<i>:</i>	1	Kevin D. Hughes (SBN 188749)	FILED Superior Court Of California County Of Los Augeles
	2	khughes@t-nlaw.com Linda J. Kim (SBN 181122)	FEB 29 2016
	3	lkim@t-nlaw.com TISDALE & NICHOLSON, LLP	Sherri R. Ligher, executive Officer/Clerk By Novethar Course. Kristina Vareas
	4	2029 Century Park East, Suite 900	Kristina Vargat Deputy
	5	Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 286-1260	
	6	Facsimile: (310) 286-2351	
	7	Attorneys for Defendants	
	8		
	9	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	10		
		FOR THE COUNT	TY OF LOS ANGELES
	11	·	
	12	CATHY GREEN, an individual, individually) and on behalf of the public; JONATHAN)	CASE NO.: BC 598098
	13	FAIRBANKS, an individual, individually and)	
	14	on behalf of the public; KIMBERLY) WRIGHT, an individual, individually and on)	Bryant-Deason, Dept. 52]
	15	behalf of the public; and KRISTINA)	ANSWER TO VERIFIED SECOND AMENDED
	16	WARNER, an individual, individually and on) behalf of the public,	COMPLAINT
	17) Plaintiffs,	, in the second
	18)	
	19) vs.)	
	20) MELISSA BACELAR, an individual; GAIL)	
	21	BACELAR, an individual; THE WYLDER)	
		FOUNDATION, a California nonprofit public) benefit corporation; WYLDER'S SWEET)	
	22	SHOP, LLC, California corporation dba)	
	23	WYLDER'S PET CENTER & RESCUE;) WYLDER'S HOLISTIC PET CENTER,)	CIT/CASE LEA/DEF#, RECEIPT # DATE PAID PAYMENT: RECEIVED: CHE CHE CHE CAR
	24	INC., a Delaware corporation dba THE)	CASE: DEF#: PAID: CFAID: CHECK: CHECK: CHANGE CARD:
	25	WAGMOR LUXURY PET HOTEL & SPA;) and DOES 1 to 15, Inclusive.	8059 #2,178 #2,178
i	26	,	
ı	27	Defendants.	
	28		08:36 \$2,1
•		G:\Worldox\CLIENT\$\1261\001\LJK2571.DOC 1	\$\frac{\pi}{\pi}\frac
		· Al	ພິດ ດີດ ຕ NSWER TO VERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

(;) (;)

(E) (E)

۲.)

F-3 (E)

Defendants Melissa Bacelar, Gail Bacelar, The Wylder Foundation, Wylder's Sweet Shop, LLC, and Wylder's Holistic Pet Center, Inc. ("Defendants") answer the Verified Second Amended Complaint ("Second Amended Complaint") herein, in accordance with the numbered paragraphs thereof, as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 1 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 2. Defendant The Wylder Foundation admits that it does not rescue all of its dogs from shelters. The remaining Defendants deny any inference that they, as opposed to The Wylder Foundation, rescue and place dogs for adoption. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 2 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 3. Defendants admit that Studio City is located within the City of Los Angeles. The remaining allegations constitute legal conclusions for which no answer is required. Defendants deny any remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 3 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 4. Defendants admit that defendants Melissa Bacelar and Gail Bacelar are President and Vice President, respectively, of The Wylder Foundation. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 4 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 5. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 5 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 6. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 6 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 7. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in numbered paragraph 7 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
 - 8. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 8

(i)

of the Second Amended Complaint.

- 9. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 9 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 10. Defendant The Wylder Foundation admits that it places adult dogs and puppies for adoption. Defendants deny each and every remaining allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 10 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 11. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 11 of the Second Amended Complaint.

PARTIES

- 12. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 12 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 13. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 13 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 14. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 14 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 15. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 15 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 16. Defendants admit that Melissa Bacelar is a resident of Los Angeles County, State of California; that she is the President of Defendant Wylder Foundation; that she is a shareholder of Defendant Wylder's Holistic Pet Center, Inc. dba The Wagmor; that Wylder's Sweet Shop, LLC and Wylder's Holistic Pet Center, Inc. each do business in Los Angeles County, State of California. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 16 of the Second Amended Complaint.

