

# Notes on Esther

2 0 1 4 E d i t i o n

**Dr. Thomas L. Constable**

## Introduction

### TITLE

The title of this book comes from its principal character, Esther. In this it is similar to many other Old Testament books (e.g., Joshua, Ruth, Samuel, Ezra, Nehemiah, Job, et al.).

### WRITER AND DATE

The writer did not identify himself in the text. References in the book show that he was familiar with Persian culture and literature (2:23; 10:2). The writer also wrote as though he was an eyewitness of the events he recorded. He was pro-Jewish and was probably a Jew. It is possible, though not certain, that Mordecai wrote the book.<sup>1</sup> Yet the writer seemingly distinguished himself from Mordecai in 9:20 and 23. The idea that the writer was Esther has not found support mainly because female writers were uncommon in ancient patriarchal societies such as Israel. This book would have been a source of encouragement to the Jews who had returned to the Promised Land after the Exile. Consequently, many scholars believe a Jew may have written it for this purpose. Perhaps he was a Jew who had returned to the land from Susa, the site of the events recorded in the book.

The writer could have written it any time after 473 B.C., the year the Jews defended themselves and instituted the Feast of Purim, the last historical events in the book (9:27-28). If a contemporary of these events composed it, he probably did so within a generation or two of this date. The first extra-biblical reference to the book is in 2 Maccabees 15:36, which dates from late in the second century B.C., so we know it was written before then.

### CANONICITY

"In the English Bible Esther appears adjacent to Ezra-Nehemiah with the historical books, but in the Hebrew Bible it is one of five short books (the so-called Megillot) that appear toward the end of the biblical writings. The canonicity of the book was questioned by some in ancient Judaism and early Christianity. It is one of five OT books that were at one time regarded as *antilegomena* (i.e., books 'spoken against'). The problem with

---

<sup>1</sup>Flavius Josephus, *Antiquities of the Jews*, 11:6:1.

Esther was the absence of any direct mention of God. Some questioned whether a book that did not mention God could be considered sacred scripture. Attempts to resolve this by discovering the tetragrammaton (YHWH) encoded in the Hebrew text (e.g., in the initial letters of four consecutive words in the Hebrew text of Esth 5:4) are unconvincing, although they do illustrate how keenly the problem was felt by some. Although no copy of Esther was found among the Dead Sea Scrolls, this does not necessarily mean that the Qumran community did not regard it as canonical. More recently, Martin Luther questioned the canonicity of this book. Although the book does not directly mention God it would be difficult to read it without sensing the providence of God working in powerful, though at times subtle, ways to rescue his people from danger and possible extermination."<sup>2</sup>

"Luther could never reconcile himself to this book, because he felt that the saving truths of Scripture were absent from it."<sup>3</sup>

No other Old or New Testament book refers to Esther, either.

### **GENRE**

"From the literary point of view, the book ranks high as an outstanding example of narrative art."<sup>4</sup>

"The genre of the Book of Esther is historical narrative. As such, biblical narrative is characterized by the cooperation of three components: ideology (socioreligious perspective), historiography (use of historical persons and events in a narrative), and aesthetic appeal (its influence and persuasion of the reader).<sup>5</sup> Each of these three elements can be readily seen in Esther. The ideology is the orthodox faith of ancient Israel. The book is theological in that its primary purpose is to teach about God and his continuing relationship with his people. It is historiographical in that it is an account of historical persons and historical events as they occurred. It is aesthetic because it is full of drama and suspense and draws its readers to anticipate happenings and events that often are the reverse of what the reader expects."<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup>The NET Bible note on 1:1. See also David M. Howard Jr., *An Introduction to the Old Testament Historical Books*, pp. 315-16.

<sup>3</sup>C. F. Keil, *The Books of Ezra, Nehemiah, and Esther*, p. 315.

<sup>4</sup>Robert Gordis, "Studies in the Esther Narrative," *Journal of Biblical Literature* 95:1 (March 1976):44. For a very interesting article that points out many artistic literary features of the book as well as showing how the literary structure illuminates the theology revealed in it, see Francis C. Rossow, "Literary Artistry in the Book of Esther and Its Theological Significance," *Concordia Journal* 13:3 (July 1987):219-33.

<sup>5</sup>M. Sternberg, *The Poetics of Biblical Narrative*, pp. 41-57.

<sup>6</sup>Mervin Breneman, *Ezra, Nehemiah, Esther*, p. 287. See also Forrest S. Weiland, "Historicity, Genre, and Narrative Design in the Book of Esther," *Bibliotheca Sacra* 159:634 (April-June 2002):151-65; and idem, "Literary Conventions in the Book of Esther," *Bibliotheca Sacra* 159:636 (October-December 2002):425-35.

According to Joyce Baldwin, most biblical scholars today regard the Book of Esther as a historical novel.<sup>7</sup> However, most scholars are not conservative in their view of Scripture.

"I believe it would be true to say that a study of literary themes has done more to promote an understanding of the book than all the discussion about historicity, which so occupied scholars earlier this century."<sup>8</sup>

While Esther is primarily theological history, at least one writer observed similarities with wisdom literature.<sup>9</sup> This should not be surprising since Esther is one of the Writings in the Hebrew Bible: the section that contains poetic and wisdom literature.

### **SCOPE**

The events of the Book of Esther took place during the Persian period of ancient Near Eastern history (539-331 B.C.) and during the reign of King Ahasuerus in particular (486-464 B.C.).<sup>10</sup>

<b>CHRONOLOGY OF THE BOOK OF ESTHER</b>	
483	Ahasuerus' military planning session in Susa
482	The deposition of Vashti
481	The beginning of Ahasuerus' unsuccessful expedition against Greece
480	Esther's arrival in Susa
479	Ahasuerus' return to Susa Esther's coronation
478	
477	
476	
475	
474	The issuing of Ahasuerus' decrees affecting the Jews
473	The Jews' defense of themselves The establishment of the Feast of Purim

The first historical event to which the writer alluded seems to be Ahasuerus' military planning session at which he plotted the strategy for his ill-fated campaign against Greece (1:3-21). The king held this planning session in the winter of 483-482 B.C. The last

<sup>7</sup>Joyce G. Baldwin, *Esther*, pp. 33-34.

<sup>8</sup>*Ibid.*, p. 29.


<sup>9</sup>S. Talmon, "'Wisdom' in the Book of Esther," *Vetus Testamentum* 13 (1963):419-55.

<sup>10</sup>See Tremper Longman III and Raymond B. Dillard, *An Introduction to the Old Testament*, pp. 215-17, for discussion of the problem of extrabiblical dating.

recorded event in Esther is the institution of the Feast of Purim that took place in 473 B.C. Therefore the events recorded in the book span a period of about 10 years.<sup>11</sup>

By the time Esther opens, many Jews had returned from the Exile to Palestine to reestablish the institutions of Judaism (Ezra 1—6). The first wave of repatriates numbered about 50,000. Most of the Jews in exile did not return, even though their law (Deut. 28) and the prophets (Isa. 48:20; Jer. 50:8; 51:6) encouraged them to do so. They preferred the comfort and convenience of life, as they had come to know it outside the Promised Land, to the discomfort and privation involved in obeying God. Esther and Mordecai were among those who chose not to return. In 1893 the Babylonian expedition of the University of Pennsylvania discovered some extra-biblical documents that show how wealthy and influential some of the Jews who remained in Babylon were.<sup>12</sup> The events of Esther fit chronologically between chapters 6 and 7 of Ezra.

### Chronology of the Restoration Period



### PURPOSE

There seem to be at least two primary purposes for the book. First, it demonstrates God's providential care of His people even when they were outside the Promised Land because of disobedience. A corollary of this purpose is to show that God can use ordinary individuals to accomplish His saving plan.<sup>13</sup> Second, it explains the origin of the Feast of Purim with a view to commending its observance to the Jews (9:24-28).<sup>14</sup> Ancient histories, the Greek history by Herodotus being one, were often written "for public

<sup>11</sup>Cf. Leon J. Wood, *A Survey of Israel's History*, p. 406. See Steven Horine, "Esther's Organizing Metaphor: The Feasting Motif," a paper presented to the Annual Meeting of the Evangelical Theological Society, Nov. 15, 2000, Nashville, TN.

<sup>12</sup>See Siegfried H. Horn, "Mordecai, A Historical Problem," *Biblical Research* 9 (1964):22-25.

<sup>13</sup>Eugene H. Merrill, in *The Old Testament Explorer*, p. 366.

<sup>14</sup>Breneman, p. 289.

recitation at private gatherings or public festivals."<sup>15</sup> Esther was evidently written for the same purpose. The Jews retold the story of Esther at Purim each year.

"The importance of the book for modern historians can be gauged by the fact that, whereas Josephus included the Esther story in his *Antiquities of the Jews*, Martin Noth in his *History of Israel* makes no mention of it, and Geo Widengren dismisses it in thirteen lines. 'It is without much historical value.'<sup>16</sup> John Bright mentions the book by name but that is all.<sup>17</sup> Whatever others say, in practice historians ignore the book of Esther. Whatever the reason for this neglect of the book may be, we are justified in assuming that present-day historians do not take seriously the threat it records to the very existence of the Jewish race."<sup>18</sup>

Conservative scholars usually give the book more consideration. A third purpose may be to warn readers against anti-Semitism (cf. Gen. 12:1-3). Much that is in the book deals with the relationship of Jews and Gentiles.

"Esther says to the Christian that anti-Jewish hostility is intolerable to God."<sup>19</sup>

"It is easy to see why the book is valued by Jews, who have suffered so much through the ages and have clung to the assurance implied by Purim that, however severe the threat upon their race, they have a future."<sup>20</sup>

### **LITERARY CHARACTERISTICS**

Esther demonstrates quite a bit of irony, satire, and recurring motifs. These motifs include drinking and banqueting, fasting, items of apparel, law and legality, and conflicts. The writer delighted in setting things forth in pairs. Esther twice concealed her identity. There are three groups of banquets: two given by Xerxes, two by Esther, and two celebrations of Purim. There are two lists of the king's servants, two gatherings of women, two houses for the women, two fasts, two consultations by Haman with his wife and friends, and two unscheduled appearances by Esther before the king. There are also two investitures of Mordecai, two times Haman's face was covered, two references to Haman's sons, and two appearances of Harbona. Twice the king's anger subsided, twice the writer said the Persian laws were irrevocable, the Jews took revenge on their enemies on two days, and two letters announced the commemoration of Purim.<sup>21</sup>

---

<sup>15</sup>Baldwin, p. 19.

<sup>16</sup>J. H. Hayes and J. M. Miller, eds., *Israelite and Judean History*, p. 493.

<sup>17</sup>John A. Bright, *A History of Israel*, pp. 417, 420, n. 16.

<sup>18</sup>Baldwin, p. 24.

<sup>19</sup>Breneman, p. 297.

<sup>20</sup>Baldwin, p. 37.

<sup>21</sup>Longman and Dillard, pp. 219-20.

## **INTERPRETATION**

One of the biggest problems connected with the Book of Esther is its proper interpretation. Four major schools of interpretation are common among Christians.

The allegorical interpretation denies the historical realities of the events. For example, the idea that Vashti was not a real woman, but that she represents the spirit of man that failed to submit to God's revelation and fell. Esther was not a real person either, according to this view. She represents the new spirit God gives man at regeneration.

The typical interpretation accepts the historical reality of the persons and events recorded; they really existed. But the interpreters in this school stress the typical significance of what these characters and events represent, according to the interpreter.

The prophetic interpretation views the characters and events as real, but its advocates believe they are prophetic foreviews of God's dealings with the Jews in the eschatological future: the Tribulation and Millennium.

The historical interpretation views the characters and events as real, and its advocates stress the lessons the original readers would have learned from the book (e.g., the providence of God). I believe that this is the proper approach the reader should take to the book.

