

September 2, 2022

**To:** Sheriff Glendell Hill  
Prince William County, VA

**CC:** Eric Olsen, General Registrar  
Jason Miyares, Attorney General, Commonwealth of Virginia

**From:** Colonel, USAR Retired, John R. Mills, National Election Integrity Association (NEIA)

**Subject:** Surety Bond claim against Eric Olsen, General Registrar for specific failures to perform his sworn duties which amount to gross failure and negligence under Commonwealth Code

**References:**

[§ 2.2-1840. Blanket surety bond plan for state and local employees.](#)  
[§ 15.2-1512. Oath and bond.](#)  
[§ 24.2-114. Duties and powers of general registrar.](#)  
[§ 24.2-122. Status of members of electoral boards, registrars, and officers of election.](#)  
[§ 24.2-234. Removal of officer appointed for a term certain](#)

Dear Sheriff Hill,

I am making a Surety Bond claim against General Registrar Eric Olsen, for his failures to perform his official duties listed below. If he cannot meet the reasonable remedy proffered, I ask that you carry out your duties to remove his surety bond and remit proceeds from his bond which will make him ineligible to perform his duties.

In addition, because of on-going lawsuits from Election Machine Companies against various entities (Fox News, Mr. Mike Lindell, others), you must ensure all cast vote records and all other artifacts from the 2020 election be retained.

**Violations/Failures to perform official duties**

1. Gross negligence and failure to assure that voters on existing voting rolls are lawful voters
2. Gross negligence and failure to assure that new registrants from Virginia DMV Data base are lawful voters
3. Failure to exercise Articles 13 and 32 of the Hart Contract SBE-140324-HART Voting Machines, Equipment & Related Services in an independent, publicly transparent, 3<sup>rd</sup> Party audit of the software and operation of the machines to ensure all componentry is free of any communication capabilities, and there is proper legal accountability for all software updates, and recording/accounting of all resident data sets (to include ballot images), matching of reasonable sample size of ballot images and their recorded tallies with legally retained ballots, changes to data sets, and communication/data transfer (to include thumb drive and thumb drive data accountability) and log history with all off premise cloud storage and processing of voting data. It is incumbent as part of the express and implied duties of the Registrar, that Sworn Public Officials must be able to demonstrate an ability to conduct these activities to operate and protect Federally designated Critical Infrastructure (Election Machines and related equipment) independently and separate from the vendor.

**Details on these failures to perform official duties are presented in Attachment 1**

**2019 FOIA of Jury Duty Rejections is Attachment 2**

I thank you for your prompt attention to these matters.

V/R



Colonel (RET) John R. Mills  
Director, NEIA  
571-340-2148

**Attachment 1**

	<b>Constitutional Official responsible for failure to perform sworn duties</b>	<b>Violation</b>	<b>Virginia Code Citation</b>	<b>Evidence/Citation</b>	<b>Remedy to avoid Bond Surety Claim</b>
1	Eric Olsen, General Registrar, PWC	Gross negligence and failure to assure that voters on existing voting rolls are lawful voters	<p><a href="#">§ 24.2-114</a>. Duties and powers of general registrar.</p> <p>§ 24.2-404 4. Duties of Department of Elections.</p> <p><a href="#">18 U.S. Code § 611 - Voting by aliens</a>  <a href="#">Article II. Franchise and Officers</a>  <a href="#">Section 1. Qualifications of voters</a></p>	2019 FOIA of Jury Duty rejection statistics from Prince William County demonstrate that at a minimum, 6% of the voters are unlawful (please see attached)	Demonstrate that all Virginia county and county equivalent voting rolls have been purged of unlawful voters within 30 days of the date of this notice.
2	Eric Olsen, General Registrar, PWC	Gross negligence and failure to assure that new registrants from Virginia DMV Data base are lawful voters	<p><a href="#">§ 24.2-114</a>. Duties and powers of general registrar.</p> <p>§ 24.2-404 4. Duties of Department of Elections.</p> <p><a href="#">18 U.S. Code § 611 - Voting by aliens</a>  <a href="#">Article II. Franchise and Officers</a>  <a href="#">Section 1. Qualifications of voters</a></p>	2019 FOIA of Jury Duty rejection statistics from Prince William County demonstrate that at a minimum, 6% of the voters are unlawful (please see attached)	Within 30 days, demonstrate the documented and repeatable process for assuring the vetting of names received from the Virginia DMV upon application for a Virginia license
3	Eric Olsen, General Registrar, PWC	Gross negligence and failure to exercise terms and conditions of the Federally designated Critical Infrastructure (Election Machines) under their sworn oversight	<p><a href="#">§ 24.2-114</a>. Duties and powers of general registrar.</p> <p>§ 24.2-404 4. Duties of Department of Elections.</p> <p><a href="#">18 U.S. Code § 611 - Voting by aliens</a>  <a href="#">Article II. Franchise and Officers</a>  <a href="#">Section 1. Qualifications of voters</a></p>	Failure to exercise Articles 13 and 32 of the Hart Contract SBE-140324-HART Voting Machines, Equipment (more comprehensive text articulating scale and scope of reasonable knowledge and actions of General Registrar in regards to Federally designated Critical Infrastructure described above.)	Within 30 days, demonstrate the complete ability to independently (without the vendor) conduct complete inspections, tests, and oversight of the Federally designated, Critical Infrastructure (Election Machines and related components) under their sworn oversight. This includes the county ability and a third party audit to validate both the vendor and the county on these abilities.

