to run it by y'all. Think about it, and let me know how you
9	County on the 1st of September, and her new address has been confirmed, but she currently lives in Collin County. Now, I	10 feel about it because they have commitments or vacations or
10	guess there's two questions. First of all, is she qualified	11 something else. If they don't get qualified on that day, at
11		12 least if I don't give them my well, the only thing that
12		13 remains is from each side because I've done my thing with all
13	on the on the first day of that, but she	
14	MD COELLED. She's a project on the day she	1
	MR. GOELLER: She's a resident on the day she	14 200. But if we let a few people go that day they will not
15	appeared in the general panel.	14 200. But if we let a few people go that day they will not 15 have heard your two-hour pitch from yesterday
16	appeared in the general panel. THE COURT: Yes. And she would also be a	14 200. But if we let a few people go that day they will not 15 have heard your two-hour pitch from yesterday 16 MR. GOELLER: And my 20-minute pitch.
16 17	appeared in the general panel. THE COURT: Yes. And she would also be a resident on the day she's scheduled to appear right now. And	14 200. But if we let a few people go that day they will not 15 have heard your two-hour pitch from yesterday 16 MR. GOELLER: And my 20-minute pitch. 17 THE COURT: Assuming that's something they need
16 17 18	appeared in the general panel. THE COURT: Yes. And she would also be a resident on the day she's scheduled to appear right now. And I don't know the answer to that. And the second question	14 200. But if we let a few people go that day they will not 15 have heard your two-hour pitch from yesterday 16 MR. GOELLER: And my 20-minute pitch. 17 THE COURT: Assuming that's something they need 18 to hear, I want you-all to think about that and tell me how
16 17 18 19	appeared in the general panel. THE COURT: Yes. And she would also be a resident on the day she's scheduled to appear right now. And I don't know the answer to that. And the second question becomes, does that matter to y'all in terms of excusing her or	14 200. But if we let a few people go that day they will not 15 have heard your two-hour pitch from yesterday 16 MR. GOELLER: And my 20-minute pitch. 17 THE COURT: Assuming that's something they need 18 to hear, I want you-all to think about that and tell me how 19 you feel about it, okay? Everybody understand? And let me
16 17 18 19 20	appeared in the general panel. THE COURT: Yes. And she would also be a resident on the day she's scheduled to appear right now. And I don't know the answer to that. And the second question becomes, does that matter to y'all in terms of excusing her or not excusing her.	14 200. But if we let a few people go that day they will not 15 have heard your two-hour pitch from yesterday 16 MR. GOELLER: And my 20-minute pitch. 17 THE COURT: Assuming that's something they need 18 to hear, I want you-all to think about that and tell me how 19 you feel about it, okay? Everybody understand? And let me 20 know how you feel first thing tomorrow morning about September
16 17 18 19 20 21	appeared in the general panel. THE COURT: Yes. And she would also be a resident on the day she's scheduled to appear right now. And I don't know the answer to that. And the second question becomes, does that matter to y'all in terms of excusing her or not excusing her. MS. FALCO: Our position would be and I	14 200. But if we let a few people go that day they will not 15 have heard your two-hour pitch from yesterday 16 MR. GOELLER: And my 20-minute pitch. 17 THE COURT: Assuming that's something they need 18 to hear, I want you-all to think about that and tell me how 19 you feel about it, okay? Everybody understand? And let me 20 know how you feel first thing tomorrow morning about September 21 the 4th.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	appeared in the general panel. THE COURT: Yes. And she would also be a resident on the day she's scheduled to appear right now. And I don't know the answer to that. And the second question becomes, does that matter to y'all in terms of excusing her or not excusing her. MS. FALCO: Our position would be and I don't know that there's direct case law on point. We talked	14 200. But if we let a few people go that day they will not 15 have heard your two-hour pitch from yesterday 16 MR. GOELLER: And my 20-minute pitch. 17 THE COURT: Assuming that's something they need 18 to hear, I want you-all to think about that and tell me how 19 you feel about it, okay? Everybody understand? And let me 20 know how you feel first thing tomorrow morning about September 21 the 4th. 22 Let me tell you something else, Matt and Don need to
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	appeared in the general panel. THE COURT: Yes. And she would also be a resident on the day she's scheduled to appear right now. And I don't know the answer to that. And the second question becomes, does that matter to y'all in terms of excusing her or not excusing her. MS. FALCO: Our position would be and I don't know that there's direct case law on point. We talked to our appellate attorney, but our position would be that she	14 200. But if we let a few people go that day they will not 15 have heard your two-hour pitch from yesterday 16 MR. GOELLER: And my 20-minute pitch. 17 THE COURT: Assuming that's something they need 18 to hear, I want you-all to think about that and tell me how 19 you feel about it, okay? Everybody understand? And let me 20 know how you feel first thing tomorrow morning about September 21 the 4th. 22 Let me tell you something else, Matt and Don need to 23 get their three boxes of questionnaires out of our office
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	appeared in the general panel. THE COURT: Yes. And she would also be a resident on the day she's scheduled to appear right now. And I don't know the answer to that. And the second question becomes, does that matter to y'all in terms of excusing her or not excusing her. MS. FALCO: Our position would be and I don't know that there's direct case law on point. We talked	14 200. But if we let a few people go that day they will not 15 have heard your two-hour pitch from yesterday 16 MR. GOELLER: And my 20-minute pitch. 17 THE COURT: Assuming that's something they need 18 to hear, I want you-all to think about that and tell me how 19 you feel about it, okay? Everybody understand? And let me 20 know how you feel first thing tomorrow morning about September 21 the 4th. 22 Let me tell you something else, Matt and Don need to

25 which would be right before we started testimony so that she

25 don't have to do it tonight, but you have to do it pretty

```
Page 278
 1 quick.
 2
               MR. GOELLER: I'll use this guy right here.
 3
               THE COURT: That's all I've got. Is there
    anything from anybody from me?
 5
               MS. FALCO: No.
               MR. GOELLER: This doesn't have to be on
 6
 7
    record.
 8
           (End of proceedings at 5:30 p.m.)
 9
            (End of Volume 7.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                            Page 279
               REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2 THE STATE OF TEXAS *
   COUNTY OF COLLIN *
         I, Lisa M. Renfro, Official Court Reporter in and
   for the 380th District Court of Collin County, State of Texas,
   do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true
   and correct transcription of all portions of evidence and
   other proceedings requested in writing by counsel for the
   parties to be included in this volume of the Reporter's
   Record, in the above-styled and -numbered cause, all of which
   occurred in open court or in chambers and were reported by me.
         I further certify that this Reporter's Record of the
10 proceedings truly and correctly reflects the exhibits, if any,
   offered by the respective parties.
         I further certify that the total cost for the
   preparation of this Reporter's Record is contained in
   Volume 53 and was paid by Collin County.
13
14
15
        WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND this the
16 January, 2003;
17
18
             Lisa M. Renfro, Texas CSR #4534
             Official Court Reporter, 380th District Court
19
             Collin County, Texas
20
             Collin County Courthouse
             210 S. McDonald Street
             McKinney, Texas 75069
21
             Tel. Number: 972/424-1460, ext. 4661
23
             CSR Cert. No. 4534
```

Expires: December 31, 2002

24 25 .!

6.71-21	0	279:20	8:30 151:11	ge 1 92:16 94:7 98:9	273:3,6,11	act 31:18 32:2,4,5
000787459 2.44 06256 0736 08069350 2.13						
0008059260 2:12			00 201.23			
9869506 2:13		1	0			
1		i e	<u> </u>			119:15 120:10
1	1	i e				120:10
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	09003030 2.13				i e	
14-14-1222 176-314 177-24-14-1460 124-19-136-18 136-15-20-25 172-3 100-71-21-12 279-81		1)	
3	1 4.14 122.2					
131-151-520-25 172-31 29 50-3 129-21 132-19 absent 137-25 absolutely 10:23 201-21		,			I .	1
1723						
100 204:16 242:246:68 23 138:15 23 238:15			99 30.3		I .	
3		200.20				1
106 3.8.9 3 8.1 59-23 3 18.1 59-23 32 125:11 37-21 31-72 30 17.232 47:22 320-1 25:61 24:15 44:13 31 279-23 324 2:8 308-80047-01 12 360		3				
100 100						
11 27:21 31:5 76:16 127:19 76:				1		
7-76-16 127-19 7-730-1 256-6 7-72-7 108:3 134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-134-5.6 138-131-12.12 136-16-2.02 136-12-2.12 136-16-2.02 136-12-2.12 136-16-2.02 136-12-2.12 136-16-2.02 136-12-2.12 136-16-2.12 138-16-2.12 13			1			
2 2001 256:6 27:25 24:15						1
12 4:15 44:13 31 279:23 310:25 100:25 310:25 100:25 310:31 316:16 130:16 120:25 380:80047-01 1:2 380:800-01 1:2 380:800-0						
1863:81,12.12 136:16.20.25 149:18.23.212 276:18 44.19.218.8 44.5 242.23 53:16.224 149:18.8 44.5 242.23 53:16.224 149:18.8 44.5 242.23 53:16.224 149:18.18 149:18.8 44.5 242.23 53:16.224 149:18.18 149:18.8 44.5 242.23 53:16.224 169:16.16.18 169:16.25 169:16.18 187:14 187:14 189:18 189:18 189:18 189:18 189:18 189:18 189:18 189:18 189:18 189:18 189:18 189:18 189:18 189:28 189:18 189:28 189:29 189:19 189:19						1
136:16.20.25 149:18 232:12 276:14 13 140:6 149:18 232:12 276:14 13 140:6 144:18 124:3 147:39 147:39 148:572:3 148:						
1361-6.20.25 1491-18 232:12 276:14 31 140.6 44 19 218:8 44 19 218:8 44 19 218:8 44 19 218:8 44 19 218:8 44 19 218:8 44 19 218:8 44 19 218:8 44 19 218:8 44 19 218:8 44 19 218:8 44 19 218:8 44 19 19 19 19 19 19 19						
149-18 232-12 276-114 31 140-6 4		1				1
21:20 29:4						
13 140.6 4 4.19 218.8 4 4.45 242.23 531 62.24 62.15 74.21 74		103.10 2/9.3,19				
14 4:19 218:8		l ————				
14th 87:14						
14th 57:14 15 57:21 16 3:5 4:12 5:5 164:16,18 166:1 179:21 179:18 173:21 150:19 164:17 179-page 151:4 179						
18 57:21 16 31:5 4:12 5:5 164:16,18 166:1 168 78:15 179:23 4661 279:23 4661 279:21 479:29 479:2	1	I .				
163:54:12.5:5	•					
164:16,18 166:1 168 78:15 169:16,22 164:17 13:21 150:19 164:17 17-page 151:4 1						
168 78:15 17 13:21 150:19 164:17 17-pag 151:4 17-pag 151:4 5 224:25 252:5 248:18 21 2183:3,10 183:11,12,13,14 248:27 275:9 277:14 2001 1:12 3:2	•					
17 13:21 150:19 164:17 17-page 151:4 151:8 151:21:12 183:17 184:34 186:1.6 183:17 184:34 186:1.6 183:17 184:34 186:1.6 183:17 184:34 186:1.6 183:17 184:34 186:1.6 183:17 184:34 186:1.6 183:17 184:34 186:1.6 183:17 184:34 186:1.6 183:17 184:34 186:1.6 183:17 184:34 186:1.6 183:17 184:34 182:2 189:23 189:24 199:9 136:17 189:24 199:9 138:20 258:22 271:16 189:24 199:9 138:20 258:22 17:13 27:13 196:62 206:4	164:16,18 166:1					
164:17 17-pag 151:4 15:4 15:1 17-pag 151:4 17-pag 151:4 15:1 15:1 15:1 17-pag 1						
17-page 151:4 17-year 166:1 17-year 166:7 17-year 18-year						
Tr-year 166:1 17841800 2:3 5 1:18 3:2 42:21	l.	4th 74:4 277:6,21			ı	
17841800 2:3 18-year 165:2 25:12 278:9 9:13;21 10:4;10 189:21 189:23 136:13 259:9 add 196:6 251:21 278:9 191 3:9 190:6 57:21 5:00 244:19 5:30 278:8 14:25 15:11,12 196:22 198:17 196:50 294:25 177:13,22,23 20:16;24,25 20:26 206:4 20:25 25:22 209:19 21:3 20:26 206:4 20:25 25:22 209:19 21:3 20:26 206:4 20:25 25:22 20:34 23:45,13 20:16;24,25 20:35 25:22 20:39 8 4:25 5:4 548-4361 1:18 1996 4:1						
18-year 165:2 189 107:20 5:00 244:19 10:18 13:8 193:6 194:6 193:6 194:6 193:8 193:20 244:19 191 3:9 196 57:21 196th 151:1 73:6,6 93:25 217:23 17:2,3 20:16,24,25 20:19,2113 20:16,24,25 20:16,24,25 20:19,2113 20:16,24,25 20:16,24,25 20:19,2113 20:16,24,25 20:16,24,25 20:19,2113 20:16,24,25 20:19,2113 20:16,24,25 20:19,2113 20:16,24,25 20:19,2113 20:16,24,25 20:19,2113 20:16,24,25 20:19,2113 20:19,2113 20:16,24,25 20:19,2113 20:19,2113 20:19,2113 20:16,24,25 20:19,2113	1 •					
189 107:20			1 ' '			
191 3.9 1966 57:21 530 278:8 50 1:1 72:3,15,16 73:6,6 93:25 117:13,22,23 279:12 23:25 25:22 20:6,206:4	, •					
1966 57:21 196th 151:1 73:6,6 93:25 2016,24,25 202:6 206:4 208:21 209:3,5 2018:201:1 202:21 1983 85:23 1983 85:23 1984 4:15 202:2 1985 4:20 1987 4:23 5:2 1988 4:25 5:4 1988 4:25 5:4 1988 4:25 5:4 1988 4:25 5:4 1988 4:25 5:4 1988 4:25 5:4 1988 4:25 5:4 1988 4:25 5:4 1988 4:25 5:4 1988 4:25 5:4 1988 4:25 5:4 1996 4:18 1996 4:18 1996 4:18 1996 4:18 1996 4:18 1996 4:18 1996 4:18 1996 59 3:8 56 277:6 576 6						
196th 151:1 1980s 200:22 117:13,22,23 123:4 23:4,5,13 202:6 206:4 251:16,25 252:4 accident 46:38 216:22 209:15,18,22 26:21 28:4 30:8 212:19 214:12 215:2,14,16 226:7 218:4 20:17 23:5 25:22 226:17 28:4 30:8 216:22 23:5 25:22 226:18 22:11 20:17 23:5 248-4661 1:18 33:6,20,23,25 227:16 248-4661 1:18 33:6,20,23,25 227:16,21 245:12 245:12 245:12 20 30:17 106:4 318:23 151:5 218:8 266:14 20 30:17 31:2, 20 20:18 22:11 20 30:17 106:4 20 279:16,23 20 279:16,23 20 279:16,23 20 279:16,23 20 279:16,23 20 279:16,23 20 279:16,23 20 279:16,23 20 279:16,23 20 279:16,23 20 279:16,23 20 210 1:18 2:8 210 1:18 2:8 3:14 8-double 4:3 8-double 4:3 8-double 4:3 8-double 4:3 8-double 4:3 20:16,24,25 20:26:206:4 20:26:206:4 20:16,24 20:16,24 20:16,24 20:10:206:4 20:10:206:4 20:10:206:4 20:10:206:4 20:10:206:4 20:10:206:4 20:10:206:4 20:10:209:3:13 20:10:4				•		
1980 200:22 117:13,22,23 27:34 23:4,5,13 208:21 209:3,5 209:19 211:3 209:3,5 209:19 211:3 209:3,5 209:19 211:3 209:3,5 209:19 211:3 209:3,5 209:19 211:3 209:3,5 209:19 211:3 209:19 209:19 209:3 200:29 209:19 200:20 209:19 209:3 209:20 209:19 209:3 209:20 209:19 209:3 209:19 209:19 209:19 209:19 209:19 209:19 209:19 209:19 209:19 209:19 209:19 209:19 209:19 209:19 209:19						
1983 85:23 1984 4:15 20:22 1984 4:15 20:22 279:12 20:21 21:19 21:12 22:19 21:19 21:12 22:19 21:19						
1984 4:15 202:2 1985 4:20		117:13,22,23	21:3,4 23:4,5,13			
1985 4:20						
1987 4:23 5:2 1988 4:25 5:4 1996 4:18 56 277:6 548-4661 1:18 33:6,20,23,25 220:18 221:11 20:22:4 94:2,5 245:12 20:30:17 106:4 118:2,3 151:5 218:8 266:14 20-minute 277:16 200 150:25 277:14 2001 1:12 3:2 2002 279:16,23 201 3:10 2002 279:16,23 201 3:11 205 3:12 209 3:13 21 164:20 273:20 210 1:18 2:8 3:14 8-double 4:3 8-double 4:3 8-double 4:3 8-double 4:3 31:25 32:8,9 31:25 32:8,9 31:25 32:8,9 33:6,20,23,25 217:25 219:2,7 165:10,21 according 21:11						
1988 4:25 5:4 1996 4:18 199th 7:3 103:15 1st 275:9						
1996 4:18 199th 7:3 103:15 1st 275:9 2 136:8 244:22 245:12 2 245:12 2 20 30:17 106:4 118:2,3 151:5 218:8 266:14 20 277:14 2001 1:12 3:2 277:14 2001 1:12 3:2 277:14 2001 2:12 3:10 2:10 3:10 3:10 2:10 3:10 3:10 2:10 3:11 2:10 3:12 2:10 3:12 2:10 3:12 2:10 3:12 2:10 3:12 2:10 3:13 2:10 3:13 2:1 164:20 273:20 210 1:18 2:8 3:18 8-double 4:3 8-double 4:3 8-double 4:3 3:8 8-double 4:3 3:18 34:22 35:5 36:9 34:22 35:5 36:9 34:22 35:5 36:9 34:22 35:5 36:9 34:22 35:5 36:9 34:22 35:5 36:9 34:22 35:5 36:9 34:22 35:5 36:9 34:22 35:5 36:9 34:22 35:5 36:9 34:22 35:5 36:9 34:22 35:5 36:9 34:22 35:5 36:9 34:22 35:5 36:9 34:22 35:5 36:9 32:7 39:16,21,24 39:17 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:17 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24 39:16,21,24						
199th 7:3 103:15						
Sth 74:4						
39:16,21,24						
2 3 40:4,20,24 40:4,20,24 231:12,14,20 228:4 233:3 account 133:5 adjust 19:25 20:10 admirable 266:21 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:1	1st 2/5:9	5th 74:4				
2 136:8 244:22 245:12 6 3:5,8,9,11,12,14 3:18 41:13 42:10 43:17 46:3,19 47:1,2,16,18,19 47:1,2,16,18,19 47:1,2,16,18,19 48:21,25 49:1,2 218:8 266:14 20-minute 277:16 200 150:25 277:14 2001 1:12 3:2 2002 279:16,23 201 3:10 204 3:11,11 205 3:12 209 3:13 21 164:20 273:20 210 1:18 2:8 3:14 41:13 42:10 43:17 46:3,19 47:1,2,16,18,19 47:1,2,16,18,19 47:1,2,16,18,19 47:1,2,16,18,19 47:1,2,16,18,19 47:1,2,16,18,19 47:1,2,16,18,19 48:21,25 49:1,2 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:22 58:4 50:21 52:1,21 50:22 58:4 50:21 52:1,21 50:22 58:4 50:21 52:1,21 50:22 58:4 50:21 52:1,21 50:22 58:4 50:21 52:1,21 50:22 58:4 50:21 52:1,21 50:22 58:4 50:21 52:1,21 50:22 58:4 50:21 52:1,21 50:22 58:1 52:1,21 50:22 58:1 52:1,21 50:22 58:1 52:1,21 50:22 58:1 52:1,21 50:22 58:1 52:1,21 50:22 58:1 52:1,21 50:22 58:1 52:1,21 50:22 58:1 52:1,21 50:22 58:1 52:1,21 50:22 58:1 52:1,21 50:22 58:1 52:1,21 50:22 58:1 52:1,21 50:22 58:1 52:1,21 50:22 58:1 52:1,21 50:22 58:1 52:1,21 50:22 58:1 52:1,21						
245:12 20 30:17 106:4 118:2,3 151:5 218:8 266:14 20-minute 277:16 200 150:25 277:14 2001 1:12 3:2 2002 279:16,23 201 3:10 204 3:11,11 205 3:12 209 3:13 21 164:20 273:20 210 1:18 2:8 3:14 211 64:20 273:20 210 1:18 2:8 3:14 245:17 46:3,19 43:17 46:3,19 43:17 46:3,19 47:1,2,16,18,19 48:21,25 49:1,2 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 241:15 242:13 245:16 247:4,6 240:24 241:11 241:15 242:13 245:16 247:4,6 240:24 241:11 241:15 242:13 245:16 247:4,6 240:24 241:11 241:15 242:13 245:16 247:4,6 247:20,23 247:20,23 249:21,22,25 25:19 40:21 25:19 40:21 25:19 40:21 25:19 40:21 25:19 40:21 25:19 40:21 25:11 47:1 25:12 260:2,3 266:6,19 26:7 266:9,12 267:3 266:9,12 267:3 266:9,12 267:3 267:10 268:25 269:10 270:16 270:17,20 271:3 271:13 272:10 271:13 272:10 272:14 3:18 28:20 2001 1:18 2:8 2001 1:18 2:8 270:17,20 271:3 271:13 272:10 275:14 73:68:13 271:13 272:10 275:14 73:68:13 275:14 73:18:28 275:14	2					
20 30:17 106:4 118:2,3 151:5 218:8 266:14 20-minute 277:16 200 150:25 277:14 2001 1:12 3:2 2002 279:16,23 201 3:10 204 3:11,11 205 3:12 209 3:13 21 164:20 273:20 210 1:18 2:8 3:14 20 30:17 106:4 6:30 17:23 600 256:17 60s 82:10 66 57:21,25 47:1,2,16,18,19 48:21,25 49:1,2 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:21 52:1,21 50:22 58:4 60:22 64:16,23 66:20,23 68:2 66:20,23 68	1					*
18:2,3 151:5 218:8 266:14 20-minute 277:16 200 150:25 277:14 201 1:12 3:2 2002 279:16,23 201 3:10 204 3:11,11 205 3:12 209 3:13 21 164:20 273:20 210 1:18 2:8 3:14 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:6 3:10 3:	1					
218:8 266:14 20-minute 277:16 200 150:25 277:14 2001 1:12 3:2 2002 279:16,23 201 3:10 204 3:11,11 205 3:12 209 3:13 21 164:20 273:20 210 1:18 2:8 3:14 201 1:18 2:8 3:14 202 273:20 210 1:18 2:8 3:14 203 150:25 275:16 204 241:15 242:13 241:15 242:13 245:16 247:4,6 247:20,23 249:21,22,25 249:21,22,25 249:21,22,25 249:21,22,25 249:21,22,25 249:21,22,25 249:21,22,25 249:21,22,25 25:19 40:21 25:19 40:2						
20-minute 277:16 66 57:21,25 53:15,22,25 245:16 247:4,6 143:11 accused 22:22 214:21,21 215:7 200 150:25 7 55:16,16,25 247:20,23 249:21,22,25 25:19 40:21 admit 114:24 115:1 241:3 200 279:16,23 75 49:20 66:20,23 68:2 66:20,23 68:2 260:6,19 262:7 156:11 175:2,3 156:11 175:2,3 265:6 admitted 114:15 197:25 204 3:11,11 205 3:12 66:20,23 68:2 66:20,23 68:2 266:9,12 267:3 54:5 accusing 24:8 197:25 209 3:13 8 76:6,9 79:10 26:9,12 267:3 269:10 270:16 269:10 270:16 269:10 270:16 269:10 270:16 270:17,20 271:3 271:13 272:10 271:13						
200 150:25 277:14 200 150:25 277:14 247:20,23 accused 22:22 214:21,21 215:7 200 1:12 3:2 75 49:20 60:22 64:16,23 62:24 65:13 250:14 255:4,11 107:18 147:1 107:18 147:1 115:1 241:3 201 3:10 279:21 66:20,23 68:2 66:20,23 68:2 260:6,19 262:7 260:6,19 262:7 260:9,12 267:3 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>						
277:14 7 56:22 58:4 249:21,22,25 25:19 40:21 admit 114:24 2001 1:12 3:2 75 49:20 60:22 64:16,23 250:14 255:4,11 107:18 147:1 115:1 241:3 201 3:10 75069 1:18 2:8 66:20,23 68:2 66:20,23 68:2 260:6,19 262:7 260:6,19 262:7 175:4 accusing 24:8 197:25 205 3:12 75075 2:16 8 3:8 8 3:8 83:7,22 84:24 269:10 270:16 269:10 270:16 269:10 270:16 270:17,20 271:3 acquittal 190:20 admit 114:24 206:20,23 68:2 206:20,23 68:2 266:20,23 68:2 266:9,12 267:3 <th< td=""><td></td><td>66 57:21,25</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>		66 57:21,25				
2001 1:12 3:2 2002 279:16,23 60:22 64:24 65:13 201 3:10 279:21 66:20,23 26:20 26:12 260:6,19 26:27 204 3:11,11 25075 2:16 69:24 71:11,14 263:9,22 264:22 266:9,12 267:3 266:9,12 269:10 279:25 260:10 279:25 260:10 270:17 206:9:10 270:17 206:9:10 270:17 206:9:10 270:17 206:9:10 270:17 270:16 270:17 206:9:10 270:17 270:16 270:17 206:9:10 270:17 270:16 270:17 270:16 270:17						
2001 279:16,23 75069 1:18 2:8 64:24 65:13 256:12 260:2,3 156:11 175:2,3 265:6 admitted 114:15 201 3:10 279:21 66:20,23 68:2 66:20,23 68:2 260:6,19 262:7 263:9,22 264:22 266:9,12 267:3 266:9,12 267		7				
2002 279:16,23 75069 1:18 2:8 64:24 65:13 230:12 200:2,3 175:4 260:6,19 262:7 175:4 260:6,19 262:7 260:9,12 267:3 260:9,12 267:3 260:9,12 267:3 260:9,12 267:3 260:10 270:16 260:10 270:16 260:10 270:16 260:10 270:16		75 49:20				
201 3:10 279:21 66:20,23 68:2 260:6,19 26:17 175:4 accusing 24:8 197:25 204 3:11,11 75075 2:16 75:14 73:6,8.13 76:6,9 79:10 266:9,12 267:3 54:5 acquit 212:21 admitted 114:13 209 3:13 8 3:8 83:7,22 84:24 269:10 270:16 acquittal 190:20 advance 105:23 21 164:20 273:20 8 3:8 8:6 88:16 270:17,20 271:3 271:13 272:10 117:5 admitted 114:13	2002 279:16,23					
204 3:11,11 75075 2:16 69:24 71:11,14 265:9,12 264:22 accusing 24:8 197:23 205 3:12 8 266:9,12 267:3 267:10 268:25 acquit 212:21 admonition 201:1 21 164:20 273:20 8 3:8 8:6 88:16 270:17,20 271:3 across 71:13 advance 105:23 21 17:5 advantage 234:5	201 3:10					
205 3:12 72:14 73:6,8.13 266:9,12 267:3 34:5 acquit 212:21 adminition 201:1 209 3:13 8 3:8 8 3:8 83:7,22 84:24 269:10 270:16 acquittal 190:20 advance 105:23 21 1:18 2:8 3:14 8-double 4:3 85:6 88:16 270:17,20 271:3 117:5 adminition 201:1	204 3:11,11					
209 3:13 8 76:6,9 79:10 267:10 268:25 acquit 212:21 adulterate 229:13 21 164:20 273:20 8 3:8 8-double 4:3 269:10 270:16 acquittal 190:20 advance 105:23 270:17,20 271:3 271:13 272:10 117:5 advantage 234:5	205 3:12			,		
21 164:20 273:20 210 1:18 2:8 3:14 8 3:8 8-double 4:3 83:7,22 84:24 85:6 88:16 270:17,20 271:3 271:13 272:10 acquittal 190:20 advantage 234:5 advantages		8				
210 1:18 2:8 3:14 8-double 4:3 85:6 88:16 270:17,20 271:3 across 71:13 advantage 234.3 advantages						
2/1:13 2/2:10 11/:5 advantages			85:6 88:16			

		Pa	ge 2		•
137:22	aggravating	180:12	58:25	131:13 132:7,9	200:11 202:11
affect 47:3 116:20	47:10,15 136:5	almost 10:2 11:20	annoyed 205:17	166:25 168:5	209:5,19 212:13
160:6 171:7	137:15,17	12:5,7 15:14	another 11:14	222:15,16	215:6 217:13
176:19 271:1 affected 104:17	179:25 233:20	24:19,20 28:14	12:3,8 13:9,23	249:11,17	220:6 223:24
126:3 205:18	234:20,25 235:7 263:4 269:23,24	89:20,22 99:12 106:17 112:4,14	21:10 30:22 41:20 42:9	250:14,20 answers 58:5	230:5 232:9
affiliation 148:13	270:19 271:13	113:17 121:7	43:11 60:9,9	77:16 82:9	236:18,24 240:17 241:4,17
affirmative 25:7	271:14,17	128:2 129:21	62:21 67:5	87:22 93:11	247:10 258:13
250:19	ago 17:3 33:14	132:16 134:9,10	80:23 82:1,2	132:10,22,23	266:23,24
affirmatively	42:15 43:19	137:19 145:9	83:1 84:14	141:15 145:17	268:16,17,25
252:3	44:4 58:4 81:19	194:15 212:13	103:23 109:9	150:9 151:9	278:4
affirmed 276:18	103:13 104:8	215:6,8 230:7	113:15 137:9	173:18,24	anyway 17:8
after 25:21,22	106:14 121:19	231:6 232:14	153:4 163:17	183:21 211:15	28:10,14 45:11
48:20 62:22	131:19 220:21	235:5 265:19	180:8 181:20,21	anticipate 7:15	53:16 86:22
64:15 76:20 93:3 122:5	agree 6:13 11:11	alone 252:18	184:1 189:2	43:14,15 162:6 249:24	116:3,9 138:16
130:19 144:12	22:3,24 23:21 29:7 32:23	along 7:14 35:9 60:22 68:9	194:1 216:14 220:15,19	anticipated 43:11	269:5 276:16,23 apart 69:5 108:11
154:20 245:8	63:19 88:2 92:4	89:23 102:5	222:11,11	antidepressants	apologize 23:2
248:12	96:5 108:24	127:19 176:3	226:14 229:11	157:12	37:10 80:3
afternoon 145:6	114:10,10 118:7	192:19 228:2	233:18,19 246:3	Antonio 4:20,23	102:24 106:14
203:18,19	136:12,12	already 7:6,20	259:16 260:2	5:2,4 244:6	122:4 178:11
245:19	163:14,24	20:4 38:14	270:17 277:5,6	anybody 13:23	apologizing 29:6
afterwards	165:13 169:7	55:24 73:10	answer 8:6 18:9	18:11 21:3 23:8	appall 126:2
217:21	171:6 183:23	80:23 81:14,20	24:14,15 38:9	26:18 34:24	appalled 124:9
again 5:23 7:13	184:3 193:14	82:13 83:4,6,11	40:14,14 41:25	36:7 37:11	apparently 78:12
9:19 25:17 33:1 38:13 55:17	197:17,17,18,18 198:3 210:22	84:6,7 92:22 150:8 151:6	42:2 43:12 46:18 51:15	38:22,24 39:9 42:25,25 52:4	88:2,3 appear 275:17
57:11 63:3 66:2	213:2 225:14,21	162:14 163:7	55:25 64:25	52:12 67:19,20	appeared 275:15
73:20 76:22,24	228:10,12 234:9	167:23 199:11	66:4,5 67:2	77:20 89:22	appears 109:10
88:25 95:21	235:18 250:8,11	199:12 204:11	76:13 79:6	101:17 117:2	appellate 91:13
122:3,4 127:14	252:17 263:3	204:15,18,22	80:21 87:7	124:16 142:1	157:2 275:23
134:10 141:23	265:1 272:5,6	229:18 251:8	91:13,14 94:15	143:19 157:5	276:3,13
141:24 145:6	276:1,16,17,23	alter 62:10	94:16 113:11	188:11 201:1	apple 238:11,14
148:16 153:17	276:24	alternative 205:9	114:18,19 115:9	202:16 204:3	applicable 215:21
155:23 158:9,23	agreed 183:24,25	although 8:4	116:19 117:20	205:14,16	application
163:12 168:22 170:25 171:20	agreement 3:11 3:13 202:18,20	70:24 80:9 125:25 130:7	121:1,14 126:10 126:12,14,15,18	216:23 231:4,24 232:1 240:10	127:17 233:5 applied 132:24,25
171:25 172:24	207:8	154:11 199:2	126:20 130:23	241:23 244:12	190:7
176:24 180:18	ahead 4:11 23:6	always 12:17	130:25 131:11	267:14 278:4	applies 75:20
181:24 183:19	25:16 26:24	14:14 35:4,6	132:1,3,15	anyhow 69:16	123:16,17
184:10,18	131:5 177:2	38:25 39:2	134:15,21,23	82:16	128:25 252:10
185:25 186:22	180:20 189:4	41:12 54:7 65:5	135:8 136:13,15	anymore 63:9	apply 15:13 25:1
198:13 199:11	208:3 239:20	66:19 105:4,5	136:25 146:23	70:7 113:23	49:10 130:8
216:3 226:22,25 230:10 246:3,17	268:11 270:13	121:10 132:24	155:6 166:13	206:8 230:3	140:10 222:8,12
248:5,10 251:1	aimed 37:15,16 230:23	155:3 160:25 161:1,5,5,11,12	173:22 177:11 184:25 185:3,4	248:6 256:21 257:13 264:4	222:13 applying 97:19
251:1,2 258:6	air 242:10	178:15 192:13	186:12,13	anyone 34:10	appreciate 58:3
260:2 261:4	airline 205:12	212:17 268:15	187:12 191:19	82:1 201:5	86:18,21 145:10
269:16 272:24	Albuquerque	ambiguous	197:22 198:1	210:23 224:2	149:24 169:8
against 54:17	149:6	117:11 118:15	210:13 211:11	241:25	242:2 274:12
55:1 82:3 106:2	alcohol 32:23	129:14	211:15 221:25	anything 4:7 5:7	appreciation
112:14 119:11	33:2,8 61:8,17	amenable 110:16	224:1 230:3,7	6:3,6 17:19	148:8
14,14 137:10 138:19	62:2,5,9 74:8,16 250:9,18	216:10 Amendment	230:14 239:24	21:25 24:24 25:9 27:12	approach 22:25
181:2 182:19,20	230.9,18 alive 14:24	87:14,14	246:7,9 247:1 248:7 251:5	29:23 36:13	55:11,22 65:23 75:6 79:25 80:2
195:21 198:7,24	all-around	America 11:13	252:7,20 254:1	44:7 47:18,20	113:15 123:3
199:6,9,13,15	208:17	118:20	265:6 268:11	49:13 53:10	129:19 130:13
205:19 212:5	allege 11:5	AMF 63:24	271:8,10 275:5	55:17 56:13,25	approached
219:15,16,18	alleged 113:5	amount 114:11	275:18	66:18 76:23	49:12 87:6
222:21 231:8	147:6 163:21	213:3 228:15	answered 51:24	85:6,11,15 86:1	approaching
233:4 254:21	213:15	amounts 96:2	56:5 112:16	87:17 97:23	127:18
262:9 264:18	alleges 154:3	amusing 105:1	133:1 134:20	101:18 106:25	appropriate 51:2
270:21,22 271:1	allocation 231:8	209:3,4 227:10	172:3 215:19	121:23 123:10	80:8 132:23
age 11:24 46:20 140:2,6 166:2	allow 18:16 36:16 176:3 264:22	analogize 13:15 analysis 132:1	221:5 226:21 248:1 249:25	138:15,16 143:12 154:8	133:4 151:16 152:19,22 153:1
242:13	268:7	213:17	254:19 271:7	161:9 162:6	154:13,17
ages 218:7	allowed 181:9	anecdotal 110:7	272:16	171:23 176:25	172:14 173:19
aggravate 246:23	187:15 265:5	angle 112:8	answering 38:8	178:6 179:8,11	214:19 245:14
270:16	allowing 271:19	animal-like	53:3 73:15 79:5	186:6 189:24	262:17 263:19
aggravated	allows 62:25	131:16	92:3,20 115:2	191:4 193:21	approved 206:21
112:24	alluded 79:4	announced 58:7	115:13 131:12	195:3 196:9	apt 222:13
The tipe year to the AT SPECE CONTRACT STREET, AND THE SPECE	and the special contradiction in the	The same of the sa	property and the second	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	a karangan ayar da karangan karangan da karangan karangan da karangan karangan da karangan da karangan karang

		Pa	ige 3		
area 29:15 34:17	185:2 187:10	attention 48:1	122:2,11,16	126:10	behalf 24:12 29:6
37:18 84:19	191:8 217:18	204:13 205:24	134:10,10	bank 26:23 253:1	37:10 243:13
152:10 171:17	230:8,13 235:15	206:3,11 239:21	147:15 153:1	253:4	behaved 62:15
198:15 243:14	235:25 241:4	attitude 189:14	157:24 162:12		
				Baptist 257:6,8	behaving 178:17
246:4	242:14 255:3	attorney 6:25	163:22 164:19	257:10,10,12,13	behavior 33:3
areas 10:11 21:22	262:16 264:24	7:11 59:10	165:7 166:23	258:2	121:3,5 162:25
24:25 56:21	270:11 271:2,3	243:10,20 244:1	168:3 170:5	base 96:24 125:13	163:5,6 167:3
109:24	271:4,4,5,21	244:13 275:23	171:8 186:13	165:14	behind 37:14
aren't 18:5 50:16	272:13	276:4	198:23 199:18	baseball 20:14,21	65:12 68:8
91:5 134:16	asks 142:4 163:13	Attorney's	199:19 200:2	125:21	
					167:25
150:3 224:19	188:11 270:9	243:12	202:10 205:2	based 4:12 66:21	behold 87:7
228:11 245:3	aspect 124:10	attorneys 2:2,7	218:2 221:15	79:7 87:5	257:20
260:12	141:2 142:19,23	2:11 4:4,5 23:16	222:19,20 230:8	115:10 132:9	beholder 28:18
argue 28:10	aspects 49:10	122:13,13 129:6	244:22 247:3	152:16 165:21	269:9
36:22 141:17	assassin 60:25	146:13 203:12	248:4,7,10,12	167:7 186:21	beige 208:12
158:24 199:1	assault 119:4	204:2 208:10		188:5 198:23	
E I	_		249:7,10 253:16		beige-colored
arguing 91:5	assertion 175:24	212:1 243:14	266:3,4 267:22	221:3 249:2	103:20
argument 78:23	assess 80:10	244:6	273:22,23	basically 62:10	being 13:23 15:4
107:15,16	211:14,14	audience 104:24	274:11	69:23 200:19	26:18 32:12
108:23 109:12	assessment 116:4	118:14	backbone 218:15	bat 253:13	33:6 43:6 48:15
150:16,18	132:6	August 1:12 3:2	background	bathtub 74:19	49:10 61:4
153:13	assigned 7:3,5	274:19	39:14 46:11	battle 199:13	71:25 84:17
		1		1	
arguments	103:15,18	authority 206:22	52:20,22 66:7	battles 162:3	93:12 98:3,5,9
219:18	203:21 243:14	244:1 264:12	115:1 133:15	Beach 10:9	102:6 107:23
arises 113:13	assistance 264:1	authorization	140:25 147:21	bearing 48:11	109:3 112:5
arm 98:1	Assistant 2:7 6:25	44:10	162:24 171:11	97:23	113:14,22
armchair 218:24	208:9	authorize 264:8	171:13 174:20	bears 270:20	125:10 130:2
Army 156:2	assistants 116:2	auto 165:3	175:14 176:8,17	beat 227:1	132:21 135:7
around 45:24	associate 63:4,9	automatic 8:11	177:5 178:1,8		
				beating 16:19	152:23 153:19
65:14,16 68:9	ASSOCIATES	8:13 27:23,24	178:10,11,12	31:17 33:24 D-44: 227-2	158:13,17 180:1
72:3 105:22	2:15	27:24 49:8,9	180:23 181:3,12	Beatting 227:3	181:14 193:6
171:6 172:25	association 121:7	93:3 101:14	181:14,17 182:6	become 29:3	198:24 205:9
175:1 176:14	assume 17:6,7,9	102:8 111:7	182:14 183:4,6	137:19 248:5	208:14 210:24
187:4 219:6	27:18,18 52:1	134:22 229:19	192:23 193:4	251:1 257:21	217:1,2 219:2
224:2 231:4	109:16 120:24	230:4	195:1 198:11	258:10 259:10	225:10 227:1,16
232:23 238:4	133:19 144:5	automatically	199:5 215:2	260:4,5 269:25	228:6 229:8
arrest 26:22	152:13 165:3	93:9 126:13,14	216:6 230:18	becomes 106:7	233:15 235:1
1 1					
arrested 4:20,23	171:20 186:7	automobile 164:8	232:11 236:20	127:5 129:9	241:9 252:22
5:3,4 95:3	187:10 189:18	available 43:24	253:14 254:11	160:4 170:18	258:5 259:13
art 148:10	189:19,21 194:9	124:25 198:20	254:16,25	275:19	260:1 268:14
articulate 179:1	204:24 250:8	average 111:22	255:18 261:15	beer 74:7 90:22	271:13,16
arts 148:8	assumed 106:23	130:25 159:21	bad 4:17 11:8	before 1:13 4:9	beings 20:23
ashamed 273:7,8	assumes 248:7	195:8 197:21	17:17 33:15	5:9,15 19:23	belief 192:9
Asia 14:2	assuming 25:4	avoid 44:10 88:17	44:22 46:17	23:22 28:7 32:9	beliefs 81:25 84:8
aside 26:8	27:22 30:5	88:22 124:25	62:15 64:16		
				39:25 44:3	84:10,19 85:1
asked 6:3 9:14	209:18 210:4	132:5 186:8	68:12 69:1,5,7	53:24 80:4,25	148:15 218:18
18:4 29:12	226:1 245:4	awaiting 95:8	69:13,24 79:12	104:2 108:16	218:18,18 267:3
33:13 59:25	249:24 266:9	128:2	83:20 90:23	111:4,12 128:1	believe 5:6 8:9
66:14 71:11	277:8,17	awarded 69:17	98:2 104:14	138:12 151:13	9:3,20,23 11:2
80:4 81:1 88:20	assumption	aware 200:24	115:7 133:13	153:3 154:24	12:11 14:13
104:10 107:8,9	111:20 127:24	243:22	137:15 145:23	157:25 167:12	22:9 32:9,18
115:9 116:18	186:9 189:10	away 7:25 25:17	156:9,13 170:22	172:24 173:8	41:4,10 61:8
142:20,22	245:8 250:25	48:21 70:21	182:19 190:2	184:14 188:2,21	80:7 82:15
149:20 150:7	251:2,14,19	257:20			
1			193:9,14,19,21	191:22 194:1	84:18,21 86:5
179:14 185:10	assumptions	awful 19:24 47:3	214:20,23,24	200:11 205:2	93:2 94:20,21
197:7 208:22	168:18,23	52:8 95:1 108:1	215:2 227:1,3,8	211:18 220:8,20	95:24 98:12
209:11 221:2,6	191:11	132:8 195:21,24	227:11,17 228:4	222:22 225:9	103:24 106:13
236:18 240:19	assure 27:5,8	224:7,8 227:14	229:4 231:13	231:25 236:18	106:14,17
247:6 265:23,24	233:2	231:2	235:3 240:24	238:24,25 239:2	115:20 123:5,15
1	Aston 274:15	· .	258:21,21,24	241:18 254:6,17	131:12 140:10
	atheist 260:22	В	badly 91:7	254:19 255:1,3	171:18 172:13
1 1	atmosphere				
		B 114:1 165:15	BAILIFF 122:9	256:1 257:10	172:23 173:18
36:14 43:20	209:8	262:22	122:19	266:8 267:24	175:18 179:24
44:19 53:11	atrocious 219:1	back 7:15 13:22	bailiffs 91:23	275:25 276:14	186:4 203:23
	attempt 250:19	16:22 28:6 34:6	balance 108:8,25	beforehand 88:16	204:12 205:15
			176:11	begging 68:18	205:18,22,24
97:1 120:14	attempting	49:20 55:12			
		49:20 55:12 58:3.24 69:8	balanced 148:3	begin 82:10	206:11 215:24
97:1 120:14 122:17 127:24	attempting 262:24 263:6	58:3,24 69:8	balanced 148:3 ball 21:7 7 125:12	begin 82:10 226:23	206:11 215:24
97:1 120:14 122:17 127:24 128:3 134:10	attempting 262:24 263:6 272:13	58:3,24 69:8 71:10 76:16	ball 21:7,7 125:12	226:23	218:1,1,16
97:1 120:14 122:17 127:24 128:3 134:10 150:16 159:9	attempting 262:24 263:6 272:13 attend 64:10	58:3,24 69:8 71:10 76:16 82:9 85:22	ball 21:7,7 125:12 125:17,17	226:23 beginning 62:6	218:1,1,16 219:10,25 232:1
97:1 120:14 122:17 127:24 128:3 134:10 150:16 159:9 162:24 163:24	attempting 262:24 263:6 272:13 attend 64:10 attended 257:1	58:3,24 69:8 71:10 76:16 82:9 85:22 86:23 88:10	ball 21:7,7 125:12 125:17,17 Ballard 274:24	226:23 beginning 62:6 85:21	218:1,1,16 219:10,25 232:1 233:13 238:19
97:1 120:14 122:17 127:24 128:3 134:10 150:16 159:9 162:24 163:24	attempting 262:24 263:6 272:13 attend 64:10	58:3,24 69:8 71:10 76:16 82:9 85:22	ball 21:7,7 125:12 125:17,17	226:23 beginning 62:6	218:1,1,16 219:10,25 232:1

