


Growing Trends

The Official Publication of the Illinois Nurserymen's Association

January 2005



MID AM EDITION

Mid Am Schedule

Garden Center Roundtable Forum

plus Plant & Pest Disease Perspectives

Print Std
U.S. Postage
PAID
Springfield, IL
Permit #137

A Perspective of the Ash Tree - From One Extreme To The Other

William R. McAdams, McAdams Consulting, Inc.
Insect & Disease Problems of Landscape Plants

For decades the ash tree has been the staple of the nursery industry. Not only has the ash tree been relatively easy for commercial growers to produce, but it has had the ability to survive and thrive in a wide variety of soil and site conditions. While many other tree species have difficulty tolerating high clay soils, limited root zone areas, exposures to road salting, drought, and occasional flooding, the ash tree has managed quite well. Instead of having to improve soil and site conditions it was much easier and less expensive to plant ash trees. Even with the nagging persistence of ash decline, a disease, which even to this day is not understood very well, the ash tree remained a favorite of the market place. The more the market demanded ash, the more commercial nurseries grew them.

Then, during the summer of 2002, the State of Michigan described the presence of a new wood borer species; the emerald ash borer, *Agrilus planipennis* Fairmaire. Reports from Michigan described ash trees dying virtually anywhere ash could be found, which included: natural woodlands, urban woodlands, private landscapes, and in commercial nursery production fields. As the emerald ash borer ravaged through the Michigan landscape virtually uncontrolled, the whole country watched in dismay, as the prospects of their own ash trees could soon be in jeopardy.


During the summer of 2003, the emerald ash borer was found outside of the State of Michigan, which included the states of Maryland, Ohio, and, Virginia, and also, Ontario, Canada. This confirmed the fears of many, as it now appeared that the spread of the emerald ash borer would be inevitable. Panic grew as discussions of the emerald ash borer crisis were held in many forums throughout the country. The notion of planting more ash trees, which would ultimately die from wood borer attack, was not acceptable. During the summer of 2004, the State of Indiana identified two emerald ash borer sites due to the introduction of infested firewood logs. Forums continued throughout the United States and the outcry became even more resolute. No more ash trees. Some might even go on to say that planting ash trees not only would be unacceptable, but unethical and irresponsible.

Had anyone dared to suggest, a few years earlier, that

the market was overusing the ash tree, would anyone had listened? Probably not. Unfortunately, without an impending threat at hand, or the past experience of monetary loss, prevention, many times, is only a word used at Continuing Education Programs. For decades the universities have warned against the practices of over-planting one particular tree species. This warning primarily came from the "lessons" that were supposedly learned from the outbreak of Dutch elm disease and the devastation that resulted from the over-planting of the elm species. Now as we look at our city streets it is fairly easy to assess that the ash tree was used to correct the elm problem. Now, as we face our current ash crisis, a question repeatedly asked, is, "What tree can we use to replace the ash?"

Are we doomed to the fate that history will always repeat itself? To paraphrase a statement once made by an entomology professor, "it is, as if, it is our objective to design our landscapes so that we create and sustain insect pest populations." Are we guilty of creating our own dilemmas, so that we continuously find ourselves jumping from one crisis to the next?

As one community after another lines up to ban the future use of the ash tree, it might be wise for them to also consider why were they planting so many ash trees in the first place? Communities need to recognize that limited budgets play a major role in many of their landscape planning decisions, which also set the stage for many of their future problems. With limited budgets communities often opt to take the least expensive route in completing a planting project. This usually results in the decision to buy the most with less. When buying cheap trees instead of the more expensive trees they are many times sacrificing the benefits of good root systems. Trees having good root systems are more expensive because the growers must commit more of an investment into their production. Quality trees, having good root systems, are more able to survive and grow much better than the cheaper trees. Cheaper trees having poor root systems do not grow as well and will many times enter a state of



decline soon after planting. Trees in decline are much more prone to insect attack, especially wood borers. These type of failing trees usually undergo subsequent additional treatments to help them to grow more "normally". In many instances these remedial efforts, or short term fixes, require perennial repeat treatments. By the time these additional costs are added up, a community might have spent much more than they would have if they had committed to purchasing quality plant material in the first place.

Many sites in which communities plant trees are not conducive to root growth. Typically the soils are of poor structure and are subjected to many urban abuses which include road salting. In order to avoid the costly expenses of site renovations many communities opted in the past to plant ash


trees. In comparison, the ash tree was relatively inexpensive and easier to grow which made it a long time favorite of the market. The ash tree served as a kind of a "Band Aide" which could tolerate the poor soil structures and abuses present at many urban site settings. If the ash tree is no longer an option, are communities prepared to pay for the additional costs of site renovations so that other tree species will be able to survive? Or is it a common belief that oak, maple, linden, hackberry, etc., can be planted in compacted clay holes having limited root zone areas which are exposed to road salting residue, excessive turf watering, and excessive mulching; and then, be expected to survive just like the ash tree did?

What will happen when a community decides to substitute oak trees for ash trees and plant them in poor soil structures?

The oak will probably enter a state of stress and be highly susceptible to wood borer attack. Would it make a community feel better if the two lined chestnut borer (*Agrilus bilineatus*) kill their oak instead of the emerald ash borer killing their ash? The two lined chestnut borer, which is in the same genus as the emerald ash borer, can be absolutely devastating to oak nursery liners and transplants, especially when they are under stress. However, considerations should not only be limited to the threat of wood borers, just because our current mind set is focused on the emerald ash borer. There are other major threats to the oak tree, which include: gypsy moth, oak wilt, and sudden oak death.

