



## Ethics committee issues

Hüriye Şenay Kızıltan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Health Sciences University, Başakşehir Çam and Sakura City Hospital, Department of Radiation Oncology, Türkiye

**Conflict of Interest:** *There is no any conflict of interest*

**Corresponding author:** Hüriye Şenay Kızıltan, e-mail: [hskiziltan@gmail.com](mailto:hskiziltan@gmail.com), <sup>1</sup>Health Sciences University, Başakşehir Çam and Sakura City Hospital, Department of Radiation Oncology, Türkiye

### INTRODUCTION

Ethics committees need to be transparent. Projects prepared with so much effort can be easily rejected by members who do not know about it. However, the project owner should be called to the board in order to be able to ask questions that are not understood. On the contrary, the referees are kept confidential in order to prevent the person from objecting after receiving the rejection letter. However, the owner of the project, who knew the referee, could explain the situation to him, thus unnecessary loss of time and effort would have been avoided. Board members must be impartial. Some projects can be blocked due to personal mobbing of influential members. It is possible to prevent this only if fair and real scientists become members of these committees. Another problem is the request for documents that are unnecessary at the stage of preparing the project. The

researcher, who is already crushed under the heavy burden of the working environment, gives up many of his projects.

Even if there are deficiencies in the projects that are original and that are thought to have a serious contribution to the society, it should be approached positively and the deficiencies should be completed. Meetings should be held frequently and projects should be submitted for approval without delay. Members who have been found to act unfairly and biasedly should be removed from the boards and punished. Thus, future violations of rights are prevented.

Researchers give up many studies they want to do due to the fear of not being able to collect and prepare unnecessary documents. In order to prevent this, I think that not only professors, but also other academicians and even research assistants and assistants should be included in these committees.

Ethics committee approval is unnecessary in retrospective clinical studies. Because doctors can access these files whenever they want. This is not a violation of patients' personal rights. It is the work done for the benefit of them and the society. I believe that the approval of the clinic responsible will be sufficient only for the projects to be prepared by the clinics of other hospitals.