# DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF INTERPRETER POSITIONS (Full-time) IN THE COURTS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA <br> July-December 2013 

| State | Number of Jurisdictions Per State with 1+ Full-time Staff Interpreter <br> (\# of Counties/Districts with Staff Interpreters/ <br> Total \# of Counties/Districts) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Federal <br> Staff Int. <br> (Districts) | State Staff Int. | County Staff Int. (Counties) | City-Municipal Staff Int. (Cities) | \# of Different Jurisdiction Types with 1+ Staff Int. |
| Alabama | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alaska | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arizona | 1 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 3 |
| Arkansas | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| California | 4 | $0^{1}$ $(33$ of 58 counties $)$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Colorado | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Connecticut | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Delaware | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Florida | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { ( } 12 \text { of } 20 \\ \text { districts) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Georgia | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Hawaii | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Idaho | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Illinois | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
| Indiana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Iowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kansas | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Louisiana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maryland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Massachusetts | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Michigan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^0]| State | Number of Jurisdictions Per State with1+ Full-time Staff Interpreter(\# of Counties/Districts with Staff Interpreters/Total \# of Counties/Districts) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Federal <br> Staff Int. <br> (Districts) | State <br> Staff Int. | County <br> Staff Int. <br> (Counties) | City-Municipal Staff Int. (Cities) | \# of Different Jurisdiction Types with $1+$ Staff Int. |
| Minnesota | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Mississippi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Missouri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Montana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nebraska | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Nevada | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Jersey | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { (16 of /21 } \\ \text { counties) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| New Mexico | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| New York | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| North Carolina | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| North Dakota | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ohio | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Oklahoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oregon | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Pennsylvania | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| Puerto Rico | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Rhode Island | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| South Carolina | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| South Dakota | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tennessee | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Texas | 2 |  | 6 | 3 | 4 |
| Utah | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Vermont | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virginia | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Washington | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| West Virginia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wisconsin | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Wyoming | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 15 states/ 20 districts | 18 | 13 states/ 40 counties | 5 states/ 18 cities |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ As explained in Chapter 4 of the Database and in the Lee-Hoeber article, "Interpreter Compensation in the Courts:
    A Descriptive Study," published in Court Manager, employees who work for the Superior Court of California, technically speaking, are neither state nor county employees. Since they are state funded, there are included here under the "State" column but are not counted in the totals at the bottom of this column.

