

SUMMARY OF FREE JULIAN ASSANGE Escalate to De Escalate

FORWARD TO JULIAN ASSANGE'S LAWYER

I think it is arguable that the Existing, Clear, and Present Danger triggered by 9/11 can override the Espionage Act.

9/11 rewrote the laws and shifted the responsibility to safeguard civilians from the government to investigative journalists and publishers. This is because the government [according to the terrorists through their reckless foreign policy was responsible for the 9/11 terror attacks.]

So, the government can't use the Espionage Act if it's the one that has put the lives of civilians in danger.

1. To make things worse almost 3000 had died and this is a fact. Hence the Existing, Clear, and Present Danger.

2. To make things worse the threat by the time of publication was not yet contained.

Osama Bin Laden was still at large 2001-2011.

3. The danger was still there in that 9/11 was triggered by the war crimes committed by the American soldiers. The video released by Wikileaks in 2010 of possible crimes was proof that 9/11 could be repeated. The danger was still there hence the Existing, Clear, and Present Danger.

4. 9/11 was possibly the worst attack in US history and as such an event like that triggers everyone into action. The investigative journalist has an obligation to expose the reckless behavior of the government because they haven't contained the danger but are escalating the triggers and this can result in another 9/11.

5. The fact that this happened on American soil means the government can't protect its people and the risks are real as they can be caught off guard again. This also points to the determination of the terrorists to cause real imminent and clear danger.

6. 9/11 shifted responsibilities from the government to the citizens as the government was acting contrary to the public interest. This is because it can be argued that committing war crimes abroad means not caring for own citizens as 9/11 was proof of that that terrorists will come to America to attack as revenge for the acts by their soldiers abroad.

7. After 9/11 everyone can be said to have been obligated to do whatever they can to prevent this from happening again. Surely the government on its own had failed 9/11 is proof. It must be emphasized that there were around 4 planes, that is four incidents and to make things worse

one even hit the Pentagon. This alone created the need for citizens as well to act to protect the American people.

8. It can be argued that Julia Assange acted the way he did first because the Existing, Clear, and Present Danger was still there.

a] The military was still committing war crimes [the 2010 video he had was proof]

b] Osama Bin Laden was still at large.

c]9/11 killed 3000 people.

d] Failure of the government to guarantee American lives on American soil shifted responsibilities from the government to the citizens because the government was implicated by the terrorists. Government can't use the Espionage Act when they are the ones responsible for the deaths in the first place.

e] If the government is the one implicated in all this, then this nullifies the Espionage Act in relation to the investigative journalist but triggers the First Amendment Act. Giving the civilians powers to hold the government to account.

9. In this case the citizen/investigative journalist is obligated to Escalate the situation in order to Deescalate it and contain the Existing, Clear, and Present Danger. But he must meet these conditions.

i] He escalated the situation in order to trigger debate and put checks in place to scrutinize the government in order to contain the situation so as to protect the civilians. But in this case, it is not about protecting the public interest per se. This is because in cases like this the investigative journalist is not doing this just to protect the public per see. This is the reason that even the Espionage Act does not give the public interest as a defense. What the journalist is doing by publishing the material is to escalate the situation to correct the breach in security. The government has been caught off guard. We have a security breach. Can someone find out what happened to the government? Why were there not in their position when the terrorists attacked even if that happened years ago? The breach in security is still there. To make things worse their soldiers are still doing what triggered the first attacks. Call the auditors to scrutinize the government.

So, this has nothing to do with the public interest as a priority BUT WITH the SECURITY OF THE COUNTRY. The government has failed and IS STILL FAILING their soldiers are still committing war crimes. Let us debate this. Do we need a temporary government to cover whilst we find all this?

So, the publication of the material is aimed at this. To scrutinize the government but to be able to deal with the Existing, Clear, and Present danger. So here all the journalist can do is publish the material to trigger debate, involve critics who can check the government and criticize it to find a solution, to effect a change from the government where they fortify their security.

Conditions to be met.

i] The journalist aims to release publications for the government itself and not any foreign government. It is like; 'we know what you are doing. Sort your house up.'

2] Intention of the journalist is not to take the government to court so must not have lodged a case with the court.

3] Aim of publication is to trigger public debate.

4] Aim of publication is for this to act as a deterrent in that this forces soldiers to stop committing war crimes making some confess about other near misses. Simply because now they know they can be exposed. Someone is watching them.

5] Main reason for publishing the material is to be able to contain the danger of another terrorist attack in an Escalate to Deescalate. Publishing escalates but triggers the need for the government to act quickly upon this thereby actually solving the problem.

10] This proves whether intended or not. Assange protected the American people. Assange made the government realize its vulnerability and act urgently to resolve this.

11] Assange made the government prioritize the threat at hand once and for all. This is proof. In 2009 November he released 570000 pager messages exchanged on 9/11. A vast amount of data for the FBI and the CIA to trace and find out who Osama Bin Laden etc contacted if any or get other leads. Mind you 9 years after they declared that Osama Bin Laden was the mastermind he was still at large. Existing, Clear, and Present Danger.

12] In 2010 Wikileaks released an Iraq video of possible war crimes and Afghanistan files. The same year Obama announced the withdrawal of troops.

13] Wikileaks exposed the FBI and CIA methodology. Stuck with digital staff when Osama has backtracked to primitive methods of pagers etc.

