

Outline for August 4 presentation (topic 2) - The 12 Who Built the Oswald Legend

From: Bill Simpich (bsimpich@gmail.com)

To: projectjfk@yahoo.com

Date: Saturday, July 31, 2021, 05:24 AM CDT

UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS
HEADQUARTERS
MARINE AIR RESERVE TRAINING COMMAND
U. S. NAVAL AIR STATION
GLENVIEW, ILLINOIS

In Reply Refer to:
Code: 50/JBT:gr
1900
26 Apr 1960

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

From: Commander, Marine Air Reserve Training
To: Private First Class Lee H. OSWALD 1653230 USMC
3613 Hurley, Fort Worth, Texas

Subj: Discharge by reason of unfitness; recommendation for

Please state reasons for such discharge. After hearing from you I will be willing to act in his behalf.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Marguerite C. Oswald
1410 Hurley
Fort Worth 4, Texas

[Also included in Commission Exhibit No. 204 was an envelope which could not be photographically reproduced with sufficient clarity to be read.
This envelope was postmarked "Glenview, Ill. April 29, 1960." It was addressed to "PFC Lee H. Oswald, 3613 Hurley, Fort Worth, Texas," with a return address of "Headquarters, Marine Air Reserve Training Command, U. S. Naval Air Station, Glenview, Illinois." This envelope was also stamped "Certified Mail No. 2180612."]

COMMISSION EXHIBIT 204—Continued

Compare the 4/26/60 notice by the Marine brass addressed to 3613 Hurley (left) with the Warren Commission's observation that the envelope was addressed to 3613 Hurley (right).

As the deadline approached, Marguerite responded to the 4/26/60 notice on June 10. She asked to be informed of the basis for the proceedings, as well as a stay of the hearing because "I have no contact with him". She added that "after hearing from you I will be willing to act in his behalf."

Contrast the envelope above with the arrival notice for certified mail #2154584 - supposedly informing the addressee that a certified letter is waiting for pick-up at the post office, is addressed to Marguerite's then-current address: "Lee Oswald - 1410 Hurley - Fort Worth".

Such a mail arrival notice is typically prepared by the sender - the sender can write any address desired and simply stick the arrival notice in the file.

UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS
HEADQUARTERS
MARINE AIR RESERVE TRAINING COMMAND
U. S. NAVAL AIR STATION
GLENVIEW, ILLINOIS

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
NAVY DEPARTMENT

CERTIFIED MAIL
No. 2154584

FOR: Lee H. Oswald
NO. 2154584
ADDRESS: 1410 Hurley
OFFICE OF ORIGIN: Glenview, Ill.

CHECK INDICATES DELIVERY ONLY TO ADDRESSEE INSURED REGISTERED CERTIFIED

YOU MAY: The mail is now held at

REQUEST REDelivery—Telephone post office or notify carrier. CALL FOR THE MAIL—Bring this notice. Identification required. SEND THIS NOTICE With person you name on other side. Identification required.

RECEIVED (Signature of addressee)

BY

FD-36 Form 3849 Sep. 1959 DATE DELIVERED BY

MAIL ARRIVAL NOTICE
Mail Office Unit
Operation Hours
8 to 5 P.M. Days
8 to 12 Sat.

FOLSOM, EXHIBIT No. 1—Continued (p. 69)

COMMISSION EXHIBIT 204—Continued

Compare the envelope addressed to the nonexistent 3613 Hurley address (left) - with the arrival notice alleging that an attempt was made to deliver the envelope to Marguerite Oswald's then-current 1410 Hurley address (right) - both containing the same certified mailing number.

You can ignore the arrival notice - some Marine spook prepared the arrival notice just the way he wanted it. And the envelope was sent certified mail to the nonexistent 3613 Hurley address, where nobody got it and it was

returned to the Marines marked "unclaimed".

Part 3: Begin at the phrase "*I believe LHO was coached to indicate to Snyder*", to get right into the heart of my analysis - or you can start at the beginning and read the whole chapter on how Oswald's discharge was downgraded due to dirty tricks by the Marines that took away his right to a fair hearing in 1960 - John Connolly's refusal to overrule the Marines cost LHO the loss of to his "GI Bill" benefits.

Part 7: Begin with the section "*Oswald had legend makers precisely because he and his wife presented a perceived threat to national security*", or, again, you can read the whole chapter that culminates in the letter to Allen Dulles right after 11/22 from whom I believe was Mary Bancroft. This letter undercut any serious effort to investigate the Paines - they were depicted as ruling class figures, entitled to a pass.

The possible Mary Bancroft document

<https://www.maryferrell.org/showDoc.html?docId=145772#relPageId=5>

Part 12: I think the whole chapter is worth reading - but the key part is how J. Lee Rankin, the general counsel for the Warren Commission, flagged four stories that troubled him the most...the *sightings* of who I believe was an Oswald imposter (and other key people impersonated as well) by:

1. The Irving grocer Leonard Hutchison,
2. The Irving barber/FBI informant Cliff Shasteen,
3. The Albert Bogard/Jack Lawrence story of LHO at the Lincoln-Mercury dealership underneath the Triple Underpass, and
4. The Sports Drome shooters in Grand Prairie, where Michael Paine was now living.

Like the phony calls from "Oswald" in Mexico City, these imposter sightings destroyed any coherent storyline in the JFK story, and "pulled the evidence farther apart" - as Tink says, "all other cases except JFK come together once the evidence is in".

Epilogue: The first half is the important part - you can skip the summaries of the twelve legend makers - I'm asking you to look at the "Oh-my-God" stuff and suggest what I might do with it.

I think there should be a hard look at how LHO was brought into this case because of the notion that Oswald wanted to get even with "John Connolly" for taking away his benefits - a storyline that ultimately was never used.

Similarly, Allen Dulles' manipulation of de Mohrenschildt and the Paines, FBI agent Bob Odum's manipulation of the Paines and key evidence that framed Oswald, and the final impersonation involving Lee, Marina, and Mrs. Paine's car(?) changes the arc of this story

SPOTLIGHT

The 12/2/63 enclosure I believe Bancroft wrote to Dulles. (attached below)


Attached page 27 - [12/6/63 Dulles letter to Bancroft](#) and two subsequent letters about [Mark Lane](#) and about [Marina and Ruth](#).

The Appropriate Action powerpoint, attached.

PLEASE POST

This email and the spotlighted items above.

 mffpdf_145772.pdf
256.7kB

 appropriateaction2020now [Autosaved] [Autosaved].pptx
15.8MB