## **OUTLINE**

- I. God's preparations 1:1—2:20
  - A. Vashti deposed ch. 1
    1. The king's feast 1:1-9
    2. The queen's dismissal 1:10-22
  - B. Esther elevated 2:1-20
    1. The plan to replace Vashti 2:1-4
    2. Esther's selection 2:5-11
    3. The choice of Esther as queen 2:12-20
- II. Haman's plot 2:21—4:3
  - A. Background considerations 2:21—3:6
    1. Mordecai's loyalty 2:21-23
    2. Haman's promotion 3:1-6
  - B. Haman's proposal 3:7-15
    1. The casting of lots 3:7
    2. Haman's request 3:8-9
    3. The king's permission 3:10-15
  - C. Mordecai's reaction 4:1-3

- III. Esther's intervention 4:4—9:19
  - A. Mordecai's instruction 4:4-17
  - B. The plot exposed chs. 5—7
    - 1. Esther's preparations ch. 5
    - 2. Mordecai's exaltation ch. 6
    - 3. Haman's fall ch. 7
  - C. The Jews' deliverance 8:1—9:19
    - 1. The rewarding of Esther and Mordecai 8:1-2
    - 2. Esther's request for her people 8:3-8
    - 3. The royal decree 8:9-14
    - 4. The joy of the Jews 8:15-17
    - 5. The Jews' self-defense 9:1-19
- IV. The Jews' rejoicing 9:20-32
- V. Mordecai's greatness ch. 10

### **MESSAGE**

The events of this book took place between those recorded in Ezra 6 and 7. They have nothing to do with the people who returned from exile in Babylon. They deal with those who remained behind. The dates of the three returns were 536, 458, and 444 B.C. The events in Esther took place about 482-473 B.C., between the first and second returns.

Esther's Jewish name was "Hadasseh," which means "myrtle." The myrtle tree was native to Babylonia, but the returning exiles took the myrtle tree with them to Palestine. There this tree became a symbol of the nation of Israel: transplanted from Babylon in Palestine. Zechariah used the myrtle tree as a symbol of Israel in Zechariah 1:7-11. Esther's parents may have named her for this beautiful tree. Most of the students of this book have recognized her as a symbol of the Jewish people living among Gentiles.

Esther's Persian name was "Esther," which means "star." Another view is that she was named in honor of Ishtar, a pagan goddess. The myrtle tree bears a beautiful star-like flower. Esther may have received her Persian name because she was the flower of the myrtle tree, full of beauty and grace. In life, she became just that: the flower of Israel, its loveliest production. She was not just physically beautiful, but she became a great blessing to her people and a great blessing to the Gentiles as well. She became what God intended Israel to be.

This book is unique in the Old Testament in several respects. The writer did not mention God's name once in its pages. E. J. Young wrote, "Since these Jews were no longer in the theocratic line, so to speak, the name of the covenant God is not associated with them."<sup>22</sup> Matthew Henry wrote, "But, though the name be not in it, the finger of God is, directing

---

<sup>22</sup>Edward J. Young, *Introduction to the Old Testament*, p. 378.

many minute events for the bringing about of his people's deliverance."<sup>23</sup> There are also no references to the Law of Moses, the temple, or Jewish worship. There is one reference to a fast and one to a feast, which are very general, and show only that the Jews in exile maintained some religious habits. There is also no reference to Jerusalem, except the one in 2:6 that says Mordecai's great-grandfather went into exile from Jerusalem. Yet even without these familiar references, it is impossible to read this book without being conscious of God. The great value of this book is its revelation of God acting in providence for His people's well-being and protection.

There are several other unique features of Esther. No New Testament writer quoted or referred to it. No church father wrote a commentary on it, as far as we know. It never mentions prayer. It mentions nothing explicitly supernatural. Martin Luther wrote that he wished it had never been written.<sup>24</sup> It is the only Old Testament historical book that records a history of the Jews outside their land during the times of the Gentiles. The "times of the Gentiles" (Luke 21:24) began with Nebuchadnezzar's defeat of Jerusalem and will continue until Christ's second coming. It is the time when Israel's fortunes and fate are in the hands of Gentiles.

Like Ruth, the Book of Esther is an illustration. It records a slice of life out of the exilic period that illustrates a great revelation. Ruth illustrates redemption. Esther illustrates providence. In both books a female is the main character. God has used women at some of the most crucial moments in history (cf. Deborah, Jael, Huldah, Priscilla).

"Providence" is a term that many Christians have abused and misunderstood. Providence means foresight. The English word comes from Latin (*pro video*) and means to see the affairs of life before they happen. The acquired meaning of providence, what it has come to mean through usage, is activity resulting from foresight. People can never exercise providence as God can. We have very limited powers of foresight. We do not know what a day may bring forth (Prov. 27:1). God, on the other hand, foresees all things and can act because of that foreknowledge.

The theological doctrine of providence is that God both possesses and exercises absolute power over all the works of His hands. Psalm 11 is a great passage that sets forth this revelation. The Book of Esther illustrates God's providence. The writer did not speak of God directly, but His acting as a result of His foresight is obvious in what he wrote. God hid Himself but was at work in Esther.

Esther reveals three things about divine providence.

First, it reveals the method of providence. It shows that even though people do not acknowledge God's presence, He is always at work. His control becomes especially clear at the end of the book (10:3). Events turned around completely from the way they were at the beginning of the book. Instead of being in peril, the Jews were now at peace. God not only rules over the major issues in life, but He also uses the apparent trivialities of life to

---

<sup>23</sup>Matthew Henry, "An Exposition, with Practical Observations, of the Book of Esther," in *Commentary on the Whole Bible*, p. 550.

<sup>24</sup>Cited by Longman and Dillard, p. 213.



accomplish His purposes. Some of these "trivialities" were: the king's decision to summon Vashti after he got drunk, Vashti's refusal, Haman's hatred for Mordecai, the king's insomnia, and the passage his servant read to him. God's providence is all-inclusive. That is part of its method. No person or detail of life escapes God's control (Rom. 8:28): all individuals and all events.

Second, Esther reveals the principles of providence.

God proceeds on the basis of perfect knowledge: intimate, accurate, absolute knowledge (cf. Ps. 11:4).

Another principle of His providence is His undeviating righteousness. God's providence works in harmony with man's freedom. It never coerces people. The king made his own decisions; God did not compel him to act as he did. Haman plotted his own intrigues, made his own arrangements, and built his own gallows. The same was true of Mordecai and Esther. Yet the sphere in which they made their decisions was God's sovereignty (cf. Acts 17:28a). Haman built his gallows for Mordecai, but God hanged *Haman* on it.

A third principle of God's providence is that of absolute power. God is great enough to give people genuine freedom, and yet cause things to turn out the way He wants them to. God causes human freedom to contribute to His divine purpose. We cannot comprehend this truth completely. We cannot contain revelation within reason. That is why it is impossible to bring all of revelation into a comprehensive philosophy. Philosophy is what is reasonable, but revelation goes beyond reason. Not that it is irrational; it simply transcends reason. Trying to contain all of reality within reason is like trying to pour the Pacific Ocean into a one-gallon jug. There is much that is known only by God (Deut. 29:29).

Third, Esther reveals the results of providence. On the human level, there are two results. To those who recognize divine providence comes great confidence and courage. However, to those who do not, come panic and punishment. We can see this most clearly in the characters of Esther and Mordecai, and in Haman. On the divine level, the result of providence is that God progresses toward His ultimate goal. Throughout all of Scriptural history we see this identical, mighty, behind-the-scenes movement.

The message of this book is that God *is*, and that God acts through history to accomplish His purposes—regardless of whether humans acknowledge Him or not.

There are many arguments for the existence of God. The argument from providence is one of these, though apologists do not usually give it as much emphasis as some other arguments. The fact that human events are harmonizing with God's ultimate purposes, as He has revealed these in Scripture, testifies to God's existence. When people forget God, He still molds history and governs life in harmony with His purposes. We cannot escape God's hand; we only change our destiny. We become His friends or His foes by our attitude toward Him (cf. Dan. 5:22-23).

The great application of the message of this book is: take God into account. This is the essence of biblical wisdom, by the way. Trust Him and cooperate with Him or you will suffer destruction. God's providence may seem very impersonal and austere. However, William Cowper has reminded us that, "Behind a frowning providence, He hides a smiling face."<sup>25</sup> Romans 8:28 is perhaps the most concise word on the providence of God that the Scriptures contain. God will complete His plans. We determine our own destiny as we cooperate with His will or oppose it. Our choice affects our destiny, but it does not frustrate His plan. Consequently, it is very important that we know God's plans and make them known to others. He has revealed His plans in His promises in Scripture. Therefore we should pay very careful attention to the promises of God. The biblical covenants are His big, comprehensive, formal promises. Even though many people in the world today ignore God, His plans will become reality eventually. This fact should make us confident and optimistic in the present.<sup>26</sup>

---

<sup>25</sup>William Cowper, *Olney Hymns*, 35 in *The Oxford Dictionary of Quotations*, p. 161.

<sup>26</sup>Adapted from G. Campbell Morgan, *Living Messages of the Books of the Bible*, 1:1:267-82.

## Exposition

### I. GOD'S PREPARATIONS 1:1—2:20

Joyce Baldwin believed that the writer composed the book in a chiasmic structure that focuses on the providence of God in the king's sleepless night.<sup>27</sup>

- A Opening and background (ch. 1)
- B The king's first decree (chs. 2—3)
- C The clash between Haman and Mordecai (chs. 4—5)
- D "On the night the king could not sleep" (6:1)
- C' Mordecai's triumph over Haman (chs. 6—7)
- B' The king's second decree (chs. 8—9)
- A' Epilogue (ch. 10)

This first major part of the book explains how God placed a simple Jewish young woman in position to deliver her people from possible extinction.

#### A. VASHTI DEPOSED CH. 1

This chapter records the providential circumstances whereby Esther was able to rise to her influential position with the Persian king. It was through the demise of the present queen.

"Though no mention is made of God's providence, it nevertheless plays a prominent part, and may even give the book its *raison d'etre*."<sup>28</sup>

#### 1. The king's feast 1:1-9

Ahasuerus is the Hebrew name of the Persian king, Khshayarsha, whom we know better in ancient history by his Greek name, Xerxes.<sup>29</sup> He reigned over the Persian Empire from 486 to 464 B.C. and was the son of Darius I (521-486 B.C.). Another high-ranking Persian government officer, Artabanus, eventually assassinated him.

Xerxes is famous in secular history for two things: his defeat at the hands of the Greeks, and his building of the royal Persian palace at Persepolis. In 481 B.C. he took about 200,000 soldiers and hundreds of ships to Greece to avenge his father Darius' loss at the battle of Marathon (490 B.C.). However, he too suffered defeat, in a three-fold manner. His soldiers lost the battle of Thermopylae to the Spartans, his army also lost at the battle of Plataea, and the Greeks destroyed his navy in the battle of Salamis.


<sup>27</sup>Baldwin., p. 30.

<sup>28</sup>Ibid., p. 13. See Forrest S. Weiland, "Literary Clues to God's Providence in the Book of Esther," *Bibliotheca Sacra* 160:637 (January-March 2003):34-47.

<sup>29</sup>Lewis B. Paton, *A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Book of Esther*, p. 54. Cf. Ezra 4:5-7; Dan. 11:2.