		Pa	ige 4		
258:5,6,7,12,19	199:13	158:21 162:11	buddy 152:7	calling 125:17	186:4,21 189:14
258:23,23 259:8	biased 198:24	179:25 200:19	build 107:19	126:10 160:18	189:19,20 191:2
260:5 262:15,18	bible 218:19	205:18 207:6	building 156:4	calls 179:4 180:16	191:17 195:16
264:25 268:5,14	256:23	208:14,16	252:22	came 1:12 9:8	201:9 203:21
268:19,19,20,21			bunch 45:14 69:7		
	big 13:4 48:22	214:20 215:2		28:5 33:14	208:10 209:18
269:14 270:15	101:17 102:5	233:2 261:24	153:9 165:4	68:13 111:4	210:4,25 211:9
271:6,16 273:10	154:6 241:1,1,2	272:4	242:14	132:18 134:5	212:18 214:17
believed 65:5	277:24	bother 149:11	burden 23:11,12	155:2 167:7	214:23 218:25
90:7 105:9	biggest 245:1	bothers 11:8	25:2,13,24	175:13 201:6	220:11,14,18,22
believer 98:14	Biggs 274:16	bottle 74:21	26:11 54:5,14	218:2,9 219:21	221:20 223:13
believes 90:2	bill 2:3 6:23	bottom 23:17	56:20 66:15,17	220:8 257:11	223:16,20,22
93:13 213:19	71:18 88:16	82:12 138:1	69:12,14 70:20	266:23 276:14	224:2,25 225:6
231:16	103:12 151:25	181:1 246:7	70:22 71:7 88:3	cameras 144:10	
				1	225:6,25 229:17
believing 114:12	203:20 208:7	bought 204:22	88:3 124:20,21	cancel 116:6	230:14 231:2
256:23	bills 195:8	box 49:18 149:17	137:5 161:7,8,8	159:22,22,24	232:15 241:12
belive 80:9	bipolar 157:10	188:4 232:21	167:20 169:14	206:18,18,22	245:14,17 251:9
belong 49:21	bit 18:23 20:17	265:5	171:25 172:2	candidates 14:12	251:11,23
93:25 101:18	29:15 47:2,25	boxes 277:23,24	188:1 191:9	106:16 109:5	252:15 254:1
191:6	60:2 78:7	boy 101:16	194:14,14,15,18	cantu 1:5 7:1,7	255:6,9 259:9
benefit 212:9	114:17 123:3	178:24 228:15	212:17 226:20	27:7 31:8	car 42:17 73:5
besides 245:21	137:4 145:18	brain 157:21	burdens 24:25	122:12 203:25	78:5 149:14
best 91:16 107:13	148:15 153:1	brand 258:6	66:23 69:24	208:11 243:5	260:19
107:15 143:22	156:15 211:17	brand-new	burglaries 33:24	capability 60:5	carcasses 11:22
147:10 150:16	222:1 223:5	166:12	109:3	capable 38:23	card 158:17
150:18 233:3	246:11 263:14	break 57:12 74:9	burglarized	60:6 235:17	160:24
245:2	black 109:14	91:21 121:18	238:23 239:4	256:19	care 12:21 13:21
bet 10:17,18 14:2	blank 220:23,24	153:3 177:12	burglary 4:23 5:3	capital 1:9 7:1	50:17,20 51:4,9
14:20 97:21	266:15	breaking 31:25	19:10,15 35:12	8:9,18 13:8 15:4	91:19 146:25
151:20 165:8	blasphemic	154:8	48:6 66:18 81:4	16:23 19:2,4,7	147:9
172:12,12 212:9	260:12	brick 68:23			cared 160:7
	,		113:6,23 124:11	20:11 22:22	
better 11:21	bleed 268:17	brick-layer 69:20	124:12 153:22	25:19 27:7,20	241:11
14:25 28:9 29:3	blind 233:13	bricks 69:5	154:4 155:11	27:22 35:11,17	careful 121:24
29:4 50:9,14	Blitz 144:25	brickwork 68:8,9	162:19 173:12	35:18,20 37:5	cares 21:3,3
52:4 71:19	blitzed 63:7	bridge 77:5	business 41:7	37:14 38:1,15	caring 210:20
110:4,5 121:6	blocked 214:12	brief 88:12 218:3	68:3 73:25	40:5,8 42:11	carried 8:20
			1		
121:21 141:13	blood 239:19	briefed 152:23	147:16 236:11	43:7 45:2,15	carries 247:5
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5	carries 247:5 carry 126:4
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:67:11,19
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bomb 219:7 bombed 10:11	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bomb 219:7 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bomb 219:7 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bomb 219:7 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bomb 219:7 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bomb 219:7 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bomb 219:7 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11 27:6 29:12,14	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombe 219:7 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bomb 219:7 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11 27:6 29:12,14	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombe 219:7 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bomb 219:7 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15 56:18 57:2 66:15,19 67:1,3	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombe 219:7 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24 border 68:9 boring 236:23	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15 215:17 broke 149:14	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22 21:7 25:7 28:19	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17 123:12 124:13 124:13,22,23	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6 138:16 141:21 145:10 149:12
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15 56:18 57:2 66:15,19 67:1,3 67:8 70:11,23	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombe 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24 border 68:9 boring 236:23 born 58:15	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15 215:17 broke 149:14 broken 223:24	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22 21:7 25:7 28:19 59:20 69:14,21	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17 123:12 124:13 124:13,22,23 127:19 132:2	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6 138:16 141:21 145:10 149:12 149:13,13,13
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15 56:18 57:2 66:15,19 67:1,3 67:8 70:11,23 71:2 101:1	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombe 219:7 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24 border 68:9 boring 236:23 born 58:15 244:21 258:6	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15 215:17 broke 149:14 broken 223:24 brought 15:11	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22 21:7 25:7 28:19 59:20 69:14,21 69:22 87:3	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17 123:12 124:13 124:13,22,23 127:19 132:2 133:18,24 139:6	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6 138:16 141:21 145:10 149:12 149:13,13,13 151:5 154:2
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15 56:18 57:2 66:15,19 67:1,3 67:8 70:11,23 71:2 101:1 114:5,9 116:22	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombe 219:7 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24 border 68:9 boring 236:23 born 58:15 244:21 258:6 born-again	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15 215:17 broke 149:14 broken 223:24 brought 15:11 183:22 197:15	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22 21:7 25:7 28:19 59:20 69:14,21 69:22 87:3 112:22,24 119:4	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17 123:12 124:13 124:13,22,23 127:19 132:2 133:18,24 139:6 139:7 140:9	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6 138:16 141:21 145:10 149:12 149:13,13,13 151:5 154:2 156:18,20 157:2
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15 56:18 57:2 66:15,19 67:1,3 67:8 70:11,23 71:2 101:1 114:5,9 116:22 131:10 133:1	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24 border 68:9 boring 236:23 born 58:15 244:21 258:6 born-again 257:14,16	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15 215:17 broke 149:14 broken 223:24 brought 15:11 183:22 197:15 215:8 238:8	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22 21:7 25:7 28:19 59:20 69:14,21 69:22 87:3 112:22,24 119:4 125:12,13,19,19	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17 123:12 124:13 124:13,22,23 127:19 132:2 133:18,24 139:6 139:7 140:9 151:13,20,22,23	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6 138:16 141:21 145:10 149:12 149:13,13,13 151:5 154:2 156:18,20 157:2 158:20 159:1,8
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15 56:18 57:2 66:15,19 67:1,3 67:8 70:11,23 71:2 101:1 114:5,9 116:22 131:10 133:1 167:21 171:21	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24 border 68:9 boring 236:23 born 58:15 244:21 258:6 born-again 257:14,16 259:13	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15 215:17 broke 149:14 broken 223:24 brought 15:11 183:22 197:15 215:8 238:8 248:6,10,12	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22 21:7 25:7 28:19 59:20 69:14,21 69:22 87:3 112:22,24 119:4 125:12,13,19,19 135:1,2,3	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17 123:12 124:13 124:13,22,23 127:19 132:2 133:18,24 139:6 139:7 140:9 151:13,20,22,23 152:24 153:19	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6 138:16 141:21 145:10 149:12 149:13,13,13 151:5 154:2 156:18,20 157:2 158:20 159:1,8 160:22 162:6,14
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15 56:18 57:2 66:15,19 67:1,3 67:8 70:11,23 71:2 101:1 114:5,9 116:22 131:10 133:1 167:21 171:21 173:16 188:2	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24 border 68:9 boring 236:23 born 58:15 244:21 258:6 born-again 257:14,16 259:13 Bosnia 10:8	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15 215:17 broke 149:14 broken 223:24 brought 15:11 183:22 197:15 215:8 238:8 248:6,10,12 Brownwood	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22 21:7 25:7 28:19 59:20 69:14,21 69:22 87:3 112:22,24 119:4 125:12,13,19,19 135:1,2,3 156:22 158:22	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17 123:12 124:13 124:13,22,23 127:19 132:2 133:18,24 139:6 139:7 140:9 151:13,20,22,23 152:24 153:19 154:18 155:9,16	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6 138:16 141:21 145:10 149:12 149:13,13,13 151:5 154:2 156:18,20 157:2 158:20 159:1,8 160:22 162:6,14 168:1,2,10,16
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15 56:18 57:2 66:15,19 67:1,3 67:8 70:11,23 71:2 101:1 114:5,9 116:22 131:10 133:1 167:21 171:21 173:16 188:2 190:16,22	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24 border 68:9 boring 236:23 born 58:15 244:21 258:6 born-again 257:14,16 259:13 Bosnia 10:8 both 3:14 15:9	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15 215:17 broke 149:14 broken 223:24 brought 15:11 183:22 197:15 215:8 238:8 248:6,10,12 Brownwood 237:15 244:16	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22 21:7 25:7 28:19 59:20 69:14,21 69:22 87:3 112:22,24 119:4 125:12,13,19,19 135:1,2,3	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17 123:12 124:13 124:13,22,23 127:19 132:2 133:18,24 139:6 139:7 140:9 151:13,20,22,23 152:24 153:19 154:18 155:9,16 155:18 162:15	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6 138:16 141:21 145:10 149:12 149:13,13,13 151:5 154:2 156:18,20 157:2 158:20 159:1,8 160:22 162:6,14 168:1,2,10,16 168:19,20 169:1
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15 56:18 57:2 66:15,19 67:1,3 67:8 70:11,23 71:2 101:1 114:5,9 116:22 131:10 133:1 167:21 171:21 173:16 188:2 190:16,22 191:13 197:10	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24 border 68:9 boring 236:23 born 58:15 244:21 258:6 born-again 257:14,16 259:13 Bosnia 10:8	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15 215:17 broke 149:14 broken 223:24 brought 15:11 183:22 197:15 215:8 238:8 248:6,10,12 Brownwood	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22 21:7 25:7 28:19 59:20 69:14,21 69:22 87:3 112:22,24 119:4 125:12,13,19,19 135:1,2,3 156:22 158:22	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17 123:12 124:13 124:13,22,23 127:19 132:2 133:18,24 139:6 139:7 140:9 151:13,20,22,23 152:24 153:19 154:18 155:9,16	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6 138:16 141:21 145:10 149:12 149:13,13,13 151:5 154:2 156:18,20 157:2 158:20 159:1,8 160:22 162:6,14 168:1,2,10,16 168:19,20 169:1 169:11 172:15
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15 56:18 57:2 66:15,19 67:1,3 67:8 70:11,23 71:2 101:1 114:5,9 116:22 131:10 133:1 167:21 171:21 173:16 188:2 190:16,22	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24 border 68:9 boring 236:23 born 58:15 244:21 258:6 born-again 257:14,16 259:13 Bosnia 10:8 both 3:14 15:9	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15 215:17 broke 149:14 broken 223:24 brought 15:11 183:22 197:15 215:8 238:8 248:6,10,12 Brownwood 237:15 244:16	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22 21:7 25:7 28:19 59:20 69:14,21 69:22 87:3 112:22,24 119:4 125:12,13,19,19 135:1,2,3 156:22 158:22 159:4,5 169:24 221:18 239:20	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17 123:12 124:13 124:13,22,23 127:19 132:2 133:18,24 139:6 139:7 140:9 151:13,20,22,23 152:24 153:19 154:18 155:9,16 155:18 162:15	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6 138:16 141:21 145:10 149:12 149:13,13,13 151:5 154:2 156:18,20 157:2 158:20 159:1,8 160:22 162:6,14 168:1,2,10,16 168:19,20 169:1
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15 56:18 57:2 66:15,19 67:1,3 67:8 70:11,23 71:2 101:1 114:5,9 116:22 131:10 133:1 167:21 171:21 173:16 188:2 190:16,22 191:13 197:10	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bomb 219:7 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24 border 68:9 boring 236:23 born 58:15 244:21 258:6 born-again 257:14,16 259:13 Bosnia 10:8 both 3:14 15:9 47:13,15 49:2 49:21 79:17	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15 215:17 broke 149:14 broken 223:24 brought 15:11 183:22 197:15 215:8 238:8 248:6,10,12 Brownwood 237:15 244:16 244:17,21 245:1 Bruce 275:2	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22 21:7 25:7 28:19 59:20 69:14,21 69:22 87:3 112:22,24 119:4 125:12,13,19,19 135:1,2,3 156:22 158:22 159:4,5 169:24 221:18 239:20 259:16	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17 123:12 124:13 124:13,22,23 127:19 132:2 133:18,24 139:6 139:7 140:9 151:13,20,22,23 152:24 153:19 154:18 155:9,16 155:18 162:15 163:10,22 167:15 168:2,10	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6 138:16 141:21 145:10 149:12 149:13,13,13 151:5 154:2 156:18,20 157:2 158:20 159:1,8 160:22 162:6,14 168:1,2,10,16 168:19,20 169:1 169:11 172:15 174:18,22 175:1
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:30 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15 56:18 57:2 66:15,19 67:1,3 67:8 70:11,23 71:2 101:1 114:5,9 116:22 131:10 133:1 167:21 171:21 173:16 188:2 190:16,22 191:13 197:10 212:19 213:1,5 214:16 221:25	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24 border 68:9 boring 236:23 born 58:15 244:21 258:6 born-again 257:14,16 259:13 Bosnia 10:8 both 3:14 15:9 47:13,15 49:2 49:21 79:17 80:2 93:25 94:2	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15 215:17 broke 149:14 broken 223:24 brought 15:11 183:22 197:15 215:8 238:8 248:6,10,12 Brownwood 237:15 244:16 244:17,21 245:1 Bruce 275:2 Brunswick 63:24	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22 21:7 25:7 28:19 59:20 69:14,21 69:22 87:3 112:22,24 119:4 125:12,13,19,19 135:1,2,3 156:22 158:22 159:4,5 169:24 221:18 239:20 259:16 called 14:14	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17 123:12 124:13 124:13,22,23 127:19 132:2 133:18,24 139:6 139:7 140:9 151:13,20,22,23 152:24 153:19 154:18 155:9,16 155:18 162:15 163:10,22 167:15 168:2,10 169:1 170:11,13	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6 138:16 141:21 145:10 149:12 149:13,13,13 151:5 154:2 156:18,20 157:2 158:20 159:1,8 160:22 162:6,14 168:1,2,10,16 168:19,20 169:1 169:11 172:15 174:18,22 175:1 175:16 176:2,10
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:30 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15 56:18 57:2 66:15,19 67:1,3 67:8 70:11,23 71:2 101:1 114:5,9 116:22 131:10 133:1 167:21 171:21 173:16 188:2 190:16,22 191:13 197:10 212:19 213:1,5 214:16 221:25 230:1	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24 border 68:9 boring 236:23 born 58:15 244:21 258:6 born-again 257:14,16 259:13 Bosnia 10:8 both 3:14 15:9 47:13,15 49:2 49:21 79:17 80:2 93:25 94:2 94:4,6 98:22	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15 215:17 broke 149:14 broken 223:24 brought 15:11 183:22 197:15 215:8 238:8 248:6,10,12 Brownwood 237:15 244:16 244:17,21 245:1 Bruce 275:2 Brunswick 63:24 63:25	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22 21:7 25:7 28:19 59:20 69:14,21 69:22 87:3 112:22,24 119:4 125:12,13,19,19 135:1,2,3 156:22 158:22 159:4,5 169:24 221:18 239:20 259:16 called 14:14 70:10 132:18	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17 123:12 124:13 124:13,22,23 127:19 132:2 133:18,24 139:6 139:7 140:9 151:13,20,22,23 152:24 153:19 154:18 155:9,16 155:18 162:15 163:10,22 167:15 168:2,10 169:1 170:11,13 171:4,21 172:8	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6 138:16 141:21 145:10 149:12 149:13,13,13 151:5 154:2 156:18,20 157:2 158:20 159:1,8 160:22 162:6,14 168:1,2,10,16 168:19,20 169:1 169:11 172:15 174:18,22 175:1 175:16 176:2,10 176:13,14
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:30 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15 56:18 57:2 66:15,19 67:1,3 67:8 70:11,23 71:2 101:1 114:5,9 116:22 131:10 133:1 167:21 171:21 173:16 188:2 190:16,22 191:13 197:10 212:19 213:1,5 214:16 221:25 230:1 bias 181:2 182:17	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24 border 68:9 boring 236:23 born 58:15 244:21 258:6 born-again 257:14,16 259:13 Bosnia 10:8 both 3:14 15:9 47:13,15 49:2 49:21 79:17 80:2 93:25 94:2 94:4,6 98:22 101:24 102:1	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15 215:17 broke 149:14 broken 223:24 brought 15:11 183:22 197:15 215:8 238:8 248:6,10,12 Brownwood 237:15 244:16 244:17,21 245:1 Bruce 275:2 Brunswick 63:24 63:25 brush 253:7,9	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22 21:7 25:7 28:19 59:20 69:14,21 69:22 87:3 112:22,24 119:4 125:12,13,19,19 135:1,2,3 156:22 158:22 159:4,5 169:24 221:18 239:20 259:16 called 14:14 70:10 132:18 153:4,7 185:7	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17 123:12 124:13 124:13,22,23 127:19 132:2 133:18,24 139:6 139:7 140:9 151:13,20,22,23 152:24 153:19 154:18 155:9,16 155:18 162:15 163:10,22 167:15 168:2,10 169:1 170:11,13 171:4,21 172:8 172:14,25 173:4	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6 138:16 141:21 145:10 149:12 149:13,13,13 151:5 154:2 156:18,20 157:2 158:20 159:1,8 160:22 162:6,14 168:1,2,10,16 168:19,20 169:1 169:11 172:15 174:18,22 175:1 175:16 176:2,10 176:13,14 178:20 179:21
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:20 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15 56:18 57:2 66:15,19 67:1,3 67:8 70:11,23 71:2 101:1 114:5,9 116:22 131:10 133:1 167:21 171:21 173:16 188:2 190:16,22 191:13 197:10 212:19 213:1,5 214:16 221:25 230:1 bias 181:2 182:17 182:18,18,20,22	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24 border 68:9 boring 236:23 born 58:15 244:21 258:6 born-again 257:14,16 259:13 Bosnia 10:8 both 3:14 15:9 47:13,15 49:2 49:21 79:17 80:2 93:25 94:2 94:4,6 98:22 101:24 102:1 114:23 116:1	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15 215:17 broke 149:14 broken 223:24 brought 15:11 183:22 197:15 215:8 238:8 248:6,10,12 Brownwood 237:15 244:16 244:17,21 245:1 Bruce 275:2 Brunswick 63:24 63:25 brush 253:7,9 brutal 38:22	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22 21:7 25:7 28:19 59:20 69:14,21 69:22 87:3 112:22,24 119:4 125:12,13,19,19 135:1,2,3 156:22 158:22 159:4,5 169:24 221:18 239:20 259:16 called 14:14 70:10 132:18 153:4,7 185:7 201:12 214:3	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17 123:12 124:13 124:13,22,23 127:19 132:2 133:18,24 139:6 139:7 140:9 151:13,20,22,23 152:24 153:19 154:18 155:9,16 155:18 162:15 163:10,22 167:15 168:2,10 169:1 170:11,13 171:4,21 172:8 172:14,25 173:4 173:10,19	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6 138:16 141:21 145:10 149:12 149:13,13,13 151:5 154:2 156:18,20 157:2 158:20 159:1,8 160:22 162:6,14 168:1,2,10,16 168:19,20 169:1 169:11 172:15 174:18,22 175:1 175:16 176:2,10 176:13,14 178:20 179:21 180:23 184:11
121:21 141:13 171:15 172:20 192:16 210:9 227:1 231:4 234:1 258:25 260:8 267:23 between 14:23 61:4 65:18 78:22,25 79:14 101:20 111:13 120:23 153:5,7 153:12 155:23 156:10 157:1 168:17 177:19 200:23 210:24 260:14 beyond 19:1,3 24:30 26:11 27:6 29:12,14 37:22 56:11,15 56:18 57:2 66:15,19 67:1,3 67:8 70:11,23 71:2 101:1 114:5,9 116:22 131:10 133:1 167:21 171:21 173:16 188:2 190:16,22 191:13 197:10 212:19 213:1,5 214:16 221:25 230:1 bias 181:2 182:17	blood 239:19 240:14 247:11 blowing 79:8 board 7:8,12 208:16 bodies 157:22 259:23,23 body 11:19 12:1,3 boil 107:5 149:23 bolt 260:17 bombed 10:11 bomber 39:6 bombing 39:4 224:12 bombs 10:16 bone 247:5 book 117:21 170:14 books 106:24 border 68:9 boring 236:23 born 58:15 244:21 258:6 born-again 257:14,16 259:13 Bosnia 10:8 both 3:14 15:9 47:13,15 49:2 49:21 79:17 80:2 93:25 94:2 94:4,6 98:22 101:24 102:1	briefed 152:23 briefing 127:4 154:20 173:8 briefly 114:8 132:19 276:8 briefs 276:19 bright 189:8 bring 4:7,9 6:7 18:23 23:15 25:9 39:20,20 40:19 88:10 89:7 97:14,16 103:4,6 104:22 111:11 122:16 159:9 161:16 163:19 169:15 199:19 200:2 215:9 216:15 249:7,10 broad 70:15 215:17 broke 149:14 broken 223:24 brought 15:11 183:22 197:15 215:8 238:8 248:6,10,12 Brownwood 237:15 244:16 244:17,21 245:1 Bruce 275:2 Brunswick 63:24 63:25 brush 253:7,9	147:16 236:11 236:15 241:1 bust 32:1 busting 119:7 buy 74:21,25 110:2 buying 156:4 C C 1:1 2:1 4:1 114:1 262:22 calculating 61:5 calhoun 3:14 207:17 208:7 215:14 222:20 227:11 242:9 273:20 Calhoun's 228:4 California 204:21 Calk 69:4 call 17:8,8 20:22 21:7 25:7 28:19 59:20 69:14,21 69:22 87:3 112:22,24 119:4 125:12,13,19,19 135:1,2,3 156:22 158:22 159:4,5 169:24 221:18 239:20 259:16 called 14:14 70:10 132:18 153:4,7 185:7	43:7 45:2,15 46:5,7 47:9 48:5 49:16,19 50:22 51:21 58:9 66:3 66:17 79:22 80:7 81:16 83:3 83:25 84:7,20 92:22 93:14,21 94:10 95:18,19 95:25 96:2,7,14 99:14 100:9,21 101:10 102:4,12 105:25 106:16 106:24 107:6 109:8 110:14 111:5,6,12,13 111:15 112:20 112:22 113:1,2 113:4,25 114:3 116:14,17 123:12 124:13 124:13,22,23 127:19 132:2 133:18,24 139:6 139:7 140:9 151:13,20,22,23 152:24 153:19 154:18 155:9,16 155:18 162:15 163:10,22 167:15 168:2,10 169:1 170:11,13 171:4,21 172:8 172:14,25 173:4	carries 247:5 carry 126:4 cart 19:23 case 4:6 7:11,19 7:20 8:9 9:8 11:3 15:5,18 16:23,23 25:1,5 27:19 29:2 43:16 48:5 52:3 52:21 53:7,12 53:15 56:12 58:7,7,10 69:12 70:23 74:3 75:24 76:15 79:6 94:25 98:3 100:5 104:3 112:2,19 113:12 116:8,14,17 124:11 129:7 130:8 134:6 138:16 141:21 145:10 149:12 149:13,13,13 151:5 154:2 156:18,20 157:2 158:20 159:1,8 160:22 162:6,14 168:1,2,10,16 168:19,20 169:1 169:11 172:15 174:18,22 175:1 175:16 176:2,10 176:13,14 178:20 179:21

			ige 5		
185:16,25 186:5	certain 25:6	25:13 130:9	143:2,4 161:1	226:15 230:18	codes 165:24
186:5 187:16,18	30:11 49:12	changing 87:9	204:8,10 212:4	233:12 235:15	cold 61:4 139:7
187:22 189:14	50:18 51:24	205:11	chooses 199:1	236:9 246:10	collective 231:7
189:23,25 190:6	97:6 99:21	character 36:15	212:4 214:25	247:21 250:8	college 10:21
190:7,16,22	104:22 109:11	44:22 47:19,22	choosing 14:15	252:16 254:13	219:20 239:13
192:6,11 193:17	109:25 115:10	48:2,3,4,8 52:20	chose 54:25 80:12	circustance 45:7	collin 1:5,14 2:7
196:20 198:14	132:7 133:5	66:7,10 77:22	82:4 128:20	cite 265:8,18	21:25 59:14
198:14,15,20	151:15 152:1	114:23,24 115:7	212:10	citizen 97:14	137:23 143:8
201:1,4,9,16	161:20 168:18	129:20 133:15	chosen 128:20	195:8 237:5	224:22 243:15
203:21 205:8,18	178:18 197:17	170:12 171:12	204:9 245:22	citizen's 130:25	274:20 275:7,10
205:23 207:3	204:11 215:21	174:20 175:15	Christ 257:21	citizens 55:9	275:12 279:3,5
208:1 209:25	221:7 264:25	176:8,17 177:4	Christian 12:17	109:11	279:12,19,20
210:2,3 211:10	265:6	178:1,11,13,20	15:7 16:2 64:19	citizenship	Columbia 161:24
211:16 212:2,5	certainly 36:22	178:22 180:23	64:22 148:14	143:25	combat 10:3,5
213:13,14	46:7 51:3 77:20	180:24 181:2,4	150:10,12	City 39:4 224:12	13:17
214:23 216:21	88:6 93:6	181:12 182:6,10	257:14,16	civil 71:2 210:2,3	come 6:3 13:11
223:17 225:1	106:22 115:22	182:14 183:4	259:10,13 260:4	216:21 231:23	
					26:5,22 31:22
230:22 231:4,22	117:6,16 151:19	192:22 193:4,5	267:3 271:20	claim 217:20	32:1 41:12,16
231:23 235:17	170:4 187:1	193:9,13,15,18	Christianity	claiming 162:5	45:18 46:15
235:18,23,24	235:21 237:3	193:19,19,22,23	15:23 64:24	217:5	56:14 62:3
236:22 240:6	263:10 275:12	193:23 195:4,10	65:15 66:8	claims 165:14	67:19 69:8
243:21 244:7	277:2	198:10 199:5	258:5 267:3	166:8	71:13 77:23
245:15,25 246:1	certainty 70:11	214:20,23 226:4	CHRONOLOGI	clarence 3:8 4:14	89:19 90:17,21
249:19 261:21	70:12,18 71:21	230:18 254:11	3:1	6:15	108:25 116:13
265:8,18 268:25	certificate 3:17	254:16,24	church 64:11,12	clarify 172:17	122:1 131:9
271:3 275:22	279:1	255:17 261:15	64:17,22 149:1	class 109:7	148:5 155:4
276:7,9	certified 7:8,12	265:3	149:4 170:19	classes 109:11	157:14 167:13
cases 14:16 49:19	208:16	charge 26:6 67:6	256:7,8,23	classic 87:3	190:3,6 197:21
51:1,12,21 58:9	certify 279:6,9,11	108:7 113:9	257:6,9,10	classification	214:12 216:7
71:2 80:8 111:5	cetera 193:24	152:3,16 166:1	cigarette 37:6	30:2	217:6,11 221:15
135:11 149:10	CFRs 129:17	charged 27:20	circle 75:16,20	classify 119:25	227:7 228:2
154:17 158:13	chain 74:9	114:13 152:12	87:8 197:21	clause 135:13	247:3 266:4,15
160:21,22 162:3	chair 188:4	178:19 186:17	198:2	271:18	266:16,22 267:2
167:15 168:9	challenge 35:25	214:8 237:11	circled 22:24	clear 56:3 70:10	277:6
171:13 182:10	85:13,14 87:2	241:12 253:13	25:18 75:11	70:25 71:1 90:9	comes 11:14
185:16 186:7,8	87:13 102:14,21	253:16 259:9	76:12,13 83:12	94:7,14 111:14	14:16 31:12
189:16,20	196:19 198:4,6	charges 183:23	184:16,19	123:5 135:19	39:2 49:20 51:8
213:12 214:7	199:15,16,21,22	186:8 197:16	197:18 237:11	136:19 137:2	148:25 149:3
215:21 224:19	235:14 264:7	charging 24:8	circles 87:7	138:10 177:11	155:5 163:22
235:11 243:12	271:19 274:2	26:23	circumstance	238:23 244:14	167:16 171:13
245:14	challenged 87:11	charitable 127:15	45:13,19 72:10	260:1 268:4	189:12 213:16
casing 156:4	88:21	Charles 1:13	133:20 137:7		223:12 225:5
cast 129:8	chambers 279:8	256:8		clear-headed 61:5	
Castro 240:7			138:5 177:13,15		227:20 258:7
	chance 20:14	chase 62:25 157:9	194:25 236:14	clear-thinking	comfort 114:12
cat 236:16	52:4 73:4,4	cheap 214:11	249:19 254:10	60:25	comfortable
catch 48:14	118:2 122:3,6	cheating 267:16	254:13 261:17	clearer 183:11	50:10 155:11
catch-22 187:14	169:2 221:16	check 4:17	264:24 265:10	clearly 8:12 87:25	221:4
category 157:20	232:16 248:20	checked 243:19	265:15 272:14	107:2 119:1,4	coming 14:23
180:11	270:7	cheerfully 143:21	circumstances	125:3 160:5	26:1 58:3 69:5
Catholic 149:1,5	chances 233:25	chess 144:19,21	36:16 44:21	199:9	85:9 145:8
149:7	234:7 251:25	chief 7:2 103:15	45:8 46:1 47:24	clerk 145:15	192:2 210:9
caught 110:12	change 14:12	child 70:7 95:15	50:25 52:1,23	clever 129:7	247:16 274:16
229:3 232:24	29:25 34:7	107:9,13 149:10	66:6 77:10,24	client 24:12	274:22 276:25
causation 109:21	56:14,19,20	childhood 46:17	78:1 80:11	196:21 197:5	comitted 253:17
110:1	61:9 63:15	137:10	100:14 126:21	199:12 202:21	comma 198:10,11
cause 1:2,13 4:3	75:22 76:14,19	children 70:21	133:14,20	202:23 207:9	commences
16:17 43:10	77:3 83:14	78:12 166:3	134:12,13,16	client's 87:14	190:14
87:2,11,13	87:10 104:17	170:20	135:19 138:5	192:2 263:25	commend 82:9,10
103:3 107:8	121:1 147:7,14	Chisholm 2:15	169:24 170:17	clips 144:3	comment 145:25
139:2 196:19	158:6 174:11	choice 14:3,23	171:6 174:3,4	close 176:1 203:8	158:22 159:16
198:4,6,6	188:12,16 209:7	16:6,7 129:4	174:20,25 175:1	203:8 221:15	186:22
199:15 279:8	209:13 219:3	137:18,18,20	176:7,14 177:7	closely 259:14	comments 145:17
caused 43:9 81:11	245:19 261:24	142:25 143:1,1	177:12,19,23	closer 18:24	145:19 147:21
94:10,11 171:18	266:20 270:20	184:1 204:6,7	178:3,7,9,25	closest 214:12	159:15
210:18	270:23,25	206:19 210:24	179:13 180:22	closet 70:2	commission
causes 15:5	272:22 273:5	251:16 252:1	182:7,11,23	clue 38:17	91:15
112:12,12	changed 132:5	choices 79:23	183:20 187:4,8	co-defendants	commit 4:24 31:8
137:17 158:8	151:23 172:19	80:6 210:6	187:10 193:3	175:12	33:22 45:1
		211:10 227:22	194:20 196:1	cocaine 33:16	66:25 72:5 74:2
causing 3/:16	190.3 243.24	411.10 447.44			
causing 37:16 cell 239:6	198:3 245:24 261:8.9 266:19				
causing 37:16 cell 239:6 Cert 279:23	261:8,9 266:19 changes 10:16,25	choose 39:19 108:3 133:13,14	198:10 207:2 215:1,22 223:23	74:25 157:16 code 151:22	116:24 118:24 138:15,16

		Pa	age 6		
139:15 147:6	138:18 140:11	148:14,24 150:7	35:3 38:25 72:6	103:25 104:1,3	5:23 6:1,7,10,12
159:7 174:14	140:22 195:12	150:10 175:14	79:24 100:24	104:4 106:19	6:14,17,20 7:3,5
179:8,11 221:23	195:19 233:11	179:2,6 187:1	116:25 122:17	128:24 135:6	23:1 24:5,7
222:21 229:9 230:16 248:23	258:12 concepts 154:24	193:1,2,9,12 195:14 196:1	127:1 131:24	155:14 170:8	54:23 55:13,21
262:4,12,17,24	concern 109:9	206:9 208:24	164:2 221:24 222:2 225:3,7	174:8 177:25	57:6 65:25 67:6
263:6,13 270:11	139:16 161:3,14	212:12 221:7	225:19 226:18	189:19,21 191:3 197:20 202:21	69:11 75:7 80:1 80:5 85:11,16
272:11	191:25 192:1	222:15,16	229:24 248:24	207:20,21	85:19,24 86:3,6
committing 32:19	228:15	229:22 233:2	249:4 270:4	208:19 255:10	86:8,12,14,18
commitment	concerned 6:4	248:19 249:11	continuity 121:17	259:2 272:23	86:21,23,25
208:25	104:19 125:25	249:17,18	contractor 30:3	279:6	87:4,17 88:8,10
commitments	236:24	250:20,22,22	contradiction	correctly 203:7	88:13,20 89:1,5
277:10	concerning	252:6 253:9	197:6	279:10	89:12 91:17
commits 253:1	124:24	254:24 255:16	contrary 111:23	correlation	97:8,13 102:16
committed 47:5,6 65:7 83:24 96:7	conclude 225:21	262:1,21 263:7	112:2 198:16	109:21 171:17	102:19,20 103:3
147:5 179:4	concluding 231:21	263:12 264:17 264:23,23	contrast 266:16 contributes	corrupted 144:10	103:16,16,19
219:1 223:13,16	conclusion	265:14 268:8	181:20	corruption 149:1 149:4,8	106:25 107:7 121:13,16 122:1
224:2 237:18	121:12	269:4 270:25	control 32:24	Corvette 166:4	122:11,20,23
252:17 253:6,23	concurs 202:23	consideration	44:8 60:1 91:5	cost 106:5,9	132:18 145:2
253:25 254:18	207:10	44:20 66:5	205:13 247:21	279:11	157:3 159:12
254:18	condition 247:24	77:10,25 87:4	248:7,10,13,14	couched 87:23	175:25 176:1,22
committee 90:24	conduct 11:4,5	92:10 101:6,25	248:15 249:7,9	88:4	177:2 179:12,23
committing 34:9	24:6 81:11	110:10 129:25	249:10 259:20	counsel 135:12	180:6,19 188:24
37:23 81:3	90:20 96:2	133:10 155:21	controlling 73:23	264:1,22 279:7	189:3,12 190:10
173:12 253:12	124:9 126:2	169:24 170:2,16	controls 39:10	count 125:11	192:2 196:9,11
255:4 264:13 common 6:14	133:6 139:12,12 174:8	176:7 182:22	223:24	178:9	196:14,17,25
63:20	confer 202:17	192:24 197:11 198:9 199:4	conversation 219:12	counter-arguments	
common-sense	confess 64:4	254:9	conversations	country 10:10	198:5,13,16 199:14,16,24
31:1	confidence	considered	105:12	13:25 34:10	200:2,4,8,10,16
communicating	107:19 184:5	175:10 195:10	conversion 65:14	57:24 58:21	200:19 201:3,6
50:14 51:17	confinement	219:19 221:13	66:8 257:17,19	219:8	201:8,11,17,19
101:22 189:7	172:13 173:19	225:15 252:9	259:15 260:21	counts 186:3	201:22,25 202:3
communities	245:12,13,15	253:20	261:2,7,19	county 1:5,14 2:7	202:5,20,23,25
110:2	246:1	considering 47:19	262:8 264:17	21:25 59:14,19	203:3,5,7,9,11
community	confirmed 275:10	151:24 182:23	265:14 266:10	137:23 143:9	207:9,12,16,19
130:24 178:17 company 91:1	conflict 26:15 175:19,23	221:11 247:24	266:11,25 268:8	224:22 243:15	207:22,25 208:3
165:11 206:16	confronted 244:7	considers 271:25 consistent 108:22	269:1,22 272:23 convert 36:5	275:8,9,10,12 276:14 279:3,5	220:8 237:8 240:13,15 242:4
comparatively	confusing 29:7,8	conspiracy	converted 260:5	279:12,19,20	242:6 262:6,15
259:5	35:7,10 109:21	216:18	convict 19:18	couple 6:24 9:5	263:16,19,20
compare 139:5	confusion 112:12	constitute 34:21	113:24 179:7	11:18 17:3	264:14,21
143:12	112:13 113:1	72:6 116:25	264:5	21:22 24:4,25	265:13,17 266:1
compared 241:5	confusions	221:23 248:23	convicted 8:9,18	33:13 42:15	267:16 268:7,11
260:18	112:24	Constitution 55:6	19:4 27:22	44:4 58:4 63:19	269:8,12,15
compatible 15:6	connect 182:17	87:15 271:19	35:20 37:5	70:1 103:13	270:13 271:6,23
191:1 compelled 159:20	connected 109:24 connection 158:2	constitutional 107:3	40:22 115:16 132:2 162:15	104:8 149:18	272:3,15 273:14
compensate	conscience 13:20	construct 171:6	220:11,12	162:13 185:19 245:16	273:17,20,24 274:4,10,14,18
205:10	44:8 107:14	construction	232:14 251:22	coupled 186:22	275:16 276:15
competent 162:4	231:7 233:3	128:9	252:15 253:13	courious 108:10	276:23 277:4,17
229:12	conscious 137:20	contact 157:14	253:25	course 23:16	278:3 279:5,5,8
complained 134:1	219:15	contained 279:12	conviction 23:23	38:11,14 65:11	279:19,19
completed 4:22	consciously 170:4	contains 279:6	198:22 251:8,11	67:2 78:9 81:3	Court's 97:17
266:14	176:18	contemplates	convictions 114:1	104:9 105:20	99:13,16 196:24
completely 95:8 complicated	consequence	199:4,5	convince 16:3	109:3 113:6,7	courthouse 2:7
165:17 220:25	253:8,15 consider 15:6	context 15:20	convinced 56:15	116:11 121:1	39:4,5 78:12
composite 146:12	16:1,2 17:2	117:10 163:2 173:4 228:19	56:18 135:11 convincing 70:10	124:12 143:23 147:3 153:22	79:8 149:17 170:21 171:2
compromise	19:14 34:1	232:19 238:17	71:1	154:4,4 155:3	279:20
76:21	37:11 48:3	264:18 268:13	cool 267:9,10	155:10,11	courtrom 203:4
Computer-Assisted		269:10 271:5	copies 145:15,16	162:19 167:19	courtroom 27:5
1:15	85:2 92:8 93:10	contexts 88:1	cops 151:18	168:12 171:20	52:10 91:23,24
Computerized	98:21 99:22	contextual	copy 75:9	173:12 177:23	160:21 196:13
1:15	102:1 110:17	227:10	corner 37:6	190:12 192:14	196:15 200:3
computers	111:21 115:12	continue 22:8	corporations	194:8 195:7	203:2 207:14,15
147:17,18	115:15 119:10	122:2,3,17	143:17	196:4 241:3	209:20 233:14
concept 15:13 20:21 50:23	120:1 130:12	continued 62:20	Corps 57:19	court 1:2,3,17,17	241:2 244:13
132:18 134:9	135:1 138:8,19 143:10 147:18	continuing 33:21	58:16	4:2,3 5:7,11,13	245:18 249:3
134.10 134.7	172.10 177.10	34:21,22,25	correct 11:2,3	5:14,17,18,20	250:17 259:16
	A Company of the Comp	The second secon		The state of the s	

			ge 7		
273:19 274:5,13	critics 230:23	24:23 35:1,1	94:10,11 96:15	59:15 131:9	193:9 194:25
courts 91:13	cross 37:6 77:5	72:22 74:22	99:1,15,19	182:11 198:23	198:10 212:18
153:6 157:2	CROSS-EXAMI	78:4 85:21	105:6,9,14,16	199:23 209:17	214:17,23
cover 59:22 covered 59:22	242:7 CROSS-QUESTI	90:11,24 144:6	107:4,7,8,10,11	240:18 243:24	254:11,15
225:9	57:7 145:4	178:12 201:8,10 205:3,5 218:2	107:14 108:10 109:5,10,13,17	244:7,10 274:1 decisions 59:19	261:15
coward 34:5	crossed 172:14	220:20 225:9	109:3,10,13,17	126:7 149:22	defendants 22:10 22:14 26:5 55:3
coworkers 60:15	cruel 22:21	231:25 232:4,6	111:7 116:20	259:21,22 264:2	175:11 189:11
crack 157:17	CSR 1:17 279:18	235:9 238:23	123:6,23 124:1	declare 5:11	189:20 190:2
created 117:2	279:23	275:13,14,17	124:14,17,24	declaring 5:19	191:2
127:17 224:22	cuff 79:11	277:11,14	125:1 127:22	dedicated 82:23	Defendants's
creation 257:21	culpability 44:23	.279:15	132:2,6,12,15	deep 257:2,4	183:4
credence 186:25	44:25 45:2,4,6	day-care 170:21	132:22 133:4,22	deeply 257:5	defense 3:7 23:15
credibility 116:7	133:16,18 139:5	days 9:5 17:3	134:3,11,15	default 117:13	25:3,5,5 30:2
crime 19:5 20:10	140:25 193:4	33:13 42:15	135:14 136:20	defect 72:1	50:12 87:1 92:7
34:9 38:15,20	230:19 254:12	44:4 58:4	137:11 138:6,20	113:13	92:9,12 97:12
38:21 39:15	261:16	103:13 104:8	141:17 142:18	defendant 2:11	122:5 135:17
40:8,13,15 46:6	current 200:21	145:9,12 218:1 218:2 245:16	148:21 149:24 150:17,18 151:4	4:4,5 7:7 9:15 18:12 19:18	142:8 145:20,21 146:13 160:24
46:7,8 47:4 95:1 104:14 106:5,7	currently 275:10 cut 58:18 62:24	276:25	152:18,25	24:6 25:10 27:7	161:1 162:5
106:24 109:23	157:9	dead 78:15	154:10,13,17	27:19 31:7	168:2 169:4
111:8 112:21	cute 251:7	113:10,14	162:15 169:11	33:22 35:11	171:13 172:2
115:15 119:10	cynical 115:21	241:15	175:9 177:20	37:5,23 41:10	193:10,17
119:24 120:10	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	deal 30:5 47:20	182:2 183:7	41:11,11 42:6	196:18 199:23
121:2 131:21	D	68:5 97:18	198:21 209:1,12	42:11 44:23	200:8 208:12
141:18,23 147:1	D 1:1 4:1	117:5 123:20	210:6,11,16,18	52:16,21 56:14	274:3,9
147:5,6,8	DA's 59:17	130:10 135:13	211:1,12,14	56:21 66:25	defenses 25:7
171:17 174:20	dad 54:11 105:10	135:14 156:9,13	218:10,13	72:5 83:1 88:4	defer 5:13
174:21 179:8	107:10 215:4	170:22 177:13	219:15,16,18,19	100:24 103:19	deferment 10:21
191:17 194:1	232:23 239:16	177:23 218:22	220:1,11,17,24	103:21,25	deferred 4:21,22
220:15,19 227:2	daddy 166:11	221:17,21 225:2	221:6,10,16	104:10,23	define 67:7 70:11
229:21 230:19	218:9 219:13	236:10 238:7	226:2 229:19 230:2,8,21	113:22 114:3,13	118:6 128:18,20 213:6 222:5,5
237:11,18 241:12 252:16	Dairy 224:15	246:6 260:25 267:11	231:5,9 233:5	114:24 116:20 116:24 118:24	defined 30:21
253:2,13,22	Dallas 4:15 58:14 58:15 86:13	dealer 48:14,15	243:21 244:3,8	122:14,14	31:11 70:24
254:18	244:6	49:5,6 77:18	245:17 251:12	123:12,21 124:9	definitely 31:19
crimes 32:19	damage 78:20	78:23 79:13	251:24 252:15	124:15 130:6	definition 30:25
37:24 38:20	119:15	120:15,25 156:9	254:14,22	131:14,23 133:6	34:23,24 120:7
40:11 74:2	danger 36:16	170:6,22 228:14	261:18 262:9	133:16 134:4	129:6 173:2
105:18,20	37:17 109:20	dealers 48:13	264:18 270:22	135:3,11 141:12	213:1,3
106:15 109:1	128:14 141:2	78:22 119:22	270:23 271:1	141:22 142:1,18	degree 62:14
110:2 123:7	142:23 223:18	228:10	deaths 211:6	142:21 155:9,17	147:16 237:19
137:15 151:15	224:9 230:15,25	dealing 32:8	debate 108:25	160:2 161:2	242:1 252:23
219:1 221:12	246:21	33:24 71:9	debts 268:18,21	169:14 171:12	degrees 214:4 246:20
224:7,17 226:25 229:9 252:17	dangerous 18:17	73:20 77:9,22 119:23 120:9	268:23 decay 11:24	173:10 178:2	deliberate 131:21
253:6 255:4	33:4,7 36:16	216:20 241:6	decay 11.24 deceased 43:9,10	179:16 185:10 185:14 190:19	218:3
criminal 2:7 3:5	50:22 51:22 59:25 61:4	dealings 203:24	43:11 77:22.23	193:5,6 197:8	deliberately 13:8
4:10,12 5:4 7:8	94:13,15,25	deals 28:14 37:20	79:12 156:11	200:20,22,23	13:10 80:17,17
21:25 22:4,10	95:5,12,20,25	140:21,23	175:3	203:25 204:3	84:15,21 98:15
22:10,13,14	96:3,7,8 100:10	142:10	December 279:23	208:11,23	99:4,4 100:20
23:11 31:2,8,10	100:13,25	dearly 146:25	decent 13:20	211:19 212:21	103:2 153:8,12
31:12,18,21	101:10 102:6,11	death 5:12,19 8:4	195:15	213:16 214:25	235:3
33:22 34:1,4,20	110:16 115:15	8:6,10,13,19,20	decide 23:18	215:6,24 216:4	deliberating 28:5
40:18 66:25	120:19,22,23,25	8:25 9:2,14	40:13 76:2	221:20,22 222:2	deliberation
72:5 84:5 95:16	121:1 137:19	11:11 13:10,13	138:12 154:8	224:9 229:1,17	76:16
104:3 105:24	226:13,14 246:9	14:15 15:5 16:3	161:11,25 213:7	230:22 233:22	delineated 261:20
110:22 113:8 116:24 118:23	246:19,20,22	19:22 27:24 28:1,5,6,12	226:20 229:23 229:25 233:3	248:22 249:2,6 251:15,22	delinquents 237:18
118:24 119:1	247:22 248:2,6	35:21 43:9,10	244:2 254:20	254:12 261:16	deliver 120:4
137:13 138:24	248:11 250:1,7 250:12	43:21 44:11	276:25	271:4,19	delivered 200:18
145:21 160:25	dangerousness	45:9 49:8,16,20	decided 36:9 51:4	defendant's 3:8	demographics
193:12 208:16	248:19 249:22	50:3 51:1,9 52:7	111:2 210:7	3:10 9:22 19:2	165:15
209:25 215:15	Daniel 4:15	52:24,24 58:6,8	243:21 244:12	36:15 39:19	demonstrate 19:8
221:23 243:12	dare 261:5	58:25 59:5	decides 215:10	44:22 47:22	denied 199:16
246:6 248:23	Darwin 149:3	64:24 65:11	deciding 45:12	48:3 52:20,22	denies 263:25
252:22,24	date 163:21	73:11,15 80:7,9	110:14 120:12	53:19 56:15	dense 143:8
259:12	daughter 218:8,9	80:15,19 81:17	120:21 123:12	66:7,10 108:12	Denton 26:24
criteria 151:19	219:13 224:15	81:20 82:3,16	123:20 223:18	133:15 140:25	275:8
critical 43:23	day 1:12 9:8 13:8	83:5 84:1,9 87:5	255:18	176:8 182:14	department 159:10 168:17
critically 135:4	16:21 17:22	93:3,9,14,22	decision 59:3,5,15	192:22,22 193:3	137.10 100.17