- 17. Defendants admit that Gail Bacelar is a resident of Los Angeles County, State of California; and that she is the Vice President of Defendant The Wylder Foundation. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 17 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 18. Defendants admit the allegations contained in the first two sentences of this numbered paragraph 18 of the Second Amended Complaint. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 18 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 19. Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 20. Defendants deny and each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 20 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 21. Defendants deny and each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 21 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 22. Defendants deny and each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 22 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 23. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 23 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 24. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 24 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

25. Defendants admit that they reside and/or transact business in the County of Los Angeles. The remaining allegations constitute legal conclusions for which no answer is required. Defendants deny any remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 25 of the Second Amended Complaint.

4

8

10

12

16

18

17

1920

21

22 23

24

(1)

(y)

) 25 (*) 26

(i) 27

(1)

G:\Worldox\CLIENTS\1261\001\LJK2571.DOC

ALTER EGO ALLEGATIONS

- 26. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 26 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 27. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 27 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 28. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 28 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 29. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 29 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 30. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 30 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 31. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 31 of the Second Amended Complaint.

GENERAL FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 32. Defendants admit that the website at www.wydersholisticpetcenter.com contains statements regarding Melissa Bacelar, the contents of which speak for themselves. Defendants deny the allegations of this paragraph to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt improperly to recharacterize the contents of such website. Defendants deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph.
- 33. Defendants admit that Defendant The Wylder Foundation is a California nonprofit public benefit corporation. The contents of Exhibits A and C speak for themselves, and Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 33 to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt improperly to recharacterize the contents of such documents. Defendants deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph.
- 34. The contents of Exhibit C speak for themselves, and Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 34 to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt improperly to recharacterize the contents of such document. Defendants deny the

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

 (\cdot, \cdot)

 (\cdot)

(i)

 remaining allegations of this paragraph.

- 35. Defendants admit that Wylder's Sweet Shop, LLC is an entity located in Studio City, California but lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegation that it is a "pet boutique" insofar as it is unclear what is meant thereby, and therefore deny such allegation. Its website speaks for itself, and Defendants deny the allegations of this paragraph to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt improperly to recharacterize the contents of such website. Defendants deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph.
- 36. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 36 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 37. The contents of Exhibit C and the referenced website speak for themselves, and Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 37 to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt improperly to recharacterize the contents of such document. Defendants admit that no member of The Wylder Foundation visited the homes of the Plaintiffs. Defendants deny the remaining allegations of this numbered paragraph 37.
- 38. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in the first sentence of this numbered paragraph 38, and therefore deny such allegations. Defendants deny the allegations contained in the second sentence of this numbered paragraph 38. The www.Petfinder.com postings speak for themselves. Defendants deny the allegations of this paragraph to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt improperly to recharacterize the contents of such postings. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations of this numbered paragraph 38 and therefore deny such allegations.
- 39. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph39 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 40. Defendants admit that Gail Bacelar is the Vice-President, and thus an employee, of the Wylder Foundation. Defendants also admit that Gail Bacelar is the mother of Melissa Bacelar. With the exception of the allegations contained in the last sentence, Defendants deny the remaining

allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 40. With respect to the allegations contained in the last sentence, Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer such allegations and therefore deny such allegations.

- 41. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in the first sentence and therefore deny such allegations. Defendants deny each and every remaining allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 41 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 42. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 42 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 43. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 43 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 44. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 44 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 45. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 45 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 46. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 46 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 47. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 47 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 48. Defendants admit that The Wylder Foundation has placed for adoption over 800 adult dogs and puppies since February 2014. Defendants deny each and every remaining allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 48 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 49. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 49 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 50. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 50 of the Second Amended Complaint.
 - 51. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 51

of the Second Amended Complaint.

- 52. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 52 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 53. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 53 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 54. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 54 of the Second Amended Complaint.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND RELATING TO THUMPER'S DEATH

- 55. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 55 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 56. Defendants admit that Plaintiff Green called Defendant Wylder's Sweet Shop, LLC and spoke with Defendant Gail Bacelar. Defendants deny each and every remaining allegation of this numbered paragraph 56 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 57. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in the first sentence of this numbered paragraph 57 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 57 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 58. Defendants deny the allegations contained in the first two sentences of this numbered paragraph 58 of the Second Amended Complaint. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in the last sentence of this numbered paragraph 58 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 59. Defendants admit that Plaintiff Green picked out one of the Malti-poo puppies and that Plaintiff Green had the puppy socialize with Bambi in an isolated, sterilized area of the store. Defendants deny each and every remaining allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 59 of the Second Amended Complaint.
 - 60. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 60

11

13

12

1415

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

(1)

 (\cdot, \cdot)

25 26

.) D 27

(1) 28

of the Second Amended Complaint.