The writer mentioned the vast area Xerxes controlled (cf. Esth. 8:9; 10:1). Perhaps he did this to avoid confusion with another Ahasuerus (Dan. 9:1) whose son, Darius the Mede, governed the Babylonian provinces under Cyrus the Great from 539 to about 525 B.C. (cf. Dan. 6:2). "India" refers to the territory that is now western Pakistan. "Cush" was the upper (southern) Nile region including southern Egypt, the Sudan, Eritrea, and northern Ethiopia, land west of the Red Sea. The 127 "provinces" (Heb. *medina*) may have been governmental units of the empire. These would have been political subdivisions of the satrapies (cf. 3:12).<sup>30</sup> More probably the 127 provinces were geographical regions.<sup>31</sup>

"Susa" (v. 2) is the Greek name for the Hebrew "Shushan." It was a winter capital and had formerly been the capital of the kingdom of Elam. Susa was the name of both the capital city and the royal fortress that occupied a separate part of the city.<sup>32</sup> Other Persian capitals were Ekbatana (200 miles north of Susa, modern Hamadan, Ezra 6:2), Babylon (200 miles west, Ezra 6:1), Pasargadae, and Persepolis (both 300 miles southeast).<sup>33</sup> Persepolis was Xerxes' main residence.<sup>34</sup> Forty years after the events the writer described in the Book of Esther, Nehemiah served as cupbearer to Artaxerxes, Xerxes' son (cf. Neh. 1:1—2:1).



<sup>30</sup>F. B. Huey Jr., "Esther," in *1 Kings-Job*, vol. 4 of *The Expositor's Bible Commentary*, p. 798.

<sup>31</sup>Keil, p. 321.

<sup>32</sup>Huey, p. 798.

<sup>33</sup>See Edwin M. Yamauchi, "The Achaemenid Capitals," *Near East Archaeology Society Bulletin*, NS8 (1976):5-81.

<sup>34</sup>Breneman, p. 304.

The Hebrew word translated "capital" (NASB) or "citadel" (NIV; *habirah*) refers to an acropolis or fortified area that stood 72 feet above the rest of the city. A wall two and one-half miles long surrounded it.<sup>35</sup>

The third year of Ahasuerus' (Xerxes') reign (v. 3) was evidently 482 B.C.

"The Persians and Medes are always named together as the two kindred races of the ruling nation."<sup>36</sup>

For 180 days (six months) Ahasuerus he entertained his guests (v. 4). This was evidently at least part of the military planning session that Ahasuerus conducted to prepare for his campaign against the Greeks. The Greek historian Herodotus referred to this meeting and said it took Ahasuerus four years (484-481 B.C.) to prepare for his Greek campaign.<sup>37</sup> Ahasuerus' Persian army suffered defeat at the hands of the Greeks at Plataea in 479 B.C.

"While labourers received barely enough to live on, even though they were producing works of art that are still unsurpassed, life at court was extravagant beyond imagining. The more lavish the king's hospitality, the greater his claim to supremacy."<sup>38</sup>

White and violet (blue, v. 6) were the royal colors of Persia.<sup>39</sup> This palace burned to the ground about 435 B.C., toward the end of Artaxerxes' reign.<sup>40</sup>

Banquets are a prominent feature of this story. At least nine receive mention (1:1-4, 5-8, 9; 2:18; 3:15; 5:4, 8; 8:17; 9:17-19).

"Great variety in drinking vessels pertained to the luxury of Persians; comp. Xenoph. *Cyrop.* viii. 8, 18."<sup>41</sup>

## **2. The queen's dismissal 1:10-22**

The Persian kings castrated many of the men who served the king and his family (v. 10) so they could not have sexual relations with the female members of the royal court and start dynasties of their own.

"Vashti" ("Best," "The Beloved," or "The Desired One," v. 11) was evidently the Persian name of the queen whom Herodotus referred to as Amestris (her Greek name).<sup>42</sup> Her name is similar to a Persian word that means "a beautiful woman."<sup>43</sup> Her "crown" would

---

<sup>35</sup>Ibid.

<sup>36</sup>Keil, p. 322.

<sup>37</sup>Herodotus, *The Histories*, 7:8, 20.

<sup>38</sup>Baldwin, p. 55.

<sup>39</sup>Keil, pp. 325-26; John C. Whitcomb, *Esther: Triumph of God's Sovereignty*, p. 37.

<sup>40</sup>A. T. Olmstead, *History of the Persian Empire*, p. 352.

<sup>41</sup>Keil, p. 326.

<sup>42</sup>J. Stafford Wright, "The Historicity of Esther," in *New Perspectives on the Old Testament*, p. 40-42.

<sup>43</sup>Keil, p. 327.

have been "a high turban terminating in a point."<sup>44</sup> It is not possible to determine why Vashti refused to obey the king's summons (v. 12).

"The Rabbis added midrashic embellishments to the story of Vashti, holding that her refusal was the king's order that she appear naked before his guests. . . . According to the Talmud the queen refused to come because Gabriel had smitten her with leprosy."<sup>45</sup>

Josephus wrote: "But she, out of regard to the laws of the Persians, which forbid the wives to be seen by strangers, did not go to the king . . ."<sup>46</sup>

Another guess follows: "The queen refused to appear at the king's command as delivered by the eunuchs, because she did not choose to stake her dignity as a queen and a wife before his inebriated guests."<sup>47</sup>

The important point for the writer was that she did not appear, not why she did not.

The counsel of seven (vv. 13-14) continued in existence for at least 25 years after this event (cf. Ezra 7:14). These men were cabinet-level officials in the government. The king's advisers feared that Vashti's rebellion would lead to a popular women's liberation movement and to a revolution among the aristocratic wives particularly (vv. 17-18). They counseled him to divorce Vashti.<sup>48</sup>

There is extra-biblical evidence that no one could revoke Persian laws once they were official (v. 19; cf. 8:8; Dan. 6:8).<sup>49</sup>

Herodotus (ca. 484-426 B.C.) traveled in western Persia shortly after Ahasuerus' reign. He wrote the following concerning the Persian postal service (the original Pony Express), to which the writer of Esther alluded several times (v. 22; cf. 8:10).

"Nothing mortal travels so fast as these Persian messengers. The entire plan is a Persian invention; and this is the method of it. Along the whole line of road there are men (they say) stationed with horses, in number equal to the number of days which the journey takes, allowing a man and horse to each day; and these men will not be hindered from accomplishing at their best speed the distance which they have to go, either by snow or rain, or heat, or by the darkness of night. The first rider delivers his dispatch to the second, and the second passes it to the third; and so it is born from hand to hand along the whole line."<sup>50</sup>

---

<sup>44</sup>Ibid., p. 328.

<sup>45</sup>Edwin M. Yamauchi, "The Archaeological Background of Esther," *Bibliotheca Sacra* 137:546 (April-June 1980):105.

<sup>46</sup>Josephus, *Antiquities of the Jews*, 11:6:1.

<sup>47</sup>Keil, p. 328.

<sup>48</sup>Ibid., p. 319.

<sup>49</sup>See Wright, pp. 39-40.

<sup>50</sup>Herodotus, 8:98.

The king took his advisers' advice and divorced Vashti (v. 21). The last phrase of verse 22 evidently means that the husband's authority in the home was evident by the fact that his family spoke only his native language.<sup>51</sup> The Persian Empire encompassed many different language groups.

"When a marriage took place between people of different ethnic backgrounds, the mother's language would normally prevail in the home and tend to become the language of the children [cf. Neh. 13:23-24]."<sup>52</sup>

The first chapter, even the whole book, is highly satirical of the Persian nobility and empire.

"It is indeed a derisive eye that our narrator has cast upon the royal court he describes: A king who rules the whole known world spends his time giving lavish banquets! . . .

"From the satirical depiction of the grandiose and lavishly excessive lifestyle of the Persian court, our narrator turns to undisguised farce: the king who rules the whole world cannot bend his own wife to his will! . . .

"But its [the first chapter's] mockery has also a sinister side. It reveals a society fraught with danger, for it is ruled by the pride and pomposity of buffoons whose tender egos can marshal the state's legislative and administrative machinery for the furtherance of selfish and childish causes. Indeed, in such a setting, it will not seem incongruous to find this same machinery of state mobilized to effect the slaughter of one of its own minorities, or to find that this is an end that the king can both blissfully contemplate and cavalierly condone."<sup>53</sup>

"The Bible doesn't tell us what happened to Vashti. Many biblical scholars believe she was Amestris, the mother of Artaxerxes who ruled from 464 to 425 B.C. It's likely that Esther was either out of favor or dead; for Amestris exercised great influence as the queen mother during her son's reign.

"Artaxerxes was born in 483, the year of the great banquet described in Esther 1. It's possible that Vashti was pregnant with her son at the time and therefore unwilling to appear before the men."<sup>54</sup>

### **B. ESTHER ELEVATED 2:1-20**

The fact that God placed Esther in a position so she could deliver her people—even before they were in danger—shows His far-reaching providence at work for His chosen

---

<sup>51</sup>Keil, p. 332.

<sup>52</sup>Gordis, p. 53.

<sup>53</sup>Frederic W. Bush, *Ruth, Esther*, pp. 354, 355 Cf. Prov. 12:16; 14:17.

<sup>54</sup>Warren W. Wiersbe, *The Bible Exposition Commentary/History*, p. 710.

people. This revelation would have been a great encouragement to the Jews of the postexilic period, as it has been to all believers since then.

### **1. The plan to replace Vashti 2:1-4**

"Nearly four years have passed since Vashti was deposed. During that time, Ahasuerus directed his ill-fated Greek campaign and came home in humiliation instead of honor."<sup>55</sup>

Ahasuerus had second thoughts about having deposed Vashti (v. 1), but he concluded that the action he had taken against her needed to stand. The attendants' plan doubtless appealed to the king's ego (vv. 2-4). The writer called these men "attendants" rather than "princes" (1:14). They were evidently not the same individuals who had recommended Vashti's dismissal. The "virgins" that they recommended to Ahasuerus were simply young women of marriageable age (Heb. *betulah*).

### **2. Esther's selection 2:5-11**

Apparently it was Kish, Mordecai's great-grandfather, who went into captivity with Jehoiachin (vv. 5-6).<sup>56</sup> This means Mordecai and Esther were probably descendants of the leading citizens of Jerusalem who went into exile in 597 B.C., perhaps nobility (cf. 2 Kings 24:12).

Mordecai's name is Persian, as is Esther's, and it has connections with the god Marduk.<sup>57</sup> All the same, it was common for the Jews in captivity to receive and to use pagan names (cf. Dan. 1:7; Ezra 1:8). This does not necessarily indicate that they were apostate Jews (cf. Dan. 1:7). The Marduk tablet, an extra-biblical cuneiform document, may contain a reference to Mordecai.<sup>58</sup> The writer mentioned Mordecai 58 times in this book, and seven times identified him as a Jew (2:5; 5:13; 6:10; 8:7; 9:29, 31; 10:3). Obviously, this is a story in which ethnicity is important.

"Hadasseh" (v. 7) is a Jewish name that means myrtle, a beautiful fragrant tree. The Jews still sometimes carry myrtle branches, which signify peace and thanksgiving, in procession during the Feast of Tabernacles.<sup>59</sup> The name "Esther" is Persian and means "star." It derives from the same root as "Ishtar," the Babylonian goddess of love.

". . . Esther, was the most beautiful of all the rest."<sup>60</sup>

Esther was Mordecai's cousin, and Mordecai had taken on responsibility for her as her foster-father (v. 7).

---

<sup>55</sup>Ibid., p. 711.

<sup>56</sup>Wright, p. 38.

<sup>57</sup>Horn, p. 16.

<sup>58</sup>See Whitcomb, pp. 47-48; and Horn, pp. 20-22.

<sup>59</sup>Baldwin, p. 66.

<sup>60</sup>Josephus, 11:6:2.



