PERRINE DUPONT SETTLEMENT CLAIMS OFFICE ATTN: EDGAR C. GENTLE, CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR C/O SPELTER VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT OFFICE

55 B Street P. O. BOX 257

Spelter, West Virginia 26438

(304) 622-7443 (800) 345-0837

www.perrinedupont.com

perrinedupont@gtandslaw.com

April 12, 2013

CIRCUIT COURT

VIA HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Thomas A. Bedell Circuit Judge of Harrison County 301 West Main Street, Room 321 Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301

Re: Perrine, et al. v. DuPont, et al.; Civil Action No. 04-C-296-2 - Proposed Order Providing the Court's Guidance Respecting the Remediation Property Clean-Up Program (the "Program"); Our File Nos. 4609-1{DD-48}, 4609-1 {DD-51},

4609-1{53}, and 4609-1{NN-3}

Dear Judge Bedell:

We hope this letter finds the Court well.

Enclosed for the Court's review please find a proposed Order providing the requested Program guidance that was requested at our hearing on April 5, 2013.

This order has been shared with the Finance Committee and NCM, and reflects their comments.

Thank you for the Court's consideration. If you have any questions regarding the above or the attached, please let me know.

Respectfullysubmitteld

Edgar C. Gentle, III
Settlement Administrator

ECGIII/kah Enclosure cc:

(confidential)(via e-mail)(with enclosures)

David B. Thomas, Esq. James S. Amold, Esq. Virginia Buchanan, Esq.

Meredith B. McCarthy, Esq.

William S. ("Buddy") Cox, Esq.

J. Keith Givens, Esq.

McDavid Flowers, Esq.

Farrest Taylor, Esq.

Ned McWilliams, Esq.

Angela Mason, Esq.

Mr. Billy Sublett

Terry D. Turner, Jr., Esq.

Diandra S. Debrosse, Esq.

Katherine A. Harbison, Esq.

Michael A. Jacks, Esq.

Mr. Dennis Rayer

Mr. George W. Hilton, III

Mr. Eddie Waskiewicz

Mr. Richard Heath

Cy A. Hill, Esq.

Mr. Duane Truax

Mr. Marc Glass

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

LENORA PERRINE, et al., individuals residing in West Virginia, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs.

٧.

Case No. 04-C-296-2 Thomas A. Bedell, Circuit Judge

E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER PROVIDING GUIDANCE RESPECTING PROPERTY REMEDIATION PROGRAM ISSUES

Presently before the Court is the Claims Administrator's March 26, 2013, Report, which seeks the Court's guidance concerning three issues respecting the Property Remediation Program (the "Program").

The three matters requiring the Court's guidance are (i) a requested adjustment to the previous Court-approved April 9, 2012 Working Budget for the Program based upon an increase in the number of houses and commercial structures to be remediated and an increase in soil remediation costs; (ii) proposed Program road repairs and improvements; and (iii) proposed 2012 Replacement Soil Enhancement Procedures and proposed Supplemental Replacement Soil Testing Procedures.

After due and proper notice, this matter came on to be heard on Friday, April 5, 2013 at 2:30 p.m. Appearing were the Settlement Administrator, the Finance Committee, Representative for DuPont, James S. Arnold, Esq., Meredith H. McCarthy, Esq., the Guardian *ad litem* for Minor and Incompetent Claimants, and Cy A. Hill, Esq., Counsel for NCM Demolition and Remediation ("NCM"). Also appearing were Michael

A. Jacks, Esq., the Executive Director for the Settlement Claims Office, Diandra S. Debrosse-Zimmerman, Esq., the Settlement Administrator's law partner, Mr. Billy Sublett, the Settlement Construction Supervisor, Mr. Marc Glass and Mr. Duane Truax the Remediation and Soil Experts for the Settlement, and Dr. Eugenia M. Pena-Yewtukhiw, NCM's Soil Expert.

The Court considered the submissions of the Settlement Administrator, the Finance Committee, the Guardian ad litem, and NCM, and took such testimony as the Court deemed to be appropriate

After careful review of the Claims Administrator's report, and in consideration of applicable law, the Court makes the following findings and decisions:

- 1. The Court hereby approves the requested adjustment to the Working Budget for the Program.
- 2. The Court approves the proposed Road Repair and Improvement Program and Related Budget in the Report.
- 3. The Court hereby approves the 2012 Replacement Soil Enhancement Procedures and Supplemental Soil Replacement Procedures described in Exhibit A, subject to their being finalized by the experts for the Settlement and NCM and approved by this Court in a subsequent Order.

