

★ March 24th Early voting ★

CANDIDATE SUPPORT LIKELIHOOD (0-8)

Analyzed off of 10 Responses and Rebuttal Answers

This Chart was created with AI — Unbiased approach

CATEGORY	FABER	DUNN	MILLER	VERENSKI	WOODKE
Higher Taxes (lisk)	5	2	4	3	6
Cut Spending	4	8	4	4	5
Pro-Oevelopment	3	2	5	4	5
Slow Develement	4	7	4	5	4
Strategic Development	7	6	6	7	6
Lakes Preservation	8	NM	1	NM	NM
Modernization / AI	7	NM	3	NM	1
Recreation / Parks	3	NM	NM	NM	NM
Public Input	5	7	7	6	4
Transparency	6	6	4	6	4
Fiscal Discipline	6	7	4	5	6
Rural Character	6	6	6	6	4

Lower the number the less likely they would support that subject

Higher the number the more likely they would support that subject

NM- Not mentioned in responses

This rating was produced through **Microsoft Copilot AI**. It is based exclusively on the candidates' answers to the ten questions and their rebuttals, without any outside commentary or interpretation. AI does not judge personalities or politics; it evaluates issues only. In my view, that makes this an unbiased, data-driven assessment.

Overview- Co-pilot AI with AI Perplexity graphics

This document summarizes the strengths and weaknesses of five Salem Lakes candidates based on their confirmed chart scores and written responses. That assessment is based on what you feel is important. Each candidate's alignment with key issue categories is evaluated on a scale from 0 to 8, with higher scores indicating stronger emphasis or support. "NM" denotes items not mentioned in their written responses.

This document was cross-checked in multiple ways to ensure accuracy and to minimize the possibility of AI-related errors. If any candidate believes their score does not reflect their stated positions, they are encouraged to provide clarification in the comments so their views can be represented more precisely.

Faber

Strengths or Weakness depending on your view

- Lakes Preservation (8): Deep emotional and historical emphasis.
- Strategic Development (7): Strong Smart Growth planning and zoning leadership.
- Modernization / AI (7): Advocates for AI adoption.
- Fiscal Discipline (6): Supports budget workshops and debt control.
- Transparency (6): Promotes open meetings and public comparisons.

Weaknesses or Strength depending on your view

- Pro-Development (3): Cautious stance.
- Recreation / Parks (3): Light mention.

Dunn

Strengths or Weaknesses depending on your view

- Cut Spending (8): Strong cost-cutting and anti-waste stance.
- Slow Development (7): Advocates for low-density, controlled growth.
- Fiscal Discipline (7): Emphasizes long-term financial planning.
- Public Input (7): Strong community engagement.
- Transparency (6): Wants fewer closed sessions and more openness.

Weaknesses or Strengths depending on your view

- Pro-Development (2): Opposes big-box growth.
- Higher Taxes (2): Strong anti-tax stance.
- Lakes Preservation, Modernization / AI, Recreation / Parks: Not mentioned.

Miller

Strengths or Weaknesses depending on your view

- Public Input (7): Strong resident engagement.
- Rural Character (6): Supports preserving community identity.
- Strategic Development (6): Advocates for community-guided planning.
- Slow Development (6): Supports sustainable growth.

Weaknesses or Strengths depending on your view

- Lakes Preservation (1): No environmental emphasis.
- Transparency (4): Moderate focus.
- Fiscal Discipline (4): Supports grants and management but less emphasis.
- Recreation / Parks: Not mentioned.

Verenski

Strengths or Weaknesses depending on your view

- Strategic Development (7): Strong planning and zoning leadership.
- Public Input (6): Emphasizes community voice.
- Rural Character (6): Advocates for preserving charm.
- Transparency (6): Promotes open communication.

Weaknesses or Strengths depending on your view

- Lakes Preservation, Modernization / AI, Recreation / Parks: Not mentioned.
- Fiscal Discipline (5): Moderate emphasis.
- Pro-Development (4): Balanced but cautious.

Woodke

Strengths or Weaknesses depending on your view

- Strategic Development (6): Supports structured growth and TIDs.
- Fiscal Discipline (6): Emphasizes cost control and planning.
- Pro-Development (5): Supports smart growth.

Weaknesses or Strengths depending on your view

- Modernization / AI (1): No emphasis.
- Lakes Preservation, Recreation / Parks: Not mentioned.
- Transparency (4): Moderate focus.
- Public Input (4): Supports resident priorities but not dominant.

Summary

Each candidate demonstrates distinct strengths:

- **Faber** leads in lakes, modernization, and strategic planning.
- **Dunn** is strongest on spending cuts, slow growth, and public engagement.
- **Miller** emphasizes community involvement and rural preservation.
- **Verenski** balances strategic growth with transparency and collaboration.
- **Woodke** focuses on fiscal discipline and structured development.

This alignment confirms that the chart scores are consistent with each candidate's own words and priorities.

This document was cross-checked in multiple ways to ensure accuracy and to minimize the possibility of AI-related errors. If any candidate believes their score does not reflect their stated positions, they are encouraged to provide clarification in the comments so their views can be represented more precisely.

Also Visit - keepsalemlakesboard.com or changesalemsboard.com