As will become clear, Esther cooperated in practices contrary to the Mosaic Law. These included having sex with a man not her husband (Exod. 20:14), marrying a pagan (Deut. 7:1-4), and eating unclean food (Lev. 11:46-47). This sets Esther in contrast to Daniel, who purposed not to defile himself—even with unclean food (Dan. 1:5, 8). God used Esther as Israel's deliverer, even though she disregarded His will, at least partially (cf. Samson). Mordecai encouraged her to cooperate with the king (vv. 10-11). It is impossible to determine if Esther was forced to participate in the king's "beauty contest," or if she did so willingly. In view of Ahasuerus' great power, I tend to think that she had no choice.

"The Persian name would enable Esther to keep secret her foreign identity."<sup>61</sup>

". . . if Mordecai and Esther were passing themselves off as Persians, they certainly weren't keeping a kosher home and obeying the laws of Moses. Had they been following even the dietary laws, let alone the rules for separation and worship, their true nationality would have quickly been discovered. Had Esther practiced her Jewish faith during her year of preparation (2:12), or during the four years she had been queen (2:16 with 3:7), the disguise would have come off."<sup>62</sup>

"When you consider the backslidden state of the Jewish nation at that time, the disobedience of the Jewish remnant in the Persian Empire, and the unspiritual lifestyle of Mordecai and Esther, is it any wonder that the name of God is absent from this book?"<sup>63</sup>

The "unspiritual lifestyle" of Esther and Mordecai has bothered many readers. Many writers have identified the problem of Esther and Mordecai's disobedience to the Mosaic Law. We must always evaluate the character and conduct of individuals, biblical characters and all others, in terms of their conformity with the revealed will of God, not in terms of their reputations. The book teaches us that God will take care of His people in spite of their unfaithfulness (2 Tim. 2:13).

Esther charmed Hegai, who was in charge of the king's women, and he proceeded to grant her favor (v. 9; cf. Dan. 1:9). Her ability to keep information confidential and her submissiveness to Mordecai (v. 10) mark her as a wise woman (cf. Prov. 13:1, 3).

There are several parallels between the story of Esther and the story of the Exodus. These have led a few scholars to conclude that the writer patterned this story after the story of Moses and the Exodus.<sup>64</sup> Similarities include the plot and central theme, the adopted child with the concealed identity, reluctance to appeal to the king at first, the execution of many enemies, the Amalekite foe, and others.<sup>65</sup> Though some similarities do exist, most

---

<sup>61</sup>Ibid., p. 21.

<sup>62</sup>Wiersbe, p. 712.

<sup>63</sup>Ibid., p. 713.

<sup>64</sup>Gillis Gerleman, *Esther*, has been the main advocate of this view, and others have followed.

<sup>65</sup>Forrest S. Weiland, "Plot Structure in the Book of Esther," *Bibliotheca Sacra* 159:635 (July-September 2002):277-87.

scholars have not agreed that the writer deliberately constructed the Book of Esther after Exodus 1—12.<sup>66</sup>

Similarly, there are several parallels with the story of Joseph in Genesis.<sup>67</sup>

### **3. The choice of Esther as queen 2:12-20**

The king evidently had sexual relations with a different virgin every night whenever he pleased. The harem officials watched these girls closely to make sure they did not have some disease that they would pass on to him. The women in the harem used their time to become as attractive as possible.

"Like the semi-nomadic Arab women of the eastern Sudan in the last century, women like Esther long, long ago fumigated themselves, saturating their hair, skin, and pores with fumes from cosmetic burners."<sup>68</sup>

After their night with the king, these young women resided in a facility with other concubines where they might live for the rest of their lives. The king might call for them again or he might not. Historians have documented Ahasuerus' amorous affairs in Persia, Greece, and elsewhere.<sup>69</sup> Esther had such natural beauty and charm that she required no special adornments to make her more attractive (v. 15).

"Both Josephus and the Jewish Rabbis exaggerated the beauty of Esther and elaborated on her virtues and piety. The Rabbis held that Esther was one of the four most beautiful women in history along with Sarah, Rahab, and Abigail (*Megillah* 15a). Josephus maintained that Esther 'surpassed all women in beauty' in the entire habitable world."<sup>70</sup>

Esther became queen in the winter of 479-478 B.C., four years after Vashti's deposition (v. 16). During that four-year period the Greeks defeated Ahasuerus in the battles of Salamis and Plataea.

The Hebrew word translated "banquet" (v. 18, *hanaha*) means "a coming to rest." This could mean that Ahasuerus released his subjects from some tax burdens, or from military service, or both, temporarily. On the other hand, he may have only give them a feast.

"Perhaps it is relevant that when the False Smerdis ascended the throne [of Persia in 522 B.C.], he granted his subjects freedom from taxation *and* military service for a period of three years (Herodotus III, 67)."<sup>71</sup>

---

<sup>66</sup>See Carey A. Moore, "Eight Questions Most Frequently Asked About the Book of Esther," *Bible Review* 3:1 (Spring 1987):30-31.

<sup>67</sup>See S. B. Berg, "The Book of Esther: Motifs, Themes, and Structure," *Society of Biblical Literature Dissertation Series* 44, pp. 123-42.

<sup>68</sup>Idem, "Archaeology and the Book of Esther," *Biblical Archaeologist* 38:3-4 (September, December 1975):78.

<sup>69</sup>See Whitcomb, pp. 56-59.

<sup>70</sup>Yamauchi, "The Archaeological . . .," p. 106.

<sup>71</sup>Moore, *Esther*, p. 25.

Evidently the reassembling of the virgins (v. 19) was part of a procession the king designed to show off Esther's beauty compared with the other contestants in his beauty contest.<sup>72</sup>

Evidently Mordecai received an appointment to a governmental position as a magistrate or judge because of Esther's influence (v. 19). The "king's gate" was where people settled legal matters in the capital. His position probably enabled Mordecai to overhear the plot to assassinate the king (vv. 21-23).

". . . the impression remains that Esther's Jewishness was more a fact of birth than of religious conviction."<sup>73</sup>

## **II. HAMAN'S PLOT 2:21—4:3**

The writer next described a plot that one of the leading men of Persia devised to do away with the Jews.

### **A. BACKGROUND CONSIDERATIONS 2:21—3:6**

At this point in the narrative the writer introduced us to the villain, and we learn the reasons he hated the Jews.

#### **1. Mordecai's loyalty 2:21-23**

We know no details concerning the identities of the assassins who tried to kill Ahasuerus or what motivated them. Extra-biblical sources have not yet clarified these matters, though the commentators love to speculate. We do know that 14 years later Ahasuerus did die at the hand of an assassin.<sup>74</sup> Mordecai's position in the government is another evidence of God's providential preparation to deliver His people. "Gallows" (v. 23; cf. 5:14; 7:10) is literally "tree."

"Rather than being hanged by the neck on a modern-type gallows, the men were probably impaled on a stake or post (cf. Ezra 6:11). This was not an unusual method of execution in the Persian Empire. Darius, Xerxes' father, was known to have once impaled 3,000 men."<sup>75</sup>

Ahasuerus was careful to record the name, father, and town of anyone who demonstrated particular loyalty to his throne and to reward him quickly and generously.<sup>76</sup>

"Xerxes is consumed with power yet powerless as sovereign events unfold."<sup>77</sup>

---

<sup>72</sup>Gordis, p. 47.

<sup>73</sup>Moore, *Esther*, p. liv. Cf. v. 20.

<sup>74</sup>Olmstead, p. 289.

<sup>75</sup>John A. Martin, "Esther," in *The Bible Knowledge Commentary: Old Testament*, pp. 704-5.

<sup>76</sup>Herodotus, 8:90.

<sup>77</sup>Breneman, p. 323.

## **2. Haman's promotion 3:1-6**

The events we read in chapter 3 took place four years after Esther became queen (cf. 2:16; 3:7).

Agag was the name of an area in Media that had become part of the Persian Empire.<sup>78</sup> However, Agag was also the name of the Amalekite king whom Saul failed to execute (1 Sam. 15:8; cf. Num. 24:7). By mentioning both Kish, Saul's father, and Agag, the Amalekite king, the writer may have been indicating that both men were heirs to a long-standing tradition of ethnic enmity and antagonism.<sup>79</sup> King Saul, a Benjamite, failed to destroy King Agag, an Amalekite; but Mordecai, also a Benjamite (2:5), destroyed Haman, an Amalekite. This story pictures Haman as having all seven of the characteristics that the writer of Proverbs 6:16-19 said the Lord hates: a proud look, a lying tongue, hands that shed innocent blood, a heart that devises wicked plans, feet that are swift in running to evil, a false witness who speaks lies, and one who sows discord among brethren.<sup>80</sup> Nevertheless, Ahasuerus advanced Haman to the highest government position in the empire—under the king.

There are at least two explanations for Mordecai's refusal to bow before Haman (v. 2). One is that it *did not* spring from religious conviction (cf. 2 Sam. 14:4; 18:28; 1 Kings 1:16) but from ancient Jewish antagonism toward the Amalekites.<sup>81</sup> Mordecai did not have to worship Haman (cf. Dan. 3:17-18). Not even the Persian kings demanded worship of their people.<sup>82</sup> Nevertheless, Ahasuerus had commanded the residents of Susa to honor Haman (v. 3). So this appears to have been an act of civil disobedience on Mordecai's part. Probably people knew that Mordecai was a Jew long before his conflict with Haman arose (v. 4).

"While the fact that he was a Jew (4) would not preclude his bowing down, the faith of the exiles tended to encourage an independence of judgment and action which embarrassed their captors (Dn. 3; 6)."<sup>83</sup>

The second explanation is that Mordecai *did* refuse to bow down for religious reasons. Advocates of this view emphasize that Mordecai had told people that he was a Jew (v. 4).<sup>84</sup> They say that he told them this when they asked him why he was not bowing down to Haman. There are several examples of Jews bowing down before high government officials, including kings, in the Old Testament (cf. 2 Sam. 14:4; 18:28; 1 Kings 1:16). So those who hold this view believe that Mordecai did not bow down because Haman claimed to be more than a high official, namely: deity. However, there does not seem to be sufficient evidence that Persian kings, much less subordinate officials, demanded worship as gods. Therefore, I prefer the first explanation.

<sup>78</sup>Gleason L. Archer Jr., *A Survey of Old Testament Introduction*, p. 421.

<sup>79</sup>Bush, p. 384. Cf. Josephus, 11:6:5; Baldwin, pp. 71-72; and Longman and Dillard, pp. 221-22.

<sup>80</sup>Wiersbe, pp. 716-17.

<sup>81</sup>Bush, p. 385; Wiersbe, p. 718.

<sup>82</sup>Paton, p. 196.

<sup>83</sup>Baldwin, pp. 72-73.

<sup>84</sup>E.g., Keil, pp. 343-44.

Haman might have been successful in getting Mordecai executed. However, when he decided to wipe out the race God chose to bless, he embarked on a course of action that would inevitably fail (cf. Gen. 12:3).

### **B. HAMAN'S PROPOSAL 3:7-15**

His pride having been wounded, Haman set about to take revenge, not only on Mordecai, but also on all of Mordecai's relatives.