- 61. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 61 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 62. Defendants deny any implication that "Winona" is the same puppy as the one adopted by Plaintiff Green. Defendants admit that Plaintiff Green was given a voucher for her puppy to receive micro-chipping and sterilization. Defendants admit the allegations contained in the last sentence of this paragraph. Except as expressly admitted, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 62 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 63. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 63 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 64. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 64 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 65. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 65 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 66. Bambi's medical records indicate Bambi was sick prior to September 4, 2015.

 Defendants admit the allegations contained in the last sentence of this numbered paragraph 66 of the Second Amended Complaint. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 66 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 67. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 67 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 68. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 68 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
 - 69. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained

(·) 23 (·) 24

()

 $\langle i \rangle$

in this numbered paragraph 69 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.

- 70. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 70 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 71. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations as to whether and when Plaintiff Green received the email attached as Exhibit "E" and therefore deny such allegations. Defendants also deny any allegation that the email was from all Defendants. The email speaks for itself. Defendants deny the allegations of this paragraph to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt improperly to recharacterize the contents of such email.
- 72. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 72 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 73. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in the first two sentences and last sentence of this numbered paragraph 73 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer any allegations as to the date of Plaintiff Green's telephone call. Defendants deny each and every remaining allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 73 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 74. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations as to whether and when Plaintiff Green received the email attached as Exhibit "F" and therefore deny such allegations. Defendants also deny any allegation that the email was from all Defendants. The email speaks for itself. Defendants deny the allegations of this paragraph to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt improperly to recharacterize the contents of such email. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 74 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 75. The email attached as Exhibit "F" speaks for itself. Defendants deny the allegations of this paragraph to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt

improperly to recharacterize the contents of such email. Defendants deny the allegations contained in the last sentence of this numbered paragraph 75 of the Second Amended Complaint.

- 76. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations as to whether and when Thumper died and whether and when Plaintiff Green received the email attached as Exhibit "G" and therefore deny such allegations. The email speaks for itself.

 Defendants deny the allegations of this paragraph to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt improperly to recharacterize the contents of such email.

 Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 76 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 77. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 77 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 78. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 78 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 79. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations as to whether and when Plaintiff Green received the cease and desist letter and therefore deny such allegations. The letter speaks for itself. Defendants deny the allegations of this paragraph to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt improperly to recharacterize the contents of such letter.
- 80. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 80 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 81. Defendants deny the allegations contained in the first sentence of this numbered paragraph 81 of the Second Amended Complaint. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 81 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.

5

7

8

11

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

(i) 23 : 24

(:)

() †.) 25 ... 26

(i) 26 (i) 27 (ii) 28 FACTUAL BACKGROUND RELATING TO KOBI & WALTER'S DEATHS

82. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 82 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.

- 83. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 83 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 84. Defendants admit that Plaintiff Wright spoke with Defendant Melissa Bacelar and that Plaintiff Wright inquired into the origin of the Mini Schnauzers mentioned on www.Petfinder.com. Defendants deny each and every remaining allegation of this numbered paragraph 84 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 85. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 85 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 86. Defendants deny the allegations contained in the second sentence of this numbered paragraph 86 of the Second Amended Complaint. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 86 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 87. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 87 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 88. Defendants admit that the donation of \$1200.00 was paid for the Mini Schnauzer puppies and that the puppies were renamed Kobi and Walter. Defendants deny each and every remaining allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 88 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 89. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 89 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
 - 90. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations

contained in this numbered paragraph 90 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.

- 91. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 91 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 92. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 92 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 93. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 93 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 94. Defendants admit that Melissa Bacelar did not inform Plaintiff Wright that puppies that were never placed for adoption did die of the Parvovirus in April 2015. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 94 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 95. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 95 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 96. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 96 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 97. The text message attached as Exhibit "H" speaks for itself. Defendants deny the allegations of this paragraph to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt improperly to recharacterize the contents of such message. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 97 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 98. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 98 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny

- 99. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 99 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- attached as part of Exhibit "I" speak for themselves. Defendants deny the allegations of this paragraph to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt improperly to recharacterize the contents of such messages. Defendants admit that Defendant Melissa Bacelar is the writer of the two text messages attributed to Melissa Bacelar in this paragraph but currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 100 of the Second Amended Complaint, including but not limited to allegations regarding the date and time of the text messages, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 101. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 101 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 102. Defendants admit that Defendant Melissa Bacelar informed Plaintiff Wright that she had spoken with the two owners of the other two Mini Schnauzers, that she had been advised that the other two Mini Schnauzers were not sick, and that she had suggested that the two owners take their puppies to The Wylder Foundation's veterinarian. Defendants deny each and every remaining allegation contained in this numbered paragraph 102 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 103. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 103 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 104. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 104 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.

