

Dear

I am writing to you to express my concerns about a potential health threat to me, my family and most of your constituents. I am talking about the construction of 5th generation (5 G) cell towers that have suddenly proliferated throughout the country. These Towers are being placed in strategic areas to provide maximum coverage for the 5G signal. They appear to materialise often overnight, with no public discussion, whatsoever. At the moment they are benign structures but are part of a greater scheme by Telecom companies to saturate local communities with dangerous millimeter wave Wi Fi technology throughout the UK without a whiff of consultation/ consent of local people or parliamentary scrutiny, to my knowledge. WHY? This new 5G system of telecommunications is being heralded in the media as being of enormous benefit to communities in bringing faster and more ubiquitous Wifi communication benefits in terms of faster broadband speeds and the proposed, integrated "SMART" technology. It uses millimeter wide microwaves to effectively blanket whole communities with potentially damaging frequencies in the high Giga herz range. Because of its minimum wavelength, it can be disrupted by trees and other natural obstructions so has to be amplified by 'SMART' tech such as smart meters which are 'sold' to us as a 'good thing' when in effect they may be deadly to human health in the long term. Is this why certain cities in the UK are seeing long-established mature trees being removed in suburbs? It is also worth noting that 5G will not replace 3 and 4G but will be in addition to it, thus increasing by up to a hundred times the emission of radiation waves and that every city street will have several of these mini cell phone units situated on lamp posts. Rural areas will be similarly blanketed.

This technology is being implemented without having been proved safe and indeed scientists and medical professionals from around the world have petitioned the UN to halt what may prove to be a deadly and disastrous experiment which will negatively impact all life on earth.

<https://www.5gspaceappeal.org/the-appeal>

I would also draw your attention to the Precautionary Principle, which is designed to assist with decision-making under uncertainty and is a core principle of EU environmental law, enshrined in Article 191(2) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU. The classic definition of 'a precautionary approach' comes from the 1992 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, which states that: **"Where there are threats of serious or irreversible damage, lack of full scientific certainty shall not be used as a reason for postponing cost-effective measures to prevent environmental degradation"** (UNEP 1992)

This principle also advises that there is a social responsibility to protect the public from harm with regard to technological innovation when scientific investigation has found a plausible link. With regard to these protections, they may be relaxed only if further independent scientific findings emerge which provide **sound evidence** that no harm will result.

http://ec.europa.eu/environment/integration/research/newsalert/pdf/precautionary_principle_decision_making_under_uncertainty_FB18_en.pdf

Public Health England, whose advice the government seeks on such matters, is mostly relying on out of date research and research into the effects of 4G only.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/electromagnetic-fields>

In conclusion, I call on you to raise this issue as a matter of extreme urgency within your sphere of influence and at the very least I ask you to consider that there should be a moratorium on the implementation of this technology until it can be proved to be of little harm to humans or any other form of life on the planet. Brussels and Florence have recently called a halt on implementation and we in this country should be following suit.

At the end of the day, I do not consent to being used as a human guinea pig in an experiment which has not, by any stretch of the imagination, been sufficiently researched for safety and long term consequences for health. I also believe that it is our elected representatives who should be held accountable and answerable for the likely disastrous effects of allowing 5G to be instated.

Yours sincerely,