



A Comparative Study Of The Effect Of Gender On Simple Reaction Time In Young Adults

Mohamed Nizam Al Deen Shah¹, Yogsh MK¹, Priyanka Singh¹ and Anwith HS²

¹Rajarajeswari Medical College And Hospital, Bangalore, India.

²KIMS Medical College Bangalore, India.

Email: nizamshah64@gmail.com

ABSTRACT- Reaction time refers to the duration between presentation of a sensory stimulus to the elicitation of an appropriate response and is a reflection of ones mental faculties. This study was done to assess the differences between the simple reaction time of the 2 genders. Using the PC-1000 reaction time apparatus 48 males and 49 females reaction times were measured. The mean visual and auditory reaction time in males was found to be 195ms and 150ms and that of females was 199ms and 156ms. Taking a p-value of <0.05 as significant the data was compared between the 2 groups using unpaired t-test. Hence we concluded that there was no significant difference between the reaction times of the 2 groups.

I INTRODUCTION

Reaction time is a term coined by Austrian Physiologist Sigmund Exner and can be defined as “The time elapsed between the presentation of a sensory stimulus to the eliciting of an appropriate response.” It is indicative of the integration of sensory and motor components of the nervous system.

Stimulus → Sensory Neuron → Spinal Cord or Brain → Motor Neuron → Response

The faster you react the shorter is your reaction time and the slower you react the longer is your reaction time.

There are different types of reaction times. Simple reaction time is where there is one reaction for one

stimulus. Recognition reaction time involves one response to a particular set of stimuli and not for others and Choice reaction time involves different responses for different stimuli.

Various studies have been conducted on reaction time in males and females with conflicting results. Some stating that males have a shorter reaction time than females while others stating the opposite while some papers show no difference between the 2 groups.

Objective:

The purpose of this paper is to compare the simple reaction time of females with males.

Design And Methodology:

Source of the data

Healthy young adults between the ages of 18-24 years

Exclusion criteria

1. Subjects who consumed alcohol, smoke or stimulants like caffeine or drugs
2. Patients of psychological disorders or on any medication
3. Known cases of thyroid disorders, diabetes, epilepsy
4. Patients with uncorrected visual or auditory impairments
5. Musculoskeletal injuries or conditions that impair mobility

© IJPMN, Volume 3, Issue 3, December-2016

(This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution License citing the original author and source)



6. Subjects who did not sleep adequately (7 hours of sleep) or suffer from sleep disorders

II MATERIALS AND METHODS:

After obtaining clearance from the institutes ethical committee 48 male and 49 female subjects in the age group of 18-24 years satisfying the inclusion and exclusion criteria were recruited for the study. The study was conducted in the Physiology department of Raja Rajeswari Medical College and Hospital. The data was collected between 9:00 am to 11:00 am. The procedure was explained to the subjects in advance and informed consent was taken.

The subjects were advised to have adequate sleep on the previous night on the day of recording (at least 7 hours). A trial run was carried out to familiarize the subjects with the procedure. Simple reaction time was recorded on the subjects and their dominant hand employed throughout the procedure. The stimuli were given at random intervals. The instrument used was the in house built PC-1000 reaction time apparatus connected to a laptop and the data was recorded using the Audacity software.

III STATISTICAL PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS:

Descriptive statistics were used to express the data. Statistical analysis was done using unpaired "t"-test. A p-value of <0.05 was taken as a significant. The software used was the Microsoft excel 2010.

IV OBSERVATIONS:

The mean visual reaction time of the male and female subjects

	Males	Females	P value
Mean Visual reaction time	195 msec	199 msec	0.457
Mean Auditory reaction time	150 msec	156 msec	0.237

V CONCLUSION

The male subjects were found to be faster with respect to the female subjects but on statistical analysis by students t-test it was found that there was a no significant difference between the two groups. Hence gender does not have any significant influence on reaction time.

VI DISCUSSION

Reaction time is altered by many different mechanisms like nicotine consumption in the form of smoking or dipping tobacco or caffeine all of which has been found to decrease reaction time.^{1,2,3}. Alcohol consumption was found to increase reaction time⁴. Increasing age has been shown to have a direct relationship to reaction time possibly due to the effect of age on myelination of neurons.^{5,6,7} Patients of thyroid disorders both hypothyroidism and hyperthyroidism show increases reaction time⁸. In both the genders the auditory reaction time was found to be faster than the visual reaction time this can be attributed to auditory stimuli reach the cortex faster than visual stimuli⁹.

