UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

-

CHRISTINA CLEMENT and HH EMPRESS QUEEN CHRISTINA LOCS IS OUR ARTIFACT OF FAITH

Plaintiff,

٧.

Attorney General Merrick Garland; Chief Justice John Roberts Jr., Secretary General of the United Nations; Registrar-Peace Palace Carnegie Pleinz et al

Defendant,

Civil No: 24-cv-00479-RC

Motion to Default Judgement

I, REV. DR. CHRISTINA CLEMENT, president candidate of the United States of America, the plaintiff in the above-captioned case, hereby submit this **Motion to Default Judgement** on Chief Justice John Roberts Jr., Secretary General of the United Nations; Registrar-Peace Palace Carnegie Pleinz et al., as parties to the case pursuant to <u>DC Civil Rule 55</u> of the District Court of Columbia Rules of Civil Procedure.

• The plaintiff, Rev Dr Christina Clement, President Candidate, initiated this action against the defendant/respondent for failure of defense and objections set forth in Civil Rule 12-(A) defendant must serve an answer within 21 days after being served with the summons and complaint and (2) The United States or the District of Columbia and the Agencies, Officers, or Employees of Either Sued in an Official Capacity. The United States or the District of Columbia or an agency, officer, or employee of either sued only in an official capacity must serve an answer to a complaint, counterclaim, or crossclaim within 60 days after service on the United States attorney (in suits involving the United States) or the Attorney General for the District of Columbia (in suits involving the District of Columbia). (3) United States or District of Columbia Officers or Employees Sued in an

Individual Capacity. A United States or District of Columbia officer or employee sued in an individual capacity for an act or omission occurring in connection with the duties performed on the United States' or the District of Columbia's behalf must serve an answer to a complaint, counterclaim, or crossclaim within 60 days after service on the officer or employee or service on the United States attorney (in suits involving the United States) or the Attorney General for the District of Columbia (in suits involving the District of Columbia), whichever is later.

- Furthermore, according to Policy Framework for Preventing and Eliminating Corruption and Ensuring the Impartiality of the Judicial System where the rule of law in the US Constitution guarantees the rule of law by ensuring that no one, including the highest-ranking official, is **above the law**.
- ❖ Government action must be based on law².
- ❖ The law must be clear, accessible, and understandable 123.
- ❖ Human rights and individual freedoms must be respected¹³.
- \bullet Everyone is equal before the law and entitled to a fair trial²³.
- Courts and institutions must be independent, impartial, transparent, and accountable

• Despite being duly served since **2023**, as per <u>DC Civil Rule 5</u>, as various dates have been noted on the sent **Affidavit of Service sent 4/30/2024**; the defendant has failed to file an answer or otherwise respond to the allegations within the time prescribed by law according to <u>DC Civil Rule 12</u>.

- In accordance with <u>Civil Rule 55</u> of the District Court of Columbia Rules of Civil Procedure, when a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend as provided by these rules and that fact is made to appear by affidavit or otherwise, <u>the clerk shall enter the party's default.</u>
- Moreover, I draw the Court's attention to the Policy Framework for Preventing and Eliminating Corruption and Ensuring the Impartiality of the Judicial System, which underscores the importance of ensuring fair and impartial adjudication of legal matters. It is imperative that the judicial system upholds integrity and impartiality in its proceedings to maintain public trust and confidence. (attached reference of document titled Policy Framework for Preventing and Eliminating Corruption and Ensuring the Impartiality of the Judicial System)
- Part of this framework, it was agreed that increasing public awareness and providing encouragement to the public to participate in the process of exposing, preventing and eliminating corruption in the judicial system, and so to increase public confidence in the judiciary; yet the courts have failed to notify the press of the Declaratory Judgement that was filed and received on February 13, 2024 (A Reporter's Guide to Application Pending Before the Court of the United States)

Acts Constituting Corruption of the Judicial System

• Case 1:24-cv-00479-RC Document 1-1 Filed 02/13/24 Page 1 of 74 complaint form was received with all parties listed on the first page, yet clerks entered only one defendant Garland and not the other 3 parties listed (*see below). Months later to then be instructed to submit Motion to add Defendents

*Defendants listed on page 1 of complaint received on 2/13/2024- Copy to Secretary- General of the United Nations; • Attorney General of the United States; 28 USC 2403; • Registrar-Peace Palace Carnegie Pleinz 2517 KJ The Hague The Netherlands; +31 70 302 23 23; and • Chief Justice John Roberts Jr.

<u>Violation of Rule 36</u> - Clerical Error: The failure to include Chief Justice John Roberts Jr. and others in the original complaint constitutes a clerical error under Rule 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This rule allows the court to correct errors arising from oversight or omission, ensuring the completeness and accuracy of the record. Given the significance of Chief Justice Roberts' involvement in administering the oath of office, their omission from the original complaint constitutes a clerical error that warrants correction. Original complaint listing all parties received by DC Clerk of Court (Case 1:24-cv-00479-RC Document 1-1 Filed 02/13/24 Page 1 of 74)

<u>Application of Rule 57</u> of D.C. Civil Code: Rule 57 of the D.C. Civil Code further supports this motion by providing guidance on correcting errors and omissions in legal proceedings. This rule emphasizes the importance of ensuring the fair and efficient administration of justice, which includes correcting any oversights that may arise during the course of litigation.

Because the constitution protects the minority from the majority I find that the
community I represent is at a disadvantage within the legal system. <u>Tyranny of the
Majority - Federalist #51 (founderoftheday.com)</u> The framers of the constitution worked
to prevent severe government or conduct, yet the court can discover years of severe
conduct against the afro community globally.

Given the defendant's failure to respond and has refused service as proven by mail submitted to the clerks, to the allegations within the prescribed timeframe and considering the gravity of the claims asserted by the plaintiff, I respectfully request that this Honorable Court enter a default judgment in favor of the plaintiff and entering max restitution requested within complaint and against the defendants, assume succession of Presidency et al, make the public aware of the default judgement; apostille all documents.

The plaintiff seeks relief requested in both Volume 3 and Volume 2 of Revealed the Kingdom of Locs Nazirite Vow continues which its contents includes the complaints of the Default Judgement demanded along with U.S. Const. art. IV, § 3 -Recognize State of Loc Nation as a

State, so that majority (aboriginals, Afro Communities, etc according to represented parties in claim as SOLN Independent Party) can be separate, equal and in harmony; Join the Hague Convention as New Competent Authority and appointed as President of the United States of America 2024.

*Local Rules May 2022 0.pdf (uscourts.gov); Tyranny of the Majority - Federalist #51 (founderoftheday.com)

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Should the Court require any further information or documentation, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

CUE

Rev. Dr. Christina Clement, Presidential Candidate of the US 2024 8 The Green, Suite A

Dover, DE 19901

678-780-5557

Rule 5 (c) Signing. A filing made through a person's electronic –filing account and authorized by that person, together with that person's name on a signature block, constitutes the person's signature.