Years ago the oak wilt fungus was highly feared in the Midwest to have the potential of being just as devastating to the oak, as Dutch elm disease was to elm. Now, as we drive through the upper Midwest through stand after stand of oak trees, it is clear that oak wilt has not been as devastating as the Dutch elm disease. However, there appears to be a very good reason for this difference. Dutch elm disease was not only transmitted via the root grafting of infected roots to healthy root systems, but it also had a very efficient vector, the European elm bark beetle. The sap beetle (Family Nitidulidae), though identified as a successful vector of the oak wilt fungus, is not nearly as efficient. This limits the transmission of the oak wilt fungus to primarily that of the root grafting process (Gibbs and French, 1980). But does this end the oak wilt threat? What if an efficient vector of the oak wilt fungus were introduced? If we begin to over-plant oak, might we be entering the same abyss of the elm and ash?

What about maples? Are they tolerant of high clay soils, limited root zone areas, and exposure to road salting residue? Should we recognize that the Asian long horned beetle (*Anoplophora glabripennis*) prefers maple? Is



Our loans and leases will help you grow.

Specialists in financing for

❖ Nurseries ❖ Greenhouses ❖ Turf Farms

Farm Credit Services has served Illinois producers and growers for more than 85 years. No one can provide more loan and lease options tailored to your individual operation. Let one of our nursery industry financing specialists work with you to determine whether a loan or lease is the best alternative for your business. We offer:

- Equipment loans and leases
- Building loans and leases
- Real estate loans
- Operating lines with cash management options

(Ask about our truck, semi and pickup leases at fleet pricing discounts.)

For more information, contact our nursery financing specialists

Mark Ringhouse or Randy Panzer at

1-800-766-3276

Farm Credit Services
At the heart of a growing America.

1350 W. Prairie Drive, Sycamore, IL 60178 — 400 Russel Court, Woodstock, IL 60098

the threat of Asian long horned beetle a mute topic now that we are focused on the emerald ash borer? When maples are under stress they become very susceptible to the attack of the flat headed appletree borer

(*Chrysobothris femorata* [Olivier]). Though the impact of damage is not as devastating to mature trees, it can be very devastating to nursery liners and new transplants. Verticillium wilt, a vascular fungus, which can greatly impact susceptible maple varieties, may also become an issue especially if maples are planted in close proximity to one another.

Are lindens tolerant of high clay soils and road salting residue? Again, the flat headed appletree borer can be devastating, especially to stressed transplants. However, the linden borer (*Saperda vestita* Say), a wood borer species which is already well established, could become a major threat if numerous lindens are planted. It is also important to recognize that linden foliage is highly susceptible to Japanese Beetle adult feeding damage. Planting too many lindens is not the answer.

Are there **ANY** trees that can be planted which are immune to wood borer attack? Even though the honeylocust has proven to be able to survive in many soil structures and be able to tolerate many urban abuses, honeylocust trees under stress are also susceptible to wood borer attack. And just because a wood borer is not known to occur on a certain trees species within a particular region, does not mean that a **NEW** wood borer species couldn't be introduced. Does the over-planting of any tree species make sense?


Planting a diverse assortment of plant material makes much more sense than switching from one monoculture to the next. A mixture of plant species is much more restrictive to the natural spread of insect and disease pests. And, if a devastating insect or disease problem is introduced, then the losses are much less expensive and easier to adjust to if a mixture of tree species are present. However, a major problem in the planning process is the reality that aesthetics sells much better than preventative insect and disease management. It is much more appealing to many people to have a uniform row of favorite plants lining the walkway or outlining the structure of a building. It is also very conducive to the development of many insect infestations and disease infections.

It is fully understandable that those experiencing the devastation of emerald ash borer infestations must commit to drastic measures to cope with the crisis. It is also

wise that communities discuss the problems which threaten their landscapes and implement preventive actions. However, the abolition of the ash tree nationwide might be an over-reaction, especially at this time. It would be clearly understandable to significantly reduce the volume of ash tree plantings, but is over-planting oak and maple the answer? Are we solving one wood borer problem to create a list of others? Might the banning of the ash tree be more of a "knee-jerk" reaction to a much bigger problem?

We need to view our problems with more of an open mind. The potential outcome of many of our future problems are dependent upon how we manage our current problems.

There is always the innate temptation to jump to pre-mature conclusions. Instead of searching for the "next" tree to add to the ever growing "don't plant that tree" list, we need to acknowledge that there are many other problems facing us that require our attention. What is the average life expectancy of a street tree? Is it 100 years? 50 years? 25 years? Or is it somewhere between 5 and 7 years? In retrospect, the ash tree crisis is only the "tip of the iceberg".




References:

- Gibbs, J.N., and French, D.W. 1980. The transmission of oak wilt. U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap. NC-185. 17pp.
- Indiana Nursery Inspection Report. 2004. Central States Horticultural Inspection Society.


OUR PEOPLE, PLANTS & SERVICE MAKE THE DIFFERENCE!



Jack Baker




Mike Roberts



Dave Van Cleave

DEDICATED. EXPERIENCED. PROFESSIONAL.

We offer quality bareroot and container nursery stock.
Contact your Sherman Representative today!



SHERMAN NURSERY COMPANY

P.O. Box 579 . Charles City, Iowa 50616-0579
Toll Free 1-800-747-5980 . Fax 1-800-361-7759
sales@shermannursery.com . www.shermannursery.com

Growing With America Since 1884