	Page 8							
depend 34:14	170:23 177:19	103:13 131:7	208:13 242:9	196:11 200:10	due 72:10 157:21			
215:22 221:8	227:13 260:14	172:22 223:14	277:22	223:25 227:20	dues 86:2			
229:20,20	272:20	276:22	done 11:25 14:1	269:4 270:3	dumb 220:6			
depending 36:2 95:18 100:14	different 10:8 29:9 30:16 34:3	dismissed 5:5 disorder 158:12	21:1 29:6 32:20 37:19 38:24	273:17 274:11 down-the-road	duration 16:23 98:7			
124:1 132:4	62:7 66:13	disparaging	39:25 40:1	125:5	during 57:20			
134:3 147:7	80:18 90:6,12	135:1	42:21 49:1	downstairs 76:10	104:9 162:19			
214:1 231:15	99:8 101:20	dispassionate	51:21 55:14,23	dozen 144:20,24	177:12 205:7			
235:12,16 236:6	105:14 108:14	126:7	56:19 65:6 81:7	dragged 144:11	216:11 238:21			
246:17 269:25	112:23 113:9	disposition 4:25	83:6 90:24	drama 233:14	duties 92:2			
depends 72:9	114:15,17	5:3 223:6	100:11 101:11	Drank 74:15	duty 13:14 14:7			
85:12 145:24	118:17 119:12	disproportionate	114:12 121:15	draw 121:11	14:22 90:8			
depressed 157:11	119:19 120:24	109:17	133:8 135:7	177:10 246:24	185:7 206:5			
deserter 34:5	125:6 131:7,8	disproportionately		drawn 254:5	212:21			
deserting 34:17	134:3 137:4	109:11	193:21 194:1	drink 61:17,20,20	DWI 4:15 85:22			
desertion 33:25 34:7	139:7,8 143:6	disqualified 123:24	205:6,7 214:24	61:21 62:3,3,11	86:12 112:20			
deserve 205:23	160:6 177:14 182:9 209:6	disqualifies 86:11	215:1 223:3 224:23 227:21	63:5 74:16,22 drinking 62:9	149:13 185:8 189:16 196:23			
deserved 246:24	219:2,3,5,8,10	disregard 92:17	231:21 253:4	63:14 64:6	DWI's 165:4			
design 111:20	221:11 225:12	92:17	258:13,21	drinks 158:4	DWIs 74:4			
designed 142:22	246:20	disrespect 92:13	265:19,20	drive 42:17 164:8	D 7713			
desire 81:11	differently 79:10	disservice 159:3	268:14 276:19	165:10 166:5,6	E			
215:24	123:3 159:13	distill 117:14	277:13	166:18,19	E 1:1,1,1 2:1,1			
detail 18:23 60:3	194:3 235:8	distinct 30:20	door 32:2 119:7	driver 165:4	4:1,1			
183:16 187:6	difficult 29:16	distinction 184:9	226:5	driver's 164:16	each 5:15 17:22			
detailed 156:7	108:24 145:25	distinctions	dope 33:24	166:2,11	65:6 116:6			
details 175:16	146:6 148:2	111:17,24	213:14	drives 253:3	123:4 124:1			
177:10 192:8	152:2 158:11	distraction	dorm 238:19	driving 166:3,11	144:5 160:1			
195:25	181:15,20 187:8	205:25	double 48:6	185:13	197:9 227:18			
detector 149:15 deter 37:23	216:1,6 235:20 difficulty 98:23	district 1:3,17 2:7 6:25 59:10	141:20,20 186:18,18,21	drop 10:16 234:8 234:16	233:1 256:15			
141:12,18,25	difficulty 98.23	103:16,18	187:4	dropout 233:22	268:1 277:13 earlier 12:14			
determination	dinner 237:21	208:10 243:10	doubt 13:22 19:2	234:11	58:18 65:5			
128:5 131:21,22	diploma 161:23	243:12,13,20	19:3 24:21	dropped 234:20	80:14 97:22			
determine 28:1	dire 1:8,12 3:3	244:1,5,13	26:11 27:6	drove 252:25	128:16 159:19			
211:16 223:10	5:10,16 58:8	279:5,19	29:13 37:22	drug 32:8 48:13	191:1 194:8			
232:10 235:20	80:1 122:18	division 58:2 90:8	42:4 56:11,16	48:14,15 49:5,6	195:12 214:21			
252:11	149:16 169:11	276:13	57:2 66:16,19	77:18 78:22,23	248:20			
determining 19:1	direct 6:21 48:1	divisions 91:14	66:20 67:1,4,9	79:12 119:22	early 9:14			
182:1,5 227:20	103:8 197:6	divorced 211:7	70:24 71:3	120:9,15,25	earn 252:23			
253:22	202:8 203:16	dizzy 16:19	73:13 101:2	137:13 138:23	258:13			
deterrence 37:21 38:2 140:18	208:5 256:2 275:22	doctor 16:20 217:8	110:25 114:5,9 116:22 131:11	156:9,9,13 161:20 170:6,22	earned 268:16			
141:11,19,20	directed 119:9	doctors 217:11	133:2 163:4	170:22 177:13	earth 15:10 50:24 245:2 268:14			
142:4,10	direction 238:5	dog 236:16	167:21 171:22	180:12 181:5	ease 28:11			
deterrent 141:24	directly 8:24	doing 9:17 10:11	173:16 188:2	183:14,18,18	easier 89:23			
142:5,9	138:25 140:22	11:24 12:7 14:5	190:17,22	227:12 228:10	185:12,15			
deterring 141:21	142:17 270:21	14:12 22:7	191:13 197:10	228:14 229:8	easy 22:19 218:24			
141:22	directs 192:23	23:14 32:14	205:10 206:10	235:2 249:13,18	219:6 227:17			
develop 29:4	disability 17:18	35:1 38:23 45:5	212:20 213:2,5	251:16,25 252:3	266:17			
device 78:20	disagree 11:12	47:9 48:11	214:16 222:1	271:16	eat 238:14 247:10			
190:14 195:13	22:11,15 77:21	50:10 55:9	228:14 230:2	drugs 32:10,18	economy 236:17			
devices 78:10,11 156:5,5	164:3 197:17,18 220:2	63:14,15 78:17	232:4	32:19,24 33:3,8	ecstasy 157:17			
Diane 274:25	disagreement	90:13 91:20 102:4 108:13,20	dout 29:14 56:18 down 11:23 20:10	47:1,2,4,5,6,9 60:16,20 61:1,8	edge 62:4 192:10 EDS 143:9			
dice 56:25	35:8 154:7	119:13,14	23:17 31:9 34:6	62:13 63:15	educated 154:19			
die 11:4 19:24	discharged 58:18	137:16 141:22	36:7,25 37:4	74:23 79:12	239:2			
52:9 107:6	132:12	159:2 185:24	48:18 52:5	120:5 137:16,19	education 234:1			
died 241:5	discipline 238:7	208:24 209:3	60:23 61:5	139:6,11,13	educational			
Diego 57:22,23	discomfort	219:9 221:1	76:15 78:9 85:9	156:8 157:16	147:21			
Dierdra 274:21	123:23	228:5 229:9	85:16 91:18	162:24 179:25	effect 45:6 46:22			
dies 253:22	discretion 271:24	232:12 235:24	93:6 95:4,16	180:2,21 183:15	56:6 87:24 93:2			
difference 33:18	272:8	246:15 247:14	96:17 102:2,19	229:9 232:24	116:1 132:17			
48:17,22 49:3	discuss 88:24	250:9,9 261:10	108:3 117:14	235:1 247:14	141:9,10 159:21			
49:22 61:3 73:9	105:10 117:20	264:13 265:7	127:23 132:8	249:14 250:9,9	196:21 271:20			
78:24 79:14 104:19 111:13	117:21 163:11 201:4 246:12 14	dollar 217:21	145:8 149:23	250:18,23,24	effective 264:1			
120:23 153:5,7	201:4 246:12,14 discussed 77:15	dollars 78:19 106:4	151:9 152:18,21 153:11 154:9	251:1,2 252:4 263:2 270:16,18	effectively 271:21			
153:11,15	89:5 218:4	don 2:13 7:8	157:9 176:24	271:12	effort 132:5 186:9 250:19			
155:23 156:12	discussing 201:1	103:21 145:7	180:18 183:22	drunk 32:23 33:2	eight 76:9			
156:14,15,25	discussion 6:9	146:7 204:1	183:25 195:9	61:1,7 62:17,18	Eighth 87:14			
, , , , , ,				,	215111 U/.17			

15:3 18:12				ge 9		
24:10.29-11 31:113423 409 62:16 64:4 73:11,15 813:2-5 119:18 112:2-1 24:12 20:11 20:11 24:12 20:11 20:11 24:12 20:11 20:11 24:13 20:11 20:11 24:12 20:11 20:11 24:13 20:11 20:11 24:13 20:11 20:11 24:13 20:11 20:11 24:13 20:11 20:11 24:13 20:11 20:11 24:13 20:11 24:13 20:11 20:11 24:13 20:11 24:13 20:11 20:11 24:13 20:11 20:11 24:13 20:	either 4:7 5:8	264:9 268:22	128:17 137:17			excused 3:11,13
ali:134:23	l .					17:9 89:4 103:5
40-9 62:16 64:4 73:11,158:1 enter 24:16 13:20 13:11. 13:11. 16:11. 20:14. 20:15. 20:15. 2						139:11 162:25
7311,15813 190218 1903 1904 1914		ľ				203:1 207:13
140:15116:15				1		
141:15116:15 220:34 207:15 220:14 230:13 132:24 23 232:17 23:25 23 23 23:25 23 23 23:25 23:25 23 23:25 23 23:25 23 23:25 23 23:25 23 23:25 23 23:25 23 23:25 23 23:25 23 23:25 23 23:25 23 23:25 23						
124:124 127:9 127:13 159:20 105:1 209:2 241:23 252:17 27:99:8 88:29:19 150:1 209:2 241:23 252:17 27:99:8 88:29:19 150:1 209:2 241:23 252:17 27:99:8 88:29:19 150:1 209:2 241:23 252:17 27:99:8 88:29:19 150:1 209:2 241:23 252:17 27:99:8 88:29:19 150:1 209:2 241:23 252:17 27:99:8 88:29:19 150:1 209:2 241:23 252:17 27:99:8 27:99:8 150:1 20:1 20:1 27:99:8 27:99:8 27:99:8 27:99:8 27:99:8 27:99:8 27:99:8 27:99:8 27:99:8 27:99:8 27:99:8 27:99:8 27:99:8 27:99:8 27:99:8 27:99:8 27:99:8 27:99:99:99:99:10.10:20:114:19 27:99:99:99:99:99:99:99:99:99:99:99:99:99		·				•
17:13:23 15:15 17:15 15:15 1	1					
157:15.22						ľ
200:11 204-4 entire 87:21 269:12 268:2 46:14 47:13 164:21 172:24 432:141:14 203:19:121:5 215:92:261:1 114:24 115:1,4 215:126-2 224:13 241:125:19 26:27 225:12 241:12 241:15 26:27 225:12 241:12 241:15 26:27 225:12 241:13 241:14 231:14 241:15 24:18 231:25 241:14 241:15 24:18 241:14 241:15 24:18 241:14 241:15 24:18 241:14 241:15 24:18 241:14 24:18 241:14 24:18						i e
2001 2044 2051 2011 25 2016 67 47 215 25 225 235 25 25 25 25						
205:19.211.25					•	•
2159 226:1 114:24 115:1,4 event 39:25 159:8 54:17,19 55:1 236:12 125:23 116:126:5 198.8 263:10 evert 4:22 182:2 66:20 69:15,22 6 60:20 69:15,22 6	l				1	
236:21 253:23 115:6 126:5 253:25 63:6 67:2 66:6 example 8:3 115:6 126:5 25:13 29:22 71:1,1 17:3,10 26:29 26:29 27:1,1 17:3,10 26:29 27:1,1 17:3,10 26:29 27:1,1 17:3,10 26:29 27:1,1 17:3,10 27:1,1 17:1,1 17:3,10 27:1,1 17:1,1 17:3,10 27:1,1 17:1,1 17:3,10 27:1,1 17:1,1 17:3,10 27:1,1 17:1,1 17:3,10 27:1,1 17:1,1 17:3,10 27:1,1 17:1,1 17:3,10 27:1,1 17:1,1 17:3,10 27:1,1 17:1,1 17:1,1 17:3,10 27:1,1 17:1,1 17:1,1 17:3,10 27:1,1 1				. ,		t - · · ·
275.55 198.8 263:10 eventually 68:20 66:20 69:15.22 example 8:8 15:20 18:16 25:29:10 227:13 25:59 25:13 29:22 71:1,1 77:3,10 25:29:19 31:17 33:2 25:29:19 31:17 33:2 34:18 41:7,10 48:11 49:22 49:23 48:11 49:22 49:18,19 49:41 49:15 49:41					1	
claborate 246:11 246:14 232:19 elected 243:19,20 environment 243:15 244:13 enements 66:16 66:21 114:4 120:16 135:23 66:10 141:4 120:16 135:23 66:10 141:4 120:16 135:23 66:10 141:4 120:16 135:23 66:10 141:4 120:16 135:23 66:10 141:4 120:16 135:23 66:12 147:4 120:16 135:23 66:12 147:4 120:16 135:23 66:12 147:4 120:16 135:23 66:12 147:4 120:16 135:23 66:12 147:4 120:16 135:23 66:12 147:4 120:16 135:23 66:12 147:4 120:16 135:23 66:12 147:4 120:16 135:23 66:12 147:4 120:16 135:23 66:12 147:4 120:16 135:23 66:12 147:4 120:16 135:23 66:12 147:4 120:16 135:23 66:15 67:17,19 110:20 114:11 120:12 121:6 67:22 69:24 114:15 120:14 147:1 67:22 69:24 114:15 120:14 147:1 67:22 69:24 114:15 120:14 147:1 67:22 69:24 120:16 138	275:5	198:8 263:10				
Entrapment 25:6 cleicted 243:19_0 cleicted 243:19_0 cleicted 243:19_0 cleicted 243:19_0 cleicted 243:19_0 cleicted 66:10_1016 displies 1:29:23 cleic 66:11_103:24_1016 displies 1:29:23_18:29:10_10_10_10_10_10_10_10_10_10_10_10_10_1	elaborate 246:11					
cletted 243:19,20	246:14 252:19	Entrapment 25:6	25:13 29:22			
243:25 244:13	elected 243:19,20		39:10,25 44:3			1
elements 66:16 120:16 135:23 60:10,14,14 96:22 97:18,19 45:14 49:15 50:20 72:10 18:21 18:21 19:21 61:16,16,19,23 89:13,21 99:22,23 102:5 78:16,21 88:2 99:22,23 102:5 78:16,21 88:2 99:22,23 102:5 78:16,21 88:2 99:22,23 102:5 78:16,21 88:2 99:22,23 102:5 78:16,21 88:2 99:22,23 102:5 78:16,21 88:2 99:22,23 102:5 78:16,21 88:2 99:22,23 102:5 78:16,21 88:2 99:22,23 102:5 78:16,21 88:2 99:22,23 102:5 78:16,21 88:2 99:22,23 102:5 78:16,21 88:2 99:22,23 102:5 78:16,21 88:2 99:22,23 102:5 78:16,21 88:2 99:22 79:21 121:6 79:21 121:1 79:21 1	· ·		53:23 59:12			exercising 263:21
66:21 114:4 225:10 227:17 61:16,16,19,23 98:13,21 99:3 50:20 772:10 exist 115:8 exist 178:18 Episcopalian 61:25 62:12,20 67:22 69:24 110:20 114:11 148:11 episode 113:8 episode 11			1	96:22 97:18,19		
cligible 129:23						
else's 17:8 119:21					78:16,21 88:2	
episode 113:8 embrace 65:15 embracing 66:8 emotions 126:6 emphasis 257:17 equal 194:14 equation 252:1 equity 135:4 equity 133:14:16:13 equity 135:4 equity 133:14:16:13 equity 133:14:16:13 equity 133:14:16:13 equity 133:14:16:1						
embrace 65:15 embracing 66:8 emotions 126:6 emplass 257:17 employees 143:10 143:17 emcounters 120:3 end 31:8 42:6 60:11 61:2 62:6 64:16 68:25 83:20 103:2 126:13 131:25 131:25 149:17 132:14 121:1 escapes 130:6 226:6 41:16 68:25 131:25 149:17 132:14 144:21 135:14 144:21 115:10.11.1,6.19 130:5 139:22 131:3 142:3 151:3 153:6 115:22 16:10 143:17 143:17 encounters 120:3 end 31:8 42:6 60:11 61:2 62:6 64:16 68:25 133:20 103:2 126:13 131:25 131:25 149:17 135:2 210:10 278:8,9 ended 81:20 278:19 225:11 ends 112:4 171:1 enforcement 184:7 185:23 191:18 238:16 238:18 engage 81:11 engaged 121:5 ends 124:171:1 enforcement 184:7 185:23 191:18 238:16 238:18 engage 81:11 engaged 121:5 ends 112:4 171:1 enforcement 184:7 185:23 191:18 135:10 enjoy 137:23 217:23 217:23 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 enjightened 115:22 221:14 enormous 40:14 106:7 enjoy 137:23 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 enjightened 115:22 21:14 enormous 40:14 106:7 enjoy 137:23 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 enjightened 115:22 221:14 enormous 40:14 106:7 enjoy 137:23 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 enjightened 115:22 221:14 enormous 40:14 106:7 enjoy 137:23 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 enjightened 115:22 221:14 enormous 40:14 106:7 enjoy 137:23 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 enjightened 115:22 221:14 enormous 40:14 106:7 enjoy 137:23 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 enjightened 115:22 221:14 enormous 40:14 106:7 enjoy 137:23 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 enjightened 115:22 221:14 enormous 40:14 106:7 enjoy 137:23 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 enjightened 115:22 221:14 enormous 40:14 106:7 enjoy 137:23 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 enjightened 115:22 221:14 enormous 40:14 eno						
emptacing 66:8						
emphasis 257:17 employees 143:10 143:17 143:17 143:17 143:18 143:18 143:18 143:18 143:19 12 123:13 131:25 16:18 17:18 131:23 131:25 16:18 131:25 16:18 178:23 179:18 133:19 131:19 133:10 12,13 133:10 12,13 133:10 12,13 133:10 133:10 133:1,17 133:1,2 133:1,17 133:1,2 133:1,17 133:1,2 133:1,17 133:1,2 133:1,17 133:1,2 143:13 131:25 16:8 138:14 169:3 176:16 177:21 181:19 191:10 193:10 115:22 193:10 193:10 193:10 193:10 193:10 193:10 115:22 193:10 193:						
emphasis 257:17 ergof 128.8 error 199:3 213:4 214:10 124:8, 16, 18, 22 176:16, 177:21 174:8 191:8, 194:9 192:18, 21 125:25, 126:19 176:12, 317:48 176:18, 194:9 176:						
employees 143:10						1
143:17						
encounters 120.3 escape 269:18,21 escapes 130:6 di 16:2 62:6 64:16 68:25 83:20 103:22 126:13 131:25 131:25 149:17 159:2 210:10 278:8,9 ended 83:20 225:11 ends 112:4 171:1 enforcement 184:7 185:23 191:18 238:16 238:18 engage 81:11 engaged 121:5 English 153:10 engage 81:11 engaged 121:5 English 153:10 engage 81:11 engaged 121:5 English 153:10 engage 121:5 English 153:10 enjoy 137:23 217:24 223:13 89:23 223:14 8:5:21 223:14 8:5:21 221:14 enormous 40:14 22:19 51:21 223:14 53:15 224:13 247:19 23:12 221:14 enormous 40:14 229:19 2:24 23:19 2:24 23:19 2:25 24:13 24:25 24:24 24:25 24:25 24:25 25:1550:25 25:150:22 25:15 133:10,12,13 133:10,12,13 133:10,12,13 133:10,12,13 133:10,12,13 133:10,12,13 133:10,12,13 133:10,182:11 190:1,10 193:10 195:1,0 193:10 195:1,0 193:10 195:1,0 193:10 195:1,0 193:10 26:17:26:8 266:10,11,25 268:8,9 26:10 211:25 228:6 229:22 24:18 26:0 179:21 181:7,9 211:23:3,7,18:21 216:23,11,1,18 213:14,20 216:42 26:5 268:8,9 26:1 216:3,11,1,18 213:14,20 216:42 26:5 268:8,9 26:1 216:3,11,1,18 213:14,20 216:42,20 215:25 226:8 225:15 226:8 225:15 226:0 24:22 24:22 24:22 24:25 24:25 24:25 24:25 24:25 24:25 24:28 24:28 24:28 24:28 24:28 24:28 24:28 24:29 24:29 24:29 24:29 24:29 24:29 24:29 24:18 269:10 24:18 26						
end 3:18 42:6 60:11 61:2 62:6 64:16 68:25 83:20 103:22 225:13 13:25 131:25 149:17 159:2 210:10 278:8,9 ended 83:20 225:11 ends 112:4 171:1 enforcement 184:7 185:23 191:18 238:16 238:18 engage 81:11 engaged 121:5 English 153:10 enjoy 137:23 217:23 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 enlightened 115:22 221:14 enormous 40:14 enormou						
60:11 61:2 62:6 64:16 68:25 83:20 103:22 126:13 38:23 168:9 216:20 228:13 89:23 168:9 216:20 72:22 74:22 126:13 131:25 169:21 100 278:8,9 ended 83:20 225:11 ends 112:4 171:1 enforcement 184:7 185:23 191:18 238:16 238:18 engage 81:11 estimate 68:13 estimation 227:23 et 191:22 established 238:18 engage 81:11 engaged 121:5 English 153:10 enjoy 137:23 217:23 enjoyable 217:23 enjoyable 217:23 enjoyable 217:23 enjoyable 217:23 enjoyable 217:24 enormous 40:14 enormous 40:14 enormous 40:14 neormous 40:14 neormous 40:14 106:7 enough 9:4 12:12 14:18 27:17 enough 9:4 12:12 14:18 27:17 enough 9:4 12:12 14:18 27:17 36:25 40:14.15 51:15 16:124 13:15 16:24 13:33:4 17:15 16:124 17:15 16:24 13:33:16 88:1 9:10:23 233:4 233:16 29:13 233:4 107:3 108:13,19 133:13,19 12:22 13:15 16:24 11:15 120:9 13:23,24 107:3 108:13,19 13:21 14:16 20:21; 233:10 233:4 233:10 12:24 everybody's 233:1 233:4 233:16 22:23 20:33:1 233:4 233:19 17:15 16:124 23:31:16 11:15 120:9 13:23 14:18 22:23 24:26 23:20 24:19 22:23 24:19 22:23 24:10 24:18 25:23 23:10 23:24 24:18 25:23 25:25	1					
68:16 68:25 especially 22:4 every 17:23 35:1 138:8,11,11 190:1,10 193:10 261:7 262:8 261:8 262:5 2	1					
83:20 103:22 28:13 89:23 35:2 50:24 63:8 152:16 158:18 195:4,6 210:24 266:10,11,25 131:25 149:17 159:2 210:10 236:10 241:25						
126:13 131:25 168:9 216:20 72:22 74:22 161:3,11,17,18 213:14,20 266:10,11,25 268:8,9 269:1 238:12 216:20 215:25 268:8,9 269:1 268:8,9 26						
131:25 149:17 236:10 241:25 78:4 125:14 163:19 168:12 214:20 215:25 268:8 9 269:1 278:8,9 essentially 56:6 197:9 198:20 170:17,18 174:3 227:27,79 228:16 233:20 245:10 224:25 235:9 174:19 176:7 228:16 233:20 245:10 224:18 269:10 186:10 189:25 249:16 264:20 245:10 224:28 238:18 estimate 68:13 271:18 estimate 68:13 estimation 227:23 191:18 238:16 238:18 estimation 227:23 297:3 0:8 39:3 197:9 198:9,20 107:3 268:6 exceptions 13:20 161:20 25 162:7						
159:2 210:10 278:8,9 essentially 56:6 establish 271:22 224:22 225:31 ends 112:4 171:1 enforcement 184:7 185:23 191:18 238:16 238:18 engage 81:11 engaged 121:5 English 153:10 enjoy 137:23 217:23 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 enlightened 115:22 221:14 enormous 40:14 enormous 40:14 formormous 40	131:25 149:17		1			
278:8,9 ended 83:20 establish 271:22 established 242:24 established 242:24 established 242:25 235:9 174:19 176:7 228:16 233:20 249:16 264:20 expert 160:16,16 expert 160:16,16 expert 160:16,16 expert 160:18,16 expert 160:16,16 expert 160:18,16 expert 160:18,16 expert 160:16,16 expert 160:18,16 expert		essence 262:8	131:17 173:1,2			
ended 83:20	278:8,9	essentially 56:6	197:9 198:20			experienced
ends 112:4 171:1 242:24 every-day 72:11 186:10 189:25 examples 135:20 160:18 16:15 184:7 185:23 191:18 238:16 271:18 estimate 68:13 29:14,25 20:24 192:7 193:11 except 15:15,16 161:20,25 162:7 238:18 engage 81:11 engaged 121:5 estimation 227:23 29:7 30:8 39:3 197:9 198:9,20 107:3 268:6 exceptions 13:20 158:22 159:5,17 English 153:10 enjoy 137:23 217:23 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 110:2 evaluating 111:18 18:10 112:3 151:19 213:35 20:16 214:18,20,22,25 exciting 125:15 Exciting 14:19 excluded 116:15 excluded 116:15 160:18 161:15 106:7 enough 9:4 12:12 fisits 27:17 59:17 61:14 241:13 247:19 229:20,21,22 excusa 163:6 excusa 163:6 explain 7:17,18 69:2 110:24 137:15 161:24 91:19 92:3 93:9 240:21 258:23 233:1 233:12 23:10 33:10 45:19 225:18 257:19 187:13 190:8 96:6 101:17,19 46:20 59:22 25:13 25:2,6,9 162:13,17,18.20 explained 8:1 187:13 190:8 96:6 101:17,19 46:20 59:22 25:13 25:10 25:13 25:15 171:16 172:5,6 exp				174:19 176:7		
establishment 184:7 185:23 271:18 estimate 68:13 238:18 engage 81:11 engaged 121:5 English 153:10 enjoy 137:23 217:23 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 enlightened enformous 40:14 enormous 40:14 106:7 enough 9:4 12:12 enormous 40:14 106:7 enough 9:4 12:12 13:18 27:7 enough 9:4 12:12 13:18 27:7 36:25 40:14,15 69:2 110:24 13:18 19:18 19:18 27:17 36:25 40:14,15 69:2 110:24 13:18:19 137:15 161:24 13:19 13:19 13:13 190:8 13:19 199:22,23 20:38 102:11,23,24 107:3 108:13,19 229:13 233:4 229:13 233:4 229:13 233:4 229:13 233:4 229:12 24:11 12:15 11:12 109:12,25 11:12 12:19 229:12 12:14 11:12 12:19 229:12 12:14 11:12 12:19 230:21 14:18 27:17 230:21 14:18 27:17 230:21 15:15 247:19 277:19 230:21 231:15 231:10 2:24 24:22 246:16 24:21 23:15 24:21 23:34 23:19 23:19	1 .					expert 160:16,16
184:7 185:23						160:18 161:15
191:18 238:16 238:18 estimate 68:13 21:4,24 27:5 194:10,13,20 44:8 56:21 96:8 estimation 227:23 29:7 30:8 39:3 197:9 198:9,20 107:3 268:6 esternal 268:20,21 et nic 109:12,25 105:2,14 114:10 210:14,17 211:9 excessive 107:24 enjoy 137:23 210:2 118:5,5 130:6 211:20 212:1,5 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 enlightened enormous 40:14 enormous 40:14 enormous 40:14 106:7 52:14 53:15 247:19 277:19 233:24 235:7 217:14 221:3 everybody's 231:22 232:10 138:23 139:1,3 136:25 40:14,15 69:2 110:24 138:15 123:25 138:11 236:25 40:14,15 69:2 110:24 138:13 190:8 139:13 190:8 199:23 233:4 229:13 233:4 229:13 233:4 229:13 233:4 229:13 233:4 229:13 233:4 229:13 233:4 229:13 233:4 233:14 107:3 108:13,19 238:12 239:16 111:5 109:9 132:21 144:16 262:9,13,2,23 179:3 180:13 229:8 262:3 229:8 262:3 229:20 221 234:15 229:8 262:3 22					183:9 271:14	161:20,25 162:7
238:18						
engage 81:11 engaged 121:5 English 153:10 enjoy 137:23 enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 enlightened 111:18 167:2 enormous 40:14 enormous 40:14 enormous 40:14 enormous 40:14 106:7 enough 9:4 12:12 14:18 27:17 enough 9:4 12:12 14:18 27:17 36:25 40:14,15 69:2 110:24 13:25 51:5 16:24 53:15 16:24 53:15 16:25 90:19 91:24 104:10 105:2,14 114:10 105:2,14 114:10 105:2,14 114:10 105:2,14 114:10 118:5,5 130:6 118:5,5 130:6 118:5,5 130:6 111:18 167:2 110:2 111:18 167:2 111:18 167:2 115:22 221:14 106:7 enough 9:4 12:12 14:18 27:17 36:25 40:14,15 69:2 110:24 13:15 82:10 88:1 10:24 53:15 13:10 29:13 13:10 45:19 240:21 258:23 249:9 250:17,20 137:15 161:24 187:13 190:8 198:23 199:3 208:25 210:7,10 210:14,17 211:9 220:22 21:1,5 221:20 21:2,15 221:20 21:2,15 221:20 21:2,15 221:20 21:2,15 221:20 21:2,15 221:20 21:2,15 222:20:2,23 203:8 102:11,23,24 107:3 108:13,19 240:21 258:23 249:9 250:17,20 15:15 110:3 exceptions 13:20 158:22 159:5,17 160:1,4,11 216:0,1,5,16 221:20 21:2,5 221:20 21:2,5 221:20 21:2,5 221:20 21:2,5 221:20 21:2,5 221:20 21:2,5 222:20:2,12 223:10 23:10 23:10 23:10 45:19 23:10 45:19 240:21 258:23 249:9 250:17,20 21:20 212:1,5 222:18 23:10 23:10 45:19 240:21 258:23 249:9 250:17,20 160:14,17 21:9 229:20,22,1,22 220:32 23:10 23:10 40:10 20:14,17,211:9 21:20,115,16 221:20,212:1,5 222:10 254:10 21:20,212:1,5 222:10 254:10 21:20,21:1,5 222:10 254:10 21:20,212:1,5 222:10 254:10 21:20,212:1,5 222:10 254:10 21:20,212:1,5 222:10 254:10 21:20,212:1,5 222:10 254:10 21:20,212:1,5 222:10 254:10 21:20,212:1,5 222:10 254:10 21:20,21:1,5 222:10 254:10						
engaged 121:5 eternal 268:20,21 91:24 104:10 208:25 210:7,10 15:15 110:3 excessive 107:24 exchange 76:21 exch					_	
English 153:10						
enjoy 137:23						
217:23						
enjoyable 217:24 enjoyment 80:15 enlightened 115:22 221:14 enormous 40:14 106:7 enough 9:4 12:12 14:18 27:17 36:25 40:14,15 69:2 110:24 137:15 161:24 137:15 161:24 137:15 161:24 233:34 239:16 192:22,23 203:8 192:22,23 203:8 192:22,23 203:8 192:22,23 203:8 229:13 233:4 238:12 239:16 242:22 246:16 evaluating 14:3 151:1,9 157:3 209:16 213:3,5,16 exciting 125:15 exciting 14:19 excluded 116:15 215:3,12,15 excusal 163:6 excuse 32:20 20:29:20,21,22 20:20,21,22						
enjoyment 80:15						
enlightened even 11:11 18:10 210:5 228:5 215:3,12,15 excluded 116:15 178:7 218:12,17 115:22 221:14 33:16 37:20 233:24 235:7 217:14 221:3 excusal 163:6 252:18 257:19 enormous 40:14 42:19 51:21 241:13 247:19 229:20,21,22 excusal 163:6 excluded 116:15 252:18 257:19 enough 9:4 12:12 52:14 53:15 247:19 277:19 230:21 231:15 33:10 45:19 42:20 169:18 14:18 27:17 74:7 80:25 233:1 233:4 235:12 138:23 139:1,3 201:8 225:23 69:2 110:24 91:19 92:3 93:9 240:21 258:23 249:9 250:17,20 162:13,17,18,20 explanation 187:13 190:8 96:6 101:17,19 46:20 59:22 25:10 254:10 164:4,6,7 171:8 Expound 177:8 192:22,23 203:8 102:11,23,24 107:3 108:13,19 98:13,18 115:12 261:18 262:1,5 174:7 175:21 ext 279:21 238:12 239:16 111:5 120:9 132:21 144:16 262:9,13,22,23 179:3 180:13 extent 17:17 61:9 242:22 246:16 124:3 125:5 151:24 163:18 263:7,9,14 229:8 262:3						
115:22 221:14	enlightened					
enormous 40:14 42:19 51:21 241:13 247:19 229:20,21,22 excuse 32:20 explained 8:1 106:7 52:14 53:15 247:19 277:19 230:21 231:15 33:10 45:19 42:20 169:18 enough 9:4 12:12 59:17 61:14 everybody's 231:22 232:10 87:13 102:24 201:8 225:23 14:18 27:17 74:7 80:25 233:1 233:4 235:12 138:23 139:1,3 explanation 36:25 40:14,15 82:10 88:1 everyone 84:11 236:6 249:3,6,8 158:9 159:6 104:9 220:9 69:2 110:24 91:19 92:3 93:9 240:21 258:23 249:9 250:17,20 162:13,17,18,20 explanation 187:13 190:8 96:6 101:17,19 46:20 59:22 252:10 254:10 164:4,6,7 171:8 Expound 177:8 192:22,23 203:8 102:11,23,24 76:2 89:18 254:21 259:12 171:16 172:5,6 express 132:14 238:12 239:16 111:5 120:9 132:21 144:16 262:9,13,22,23 179:3 180:13 extent 17:17 61:9 242:22 246:16 124:3 125:5 151:24 163:18 263:7,9,14 229:8 262:3 99:21 123:24						
106:7					I	
enough 9:4 12:12 59:17 61:14 everybody's 231:22 232:10 87:13 102:24 201:8 225:23 36:25 40:14,15 82:10 88:1 233:1 233:4 235:12 138:23 139:1,3 explanation 69:2 110:24 91:19 92:3 93:9 240:21 258:23 249:9 250:17,20 162:13,17,18,20 explanatory 187:13 190:8 96:6 101:17,19 46:20 59:22 252:10 254:10 164:4,6,7 171:8 Expound 177:8 192:22,23 203:8 102:11,23,24 76:2 89:18 254:21 259:12 171:16 172:5,6 express 132:14 238:12 239:16 111:5 120:9 132:21 144:16 262:9,13,22,23 179:3 180:13 extent 17:17 61:9 242:22 246:16 124:3 125:5 151:24 163:18 263:7,9,14 229:8 262:3 99:21 123:24			247:19 277:19			
14:18 27:17 74:7 80:25 233:1 233:4 235:12 138:23 139:1,3 explanation 36:25 40:14,15 91:19 92:3 93:9 240:21 258:23 249:9 250:17,20 162:13,17,18,20 explanatory 137:15 161:24 93:12 94:25 96:6 101:17,19 46:20 59:22 252:10 254:10 164:4,6,7 171:8 Expound 177:8 192:22,23 203:8 102:11,23,24 76:2 89:18 254:21 259:12 171:16 172:5,6 express 132:14 238:12 239:16 111:5 120:9 132:21 144:16 262:9,13,22,23 179:3 180:13 extent 17:17 61:9 242:22 246:16 124:3 125:5 151:24 163:18 263:7,9,14 229:8 262:3 99:21 123:24					87:13 102:24	
69:2 110:24 91:19 92:3 93:9 240:21 258:23 249:9 250:17,20 162:13,17,18,20 explanatory 251:3 190:8 192:22,23 203:8 102:11,23,24 107:3 108:13,19 238:12 239:16 249:9 250:17,20 162:13,17,18,20 162:21 163:5,6 231:15 164:4,6,7 171:8 164:4,6,7 171:8 171:16 172:5,6 174:7 175:21 174:7 175:21 174:7 175:21 174:7 175:21 179:3 180:13 179:3 180:13 179:3 180:13 179:3 180:13 179:3 180:13 179:21 179:3 180:13 179:3 180:13 179:21 179:3 180:13 179:3 180:13 179:21 179:3 180:13 179:21 179:3 180:13 179:21 179:3 180:13 179:21 179:3 180:13 179:21 179:3 180:13 179:21 179:3 180:13 179:21 179:3 180:13 179:21 17						
137:15 161:24 93:12 94:25 everything 24:19 251:3 252:2,6,9 162:21 163:5,6 231:15 187:13 190:8 96:6 101:17,19 46:20 59:22 76:2 89:18 252:10 254:10 102:11,23,24 107:3 108:13,19 98:13,18 115:12 261:18 262:1,5 174:7 175:21 ext 279:21 238:12 239:16 124:3 125:5 124:3 125:5 151:24 163:18 263:7,9,14 229:8 262:3 99:21 123:24						_ B
187:13 190:8 96:6 101:17,19 46:20 59:22 252:10 254:10 164:4,6,7 171:8 Expound 177:8 192:22,23 203:8 102:11,23,24 76:2 89:18 254:21 259:12 171:16 172:5,6 express 132:14 229:13 233:4 107:3 108:13,19 98:13,18 115:12 261:18 262:1,5 174:7 175:21 ext 279:21 238:12 239:16 111:5 120:9 132:21 144:16 262:9,13,22,23 179:3 180:13 extent 17:17 61:9 242:22 246:16 124:3 125:5 151:24 163:18 263:7,9,14 229:8 262:3 99:21 123:24						
192:22,23 203:8 102:11,23,24 76:2 89:18 254:21 259:12 171:16 172:5,6 express 132:14 229:13 233:4 107:3 108:13,19 98:13,18 115:12 261:18 262:1,5 174:7 175:21 ext 279:21 238:12 239:16 111:5 120:9 132:21 144:16 262:9,13,22,23 179:3 180:13 extent 17:17 61:9 242:22 246:16 124:3 125:5 151:24 163:18 263:7,9,14 229:8 262:3 99:21 123:24					, ,	
229:13 233:4 107:3 108:13,19 98:13,18 115:12 261:18 262:1,5 174:7 175:21 ext 279:21 238:12 239:16 111:5 120:9 132:21 144:16 262:9,13,22,23 179:3 180:13 extent 17:17 61:9 242:22 246:16 124:3 125:5 151:24 163:18 263:7,9,14 229:8 262:3 99:21 123:24						Expound 177:8
238:12 239:16	174.22,23 203:8					
242:22 246:16		107:3 108:13,19				
1 1 1						
210.20 25 120.1,11,15 105.20 107.10 204.4,9,25 270:1 158:12 175:10						
A COMPANY OF THE ACT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	410.20 231.4	140.1,11,17	103.20 107:10	204.4,7,23	2/0:1	138:12 1/3:10

			ge 10		•
220:20 247:2	241:25 242:22	favorite 20:18	figure 30:14	18:24 26:23	90:13 144:2
extra 235:3	242:23 245:25	239:18	44:24 60:19	28:19,25 29:15	following 1:13
extreme 38:21 171:1	246:16 251:2,14 256:24 260:10	federal 39:4 78:12 129:18	66:9 68:16 78:19 79:3,17	34:22 35:11 38:10,14 41:25	19:17 52:5 90:2 256:18
extremely 143:9	267:22 268:22	170:21	84:4 115:14	44:6,7 66:3	follows 8:19
eye 28:18 37:19	fairly 38:9 82:14	feel 12:17,19	149:21 157:3	71:10 75:19	127:19
37:19 38:3,3	85:2 96:4,5 97:7	14:25 16:22	164:4 165:20	79:5,21 81:1	Fonda 266:7,8,22
150:15,15 269:9	97:13 98:21	18:5 22:7 26:17	170:13 177:5,8	85:21 92:24	267:2,22,23
273:6	115:2 126:19	26:17 52:23	178:5 182:15	104:16 110:14	272:20 273:11
eyebrows 95:4 eyes 259:7,8	190:3,19 256:23 fairness 7:19	53:5 64:16 65:10 71:4	183:5 191:2,10 225:6	112:18,20 113:4	food 236:16 248:4 foolish 220:6
eyes 239.7,0	216:13 237:3	73:24 80:12	figures 192:2	114:8,16,19 115:12 118:14	football 20:16
F	faith 12:19	83:7,13,15,16	figuring 54:24	123:13 125:13	214:13
F 1:13	219:15 258:7	89:17 92:15	file 70:7	127:8 132:25	force 119:13,14
face 90:23	falco 2:4 4:9 5:2	93:17 98:10	filed 5:10	133:11,25	181:9
faced 10:14,15	7:2 91:9 103:14	104:17 105:18	fill 157:1 191:16	134:20 136:22	Ford 182:19
253:21	203:21 208:8	105:19,20 117:10 125:6	191:19 filling 184:16	140:17 143:14	forecast 30:17 72:19
facilitate 20:1 fact 8:13 14:21	274:17 275:21 276:5,8,11,13	126:21 138:6	finality 4:16	156:18 163:23 170:8 189:18	forecaster 73:3
18:12 42:24	278:5	146:2,5 148:2	finally 35:7 70:22	192:20 193:5	foregoing 279:6
46:20 65:23	fall 157:19 180:11	154:19 159:20	71:2 103:5	194:10 202:7	foreman 216:21
86:3 87:25	fallen 164:25	160:10 168:20	203:1 207:13	205:3,5 211:19	forever 70:21
101:9,9 109:10	259:3	168:25 172:23	Financial 149:8	214:19 221:17	106:23
139:24 148:25	falling 69:6 falls 109:11 207:4	177:11 181:17 181:19,22	find 19:25 27:8 27:12 29:14	221:18,18,18 229:23 244:21	forgetting 148:17 forgiveness 257:2
221:9,11 224:8 224:17 233:19	falsely 107:18	183:16 184:12	35:12,17 37:22	246:14 251:8	form 90:23 129:9
234:19 253:6	familiar 8:17	184:12 187:11	41:12,15 42:11	253:7 254:19	219:22
254:25 255:16	44:4 214:4	189:17 195:14	42:14 44:13	275:11,13	former 193:7
257:17 262:24	230:10	207:2 209:9	50:21,22 51:17	277:20	195:5 200:21
264:9,13,17	family 60:15 63:4	210:9 211:3,5	64:17 66:2,22	fit 9:1 71:22	forms 48:19
266:13 270:12	105:8 110:25	219:4,10 228:22	67:11 80:24	72:12 165:15 204:9	148:10
272:22 273:7	157:10 206:6 245:7	229:6 231:12 232:11 277:10	81:2,6,6,6 83:2 96:16 99:23	fits 171:10	formula 182:15 formulas 41:6
fact-finding 262:14	fan 20:14	277:19,20	100:9,13 101:5	five 79:23 80:6,12	formulated
factor 159:8	far 6:4 25:17	feeling 82:21	108:14 109:13	197:17 213:15	117:18
180:1,2 181:6	55:17 61:3	83:20 89:20	113:1 114:4	213:20 218:4	forth 163:19
182:10 252:5	67:11 103:22	92:16 97:4	116:22 124:12	five-minute	forward 163:24
271:17	104:19 107:25	124:17 151:2	124:13 134:6	154:20 176:23	fought 162:4
factored 195;6 factoring 110:19	116:7 121:23,25 143:16 144:15	219:5 feelings 83:21,22	137:9 155:16,17 155:17 162:20	fixing 73:1 Flaherty 274:17	found 27:19 35:11,18 38:15
factors 47:25	146:16 147:25	92:13 104:16,20	173:10 179:16	274:18	38:24 40:5 48:5
135:24 155:22	151:22 153:13	126:4 143:3	186:21 187:14	flat 139:17	52:19,19,22
165:23,25	153:14 155:12	145:23 146:1	188:3 209:6,18	flesh 11:24	67:10,12 80:23
176:18 179:1,5	155:23 179:24	147:7 151:13,23	215:25 216:2,7	flexibility 113:11	81:14,15,21
179:9,15,19,20	208:13,14 215:17 218:18	263:25 264:22 feels 35:3 263:23	216:14 221:4 226:16 232:14	113:14 flexible 117:19	82:13,25 83:2 84:7,19 92:22
179:22 180:4,8 180:10,17	236:23	fellow 42:5 47:14	233:9,10 262:23	flippant 240:24	96:13 102:12
221:12	Farmer's 165:12	51:22 86:8	264:6 272:8,9	flow 121:17	111:6,7 114:3
facts 23:15 38:16	165:13 166:1	222:20	272:15,16 276:9	fluctuating	127:19 138:8
168:24 179:17	farmers 156:5	felonies 214:4	finding 210:10	267:20	155:18 163:7
187:7,16 191:15	fashion 9:24 31:1	felony 7:3,5 85:22	finds 8:14 11:4 134:12 155:8	focus 46:12 130:20 182:6,6	171:20 172:8 173:11,15
194:21 195:22	106:6 fast 5:1 16:19	103:15,18 149:13,13	fine 7:12,21 23:10	182:16	209:19 210:4
211:1 223:17,20 224:5 235:17	68:15 144:21	213:25 214:1	50:11 86:16	focused 37:13	214:9 220:22
252:16	252:25 253:3	felt 14:3 74:8	87:20 89:18	folks 209:11	221:19 225:24
fail 30:15 190:19	father 105:12	111:11 135:12	92:15 103:11,23	219:20	229:17 253:19
190:21	fathers 137:25	146:18 149:19	106:3 118:21	follow 8:21 20:5	253:21
failed 19:5	fault 88:15,23	151:15	119:20 148:8	26:8,12,14,16	foundation 218:15
fails 19:3 failure 30:6,8,11	favor 9:2 69:15 69:19 87:5	fertilizer 156:4 fertilizers 78:10	174:24 184:9 204:2 208:15	45:20 50:18 51:5 52:10 84:4	four 4:6 58:17
212:6,15	98:25 99:9,11	few 43:19 122:6	263:18 268:3	88:1 91:10,13	75:20 218:3
fair 14:5 20:23	99:11 100:21	131:19,19,20	fined 85:25	91:15,24 92:2	fox 260:23
27:17 32:25	105:16,17 123:6	238:8 242:21	fingers 91:20	92:14 95:21	frame 205:7
36:21 87:4	123:8 124:14	276:25 277:14	finish 7:23 68:18	97:7,13,17	207:4
90:14 92:9	138:9 141:17	Fi 57:17	68:19 121:14	99:13,16 101:14	frank 205:9
96:20 101:6,24	152:18 209:12	Fidel 240:7,8	270:6	142:10 148:16	frankly 11:9
101:25 113:18	218:11,11,25 235:11,14 236:5	field 29:16 215:20 215:23	finished 44:1 96:15	190:9 196:23 211:22 214:2,5	29:10 128:17 269:5
116:4,16 123:7 123:11 166:9	264:14	fight 10:11 27:2	finishes 122:6	234:21	freak 144:12
189:12 192:3	favorable 216:1,5	91:6 156:9	firm 169:19	follow-up 4:21	free 18:17 34:25
195:22 228:17	favored 105:5	199:12	first 3:5 4:11	followed 78:6	37:11 110:24
and the second section of the section o		Secretary with the second of	The same of the sa	Anna Santa Carlo Car	Control of the Contro