#### **1. The casting of lots 3:7**

Haman cast the lot—*pur* is the Persian word for "lot"—to determine the day most favorable to wipe out the Jews. In the pagan ancient Near East, it was unthinkable to make plans of this magnitude without astrological guidance.<sup>85</sup> The lot supposedly revealed the day most propitious for this act.<sup>86</sup> The official casting of lots happened during the first month of each year to determine the most opportune days for important events.<sup>87</sup> This may explain why Haman cast lots in the first month and chose a date so much later to annihilate the Jews. However, God controlled the lot-casting (Prov. 16:33) and gave the Jews almost a year to prepare for conflict with their enemies. As a result, they had time to prepare to defend themselves and did not suffer as great a loss of life. Evidently the Jews named their feast "Purim" in honor of the *lots* that Haman cast, but which the Lord controlled, as a tribute to God's sovereign protection. Archaeologists have found quadrangular prism type dice at Susa, and perhaps it was this kind of device that Haman used to make his decision on this occasion.<sup>88</sup>

"Though determined by lot, the day chosen seems maliciously ironical. The number 13 was considered unlucky by the Persians and the Babylonians, while the thirteenth day of the first month, the day on which the edict decreeing the Jews' destruction was dispatched (v 12), is the day preceding Passover, the commemoration of the deliverance from slavery in Egypt."<sup>89</sup>

#### **2. Haman's request 3:8-9**

Perhaps Haman did not mention the Jews by name since Ahasuerus' predecessors, Cyrus and Darius I (Hystaspes), had issued proclamations favorable to them (Ezra 1:1-4; 6:3-5, 8-12). In any case, his failure to mention them by name, set him up for Esther's revelation that it was her people whom Haman planned to destroy (7:4). The Jews did indeed live a separated life, as Haman said (cf. Num. 23:9), but they were not a dangerous, rebellious element within the empire, which he claimed (cf. Jer. 29:7).

---

<sup>85</sup>Ibid., pp. 307, 344.

<sup>86</sup>*The New Bible Dictionary*, 1962 ed., s.v. "Magic and Sorcery," by Kenneth A. Kitchen.

<sup>87</sup>W. W. Hallo, "The First Purim," *Biblical Archaeologist* 46:1 (1983):19-27.

<sup>88</sup>Wood, p. 409.

<sup>89</sup>Bush, p. 386.

The 10,000 talents of silver Haman offered to pay into the king's treasury amounted to about two-thirds of the entire empire's income.<sup>90</sup> Bush considered this figure satiric hyperbole. He believed Haman wanted the king to understand that the benefit that would come to him by executing the Jews would be extremely large.<sup>91</sup> Perhaps Haman could have afforded to do this because he had plans to confiscate the Jews' possessions (v. 13). Undoubtedly he planned to make a large profit personally as well.

"The planned massacre, gruesome though it was, was not without precedents. In 522 BC, at the time of King Cambyses' death, Smerdis the Magus usurped the throne. When he was put to death in a conspiracy every Persian in the capital took up his weapons and killed every Magus he could find.<sup>92</sup> If darkness had not put an end to the slaughter, the whole caste would have been exterminated."<sup>93</sup>

### **3. The king's permission 3:10-15**

The imprint of an official's signet ring (v. 10) was the equivalent of his signature in ancient times (cf. Gen. 41:42; Esth. 8:2, 8, 10). Ahasuerus gave permission to Haman to confiscate the Jews' wealth and to put them to death (v. 11; cf. Prov. 18:13). Perhaps he allowed Haman to keep this vast wealth so that it would not appear that the king had given the order simply to obtain money.<sup>94</sup> Merrill suggested that Ahasuerus viewed the Jews as a scapegoat to blame for his humiliating losses to the Greeks.<sup>95</sup> The words "to destroy, to kill, and to annihilate" (v. 13) probably translate the legal formula used in the decree that would have been as specific as possible. Probably the government officials and army were those who were to seize the Jews' property (v. 13) and then send some of it up the line to Haman.

"There is a skillful use of contrast in the last sentence of the chapter. While the collaborators celebrate, the city of Susa is aghast. The author is sensitive to popular reactions and notes that the ordinary citizen asked himself what lay behind such a drastic scene."<sup>96</sup>

". . . the motive seems to have been, as Clericus and others have already conjectured, to cause many Jews to leave their property and escape to other lands, for the sake of preserving their lives."<sup>97</sup>

---

<sup>90</sup>Herodotus, 3:95. Bush, p. 387, considered this figure satiric hyperbole. He believed Haman wanted the king to understand that the benefit that would come to him by executing the Jews would be extremely large.

<sup>91</sup>Bush, p. 387.

<sup>92</sup>Herodotus, 3:64-80.

<sup>93</sup>Baldwin, p. 74.

<sup>94</sup>Keil, p. 346.

<sup>95</sup>Eugene H. Merrill, *Kingdom of Priests*, p. 502.

<sup>96</sup>Baldwin, p. 76.

<sup>97</sup>Keil, p. 348.

### **C. MORDECAI'S REACTION 4:1-3**

We can understand why Mordecai reacted to Haman's decree so strongly (v. 1). Undoubtedly he felt personally responsible for this decree (cf. 3:2-5). However, we should not interpret Mordecai's actions in verse 1 as a sign of great faith in God necessarily (cf. Mark 5:38; 1 Thess. 4:13). They were common expressions of personal grief (cf. Ezra 8:21, 23; Neh. 9:1; Lam. 3:40-66).

The absence of any reference to prayer in verse 3 may be significant. Prayer normally accompanied the other practices mentioned (cf. 2 Kings 19:1-4; Joel 1:14). Perhaps many of these exiled Jews had gotten so far away from God that they did not even pray in this crisis hour. However, the basis of this argument is silence, and arguments based on silence are never strong.

## **III. ESTHER'S INTERVENTION 4:4—9:19**

Haman's plan to exterminate the Jews created a crisis, and now Esther's intervention with Ahasuerus provided the solution.

### **A. MORDECAI'S INSTRUCTION 4:4-17**

Herodotus wrote that from earliest times, Median kings had refused entrance to their throne rooms to unannounced persons, in order to enhance their dignity, and to protect themselves.<sup>98</sup> But anyone who desired an audience with the king might ask to be announced.<sup>99</sup>

"Now the king had made a law, that none of his own people should approach him unless they were called, when he sat upon his throne; and men, with axes in their hands, stood round about his throne, in order to punish such as approached to him without being called."<sup>100</sup>

Mordecai's mourning may have been the only thing that disturbed Esther. She may have known nothing about the decree. On the other hand, she may have known of both, and concluded that since the king did not know that she was a Jewess, she would be safe (v. 13). However, Mordecai implied that Hathach knew she was a Jewess (v. 13, cf. v. 9), and probably others did as well.

Several students of Esther have pointed out that Mordecai does not come across in this book as a very "spiritual" person.<sup>101</sup> In verse 14, for example, he made no direct reference to God that would certainly have been natural (cf. Nehemiah's frequent prayers). Nevertheless, he did believe that God would preserve His people and punish their enemies (Gen. 12:3). He also concluded that if Esther remained silent she would die.

<sup>98</sup>Herodotus, 1:99; 3:118.

<sup>99</sup>Ibid., 3:140.

<sup>100</sup>Josephus, 11:6:3.

<sup>101</sup>E.g., Martin, p. 707.

Mordecai saw God's hand behind the human agent of her threatened destruction, who was probably the king (cf. Gen. 50:20).

Mordecai's question in verse 14 is the main basis for the view that the doctrine of providence is the key to understanding the Book of Esther.

"The book implies that even when God's people are far from him and disobedient, they are still the object of his concern and love, and that he is working out his purposes through them . . ." <sup>102</sup>

Mordecai perceived Esther's moment of destiny.

"Mordecai is not postulating that deliverance will arise for the Jews from some mysterious, unexpressed source. Rather, by affirming that Esther is the only possible source of deliverance for the Jews, he is attempting to motivate her to act." <sup>103</sup>

"The promises of God, the justice of God, and the providence of God shine brilliantly through the entire crisis, so that the mere omission of His name obscures nothing of His identity, attributes, and purposes for His chosen people and for the entire world of mankind." <sup>104</sup>

"Without explicitly spelling out in detail how he came to his convictions, Mordecai reveals that he believes in God, in God's guidance of individual lives, and in God's ordering of the world's political events, irrespective of whether those who seem to have the power acknowledge him or not." <sup>105</sup>

"Though God chooses to use people, He is by no means dependent on them. Many believers act as though they are indispensable to the Lord's purposes, and if they refuse to do His bidding God's work will grind to a halt. Mordecai's challenge to Esther must be heard and heeded. Our sovereign God will accomplish all His objectives with or without us. He calls us not out of His need for us but for our need to find fulfillment in serving Him." <sup>106</sup>

Evidently there was a fairly large population of Jews in Susa (v. 16; cf. 9:15). Again there is no mention of prayer, though some of the Jews may have prayed because they faced serious danger. <sup>107</sup>

---

<sup>102</sup>Huey, p. 794.

<sup>103</sup>Bush, p. 397.

<sup>104</sup>Whitcomb, p. 79. Cf. Keil, p. 353.

<sup>105</sup>Baldwin, p. 80.

<sup>106</sup>Merrill, in *The Old . . .*, p. 370.

<sup>107</sup>Baldwin, pp. 81-85, gave a helpful discussion of fasting.



"Like all human beings, Esther was not without flaw; but certainly our heroine should be judged more by the brave act she performs than by the natural fears she had to fight against. The rash man acts without fear; the brave man, in spite of it."<sup>108</sup>

Esther's words, "If I perish, I perish," (v. 16) seem more like words of courageous determination<sup>109</sup> than an expression of resignation to the inevitable (cf. Gen. 43:14).<sup>110</sup>

"Just as Esther's fast and Jesus' humiliation (*tapeinosis*, Phil. 2:8) commenced on the same date, so too Esther's three-day period of fasting parallels the three-day period of Jesus' death."<sup>111</sup>

If the Jews did indeed fast for three days, as Esther requested, they would not have been able to celebrate the Passover, which their Law commanded (Exod. 12), since their fasting would have begun on the eve of Passover.<sup>112</sup>

## **B. THE PLOT EXPOSED CHS. 5—7**

Chapters 5—7 carry us to the climax of our story. They show how God providentially preserved and protected His people.

### **1. Esther's preparations ch. 5**

Esther showed great wisdom in how she prepared to expose Haman as the enemy of the Jews and the Persian Empire.

#### **The first banquet 5:1-8**

Here we have another remarkable example of how God controls the hearts of kings (v. 2; Prov. 21:1; cf. Gen. 39—41; Ezra 1:1-4; Neh. 2; Dan. 2; 3; 4; 5; Acts 2:23). "To half of the kingdom" (v. 3) is hyperbole and means, "I will grant even a very large request" (cf. 5:6; 7:2; Mark 6:22-23). Esther must have had a very good reason for postponing her request of the king (v. 8), since delaying it opened the door to any number of complications. For example, the king's mood might have changed, or Haman might have discovered the reason for the banquet.

Esther's "procedure is part of a shrewd and deliberate plan in which Esther is taking the initiative and determining the course of events, as a close reading of the narrative will clearly show."<sup>113</sup>

<sup>108</sup>Moore, *Esther*, p. 53.

<sup>109</sup>David J. A. Clines, *Ezra, Nehemiah, Esther*, p. 303; Bush, p. 400.

<sup>110</sup>Paton, p. 226.

<sup>111</sup>Michael G. Wechsler, "Shadow and Fulfillment in the Book of Esther," *Bibliotheca Sacra* 154:615 (July-September 1997):281.

<sup>112</sup>David J. A. Clines, *The Esther Scroll: The Story of the Story*, pp. 36-37.

<sup>113</sup>Bush, p. 407.

"What Esther did ranks among the great deeds of faith in Scripture and could have been recorded in Hebrews 11."<sup>114</sup>

"The spiritual application to the gospel message is remarkable. Because of our sin, we cannot enter the presence of an infinitely holy God. But this same God, in His incomparable love and grace, has provided a plan whereby even the worst of sinners may enter His presence and touch, as it were, His golden scepter."<sup>115</sup>

### **Haman's reaction 5:9-14**

God had kept Haman from discovering Esther's relationship to Mordecai.