Many studies have been conducted on gender differences and reaction time showing conflicting results.

Ervilha et al in a study on taekwondo players had found that females had faster reaction times than



males attributing their length (build) as a cause for the difference in reaction time.¹⁰

However many studies done on reaction time had shown that males had a shorter reaction time compared to females^{11,12,13,14} attributing this to many factors like the fluctuating levels of sex steroids that have an effect on the fluid and electrolyte balance that in turn had an effect on the axonal neuronal conduction¹⁵. Adam et al attributed it to differences in the processing of information that is hard wired in the genders¹⁶. Badwe et al attributed this to increased muscle mass in the males and also that the processing time by the CNS is dependent on the subjects behavior¹³.

This study has shown that there is no significant difference between the reaction times in males and females which is similar to the results shown by Ahmed A Telebet al¹⁷.

Reaction times are dependent on many factors like age, height, build, level of training, stimulants, and many more.

REFERENCES:

1. Mohesh G, Sundaramurthy. Effect of nicotine on audio and visual reaction time in dipping tobacco users. *IMTU Medical Journal*. 2012;3:30-2.
2. Morgan SF, Pickens RW. Reaction time performance as a function of cigarette smoking procedure. *Psychopharmacology*. 1982;77(4):383-6.
3. Santos V, Santos V, Felipe L, Almeida Jr. J, Bertuzzi R, Kiss M, et al. Caffeine Reduces Reaction Time and Improves Performance in Simulated-Contest of Taekwondo. *Nutrients*. 2014 Feb 10;6(2):637-49.
4. Tzambazis K, Stough C. Alcohol impairs speed of information processing and simple and choice reaction

- time and differentially impairs higher-order cognitive abilities. *Alcohol and Alcoholism*. 2000;35(2):197-201.
5. Taware GB, Bhutkar MV, Bhutkar PM, Doijad VP, Surdi AD. Effect of age on audio-visual and whole body reaction time. *Al Ameen Journal of Medical Science*. 2012;5(1):90-4.
6. Der G, Deary IJ. Age and sex differences in reaction time in adulthood: Results from the United Kingdom Health and Lifestyle Survey. *Psychology and Aging*. 2006;21(1):62-73.
7. Chandak PR, Makwana J. Ageing & reaction time in indian population. *People's Journal of Scientific Research*. 2012 Jan;5(1): 36-9
8. Shah SH, Nahar PS. Reaction time in hypothyroid and hyperthyroid patients before and after drug treatment. *IOSR Journal of Pharmacy*. 2012 Mar.-Apr; 2(2): 218-21
9. Shelton J, Kumar PG. Comparison between auditory and visual simple reaction times. *Neuroscience & Medicine*. 2010; 1: 30-2
10. Ervilha UF, Silva VF, Araújo RC, Mochizuki L, Hamill J. Elite female tae kwon do athletes have faster reaction time and longer movement time than males during a striking kick. *ARCHIVES OF BUDO SCIENCE OF MARTIAL ARTS AND EXTREME SPORTS*. 2014; 10:1-9
11. Karia RM, Ghuntla TP, Mehta HB, Gokhale PA, Shah CJ. Effect Of Gender Difference On Visual Reaction Time: A Study On Medical Students Of Bhavnagar Region. [cited 2014 Sep 9]; Available from: <http://www.iosrphr.org/papers/v2i3/R023452454.pdf>
12. Spierer DK, Petersen RA, Duffy K, Corcoran BM, Rawls-Martin T. Gender influence on response time to sensory stimuli. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*. 2010;24(4):957-63.
13. Badwe N, Patil KB, Yelam SB, Vikhe BB, Vatve BB, Vatve MS. A comparative study of hand reaction time to visual stimuli in students of 1st MBBS of a rural medical college. *Pravara Med Rev*. 2012; 4(1):4-6
14. Blough PM, Slavin LK. Reaction time assessments of gender differences in visual-spatial performance. *Perception & psychophysics*. 1987;41(3):276-81.
15. J Bruce, GFM Russell. Premenstrual tension: a study of weight changes and balances of Na⁺, water and potassium. *Lancet* 1962; 11: 267-271
16. Adam, JJ, Paas, FG, Buckers, MJ, Wuyts, IJ, Spijkers, WA, andWallmeyer, P. Gender differences in choice reaction time: evidencefor differential strategies. 1999;*Ergonomics* 42: 327-35
17. Teleb A, Awamleh A. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN COGNITIVE ABILITES. *Current Research in Psychology*. 2012 Jan 1; 3(1):33-9.