Page 11							
118:5,16 130:13	function 13:14	177:22	go 4:11 7:14	275:14 276:3,7	213:4,4 220:16		
183:2 215:2	143:24	gets 11:20 13:23	10:10 14:23	276:10,12,18	221:19 222:21		
free-world	functioning	21:2 42:6 51:10	15:9 16:20	277:2,16 278:2	223:1,18 225:25		
130:12	240:16	51:11 78:22	18:17 19:16	278:6	226:1,18 228:3		
freely 147:24	fundamental	116:15,16,20	21:10 23:6	Goeller's 89:16	228:3 229:20,20		
229:12 258:17	256:23	125:13 126:13	25:16 26:24	92:21 192:1	229:24 230:15		
frequency 100:10	fundamentally	126:14 130:5	27:25 35:9	goes 64:8 109:12	230:15 232:2,10		
109:14	205:22	141:9 156:8	36:25 38:8	113:21 116:7	232:15 233:25		
frequent 40:18 frequently 100:4	funny 9:20,22 133:23 220:21	175:9 180:18 182:2 215:8	41:15 42:17 45:23 54:24	137:25 151:4	234:5,8 235:5		
109:9	227:9	251:24	55:17 60:2 62:5	153:14 155:12 155:24 170:4	238:4 239:13,23 242:5,20 245:11		
friend 157:11	further 131:22	getting 11:25	64:1,15 69:10	202:7 223:21	247:3 248:18,19		
friendly 7:15	136:4 193:17	17:24 18:22	73:1 74:1,4,20	259:20	249:3 250:15		
62:21	197:19 203:22	71:4,10 123:15	74:25 78:24	going 4:11 5:1,13	251:15,16,23		
friends 60:15	203:24 208:9	135:12 136:2	79:7 83:21	6:2 9:8,23 12:20	252:4,8,10,13		
63:4,5 121:8	219:12 241:18	156:5 163:12	84:10 89:23	12:24,25 13:1,1	256:6 259:19		
157:10 167:7	279:9,11	165:6 166:23	93:20 94:2,4,6	15:12 18:5,11	260:16 262:3		
220:5	fuse 156:5	167:19 174:14	108:3,18 119:7	18:13,23 22:2	265:22 266:3		
friendship 62:25	fuss 91:6	178:7,12 198:23	124:1 127:21,25	23:16 24:8 25:3	268:1,25 270:7		
Frisco 256:8	fussing 98:22	199:18 227:1	130:20 131:5	26:19 29:8	272:15,16		
frivolous 168:10	future 37:17	237:21 255:19	141:14 153:14	30:10,15 32:13	273:12		
191:17	88:22 101:1	256:2 274:11	155:13 161:1,1	35:13,14 36:1,7	golf 20:19 64:3		
from 5:7 7:25	110:16 120:13	gin 74:19	161:5,12 166:25	37:16,21 38:16	gone 10:21 98:18		
8:23 11:7 13:7 15:3 16:22 17:9	131:23 141:2,5 142:23 163:13	Girl's 237:15	169:1 173:1	43:4,15,24	122:14 125:12		
17:19 26:1 33:7	163:24 166:24	girls 238:6 give 19:21,22	176:3 177:2 180:20 181:13	45:11 49:19 51:5 56:13,14	156:9,13 170:22 173:21 199:22		
33:25 37:23	167:3 222:21	38:17 52:3	182:21 185:24	61:5,6 62:13	good 6:12 8:4		
47:21 49:14	223:2,19 230:15	55:19 67:6 69:8	189:4 193:16	63:17 64:14,16	12:20 13:25		
51:10,11 56:14	230:16,25	80:8 83:3 87:4	204:24 206:21	65:7,22 68:1,14	17:4 22:5,6,7		
56:16 58:6,13	232:16 248:19	88:8 92:9 93:9	207:19 208:3	68:15,16,19	34:11 36:25		
63:18 66:13	248:25 249:22	93:22 94:21	212:14 214:17	70:23 71:9,15	44:22 52:2,15		
69:10 77:3	250:15	99:19 101:4,6	215:25 218:24	71:20 72:19,21	54:25 55:6 57:9		
81:19,25 83:8,9		101:25 108:14	221:20 226:1	73:11,17,18	60:19 65:6		
85:21 86:19	G	108:16,17,17	230:25 234:6	74:20,21 76:16	68:24,24 71:4		
87:17 90:1 93:7 95:4 102:16	G 4:1	112:3,4,6 113:2	236:16 239:20	76:25 77:5	73:23 75:2		
103:13,20	gail 2:4 7:2	124:3,4 125:4 126:20 148:6	243:24 245:11 252:12 256:6	81:19 82:25	78:16,21 89:6		
108:11 118:10	103:14 203:21 276:3	152:15 154:23	257:23 265:11	83:5 88:18,20 88:25 90:5 91:4	92:9 98:8 106:16 107:13		
121:21 127:4	gal 267:9	174:11 175:19	265:17 266:12	91:5,6 92:14	108:12,19 109:5		
138:25 141:22	game 125:11,12	176:6 179:20	268:11 270:13	93:9,14,20,21	114:24,25		
143:5 144:8	125:14	182:11 183:3	271:8 277:14	95:25 96:3,14	125:16,16		
145:22 146:14	games 144:20,24	184:25 192:10	God 12:19 64:23	96:15,16 97:6	133:12 142:19		
148:11 149:16	gaps 191:16,19	197:23,25 198:1	65:10,15,18	98:20,21 99:13	145:6,22 147:2		
149:17 151:8,24	gather 166:8	199:3 204:7,12	66:8 98:2 149:3	99:14,15,18	151:1 155:1		
151:25 158:20 159:10 161:24	gave 68:13 79:23	205:23 206:3	261:9	100:25 101:10	165:3 168:13		
162:7 167:7,10	80:6 111:14	207:3 212:8 233:20 265:2,5	God's 219:22 258:14,22,24	101:19 110:15	169:2 181:4,14		
171:13 176:1	148:7 151:21 152:6 178:23	269:18 271:1,20	259:10	111:21 114:23 115:14 116:19	184:13 185:25 186:10 190:2		
181:3,23 182:15	195:4 197:7	277:12	goeller 2:12,15	120:5,12,22	193:13,18,22		
184:10,11	239:17 275:2	given 14:3 60:10	5:6,9,18,22,25	121:18 123:19	195:10,13		
187:21 192:3	gee 45:23 52:10	108:7 111:12	6:10 7:10 8:12	125:11 127:20	208:17 212:10		
194:17,20,21	gender 110:12	130:24 190:10	23:9 28:22 29:9	127:20,23,25	214:20 215:1,1		
196:9 200:11,22	general 64:24	211:9 239:3	30:21 57:8	128:7 130:20,20	215:2 216:9,10		
201:12 205:2	90:11 120:21	254:16 268:17	65:23 66:1 75:6	130:21 131:6	218:25 219:13		
217:14 220:9 222:7 237:1,24	129:6,7 148:23	268:20 272:4	75:8 79:24 80:3	137:16 139:9	219:14 228:7,17		
240:12 244:16	189:19 190:1	gives 92:3 97:8 112:7 113:11	80:6 85:9 87:1	149:22 150:9	229:4 231:13		
245:5 252:1	192:9 238:3 275:15	114:11 141:10	88:15 89:9,11 89:21 91:9	155:4 158:23 161:12,12 162:4	232:12 233:25 237:2 239:16,16		
265:24 271:8	general's 90:12	151:1 173:4	102:18,25	163:19 165:20	251:18 258:19		
272:20 277:13	generally 74:20	231:6 239:15	103:23 145:3,5	166:1,9 168:21	268:21 276:10		
277:15 278:4,4	181:22 189:11	258:17	145:7 159:14,15	168:24 173:6	gosh 20:9 244:18		
front 78:11	195:23 210:19	giving 16:6 32:13	176:5 177:2,3	175:21 176:1,22	gospel 257:3		
117:22 150:21	216:2 243:12	87:24 97:3	179:10 180:10	177:5 181:6	gotten 46:5 153:2		
235:20	generous 241:9	136:7 176:20	180:20 188:19	182:12,14,15	164:24 236:13		
frustrated 18:17	gentleman 7:9,12	186:25 187:7	188:25 196:10	184:13 188:11	268:18		
18:19 full 61:23 63:2	103:19 247:10	204:6 205:25 262:22	196:16,18 200:9	191:12,18 192:3	govern 90:20		
145:9 204:12	gentlemen 208:15	262:22 gladly 24:22	200:14 202:18 202:22,24 204:1	192:10,12	91:7,8,11 Governor 226:6		
205:23 206:3,11	Genuity 206:14 206:16	glass 119:7	207:5,11 208:14	197:25 198:1 201:13 204:14	grab 73:5		
fully 252:19	Georgia 4:17	glasses 23:6 25:17	220:10 263:1,20	205:17 210:5	grace 258:12,14		
fun 7:16 55:15	germane 160:22	Glen 200:20	264:20 272:6	211:10,16,17	258:20,22,24		
L	9	·		//-			

	Page 12							
259:10	182:18 184:5	74:3 79:4 93:9	79:22 85:5	194:20 201:17	69:9,10 87:25			
gradations 174:1	186:20 189:2,10	95:15,21 100:3	89:15 97:1	hears 162:7	88:10,23 89:7			
grade 244:22	194:15 210:15	124:17 125:10	103:3,5	heart 14:24 16:19	93:7 95:3,3,16			
Gragg 274:21	224:5 228:25	125:12 128:3	Harrison's 26:14	16:20 20:8	96:16 101:5			
grant 51:25	232:2 234:5	139:11 155:24	harsh 190:8,8	44:17 83:8	113:24 122:16			
granting 87:13	235:19 236:7	216:9 217:4	harshly 22:10,12	145:22 228:13	122:17 125:14			
graphically	237:18 240:25	228:4 241:24	22:15,16	hearts 228:13	127:19 131:17			
208:21	242:20 248:6	247:5 278:2	hate 92:12 121:16	heck 137:14	141:13 142:1,2			
Grath 4:16	251:14,20	guys 64:8 174:9	153:18 210:23	heinous 147:8	142:3,19,23			
gray 152:9 246:4	255:18 267:7	207:1 273:4	241:24	254:18	154:6,7,9 159:7			
great 95:21 112:3	269:16,19,20		haul 26:24	held 1:14 128:3,9	159:10 161:21			
191:23 193:8,8 241:23	273:7 275:11 276:18	H	having 10:15	129:1 152:9	166:12 176:3			
greater 106:5,5,6	guessing 30:24	H-I-G-H 242:11	16:18 35:19 38:7 48:21 68:6	225:18 268:15	179:9,10,14,16			
117:13	217:16	hab 4:24 5:3	68:7,8 80:4	help 31:5 37:11 54:24 63:18	179:18,23			
greatest 30:10	guidance 97:3	habitation 113:20	84:19 87:12	115:1 160:8,11	187:25 188:3 189:1 191:13			
greatly 126:3	guideline 117:15	hack 153:11	90:24 104:23	181:11 183:2	196:22 197:3,7			
Gregory 274:24	guilt 19:2 23:12	hail 165:18,25	132:1 144:16	192:12	199:12,18,19,20			
grew 149:6	26:11 81:16	hair 153:9 hairs 155:23	146:5 177:10	helpful 41:12	199:22 200:2,12			
171:15 237:17	guilt-innocence	156:23	181:4,20 191:5	helping 75:2	201:17,24 204:1			
grievance 90:24	80:25 112:19	hallucination	205:15,17	helps 41:23	216:1,5 222:22			
grouchy 45:17,17	guilt/innocence	247:17	219:12 221:16	her 7:4 50:9	224:15 226:1,6			
ground 6:14	125:5 272:7	hand 12:6 13:7	225:24 231:14	103:17 218:12	226:7,17 234:14			
grounds 148:21	guilty 11:4 19:4,6	42:23 270:17	251:25	238:6,20 266:8	234:16 239:13			
group 76:8,9	19:18,25 23:19	274:16 279:15	Hawaiian 238:10	266:9,18,19,25	246:23 247:12			
103:13 152:3	24:17 25:25	handle 205:12	haze 33:7	267:3,3,4,7,8,11	249:10 251:25			
175:6	27:2,7,8,12,19	handling 60:6	he'll 130:2	267:14,14,20	257:1 262:17.20			
groups 109:25	27:21 35:11,12	hands 209:12	head 13:2 42:8	272:22 275:9,19	263:6 264:7,8			
grow 137:22	35:17,18 38:15	219:23	77:7 88:9	275:20 276:2	264:11,13 265:5			
257:8,9	38:24 40:5 43:2	hang 57:14	142:11 167:1	herself 273:6,7,8	269:4 270:3			
growing 39:21	43:5,7 50:21	hanging 13:2	187:23	hesitate 113:16	271:3,4 272:13			
46:21 48:10	53:20 54:1,2,3	haphazard	heads 56:8	hiding 54:18	273:22 274:2,2			
105:8 115:3	56:12 57:2 66:2	244:15	115:17	high 2:13,15 5:1	276:5,12			
215:3	66:22 81:6,15	happen 52:25	health 158:21,22	7:8 29:9 32:23	himself 42:12			
grown-up 39:18	83:3,6 84:7,20	118:4 121:22	159:5,17 162:8	33:3 61:7 70:20	78:23 79:12			
GRUBBS 2:15	92:22 96:14,16	125:6 157:21	hear 31:13 39:22	71:7 72:21 75:1	199:7 211:25			
grudge 247:6	101:5 110:14	161:19 162:2	39:24 53:22	91:9 103:21	249:7			
guarantees 42:2	111:6,8 112:21	196:5 235:21	65:1 76:20,20	117:12 139:6,11	hire 116:2,3,3			
guaranty 29:2	113:1,4 114:3	happened 23:17	88:25 89:8	139:12 140:10	Hispanic 109:14			
251:18	123:12,21	23:18 39:21	93:19 96:22	145:7 156:8	149:7			
gubernatorial	127:19 146:1	53:18 78:13	98:13 116:16	158:19 164:23	histories 3:5 4:10			
130:9	155:9,16,17	167:12 187:7	118:1,4 124:7	204:1 208:13	history 4:12			
guess 6:24 18:10	162:20 163:10	206:17 227:14	138:11 143:5	215:3 219:20	129:16 133:24			
22:15 27:14	163:22 171:20	262:22	150:19 158:20	233:22 234:7,10	167:3,5 194:6			
28:18 29:6	172:8 173:10	happening 211:6	160:10 172:4	242:5,8,10,10	hit 29:5,5 187:23			
30:13,18 36:9	179:17 183:23	happens 10:16	174:2,3 181:3	244:23 262:7	247:19 260:17			
45:22 46:4	185:11,15	116:18 124:7	181:23 185:21	263:5,8 264:16	Hitler 51:10			
47:24 48:16	186:14,21,24	130:7 141:13	187:12,21	265:2,4,7,11,16	hits 149:17			
53:9,25 55:18	187:25 188:3,7	156:10 211:16	197:23 198:1	265:19,22 266:4	hitter 21:1			
57:19 60:4,19 60:25 64:13	189:11,20 190:21,23 191:3	240:25	225:1 244:8 249:3,9 259:12	268:5,10 269:14	hobbies 267:7			
68:20 71:14		hard 72:12 74:7	260:4 265:17	269:21,24 270:5	hold 16:25 27:6			
72:9 75:19	191:12,13,22 192:3,9 197:5,8	82:2 84:15	277:18	270:7,15 271:9 272:2,18,19	27:15 30:1 36:23 54:14,17			
76:13 80:20	197:16 198:3	97:24 98:15	heard 1:12 27:18	272:2,18,19 273:9,12 274:8				
84:10 88:15	199:12 209:18	100:15,16,19	40:23 44:3	higher 70:25	55:1 98:7 124:20 131:14			
100:18,22 104:8	209:19.20 210:4	110:23 147:19	53:23 56:12	109:14 117:23	131:17 169:22			
105:21 106:17	212:18 214:9,17	148:1,4 171:4	59:12 69:24	118:1 207:7	205:19 212:5			
110:8,9 116:1	220:22 221:20	176:15 185:18	72:21 76:2	216:5 259:25	226:17,20			
118:4,24 120:7	225:24 229:17	187:12 196:2 197:3 205:3	77:17 88:22	268:19	holding 46:24			
120:19 121:6,6	235:25 253:19	hard-earned	90:1 93:11	highest 165:21	195:9			
128:12 129:10	262:23 264:6	252:23	115:12 144:16	highlighting 23:3	holds 121:10			
132:4 136:19	272:10	harder 94:1	151:24 157:5	Hilda 274:25	hole 11:23 199:11			
139:10 144:10	gun 42:18,19,24	185:12	162:12 167:24	him 11:4 19:21	holes 260:23			
145:24 151:15	48:20 152:8	harming 34:10,12	168:7 219:24	19:22,25 21:1,5	holiday 63:6			
152:1,6 156:3	154:9	harrison 3:8 4:14	224:17 249:6,8	27:8,12 35:12	home 10:21 21:4			
160:20 162:17	gut 83:19	6:15,23 16:8	250:17 252:2	35:18 36:6,23	32:4 33:14			
163:3 166:13	guts 266:19	28:23 33:15	260:20,22	37:7 38:15,24	46:16 62:3,15			
167:2,24 168:23	guy 20:10 33:16	37:22 42:24	261:18 277:15	39:16 40:5	201:15 227:7,17			
171:1 172:4	36:25 43:20	49:24 57:9 58:4	hearing 77:3,3	41:13 44:16	238:8,9			
1/1.11/2.7	00.20 .0.20							
174:15 178:14	52:9 59:12		121:3 174:7	46:24 54:17,24	homework			
		59:24 66:2 71:22 75:9		46:24 54:17,24 55:1 68:17,18				

			ge 13		
homicide 48:6	240:22	120:21 143:24	INDEX 3:1	instinct 83:19	invited 134:3
186:18,19,22	husband 200:21	154:23 169:12	indicate 22:3	instruct 75:25	involve 174:19
214:6	200:23 201:7	181:25 182:1,4	102:21,22	instruction 20:5	involved 53:10
homocides 109:4	husband's 200:20	182:12 183:20	140:13 200:19	88:5 196:24	60:16 67:17
Honda 166:6	Hussein 240:9	194:1,2 195:1	245:12	197:7 269:20	76:23 97:23
honest 98:5,5,9	hyped-up 270:18	205:18 206:10	indicated 9:1	instructions	105:2 137:16
98:25 102:4	hypoglycemic	215:10 223:17	21:24 90:1	19:18 87:24	152:3 175:7,9
184:21,23	239:19 247:11	265:4	106:15,17 140:7	88:1 92:14,18	219:2 231:23
267:12,13,13	hypothetical	importantly	140:10 143:21	97:8,13,18	237:10 238:18
honestly 186:14	52:21 113:22	110:8	144:2 237:9	99:13,16 114:6	involvement
honesty 82:11,19	120:17 130:6	impose 81:20	indicates 195:9	190:10	175:2
honor 4:9 5:9	146:19 147:8	134:13 198:21	198:19	insulate 121:21	involving 35:14
55:20 57:5 87:1	168:16 171:5	imposed 15:6	indication 25:3	insurance 113:16	irrelevant 167:12
122:19 145:3	174:14,16	42:3 45:9 80:7	indication 25.5	164:10,12 165:3	263:21
193:7,24 195:5	235:19 246:4	80:10 132:3,4	27:20 113:5	165:11,12,13	irrespective
196:16,18	I .	254:14 261:18	154:2 163:21	intelligent 117:17	129:21
198:16 200:7,13	hypotheticals 152:1 155:2	imposition	indirect 200:24	123:17 197:24	irritated 202:10
	I .				
202:22,24	170:25 174:10	254:21	indirectly 120:2	intelligently	Irving 206:16
207:11 274:7,8		impression 10:3	138:25	263:24	Island 57:22
Honorable 1:13	<u>I</u>	105:12 108:2	individual 1:8,12	intended 43:10	239:5
hope 13:24 14:17	idea 11:13 30:22	110:6 143:7,9	5:10,16 51:3	129:5	isolated 39:25
14:22 25:17	30:24 34:22	144:17 145:21	58:8 94:14	intensity 16:22	issue 20:12 28:13
77:20 98:16	35:4 50:14,25	146:13,21	149:16 169:11	intent 4:24 48:19	28:19 43:21
135:16 173:1	54:8 60:19 92:4	147:13	197:3	81:10 129:14	66:1,12,24
202:12 238:12	123:4 126:9	impressions	individually 4:7	intention 129:10	71:10 77:8,24
242:16 251:17	138:21 140:23	144:8,9	inept 135:12,17	intentional 48:6,6	79:5 83:14,22
259:11 272:24	141:1,11,25	imprinciple	168:3	92:25 133:17	101:7 106:25
hoped 28:16	142:13,15 152:2	169:10	inert 213:18	155:19,21	119:19 126:15
hopefully 76:24	196:3 216:17	imprisonment	inferior 160:5	156:21 157:4	126:24 135:8
134:5	220:25 260:6	45:8 133:21	infliction 105:24	intentionally 13:9	136:23 163:12
hoping 20:20	261:6 267:21	138:6 254:14	influence 178:21	45:1,5 48:18	163:23 166:23
21:6	ideas 23:9 30:23	improper 159:9	180:24	78:17 80:23	167:19 169:21
hopped 32:19	76:21	179:5 262:13	influenced 10:19	81:2,7,8,14,21	170:16 171:10
hopped-up 166:3	identify 179:19	265:7 270:12	178:22	82:13 83:1,24	171:22 174:17
270:18	identity 107:15	improve 22:8	information	84:22 94:10,11	174:18 175:20
horrible 38:21,23	ignition 78:11	impulsively	187:13	99:7 103:1	177:4,23 180:14
224:17	ignorant 191:21	156:20	infraction 106:5	117:10 118:15	181:23,25 182:1
horse 19:23	ignore 54:22	inadvertently	infractions 106:2	129:14 153:5,12	182:21 186:23
host 130:9 137:25	ignores 130:1,2	109:19	initially 9:1	153:16 157:25	186:25 193:5
hour 18:1 57:12	illegal 32:15,17	inappropriate	227:16	163:8 173:11	194:9,14 198:8
122:7	90:21	132:16	initiating 268:13	interchange 7:16	218:14 219:21
hours 16:21	illegally 157:22	inaudible 5:6	injuries 216:25	interest 110:21	221:19 241:19
149:18 218:4	illicit 119:23	11:13 21:9	217:1,5,6	148:3	249:11,17
house 32:1 67:19	Illinois 87:12	41:20 51:14	injustice 135:7,7	interested 110:20	250:21 251:8
67:20 68:9	198:14,17,24	87:3,24 99:7	inmates 128:14	133:23 144:7	253:24,25
154:8	illness 157:20	116:16,17	innocence 22:23	148:4 192:6	254:20 255:18
Houston 244:6	illustrate 9:16	198:15	23:12 25:20,25	194:5 246:7	261:15 262:2,25
huge 43:15 149:6	illustrated 120:3	incarcerated	26:5 53:23,24	interesting 13:12	263:7 264:18
huh 140:14		237:19	56:2,7 88:4	35:25 218:23	272:4
206:15 276:19	imagine 138:10	incident 178:18	188:5 190:11	246:6	issues 9:21 65:21
human 9:24	143:19 174:10	included 19:22	196:24 197:6	interpret 29:11	76:7 79:18
13:23 20:23	177:6 271:18,23	71:17 279:7	211:22	128:8 192:4	80:21,22 83:4
39:7 43:11	immediate 7:2,7	includes 92:25	innocent 23:19	interpretation	83:23 84:3,8
58:10 77:16,17	103:14,17	113:6	26:25 27:1	29:10 129:13	85:2 87:5,19
77:21 139:22	immediately	including 22:13	53:19 54:2,7,7	interruption	93:11 94:8
157:21 211:2,2	112:8 208:12	44:20 66:6	54:12 185:11,11	122:4	102:1 104:14
227:21 268:14	impair 85:2	77:10 78:1	185:14 187:25	interview 108:5	105:22 114:16
humane 11:20	impaired 157:8	84:14 103:25	191:21 196:21	166:15	123:19 126:9
humanitarian	160:3,3 161:21				
135:2 231:6	impairment	133:14 169:24 170:17 176:7	197:8 211:19,25 236:1	interviewing 107:20	132:7,17,24 140:21 162:9,11
humanities 148:4	157:15				
1	impartial 123:11	193:3 198:10,12 254:10	insanity 25:2,5	intoxicated 62:13	162:16 192:19 211:11 248:18
humanity-type 148:1	important 14:15		92:7,9,12 162:5	62:18 185:13	
humans 49:4	18:10 28:17	income 109:24	inside 119:7	introduce 154:24	issuing 261:21
	39:23 40:2,25	incomplete 87:7	instance 107:20	242:14	itching 44:17
hundred 49:16,17	41:2 46:12 48:4	inconsistent 12:5	111:16 130:22	introduced 7:6	ivan 1:5 7:1,7
49:20,25 93:24	48:7,9 51:25	56:2 168:6	149:2 152:2	introduction 7:13	122:12 145:8
hundreds 78:18	55:8 58:10	indeed 77:11	165:21 175:2,6	introductions	146:7 208:11
78:19	64:21 75:13	118:11 201:16	177:12	208:8	243:5
Huntsville 11:23	77:12 81:18	independent 68:4	instead 28:15	invade 219:8	
hurt 36:7 142:1	110:10,25	independently	130:2 142:22	invariably 198:21	J
145:23 216:23	111:19 120:11	192:21	221:1	invasion 262:13	J 144:2
The sale of the sa	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	A Company of the Comp	and the second second second second second

		Pa	ge 14		
Jack-of-all-trades	judgment 106:16	8:14 11:4 15:17	108:18,20,24,25	212:14 246:6	60:25 61:1,2
68:4	120:6 224:24	16:8 17:9 18:4	109:21,22,23	justifications	62:2,3 65:15
jail 214:1 jailhouse 95:8	judicial 1:17 103:16 233:15	28:5,11,15 31:1 35:18 36:14	110:19 111:1,7 111:20,20	162:18	68:3,21 71:9
260:20 261:1	judiciary 91:15	42:1 43:20	112:10,15	justifying 160:8 juvenile 167:6	74:22 76:21 78:20 79:7,12
jami 2:5 7:4	jump 248:17	49:18,21 50:17	114:19 115:11	194:6 237:18	79:14,23 80:6
103:17 203:22	junior 244:23	51:6 52:3 55:8	116:6 117:21	151.0 257.10	80:11 88:22
276:5	juries 28:5,16	59:4 69:13	119:18 121:7	K	90:7,14 91:8,14
Jane 266:7,8,22	49:16 117:5	76:16 86:1	123:1,2,3	kaphet 3:12	92:6,11 93:13
267:2,22,23	123:25 132:6	90:17 93:20	124:21 125:6,19	203:3,5,10,11	93:24 96:11
272:20 273:11	134:1 221:11,16	97:10,12 101:19	126:25 127:13	keep 26:6 36:6	97:9,11 99:12
Jeff 207:19 Jesus 257:21	224:24 jurist 6:8	104:2 114:17 115:1,9 116:16	128:23 130:20 130:21 131:6	148:16 150:8	99:18 100:5 104:13 105:21
260:5	jurists 194:19	117:19 132:8,13	132:8 134:2,2	keeping 14:24 123:18	104:13 103:21
job 8:4 17:10,16	juror 4:14,15,19	132:16 134:2,4	135:18 137:12	Kerr 274:25	110:11,17
17:19 22:7	8:8 14:16,20	134:10,12 135:3	138:3 139:7,21	key 73:5 196:25	112:19 115:15
24:23 43:18	18:25 22:25	135:6,11 138:2	140:3 141:18	kick 252:3	116:4 117:3
68:12,14,21,24	26:7,19 29:3	143:2,10,14,20	142:18,21 143:4	kid 70:1 82:23	118:3 121:7,20
68:24 69:1,7 73:23 96:15	31:6 33:13	145:11 149:16	143:7,20 144:3	159:2 166:1,10	123:3 125:4,7,7
145:25 146:5	38:22 44:25 55:11 57:5	149:21 153:14 155:8,16,17	144:8,13 145:9 145:12 146:3,18	166:17,18 171:2	125:17,17,19 128:16 129:8,20
159:2 195:9	75:24,25 76:15	159:4,16 160:6	146:18,21,22,24	171:14 kids 54:10 60:15	129:22 130:12
242:17	77:8 85:14	161:25 163:13	147:5,8,15,24	69:25 165:2,9	130:21 135:13
John 276:5,11	86:25 87:2,2,3,6	163:22,24	148:7,23 150:2	218:5	137:8 138:7
Johnny 178:24	87:11,12,18	167:11 173:10	151:6,8 152:6	kill 14:18 15:13	144:12,12
Johnson 4:19	88:7,13,21 89:3	173:16 181:15	152:13 153:1	15:15,16,17,18	147:19 148:16
274:24	89:6 90:18,22	185:7 188:4	154:6,8,16,20	20:9 42:19,25	149:4,21 150:5
Johnwell 274:21 join 235:16	92:2,9,11 93:4 94:20 97:8,9,12	190:20,23 195:13 202:13	154:25 158:13 160:11 161:19	43:1,11 44:14	150:13 151:17 153:8 156:7
joined 256:12	98:8 110:11	204:7,8 205:1,1	163:23 164:2	44:16 46:9 48:15,18,19,20	157:25 158:1,1
joint 251:23	123:11,23 124:1	209:6,23 210:5	165:25 166:1,10	48:22,23 108:18	162:18 165:5
joints 69:6 119:19	124:16 126:6	211:10 213:19	166:25 167:10	108:23 141:14	166:18,23
judge 1:14 6:6	138:7 145:1	215:10 221:9	167:16,18 168:3	142:2 151:18	168:25 169:10
17:2 20:3 22:25	158:16,20 159:7	222:16 230:8,24	169:5,18,22	154:5,9 226:22	169:14 170:18
26:10,13 49:14 50:20 54:17	162:7 173:2,16 178:21 179:4,5	231:1,6,14,20 232:21 233:1	172:9,19 174:16 175:25 180:13	226:23 227:18 269:18	172:9 177:17,22 181:16 182:18
55:22 65:24	180:5 188:4	240:19 256:2	181:4,16 183:6	killed 19:9 46:9	184:15,17 185:6
66:21 67:5	190:5 192:4	jury's 212:21	184:10,21,23,24	78:22 81:2,14	185:15,16
69:13,19 74:8	196:14,19,20,21	just 7:13,16 8:18	186:23 191:14	81:21 94:17,18	186:19 187:19
75:25,25 79:24	198:21 199:2,3	9:22 11:22	191:18 192:15	95:15 108:24	188:6 196:20
81:5,10 84:21 87:16 90:23	199:13,15,17 200:6,9 207:7	15:18 16:22 17:7,24 18:7	192:21 193:2,18 193:18,22	111:15 139:24	203:24 205:16 206:4 209:7
91:4,12,16,17	213:6 233:1	21:4 22:1,5 23:4	194:17,18 195:7	155:9,10,10 162:18,19 170:6	211:16 212:12
92:3,8,13 97:6	242:3 245:22	23:25 24:13	195:8,20 196:3	170:20 173:5,11	213:25 214:9
102:15 112:6	248:25 252:6	25:8,11 27:20	201:14 202:5,17	173:12 226:24	215:5 216:17,18
114:5 122:24	261:25 262:4,12	28:10,25 30:24	206:1,10 207:3	227:1 228:6	216:21 217:5,14
145:1 149:23	262:24 263:6,14	31:13,14,16	207:5 208:23	229:2,2 231:3	220:15 221:2
151:24 152:15 154:3 159:6	263:23 264:22 264:25 265:9	33:7 34:8 35:13	209:8 212:10,12 213:6 214:11	killer 95:15 156:8	223:21,25 229:5 232:11,13,21
167:11 174:13	269:17 270:11	36:21 37:2,5,18 39:8 41:1,16	216:9,14 218:15	227:12 killing 13:21,23	236:15 237:25
175:19,21 176:6	270:25 271:12	42:23 43:3,5,20	218:17 219:2,10	37:23 45:19,24	238:19 241:6
179:3 180:15	271:16,20,23	44:7,10,17	220:15 221:4	46:6 60:23 61:3	247:20,24
187:18,22,24,25	272:1 273:13,23	45:16,24 48:18	223:14 224:5,6	77:11 81:4,7	250:20 251:21
188:19 196:8,22	274:6 275:24	49:4,8,22 50:3	225:2 226:17	92:25 95:13	260:25 262:1
197:13,24 198:9 199:10 203:15	juror's 8:16 263:8	51:8 54:10,22	228:7,23 230:9 230:10 231:23	142:1 155:3,19	264:1 265:3 266:16
205:13 207:5,6	jurors 4:6,10,10	55:3,11,19 56:25 58:5,9	234:15 235:21	171:2 174:8 177:15 226:25	kinds 30:5 69:23
208:4 212:14,16	6:1 14:21 21:9	59:19 60:19,24	236:1 237:21	killings 15:14	71:2 78:21 79:1
217:21 220:9	44:8 49:9,11	62:24 64:25	238:9,19 239:15	108:13	79:14 116:13
227:25 228:21	52:17 88:25	65:10 72:10	240:18 241:1,13	kills 47:25 48:18	118:25 138:1
237:7 239:23	108:6 110:21	74:25 75:9,13	242:10 244:11	kind 9:8 11:19	148:18 149:9
242:3,5 244:12	112:13 121:20 123:4 124:19	77:5 78:17 79:6	249:16 257:24	17:18 19:23	162:3 165:23
260-12 261-5	1 1/34/1/4/19	81:19,25 84:11	262:13 266:15 267:20,21,21	21:14,18 24:8 26:25 27:13	195:21 221:12 221:13 222:16
260:13 261:5 262:3 11 263:5		XTIIX XUII		L Z.O.Z.J Z.I.I.3	1 441.1J 444.1U
262:3,11 263:5	125:18 149:18	84:18 89:3 91:16.17.23			222:17.25
		91:16,17,23 92:11,13 94:1	269:10 270:19 271:9 273:9,18	29:17 30:13	222:17,25 224:19 226:7
262:3,11 263:5 265:12 268:5 269:21 270:15 273:9,12 276:19	125:18 149:18 149:19 160:7	91:16,17,23	269:10 270:19 271:9 273:9,18 274:14 276:8		224:19 226:7 264:6
262:3,11 263:5 265:12 268:5 269:21 270:15 273:9,12 276:19 277:3	125:18 149:18 149:19 160:7 202:10 205:4 220:22 221:2,3 221:7 225:1	91:16,17,23 92:11,13 94:1 96:5,14 97:1,2 98:10,15,23	269:10 270:19 271:9 273:9,18 274:14 276:8 justice 21:25 22:4	29:17 30:13 33:13 35:4 38:8 39:5,10,20,23 40:11 45:16	224:19 226:7 264:6 knee 13:7
262:3,11 263:5 265:12 268:5 269:21 270:15 273:9,12 276:19 277:3 judge's 24:14	125:18 149:18 149:19 160:7 202:10 205:4 220:22 221:2,3 221:7 225:1 263:2,22,22	91:16,17,23 92:11,13 94:1 96:5,14 97:1,2 98:10,15,23 99:14,17 100:10	269:10 270:19 271:9 273:9,18 274:14 276:8 justice 21:25 22:4 107:18 110:22	29:17 30:13 33:13 35:4 38:8 39:5,10,20,23 40:11 45:16 46:10,12 47:25	224:19 226:7 264:6 knee 13:7 knew 14:2 78:12
262:3,11 263:5 265:12 268:5 269:21 270:15 273:9,12 276:19 277:3 judge's 24:14 judged 259:25	125:18 149:18 149:19 160:7 202:10 205:4 220:22 221:2,3 221:7 225:1 263:2,22,22 274:24	91:16,17,23 92:11,13 94:1 96:5,14 97:1,2 98:10,15,23 99:14,17 100:10 100:18 102:2	269:10 270:19 271:9 273:9,18 274:14 276:8 justice 21:25 22:4 107:18 110:22 125:7 144:14,17	29:17 30:13 33:13 35:4 38:8 39:5,10,20,23 40:11 45:16 46:10,12 47:25 49:15,18 50:14	224:19 226:7 264:6 knee 13:7 knew 14:2 78:12 111:9,12,15,16
262:3,11 263:5 265:12 268:5 269:21 270:15 273:9,12 276:19 277:3 judge's 24:14	125:18 149:18 149:19 160:7 202:10 205:4 220:22 221:2,3 221:7 225:1 263:2,22,22	91:16,17,23 92:11,13 94:1 96:5,14 97:1,2 98:10,15,23 99:14,17 100:10	269:10 270:19 271:9 273:9,18 274:14 276:8 justice 21:25 22:4 107:18 110:22	29:17 30:13 33:13 35:4 38:8 39:5,10,20,23 40:11 45:16 46:10,12 47:25	224:19 226:7 264:6 knee 13:7 knew 14:2 78:12

			ge 15		
153:6 172:24	148:2,18 149:20	81:7 83:1,24	161:8 162:17	Legislature's	level 118:13
195:24 220:18	150:7,17,19	knowledge	174:10 175:19	129:10	129:11 152:9
know 4:16,22,24 7:18,21,22 9:7	152:8,15,17 153:5,14,17	200:24 220:20 known 62:12	175:23,25 176:2 176:5 181:2	legitimate 219:17	161:21 222:10
10:12,25 11:7	155:6,14 156:1	185:18	184:7 185:23	lend 236:9 lends 218:20	222:11,11 levels 161:20
13:12,16,19	156:2,21 158:3	knows 26:9 34:13	190:6,9,21	less 19:6 20:11	lexicographers
14:17 15:1,24	158:12 160:5	52:3 65:6 79:13	191:18 193:9	47:5 61:20	153:10
17:4,5 18:8,9	162:14 163:19	96:13 130:6	198:7 199:10,14	67:22 72:3	liable 235:4
19:21 20:4,12	165:4 166:4	137:17 200:22	208:15,16 222:5	99:11 118:1	license 164:16
20:24 21:8 23:4	167:10,23 168:1	233:24 247:18	224:23 229:10	123:11 133:4	166:2,11
23:10,10,13	168:7,10,19	261:13	238:16,18	136:17 137:9	lick 252:4
27:23 28:9,18	169:4,5 170:19		252:14,18 253:7	139:12 154:18	lie 260:14,14,18
29:25 30:2	171:3,3,14	L	253:9 254:24	165:9 178:25	lies 165:22
32:17 33:8 36:1	172:15,15 173:5	lab 213:17	261:22 275:22	191:9 214:1	life 9:3,23,25
37:7 38:9,14,16 39:9,14,15 40:4	174:6 176:14,15 177:6,10 178:15	lack 246:9 247:21	276:7,9 lawless 199:2	217:17 226:15 234:5,8 252:1	11:16 12:4,12 12:25 13:2,9
41:6 42:18,19	178:21,23 181:8	248:7,13 249:9	laws 8:17 22:10	lessens 234:13	14:25 27:23,24
43:4,14,16,25	181:12 182:24	lacking 250:10 lacks 250:7	22:13 65:2	lesser 27:11 168:9	28:1,6,11 35:23
44:24 45:1,15	183:16,19 184:6	Lacrosse 20:20	130:9 225:12,13	175:10 186:8	36:1,2 39:7,22
45:23 47:11	184:14,16,19	125:23	229:16 253:2	189:16 198:19	42:2,6 43:12,21
48:13 50:2,14	185:2,3,8,20,23	lady 7:2 49:17	lawsuit 67:17	213:24 214:10	45:8 49:7,23,25
50:19,22 51:10	186:6,6,7,8,16	50:8 103:14	69:18 70:7	lesser-grade	50:4 51:2,10,11
51:18 53:11,18	186:16,23 187:8	267:14	lawyer 24:11,12	213:23	51:22,25 58:10
53:21,22,22	187:9,14 189:3	laid 7:15	108:17 111:2	lesser-included	63:15 73:11,15
54:10,23 55:15	189:24 191:17	land 11:12 15:22	145:21 157:6	19:14,22 214:3	75:3 77:16,17
56:1 59:2,11	191:20,21	15:23 46:10	193:11	lesson 86:2,19	77:21 80:17,24 81:17,25 82:3,6
60:2,14 61:14 61:25 62:2,4,10	193:16,20 194:6	landlord 113:21	lawyers 7:16 25:12 53:17	142:19 lessons 232:23	82:14,22,22
62:17 63:12	195:8,23,25 201:17 202:2,16	lands 204:11 landscapes 29:19	91:5,6,9 108:15	let 4:4 11:22 15:3	83:1 84:15
64:3,8,18 65:2	203:23 204:3	lane 132:9	112:5 145:20	43:25 44:6	95:21 98:15
65:12 66:3	205:5 206:9,12	language 8:17	153:14 198:1	62:24 78:2 91:6	99:6,24 100:19
67:11,16 68:19	208:18 209:15	135:19 153:11	242:20	94:21 95:16	100:22 105:4
68:19 69:9 70:4	210:5,14 214:8	198:11 222:7	lay 172:9	116:20 121:13	106:9 108:12
70:24,24 71:11	214:14 215:23	lapsed 150:12	lead 7:11 120:2	121:16 133:8	111:9 114:25
71:19 72:14,19	218:23 219:20	large 190:15	leader 228:16	147:15 153:1	116:20 126:14
73:4,11,14,17	222:6,22 223:2	213:2 244:24	leading 38:11	159:3,4 175:25	127:22 129:2
75:10 76:1,3,20	223:3,5 224:1,2	last 13:8 15:10	40:1 leads 253:24	176:23 202:17 204:24 211:18	130:22 132:3
77:1,2,4,12,15 77:16,24 78:13	224:6 225:24,25 228:15 229:18	16:14 35:7 66:4	leaning 238:5	214:15 221:17	133:21 134:2,11 134:13,22
79:3,8 80:20	229:19 231:1,12	77:8 161:1,5,12 174:17,22	learn 140:2,4	222:5 224:15	136:20 137:23
81:8 82:4,5,19	231:24,24 232:2	184:18 217:25	215:2	233:20 242:18	138:6,9 139:22
82:21,22,23,25	232:9,18,18,23	245:8	learned 11:18	249:11 252:21	149:23 154:11
83:11 84:17	233:8,13 234:2	late 200:22	86:1,19 218:19	253:16 260:1	162:14 172:13
86:10 88:18	234:16 235:3,4	later 196:6	least 36:1 65:17	269:8 273:17	173:19 175:10
89:19,24 90:4,6	235:21 236:10	laugh 50:23	104:2 126:16	274:19 277:4,9	177:20 179:20
90:20,25 93:19	238:13 239:12	laughing 238:13	128:10 138:24	277:14,19,22	182:2 183:7
97:22 98:5,6,7	240:23 241:18	Laughter 125:22	169:12 197:25	let's 6:7 17:6,7,8	193:12 206:2 210:6,11 211:2
98:10,14,17 99:8 100:20,21	241:21 242:18 243:15,23,25	232:8 238:15	251:23 261:6 266:8 267:11,22	27:18,18 31:8 69:1 72:25	211:12,14
100:22,23 102:8	244:9 245:9	239:10 242:25 265:21 267:17	272:21 277:5,12	73:25 76:15	214:24 218:20
102:25 103:24	247:3,16,20	276:21	leave 17:18 91:23	88:10 89:7,8	220:16,23 221:5
106:2,4 107:10	249:10,12	Laurielo 274:25	91:24 151:1	94:7,9 103:4	221:16 222:23
108:1,1,23	251:23,24 252:2	law 7:8,9 11:14	200:15,16	109:15 113:20	226:2 227:21
109:24 110:4,5	253:10,11	15:22,22 24:21	leaving 34:10	122:7,16 123:22	230:4,5,8 231:8
110:7,21 111:19	254:17 258:4	26:8,13,13,14	83:20	142:2 152:13	231:19 232:16
111:21 112:14	260:2,3,3,11,13	26:16 28:16	led 132:8	165:3 180:6,9	232:20 233:25
112:15 113:19 116:12,19 117:2	261:11,20,21,24	37:18 46:9	left 7:2,4,7,10	185:7 186:18	235:12 236:23 241:22 244:22
117:7,20 118:5	263:23,24 264:3 264:4,6,7	48:16 49:10,13	103:14,17 203:21,22 208:7	189:18,19,20 192:19 200:2	241:22 244:22 244:22 244:24 245:1,12
118:10,13,25	266:23 267:15	49:14 50:18 51:5,20,23	208:9	204:24 213:14	245:13,15 246:1
121:10 122:20	268:1,2,24,24	52:11 54:4,8	legal 29:3 67:5	213:14,16	251:11,15,24
124:10 125:2,10	268:25 269:17	67:7 69:11,24	184:6,7 191:18	222:17 229:25	253:12,23
126:11,12	269:20 275:5,18	77:25 80:10	194:11 271:1	229:25 239:20	254:14 259:21
129:16 133:8,17	275:22 276:12	84:4 90:2 91:20	legally 157:22	242:24 249:13	261:17,25
135:18 136:4	277:5,9,20	92:2,8,17,25	169:16 174:13	249:16 251:21	268:19,21
137:22 138:6,15	knowing 10:17	93:10,12 97:3	legislation 129:18	251:22,22	269:18 270:20
139:10 141:5,22	37:7 63:11	97:19 98:12	legislative 129:16	261:18 265:17	270:21,23
143:4,5,12	155:11 168:24	103:21,23	Legislature 29:5	272:17	272:22 life's 49:7
144:16 145:8,10 145:10.11 146:2	174:1 176:15 194:6 209:17	112:14,15,18	37:10,13,25 38:4 72:14	let's-look-down-t 164:3	life-changing
145.10.11 146.2	knowingly 78:17	120:20 125:21	127:15	letting 188:22	261:19
***************************************	-monnigiy /0.1/	132:5 157:2	All the second of the second o		The second section of the second seco