14] May 2011 less than a year. Osama Bin Laden is dead. The Existing Clear and Present danger has been contained.

15] But Al Zawahiri, his second man, is still at large. Meaning the risk is still there as he was the one who took over.

16] The government did not regard the release of the material as 'putting lives at risk' otherwise they could have pursued Al Zawahiri as well back in 2010. The fact that they waited until August 2022 to order the killing of Al Zawahiri meant they did not regard the release of the material as what they wanted you to believe; as putting lives at risk. Mind you they waited 9 years to hunt Osama only after Wikileaks gave them leads through 570000 pager messages.

17] Assange exercised his duty entrusted to him by the First Amendment Act to act as a check of the government where there is an Existing, Clear, and Present Danger. Especially where 3000 people had already died. He created the need for public debate about this. This is democracy.

18] The fact that the government went after him is the fact that they feel or felt partly responsible for the 9/11 deaths. Exonerating Assange would be an indirect admission of guilt. Instead of swallowing their pride and putting things in place to fix their shortfall, they would rather see him die in prison.

19] Assange went one step up from having a public interest which we know anyway that the Espionage Act disregards. To an even better position; that of holding the government to account. To create a platform for debate to trigger change which in turn will protect the American people.

20] Assange did not lodge any court cases with the courts his intentions are for a better government that protects its people on its soil and not one that is outsourcing terror. So outright regarded as an enemy of the state but the unlucky American hero. The fact that he is half Australian can be the single factor why the government wants him to be the first to be tried this way. Outright an enemy but his intentions were right.

21. Assange checks the government and scrutinizes it. A needed part of the democratic process. The government just tough on him just because they don't want to be told what to do otherwise, he becomes their boss. So, they want to tell everyone who is boss by giving him up to 175 years in jail.

22] Is the government innocent? Is it true that their foreign policy triggered 9/11?

23] Is the government at the time in question taking terrorists seriously? For 9 years they were using sophisticated FBI and CIA digital technology to search for Osama. By the look of it 9 years later he was still at large. 'Does the government need a new plan? Maybe go back to basics and get rid of all these FBI and CIA techniques and methods] use just track and trace through pagers. Here is all you need: 570000 pager messages from 9/11 I want this Existing, Clear, and Present Danger in Osama contained.'

24] I think after seeing 9/11 anyone will do what he did if in that position. Do you know that they can accuse one of withholding information that could have helped prevent a horrible attack or solve an issue?

25] Where there is an Existing, Clear, and Present Danger then this overrides the unauthorized access arguments, especially after the deaths of 3000 people.

26] The government waited for people to forget 9/11 first so they disregard events from this day and hold Assange unfairly. But we know all roads and leads lead back to 9/11. Of course, if you disregard 9/11 then it looks like he breached the Espionage Act. But it's not justice to ignore 9/11. Ask a question whether if he had released the same material just after the 9/11 terrorists

had struck and see if they would pin him down using the Espionage Act. They forgot all about 9/11.

27] So everything is and was triggered by 9/11. If it wasn't for 9/11 there probably would not be at war. So, no war crimes. If Osama had been killed by the time of the release of the material then they might have had a strong case but the Existing, Clear, and Present Danger was still at large and not contained. So, Assange is justified [looking at the horrific impact of 9/11] to have 'commanded the government' in an Escalate to De Escalate act.

28] Ask yourself why it took 9 years for any leads. Could it be a coincidence that only after he released the 570000-pager message from 9/11 did they announce the withdrawal of troops? Is it a coincidence that he exposed the shortfall of the FBI and CIA method in Nov 2010 and less than 6 months later they had gotten Osama Bin Laden? Coincidence or not, his actions helped contain the situation. He escalated the situation to trigger a sense of urgency in the government, so they prioritize containing the threat. He opened the subject for debate. He triggered the scrutinizing of the government. He audited the government.

He is an advisor to the government. They took his comments on board and soon after the Existing, Clear, and Present Danger was contained.

29] His crime is being the government's boss but now we know they don't like that.

30] His publishing was aimed at the government itself and not any foreign government. So, acting in the interest of the government.

31] He did not lodge a case with any international courts.

32] All this point to the fact that he was exercising his duty given by the First Amendment Act to hold the government to account where it recklessly outsources terror that puts risks to its civilians. Above all on its soil. Imagine the traumatic effect of a terror event that occurs on a country's soil. This showed also that government security was not perfect for the changing times.

33] Assange acted as an advisor to the government. He's no

different from a programmer who exposes the system's vulnerabilities in search of a job with the company he exposed. He exposed the vulnerabilities to challenging the status quo because he can do better. But the government thought he wanted to overthrow it. The government indeed uses indirect treason punishment in relation to crimes under the Espionage Act. This is why they want to match the punishment they would have given out if they had charged him with treason.

34] Assange is no traitor.

35] Assange is someone who wants to help and care about the American people. Someone who made the government put their house in order.

Above all the time that has passed and the fact that none of their soldiers were dragged to court and the fact that they pardoned his source all points to injustice we can't tolerate.

FREE JULIAN ASSANGE WITH IMMEDIATE EFFECT.

Signed

09/12/2022

David Gomadza

First Global President

www.twofuture.world

info@twofuture.world

007863020828