

PAREA Minimum Content Requirements Certified Residential Classification

This matrix should be completed and submitted with your application to ensure that all minimum content requirements are met. Please view our <u>PAREA webpage</u> and the <u>PAREA Policies</u> document prior to filling out this matrix. To view other information that needs to be included with your application, please view the <u>PAREA Application Guide</u> and the current <u>Real Property Criteria</u>.

For ease of use, we have included a table of content for the sections below.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| SECTION | TITLE | PAGE |
|---------|---|------|
| I | Problem Identification | 3 |
| II | Review Section I with Mentor | 3 |
| III | Positive or Negative Locational Influences | 3 |
| IV | Residential Market Analysis/Highest and Best Use | 3 |
| V | Review Sections III and IV with Mentor | 3 |
| VI | Physical Characteristics of Complex Properties – identify and discuss | 3 |
| VII | Vacant Sites (including View Amenities, Surplus Land) | 4 |
| VIII | Use of Key Statistical Concepts | 4 |
| IX | Key Market Driving Influences | 4 |
| Х | Review Sections VI thru IX with Mentor | 4 |
| XI | Site Valuation and Cost Approaches | 4 |

| XII | Review Section XI with Mentor | 5 |
|-------|---------------------------------|---|
| XIII | Sales Comparison Approach | 5 |
| XIV | Review Section XIII with Mentor | 6 |
| XV | Income Approach | 6 |
| XVI | Review Section XV with Mentor | 7 |
| XVII | Writing and Reasoning Skills | 7 |
| XVIII | Review Section XVII with Mentor | 8 |

| TOPIC | | COMPLETED? LOCATION WITHIN MODULE | | | |
|-------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| I. | . Problem Identification | | | | |
| Α. | Relevant Scope of Work and Competency Issues | Involved | | | |
| | Develop exercises on how competency issues will be resolved | | | | |
| | Conduct a preliminary analysis to ensure an appropriate Scope of Work | | | | |
| II. | Review Section I with Mentor | | | | |
| A. | Ensure understanding of how issues uncovered during property identification process relate to complexity. Also, focus on complex ownership issues | | | | |
| III. | Positive or Negative Locational Influences | | | | |
| Α. | Recognize Population/Employment Trends | | | | |
| B. | Determine and discuss relationships between employment, population, and residential units (Single Unit Residential vs. 2-4 Unit Residential over time | | | | |
| IV. | Residential Market Analysis/Highest and Best | t Use | | | |
| A. | Market Analysis Issues Related to Highest and Best Use for Complex Properties | | | | |
| В. | Special Assessments | | | | |
| ٧. | Review Sections III and IV with Mentor | | | | |
| A. | Ensure key analytical issues related to market conditions and highest and best use are effectively addressed. | | | | |
| VI. | Physical Characteristics of Complex Properties | es – identify and discuss | | | |
| Α. | Unique Design Features | | | | |
| В. | High Quality/Amenity Properties | | | | |
| C. | Over-improvements | | | | |

| D. | Physical Deficiencies of Improvements | | |
|-------|--|---------|--|
| E. | Functional Inadequate and Super Adequate Impact | | |
| VII. | Vacant Sites (Including View Amenities, Surplus | s Land) | |
| A. | Develop exercises that contain issues covered under Site and Cost Approaches | | |
| VIII. | Use of Key Statistical Concepts | | |
| Α. | Develop appropriate statistical tools to be used in development of opinion of value | | |
| В. | Explain and support their application | | |
| IX. | Key Market Driving Influences | | |
| A. | Determine most appropriate units of comparison (market drivers) | | |
| В. | Identify market preferences for characteristics and amenities (e.g., parking, # beds, # baths, GLA) | | |
| X. | Review Sections VI thru IX with Mentor | | |
| A. | Ensure key analytical issues related to market conditions and highest and best use are effectively addressed | | |
| B. | Confirm appropriate items have been identified and analyzed for proper application in determination of opinion of value | | |
| XI. | Site Valuation and Cost Approaches | | |
| Α. | Site Valuation | | |
| | Extract comparable land/site sales data that will adequately support adjustments for contributing value of unique attributes associated with complex vacant sites (view, entitlements, amenities, surplus/excess land) | | |
| | | | |