- 105. Defendants deny any allegation that Defendants engaged in any intentional misrepresentations. Defendants admit that Plaintiff Wright started her own fundraising page. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 105 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 106. The allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 106 of the Second Amended Complaint are unintelligible. Thus, Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 106 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 107. Defendants admit that The Wylder Foundation refunded \$1000 to Plaintiffs
 Fairbanks and Wright but deny the remaining allegations of this numbered paragraph 107 of the
 Second Amended Complaint.
- 108. The referenced text message from Defendant Melissa Bacelar attached as part of Exhibit "I" speaks for itself. Defendants deny the allegations of this paragraph to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt improperly to recharacterize the contents of such message. Defendants admit that Defendant Melissa Bacelar is the author of the text message attributed to Melissa Bacelar in this paragraph but currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations regarding the date of the text message, and therefore deny such allegations. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 108 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 109. The referenced text message from Defendant Melissa Bacelar attached as part of Exhibit "K" speaks for itself. Defendants deny the allegations of this paragraph to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt improperly to recharacterize the contents of such message. Defendants admit that Defendant Melissa Bacelar is the author of the text message attributed to Melissa Bacelar in this paragraph, but Defendants deny that Defendant Melissa Bacelar admits to puppy flipping. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to

answer the remaining allegations of this numbered paragraph 109 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.

- 110. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 110 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 111. The referenced text message from Defendant Melissa Bacelar attached as part of Exhibit "L" speaks for itself. Defendants deny the allegations of this paragraph to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt improperly to recharacterize the contents of such message. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 111 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- Defendants admit that an attorney representing Defendant Melissa Bacelar sent an email on or about September 18, 2015 to the attorney for Plaintiffs Fairbanks and Wright. The email speaks for itself. Defendants deny the allegations of this paragraph to the extent they inaccurately quote, mischaracterize or otherwise attempt improperly to recharacterize the contents of such email. Defendants deny any remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 112 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 113. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 113 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND RELATING TO DAKOTA'S DEATH

- 114. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 114 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 115. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph115 of the Second Amended Complaint.
 - 116. Defendants lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained

(:)

 $\langle | \rangle$

in this numbered paragraph 116 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.

- 117. Defendants deny any inference that the \$600 did not constitute a donation.

 Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 117 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 118. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 118 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 119. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 119 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 120. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 120 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 121. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 121 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- paragraph 122 of the Second Amended Complaint. Defendants also admit that one \$200 donation was paid directly to the veterinarian whom Plaintiff Warner indicated was the veterinarian treating the puppy she adopted from The Wylder Foundation. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 120 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.
- 123. Defendants currently lack information or belief sufficient to answer the allegations contained in this numbered paragraph 120 of the Second Amended Complaint, and therefore deny such allegations.

Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph (Negligent Misrepresentation Against All Defendants) Defendants adopt and reallege their responses to paragraphs 1 through 125 of the Second Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph (Intentional Misrepresentation Against All Defendants) Defendants adopt and reallege their responses to paragraphs 1 through 131 of the Second Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph

ANSWER TO VERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph

133.

1

ANSWER TO VERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

154.

1

Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§17200 et seq. Against All Defendants) Defendants adopt and reallege their responses to paragraphs 1 through 156 of the Second Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph

ANSWER TO VERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph

cond graph graph
graph graph
graph graph
graph graph
graph graph
graph
graph
_
_
;raph
graph
graph
-
econd
graph
MPLAINT

Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this numbered paragraph

1

2

174 of the Second Amended Complaint.