Lastly, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the West Virginia Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court directs entry of this Order as a Final Order as to the claims and issues above upon an express determination that there is no just reason for delay and upon an express direction for the entry for judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Finally, it is ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court shall provide certified copies

of this Order to the following:

David B. Thomas James S. Arnold Guthrie & Thomas, PLLC P.O. Box 3824 Charleston, WV 25338-3824

Edgar Gentle, III Michael Jacks Settlement Claims Office P.O. Box 257 Spelter, WV 26438 Special Master

Virginia Buchanan Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell Eshsner & Proctor, P.A. 316 South Baylen St., Suite 600 Pensacola, FL 32502-5996

Cy A. Hill, Esq. Mannion & Gray, L.P.A. 122 Capitol Street, Suite 100 Charleston, WV 25301 Bridgeport, WV 26330
Guardian ad litem

J. Farrest Taylor
Angela Mason

Meredith McCarthy

901 W. Main St.

Cochran, Cherry, Givens, Smith, Lane & Taylor, P.C. 163 West Main St. Dothan, AL 36301

Steve Zbur Tom Rebar CORE Environmental Services, Inc. 4 Brookstone Plaza Morgantown, WV 26508

This Order Prepared By:

Edgar C. Gentle, III, Esq. Gentle, Turner & Sexton

P. O. Box 257 Spelter, WV 26438 Claims Administrator Michael A. Jacks, Esq. W. Va. Bar No. 11044

P.O. Box 257 Spelter, WV 26438

ENTER:

Thomas A. Bedell, Circuit Judge

April 12, 2013

The Perrine DuPont Settlement - Soil Remediation Remedy for Old and New Soil

Below is the remedy for Old and New Soil.

I. New Soil

For the remaining approximately 140 soil properties still to be remediated, the Settlement, at its expense, at the same time that the new soil is tested for contaminants and, to the extent practicable, as rapidly as contaminant testing is conducted, will sample the new soil to confirm that it is sandy loam, loam or silt loam, in accordance with the USDA soil classification system ("Compliant Soil"). If the soil fails the test, the remedies of the current Agreement pertaining to soil failing contaminants testing apply. NCM's and the Settlement's experts will reasonably agree to the Compliant Soil Testing Procedures, and NCM's experts are drafting them for review by the Settlement's experts.

II. Old Soil

For the <u>approximately 92</u> properties (the Settlement and NCM will reasonably agree to the list of such properties) whose soil was remediated during the 2012 season, the following terms apply:

- 1. For soils that are subjected to the below described <u>optional</u> old soil testing, based upon voluntary Claimant participation <u>and</u> are found to be non-Compliant Soil, <u>only</u>, NCM shall extend the sod warranty under the current Agreement to November 15, 2014.
- 2. NCM and the Settlement, using a script reasonably agreed to, will notify all Claimants who received soil remediation during 2012 of the soil quality issue, and will meet with the impacted Claimants to offer optional testing and optional aeration and sanding using procedures reasonably agreed to by NCM's and the Settlement's soil experts, to promote long term successful sod growth (the "Soil Enhancement Procedures"). The Settlement's experts and NCM's experts will reasonably agree to the Soil Enhancement Procedures. They have one sand application. The Settlement's experts are drafting the Soil Enhancement Procedures for review by NCM's experts.
- 3. Claimants who opt to have their soil tested will be paid a \$50 annoyance and inconvenience fee by the Settlement. The deadline for Claimants to sign up for optional testing is November 30, 2013. If the testing results show non-Compliant Soil, then NCM will pay the reasonable costs of such testing. If the testing results show Compliant Soil, then the Settlement will pay the reasonable costs of such testing. For each claimant who opts to have their yard tested and has test results that indicate the soil is not sandy loam, loam, or silt loam, in accordance with the USDA soil classification system (the "non-Compliant Soil"), NCM will provide, at the Claimant's option, the Soil Enhancement Procedures.

Exhibit A to The Court's Order To the extend practicable, the Soil Enhancement Procedures will be administered once in the Spring and once in the Fall. Claimants with non-Compliant Soil, based on testing, who agree to receive the Soil Enhancement Procedures will receive an annoyance and inconvenience payment of \$175 for each of the two (2) procedures, to be paid by the Settlement and reimbursed by NCM.

III. Court Approval of Compliant Soil Testing Procedures and Soil Enhancement Procedures Required

After the Settlement and NCM reasonably agree to the Compliant Soil Testing Procedures and the Soil Enhancement Procedures, the Settlement shall submit them to the Court for review and possible approval.

The above remedy shall become effective only upon Court approval of such procedures.