"It was an unusual honor to be invited to a banquet with the queen, for Persian officials were protective of their wives."<sup>116</sup>

The Persians placed great value on having many sons (v. 11).<sup>117</sup> A person of good character overlooks slights against himself or herself, but a man or woman of inferior character magnifies them (v. 13). Haman may have erected his gallows (or stake) on the top of a hill or building, resulting in an elevated height of 75 feet. On the other hand, the gallows by itself may have been made 75 feet high to let everybody see it (and the impaling), though that would have made it unusually tall.

"This is a fascinating example of the deceived sinner, glorying in self and hating both the true God and His people."<sup>118</sup>

"Haman is a case study in that inordinate pride and arrogance that conceals a 'vast and tender ego' (Fox, 179). . . .

"Haman's plans are about to run head on into the providence of God."<sup>119</sup>

### **2. Mordecai's exaltation ch. 6**

Mordecai's exaltation was a secondary event that prepared for the utter destruction of Haman. There are at least five indications of God's providence in the first five verses of this chapter: the king's insomnia (6:1a), his choice of entertainment (6:1b), the servant's choice of books (6:1c), the king's delay in rewarding Mordecai (6:2-3), and the timely arrival of Haman (6:4-5).<sup>120</sup>

---

<sup>114</sup>Wiersbe, p. 728.

<sup>115</sup>Whitcomb, pp. 82-83.

<sup>116</sup>Martin, p. 708.

<sup>117</sup>Herodotus, 1:136.

<sup>118</sup>Whitcomb, p. 85.

<sup>119</sup>Bush, p. 418. The quotation is from Michael V. Fox, *Character and Ideology in the Book of Esther*.

<sup>120</sup>Wiersbe, pp. 733-35.

### Ahasuerus' insomnia 6:1-3

The reading of the equivalent of the *Congressional Record* would have put the king to sleep under normal circumstances, as it probably had done on many previous occasions (cf. Mal. 3:16).

"Here is a remarkable instance of the veiled providential control of God over circumstances of human history. Upon the king's insomnia, humanly speaking, were hinged the survival of the chosen nation, the fulfillment of prophecy, the coming of the Redeemer, and therefore the whole work of redemption. Yet the outcome was never in doubt; for God was in control, making the most trivial of events work together for Haman's defeat and Israel's preservation."<sup>121</sup>

Normally, this king quickly rewarded people who did him special services. Herodotus gave two examples of Xerxes doing this.<sup>122</sup> Consequently, when he discovered that he had overlooked Mordecai's favor, the king moved speedily to rectify the oversight.

### Haman's recommendation 6:4-10

"Here the early bird is gotten by the worm."<sup>123</sup>

Haman's pride preceded his fall (v. 6; cf. Prov. 16:18). He wanted, as much as possible, to appear like the king himself, in the honors he recommended for the person he thought would be himself (v. 8; cf. Gen. 41:39-45; 1 Sam. 18:4; 1 Kings 1:33). Wearing clothing that the king had worn, and riding on a horse that the king had ridden on, were "the highest mark of honour that could be shown to a subject."<sup>124</sup> The crown was on the head of the horse, not its rider (v. 8; cf. v. 9).

It was evidently "a special arrangement of the horse's hair to form a topknot between the ears."<sup>125</sup>

Baldwin based this opinion on sculptured reliefs that archaeologists have discovered on a stairway at Persepolis. The king knew by now that Mordecai was a Jew (v. 10). However, the writer did not say Ahasuerus understood that Haman had aimed his pogrom against the Jews—until Esther revealed that fact (7:4). Of course he may have known it already. It seems incredible that Ahasuerus would issue such a decree without finding out whom it would eliminate. Perhaps he planned to make Mordecai an exception and spare his life.

### Haman's humiliation 6:11-14

Haman covered his head (v. 12; cf. 4:1-2) as a sign of his grief (cf. 2 Sam. 15:30; 19:4; Jer. 14:3-4; Ezek. 24:17). His friends evidently realized that unseen forces were maintaining the blessing that they had observed following the Jews (cf. Num. 23:9, 21,

<sup>121</sup>*The New Scofield Reference Bible*, p. 566.

<sup>122</sup>Herodotus, 8:85 and 9:107.

<sup>123</sup>Moore, *Esther*, p. 64.

<sup>124</sup>Keil, p. 360.

<sup>125</sup>Baldwin, p. 90.

23; 24:9, 17, 19; Josh. 2:9-13). They could very well have been members of the class that studied signs to predict the future. They saw in Haman's humiliation before Mordecai, the powerful honored Jew, an omen of even worse defeat to come. The tide had turned.

"The revival of the Jewish people since the times of Cyrus was sufficient to induce, in the minds of heathen who were attentive to the signs of the times, the persuasion that this nation enjoyed divine protection."<sup>126</sup>

Verse 14 means that Haman hastened to go to the banquet. He did not want to be late. It does not mean that he was reluctant to go and that the eunuchs needed to hurry him along. He evidently looked forward to the banquet as an opportunity to lift his spirits, little realizing that it would be the scene of his exposure and condemnation.

### **3. Haman's fall ch. 7**

The plot of the story reaches a climax in this chapter "in which Haman comes to the end of his rope."<sup>127</sup> The fate of Haman reversed when Esther identified him as the person responsible for the plan to destroy her and her people.

#### **Esther's plea 7:1-6**

This banquet probably took place in the afternoon, since Haman had already led Mordecai around Susa on a horse that day, and since Haman died later that day.

Esther was in a very dangerous position. Not only did she now identify herself with a minority group that Haman had represented to the king as subversive, but she also accused one of his closest confidential advisers of committing an error in judgment. Nevertheless she appealed to the king to do what was in his best interests (v. 4). Ahasuerus saw at once that his enemy, whoever he was, was going to rob him of his queen and his wealth. When Esther finally named the culprit, Ahasuerus had already decided to punish him severely.

#### **Ahasuerus' decision 7:7-10**

The fact that his enemy sat in his presence at that very moment evidently made the king pause before issuing his obvious verdict. He wanted to think about it and walked out into his garden to do so. Upon returning, what he saw confirmed his decision. Haman found himself trapped between an angry king and an offended queen. Ironically, this enemy of the Jews ended up pleading for his life with a Jewess!<sup>128</sup> Haman fell at Esther's feet to beg as she reclined, but the king misunderstood his intentions when he reentered the banquet room unexpectedly (v. 8).

---

<sup>126</sup>Keil, p. 362.

<sup>127</sup>Wiersbe, p. 737.

<sup>128</sup>Breneman, p. 350.

". . . one must remember that in antiquity very strong feelings and strict regulations centered on the harem. . . . Had Haman knelt as much as a foot away from the queen's couch, the king's reaction could still have been justified."<sup>129</sup>

"A Targum adds that the angel Gabriel pushed Haman as the king entered the room!"<sup>130</sup>

Esther's words had so predisposed Ahasuerus against Haman that the king viewed Haman's posture in the worst possible light.<sup>131</sup> Covering the face of a condemned person was evidently customary in such cases (v. 8; cf. 6:12).<sup>132</sup>

Harbonah's suggestion that they hang Haman on the gallows he had built for Mordecai drove the final nail in Haman's coffin (v. 9). Certainly Ahasuerus had not known of Haman's plan to execute the king's savior. We do not know if Esther asked for mercy for Haman or not. In either case, the king carried out his execution (v. 10). Thus ended the life of one of the most hostile anti-Semitic Jew-haters that ever walked the stage of history (cf. Ps. 9:15-16).

### **C. THE JEWS' DELIVERANCE 8:1—9:19**

Even though Haman was now dead, the Jews were not yet safe. This section of the text records what Esther and Mordecai did to ensure the preservation of the Jews who then lived throughout the vast Persian Empire. The death of Haman is not the major climax of the book.

#### **1. The rewarding of Esther and Mordecai 8:1-2**

Haman's "house" (v. 1) was his estate which must have been enormous. Esther received the estate, probably to compensate her for her suffering. The king gave Mordecai Haman's place as second in authority (cf. Joseph, Gen. 41:42; Nehemiah, Neh. 1:11—2:8; and Daniel, Dan. 5:7, 29; 6:3).

#### **2. Esther's request for her people 8:3-8**

Esther again had to argue her case, this time for clemency for the Jews. Her request involved expense to the king. Esther would not have been sure he would grant it. Ahasuerus could have spared the life of the queen and Mordecai and let the rest of their fellow Jews perish. Esther's commitment to her people, which jeopardized her own safety, was very selfless and accounts for the high honor the Jews have given her since these events transpired. Mordecai witnessed her plea (v. 7).

---

<sup>129</sup>Moore, *Esther*, p. 72.

<sup>130</sup>Huey, p. 826.

<sup>131</sup>Cf. Josephus, 11:6:11.

<sup>132</sup>Gordis, p. 56; Baldwin, p. 93. For other examples of Ahasuerus' wrath, see Keil, pp. 306-7.

"The *Book of Esther* is set in the reign of Xerxes, who was heavily committed to Zoroastrianism of an orthodox variety and who reversed the practice of religious tolerance of his predecessors. He destroyed the main idol of Bel Marduk, the temple of Marduk, Esagila, and many other Mardukian temples."<sup>133</sup>

In view of the king's religious intolerance, it took great courage for Esther to request mercy for the Jews.

"It is very moving to see the extent to which this young girl, who has everything money can buy, identifies herself with her own kith and kin, and is prepared to risk everything in an attempt to prevent the disaster that threatens them."<sup>134</sup>

"At the beginning of this story, Esther and Mordecai were hardly exemplary in the way they practiced their religious faith; but now we get the impression that things have changed. Both of them have affirmed their Jewish nationality and both were the means of calling all the Jews in the empire to prayer and fasting. In one sense, they spearheaded a Jewish 'revival' and made being Jewish a more honorable thing in the empire."<sup>135</sup>

The king did not have authority in his government to cancel decrees (cf. 1:19; Dan. 6:17). This awkward policy tended to lend weight to the king's official pronouncements (as when the pope speaks *ex cathedra* for Roman Catholics).

### **3. The royal decree 8:9-14**

The first decree, to destroy the Jews, had gone out on April 17, 474 B.C. (3:12).<sup>136</sup> Ahasuerus published this second one, allowing the Jews to defend themselves, on June 25, 474 B.C. The Jews had over eight months to prepare for the day their enemies might attack them, which was March 7, 473 B.C.

The king gave the Jews permission even to take the lives of the enemy "which might attack them, . . . [their] children and women" (v. 11). The children and women in view seem to be those of the Jews (cf. 3:13), not the enemies of the Jews.<sup>137</sup> This extreme measure enabled the Jews to defend themselves completely. It neutralized the enemy's former advantage (cf. 3:13).

"It has often been observed that this [fourteenth verse] provides a remarkably cogent illustration of missionary work today. God's death sentence hangs over a sinful humanity, but He has also commanded us to

---

<sup>133</sup>Robert J. Littman, "The Religious Policy of Xerxes and the Book of Esther," *Jewish Quarterly Review* NS65:3 (January 1975):155.

<sup>134</sup>Baldwin, p. 95.

<sup>135</sup>Wiersbe, p. 742.

<sup>136</sup>R. A. Parker and W. H. Dubberstein, *Babylonian Chronology 626 B.C.-A.D. 75*, p. 31.

<sup>137</sup>Gordis, pp. 49-53.

hasten the message of salvation to every land (cf. Prov. 24:11). Only by a knowledge of, and a response to, the second decree of saving grace through the Lord Jesus Christ can the terrible effects of the first decree of universal condemnation for sin be averted."<sup>138</sup>

"If a group of pagan scribes and messengers, without modern means of transportation and communication, could take Mordecai's decree to an entire empire, how much more should Christian workers be able to take Christ's Gospel to a lost world!"<sup>139</sup>

#### **4. The joy of the Jews 8:15-17**

"Crown" (v. 15) should be "turban." Mordecai's clothing reflected his important position in the government.