ANSWER TO VERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

28

G:\Worldox\CLIENTS\1261\001\LJK2571.DOC

1		FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2		(Failure to State a Claim)
3	1.	The Second Amended Complaint, and each and every purported cause of action
4	therein asser	ted against Defendants, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a claim upon which
5	relief may be	e granted.
6		SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
7		(Waiver)
8	2.	Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of waiver.
9		THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
10		(Estoppel)
11	3.	Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of estoppel.
12		FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
13		(Release)
14	4.	Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds and to the extent that
15	they released	I their claims.
16		FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
17		(Assumption of Risk)
18	5.	Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, due to the Plaintiffs' voluntary
19	assumption	of risk.
20		SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
21		(Unclean Hands)
22	6.	Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of unclean hands.
23		SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
24		(Contributory and/or Comparative Negligence)
25	7.	Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of contributory
26	and/or comp	arative negligence. Any alleged damages must be reduced in direct proportion to the
27	percentage o	f fault attributable to others, including but not limited to Plaintiffs and third parties.
28		
	G:\Worldox\CLIE	NTS\1261\001\LJK2571.DOC 25

ANSWER TO VERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

(;) (•)

(E) (.4

(.) (:)

. 1	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	(Intervening or Superseding Causation)
3	8. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of intervening or
4	superseding causation.
5	<u>NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
. 6	(In Pari Delicto)
7	9. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of in part delicto.
8	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
9	(Failure to Mitigate)
10	10. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, as a result of Plaintiffs' failure to
11	mitigate their alleged damages, negligence and/or failure to exercise reasonable care and diligence.
12	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
13	(Speculative Damages)
14	11. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because their alleged damages, if
15	any, are speculative.
16	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
17	(Set-Off)
18	12. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of set-off.
19	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
20	(Lack of Standing)
21	13. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds and to the extent that
22	they lack standing to assert their claims.
23	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
24	(Failure to Allege Class Action and/or to Meet Class Action Requirements)
25	14. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds and to the extent that
26	they have failed to allege a representative action as a class action and/or to meet the requirements o
27	a class action.
28	
	G:\Worldox\CLIENTS\1261\001\LJK2571.DOC 26

ANSWER TO VERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

() ()

(;) (;)

()

1		FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2		(Abstention)
3	15.	Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of abstention.
. 4		SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
5		(Punitive and Exemplary Damages Barred by Due Process Clause)
6	16.	Plaintiffs' claims for punitive and exemplary damages against Defendants are barred
7	by the Due Pr	rocess Clause of the United States Constitution.
. 8		SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
9		(Conduct Not "Unlawful")
10	17.	Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds and to the extent that
11	Defendants h	ave complied with applicable statutes, ordinances and/or regulations.
12		EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
13		(Conduct Not "Fraudulent" Nor "Likely to Mislead")
14	18.	Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds and to the extent that
15	Defendants' of	conduct was neither fraudulent nor likely to mislead the public.
16		NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
16 17		NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Regulatory Approval)
	19.	(Regulatory Approval)
17		(Regulatory Approval)
17 18		(Regulatory Approval) Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds and to the extent that
17 18 19		(Regulatory Approval) Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds and to the extent that ecceived regulatory approval for their conduct.
17 18 19 20		(Regulatory Approval) Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds and to the extent that ecceived regulatory approval for their conduct. TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
17 18 19 20 21	Defendants re	(Regulatory Approval) Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds and to the extent that ecceived regulatory approval for their conduct. TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Additional Affirmative Defenses)
17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendants re	(Regulatory Approval) Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds and to the extent that eccived regulatory approval for their conduct. TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Additional Affirmative Defenses) Defendants reserve their right to assert additional affirmative defenses upon
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendants re	(Regulatory Approval) Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds and to the extent that eccived regulatory approval for their conduct. TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Additional Affirmative Defenses) Defendants reserve their right to assert additional affirmative defenses upon
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Defendants re	(Regulatory Approval) Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds and to the extent that eccived regulatory approval for their conduct. TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Additional Affirmative Defenses) Defendants reserve their right to assert additional affirmative defenses upon
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Defendants re	(Regulatory Approval) Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds and to the extent that eccived regulatory approval for their conduct. TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Additional Affirmative Defenses) Defendants reserve their right to assert additional affirmative defenses upon
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Defendants re	(Regulatory Approval) Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds and to the extent that eccived regulatory approval for their conduct. TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Additional Affirmative Defenses) Defendants reserve their right to assert additional affirmative defenses upon
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Defendants real	(Regulatory Approval) Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds and to the extent that eccived regulatory approval for their conduct. TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Additional Affirmative Defenses) Defendants reserve their right to assert additional affirmative defenses upon

(i) (i)

() N)

(;)

(:)

 (\cdot)

 (\vdots)

1.)

