| PA-502 Delaware Co | unty Continuum of Care | HUD FY23 Lo | cal Compe | tition Scori | ng Tool Renewal Projects | |
|--|---|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Reviewer Name: | eporting Period: October 1, 2021 | - September 30, | 2022 Last R | evision: Augus | st 2, 2023 | |
| Applicant Organization | | | | | | |
| Project Name | | | | | | |
| CoC Funding Requested | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | | | | | |
| Applicant Applying to Consolidate? | | | | | | ! |
| Applicant Applying to Expand? | | | | | | |
| Scoring Category | System Performance Measure (SPM) Source | SPM Type | Total Points Available | Project Performanc e Data | Scoring Rubric | Points Awarde d |
| | | Criteria (worth up | | | | 1 |
| Data Completeness | Projects' percentage of completed values on HUD Annual Performance Review report (APR) Q6a and Q6b for Personally Identifiable Information (PII) and Universal Data Elements (UDEs) | Objective | 5 | | 98% or greater = 5 points 95 - 97% = 4 points 92 - 94% = 3 points 90 - 91% = 2 points 85 - 89% = 1 point 84% or less = 0 points | |
| Data Accuracy | Projects' percentage of accurate values on APR Q6a and Q6b for PII and UDEs | Objective | 5 | | 92% or greater = 5 points 85 - 91% = 3 points 80 - 84% = 1 points 79% or less = 0 points | |
| Timely APR Submission | Timely submission of APRs to HUD (within 90 days) | Objective | 5 | | 5 points = Submitted on time 0 points = Not submitted on time | |
| Timeliness of Annual Assessments | 30 days before or after the anniversary of client's Project Start Date - APR Q6c | Objective | 5 | | 98% or greater = 5 points 95 - 97% = 4 points 92 - 94% = 3 points 90 - 91% = 2 points 85 - 89% = 1 point 84% or less = 0 points | |
| Funding- timeliness of expenditures | HUD Quarterly Spenddown Reports | Objective | 5 | | 5 points = Benchmark met 0 points = Benchmark not met | |
| Funding- utilization rates | HUD Quarterly Spenddown Reports | Objective | 7 | | 100% expended = 7 points 95 - 99% expended = 5 points 90 - 94% expended = 3 points 85 - 89% expended = 1 point 84% or less = 0 points | |
| Subtotals | | | 32 | | 30 | 0 |
| | | ormance (worth ι | | s) | | |
| Length of Time Homeless while enrolled in project - HUD System Performance Measures report (SPM) Metric 1b. | Length of time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date - HMIS | Objective | 10 | | 45 days or less = 10 points 46 - 55 days = 8 points 56 - 64 days = 5 points 65 - 74 days = 3 points 75 days or more = 0 points | |
| Successful housing placements (exits or retentions) - SPM 2. | Percentage of persons that have exited to a permanent housing destination - HMIS | Objective | 15 | | 90 - 100% = 15 points 81 - 89% = 13 points 75 - 80% = 10 points 70 - 74% = 7 points 65 - 69% = 3 point 64% or less = 0 points | |
| Returns to homelessness within two years of program exit - SPM 2. | Percentage of persons returning to homelessness within 24 months of exiting to permanent housing - HMIS | Objective | 15 | | 5% or less = 15 points 6 - 10% = 13 points 11 - 20% = 10 points 21 - 30% = 7 points 31 - 50% = 5 points 51 - 60% = 3 points 50% or more = 0 points | |

| Change in total income for adult stayers - SPM 4.3. | Percentage of adults who increased total income (earned and non-employment) over reporting period - HMIS | Objective | 5 | 70 - 100% = 5 points 50 - 69% = 3 points 40 - 49% = 1 point 39% or less = 0 points | |
|---|---|--|--------------------|---|---|
| Change in earned income for adult leavers - SPM 4.4. | Percentage of adults who increased total earned income over reporting period - HMIS | Objective | 5 | 71 - 100% = 5 points 50 - 69% = 3 points 40 - 49% = 1 point 39% or less = 0 points | |
| Change in non-employment cash income for adult leavers - SPM 4.5. | Percentage of adults who increased non-cash income over reporting period - HMIS | Objective | 5 | 72 - 100% = 5 points 50 - 69% = 3 points 40 - 49% = 1 point 39% or less = 0 points | |
| Subtotals | | | 55 | 55 | 0 |
| Proj. Applicant's board and staff reflect the diversity of the community served. | ect Applicant Commitment to Eq Renewal Applicant Equity, Inclusion, and Housing First Survey Responses - Q4. | uity, Inclusion, and Qualitative based on Non- conflicted Review Team Average Score | d Housing Fir 2 | st (worth up to 13 points) Applicant demonstrates excellent commitment = 2 points Applicant demonstrates some commitment = 1 point Applicant demonstrates no commitment = 0 points | |
| Applicant has robust equal access and non-discrimination policies. | Renewal Applicant Equity, Inclusion, and Housing First Survey Attachments - Q5. | Qualitative based on Non- conflicted Review Team Average Score | 3 | Applicant has excellent policies = 3 points Applicant has satisfactory policies = 2 points Applicant did not submit any policies = 0 points | |
| Applicant demonstrates a commitment to Housing First. | Renewal Applicant Equity, Inclusion, and Housing First Survey Responses - Q7. | Qualitative based on Non- conflicted Review Team Average Score | 5 | Applicant delivers all five Housing First services = 5 points Applicant delivers four Housing First services = 4 points Applicant delivers three Housing First services = 3 points Applicant delivers two Housing First services = 2 points Applicant delivers one Housing First services = 1 point Applicant delivers zero Housing First services = 0 points | |
| Applicant demonstrates a commitment to including people with lived experience of homelessness in project decision-making. | Renewal Applicant Equity, Inclusion, and Housing First Survey Responses - Q8. | Qualitative based on Non- conflicted Review Team Average Score | 3 | Applicant demonstrates excellent commitment = 3 points Applicant demonstrates some commitment = 2 point Applicant demonstrates no commitment = 0 points | |
| Subtotals | | | 13 | 20 | 0 |
| TOTAL POINTS | | | 100 | 100 | 0 |
| Does reviewer recommend this project for CoC funding? | | | | | |
| Describe any recommended changes. | | | | 1 | |