Official Information

A help or a hindrance for the voice of New Zealand's journalists

Megan Hunt

The beginning

My story

• Depth of the problem?

What else are we missing out on?

• Requests on a spectrum – few will see the worst issues highlighted

Literature Review/Research Questions

- Democracy free information
- The Danks' Committee
- Other nations
- Kiwi research White/Price
- Experiences journalists/officials
- Law Commission's reviews
- Ombudsman's reports

Gap of knowledge – Why/effect/how we can help?

Methodology - Qualitative approach

- Two contrasting case studies
- Interviews with journalists, officials
- Issues in cases
- Issues across the board
- LIMITATIONS Spread of problems not indicated by case study approach

Case One -Phil Goff and the SIS

Themes this case hosts:

- Controlled release
- Incorrect redactions
- Acting within law but not spirit is "good will" a reasonable expectation?

General themes why:

- Politicisation of the act
- Narrowed gap between departments/ministers
- Explicit/implicit pressure

Case Two - Mammogram bus

- Consultation to deter
- Trade-offs
- Automatic release –"Illusion of transparency"
- What is a request? Sowman's Letter

General themes why:

Move towards restricting info

Reoccurring features – Other case studies

- No surprises/micro managing/managing up risk aversion
- Poor stats/no monitoring
- Moving off paper or "Yellow stickies" Ede/Collins
- Siting on requests
- Ombudsman

Journalistic misuse

- Public servant's feedback on journalists
- 1. Fishing
- 2. News gathering
- 3. Weary of scandalous headlines
- 4. Lack of trust on both sides/aggression

Summary

- Still dealing with politicians will there ever be a pure legal interpretation?
- Symptom of wider issues?
- Distance ministers/departments
- Public sector risk aversion/restricting info
- Penalties? Or cultural shifts?
- Law change needed political self interest