(.) (.)

F - 2

(i)

28

WHEREFORE, Defendants pray as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiffs take nothing by their Second Amended Complaint;
- 2. For Defendants' attorneys' fees and costs; and
- 2. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: February 26, 2016

TISDALE & NICHOLSON, LLP

Kevin D. Hughes Linda J. Kim

Variab Live

Attorneys for Defendants

JURY DEMAND

Defendants respectfully request a jury trial on all issues raised in the Second Amended Complaint.

DATED: February 26, 2016

TISDALE & NICHOLSON, LLP

Kevin D. Hughes

Linda J. Kim

By:

Attorneys for Defendants

1011

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(i) 23

. 24

(;)

1.) 25

26

(f) 27

h-1

(i) (i)

> (;) (,1

(1) (1) (1)

:	2
	3 STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss
6	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
	5
é	I have read the foregoing ANSWER TO VERIFIED SECOND AMENDED
7	I COMA DALL'I allu kilow ils contents
8	XX I am a party to this action. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of
9	half of the knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and
10	
11	verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the
12	The matters stated in the foregoing devices
13	true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.
14	I am one of the attorneys for, a party to this action. Such party is
15	make this verification for and on behalf of that party for that makes.
16	and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.
17	Executed on February 29, 2016, at Los Angeles, California.
18	! }
19	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws-of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
20	
21	(<u> </u>
22	Melissa Bacelar
23	
24	
25	
26	
7	
8	
	G:\Worldox\CLIENTS\1261\001\LJK2571.DOC 2
	ANSWER TO VERIFIED SECOND AMENDED CONDIA ADVI

(;) · (;)

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

(.)

	VERIFICATION
:	2
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
5	;
Ó	I have read the foregoing ANSWER TO VERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT and know its contents.
7	
8 9	my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and
10	II and the second of the secon
11	I am an officer of a party to this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the
12	foregoing document are true The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and half of
13	information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.
14	I am one of the attorneys for, a party to this action. Such party is absent from the county of aforesaid where such attorneys is
15	absent from the county of aforesaid where such attorneys have their offices, and I make this verification for and on behalf of that party for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing
16 17	document are true.
18	Executed on February 29, 2016, at Los Angeles, California.
19	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
20	Jan Decelor
21	Suc / Sussell
22	Gail Bacelar
23	
24	
25	
6	
7	
8	
	G:\Worldox\CLIENTS\1261\001\LJK2571.DOC 3
	ANSWER TO VERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

(;) (,)

(,1 ()

†** (1)

VERIFICATION 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 3 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 5 I have read the foregoing ANSWER TO VERIFIED SECOND AMENDED 6 COMPLAINT and know its contents. 7 I am a party to this action. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of 8 my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true. 9 XX I am XX an officer of The Wylder Foundation, a party to this action, and am 10 authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification 11 for that reason. X I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true. _ The matters stated in the 12 foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true. 13 I am one of the attorneys for 14 , a party to this action. Such party is absent from the county of aforesaid where such attorneys have their offices, and I 15 make this verification for and on behalf of that party for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing 16 document are true. 17 Executed on February 29, 2016, at Los Angeles, California. 18 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing 19 is true and correct. 20 21 Melissa Bacelar 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 G:\Worldox\CLIENTS\1261\001\LJK2571.DOC ANSWER TO VERIFTED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

VERIFICATION

	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
•	
	I have read the foregoing ANSWER TO VERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT and know its contents.
	I am a party to this action. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.
	XX I am XX an officer or owner of WYLDER'S SWEET SHOP, LLC, a party to the action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason. X I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.
	I am one of the attorneys for, a party to this action. Such party is absent from the county of aforesaid where such attorneys have their offices, and I make this verification for and on behalf of that party for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.
	Executed on February, 2016, at Los Angeles, California.
	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
	Gail Bacelar
(1)	
(v) '	
(;)	
ħ.)	
٠.,	
1.) 75	G:\Worldox\CLIENTS\1261\001\LJK2571.DOC
+-)	ANSWER TO VERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
(i)	

G:\Worldox\CLIENTS\1261\001\LJK2571.DOC

()

(y)

()

(,1 ()

ANSWER TO VERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

PROOF OF SERVICE

(:)

(3)

(3)

()