			ge 16		
light 41:23	207:2 209:7	166:20 225:10	looks 8:24 112:14	145:16,19	206:6 208:12
lightening 118:8	210:10 211:1	225:22 229:12	218:25	147:22 158:23	225:10 243:3
lightly 9:21 108:7	212:12,13	241:23 244:17	loose 130:2	159:16 165:14	244:9 264:5,6
lightning 260:17	213:18 214:6	268:20	loosely 215:21	166:8 197:14	man's 74:6 206:2
like 8:24 10:2	215:4,17 219:6	lived 215:4	Lord 12:20 65:6	204:15 207:23	manage 117:5
12:7,14 14:20	220:6 223:14,21	224:14 236:23	lose 78:4 226:7	224:12 227:22	mandatory
17:19 18:5,19	225:2 227:13	244:19,21	losing 232:2	238:23 243:24	198:11
19:7 20:20,24	228:3,16 230:7	253:12,15	241:9	245:8 250:19	manner 267:19
22:1,5 23:9 24:9	231:6,13,22,23	lives 78:4 84:12	lost 11:20 266:24	258:2	manslaughter
26:18 27:20	233:8 234:7	259:22 275:10	lot 10:16,18 12:19	main 105:16,17	214:9
31:17,18,22	235:5,25 237:24	living 10:10 13:1	14:2 16:16,17	218:12	manuals 78:19
32:1,8 33:13	239:18 240:7,12	13:1 17:12	21:24 23:13	maintain 62:25	many 8:3 13:16
34:7 37:19 38:1	241:17 242:10	29:19 104:14	49:1 55:15	make 9:16 10:22	20:21 28:12
38:8 39:14,21	242:10 260:11	145:13 219:7	58:19 63:3	14:23 19:11	30:18 58:15
39:22,24 40:1	260:12 262:22	228:23 232:19	64:13 69:16	20:1 22:18 24:1	60:14 74:4 78:9
40:25 42:13	267:19,20,21	234:17	70:18 73:2	24:4 25:14	105:15 107:1,1
43:3,6 44:7,19	271:11 272:7,12	loaded 78:11	89:22 90:19	26:22 27:3 28:1	126:2 134:25
45:4 46:3,4	likelihood 158:19	loan 7:3 103:16	97:23 104:5	32:14 33:17	143:8 195:7
50:19 51:4,8	likely 30:19 83:14	local 59:9,14	108:1 113:8	35:15,25 37:2,8	221:15 246:10
53:5,10,14 54:4	87:10 99:18	locked 70:1	123:22 125:21	39:8,11 40:16	247:7,21 250:7
54:10 55:3 58:5	141:5 163:15	logic 128:25		,	
60:11 61:19,25	165:10 214:11	logically 164:2	129:16,18,24	41:20 46:1	marijuana
			130:1 135:22	48:17,21 49:2	213:15,18
62:16,22 63:3,6	229:24 252:2	long 26:7 36:23	137:23 146:19	50:5 52:11 56:3	Marine 58:2 90:8
63:8 64:13 65:5	lime 69:2	64:15 68:16	149:7,9,11	69:9 74:19	mark 229:4
66:18 68:14,18	limit 129:25,25	80:10 94:2	150:24 151:7,10	75:22 76:1,13	marked 188:15
72:13,22 73:2	167:11 225:20	101:5 123:25	154:9 157:14	89:15 100:24	married 166:3
73:24 76:23	limitation 36:21	126:6 144:11	164:15,22	101:17 106:10	201:19,22,24
79:4,6 80:14	limited 37:1	178:12 183:15	165:17 171:17	107:21 121:4	massive 95:7
81:4 82:8,20	107:7 226:24	191:5 201:19	177:14 195:21	123:11 124:5,24	math 71:19
86:1,16,17	229:13	217:25 218:16	195:24 219:8,21	125:2,19 126:7	mathematical
87:18 90:25	line 23:18 52:5	232:4,4 233:1	238:4 240:22	126:22 127:24	29:17
91:1,1,12 92:7	58:11 75:19	237:22 244:17	245:7 257:3,9	128:5 130:16,24	mathematicians
92:18 93:18	82:12 128:16	254:4 256:10	268:24 272:22	133:4 135:5,6,8	72:13
97:1 98:12	138:1 181:1	long-time 199:8	272:24	136:18 142:2	Matt 7:10 102:24
104:15 105:18	246:25	longer 57:14	lots 78:3,3,17,18	149:22 168:18	103:22 204:1
			1,-,-		
105:19,20 107:9	lines 60:22 75:20	68:17 96:7	111:1 112:12,13	168:20,23	208:14 277:22
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15	lines 60:22 75:20 lingered 184:19			168:20,23 169:11 170:23	208:14 277:22 matter 10:15
105:19,20 107:9		68:17 96:7	111:1 112:12,13	168:20,23 169:11 170:23	
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15	lingered 184:19	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11	111:1 112:12,13 143:6	168:20,23	matter 10:15
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23	lingered 184:19 link 200:23	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 146:19	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 146:19 148:3,25 149:15	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25 18:23 20:17	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4 261:8,9 269:25	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20 122:10,15,25	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20 230:21 235:6	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24 26:6 30:15,21
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 146:19 148:3,25 149:15 151:15 153:18	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25 18:23 20:17 29:15 47:2,25	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4 261:8,9 269:25 276:16	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20 122:10,15,25 151:3 153:3	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20 230:21 235:6 236:20 246:8,19	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24 26:6 30:15,21 30:22 33:3
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 146:19 148:3,25 149:15 151:15 153:18 154:19 155:3,24	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25 18:23 20:17 29:15 47:2,25 53:9 57:12,14	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4 261:8,9 269:25 276:16 look-back 163:18	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20 122:10,15,25	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20 230:21 235:6 236:20 246:8,19 249:25 250:12	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24 26:6 30:15,21 30:22 33:3 34:12 36:14
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 146:19 148:3,25 149:15 151:15 153:18 154:19 155:3,24 156:18 159:9	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25 18:23 20:17 29:15 47:2,25 53:9 57:12,14 60:2 63:7 78:6	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4 261:8,9 269:25 276:16 look-back 163:18 163:20	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20 122:10,15,25 151:3 153:3 Lynn 267:7	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20 230:21 235:6 236:20 246:8,19 249:25 250:12 258:8 267:19	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24 26:6 30:15,21 30:22 33:3 34:12 36:14 38:1 39:19,24
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 146:19 148:3,25 149:15 151:15 153:18 154:19 155:3,24 156:18 159:9 160:10,10 162:5	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25 18:23 20:17 29:15 47:2,25 53:9 57:12,14 60:2 63:7 78:6 106:3 114:17	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4 261:8,9 269:25 276:16 look-back 163:18 163:20 looked 247:12	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20 122:10,15,25 151:3 153:3 Lynn 267:7	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20 230:21 235:6 236:20 246:8,19 249:25 250:12 258:8 267:19 making 104:23	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24 26:6 30:15,21 30:22 33:3 34:12 36:14 38:1 39:19,24 40:10,15 46:6
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 146:19 148:3,25 149:15 151:15 153:18 154:19 155:3,24 156:18 159:9 160:10,10 162:5 162:6 164:12,25	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25 18:23 20:17 29:15 47:2,25 53:9 57:12,14 60:2 63:7 78:6 106:3 114:17 116:15 123:3	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4 261:8,9 269:25 276:16 look-back 163:18 163:20 looked 247:12 266:14 276:8	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20 122:10,15,25 151:3 153:3 Lynn 267:7 M M 1:17 279:5,18	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20 230:21 235:6 236:20 246:8,19 249:25 250:12 258:8 267:19 making 104:23 117:19 126:6	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24 26:6 30:15,21 30:22 33:3 34:12 36:14 38:1 39:19,24 40:10,15 46:6 47:6 49:15,18
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 146:19 148:3,25 149:15 151:15 153:18 154:19 155:3,24 156:18 159:9 160:10,10 162:5 162:6 164:12,25 166:4,15,18,18	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25 18:23 20:17 29:15 47:2,25 53:9 57:12,14 60:2 63:7 78:6 106:3 114:17 116:15 123:3 137:4 144:3	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4 261:8,9 269:25 276:16 look-back 163:18 163:20 looked 247:12 266:14 276:8 looking 17:1	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20 122:10,15,25 151:3 153:3 Lynn 267:7 M M 1:17 279:5,18 ma'am 200:17,18	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20 230:21 235:6 236:20 246:8,19 249:25 250:12 258:8 267:19 making 104:23 117:19 126:6 141:23 207:25	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24 26:6 30:15,21 30:22 33:3 34:12 36:14 38:1 39:19,24 40:10,15 46:6 47:6 49:15,18 53:14 55:11
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 146:19 148:3,25 149:15 151:15 153:18 154:19 155:3,24 156:18 159:9 160:10,10 162:5 162:6 164:12,25 166:4,15,18,18 166:19 168:16	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25 18:23 20:17 29:15 47:2,25 53:9 57:12,14 60:2 63:7 78:6 106:3 114:17 116:15 123:3 137:4 144:3 145:18 148:15	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4 261:8,9 269:25 276:16 look-back 163:18 163:20 looked 247:12 266:14 276:8 looking 17:1 64:12 89:6	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20 122:10,15,25 151:3 153:3 Lynn 267:7 M M 1:17 279:5,18 ma'am 200:17,18 Machine 1:15	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20 230:21 235:6 236:20 246:8,19 249:25 250:12 258:8 267:19 making 104:23 117:19 126:6 141:23 207:25 231:9	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24 26:6 30:15,21 30:22 33:3 34:12 36:14 38:1 39:19,24 40:10,15 46:6 47:6 49:15,18 53:14 55:11 58:10 61:1,7
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 144:21 145:20 146:19 148:3,25 149:15 151:15 153:18 154:19 155:3,24 156:18 159:9 160:10,10 162:5 162:6 164:12,25 166:4,15,18,18 166:19 168:16 168:19,20 174:9	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25 18:23 20:17 29:15 47:2,25 53:9 57:12,14 60:2 63:7 78:6 106:3 114:17 116:15 123:3 137:4 144:3 145:18 148:15 153:1 156:15	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4 261:8,9 269:25 276:16 look-back 163:18 163:20 looked 247:12 266:14 276:8 looking 17:1 64:12 89:6 97:18 98:8	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20 122:10,15,25 151:3 153:3 Lynn 267:7 M M 1:17 279:5,18 ma'am 200:17,18 Machine 1:15 mad 24:16 247:6	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20 230:21 235:6 236:20 246:8,19 249:25 250:12 258:8 267:19 making 104:23 117:19 126:6 141:23 207:25 231:9 males 109:15,19	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24 26:6 30:15,21 30:22 33:3 34:12 36:14 38:1 39:19,24 40:10,15 46:6 47:6 49:15,18 53:14 55:11 58:10 61:1,7 72:12 75:3,9,11
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 146:19 148:3,25 149:15 151:15 153:18 154:19 155:3,24 156:18 159:9 160:10,10 162:5 162:6 164:12,25 166:4,15,18,18 166:19 168:16 168:19,20 174:9 177:7,11 178:23	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25 18:23 20:17 29:15 47:2,25 53:9 57:12,14 60:2 63:7 78:6 106:3 114:17 116:15 123:3 137:4 144:3 145:18 148:15 153:1 156:15 159:13 211:17	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4 261:8,9 269:25 276:16 look-back 163:18 163:20 looked 247:12 266:14 276:8 looking 17:1 64:12 89:6 97:18 98:8 105:4 117:11	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20 122:10,15,25 151:3 153:3 Lynn 267:7 M M 1:17 279:5,18 ma'am 200:17,18 Machine 1:15 mad 24:16 247:6 made 52:23 59:15	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20 230:21 235:6 236:20 246:8,19 249:25 250:12 258:8 267:19 making 104:23 117:19 126:6 141:23 207:25 231:9 males 109:15,19 man 19:24 21:14	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24 26:6 30:15,21 30:22 33:3 34:12 36:14 38:1 39:19,24 40:10,15 46:6 47:6 49:15,18 53:14 55:11 58:10 61:1,7 72:12 75:3,9,11 78:23,24 79:5
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 146:19 148:3,25 149:15 151:15 153:18 154:19 155:3,24 156:18 159:9 160:10,10 162:5 162:6 164:12,25 166:4,15,18,18 166:19 168:16 168:19,20 174:9 177:7,11 178:23 178:24 179:15	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25 18:23 20:17 29:15 47:2,25 53:9 57:12,14 60:2 63:7 78:6 106:3 114:17 116:15 123:3 137:4 144:3 145:18 148:15 153:1 156:15 159:13 211:17 217:2 221:4	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4 261:8,9 269:25 276:16 look-back 163:18 163:20 looked 247:12 266:14 276:8 looking 17:1 64:12 89:6 97:18 98:8 105:4 117:11 120:18 124:8,16	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20 122:10,15,25 151:3 153:3 Lynn 267:7 M M 1:17 279:5,18 ma'am 200:17,18 Machine 1:15 mad 24:16 247:6 made 52:23 59:15 59:15,18,19	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20 230:21 235:6 236:20 246:8,19 249:25 250:12 258:8 267:19 making 104:23 117:19 126:6 141:23 207:25 231:9 males 109:15,19 man 19:24 21:14 21:18 24:15	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24 26:6 30:15,21 30:22 33:3 34:12 36:14 38:1 39:19,24 40:10,15 46:6 47:6 49:15,18 53:14 55:11 58:10 61:1,7 72:12 75:3,9,11 78:23,24 79:5 79:24 81:1,17
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 146:19 148:3,25 149:15 151:15 153:18 154:19 155:3,24 156:18 159:9 160:10,10 162:5 162:6 164:12,25 166:4,15,18,18 166:19 168:16 168:19,20 174:9 177:7,11 178:23 178:24 179:15 185:8 187:11	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25 18:23 20:17 29:15 47:2,25 53:9 57:12,14 60:2 63:7 78:6 106:3 114:17 116:15 123:3 137:4 144:3 145:18 148:15 153:1 156:15 159:13 211:17 217:2 221:4 222:1 223:5	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4 261:8,9 269:25 276:16 look-back 163:18 163:20 looked 247:12 266:14 276:8 looking 17:1 64:12 89:6 97:18 98:8 105:4 117:11 120:18 124:8,16 128:22 146:21	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20 122:10,15,25 151:3 153:3 Lynn 267:7 M M 1:17 279:5,18 ma'am 200:17,18 Machine 1:15 mad 24:16 247:6 made 52:23 59:15 59:15,18,19 83:13 84:6,12	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20 230:21 235:6 236:20 246:8,19 249:25 250:12 258:8 267:19 making 104:23 117:19 126:6 141:23 207:25 231:9 males 109:15,19 man 19:24 21:14 21:18 24:15 27:13 28:11	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24 26:6 30:15,21 30:22 33:3 34:12 36:14 38:1 39:19,24 40:10,15 46:6 47:6 49:15,18 53:14 55:11 58:10 61:1,7 72:12 75:3,9,11 78:23,24 79:5 79:24 81:1,17 91:12 92:6 99:7
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 146:19 148:3,25 149:15 151:15 153:18 154:19 155:3,24 156:18 159:9 160:10,10 162:5 162:6 164:12,25 166:4,15,18,18 166:19 168:16 168:19,20 174:9 177:7,11 178:23 178:24 179:15 185:8 187:11 188:24 189:13	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25 18:23 20:17 29:15 47:2,25 53:9 57:12,14 60:2 63:7 78:6 106:3 114:17 116:15 123:3 137:4 144:3 145:18 148:15 153:1 156:15 159:13 211:17 217:2 221:4 222:1 223:5 232:25 239:2	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4 261:8,9 269:25 276:16 look-back 163:18 163:20 looked 247:12 266:14 276:8 looking 17:1 64:12 89:6 97:18 98:8 105:4 117:11 120:18 124:8,16 128:22 146:21 147:25 160:7	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20 122:10,15,25 151:3 153:3 Lynn 267:7 M M 1:17 279:5,18 ma'am 200:17,18 Machine 1:15 mad 24:16 247:6 made 52:23 59:15 59:15,18,19 83:13 84:6,12 87:8,9 90:11	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20 230:21 235:6 236:20 246:8,19 249:25 250:12 258:8 267:19 making 104:23 117:19 126:6 141:23 207:25 231:9 males 109:15,19 man 19:24 21:14 21:18 24:15 27:13 28:11 49:15 60:18	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24 26:6 30:15,21 30:22 33:3 34:12 36:14 38:1 39:19,24 40:10,15 46:6 47:6 49:15,18 53:14 55:11 58:10 61:1,7 72:12 75:3,9,11 78:23,24 79:5 79:24 81:1,17 91:12 92:6 99:7 99:8 102:19
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 146:19 148:3,25 149:15 151:15 153:18 154:19 155:3,24 156:18 159:9 160:10,10 162:5 162:6 164:12,25 166:4,15,18,18 166:19 168:16 168:19,20 174:9 177:7,11 178:23 178:24 179:15 185:8 187:11 188:24 189:13 189:16 190:1	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25 18:23 20:17 29:15 47:2,25 53:9 57:12,14 60:2 63:7 78:6 106:3 114:17 116:15 123:3 137:4 144:3 145:18 148:15 153:1 156:15 159:13 211:17 217:2 221:4 222:1 223:5 232:25 239:2 240:24 246:11	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4 261:8,9 269:25 276:16 look-back 163:18 163:20 looked 247:12 266:14 276:8 looking 17:1 64:12 89:6 97:18 98:8 105:4 117:11 120:18 124:8,16 128:22 146:21 147:25 160:7 171:23 173:25	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20 122:10,15,25 151:3 153:3 Lynn 267:7 M M 1:17 279:5,18 ma'am 200:17,18 Machine 1:15 mad 24:16 247:6 made 52:23 59:15 59:15,18,19 83:13 84:6,12 87:8,9 90:11 95:14 128:17	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20 230:21 235:6 236:20 246:8,19 249:25 250:12 258:8 267:19 making 104:23 117:19 126:6 141:23 207:25 231:9 males 109:15,19 man 19:24 21:14 21:18 24:15 27:13 28:11 49:15 60:18 90:2,7 92:6	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24 26:6 30:15,21 30:22 33:3 34:12 36:14 38:1 39:19,24 40:10,15 46:6 47:6 49:15,18 53:14 55:11 58:10 61:1,7 72:12 75:3,9,11 78:23,24 79:5 79:24 81:1,17 91:12 92:6 99:7 99:8 102:19 105:15 109:23
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 146:19 148:3,25 149:15 151:15 153:18 154:19 155:3,24 156:18 159:9 160:10,10 162:5 162:6 164:12,25 166:4,15,18,18 166:19 168:16 168:19,20 174:9 177:7,11 178:23 178:24 179:15 185:8 187:11 188:24 189:13 189:16 190:1 191:20 192:6,16	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25 18:23 20:17 29:15 47:2,25 53:9 57:12,14 60:2 63:7 78:6 106:3 114:17 116:15 123:3 137:4 144:3 145:18 148:15 153:1 156:15 159:13 211:17 217:2 221:4 222:1 223:5 232:25 239:2 240:24 246:11 live 12:21 64:17	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4 261:8,9 269:25 276:16 look-back 163:18 163:20 looked 247:12 266:14 276:8 looking 17:1 64:12 89:6 97:18 98:8 105:4 117:11 120:18 124:8,16 128:22 146:21 147:25 160:7 171:23 173:25 215:19 230:19	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20 122:10,15,25 151:3 153:3 Lynn 267:7 M M 1:17 279:5,18 ma'am 200:17,18 Machine 1:15 mad 24:16 247:6 made 52:23 59:15 59:15,18,19 83:13 84:6,12 87:8,9 90:11 95:14 128:17 131:21,22 134:4	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20 230:21 235:6 236:20 246:8,19 249:25 250:12 258:8 267:19 making 104:23 117:19 126:6 141:23 207:25 231:9 males 109:15,19 man 19:24 21:14 21:18 24:15 27:13 28:11 49:15 60:18 90:2,7 92:6 93:13 123:16,17	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24 26:6 30:15,21 30:22 33:3 34:12 36:14 38:1 39:19,24 40:10,15 46:6 47:6 49:15,18 53:14 55:11 58:10 61:1,7 72:12 75:3,9,11 78:23,24 79:5 79:24 81:1,17 91:12 92:6 99:7 99:8 102:19 105:15 109:23 109:24 114:15
105:19,20 107:9 107:10,11,15 108:7 109:4,23 110:18,23 111:11 112:13 112:14 113:17 114:19,23 117:10,11 119:1 119:6,22,25 120:3 121:7 125:6,10 126:10 129:18 132:8,16 134:7 135:5,12 135:17,23 137:18,19 138:6 138:11 139:17 139:18,21 142:14,19 143:20 144:21 145:20 146:19 148:3,25 149:15 151:15 153:18 154:19 155:3,24 156:18 159:9 160:10,10 162:5 162:6 164:12,25 166:4,15,18,18 166:19 168:16 168:19,20 174:9 177:7,11 178:23 178:24 179:15 185:8 187:11 188:24 189:13 189:16 190:1	lingered 184:19 link 200:23 liquor 74:7,8 152:7 lisa 1:17 279:5,18 list 108:3 140:11 140:18 179:14 266:7,8 267:6 listed 265:23 listen 20:9 41:22 76:17 77:2 116:9,10 124:18 125:25 257:1 260:6 listening 18:25 literally 15:13 litigation 195:16 little 9:11,11 12:15 14:25 18:23 20:17 29:15 47:2,25 53:9 57:12,14 60:2 63:7 78:6 106:3 114:17 116:15 123:3 137:4 144:3 145:18 148:15 153:1 156:15 159:13 211:17 217:2 221:4 222:1 223:5 232:25 239:2 240:24 246:11	68:17 96:7 232:6 248:11 look 9:15 28:16 36:8,14 38:19 48:12 55:25 57:1 98:10 104:10 109:12 117:20 119:20 120:20 125:18 128:2 130:11 134:11 135:3 147:20 162:16 163:23 167:3 171:15,23 173:24 174:5,5 174:17 177:3 208:23 229:1 230:20 248:20 248:25 254:4 259:14 260:4 261:8,9 269:25 276:16 look-back 163:18 163:20 looked 247:12 266:14 276:8 looking 17:1 64:12 89:6 97:18 98:8 105:4 117:11 120:18 124:8,16 128:22 146:21 147:25 160:7 171:23 173:25	111:1 112:12,13 143:6 loud 51:15 love 65:1 146:25 219:9 loved 33:12,14 46:16 227:7,14 low 87:7 239:19 240:14 247:11 lower 30:23 109:23 lowest 140:18 lowry 2:5 7:4 91:10 103:17 203:22 208:9 luau 238:10 lucky 57:19 60:18 60:18 ludicrous 239:25 lunch 121:18,20 122:10,15,25 151:3 153:3 Lynn 267:7 M M 1:17 279:5,18 ma'am 200:17,18 Machine 1:15 mad 24:16 247:6 made 52:23 59:15 59:15,18,19 83:13 84:6,12 87:8,9 90:11 95:14 128:17	168:20,23 169:11 170:23 188:15 189:7,10 190:25 192:7,16 226:11 227:13 229:16 233:5 234:11,20 235:4 236:16,17,21 244:7,10 257:24 259:14,21,22 261:25 264:1 266:16 271:9 274:1 makes 11:13 28:16 34:11 39:7 47:5,10 52:7 59:2,25 104:24 115:13 117:13 175:22 177:19 191:20 230:21 235:6 236:20 246:8,19 249:25 250:12 258:8 267:19 making 104:23 117:19 126:6 141:23 207:25 231:9 males 109:15,19 man 19:24 21:14 21:18 24:15 27:13 28:11 49:15 60:18 90:2,7 92:6	matter 10:15 13:24 14:21 39:5 45:11 50:24 51:10 77:17 87:25 139:24 170:5,7 176:11 188:10 188:25 210:12 252:24,25 253:20 269:5 275:19 276:17 276:24 matters 121:17 184:11 259:21 matthew 2:12 145:7 may 8:7 11:8 19:6 19:15 21:10 22:25 24:24 26:6 30:15,21 30:22 33:3 34:12 36:14 38:1 39:19,24 40:10,15 46:6 47:6 49:15,18 53:14 55:11 58:10 61:1,7 72:12 75:3,9,11 78:23,24 79:5 79:24 81:1,17 91:12 92:6 99:7 99:8 102:19 105:15 109:23

19323 14122 17215 1729-21 1729-15 17215				ge 17		
14923 152:11 14925 153:13 14925 153:14 1492	118:17 121:10	1:18 2:8 17:14	meant 128:21	50:12 54:11,25		134:9,19,21
1532 156:21 1578 158:22 155:25 156:13 161:15 1702; 22 152:12 155:25 156:13 161:15 1702; 22 152:12 169:33 161:15 1702; 22 152:12 169:33 161:15 1702; 22 152:12 169:33 173:17 174:19 180:15 196:33 173:17 174:19 180:15 196:33 173:17 174:19 180:15 196:34 173:18 174:19 180:16 196:16 200:10 180:16 120:32 120:16 207:5 120:16 207:5 120:16 207:5 122:12 1,14 16 180:17 174:19 180:17 174:19 180:17 174:19 180:17 174:19 180:17 174:19 180:17 174:19 180:18 174:19			.			135:1 137:3,4,9
157:81.58:22 156:13 156:10 150:				1		
161:151702.22 224:14						
137.174-19			1		1.	
189:11 190:5 8:19 9:24 11:18 29:18 99:18.19 96:1.1 99:16 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:15 20:5 28:62:25 20:10 20:16 20:15 28:62:25 20:10 20:16 20:15 28:62:25 20:10 20:16 20:15 28:62:25 20:10 20:16 20:15 20:10 20:16 20:15 20:10 20:16 20:15 20:10 20:16 20:15 20:10 20:16 20:15 20:10 20:16 20:15 20:10 20:16 20:15 20:10 20:16 20:15 20:10 20:16 20:15 20:10 20:16 20:15 20:10 20:16 20:15 20:10 20:16 20:15 20:10 20:16 20:15 20:10 20:16 20:1			I		1	
193:25:194-33 12-8;1018-27-32, 249:10 249:10 249:10 249:10 249:10 249:10 249:10 233:11:2038 249:10 233:11:2038 249:10 233:11:2038 249:10 233:11:2038 249:10 233:11:2038 249:11 249:11 249:	3		I .	1 -		
290-16 200-10 26:18 27:23;23 249:10 200-16 2075 28:80 29:25 215:12,18 219:9 30:15 32:22 212:12,14,16 36:43 39:18 40:9 49:45:10 229:232:13 44:9 45:10 220:24 23:11 200:8 46:12 49:12 230:24			3			
2001-16 2075 236-29-25 215-12 129-9 301-5 232-2 222-12 14-9 30-4 351-2 36-4 39-18 40-9 229-2 232-13 34-9 36-13 31-8 40-9 229-2 232-13 34-9 34-18 40-12 32-14 31-18 31-18 31-			1	1		
221:12,18 219-9)			1		
2221:2,14,46 236:4,39:18 409 229:22313 449:45:10 236:4,36:2311260:8 46:12 49:11 229:24,25 260:24,25 26:17,17.59:5,7 270:127:120 230:24						
2292 232:13 233:11 260:24 231:12 249:11 252:135.8 230:24 230:25 230:24						
233:11 260:8 46:12 49:11 52:23 135:8 230:24 260:24.25 260:74.8 70:6 maybe 11:14 19:7 70:12 71:20 70:12 71:20 27:21 73:16 8424 90:25 96:5 33:48,12,12 52:6 104:20 106:22 106:20 106:22 106:20 106:20 106:22 106:20 10		44:9 45:10			misbehaved 63:2	
269:24.25 267:48,70:6 195:5 1378,91,014 187:21 137:21 138:19 138:14 141:46 138:29 138:14 141:46 138:29 138:14 141:46 138:29 138:14 141:46 100:39 104:12 139:29			52:5 135:8	124:4,9 125:5	misdemeanor	265:4 271:1
274:11						-
maybe 11:14 19:7 70:12 71:20 media 70:1 medica				135:5,16 136:4	1	
22:32 27:11 73:16 34:24 medical 160:11 139:16 141:14 229:14 missionary 193:7 models 239:18 medis 15:19 15:10 16:20 16:22 15:11 15:10 16:21 15:10 176:18 15:10			1		1	
29:18 31:25 90:25 96:5 32:416 33:6 31:41 41:40:22,3 34:81.52 16:2 10:42 0 106:22 35:5,5 9 16,6,22 50:9,10,12,13 51:19 11:25 11:21 0 11:25 11:22 16:2 11:29 118:1,8 11:25 116:2 11:29 118:1,8 11:25 116:2 11:29 118:1,8 11:25 116:2 11:29 118:1,8 11:25 116:2 11:29 118:1,8 12:11 12:10 12:11 12:10 12:11 12:10 12:11 12:10 12:11 12:10 11:25 116:2 11:29 118:1,8 12:11 12:10 12:11 12:10 12:11 12:10 11:25 116:2 11:29 118:1,8 12:11 12:10 12:11 12:10 12:11 12:10 11:25 116:2 11:29 118:1,8 12:11 12:10 12:11 12:11 12:10 11:25 116:2 11:29 118:1,8 12:11 12:10 12:11 12:11 12:10 11:25 116:2 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11						
334;3(2) 35:2 101:4 102:2.3 meds 15:19 modes 23:18 mod						
34:8,12,123:52						-
33:55, 39:16, 22 39:23 44:24 411:25 112:10 46:20 49:24 115:2 116:2 50:9, 10, 12, 13 56:9, 13 60:15 61:21 62:13, 15 56:9, 13 60:15 61:21 62:13, 15 56:9, 16, 25 138:14 181:6 121:17 66:8 69:9, 16, 25 138:4 136:17 72:17 73:5 72:17 73:5 72:17 73:5 73:17 137:5 73:17 137:5 73:17 137:5 73:17 137:5 73:11 138:17 74:11 138:17 74:11 73:11 137:11 138:17 72:17 73:5 73:11 73:5 73:11 138:17 74:11 73:15 139:13 14 141:4, 61 62.1 141:12, 23 142:2 141:12, 23 142:2 141:12, 23 142:2 141:12, 23 142:2 141:12, 23 142:2 155:12 152:2 155:13 138:14 141:4, 61 62.1 177:11 177:11 177:11 138:15 177:11 138:15 177:11 138:15 177:11 138:15 177:11 138:15 177:11 138:15 177:12 138:17 177:11 138:15 177:12 138:17 177:11 138:15 177:12 138:17 177:11 138:15 177:12 138:18 177:12 138:18 178:12 138:17 178:12 138:13 178:12 138:14 178:11 138:12 178:12 138:14 178:11 138:14 178:14		1				
39:23 44:24					•	
46:20 49:24 115:2 116:2 member 157:10 256:10,11 19:125 192:1 527:133:4 149:10 mom 54:11 159:16 133:17 135:4 136:17 27:17 28:6 63:2,14 65:14 135:4 136:17 27:17 28:7 27:17 27:5 27:25 27:17 28:2 23:18 138:14 141:4,6 27:18 89:3 90:11 141:22,23 142:2 141:11,16,16,21 166:4 197:4,9 159:19 196:13 155:24 136:12,12 138:12 181:3 133:1 1 156:2 8 233:20 235:4 6 148:5 149:18 233:20 235:4 6 148:5 149:18 233:20 222 233:13 232:2 222 233:13 232:2 222 233:13 232:2 222 233:13 232:2 222 233:13 232:2 222 233:13 232:2 222 233:13 235:17 233:2 233:17 233:2 233:10 233:2 233:10 233:2 233:10 233:2 233:10 233:2 233:10 233:2 233:10 233:1 1 156:2 8 233:20 235:4 6 149:14 244:5 19 9:8 205:11,12 245:14:2 233:13 28:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:2 233:3 23:2 233:4 233:2 233:2 233:3 23:2 233:4 233:2 233:2 233:3 23:2 233:4 233:2 233:2 233:3 23:2 233:4 233:2 233:2 233:3 23:2 233:4 233:2 233:2 233:3 23:2 233:4 233:2 233:2 233:3 23:2 233:4 233:2 233:2 233:3 23:2 233:4 233:2 233:2 233:3 23:2 233:4 233:2 233:2 233:3 23:2 233:4 233:2 233:2 233:3 23:2 233:3 233:2 233:4 233:2 233:2 233:3 23:2 233:2 233:3 23:2 233:3 233:3 23:3 2				1	•	
569,91,6015 117:9 1181,8 669:13 601:5 127:1 128:6 63:2,14 65:14 127:1 128:6 63:2,14 65:14 127:1 135:4 136:17 135:4 136:17 135:4 136:17 137:2 138:13 137:2 138:13 137:2 138:13 137:2 138:13 137:2 138:13 137:2 138:13 137:2 138:13 137:2 138:13 137:2 138:17 137:2 138:13 137:2 138:17 138:14 141:4,6 127:11 127:11 127:11 127:11 127:11 127:11 127:11 127:11 127:11 138:19 137:1 138:19 134:12 127:1 138:19 134:12 127:1 138:19 134:12 127:1 138:19 134:12 127:1 138:19 134:12 127:1 138:19 134:12					1	ł
569,13 60:15 61:21 62:13,15 62:21.46 65:14 133:47 72:17 73:5 76:20 78:21,22 79:11,13 88:17 79:11,73 88:17 79:11,73 88:17 79:11,73 88:17 79:11,73 88:17 95:19,20 99:19 140:22,3 142:2 140:413 120:11 100:41 107:4,9 110:41 13:22 181:12 182:19 110:64 107:4,9 110:64 100:4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4						
61:21 62:13,15					T)	
63:2,14 65:14 129:16 133:17 72:17 73:5 76:20 78:21,22 79:11,13 88:17 79:11,13 88:17 79:11,13 88:17 79:11,13 88:17 89:3 90:11 141:22,23 142:2 1106:4 1074:9 159:4 168:13,18 159:15,20 1106:4 1074:9 1106:4 104:20 1106:4 1074:9 1106:4 104:20 1106:4 1074:9 1106:4 104:20 1106:4 1074:9 1106:4 104:20 1106:4 104:20 1106:4 104:20 1106:4 104:20 1106:4 104:20 1106:4 104:20 1106:4 104:20 1106:4 104:20 1106:4 104:20 1106:4 104:20 1106:4 104:20 1106:4						105:10 166:11
72:17-73:5	63:2,14 65:14		memorandum	196:1 205:1,2		215:4 237:14,22
76:20 78:21,22			}			ł .
P9:11,13 88:17 141:1,16,16,21 men 239:18 267:6 227:128:20,20 45:12,19,25 46:5,14 47:4,6 202:17 208:23 20:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10						
89:3 90:11						
95:19,20 99:19 143:16,20 147:6 115:3 155:24 232:17 233:8,9 47:14 133:20 237:7 273:9 106:4 107:4,9 159:4 168:13,18 157:15,20 234:10,11,12,13 135:24 136:5 money 35:2 42:16 116:2 123:23 199:5,11 191:22 199:5,17 162:8 235:12,26 235:12,26 235:12,26 235:12,26 235:12,26 235:12,26 235:12,26 240:18 263:22 137:7,14,14,21 240:12 20:137:24 241:14 129:12 219:3,24 205:5 205:8 210:16 212:9 213:2 mentioned 30:16 141:3 144:20 212:9 213:2 mentioned 30:16 141:3 144:20 228:13,13 228:13,13 238:23 232:235:4,6 156:13,25 158:2 240:25 241:1,10 merciless 199:2 merciless 199:2 161:16 162:11 162:17 164:25 244:5 248:7 168:19 179:18,21 253:10,14,17 175:9 184:9 254:25 259:14 199:3 220:25 266:25 267:12 219:3 220:25 220:16 270:22 220:16 270:22 220:16 270:22 220:16 270:22 220:16 270:22 220:16 270:22 220:22 234:13 235:17 232:20,22 234:13 235:17 232:20,22 234:13 235:17 232:20,22 234:13 235:17 232:20,22 234:13 235:17 232:20,22 234:13 235:17 233:20 20:25 146:16 16:16 150:23 220:25 230:10 101:6,25 114:4 80:11 102:2 155:2 206:11,10 220:15 251:14 230:19 234:4 230						
100:3.9 104:12 152:21 157:1 156:12,12 233:17,18,19 134:12,12,16 237:7279:9 money 35:2 42:16 10:4 113:22 117:6 123:23 190:5,11 191:22 159:5,17 162:8 234:15,16,19 137:24 138:2,3 69:21 217:17 247:11 129:12 193:5,10,21 47:24,25 141:2 215:7 219:6 mentally 78:25						
160:4 107:4-9 159:4 168:13,18 157:15,20 234:10,11,12,13 135:24 136:5 money 35:2 42:16 137:24 138:2,3 190:5,11 191:22 159:5,17 162:8 235:1,2,6 137:24 138:2,3 69:21 217:17 137:23 139:9 205:8 210:16 mention 17:5 140:24,25 141:2 212:9 213:2 mention 230:16 147:19,25 148:1 128:23 228:13,13 153:11 156:2,8 233:20 235:4,6 138:24 136:5 money 35:2 42:16 62:11 148:5 149:18 228:13,13 130:8 155:25 153:11 156:2,8 233:20 235:4,6 137:24 138:2,3 174:25 179:2,6 241:19 monitor 16:21 monkey 145:14 mo						
110:4 113:22						
117:6 123:23 190:5,11 191:22 159:5,17 162:8 235:1,2,6 240:18 263:22 138:5,8 174:3,4 234:11 240:22 247:24 mentality 78:25 miles 78:18 military 13:15,18 180:1,5,8,11,17 monthey 145:14 m						
130:5,5,7		190:5,11 191:22	159:5,17 162:8	235:1,2,6		
137:23 139:9 140:24,25 141:2 141:2 141:2 141:2 141:2 141:2 141:3 144:20 147:19,25 148:1 148:5 149:18 233:10 235:4,6 156:13,25 158:2 240:25 241:1,10 162:11 162:11 162:11 162:11 162:11 162:11 162:11 175:9 184:9 254:25 259:14 175:9 184:9 254:25 264:5 194:8 248:7 188:1 179:9,13,15,19 monitor 16:21 monkey 145:14						
140:24,25 141:2 212:9 213:2 mention 17:5 mentioned 30:16 141:13 144:20 215:7 219:6 mentioned 30:16 141:13 3:25 148:5 149:18 222:8,15 227:1 148:5 149:18 222:8,15 227:1 148:5 149:18 223:20:25 4:1,101 161:16 162:11 242:15 243:8 162:17 164:25 244:5 248:7 155:2 mercy 97:16 162:17 164:25 244:5 248:7 155:2 mercy 97:16 163:19 199:3 196:1 164:19 196:5 260:5 264:5 142:1 238:9 199:3 196:1 142:1 233:19 142:1 244:19 196:5 260:5 264:5 142:1 238:9 142:1 238:9 199:8 205:11,12 266:25 267:12 33:16 157:16 meticulous 156:7 125:20 137:11 260:25 223:12.7 means 12:13 227:15 228:2,3 232:20,22 221:16 27:25 122:15 232:13 168:25 173:17 243:1 247:4,5,6 247:18 235:11 42:24 43:10 44:24 45:10 247:18 255:11 44:24 45:10 247:18 255:11 44:24 45:10 39:13 39:13 33:11,17 255:12 260:1 33:11,17 39:19,20,22 39:13 McKinney 1:14 230:19 234:4 48:7 49:16 McKinney 1:14 230:19 234:4 48:7 49:16 McKinney 1:14 230:19 234:4 48:7 49:16 Mentioned 30:16 11:12 11:12 11:12 11:12 11:12 11:12 11:12 11:12 11:12 11:12 11:12 11:12 11:12 11:12 11:13 11:12 11:12 11:13 13:12 30:19 234:4 48:7 49:16 229:16 26:20 99:22,23 132:19 11:121 11:37 11:21 11:13 11:21 11:13 11:14 230:19 234:4 48:7 49:16 229:16 26:20 99:22,23 132:19 11:121 11:37 11:21 11:13 11:21 11:13 11:21 11:13 13:12 13:11 13:12 13:11 13:12 13:11 13:12 13:11 13:12 13:11 13:12 13:11 13:11 13:12 13:11 13:11 13:12 13:11 13:12 13:11 13:12 13:11 13:11 13:11 13:12 13:11						
141:3 144:20						
147:19,25 148:1 222:8,15 227:1 71:14,18 78:5 mind 8:16 31:12 193:10,14 months 35:2 148:5 149:18 228:13,13 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 130:8 155:25 149:18 240:25 241:1,10 merciless 199:2 47:14 48:4 53:7 198:20 199:3 156:7,19 mood 104:17 morths 35:2 168:9 170:18,21 253:10,14,17 mercy 97:16 56:14 58:12 231:15 232:24 mood 104:17 175:9 184:9 254:25 259:14 met 6:24 14:16,20 14:21 238:9 83:9,13,13 84:6 235:2,8,12,15 133:18 140:25 14:21 238:9 83:9,13,13 84:6 235:2,8,12,15 133:18 140:25 14:21 238:9 87:8,9 105:21 235:18 236:14 193:4 230:19 226:14,15 186:11 middle 11:14 150:2 154:16 262:13,21 263:2 254:11 261:16 morals 70:13 more 9:12 12:15 227:15 228:2,3 22:16 27:25 122:15 252:13 168:25 173:17 264:12,17,21,24 30:19 39:24 47:14 51:14 247:18 255:11 49:12 59:2,9 19:21 26:14 195:20 206:1,10 270:3,10,11,18 70:8 72:17 267:20 101:6,25 114:4 33:23 34:4,14 233:18 122:5 270:2,14 19:13 132:12 36:5,17 39:6,18 245:21 266:20 27:25 133:11,17 39:19,20,22 266:19,22,23 minded 5:20 30:13:18 30:23 34:4,14 233:18 18:10 230:19 234:4 48:7 49:16 229:16 266:20 99:22,23 132:19 111:21 113:7 11:21 113:7				14-11 23-25	180:1,3,6,11,17	Monthly 232:7
148:5 149:18 228:13,13 130:8 155:25 31:22 32:24 194:10,13,20 58:20 70:4 78:9 156:13,25 158:2 240:25 241:1,10 merciless 199:2 47:14 48:4 53:7 198:20 199:3 156:7,19 161:16 162:11 242:15 243:8 155:2 mercy 97:16 56:14 58:12 231:15 232:24 mood 104:17 moral 44:23,25 168:9 170:18,21 253:10,14,17 merely 49:12 75:22 76:1,14 233:18,19 45:2,4,6 70:11 175:9 184:9 254:25 259:14 met 6:24 14:16,20 76:19 77:3 83:4 234:12,14,24 70:12,18 133:16 194:17 218:3 270:22 methamphetamine 33:16 157:16 109:18 118:11 249:18 254:12 254:11 261:16 109:18 118:11 249:18 254:12 254:11 261:16 109:18 118:11 249:18 254:12 254:11 261:16 221:15 228:23 221:6 27:25 221:6 27:25 221:6 27:25 221:6 27:25 221:6 27:25 221:6 27:25 221:6 27:25 221:6 27:25 231:13 232:13 22:16 27:25 231:13 232:13 231:10 232 231:2 7 243:12 47:4,5,6 247:4,6,10,11,14 247:18 255:11 249:12 59:2,9 19:21 26:14 247:18 255:11 249:12 59:2,9 19:21 26:14 247:18 255:11 249:12 59:2,9 19:21 26:14 247:18 255:11 249:12 59:2,9 19:21 26:14 233:11 242:17 270:3,10,11,18 70:8 72:17 267:20 270:20 270:3,10,11,18 70:8 72:17 270:3,10,11,18 270:23 270:22 270:21,24 270:23 270:21,24 270:23 270:21,24 270:23 270:21,24 270:23 270:21,24 270:23 270:21,24 270:23 270:21,24 270:23 270:21,24 270:25 270:21 270:25 270:21 270:25 270:21 270:25 270:21 270:25 270:21 270:25 270:21 270:25 270:21 270:25 270			t			
153:11 156:2,8 233:20 235:4,6 194:8 243:18 merciless 199:2 merciles 199:2 merciles 199:3 m						
156:13,25 158:2 240:25 241:1,10 merciless 199:2 mercy 97:16 56:14 58:12 231:15 232:24 mood 104:17 mercy 97:16 155:2 232:25 233:11 mood 104:17 morely 49:12 75:22 76:1,14 233:18,19 45:2,4,6 70:11 75:9 184:9 254:25 259:14 met 6:24 14:16,20 76:19 77:3 83:4 234:12,14,24 70:12,18 133:16 199:8 205:11,12 266:25 267:12 266:25 267:12 270:22 methamphetamine 219:3 220:25 meaning 141:21 meticulous 156:7 modile 11:14 150:2 154:16 262:13,21 263:2 254:11 261:16 262:13,21 263:2 22:16 27:25 122:15 252:13 168:25 173:17 243:1 247:4,5,6 247:6,10,11,14 244:24 45:10 247:18 255:11 247:18 255:11 49:12 59:2,9 101:6,25 114:4 33:23 34:4,14 235:19 20:4 199:13 132:12 36:5,17 39:6,18 266:19,22,23 30:5 193:22,22 230:5 47:4,78,9,13.14 39:19,20,22 266:19,22,23 30:19 234:4 48:7 49:16 266:19,22,33 132:19 111:21 113:7 112:113:113:11 112:113:113:11 112:113:113:11 112:113:11						
162:17 164:25		240:25 241:1,10	merciless 199:2	47:14 48:4 53:7	198:20 199:3	156:7,19
168:9 170:18,21						
175:9 184:9		•				
194:Î,9 196:5 199:8 205:11,12 266:25 267:12 270:22 270:22 270:22 270:22 270:22 270:22 270:22 270:22 270:23 200:25 186:11 261:17 262:5,9 260:14,15 270:25 270:15 228:2,3 270:22 270:15 228:2,3 270:22 270:16 27:25 270:15 228:2,3 270:22 270:16 27:25 270:16 27:25 270:25						
199:8 205:11,12 266:25 267:12 270:22 33:16 157:16 33:16 157:16 109:18 118:11 249:18 254:12 254:11 261:16 227:15 228:2,3 22:16 27:25 22:16 27:25 22:16 27:25 22:16 27:25 22:16 27:25 22:15 252:13 168:25 173:17 243:1 247:4,5,6 247:6,10,11,14 247:18 255:11 247:18 255:11 255:12 260:1 73:14 81:8 247:18 255:11 255:12 260:1 73:14 81:8 267:20 101:6,25 114:4 33:23 34:4,14 230:19 32:14 230:19 231 230:19 234:4 39:19,20,22 238 279:20 193:22,22 230:5 48:7 49:16 29:16 266:20 99:22,23 132:19 111:21 113:7 111:21 113:7 109:18 118:11 249:18 254:12 254:11 261:16 morals 70:13 more 9:12 12:15 262:13,21 263:2 more 9:12 12:15 262:13,21 263:2 262:13,21 263:2 262:13,21 263:2 262:13,21,24 262:13,21,24 263:13,21,24 263:13,21,24 263:14,21,24 263:14,21,24 263:14,21,24 263:14,21,24 263:14,21,24 263:14,24 270:3,10,11,18 70:8 72:17 270:3,10,11,18 70:8 72:17 270:2,14 270:2,24 270:						
214:7 218:3 270:22 meaning 141:21 meticulous 156:7 middle 11:14 150:2 154:16 262:13,21 263:2 more 9:12 12:15 227:15 228:2,3 22:16 27:25 22:15 252:13 12:15 252:13 168:25 173:17 264:12,17,21,24 265:1,10,14 247:18 255:11 247:18 255:11 247:18 255:11 247:18 255:11 247:18 255:11 255:12 260:1 73:14 81:8 28:18 32:23 219:3,18 225:5 267:20 101:6,25 114:4 33:23 34:4,14 233:11 242:17 271:25 272:14 39:19,20,22 39:13 30:19 234:4 48:7 49:16 29:16 266:20 99:22,23 132:19 111:21 113:7 11:21 11:21 113:7 11:21 11						
219:3 220:25						
226:14,15 186:11 middle 11:14 150:2 154:16 262:13,21 263:2 more 9:12 12:15 227:15 228:2,3 232:20,22 22:16 27:25 122:15 252:13 168:25 173:17 264:12,17,21,24 30:19 39:24 234:13 235:17 30:22 31:2,7 Midland 245:5 174:6,11 175:13 265:1,10,14 47:17 53:17 243:1 247:4,5,6 36:22 42:3 43:6 might 5:14 7:17 184:15 188:12 268:9 269:2,9 55:22 60:3 247:6,10,11,14 44:24 45:10 8:8,11 10:19 188:15 192:7 269:13,17,19,25 61:21 69:16,19 247:18 255:11 49:12 59:2,9 19:21 26:14 195:20 206:1,10 270:3,10,11,18 70:8 72:17 255:12 260:1 73:14 81:8 28:18 32:23 219:3,18 225:5 270:21,24 82:10 89:10 267:20 101:6,25 114:4 33:23 34:4,14 233:11 242:17 271:13,14,17,25 98:25 99:9,11 maybe/maybe 19:13 132:12 36:5,17 39:6,18 245:21 264:12 271:25 272:14 99:18 100:10,21 39:13 133:11,17 266:14,19,14 266:19,22,23 50:21 51:5 105:13 109:23 2:8 279:20 193:22,22 230:5 47:4,7,8,9,13.14 229:16 266:20 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
232:20,22 22:16 27:25 30:22 31:2,7 Midland 245:5 174:6,11 175:13 264:12,17,21,24 30:19 39:24 243:1 247:4,5,6 36:22 42:3 43:6 might 5:14 7:17 184:15 188:12 268:9 269:2,9 55:22 60:3 247:6,10,11,14 44:24 45:10 8:8,11 10:19 188:15 192:7 269:13,17,19,25 61:21 69:16,19 247:18 255:11 49:12 59:2,9 19:21 26:14 195:20 206:1,10 270:3,10,11,18 70:8 72:17 255:12 260:1 73:14 81:8 28:18 32:23 219:3,18 225:5 270:21,24 82:10 89:10 267:20 101:6,25 114:4 33:23 34:4,14 233:11 242:17 271:13,14,17,25 98:25 99:9,11 maybe/maybe 119:13 132:12 36:5,17 39:6,18 245:21 264:12 271:25 272:14 99:18 100:10,21 39:13 133:11,17 39:19,20,22 266:19,22,23 minded 5:20 50:21 51:5 105:13 109:23 2:8 279:20 193:22,22 230:5 47:4,7,8,9,13.14 229:16 266:20 99:22,23 132:19 111:21 113:7				150:2 154:16		more 9:12 12:15
234:13 235:17 30:22 31:2,7 Midland 245:5 174:6,11 175:13 265:1,10,14 47:17 53:17 243:1 247:4,5,6 36:22 42:3 43:6 might 5:14 7:17 184:15 188:12 268:9 269:2,9 55:22 60:3 247:6,10,11,14 44:24 45:10 8:8,11 10:19 188:15 192:7 269:13,17,19,25 61:21 69:16,19 247:18 255:11 49:12 59:2,9 19:21 26:14 195:20 206:1,10 270:3,10,11,18 70:8 72:17 255:12 260:1 73:14 81:8 28:18 32:23 219:3,18 225:5 270:21,24 82:10 89:10 267:20 101:6,25 114:4 33:23 34:4,14 233:11 242:17 271:13,14,17,25 98:25 99:9,11 maybe/maybe 119:13 132:12 36:5,17 39:6,18 245:21 264:12 271:25 272:14 99:18 100:10,21 39:13 133:11,17 39:19,20,22 266:19,22,23 mitigation 44:3 104:23,24 McDonald 1:18 166:14 191:14 42:24 43:20 minded 5:20 50:21 51:5 105:13 109:23 2:8 279:20 193:22,22 230:5 47:4,7,8,9,13.14 229:16 266:20 99:22,23 132:19 111:21 113:7				155:5,24 157:8	263:3,12,15	18:10,23 29:17
243:1 247:4,5,6 36:22 42:3 43:6 might 5:14 7:17 184:15 188:12 268:9 269:2,9 55:22 60:3 247:6,10,11,14 44:24 45:10 8:8,11 10:19 188:15 192:7 269:13,17,19,25 61:21 69:16,19 247:18 255:11 49:12 59:2,9 19:21 26:14 195:20 206:1,10 270:3,10,11,18 70:8 72:17 255:12 260:1 73:14 81:8 28:18 32:23 219:3,18 225:5 270:21,24 82:10 89:10 267:20 101:6,25 114:4 33:23 34:4,14 233:11 242:17 271:13,14,17,25 98:25 99:9,11 maybe/maybe 119:13 132:12 36:5,17 39:6,18 245:21 264:12 271:25 272:14 99:18 100:10,21 39:13 133:11,17 39:19,20,22 266:19,22,23 mitigation 44:3 104:23,24 McDonald 1:18 166:14 191:14 42:24 43:20 minded 5:20 50:21 51:5 105:13 109:23 2:8 279:20 193:22,22 230:5 47:4,7,8,9,13.14 229:16 266:20 99:22,23 132:19 111:21 113:7						
247:6,10,11,14 44:24 45:10 8:8,11 10:19 188:15 192:7 269:13,17,19,25 61:21 69:16,19 247:18 255:11 49:12 59:2,9 19:21 26:14 195:20 206:1,10 270:3,10,11,18 70:8 72:17 255:12 260:1 73:14 81:8 28:18 32:23 219:3,18 225:5 270:21,24 82:10 89:10 267:20 101:6,25 114:4 33:23 34:4,14 233:11 242:17 271:13,14,17,25 98:25 99:9,11 maybe/maybe 119:13 132:12 36:5,17 39:6,18 245:21 264:12 271:25 272:14 99:18 100:10,21 39:13 133:11,17 39:19,20,22 266:19,22,23 mitigation 44:3 104:23,24 McDonald 1:18 166:14 191:14 42:24 43:20 minded 5:20 50:21 51:5 105:13 109:23 2:8 279:20 193:22,22 230:5 47:4,7,8,9,13.14 229:16 266:20 99:22,23 132:19 111:21 113:7						
247:18 255:11 49:12 59:2,9 19:21 26:14 195:20 206:1,10 270:3,10,11,18 70:8 72:17 255:12 260:1 73:14 81:8 28:18 32:23 219:3,18 225:5 270:21,24 82:10 89:10 267:20 101:6,25 114:4 33:23 34:4,14 233:11 242:17 271:13,14,17,25 98:25 99:9,11 maybe/maybe 119:13 132:12 36:5,17 39:6,18 245:21 264:12 271:25 272:14 99:18 100:10,21 39:13 133:11,17 39:19,20,22 266:19,22,23 mitigation 44:3 104:23,24 McDonald 1:18 166:14 191:14 42:24 43:20 minded 5:20 50:21 51:5 105:13 109:23 2:8 279:20 193:22,22 230:5 47:4,7,8,9,13.14 229:16 266:20 99:22,23 132:19 111:21 113:7						
255:12 260:1 73:14 81:8 28:18 32:23 219:3,18 225:5 270:21,24 82:10 89:10 267:20 101:6,25 114:4 33:23 34:4,14 233:11 242:17 271:13,14,17,25 98:25 99:9,11 maybe/maybe 119:13 132:12 36:5,17 39:6,18 245:21 264:12 271:25 272:14 99:18 100:10,21 39:13 133:11,17 39:19,20,22 266:19,22,23 mitigation 44:3 104:23,24 McDonald 1:18 166:14 191:14 42:24 43:20 minded 5:20 50:21 51:5 105:13 109:23 2:8 279:20 193:22,22 230:5 47:4,7,8,9,13.14 229:16 266:20 99:22,23 132:19 111:21 113:7						
267:20 101:6,25 114:4 33:23 34:4,14 233:11 242:17 271:13,14,17,25 98:25 99:9,11 maybe/maybe 119:13 132:12 36:5,17 39:6,18 245:21 264:12 271:25 272:14 99:18 100:10,21 39:13 133:11,17 39:19,20,22 266:19,22,23 mitigation 44:3 104:23,24 McDonald 1:18 166:14 191:14 42:24 43:20 minded 5:20 50:21 51:5 105:13 109:23 2:8 279:20 193:22,22 230:5 47:4,7,8,9,13.14 229:16 266:20 99:22,23 132:19 111:21 113:7						
maybe/maybe 119:13 132:12 36:5,17 39:6,18 245:21 264:12 271:25 272:14 99:18 100:10,21 39:13 133:11,17 39:19,20,22 266:19,22,23 mitigation 44:3 104:23,24 McDonald 1:18 166:14 191:14 42:24 43:20 minded 5:20 50:21 51:5 105:13 109:23 2:8 279:20 193:22,22 230:5 47:4,7,8,9,13.14 minds 123:18 52:15 53:3 84:5 110:8,9,21 McKinney 1:14 230:19 234:4 48:7 49:16 229:16 266:20 99:22,23 132:19 111:21 113:7						
39:13 133:11,17 39:19,20,22 266:19,22,23 mitigation 44:3 104:23,24 McDonald 1:18 166:14 191:14 42:24 43:20 minded 5:20 50:21 51:5 105:13 109:23 2:8 279:20 193:22,22 230:5 47:4,7,8,9,13.14 minds 123:18 52:15 53:3 84:5 110:8,9,21 McKinney 1:14 230:19 234:4 48:7 49:16 229:16 266:20 99:22,23 132:19 111:21 113:7	maybe/maybe					
McDonald 1:18 166:14 191:14 42:24 43:20 minded 5:20 50:21 51:5 105:13 109:23 2:8 279:20 193:22,22 230:5 47:4,7,8,9,13.14 minds 123:18 52:15 53:3 84:5 110:8,9,21 McKinney 1:14 230:19 234:4 48:7 49:16 229:16 266:20 99:22,23 132:19 111:21 113:7	39:13					104:23,24
McKinney 1:14 230:19 234:4 48:7 49:16 229:16 266:20 99:22,23 132:19 111:21 113:7		166:14 191:14	42:24 43:20		50:21 51:5	
	(
	MICKINNEY 1:14	230:19 234:4	48.7 49:10	229:10 200:20	99:22,23 132:19	111:21 113:7