Evidently, Mordecai read the second decree at a public meeting in Susa. Contrast the Jews' reaction here with their response to the first decree (3:15). God had blown away the dark cloud that had hung over their heads.

"The Jews killed only those who attacked them; they killed only the men (9:6, 12, 15); and they didn't lay hands on the loot, although they had the right to do so (vv. 10, 15-16)."<sup>140</sup>

"And the fact that these people were even willing to attack when they knew the Jews would protect themselves is proof that anti-Semitism was very strong throughout the empire."<sup>141</sup>

"Holiday" (v. 17) is literally "a good day" (cf. 9:19, 22). It refers to a religious festival.<sup>142</sup> This was not the Feast of Purim but a celebration in anticipation of it. Many Gentiles became proselytes to Judaism as a result of God's obvious blessing on His people (v. 17). This is the only mention in the Old Testament that Gentiles "became Jews." They became religious Jews, not racial Jews. This testimony to the fact that Gentiles recognized God's blessing on the Jews would have been a great encouragement to the Jews in the postexilic period (cf. Exod. 19:5-6).

#### **5. The Jews' self-defense 9:1-19**

The king gave the Jews permission to defend themselves by killing their enemies. Evidently this meant that they not only met attack with resistance, but in some cases they initiated attack against those who they knew would destroy them.<sup>143</sup> These would have

---

<sup>138</sup>Whitcomb, p. 107.

<sup>139</sup>Wiersbe, p. 745.

<sup>140</sup>Ibid., p. 744.

<sup>141</sup>Ibid., p. 745.

<sup>142</sup>Moore, *Esther*, p. 81.

<sup>143</sup>See Baldwin, pp. 100-2.

been people such as Haman's sons, who would have sought retaliation for their father's death in typical ancient Near Eastern fashion. The phrase "and no one could stand before them" (v. 2) recalls Joshua 10:8; 21:44; and elsewhere. Keil defended the large number that the Jews slew ("75,000;" v. 16) as being in line with the population of the Persian Empire at this time ("at least 100,000,000").<sup>144</sup>

"It is the general custom of the scriptural historians to give in their narratives of wars and battles only the numbers of the slain among the vanquished foes, and not to mention the losses of the victors."<sup>145</sup>

Anti-Semitism has a very ancient history, and it continues to be a problem.. Apparently it was widespread at this time, but the Jews did not plunder their enemies (vv. 15-16). The Book of Esther shows how God cares for His chosen people. Any nation or individual that seeks to persecute the Jews will suffer for it (Gen. 12:3).

Roland Bainton quoted Martin Luther as saying the following concerning the Jews:

"What good can we do the Jews when we constrain them, malign them, and hate them as dogs? When we deny them work and force them to usury, how can that help? We should use toward the Jews not the pope's but Christ's law of love. If some are stiff-necked, what does that matter? We are not all good Christians."<sup>146</sup>

"His [Luther's] position [toward the Jews] was entirely religious and in no respect racial."<sup>147</sup>

"The deliberate decision not to enrich themselves at the expense of their enemies [vv. 10, 15, 16] would not go unnoticed in a culture where victors were expected to take the spoil. The very novelty of such self-denial would be remarked upon and remembered, and taken as proof of the upright motives of the Jewish communities."<sup>148</sup>

Haman's edict, in contrast, had encouraged the Jews' enemies "to seize their [the Jews'] possessions as plunder" (3:13).

The absence of explicit reference in the text to God helping His people does not deny His help. Instead, it reflects the attitude of the Jews who chose to ignore God's commands, through Isaiah and Jeremiah, to return to the land (Isa. 48:20; Jer. 29:10; 50:8; 51:6; cf. Deut. 28). They had pushed God aside in their lives, as Mordecai and Esther apparently had done to some extent. Nevertheless, God remained faithful to His promises, in spite of His people's unfaithfulness (cf. 2 Tim. 2:13).

---

<sup>144</sup>Keil, pp. 308-9.

<sup>145</sup>Ibid., p. 309.

<sup>146</sup>Rowland H. Bainton, *Here I Stand*, p. 297.

<sup>147</sup>Ibid.

<sup>148</sup>Baldwin, p. 105.



Evidently Esther had learned of a plot in Susa to attack the Jews on Adar 14 (March 8; v. 13). The purpose of hanging the bodies of Haman's 10 executed sons on the gallows was to disgrace them and to discourage other enemies of the Jews from attacking them (cf. Deut. 21:22-23; Num. 16:27, 32-33; 25:4; Josh. 7:24-25; 1 Sam. 31:8-12; 2 Sam. 21:6). Almost twice as many people died in the royal precincts of Susa as in the rest of the city. The word "capital" in verse 6 really refers to the acropolis, the royal section of the capital city of Susa.

<b>ENEMIES KILLED BY THE JEWS</b>			
<b>Number</b>	<b>Place</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>References</b>
500 men	in the acropolis of Susa	Adar 13 (March 7)	9:6, 12
75,000 people	in other parts of the empire	Adar 13 (March 7)	9:16
300 men	in Susa	Adar 14 (March 8)	9:15

#### **IV. THE JEWS' REJOICING 9:20-32**

The tables having been turned, the tables could now be spread.<sup>149</sup>

Evidently Mordecai issued the decree establishing the Feast of Purim some time after the slaying of the Jews' enemies (v. 20). His proclamation united the two days on which the Jews had defended themselves (Adar 13 and 14) into one holiday. During the inter-testamental period the Jews called Adar 14 "Mordecai Day" (2 Maccabees 15:36, RSV), but they discarded this special designation later. Modern Jews celebrate Purim on the evening of Adar 14 (in March). It is their most festive and popular holiday. Esther is the only Old Testament book not found among the texts used by the Essene community at Qumran, probably because this community did not observe Purim.<sup>150</sup>

"Purim" is the plural form of the Persian word *pur*, meaning the "lot" (cf. 3:7). The name "Purim" became a symbolic reminder to the Jews of how God used circumstances, specifically casting the lot (cf. 3:7), to deliver them in 473 B.C.<sup>151</sup>

Probably Esther sent her decree (v. 29), confirming Mordecai's previous declaration of the official Jewish holiday (vv. 20-21), to encourage its firm establishment. Her letter evidently began, "Words of peace and truth" (v. 30).<sup>152</sup> There was probably considerable resistance within the conservative Jewish community to adding another national festival to those prescribed in the Torah.

<sup>149</sup>Wiersbe, p. 746.

<sup>150</sup>Bush, p. 273.

<sup>151</sup>See Alfred Edersheim, *The Temple*, pp. 331-33.

<sup>152</sup>Gordis, pp. 57-58.

"The book" (v. 32) must be the one in which Mordecai recorded all these events (v. 20), that most scholars have concluded may have been a source the writer of Esther used. This document was probably not the Book of Esther itself, assuming the writer of Esther was someone other than Mordecai.<sup>153</sup>

#### **V. MORDECAI'S GREATNESS CH. 10**

Perhaps the writer mentioned Ahasuerus' tax (v. 1) because Mordecai had something to do with it, or perhaps this tax reflects God's blessing on the king for preserving the Jews (Gen. 12:3).

Appeal to the official chronicles (v. 2) claimed historicity for the events recorded in Esther (cf. 1 Kings 14:19; et al.). These documents are not available to us today. They may have been Persian<sup>154</sup> or Jewish<sup>155</sup> archives.

Mordecai was one of several biblical characters whom God elevated to a position of high government rank (cf. Joseph, Daniel, and Nehemiah). Scholars have long compared the stories of Esther and Joseph because the settings of both are in countries other than Israel, as well as because of other similarities.<sup>156</sup> He used his position of influence to benefit his people (v. 3). However, there is no evidence that either Mordecai or Esther had any desire to return to Jerusalem and become part of God's theocratic program there. No one prevented them from doing so either, before Esther became queen (cf. Neh. 2:5).

---

<sup>153</sup>E.g., Whitcomb, p. 124.

<sup>154</sup>Moore, p. 99.

<sup>155</sup>Baldwin, p. 115.

<sup>156</sup>See *ibid.*, p. 25, n. 1, for a list of such studies.

## Conclusion

The personal relationship that Esther and Mordecai enjoyed with Yahweh is a very interesting subject of study. The answer to this puzzle explains why God's name does not appear in the book and what God's purpose was in preserving this book for us.<sup>157</sup>

Without question Mordecai was a man of great ability and admirable character. He also demonstrated faith in the Abrahamic Covenant and in God's providential care of His people (4:13-14). Esther, too, showed some dependence on God for His help (4:16). However, these qualities characterized many Jews who, Jesus Christ in His day said, were not pleasing to God (cf. Matt. 3:9; 6:16; John 8:39). Mordecai and Esther, it seems, were eager to preserve their nation and their religion, but they give little evidence of desire to do God's will personally. In this respect they contrast with Daniel, Ezra, and Nehemiah.

No one forced Esther into Ahasuerus' harem.<sup>158</sup> She evidently ate unclean food for months (2:9; cf. Dan. 1:5, 8). Furthermore, the king did not know she was a Jewess for five years (2:16; 3:7).

"For the masquerade to last that long, she must have done more than eat, dress and live like a Persian. She must have worshipped like one!"<sup>159</sup>

We cannot excuse her behavior on the ground that she was simply obeying Mordecai's orders (2:20). Her conduct implicates him in her actions.

"The Christian judgment of the Book of Esther has been unnecessarily cramped through our feeling that because Mordecai is a Bible character, he must be a good man. . . . Like Jehu he may have been little more than a time-server. The Bible makes no moral judgment upon him, but it expects us to use our Christian sense. He was raised up by God, but he was not necessarily a godly man."<sup>160</sup>

The Book of Esther shows how God has remained faithful to His promises, in spite of His adversaries' antagonism and His people's unfaithfulness.

"The lovely story of Esther provides the great theological truth that the purposes of God cannot be stymied because He is forever loyal to His covenant with His eternally elected nation."<sup>161</sup>

---

<sup>157</sup>For helpful insights into the writer's reasons for omitting God's name in this book, see Michael V. Fox, "The Religion of the Book of Esther," *Judaism* 39:2 (Spring 1990):135-47; and Robert B. Chisholm Jr., "A Rhetorical Use of Point of View in Old Testament Narrative," *Bibliotheca Sacra* 195:636 (October-December 2002):413-14.

<sup>158</sup>See my comments on 2:7-11.

<sup>159</sup>Carl A. Baker, "An Investigation of the Spirituality of Esther," pp. 21-22. See also Bush, p. 322, for other morally indefensible actions of Mordecai and Esther.

<sup>160</sup>Wright, p. 45. Cf. Henry, pp. 785-88.

<sup>161</sup>Eugene H. Merrill, "A Theology of Ezra-Nehemiah and Esther," in *A Biblical Theology of the Old Testament*, p. 205.

The writer did not omit God's name and references to Israel's theocratic institutions because God's presence was absent. He did not do so because thousands of Gentiles died at the hands of Jews, nor because the Jewish hero and heroine were personally self-willed, as some commentators have suggested. I believe he left them out because they were of little concern to Esther, Mordecai, and the other Jews who did not return to the land.

"In His providence He [God] will watch over and deliver them; but their names and His name will not be bound together in the record of the labor and the waiting for the earth's salvation."<sup>162</sup>

"The early Jews sought to remedy the lack of explicit references to God and religious observances by attaching six Additions to Esther (107 verses) in the Greek version, including a dream of Mordecai, and prayers of Mordecai and of Esther. These sections form part of the Old Testament Apocrypha, which was declared to be canonical for the Catholic Church by the Council of Trent in 1546 in reaction to Protestant criticisms [of the Book of Esther]."<sup>163</sup>

"There are few books of the Old Testament more relevant to life in a society hostile to the gospel."<sup>164</sup>

---

<sup>162</sup>*International Standard Bible Encyclopedia*, 1949 ed., s.v. "Esther, Book of," by John Urquhart. Cf. Edward J. Young, *An Introduction to the Old Testament*, pp. 377-79; and Archer, p. 417.

