

CIP-1694 in a nutshell



- the governance action ID
- the epoch that the action expires
- the deposit amount
- the total Yes/No/Abstain votes of the Constitutional Committee for this action
- the total Yes/No/Abstain votes of the Dreps for this action
- the total Yes/No/Abstain votes of the SPOs for this action

the rewards address that will receive the deposit when it is returned



Epoch boundary

Given that 1 or more governance actions have had votes that meet their threshold, we can now move on to the ratification process. The previous action hash enforces order within a group. In case of conflict, earlier actions are considered before later ones.

"Dropped"

This governance action (Tresury Withdrawal) has been dropped. Two governance actions were ratified during epoch boundary and one of them is a motion of no-confidence. Therefore, the action of tresury withdrawal is dropped without even being ratified. Despite all this, unfortunately, this would not have been the case, since this governance action (tresury withdrawal) would expire if it did not have enough yes votes by the deadline (See below)

(Deposits are returned since the action is finalized)

Expired

Although this was not the case in any of the previous examples, an action that doesn't collect sufficient 'Yes' votes before its deadline is said to have expired.(the deadline is to be determined). I therefore believe it is important to mention it here.

(Deposits are returned if the action is expired)

"Dropped"

This result may seem strange. But a particular rule applies to our situation given that we have more than one governance action and that this action(protocol parameter changes) nevertheless met its voting threshold. It should have been ratified during this Epoch boundary, but:

"Enactment of a successful motion of no-confidence invalidates all other not yet enacted governance actions (whether or not they have been ratified), causing them to be *immediately dropped without ever* being enacted."

(Deposits are returned since the action is finalized)

"Ratified"

Given the largely positive outcome of this governance action, it is ratified and its enactment will be immediate because of its type. The other two will be automatically invalidated and the constitutional committee is considered to be in a state of no-confidence

(Deposits are returned since the action is finalized).

In a state of no-confidence, the current committee is no longer able to participate in governance actions and must be replaced before any governance actions can be ratified. Any outstanding governance actions are dropped immediately after the protocol enters a state of no-confidence, and will not be enacted.

Epoch boundary

Some actions will be enacted immediately (i.e. at the same epoch boundary they are ratified), others are enacted only on the following epoch boundary.

Actions that have been ratified in the current epoch are prioritized as follows for enactment:

Governance action type	Enactment
1. Motion of no-confidence	Immediate
2. New constitutional committee or change to the threshold	Immediate
3. Updates to the constitution	Immediate
4. Hard fork initiation	Next epoch boundary
5. Protocol parameter changes	Next epoch boundary
6. Treasury withdrawals	Immediate
7. Information	Immediate