			ge 18		
114:20,22 116:4	103:19 275:8	185:23 186:4,21	National 26:23	268:17 271:12	210:14 233:14
116:4 117:3,25	much 10:9 15:10	187:4 189:14,19	nationality	271:13,15	236:20 264:24
118:7 120:7	21:1 41:23,23	197:16 209:18	110:13	nevertheless 14:1	notice 25:4 48:2
124:3,21 127:15	46:21 63:14	210:5,25,25	natural 196:4	90:13	104:18 108:15
129:23 130:18	69:2,3 80:16	212:18 214:8,17	211:6	new 11:14,14	209:5,5,7 266:7
136:11,15	91:4 92:12 93:3	219:22 220:11	naturally 9:7,9	144:22 150:14	noticed 23:3
139:16 144:7	93:8 100:3	220:14,19,19,23	12:20 23:14	214:18 257:21	63:19 64:10
147:18,25 148:5	109:14 111:16	221:20 223:14	63:8,17	257:21 258:8,10	85:20 148:11
148:25 149:2,3	121:17 127:16	223:16,20,23	nature 53:25	267:21250:0,10	150:20,24 157:7
150:13 152:23	132:11 139:21	224:3,25 225:6	70:17 77:1	news 144:3	188:10 244:16
153:25 154:19	144:10,11,19	225:25 229:3,17	146:4 156:11	newspaper 60:19	notify 201:17
160:20 164:6	149:2,3 150:4	230:14 231:2	187:22 223:10	78:3	notion 12:5
	164:25 171:12				
165:2,10,16,17 174:1 175:15	l e	232:15 245:14	near 110:24	next 21:2 27:25	124:19 126:18
	176:20 178:25	245:17 251:9,11	neat 43:23	33:20 42:18	132:21 141:9,10
176:15 177:10	179:7 183:11	251:23 252:15	necessarily	43:22 47:14	notwithstanding
177:17 179:6,19	188:9 189:12	252:18 253:9,11	109:25 110:3	49:18 68:1	132:15,21
182:7 183:16	194:14 213:4	253:14,16,17,19	121:11 150:17	88:19 90:24	nuances 155:1
184:6 185:6	214:2 223:25	253:20 254:1,19	155:18 158:9	100:3 101:16	number 4:3,14,15
186:5,12 187:6	231:15 236:12	259:9	167:6 168:14	103:4,6 118:17	4:19 5:5 13:19
189:13 191:16	257:25 271:24	murder/burglary	189:17 195:9	201:10 203:3,25	24:5 30:15
192:8 195:25	mud 69:5	186:17	necessary 34:15	214:8 218:2	140:18,19
204:17 211:18	multiple 109:4	murder/robbery	107:20 190:16	232:21 253:24	142:14 150:23
213:15,19	152:11 175:11	186:18	need 6:3 18:18	256:15 265:11	187:17 192:24
215:21 217:2	murder 1:9 8:9	murdered 33:12	37:18 42:16	267:14 272:19	199:11,13
220:10,25 221:4	8:19 11:17 12:7	33:15 46:16	51:15 55:17	nice 57:11 112:3	222:14 229:21
221:7 222:13	13:8 16:23 19:2	78:4 227:8	57:11 84:8	night 16:19 45:16	229:23 264:19
229:1 239:2	19:4,7,7,15	229:3	88:24 104:6	no-hitter 21:3,5	273:20 275:6
242:23 249:12	20:11 22:23	murderer 27:22	121:6 126:7	nobody 29:10	279:21
264:15 265:20	25:19 27:7,20	47:9 62:14	174:16 183:16	52:7 77:21	numbered 1:13
269:19 270:24	35:11,12,17,18	1	188:19 189:3		
272:3		94:10 100:9		117:24 137:5,7	140:15 279:8
1	35:20 37:6	101:10 133:18	199:17 200:25	138:14,14 164:2	numerically
Morgan 87:11	38:15 40:5,8	225:7	201:17 205:19	194:1	109:13
198:14	42:11 43:7 45:2	murderers 95:25	206:23 207:6	Nods 42:8 56:8	nun 49:5 77:18
morning 17:23,25	45:15 46:5,7	murdering 119:1	231:3 234:6	77:7 88:9	170:6,19
57:9,10 64:14	48:5,6 49:16	murders 12:6	236:20 237:6	115:17 142:11	nuts 50:22
73:1 151:11	50:22 51:21	33:23 78:21	264:3,4,5	Nolan 20:25	
274:16 276:6	58:9 61:6 65:7	102:12 108:16	273:22 275:1	non-issue 163:6	0
277:20	66:3,17,18 80:8	249:13	276:25 277:17	noncapital	O 1:1,1 4:1 144:2
mornings 73:2	81:16 83:3,25	music 148:4,10	277:22	153:17	o'clock 122:2
mortar 69:1,6	84:7,20 92:22	must 8:19 36:4	needing 266:12	none 53:18	O'Connell 59:12
most 13:17,17	93:14,21 95:18	45:13,14 54:18	needs 29:5 35:2	134:25 135:4	243:15,16,22,23
36:2 39:8 45:18	95:19 96:2,7,14	117:21 128:6	51:17 105:19	152:13 205:13	oath 6:11,18
45:24 62:4	99:14 100:21	141:6 187:11,24	124:16,17	nonexistent	14:17 21:11
95:25 101:9,20	102:5 106:15	190:19 197:9	228:21 264:4,6	223:25	52:10 122:21
108:16 142:12	107:1 108:17	Mustang 166:3	273:25	nonissue 37:25	188:7 240:13
152:12 162:3	109:3 110:15	myself 45:23	negative 182:18	nonresponsive	obey 120:20
163:21 182:12	111:5,6,13,13	68:22 80:16	neighbor 93:25	180:16	obeying 15:22
189:20 191:2	111:14,15,15	82:1 83:21	Neither 234:23	nonviolent	object 159:7
214:11 219:24	112:20,22,24,25	84:14 111:22	nervous 53:9	120:15 121:3	175:22,23 179:3
228:10,11,24	113:2,4,6,6,7,22	146:4 148:24	202:1,4	normal 130:19	238:2 262:4
266:7 267:5,6	113:25 114:4	151:25 176:19	nets 160:16	149:12	objected 238:3
267:14 272:21	116:14,17	242:14 253:21	neurologist 16:16	normally 61:22	
273:8	123:13 124:12	272.17 233.21	16:18	132:25 148:16	objection 180:9
mostly 25:8 96:2			neutral 184:17,19	150:5 162:7	180:19 262:11
143:5 146:13	124:13,13,22,23	N N 2-1-12-4-1			270:4,4,5
	127:19 132:2	N 2:1,13 4:1	185:3	Normandy 10:9	271:12
149:1 mother 105:13	133:24 139:6,7	nail 187:23	never 11:25 14:20	nose 246:22,23	objections 108:10
mother 105:13	139:16 140:2	name 6:23 103:12	35:13 43:16	247:1,12,19	obligation 101:24
238:19	151:22,23	145:7 157:17	46:5 51:9 53:9	248:3	114:17 124:20
motion 5:10,13	152:19,24	200:20 203:20	56:21 76:22,24	notes 91:18	131:13 137:6
5:13,15	153:17,17,19,19	208:7 242:9	85:25 87:23	136:25	169:15 194:11
mouth 89:20	154:3,4,5,10,14	243:15,18	99:12 104:2	nothing 19:19	211:25 255:20
181:24 238:11	154:18,25 155:9	Name/Examinati	128:17 134:19	25:13 45:22	obliged 56:24
move 35:13 70:5	155:16,18	3:7	137:22 149:15	50:7,23 56:19	obliges 190:14
81:16 114:6	156:21,22	named 59:12	157:4 172:14	67:11 83:15	observation
116:23 126:15	162:15 163:10	275:7	173:19 193:14	101:13 102:16	117:18 128:16
192:19 229:18	163:23 168:2	names 266:15	193:21 195:3	117:24 124:21	137:12
moved 244:22	170:11,13 171:2	Nancy 274:24	211:7 218:22	140:21 141:10	observations 8:25
272:20	171:4,21 172:8		222:22 224:2,14	142:4,12,17	
moves 42:25	172:14,25 173:4	narcotics 119:23	232:14 234:11	161:3 168:19	observe 25:11
movies 74:19	173:10,20	narrow 105:20	241:17 251:2	174:7,7,9	observing 107:24
moving 7:5 102:5	178:19 183:22	120:7 134:7,8			obvious 202:14
	1/0.17 103.44	189:13	253:20 268:16	175:22 192:20	202:15

		Pa	ge 19		
obviously 58:6	232:22 233:9	206:13,25	127:9,14,18,20	195:11,19,19	47:8 49:5 50:8
70:5 72:3 104:6	oftne 13:15	209:15 210:4	128:17 131:1,21	196:3 233:11	55:4 56:10,10
123:16 128:19	oh 60:21 68:24	211:7,12 212:15	132:24 133:1,1	261:6 262:1	56:24 63:4
140:8 147:13	72:9 75:12,18	212:21 213:7,21	134:8 136:5	273:6 279:8	66:13,20 67:10
149:12 163:19	86:24 89:9	213:22 214:14	139:6,8,9 140:8	open-ended 8:8	67:14 68:4 71:2
172:16 193:13	123:1 136:24	217:16 218:9	140:15,18	opened-ended	76:1,16,17 77:4
198:17 199:5	140:1 143:23	220:8 223:4,7	141:19 145:16	134:1	78:21 81:3 82:6
222:6 247:8	144:20 158:10	223:11,13	145:17 147:21	opening 170:5	87:17 88:1,5
248:24 255:12	167:11 168:5	227:18,24	150:18 151:19	operating 33:7	90:19 93:19
269:4 272:20	169:21 171:15	230:12 232:13	155:4 158:8	operation 221:16	102:16 106:15
273:4	173:5 191:20	232:20 233:17	159:20,22,24	opinion 26:4,6,8	106:15,25 108:9
occasion 62:22	196:4 232:22	236:8 242:12	160:3,4,5,12,23	73:9 83:24	108:9,25 113:24
63:11	244:18 263:3	243:18,25	161:20,23	96:24 97:2	115:18 116:6,12
occasionally	273:24 275:1	244:20,24 245:6	163:11 164:6	144:11 154:17	117:25 119:12
61:18 63:5	oil 107:5	246:13,17 247:1	167:20 168:4	154:19 169:19	121:19 125:3
132:24	okay 9:13 12:1,23	247:25 248:2,17	172:1,2,12	185:5 191:5	126:16,16
occur 72:11	13:3,6 15:21	249:16,23 250:6	173:15,25	215:16 229:11	127:20 128:14
115:18 144:8	16:6,8,11,13,15	251:4,14 252:12	174:22 175:8,13	231:3 233:1	131:1 137:14
occurred 115:8	17:6 22:9 23:20	254:2,3 255:8	180:1,2 181:19	245:23 250:6	139:6,10 143:17
249:14 279:8	23:25 24:16	255:11,14,15,16	181:21 182:3,11	267:23 268:4	143:20 155:22
occurs 125:24	26:3 29:1 30:1	255:21,23 256:6	183:21 184:8	272:10	158:8 159:20,23
October 279:16	31:6,15,17	257:20 258:1,12	188:10 189:1	opinions 76:17	159:25 160:2,3
odds 50:9 192:2	32:16 39:18,22	259:5,18 260:15	194:17,21	131:8 190:1	160:5,12,16,19
off 6:9 13:22 16:7	40:18 41:25	261:24 266:6	197:12 199:2,11	opportunities	160:22 161:23
16:10 17:7,25	44:2,6 49:8	267:19 268:11	203:3,20 205:4	130:10	165:23 167:19
62:4 79:11 98:2	51:13 52:14	273:2 274:20,23	205:5 212:18	opportunity	175:9 181:3,5
143:2,4 146:16	56:4,13,17 57:4	277:4,19	213:11 214:14	124:14 231:7	181:16 188:10
166:25 172:22	57:11 59:11,22	Oklahoma 39:4	215:13,16	268:20	193:19 196:9
204:7 253:13	60:10 61:16	170:21 224:12	217:20,20,21	oppose 107:14	202:10 203:25
276:22	65:19,21 66:12	old 46:20 57:21	221:17 222:10	148:21	206:9 213:24
offended 149:19	67:3,13 70:11	150:13 165:2	222:18 224:18	opposed 13:13	214:22,24
150:2	72:2,9 73:8,19	166:1 266:22	226:13 227:7,14	opposing 160:1	215:13,16
offenders 106:10	73:22,25 75:12	older 164:24	227:25,25	235:9 236:4	217:10,11,15
offense 19:14,22	75:18,21 76:9	once 7:23 48:5	229:10,16,21	267:18	221:8 224:17,19
27:11 43:6	76:12 79:20,20	56:17 87:7,9	233:17 234:23	opposite 41:16	226:25 227:18
44:21 47:24	80:24 81:7,24	96:16 122:3,3	234:24,25 238:9	216:15 234:15	228:6 229:22
66:6,16,21	82:18,19,20	125:24 127:14	242:5 243:14	opposition 107:12	230:1 234:7,15
77:11,24 78:1	83:8,8,17,22	131:20,22	244:10 246:5	Oprah 267:10,19	235:2 238:16
107:7 114:12	84:16 85:1,4,4,8	176:24 178:18	248:3,15,18	267:21	245:21 249:7
120:1 133:15	86:24 90:4	192:7 231:22	254:6 256:13	optimistically	250:6 252:17
169:25 170:12	93:23 94:7	248:6,10 256:16	264:15 266:4,13	241:22	253:6 256:15,17
170:17 171:7	96:21,23,25	one 8:7,20 11:13	268:6 270:17	option 43:24	258:25 260:14
177:24 178:3	98:20 99:2	11:21 12:1,5	272:1,3,4	52:17 111:10	263:22 266:2
182:7,16,23	100:23 101:18	13:19 19:15	274:25 275:3	124:25 125:1	273:14 276:4
188:6 193:3,21	105:4,23 107:17	21:10 24:5	276:4	135:6 160:23	279:7
193:22 194:21	108:9 109:2,9	25:18 26:9	one-last 135:3	161:2 195:14	others 37:23 77:2
197:10 213:23	112:1 115:25	30:22 31:6	one-on-one's 58:9	231:14	110:22 141:13
214:3 254:10	116:12 117:6	33:12,14 35:1	ones 12:9 24:1	options 155:12	176:25 215:22
259:9	119:17 121:2	35:22 36:3,4,22	109:2 193:1	197:17 226:3	259:6
offenses 109:7	122:8,22 126:5	37:13 38:20	244:11	order 38:9 51:25	otherwise 54:12
194:7	131:3 133:8	39:2,3 40:20	only 9:5 12:8	69:20 80:21	93:13 138:20
offer 54:16,25	137:2 138:21	41:19 42:13	15:12 26:9 36:9	102:3 131:10	ought 8:11 10:10
115:6,7 133:12	140:17 142:25	43:5,25 44:11	38:4 40:8 44:8	168:19 169:1	14:8,8 19:24
133:13	146:11 150:13	46:16 49:17	49:16 50:16	179:11 180:24	39:10 107:4
offered 54:25	151:19 152:14	50:7 55:22	52:16 58:9	184:11	112:22 125:6
214:19 279:10	154:5,22 155:25	59:15,19,19	82:21 102:21	ordered 8:20	142:18,20 219:7
office 59:18	156:24 159:14	60:8,8 63:1,5	110:1 113:24	orderly 9:24	219:8 221:10,12
243:12 277:23	163:1 164:8	66:1 67:1,5 74:4	127:21,21 130:1	ordinary 130:23	ourself 12:7
office-type 76:11	166:7 167:4,17	75:5,10,11,15	130:1 132:25	organic 157:15	108:13
officer 46:16	169:17,19,21	75:16,17,20,22	149:20 150:14	oriented 29:18	ourselves 48:10
111:16 159:9	173:23 174:4	80:14,15 83:12	166:14 169:11	originally 58:12	187:14
173:6 178:16	175:5,17,17	83:14,18 84:17	185:18 186:3,9	244:16 245:5	out 4:15,17 8:20
193:7 195:5	176:21 177:16	85:18 93:24,25	190:22 192:7	other 9:8 13:7	11:14 12:1
199:8 227:8,11	180:6 181:22	95:13 96:13	193:1 205:21	15:21 19:16	13:21,23 14:24
272:9	182:8 183:1	101:17 105:15	210:6 211:10,24	27:21 31:5,16	18:11 20:22
official 1:17	185:6,18 186:11	105:25 107:21	220:18 221:19	31:20,20 33:13	23:6,15 25:9
243:19 279:5,15	186:16 187:5	111:10 113:13	224:16,16,18	34:8 36:20 37:4	35:6,25 41:15
279:19	188:9,9,18	113:20,25	226:2 263:23	37:7 38:12 39:6	42:17,18 44:24
often 49:24	189:15,21,22	114:21 120:19	277:12	39:14,15 40:1,4	48:20 49:17.20
109:12 118:4	190:25 200:2	122:7 124:10	open 4:2 5:20	40:5,10,20	49:25 51:15
124:4 167:10	201:6,7 206:2	125:16 126:16	49:10,13 53:7	42:13,23 44:19	52:10 58:18
Control of the Contro	and the state of t	The state of the s	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T	AL AND RESIDENCE AND MAKE THE PARTY OF THE P	The contract of the Contract o

		Pag	ge 20		
60:1,20,23,24	P	130:21 131:14	219:16,18,19	228:6,7,7,11,23	95:20 96:3,20
61:6 67:19,25	P 1:1 2:1,1 4:1	148:13 159:8	220:1 221:10	228:24 229:14	98:4 99:12,18
68:13 69:6,8	p.m 278:8	165:15 174:14	231:9 243:21	230:1 231:21	101:10,16 102:6
73:2,17 76:20	package 206:20	179:5 182:13	244:3,9 245:17	232:9,12 234:10	102:11,11 103:4
76:20 78:4,19	Padre 239:5	189:23 192:11	251:24 252:15	234:15 235:1,2	103:6 107:18
80:12 89:19	page 3:4,7,16	199:15 221:9	254:22 264:18	235:14 236:3,4	110:11,17
90:21 91:5	59:23 75:8	235:18 262:4,24	268:13 271:2	237:11 241:5	118:17 119:11
96:16 111:12	79:21 245:12	263:9,14 264:9	pencils 274:15	245:2,3 246:15	120:4,6,12,19
112:4,11 113:19	246:6,7 252:12	270:12 271:3,5	penitentiary 36:7	246:25 256:17	121:4 123:22
116:7 118:13	256:6 257:24	particularly	36:23 74:2,5,6	258:19,21 259:5	125:4,8,20
127:9 136:8	pages 150:19	14:15 44:13	74:10,11,20	260:12,13	128:7,13 129:23
137:16 142:21	paid 69:6 86:2,15	181:14 195:10	75:2 226:7,17	261:10 263:3,12	130:13,19
145:12 149:21 150:25 151:3,5	204:18 279:12	261:1,20	251:12,15 252:5	263:17 265:23	131:16 136:5
153:11 156:1,2	painted 29:18	parties 62:5 247:8 279:7,10	people 8:5 10:25 11:12,22 12:6	266:20 269:19	137:9,9 141:3
156:4 157:3	panel 87:12 89:6	partly 88:15	13:13,17,20	271:15 277:6,7 277:14	146:22,24,25 147:5,9,19
159:22,24	103:14 275:15	165:16	14:2 15:9,9,12	people's 240:4	150:14 161:21
165:20,23,25	panelist 173:1	partner 154:5,9	15:19,21 18:5	percent 71:21,21	167:2 170:11,12
166:11 172:14	paper 64:16	partner 154.5,5	19:8,21 27:21	71:23,24 72:2,3	171:20 174:2
184:16 194:18	201:14,15,16	pass 57:5 145:1	30:16,17,18,19	72:8,16,16 73:6	178:15 183:6,14
197:3 204:21	paragraphs 5:15	242:2 245:21	31:5 37:16,18	73:7 117:14,22	183:22,23
205:16 209:20	paralyzed 95:4,8 parameters	273:12	39:2,8 44:13	117:23 118:2,3	193:20 197:15
210:10 213:19	172:25	passing 4:17	45:18,20,25	205:24,25 207:3	197:16,21,24
214:7 226:5	paranoid 247:18	past 149:19 157:2	47:1,2,3,4,7,8	percentage 30:11	205:23 206:8
227:16,16 234:8	pardoned 130:5	200:22 203:24	48:16 50:16,17	128:21,22	207:2 209:18
234:17,20	pardone 130.5	223:3 244:19	51:18 60:4,11	213:17 241:23	223:10,23 229:2
236:16 239:20	226:6	253:4,7 257:20	60:20 61:4,25	peremps 263:24	229:24 230:15
242:21 245:7	parent 70:6	266:2	62:3,5 63:1,4,13	peremptory 3:8	231:3 232:13,20
246:21 247:19	parental 70:6,8	patio 32:2 119:7	64:14 65:13	3:10 199:21,21	232:21,22
248:14 254:5,15	parenthetically	patriot 237:6	70:6 73:23 74:1	263:21 264:7	233:17,18,19
267:2 274:15	107:23	pause 88:12	74:22 75:2 76:9	274:1	234:24 246:8,19
277:23	parents 69:25	pay 69:7,20 83:25	76:16 77:16	perfect 125:11,12	246:22 247:18
outcome 126:11	234:17	164:10,14,19	78:3,4,5,15 79:1	125:13 171:3	247:21 248:2
126:12	paring 93:6	165:2 268:18,21	79:15 81:4	perfectly 56:10	250:1,7,9,23
outside 1:12 43:6	Paris 57:22	paying 164:12	83:19 89:20	129:9 175:18	252:14,25
86:25 88:14	parish 170:6	195:8	91:7 94:11	194:12	253:12 254:17
152:7,11 196:14	parked 78:11	peace 57:20	105:23 107:10	perhaps 11:19	255:17 258:8
ouught 8:20	parking 106:4	pen 36:8 127:21	107:11,11,13,20	12:3 19:7,9 24:6	261:7 267:23
over 7:6 13:2	154:9	127:22,23	108:3,5,9,11,19	30:23 39:4	270:18 272:21
14:2,12 18:1,14	parole 129:23	penal 151:22	109:13,14,14	42:10 50:8	272:21
24:23 28:21	130:8	penality 123:6	110:4,6,8	106:1,15 133:12	person's 80:24
31:14 42:1,1 44:8 53:17	part 8:14 15:23	penalty 5:12,19	111:12,21 112:8	137:11 148:22	82:3 84:15
54:11 55:17	18:24 19:10,13	8:4,6,10,13,25	112:23 113:7,10 113:14 114:20	179:25 213:18	157:8 162:24 270:20,23
57:12 65:22	21:19 22:18	9:2,14 11:11 13:4,11,13	117:17,25 119:1	213:24 215:21 217:2 229:14	personal 26:7
73:1 78:9 90:8	27:25 29:23	14:16 15:5 16:3	119:12,19	273:3	44:23,25 45:2,6
98:19 131:20	102:5 110:1	19:23 58:6,8,25	123:18,22 124:7	period 128:1	84:19 85:1 87:5
147:11 149:23	111:21 114:19 115:16 118:20	59:5 64:24	124:19 126:2	172:8 199:18	104:20 133:16
154:7 156:3	123:13 133:11	65:11 80:7,9,19	127:11,20	periodically	133:18 140:25
164:7 167:24,24	133:11 142:24	81:20 82:16	130:23 134:5,6	150:19	147:11 148:18
168:7,8 181:21	163:21 167:7	83:5 84:1,9 87:6	135:1,2 136:8	permission 79:25	148:23 149:20
183:7 184:20	170:9 174:12	93:3,22 99:1,19	136:11,12,12,15	permitted 194:12	149:25 154:19
186:16 188:4	187:17 197:14	105:6,9,14,17	136:16,20	person 8:9 11:8	156:10 193:4
192:6,6 203:22	206:20 213:13	106:6 107:7,10	137:10,12,14,21	12:21 14:19	216:6 218:17
203:25 205:13	214:19 221:3,6	107:11,14	137:24 138:1	15:10 18:17	242:15,16
211:17 219:9	222:7 226:14,14	108:10 109:5,10	139:4,5,8,15,18	19:15 23:14,19	254:11 261:15
228:14 237:21	229:18 239:17	109:18 110:16	139:20,21,24	32:5,14 33:2	personalities
239:19 241:12	240:11 242:17	123:23 124:1,15	140:2 141:14	34:8 36:15	240:5
243:14 259:20	244:24 245:1	124:17,25 125:1	143:6 145:20	38:12,20,23	personality 40:21
266:22	247:7,8 266:11	132:15,22	149:7 150:25	40:22,24 42:13	52:22 158:6
overall 125:7	partial 240:8	148:22 150:17	151:2,18 152:3	46:19 48:19,20	216:10 220:2
overpark 106:3	participants	150:18 151:5	152:9 153:25	48:20 49:4,5,6	personalize
Overrule 180:19	145:18	152:18,19,22,25	154:5 155:10	49:18 56:11	147:13
overruled 5:17	participating	154:10,13,17	157:14 162:19	59:15,19,25	personally 84:3
oversight 91:14 own 12:10 63:21	241:19	169:11 171:7	168:22 173:13	60:8,9,24 61:9	165:14 208:18
63:22 76:10	participation	172:15 173:20 177:20 181:21	175:7,9 176:19 193:25 194:5	63:8,16,18 73:17 77:4 81:2	personnel 13:18 persons 81:3 83:2
83:21 156:16	152:3	198:22 205:11	208:17 210:20	81:3 82:1,2 83:2	152:11
174:6 219:23	particular 7:20	209:12 210:17	218:24 219:14	84:11 90:14	perspective
owned 113:20	22:1 30:1 72:15	210:19 218:10	219:14,14,21,21	93:3,21,25 94:9	128:12 184:10
owner 113:23	128:21,22 130:8	218:13 219:15	220:2 227:18	94:13,17,25	pertaining 217:13
		210.10 217.10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	P-1

pertinent 170:19		rag	ge 21		
	166:20 201:14	population	predisposed 83:5	267:9 271:24	112:23 113:18
peter 3:9 147:24	201:15 204:2	109:15 149:7	prefer 14:4 16:7	277:25	114:15 116:16
166:2 188:12	208:15	portion 116:23	125:23	preventing 75:2	118:22 120:12
phase 76:5 80:25	plans 60:25	208:22 212:5	prejudice 198:7	previous 193:21	120:16,20 121:9
81:1,17 92:24	156:19	portions 50:18	premedicated	193:22 194:7	125:14 127:15
94:5 112:18,19	play 64:3 77:23	279:6	153:12 156:22	previously 7:6	131:19,23
113:4 114:7,8					
	119:19 144:19	poses 35:24	premeditated	price 83:25	133:23 139:20
114:14 115:11	144:21,24	position 6:13 9:4	152:24 153:16	primarily 133:5	145:6 152:22
115:12,20	160:24 223:12	34:15 82:22	157:4 158:1	220:9	153:14 154:20
123:19 124:15	played 158:17	146:5,6 181:15	177:15 235:5	principle 168:7	156:1 162:11
125:1 126:4,8	plays 247:7,8	189:24 193:20	premeditation	169:6,7 190:18	164:12,18,24
155:14 161:9	plea 24:16	210:16 245:19	60:23 78:16,25	191:23 197:2,12	168:6 170:13
162:9,14,21	plead 24:15	253:5 266:18	153:4	principles 186:1	175:18 183:23
163:7 167:13	pleasantly 108:2	275:21,23	premium 166:10	prior 151:11	186:14,24 188:7
171:7 172:5	please 6:20 55:20	positions 71:15	premiums 165:14	204:19 209:23	191:12 197:5,15
173:17 181:2	68:18 176:24	105:9	166:9	255:4 257:6	197:16,21 198:3
182:12 183:5	181:1 196:12	positive 195:4	preparation	priorities 241:13	210:19 214:6,19
198:7,24 199:6	f	possessed 213:15	279:12		215:23 216:14
	200:4,17 203:11	1		prison 9:4 12:12	
199:9,14 214:18	216:3 237:7	possibilities 19:16	preponderance	12:25 36:4,10	217:8,16,17
214:22 215:8	239:19 252:18	possibility 30:20	69:14,21 70:9	36:13 37:1	219:23 220:9
220:16 221:21	270:6 273:18	30:20 130:2	70:25	48:14 73:18,21	222:21 223:1,18
philosophical	pleasure 242:9	222:10,12 231:8	presence 1:12	73:22 100:22	223:24 226:18
257:4	plenty 64:18	232:12 241:18	present 4:2,5 40:8	111:9 127:5,13	228:22 230:15
philosophy	plots 60:24	247:14 253:21	54:19 67:7	127:23,25 128:4	230:15 232:15
167:25	plotted 78:9	262:16 277:8	122:14 129:20	128:6,8,9,13	232:18 233:25
phone 206:17	plotting 60:23	possible 13:13	187:11 208:25	129:2,9,25	234:4,6 240:20
239:7	plug 141:1,2	35:19 38:19	212:1,4 266:19	130:3 131:16	240:23,24 241:7
phonetic 206:14	plugs 140:24	40:7 41:14	presentation	172:13 173:19	246:24 250:12
photocopied 75:9	plumber 67:20	63:16 76:19	111:14 154:24	175:10 177:20	256:15 260:8
phrase 182:13,24	plus 111:15	116:13 118:9	presented 38:16	225:11,15,18,19	267:25
260:20	112:25 153:20	120:16 121:2	115:10 190:4	225:20,25 226:2	probation 86:1
phrased 29:13	220:19 247:17	123:18 125:24	210:8 211:20	226:10 231:19	86:14,16 179:22
177:4	pluses 194:4	126:1 138:17	212:16 215:13	245:13,13	probations 74:9
	1 4				t -
physical 115:3	point 9:15 87:22	180:1 205:6,6	215:15 217:1,2	prisons 48:14	probative 120:11
physicists 72:13	106:7 112:2,16	216:12,16,19	229:23 233:4,21	131:14	probing 263:8
pick 105:15,16	127:5 130:7	possibly 116:13	235:13 236:6	private 103:21	problem 8:23
176:3 207:1	132:4,22 133:7	126:16 138:12	273:6	prize 211:2	19:17,19 54:8
247:5	134:10 138:1	pot 157:17	presenting	pro 105:12	68:14 74:7,8,12
picked 205:2	155:12,15	potential 58:6	113:12	probability 29:15	89:2 92:15
picking 41:11	156:24 160:9	pounds 213:15,20	nrecently 101X-4	20.23 20.13 14	
	10		presently 108:4	29:23 30:13,14	174:12 179:18
89:21	163:5 168:20	213:20	Presiding 1:14	30:15,17,18,18	180:18 187:17
picture 176:19	10	213:20 poverty 137:21			180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5	163:5 168:20	213:20	Presiding 1:14	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24	180:18 187:17
picture 176:19	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proceed 184:11
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17,244:18	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proceed 184:11 196:16
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17 244:18 257:17	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proceed 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17 244:18 257:17 placed 229:11	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proceed 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17 244:18 257:17 placed 229:11 places 48:13	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3 254:15 police 95:3	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6 praying 170:19	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23 46:11 60:18,18	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21 72:17 118:10	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proceed 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13 1:15 88:12
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17 244:18 257:17 placed 229:11 places 48:13 plagiarism	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3 254:15 police 95:3 111:16 159:9	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6 praying 170:19 preacher 270:1	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23 46:11 60:18,18 68:15 70:14	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21 72:17 118:10 120:17 126:2	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proceed 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13 1:15 88:12 278:8 279:7,10
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17 244:18 257:17 placed 229:11 places 48:13 plagiarism 267:18	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3 254:15 police 95:3 111:16 159:9 168:17 173:5	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6 praying 170:19 preacher 270:1 prearrangement	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23 46:11 60:18,18 68:15 70:14 71:7 73:22	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21 72:17 118:10 120:17 126:2 probably 7:10,21	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proceed 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13 1:15 88:12 278:8 279:7,10 process 7:14 79:7
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17 244:18 257:17 placed 229:11 places 48:13 plagiarism 267:18 plain 153:18	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3 254:15 police 95:3 111:16 159:9 168:17 173:5 178:16 184:12	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6 praying 170:19 preacher 270:1 prearrangement 204:15	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23 46:11 60:18,18 68:15 70:14 71:7 73:22 87:25 90:9,14	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21 72:17 118:10 120:17 126:2 probably 7:10,21 7:24,25 13:18	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proceed 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13 1:15 88:12 278:8 279:7,10 process 7:14 79:7 89:19 107:24
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17 244:18 257:17 placed 229:11 places 48:13 plagiarism 267:18 plain 153:18 plainly 198:19	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3 254:15 police 95:3 111:16 159:9 168:17 173:5 178:16 184:12 190:2 193:7	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6 praying 170:19 preacher 270:1 prearrangement 204:15 preassuming	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23 46:11 60:18,18 68:15 70:14 71:7 73:22 87:25 90:9,14 90:18,18 93:3,8	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21 72:17 118:10 120:17 126:2 probably 7:10,21 7:24,25 13:18 14:3,3 21:6 28:4	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proceed 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13 1:15 88:12 278:8 279:7,10 process 7:14 79:7 89:19 107:24 108:13 111:10
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17 244:18 257:17 placed 229:11 places 48:13 plagiarism 267:18 plain 153:18 plainly 198:19 plaintiff 217:4,17	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3 254:15 police 95:3 111:16 159:9 168:17 173:5 178:16 184:12 190:2 193:7 195:5 199:8	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6 praying 170:19 preacher 270:1 prearrangement 204:15 preassuming 188:4	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23 46:11 60:18,18 68:15 70:14 71:7 73:22 87:25 90:9,14 90:18,18 93:3,8 111:22 135:10	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21 72:17 118:10 120:17 126:2 probably 7:10,21 7:24,25 13:18 14:3,3 21:6 28:4 29:17 30:6	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proceed 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13 1:15 88:12 278:8 279:7,10 process 7:14 79:7 89:19 107:24 108:13 111:10 144:11 184:22
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17 244:18 257:17 placed 229:11 places 48:13 plagiarism 267:18 plain 153:18 plainly 198:19 plaintiff 217:4,17 plan 61:5,6 64:17	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3 254:15 police 95:3 111:16 159:9 168:17 173:5 178:16 184:12 190:2 193:7 195:5 199:8 239:12 272:9	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6 praying 170:19 preacher 270:1 prearrangement 204:15 preassuming 188:4 preassumption	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23 46:11 60:18,18 68:15 70:14 71:7 73:22 87:25 90:9,14 90:18,18 93:3,8 111:22 135:10 144:10 148:3	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21 72:17 118:10 120:17 126:2 probably 7:10,21 7:24,25 13:18 14:3,3 21:6 28:4 29:17 30:6 40:23 43:23	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proceed 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13 1:15 88:12 278:8 279:7,10 process 7:14 79:7 89:19 107:24 108:13 111:10 144:11 184:22 196:2 204:25
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17,244:18 257:17 placed 229:11 places 48:13 plagiarism 267:18 plain 153:18 plainly 198:19 plaintiff 217:4,17 plan 61:5,6 64:17 204:10	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3 254:15 police 95:3 111:16 159:9 168:17 173:5 178:16 184:12 190:2 193:7 195:5 199:8 239:12 272:9 policeman 153:22	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6 praying 170:19 preacher 270:1 prearrangement 204:15 preassuming 188:4 preassumption 211:22	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23 46:11 60:18,18 68:15 70:14 71:7 73:22 87:25 90:9,14 90:18,18 93:3,8 111:22 135:10 144:10 148:3 155:11 169:19	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21 72:17 118:10 120:17 126:2 probably 7:10,21 7:24,25 13:18 14:3,3 21:6 28:4 29:17 30:6 40:23 43:23 45:11 46:11	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proceed 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13 1:15 88:12 278:8 279:7,10 process 7:14 79:7 89:19 107:24 108:13 111:10 144:11 184:22 196:2 204:25 252:22
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17 244:18 257:17 placed 229:11 places 48:13 plagiarism 267:18 plain 153:18 plainly 198:19 plaintiff 217:4,17 plan 61:5,6 64:17 204:10 plane 204:22	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3 254:15 police 95:3 111:16 159:9 168:17 173:5 178:16 184:12 190:2 193:7 195:5 199:8 239:12 272:9 policeman 153:22 political 39:8	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6 praying 170:19 preacher 270:1 prearrangement 204:15 preassuming 188:4 preassumption 211:22 precise 41:5,8,9	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23 46:11 60:18,18 68:15 70:14 71:7 73:22 87:25 90:9,14 90:18,18 93:3,8 111:22 135:10 144:10 148:3 155:11 169:19 189:12 195:25	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21 72:17 118:10 120:17 126:2 probably 7:10,21 7:24,25 13:18 14:3,3 21:6 28:4 29:17 30:6 40:23 43:23 45:11 46:11 49:19 55:24	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proceed 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13 1:15 88:12 278:8 279:7,10 process 7:14 79:7 89:19 107:24 108:13 111:10 144:11 184:22 196:2 204:25 252:22 procrastinating
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17,244:18 257:17 placed 229:11 places 48:13 plagiarism 267:18 plain 153:18 plainly 198:19 plaintiff 217:4,17 plan 61:5,6 64:17 204:10 plane 204:22 planet 228:23	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3 254:15 police 95:3 111:16 159:9 168:17 173:5 178:16 184:12 190:2 193:7 195:5 199:8 239:12 272:9 policeman 153:22 politically 197:20	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6 praying 170:19 preacher 270:1 prearrangement 204:15 preassuming 188:4 preassumption 211:22 precise 41:5,8,9 152:23	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23 46:11 60:18,18 68:15 70:14 71:7 73:22 87:25 90:9,14 90:18,18 93:3,8 111:22 135:10 144:10 148:3 155:11 169:19 189:12 195:25 198:25 202:13	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21 72:17 118:10 120:17 126:2 probably 7:10,21 7:24,25 13:18 14:3,3 21:6 28:4 29:17 30:6 40:23 43:23 45:11 46:11 49:19 55:24 62:17 63:3 64:5	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proced 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13 1:15 88:12 278:8 279:7,10 process 7:14 79:7 89:19 107:24 108:13 111:10 144:11 184:22 196:2 204:25 252:22 procrastinating 64:13
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17,244:18 257:17 placed 229:11 places 48:13 plagiarism 267:18 plain 153:18 plainly 198:19 plaintiff 217:4,17 plan 61:5,6 64:17 204:10 plane 204:22 planet 228:23 planned 156:1	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3 254:15 police 95:3 111:16 159:9 168:17 173:5 178:16 184:12 190:2 193:7 195:5 199:8 239:12 272:9 policeman 153:22 politically 197:20 197:20	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6 praying 170:19 preacher 270:1 prearrangement 204:15 preassuming 188:4 preassumption 211:22 precise 41:5,8,9 152:23 precision 41:5	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23 46:11 60:18,18 68:15 70:14 71:7 73:22 87:25 90:9,14 90:18,18 93:3,8 111:22 135:10 144:10 148:3 155:11 169:19 189:12 195:25 198:25 202:13 202:15 208:21	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21 72:17 118:10 120:17 126:2 probably 7:10,21 7:24,25 13:18 14:3,3 21:6 28:4 29:17 30:6 40:23 43:23 45:11 46:11 49:19 55:24 62:17 63:3 64:5 66:4 69:20	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proceed 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13 1:15 88:12 278:8 279:7,10 process 7:14 79:7 89:19 107:24 108:13 111:10 144:11 184:22 196:2 204:25 252:22 procrastinating 64:13 produce 56:24
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17,244:18 257:17 placed 229:11 places 48:13 plagiarism 267:18 plain 153:18 plainly 198:19 plaintiff 217:4,17 plan 61:5,6 64:17 204:10 plane 204:22 planet 228:23 planned 156:1 204:11,18	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3 254:15 police 95:3 111:16 159:9 168:17 173:5 178:16 184:12 190:2 193:7 195:5 199:8 239:12 272:9 policeman 153:22 politically 197:20 197:20 pondering 261:4	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6 praying 170:19 preacher 270:1 prearrangement 204:15 preassuming 188:4 preassumption 211:22 precise 41:5,8,9 152:23 precision 41:5 118:13	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23 46:11 60:18,18 68:15 70:14 71:7 73:22 87:25 90:9,14 90:18,18 93:3,8 11:22 135:10 144:10 148:3 155:11 169:19 189:12 195:25 198:25 202:13 202:15 208:21 210:19 211:18	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21 72:17 118:10 120:17 126:2 probably 7:10,21 7:24,25 13:18 14:3,3 21:6 28:4 29:17 30:6 40:23 43:23 45:11 46:11 49:19 55:24 62:17 63:3 64:5 66:4 69:20 71:18,23 77:12	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proced 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13 1:15 88:12 278:8 279:7,10 process 7:14 79:7 89:19 107:24 108:13 111:10 144:11 184:22 196:2 204:25 252:22 procrastinating 64:13 produce 56:24 194:10,13 212:6
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17,244:18 257:17 placed 229:11 places 48:13 plagiarism 267:18 plain 153:18 plainly 198:19 plaintiff 217:4,17 plan 61:5,6 64:17 204:10 plane 204:22 planet 228:23 planned 156:1 204:11,18 planning 78:18	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3 254:15 police 95:3 111:16 159:9 168:17 173:5 178:16 184:12 190:2 193:7 195:5 199:8 239:12 272:9 policeman 153:22 politically 197:20 197:20 pondering 261:4 pool 63:20,21	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6 praying 170:19 preacher 270:1 prearrangement 204:15 preassuming 188:4 preassumption 211:22 precise 41:5,8,9 152:23 precision 41:5 118:13 predetermined	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23 46:11 60:18,18 68:15 70:14 71:7 73:22 87:25 90:9,14 90:18,18 93:3,8 111:22 135:10 144:10 148:3 155:11 169:19 189:12 195:25 198:25 202:13 202:15 208:21 210:19 211:18 215:17 218:3	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21 72:17 118:10 120:17 126:2 probably 7:10,21 7:24,25 13:18 14:3,3 21:6 28:4 29:17 30:6 40:23 43:23 45:11 46:11 49:19 55:24 62:17 63:3 64:5 66:4 69:20 71:18,23 77:12 85:13 88:23	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proced 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13 1:15 88:12 278:8 279:7,10 process 7:14 79:7 89:19 107:24 108:13 111:10 144:11 184:22 196:2 204:25 252:22 procrastinating 64:13 produce 56:24 194:10,13 212:6 212:15 262:22
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17, 244:18 257:17 placed 229:11 places 48:13 plagiarism 267:18 plain 153:18 plain 153:18 plain 153:18 plainitiff 217:4,17 plan 61:5,6 64:17 204:10 plane 204:22 planet 228:23 planned 156:1 204:11,18 planning 78:18 145:12 156:3,7	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3 254:15 police 95:3 111:16 159:9 168:17 173:5 178:16 184:12 190:2 193:7 195:5 199:8 239:12 272:9 policeman 153:22 politically 197:20 197:20 pondering 261:4	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6 praying 170:19 preacher 270:1 prearrangement 204:15 preassuming 188:4 preassumption 211:22 precise 41:5,8,9 152:23 precision 41:5 118:13 predetermined 268:23	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23 46:11 60:18,18 68:15 70:14 71:7 73:22 87:25 90:9,14 90:18,18 93:3,8 111:22 135:10 144:10 148:3 155:11 169:19 189:12 195:25 198:25 202:13 202:15 208:21 210:19 211:18 215:17 218:3 225:23 232:17	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21 72:17 118:10 120:17 126:2 probably 7:10,21 7:24,25 13:18 14:3,3 21:6 28:4 29:17 30:6 40:23 43:23 45:11 46:11 49:19 55:24 62:17 63:3 64:5 66:4 69:20 71:18,23 77:12	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proced 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13 1:15 88:12 278:8 279:7,10 process 7:14 79:7 89:19 107:24 108:13 111:10 144:11 184:22 196:2 204:25 252:22 procrastinating 64:13 produce 56:24 194:10,13 212:6 212:15 262:22 produced 1:15
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17,244:18 257:17 placed 229:11 places 48:13 plagiarism 267:18 plain 153:18 plainly 198:19 plaintiff 217:4,17 plan 61:5,6 64:17 204:10 plane 204:22 planet 228:23 planned 156:1 204:11,18 planning 78:18	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3 254:15 police 95:3 111:16 159:9 168:17 173:5 178:16 184:12 190:2 193:7 195:5 199:8 239:12 272:9 policeman 153:22 politically 197:20 197:20 pondering 261:4 pool 63:20,21 64:3,5 68:7,8 poor 137:22	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6 praying 170:19 preacher 270:1 prearrangement 204:15 preassuming 188:4 preassumption 211:22 precise 41:5,8,9 152:23 precision 41:5 118:13 predetermined	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23 46:11 60:18,18 68:15 70:14 71:7 73:22 87:25 90:9,14 90:18,18 93:3,8 111:22 135:10 144:10 148:3 155:11 169:19 189:12 195:25 198:25 202:13 202:15 208:21 210:19 211:18 215:17 218:3 225:23 232:17 236:23 251:3,18	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21 72:17 118:10 120:17 126:2 probably 7:10,21 7:24,25 13:18 14:3,3 21:6 28:4 29:17 30:6 40:23 43:23 45:11 46:11 49:19 55:24 62:17 63:3 64:5 66:4 69:20 71:18,23 77:12 85:13 88:23	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proced 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13 1:15 88:12 278:8 279:7,10 process 7:14 79:7 89:19 107:24 108:13 111:10 144:11 184:22 196:2 204:25 252:22 procrastinating 64:13 produce 56:24 194:10,13 212:6 212:15 262:22 produced 1:15 56:16 194:17
picture 176:19 pieces 252:5 pig 238:11 pin 269:4 270:2 pitch 20:25 21:2 277:15,16 place 2:15 36:17 77:11 92:7 94:12 118:8 127:20 133:25 141:15 142:9 237:17,244:18 257:17 placed 229:11 places 48:13 plagiarism 267:18 plain 153:18 plain 153:18 plainitff 217:4,17 plan 61:5,6 64:17 204:10 plane 204:22 planet 228:23 planned 156:1 204:11,18 planning 78:18 145:12 156:3,7	163:5 168:20 177:7,9 184:12 184:24 188:13 194:6 209:10,10 210:13 211:20 236:4 239:20 241:6 246:23,24 248:3,15 253:15 255:7 268:24 270:2 275:22 pointed 110:4 127:9 210:11 pointing 88:23 points 56:6 99:3 254:15 police 95:3 111:16 159:9 168:17 173:5 178:16 184:12 190:2 193:7 195:5 199:8 239:12 272:9 policeman 153:22 politically 197:20 197:20 pondering 261:4 pool 63:20,21 64:3,5 68:7,8	213:20 poverty 137:21 271:13 power 133:7 259:25 268:19 practical 127:17 166:14 184:11 205:1 practice 40:19 practicing 7:9 practitioner 7:11 103:21,23 practitioners 208:15 prayer 258:6 praying 170:19 preacher 270:1 prearrangement 204:15 preassuming 188:4 preassumption 211:22 precise 41:5,8,9 152:23 precision 41:5 118:13 predetermined 268:23	Presiding 1:14 pressure 21:6 pressures 143:4 presume 53:19 187:24 196:21 196:23 211:19 presumed 54:12 185:11 197:8 211:24 presumption 53:23,24 56:2 190:11 196:24 197:6 pretty 13:4 16:19 17:24 43:23 46:11 60:18,18 68:15 70:14 71:7 73:22 87:25 90:9,14 90:18,18 93:3,8 111:22 135:10 144:10 148:3 155:11 169:19 189:12 195:25 198:25 202:13 202:15 208:21 210:19 211:18 215:17 218:3 225:23 232:17	30:15,17,18,18 30:19,21,22,25 31:2,7 66:24 71:11,15,22 72:5 84:5 116:24 117:7,9 117:12,12,14 118:3,5,12,23 121:11 128:18 128:20 129:15 163:16 164:1 221:22 222:2,4 222:6,8,10,12 224:9 248:22 probable 33:21 72:17 118:10 120:17 126:2 probably 7:10,21 7:24,25 13:18 14:3,3 21:6 28:4 29:17 30:6 40:23 43:23 45:11 46:11 49:19 55:24 62:17 63:3 64:5 66:4 69:20 71:18,23 77:12 85:13 88:23 90:6 95:25	180:18 187:17 189:9 191:7 206:18 213:9 248:13 252:3 263:13 problems 16:17 18:2 89:17 115:3 238:7 procedural 112:10 190:14 procedures 186:7 proced 184:11 196:16 proceeding 87:22 proceedings 1:13 1:15 88:12 278:8 279:7,10 process 7:14 79:7 89:19 107:24 108:13 111:10 144:11 184:22 196:2 204:25 252:22 procrastinating 64:13 produce 56:24 194:10,13 212:6 212:15 262:22 produced 1:15