<sup>163</sup>Yamauchi, "The Archaeological . . .," p. 111.

<sup>164</sup>Breneman, p. 370.

## Bibliography

- Archer, Gleason L., Jr. *A Survey of Old Testament Introduction*. 1964; revised ed., Chicago: Moody Press, 1974.
- Armerding, Carl. *Esther: For Such a Time as This*. Chicago: Moody Press, 1955.
- Bainton, Roland H. *Here I Stand: A Life of Martin Luther*. Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1950. Reprint ed., New York: Mentor Books, 1955.
- Baker, Carl A. "An Investigation of the Spirituality of Esther." M.Div. thesis, Grace Theological Seminary, 1977.
- Baldwin, Joyce G. *Esther*. Tyndale Old Testament Commentaries series. Downers Grove, Ill.: InterVarsity Press, 1984.
- \_\_\_\_\_. "The Message of Esther for Today." *Evangel 5:3* (Autumn 1987):9.
- Baxter, J. Sidlow. *Explore the Book*. 6 vols. London: Marshall, Morgan, and Scott, 1965.
- Berg, S. B. "The Book of Esther: Motifs, Themes, and Structure." *Society of Biblical Literature Dissertation Series* 44. Missoula, Mont.: Scholars Press, 1979.
- Breneman, Mervin. *Ezra, Nehemiah, Esther*. The New American Commentary series. N.c.: Broadman & Holman Publishers, 1993.
- Bright, John A. *A History of Israel*. Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1959.
- Bury, J. B.; S. A. Cook; and F. E. Adcock, eds. *The Cambridge Ancient History*. 12 vols. 2nd ed. reprinted. Cambridge, Eng.: University Press, 1928.
- Bush, Frederic W. *Ruth, Esther*. Word Biblical Commentary series. Dallas: Word Books, 1996.
- Chisholm, Robert B., Jr. "A Rhetorical Use of Point of View in Old Testament Narrative." *Bibliotheca Sacra* 195:636 (October-December 2002):404-14.
- Clines, David J. A. *The Esther Scroll: The Story of the Story*. JSOT Supplement 30. Sheffield, Eng.: Journal for the Study of the Old Testament, 1984.
- \_\_\_\_\_. *Ezra, Nehemiah, Esther*. New Century Bible Commentary series. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., and London: Marshall, Morgan, and Scott, 1984.
- Cohan, A. *The Five Megilloth*. London: Soncino Press, 1946.
- Cowper, William. *Olney Hymns*, 35. In *The Oxford Dictionary of Quotations*. 2nd ed. New York: Oxford University Press, 1955.
- Darby, John Nelson. *Synopsis of the Books of the Bible*. 5 vols. Revised ed. New York: Loizeaux Brothers Publishers, 1942.

- Dyer, Charles H., and Eugene H. Merrill. *The Old Testament Explorer*. Nashville: Word Publishing, 2001. Reissued as *Nelson's Old Testament Survey*. Nashville: Thomas Nelson Publishers, 2001.
- Edersheim, Alfred. *The Temple: Its Ministry and Services As They Were at the Time of Jesus Christ*. Reprint ed. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1972.
- Fox, Michael V. *Character and Ideology in the Book of Esther*. Columbia, S.C.: University of South Carolina, 1991.
- \_\_\_\_\_. "The Religion of the Book of Esther." *Judaism* 39:2 (Spring 1990):135-47.
- Gaebelein, Arno C. *The Annotated Bible*. 4 vols. Reprint ed. Chicago: Moody Press, and New York: Loizeaux Brothers, Inc., 1970.
- Gerleman, Gillis. *Esther*. *Biblischer Kommentar: Altes Testament* 21. Neukirchen, Germany: Neukirchener, 1982.
- Gordis, Robert. "Studies in the Esther Narrative." *Journal of Biblical Literature* 95:1 (March 1976):43-58.
- Hallo, W. W. "The First Purim." *Biblical Archaeologist* 46:1 (1983):19-27.
- Hayes, J. H., and J. M. Miller, eds. *Israelite and Judean History*. London: SCM, 1977.
- Henry, Matthew. "An Exposition, with Practical Observations, of the Book of Esther." In *Commentary on the Whole Bible*. New One Volume Edition. Edited by Leslie F. Church. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1974.
- Herodotus. 4 vols. With an English translation by A. D. Godley. The Loeb Classical Library. London: William Heinemann Ltd., 1963.
- Horine, Steven. "Esther's Organizing Metaphor: The Feasting Motif." A paper presented to the Annual Meeting of the Evangelical Theological Society, Nov. 15, 2000, Nashville, Tenn.
- Horn, Siegfried H. "Mordecai, A Historical Problem." *Biblical Research* 9 (1964):14-25.
- Howard, David M., Jr. *An Introduction to the Old Testament Historical Books*. Chicago: Moody Press, 1993.
- Huey, F. B., Jr. "Esther." In *1 Kings—Job*. Vol. 4 of *The Expositor's Bible Commentary*. 12 vols. Edited by Frank E. Gaebelein and Richard D. Polcyn. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1988.
- International Standard Bible Encyclopedia*, 1949 ed. S.v. "Esther, Book of," by John Urquhart.
- Ironside, Harry A. *Notes on the Books of Ezra, Nehemiah & Esther*. New York: Loizeaux Brothers, n.d.

- Josephus, Flavius. *The Works of Flavius Josephus*. Translated by William Whiston. London: T. Nelson and Sons, 1866; reprint ed. Peabody, Mass.: Hendrickson Publishers, 1988.
- Kaiser, Walter C., Jr. *Toward an Old Testament Theology*. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1978.
- Keil, C. F. *The Books of Ezra, Nehemiah, and Esther*. Translated by Sophia Taylor. Biblical Commentary on the Old Testament. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., n.d.
- Kitchen, K. A. *The Bible in Its World*. Downers Grove, Ill.: InterVarsity Press, 1977.
- Knight, G. A. F. *Esther, Song of Songs, Lamentations*. London: SCM Press, 1955.
- Lange, John Peter, ed. *Commentary on the Holy Scriptures*. 12 vols. Reprint ed., Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1960. Vol. 4: *Chronicles-Job*, by Otto Zockler, Fr. W. Schultz, and Howard Crosby. Translated, enlarged, and edited by James G. Murphy, Charles A. Briggs, James Strong, and L. J. Evans.
- Littman, Robert J. "The Religious Policy of Xerxes and the Book of Esther." *Jewish Quarterly Review* NS65:3 (January 1975):145-55.
- Longman, Tremper, III and Raymond B. Dillard. *An Introduction to the Old Testament*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 2006.
- Martin, John A. "Esther." In *The Bible Knowledge Commentary: Old Testament*, pp. 699-713. Edited by John F. Walvoord and Roy B. Zuck. Wheaton: Scripture Press Publications, Victor Books, 1985.
- McConville, J. G. *Ezra, Nehemiah, and Esther*. Daily Study Bible series. Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1985.
- McGee, J. Vernon. *Exposition in the Book of Esther*. Wheaton: Van Kampen Press, 1951.
- Merrill, Eugene H. *Kingdom of Priests: A History of Old Testament Israel*. Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1987.
- \_\_\_\_\_. "A Theology of Ezra-Nehemiah and Esther." In *A Biblical Theology of the Old Testament*, pp. 189-205. Edited by Roy B. Zuck. Chicago: Moody Press, 1991.
- Moore, Carey A. "Archaeology and the Book of Esther." *Biblical Archaeologist* 38:3&4 (September, December 1975):62-79.
- \_\_\_\_\_. "Eight Questions Most Frequently Asked About the Book of Esther." *Bible Review* 3:1 (Spring 1987):16-31.
- \_\_\_\_\_. *Esther*. Anchor Bible series. Garden City, N.Y.: Doubleday and Co., 1971.
- Morgan, G. Campbell. *Living Messages of the Books of the Bible*. 2 vols. New York: Fleming H. Revell Co., 1912.

- The NET (New English Translation) Bible*. First beta printing. Spokane, Wash.: Biblical Studies Press, 2001.
- The New Bible Dictionary*, 1962 ed. S.v. "Magic and Sorcery," by Kenneth A. Kitchen.
- The New Scofield Reference Bible*. Edited by Frank E. Gaebelin, et al. New York: Oxford University Press, 1967.
- Olmstead, A. T. *History of the Persian Empire*. Chicago: University of Chicago, 1948.
- Parker, R. A., and W. H. Dubberstein. *Babylonian Chronology 626 B.C.-A.D. 75*. Providence, R.I.: Brown University Press, 1956.
- Paton, Lewis B. *A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Book of Esther*. International Critical Commentary series. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1908.
- Pfeiffer, Charles F., and Howard F. Vos. *The Wycliffe Historical Geography of Bible Lands*. Chicago: Moody Press, 1967.
- Rossow, Francis C. "Literary Artistry in the Book of Esther and Its Theological Significance." *Concordia Journal* 13:3 (July 1987):219-33.
- Schwantes, Siegfried J. *A Short History of the Ancient Near East*. Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1965.
- Shea, William H. "Esther and History." *Andrews University Seminary Studies* 14:1 (Spring 1976):227-46.
- Sternberg, M. *The Poetics of Biblical Narrative*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1987.
- Streane, A. W. *The Book of Esther*. Cambridge Bible for Schools and Colleges series. Cambridge, Eng.: University Press, 1907.
- Van Sickle, C. E. *A Political and Cultural History of the Ancient World*. 2 vols. N.C.: Houghton Mifflin Co., 1947 & 1948.
- Vos, Howard F. *Ezra, Nehemiah, and Esther*. Bible Study Commentary series. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, Lamplighter Books, 1987.
- Wechsler, Michael G. "Shadow and Fulfillment in the Book of Esther." *Bibliotheca Sacra* 154:615 (July-September 1997):275-84.
- Weiland, Forrest S. "Historicity, Genre, and Narrative Design in the Book of Esther." *Bibliotheca Sacra* 159:634 (April-June 2002):151-65.
- \_\_\_\_\_. "Literary Clues to God's Providence in the Book of Esther." *Bibliotheca Sacra* 160:637 (January-March 2003):34-47.
- \_\_\_\_\_. "Literary Conventions in the Book of Esther." *Bibliotheca Sacra* 159:636 (October-December 2002):425-35.



- \_\_\_\_\_. "Plot Structure in the Book of Esther." *Bibliotheca Sacra* 159:635 (July-September 2002):277-87.
- Whitcomb, John C. "Esther." In *The Wycliffe Bible Commentary*, pp. 447-57. Edited by Charles F. Pfeiffer and Everett F. Harrison. Chicago: Moody Press, 1962.
- Wiersbe, Warren W. *The Bible Exposition Commentary/History*. Colorado Springs, Colo.: Cook Communications Ministries, 2003.
- \_\_\_\_\_. *Esther: Triumph of God's Sovereignty*. Everyman's Bible Commentary series. Chicago: Moody Press, 1979.
- Wood, Leon. *A Survey of Israel's History*. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1970.
- Wright, J. Stafford. "The Historicity of Esther." In *New Perspective on the Old Testament*, pp. 37-47. Edited by J. Barton Payne. Waco: Word Books, 1970.
- Yamauchi, Edwin M. "The Achaemenid Capitals." *Near East Archaeology Society Bulletin*. NS8 (1976):5-81.
- \_\_\_\_\_. "The Archaeological Background of Esther." *Bibliotheca Sacra* 137:546 (April-June 1980):99-117.
- Young, Edward J. *An Introduction to the Old Testament*. 1949; revised ed., Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1960.