| В. | Develop a supportable Land/Site Valuation - using the following methods: | | | |
|-------|--|---|----------------|----------------------------------|
| | 1 | Allocation | | |
| | 2 | Market extraction | | |
| | 3 | Ground rent capitalization | | |
| | 4 | Land residual method; and | | |
| | 5 | Sales comparison | | |
| C. | | entify all relevant current listings, expired listings, expired listings, closed sales, and pending sales | ngs, withdrawr | listings, offers (if available), |
| | 1 | Exercises related to high amenity structures | | |
| | 2 | Discuss local cost influences | | |
| D. | Fu | nctional Obsolescence | | |
| | 1 | Distinguish between curable and incurable forms | | |
| | 2 | Analyze and support conclusions on obsolescence, including lack thereof, associated with complex properties | | |
| E. | Ex | ternal Obsolescence | | |
| | 1 | Analyze and support conclusions on obsolescence, including lack thereof, associated with complex properties | | |
| XII. | F | Review Section XI with Mentor | | |
| A. | | sure the Cost Approach has been performed operly. | | |
| XIII. | 5 | Sales Comparison Approach | | |
| A. | Sa | lles Concessions | | |
| | 1 | Is the subject property subject to sales concessions? | | |

| | 2 | Identify and discuss application (or not) of any sales concessions in comparable data based on market norms | | |
|------|-------------------------|---|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| | 3 | Cash equivalency related to financing terms | | |
| В. | lde | entifying and Applying Atypical Adjustments - | - develop suppo | ort related to the following: |
| | 1 | High amenity custom quality adjustments | | |
| | 2 | Site adjustments | | |
| | 3 | Adjustment support/matched pairs, statistical methods | | |
| | 4 | Adjustment support for unique one-off property sales including those with Accessory Dwelling Units | | |
| XIV. | F | Review Section XIII with Mentor | | |
| A. | | sure the sales comparison approach has en performed properly. | | |
| | | | | |
| XV. | I | ncome Approach | | |
| XV. | | ncome Approach I Unit Appraisals | | |
| | | | | |
| | 1-4 | Unit Appraisals | | |
| | 1-4 | Perform collection of comparable rent data | | |
| | 1 2 | Perform collection of comparable rent data Complex rental adjustments Understand and apply impact of complex | | |
| | 1 2 3 4 | Perform collection of comparable rent data Complex rental adjustments Understand and apply impact of complex amenities Factor for Expense allocations between | wing: | |
| A. | 1 2 3 4 | Perform collection of comparable rent data Complex rental adjustments Understand and apply impact of complex amenities Factor for Expense allocations between comparable transactions | wing: | |
| A. | 1-4 1 2 3 4 | Perform collection of comparable rent data Complex rental adjustments Understand and apply impact of complex amenities Factor for Expense allocations between comparable transactions ique 2-4 unit assignments – discuss the following the comparable transactions | wing: | |

| | 4 | Seasonal and short-term rentals |
|------|-----|--|
| C. | GF | RM analysis |
| | 1 | Non-market rent impact on GRM |
| | 2 | Perform reconciliation of GRM indicators |
| XVI. | F | Review Section XV with Mentor |
| A. | en | view the Income approach to value and sure proper analysis and support for nclusions |
| XVII | . V | Vriting and Reasoning Skills |
| A. | | Data Presentation |
| | 1 | Develop presentation of data in tables, charts, and graphs as appropriate |
| | 2 | Express succinct narrative using active voice, direct statements, shorter words, shorter paragraphs and placing the bottom-line up front |
| | | Underscore proper and understandable use of English |
| | | a Have another proofread whenever possible |
| В. | Dis | scussion of Approaches to Value |
| | 1 | Adjust depth of discussion to the intended user(s) |
| C. | Su | pport for Conclusions |
| | 1 | Clearly state conclusions throughout the report. Each conclusion requires credible support and logical reconciliation |

| D. | Summary of Data and Reconciliation of Value Approaches | | | |
|------|--|---|--|--|
| | 1 | Summarize the quantity, quality, reliability, and relevance of data available for application in each approach performed. The reconciliation and final value opinion must be consistent with the conclusions of this summary regarding the most germane approach to value | | |
| XVII | XVIII. Review Section XVII with Mentor | | | |
| A. | | sure understanding of effective appraisal port presentation and required content | | |
| В. | En | sure compliance with Standards Rule 2-2 | | |