## Questionnaire Recap

Term: 19 - 2019 - Jury Service Term

## Attachment 2

	Jurors in Term	Jurors in Term Sent a Questionnaire	Jurors in Term Returning a Questionnaire	Jurors Responding via the Web
Candidate Jurors:	4,988	0	0	0
Qualified Jurors:	0	0	0	0
Shoeboxed Jurors:	4,802	0	4,802	0
Unqualified Jurors:	3,051	0	3,051	0
Total Number of Jurors:	12,841	0	7,853	0

## Qualified Jurors

Questionnaire Code	Description	Juror Count	% Group	% Returning Term	% Group Returning Questionnaire	% Term Returning Questionnaire
QD	Z- DO NOT USE - Qualified Deferred	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
QL	Qualified Juror	4,796	0.0	37.3	0.0	61.1

## Unqualified Jurors

Questionnaire Code	Description	Juror Count	% Group	% Returning Term	% Group Returning Questionnaire	% Term Returning Questionnaire
AG	Age over 70+	616	20.2	4.8	20.2	7.8
AS	Already Served	6	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1
BF	Breast Feeding	100	3.3	0.8	3.3	1.3
CC	Childcare	163	5.3	1.3	5.3	2.1
? DC	Deceased	15	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.2
? DS	Diplomatic Service	4	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
FF	FIREFIGHTER	3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
* FL	Felony	117	3.8	0.9	3.8	1.5
HF	Health Family	46	1.5	0.4	1.5	0.6
HP	Health Permanent	120	3.9	0.9	3.9	1.5
HT	Health Temporary	5	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1
LA	Law/Attorney	94	3.1	0.7	3.1	1.2
MI	Military	43	1.4	0.3	1.4	0.5
* NC	Non Citizen	352	11.5	2.7	11.5	4.5
* NE	Non English Speaking	227	7.4	1.8	7.4	2.9
? NR	Non Resident	244	8.0	1.9	8.0	3.1
SP	Sole Proprietor	116	3.8	0.9	3.8	1.5
ST	Student	23	0.8	0.2	0.8	0.3



# Questionnaire Recap

Term: 19 - 2019 - Jury Service Term

	Jurors in Term	Jurors in Term Sent a Questionnaire	Jurors in Term Returning a Questionnaire	Jurors Responding via the Web
Candidate Jurors:	4,988	0	0	0
Qualified Jurors:	0	0	0	0
Shoeboxed Jurors:	4,802	0	4,802	0
Unqualified Jurors:	3,051	0	3,051	0
Total Number of Jurors:	12,841	0	7,853	0

UA	Under 18 Years	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
UN	Undeliverable	756	24.8	5.9	24.8	9.6

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE: 10/15/53

1. On 10/15/53, the following information was received from the [redacted] regarding the [redacted] of the [redacted] in the [redacted] area.

2. The [redacted] reported that the [redacted] had been observed on [redacted] at approximately [redacted] hours.

3. The [redacted] was described as [redacted] and was [redacted] in appearance.

4. The [redacted] was [redacted] and was [redacted] in the [redacted] area.

5. The [redacted] was [redacted] and was [redacted] in the [redacted] area.

6. The [redacted] was [redacted] and was [redacted] in the [redacted] area.

7. The [redacted] was [redacted] and was [redacted] in the [redacted] area.

8. The [redacted] was [redacted] and was [redacted] in the [redacted] area.

9. The [redacted] was [redacted] and was [redacted] in the [redacted] area.

10. The [redacted] was [redacted] and was [redacted] in the [redacted] area.

11. The [redacted] was [redacted] and was [redacted] in the [redacted] area.

12. The [redacted] was [redacted] and was [redacted] in the [redacted] area.

13. The [redacted] was [redacted] and was [redacted] in the [redacted] area.

14. The [redacted] was [redacted] and was [redacted] in the [redacted] area.

15. The [redacted] was [redacted] and was [redacted] in the [redacted] area.