			ge 22		
professional	protection 37:14	punished 84:17	119:18	249:20,20,25	Quigley 274:20
63:18 168:22 203:23	protects 24:22 proud 14:8,8	punishment	quarter 165:18	250:14 251:5	quite 41:9 44:24
professionalism	proudly 237:3	11:17,20 22:14 35:14 37:14	Quash 5:10 Queen 224:15	252:7,7 254:1,3 262:15 263:11	112:2 124:11 126:1,21 214:14
184:6	prove 19:3,5	38:1 42:3 76:5	question 8:8	264:15 265:11	227:14 256:18
professor 256:20	22:23 24:9,10	79:22 81:16	18:11 21:23	265:13 266:5	256:19,22
proff 169:15	24:12,20,24,25	93:10,11 94:1,5	22:13 23:5	267:5 268:1,8,9	quote 197:15
profile 204:9	25:6,20,24,25	97:15 98:21	24:14 25:16	268:12 271:6	199:1
profits 165:17	26:2,5,10,12	105:19,24,25	28:20 29:4,12	272:16,17,19	
program 237:10 progressive 106:6	27:1,6,11,12 54:6,12 56:7	106:16 113:2 123:19 126:4,8	34:24 35:10,13 35:14,24 36:3,5	275:18 questioned 4:6	$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R} \ 1:1,1,1,1,1}$
promise 145:22	66:15,19 67:1,3	140:8,9,19	36:6,6,12,14,21	questioning 55:14	4:1
166:17	69:13,16 70:8,9	142:13,15,19,20	37:15,20,21,21	122:2,15	race 110:13
promptly 122:2	100:24 101:1,18	142:21 151:13	38:8,10 40:14	questionnaire 8:3	racial 109:12
pronouncing	114:8 131:13,15	155:12 162:8,14	41:25 42:2,9,12	8:24 55:12	radar 149:15
203:7 proof 23:11,18	142:5,8 158:11 167:20 171:21	163:7 173:17 201:9 214:18	43:8,12,22 44:6 44:10,19 45:7	59:24 64:10 67:16 79:21	radio 40:24 118:2
25:13,24 27:2	188:2 190:16,22	218:25 219:22	47:20 48:1,17	87:6 104:5	244:8 257:2 rail 3:9 103:10 ·
27:15 54:5	191:9,13 192:12	220:16 255:6,9	51:20,24 53:3	106:13 115:19	121:19 122:15
56:20 66:15,17	194:15,16 197:9	punishment/pena		115:23 123:2	122:20,25 145:6
66:23 67:10,12	212:18 213:19	172:16	64:25 66:3,5	140:7 145:15	147:24 164:16
69:12,14,24	214:16 221:25	punishments	67:3 73:10,15	148:11 150:25	166:2 177:3
70:17,18,22 71:4 88:3	224:8,18 265:2 proved 19:2 25:8	35:19 pure 142:13	75:5,10 79:6 81:1 83:12	151:3,4,8 152:17 154:25	178:12 180:11
113:16 124:20	69:18 94:9	purpose 37:14	85:18 92:21	157:7 159:16	180:15 183:22 188:9,13 198:4
124:21 137:5	213:20 214:2	208:25 218:13	93:2 94:15	168:5 173:3,8	198:6
161:7 167:20	proven 54:1,2,3	purposefully	95:24 99:10,10	183:21 197:13	Rail's 197:1,14
171:25 172:3	94:22 185:11,15	109:19	100:15 112:21	197:14 215:18	rain 30:17 73:4,4
188:1 191:6 194:18 212:17	187:25 191:22 197:8 226:23	purposes 31:2	116:21,22 117:3	224:11 239:1	118:2
226:20 264:6	proves 56:11	106:1 113:5 140:8	120:13,18,25 126:12,15,16	240:1 243:18 245:11 252:13	raining 73:2 raise 5:23 72:16
propensity	110:1 213:13	put 4:11 8:10	127:18,21,24	256:7	209:11
222:11	provided 255:24	16:21 18:5	128:2,8 129:19	questionnaires	raised 25:4 60:13
proper 11:17	255:25	19:23 22:22	130:11 131:1,11	111:12,18 112:4	148:12 150:11
13:15 80:11	provides 80:10	25:17 26:17	131:12,13,15	135:22 277:23	raising 21:24
125:4 179:6 245:15 246:1	providing 160:15 proving 23:12,15	45:3 48:14 73:5 80:15 89:20	133:6,19 134:15 134:19,20 135:2	questions 6:2,21 8:5,6 18:22	ran 260:18
256:1	23:22 24:2,19	116:21 129:2	135:2,4 136:13	21:22 25:9,12	Rape 119:4 rapes 33:23
properly 224:1	provoked 246:15	145:9 146:4	137:1,3,4,12	28:15 52:5	rare 13:24 156:25
property 32:1,6	pry 242:15	151:9 157:9,21	140:17,23	55:16 66:13	232:17
119:6,10,15	psychiatric 40:19	161:2,11,15	141:12,16,19,24	73:13 82:15	rarely 75:22
139:21	115:19,22 116:2 215:12,15	181:14,24	142:22 146:18	85:5 89:13,16	76:14 188:15
proponents 123:25,25	psychiatrist	183:21,25 186:5 188:10 190:15	148:2,5 155:5 159:12 163:12	92:3,21 96:25 103:8 112:16	233:10 rate 30:11 165:25
proposed 104:12	41:12,16 215:25	191:5,9 212:10	163:18 164:7	114:18,21 115:2	rates 30:6,8
208:22	216:5,7,14,15	212:11,13	166:25 170:23	115:10,13	164:24
propulsion 41:6	217:10	214:22,25 215:3	172:18 176:4,10	116:19 122:6,17	rather 13:19
prosecutes	psychiatrists	234:13 239:25	177:22 179:4,12	126:17,19,20	43:16 45:9 50:8
243:12 prosecuting	40:20,24 158:21 159:17	272:24 273:1 puts 168:1,2,12	180:4,7,8,16 184:2,16,20	132:9,10,23 133:25 134:5	50:12,13 104:12
110:2 243:3	psychiatry 41:4	Puts 100.1,2,12	186:12,13,20	135:22 142:4,9	104:23 133:21 138:6 219:22
prosecution 7:1	215:20 217:9,13	Q	187:10 189:25	145:17 146:19	221:5 254:14
112:9 113:12	psychoactive	qualifications	190:3,4,9 191:7	148:17,19,23	261:17
155:2 168:1,17	157:12	190:5	191:20 192:15	149:20,25 150:3	rational 248:4
172:1 186:9 208:11	psychological 147:22	qualified 26:7	192:20 194:17	150:8 151:7	ratsavong 3:12
prosecutor 7:3,5	psychologists	50:16 97:8,10 97:11 99:13	195:15 196:7 205:20 210:9,13	155:14 168:4 172:13 173:24	203:3,5,10,11 207:12
23:14,24 59:14	158:21	102:3 124:3	211:11 215:18	179:24 187:13	raw 135:12,14
103:15,18	psychology	174:14 272:3	218:14 221:18	188:11,22 189:5	Raytheon 17:13
184:12	223:12	275:11,24 276:1	221:21,25 222:9	202:8 203:13,16	29:24 41:7 73:1
prosecutor's	public 266:20	277:11	222:15,16	208:5 211:15	76:7
170:5 prosecutors	267:3 273:6 pull 42:18 43:3	qualify 174:12	224:21 225:2,17 225:18,21 226:3	221:2,2,5,7,17 222:25 235:25	reached 198:22
145:20 162:23	48:20	183:9 quantify 138:4	226:16,19,21,22	242:15,19,21	218:4 reaches 46:19
203:20 222:18	punch 246:25	quantity 138.4 quantitative	228:25 230:3,8	246:5 247:7	125:12
prospective 90:18	248:3	45:13	230:9,13,22,25	268:6 273:14,24	react 159:10
108:6 110:11	punched 247:12	quantities 190:15	231:5,6 232:17	275:11	194:3
123:4 263:8	punches 246:21	213:24	233:2 235:10	quick 157:5	reaction 61:2
protect 38:6 142:22	punching 246:23 punish 18:13	quarrel 23:8 87:21 117:24	239:13,22 243:11 246:8,18	195:25 248:17 278:1	108:11 159:7
protected 229:15	65:10	quarreling 96:10	247:1 248:1,12	quietly 25:11	reactive 177:17 read 8:3,25 23:6
THE CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE		4441101115 /0.10		1/	

			ge 23		
25:16,17 28:4	160:22 162:12	217:4 238:6	relation 63:1	represents 59:18	retired 115:9
28:25 36:3,12	163:13,23	264:16	relationship	requested 279:7	178:16 199:8
36:24 43:25	166:13,24	receive 8:10	62:21 64:23	require 9:23	retribution 38:3
44:6 60:19	168:24 169:10	159:18 252:15	79:13 156:10	101:1,2 131:12	106:1 142:13
64:15 72:7	172:18 174:1,8	received 4:21	247:8	179:7,17,20,22	return 49:16
75:20 78:2	174:16 176:15	recent 74:3	relative 228:21 237:10	179:24 191:13	190:20,20,23
104:5 106:14 111:3 123:2	177:6,21 178:2 178:5 181:2,5	recently 16:14,18 238:21	relatively 131:20	210:18	245:14
127:9 133:8	181:18,25	recess 103:7	relatives 238:16	required 157:11 190:21 255:25	revenge 171:1 reverse 169:3
172:10 201:14	182:17 183:20	122:7,10 176:23	release 200:12	requires 49:14	198:13
201:16 226:3	186:3 189:14	177:1	relevant 116:14	54:4 131:15	revoked 4:23
230:10 246:18	190:13 198:15	recognize 51:12	129:23 240:18	193:9	reward 217:22
252:13 254:6	210:7 217:14	96:4,6,9 157:7	religion 110:13	requiring 248:24	rich 110:4
reading 36:3,4	218:15 223:17	157:15,20	religious 148:15	reschedule 277:7	rid 43:21
78:19 81:18	227:21 230:23	256:14	148:21,24	rescheduled	ride 224:15
111:1 127:14	231:21 236:23	recognized	rely 198:8	274:18	ridiculous 144:13
173:2 232:7	238:9,11 240:25	209:13	remains 277:13	research 275:6	right 6:7,12 9:6
reads 198:8	244:12 249:20	recollection 271:7	remedy 123:6	researching	10:3 11:6 12:2
ready 18:22	249:21 253:20	reconsider 5:19	remember 9:13	268:6	13:4 16:5 17:16
251:25	259:21 263:21	record 1:8,15 4:4	42:15,19 43:19	resident 275:7,8	20:3,4,10,13
real 10:1,24 15:19 30:20	266:12 272:25	4:11 6:9 51:18 87:9 91:19	46:15,18 64:5 69:25 71:12	275:12,14,17 resisting 102:22	21:12,21 22:2 22:17,20 23:5,7
33:2,3 45:16	reason 9:19 11:24 13:24 15:12	122:12 167:6	93:23 95:14,16	resists 102:14	24:9,10 25:8
48:17 90:23	16:12 19:8,10	172:22 178:17	103:12 111:19	resources 108:15	26:8,20 27:10
101:19 104:12	24:5,7 32:22	197:14 240:12	115:24 128:18	186:5,12	27:22 28:7,8,17
104:24 108:24	38:1,5 42:1,5	265:25 276:22	136:9 145:7	respect 9:22	30:7,9,12 32:24
114:8 135:17	47:23 65:12	278:7 279:8,9	150:20,20	220:2 245:12	33:9 34:11,16
177:11 189:25	66:21 95:13	279:12	153:24 158:23	250:14 261:14	34:18,19 35:6,9
190:3,4,9	105:15,16,17	REDIRECT	164:19 184:2	262:2 266:8,9	37:1,9 42:22
217:19 218:25	107:13 113:12	89:13 189:5	200:25 202:2	266:18 267:6,11	44:15 45:21
230:24 248:17	120:25 121:20	reduce 231:17	207:22 215:19	271:4 273:5,8	46:2,9 47:23
249:12 256:2	133:24 143:20	redundantly	217:12,13,14	respected 267:15	49:18,22 50:11
259:15 260:7,9 realistically	149:21 150:14 152:17 161:7	270:16 reference 224:12	227:6 237:21,24 238:8,11 255:3	272:21,21	51:12,19,23 52:4 53:2,4,5,6
169:16	170:20 181:21	256:7	255:6	respectfully 24:14	53:19,20 54:7
realities 168:8	190:7 191:16	referring 128:6	remind 6:10,17	respective 279:10	54:13,21 55:7
reality 10:14,15	198:6 221:6	209:11,14 255:6	164:13	respond 169:4	55:21 56:10,23
104:22 168:1,22	232:1 233:15	255:9	reminded 111:11	270:14	57:3,6,13,16
169:5,9,12	234:16 236:19	refined 103:21	remove 252:1	response 60:1	58:4 59:1 60:7
185:25 186:2	261:3 276:2	reflect 4:4 155:6	rendering 252:7	87:3 89:16	61:11,13,13,15
187:24 188:5,8	reasonable 19:1,3	273:3	rendition 151:21	106:2,10	62:14,25 63:10
188:12 191:23 197:2,3,12	24:21 26:11 27:6 29:13,14	reflects 279:10 refuse 24:14	renewed 270:3 renfro 1:17 279:5	responses 49:9 207:23	63:10,19 64:2,7 64:25 69:9 70:3
198:2 215:6	29:20 37:22	50:25 264:22	279:18	responsibilities	70:16 71:8
realize 104:11	42:4 56:11,16	refute 160:16,19	renting 156:6	262:14	73:12 74:18
143:24 208:24	56:18 57:2	161:4,14,16	repaired 68:8	responsibility	75:4,23 76:4
235:10	66:16,19 67:1,4	refuting 162:1	repairman 68:2	10:18 24:21	77:17,19 78:8
really 8:7 11:1	67:8 70:23 71:3	regard 4:14 6:2	69:20	229:1 244:2	78:14 80:5 81:9
18:9 20:15	101:1 109:4	126:11 207:23	repeat 33:1 104:6	responsible	81:13 82:8,18
23:13 34:9	114:5,9 116:22	209:3 271:24	104:6	187:12 240:21	82:23 83:9,10
42:12 43:22 48:1,9,11 50:17	117:16 128:10 131:10 133:1	regarding 4:10 79:22 84:4	repeatedly 270:15	268:15,16	84:13,23 85:4
53;18 59:9	157:23 167:21	159:16	rephrase 250:3,4	responsiblity 229:5	85:24 86:25 88:6,10,13
60:25 62:14,14	171:21 173:16	regardless 210:16	report 239:13	rest 9:3 12:12,24	89:12 90:20
67:7 69:10	174:2 178:21	227:22 253:4,5	reported 1:15	13:2 96:17	91:3,22 92:23
70:18,24 73:16	188:2 190:17,22	regular 19:7 47:8	129:17 279:8	209:6 229:14	93:5,12 94:3,12
76:2,6,8 78:16	191:13 197:10	149:12 154:18	reporter 1:17	restrict 109:1	94:17 95:5,6,9
80:18 81:18	212:20 213:1,5	179:21 210:25	91:17 279:5,19	result 52:11	95:10 97:19
82:14 83:3,23	214:16 218:21	regulated 90:18	reporter's 1:8,15	81:12 107:4	99:5,17 100:5
84:4 89:17	219:17 221:25	rehabilitate 85:13	3:17 279:1,7,9	125:5,19 126:1	100:11,12,17
92:16 98:9 108:5 109:16	230:1 reasons 5:12 8:1	88:6,21 199:7 rehabilitated	279:12 represent 59:17	126:20 147:10 209:1 220:12	101:8,12,16 102:2,7,8,9,13
110:9,9,25	24:4 105:23	141:1,3,6,7	74:3 145:8	246:1	102:2,7,8,9,13
114:12 120:8	143:20 229:2	rehabilitation	146:2	resulted 137:13	103:20 106:20
127:17 129:19	240:20	97:15 140:12,15	representation	211:2	108:3,4 109:8
130:10,10	rebut 270:8	140:22,24	109:15,17 110:5	resulting 245:15	115:16,21,23
132:25 134:11	recall 87:25 104:8	141:10 237:10	113:18 147:2	results 16:22	119:2 120:18,23
135:20 142:12	105:11,11	reject 134:14	175:22	101:19 116:19	122:11,15,23
143:12,17	115:25 146:1	relate 7:19 225:5	representing 6:25	134:3 138:24	126:21 128:23
148:12 153:3,11 153:13 159:4	153:3 156:1	relates 15:11	204:3 208:10	211:15 221:8,13	130:4 136:7,7
100.10 107.4	163:4 216:25	relating 215:6	243:9	retaliation 37:19	140:11,19 141:7
			- The state of the		

142:7 143:16,16 145:2,22 146:17 147:12 149:8	75.17 77.10		ge 24		
147:12 149:8	35:12 66:18	117:18 118:8	235:17 248:6	200:13 202:9	128:9 130:11
147:12 149:8	81:4 113:7	124:4 125:18	253:11 255:1	203:14,15,17,20	134:11,24
	153:23 154:4				
		128:25 134:6,7	265:2 269:1	207:6 208:3,4,6	135:13 136:24
150:16,21	155:10 162:20	137:3,6 143:5	says 11:16 14:17	208:7 237:7,9	137:2 140:17
151:24 153:21	173:12	152:9 153:13	15:18 28:16	240:17 242:2	148:18 149:1
	robbing 26:23		31:11 37:2,18		
154:1 155:5,8		159:21 173:18		251:10 262:3,11	155:23 156:12
155:15,15	31:24,25 94:12	179:15 180:18	43:5 46:17 48:3	262:20 263:11	156:16,25 158:2
156:24 157:9	154:7	183:13 184:9	48:18 50:18	263:18 264:3	158:8 161:3,15
158:15,19 161:6	robs 152:7	192:12 206:6	51:8,20,23 72:4	265:1,8 269:3	164:7 166:22
161:10,22 163:9	rock 234:6	212:8 221:11,12	77:25 82:21	269:10,16,23	170:18,22
163:15 164:8,12	role 145:18	221:12,13 225:3	91:20 92:11	270:2,6,9	171:18 172:9
164:15 165:6,6	219:22 239:18	227:22 228:15	93:10,12 120:24	271:11 272:7	176:17 180:9
	,	,			
166:7,16,20	roll 56:25	234:25 240:20	142:17 152:18	273:16,22,25	181:18,19
167:8 168:13	roofer 67:20	241:5 242:13	160:13 173:3	274:6	186:25 191:4
169:22 172:17	room 11:12 31:2	253:2 257:24	192:21 197:5	science 41:5	192:4,20 196:5
172:18 173:9,9	47:15 76:17	258:20 260:25	198:9,11 200:5	147:19,19,25	219:1 220:16
174:7 175:18	104:14,17,24	262:11,11 263:4	212:14 226:18	148:1,3 149:2	225:4 228:14,25
180:1,2 182:24	114:10 123:24	263:5,11,15	246:8,19 252:14	sciences 72:12	235:7,9 239:12
183:10 184:2,25	131:7 157:1	Samuel 274:21	254:24 262:12	scientific 148:25	243:1 248:21
185:3,3,9	219:7 231:1,25	San 4:20,23 5:2,4	265:9 267:6,7	Scolia 199:1	249:2 256:13
187:23 192:25	232:1,25 261:11	57:22,23 244:6	269:6 270:3	Scout 228:16	260:7 263:2,4
193:6 194:23	rooms 10:10	Sandoval 1:13	273:21	Scouts 178:24	263:12,16
1 - 1	,				
196:11,14	rosa 188:20	17:2 26:13	SBOT 2:3,4,5,12	scripture 15:24	271:15 276:16
197:11 199:16	rot 11:22	49:14 50:20	2:13	search 129:20	seeing 80:15
199:18 200:4,10	route 243:24	54:17 67:5	scale 71:20	searching 272:25	185:17
201:12,22 202:2	row 109:13,17	91:12 154:3	234:14 242:24	seat 240:19	seek 58:7,25 59:5
202:3,5,7,14,25	110:9 127:22	220:9	258:4	seated 6:20 200:4	243:21 244:2,8
203:14 204:1	226:2	SANDRA 3:11	scales 69:15,19	200:17 203:12	seem 8:7 9:1
207:2,9,12,16	ruin 75:3	sat 78:9 256:15	191:6 212:13,14	208:11	26:21,25 29:19
208:3,13,13,14	rule 266:1	satisfaction 19:10	scared 34:8	second 8:14 13:22	31:18,22 32:25
212:24 213:8	ruled 217:16	satisfactory	schedule 145:12	17:6,7 18:24	40:25 41:5,7
216:20,20 223:8	rules 90:13,19	246:1	277:6	23:5 24:1 31:9	51:3 52:15
223:9 224:4,19	91:7,8,10,13,15	satisfied 56:13	scheduled 275:17	75:19 104:10	53:14 91:12
226:4 227:19	91:25	67:22 68:16	scheme 8:6	114:6,14 115:11	92:18 97:1
228:24 230:6,21	ruling 5:13,15	satisfy 19:9	schemed 78:10	115:20 118:22	108:22 109:4
231:14 233:12	271:11,22	131:10	schizophrenic	124:15 125:1	110:23 125:3
		1			
234:2,3,8	run 121:22 219:6	save 188:23	247:18	133:7,11 147:16	166:17 192:16
235:16,22	228:14 277:9	269:22 270:1	Schlotzsky's	156:20 161:12	209:15,16
236:22 237:4	running 4:12	saved 258:20,22	266:13	169:21 181:19	219:14 227:13
239:11,16	125.11 206.1				228:17 237:24
1 / 39 11 10	125:11 206:1	saw 10:19 63:8	school 174:10	182:3 189:18	
					2222
240:15,16	Russell 267:7	80:15 87:21	215:3 219:20	198:5 207:5	238:2
240:15,16	Russell 267:7				
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25	238:9 247:16,16	233:22 234:1,7	215:8 217:21	seemed 68:14
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25	Russell 267:7	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18	seemed 68:14 88:5
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18	seemed 68:14 88:5
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8 277:5 278:2	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22 sake 142:21	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5 119:18 125:2	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8 89:10,12,14	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21 49:24 50:3 51:6	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22 sees 42:25 167:11
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8 277:5 278:2 rights 31:6 70:6,8	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22 sake 142:21 153:13	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5 119:18 125:2 126:22 134:17	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8 89:10,12,14 102:14,23 103:2	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21 49:24 50:3 51:6 57:11 62:21	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22 sees 42:25 167:11 selected 7:24 29:2
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8 277:5 278:2	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22 sake 142:21 153:13	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5 119:18 125:2	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8 89:10,12,14	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21 49:24 50:3 51:6	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22 sees 42:25 167:11
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8 277:5 278:2 rights 31:6 70:6,8 87:14,14 95:2	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22 sake 142:21 153:13 salvation 258:7	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5 119:18 125:2 126:22 134:17 136:21 141:6,12	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8 89:10,12,14 102:14,23 103:2 103:9,12 122:16	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21 49:24 50:3 51:6 57:11 62:21 72:9 78:2,24	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22 sees 42:25 167:11 selected 7:24 29:2 143:15,21
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8 277:5 278:2 rights 31:6 70:6,8 87:14,14 95:2 190:3	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22 sake 142:21 153:13 salvation 258:7 258:13	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5 119:18 125:2 126:22 134:17 136:21 141:6,12 141:18,25 142:3	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8 89:10,12,14 102:14,23 103:2 103:9,12 122:16 122:23,24,25	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21 49:24 50:3 51:6 57:11 62:21 72:9 78:2,24 79:14 81:21	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22 sees 42:25 167:11 selected 7:24 29:2 143:15,21 158:20 204:25
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8 277:5 278:2 rights 31:6 70:6,8 87:14,14 95:2 190:3 rise 122:9	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22 sake 142:21 153:13 salvation 258:7 258:13 same 13:16 20:8	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5 119:18 125:2 126:22 134:17 136:21 141:6,12 141:18,25 142:3 142:6,10 158:3	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8 89:10,12,14 102:14,23 103:2 103:9,12 122:16 122:23,24,25 145:1 149:23	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21 49:24 50:3 51:6 57:11 62:21 72:9 78:2,24 79:14 81:21 85:17 91:4	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22 sees 42:25 167:11 selected 7:24 29:2 143:15,21 158:20 204:25 205:8 237:4
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8 277:5 278:2 rights 31:6 70:6,8 87:14,14 95:2 190:3	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22 sake 142:21 153:13 salvation 258:7 258:13	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5 119:18 125:2 126:22 134:17 136:21 141:6,12 141:18,25 142:3	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8 89:10,12,14 102:14,23 103:2 103:9,12 122:16 122:23,24,25	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21 49:24 50:3 51:6 57:11 62:21 72:9 78:2,24 79:14 81:21	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22 sees 42:25 167:11 selected 7:24 29:2 143:15,21 158:20 204:25
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8 277:5 278:2 rights 31:6 70:6,8 87:14,14 95:2 190:3 rise 122:9	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22 sake 142:21 153:13 salvation 258:7 258:13 same 13:16 20:8 28:12 32:25	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5 119:18 125:2 126:22 134:17 136:21 141:6,12 141:18,25 142:3 142:6,10 158:3 160:2 181:3	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8 89:10,12,14 102:14,23 103:2 103:9,12 122:16 122:23,24,25 145:1 149:23 151:21,25 153:2	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21 49:24 50:3 51:6 57:11 62:21 72:9 78:2,24 79:14 81:21 85:17 91:4 96:12 99:23	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22 sees 42:25 167:11 selected 7:24 29:2 143:15,21 158:20 204:25 205:8 237:4 241:4
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8 277:5 278:2 rights 31:6 70:6,8 87:14,14 95:2 190:3 rise 122:9 rises 70:18 risk 165:22	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22 sake 142:21 153:13 salvation 258:7 258:13 same 13:16 20:8 28:12 32:25 41:5 47:13	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5 119:18 125:2 126:22 134:17 136:21 141:6,12 141:18,25 142:3 142:6,10 158:3 160:2 181:3 185:13 189:2	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8 89:10,12,14 102:14,23 103:2 103:9,12 122:16 122:23,24,25 145:1 149:23 151:21,25 153:2 153:18 159:6	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21 49:24 50:3 51:6 57:11 62:21 72:9 78:2,24 79:14 81:21 85:17 91:4 96:12 99:23 100:18 101:21	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22 sees 42:25 167:11 selected 7:24 29:2 143:15,21 158:20 204:25 205:8 237:4 241:4 selection 205:4
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8 277:5 278:2 rights 31:6 70:6,8 87:14,14 95:2 190:3 rise 122:9 rises 70:18 risk 165:22 road 80:12 164:3	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22 sake 142:21 153:13 salvation 258:7 258:13 same 13:16 20:8 28:12 32:25 41:5 47:13 49:11 50:2,11	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5 119:18 125:2 126:22 134:17 136:21 141:6,12 141:18,25 142:3 142:6,10 158:3 160:2 181:3 185:13 189:2 192:11 194:2,24	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8 89:10,12,14 102:14,23 103:2 103:9,12 122:16 122:23,24,25 145:1 149:23 151:21,25 153:2 153:18 159:6 163:3 167:14	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21 49:24 50:3 51:6 57:11 62:21 72:9 78:2,24 79:14 81:21 85:17 91:4 96:12 99:23 100:18 101:21 104:14 110:11	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22 sees 42:25 167:11 selected 7:24 29:2 143:15,21 158:20 204:25 205:8 237:4 241:4 selection 205:4 self 247:21 249:9
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8 277:5 278:2 rights 31:6 70:6,8 87:14,14 95:2 190:3 rise 122:9 rises 70:18 risk 165:22 road 80:12 164:3 robbed 238:21	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22 sake 142:21 153:13 salvation 258:7 258:13 same 13:16 20:8 28:12 32:25 41:5 47:13 49:11 50:2,11 54:5 57:25	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5 119:18 125:2 126:22 134:17 136:21 141:6,12 141:18,25 142:3 142:6,10 158:3 160:2 181:3 185:13 189:2 192:11 194:2,24 194:24 195:2,20	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8 89:10,12,14 102:14,23 103:2 103:9,12 122:16 122:23,24,25 145:1 149:23 151:21,25 153:2 153:18 159:6 163:3 167:14 175:21 179:3,14	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21 49:24 50:3 51:6 57:11 62:21 72:9 78:2,24 79:14 81:21 85:17 91:4 96:12 99:23 100:18 101:21 104:14 110:11 110:17,19 116:1	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22 sees 42:25 167:11 selected 7:24 29:2 143:15,21 158:20 204:25 205:8 237:4 241:4 selection 205:4 self 247:21 249:9 self-control 246:9
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8 277:5 278:2 rights 31:6 70:6,8 87:14,14 95:2 190:3 rise 122:9 rises 70:18 risk 165:22 road 80:12 164:3	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22 sake 142:21 153:13 salvation 258:7 258:13 same 13:16 20:8 28:12 32:25 41:5 47:13 49:11 50:2,11 54:5 57:25	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5 119:18 125:2 126:22 134:17 136:21 141:6,12 141:18,25 142:3 142:6,10 158:3 160:2 181:3 185:13 189:2 192:11 194:2,24	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8 89:10,12,14 102:14,23 103:2 103:9,12 122:16 122:23,24,25 145:1 149:23 151:21,25 153:2 153:18 159:6 163:3 167:14	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21 49:24 50:3 51:6 57:11 62:21 72:9 78:2,24 79:14 81:21 85:17 91:4 96:12 99:23 100:18 101:21 104:14 110:11	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22 sees 42:25 167:11 selected 7:24 29:2 143:15,21 158:20 204:25 205:8 237:4 241:4 selection 205:4 self 247:21 249:9
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8 277:5 278:2 rights 31:6 70:6,8 87:14,14 95:2 190:3 rise 122:9 rises 70:18 risk 165:22 road 80:12 164:3 robbed 238:21 239:4 253:1,3	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22 sake 142:21 153:13 salvation 258:7 258:13 same 13:16 20:8 28:12 32:25 41:5 47:13 49:11 50:2,11 54:5 57:25 66:17 84:24	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5 119:18 125:2 126:22 134:17 136:21 141:6,12 141:18,25 142:3 142:6,10 158:3 160:2 181:3 185:13 189:2 192:11 194:2,24 194:24 195:2,20 199:7 207:1	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8 89:10,12,14 102:14,23 103:2 103:9,12 122:16 122:23,24,25 145:1 149:23 151:21,25 153:2 153:18 159:6 163:3 167:14 175:21 179:3,14 180:4,13,15	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21 49:24 50:3 51:6 57:11 62:21 72:9 78:2,24 79:14 81:21 85:17 91:4 96:12 99:23 100:18 101:21 104:14 110:11 110:17,19 116:1 117:7,8,22	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22 sees 42:25 167:11 selected 7:24 29:2 143:15,21 158:20 204:25 205:8 237:4 241:4 selection 205:4 self 247:21 249:9 self-control 246:9 248:8,14 250:7
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8 277:5 278:2 rights 31:6 70:6,8 87:14,14 95:2 190:3 rise 122:9 rises 70:18 risk 165:22 road 80:12 164:3 robbed 238:21 239:4 253:1,3 robberies 33:23	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22 sake 142:21 153:13 salvation 258:7 258:13 same 13:16 20:8 28:12 32:25 41:5 47:13 49:11 50:2,11 54:5 57:25 66:17 84:24 112:24 113:8,10	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5 119:18 125:2 126:22 134:17 136:21 141:6,12 141:18,25 142:3 142:6,10 158:3 160:2 181:3 185:13 189:2 192:11 194:2,24 194:24 195:2,20 199:7 207:1 211:3 213:11	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8 89:10,12,14 102:14,23 103:2 103:9,12 122:16 122:23,24,25 145:1 149:23 151:21,25 153:2 153:18 159:6 163:3 167:14 175:21 179:3,14 180:4,13,15 187:15 188:21	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21 49:24 50:3 51:6 57:11 62:21 72:9 78:2,24 79:14 81:21 85:17 91:4 96:12 99:23 100:18 101:21 104:14 110:11 110:17,19 116:1 117:7,8,22 118:11 119:8,22	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22 sees 42:25 167:11 selected 7:24 29:2 143:15,21 158:20 204:25 205:8 237:4 241:4 selection 205:4 self 247:21 249:9 self-control 246:9 248:8,14 250:7 250:10
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8 277:5 278:2 rights 31:6 70:6,8 87:14,14 95:2 190:3 rise 122:9 rises 70:18 risk 165:22 road 80:12 164:3 robbed 238:21 239:4 253:1,3 robberies 33:23 109:3	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22 sake 142:21 153:13 salvation 258:7 258:13 same 13:16 20:8 28:12 32:25 41:5 47:13 49:11 50:2,11 54:5 57:25 66:17 84:24 112:24 113:8,10 113:13 114:17	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5 119:18 125:2 126:22 134:17 136:21 141:6,12 141:18,25 142:3 142:6,10 158:3 160:2 181:3 185:13 189:2 192:11 194:2,24 194:24 195:2,20 199:7 207:1 211:3 213:11 214:2 221:5	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8 89:10,12,14 102:14,23 103:2 103:9,12 122:16 122:23,24,25 145:1 149:23 151:21,25 153:2 153:18 159:6 163:3 167:14 175:21 179:3,14 180:4,13,15 187:15 188:21 189:1,6 196:7	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21 49:24 50:3 51:6 57:11 62:21 72:9 78:2,24 79:14 81:21 85:17 91:4 96:12 99:23 100:18 101:21 104:14 110:11 110:17,19 116:1 117:7,8,22 118:11 119:8,22 120:20 126:24	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22 sees 42:25 167:11 selected 7:24 29:2 143:15,21 158:20 204:25 205:8 237:4 241:4 selection 205:4 self 247:21 249:9 self-control 246:9 248:8,14 250:7 250:10 self-defense 15:16
240:15,16 241:16 242:4,6 244:4 245:25 246:20 248:16 248:25 249:5 250:13 251:13 251:21 252:13 253:13,18 254:7 255:5,24 256:18 258:10,11,11,15 258:18 259:6 260:24 261:10 265:16 267:8,19 268:9 269:9,15 269:18 272:1,4 272:18 274:4,10 274:10,25 275:17,25 276:8 277:5 278:2 rights 31:6 70:6,8 87:14,14 95:2 190:3 rise 122:9 rises 70:18 risk 165:22 road 80:12 164:3 robbed 238:21 239:4 253:1,3 robberies 33:23	Russell 267:7 Ryan 20:25 Ryder 156:6 S S 1:1,18 2:1,8 4:1 279:20 sacred 77:17,21 sad 232:23 Sadam 240:9 safe 42:5,18 130:13 248:5 250:25 safely 36:6,23 128:3,9 129:2 131:14,17 225:18 226:17 saint 39:6 48:22 sake 142:21 153:13 salvation 258:7 258:13 same 13:16 20:8 28:12 32:25 41:5 47:13 49:11 50:2,11 54:5 57:25 66:17 84:24 112:24 113:8,10	238:9 247:16,16 saying 8:21 10:7 10:12,22 15:1 15:24 20:12 21:8 23:22 29:10 33:8 34:23 36:18 45:20,24 47:11 47:22 51:18 52:10 56:9 72:7 76:3 79:8 80:2 81:22 87:25 89:24 93:15 94:8,19 95:22 98:23 99:17,20 101:14 102:4 112:5,13 116:5 119:18 125:2 126:22 134:17 136:21 141:6,12 141:18,25 142:3 142:6,10 158:3 160:2 181:3 185:13 189:2 192:11 194:2,24 194:24 195:2,20 199:7 207:1 211:3 213:11	233:22 234:1,7 234:8,10,18,20 237:15,20 244:22,23 schultz 2:3 6:5,13 6:20,22,23 14:17 22:25 23:2 28:21,23 55:11,14,19,22 55:24 57:5 58:5 58:24 59:22 60:22 65:21 66:14 71:11 73:9 74:1 77:15 78:5 79:4 82:21 85:12 87:18 88:9,20 89:3,8 89:10,12,14 102:14,23 103:2 103:9,12 122:16 122:23,24,25 145:1 149:23 151:21,25 153:2 153:18 159:6 163:3 167:14 175:21 179:3,14 180:4,13,15 187:15 188:21	215:8 217:21 221:21 229:18 230:9 253:24 261:14 275:18 second-degree 213:25 Secondly 39:13 110:15 198:5 seconds 252:24 security 30:1 see 10:5 13:18 16:16 18:24 21:5 23:5 26:1 28:21,23 32:12 34:4,23 35:13 36:3,8,10 37:5 41:23 47:18,21 49:24 50:3 51:6 57:11 62:21 72:9 78:2,24 79:14 81:21 85:17 91:4 96:12 99:23 100:18 101:21 104:14 110:11 110:17,19 116:1 117:7,8,22 118:11 119:8,22	seemed 68:14 88:5 seeming 132:22 seems 8:4,18 14:19 22:1,18 24:4 45:4 47:20 56:1,1 72:22 107:15 109:23 113:17,17 156:18 168:19 188:24 194:19 227:11 230:13 231:12 seen 16:18 28:4,7 40:23 74:19 167:10 214:6,10 222:22 231:22 sees 42:25 167:11 selected 7:24 29:2 143:15,21 158:20 204:25 205:8 237:4 241:4 selection 205:4 self 247:21 249:9 self-control 246:9 248:8,14 250:7 250:10

Page 25					
self-employed	211:9 216:25	40:20 50:7	sit 25:8,11 48:7	123:6 127:1,2,2	191:5 193:2,25
241:24	217:1,2 218:21	56:15 69:18	52:2 53:7,20	127:5,7,12,13	194:10,19
self-explanatory	241:15,19 253:2	82:3 87:17 98:1	61:5 76:14	127:13,25 128:6	203:12 206:17
106:18	253:11,12,20	102:17 109:1	123:10 144:9	128:6,8,13	212:10 213:25
selfish 147:11	seriously 15:19	112:7 114:16	151:23 153:11	129:1,5,7,8,9,21	214:9,18,23
240:20	15:20 108:5	116:15 127:22	181:9 188:6	130:12,21	217:5,11,22
selling 32:9	241:20	127:22 149:2	197:11 215:14	131:24 139:18	219:13,17,20,21
seminary 256:20	serve 7:19 9:3	159:20,20,22,24	256:1	141:23 142:23	224:7,8,19
Semper 57:17	12:11 14:14	160:12,12,19	site 198:13	146:3,8 195:16	226:15 228:15
sense 8:23 9:16	15:4 18:4 26:19	196:9 200:11	sits 48:18 147:24	221:24 222:3,22	234:10 235:1,11
10:22 11:7 12:7	50:16,19 51:6	205:19 206:9	185:10 186:24	224:21 225:4,4	237:10 245:2,14
15:3 19:11 20:1	51:11 143:21	215:13 217:10	sitting 10:9 24:23	225:5,7,8,9,11	245:17,18 247:2
22:5,18 24:1,4	206:5 237:3	217:15 226:2,2	49:17 52:8	225:12,13,15,20	247:17 248:4
25:14 26:22	served 49:15	236:21 241:2,2	60:23 118:17	225:20,21 226:5	251:3 256:22
27:3 28:1 30:13	57:19 62:5	268:1 277:13	124:16 150:21	226:10,14,15,19	258:21 259:5
34:11 35:15,25	104:2 144:18	sides 3:14 49:2	150:21 163:20	228:5,17 229:5	260:13 263:1,12
37:2,8 39:7,11	service 7:17	80:2 101:25	185:7 209:17	229:11,13,14,16	263:17,22
40:16 41:20	13:15 14:14	102:1 116:2	210:15 222:19	229:25 233:24	266:14,16
46:1 50:5 52:12	15:11 17:9 55:8	158:21 200:19	227:17 232:20	248:24 249:4	269:18,22
93:7 95:14	82:9 132:12	205:19 207:6	241:12 273:10	society's 106:1,9	271:15,20
104:12 106:10	143:10 209:23	215:9 233:2	situation 12:15	147:11	273:24 274:23
115:13 119:25	set 26:7 31:9	272:5	14:4 16:17 18:6	soft 147:19	277:7
124:5 125:2	105:20 161:8	signed 58:17	22:2 52:15,19	softer 94:1	somebody 14:24
126:22 130:16	166:10 206:21	significance	63:14 88:17	soldiers 10:19	18:13 29:18
135:5,6,9	sets 168:22	42:13 156:16	94:24 96:8	219:6	31:11 32:10
136:18 193:23	setting 166:9	similar 37:24	97:24 120:22	solely 252:16	34:12 35:17
226:11 231:9	242:23	198:25 215:5,18	124:4 125:15	solid 67:14	42:14,19 44:14
233:5 269:12	settle 186:8	217:19	149:24 152:8	solve 74:11	45:14 46:6
senses 61:10	Seventeen 201:21	simple 35:12	161:15 165:7	some 5:14 6:14	48:17 50:21
sent 16:20 121:19	seventh 21:1	113:11 153:18	169:6 173:9	10:21 11:22,23	51:8 56:7 61:1,3
237:20	several 105:23	221:1	178:14 191:24	13:19 15:9,12	61:7 62:12 66:2
sentence 8:19,20	248:21	simpler 10:9	215:7 221:9	15:18 16:18	66:22 78:22
11:17 28:6,6	severely 157:11	simply 8:16 12:3	246:3 247:4	19:7,10,16,21	79:11 80:23
36:1,2 42:2,6	severity 246:17	36:5 43:5	252:10 254:16	22:2 24:23,25	81:14,15,21
44:11 45:8,9	sexual 119:4	142:21 199:18	262:25 264:9,13	29:8 30:17	82:13,14 83:24
49:8,17,21,24	shake 110:5	226:17,24	270:12	31:20,20,22	84:20 90:11
50:1,3,4 51:1,2	192:3	270:19	situations 51:24	38:17,18,20	92:22 94:11,18
51:9,22,25 52:8	shape 65:3	Simpson 144:2	95:11 96:6	39:2,8 41:6,12	95:11 96:6,13
52:24,24 82:7	sharp 276:15,20	since 9:5 54:19	102:10 157:8	42:16,17 45:19	99:19 100:10
93:9 96:15	she'd 218:11	127:25 128:6	213:12 224:8	47:1,2,3,7,17	105:10 107:5,9
99:24 111:7	sheds 41:23	156:2 161:5	226:8	51:1,12 60:4	108:14,16,17,18
118:14 129:2	ship 30:10	191:2,10,15	six 86:16	61:9 62:3 67:22	110:12,13,23
132:2,4,6,12	shipment 236:11	211:20	size 190:16	68:8 69:20	111:6 113:1
133:4,21,22	236:13	sincere 65:19	skeptical 261:1,3	74:11 77:4 80:8	115:14 117:3
134:14,15,22	shirt 103:20	124:2	skip 239:19	89:16 102:10	119:15 120:21
137:11 138:9,20	208:12 222:19	single 26:12	sleep 16:20 45:17	104:6,9,14	124:4 136:5
141:17 142:18	222:20	38:20 206:8	238:21 239:5	105:18,25 106:7	149:14,14 151:4
179:21 195:1	shock 126:3	sinner 48:23	sleepy 45:23	107:10,11 108:9	155:16 156:8
198:20 210:11	shoot 63:20 64:3	sinner's 258:6	slight 158:13	108:11,19	162:4 163:7
210:11 220:12	shoot-out 177:14	sinners 259:1	slightly 180:23	110:21 113:12	172:8 179:8,20
229:19 230:3,4	shoplifting 185:8	sir 5:18,25 6:15	slowly 11:22	113:13 115:18	182:1 186:21
231:5,17 232:16	185:14 196:23	6:17 8:22 14:6	small 237:19	117:11 118:25	192:1 194:3
233:5 235:12	short 166:13	14:10 15:2	smoking 37:6	123:21 125:10	207:7 214:7
254:13,14	259:3	17:11,15,20	snack 123:1	128:1 130:7	218:5 219:9
261:17 269:18	shortened 222:1	21:13 24:18	snout 61:23 63:2	135:1,2,3,25	220:22 223:21
270:21,22,23	shorthand 154:25	27:4 28:3,22,24	sober 33:17 139:7	137:10,12,21,24	223:22 227:6
sentences 22:14 28:5	168:23 215:7	35:16 39:12	social 62:4,21	139:4,15,18,20	228:2,21 229:4
sentencing	shot 64:5 88:8	40:12,17 41:21	63:1	139:21 141:9	232:14 233:10
	95:3 158:13	55:13 59:16,21	society 12:4 14:8	143:3 144:9	241:11 246:21
220:23 sentry 34:17	214:11 show 26:25 50:4	65:25 80:1	26:4 33:21	149:19 150:3	253:3,3 260:4
sentry 34.17 separate 113:25	51:9 88:4	82:18 85:10 86:7 89:25	34:21 35:8 36:3 36:4,8,9,10,13	151:2 152:9	260:18 262:23 269:19
255:13	144:12 193:18	90:10,16 92:1	36:20 37:1,1,2	153:10,10 155:1 157:10 158:13	somebody's 34:6
September	214:7 221:17	102:18,19 105:7	37:15 38:6,25	159:10 160:7,21	107:8 119:7
204:12,14,16	showed 200:19	122:11 188:9,18	42:5 49:5 52:3	161:16 162:18	149:14 157:11
275:9 277:6,20	showing 151:11	196:10 200:10	52:16 60:5 72:6	166:3 168:23	somehow 112:14
sequence 89:5	showing 131.11 shows 193:11	200:14,16	73:8,14,16,20	169:14 170:25	113:21 117:5
serious 47:5	shut 96:16	203:18 204:5	94:14,19,23	171:13 175:9	133:19 137:24
105:1 107:22	shying 7:25	207:16,18	95:20 100:25	183:3 187:11	140:24 141:1
120:1 123:7	sic 142:20 211:2	262:20 268:10	108:12,19	188:22,23	191:5 234:12
144:1 147:1	side 4:7 5:8 37:7	273:16 274:11	109:10 117:1	189:13 190:6	someone 9:3
,	3.45 3.0 31.1	2,3,102,7,11	102.10 11/.1	107.13 170.0	2211122412 7.2

Page 26					
11:16 12:11,20	14:1 18:1 40:7	166:23 167:19	starve 69:25	stereotypical	195:6 219:25
12:24 13:8 17:8	40:10 60:1	169:21 170:16	state 1:3 2:2 3:7	183:17	stronger 173:7
18:18 22:22	61:20,20,21	171:10 174:17	4:5 6:5 7:1 11:1	sticks 67:25	strongly 22:3,24
25:19 31:14,14	63:5,16 64:9	174:18 175:20	16:12 18:12	still 6:11,18 9:4	81:23 123:6,8
31:24 32:13	73:25 74:1	177:4,23 181:23	19:1,3 26:10,13	13:14 16:1 21:7	183:24,25
60:1,24 65:7	89:19,22 124:7	181:25 182:1	26:16 27:6 38:2	25:13,21 26:6	197:17,18,18
76:19 80:15,16	139:24 158:11	198:8 211:11	40:25 41:15	41:22 51:22,22	Stroud 276:5
100:19 108:23	165:24 213:11	221:19 236:10	54:12 56:11	56:20 57:1 61:9	struggled 173:25
119:20,22 146:1	213:12 237:21	248:18 249:11	58:23,24 59:6,7	61:10,14 88:13	struggling 172:4
146:2 155:4	somewhat 117:19	249:17 250:21	59:8,17,18,18	99:8,12 106:23	174:6
156:19,20 173:5	170:18 181:11	251:8 253:25	65:11 66:14,25	106:24 113:13	student 200:21
178:20 180:24	242:16	254:20 255:18	67:7 70:5,7,20	116:9 122:21	239:14
181:4,13,16,17	somewhere 72:3	257:17 261:14	97:9 100:24	126:18 131:20	studies 257:4
214:11 220:11	134:6 225:25	261:20 263:7	102:14 108:23	132:15 147:9	study 175:8
222:13 229:12	248:18	264:18	117:5 122:3,5	173:18 191:12	stuff 28:17 39:14
229:15 233:8,9	son 218:8 238:21	specialist 7:8	122:12,13	192:12 195:14	39:14,18,21,22
253:1,22 259:8	song 143:5	specialists 208:16	124:20 155:24	219:9 220:5,15	43:21 46:4,11
260:11	soon 211:18	specific 81:11	156:12 167:20	227:2 228:22	51:25 54:25
someone's 66:8	sophisticated	105:11 109:1	168:12 188:1	245:4,19,20	64:1 91:1 111:1
70:21 98:14	130:18	131:7 146:22	190:15 192:10	246:25 248:13	111:3 115:1,18
99:6 100:19	sophistication	148:5 183:9	197:9,19 199:20	258:2 266:21	119:8 129:18
152:2	129:12	197:1 204:17	200:5 202:7,19	274:15	148:4 149:3
something 7:18	sorry 5:1,2 33:14	213:3 249:12	208:10 212:17	stomach 248:4	150:5 165:5
12:5,20 13:9	104:7 111:25	specifically 146:9	213:13,25	stone 139:7	241:1,1,2 266:2
19:6 20:11,20	121:13 131:5	165:19 196:20	216:13 224:23	stone-cold 33:17	272:12
22:4 27:1 32:8	202:1 240:13	198:19 254:15	237:15 243:2,6	Stonebriar 256:7	sub 188:20
32:13,15 41:1	266:6 274:4	specifics 235:22	243:9,13,14,20	stop 13:22 23:25	subconscious
44:16 45:13	sort 96:4 108:15	speculate 130:7	244:8 252:14	26:21 45:24	176:19
52:20,22 53:15	112:4 125:6	212:11	273:21 274:7	250:19	subject 32:18
53:15,25,25	134:1 141:20	speed 208:8	279:2,5	stopped 249:14	39:3 196:19
54:4,19 56:18	144:22 147:10	speeding 149:13	State's 27:2 56:12	250:18,18	210:23 225:12
60:12 61:14	152:8 158:4	spells 16:19	56:16,19 96:15	stops 244:10	253:2
62:12,14,15,16	171:12 183:3	spend 12:24	171:21	store 31:24 152:7	submit 176:5
62:22 63:2,6,7	184:8 194:7	111:1 152:12,12	stated 5:12 85:22	storms 165:18,25	196:19 198:5
71:25 76:25	247:17	251:15	87:8 196:20	story 42:6 239:8	200:9 263:20
78:24 79:11	sorts 155:21	spending 78:18	197:15	239:9,9	submits 87:2
80:17 81:4	soul 228:1 259:20	spent 58:19	statement 14:5	strain 3:11	submitted 114:1
86:17 97:10,20	259:25	150:24 151:7,8	22:15 23:21	200:20 201:20	substance 62:2
104:15 106:8 108:7 112:25	souls 269:22	244:24 245:1	39:8 70:15	202:25	145:25
	sound 98:3	spine 95:4	72:15 90:15	strategy 159:8	substances
113:19,23	140:19 191:20 205:22	spiritual 218:18	129:8,22 170:8	160:20	157:21
115:25 116:1 122:4 133:3	sounding 249:12	260:17 270:25	189:12 191:1	streak 34:5	substantial
135:5 138:15	sounds 6:12	spirituality 257:2	195:22 209:4	street 1:18 37:5,7	114:11,11
139:17 142:20	1 040 40	spiritually 65:17	245:8	279:20	144:14 213:2
146:1 149:15	242:10 South 220:5	split 156:23 splitting 153:9	statements 263:1	streets 36:25	251:3 aubstantially: 95:1
	South 239:5		States 58:7 87:15 237:5	144:22	substantially 85:1
156:10,11 157:15 160:8,10	southeast 14:2	155:23		stress 16:17,24	suburbs 183:18
160:13 162:5	Southern 257:12 spare 231:7	spoken 6:25 88:18	statistical 110:7 statistically 50:12	97:23 stressful 17:16,24	succeeding 233:25
163:6 166:4	speak 11:8 48:10	sport 20:18 21:3	189:10 191:4	238:6	sudden 10:14
167:13 177:21	78:25 145:19,21	sports 267:8	statistician	stressful-wise	suden 10.14 sue 69:9
180:3 185:8	147:24 209:16	spur-of-the-mom	109:22 117:8	98:10	suffice 153:8
201:14 205:12	224:5	61:2	statistics 136:7,9	stricken 103:3	sufficent 198:18
206:17 209:7	speaking 74:20	staff 145:16	165:7,20 166:8	240:11 265:24	sufficient 45:7,12
213:6,18 214:1	83:8 189:11	stage 114:16	status 156:12	strict 119:25	45:19,25 46:13
214:9 215:25	191:4 205:4	stand 24:13,15	statute 133:24	strictly 73:21	46:13 52:1
218:21 219:2	216:2	254:19	198:17,19,25	137:8 160:19	99:23 133:20
224:22 228:8,16	special 22:2 28:13	standard 118:1	199:4.4,6	strike 3:8,10 21:2	134:13,16 138:4
228:17 230:17	28:19,20 43:21	standards 70:13	stay 234:1	118:8 125:13,15	138:4 198:17,24
230:20 234:6,7	55:5 63:11	standards 70.15	stayed 10:20	strikes 20:23	199:9 208:25
234:14 235:3,6	65:21 66:1,12	standpoint 58:6	126:25 234:17	126:10	254:12 261:16
236:21 240:4	66:24 71:10	stands 252:18	staying 89:6	stroke 16:14 95:7	sugar 239:20
241:25 246:22	77:8,24 79:5,18	Stanley 274:21	staying 65.6 stays 54:7 134:15	strong 14:18 83:7	240:14 247:11
247:6,17 248:17	80:21,22 83:4	star 234:6	steal 42:17 119:7	84:11 92:13	Suite 2:8,15
265:22 268:13	83:23 84:3,8	start 5:9,16	Stenotype 1:15	93:18 97:14,15	summer 57:25
271:25 272:11	85:2 87:4 126:9	155:22 235:24	step 61:6,6 85:16	98:14 119:23	summon 204:19
272:25 273:3	126:15,24 132:7	235:25	102:19 176:24	123:25 158:18	sun 73:2
274:19 275:4	132:17,24 135:8	started 40:9	193:17 196:11	160:24 168:20	Sunday 64:14
277:4,11,17,22	136:22 140:21	111:4 221:1	200:10 273:17	173:22 178:20	256:13
sometime 205:7	162:9,11,16	275:25	274:11	178:22 176:20	support 169:2
sometimes 8:5	163:12,23	starts 214:7	steps 107:20	181:4 182:17	218:20 272:10
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Page 27					
supporter 220:1	swears 187:18	133:10 169:23	technician 17:13	45:12 49:12	theirself 12:22
supportive 143:9	sweat 268:17	176:6 186:23	Ted 240:10	67:5 87:23 88:4	32:14 60:6
143:16	swimming 68:7	197:10 198:9	266:25	134:25 157:1	63:17 65:8,16
suppose 24:5	Swindal's 256:8	204:18,21	teen-ager 237:14	179:25 275:19	themselves 24:11
34:25 45:14	sworn 6:2 188:6	219:22 230:17	Tel 279:21	terrible 34:24	63:13 124:10,24
47:25 58:10	207:23 275:24	253:23 254:9	telecom 206:16	65:3 171:16	124:25 160:8
62:11 64:21	sympathetic 18:6	talented 256:19	telepathic 151:6	181:16,17 190:7	224:5,10 236:9
69:10 104:22	181:15	talk 15:12 23:4	Telephone 2:9,16	terribly 5:20	theoretical
126:1 127:14,16	sympathize 82:6	30:17 33:20	televised 144:5	test 112:5 239:3	104:13 118:8
128:7 140:5,22	sympathy 181:18	34:22 40:20	television 78:3	Testament	theoretically
155:22 177:13	synonymously	42:10 43:16	104:15 146:14	150:13,14	69:17 118:9
199:17 202:7	153:16	46:3 73:8 87:18	167:10	tested 106:23	161:2
216:12 219:12	system 13:14	88:16 94:7	tell 6:1 12:10,12	testify 25:10	theories 113:24
219:23 233:21	14:13 21:25	112:3,6,7	26:9 35:20 44:7	54:16 55:4	114:1
269:8,12 276:24	22:4 27:3 54:20	121:22 128:25	49:1 50:20	216:7	theory 113:13
supposed 160:1	73:18,21,22	153:19 156:15	53:14 54:18	testifying 40:24	169:9 187:23,24
Supreme 106:24	95:16 105:24	169:23 184:3	66:21 68:2	147:22 272:10	187:25 188:3
107:7 132:18	107:19 110:22	193:6 198:17	76:12 81:5,10	testimony 40:19	197:2
157:3 198:16				197:1 275:25	they'd 54:11
sure 9:4,10 10:13	146:4 184:6,7 185:4 191:18	208:22 217:6 219:7 222:7	97:2,6 98:23 102:20 103:5	Testing 243:9	128:22
14:22 19:4			102.20 103.3	towns 1.2 5 14 17	
	233:15 236:1	235:22 277:2		texas 1:3,5,14,17	thing 8:7 10:1,24
21:17 36:11,19	246:7	talked 8:12 15:23	121:17 137:7	1:18 2:2,8,16	20:4,8 25:6
37:3 40:23		32:9 33:23	140:3 143:18	5:11,19 7:1,9	26:12 30:14,15
44:12,13 49:5	<u>T</u>	46:15 47:2,16	144:15 147:20	8:6,13 11:23	33:20 37:13
50:15 56:3 61:8	T 1:1 2:4	47:17 49:2	147:24 148:15	26:14,16 59:8	38:4,14,23
61:24 62:8,19	table 7:6 63:21	55:16,25 56:21	151:9 159:24	59:18 103:22,24	41:19 42:18
62:19 71:6,19	103:22 203:25	58:4 104:7,7,18	171:8 172:20	122:12 129:17	43:23 44:9
79:2 85:19	204:4 208:12,14	109:2 128:19	174:13 176:2,22	130:22 151:19	46:10 50:11
87:22 89:15	tables 165:19	132:19 145:17	177:8 182:4	153:4 160:25	51:23 52:3,4,16
92:5 98:13	166:8	179:25 180:2	184:3 187:2,15	165:18 204:2	53:5 54:5 55:6,8
100:7 101:23	tack 276:15,20	195:12 198:18	187:24 188:1	208:16 225:1	61:1,2 62:4
103:12 107:21	tackled 214:12	208:21 209:8	191:16 199:17	232:7 243:2,6,9	64:21 65:15,19
111:17,22	tactic 135:17	212:19 218:23	201:3,6,13	243:13,20	69:25 76:21
124:10,11	Tacy 275:7	225:1 238:25	205:3 213:4	244:25 245:3	82:7 84:17,24
127:10,16	take 9:21 12:4,21	247:4 270:16,17	223:1 227:6	252:14 279:2,5	90:25 96:4
135:21 136:2	13:9 15:13,19	275:22 276:5	233:10 236:21	279:18,19,21	108:12,15,18
138:14 140:1,16	16:24 18:11	talking 7:23 9:5	237:13 238:22	thank 5:18,22	111:10,19
143:7 144:4,17	23:5 28:25	9:21 15:10 17:2	244:18 246:17	82:18 85:5,9	112:10 115:6
145:24 148:6,9	31:14 36:17	23:5 25:21 36:9	260:17,19	87:16 98:1	118:3,22 121:8
150:2 154:12	43:24 44:20,21	42:15 52:21	264:14 268:7	122:24 145:3	123:7 125:17,18
157:13,23	45:5 55:25	66:23 76:6	272:15 274:19	172:20 188:9,19	127:8 137:3
161:13,18	60:24 62:3	89:22 92:16	275:1,5,6	203:15	138:21 140:4
162:10 171:5	68:17 69:10	104:11 108:8	276:15 277:4,18	thanks 103:11	142:12 144:23
174:24 176:9	70:21 74:11	111:4,25 129:5	277:22	132:11 145:8,14	149:16 153:8
177:20 183:12	77:25 80:17	129:21 136:10	telling 81:17	208:4	158:1,4 159:21
188:14,14 189:7	104:10 119:12	136:22 140:9	82:12 94:4 96:9	theft 4:20,24	169:10,12 173:5
190:25 192:17	121:18 133:7	157:25 168:9	96:9 112:9	their 9:3 11:22,24	177:18 179:15
201:2 206:7	145:12 155:21	177:18 178:2,2	169:1 182:20	12:6,12,25 13:2	183:13 186:3
209:10 211:21	155:24 163:11	183:3,12,12	187:21 256:4	13:2,14 14:7	187:19 192:13
220:4 224:13,20	165:23,24 170:2	186:1 189:23	270:10	24:11,11 26:5	194:7,22 195:2
225:16,24 228:9	176:1,9,23	235:24 247:20	tells 26:10 50:21	29:6 33:3 34:15	195:15 196:22
236:17 239:24	179:16 186:18	247:23 249:21	73:3 92:8 240:4	44:8 56:7 60:4,4	197:12 214:8,25
241:14 242:15	188:3,4 192:23	249:22 251:11	Temper 247:7	61:10 63:15	215:5,17 216:2
245:22 252:12	195:21 201:14	260:2,3 263:22	ten 114:20 131:20	65:14 69:25	218:21 220:18
252:19,21	205:16 208:23	266:9,12 270:19	tend 118:15 121:4	75:3 78:4 105:8	223:22,25
255:22 257:24	209:4 218:16	273:11	182:17	107:12 109:15	227:14 230:21
259:13,14	230:10 234:15	talks 30:8 45:12	tender 274:2	123:18 129:4,13	231:2,13,13,14
261:10 263:18	235:3,5 239:15	47:18 52:1	tendered 199:22	132:14 139:5,25	234:25 239:25
271:9 272:2	241:20 244:19	171:1	tends 32:19	143:17 149:14	241:6 262:12
surmise 194:19	259:22,23	targeted 109:19	term 29:22 31:10	160:2,8,8 167:3	263:4,5,15
surprise 43:17	262:18 265:18	Tarrant 276:14	31:12,13 33:21	167:3 168:21	277:12,13,20
surprised 30:23	276:16	taught 257:3	36:3 44:3,4	184:13 185:24	things 10:19
108:2,4 266:23	taken 9:24 43:12	TCIC/NCIC 4:13	53:23 67:6	186:6 190:2	11:18 23:3
surrounding	103:7 107:19	TDS 256:20	112:23 113:16	199:23 209:12	29:25 30:5,16
174:21	122:10 177:1	teach 15:22	114:9 117:7,8	212:14 218:7	31:21 38:8
suspect 13:17	249:7,10	142:18 232:23	126:24,25 153:7	219:23 228:4	39:15,16,24
29:9 90:7 241:3	takes 120:5	teaches 257:2	171:8 222:4,6	229:15 248:4	40:1 41:13 46:3
suspicious 158:17	taking 47:19 66:5	teachings 16:2	224:21 225:3,4	253:5,7,12,14	49:12 55:16
sustain 198:4	77:9 84:14	271:21	terminate 70:5,8	264:22 266:20	61:22 63:20
199:14	91:18 98:14	team 21:4,4 76:8	terms 11:2 29:13	277:23	72:11,13 75:3
Sustained 262:6	100:19,20 133:5	technical 29:16	38:5 43:15	theirs 41:17	75:10 82:24
202.0	100.19,20 133.3	27.10	30.5 13.13		75.10 02.21

Page 28					
97:7 110:18,24	122:5 123:4,5	197:22 199:8	125:14 140:16	117:6 151:2,4	tire 139:17,21
114:23,25 115:8	123:10,14,17	202:15,16,18	177:4 181:23	157:15,16 173:1	tired 45:16 64:15
118:25 120:19	125:9 127:2,8	203:12 205:22	261:14	173:6 186:24	89:24 91:18,18
133:5 135:23	127:11,12,13	205:23 207:6	thomas 3:14	196:22 204:25	91:20
138:1,19 148:25	128:10,13,25	209:13 210:17	207:16	206:1 212:1	tires 139:25
150:3 151:17	129:4,5,6,11,12	210:19,25	thorough 107:21	218:24 224:24	Tisdale 275:2
154:16 155:3	129:13,14,22	214:15 215:14	151:21	241:23 245:11	today 7:14,24
157:20 173:15	130:18,19,21,23	215:20,20 216:1	thou 15:13,16,17	245:21 251:10	26:22 35:5 58:3
174:19 181:4,5	130:25 131:6,8	216:4,6,13,16	15:18	268:5	72:20 73:4
192:24 193:2,8	131:15 132:7	216:19 218:3,10	though 15:15	throughness	80:13 85:10
214:24 215:1,5	135:5,7,10,10	218:12,15,17	51:21 59:17	108:5	163:20 182:18
216:5 217:11	135:18,24	219:4,11,17	61:14 76:14	throughout 80:1	245:18 247:7
218:16 219:24	138:23,25 139:2	220:10 223:16	79:11 91:19	147:15 218:20	260:1
222:14,17,17	139:2,10,15,17	224:7,18 225:5	93:10,12 95:1	throw 145:13	together 43:4
235:7 246:15	139:20 140:2,3	225:7,14 226:19	101:19 102:11	165:24	64:8
255:13 257:3,20	142:14 144:7,12	226:21,21 227:8	107:3 108:13	ticket 149:13	token 258:20
257:21 258:21	144:14,19	227:16,20 228:7	124:3 126:11	tickets 106:4	told 18:7 20:3,4
261:4 263:25	145:24,25 146:4	228:11,24 229:7	187:22 205:17	204:22 205:10	43:19 58:5,24
275:1	146:6,7,7,9,24	229:8,21 230:21	206:9 228:25	tie 157:24 162:12	66:14 73:9
think 5:21 6:5	147:9 148:7,10	230:24 231:5,13	230:13,14 231:1	tied 175:15	81:19 82:8 84:6
7:16,24 8:11,12	148:20,20 149:9	231:20,22	259:6 266:24	tightens 98:1	86:3 87:3
8:19 9:11,20	149:11 150:3,5	232:11 233:17	271:7	time 5:14 9:11	151:12 154:3,16
10:7 11:8,16,24	150:11,21,25	233:18,18,19	thought 5:14	12:14 15:16	158:23 171:9
12:15 13:10,10	151:1,2 152:10	234:11,12,19	11:19 12:1,3	16:24 17:5,22	178:5 184:14
13:20 14:9,15	152:12,17,25	235:1,25 236:1	13:2 25:22,22	17:25,25 33:16	217:21 260:11
14:25 15:4 17:3	153:6,8,12	236:19,20	46:19 48:21	40:21 49:17	tolerance 60:4
19:16 20:24	154:2,23 155:25	237:23 238:3	60:10,10 63:1	55:22-57:20	Tom 59:12
21:14,23 22:1	156:1,24,25	240:4,10,17,18	68:18 75:11,13	58:19 59:23	243:15,16
22:17 23:16,20	157:4,19,19	241:13,24	78:18 79:7 86:8	63:1,8 64:5	tomorrow 35:5
23:21,22,25	158:11,12,16,19	242:21 245:16	93:11,23 94:8	68:17 75:1	118:3 274:15,22
25:1 26:4,21	159:19,19,19,22	245:20,23 247:4	105:5 128:17	84:15 97:24	275:2 277:20
29:7 31:9,21	159:24 160:3,5	247:19 248:2	140:8 141:4	98:8,15 100:15	tone 209:7
32:22 35:3 38:7	160:6,10,11,12	250:25,25 251:1	145:19 151:10	100:16,19 101:9	tonight 90:21
38:9,11,17,19	160:15,16,18,20	251:9 252:21,22	177:21 179:12	101:20 104:9	277:24,25
39:2 41:4,11,15	160:21,22,23	252:23,24	180:22 184:20	110:24 111:1,3	tons 156:4
42:4,16 43:17	161:4 162:16,17	255:20 256:21	184:24 192:16	113:25 118:2	top 64:1 166:25
45:10,18 46:19	162:23 164:6,14	256:25 260:16	211:1 216:9	128:1 143:14	173:3
46:21,21 47:7	165:2,16,16,17	260:22 261:8,9	218:22 221:6	149:16,17	topic 157:24
47:10,15,16	165:24 166:7.9	262:7,8,16,16	265:24 267:5,15	150:24 151:7,8	torture 106:17
48:8,25 50:9	167:6,9,14,15	262:20,21,24	thoughtful	151:12 152:12	107:2
52:2 55:8,24	168:2,4,6,16,17	263:3 264:16	123:16 135:10	163:11 168:21	total 58:15 218:1
58:23 59:13,25	169:6,14 170:2	267:9,13,25	thoughts 65:13	176:23 183:13	279:11
61:3 63:13,15	170:4,8,25	268:18 270:20	245:21,22 263:9	183:15 184:13	totally 145:13
63:16 64:23,24	171:9,11,13	271:12 272:22	threat 33:21	185:12,12	touch 75:14
65:18,19,22	172:11,17,18,24	275:2,4 277:9	34:21,22,25	188:23 189:1,11	touched 115:19
66:7,9 67:16	173:2,21,21,25	277:18	35:3 38:25 72:6	189:20 195:8	220:10
71:18 72:2,4	174:1,2,9,22	thinking 7:10	94:14,19,23	199:25 205:7	tough 218:14,14
73:14,22 74:2,6	175:7,14,14,15	10:10 11:15,21	100:25 116:25	206:6 207:4	
74:11,22 75:1,8	176:9,10,10,13	13:7 33:6 39:3	127:1 131:24	209:10 212:8	towards 99:3
75:10,24 77:20	176:16,18	43:2 49:1 52:9	146:3,8 164:2	218:16 220:11	toward 210:11 towards 99:3 102:6 129:20
77:23 78:6 79:3	177:17,24 178:6	52:14 65:14	221:24 222:2	222:7,8 224:24	271:1
79:4,10,10,17	178:9,19 179:13	72:14 117:22	225:4,7,19	236:11,13 238:9	town 167:7
81:8 82:21	179:23 180:7,10	127:11,16	226:4,5,18	240:21 245:17	track 178:17
83:18 86:3,16	180:17,21,21	132:13 133:3	229:25 232:11	245:18 248:1,3	232:2
87:21 88:3,21	181:5,7,8,10,11	146:22 150:8,9	248:24 249:4	249:13 254:4,20	traffic 165:21
88:24 89:1,9	181:13,18,21	151:17,18	Threats 269:16	256:22 264:15	216:22
96:1,1 97:2	182:3,10,25	152:24 162:22	three 35:1,2	266:20 273:15	tragedy 132:13
98:25 99:17	183:2,11,14,17	163:2 168:5	41:19,19 58:18	275:8,24	train 228:14
102:3,20,23,24	183:20 184:17	169:5 172:15	69:23 80:12	times 8:3 20:21	trained 242:19
104:13,24	184:21 185:2,4	173:5,6 177:12	113:10,25 116:3	21:10 35:1	272:9
105:19 107:23	185:10,17	177:18 178:15	116:3 140:15	49:20,25 50:3	training 29:4
108:20,22,25	186:19 187:8,9	182:25 183:8	164:14 173:15	113:8 129:17	traits 40:21
109:20,20,21,25	187:22 188:19	184:10,15	175:6 186:17	143:8 162:13	Trans 166:12
110:6,20 111:9	188:23 189:8,8	185:17 206:3	213:20 218:1	248:21	transaction 113:8
111:9,19 112:8	189:16,24 190:6	210:15 221:3,10	237:23 241:22	timing 78:10	transcription
115:18 116:6	191:14,15 192:5	223:21 255:11	277:23,24	156:5	1:16 279:6
117:13,17,19,21	192:14 193:8,10	thinks 87:20 88:2	thrill 9:20 13:21	Timothy 51:11	transfer 120:4
118:7,9,15	193:16 194:4,5	92:7 234:24,25	13:23	78:5 79:4	transition 258:3
119:1 120:1,2,9	194:25 195:7,9	263:14 269:17	thrilling 125:15	155:25 224:14	translate 141:15
120:9,11,16	195:11,23,24	third 21:2 28:13	through 4:11 5:5	tip 69:15 176:11	travel 240:21
121:4,7,10,11	196:4 197:4,20	58:2 90:8	11:17 24:11	tipped 69:19	treason 106:18,22

106:23	L twinst 21.24.22.2		ge 29	736-31	1 7/3.30
	trust 21:24 22:3	181:13 187:17	uncle 105:10	236:21	162:3,8
treat 22:10,14	39:10 149:10	199:13 204:2	158:3	unfettered 271:24	
91:7	161:25 233:15	210:6 216:17,17	unclear 141:24	unfortunately	\mathbf{V}
treated 22:16	truth 18:7 260:18	218:1 219:24	uncommon 244:5	207:4	V 1:4 3:7
190:19	truthful 126:20	226:3 229:23	unconstitutional	unfriendly 7:23	vacation 204:18
treatment 216:11	truthfully 97:7	237:23 255:13	5:12,19	unhappy 46:17	
tremendous 21:5					205:2,17 206:4
	132:11 146:23	264:19 267:6	undecided 105:13	137:10	206:18,21
trespass 5:4	try 19:25 23:16	275:11	undefined 114:9	uniformity 221:8	vacations 277:10
trial 1:2,9 7:4	27:2 30:25	two-hour 277:15	under 6:11,18	unit 58:1	vague 117:3
8:15 18:25	35:25 65:13,15	two-phase 217:19	14:17 36:16	United 87:15	129:17 181:12
23:11 24:20	78:19 115:14	type 7:20 16:23	54:7 80:11	237:5	238:7 246:3
26:24 27:25	123:2 125:23	68:2,5 98:3	87:11 92:24	unknown 5:3	
1 -	Į.		į.	t .	249:12 260:7
28:1 31:3 52:25	149:21 160:24	105:25 182:20	97:24 112:18	unless 35:10 54:6	Valerie 275:7
76:6 78:6 81:1	165:20 167:2	197:2 237:10	113:13 122:21	101:13 134:19	valid 129:9,13
83:20 91:2 92:6	184:25 186:7	types 263:9,25	126:21 140:5	160:4 223:13	131:8,8 136:9
92:24 95:8	187:18 191:16	264:25	162:17 180:11	229:11 234:5	215:20
103:17 108:14	260:2	Typical 167:15	188:7 190:21	251:3,24	
	1				validity 218:19
108:18 112:18	trying 9:16 53:14	typically 127:12	207:2 223:23	unlikely 19:17	252:11
114:7,14,19	58:5 61:12	161:19	240:13 246:9	251:16	value 39:7 139:20
115:11,20	67:14 72:7 74:2	İ	248:7,10,12	unprotected	139:22 228:1
123:13,19 125:1	104:25 105:11	Ū	249:7,10 250:7	91:24	values 15:7,22
126:5,9,13	123:3 124:24		250:23,24	unquote 199:1	
		U.S 132:18 157:3			207:7 228:5
128:1,2 132:6	135:13,18 139:5	198:16	underprivilege	unrelated 145:13	vanilla 153:19
133:11,12 144:3	146:4,22 148:20	ugly 108:20	183:6	unsafely 165:10	varies 140:5
144:8,15 145:18	150:8 157:3	Uh-huh 12:16,18	underprivileged	until 54:1,2,3,6	145:24
147:15 160:9,25	159:7 161:16,16	65:9 68:10	171:14	54:12 76:2	varieties 113:9
160:25 161:9	161:19 162:1		understand 7:20	106:7 111:14	
		104:21 130:15			212:19
162:21 170:9	176:16 179:8,10	132:20 142:24	7:22 8:1 9:15,25	131:19 163:18	variety 113:15
172:5 176:2	179:21 181:7,13	147:23 152:20	10:7 11:1 20:21	164:20 185:11	various 148:10
183:22 190:14	181:24 182:9	154:15 155:20	21:19,23 23:11	185:14 187:18	vein 211:9
197:16 204:10	184:21 185:18	157:18 158:25	27:24 29:16	187:25 197:8	vengeance 97:16
206:2 210:10	209:2 210:25	163:25 170:1,10	36:17 40:7,11	244:23 253:21	venireperson
214:18,20,22	225:6 227:9		45:3 47:7 55:3	unusual 58:8	
		170:15 172:7			6:16,19 85:18
215:9 216:11	228:17 249:24	173:14 176:12	56:9,20 58:23	185:20	85:20,25 86:5,7
217:25 218:2	251:6 262:4,12	183:24 200:1	59:9 73:10	upbringing	86:10,13,15,19
229:18 233:21	263:13 264:10	211:13 212:7,23	81:18 91:2,10	135:23 181:17	86:22,24 121:15
241:25 249:8	264:11 269:4	216:10 223:15	92:4 94:24	181:20	121:24 122:8,22
259:13 271:5	270:2,24 271:10		97:25 98:2,18	upstairs 76:10	
275:13	Tuesday 58:24	226:9 231:11,18			196:13 200:3,15
		233:7,23 237:16	101:7 111:5	use 30:25 40:19	201:2,4,7,12,21
trials 23:13 115:2	86:4 104:8	244:15 247:9,13	117:3 119:12	41:7 69:2 70:23	201:24 202:1,4
215:15	108:4 111:5	247:15 248:9	120:13 128:12	71:20 72:23,25	203:2,4,6,8,10
tribulations	120:3 127:4	249:1,15 250:2	134:17 140:11	74:23 81:7	207:14,15,18,21
115:3	132:19 133:7	250:16 254:8,23	149:25 155:13	84:21 113:16	207:24 208:2
trick 243:11	151:11 154:3		159:1 161:13	117:15 135:13	240:14 265:20
		256:3 258:9			
tricky 251:6	155:25 158:23	261:23	167:25 168:7	153:3,4,7,15,18	273:10,19 274:5
tried 182:11	208:21 211:17	ultimate 83:25	169:6 178:4	156:5 157:16	274:13
199:7 252:3,3	turn 65:14,16	105:18,21	182:25 187:3,6	162:12 165:20	venirepersons 3:5
266:16	Turner 240:10	126:11 186:20	190:11,13,18,23	172:5 181:5	3:6
trigger 43:3	266:25	211:11	191:21,25 192:5	199:21,21 222:4	verdict 67:2 83:6
175:8	turns 113:19	ultimately 11:4	194:11 206:7	224:21 249:16	125:4 136:13
trip 204:21	213:19		}		
		115:9 116:18	207:10,19 209:1	249:18 250:23	163:22 171:22
trivial 9:25	TV 28:4,7 40:23	152:15 209:1	211:3,24 219:25	251:2 264:7	190:21,22 218:4
trivializing	144:3 214:7,10	226:22 262:25	228:9 235:7	278:2	245:15 272:11
113:17	228:14 244:8	umbrella 72:23	236:3,3 240:23	used 71:12 84:20	versed 155:1
trouble 254:17	Twelve 231:21	72:25 73:5	241:10 243:2,6	99:7 132:23	versus 61:1,7
255:1,17	twice 35:1 118:9	umpire 20:25	243:8 244:11	153:7 154:25	87:12 122:12
troubling 118:22	256:16		245:9 246:5	158:13 164:19	
	i	21:6 125:10,14			147:25 156:13
225:17	twist 274:23	125:16,16	251:7,9,13	197:1 215:21	157:4,25 166:2
truck 78:11	two 10:4 19:8	umpires 20:22	252:20 253:10	216:11 220:22	166:10 170:21
235:20 236:12	61:4 76:6 78:25	unable 50:18	259:19 260:7	227:9 250:25	175:9 186:1
236:13	79:14 81:4	unacceptable	261:6 271:10	271:14	very 7:12 8:4
trucks 156:6	94:10 101:20	106:8	274:14 277:19	useless 263:21	10:8,8,9 29:7
true 7:9 16:2 20:8					
	113:7 116:4,4	unanimity 114:18	understanding	user 249:13	30:23 33:3,3
21:11 24:7 33:4	120:24 126:16	unanimous 67:2	8:5 31:1 244:15	using 29:22 72:10	64:4 66:12,12
39:15 46:8	132:23,25 139:5	114:19 136:17	260:8,9	162:21 171:8	79:21 81:23
74:22 192:6	140:15,19	171:22	understood 10:20	193:24 232:16	83:7 84:11
221:19 235:1	142:14 145:9,12	unanimously	92:20,21 95:14	249:14 250:18	93:18 98:8
279:6	150:23 153:6,7	136:12 172:3	104:25 105:1	250:18,24	104:12 107:21
,					
truly 110:7	153:25 154:5	173:16	180:7 220:14	usual 110:22	107:21 109:1
149:23 184:20	155:9,14 157:1	uncertain 197:22	237:20	130:19	116:15 121:24
184:21 279:10	160:1 173:13	198:2	unfair 26:25 51:7	usually 18:1 73:6	125:24 132:11
				Contract to the contract of th	the second and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second

			ge 30		
134:3 144:1	250:23,24 251:1	34:17,21 38:9	155:1 209:2	181:13 186:17	267:20 272:25
146:25 147:1	253:2	39:14,15,19,20	214:19 227:9,14	221:15	weights 182:9
148:17 150:3	virtue 271:22	39:24 40:4 43:1	232:23 234:16	we'll 69:22 73:9	weird 230:24
151:21 158:16	visceral 124:17	44:10,12,13,16	238:18 239:4	85:17 102:20	well 5:20 8:18
158:18 164:23	visited 256:15	45:5 47:16	243:20 255:11	122:1,2 141:13	12:14 13:14
167:14 178:22	visiting 21:4	48:18,19 55:4	255:12 267:4	152:12 171:15	15:21 17:4 18:7
180:23,24 181:4	256:11,13	56:2,25 59:23	273:8 276:6	199:18,20 242:2	18:10 19:21
184:13 186:10		61:7 77:12			
,	visualize 161:19		waste 59:23	243:1 246:14	23:13,24 25:24
188:9 193:25	162:1	80:20 85:16	168:21 184:13	265:18 274:11	34:12,13 35:21
197:1 204:2	Vodka 74:21	88:8,17,21,22	watch 11:23 78:3	277:2	36:9 38:11
205:3,5 206:10	voiced 108:9	89:15 90:23	141:13 214:13	we're 7:23,25 9:5	39:19,24 42:15
218:21 221:15	109:9	91:17,21 96:22	239:6	10:9 12:6,7	43:20 47:2,22
224:23 228:11	voir 1:8,12 3:3	97:9,12 98:13	watched 144:5,6	14:12 16:6	50:9 53:9,11
241:19,20 246:3	5:10,16 58:8	109:1 111:2,3	233:14	18:22 22:18,21	54:18,23 56:13
246:5 253:11,11	80:1 122:17	115:15 117:20	watching 214:7	23:4 24:1,5,7,8	58:5 62:24 64:4
257:4,4 259:14	149:16 169:11	117:25 118:1	231:4	24:22 26:23	64:21 65:2 67:1
260:1 267:2	volume 1:1,8 3:2	121:20 122:1	water 69:2	27:22 36:9 43:4	68:13,17 70:4
veto 132:16	3:18 278:9	125:14 134:14	way 11:1,3,9	48:11 49:4	70:13 72:4,12
victim 47:19 48:2	279:7,12	136:16 138:1	13:15 14:3,7,23	64:13,14,15	73:17 81:2
48:5,8,22 170:3	voluntarily	143:19 145:10	14:25 15:5 16:3	66:23 70:22	91:18 92:20
	229:15				
victims 47:18		147:1,10 148:6	16:6 21:6,10	71:9 76:6 88:24	94:20,24 98:20
Vietnam 10:2,8	volunteering	149:20,24	26:3,19 28:12	92:16 94:7	112:8 117:10
10:20 15:19	236:24	160:10 161:4	28:15 29:25	96:25 97:1 98:8	119:13 120:20
57:24 58:19	vote 14:11,12,19	162:12 163:10	32:18 33:25	107:23 110:1	127:4 128:3
90:5	15:5 16:2,4	184:23 186:2	34:3 35:3 36:12	115:6 121:18	129:24 138:10
view 10:15,18	21:11 40:16	187:11,12 189:1	36:24 40:3 41:1	122:11 129:21	140:5 143:3
11:3,21 12:8	42:6,9 44:9	189:7 190:25	44:9,22 45:24	134:9,10 140:9	147:5 148:3
13:11 36:2	49:23,25 50:2	194:12 197:22	50:2 51:18	149:22 165:6	156:14,18 160:1
49:19 90:12	51:9 52:25 76:2	198:1 199:24	52:25 54:20	168:9 176:22	161:18,23
93:8 101:10	80:18 81:20	202:13 203:12	56:5 60:12	178:2,2 183:12	162:23 167:2,14
115:21,22	82:15 83:5	207:1 222:25	61:10,23 65:14	183:12 185:6	169:20 170:4
118:17 119:13	102:11 114:20	223:2,3,5	66:9 67:4 68:9	187:16 189:7,23	171:11 172:20
132:5,14 147:11	124:14,21 136:8	234:18 242:13	74:3 83:13,15	191:17 199:11	172:24 174:12
147:11 191:2	136:15,16,20	244:18 246:11	83:16,20 85:6	204:6 209:3	174:15 175:25
219:21,21	138:9 211:10	248:17 250:3,4	88:5 92:20	210:19 211:2	179:23 180:6,12
234:15	230:1,2,25	252:12 269:21	98:10 104:13,17	225:6 230:7	181:7 183:16
viewpoints 160:2	235:11 236:5	271:9 275:4	104:18 105:21	235:23 241:4,6	184:8,20 187:1
views 76:17 79:22	262:25 264:8	277:7,18	118:11 119:20	242:19,23	187:3 189:13,18
87:5 90:4 97:14	270:11	wanted 23:3 82:4	119:21,23 124:1	244:11 249:21	190:5 191:25
97:15 105:14	voted 27:21 50:4	82:19 83:11	125:7 127:11,14	249:22 251:11	192:1,14,21
139:8 235:9	52:9 65:12	111:10 118:12	127:18,23	256:2 257:24	193:11,16 201:8
236:4	83:19 136:20	121:13 128:20	128:14 130:14	258:25 259:17	204:9 205:21
violates 87:13	231:1,2	134:2 172:17,19	131:1,13 132:7	262:3 268:6,20	206:13,20,22
violation 163:17	votes 136:25	200:23 202:5	132:14 134:6	270:19 273:12	212:9 216:16
violations 149:10	voting 14:25	212:11 215:25	135:14 139:11	we've 4:6 6:14	218:10 219:13
violence 31:8,10	137:6 210:18				
		216:4,8 234:18	148:12 150:11	24:19 25:22	219:19 222:10
31:12,18,21,25	232:16	234:19 244:11	156:23 161:8	42:9 44:7 55:24	223:3 225:9,23
32:2,5,5,10,12		246:10 257:24	166:9 167:9	81:14 89:17	228:10 231:20
33:22 34:1,2,5	W	270:13 277:8	172:19 177:4	94:9 113:24	233:24 234:10
34:20 40:4	wait 77:5 152:7	wanting 31:14	178:18 180:7	143:7 168:7	234:14 236:16
66:25 72:6 84:5	waiting 4:6	75:16	181:19,22	180:1,12 206:19	237:5 238:2,10
116:25 118:23	walk 52:10	wanton 131:16	182:25 184:15	221:24 222:1	240:20 241:8,15
118:24 119:2,5	235:20 246:21	wants 21:5,19	184:22 185:4,17	225:3 231:3	243:25 244:19
119:6,8,11,13	walked 231:25	25:11 48:2	189:17 195:15	242:14,16 245:9	246:15 252:21
119:16,24 120:2	walking 36:25	70:20 91:17	197:11 198:8	256:11 258:13	253:10 255:25
120:5,7,8,10	37:4 80:3	115:4 202:17	205:10 209:8	259:3 274:15,19	256:17 270:7
121:7 129:20	220:20 230:25	215:9 269:6	210:18 211:4,5	274:20,20,23	272:24 277:12
164:2 221:23	233:13	war 10:18 15:16	215:7,16 218:21	275:1,1 276:25	well-stimulated
222:21 226:25	walks 209:20	15:20 80:16	221:24 222:18	277:5	236:17
227:2,5 230:16	226:5	warped 156:19	225:3,23 226:7	weak 123:25	well-taken 112:17
248:23	wall 68:8	warrant 45:8	226:16 228:23	weather 30:16	went 57:20 74:10
violent 31:23	wallet 239:6	99:23 133:21	235:23 236:1,12	72:19 73:3	90:5 132:17
38:12 109:23	want 5:24 6:17	138:5 254:13	237:20 245:20	week 144:19,24	151:2 156:6
120:2,3,13,23	7:17,18 9:7,25	261:17	253:14,23 260:2	204:11,16	166:11 174:9
120:24 121:4,9	10:25 14:13	wash 160:4	261:8,9 263:23	weeks 64:15	186:16 196:22
121:9 131:23,24	16:8 18:13,16	wasn't 47:6 53:16	265:6 266:21	241:22	197:19 201:10
134:20 141:5,7	18:18 20:9 21:2	64:5 68:14 74:7	271:18 276:4	weigh 190:3	211:17 238:10
146:3,7 158:3	21:10 23:6,10	83:12 85:25	ways 11:14 13:16	232:10	238:10 239:5
163:17 167:5,6	24:24 25:10	88:18 104:25	15:9 20:23	weight 176:20	251:10 261:19
194:7 223:1,10	29:11 31:11	111:14,16,22	28:12 94:2,4,6	178:25 191:6	were 1:13 9:2
223:24 232:15	32:1 33:20	145:12 146:21	114:23 129:24	265:3,3,5	10:2,3,5 18:17
				- 1- 1-	<i>j- j-</i>

			Pag	ge 31	
	18:19 19:8 25:5	184:24 192:24	work 8:18 17:14	210:18 213:24	years 10:4 11:18
	53:17 58:1	195:12 196:22	17:21,22,23	220:5 221:8	57:21 58:15,17
	60:12 68:3,6,16	209:8 272:24	18:1,2 22:6	251:19 253:9	58:18 70:4
	71:20 75:24	wide-open 64:25	29:16,22 30:2	260:9 261:5	107:1 131:19
	76:15 77:1,8,16	wife 33:24 61:21	42:1 50:13	262:18 275:12	164:15 178:17
	78:12 86:8	64:12 144:7			201:21 215:4
		1	67:20,23 69:13	wreck 236:12,13	1
	87:23 89:17	188:17 204:15	71:13,25 72:10	wrecks 165:4	220:21,21
	90:4,6,7,8,12,22	Williams 274:21	76:7,8,10,10	wrench 145:14	233:15 237:23
	92:20 93:15	willing 10:17,17	118:11,21	write 155:6	244:22 276:14
	94:8 104:16	12:6 41:22 88:6	123:21 143:4	writing 279:7	yellow 23:2 34:5
	105:8,8 111:12	102:1	147:21 193:8	written 118:15	yester 104:7
	116:5 121:9	win 69:18	201:10,12,15	wrong 12:4,20	yesterday 6:24
	123:24 127:11	wind 145:11	206:13 215:23	29:11 30:24	7:22 8:12 23:9
	128:22 129:14	Winfrey 267:10	224:25 226:19	50:7 52:11 58:5	46:15 47:16,17
	132:1 136:7,9	wing 101:4	237:25 238:3	61:15 64:25	72:21 109:2
	136:19,21 144:9	winner 193:7,24	work-related	78:24 81:18	201:1,11 220:20
	146:19 149:4,19	195:5	77:1	82:8 83:15	225:9 231:25
	150:21,21,24,25	wired 41:1	workable 117:6	101:13 106:14	238:24 277:15
				1	
	151:2,7,12,12	wisdom 231:7	worked 143:8	117:24 129:12	York 144:22
	153:7 154:3	wish 44:11	234:17 237:14	140:3 191:4	you-all 254:1
	155:1,17 157:25	210:17 211:5	working 74:9,10	234:23,24 241:7	275:5 277:18
	159:3 160:7	wishes 114:24	98:4,4 103:23	247:12 264:24	young 140:3
	162:21,22,22,24	Witherspoon	155:24	wrote 9:6 78:6	193:20 225:10
	164:20 167:2	198:14	works 8:6 27:3	106:21 115:24	243:3
	170:20 171:8	witness 55:4,5	54:20 97:3	151:9 152:17,21	youngest 164:14
	172:15 173:10	65:24 75:6	105:21 110:23	183:23 198:16	youth 264:20,20
	175:7,9 179:21	161:15 188:3	112:11,15 114:2	198:25 200:18	264:23,23,23
	180:8 183:2	279:15	118:20 145:14		265:9
	185:10 186:5,20	witnesses 43:1	168:25 184:22	X	
	193:23 195:25	161:3 162:7,8	185:4 215:10	X-percent 73:4	Z
	196:5,23 201:17	187:18	236:2	in percent is:	zero 71:20 160:17
	204:6 207:22	wives 31:18	world 93:8	Y	217:20
	208:24 209:12	woke 239:6	130:13 183:5	y'all 6:4 16:25	zip 165:24
	209:17,17	woman 275:7	218:19 261:8		Zip 103.24
	210:15,16 211:1	women 239:18	270:1	44:9 49:22	
	215:4,4 216:21	267:6	world's 30:10	151:12 197:22	
	216:25 217:1,3	wonder 112:8	worried 35:5	274:14 275:4,19	1
ı	217:17 218:5	113:9 151:5	worries 38:4	277:4,9	
	220:12 222:18	wonderful 228:11	worry 38:2,3,3	yeah 31:19 44:5	
	222:19 223:25		134:21 164:15	47:12 63:13,23	
	227:6 235:14	wondering	•	65:17 71:16	
		139:10 174:17 word 24:13 30:25	209:19 230:2,4	75:16 79:9	
i	237:20 238:6		worse 28:9 47:8	93:12 95:23	
	239:3 240:13,15	35:7,8 71:12,13	47:10 137:18	101:23 118:19	1
	245:4 248:14	73:8 81:8 84:20	139:8,9 234:21	133:9 136:19	
i	249:17 252:6	84:21 127:2	235:4 259:5	138:10 142:24	*
	255:3 257:6	142:14 153:4,4	worth 218:2	144:24 146:15	
1	259:12 267:16	153:15,18	227:21 228:7,21	149:9,12 150:6	
i	279:8	162:13,21 164:1	worthless 168:15	152:5 155:4	į
	weren't 19:8,9	164:4 168:3	wouldn't 10:20	163:3 164:18,23	· ·
	44:11 53:17	171:9 172:5	11:21 16:24,25	165:1,6 166:21	
İ	74:9,10 100:13	174:6 182:17	18:16,18,19	171:15 172:12	
	174:1 187:17	184:18 198:18	19:19 20:20	174:22 177:25	
ł	211:5,6 255:9	198:24 201:13	24:16 26:17,17	181:11 185:5	
ļ	West 244:25`	worded 172:18	34:17 50:10	187:20 188:8,17	1
Ì	245:3	172:20	52:8,25 61:22	192:5,14 194:4	
	whatsoever 161:9	words 6:24 12:10	62:17 63:9	211:23 217:22	
	whichever 83:19	31:16 34:8	90:23 91:1	227:4 236:3,7	ŀ
Ì	while 25:22 32:19	36:20 37:4	94:25 98:4	237:14 239:12	}
	46:4 57:14	38:12 42:13	102:10 107:5	239:16,23 241:8	
1	62:13 94:11	56:10,10,24	112:23,25	245:4 246:3	1
	106:14 144:13	66:20 67:10,14	113:25 116:10	248:21 250:4,4	i i
	152:7 185:13	68:5 76:1 82:6	119:25 120:1	251:6,20 256:25	ţ
	198:25 228:7	89:20 90:19	129:11 132:8,13	257:9 258:16	1
١	white 198:15,18	93:7,19 120:24	138:12,13		}
	222:19,20	125:3 129:4	139:14 141:7	260:22 266:18	
Ì	whole 23:13 36:5	155:22 156:16	144:5-145:23	267:1 269:11	
	50:23 52:16	181:24 193:19	148:24 150:11	272:24 276:12	
	55:15 83:22	197:1 213:24	156:22 163:20	277:2	1
	98:1 102:5	214:22 221:5,8	173:21 177:8	year 16:14 57:25	Ì
	130:9 137:25	249:8 250:6		58:18 156:3	
	149:16 173:4	258:25	178:5,23 182:3 206:20 209:2	256:12	j.
	177.101/3.4	230.23	200.20 209.2		<u> </u>
. '					